

# FINITE ABELIAN GROUPS VIA CONGRUENCES

TREVOR D. WOOLEY

ABSTRACT. For every finite abelian group  $G$ , there are positive integers  $n$  and  $d$  such that  $G$  is isomorphic to the multiplicative group of  $d$ -th powers of reduced residues modulo  $n$ .

As every beginning student in number theory learns, when  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the integers  $a$  with  $1 \leq a \leq n$  and  $(a, n) = 1$  form an abelian group when equipped with the binary operation defined by multiplication modulo  $n$ . For each positive integer  $d$ , this multiplicative group  $U_n$  of reduced residues modulo  $n$  contains the subgroup of  $d$ -th powers, namely  $U_n^{(d)} = \{a^d : a \in U_n\}$ . The goal of this note is to show that, in fact, every finite abelian group is isomorphic to one of the latter shape.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite abelian group. Then there exist positive integers  $n$  and  $d$  having the property that  $G \cong U_n^{(d)}$ .*

Standard introductions to algebra will describe the fundamental theorem of finitely generated abelian groups (see [2, Theorem 3 of §5.2]). Thus, writing  $\mathbb{Z}_r$  for the additive group of integers modulo  $r$ , each finite abelian group  $G$  is isomorphic to a direct product of the shape  $\mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_k}$ , where the integers  $m_1, \dots, m_k$  satisfy

$$m_i \geq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad m_{i+1} | m_i \quad (1 \leq i < k). \quad (1)$$

Given distinct odd prime numbers  $p_i$  with  $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{m_i}$ , say with  $p_i = 1 + m_i d_i$ , one finds that  $\mathbb{Z}_{m_i}$  is isomorphic to the group  $U_{p_i}^{(d_i)} = \langle g_i^{d_i} \rangle$ , where  $g_i$  is any primitive root modulo  $p_i$ . Writing  $n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k$ , the Chinese Remainder Theorem delivers the familiar conclusion that  $G$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $U_n$ . Thus, one has

$$G \cong U_{p_1}^{(d_1)} \times U_{p_2}^{(d_2)} \times \cdots \times U_{p_k}^{(d_k)}.$$

Although it is comforting to note that every finite abelian group is isomorphic to a subgroup of the multiplicative group of residues modulo  $n$ , for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the need to work with a collection of exponents  $d_1, \dots, d_k$  corresponding to the prime divisors of  $n$  is somewhat inelegant. The primary motivation for establishing our theorem is to provide a self-contained description of the abelian group  $G$  with only a pair of integers.

Our goal, of identifying a simple congruence-based realization of the abelian group  $G$  of the shape  $U_n^{(d)}$ , would follow were one able to find distinct primes

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20K01, 11A07.

*Key words and phrases.* Finite abelian groups, reduced residues,  $d$ -th powers.

$p_1, \dots, p_k$  corresponding to a common value  $d$  for the  $d_i$ . Indeed, it would then follow as a consequence of the Chinese Remainder Theorem that

$$G \cong U_{p_1}^{(d)} \times U_{p_2}^{(d)} \times \dots \times U_{p_k}^{(d)} \cong U_n^{(d)}.$$

This approach relies on a special case of Dickson's conjecture (see [1]). When  $k \geq 1$ , this as yet unproven conjecture asserts that given  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$ , there are infinitely many positive integers  $d$  for which the  $k$ -tuple  $(m_1d + a_1, \dots, m_kd + a_k)$  consists of prime numbers, unless there is a congruence condition preventing such from occurring. In the case presently of interest to us, in which  $a_i = 1$  for each  $i$ , congruence obstructions are absent, though the conjecture has been established only in the case  $k = 1$  (a special case of Dirichlet's theorem, for which see [5, Corollary 4.10]). The challenge of obtaining an unconditional conclusion requires careful selection of arithmetic progressions in which to search for the primes  $p_i$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose that the integers  $m_1, \dots, m_k$  satisfy the condition (1). Then there are distinct prime numbers  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  satisfying the congruences*

$$p_i \equiv 1 + m_1m_i \pmod{m_1^2m_i} \quad (1 \leq i \leq k).$$

*Proof.* Dirichlet's theorem on prime numbers in arithmetic progressions (see [5, Corollary 4.10]) shows that for each  $i$ , there are infinitely many primes  $p$  with  $p \equiv 1 + m_1m_i \pmod{m_1^2m_i}$ , since it is evident that  $(1 + m_1m_i, m_1^2m_i) = 1$ . The desired conclusion is immediate.  $\square$

*The proof of the theorem.* Let  $G$  be a finite abelian group, whence there exist integers  $m_1, \dots, m_k$  satisfying the condition (1) for which  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_k}$ . Given distinct prime numbers  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  supplied by the lemma, define the integers  $u_i$  via the relation  $p_i - 1 = m_1m_i(1 + m_1u_i)$ , and then write  $y_i = 1 + m_1u_i$ . Also, put  $d = m_1y_1 \cdots y_k$ . Since  $p_i - 1 = m_1m_iy_i$ , we see that for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , one has  $(p_i - 1, d) = m_1y_iD_i$ , where  $D_i$  is the greatest common divisor of  $m_i$  and

$$\prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ j \neq i}} (1 + m_1u_j).$$

Since  $m_i | m_1$ , this greatest common divisor is plainly 1, and so  $(p_i - 1, d) = m_1y_i$ , yielding  $(p_i - 1)/(p_i - 1, d) = m_i$ . Thus  $U_{p_i}^{(d)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_i}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ). Consequently, if we put  $n = p_1p_2 \cdots p_k$ , then it follows from the Chinese Remainder Theorem that

$$\mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_k} \cong U_{p_1}^{(d)} \times U_{p_2}^{(d)} \times \dots \times U_{p_k}^{(d)} \cong U_n^{(d)},$$

whence  $G \cong U_n^{(d)}$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

The proof of our theorem is more or less algorithmic, in the sense that it shows how to determine the integers  $n$  and  $d$  from a standard presentation of the finite abelian group  $G$ . Bounds on these integers may be given in terms of the order  $|G|$  of the abelian group  $G$  of interest by using technology associated with Linnik's theorem [3, 4]. The latter shows that there is a positive number  $L$  having the property that when  $m$  and  $a$  are integers with  $m \geq 2$

and  $(a, m) = 1$ , then the smallest prime number  $p$  satisfying  $p \equiv a \pmod{m}$  satisfies  $p \leq m^L$ . In particular, Xylouris [6] has shown that such a prime exists with  $p \leq Cm^{5.18}$ , for a suitable positive constant  $C$ . By employing such results, it would be possible to show that both  $n$  and  $d$  can be taken no larger than  $\exp(c(\log |G|)^2)$ , for a suitable positive constant  $c$ , though less profligate bounds might well be accessible.

Plucking the example of the abelian group  $G = \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_{42}$  almost from thin air, we may illustrate the relative inefficiency of the method underlying our theorem. The smallest positive integer  $d$  satisfying the property that  $3d + 1$  and  $42d + 1$  are simultaneously prime is  $d = 10$ , so that

$$\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_{42} \cong U_{31}^{(10)} \times U_{421}^{(10)} \cong U_{13051}^{(10)}.$$

Smaller realizations are given by

$$\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_{42} \cong U_7^{(8)} \times U_{337}^{(8)} \cong U_{2359}^{(8)}$$

and

$$\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_{42} \cong \mathbb{Z}_6 \times \mathbb{Z}_{21} \cong U_{13}^{(2)} \times U_{43}^{(2)} \cong U_{559}^{(2)}.$$

Meanwhile, the approach suggested by the proof of our theorem asks that we seek one prime congruent to  $1 + 3 \cdot 42 = 127$  modulo  $3 \cdot 42^2$ , and a second congruent to  $1 + 42^2$  modulo  $42^3$ . We find that 127 and  $1 + 42^2 + 42^3 = 75853$  are the smallest such primes. We then take  $n = 127 \cdot 75853 = 9633331$  and  $d = 42 \cdot 1 \cdot 43 = 1806$ . Thus, the realization of  $G$  suggested by the proof of our theorem is

$$\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_{42} \cong U_{127}^{(1806)} \times U_{75853}^{(1806)} \cong U_{9633331}^{(1806)}.$$

**Acknowledgment:** The author's work is supported by NSF grants DMS-1854398 and DMS-2001549. The author is grateful to the referees for their valuable suggestions and comments.

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. E. Dickson, *A new extension of Dirichlet's theorem on prime numbers*, Messenger of Math. **33** (1904), 155–161.
- [2] D. S. Dummit and R. M. Foote, *Abstract algebra*, 3rd ed., Wiley, Hoboken, NJ, 2004.
- [3] Yu. V. Linnik, *On the least prime in an arithmetic progression I. The basic theorem*, Rec. Math. [Mat. Sbornik] N.S. **15(57)** (1944), no. 2, 139–178.
- [4] Yu. V. Linnik, *On the least prime in an arithmetic progression II. The Deuring-Heilbronn phenomenon*, Rec. Math. [Mat. Sbornik] N.S. **15(57)** (1944), no. 3, 347–368.
- [5] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, *Multiplicative number theory I. Classical theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **97**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [6] T. Xylouris, *On the least prime in an arithmetic progression and estimates for the zeros of Dirichlet L-functions*, Acta Arith. **150** (2011), no. 1, 65–91.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, 150 N. UNIVERSITY STREET,  
WEST LAFAYETTE, IN 47907-2067, USA

Email address: twooley@purdue.edu