

Wilsonian Renormalization as a Quantum Channel and the Separability of Fixed Points

Matheus H. Martins Costa,^{1,2} Jeroen van den Brink,^{1,3} Flavio S. Nogueira,¹ and Gastão I. Krein²

¹*Institute for Theoretical Solid State Physics, IFW Dresden, Helmholtzstr. 20, 01069 Dresden, Germany*

²*Instituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista,*

Rua Dr. Bento Teobaldo Ferraz, 271 - Bloco II, 01140-070 São Paulo, Brazil

³*Institute for Theoretical Physics and Würzburg-Dresden Cluster of Excellence ct.qmat, TU Dresden, 01069 Dresden, Germany*

We show that the Wilsonian formulation of the renormalization group (RG) defines a quantum channel acting on the momentum-space density matrices of a quantum field theory. This information theoretical property of the RG allows us to derive a remarkable consequence for the vacuum of theories at a fixed point: they have no entanglement between momentum scales. Our result can be understood as deriving from the scale symmetry of such theories and leads to constraints on the form of the ground state and on expectation values of momentum space operators.

Introduction.- The Wilsonian renormalization group (RG) transformation is a fundamental concept in the study of quantum field theories (QFTs) and statistical physics, which has been of great importance to understanding phenomena in these areas [1–4]. It is typically defined as the integration over high momentum modes of a field theory above a given scale μ with a sharp cutoff, followed by the rescaling of momenta and fields [5, 6]

For physical quantum systems whose states are described by density matrices [7], it is of prime importance for the Wilson RG to be a quantum channel of states in a QFT, i.e., a completely positive and trace-preserving (CPTP) map (see Sec. 8.2 of Ref. [7] for a definition and discussion). Indeed, were it not CPTP, there would be field theories (possibly tensored with finite-dimensional systems) where the renormalization procedure gives rise to density matrices for the long distance degrees of freedom that would violate key requirements of quantum mechanics (e.g, positivity of the states). Furthermore, since the RG preserves exactly the averages of long-wavelength observables [6], this would contradict the fact that the set of expectation values of all elements in an observable algebra determines the quantum state [8], and such expectation values are obtained from a vacuum state.

Although it is physically intuitive that the Wilsonian RG does define a CPTP map, such has so far not been demonstrated, despite recent advances discussed in Refs. [9–13]. Our first result in this Letter is to prove that the RG has this property. This will be done via the Schrodinger picture of the wave functionals in QFT [10, 14]. We then use it to explore entanglement properties between momentum scales at RG fixed points.

As discussed first in Refs. [15, 16], and later explicitly worked out with examples in Ref. [17], the first RG step, integrating out fast modes, is equivalent to taking a partial trace over degrees of freedom above a certain scale, which is a quantum channel. Therefore, we only need to focus on the rescaling of fields and momenta in the second step to show its CPTP property. We will show that the scaling used in the RG procedure defines

a unitary evolution of momentum-space density matrices. Hence, as the composition of two quantum channels is another quantum channel, we conclude the proof for that the full Wilsonian RG transformation is indeed a quantum channel. This nature of the RG not only guarantees the expected consistency of the method, but it also paves the way towards investigating how the entanglement between momentum scales evolves along the RG trajectory. In particular, it allows us to study such entanglement for theories lying at fixed points. Our main result is establishing that, as long as a fixed point theory exists (for example, as a conformal field theory), then there is no entanglement in its ground state between momentum modes at different scales, even though such theories can be strongly interacting. We conclude with a discussion about some consequences of this property and on the novel perspectives it provides.

The Wilsonian RG as a quantum channel.- We begin by reviewing one of the insights of Ref. [15]. It is known that the ground state of a quantum system can be represented via the functional integral of its action (see, for instance, Sec. IV in Ref. [18]). Suppose one partitions the Hilbert space of a QFT in d spatial dimensions with action S in momentum modes as $\mathcal{H} = \bigotimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}$, where each $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is generated by eigenstates of (the hermitian components of) the field mode $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}$. Then, the ground state matrix elements between two vectors $|\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle, |\tilde{\varphi}_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$ such that each momentum mode has a definite amplitude are given by the path integral [15, 18],

$$\langle \varphi_{\mathbf{k}} | \rho | \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int_{\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(0^-) = \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathbf{k}}}^{\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(0^+) = \varphi_{\mathbf{k}}} \mathcal{D}\phi_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-S[\phi_{\mathbf{k}}]}, \quad (1)$$

where the boundary condition indicates a discontinuity at Euclidean time $\tau = 0$ and

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau) e^{-S[\phi_{\mathbf{k}}]}. \quad (2)$$

With this representation it becomes clear that integrating out fast modes with $|\mathbf{k}| \geq \mu$ for an arbitrarily chosen and changeable μ , is the same as taking a partial trace over

the Hilbert space $\otimes_{|\mathbf{k}|\geq\mu}\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}$. Thus, a low-momentum density matrix ρ_μ for this QFT's ground state is well defined and given in terms of the Wilsonian effective action S_μ by [15, 17]

$$\langle\varphi_{|\mathbf{k}|<\mu}|\rho_\mu|\tilde{\varphi}_{|\mathbf{k}|<\mu}\rangle=\frac{1}{Z}\int_{\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(0^-)=\tilde{\varphi}_{|\mathbf{k}|<\mu}}^{\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(0^+)=\varphi_{|\mathbf{k}|<\mu}}\mathcal{D}\phi_{\mathbf{k}}e^{-S_\mu}\quad(3)$$

The broader point is that this interpretation of the first RG step is valid even in the case of states other than the vacuum. The first step defines a partial trace over high-momentum modes and takes density matrices on the full Hilbert space of the theory to density matrices acting on the long-wavelength degrees of freedom only.

Moving on to the scaling transformation, we define Λ as the overall cutoff of the QFT and define the scaling parameter as $\sigma:=\Lambda/\mu$. Thus, the rescaling of field modes is given by [5],

$$k\rightarrow\sigma k,\quad(4)$$

$$\phi_{\mathbf{k}}\rightarrow\sigma^{d_\phi}\phi_{\sigma\mathbf{k}},\quad(5)$$

where d_ϕ is the scaling dimension of the Fourier-transformed field, which depends on the fixed point of interest. If $S[\phi_{\mathbf{k}}]$ is the original action of the QFT in terms of momentum-space fields, let $S_\sigma[\phi_{\mathbf{k}}]:=S_\mu[\sigma^{d_\phi}\phi_{\sigma\mathbf{k}}]$ denote the new action at scale Λ obtained from the scaling transformation. Then, by means of the path integral construction of matrix elements of a state operator, this action naturally defines the density matrix $\rho(\sigma)$ via,

$$\langle\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}|\rho(\sigma)|\tilde{\varphi}_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle=\frac{1}{Z_\sigma}\int_{\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(0^-)=\tilde{\varphi}_{\mathbf{k}}}^{\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(0^+)=\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}}\mathcal{D}\phi_{\mathbf{k}}e^{-S_\sigma[\phi_{\mathbf{k}}]},\quad(6)$$

$$Z_\sigma=\int\mathcal{D}\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau)e^{-S_\sigma[\phi_{\mathbf{k}}]}.\quad(7)$$

Note that there is a priori no reason to believe that $\rho(\sigma)=|\Omega\rangle\langle\Omega|$, where $|\Omega\rangle$ is the ground state vector, since the action $S_\sigma[\phi_{\mathbf{k}}]$ will be generally different from the original $S[\phi_{\mathbf{k}}]$. The process of obtaining an effective action by integrating part of the momentum modes can be inverted by a scaling transformation only at an RG fixed point.

The scaling transformation must be defined not only for ρ_μ , which is obtained via a partial trace, but also for any density matrix acting on the low-momentum degrees of freedom. We will do so by using the Schrodinger representation of states in a QFT [10, 14], meaning that a generic density matrix ρ acting on the Hilbert space of momentum modes below scale μ can be formally written as the path integral

$$\rho=\int\prod_{|\mathbf{k}|,|\mathbf{k}'|\leq\mu}\mathcal{D}\phi_{\mathbf{k}}\mathcal{D}\phi'_{\mathbf{k}'}\rho(\phi_{\mathbf{k}},\phi'_{\mathbf{k}'})|\phi_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle\langle\phi'_{\mathbf{k}'}|,\quad(8)$$

$$\int\prod_{|\mathbf{k}|\leq\mu}\mathcal{D}\phi_{\mathbf{k}}\rho(\phi_{\mathbf{k}},\phi_{\mathbf{k}})=1.\quad(9)$$

Then, we define the scaling transformation as taking ρ to a $\tilde{\rho}$ such that,

$$\tilde{\rho}=\int\prod_{|\mathbf{k}|,|\mathbf{k}'|\leq\Lambda}\mathcal{D}\phi_{\mathbf{k}}\mathcal{D}\phi'_{\mathbf{k}'}\tilde{\rho}(\phi_{\mathbf{k}},\phi'_{\mathbf{k}'})|\phi_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle\langle\phi'_{\mathbf{k}'}|,\quad(10)$$

$$\tilde{\rho}(\phi_{\mathbf{k}},\phi'_{\mathbf{k}'})=\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\rho(\sigma^{d_\phi}\phi_{\sigma^{-1}\mathbf{k}},\sigma^{d_\phi}\phi'_{\sigma^{-1}\mathbf{k}'}),\quad(11)$$

$$\mathcal{N}=\int\prod_{|\mathbf{k}|\leq\Lambda}\mathcal{D}\phi_{\mathbf{k}}\rho(\sigma^{d_\phi}\phi_{\sigma^{-1}\mathbf{k}},\sigma^{d_\phi}\phi'_{\sigma^{-1}\mathbf{k}'}),\quad(12)$$

which is composed of the same rescalings as before with a relabeling of the momentum modes. The normalizing factor \mathcal{N} is introduced due to the scaling of fields in the path-integral measure. This definition is exactly the same as Eq. (6) whenever the density matrix elements can be defined via an effective action with $\mathcal{N}=Z_\sigma/Z$. This can be confirmed by writing Eq. (6) in the form of Eq. (11) via a change of variables.

From now on, it is important to define the theory in a box of volume V , an IR cutoff, so that the number of degrees of freedom (and integrations over field modes) is finite and the functional integrals and other quantities are well defined. With this cutoff the normalization constant becomes $\mathcal{N}\approx\sigma^{-d_\phi\mu^dV}$ as can be seen by comparing Eqs. (9) and (12) explicitly.

As discussed in Ref. [6], this scaling is ‘‘trivial’’ in the sense that all statistical properties of the state at low-momentum degrees of freedom are preserved and all original expectation values can be recovered. In a quantum system this is tantamount to the transformation being described by a unitary map; in fact, if we define the operator,

$$U\equiv\sqrt{\mathcal{N}}\int\prod_{|\mathbf{k}|\leq\mu}\mathcal{D}\phi_{\mathbf{k}}|\sigma^{-d_\phi}\phi_{\sigma\mathbf{k}}\rangle\langle\phi_{\mathbf{k}}|,\quad(13)$$

by computing the necessary integrals with both UV and IR cutoffs, it is easy to show that given U and density matrices of Eqs. (8) and (10), we have $\tilde{\rho}=U\rho U^\dagger$. Furthermore, U also obeys $UU^\dagger=U^\dagger U=\mathbb{1}$ and so the scaling transformation is indeed unitary. This can be tested, for example, by confirming the validity of the fact that the entropy of a density matrix is invariant under unitary transformations [7]. Indeed, it can be shown using the method and examples of Ref. [17], that the entropy of the matrices before and after scaling (calculated to lowest non-trivial order in perturbation theory) are equal [19].

In real space the unitarity of scaling maps is well-known. What we have shown is that this property is also present in the specific transformation used in the

momentum-space RG, which also includes scaling of field modes and, although first defined only as a manipulation of the effective action, naturally leads to a map of density matrices. Therefore, the full Wilsonian RG procedure defines a quantum channel $\rho \rightarrow \rho_{(\sigma)}$ which is the composition of a partial trace over high-momenta (map $\rho \rightarrow \rho_{\mu}$) and a unitary induced by the rescaling operation (map $\rho_{\mu} \rightarrow \rho_{(\sigma)}$). The RG flow, being a Completely Positive and Trace-Preserving process, can be described using tools such as the operator-sum representation (see Chapter 8 of Ref. [7]).

Entanglement between scales at a fixed point— To see how the information theory formulation of the RG might be valuable, we apply it to study the momentum-space entanglement in the ground states of RG fixed points. By definition, these QFTs are such that $S_{(\sigma)}[\phi_{\mathbf{k}}] = S[\phi_{\mathbf{k}}]$ no matter how many degrees of freedom are integrated over in the first step and, consequently, $\rho_{(\sigma)} = \rho = |\Omega\rangle\langle\Omega|$, meaning $\rho_{(\sigma)}$ is a pure state and so $S_{EE}(\rho_{(\sigma)}) = 0$. The entropy of interest is $S_{EE}(\rho_{\mu}) = -\text{Tr}(\rho_{\mu} \log \rho_{\mu})$, which gives the entanglement between low and high momenta. However, we showed that rescaling is a unitary and (in this context only) the inverse of the partial trace, implying,

$$S_{EE}(\rho_{\mu}) = S_{EE}(\rho_{(\sigma)}) = 0. \quad (14)$$

Thus, the ground states of theories at an RG fixed point have no entanglement between different momentum scales.

While all transformations were defined starting from an overall UV cutoff Λ , this does not restrict the validity of our result. We introduce Λ in order to regularize the theory, but scale-invariance makes the removal of the cutoff simple. Interactions are renormalized so that the theory is kept at the fixed point and the limiting procedure $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$ keeps the entanglement entropy between slow and fast modes equal to zero. As for the IR cutoff, Refs. [3, 4] explain how the finite volume acts as a relevant operator, driving the system away from the fixed point, and as pointed out in Ref. [6], the scaling transformation effectively changes the size of the box as $V \rightarrow \sigma^{-d}V$. This means that the $V \rightarrow \infty$ limit must be taken before any other when defining the theory, similarly to discussions of spontaneous symmetry breaking. This limit ensures the theory stays at a fixed point and that the Hilbert spaces before and after scaling are the same (without it, different periodic boundary conditions define different vector spaces), a necessary condition for the equation $\rho_{(\sigma)} = |\Omega\rangle\langle\Omega|$ to be meaningful. Ultimately this does not change much, as the scaling transformation is still unitary at infinite volume and the proof of Eq. (14) follows the same way, though it is important to keep the subtleties in mind.

Note that our only assumption at this point was that a fixed point exists. Hence, what we have shown is that, contrary to what may be intuitively expected, even a

strongly interacting QFT can have no entanglement in its vacuum if the theory is scale-invariant. In other words, the stringent conditions on the couplings of a fixed point automatically constrains the ground state entanglement between momentum scales to vanish. Physically, this result can be understood as follows. The entanglement entropy between scales necessarily vanishes as both $\mu \rightarrow 0$ and $\mu \rightarrow \Lambda$. This is because as $\mu \rightarrow \Lambda$, then fewer and fewer momentum degrees of freedom are being integrated out, and in this limit we are simply left with the full vacuum of the theory and henceforth, a pure state with zero entropy. We can also interpret it as the fact that when $\mu = \Lambda$ we simply have the classical action of the theory, which defines the ground state with no entropy. On the other hand, if $\mu \rightarrow 0$, we can invoke the fact that if the global state is pure, like the vacuum, the entropy after taking the partial trace is equal to the one of a density matrix of the traced out degrees of freedom [7], meaning that the entropy at $\mu = 0$ must be equal to the entropy of the ground state, which is zero.

Now, the entanglement entropy is always positive [18], so it must reach a maximum between 0 and Λ as μ is varied, but such a maximum of the entropy naturally defines a characteristic scale for a theory, since it is the momentum scale across which modes are correlated the most. Therefore, if a theory is scale-invariant, corresponding to an RG fixed point, the entanglement entropy must be constant at all scales. Since we know it vanishes both in the IR and UV extremes, it must vanish always. In this way we arrive once more at the conclusion that there must be no entanglement with respect to this partition. This general behavior of momentum-space entanglement is seen in the explicit formulas obtained in Refs. [15, 17] and is the field theory equivalent of the ‘‘Page curve’’ discussed in Sec. 3.1 of Ref. [20]. The latter is an upper-bound on the entanglement entropy generated when degrees of freedom are gradually traced out in a pure state of a finite-dimensional system.

Consequences for fixed point theories — We can derive a number of implications from the fact that there is no entanglement between momentum scales in scale-invariant QFTs. The most direct one is that the vacua of these theories are separable, i.e., it is a simple tensor product of terms labeled by the momentum scale. Writing the Hilbert space of a fixed point theory as $\mathcal{H} = \otimes_{\mu} \mathcal{H}_{\mu}$, with μ denoting the momentum scale (meaning each \mathcal{H}_{μ} contains all modes with $|\mathbf{k}| = \mu$), the vacuum must be given by $|\Omega\rangle = \otimes_{\mu} |\Omega_{\mu}\rangle$ and, due to scale symmetry, the projections of the components $|\Omega_{\mu}\rangle$ into eigenstates of field modes at same scale must obey $\langle\phi_{\mathbf{k}}|\Omega_{\mu}\rangle = \langle\sigma^{-d\phi}\phi_{\sigma\mathbf{k}}|\Omega_{\sigma\mu}\rangle$ for any real σ . Note this last equality is a direct consequence of the unitarity of the scaling transformation and that it allows the full vacuum of an RG fixed point to be reconstructed from measurements at a fixed and arbitrary scale.

Expanding on the point above, separability of the state

vector leads to connected correlation functions of observables acting on different subsystems being all equal to zero [7, 21]. Therefore all nontrivial n -point functions of the field in momentum space must be such that all momenta are at the same scale (have the same absolute value), with other expectation values factorizing into products of correlators at a fixed scale. For illustration purposes only, consider the three-point function $\langle \phi_{\mathbf{k}_1} \phi_{\mathbf{k}_2} \phi_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle$. Scale invariance implies that the expectation value of the field is zero, so in this case the truncated and normal correlation functions are the same and must obey,

$$\langle \phi_{\mathbf{k}_1} \phi_{\mathbf{k}_2} \phi_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle = 0, \quad (15)$$

unless $|\mathbf{k}_1| = |\mathbf{k}_2| = |\mathbf{k}_3|$. Note that this condition is independent of momentum conservation. This correlator still contains a delta function making $\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 = 0$.

For higher-order correlation functions, such as the even-order n -point functions in theories with $\phi \rightarrow \phi$ symmetry, constraints along the same lines may also be derived. It would be interesting to compare them to the ones imposed by scale and conformal symmetry and found in Refs. [22, 23], though in this case the authors work with correlations of any scaling operators while here we are talking about the "fundamental" field of the theory, in terms of which the former may be (formally) constructed. Nevertheless, this property of the momentum-space expectation values combined with the scaling of the field make it so that all correlations of a RG fixed point, and so all results of the theory, may be derived from those at a single scale.

It is important to make clear that in no way the lack of entanglement between momentum scales implies that theories at an RG fixed point have a trivial or completely unentangled vacuum: as mentioned before, the notion of entanglement depends on the chosen partition of the Hilbert space and separability with respect to one tensor product structure does not imply the same about other partitions. For example, in free field theories there is no entanglement in momentum space (in this case because there are no interactions between modes). Nevertheless, there is still entanglement between different regions in real space [18].

Conclusions and Outlook — We have shown that the Wilsonian RG is equivalent to a quantum channel acting on density matrices of the momentum-space degrees of freedom. Furthermore, we proved that this map is such that RG fixed points have no entanglement between momentum modes at different scales and discussed consequences of this fact.

The analysis made here can serve as starting point for other investigations, perhaps of QFTs at a phase transition instead of a fixed point. It is important to note that a field theory undergoing a second phase transition may still flow under the RG transformation, see Ref. [24].

More broadly, we can use the operator-sum decomposition to ask how specific RG flows reflect on the momentum space entanglement entropy: does it present some "critical scaling" under certain conditions? By plotting $S_{EE}(\rho_\mu)$ as a function of μ , does the graph contain universal information? And what properties of a given phase transition or crossover can be read off of such a graph?

From a mathematical point of view, while we have used the Schrödinger picture following Refs. [10, 14], this was merely a way of representing the idea that low-momentum observables of a QFT can be constructed formally via functions of the Fourier-transformed fields, thus defining the momentum-space operators for each mode \mathbf{k} . By comparison with the local algebras of observables [8], which have been important for studying entanglement in real-space [21, 25–28], it would be interesting to rigorously define the momentum-space algebras of observables without any particular representation and analyze their properties, possibly connecting with previous work in Refs. [29, 30]. In such an algebraic formalism the partial trace over fast modes becomes the restriction of the ground state to the subalgebra of low-momentum observables and the rescaling of fields and momenta translates into applying the dual map of the scaling transformation of density matrices to such subalgebra.

Finally, we may wonder what the separability in momentum space of the ground state of CFTs, specific cases of RG fixed points, implies to holography. Finding the dual in AdS space of the momentum space reduced density matrix ρ_μ is essential to tackling this question but it remains an open problem, as pointed out in Ref. [31]. Furthermore, it was shown in Ref. [32] that the intuitive idea of restricting the AdS radial coordinate corresponds to a *relativistic* Wilsonian cutoff, that is, the remaining modes must obey, in Euclidean signature, $k_0^2 + \mathbf{k}^2 \leq \Lambda$, meaning there is a constraint on each field mode which, as mentioned in Ref. [15], is absent from the tensor product structure we are working with. Nevertheless, an answer was recently proposed in Ref. [33] via a generalization of the concept of entanglement wedge to momentum space and it merits further investigation. Lastly, the description of the RG as a specific CPTP map possibly opens a path to connecting renormalization to recent discussions of circuit complexity in field theory, such as the ones in Refs. [34, 35], which have also been studied in relation to the AdS/CFT duality.

We thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) for support through the Würzburg-Dresden Cluster of Excellence on Complexity and Topology in Quantum Matter – ct.qmat (EXC 2147, Project No. 39085490) and the Collaborative Research Center SFB 1143 (project-id 247310070). M.H.M.C. was supported by Coordenação Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - CAPES, Grant No. 88887.374238/2019-00. G.K. was supported in part by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico - CNPq, Grant No.

309262/2019-4 and Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP), Grant No. 2018/25225-9.

-
- [1] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields*, Vol. 1 (Cambridge University Press, 1995).
- [2] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields*, Vol. 2 (Cambridge University Press, 1996).
- [3] J. Cardy, *Scaling and Renormalization in Statistical Physics*, Cambridge Lecture Notes in Physics (Cambridge University Press, 1996).
- [4] N. Goldenfeld, *Lectures on Phase Transitions and the Renormalization Group* (CRC Press, 1992).
- [5] K. G. Wilson and J. Kogut, The renormalization group and the ϵ expansion, *Physics Reports* **12**, 75 (1974).
- [6] S. Ma, The renormalization group and the large n limit, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **15**, 1866 (1974).
- [7] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, 2010).
- [8] R. Haag, *Local Quantum Physics: Fields, Particles, Algebras* (Springer-Verlag, 1996).
- [9] F. Verstraete, J. I. Cirac, J. I. Latorre, E. Rico, and M. M. Wolf, Renormalization-group transformations on quantum states, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 140601 (2005).
- [10] J. R. Fliss, R. G. Leigh, and O. Parrikar, Unitary networks from the exact renormalization of wave functionals, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 126001 (2017).
- [11] K. Furuya, N. Lashkari, and S. Ouseph, Real-space RG, error correction and Petz map, *JHEP* **01**, 170.
- [12] K. Furuya, N. Lashkari, and M. Moosa, *Renormalization group and approximate error correction* (2021).
- [13] T. Faulkner, T. Hartman, M. Headrick, M. Rangamani, and B. Swingle, *Snowmass white paper: Quantum information in quantum field theory and quantum gravity* (2022).
- [14] R. Jackiw, Schrodinger picture analysis of boson and fermion quantum field theories (1987).
- [15] V. Balasubramanian, M. B. McDermott, and M. Van Raamsdonk, Momentum-space entanglement and renormalization in quantum field theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 045014 (2012).
- [16] T.-C. L. Hsu, M. B. McDermott, and M. Van Raamsdonk, Momentum-space entanglement for interacting fermions at finite density, *JHEP* **11**, 121, [arXiv:1210.0054 \[hep-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1210.0054).
- [17] M. H. Martins Costa, J. van den Brink, F. S. Nogueira, and G. I. Krein, Momentum space entanglement from the wilsonian effective action, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 065024 (2022).
- [18] T. Nishioka, Entanglement entropy: Holography and renormalization group, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **90**, 035007 (2018).
- [19] See the Supplemental Material for details on this point, which also includes Ref. [36].
- [20] M. Headrick, *Lectures on entanglement entropy in field theory and holography* (2019).
- [21] M. Ohya and D. Petz, *Quantum Entropy and Its Use* (Springer-Verlag, 1993).
- [22] A. Bzowski, P. McFadden, and K. Skenderis, Implications of conformal invariance in momentum space, *JHEP* **03**, 111.
- [23] A. Bzowski, P. McFadden, and K. Skenderis, Conformal n -point functions in momentum space, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 131602 (2020).
- [24] D. E. Sheehy and J. Schmalian, Quantum critical scaling in graphene, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 226803 (2007).
- [25] D. Pontello, *Aspects of entanglement entropy in algebraic quantum field theory* (2020).
- [26] S. Hollands and J. Sanders, *Entanglement Measures and Their Properties in Quantum Field Theory* (Springer International Publishing, 2018).
- [27] J. Lin and D. Radicevic, *Comments on defining entanglement entropy* (2018).
- [28] R. M. Soni and S. P. Trivedi, Aspects of entanglement entropy for gauge theories, *J. High Energ. Phys.* **2016** (136).
- [29] D. Buchholz and R. Verch, Scaling algebras and renormalization group in algebraic quantum field theory, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **7**, 1195 (1995).
- [30] N. Lashkari, Entanglement at a Scale and Renormalization Monotones, *JHEP* **01**, 219.
- [31] V. Balasubramanian, M. Guica, and A. Lawrence, Holographic Interpretations of the Renormalization Group, *JHEP* **01**, 115.
- [32] S. Grozdanov, Wilsonian Renormalisation and the Exact Cut-Off Scale from Holographic Duality, *JHEP* **06**, 079.
- [33] A. Guijosa, Y. D. Olivas, and J. F. Pedraza, *Holographic coarse-graining: Correlators from the entanglement wedge and other reduced geometries* (2022).
- [34] R. Jefferson and R. C. Myers, Circuit complexity in quantum field theory, *JHEP* **10**, 107.
- [35] A. Bhattacharyya, P. Nandy, and A. Sinha, Renormalized circuit complexity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 101602 (2020).
- [36] F. J. Wegner, Some invariance properties of the renormalization group, *Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics* **7**, 2098 (1974).

Supplemental Material

We prove the claim in the main paper, that the von Neumann entropies before and after the scaling transformation explicitly calculated at lowest non-trivial order using the method developed in [17] are equal.

As a brief review, the method in Ref. [17] consists of calculating the Rényi entropies $H_n(\rho)$ through the relation

$$H_n(\rho) = \frac{1}{1-n} \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} (\log Z_n(\beta) - n \log Z(\beta)), \quad (\text{S.16})$$

where, given an effective action S_{eff} which generates the matrix elements of ρ , $Z(\beta)$ is the usual finite-temperature partition function and $Z_n(\beta)$ is the partition function after modifying the non-local kernels of S_{eff} in a specific manner detailed in Ref. [17].

It turns out that when starting with a free field theory and adding a perturbative interaction, a series of cancellations happen and at order $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$ in the coupling (the lowest with non-trivial results) the von Neumann and

Rényi entropies are proportional to the same contractions of Feynman diagrams appearing in the modified partition function.

Then, to show that the entropies before and after scaling are the same, we need only to prove the equality between Feynman diagram contractions. We will do so for one of the contributions, as the others follow the same argument.

Consider a contributing term to the entropy of reduced density matrix ρ_μ in perturbative $\lambda\phi^4$ theory of the form

$$\int^* d^{d-1}k d^{d-1}p d^{d-1}q \mathcal{K}_{\mu,\beta}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}; \tau, \tau') \langle \phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau) \phi_{\mathbf{k}}^*(\tau') \rangle \quad (\text{S.17})$$

corresponding to Eq. (C6) of Ref.[17], where the region of integration and form of the kernel $\mathcal{K}_{\mu,\beta}$ are specified but irrelevant to our argument and a number of Matsubara sums are suppressed.

Applying the scaling map $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow \sigma\mathbf{k}$, $\phi_{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow \sigma^{d_\phi} \phi_{\sigma\mathbf{k}}$, the associated term leading to the entropy of state $\rho_{(\sigma)}$ is

$$\int^* d^{d-1}k' d^{d-1}p d^{d-1}q \mathcal{K}_{\mu,\beta}(\sigma^{-1}\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}; \tau, \tau') \times \sigma^{1-d} \sigma^{2d_\phi} \langle \phi_{\mathbf{k}'}(\tau) \phi_{\mathbf{k}'}^*(\tau') \rangle. \quad (\text{S.18})$$

Now, this transformation is defined such that $\langle \phi_{\mathbf{k}} \phi_{\mathbf{k}}^* \rangle = \sigma^{2d_\phi} \langle \phi_{\sigma\mathbf{k}} \phi_{\sigma\mathbf{k}}^* \rangle$, see Ref. [6]. Therefore, it is easy to see that Eq. (S.18) equals to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int^* d^{d-1}k' d^{d-1}p d^{d-1}q \mathcal{K}_{\mu,\beta}(\sigma^{-1}\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}; \tau, \tau') \\ & \quad \times \sigma^{1-d} \langle \phi_{\sigma^{-1}\mathbf{k}'}(\tau) \phi_{\sigma^{-1}\mathbf{k}'}^*(\tau') \rangle = \\ & \int^* d^{d-1}k d^{d-1}p d^{d-1}q \mathcal{K}_{\mu,\beta}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}; \tau, \tau') \langle \phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau) \phi_{\mathbf{k}}^*(\tau') \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.19})$$

where the last equality is derived via a simple change of variables in the momentum \mathbf{k}' , originally one of the slow modes.

Thus, the contribution to the entropy of $\rho_{(\sigma)}$ is exactly equal to that of ρ_μ and a calculation can be done for any of the other perturbative terms leading to similar results. Therefore the total entropy is unchanged, consistent with our claim of the unitarity of the scaling transformation.

Finally, note that there was no need to specify the value of d_ϕ ; the scaling is unitary regardless of the dimension given to field $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}$. For implications of choosing a scaling dimension different from the correct one in the context of the renormalization group, see Ref. [36].