

Parabolic Simple \mathcal{L} -invariants

By Yiqin He at Beijing

Abstract. Let L be a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_p . Let ρ_L be a potentially semi-stable non-crystalline p -adic Galois representation such that the associated F -semisimple Weil-Deligne representation is absolutely indecomposable. In this paper, we study Fontaine-Mazur parabolic simple \mathcal{L} -invariants of ρ_L , which was previously only known in the trianguline case. Based on the previous work [34] on Breuil's parabolic simple \mathcal{L} -invariants, we attach to ρ_L a locally \mathbf{Q}_p -analytic representation $\Pi(\rho_L)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_n(L)$, which carries the information of parabolic simple \mathcal{L} -invariants of ρ_L . When ρ_L comes from a patched automorphic representation of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+})$ (for a define unitary group \mathbf{G} over a totally real field F^+ which is compact at infinite places and GL_n at p -adic places), we prove under mild hypothesis that $\Pi(\rho_L)$ is a subrepresentation of the associated Hecke-isotypic subspace of the Banach spaces of (patched) p -adic automorphic forms on $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+})$, this is equivalent to say that the Breuil's parabolic simple \mathcal{L} -invariants are equal to Fontaine-Mazur parabolic simple \mathcal{L} -invariants.

Contents

1. Introduction and notation
 - 1.1. Statements of the main results
 - 1.2. Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.3
 - 1.3. General notation
2. Parabolic simple \mathcal{L} -invariants
 - 2.1. Background and Preliminaries
 - 2.2. (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}$ with non-critical special $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration
 - 2.3. Deformation of type $\Omega_{[1,k]}$
 - 2.4. Parabolic Fontaine-Mazur simple \mathcal{L} -invariants
 - 2.5. Colmez-Greenberg-Stevens formula
3. Summary of certain locally \mathbf{Q}_p -analytic representations
 - 3.1. General constructions
 - 3.2. A subcandidate in the locally analytic \mathbf{Q}_p -adic local Langlands program
4. Local-global compatibility
 - 4.1. Patched Bernstein eigenvarieties and Bernstein parabolic varieties
 - 4.2. Non-critical special point
 - 4.3. Accumulation property at non-critical special point
 - 4.4. Smoothness of non-critical special point
 - 4.5. Local-global compatibility and the main theorem

References

This work is funded by the NSFC Grant NO. 8200905010 and NO. 8200800065.
Conflicts of interest statement: All authors disclosed no relevant relationships.
Data Availability statement: Data openly available in a public repository.

1. Introduction and notation

This paper aims to investigate the p -adic aspects of the Langlands program. The p -adic Langlands correspondence seeks to relate p -adic Galois representations to Banach space representations (or locally analytic representations) of p -adic reductive groups.

Associating admissible continuous unitary p -adic Banach space representation $\Pi(\rho_p)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ to two-dimensional continuous representation $\rho_p : \mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(E)$, was first proposed by Breuil for those ρ_p that are potentially semistable with distinct Hodge-Tate weight [7], [8]. Through the work of many people, Breuil's conjectured correspondence or the p -adic Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ (and its p -adic local-global compatibility) have been achieved largely by the the fundamental work of Colmez [24] and Emerton [32]. The current focus of research is on higher-dimensional representations and on base fields other than the field \mathbb{Q}_p . The case $n \geq 3$ is much more difficult and only a few partial results are known.

If the ρ_p in the above Breuil's consideration is genuinely irreducible semistabelline, Breuil proposed that $\Pi(\rho_p)$ should be a certain explicit completion of the locally algebraic representation $\Pi(\rho_p)_{\mathrm{alg}}$ depending on the \mathcal{L} -invariant $\mathcal{L}(\rho_p)$ of ρ_p . This \mathcal{L} -invariant can be read off explicitly from an admissible Hodge filtration on some linear algebra data via Fontaine's theory.

But the terminology " \mathcal{L} -invariant(s)" has a long history. The study of \mathcal{L} -invariants started with the work of Mazur-Tate-Teitelbaum, in which the \mathcal{L} -invariant $\mathcal{L}(f)$ describes the derivative of the p -adic L -function (for a certain modular form f) at its exceptional zero. If we write ρ_f for the $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ -representation attached to f via Langlands correspondence. Then the derivative $\mathcal{L}(f)$ can be read off explicitly from Fontaine-Mazur \mathcal{L} -invariant of $\rho_{f,p} := \rho_f|_{\mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$. There were later several other definitions of \mathcal{L} -invariants via different approaches. Through the work of many people, these \mathcal{L} -invariants turned out to be all the same in the modular form case.

Among many different definitions of the \mathcal{L} -invariant(s) $\mathcal{L}(f)$, Breuil constructs an explicit finite length locally analytic representation $\Pi_{f,p}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ whose isomorphism class recovers $\mathcal{L}(f)$. Furthermore, he shows that $\Pi_{f,p}$ can be embedded into the f -isotypic component of completed cohomology of modular curves (we usually use the term *Breuil's \mathcal{L} -invariants* for invariants defined in terms of Breuil's constructions). The second result is usually called (p -adic) local-global compatibility. Therefore, the construction of Breuil's \mathcal{L} -invariants is actually one of the first instances of the p -adic Langlands program (for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$).

In general, the philosophy of the p -adic local Langlands program, which goes back to Breuil's initial ideals on \mathcal{L} -invariants, gives two problems:

- (I) To find the information of p -adic Galois representations in p -adic automorphic representations. More precisely, we need to find the missing information of ρ_L when passing from an n -dimensional de Rham p -adic Galois representation ρ_L to its associated Weil-Deligne representation, on the automorphic side, e.g., in the Banach representations or locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(L)$. The lost information (besides the Hodge-Tate weights) of p -adic Galois representations can be concretely described via Fontaine-Mazur \mathcal{L} -invariants.
- (II) More precisely, to seek generalizations of Breuil's \mathcal{L} -invariants to $\mathrm{GL}_n(L)$, i.e., to recover all Fontaine-Mazur \mathcal{L} -invariants of ρ_L from a certain locally analytic representation $\Sigma(\rho_L)$.

In [26], Yiwen Ding studies this problem and extends the theory of \mathcal{L} -invariants to higher-rank groups. He generalizes Breuil's approach (see [9]) to patched p -adic automorphic forms on certain definite unitary groups, and defines what he calls Breuil's simple \mathcal{L} -invariants and shows that they are equal

to Fontaine-Mazur \mathcal{L} -invariants of two-dimensional subquotients of the associated semi-stable non-crystalline (trianguline) local Galois representation (or of the associated (φ, Γ) -module).

While the trianguline p -adic Galois representations are studied widely, there are fewer examples of results for the non-trianguline p -adic Galois representations. In this paper, we extend the theory of Fontaine-Mazur simple \mathcal{L} -invariants and Breuil's simple \mathcal{L} -invariants to certain potentially semi-stable non-crystalline (not necessarily trianguline) Galois representation ρ_L such that the associated smooth representation is given by the Zelevinsky-segment. We first define *parabolic Fontaine-Mazur simple \mathcal{L} -invariants* for this special p -adic Galois representation ρ_L . On the other hand, we have studied *parabolic Breuil's simple \mathcal{L} -invariants* in [34]. They are Ext-groups between some locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic generalized *parabolic* Steinberg representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(L)$ attached to a Zelevinsky-segment.

Finally, we establish some local-global compatibility results, i.e., the correspondence between these two parabolic simple \mathcal{L} -invariants can be realized in the p -adic completed cohomology of some Shimura varieties (especially, in the space of p -adic automorphic forms on certain definite unitary group). Our results were previously only known in the trianguline case. We prove this result by using the geometry of Bernstein eigenvarieties, which were developed by Christophe Breuil and Yiwen Ding [12] (since the non-trianguline p -adic Galois representations do not occur in the "classical" theory of eigenvarieties, we need the framework of Bernstein eigenvarieties). In [12], the authors use the geometry of these Bernstein eigenvarieties to obtain various local-global compatibility results in the *generic non-trianguline* case. Note that our local-global compatibility results lie in the *non-generic non-trianguline* case. This is different from that in [12].

Our result coincides with the work of Ding [26] when our potentially semi-stable non-crystalline Galois representation ρ_L is collapsed to the trianguline case. This paper gives a parabolic generalization of Ding's work [26], and gives new evidence for the p -adic Langlands correspondence. We sketch the main results of this paper as follows.

1.1. Statements of the main results. Let L (resp. E) be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . Suppose that E is sufficiently large containing all the embeddings $\Sigma_L := \{\sigma : L \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}\}$ of L in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Put $q_L := p^{f_L}$, where f_L denotes the unramified degree of L over \mathbb{Q}_p .

Fix two integers k and r such that $n = kr$. Let $\rho_L : \mathrm{Gal}_L \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(E)$ be a potentially semi-stable non-crystalline p -adic Galois representation. Let $\mathbf{D} = D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho_L)$ be the (φ, Γ) -module of rank n over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}$ associated to the ρ_L . Let $\mathbf{h} := (\mathbf{h}_{\tau,1} > \mathbf{h}_{\tau,2} > \cdots > \mathbf{h}_{\tau,n})_{\tau \in \Sigma_L}$ be the Hodge-Tate weights of \mathbf{D} . We put $\mathbf{h}_i = (\mathbf{h}_{\tau,i})_{\tau \in \Sigma_L}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Let \mathbf{WD}_0 be an r -dimensional (absolutely) irreducible Weil-Deligne representation of the Weil group W_L over E . Let Δ be the p -adic differential equation associated to \mathbf{WD}_0 . Recall from [4] that Δ is an irreducible (φ, Γ) -module of rank r over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}$ which is de Rham of constant Hodge-Tate weight 0 such that $D_{\mathrm{pst}}(\Delta)$ (forgetting the Hodge filtration) is isomorphic to the (absolutely) irreducible Deligne-Fontaine module associated by Fontaine to \mathbf{WD}_0 [19, Proposition 4.1].

Assume that the Galois representation ρ_L admits the following non-critical special parabolization (more precisely, the so-called *non-critical special $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration*, see Definitions 2.2 and 2.4 for more precise statements). This is a parabolic analogue of triangulation.

We say that ρ_L admits a non-critical special parabolization \mathcal{F} if \mathbf{D} admits an increasing filtration by saturated (φ, Γ) -submodules

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathrm{Fil}_{\bullet}^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D} : 0 = \mathrm{Fil}_0^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D} \subsetneq \mathrm{Fil}_1^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathrm{Fil}_k^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D},$$

such that for $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have an injection of (φ, Γ) -modules over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}$ of rank r

$$(1.1) \quad \mathbf{I}_i : \mathrm{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D} := \mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D} / \mathrm{Fil}_{i-1}^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D} \hookrightarrow \Delta \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E,L}(\mathrm{unr}(\alpha q_L^{i-k}) z^{\mathbf{h}_{ir}}),$$

for some $\alpha \in E^\times$, where $z^{\mathbf{h}_{ir}} := \prod_{\tau \in \Sigma_L} \tau(z)^{\mathbf{h}_{\tau,ir}}$. Moreover, we assume that the Hodge-Tate weights of $\mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D}$ (resp., $\mathrm{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D}$) are given by (i.e., the so-called non-critical assumption)

$$\{\mathbf{h}_{\tau,1}, \mathbf{h}_{\tau,2}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{\tau,ir}\}_{\tau \in \Sigma_L} \quad (\text{resp., } \{\mathbf{h}_{\tau,(i-1)r+1}, \mathbf{h}_{\tau,(i-1)r+2}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{\tau,ir}\}_{\tau \in \Sigma_L}).$$

Suppose that the F -semi-simple Weil-Deligne representation $\mathrm{WD}(\mathbf{D})^{F-\mathrm{ss}}$ associated to \mathbf{D} is (absolutely) indecomposable, i.e., $\mathrm{WD}(\mathbf{D})^{F-\mathrm{ss}} \cong (r_L, N)$ (up to some unramified twist), where the underlying representation r_L is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathbf{WD}_0 \cdot | \cdot |_L^{k-i}$ and the monodromy operator N is of full rank (i.e., $N^{k-1} \neq 0$).

Note that the parameters of our non-critical special parabolization \mathcal{F} are *not generic*, which is different from the generic assumption in [12, (4.13)]. See Remark 2.6 and Remark 4.12 for some statements on the difference between these two cases.

Parabolic Fontaine-Mazur simple \mathcal{L} -invariants. Keep the assumptions on ρ_L . Then we can attach to ρ_L the parabolic Fontaine-Mazur simple \mathcal{L} -invariants $\mathcal{L}(\rho_L)$. We sketch the constructions of $\mathcal{L}(\rho_L)$.

The parabolic simple \mathcal{L} -invariants contain certain information on the consecutive extensions \mathbf{D}_i^{i+1} of $\mathrm{gr}_{i+1}^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D}$ by $\mathrm{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ inside \mathbf{D} (which we identify the set $\Delta_k := \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ with the set of simple roots $\Delta_n(k) := \{r, 2r, \dots, (k-1)r\}$ of GL_n).

For $1 \leq i \leq k$, we put $\delta_{\mathbf{h},i} := \mathrm{unr}(q_L^{i-k}) z^{\mathbf{h}_{ir}}$. Using the injections \mathbf{I}_i and \mathbf{I}_{i+1} (see (1.1)), we can construct a non-degenerate pairing (but maybe not perfect):

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathrm{gr}_{i+1}^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D}, \mathrm{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D}) &\times \mathrm{Hom}(L^\times, E) (\cong H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathcal{R}_{E,L})) \\ &\xrightarrow{\cup} E (\cong \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathcal{R}_{E,L}(\delta_{\mathbf{h},i} \delta_{\mathbf{h},i+1}^{-1}))) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{Hom}(L^\times, E)$ denotes the $d_L + 1$ -dimensional E -vector space of E -valued additive characters on L^\times . The extension \mathbf{D}_i^{i+1} gives an extension class $[\mathbf{D}_i^{i+1}] \in \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathrm{gr}_{i+1}^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D}, \mathrm{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D})$. We let

$$\mathcal{L}(\rho_L)_{ir} \subset \mathrm{Hom}(L^\times, E)$$

be the E -vector subspace orthogonal to $[\mathbf{D}_i^{i+1}]$ via the non-degenerate pairing (1.2), which is a d_L -dimensional E -vector space. We put $\mathcal{L}(\rho_L) := \{\mathcal{L}(\rho_L)_{ir}\}_{1 \leq i \leq k-1}$, which we call the *parabolic Fontaine-Mazur simple \mathcal{L} -invariants* of ρ_L . On the other hand, these invariants also characterize obstructions to certain 1-order deformations of \mathbf{D} , see Theorem 2.17 (that we call Colmez-Greenberg-Stevens formula) for more precise statements.

These simple \mathcal{L} -invariants were previously only known in the trianguline case. When $r = 1$ (i.e., the trianguline case), our parabolic Fontaine-Mazur simple \mathcal{L} -invariants coincide with the Fontaine-Mazur simple \mathcal{L} -invariants in [26, Page 7994].

Parabolic Breuil's simple \mathcal{L} -invariants [34]. Let Δ_n be the set of simple roots of GL_n (with respect to the Borel subgroup \mathbf{B} of upper triangular matrices), and we identify the set Δ_n with the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. Let \mathbf{T} be the torus of diagonal matrices. We put $\Delta_n(k) := \{r, 2r, \dots, (k-1)r\} \subseteq \Delta_n$

and $\Delta_n^k := \Delta_n \setminus \Delta_n(k)$. For a subset $I \subseteq \Delta_n(k)$, we denote by $\mathbf{P}_I^{(r)}$ the parabolic subgroup of GL_n containing the Borel subgroup \mathbf{B} such that $\Delta_n(k) \setminus I$ are precisely the simple roots of the unipotent radical of $\mathbf{P}_I^{(r)}$. Let $\mathbf{L}_I^{(r)}$ be the Levi subgroup of $\mathbf{P}_I^{(r)}$ containing the group \mathbf{T} such that $I \cup \Delta_n^k$ is equal to the set of simple roots of $\mathbf{L}_I^{(r)}$. Let $\overline{\mathbf{P}}_I^{(r)}$ be the parabolic subgroup opposite to $\mathbf{P}_I^{(r)}$. In particular, we have

$$\mathbf{L}^{(r)} := \mathbf{L}_\emptyset^{(r)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{GL}_r & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{GL}_r & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{GL}_r \end{pmatrix} \subset \mathbf{P}^{(r)} := \mathbf{P}_\emptyset^{(r)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{GL}_r & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & \mathrm{GL}_r & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{GL}_r \end{pmatrix}.$$

For simplicity, if $I = \{ir\}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, we put $\mathbf{L}_{ir}^{(r)} := \mathbf{L}_{\{ir\}}^{(r)}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{P}}_{ir}^{(r)} := \overline{\mathbf{P}}_{\{ir\}}^{(r)}$. For $\alpha \in E^\times$, we denote by $\mathrm{unr}(\alpha)$ the unramified character of L^\times sending uniformizers to α .

Recall the (absolutely) irreducible Weil-Deligne representation \mathbf{WD}_0 . Let π be the associated irreducible cuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_r(L)$ over E via the classical local Langlands correspondence (normalized as in [45]). Following [46], we consider the Zelevinsky-segment

$$\Delta_{[k-1,0]}(\pi) := \pi | \det |_L^{r-1} \otimes_E \cdots \otimes_E \pi | \det |_L \otimes_E \pi,$$

which forms an irreducible cuspidal smooth representation of $\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)$ over E .

Put $\lambda_{\mathbf{h}} := (\mathbf{h}_{\tau,i} + i - 1)_{\tau \in \Sigma_L, 1 \leq i \leq n}$, which is a dominant weight of $(\mathrm{Res}_{L/\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{GL}_n) \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ with respect to $(\mathrm{Res}_{L/\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbf{B}) \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$. Let $\{v_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}_I^{(r)}}^{\mathrm{an}}(\pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})\}_{I \subseteq \Delta_n(k)}$ (resp., $\{v_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}_I^{(r)}}^\infty(\pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})\}_{I \subseteq \Delta_n(k)}$) be the locally \mathbf{Q}_p -analytic (resp., locally \mathbf{Q}_p -algebraic) generalized parabolic Steinberg representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(L)$ (see Section 3). In particular, we denote by

$$\mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) := v_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}_\emptyset^{(r)}}^\infty(\pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \text{ (resp., } \mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^{\mathrm{an}}(\pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) := v_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}_\emptyset^{(r)}}^{\mathrm{an}}(\pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}))$$

the locally algebraic (resp., locally \mathbf{Q}_p -analytic) parabolic Steinberg representation. Recall that the smooth Steinberg representation $\mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\pi, \underline{0})$ is the irreducible smooth representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(L)$ associated to the F -semi-simple Weil-Deligne representation $\mathrm{WD}(\rho_L)^{F-\mathrm{ss}}$ via the normalized local Langlands correspondence (see [45]), up to some unramified twist. For $\alpha \in E^\times$ and $* \in \{\infty, \mathrm{an}\}$, let $\mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^*(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) := \mathrm{unr}(\alpha) \circ \det \otimes_E \mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^*(\pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})$ and $v_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}_I^{(r)}}^*(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) := \mathrm{unr}(\alpha) \circ \det \otimes_E v_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}_I^{(r)}}^*(\pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})$.

The main result of the paper [34, Theorem 5.19] is to compute the extension groups of locally \mathbf{Q}_p -analytic generalized parabolic Steinberg representations. This theorem implies that we can see the counterpart of parabolic Fontaine-Mazur simple \mathcal{L} -invariants in certain locally analytic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(L)$ (or see (3.4), where we refer to as parabolic Breuil's simple \mathcal{L} -invariants).

Theorem 1.1. *For $ir \in \Delta_n(k)$, there exists an isomorphism of E -vector spaces*

$$(1.3) \quad \mathrm{Hom}(L^\times, E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(L)}^1(v_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}_{ir}^{(r)}}^\infty(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}), \mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^{\mathrm{an}}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})).$$

In particular, we have $\dim_E \mathrm{Ext}_G^1(v_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}_{ir}^{(r)}}^\infty(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}), \mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^{\mathrm{an}}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})) = d_L + 1$. Let $\widetilde{\Sigma}_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}, \psi)$ be the image of $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}(L^\times, E)$ via (1.3).

For $ir \in \Delta_n(k)$, let V_i be an E -vector subspace of $\text{Hom}(L^\times, E)$ of dimension d_L , and let $V = \prod_{i=1}^k V_i$. The above theorem gives the following constructions.

We will construct locally \mathbf{Q}_p -analytic representations $\tilde{\Sigma}_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h, V_i)$ (resp., $\tilde{\Sigma}^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h, V)$) of $\text{GL}_n(L)$, such that $\tilde{\Sigma}_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h, \mathcal{L}(\rho_L)_{ir})$ (resp., $\tilde{\Sigma}^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h, V)$) is isomorphic to an extension of $v_{\mathbf{P}_{ir}^{(r)}}^\infty(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h)^{\oplus d_L}$ (resp., $\bigoplus_{ir \in \Delta_n(k)} v_{\mathbf{P}_{ir}^{(r)}}^\infty(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h)^{\oplus d_L}$) by $\text{St}_{(r,k)}^{\text{an}}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h)$. We then construct certain subrepresentations (which have a simpler and more clear structure) $\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h, V_i)$ of the above $\tilde{\Sigma}_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h, V_i)$ (resp., $\Sigma^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h, V)$ of $\tilde{\Sigma}^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h, V)$), which is isomorphic to an extension of $v_{\mathbf{P}_{ir}^{(r)}}^\infty(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h)^{\oplus d_L}$ (resp., $\bigoplus_{ir \in \Delta_n(k)} v_{\mathbf{P}_{ir}^{(r)}}^\infty(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h)^{\oplus d_L}$) by some subrepresentations of $\text{St}_{(r,k)}^{\text{an}}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h)$ (see Section 3, (3.7)). When V is equal to the parabolic simple \mathcal{L} -invariants $\mathcal{L}(\rho_L)$, the locally \mathbf{Q}_p -analytic representations $\tilde{\Sigma}^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h, \mathcal{L}(\rho_L))$ and $\Sigma^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h, \mathcal{L}(\rho_L))$ carry the exact information of $\{\pi, \lambda_h, \mathcal{L}(\rho_L)\}$, i.e., the information on the Weil-Deligne representation associated with ρ_L , the Hodge-Tate weights of ρ_L , and the parabolic simple \mathcal{L} -invariants $\mathcal{L}(\rho_L)$ of ρ_L .

Remark 1.2. *The above two (parabolic) simple \mathcal{L} -invariants are extension parameters at simple roots of GL_n . We also have concepts of higher \mathcal{L} -invariants, which are extension parameters at non-simple roots of GL_n . The next goal is to explore generalizations of Breuil's \mathcal{L} -invariants that conjecturally correspond to Fontaine-Mazur \mathcal{L} -invariants (or parabolic Fontaine-Mazur \mathcal{L} -invariants) at non-simple roots. See [42], [13], [6], and [43] for some partial results.*

Local-global compatibility. In this paper, we prove some new local-global compatibility results in the p -adic Langlands program by studying the geometry of the patched Bernstein eigenvarieties and Bernstein parabolic varieties [12].

By [21] (hence we also assume the so-called ‘standard Talyor-Wiles assumptions’), we have a certain definite unitary group \mathbf{G} over F^+ , where F^+ is a maximal totally real subfield of an imaginary CM field. Let \mathfrak{p} be a p -adic place of F^+ . Let $L = F_{\mathfrak{p}}^+$. We then have a continuous Banach representation Π_∞ of $G = \text{GL}_n(L)$, which is equipped with a continuous action of certain patched Galois deformation ring R_∞ commuting with the G -action. The module Π_∞ patches the p -adic automorphic forms on \mathbf{G} . See Section 4.1 for a summary.

Fix the above smooth representation π of $\text{GL}_r(L)$ over E (see the beginning of the previous part). Let $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ be the cuspidal Bernstein component of $\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)$ containing the Zelevinsky-segment $\Delta_{[k-1,0]}(\pi) = [\pi \otimes |\det|_L^{k-1}, \dots, \pi]$. In Section 4.1, we adapt the arguments in [12, Section 3.3, Section 4.2] to our case. We define a patched Bernstein eigenvariety $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_h}^\infty(\bar{\rho})$ concerning the cuspidal Bernstein component $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ and weight λ_h , where $\bar{\rho}$ is a certain global residue Galois representations, which is a suitable globalization of a certain local residue Galois representation $\bar{r} : \text{Gal}_L \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(k_E)$, where k_E is the residue field of E .

Suppose that the above p -adic Galois representation ρ_L appears on the patched Bernstein eigenvariety $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_h}^\infty(\bar{\rho})$ (see Section 4.2 for a more precise statement). In this case, we can associate with ρ_L the parabolic simple \mathcal{L} -invariants $\mathcal{L}(\rho_L)$ and an admissible unitary Banach representation $\hat{\Pi}(\rho_L)$ of G (see [21], where the method is global). Moreover, we get an injection (by a parabolic analogue of the so-called global triangulation theory; see Lemma 4.13) of G -representations

$$\text{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h) \hookrightarrow \hat{\Pi}(\rho_L)$$

for some $\alpha \in E^\times$. The following theorem is the second main result of the paper (see Theorem 4.18).

Theorem 1.3. *The injection $\mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h) \hookrightarrow \widehat{\Pi}(\rho_L)$ extends uniquely to an injection of G -representations*

$$\Sigma^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h, \mathcal{L}(\rho_L)) \hookrightarrow \widehat{\Pi}(\rho_L).$$

Furthermore, let $0 \neq \psi \in \mathrm{Hom}(L^\times, E)$ and $ir \in \Delta_n(k)$, an injection

$$f : \mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h) \hookrightarrow \widehat{\Pi}(\rho_L)$$

can extend to an injection $\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h, \psi) \hookrightarrow \widehat{\Pi}(\rho_L)$ if and only if $\psi \in \mathcal{L}(\rho_L)_{ir}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{L}(\rho_L)$ can be read out from $\widehat{\Pi}(\rho_L)$.

Indeed, one can prove that the injection $\mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h) \hookrightarrow \widehat{\Pi}(\rho_L)$ extends uniquely to a non-zero morphism of G -representations

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h, \mathcal{L}(\rho_L)) \rightarrow \widehat{\Pi}(\rho_L),$$

if $\mathrm{soc}_G(\mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^{\mathrm{an}}(\pi, \underline{\lambda})) \cong \mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\pi, \underline{\lambda})$ (this is true for $(r, k) = (2, 2)$, the general case is not known at present), then we can prove that this non-zero morphism is also injective.

1.2. Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.3. The proof follows along the line of [26, Page 7996-7997]. We only show the existence of an injection $\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h, \psi) \hookrightarrow \widehat{\Pi}(\rho_L)$ if $\psi \in \mathcal{L}(\rho_L)_{ir}$.

We first need a result on certain deformation of type $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ of ρ_L . Let $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0$ denote the functor on Art_E (the category of Artinian local E -algebras with residue field E) “parameterizing deformations of \mathbf{D} which admit certain $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration”. The definition of $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0$ gives a natural map

$$d\kappa : F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(L), E) \cong \prod_{i=1}^k \mathrm{Hom}(L^\times, E).$$

Consider the following composition:

$$(1.4) \quad \kappa : F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \xrightarrow{d\kappa} \prod_{i=1}^k \mathrm{Hom}(L^\times, E) \xrightarrow{\kappa_L} \prod_{ir \in \Delta_n(k)} \mathrm{Hom}(L^\times, E),$$

where the second map κ_L sends $(\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_k)$ to $(\psi_i - \psi_{i+1})_{ir \in \Delta_n(k)}$. We get the following proposition (see Corollary 2.19 and Proposition 2.24) by the Galois cohomologies argument.

Proposition 1.4. *The functor $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0$ is pro-representable. The tangent space $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$ has E -dimension $1 + d_L\left(k + \frac{n(n-r)}{2}\right)$. The map κ (1.4) factors through a surjective map*

$$\kappa : F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\rho_L).$$

Recall that the patched Galois deformation ring R_∞ admits a decomposition $R_\infty \cong R_\infty^{\mathfrak{p}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_E} R_{\overline{\tau}}^\square$, where $R_\infty^{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the “prime-to- \mathfrak{p} ” part of R_∞ and $R_{\overline{\tau}}^\square$ is the maximal reduced and p -torsion free quotient of the universal \mathcal{O}_E -lifting ring of $\overline{\tau}$. Let $\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{\tau}}^\square = (\mathrm{Spf} R_{\overline{\tau}}^\square)^{\mathrm{rig}}$ be the rigid analytic space associated to the formal scheme $\mathrm{Spf} R_{\overline{\tau}}^\square$. Let $\mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}$ be the Bernstein center of $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ over E . By an easy variation of

[12, Section 3.3] (see Section 4.1 for a brief summary), we can construct the so-called patched Bernstein eigenvariety

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho}) \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{Spf} R_{\infty}^{\mathfrak{p}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\square} \times (\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}$$

from the Jacquet-Emerton module $J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\mathrm{an}})$, where $\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\mathrm{an}}$ denotes the locally R_{∞} -analytic vectors in Π_{∞} (see [16, Section 3.1]), $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}$ denotes the rigid space over E parameterizing continuous characters of the center $\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(L)$ of $\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)$. By an easy variation of [12, Section 3.3, Section 4.4], the morphism (1.5) factors through a natural embedding

$$(1.6) \quad \iota_{\Omega_{[1,k]}} : \mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho}) \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{Spf} R_{\infty}^{\mathfrak{p}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathbf{h}}(\bar{\tau}),$$

where $X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathbf{h}}(\bar{\tau}) \subset \mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\square} \times (\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}$ is the paraboline deformation variety with respect to $(\Omega_{[1,k]}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})$ (see Section 4.1 for more precise statements). The embedding (1.6) induces an isomorphism between $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho})$ and a union of irreducible components (equipped with the reduced closed rigid subspace structure) of $(\mathrm{Spf} R_{\infty}^{\mathfrak{p}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathbf{h}}(\bar{\tau})$.

Assume that we can associate with ρ_L a point $x := (x^{\mathfrak{p}}, \rho_L, \pi_{x, \mathbf{L}^{(r)}}, \chi) \in \mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho})$. The embedding (1.6) gives a point $x_L = \mathrm{pr}_2 \circ \iota_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}(x) \in X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathbf{h}}(\bar{\tau})$ (where pr_2 is the projection to the second factor). We now look at the local geometry of paraboline deformation variety $X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathbf{h}}(\bar{\tau})$ at point x_L . Let $X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{X^{\mathfrak{p}}-\mathrm{aut}}(x)$ be the union of irreducible components of $X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathbf{h}}(\bar{\tau})$ containing x_L . We show that the non-critical special $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration \mathcal{F} on x_L extends to some open affinoid neighborhood around x_L (see Theorem 4.7), where we use the accumulation property at x_L (see Definition 4.4 and Lemma 4.6) and the non-critical assumption. Using this result, we get an upper bound of the E -dimension of tangent space $T_{X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{X^{\mathfrak{p}}-\mathrm{aut}}(x), x_L}$ (see the proof of Proposition 4.10).

The natural embedding (1.5) induces the “weight” map

$$(1.7) \quad \omega : \mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho}) \longrightarrow (\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}.$$

Consider the tangent map of ω at x :

$$(1.8) \quad d\omega_x : T_{\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho}), x} \longrightarrow T_{(\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}, \omega(x)}.$$

By the embedding (1.6) and the upper bound of $\dim_E T_{X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{X^{\mathfrak{p}}-\mathrm{aut}}(x), x_L}$, we can deduce the following corollary from Proposition 1.4 and Theorem 4.7.

Corollary 1.5. *$\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho})$ is smooth at x . The composition $\kappa_L \circ d\omega_x$ factors through a surjective map*

$$(1.9) \quad T_{\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho}), x} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\rho_L).$$

We now recall briefly the proof of the existence of an injection $\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}, \psi) \hookrightarrow \widehat{\Pi}(\rho_L)$ if $\psi \in \mathcal{L}(\rho_L)_{\mathrm{ir}}$. By Corollary 1.5, there exists $\Psi \in \mathrm{Im}(d\omega_x)$ with $\kappa_L(\Psi) = \psi$. Then the construction of $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho})$ implies an injection of $\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)$ -representations

$$W \otimes_E (1_{\Psi} \circ \det_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)}) \otimes_E L^{(r)}(\lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \hookrightarrow J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\mathrm{an}}[\mathfrak{m}_x^{\infty}]),$$

where $[\mathfrak{m}_x^\infty]$ denotes the subspace of the vectors annihilated by a certain power of \mathfrak{m}_x , and where W denotes certain irreducible smooth representation of $\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)$, 1_Ψ denotes the extension of two trivial characters attached to Ψ , and $L^{(r)}(\lambda_h)$ is the irreducible \mathbb{Q}_p -algebraic representation of $\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)$ with highest weight λ_h . Then the non-critical assumption on ρ_L implies that the above injection is balanced in the sense of [29, Definition 0.8]. In this case, the Emerton's adjunction formula [29, Theorem 0.13] deduces a non-zero morphism

$$I_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}^{(r)}}^G \left(W \otimes_E (1_\Psi \circ \det_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)}) \otimes_E L^{(r)}(\lambda_h) \right) \longrightarrow \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathfrak{m}_x^\infty].$$

By some locally analytic representation theory, we can show that this non-zero morphism induces an injection:

$$\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h, \psi) \hookrightarrow \widehat{\Pi}(\rho_L).$$

Remark 1.6. *The key step in the proof of the main theorem is the existence of the character $\Psi \in \text{Im}(d\omega_x)$. This is a consequence of the surjectivity of Corollary 1.5.*

Remark 1.7. *The proof of smoothness (Corollary 1.5) only requires the information on tangent space (i.e., 1-order deformations). We can use a local model of paraboline deformation variety (see [12, Section 6]) to see more information on arbitrary deformations. The application of the local models (see [12, Section 6]) allows a better understanding of the local geometry of the paraboline deformation variety and (patched) Bernstein eigenvariety. It seems likely that we can restate the results in Section 4.4 under the framework of the local model (see Remark 4.12). We point out the main differences. Since the parameter of our $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration is non-generic (in the sense of [12, (6.5)]), the morphism*

$$X_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_\bullet} \rightarrow (\widehat{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, L}})_{\delta_h} \times_{\widehat{\mathcal{Z}_L}^{(r)}} X_{\mathbf{W}, \mathcal{F}}$$

of groupoids in [12, Theorem 6.2.6] is no longer formally smooth. Thus the discussions in [12, Section 6.4] cannot be applied to our case (for example, the final result [12, Corollary 6.4.7]). It is valuable to explore local-global compatibility and Breuil's locally analytic socle conjecture [10] for critical special (potentially) semistable non-crystalline p -adic Galois representations (non-generic case).

1.3. General notation. Let L (resp. E) be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with \mathcal{O}_L (resp. \mathcal{O}_E) as its ring of integers and ϖ_L (resp. ϖ_E) a uniformizer. Suppose E is sufficiently large, containing all the embeddings of L in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Put $\Sigma_L := \{\sigma : L \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}\} = \{\sigma : L \hookrightarrow E\}$, the set of all the embeddings of L in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ (equivalently, in E). Let k_E be the residue field of E .

Let $\text{val}_L(\cdot)$ (resp. val_p) be the p -adic valuation on $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ normalized by sending uniformizers of \mathcal{O}_L (resp. of \mathbb{Z}_p) to 1. Let $d_L := [L : \mathbb{Q}_p] = |\Sigma_L|$, let $e_L := \text{val}_L(\varpi_L)$ and let $f_L := d_L/e_L$. We have $q_L := p^{f_L} = |\mathcal{O}_L/\varpi_L|$.

Let L_0 denote the maximal unramified subextension of \mathbb{Q}_p in L . We fix a compatible system $\{\epsilon_n\}$ of p -th roots of unity. Let $L_n = L(\epsilon_n)$, $L_\infty = \cup_n L_n$, and L'_0 the maximal unramified subextension of \mathbb{Q}_p in L_∞ . We write $\text{Gal}_{L'} = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/L')$, $\Gamma_{L'} = \text{Gal}(L'_\infty/L')$, and $\mathcal{H}_{L'} = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/L'_\infty)$ for any subfield $L' \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. We omit the subscript L' if $L' = L$.

Let X be a scheme locally of finite type over E or a locally noetherian formal scheme over \mathcal{O}_E whose reduction is locally finite type over k_E . Let X^{rig} the associated rigid analytic space over E . If X is a scheme locally of finite type over E or a rigid analytic space over E , we denote by X^{red} the associated reduced Zariski-closed subspace. If x is a point of X , we denote by $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ (resp., $k(x)$) the local ring

(resp., residue field) at x . Let $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$ be the $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}$ -adic completion of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$, and $\widehat{X}_x := \mathrm{Spf} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$. If x is a closed point of X , then $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$ is a noetherian complete local $k(x)$ -algebra of residue field $k(x)$.

General setting on Reductive groups and Lie algebras. For a Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} over L , and $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$, let $\mathfrak{h}_\sigma := \mathfrak{h} \otimes_{L,\sigma} E$ (which is a Lie algebra over E). For $J \subseteq \Sigma_L$, let $\mathfrak{h}_J := \prod_{\sigma \in J} \mathfrak{h}_\sigma$. In particular, we have $\mathfrak{h}_{\Sigma_L} \cong \mathfrak{h} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$. The notation $U(\mathfrak{g})$ refers to the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} over L .

Let \mathbf{H} be an algebraic group over L , and \mathfrak{h} be its Lie algebra over L . Let $\mathrm{Res}_{L/\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbf{H}$ be the scalar restriction of \mathbf{H} from L to \mathbb{Q}_p . The Lie algebra of $\mathrm{Res}_{L/\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbf{H}$ over \mathbb{Q}_p can also be identified with \mathfrak{h} , where \mathfrak{h} is regarded as a Lie algebra over \mathbb{Q}_p . We write $\mathbf{H}_{/E} = (\mathrm{Res}_{L/\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbf{H}) \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$, which is an algebraic group over E . The Lie algebras of $\mathbf{H}_{/E}$ over E is \mathfrak{h}_{Σ_L} . Let \mathbf{G} be a split connected reductive group over L , and \mathfrak{g} be its Lie algebra over L . We fix a maximal split torus \mathbf{T} and write \mathbf{B} for a choice of Borel subgroup containing \mathbf{T} . We use \mathbf{P} for the parabolic subgroup of \mathbf{G} containing \mathbf{B} , and let $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}$ be the Levi subgroup of \mathbf{P} containing \mathbf{T} . Let $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{P}}$ be the unipotent radical of \mathbf{P} . Then \mathbf{P} admits a Levi decomposition $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{P}}$. The Lie algebras (over L) of subgroups $\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{P}}$ are denoted by $\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{l}_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{P}}$ respectively.

Note that the group $\mathbf{G}_{/E}$ is also a split-connected reductive group over E with maximal split torus $\mathbf{T}_{/E}$, Borel subgroup $\mathbf{B}_{/E}$, parabolic subgroup $\mathbf{P}_{/E}$, and $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}_{/E}}$ is also the Levi subgroup of $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}_{/E}}$ containing $\mathbf{T}_{/E}$. The Lie algebras (over E) of reductive groups $\mathbf{G}_{/E}, \mathbf{P}_{/E}$, and $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}_{/E}}$ are given by $\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_L}, \mathfrak{p}_{\Sigma_L}$ and $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbf{P},\Sigma_L}$. We use the Roman letters G, P , etc. for the L -points $\mathbf{G}(L), \mathbf{P}(L)$. We view these as locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic groups.

General linear group GL_n . Let GL_n be the general linear group over L . Let Δ_n be the set of simple roots of GL_n (with respect to the Borel subgroup \mathbf{B} of upper triangular matrices). We identify the set Δ_n with $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ corresponds to the simple root $\alpha_i : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathfrak{t} \mapsto x_i - x_{i+1}$, where \mathfrak{t} denotes the L -Lie algebra of the torus \mathbf{T} of diagonal matrices.

For a subset $I \subset \Delta_n$, let \mathbf{P}_I be the parabolic subgroup of GL_n containing \mathbf{B} such that $\Delta_n \setminus I$ are precisely the simple roots of the unipotent radical \mathbf{N}_I of \mathbf{P}_I . Denote by \mathbf{L}_I the unique Levi subgroup of \mathbf{P}_I containing \mathbf{T} such that I is equal to the set of simple roots of \mathbf{L}_I . In particular, we have $\mathbf{P}_{\Delta_n} = \mathrm{GL}_n$, $\mathbf{P}_\emptyset = \mathbf{B}$. Let $\overline{\mathbf{P}}_I$ be the parabolic subgroup opposite to \mathbf{P}_I . Let \mathbf{N}_I (resp. $\overline{\mathbf{N}}_I$) be the nilpotent radical of \mathbf{P}_I (resp. $\overline{\mathbf{P}}_I$), let \mathbf{Z}_I be the center of \mathbf{L}_I and let \mathbf{D}_I be the derived subgroup of \mathbf{L}_I . Then we have a Levi decomposition $\mathbf{P}_I = \mathbf{L}_I \mathbf{N}_I$ (resp. $\overline{\mathbf{P}}_I = \mathbf{L}_I \overline{\mathbf{N}}_I$). Let \mathbf{Z}_n be the center of GL_n . Let $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}_I, \mathfrak{n}_I, \mathfrak{l}_I, \overline{\mathfrak{l}}_I, \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_I, \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_I, \mathfrak{d}_I, \mathfrak{z}_I, \overline{\mathfrak{z}}_I$ and \mathfrak{t} be the L -Lie algebras of $\mathrm{GL}_n, \mathbf{P}_I, \mathbf{N}_I, \mathbf{L}_I, \mathbf{L}_I/\mathbf{Z}_n, \overline{\mathbf{P}}_I, \overline{\mathbf{N}}_I, \mathbf{D}_I, \mathbf{Z}_I, \mathbf{Z}_I/\mathbf{Z}_n$ and \mathbf{T} respectively.

Denote by $\mathscr{W}_n (\cong S_n)$ the Weyl group of GL_n , and denote by s_i the simple reflection corresponding to $i \in \Delta_n$. For any $I \subset \Delta_n$, define \mathscr{W}_I as the subgroup of \mathscr{W}_n generated by simple reflections s_i with $i \in I$. The Weyl group of $\mathrm{GL}_{n/E}$ is $\mathscr{W}_{n,\Sigma_L} := \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} \mathscr{W}_{n,\sigma} \cong S_n^{\mathrm{d}_L}$, where $\mathscr{W}_{n,\sigma} \cong \mathscr{W}_n$ is the σ -th factor of \mathscr{W}_{n,Σ_L} . For $i \in \Delta_n$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$, let $s_{i,\sigma} \in \mathscr{W}_{n,\sigma}$ be the simple reflection corresponding to $i \in \Delta_n$. Let ρ be the half of the sum of positive roots of GL_n .

We list the basic notation in the theory of the Zelevinsky-segment. Let k, r be two integers such that $n = kr$. We put $\Delta_n(k) := \{r, 2r, \dots, (k-1)r\} \subseteq \Delta_n$ and $\Delta_n^k := \Delta_n \setminus \Delta_n(k)$.

For a subset $I \subset \Delta_n(k)$, we put

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}_I^{(r)} &:= \mathbf{L}_{\Delta_n^k \cup I}, \quad \mathbf{P}_I^{(r)} := \mathbf{P}_{\Delta_n^k \cup I}, \quad \overline{\mathbf{P}}_I^{(r)} := \overline{\mathbf{P}}_{\Delta_n^k \cup I}, \\ \mathbf{N}_I^{(r)} &:= \mathbf{N}_{\Delta_n^k \cup I}, \quad \overline{\mathbf{N}}_I^{(r)} := \overline{\mathbf{N}}_{\Delta_n^k \cup I}, \quad \mathbf{Z}_I^{(r)} := \mathbf{Z}_{\Delta_n^k \cup I}, \quad \mathbf{D}_I^{(r)} := \mathbf{D}_{\Delta_n^k \cup I}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbf{l}_I^{(r)}, \overline{\mathbf{l}}_I^{(r)}, \mathbf{p}_I^{(r)}, \mathbf{n}_I^{(r)}, \overline{\mathbf{p}}_I^{(r)}, \overline{\mathbf{n}}_I^{(r)}, \mathfrak{d}_I^{(r)}, \mathfrak{z}_I^{(r)}, \overline{\mathfrak{z}}_I^{(r)}$ be the L -Lie algebras of $\mathbf{L}_I^{(r)}, \mathbf{L}_I^{(r)}/\mathbf{Z}_n, \mathbf{P}_I^{(r)}, \mathbf{N}_I^{(r)}, \overline{\mathbf{P}}_I^{(r)}, \overline{\mathbf{N}}_I^{(r)}, \mathbf{D}_I^{(r)}$ and $\mathbf{Z}_I^{(r)}, \mathbf{Z}_I^{(r)}/\mathbf{Z}_n$ respectively. Similarly, for a subset $S \subset \Sigma_L$, we put $\mathscr{W}_I^{(r)} := \mathscr{W}_{\Delta_n^k \cup I}$, and $\mathscr{W}_{I,S}^{(r)} := \mathscr{W}_{\Delta_n^k \cup I, S}$, ${}^I \mathscr{W}_{n,S}^{(r)} := {}^{\Delta_n^k \cup I} \mathscr{W}_{n,S}$. When $I = \emptyset$, we omit the subscripts I . For example, we have

$$\mathbf{L}^{(r)} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{GL}_r & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{GL}_r & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{GL}_r \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{P}}^{(r)} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{GL}_r & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ * & \mathrm{GL}_r & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ * & * & \cdots & \mathrm{GL}_r \end{pmatrix}$$

The parabolic subgroups of GL_n containing the parabolic subgroup $\overline{\mathbf{P}}^{(r)}$ are $\{\overline{\mathbf{P}}_I^{(r)}\}_{I \subset \Delta_n(k)}$.

p -adic Hodge theory. Let $\mathcal{R}_L := \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{rig}, L}^\dagger$ be the Robba ring associated to L . Let A (resp., X) be an \mathbb{Q}_p -affinoid algebra (resp. a rigid analytic space), and let $\mathcal{R}_{A,L} := \mathcal{R}_L \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ (resp., $\mathcal{R}_{X,L}$) for the Robba ring associated to L with A -coefficient (resp., with \mathcal{O}_X -coefficient) (see [37, Definition 6.2.1]). Let $\delta_A : L^\times \rightarrow A^\times$ be a continuous character. We write $\mathcal{R}_{A,L}(\delta_A)$ for the (φ, Γ) -module of character type over $\mathcal{R}_{A,L}$ associated to the continuous character δ_A . Recall the cohomology of (φ, Γ) -modules (and Euler-Poincaré characteristic formula and Tate duality) defined in [38].

We recall the concept of B -pairs briefly. Let A be a local artinian E -algebra with residue field E . Recall the E - B -pair (resp., A - B -pair) defined in [39] (resp., [25, Section 1.1]). An E - B -pair of Gal_L is a couple $W = (W_e, W_{\mathrm{dR}}^+)$ such that W_e is a finite $B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module with a continuous semi-linear Gal_L -action which is free as B_e -module, and $W_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \subset W_{\mathrm{dR}} := B_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes_{B_e} W_e$ is a Gal_L -stable $B_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -lattice. By [39, Theorem 1.36] (resp., [25, Section 1.1]), there exists an equivalence of categories between the category of E - B -pairs (resp., the category of A - B -pairs) and the category of (φ, Γ) -modules over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}$ (resp., the category of (φ, Γ) -modules over $\mathcal{R}_{A,L}$). Let $\chi : L^\times \rightarrow E^\times$ (resp., $\chi_A : L^\times \rightarrow A^\times$) be a continuous character, and let $B_E(\chi)$ (resp., $B_A(\chi_A)$) be the rank-one E - B -pair (resp., the rank-one A - B -pair) associated to χ (resp., χ_A) (see [39, Theorem 1.45]). Recall in [39] the definition of the cohomology of E - B -pairs (the A - B -pairs can also be viewed as E - B -pairs). Recall that cohomology of a (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}$ and the cohomology of the associated E - B -pair via the equivalence of categories [39, Theorem 1.36] is isomorphic.

We also need the following equivalence of categories in the p -adic Hodge theory. Let $\mathrm{WD}_{L'/L,E}$ be the category of representations (r, N, V) of W_L , on an E -vector space V of finite dimension such that r is unramified when restricted to the $W_{L'}$. Let $\mathrm{DF}_{L'/L,E}$ be the category of Deligne-Fontaine modules, i.e., the category of quadruples $(\varphi, N, \mathrm{Gal}(L'/L), D)$ where D is an $L'_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module free of finite rank, which is endowed with a Frobenius $\varphi : D \rightarrow D$ (resp., an $L'_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -linear endomorphism $N : D \rightarrow D$) such that $N\varphi = p\varphi N$ and an action of $\mathrm{Gal}(L'/L)$ commuting with φ and N such that $g((l \otimes e)d) = (g(l) \otimes e)d$ for $g \in \mathrm{Gal}(L'/L)$, $l \in L'_0$, $e \in E$, $d \in D$. Then the Fontaine's equivalence of categories ([19, Proposition 4.1]) assert that $\mathrm{WD}_{L'/L,E}$ and $\mathrm{DF}_{L'/L,E}$ are equivalent.

For (φ, Γ) -modules over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}$ (equivalently, E - B -pairs), we can also talk about the concepts of potentially semistable (i.e., de Rham), potentially crystalline, semistable and crystalline. Recall that

there is an equivalence of categories between the category of potentially semistable (φ, Γ) -modules over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}$ (equivalently, E - B -pairs) which are semistable (resp., crystalline) (φ, Γ) -modules over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L'}$ (resp., crystalline E - B -pairs of $\text{Gal}_{L'}$) to the category of E -filtered $(\varphi, N, \text{Gal}(L'/L))$ -modules (E -filtered $(\varphi, \text{Gal}(L'/L))$ -modules) over L (i.e., E -filtered Deligne-Fontaine modules). The same conclusions hold for E - B -pairs. The last is Berger's theory [4, Theorem A].

In this paper, we use the theory of (φ, Γ) -modules, B -pairs and the above equivalences of categories freely.

Classical and p -adic local Langlands correspondence. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ be a positive integer, and π be an irreducible smooth admissible representation of $\text{GL}_m(L)$. We denote by $\text{rec}_L(\pi)$ the F -semi-simple Weil-Deligne representation associated to π via the normalized local Langlands correspondence (see [45]). Denote by v_m the character $\text{GL}_m(L) \rightarrow E^\times$, $g \mapsto |\det(g)|_L = \text{unr}(q_L^{-1}) \circ \det$.

We normalize the reciprocity isomorphism $\text{rec}_L : L^\times \rightarrow W_L^{\text{ab}}$ of local class theory such that the uniformizer ϖ_L is mapped to a geometric Frobenius morphism, where W_L^{ab} is the abelization of the Weil group $W_L \subset \text{Gal}_L$. Let $\chi_{\text{cyc}} : \text{Gal}_L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ be the p -adic cyclotomic character (i.e., the character defined by the formula $g(\epsilon_n) = \epsilon_n^{\chi_{\text{cyc}}(g)}$ for any $n \geq 1$ and $g \in \text{Gal}_L$). Then we have

$$\chi_{\text{cyc}} \circ \text{rec}_L = \text{unr}(q_L^{-1}) \prod_{\tau \in \Sigma_L} \tau : L^\times \rightarrow E^\times$$

by local class theory. We define the Hodge-Tate weights of a de Rham p -adic Galois representation of Gal_L as the opposite of the gaps of the filtration on the covariant de Rham functor so that the Hodge-Tate weights of the cyclotomic character is 1. For a group A and $a \in A$, denote by $\text{unr}(\alpha)$ the unramified character of L^\times sending uniformizers to α . For a character χ of \mathcal{O}_L^\times , denote by χ_{ϖ_L} the character of L^\times such that $\chi_{\varpi_L}|_{\mathcal{O}_L^\times} = \chi$ and $\chi_{\varpi_L}(\varpi_L) = 1$. For a character δ of L^\times , denote by $\delta_0 := \delta|_{\mathcal{O}_L^\times}$. If $\mathbf{k} := (\mathbf{k}_\tau)_{\tau \in \Sigma_L} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^{\Sigma_L}$, denote by $z^{\mathbf{k}} := \prod_{\tau \in \Sigma_L} \tau(z)^{\mathbf{k}_\tau}$. A character $\delta : L^\times \rightarrow E^\times$ is called *special* if $\delta := \text{unr}(q_L^{-1})z^{\mathbf{k}} = \chi_{\text{cyc}} \prod_{\tau \in \Sigma_L} \tau(z)^{\mathbf{k}_\tau - 1}$ for some $\mathbf{k} := (\mathbf{k}_\tau)_{\tau \in \Sigma_L} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^{\Sigma_L}$ (i.e., $k_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$).

The p -adic local Langlands correspondence is often stated as follows. Let L (resp. E) be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , where E is a sufficiently large coefficient field. The conjectural p -adic local Langlands correspondence seeking for a 1 – 1 correspondence between n -dimensional continuous representations of $\text{Gal}_L := \text{Gal}(\overline{L}/L)$ over E and certain admissible Banach (resp., or locally analytic) representation of $\text{GL}_n(L)$ over E , and satisfying certain local-global compatibility. The conjectural p -adic local Langlands correspondence is compatible with (and refines) the classical local Langlands correspondence. We recall the feature as follows. Let $\rho_L : \text{Gal}_L \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(E)$ be a potentially semistable (thus de Rham) p -adic Galois representation and let $\text{HT}(\rho_L) := (h_{\tau,1} > h_{\tau,2} > \cdots > h_{\tau,n})_{\tau \in \Sigma_L}$ be the Hodge-Tate weights of ρ_L . Let L' be a finite Galois extension of L such that $\rho_L|_{\text{Gal}_{L'}}$ is semistable. We put $\lambda_{\mathbf{h}} = (h_{\tau,i} + i - 1)_{\tau \in \Sigma_L, 1 \leq i \leq n}$, which is a dominant weight of $(\text{Res}_{L/\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{GL}_n) \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ respect to $(\text{Res}_{L/\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbf{B}) \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$. We associate to ρ_L a locally \mathbb{Q}_p -algebraic representation $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\rho_L)$ of G over E in the following way:

$$(1.11) \quad \overbrace{\rho_L \longleftrightarrow D_{\text{pst}}(\rho_L) \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{DF}(\rho_L)}^{p\text{-Hodge theory}} \longleftrightarrow \overbrace{\mathbf{WD}(\rho_L) \longleftrightarrow \pi_\infty(\rho_L)}^{\text{classical local Langlands}}$$

where $D_{\text{pst}}(\rho_L)$ is the filtered $(\varphi, N, \text{Gal}(L'/L))$ -module associated to ρ_L by Fontaine's theory, $\mathbf{DF}(\rho_L)$ is the underlying $(\varphi, N, \text{Gal}(L'/L))$ -module of $D_{\text{pst}}(\rho_L)$ (by forgetting the Hodge filtration). Let

$\mathbf{WD}(\rho_L)$ be the n -dimensional Weli-Deligne representation over E associated to $\mathbf{DF}(\rho_L)$ via the above Fontaine's equivalence of categories [19, Proposition 4.1]. Let $\pi_\infty(\rho_L)$ be the smooth representation of G associated to the F-semi-simple Weil-Deligne representation $\mathbf{WD}(\rho_L)^{\text{F-ss}}$ via the normalized local Langlands correspondence. Put $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\rho_L) := \pi_\infty(\rho_L) \otimes_E L(\lambda_{\mathbf{h}})$, which is a locally \mathbb{Q}_p -algebraic representation of G . We expect that $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\rho_L)$ is a subrepresentation of the locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representation of G via the hypothetical p -adic local Langlands correspondence.

Characters. For a topological commutative group M , we use $\text{Hom}(M, E)$ (resp. $\text{Hom}_\infty(M, E)$) to denote the E -space of continuous (resp. locally constant) additive E -valued characters on M . If M is a totally disconnected group, then the terminology "locally constant" is often replaced by smooth. If M is a locally L -analytic group, denote by $\text{Hom}_\sigma(M, E)$ the E -vector space of locally σ -analytic characters on M (i.e., the continuous characters which are locally σ -analytic E -valued functions on M). The local class field theory implies a bijection $\text{Hom}(L^\times, E) \cong \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}_L, E)$. Let $\alpha \in E^\times$, denote by $\text{unr}(\alpha)$ the unramified character of L^\times sending uniformizers to α .

Representation theory. Let \mathbf{G} be a split connected reductive group over L , and \mathbf{P} be a parabolic subgroups of \mathbf{G} . Let G, P, N_P, L_P be the L -points of $\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}$ respectively. Let \overline{P} be the parabolic subgroup opposite to P .

If V is a continuous representation of G over E , we denote by $V^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}$ its locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic vectors. If V is locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representations of G , we denote by V^{sm} (resp. V^{alg}) the smooth (resp. locally \mathbb{Q}_p -algebraic) subrepresentation of V consists of its smooth (locally \mathbb{Q}_p -algebraic) vectors (see [44] and [30] for details).

Let π_P be a continuous representation of P over E (resp., locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representations of P on a locally convex E -vector space of compact type, resp., smooth representations of P over E), we denote by

$$(1.12) \quad \begin{aligned} (\text{Ind}_P^G \pi_P)^{c^0} &:= \{f : G \rightarrow \pi_P \text{ continuous, } f(pg) = pf(g), \forall p \in P\}, \\ \text{resp., } (\text{Ind}_P^G \pi_P)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}} &:= \{f : G \rightarrow \pi_P \text{ locally } \mathbb{Q}_p\text{-analytic, } f(pg) = pf(g), \forall p \in P\}, \\ \text{resp., } i_P^G \pi_P &:= (\text{Ind}_P^G \pi_P)^\infty = \{f : G \rightarrow \pi_P \text{ smooth, } f(pg) = pf(g), \forall p \in P\} \end{aligned}$$

the continuous parabolic induction (resp., the locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic parabolic induction, resp., the (unnormalized) smooth parabolic induction) of G . It becomes a continuous representation (resp., locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representation) of G over E (resp., on a locally convex E -vector space of compact type, resp., smooth representations of G over E) by endowing the left action of G by right translation on functions: $(gf)(g') = f(g'g)$.

2. Parabolic simple \mathcal{L} -invariants

For a potentially semistable non-crystalline p -adic Galois representation ρ_L which admits certain special "parabolizations" (certain parabolic analogue of the so-called triangulations), we are going to define parabolic Fontaine-Mazur simple \mathcal{L} -invariants of ρ_L , which encodes certain information on the subquotients of consecutive extensions of the associated (φ, Γ) -module over the Robba rings.

In Section 2.1, we recall some basic facts about the Bernstein component. For a fixed Bernstein component Ω , we talk about an Ω -filtration on a (φ, Γ) -module. In Section 2.2, we focus on the non-critical special $\Omega_{[1, k]}$ -filtration associated to a Zelevinsky-segment. We then define parabolic Fontaine-

Mazur simple \mathcal{L} -invariants in Section 2.4. In Section 2.3 and 2.5, we give a way to see parabolic Fontaine-Mazur simple \mathcal{L} -invariants in 1-order deformations.

2.1. Background and Preliminaries. Let $\rho_L : \text{Gal}_L \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(E)$ be a p -adic Galois representation. Let $D_{\text{rig}}(\rho_L)$ be the (φ, Γ) -module over the Robba ring $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}$ associated to ρ_L . We recall that the p -adic Galois representation ρ_L is called trianguline if $D_{\text{rig}}(\rho_L)$ is a successive extension of (φ, Γ) -module of rank 1. A flexible version of generalizations of triangulations is given by Breuil-Ding [12, Definition 4.1.6], that they call Ω -filtrations, where Ω is a Bernstein component. We recall the definition of Ω -filtration as follows.

Let m be a positive integer. Let Ω_0 be a cuspidal Bernstein component of $\text{GL}_m(L)$ (see [5]). Let \mathfrak{Z}_{Ω_0} be the Bernstein center of Ω_0 over E (E is required to be sufficiently large such that the \mathfrak{Z}_{Ω_0} can be realized over E , by [21, Section 3.13]). Let $\pi_{\Omega_0} \in \Omega_0$ be a fixed irreducible smooth representation of $\text{GL}_m(L)$ over E of type Ω_0 . We put

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_E(\Omega_0) &:= \{\eta_\pi : L^\times \rightarrow E^\times : \pi_{\Omega_0} \cong \pi_{\Omega_0} \otimes_E \eta_\pi \circ \det\}, \\ \eta_E^{\text{unr}}(\Omega_0) &:= \{\eta_\pi : L^\times \rightarrow E^\times \text{ unramified} : \pi_{\Omega_0} \cong \pi_{\Omega_0} \otimes_E \eta_\pi \circ \det\} \\ &= \{a \in E^\times : \pi_{\Omega_0} \cong \pi_{\Omega_0} \otimes_E \text{unr}(a) \circ \det\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have $\eta_E^{\text{unr}}(\Omega_0) \subset \eta_E(\Omega_0)$. Both $\eta_E^{\text{unr}}(\Omega_0) \subset \eta_E(\Omega_0)$ and $\eta_E(\Omega_0)$ are finite groups and independent of the choice of π_{Ω_0} in Ω_0 . By comparing the central characters, there exists an integer $m_0|m$ such that $\eta_E^{\text{unr}}(\Omega_0) \cong \mu_{m_0}$. We further assume that $\mu_{m_0} \subset E$ where μ_{m_0} means the group of m_0 -th roots of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}^\times$. By [21, Pages 242-243, Lemma 3.24] and [12, Section 2.2], we have

$$\mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_0} \cong E[z, z^{-1}]^{\mu_{m_0}} \cong E[z^{m_0}, z^{-m_0}].$$

For any closed point $x \in \text{Spec}(\mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_0})$, we can associate it a smooth irreducible cuspidal representation π_x of $\text{GL}_m(L)$ over $k(x)$. By normalized classical local Langlands correspondence (see [45]), we get an F -semi-simple Weil-Deligne representation $\mathbf{WD}_x := \text{rec}_L(\pi_x)$ of W_L over $k(x)$. By the equivalence of categories ([19, Proposition 4.1]), the Weil representation \mathbf{WD}_x corresponds to a Deligne-Fontaine module \mathbf{DF}_x , which by Berger's theory [4] corresponds to a p -adic differential equation Δ_x , i.e., a (ϕ, Γ) -module of rank m over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}$ which is de Rham of constant Hodge-Tate weight 0 such that $D_{\text{pst}}(\Delta_x)$ (forgetting the Hodge filtration) is isomorphic to the \mathbf{DF}_x . Let L'/L be a finite extension of L . Moreover, if \mathbf{WD}_x is unramified when restricted to $W_{L'}$, then \mathbf{DF}_x is a $(\varphi, N, \text{Gal}(L'/L))$ -module.

Let $\underline{n} := (n_1, \dots, n_s)$ be an ordered partition of n for some positive integer s . We put

$$\mathbf{L}_{\underline{n}} := \begin{pmatrix} \text{GL}_{n_1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \text{GL}_{n_2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \text{GL}_{n_s} \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \mathbf{P}_{\underline{n}} := \begin{pmatrix} \text{GL}_{n_1} & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & \text{GL}_{n_2} & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \text{GL}_{n_s} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $\mathbf{P}_{\underline{n}}$ is a parabolic subgroup of GL_n and $\mathbf{L}_{\underline{n}}$ is a Levi subgroup of $\mathbf{P}_{\underline{n}}$. For each $1 \leq i \leq s$, let Ω_i be a cuspidal Bernstein component of $\text{GL}_{n_i}(L)$ and \mathfrak{Z}_{Ω_i} be the corresponding Bernstein centre over E . Let $\Omega := \prod_{i=1}^s \Omega_i$ be the cuspidal Bernstein component of $\mathbf{L}_{\underline{n}}(L)$. Then we have an isomorphism of commutative E -algebras $\mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega} \cong \otimes_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_i}$. For any closed point $\mathbf{x} = (x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq s} \in \text{Spec}(\mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega})$, we can associate it irreducible smooth cuspidal representations $\{\pi_{x_i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq s}$ of $\{\text{GL}_{n_i}(L)\}_{1 \leq i \leq s}$ over $k(x)$, respectively, the F -semi-simple Weil-Deligne representations $(\mathbf{WD}_{x_i})_{1 \leq i \leq s}$, and the p -adic differential

equations $(\Delta_{x_i})_{1 \leq i \leq s}$. This gives an irreducible smooth cuspidal representation $\pi_{\mathbf{x}} = \otimes_{i=1}^k \pi_{x_i}$ of $\mathbf{L}_{\underline{n}}(L)$ and an n -dimensional F -semi-simple Weil-Deligne representation $\mathbf{WD}_{\mathbf{x}} := \oplus_{i=1}^s \mathbf{WD}_{x_i}$ of W_L over $k(x)$.

We now recall an Ω -filtration on a (φ, Γ) -module (see [12, Section 4.1.2]). Let $Z_{\mathbf{L}_{\underline{n}}}$ be the center of $\mathbf{L}_{\underline{n}}$. We have a map $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow L^\times, i \mapsto \varpi_L$, which induces a map $\oplus_{i=1}^s \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow Z_{\mathbf{L}_{\underline{n}}}(L)$. We denote by Z_{ϖ_L} its image, then we have $Z_{\mathbf{L}_{\underline{n}}}(L) \cong Z_{\varpi_L} \times Z_{\mathbf{L}_{\underline{n}}}(\mathcal{O}_L)$. Denote by $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}_{\underline{n}}, \mathcal{O}_L} = \widehat{Z_{\mathbf{L}_{\underline{n}}}(\mathcal{O}_L)}$ (resp., $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}_{\underline{n}}, L} = \widehat{Z_{\mathbf{L}_{\underline{n}}}(L)}$, resp., $\widehat{Z_{\varpi_L}}$) the rigid analytic space over E which parameters continuous characters of $Z_{\mathbf{L}_{\underline{n}}}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ (resp., $Z_{\mathbf{L}_{\underline{n}}}(L)$, resp., Z_{ϖ_L}). Then we have $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}_{\underline{n}}, L} \cong \widehat{Z_{\varpi_L}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}_{\underline{n}}, \mathcal{O}_L}$.

As in [12, Section 4.1.2, Definition 4.1.6], we consider:

Definition 2.1. *Let D be a fixed (φ, Γ) -module of rank n over $\mathcal{R}_{E, L}$. We say that D admits an Ω -filtration \mathcal{F} if D admits an increasing filtration by saturated (φ, Γ) -submodules*

$$\mathcal{F} = \text{Fil}_{\bullet}^{\mathcal{F}} D : 0 = \text{Fil}_0^{\mathcal{F}} D \subsetneq \text{Fil}_1^{\mathcal{F}} D \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \text{Fil}_k^{\mathcal{F}} D = D,$$

such that for $1 \leq i \leq s$,

- $\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} D$ is a (φ, Γ) -module of rank n_i ;
- there exist an E -point $x_i \in (\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_i})^{\text{an}}$, and a continuous character $\delta_i : L^\times \rightarrow E^\times$ such that $\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} D \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}} \mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\delta_i^{-1}) \hookrightarrow \Delta_{x_i}$.

Put $\underline{x} = (x_i)$ and $\delta = \otimes_{i=1}^s \delta_i$.

- We say $(\underline{x}, \delta) \in (\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}_{\underline{n}}, L}$ is a parameter of Ω -filtration \mathcal{F} if 0 is the minimal τ -Hodge-Tate weight of $\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} D \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}} \mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\delta_i^{-1})$.
- We call $(\underline{x}, \delta^0 = \otimes_{i=1}^s \delta_i^0) \in (\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}_{\underline{n}}, \mathcal{O}_L}$ is a parameter of the Ω -filtration \mathcal{F} of D if $(\underline{x}, (\delta^0)_{\varpi_L}) = \otimes_{i=1}^s (\delta_i^0)_{\varpi_L}$ is a parameter of Ω -filtration \mathcal{F} in $(\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}_{\underline{n}}, L}$.

Denote by ι_{Ω} the following morphism

$$(2.1) \quad \iota_{\Omega} : (\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}_{\underline{n}}, L} \rightarrow (\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}_{\underline{n}}, \mathcal{O}_L}, \quad (\underline{x}, \delta) \mapsto (\iota_{\Omega}(\underline{x}), \delta|_{\mathcal{O}_L^\times}),$$

where $\iota_{\Omega} : (\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega})^{\text{rig}} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega})^{\text{rig}}$ is the isomorphism such that $\pi_{\iota_{\Omega}(\underline{x})_i} = \pi_{x_i} \otimes_E \text{unr}(\delta_i(\varpi_L))$. It is clear that $(\underline{x}, \delta) \in (\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}_{\underline{n}}, L}$ is a parameter of Ω -filtration if and only if $(\iota_{\Omega}(\underline{x}), \delta|_{\mathcal{O}_L^\times}) \in (\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}_{\underline{n}}, \mathcal{O}_L}$ is a parameter of Ω -filtration.

2.2. (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathcal{R}_{E, L}$ with non-critical special $\Omega_{[1, k]}$ -filtration. In the sequel, we fix a cuspidal Bernstein component Ω_r of $\text{GL}_r(L)$ and an irreducible smooth cuspidal representation $\pi_0 \in \Omega_r$ over E of type Ω_r . We put

$$\Omega_{[1, k]} = \prod_{i=1}^k \Omega_{r, i}, \quad \Omega_{r, i} = \Omega_r$$

which is a cuspidal Bernstein component of $\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)$. Let $\mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1, k]}} \cong \otimes_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{r, i}} = \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_r}^{\otimes k}$ be the associated Bernstein center over E .

Let $\pi \in \Omega_r$ be an (absolutely) irreducible smooth cuspidal representation over E of type Ω_r , which corresponds an E -point x_π of $\text{Spec}(\mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_r})$. There exists a unique $\alpha_\pi \in E^\times$ such that $\pi \cong \pi_0 \otimes_E \text{unr}(\alpha_\pi)$. Via the classical local Langlands correspondence (normalized as in [45]), we get an r -dimensional absolutely irreducible F -semi-simple Weil-Deligne representation \mathbf{WD}_π of W_L over E . Let \mathbf{DF}_π (resp., Δ_π) be the Deligne-Fontaine module (resp., p -adic differential equation Δ_π over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}$) associated to \mathbf{WD}_π (equivalently, to π). Assume that \mathbf{WD}_π is unramified when restricted to $W_{L'}$ for some finite Galois extension L' of L . Denote by $\varphi_\pi : \mathbf{DF}_\pi \rightarrow \mathbf{DF}_\pi$ the Frobenius semi-linear operator on \mathbf{DF}_π . Note that $\mathbf{DF}_\pi = (\varphi_\pi, N = 0, \text{Gal}(L'/L), \mathbf{DF}_\pi)$ is an (absolutely) irreducible Deligne-Fontaine module.

Next, we consider a special case of $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration, that we call non-critical special $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration.

Let \mathbf{D} be a potentially semistable (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}$ of rank n . Let L' be a finite Galois extension of L such that $\mathbf{D}|_{L'}$ is a semistable (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L'}$ of rank n . We consider the Deligne-Fontaine module associated to \mathbf{D} :

$$\mathbf{DF}(\mathbf{D}) = (\varphi, N, \text{Gal}(L'/L), D_{\text{pst}}(\mathbf{D}))$$

where $D_{\text{pst}}(\mathbf{D}) = D_{\text{st}}^{L'}(\mathbf{D} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E,L'})$ is a finite free $L'_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module of rank n , where the (φ, N) -action on $D_{\text{pst}}(\mathbf{D})$ is induced from the (φ, N) -action on B_{st} , and where the $\text{Gal}(L'/L)$ -action on $D_{\text{pst}}(\mathbf{D})$ is the residual action of Gal_L . Let $\text{WD}_{L'/L,E}$ the category of representations (r_L, N, V) of W_L , on an E -vector space V of finite dimension such that r_L is unramified when restricted to the $W_{L'}$. By Fontaine's equivalence of categories as in [19, Proposition 4.1], we can associate to $\mathbf{DF}(\mathbf{D})$ an n -dimensional Weli-Deligne representation $\mathbf{WD}(\mathbf{D}) \in \text{WD}_{L'/L,E}$ (not necessarily F -semi-simple) of W_L over E .

We say that $\mathbf{WD}(\mathbf{D})$ admits an increasing special $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration \mathcal{F} if $\mathbf{WD}(\mathbf{D})$ admits an increasing filtration \mathcal{F} by Weil-Deligne subrepresentations:

$$\mathcal{F} = \text{Fil}_\bullet^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{WD}(\mathbf{D}) : 0 = \text{Fil}_0^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{WD}(\mathbf{D}) \subsetneq \text{Fil}_1^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{WD}(\mathbf{D}) \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \text{Fil}_k^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{WD}(\mathbf{D}) = \mathbf{WD}(\mathbf{D}),$$

and there is an irreducible smooth cuspidal representation $\pi \in \Omega_r$ over E of type Ω_r such that:

- For all $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have $\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{WD}(\mathbf{D}) \cong \mathbf{WD}_\pi \otimes_E |\text{rec}_L^{-1}|^{k-i}$;
- The monodromy operator N sends $\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{WD}(\mathbf{D})$ to $\text{gr}_{i-1}^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{WD}(\mathbf{D})$ via the zero or identity map on \mathbf{WD}_π for $2 \leq i \leq k$, and sends $\text{gr}_1^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{WD}(\mathbf{D})$ to zero.

Note that the formulation on the second assumption originated from the fact that any F -semi-simple Weil-Deligne representation is isomorphic to a direct sum of (absolutely) indecomposable objects. In particular, the second assumption implies that the F -semisimplification $\mathbf{WD}(\mathbf{D})^{F-\text{ss}}$ of $\mathbf{WD}(\mathbf{D})$ is an absolutely indecomposable (but not irreducible) F -semi-simple Weil-Deligne representation if and only if the monodromy operator N has full monodromy rank (i.e., $N^{k-1} \neq 0$ and $N^k = 0$).

By [19, Proposition 4.1], the special $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration \mathcal{F} on $\mathbf{WD}(\mathbf{D})$ corresponds to an increasing special $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration on $\mathbf{DF}(\mathbf{D})$ (still denoted by \mathcal{F}) by Deligne-Fontaine submodules

$$\mathcal{F} = \text{Fil}_\bullet^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{DF}(\mathbf{D}) : 0 = \text{Fil}_0^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{DF}(\mathbf{D}) \subsetneq \text{Fil}_1^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{DF}(\mathbf{D}) \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \text{Fil}_k^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{DF}(\mathbf{D}) = \mathbf{DF}(\mathbf{D}),$$

such that $\text{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{DF}(\mathbf{D})$ is associated to $\text{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{WD}(\mathbf{D})$ via [19, Proposition 4.1]. We then see that

$$\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{DF}(\mathbf{D}) \cong (\varphi_{\pi,i}, N_{\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{DF}(\mathbf{D})} = 0, \text{Gal}(L'/L), \mathbf{DF}_{\pi,i})$$

for $1 \leq i \leq k$, where $\mathbf{DF}_{\pi,i}$ is isomorphic to \mathbf{DF}_π as a module, endowed with a Frobenius morphism $\varphi_{\pi,i} = p^{i-k} \varphi_\pi$ (i.e., a twist of φ_π by p^{i-k}). The monodromy operator N on Deligne-Fontaine module

$\mathbf{DF}(\mathbf{D})$ is zero on $(p^{1-k}\varphi_\pi, N=0, \text{Gal}(L'/L), \mathbf{DF}_\pi)$, and sending $(p^{i-k}\varphi_\pi, N=0, \text{Gal}(L'/L), \mathbf{DF}_\pi)$ to $(p^{(i-1)-k}\varphi_\pi, N=0, \text{Gal}(L'/L), \mathbf{DF}_\pi)$ via the zero or identity map on \mathbf{DF}_π for $2 \leq i \leq k$.

Let $\Delta_{\mathbf{D}}$ be the p -adic differential equation over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}$ associated to the Deligne-Fontaine module $\mathbf{DF}(\mathbf{D})$. The special $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration on $\mathbf{DF}(\mathbf{D})$ induces a special $\Omega_{[1,k]}^{\mathcal{F}}$ -filtration $\text{Fil}_{\bullet}^{\mathcal{F}} \Delta_{\mathbf{D}} = \{\text{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \Delta_{\mathbf{D}}\}$ on $\Delta_{\mathbf{D}}$ by saturated (φ, Γ) -submodules over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}$, such that $\text{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \Delta_{\mathbf{D}}$ is the p -adic differential equation over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}$ associated to $\text{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{DF}(\mathbf{D})$. In particular, we see that $\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \Delta_{\mathbf{D}} \cong \Delta_\pi \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E,L}(\text{unr}(q_L^{i-k}))$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Consider

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{D}} = \mathbf{D}\left[\frac{1}{t}\right] \cong \Delta_{\mathbf{D}}\left[\frac{1}{t}\right]$$

By inverting t , the filtration \mathcal{F} on $\Delta_{\mathbf{D}}$ induces an increasing filtration $\mathcal{F} := \text{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{D}} := \text{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \Delta_{\mathbf{D}}\left[\frac{1}{t}\right]$ on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{D}}$ by (φ, Γ) -submodules over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}\left[\frac{1}{t}\right]$. Therefore, this filtration \mathcal{F} on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{D}} = \mathbf{D}\left[\frac{1}{t}\right]$ induces a filtration on \mathbf{D} :

$$\mathcal{F} = \text{Fil}_{\bullet}^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D} : 0 = \text{Fil}_0^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D} \subsetneq \text{Fil}_1^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \text{Fil}_k^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}, \text{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D} = (\text{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{D}}) \cap \mathbf{D},$$

by saturated (φ, Γ) -submodules of \mathbf{D} over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}$.

Since \mathbf{D} is potentially semistable, it is de Rham. Hence we have

$$D_{\text{dR}}(\mathbf{D}) \cong (D_{\text{pst}}(\mathbf{D}) \otimes_{L'} L')^{\text{Gal}(L'/L)},$$

which is a free $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module of rank n . The module $D_{\text{dR}}(\mathbf{D})$ is equipped with a natural Hodge filtration. We assume that $D_{\text{dR}}(\mathbf{D})$ has distinct Hodge-Tate weights $\mathbf{h} := (\mathbf{h}_{\tau,1} > \mathbf{h}_{\tau,2} > \cdots > \mathbf{h}_{\tau,n})_{\tau \in \Sigma_L}$. Denote by $\mathbf{h}_i = (\mathbf{h}_{\tau,i})_{\tau \in \Sigma_L}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Now by Berger's equivalence of categories, we see that $\text{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D}$ corresponds to the filtered Deligne-Fontaine module $\text{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{DF}(\mathbf{D})$ equipped with the induced filtration from the Hodge filtration on $D_{\text{pst}}(\mathbf{D})$. We say that \mathcal{F} is **non-critical** if the Hodge-Tate weights of $\text{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D}$ are given by

$$\{\mathbf{h}_{\tau,1}, \mathbf{h}_{\tau,2}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{\tau,ir}\}_{\tau \in \Sigma_L}.$$

This implies that the Hodge-Tate weights of $\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D}$ are

$$\{\mathbf{h}_{\tau,(i-1)r+1}, \mathbf{h}_{\tau,(i-1)r+2}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{\tau,ir}\}_{\tau \in \Sigma_L}.$$

In this case, using Berger's equivalence of categories [4, Theorem A] and comparing the weight (or see [12, (2.4)]), we have an injection of (φ, Γ) -modules over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}$

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_i : \text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D} &\hookrightarrow \Delta_\pi \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E,L}(\text{unr}(q_L^{i-k})) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E,L}(z^{\mathbf{h}_{ir}}) \\ &= \text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \Delta_{\mathbf{D}} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E,L}(z^{\mathbf{h}_{ir}}), \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Note that this injection (2.2) is equivalent to the existence of an isomorphism of (φ, Γ) -modules over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}[1/t]$: $\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}}[1/t] \cong \text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \Delta_{\mathbf{D}} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E,L}(z^{\mathbf{h}_{ir}})[1/t]$ or an injection (by comparing Hodge-Tate weights)

$$\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \Delta_{\mathbf{D}} \hookrightarrow \text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E,L}(z^{\mathbf{h}_{(i-1)r+1}}).$$

This implies that the (φ, Γ) -module \mathbf{D} admits a non-critical $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration \mathcal{F} . The parameters of \mathcal{F} in $(\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)},L}$ or $(\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)},\mathcal{O}_L}$ are given as follows. Recall that $\pi \cong \pi_0 \otimes \text{unr}(\alpha_\pi)$ for some $\alpha_\pi \in E^\times$.

Definition 2.2. (*Non-critical special weakly $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration*) Keep the above assumption. We say that the (φ, Γ) -module \mathbf{D} admits a non-critical special weakly $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration \mathcal{F} with parameter

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} (\mathbf{x}_\pi, \delta_{\mathbf{h}}) &\in (\mathrm{Spec} \, \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, L}, \\ \mathbf{x}_\pi &= (\mathbf{x}_{\pi, i} \cong x_\pi)_{1 \leq i \leq k}, \\ \delta_{\mathbf{h}} &:= (\delta_{\mathbf{h}, i} = \mathrm{unr}(q_L^{i-k}) z^{\mathbf{h}_{ir}})_{1 \leq i \leq k}, \end{aligned}$$

or with parameter

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_\pi, \tilde{\delta}_{\mathbf{h}}) &\in (\mathrm{Spec} \, \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}, \\ \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_\pi, \mathbf{h} &= (\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\pi, i})_{1 \leq i \leq k}, \quad \pi \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\pi, i} \cong \pi_0 \otimes_E \mathrm{unr}(\alpha_\pi q_L^{i-k} z^{\mathbf{h}_{ir}}(\varpi_L)), \\ \tilde{\delta}_{\mathbf{h}} &= (\tilde{\delta}_{\mathbf{h}, i} = z^{\mathbf{h}_{ir}}|_{\mathcal{O}_L^\times})_{1 \leq i \leq k}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.3. For convenience, we may use these two kinds of parameters depending on the situation. By [12, Lemma 4.1.9], the parameters of \mathcal{F} in $(\mathrm{Spec} \, \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, L}$ or $(\mathrm{Spec} \, \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}$ are in general not unique. All the parameters of \mathcal{F} in $(\mathrm{Spec} \, \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}$ are of form $(\underline{x}', \delta')$ such that, for $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have $\mathbf{WD}_{x_i} \cong \mathbf{WD}_{\mathbf{x}_{\pi, i}} \otimes_E \mathrm{unr}(\alpha_i)$ and $\delta'_i = \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i} \mathrm{unr}(\alpha_i^{-1}) \eta_i$ for some $\alpha_i \in \overline{E}^\times$ and $\eta_i \in \mu_{\Omega_{r, i}} = \mu_{\Omega_r}$. All the parameters of \mathcal{F} in $(\mathrm{Spec} \, \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}$ are of the form $(\underline{x}', \delta'')$ such that, for $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have $\mathbf{WD}_{x_i} \cong \mathbf{WD}_{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\pi, i}} \otimes_E \mathrm{unr}(\eta_i(\varpi_L))$ and $\delta''_i = z^{\mathbf{h}_{ir}} \eta_i|_{\mathcal{O}_L^\times}$ for some $\eta_i \in \mu_{\Omega_r}$.

Definition 2.4. (*Non-critical special $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration*) We call an $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration \mathcal{F} on \mathbf{D} is non-critical special with parameter

$$(\mathbf{x}_\pi, \delta_{\mathbf{h}}) \in (\mathrm{Spec} \, \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, L},$$

(resp., with parameter $(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_\pi, \tilde{\delta}_{\mathbf{h}}) \in (\mathrm{Spec} \, \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}$) if \mathbf{D} admits a non-critical special weakly $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration \mathcal{F} (see Definition 2.2) with parameter $(\mathbf{x}_\pi, \delta_{\mathbf{h}}) \in (\mathrm{Spec} \, \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, L}$ (resp., with parameter $(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_\pi, \tilde{\delta}_{\mathbf{h}}) \in (\mathrm{Spec} \, \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}$), and the subquotient \mathbf{D}_i^{i+1} is non-split for all $i \in \Delta_n(k)$.

Throughout section 2, we fix such a (φ, Γ) -module \mathbf{D} (in Definition 2.2 or Definition 2.4). For $1 \leq i \leq j \leq k$, we put $\mathbf{D}_i^j := \mathrm{Fil}_j^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D} / \mathrm{Fil}_{i-1}^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D}$. We also use \mathcal{F} to denote the induced non-critical special $\Omega_{[i,j]}$ -filtration on \mathbf{D}_i^j , where $\Omega_{[i,j]} := \prod_{l=i}^j \Omega_{r, l}$. Clearly, a parameter of this non-critical special $\Omega_{[i,j]}$ -filtration is $((\mathbf{x}_{0, s})_{i \leq s \leq j}, (\delta_{\mathbf{h}, s})_{i \leq s \leq j})$.

2.3. Deformation of type $\Omega_{[1,k]}$. In this section, we study certain paraboline deformations of (φ, Γ) -modules which admit an $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration, under the framework of [12, Section 4.1].

We first recall the contents of [12, Section 4.1.1]. Let Δ be an irreducible (φ, Γ) -module of rank r over $\mathcal{R}_{E, L}$, de Rham of constant Hodge-Tate weight 0. Let D be a (φ, Γ) -module of rank r over $\mathcal{R}_{E, L}$ such that there exists a continuous character $\delta : L^\times \rightarrow E^\times$ such that we have an injection $D \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}} \mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\delta^{-1}) \hookrightarrow \Delta$ of (φ, Γ) -modules of rank r over $\mathcal{R}_{E, L}$.

In [12, Section 4.1.1], the authors consider the following functor:

$$F_D^0 : \text{Art}(E) := \{\text{Artinian local } E\text{-algebra with residue field } E\} \longrightarrow \{\text{sets}\}$$

sends A to the set of isomorphism classes $\{(D_A, \pi_A, \delta_A)\} / \sim$, where

- (1) D_A is a (φ, Γ) -module of rank r over $\mathcal{R}_{A,L}$ with $\pi_A : D_A \otimes_A E \cong D$;
- (2) $\delta_A : L^\times \rightarrow A^\times$ is a continuous character such that $\delta_A \equiv \delta \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_A}$;
- (3) there exists an injection of (φ, Γ) -modules of rank r over $\mathcal{R}_{A,L}$: $D_A \hookrightarrow \Delta \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{A,L}(\delta_A)$.

Remark 2.5. *We remark some easy facts about F_D^0 . We consider such deformations for two reasons.*

- *We consider $D_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$ of D over $E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$. The condition that $D_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$ is a subobject of the form $\Delta \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{A,L}(\delta_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2})$ is more flexible than that $D_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$ itself is of that form. In fact, by [12, Proposition 4.1.4]) or Lemma 2.9, we see that the following map*

$$\text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(D, D) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(D, \Delta \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E,L}(\delta))$$

is not injective, and its kernel has dimension $d_L \frac{r(r-1)}{2}$.

- *We can view $F_D^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$ as a "determinant" part of $F_D(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$, which is easier to control.*

Recall that in Definition 2.2 we have fixed a (φ, Γ) -module \mathbf{D} which admits a non-critical special weakly $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration \mathcal{F} with parameter $(\mathbf{x}_\pi, \delta_{\mathbf{h}}) \in (\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, L}$.

Denote by $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0$ the deformation functor

$$F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0 : \text{Art}(E) := \{\text{Artinian local } E\text{-algebra with residue field } E\} \longrightarrow \{\text{sets}\}$$

sends A to the set of isomorphism classes $\{(D_A, \pi_A, \mathcal{F}_A, \delta_A)\} / \sim$, where

- (1) D_A is a (φ, Γ) -module of rank n over $\mathcal{R}_{A,L}$ with $\pi_A : D_A \otimes_A E \cong \mathbf{D}$;
- (2) $\mathcal{F}_A = \text{Fil}_\bullet D_A$ is an increasing filtration of (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathcal{R}_{A,L}$ on D_A , such that $\text{Fil}_i D_A$ are direct summand of D_A as $\mathcal{R}_{A,L}$ -modules, and $\pi_A(\text{Fil}_i D_A) \cong \text{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D}$;
- (3) $\delta_A = (\delta_{A,i})_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ where $\delta_{A,i} : L^\times \rightarrow A^\times$ is a continuous character such that $\delta_{A,i} \equiv \delta_{\mathbf{h},i} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_A}$;
- (4) there exists an injection of (φ, Γ) -modules of rank r over $\mathcal{R}_{A,L}$:

$$(2.5) \quad \text{gr}_i D_A \hookrightarrow \Delta_\pi \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{A,L}(\delta_{A,i}).$$

Note that the injection (2.5) is equivalent to the existence of an isomorphism of (φ, Γ) -modules over $\mathcal{R}_{A,L}[1/t]$: $\text{gr}_i D_A[1/t] \cong \Delta_\pi \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{A,L}(\delta_{A,i})[1/t]$. This deformation functor encodes certain parabolic deformations of (φ, Γ) -modules of \mathbf{D} which admit an $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration.

Define by $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}$ the deformation functor which sends $A \in \text{Art}(E)$ to the isomorphism classes $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}(A) := \{(D_A, \pi_A, \mathcal{F}_A)\} / \sim$. Moreover, the map sending the data $\{(D_A, \pi_A, \mathcal{F}_A, \delta_A)\}$ (resp.,

$\{(D_A, \pi_A, \mathcal{F}_A)\}$ to $\{(\mathrm{gr}_i D_A, \pi_A|_{\mathrm{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} D_A}, \delta_{A,i})_{1 \leq i \leq k}\}$ (resp., $\{(\mathrm{gr}_i D_A, \pi_A|_{\mathrm{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} D_A}\})$) induces a natural morphism

$$(2.6) \quad \Upsilon^0 : F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0 \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^k F_{\mathrm{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D}}^0 \quad (\text{resp., } \Upsilon : F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^k F_{\mathrm{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D}})$$

By definition, we have a natural morphism $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0 \rightarrow F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}$. We see that

$$F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0 = \mathcal{F}_{D, \mathcal{F}} \times_{\prod_{i=1}^k F_{\mathrm{gr}_i D}} \prod_{i=1}^k F_{\mathrm{gr}_i D}^0.$$

We have the following E -linear maps

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \kappa : F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) &\xrightarrow{\Upsilon^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)} \prod_{i=1}^k F_{\mathrm{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} D}^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \\ &\xrightarrow{\omega^0} \prod_{i=1}^k \mathrm{Hom}(L^\times, E) \xrightarrow{\kappa_L} \prod_{ir \in \Delta_n(k)} \mathrm{Hom}(L^\times, E), \end{aligned}$$

where the first map is obtained by evaluating the morphism Υ^0 on $E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$ -points, and the second map is given by $((D_{A,i}, \pi_{A,i}, \delta_{A,i}))_{1 \leq i \leq k} \mapsto ((\delta_i^{-1} - 1)/\epsilon)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$. The last map κ_L sends $(\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_k)$ to $(\psi_i - \psi_{i+1})_{ir \in \Delta_n(k)}$. By definition, the composition of $\Upsilon^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$ and ω^0 is given by

$$(\mathbf{D}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}, \pi_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}, \mathcal{F}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}, \delta_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}) \mapsto ((\delta_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2, i} \delta_i^{-1} - 1)/\epsilon)_{1 \leq i \leq k}.$$

In Section 2.5, we see that the functor $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0$ is pro-representable. We next compute the E -dimension of tangent space $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$ by using Colmez-Greenberg-Stevens formula.

Remark 2.6. *The deformation functor $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0$ in [12, Section 4.1.2] has generic parameters ([12, (4.13)]). This functor is formally smooth, which has a simpler structure than our $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0$. Proposition 4.1.17 in [12] also computes its dimension. Note that the parameters of our non-critical special weakly $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration \mathcal{F} are non-generic, thus the discussions in [12, Section 4.1.2] are not valid for our case. We study our deformation functor $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0$ by working a bit more carefully. One should note that, as opposed to generic case, there are obstructions to parabolic deformations of \mathbf{D} . However, these obstructions to parabolic deformations are characterized by the parabolic Fontaine-Mazur simple \mathcal{L} -invariants (see Section 2.4 and Section 2.5 below). This result overcomes the above difficulty.*

2.4. Parabolic Fontaine-Mazur simple \mathcal{L} -invariants. Suppose that \mathbf{D} admits a non-critical special weakly $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration \mathcal{F} (see Definition 2.2) with parameter $(\mathbf{x}_\pi, \delta_{\mathbf{h}}) \in (\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, L}$. In this section, we will attach to (φ, Γ) -module \mathbf{D} the parabolic Fontaine-Mazur simple \mathcal{L} -invariants $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D})$.

For $1 \leq i \leq k$, we write

$$\mathbf{D}_i := \mathrm{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D}, \quad \Delta_{\pi, i} := \Delta_{\pi \otimes \mathcal{R}_{E, L}} \mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\delta_{\mathbf{h}, i})$$

for simplicity. We first explain the construction of pairing (1.2)

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_{i+1}, \mathbf{D}_i) \times \operatorname{Hom}(L^\times, E) & (\cong H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathcal{R}_{E, L})) \\ & \xrightarrow{\cup} E (\cong \operatorname{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\delta_{\mathbf{h}, i} \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i+1}^{-1}))) \end{aligned}$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. By [38], the cup product induces a pairing

$$(2.9) \quad \operatorname{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_{i+1}, \mathbf{D}_i) \times \operatorname{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_i, \mathbf{D}_i) \xrightarrow{\cup} \operatorname{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_{i+1}, \mathbf{D}_i).$$

We will show below that $\dim_E \operatorname{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_{i+1}, \mathbf{D}_i) = \dim_E \operatorname{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_i, \mathbf{D}_i) = 1 + r^2$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_{i+1}, \mathbf{D}_i) \cong E$. If $r > 1$, this pairing is not perfect. Roughly speaking, our pairing (2.8) is obtained by considering the cup product of the first term $\operatorname{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_{i+1}, \mathbf{D}_i)$ and a certain subspace $\operatorname{Hom}(L^\times, E)$ of the second term $\operatorname{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_i, \mathbf{D}_i)$ in (2.9). We will prove that (2.8) is non-degenerate (but may not be perfect). Then the parabolic Fontaine-Mazur simple \mathcal{L} -invariants are defined in terms of this pairing. We need the following preliminaries.

The following lemma is a direct consequence of [12, Lemma 4.1.12].

Lemma 2.7. *We have*

(a) *For any $j \neq i-1$, we have*

$$H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_i, \mathbf{D}_j) \cong H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\mathbf{D}_j, \mathbf{D}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}} \mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\chi_{\text{cyc}})) = 0.$$

(b) *For any $i \neq j$, we have $H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\mathbf{D}_i, \mathbf{D}_j) = 0$.*

Proof. Note that for the pair (i, j) such that $j \neq i-1$, $(\mathbf{x}_{\pi, i}, \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i})$ and $(\mathbf{x}_{\pi, j}, \delta_{\mathbf{h}, j})$ satisfy the generic condition of [12, (4.13)]. Then (a) is a direct consequence of [12, Lemma 4.1.12]. When $i \neq j$, the proof of $H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\mathbf{D}_i, \mathbf{D}_j) = 0$ in [12, Lemma 4.1.12] is also appropriate for our case (but is false for $H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_i, \mathbf{D}_{i-1})$). \square

By (2.2), the injections

$$\mathbf{I}_i : \mathbf{D}_i \hookrightarrow \Delta_{\pi, i}, \quad \mathbf{I}_{i+1} : \mathbf{D}_{i+1} \hookrightarrow \Delta_{\pi, i+1}.$$

induces the following natural morphisms:

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^j(\mathbf{D}_{i+1}, \mathbf{D}_i) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{I}_i^{2, j}} \operatorname{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^j(\mathbf{D}_{i+1}, \Delta_{\pi, i}) \xleftarrow{\mathbf{I}_{i+1}^{1, j}} \operatorname{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^j(\Delta_{\pi, i+1}, \Delta_{\pi, i}), \\ \operatorname{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^j(\Delta_{\pi, i+1}, \Delta_{\pi, i}) & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{I}_i^{2, j}} \operatorname{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^j(\Delta_{\pi, i+1}, \mathbf{D}_i) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{I}_{i+1}^{1, j}} \operatorname{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^j(\mathbf{D}_{i+1}, \mathbf{D}_i). \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 0, 1, 2$.

Lemma 2.8. *For $l \in \{1, 2\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, the morphisms $\mathbf{I}_i^{l, j}$ and $\mathbf{I}_{i+1}^{l, j}$ in (2.10) induce isomorphisms.*

Proof. Let $D_0 \hookrightarrow D_1$ be an injection of (ϕ, Γ) -modules over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}$ with the same rank. After identifying the cohomology of (φ, Γ) -modules and the Galois cohomology of E - B -pairs (for example [39, Section 3]), we deduce from the morphism $D_0 \hookrightarrow D_1$ a long exact sequence

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(D_0) &\longrightarrow H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(D_1) \longrightarrow H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(D_1/D_0) \\ &\longrightarrow H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(D_0) \longrightarrow H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(D_1) \longrightarrow H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(D_1/D_0) \\ &\longrightarrow H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(D_0) \longrightarrow H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(D_1) \longrightarrow H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(D_1/D_0). \end{aligned}$$

By [38, Theorem 4.7], we have $H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(D_1/D_0) = 0$ and

$$(2.12) \quad \dim_E H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(D_1/D_0) = \dim_E H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(D_1/D_0).$$

For a (φ, Γ) -module D' over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}$, denoted by $W_{\text{dR}}^+(D')$ the $B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -representation of Gal_L associated to D' . For $\tau \in \Sigma_L$, let $B_{\text{dR}, \tau, E}^+ := B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{L, \tau} E$. By [14, Lemma 5.1.1], we have

$$(2.13) \quad H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(D_1/D_0) \cong H^0(\text{Gal}_L, W_{\text{dR}}^+(D_1)/W_{\text{dR}}^+(D_0)),$$

Applying the above discussion to the pairs

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} (D_0, D_1) &= (\mathbf{D}_{i+1}^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathbf{D}_i, \mathbf{D}_{i+1}^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \Delta_{\pi, i}), \\ \text{resp., } &(\Delta_{\pi, i+1}^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \Delta_{\pi, i}, \mathbf{D}_{i+1}^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \Delta_{\pi, i}), \\ \text{resp., } &(\Delta_{\pi, i+1}^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathbf{D}_i, \Delta_{\pi, i+1}^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \Delta_{\pi, i}), \\ \text{resp., } &(\Delta_{\pi, i+1}^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathbf{D}_i, \mathbf{D}_{i+1}^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathbf{D}_i). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} &W_{\text{dR}}^+(\mathbf{D}_{i+1}^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \Delta_{\pi, i})/W_{\text{dR}}^+(\mathbf{D}_{i+1}^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathbf{D}_i) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Sigma_L} \bigoplus_{s=1}^r \bigoplus_{l=1}^r t^{\mathbf{h}_{\tau, ir} - \mathbf{h}_{\tau, ir+s}} B_{\text{dR}, \tau, E}^+ / t^{\mathbf{h}_{\tau, (i-1)r+l} - \mathbf{h}_{\tau, ir+s}} B_{\text{dR}, \tau, E}^+, \\ \text{resp., } &W_{\text{dR}}^+(\Delta_{\pi, i+1}^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \Delta_{\pi, i})/W_{\text{dR}}^+(\Delta_{\pi, i+1}^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \Delta_{\pi, i}) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Sigma_L} \bigoplus_{s=1}^r \bigoplus_{l=1}^r t^{\mathbf{h}_{\tau, ir} - \mathbf{h}_{\tau, ir+s}} B_{\text{dR}, \tau, E}^+ / t^{\mathbf{h}_{\tau, ir} - \mathbf{h}_{\tau, (i+1)r}} B_{\text{dR}, \tau, E}^+, \\ \text{resp., } &W_{\text{dR}}^+(\Delta_{\pi, i+1}^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \Delta_{\pi, i})/W_{\text{dR}}^+(\Delta_{\pi, i+1}^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathbf{D}_i) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Sigma_L} \bigoplus_{s=1}^r \bigoplus_{l=1}^r t^{\mathbf{h}_{\tau, ir} - \mathbf{h}_{\tau, (i+1)r}} B_{\text{dR}, \tau, E}^+ / t^{\mathbf{h}_{\tau, (i-1)r+l} - \mathbf{h}_{\tau, (i+1)r}} B_{\text{dR}, \tau, E}^+, \\ \text{resp., } &W_{\text{dR}}^+(\mathbf{D}_{i+1}^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathbf{D}_i)/W_{\text{dR}}^+(\Delta_{\pi, i+1}^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathbf{D}_i) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Sigma_L} \bigoplus_{s=1}^r \bigoplus_{l=1}^r t^{\mathbf{h}_{\tau, (i-1)r+l} - \mathbf{h}_{\tau, ir+s}} B_{\text{dR}, \tau, E}^+ / t^{\mathbf{h}_{\tau, (i-1)r+l} - \mathbf{h}_{\tau, (i+1)r}} B_{\text{dR}, \tau, E}^+. \end{aligned}$$

All the choices of (D_0, D_1) satisfy $H^0(\text{Gal}_L, W_{\text{dR}}^+(D_1)/W_{\text{dR}}^+(D_0)) = 0$. Then we have

$$H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(D_1/D_0) \cong H^1(\text{Gal}_L, W_{\text{dR}}^+(D_1)/W_{\text{dR}}^+(D_0)) = 0.$$

Now our lemma is a direct consequence of the long exact sequence (2.11). \square

The injection $\mathbf{I}_i : \mathbf{D}_i \hookrightarrow \Delta_{\pi, i}$ also induces morphisms

$$(2.16) \quad \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_i, \mathbf{D}_i) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{I}'_i} \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_i, \Delta_{\pi, i}) \xleftarrow{\mathbf{I}''_i} \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\Delta_{\pi, i}, \Delta_{\pi, i}).$$

Lemma 2.9. *The morphism \mathbf{I}_i'' is an isomorphism, and $\dim_E \ker(\mathbf{I}_i') = d_L(1 + \frac{r(r-1)}{2})$.*

Proof. Proof of the injectivity of [12, Proposition 4.1.4, (4.10)] and (2.11), (2.12) show that \mathbf{I}_i'' is an isomorphism. The dimension of the kernel $\ker(\mathbf{I}_i')$ is given in the last paragraph of the [12, Proof of Proposition 4.1.4]). \square

Therefore for any $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, all morphisms in (2.10) and (2.16) fit into the following commutative diagram:

(2.17)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_{i+1}, \mathbf{D}_i) & \times & \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_i, \mathbf{D}_i) & \xrightarrow{c_1} & \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_{i+1}, \mathbf{D}_i) \\
\parallel & & \downarrow \mathbf{I}_i' & & \simeq \downarrow \mathbf{I}_i^{2,2} \\
\mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_{i+1}, \mathbf{D}_i) & \times & \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_i, \Delta_{\pi, i}) & \xrightarrow{c_2} & \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_{i+1}, \Delta_{\pi, i}) \\
\simeq \downarrow \mathbf{I}_i^{2,1} & & \simeq \uparrow \mathbf{I}_i'' & & \parallel \\
\mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_{i+1}, \Delta_{\pi, i}) & \times & \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\Delta_{\pi, i}, \Delta_{\pi, i}) & \xrightarrow{c_3} & \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_{i+1}, \Delta_{\pi, i}) \\
\simeq \uparrow \mathbf{I}_{i+1}^{1,1} & & \parallel & & \simeq \uparrow \mathbf{I}_{i+1}^{1,2} \\
\mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\Delta_{\pi, i+1}, \Delta_{\pi, i}) & \times & \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\Delta_{\pi, i}, \Delta_{\pi, i}) & \xrightarrow{c_4} & \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\Delta_{\pi, i+1}, \Delta_{\pi, i}).
\end{array}$$

Recall the E - B -pairs defined in [39]. By [39, Theorem 1.36], there exists an equivalence of category between the category of E - B -pairs and the category of (φ, Γ) -modules over $\mathcal{R}_{E, L}$. Let $\chi : L^\times \rightarrow E^\times$ be a continuous character, and let $B_E(\chi)$ be the rank-one E - B -pair associated to χ (see [39, Theorem 1.45]).

Put $\mathrm{End}(\Delta_\pi) := \Delta_\pi \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}} \Delta_\pi^\vee$ and $\mathrm{End}^0(\Delta_\pi) = \mathrm{End}(\Delta_\pi) / \mathcal{R}_{E, L} = (\Delta_\pi \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}} \Delta_\pi^\vee) / \mathcal{R}_{E, L}$. Then we have $\mathrm{End}(\Delta_\pi) = \mathrm{End}^0(\Delta_\pi) \oplus \mathcal{R}_{E, L}$. Let $\mathbf{W} = (\mathbf{W}_e, \mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+)$ (resp., $\mathbf{W}^0 := (\mathbf{W}_e^0, \mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{dR}}^{0,+})$) be the E - B -pair associated to the (φ, Γ) -module $\mathrm{End}(\Delta_\pi)$ (resp., $\mathrm{End}^0(\Delta_\pi)$). We put $\mathbf{W}(\chi) := \mathbf{W} \otimes_E B_E(\chi)$ (resp., $\mathbf{W}^0(\chi) := \mathbf{W}^0 \otimes_E B_E(\chi)$). Using E - B -pairs, we show that

Lemma 2.10. *The cup products c_2 , c_3 and c_4 in (2.17) are perfect pairings.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that c_4 is perfect. Note that the natural morphism

$$j : \mathbf{W}(\delta_{\mathbf{h}, i} \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i+1}^{-1}) \rightarrow \mathbf{W}(\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}})$$

(induced by $B_E(\delta_{\mathbf{h}, i} \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i+1}^{-1}) \hookrightarrow B_E(\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}})$) gives an exact sequence of Gal_L -complexes,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \longrightarrow & [\mathbf{W}(\delta_{\mathbf{h}, i} \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i+1}^{-1})_e \oplus \mathbf{W}(\delta_{\mathbf{h}, i} \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i+1}^{-1})_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{W}(\delta_{\mathbf{h}, i} \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i+1}^{-1})_{\mathrm{dR}}] \\
\longrightarrow & [\mathbf{W}(\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}})_e \oplus \mathbf{W}(\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}})_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{W}(\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}})_{\mathrm{dR}}] \\
\longrightarrow & [\oplus_{\tau \in \Sigma_L} tB_{\mathrm{dR}, \tau, E}^+ / t^{\mathbf{h}_{\tau, i\tau} - \mathbf{h}_{\tau, (i+1)\tau}} B_{\mathrm{dR}, \tau, E}^+ \rightarrow 0] \longrightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $H^i(\mathrm{Gal}_L, \oplus_{\tau \in \Sigma_L} tB_{\mathrm{dR}, \tau, E}^+ / t^{\mathbf{h}_{\tau, i\tau} - \mathbf{h}_{\tau, (i+1)\tau}} B_{\mathrm{dR}, \tau, E}^+) = 0$ for any $i \geq 0$. We see that j induces isomorphisms $H^i(\mathrm{Gal}_L, \mathbf{W}(\delta_{\mathbf{h}, i} \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i+1}^{-1})) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(\mathrm{Gal}_L, \mathbf{W}(\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}))$ for all $i \geq 0$. Furthermore, the following

diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\Delta_{\pi, i+1}, \Delta_{\pi, i}) & \times & \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\Delta_{\pi, i}, \Delta_{\pi, i}) \xrightarrow[c_4]{\cup} \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\Delta_{\pi, i+1}, \Delta_{\pi, i}) \\
\downarrow \simeq & & \parallel \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \simeq \\
\mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\Delta_{\pi}, \Delta_{\pi}(\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}})) & \times & \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\Delta_{\pi}(\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}), \Delta_{\pi}(\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}})) \xrightarrow{\cup} \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\Delta_{\pi}, \Delta_{\pi}(\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}})),
\end{array}$$

where $\Delta_{\pi}(\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}) := \Delta_{\pi} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}} \mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ and $\mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\Delta_{\pi, i+1}, \Delta_{\pi, i}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\Delta_{\pi}, \Delta_{\pi}(\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}})) \cong E$. The bottom cup product is perfect by using Tate duality. This completes the proof. \square

The second term of (2.8) is given as follows. By the decomposition $\mathrm{End}(\Delta_{\pi}) = \mathrm{End}^0(\Delta_{\pi}) \oplus \mathcal{R}_{E, L}$, we have decompositions

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^i(\Delta_{\pi, i+1}, \Delta_{\pi, i}) \\
(2.18) \quad &= \mathrm{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^i(\mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\delta_{\mathbf{h}, i} \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i+1}^{-1})) \oplus \mathrm{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^i(\mathrm{End}^0(\Delta_{\pi}) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}} \mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\delta_{\mathbf{h}, i} \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i+1}^{-1})) \\
& \text{resp., } \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\Delta_{\pi, i}, \Delta_{\pi, i}) = \mathrm{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathcal{R}_{E, L}) \oplus \mathrm{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathrm{End}^0(\Delta_{\pi})).
\end{aligned}$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2$. These induce the injections

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_i^1 : \mathrm{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\delta_{\mathbf{h}, i} \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i+1}^{-1})) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\Delta_{\pi, i+1}, \Delta_{\pi, i}), \\
(2.19) \quad & \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_i^2 : \mathrm{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\delta_{\mathbf{h}, i} \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i+1}^{-1})) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\Delta_{\pi, i+1}, \Delta_{\pi, i}), \\
& \text{resp., } \tilde{\mathbf{I}}'_i : \mathrm{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathcal{R}_{E, L}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(L^{\times}, E) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\Delta_{\pi, i}, \Delta_{\pi, i}).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.11. *The morphism $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_i^2$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. By using Tate duality, it suffices to prove that

$$(\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_i^2)^{\vee} : \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\mathrm{End}(\Delta_{\pi}) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}} \mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}} \cdot \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i}^{-1} \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i+1})) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}} \cdot \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i}^{-1} \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i+1}))$$

is an isomorphism, i.e., $\mathrm{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\mathrm{End}^0(\Delta_{\pi}) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}} \mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}} \cdot \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i}^{-1} \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i+1})) = 0$. Indeed, the unique (up to scalar) nonzero element in $\mathrm{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}} \cdot \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i}^{-1} \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i+1})) \cong E$ corresponds to an injection $\mathcal{R}_{E, L} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}} \cdot \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i}^{-1} \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i+1})$ that

$$\mathbf{W}^0(\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}} \cdot \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i}^{-1} \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i+1})_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ / \mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{dR}}^{0, +} \cong \oplus_{\tau \in \Sigma_L} (t^{\mathbf{h}(i+1)r, \tau} B_{\mathrm{dR}, \tau, E}^+ / B_{\mathrm{dR}, \tau, E}^+)^{\oplus(r^2-1)},$$

we deduce $\mathrm{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\mathrm{End}^0(\Delta_{\pi}) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}} \mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}} \cdot \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i}^{-1} \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i+1}) / \mathrm{End}^0(\Delta_{\pi})) = 0$. By an easy dévissage argument, we see that $\mathrm{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\mathrm{End}^0(\Delta_{\pi}) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}} \mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}} \cdot \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i}^{-1} \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i+1})) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\mathrm{End}^0(\Delta_{\pi})) = 0$ (recall that Δ_{π} is irreducible). This completes the proof. \square

We are ready to define the desired non-degenerate pairing.

Proposition 2.12. *For $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, we have the following non-degenerate pairing:*

$$(2.20) \quad \langle -, - \rangle_{\mathbf{c}_i} : \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_{i+1}, \mathbf{D}_i) \times \mathrm{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathcal{R}_{E, L}) \xrightarrow{\cup} \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\delta_{\mathbf{h}, i} \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i+1}^{-1})) \cong E.$$

Proof. Combining the diagrams (2.17) with (2.19), we get the following commutative diagram:
(2.21)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_{i+1}, \mathbf{D}_i) & \times & \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_i, \mathbf{D}_i) & \xrightarrow{\cup_{c_1}} & \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_{i+1}, \mathbf{D}_i) \\
\parallel & & \downarrow \mathbf{I}'_i & & \simeq \downarrow \mathbf{I}_i^{2,2} \\
\mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_{i+1}, \mathbf{D}_i) & \times & \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_i, \Delta_{\pi, i}) & \xrightarrow{\cup_{c_2}} & \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_{i+1}, \Delta_{\pi, i}) \\
\uparrow (\mathbf{I}_i^{2,1})^{-1} \circ \mathbf{I}_{i+1}^{1,1} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_i^1 & & \uparrow \mathbf{I}_i'' \circ \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_i' & & \simeq \uparrow \mathbf{I}_{i+1}^{1,2} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_i^2 \\
\mathrm{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathbf{h}, i} \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathbf{h}, i+1}^{-1})) & \times & \mathrm{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathcal{R}_{E, L}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(L^\times, E) & \xrightarrow{\cup_{c_5}} & \mathrm{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathbf{h}, i} \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathbf{h}, i+1}^{-1})).
\end{array}$$

Note that $\mathrm{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathbf{h}, i} \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathbf{h}, i+1}^{-1})) \cong E$. The desired pairing is given by the cup product of the $(2, 1)$ -term and $(3, 2)$ -term of this diagram. By definition, this pairing is non-degenerate. \square

For $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, the subobject \mathbf{D}_i^{i+1} gives an extension class $[\mathbf{D}_i^{i+1}] \in \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_{i+1}, \mathbf{D}_i)$. We further put

$$[(\Delta_{\mathbf{D}})_i^{i+1}] = (\mathbf{I}_i^{2,1})^{-1} \circ \mathbf{I}_{i+1}^{1,1}([\mathbf{D}_i^{i+1}]) \in \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\Delta_{\pi, i+1}, \Delta_{\pi, i}).$$

With respect to the direct sum decomposition (2.18), we put

$$(2.22) \quad (\Delta_{\mathbf{D}})_i^{i+1} := (\Delta_{\mathbf{D}})_i^{i+1} \oplus (\Delta_{\mathbf{D}})_i^0,^{i+1},$$

where $(\Delta_{\mathbf{D}})_i^z$ (resp., $(\Delta_{\mathbf{D}})_i^0$) is the projection of $(\Delta_{\mathbf{D}})_i^{i+1}$ to the first (resp., second) factor.

We are ready to define the parabolic Fontaine-Mazur simple \mathcal{L} -invariants of \mathbf{D} .

Definition 2.13. (*Parabolic Fontaine-Mazur simple \mathcal{L} -invariants*) Suppose that \mathbf{D} admits a non-critical special $\Omega_{[1, k]}$ -filtration with parameter

$$(\mathbf{x}_\pi, \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathbf{h}}) \in (\mathrm{Spec} \, \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1, k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, L}$$

(resp., with parameter $(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\pi, \mathbf{h}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}_{\mathbf{h}}) \in (\mathrm{Spec} \, \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1, k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}$).

For $ir \in \Delta_n(k) = \{r, 2r, \dots, (k-1)r\}$, we can attach $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D})_{ir} \subseteq \mathrm{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathcal{R}_{E, L})$ (as a subspace of $\mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\Delta_{\pi, i}, \Delta_{\pi, i})$) by (see Proposition 2.12)

$$(2.23) \quad \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D})_{ir} := \{\psi \in \mathrm{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathcal{R}_{E, L}) \mid \langle \psi, [\mathbf{D}_i^{i+1}] \rangle_{\mathbf{c}_i} = 0\}.$$

We call $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D}) = \prod_{ir \in \Delta_n(k)} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D})_{ir}$ the parabolic simple \mathcal{L} -invariants of \mathbf{D} .

Remark 2.14. By definition, we have $\langle \psi, [\mathbf{D}_i^{i+1}] \rangle_{\mathbf{c}_i} = \langle \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_i'(\psi), (\Delta_{\mathbf{D}})_i^{i+1} \rangle_{c_4}$ (see (2.17)).

Lemma 2.15. The following are equivalent:

- (a) For all $ir \in \Delta_n(k)$, \mathbf{D}_i^{i+1} is potentially semistable and noncrystalline,
- (b) For all $ir \in \Delta_n(k)$, $(\Delta_{\mathbf{D}})_i^z$ is semistable noncrystalline,
- (c) For all $ir \in \Delta_n(k)$, $\mathrm{Hom}_\infty(L^\times, E) \not\subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D})_{ir}$,

(d) We have $N^{k-1} \neq 0$ on $\mathbf{DF}(\mathbf{D})$, i.e. $\mathbf{DF}(\mathbf{D})$ is absolutely indecomposable.

Proof. For any $ir \in \Delta_n(k)$, the cup product induces a perfect paring (see [25, Lemma 1.13])

$$H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathcal{R}_{E,L}(\delta_{\mathbf{h},i}\delta_{\mathbf{h},i+1}^{-1})) \times H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathcal{R}_{E,L}) \xrightarrow{\cup} H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathcal{R}_{E,L}(\delta_{\mathbf{h},s-1}\delta_{\mathbf{h},s}^{-1})) \cong E.$$

Then $H_{(\varphi, \Gamma), e}^1(\mathcal{R}_{E,L}(\delta_{\mathbf{h},i}\delta_{\mathbf{h},i+1}^{-1}))^\perp := \{\psi \in H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathcal{R}_{E,L}) \mid \langle \psi, H_{(\varphi, \Gamma), e}^1(\mathcal{R}_{E,L}(\delta_{\mathbf{h},i}\delta_{\mathbf{h},i+1}^{-1})) \rangle = 0\}$ is isomorphic to $\text{Hom}_\infty(L^\times, E)$ (see [25, Proposition 1.9, Lemma 1.15]). Thus we see that

$$\text{Hom}_\infty(L^\times, E) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D})_{ir}$$

if and only if $(\Delta_{\mathbf{D}}^z)_i^{i+1}$ is crystalline. Therefore (b) and (c) are equivalent.

We next show that (a) is equivalent to (b). Since $\mathbf{D}_i^{i+1}[\frac{1}{t}] = (\Delta_{\mathbf{D}})_i^{i+1}[\frac{1}{t}]$, Part (a) is equivalent to prove that $(\Delta_{\mathbf{D}})_i^{i+1} = (\Delta_{\mathbf{D}}^z)_i^{i+1} \oplus (\Delta_{\mathbf{D}}^0)_i^{i+1}$ is potentially semistable noncrystalline. It suffices to show that $(\Delta_{\mathbf{D}}^0)_i^{i+1}$ is always potentially crystalline. Let L' be a sufficiently large extension of L such that the restriction of $\text{End}^0(\Delta_\pi) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E,L}(\delta_{\mathbf{h},i}\delta_{\mathbf{h},i+1}^{-1})$ to L' is crystalline. Recall that \mathbf{W}^0 (resp., $\mathbf{W}^0(\delta_{\mathbf{h},i}\delta_{\mathbf{h},i+1}^{-1})$) is the E - B -pair associated to $\text{End}^0(\Delta_\pi)$ (resp., $\text{End}^0(\Delta_\pi) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E,L}(\delta_{\mathbf{h},i}\delta_{\mathbf{h},i+1}^{-1})$), see [39, Theorem 1.36]). We are going to show that

$$H_f^1(\text{Gal}_{L'}, \mathbf{W}^0(\delta_{\mathbf{h},i}\delta_{\mathbf{h},i+1}^{-1})) = H^1(\text{Gal}_{L'}, \mathbf{W}^0(\delta_{\mathbf{h},i}\delta_{\mathbf{h},i+1}^{-1})),$$

then [39, Remark 2.5]) implies that $(\Delta_{\mathbf{D}}^0)_i^{i+1}|_{L'}$ is always crystalline. Indeed, by [39, Proposition 2.7]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim_E H_f^1(\text{Gal}_{L'}, \mathbf{W}^0(\delta_{\mathbf{h},s-1}\delta_{\mathbf{h},s}^{-1})) \\ &= \dim_E H^0(\text{Gal}_{L'}, \mathbf{W}^0(\delta_{\mathbf{h},s-1}\delta_{\mathbf{h},s}^{-1})) + \dim_E D_{\text{dR}}(\mathbf{W}^0(\delta_{\mathbf{h},s-1}\delta_{\mathbf{h},s}^{-1}))/\text{Fil}_0^H D_{\text{dR}}(\mathbf{W}^0(\delta_{\mathbf{h},s-1}\delta_{\mathbf{h},s}^{-1})) \\ &= \dim_E H^0(\text{Gal}_{L'}, \mathbf{W}^0(\delta_{\mathbf{h},s-1}\delta_{\mathbf{h},s}^{-1})) + \dim_E D_{\text{dR}}(\mathbf{W}^0(\delta_{\mathbf{h},s-1}\delta_{\mathbf{h},s}^{-1})) \\ &= \dim_E H^1(\text{Gal}_{L'}, \mathbf{W}^0(\delta_{\mathbf{h},s-1}\delta_{\mathbf{h},s}^{-1})). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that (a) and (d) are equivalent. Suppose there exists $i \in \Delta_k$ such that $\mathbf{D}_i^{i+1}|_{L'}$ is crystalline. N is zero on $\mathbf{D}_i^{i+1}|_{L'}$. Then we see that $N^{k-i-1} = 0$ on $D_{\text{pst}}(\mathbf{D}_{i+1}^k)$, $N^{i-1} = 0$ on $D_{\text{pst}}(\mathbf{D}_1^{i-1})$ and $N = 0$ on $D_{\text{pst}}(\mathbf{D}_i^{i+1})$. We deduce from an easy dévissage argument that the monodromy operator $N^{k-1} = N^{(k-i-1)+(i-1)+1} = 0$ on $\mathbf{DF}(\pi)$. The “only if” part follows. Conversely, suppose $\mathbf{D}_i^{i+1}|_{L'}$ is semistable noncrystalline for all $i \in \Delta_k$. By definition, we pick a basis

$$\{v_{1,1}, v_{1,2}, \dots, v_{1,r}\} \cup \dots \cup \{v_{k,1}, v_{k,2}, \dots, v_{k,r}\} \cup \{v_{k-1,1}, v_{k-1,2}, \dots, v_{k-1,r}\}$$

of $D_{\text{pst}}(\mathbf{D})$ such that the matrices of $\varphi_L^{f'}$ and N under this basis is given by

$$\varphi_L^{f'} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & * & * & * \\ q_L \cdot A_2 & * & * & * \\ & \ddots & * & * \\ & & q_L^{(k-1)} \cdot A_k & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * & * \\ & 0 & * & * \\ & & \ddots & * \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where all the $A_i \cong A$ for some matrix $A \in \text{GL}_r(L \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E)$. We use induction on l to show that $N^{l-1} \neq 0$ on $D_{\text{pst}}(\mathbf{D}_1^l)$ and $N^{l-1}v_{l,j} \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq j \leq r$. This is trivial for $l = 2$. Suppose that $N^{l-2} \neq 0$

on $D_{\text{pst}}(\mathbf{D}_1^{l-1})$ and $N^{l-2}A_{l-1}v_{l-1,j} \neq 0$ for some j . Then we have $\varphi^{f'_L}v_{l-1,j} - q_L^{l-1}A_{l-1}v_{l-1,j} \in \mathbf{D}_1^{l-2}$, $\varphi^{f'_L}v_{l,j} - q_L^l A_l v_{l,j} \in \mathbf{D}_1^{l-1}$ and $\varphi^{f'_L}(Nv_{l,j} - v_{l-1,j}) \in D_{\text{pst}}(\mathbf{D}_1^{l-2})$. We show that $N^{l-1}A_l v_{l,j} \neq 0$. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.24) \quad q_L^l N^{l-2} \varphi^{f'_L} N A_l v_{l,j} &= N^{l-2} \varphi^{f'_L} N (\varphi^{f'_L} v_{l,j} + (q_L^l A_l v_{l,j} - \varphi^{f'_L} v_{l,j})) \\
&= N^{l-2} \varphi^{f'_L} N (\varphi^{f'_L} v_{l,j}) = q_L N^{l-2} \varphi^{2f'_L} N v_{l,j} \\
&= q_L N^{l-2} \varphi^{2f'_L} v_{l-1,j} = q_L^2 \varphi^{f'_L} N^{l-2} \varphi^{f'_L} v_{l-1,j} \\
&= q_L^2 \varphi^{f'_L} N^{l-2} (q_L^{l-1} A_{l-1} v_{l-1,j} + (\varphi^{f'_L} v_{l-1,j} - q_L^{l-1} A_{l-1} v_{l-1,j})) \\
&= q_L^2 \varphi^{f'_L} (q_L^{l-1} N^{l-2} A_{l-1} v_{l-1,j}) \neq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $N^{l-2} \varphi^{f'_L} N A_l v_{l,j} = q_L^{l-2} \varphi^{f'_L} N^{l-1} A_l v_{l,j}$, we get that $N^{l-1} A_l v_{l,j} \neq 0$ and hence $N^{l-1} \neq 0$ on $D_{\text{pst}}(\mathbf{D}_1^l)$. This completes the proof. \square

For $\tau \in \Sigma_L$, recall that $\text{Hom}_\tau(L^\times, E)$ is a two-dimensional E -vector space and admits a basis $\psi_{\sigma,L} := \tau \circ \log_p$ and $\psi_{\text{ur}} := \text{val}_L$, where $\log_p : L^\times \rightarrow L$ is equal to the p -adic logarithm when restricted to \mathcal{O}_L^\times and where $\log_p(p)$. We put $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D})_{ir,\tau} := \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D})_{ir} \cap \text{Hom}_\tau(L^\times, E)$.

Remark 2.16. Assume that \mathbf{D}_i^{i+1} is potentially semistable and noncrystalline for each $ir \in \Delta_n(k)$, then the Lemma 2.15 shows that $\text{Hom}_\infty(L^\times, E) \not\subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D})_{ir}$. Therefore, we have

$$\dim_E \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D})_{ir,\tau} = 1$$

for all $\tau \in \Sigma_L$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D})_{ir} = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Sigma_L} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D})_{ir,\tau}$. Moreover, there exists $\mathcal{L}_{i,\tau} \in E$ such that $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D})_{ir,\tau}$ is generated by $\psi_{i,\tau} := \psi_{\tau,L} - \mathcal{L}_{i,\tau} \psi_{\text{ur}}$.

2.5. Colmez-Greenberg-Stevens formula. In [26, Section. 3.3], Ding established the Colmez-Greenberg-Stevens formula (on simple \mathcal{L} -invariants) for a rank n triangulable (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}$. In this section, we will establish the Colmez-Greenberg-Stevens formula (on parabolic Fontaine-Mazur simple \mathcal{L} -invariants) for a rank n (φ, Γ) -module \mathbf{D} , which admits a non-critical special weakly $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration \mathcal{F} with parameter $(\mathbf{x}_\pi, \delta_{\mathbf{h}}) \in (\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)},L}$. In particular, our Colmez-Greenberg-Stevens formula shows that we can reinterpret the parabolic Fontaine-Mazur simple \mathcal{L} -invariants in terms of 1-order paraboline deformations of type $\Omega_{[1,k]}$. The Colmez-Greenberg-Stevens formula also gives a way to compute the E -dimension of the tangent space $F_{\mathbf{D},\mathcal{F}}^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$, see Proposition 2.24.

Let $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_1^{k-1}$ be a fixed deformation of \mathbf{D}_1^{k-1} over $\mathcal{R}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2,L}$. We view it as a class $[\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_1^{k-1}]$ in extension group $\text{Ext}_{(\varphi,\Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_{k-1}, \mathbf{D}_{k-1})$. We assume that $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_1^{k-1}$ admits an $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1^{k-1}$ with parameter

$$((\mathbf{x}_{\pi,i})_{1 \leq i \leq k-1}, (\delta_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2,i})_{1 \leq i \leq k-1}),$$

i.e., $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_i \hookrightarrow \Delta_\pi \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2,L}(\delta_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2,i})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1} = \text{gr}_{k-1}^{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1^{k-1}} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_1^{k-1}$ be the $(k-1)$ -th graded piece of $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_1^{k-1}$. By the proof of [12, Proposition] and (2.17), we see that $\mathbf{I}'_i([\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1}]) \in \text{Ext}_{(\varphi,\Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_{k-1}, \Delta_{\pi,k-1})$ belongs to the image of $H_{(\varphi,\Gamma)}^1(\mathcal{R}_{E,L})$ via the injection

$$\mathbf{I}''_i \circ \tilde{\mathbf{I}}'_i : H_{(\varphi,\Gamma)}^1(\mathcal{R}_{E,L}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{(\varphi,\Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_{k-1}, \Delta_{\pi,k-1}).$$

Therefore, we can view $\mathbf{I}'_i([\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1}])$ as an element in $H_{(\varphi,\Gamma)}^1(\mathcal{R}_{E,L})$.

This section is devoted to proving the following theorem. The basic strategy of the proof of [13, Theorem 2.7] is also suitable for our case, but the computation is much more complicated. The proof consists of some computations of cohomology of the (φ, Γ) -modules. We suggest skipping the proof on the first reading.

Theorem 2.17. *Fix the above parameters $((\mathbf{x}_{\pi,i})_{1 \leq i \leq k-1}, (\delta_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2,i})_{1 \leq i \leq k-1})$. Let $\delta_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2,k}$ be a deformation of $\delta_{\mathbf{h},k}$ over $E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k$ be a deformation of \mathbf{D}_k over $\mathcal{R}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2,L}$ such that*

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k \hookrightarrow \Delta_\pi \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2,L}(\delta_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2,k})$$

(so that $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k \in F_{\mathbf{D}_k}^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$). Then there exists a deformation $\mathbf{D}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$ of \mathbf{D} over $\mathcal{R}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2,L}$, which admits an $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ with parameter $((\mathbf{x}_{\pi,i})_{1 \leq i \leq k}, (\delta_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2,i})_{1 \leq i \leq k})$ and $\mathrm{gr}_i^{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}} \mathbf{D}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2} = \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ if and only if

$$\mathbf{I}'_i([\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1}]) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2,L}(\delta_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2,k}^{-1} \delta_{\mathbf{h},k}) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D})_{(k-1)r}.$$

Remark 2.18. *The Colmez-Greenberg-Stevens formula (on parabolic Fontaine-Mazur simple \mathcal{L} -invariants) shows that the parabolic simple \mathcal{L} -invariants characterize obstructions to paraboline deformations of type $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ of \mathbf{D} over $E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$.*

Proof. Replacing $\mathbf{D}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_1^{k-1}$ by

$$\mathbf{D}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2,L}(\delta_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2,k}^{-1}) \text{ and } \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_1^{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2,L}(\delta_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2,k}^{-1})$$

respectively, we can assume that $\delta_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2,k} = \delta_{\mathbf{h},k}$. By twisting by $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}(\delta_{\mathbf{h},k}^{-1})$ we can assume that $\delta_{\mathbf{h},k} = 1$ and $\delta_{\mathbf{h},k-1} = \mathrm{unr}(q_L^{-1})z^{\underline{h}}$ for some $\underline{h} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{|\Sigma_L|}$. Therefore, we have injections

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k \hookrightarrow \Delta_\pi \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2,L}$$

and $\mathbf{D}_k \hookrightarrow \Delta_\pi$ (in this case, $\Delta_{\pi,k} = \Delta_\pi$). We put $\Delta_{\pi,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2} := \Delta_\pi \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2,L}$. By definition, we have two commutative diagrams:

$$(2.25) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_k & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_k \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Delta_\pi & \longrightarrow & \Delta_{\pi,E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2} & \longrightarrow & \Delta_\pi \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

and

$$(2.26) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_1^{k-1} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_1^{k-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_1^{k-1} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \mathrm{pr} & & \downarrow \mathrm{pr} & & \downarrow \mathrm{pr} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_{k-1} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_{k-1} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Combining the first row of (2.25) with the first row of (2.26), we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& 0 & & 0 & & 0 & \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_1^{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathbf{D}_k^\vee & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_1^{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k^\vee & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_1^{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathbf{D}_k^\vee \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_1^{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathbf{D}_k^\vee & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_1^{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k^\vee & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_1^{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathbf{D}_k^\vee \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_1^{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathbf{D}_k^\vee & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_1^{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k^\vee & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_1^{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathbf{D}_k^\vee \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0
\end{array},$$

which induces a surjection $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_1^{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k^\vee \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_1^{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathbf{D}_k^\vee$. Let $\mathbf{D}_{1,2}$ be its kernel. We define the morphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.27) \quad u : \mathbf{D}_1^{k-1} &\rightarrow (\mathbf{D}_1^{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k^\vee) \oplus (\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_1^{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathbf{D}_k^\vee) \\
a &\mapsto (a', b'),
\end{aligned}$$

where a' (resp., b') is the image of a in $(\mathbf{D}_1^{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k^\vee)$ (resp., of a in $(\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_1^{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathbf{D}_k^\vee)$), and

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.28) \quad v : (\mathbf{D}_1^{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k^\vee) \oplus (\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_1^{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathbf{D}_k^\vee) &\rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{1,2} \\
(a', b') &\mapsto a'' - b'',
\end{aligned}$$

where a'' (resp., b'') is the image of a' (resp., b') in $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_1^{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k^\vee$. It is easy to verify that with these definitions, the following sequences:

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.29) \quad 0 &\rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{1,2} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_1^{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k^\vee \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_1^{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathbf{D}_k^\vee \rightarrow 0, \\
0 &\rightarrow \mathbf{D}_1^{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathbf{D}_k^\vee \xrightarrow{u} (\mathbf{D}_1^{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k^\vee) \oplus (\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_1^{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathbf{D}_k^\vee) \xrightarrow{v} \mathbf{D}_{1,2} \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}$$

are exact.

We can get similar diagrams and short exact sequences by using the first row of (2.25), and the second row of (2.26), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.30) \quad 0 &\rightarrow \mathbf{D}'_{1,2} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k^\vee \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathbf{D}_k^\vee \rightarrow 0, \\
0 &\rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathbf{D}_k^\vee \rightarrow (\mathbf{D}_{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k^\vee) \oplus (\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathbf{D}_k^\vee) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}'_{1,2} \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the second row of 2.25 and the second row of 2.26), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.31) \quad 0 &\rightarrow \mathbf{D}''_{1,2} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \Delta_{\pi, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}^\vee \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \Delta_\pi^\vee \rightarrow 0, \\
0 &\rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \Delta_\pi^\vee \rightarrow (\mathbf{D}_{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \Delta_{\pi, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}^\vee) \oplus (\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \Delta_\pi^\vee) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}''_{1,2} \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking cohomology of the first row of (2.29) and (2.30), we get a commutative diagram (2.32)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\longrightarrow & H^1_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}(\mathbf{D}_{1,2}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}^1_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}(\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k, \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_1^{k-1}) & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathrm{Ext}^1_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{D}_1^{k-1}) & \xrightarrow{c} & H^2_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}(\mathbf{D}_{1,2}) \\
& \downarrow u_1 & & \downarrow v_1 & & \downarrow w_1 & & \downarrow u_2 \\
\longrightarrow & H^1_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}(\mathbf{D}'_{1,2}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}^1_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}(\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k, \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1}) & \xrightarrow{p'} & \mathrm{Ext}^1_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}) & \xrightarrow{c'} & H^2_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}(\mathbf{D}'_{1,2}).
\end{array}$$

By Lemma 2.7 and an easy dévissage argument, we have $\mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(D_k, \mathbf{D}_1^{k-2}) = 0$. We deduce that w_1 is surjective, and $u'_2 : \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{D}_1^{k-1}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{D}_{k-1})$ is an isomorphism.

We are going to show that u_1 is surjective and u_2 is an isomorphism. Taking cohomology of the second row of (2.29) and (2.30), we get a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.33) \quad & \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{D}_1^{k-1}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k, \mathbf{D}_1^{k-1}) \oplus \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_k, \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_1^{k-1}) \rightarrow \text{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_{1,2}) \\
& \quad \downarrow u'_1 \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow u''_1 \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow u_1 \\
& \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}) \oplus \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_k, \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1}) \rightarrow \text{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}'_{1,2}) \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{D}_1^{k-1}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k, \mathbf{D}_1^{k-1}) \oplus \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_k, \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_1^{k-1}) \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow u'_2 \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow u''_2 \\
& \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_{k-1}, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}) \oplus \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_k, \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1}) \\
(2.34) \quad & \rightarrow \text{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_{1,2}) \rightarrow 0 \\
& \quad \downarrow u_2 \\
& \rightarrow \text{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}'_{1,2}) \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.7 and an easy dévissage argument, we see that u'_1 is a surjection and u'_2 is an isomorphism. Therefore, we deduce that

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k, \mathbf{D}_1^{k-1}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}), \quad \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_k, \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_k, \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1})$$

are isomorphisms by 4-lemma. This show that u_2'' is an isomorphism. We deduce from the above long exact sequence that u_2 is an isomorphism. At the same time, we see that the morphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k, \mathbf{D}_1^{k-1}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}), \quad \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_k, \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_k, \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1})$$

in u_1'' are surjective by 4-lemma. Then by an easy dévissage argument, we deduce that u_1 is surjective. We conclude that v_1 is a surjection by an easy diagram chasing (see (2.32)).

Note that $\mathbf{D}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$ admits a $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration with parameter $((\mathbf{x}_\pi,)_1 \leq i \leq k, (\delta_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2, i})_1 \leq i \leq k)$ such that $\mathrm{gr}_i^{\tilde{F}} \mathbf{D}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2} = \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_i$ if and only if $[\mathbf{D}] \in \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{D}_1^{k-1})$ lies in $\mathrm{Im}(p)$ (see (2.32)), i.e., $c([\mathbf{D}]) = 0$. The above discussion implies that $\mathbf{D}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$ admits the desired $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration if and only if $c'([\mathbf{D}_{k-1}^k]) = 0$, where $[\mathbf{D}_{k-1}^k] = w_1([\mathbf{D}]) \in \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{D}_{k-1})$.

We now translate the above discussion to the side of p -adic differential equations. By the first rows of (2.30) and first rows of (2.31), we have the following commutative diagram:

(2.35)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\rightarrow H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_{1,2}'') & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\Delta_{\pi, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}, \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1}) & \xrightarrow{p''} & \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\Delta_{\pi}, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}) & \xrightarrow{c''} & H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_{1,2}'') \\
\downarrow x_1 & & \downarrow v'_1 & & \simeq \downarrow w'_1 \text{ (i.e., } \mathbf{I}_k^{1,1}) & & \downarrow x_2 \\
\rightarrow H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_{1,2}') & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k, \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1}) & \xrightarrow{p'} & \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}) & \xrightarrow{c'} & H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_{1,2}').
\end{array}$$

By Lemma 2.8, we get that w'_1 is an isomorphism.

Replacing \mathbf{D}_k^\vee (resp. $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k^\vee$) in (2.26) and (2.29) by Δ_π^\vee (resp. $\Delta_{\pi, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}^\vee$), we get the following diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \Delta_\pi^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathbf{D}_{k-1} & \longrightarrow & \Delta_\pi^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1} & \longrightarrow & \Delta_\pi^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathbf{D}_{k-1} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_{1,2}'' & \longrightarrow & \Delta_{\pi, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1} & \longrightarrow & \Delta_\pi^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathbf{D}_{k-1} \longrightarrow 0.
\end{array}$$

Combining the commutative diagram (2.35) with the cohomology of the above diagram, we get

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\Delta_\pi, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\Delta_\pi, \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1}) & & \\
& & \downarrow x'_1 & & \simeq \downarrow v''_1 & & \\
& \longrightarrow & H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_{1,2}'') & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\Delta_{\pi, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}, \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1}) & & \\
& & \downarrow x_1 & & \downarrow v'_1 & & \\
& \longrightarrow & H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_{1,2}') & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k, \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1}) & & \\
(2.36) & & & & & & \\
& & & & \xrightarrow{p'''} \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\Delta_\pi, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}) & \xrightarrow{c'''} \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\Delta_\pi, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}) & \\
& & & & \simeq \parallel w''_1 & & \downarrow x'_2 \\
& & & & \xrightarrow{p''} \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\Delta_\pi, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}) & \xrightarrow{c''} H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_{1,2}'') & \\
& & & & \simeq \downarrow w'_1 & & \downarrow x_2 \\
& & & & \xrightarrow{p'} \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}) & \xrightarrow{c'} H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_{1,2}'). &
\end{array}$$

Claim. The composition $x_3 = x_2 \circ x'_2 : \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\Delta_\pi, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_{1,2}')$ is injective.

Proof of the claim. By the second row of (2.30) and second row of (2.31) and a diagram chasing, we

get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(2.37) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\Delta_\pi, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\Delta_\pi, \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1}) \\
& & \parallel & & \downarrow \\
& \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\Delta_\pi, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\Delta_\pi, \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1}) \oplus \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\Delta_\pi, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}) \\
& & \parallel \simeq & & \downarrow \\
& \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_k, \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1}) \oplus \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_k, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}) \\
(2.38) & & & &
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\Delta_\pi, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow x_2 & & \\
& \longrightarrow & \text{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_{1,2}'') & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow x_2' & & \\
& \longrightarrow & \text{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_{1,2}') & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

The isomorphism in $(**)$ follows from Lemma 2.8. By Lemma 2.8, an easy dévissage argument and 4-Lemma, we see that the morphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.39) \quad & \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\Delta_\pi, \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_k, \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1}), \\
& \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\Delta_\pi, E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_k, \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1})
\end{aligned}$$

are isomorphisms. This implies that x_3 is an injection by an easy diagram chasing. This completes the proof of the claim.

Therefore, we conclude that $[\mathbf{D}_{k-1}^k] \in \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{D}_{k-1})$ lies in $\text{Im}(p')$ if and only if the class $c'''((w_1' \circ w_1'')^{-1}([\mathbf{D}_{k-1}^k])) = 0$. Recall that

$$\mathbf{I}_i'([\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1}]) \in \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_{k-1}, \Delta_\pi \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E,L}(\delta_{\mathbf{h}, k-1}))$$

belongs to the image of $\text{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathcal{R}_{E,L})$ via the injection

$$\mathbf{I}_i'' \circ \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_i' : \text{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathcal{R}_{E,L}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_{k-1}, \Delta_\pi \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E,L}(\delta_{\mathbf{h}, k-1})).$$

By the naturality of cup products, we deduce

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\Delta_\pi, \Delta_\pi) & \times & \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\Delta_\pi, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\Delta_\pi, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}) \\
\downarrow c_0 & & \parallel & & \downarrow c''' \\
\text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\Delta_\pi, \Delta_\pi) & \times & \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\Delta_\pi, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}) & \xrightarrow[\text{perfect}]{\cup} & \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\Delta_\pi, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}).
\end{array}$$

This shows that the connection map c''' is given by the cup product $\langle [\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1}], - \rangle$, i.e.,

$$\langle -, - \rangle : \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\Delta_\pi, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}) \times \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\Delta_\pi, \Delta_\pi) \xrightarrow{\cup} \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\Delta_\pi, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}) \cong E.$$

So we have $c'''((w'_1 \circ w''_1)^{-1}([\mathbf{D}_{k-1}^k])) = 0$ if and only if $\langle (w'_1 \circ w''_1)^{-1}([\mathbf{D}_{k-1}^k]), \mathbf{I}'_i([\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{k-1}]) \rangle = 0$. Then the conclusion follows from the definition of parabolic Fontaine-Mazur simple \mathcal{L} -invariants. \square

As a corollary of Theorem 2.17, we deduce

Corollary 2.19. *The map $\kappa : F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \longrightarrow \prod_{ir \in \Delta_n(k)} \text{Hom}(L^\times, E)$ (see (2.7)) factors through a surjective map*

$$\kappa : F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D}) = \prod_{sr \in \Delta_n(k)} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D})_{sr}.$$

We end this section with a computation of the E -dimension of the tangent space $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$. Unlike the generic case [12, Proposition 4.1.16], the corollary below will show that the morphism Υ^0 in (2.6) is not formally smooth, so that the [12, Proposition 4.1.17] is not suitable for us. Instead, we will use Lemma 2.22. We need some preliminaries. See (2.7) for the definition of $\Upsilon^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$, ω_0 and κ_L .

Corollary 2.20. *The image of the map*

$$\Upsilon^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) : F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^k F_{\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D}}^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$$

is given by $(\kappa_L \circ \omega^0)^{-1}(\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D}))$. In particular, we have $\dim_E \text{Im} \Upsilon^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) = 1 + d_L(k + \frac{n(r-1)}{2})$ and $\dim_E \text{coker}(\Upsilon^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)) = k - 1$.

Proof. By Corollary 2.19, we see that $\text{Im} \Upsilon^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$ is contained in $(\kappa_L \circ \omega^0)^{-1}(\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D}))$. Since κ_L is a surjection with kernel $\text{Hom}(L^\times, E)$, it remains to show that

$$\text{Im} \Upsilon^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) = (\omega^0)^{-1}(\kappa_L^{-1}(\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D}))).$$

For any $(\psi_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k} \in \kappa_L^{-1}(\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D}))$, and $(\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k} \in (\omega^0)^{-1}((\psi_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}) \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^k F_{\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D}}^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$, we need to show that there exists a deformation $\mathbf{D}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$ of \mathbf{D} over $\mathcal{R}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2, L}$ which admits an $\Omega_{[1, k]}$ -filtration $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ with parameter $((\mathbf{x}_{\pi, i})_{1 \leq i \leq k}, (\delta_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2, i})_{1 \leq i \leq k})$ and $\text{gr}_i^{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}} \mathbf{D}_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2} = \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. This can be done by using Theorem 2.17 step by step. By the proof of [12, Proposition 4.1.4], we see that

$$\dim_E (\omega^0)^{-1}((\psi_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}) = k \cdot d_L \frac{r(r-1)}{2} = d_L \frac{n(r-1)}{2}.$$

We see that $\dim_E \text{Im} \Upsilon^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) = d_L \frac{n(r-1)}{2} + \dim_E \text{Hom}(L^\times, E) + \dim_E \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D}) = 1 + d_L(k + \frac{n(r-1)}{2})$. \square

In the sequel, we assume that \mathcal{F} is furthermore a non-critical special $\Omega_{[1, k]}$ -filtration on \mathbf{D} (see Definition 2.4), i.e., we further assume that \mathbf{D}_i^{i+1} is non-split for all $ir \in \Delta_n(k)$. We put

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}) := \{f \in \text{End}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}) \mid f(\text{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D}) \subseteq \text{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D}\},$$

which is a saturated (φ, Γ) -submodule of $\text{End}(D, D)$. For any $f \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D})$, we see that $f(\mathbf{D}_i) \subset \mathbf{D}_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Thus f produces an element $(f_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k} \in \prod_{i=1}^k \text{End}(\mathbf{D}_i)$.

The following proposition computes the cohomology of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D})$, and gives some properties of the functor $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}$.

- Proposition 2.21.** (1) We have $H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\text{End}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D})) \cong H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\text{End}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D})) \cong E$.
(2) We have $H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\text{End}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D})) = 0$.
(3) The functor $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}$ is pro-representable and is formally smooth over E of dimension $1 + d_L \frac{n(n+r)}{2}$.

Proof. For Part (1), it suffices to show that $H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\text{End}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D})) \cong E$. We have an exact sequence of (φ, Γ) -modules over $\mathcal{R}_{E, L}$:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}}(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{D}) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}}(\mathbf{D}_1^{k-1}, \mathbf{D}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking cohomology, we get

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{D}) \rightarrow H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\text{End}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D})) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}(\mathbf{D}_1^{k-1}, \mathbf{D}).$$

Since \mathbf{D}_{k-1}^k is nonsplit, we deduce $\text{Hom}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}^k) = 0$. By Lemma 2.7 and an easy dévissage argument, we see that $\text{Hom}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{D}_{k-1}^k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{D})$ and then $\text{Hom}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{D}) = 0$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.7 and an easy dévissage argument, we see that $\text{Hom}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}(\mathbf{D}_1^{k-1}, \mathbf{D}_k) = 0$. We then see that

$$\text{Hom}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}(\mathbf{D}_1^{k-1}, \mathbf{D}) \cong H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\text{End}(\mathbf{D}_1^{k-1}, \mathbf{D}_1^{k-1})).$$

Then Part (1) follows by induction on k . By [22, Proposition 3.4], we deduce that $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}$ is pro-representable. For Part (2), we have a natural exact sequence of (φ, Γ) -modules over $\mathcal{R}_{E, L}$:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}}(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{D}) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{D}_1^{k-1}, \mathbf{D}_1^{k-1}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where we also use \mathcal{F} to denote the induced $\Omega_{[1, k-1]}$ -filtration on \mathbf{D}_1^{k-1} . Applying the same strategy to \mathbf{D}_1^s for $1 \leq s \leq k-1$, we conclude that the (φ, Γ) -module $\text{End}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D})$ is isomorphic to an extension of (φ, Γ) -modules $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}}(\mathbf{D}_s, \mathbf{D}_1^s)$ for $s = 1, \dots, k$. Therefore, $\text{End}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D})$ is a (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathcal{R}_{E, L}$ of rank $\frac{k(k+1)}{2}r^2$. By Tate duality, Lemma 2.7 and an easy dévissage argument, we have for $2 \leq s \leq k$ the isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_s, \mathbf{D}_1^s) &\cong H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\mathbf{D}_1^s, \mathbf{D}_s \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}} \mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\chi_{\text{cyc}})) \cong H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\mathbf{D}_{s-1}^s, \mathbf{D}_s \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}} \mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\chi_{\text{cyc}})) \\ &\cong H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_s, \mathbf{D}_{s-1}^s), \end{aligned}$$

Let $M_1 := \text{End}(\mathbf{D}_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}} \mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\chi_{\text{cyc}})$ and let $M_s := (\mathbf{D}_{s-1}^s)^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}} \mathbf{D}_s \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}} \mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\chi_{\text{cyc}})$ for $2 \leq s \leq k$, which is isomorphic to a nonsplit extension of $\text{End}(\mathbf{D}_{s-1}, \mathbf{D}_s) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}} \mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\chi_{\text{cyc}})$ by $\text{End}(\mathbf{D}_s) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}} \mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\chi_{\text{cyc}})$. We are going to show that $H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(M_s) = 0$ for $s = 1, \dots, k$. By an easy variation of the proof of Lemma 2.11, we get $H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(M_1) = 0$. For $2 \leq s \leq k$, if $H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(M_s) \neq 0$, then there exists a nonzero injection of (φ, Γ) -modules $j : \mathcal{R}_{E, L} \rightarrow M_s$. Since

$$H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\text{End}(\mathbf{D}_s) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}} \mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\chi_{\text{cyc}})[\frac{1}{t}]) = H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\text{End}(\Delta_\pi) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}} \mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\chi_{\text{cyc}})[\frac{1}{t}]) = 0$$

we see that $\text{Im}(j)[\frac{1}{t}] \cap M_s$ is a saturated (φ, Γ) -submodule of $\text{End}(\mathbf{D}_{s-1}, \mathbf{D}_s) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}} \mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\chi_{\text{cyc}})$. By Tate duality and Lemma 2.8, we have

$$H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\text{End}(\mathbf{D}_{s-1}, \mathbf{D}_s) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}} \mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\chi_{\text{cyc}})) = H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\text{End}(\Delta_\pi) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}} \mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\delta_{\mathbf{h}, s-1}^{-1} \delta_{\mathbf{h}, s} \chi_{\text{cyc}})).$$

Since $H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\text{End}^0(\Delta_\pi) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E,L}(\delta_{\mathbf{h},s-1}^{-1} \delta_{\mathbf{h},s} \chi_{\text{cyc}})) = 0$, by comparing Hodge-Tate weights, we see that $\text{Im}(j)[1/t] \cap M_s \cong \mathcal{R}_{E,L}(\delta_{\mathbf{h},s-1}^{-1} \delta_{\mathbf{h},s} \chi_{\text{cyc}})$. This show that

$$\text{Hom}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}(\text{End}(\mathbf{D}_{s-1}, \mathbf{D}_s) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E,L}(\chi_{\text{cyc}}), M_s) \neq 0,$$

which leads a contradiction to the fact that M_s is non-split. For Part (3), we deduce from Part (1) and [22, Proposition 3.4] that $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}$ is pro-representable. By Part (2) and [12, Proposition 4.1.15 (2)], we deduce that $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}$ is formally smooth of dimension $1 + \frac{k(k+1)}{2} d_L r^2$. \square

Recall that

$$F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0 = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}} \times_{\prod_{i=1}^k F_{\mathbf{D}_i}} \prod_{i=1}^k F_{\mathbf{D}_i}^0.$$

To evaluate $\dim_E F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$, we need a dimension formula. An easy diagram chasing shows that

Lemma 2.22. *Let V, V' and V'' be vector spaces over E , and $u : V \rightarrow V''$ (resp., $u' : V' \rightarrow V''$) be E -linear homomorphism. Then we have*

$$\dim_E V \times_{V''} V' := \dim_E V - \dim_E \text{Im} u + \dim_E (u')^{-1}(\text{Im} u \cap \text{Im} u'),$$

where $V \times_{V''} V' = \{(v, v') \in V \times V' : u(v) = u'(v') \in V''\}$. Moreover,

$$\dim_E V \times_{V''} V' = \dim_E V + \dim_E V' - \dim_E V''$$

if and only if $\dim_E \text{coker}(u) = \dim_E \text{coker}(V \times_{V''} V' \rightarrow V')$. In particular, if one of u, u' is surjective, we have $\dim_E V \times_{V''} V' = \dim_E V + \dim_E V' - \dim_E V''$.

Suggested by Lemma 2.22 and Corollary 2.20, we prove (as a Corollary of Lemma 2.21):

Corollary 2.23. *The cokernel of the map $\Upsilon : F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^k F_{\mathbf{D}_i}(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$ has E -dimensional $k - 1$.*

Proof. By induction on k , we get the following commutative diagram of (φ, Γ) -modules over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}}(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{D}) & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{D}) & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{D}_1^{k-1}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{End}(\mathbf{D}_k) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i=1}^k \text{End}(\mathbf{D}_i) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \text{End}(\mathbf{D}_i) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Taking cohomology, we deduce from Proposition 2.21 (and the proof of Proposition 2.21 (2)) the following long exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{D}) = 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\text{End}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{D})) \cong E & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{D}_k) \cong E & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i=1}^k H_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\text{End}(\mathbf{D}_i)) \cong E^k & & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.40) \quad & \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\text{End}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{D}_1^{k-1})) \cong E \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{D}) \longrightarrow F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \\
& \quad \downarrow \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \Upsilon'' \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \Upsilon = \Upsilon_k \\
& \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \text{H}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\text{End}(\mathbf{D}_i)) \cong E^{k-1} \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{D}_k) \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^k F_{\mathbf{D}_i}(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \\
& \quad \quad \quad \longrightarrow F_{\mathbf{D}_1^k, \mathcal{F}}(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{D}) = 0 \\
& \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \Upsilon_{k-1} \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \\
& \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} F_{\mathbf{D}_i}(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{Rep}_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}}(\text{Gal}_L)}^2(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{D}_k) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

By comparing dimensions, we see that the middle three terms of 1-th extension groups (of two rows of (2.40)) form two short exact sequences. An easy dévissage argument shows that Υ'' is injective and $\dim_E \text{coker } \Upsilon'' = 1$. Then the snake lemma implies

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \Upsilon_k \rightarrow \ker \Upsilon_{k-1} \rightarrow \text{coker } \Upsilon'' \rightarrow \text{coker } \Upsilon_k \rightarrow \text{coker } \Upsilon_{k-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that Υ_1 is an isomorphism (so that $\ker \Upsilon_1 = \text{coker } \Upsilon_1 = 0$). By an induction on k , we see that $\ker \Upsilon_k \cong \ker \Upsilon_{k-1} \cong \cdots \cong \ker \Upsilon_1 = 0$ and $\dim_E \text{coker } \Upsilon_k = 1 + \dim_E \text{coker } \Upsilon_{k-1} = \cdots = k-1$. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 2.24. *The functors $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0$ is pro-representable. Its tangent space has E -dimension*

$$\dim_E F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) = 1 + d_L \left(k + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \right).$$

Proof. By [12, Proposition 4.1.3], we get that the functor $\prod_{i=1}^k F_{\text{gr}_i D}^0$ is relatively representable over $\prod_{i=1}^k F_{\mathbf{D}_i}$. By Proposition 2.21 (3), the functor $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0$ is pro-representable. By [12, Proposition 4.1.4], we see that $\prod_{i=1}^k F_{\mathbf{D}_i}^0$ is formally smooth of dimension $k(1 + d_L(1 + \frac{r(r-1)}{2}))$. It follows from the Lemma 2.22, Corollary 2.20, Proposition 2.21, [12, Proposition 4.1.4] and Corollary 2.23 that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.41) \quad & \dim_E F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \\
& = \dim_E \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) - \sum_{i=1}^k \dim_E F_{\mathbf{D}_i}(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) + \sum_{i=1}^k \dim_E F_{\mathbf{D}_i}^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \\
& = 1 + d_L \frac{n(n+r)}{2} - k(1 + d_L r^2) + k \left(1 + d_L \left(1 + \frac{r(r-1)}{2} \right) \right), \\
& = 1 + d_L \left(k + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The result follows. \square

Remark 2.25. *We may ask if $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0$ is formally smooth. The author does not know whether it is true.*

3. Summary of certain locally \mathbf{Q}_p -analytic representations

Let $\underline{\lambda} := (\lambda_{1,\sigma}, \dots, \lambda_{n,\sigma})_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L}$ be a weight of \mathfrak{t}_{Σ_L} . For $I \subseteq \Delta_n = \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, we call that $\underline{\lambda}$ is I -dominant with respect to $\mathbf{B}_{/E}$ (resp. with respect to $\overline{\mathbf{B}}_{/E}$) if $\lambda_{i,\sigma} \geq \lambda_{i+1,\sigma}$ (resp. $\lambda_{i,\sigma} \leq \lambda_{i+1,\sigma}$) for all $i \in I$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$. We denote by X_I^+ (resp. X_I^-) the set of I -dominant integral weights of \mathfrak{t}_{Σ_L} with respect to $\mathbf{B}_{/E}$ (resp. with respect to $\overline{\mathbf{B}}_{/E}$). Note that $\underline{\lambda} \in X_I^+$ if and only if $-\underline{\lambda} \in X_I^-$. For $\underline{\lambda} \in X_I^+$, there exists a unique irreducible algebraic representation, denoted by $L(\underline{\lambda})_I$, of $(\mathbf{L}_I)_{/E}$ with highest weight $\underline{\lambda}$ with respect to $(\mathbf{L}_I)_{/E} \cap \mathbf{B}_{/E}$. We put $\overline{L}(-\underline{\lambda})_I := L(\underline{\lambda})_I^\vee$, which is an irreducible algebraic representation of $(\mathbf{L}_I)_{/E}$ with highest weight $-\underline{\lambda}$ with respect to $(\mathbf{L}_I)_{/E} \cap \overline{\mathbf{B}}_{/E}$. Denote $\chi_{\underline{\lambda}} := L(\underline{\lambda})_\emptyset$. If $\underline{\lambda} \in X_{\Delta_n}^+$, let $L(\underline{\lambda}) := L(\underline{\lambda})_{\Delta_n}$. A \mathbf{Q}_p -algebraic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(L)$ over E is the induced action of $\mathrm{GL}_n(L) \subset \mathbf{G}_{/E}(E)$ on an algebraic representation of $\mathbf{G}_{/E}$. By abuse of notation we will use the same notations to denote \mathbf{Q}_p -algebraic representations induced from an algebraic representation of $\mathbf{G}_{/E}$.

Let $\underline{\lambda}$ be an integral weight, denote by $M(\underline{\lambda}) := U(\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_L}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b}_{\Sigma_L})} \underline{\lambda}$ (resp. $\overline{M}(\underline{\lambda}) := U(\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_L}) \otimes_{U(\overline{\mathfrak{b}}_{\Sigma_L})} \underline{\lambda}$), the corresponding Verma module with respect to \mathfrak{b}_{Σ_L} (resp. $\overline{\mathfrak{b}}_{\Sigma_L}$). Let $L(\underline{\lambda})$ (resp. $\overline{L}(\underline{\lambda})$) be the unique simple quotient of $M(\underline{\lambda})$ (resp. of $\overline{M}(\underline{\lambda})$). Actually, when $\underline{\lambda} \in X_{\Delta_n}^+$ (i.e. $-\underline{\lambda} \in X_{\Delta_n}^-$), $L(\underline{\lambda})$ is finite dimensional and isomorphic to the algebraic representation $L(\underline{\lambda})$ introduced above (hence there is no conflict of notation). We have $\overline{L}(-\underline{\lambda}) \cong L(\underline{\lambda})^\vee$. In general, for any subset I of Δ_n , and $\underline{\lambda} \in X_I^+$, we define the generalized parabolic Verma module

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} M_I(\underline{\lambda}) &:= U(\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_L}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p}_{I,\Sigma_L})} L(\underline{\lambda})_I, \\ \text{resp., } \overline{M}_I(-\underline{\lambda}) &:= U(\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_L}) \otimes_{U(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_{I,\Sigma_L})} \overline{L}(-\underline{\lambda})_I \end{aligned}$$

with respect to $\mathfrak{p}_{I,\Sigma_L}$ (resp. $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_{I,\Sigma_L}$), see [35, Chapter 9] for more precise statements. For $\underline{\lambda} \in X_{\Delta_n^k \cup I}^+$, we put (when $I = \emptyset$, we omit the subscripts I in (3.2))

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} L^{(r)}(\underline{\lambda})_I &:= L(\underline{\lambda})_{\Delta_n^k \cup I}, \quad \overline{L}^{(r)}(-\underline{\lambda})_I := \overline{L}(-\underline{\lambda})_{\Delta_n^k \cup I}, \\ M_I^{(r)}(\underline{\lambda}) &:= M_{\Delta_n^k \cup I}(\underline{\lambda}), \quad \overline{M}_I^{(r)}(-\underline{\lambda}) := \overline{M}_{\Delta_n^k \cup I}(-\underline{\lambda}). \end{aligned}$$

3.1. General constructions. Let π be an irreducible cuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_r(L)$ over E . For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we put $\pi(i) := \pi \otimes_E v_r^i$. Let $\Delta_{[k-1,0]}(\pi) = [\pi(k-1), \dots, \pi(1), \pi]$ be a Zelevinsky-segment (see [46]). Put

$$(3.3) \quad \pi^{(r)} := \left(\otimes_{i=1}^k \pi(k-i) \right) \otimes_E \delta_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}^{(r)}(L)}^{1/2} = \otimes_{i=1}^k \left(\pi \otimes_E v_r^{-\frac{r}{2}(k-2i+1)+k-i} \right),$$

where $\delta_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}^{(r)}(L)}$ is the modulus character of $\overline{\mathbf{P}}^{(r)}(L)$. This is an irreducible cuspidal smooth representation of $\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)$ over E .

In the sequel, we put $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(L)$ for simplicity. Let I be a subset of $\Delta_n(k)$. By [46, Proposition 2.10], we see that $i_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}^{(r)}(L) \cap \mathbf{L}_I^{(r)}(L)}^{\mathbf{L}_I^{(r)}(L)} \pi^{(r)}$ admits a unique irreducible subrepresentation π_I . Let $\underline{\lambda} \in X_{\Delta_n}^+$, and let $I, J \subseteq \Delta_n(k)$. We put

$$\mathbb{I}_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}_I^{(r)}}^G(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) = \left(\mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}_I^{(r)}(L)}^G \pi_I \otimes_E L^{(r)}(\underline{\lambda})_I \right)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}, i_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}_I^{(r)}(L)}^G(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) = \left(i_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}_I^{(r)}(L)}^G \pi_I \right) \otimes_E L(\underline{\lambda}).$$

In the beginning of [34, Section 4.2] and [34, Proposition 3.6], we show that if $J \supsetneq I$, we have an injection $\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{P}_J^{(r)}}^G(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{P}_I^{(r)}}^G(\pi, \underline{\lambda})$ and $i_{\mathbf{P}_J^{(r)}}^G(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) \hookrightarrow i_{\mathbf{P}_I^{(r)}}^G(\pi, \underline{\lambda})$. Therefore, we put

$$v_{\mathbf{P}_I^{(r)}}^{\text{an}}(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) = \mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{P}_I^{(r)}}^G(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) / \sum_{J \supsetneq I} \mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{P}_J^{(r)}}^G(\pi, \underline{\lambda}),$$

$$v_{\mathbf{P}_I^{(r)}}^\infty(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) = i_{\mathbf{P}_I^{(r)}}^G(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) / u_{\mathbf{P}_I^{(r)}}^\infty(\pi, \underline{\lambda}), \quad u_{\mathbf{P}_I^{(r)}}^\infty(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) = \sum_{J \supsetneq I} i_{\mathbf{P}_J^{(r)}}^G(\pi, \underline{\lambda}).$$

In particular, we denote by $\text{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) := v_{\mathbf{P}_\emptyset^{(r)}}^\infty(\pi, \underline{\lambda})$ (resp., $\text{St}_{(r,k)}^{\text{an}}(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) = v_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^{\text{an}}(\pi, \underline{\lambda})$) the locally \mathbf{Q}_p -algebraic parabolic Steinberg representation (resp., locally \mathbf{Q}_p -analytic parabolic Steinberg representation) with respect to the Zelevinsky-segment $\Delta_{[k-1,0]}(\pi)$ and the weight $\underline{\lambda}$. The main theorem of [34] is (see [34, Theorem 5.19])

Theorem 3.1. *Let $ir \in \Delta_n(k)$, we have an E -vector spaces isomorphism*

$$(3.4) \quad \text{Hom}(L^\times, E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_G^1(v_{\mathbf{P}_{ir}^{(r)}}^\infty(\pi, \underline{\lambda}), \text{St}_{(r,k)}^{\text{an}}(\pi, \underline{\lambda})).$$

In particular, we have $\dim_E \text{Ext}_G^1(v_{\mathbf{P}_{ir}^{(r)}}^\infty(\pi, \underline{\lambda}), \text{St}_{(r,k)}^{\text{an}}(\pi, \underline{\lambda})) = d_L + 1$.

For $\psi \in \text{Hom}(L^\times, E)$, the image of ψ via the above isomorphism (3.1) can be constructed explicitly as follows. Recall that we have an isomorphism

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \iota_\psi : \text{Hom}(L^\times, E) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(L), E) / \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}_{ir}^{(r)}(L), E), \\ \psi &\mapsto [(a_1 I_r, \dots, a_k I_r) \mapsto \psi(a_i / a_{i+1})]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any $\psi \in \text{Hom}(L^\times, E)$, we choose a lift $\Psi \in \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(L), E)$. Then Ψ induces an extension $\iota(\Psi)$ of $\pi^{(r)}$ by $\pi^{(r)}$:

$$\iota(\Psi)(a) = \pi^{(r)}(a) \otimes_E \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \Psi \circ \det(a) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall a \in \mathbf{L}_J^{(r)}(L).$$

Consider the locally \mathbf{Q}_p -analytic parabolic induction $(\text{Ind}_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}^G \iota(\Psi) \otimes_E L^{(r)}(\underline{\lambda}))^{\mathbb{Q}_p - \text{an}}$, which lies in the following exact sequence

$$(3.6) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) \longrightarrow (\text{Ind}_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}^G \iota(\Psi) \otimes_E L^{(r)}(\underline{\lambda}))^{\mathbb{Q}_p - \text{an}} \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} \mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Pushforward (3.6) along surjection $\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) \twoheadrightarrow v_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^{\text{an}}(\pi, \underline{\lambda})$ and then pullback via natural injections

$$i_{\mathbf{P}_{ir}^{(r)}}^G(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{P}_{ir}^{(r)}}^G(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\pi, \underline{\lambda}),$$

we get a locally \mathbf{Q}_p -analytic representation $\mathcal{E}_{ir}^\emptyset(\pi, \underline{\lambda}, \Psi)^0$, which is an extension of $i_{\mathbf{P}_{ir}^{(r)}}^G(\pi, \underline{\lambda})$ by the locally \mathbf{Q}_p -analytic representation $\text{St}_{(r,k)}^{\text{an}}(\pi, \underline{\lambda})$. It gives a cohomology class

$$[\mathcal{E}_{ir}^\emptyset(\pi, \underline{\lambda}, \psi)^0] \in \text{Ext}_G^1(i_{\mathbf{P}_{ir}^{(r)}}^G(\pi, \underline{\lambda}), v_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^{\text{an}}(\pi, \underline{\lambda}))$$

(we can show that this cohomology class is independent on the choice of Ψ). By [34, Theorem 5.19], we see that the natural map

$$\mathrm{Ext}_G^1(v_{\mathbf{P}_{ir}}^\infty(\pi, \underline{\lambda}, \mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^{\mathrm{an}}(\pi, \underline{\lambda})) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_G^1(i_{\mathbf{P}_{ir}}^G(\pi, \underline{\lambda}), \mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^{\mathrm{an}}(\pi, \underline{\lambda})),$$

induced by the surjection $i_{\mathbf{P}_{ir}}^G(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) \twoheadrightarrow v_{\mathbf{P}_{ir}}^\infty(\pi, \underline{\lambda})$, is actually an isomorphism. Therefore, the pull back of $\mathcal{E}_{\{i\}}^\emptyset(\pi, \underline{\lambda}, \iota_\nu(\psi))^0$ via the natural injection $u_{\mathbf{P}_{ir}}^\infty(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) \rightarrow i_{\mathbf{P}_{ir}}^G(\pi, \underline{\lambda})$ is split (as an extension of $u_{\mathbf{P}_{ir}}^\infty(\pi, \underline{\lambda})$ by $\mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^{\mathrm{an}}(\pi, \underline{\lambda})$). Quotient it by $u_{\mathbf{P}_{ir}}^\infty(\pi, \underline{\lambda})$, we deduce $\tilde{\Sigma}_i^{(r)}(\pi, \underline{\lambda}, \psi)$, which is an extension of $v_{\mathbf{P}_{ir}}^\infty(\pi, \underline{\lambda})$ by $\mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^{\mathrm{an}}(\pi, \underline{\lambda})$. We therefore get that $[\tilde{\Sigma}_i^{(r)}(\pi, \underline{\lambda}, \psi)]$ is the extension class associated with ψ via (3.1).

For $I \subset \Delta_n(k)$, we recall the Orlik-Strauch functor $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{P}_I}^G(-, -)$ (see [40, The main theorem]), or see [10, Section 2]), which associates, to an object M in the Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand (BGG) category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_I, \Sigma_L}^{\mathrm{alg}}$, and an finite length smooth admissible representation π_I , a locally \mathbf{Q}_p -analytic representation $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{P}_I}^G(M, \pi_I)$.

For $ir \in \Delta_n(k)$, $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$, we put $\underline{\lambda}_\sigma := (\lambda_{1,\sigma}, \dots, \lambda_{n,\sigma})$, and $\underline{\lambda}^\sigma := (\lambda_{1,\sigma'}, \dots, \lambda_{n,\sigma'})_{\sigma' \in \Sigma_L \setminus \{\sigma\}}$. We put

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Sigma}_{i,\sigma}^{(r)}(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) &:= \left((\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{P}_{\Delta_{k,i}}^{(r)}(L)}^G \mathrm{St}_{\Delta_{k,i}}^\infty(\pi, \underline{\lambda}_\sigma))^{\sigma-\mathrm{an}} \otimes_E L(\underline{\lambda}^\sigma) \right) / v_{\mathbf{P}_{ir}}^\infty(\pi, \underline{\lambda}), \\ C_{i,\sigma} &:= \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{P}_{\Delta_{k,i}}^{(r)}}^G(\overline{L}(-\underline{\lambda}^\sigma) \otimes_E \overline{L}(-s_{ir,\sigma} \cdot \underline{\lambda}_\sigma), \mathrm{St}_{\Delta_{k,i}}^\infty(\pi, \underline{0})), \end{aligned}$$

By [34, Proposition 4.6 and Proposition 4.9], $C_{i,\sigma}$ appears as an irreducible constituent in $\mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^{\mathrm{an}}(\pi, \underline{\lambda})$ with multiplicity 1. By the argument after [34, (5.48)], $\tilde{\Sigma}_{i,\sigma}^{(r)}(\pi, \underline{\lambda})$ is a subrepresentation of $\mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^{\mathrm{an}}(\pi, \underline{\lambda})$, and $\tilde{\Sigma}_{i,\sigma}^{(r)}(\pi, \underline{\lambda})$ admits a subrepresentation $\Sigma_{i,\sigma}^{(r)}(\pi, \underline{\lambda})$ which is an extension of $C_{i,\sigma}$ by $\mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\pi, \underline{\lambda})$. We put

$$\begin{aligned} (3.7) \quad \Sigma_i^{(r)}(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) &:= \bigoplus_{\substack{\sigma \in \Sigma_L \\ \mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\pi, \underline{\lambda})}} \Sigma_{i,\sigma}^{(r)}(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) \hookrightarrow \tilde{\Sigma}_i^{(r)}(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\sigma \in \Sigma_L \\ \mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\pi, \underline{\lambda})}} \tilde{\Sigma}_{i,\sigma}^{(r)}(\pi, \underline{\lambda}), \\ \Sigma^{(r)}(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) &:= \bigoplus_{\substack{ir \in \Delta_n(k) \\ \mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\pi, \underline{\lambda})}} \Sigma_i^{(r)}(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) \hookrightarrow \tilde{\Sigma}^{(r)}(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) := \bigoplus_{\substack{\sigma \in \Sigma_L \\ \mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\pi, \underline{\lambda})}} \tilde{\Sigma}_i^{(r)}(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) \subseteq \mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^{\mathrm{an}}(\pi, \underline{\lambda}). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition, $\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\pi, \underline{\lambda})$ is an extension of $\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} C_{i,\sigma}$ by $\mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\pi, \underline{\lambda})$. Moreover, we have a natural isomorphism (see [34, Proposition 5.34])

$$(3.8) \quad \mathrm{Hom}(L^\times, E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ext}_G^1(v_{\mathbf{P}_{ir}}^\infty(\pi, \underline{\lambda}), \Sigma_i^{(r)}(\pi, \underline{\lambda})).$$

For any $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}(L^\times, E)$, let $[\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\pi, \underline{\lambda}, \psi)] \in \mathrm{Ext}_G^1(v_{\mathbf{P}_{ir}}^\infty(\pi, \underline{\lambda}), \Sigma_i^{(r)}(\pi, \underline{\lambda}))$ be the image of ψ via the isomorphism (3.8). Moreover, we can see that $[\tilde{\Sigma}_i^{(r)}(\pi, \underline{\lambda}, \psi)]$ actually comes from $[\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\pi, \underline{\lambda}, \psi)]$ by pushing-forward $\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^{\mathrm{an}}(\pi, \underline{\lambda})$.

Let $\alpha \in E^\times$, we denote $\ast(\alpha, \pi, \underline{\lambda}) := \ast(\pi, \underline{\lambda}) \otimes_E \mathrm{unr}(\alpha) \circ \det$ for any representation $\ast(\pi, \underline{\lambda})$ of G as above (for example, $v_{\mathbf{P}_{ir}}^\infty(\alpha, \pi, \underline{\lambda})$, $\mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^{\mathrm{an}}(\alpha, \pi, \underline{\lambda})$, $\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \underline{\lambda})$, $\tilde{\Sigma}_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \underline{\lambda}, \psi)$, etc.).

For $ir \in \Delta_n(k)$ (so for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$), let V_i be an E -vector subspace of $\text{Hom}(L^\times, E)$ of dimension d_L . Let $\{\psi_{i,1}, \dots, \psi_{i,d_L}\}$ be a basis of V_i . We put

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\Sigma}_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \underline{\lambda}, V_i) &:= \bigoplus_{\substack{j=1, \dots, d_L \\ \text{St}_{(r,k)}^{\text{an}}(\alpha, \pi, \underline{\lambda})}} \widetilde{\Sigma}_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \underline{\lambda}, \psi_{i,j}), \\ \Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \underline{\lambda}, V_i) &:= \bigoplus_{\substack{j=1, \dots, d_L \\ \Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \underline{\lambda})}} \Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \underline{\lambda}, \psi_{i,j}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \underline{\lambda}, V_i)$ is a subrepresentation of $\widetilde{\Sigma}_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \underline{\lambda}, V_i)$, both of the representations are independent of the choice of the basis of V_i and determine V_i . Put $V := \prod_{ir \in \Delta_n(k)} V_i$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \underline{\lambda}, V) &:= \bigoplus_{\substack{ir \in \Delta_n(k) \\ \text{St}_{(r,k)}^{\text{an}}(\alpha, \pi, \underline{\lambda})}} \widetilde{\Sigma}_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \underline{\lambda}, V_i), \\ \Sigma^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \underline{\lambda}, V) &:= \bigoplus_{\substack{ir \in \Delta_n(k) \\ \text{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\alpha, \pi, \underline{\lambda})}} \Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \underline{\lambda}, V_i).\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\Sigma^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \underline{\lambda}, V)$ is a subrepresentation of $\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \underline{\lambda}, V)$.

3.2. A subcandidate in the locally analytic \mathbb{Q}_p -adic local Langlands program. The main result of this section is given as follows. Let $\rho_L : \text{Gal}_L \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(E)$ be a potentially semistable representation, and let $\mathbf{D} = D_{\text{rig}}(\rho_L)$ be the associated (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}$ of rank n .

Let $\mathbf{h} := (\mathbf{h}_{\tau,1} > \mathbf{h}_{\tau,2} > \dots > \mathbf{h}_{\tau,n})_{\tau \in \Sigma_L}$ be the Hodge-Tate weights of ρ_L (or \mathbf{D}). We put $\mathbf{h}_i = (\mathbf{h}_{\tau,i})_{\tau \in \Sigma_L}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We put $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\mathbf{h}} = (\mathbf{h}_{\tau,i} + i - 1)_{\tau \in \Sigma_L, 1 \leq i \leq n}$, which is a dominant weight of $(\text{Res}_{L/\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{GL}_n) \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ respect to $(\text{Res}_{L/\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbf{B}) \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$.

Suppose that \mathbf{D} admits a non-critical special $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration (see Definition 2.4) with parameter $(\mathbf{x}_\pi, \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathbf{h}}) \in (\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)},L}$ (or $(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_\pi, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}_{\mathbf{h}}) \in (\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)},\mathcal{O}_L}$).

Many information on ρ_L is lost when passing from ρ_L to its associated Weil-Deligne representation $\text{WD}(\rho_L)$. We have defined the parabolic Fontaine-Mazur simple \mathcal{L} -invariants $\mathcal{L}(\rho_L)$ of ρ_L . Then we will see that the locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representations $\Sigma^{(r)}(\alpha_\pi, \pi_0, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\mathbf{h}}, \mathcal{L}(\rho_L))$ and $\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(r)}(\alpha_\pi, \pi_0, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\mathbf{h}}, \mathcal{L}(\rho_L))$ of G carry the exact information on the Weil-Deligne representation $\mathbf{WD}(\rho_L) \cong \mathbf{WD}(\mathbf{D})$ associated with ρ_L , the Hodge-Tate weights $\text{HT}(\rho_L)$ of ρ_L , and the parabolic Fontaine-Mazur simple \mathcal{L} -invariants $\mathcal{L}(\rho_L)$ of ρ_L . Both of the representations determine exactly the data $\{\mathbf{WD}(\rho_L), \text{HT}(\rho_L), \mathcal{L}(\rho_L)\}$, and vice versa.

Proposition 3.2. *We have:*

- (1) $\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\mathbf{h}}, \mathcal{L}(\rho_L))$ is isomorphic to an extension of $\bigoplus_{ir \in \Delta_n(k)} v_{\mathbf{F}_{ir}^{(r)}}^\infty(\alpha, \pi, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\mathbf{h}})^{d_L}$ by $\text{St}_{(r,k)}^{\text{an}}(\alpha, \pi, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\mathbf{h}})$.

(2) $\Sigma^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}, \mathcal{L}(\rho_L))$ has the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & C_{1,\sigma_1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} v_{\mathbf{P}_r^{(r)}}^{\infty}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \\
 & \vdots & \vdots \\
 & C_{1,\sigma_{d_L}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} v_{\mathbf{P}_r^{(r)}}^{\infty}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \\
 & \vdots & \vdots \\
 & C_{k-1,\sigma_1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} v_{\mathbf{P}_{(k-1)r}^{(r)}}^{\infty}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \\
 & \vdots & \vdots \\
 & C_{k-1,\sigma_{d_L}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} v_{\mathbf{P}_{(k-1)r}^{(r)}}^{\infty}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}),
 \end{array}
 \tag{3.9}$$

(3) $\text{soc}_G \Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}, \mathcal{L}(\rho_L)_{ir}) \cong \text{soc}_G \Sigma^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}, \mathcal{L}(\rho_L)) \cong \text{St}_{(r,k)}^{\infty}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})$.

(4) The locally algebraic subrepresentation of $\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}, \mathcal{L}(\rho_L))$ (resp. of $\widetilde{\Sigma}_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}, \mathcal{L}(\rho_L)_{ir})$ for $ir \in \Delta_n(k)$) is isomorphic to $\text{St}_{(r,k)}^{\infty}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})$.

Proof. Part (1) is clear. For (2), note that the Remark 2.16 asserts that $\dim_E \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D})_{ir,\tau} = 1$ for all $\tau \in \Sigma_L$, and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D})_{ir} = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Sigma_L} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D})_{ir,\tau}$. Thus, there exists a $\mathcal{L}_{i,\tau} \in E$ such that $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D})_{ir,\tau}$ is generated by $\psi_{i,\tau} := \psi_{\tau,L} - \mathcal{L}_{i,\tau} \psi_{\text{ur}}$. Then $\{\psi_{i,\tau}\}_{i \in \Delta_n(k), \tau \in \Sigma_L}$ form a basis of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D})_{ir}$. By the same argument as in the the discussion after [26, Lem. 3.3], we can obtain the decomposition

$$\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}, \psi_{i,\tau}) = \Sigma_{i,\tau}^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}, \psi_{i,\tau}) \oplus \text{St}_{(r,k)}^{\infty}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{\sigma \in \Sigma_L, \sigma \neq \tau \\ \text{St}_{(r,k)}^{\infty}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})}} \Sigma_{i,\sigma}^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \right).$$

This deduces (2). Part (3) is a direct consequence of [34, Proposition 5.28]. By [34, Remark 5.21], we see that the locally algebraic subrepresentation of $\widetilde{\Sigma}_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}, \mathcal{L}(\rho_L)_{ir})$ is strictly bigger than $\text{St}_{(r,k)}^{\infty}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})$ if and only if $\text{Hom}_{\infty}(L^{\times}, E) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\rho_L)_{ir}$. Then (4) follows from Lemma 2.15 and Remark 2.16. \square

4. Local-global compatibility

In this Chapter, we prove some new (p -adic) local-global compatibility results for potentially semistable non-crystalline p -adic Galois representation.

Since we want to explore the non-trianguline Galois representations, our local-global compatibility results are realized in the framework of patched Bernstein eigenvariety (roughly speaking, since we can only see finite slope p -adic automorphism forms or trianguline representation in the classical eigenvarieties), which is constructed by Christophe Breuil and Yiwen Ding (see [12]).

We briefly describe the contents of each section. In Section 4.1, we first review the global patching construction (hence we also assume the so-called Talyor-Wiles hypothesis as in [21]). Then we construct the patched Bernstein eigenvariety (by an easy variation of [12, Section 3.3]) and the (purely local) Bernstein parabolic varieties (see [12, Section 4.2]).

To state our local-global compatibility results, let x be a point in the patched Bernstein eigenvariety such that the associated p -adic Galois representation ρ_x admits a non-critical special $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration.

In Section 4.4, we show that x is a smooth point of the patched Bernstein eigenvariety. By Section 2.4, we can attach to x (resp., ρ_L) the parabolic Fontaine-Mazur simple \mathcal{L} -invariants $\mathcal{L}(\rho_L)$. We further show that the tangent map of the “weight” map at point x recovers the information of $\mathcal{L}(\rho_L)$ (see Proposition 4.11). Via a study of parabolic Breuil’s simple \mathcal{L} -invariants (Sections 3), we attach to ρ_L a locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representation $\Sigma^{(r)}(\pi, \underline{\lambda}, \mathcal{L}(\rho_L))$. The second main theorem (see Section 4.5, our p -adic local-global compatibility results) of this paper asserts that $\Sigma^{(r)}(\pi, \underline{\lambda}, \mathcal{L}(\rho_L))$ is a subrepresentation of the associated Hecke-isotypic subspaces of the Banach spaces of p -adic automorphic form on certain (definite) unitary group (see Theorem 4.18).

4.1. Patched Bernstein eigenvarieties and Bernstein parabolic varieties. Our Local-global compatibility results are realized in the space of the patched p -adic automorphic forms. More precisely, it is realized in the setting of [26, Section 4.1.1]. In this section, we recall briefly the patched Bernstein eigenvariety and Bernstein parabolic variety of Breuil-Ding (see [12, Section 3.3, Section 4.2]). Indeed, the patched arguments we need are slightly different from that in [12, Section 3.3]). We instead only vary all weights and levels at only one p -adic place. But the arguments in [12, Section 3.3, Section 4.2] can easily be adapted to our case.

We follow the notation of [26, Section 4.1.1] and [21, Section 2]. Suppose that $p \nmid 2n$, and let $\bar{\tau} : \text{Gal}_L \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(k_E)$ be a continuous representation such that $\bar{\tau}$ admits a potentially crystalline lift $r_{\text{pot.diag}} : \text{Gal}_L \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(E)$ of regular weight ξ which is potentially diagonalisable. We can find a triple $(F, F^+, \bar{\rho})$, where F is an imaginary CM field with maximal totally real subfield F^+ , and $\bar{\rho} : \text{Gal}_{F^+} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_n(k_E)$ is a *suitable globalisation* (cf. [21, Section 2.1]) of $\bar{\tau}$. In particular, for any place $v|p$ of F^+ , v splits in F , and has $F_v^+ \cong L$. There is a place \tilde{v} of F lying over v with $\bar{\rho}|_{\text{Gal}_{F_{\tilde{v}}}} \cong \bar{\tau}$.

Let S_p be the set of places of F^+ above p . By [21, Section 2.3], we can find the following objects

$$\{\tilde{G}, v_1, \mathfrak{p} \in S_p, \{U_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\},$$

where \tilde{G} is a certain definite unitary group over F^+ , v_1 is a certain finite place of F^+ prime to p , and $\{U_m = \prod_v U_{m,v}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ is a tower of certain compact open subgroups of $\tilde{G}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty)$ (see [26, Section 4.1.1, Page 8028] or [21, Section 2, Page 214] for a precise description). We mention that U_m has full level \mathfrak{p}^m (resp., level $\tilde{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v^+})$) at \mathfrak{p} (resp., $v \in S_p \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}\}$).

Let τ be the inertial type of $r_{\text{pot.diag}}$. As in [26, Section 4.1.1, Page 8029] or [21, Section 2, Page 215], we consider the space of p -adic automorphic forms $\hat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{O}_E)$ and $\hat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^{\mathfrak{p}}, E)$ (roughly speaking, the space of p -adic algebraic automorphic forms of fixed type $\sigma(\tau)$ (see [21, Theorem 3.7], the “inertial local Langlands correspondence”) at the place $S_p \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}\}$, full level at \mathfrak{p} , and whose weight is 0 at places above \mathfrak{p} , and given by the regular weight ξ at each of the places in $S_p \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}\}$). Note that $\hat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^{\mathfrak{p}}, E)$ is a Banach space for the supremum norm and is equipped with a continuous (unitary) action of $\text{GL}_n(L)$ (by right translation on functions). The space $\hat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^{\mathfrak{p}}, E)$ is also equipped with a faithful action of a certain commutative global Hecke algebra $\mathbf{T}^{S_p, \text{univ}}$ over \mathcal{O}_E which is generated by some prime-to- p Hecke operators (see [26, Section 4.1.1, Page 8029]). We can associate to $\bar{\rho}$ a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\rho}}$ of $\mathbf{T}^{S_p, \text{univ}}$. Let $\hat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^{\mathfrak{p}}, *)_{\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\rho}}}$ be the localization of $\hat{S}_{\xi,\tau}(U^{\mathfrak{p}}, *)$ at $\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\rho}}$ for $* \in \{\mathcal{O}_E, E\}$.

Then the action of $\mathbf{T}^{S_p, \text{univ}}$ on the localization $\widehat{S}_{\xi, \tau}(U^{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{O}_E)_{\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{p}}}$ factors through certain Hecke algebra $\mathbf{T}_{\xi, \tau}^{S_p}(U^{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{O}_E)_{\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{p}}}$ (see [26, Page 8029]). We also see that $\widehat{S}_{\xi, \tau}(U^{\mathfrak{p}}, E)_*$ with $*$ $\in \{\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{p}}, \emptyset\}$ are admissible unitary Banach representation of with invariant lattice $\widehat{S}_{\xi, \tau}(U^{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{O}_E)_*$.

We denote by $R_{\tilde{v}}^{\square}$ the maximal reduced and p -torsion free quotient of the universal \mathcal{O}_E -lifting ring of $\overline{\rho}_{\tilde{v}} := \overline{\rho}|_{\text{Gal}_{F_{\tilde{v}}}} (\cong \overline{\rho}_{\tau}$, and therefore $R_{\tilde{v}}^{\square} \cong R_{\tau}^{\square}$). For $v \in S_p \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}\}$, we denote by $R_{\tilde{v}}^{\square, \xi, \tau}$ for the reduced and p -torsion free quotient of $R_{\tilde{v}}^{\square}$ corresponding to potentially crystalline lifts of weight ξ and inertial type τ . Consider the following global deformation problem (in the terminology of [23], see also [21, Section 2.4])

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ F/F^+, T^+, T, \mathcal{O}_E, \overline{\rho}, \chi_{\text{cyc}}^{1-n} \delta_{F/F^+}^n, \{R_{\tilde{v}_1}^{\square}\} \cup \{R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\square}\} \cup \{R_{\tilde{v}}^{\square, \xi, \tau}\}_{v \in S_p \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}\}} \right\}$$

Then by [23, Proposition 2.2.9] (see also [21, Section 2.4]), this deformation problem is represented by a universal deformation ring $R_{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{univ}}$. Note that we have a natural morphism $R_{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{\xi, \tau}^{S_p}(U^{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{O}_E)_{\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{p}}}$.

Following [26, Section 4.1.1] (or [21, Section 2.8]) we put

$$R^{\text{loc}} := R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\square} \widehat{\otimes} \left(\widehat{\otimes}_{S_p \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}\}} R_{\tilde{v}}^{\square, \xi, \tau} \right) \widehat{\otimes} R_{\tilde{v}_1}^{\square},$$

where all completed tensor products are taken over \mathcal{O}_E . We put $g := q - [F^+ : \mathbb{Q}] \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$, where $q \geq [F^+ : \mathbb{Q}] \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ is a certain integer as in [21, Section 2.6, Page 217]). We now put

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\infty} &:= R^{\text{loc}}[[x_1, \dots, x_g], \\ S_{\infty} &:= \mathcal{O}_E[[z_1, \dots, z_{n^2(|S_p|+1)}, y_1, \dots, y_q], \end{aligned}$$

where x_i, y_i, z_i are formal variables. By the end of [26, Section 4.1.1] (or [21, Section 2.8]), we get the following objects:

- (i) a continuous R_{∞} -admissible unitary representation Π_{∞} of $G = \text{GL}_n(L)$ over E together with a G -stable and R_{∞} -stable unit ball $\Pi_{\infty}^{\circ} \subset \Pi_{\infty}$;
- (ii) a morphism of local \mathcal{O}_E -algebras $S_{\infty} \rightarrow R_{\infty}$ such that $M_{\infty} := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_L}(\Pi_{\infty}^{\circ}, \mathcal{O}_E)$ is finite projective as $S_{\infty}[[\text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_L)]]$ -module;
- (iii) a closed ideal \mathfrak{a} of R_{∞} , a surjection $R_{\infty}/\mathfrak{a}R_{\infty} \rightarrow R_{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{univ}}$ and a $G \times R_{\infty}/\mathfrak{a}R_{\infty}$ -invariant isomorphism $\Pi_{\infty}[\mathfrak{a}] \cong \widehat{S}_{\xi, \tau}(U^{\mathfrak{p}}, E)_{\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{p}}}$, where R_{∞} acts on $\widehat{S}_{\xi, \tau}(U^{\mathfrak{p}}, E)_{\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{p}}}$ via $R_{\infty}/\mathfrak{a}R_{\infty} \rightarrow R_{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{univ}}$.

Let $R^{\mathfrak{p}} = \left(\widehat{\otimes}_{v \in S_p \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}\}} R_{\tilde{v}}^{\square, \xi, \tau} \right) \widehat{\otimes} R_{\tilde{v}_1}^{\square}$ and $R_{\infty}^{\mathfrak{p}} := R^{\mathfrak{p}}[[x_1, \dots, x_g]]$. Then we have $R^{\text{loc}} = R^{\mathfrak{p}} \widehat{\otimes} R_{\tau}^{\square}$ (recall that $R_{\tilde{v}}^{\square} \cong R_{\tau}^{\square}$ by definition) and $R_{\infty} = R_{\infty}^{\mathfrak{p}} \widehat{\otimes} R_{\tau}^{\square}$. Let \mathbb{U} be the open unit ball in \mathbb{A}^1 . We put $\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{\rho}^{\mathfrak{p}}}^{\square} := (\text{Spf } R^{\mathfrak{p}})^{\text{rig}}$ and $\mathfrak{X}_{\tau}^{\square} = (\text{Spf } R_{\tau}^{\square})^{\text{rig}}$. Then we have $(\text{Spf } R_{\infty}^{\mathfrak{p}})^{\text{rig}} = \mathfrak{X}_{\overline{\rho}^{\mathfrak{p}}}^{\square} \times \mathbb{U}^g$. We have thus $\mathfrak{X}_{\infty} := (\text{Spf } R_{\infty})^{\text{rig}} \cong (\text{Spf } R_{\infty}^{\mathfrak{p}})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathfrak{X}_{\tau}^{\square} \cong \mathfrak{X}_{\overline{\rho}^{\mathfrak{p}}}^{\square} \times \mathbb{U}^g \times \mathfrak{X}_{\tau}^{\square}$.

Let $\mathbf{h} := (\mathbf{h}_{\tau, 1}, \mathbf{h}_{\tau, 2}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{\tau, n})_{\tau \in \Sigma_L}$ be a strictly Δ_n^k -dominant weight. We put $\mathbf{h}_i = (\mathbf{h}_{\tau, i})_{\tau \in \Sigma_L}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and put $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\mathbf{h}} = (\mathbf{h}_{\tau, i} + i - 1)_{\tau \in \Sigma_L, 1 \leq i \leq n}$. We denote by $\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty} - \text{an}}$ the subrepresentation of G of locally R_{∞} -analytic vectors of Π_{∞} (see [16, Section 3.1]). Recall that $\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(L) \cong Z_{\varpi_L}^{(r)} \times \mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L)$, where $Z_{\varpi_L}^{(r)}$ is the image of $\oplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(L)$, $(m_i) \mapsto (\varpi_L^{m_i})$.

We recall the construction of certain $R_{\infty} \times \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1, k]}} \times Z_{\varpi_L}^{(r)} \times \mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ -module $B_{\Omega_{[1, k]}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\mathbf{h}}}(\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty} - \text{an}})$ in [12, Sections 3.1.1, 3.1.2, 3.3]. Using Bushnell-Kutzko's theory of type, we can construct an absolutely irreducible smooth representation σ of $\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ over E from a maximal simple type of $\Omega_{[1, k]}$

(see the argument in [12, Sections 3.1.1]). We have

$$\mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}} \cong \text{End}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)}(c - \text{ind}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L)}^{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)} \sigma),$$

where “ $c - \text{ind}$ ” denotes the compact induction. We put

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\sigma, \lambda_h}(\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}}) &:= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L)}(\sigma, J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}})_{\lambda_h} \widehat{\otimes}_E \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Q}_p-\text{an}}(\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L), E)) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)}(c - \text{ind}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L)}^{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)} \sigma, J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}})_{\lambda_h} \widehat{\otimes}_E \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Q}_p-\text{an}}(\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L), E)), \end{aligned}$$

where $J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}})_{\lambda_h} := \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{d}^{(r)}}(L^{(r)}(\lambda_h), J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}}))$ (recall that $\mathfrak{d}^{(r)}$ is the Lie algebra of the derived subgroup $\mathbf{D}^{(r)}$ of $\mathbf{L}^{(r)}$, and $J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}$ is the Emerton-Jacquet functor [27]).

As in [12, Sections 3.1.2], we recall various group actions on $B_{\sigma, \lambda_h}(\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}})$. We write

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \iota_1(\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(L)) &\cong \mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(L), \quad (\text{i.e., the notation } \mathcal{Z}_1 \text{ in [12, (3.4)]}) \\ \text{resp., } \iota_0(\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L)) &\cong \mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L), \quad (\text{i.e., the notation } \mathcal{Z}_0 \text{ in [12, (3.4)]}) \end{aligned}$$

for the action of $\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(L)$ on $B_{\sigma, \lambda_h}(\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}})$ induced by the action $\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(L)$ (resp., $\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L)$) on $J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}})_{\lambda_h}$ (resp., $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Q}_p-\text{an}}(\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L), E)$).

Next, the Bernstein centre $\mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}$ acts on the module $B_{\sigma, \lambda_h}(\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}})$ via the factor $c - \text{ind}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L)}^{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)} \sigma$, which commutes with the action of $\iota_1(\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(L)) \times \iota_0(\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L))$. Write \mathcal{Y}_1 (resp., \mathcal{Y}_0) for the action $\iota_1(\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(L))$ (resp., $\iota_0(\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L))$).

We write Δ_0 for the action of $\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(L)$ on $B_{\sigma, \lambda_h}(\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}})$ induced by the diagonal action of $\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(L)$ on $J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}})_{\lambda_h} \widehat{\otimes}_E \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Q}_p-\text{an}}(\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L), E)$ (see the argument before [12, (3.4)]). The argument before [12, Lemma 3.1.2] shows that the action of Δ_0 is determined by $\Delta_0|_{Z_{\varpi_L}^{(r)}}$ (and $\Delta_0|_{\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L)}$) acts via the central character of σ .

The $R_{\infty} \times \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}} \times Z_{\varpi_L}^{(r)} \times \mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ -module structure of $B_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \lambda_h}(\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}})^{\vee}$ is given by the $R_{\infty} \times \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}} \times \iota_1(Z_{\varpi_L}^{(r)}) \times \iota_0(\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L))$ -action, where R_{∞} acts on $B_{\sigma, \lambda_h}(\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}})$ via the factor $\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}}$. By [12, Lemma 3.17], the $\mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}} \times Z_{\varpi_L}^{(r)} \times \mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ -module $B_{\sigma, \lambda_h}(\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}})$ does not depend on the choice σ . We denote hence $B_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \lambda_h}(\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}}) := B_{\sigma, \lambda_h}(\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}})$.

We also recall how to recover the action \mathcal{Y}_1 from \mathcal{Y}_0 -action and $\mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}$ -action. Denoting by ψ_{σ} the central character of σ (a character of $\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(L)$). By [12, (3.5)], we see that

$$\mathcal{Y}_1(z_0) = \psi_{\sigma}(z_0) \mathcal{Y}_0(\det_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)}(z_0)).$$

for any $z_0 \in \mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L)$. On the other hand, we see that $\mathcal{Y}_1|_{Z_{\varpi_L}^{(r)}} = \Delta_0|_{Z_{\varpi_L}^{(r)}}$. Moreover, the $\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(L)$ -action on $B_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \lambda_h}(\widehat{S}(U^{\mathfrak{p}}, W^{\mathfrak{p}})_{\overline{\rho}}^{\text{an}})$ induced by the map

$$\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(L) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)}(c - \text{ind}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L)}^{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)} \sigma) \cong \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}$$

coincides with the Δ_0 -action. Then the action \mathcal{Y}_1 can be recovered from the $\mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}$ -action.

By an easy variation of the proof of [12, Lemma 3.1.3] and the argument before [12, (3.28)], we see that $B_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \lambda_h}(\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\text{an}})^{\vee}$ is a coadmissible module over $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{X}_{\infty} \times \widehat{Z_{\varpi_L}^{(r)}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L})$, which corresponds to a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \lambda_h}^{\infty, 0}$ over $\mathfrak{X}_{\infty} \times \widehat{Z_{\varpi_L}^{(r)}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}$. Since the action of $Z_{\varpi_L}^{(r)}$ factors through $(\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\text{rig}}$, we see that $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \lambda_h}^{\infty, 0}$ gives rise to a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \lambda_h}^{\infty}$ over

$\mathfrak{X}_\infty \times (\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}$ such that

$$\Gamma\left(\mathfrak{X}_\infty \times (\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}, \mathcal{M}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \lambda_h}^\infty\right) \cong B_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \lambda_h}(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \mathrm{an}})^\vee.$$

Let $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_h}^\infty(\bar{\rho}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}_\infty \times (\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}$ be the Zariski-closed support of $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \lambda_h}^\infty$. We call $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_h}^\infty(\bar{\rho})$ the patched Bernstein eigenvariety.

By an easy variation of the proof of Proposition 3.3.2, Corollary 3.3.3, Proposition 3.3.4, Theorem 3.3.5 and Proposition 3.3.6 in [12], we have

Proposition 4.1.

(1) For $x = (\mathfrak{m}_x, \pi_x, \chi_x) \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty \times (\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}$, $x \in \mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_h}^\infty(\bar{\rho})$ if and only if

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)}\left(\pi_x \otimes_E ((\chi_x)_{\varpi_L} \circ \det_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)}) \otimes_E L^{(r)}(\lambda_h), J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \mathrm{an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y])\right) \neq 0$$

(2) The rigid space $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_h}^\infty(\bar{\rho})$ is reduced and equidimensional of dimension

$$g + kd_L + n^2(|S_p| + 1) + [F^+ : \mathbb{Q}] \frac{n(n-1)}{2}.$$

(3) The coherent sheaf $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \lambda_h}^\infty$ is Cohen-Macaulay over $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_h}^\infty(\bar{\rho})$.

(4) The set of very classical non-critical generic points (see [12, Definition 3.2.7, (3.22)] for the definitions of classical, very classical, non-critical, and generic points respectively) is Zariski-dense in $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_h}^\infty(\bar{\rho})$ and is an accumulation set. The set of very classical non-critical generic points accumulates at point $x = (\mathfrak{m}_x, \pi_x, \chi_x)$ with χ_x locally algebraic.

We now recall the definition of Bernstein paraboline varieties [12, Section 4.2]. The Bernstein paraboline variety $X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathbf{h}}(\bar{\tau})$ of type $(\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathbf{h})$ is a subspace of $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\tau}}^\square \times (\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}$. It contains a subspace $U_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathbf{h}}^\square(\bar{\tau})$ consists of the point $(\rho, \underline{x}, \delta^0)$ such that

- (1) $(\underline{x}, \delta^0) \in ((\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L})^{\mathrm{gen}}$ (the set of generic points in $(\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}$, see [12, Section 4.2]),
- (2) $D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho)$ admits an $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration $\mathcal{F} = \mathrm{Fil}_\bullet^{\mathcal{F}} D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho)$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad \mathrm{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{k(x), L}} \mathcal{R}_{k(x), L}((\delta_i^0)^{-1}) \hookrightarrow \Delta_{x_i} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{k(x), L}} \mathcal{R}_{k(x), L}(z^{\mathbf{h}_{ir}})$$

and the image has Hodge-Tate weights $(\mathbf{h}_{(i-1)r+1}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{ir})$. By using Berger's equivalence of categories [4, Theorem A] and comparing the Hodge-Tate weights, we see that (4.2) is equivalent to

$$(4.3) \quad \Delta_{x_i} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{k(x), L}} \mathcal{R}_{k(x), L}(z^{\mathbf{h}_{(i-1)r+1}}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{k(x), L}} \mathcal{R}_{k(x), L}((\delta_i^0)^{-1}).$$

We define $X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathbf{h}}(\bar{\tau})$ to be the Zariski-closure of $U_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathbf{h}}^\square(\bar{\tau})$ in $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\tau}}^\square \times (\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}$. By [12, Theorem 4.2.5, Corollary 4.2.5], we have:

Proposition 4.2.

- (1) The rigid space $X_{\Omega_{[1,k]},\mathbf{h}}(\bar{r})$ is equidimensional of dimension $n^2 + \left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2} + k\right) d_L$.
- (2) The set $U_{\Omega_{[1,k]},\mathbf{h}}^\square(\bar{r})$ is Zariski-open and Zariski-dense in $X_{\Omega_{[1,k]},\mathbf{h}}(\bar{r})$.
- (3) The rigid space $U_{\Omega_{[1,k]},\mathbf{h}}^\square(\bar{r})$ is smooth over E , and the morphism

$$\omega|_{U_{\Omega_{[1,k]},\mathbf{h}}^\square(\bar{r})} : U_{\Omega_{[1,k]},\mathbf{h}}^\square(\bar{r}) \rightarrow (\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)},\mathcal{O}_L}$$

is smooth.

- (4) Let $x = (\rho_x, \underline{x}, \delta^0) \in X_{\Omega_{[1,k]},\mathbf{h}}(\bar{r})$, then $D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho_x)$ admits an $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathrm{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}} D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho_x)\}$ such that, for all $1 = 1, \dots, s$,

$$\mathrm{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho_x) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{k(x),L}} \mathcal{R}_{k(x),L}((\delta_i^0)^{-1}_{\varpi_L}) \left[\frac{1}{t} \right] = \Delta_{x_i} \left[\frac{1}{t} \right].$$

Remark 4.3. In general, $(\underline{x}, ((\delta_i^0)_{\varpi_L} z^{\mathbf{h}_{ir}}))$ is not a parameter (recall Definition 2.1) of the $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration \mathcal{F} in (4). By definition, for $x = (\rho_x, \underline{x}, \delta^0) \in U_{\Omega_{[1,k]},\mathbf{h}}^\square(\bar{r})$, we see that $(\underline{x}, ((\delta_i^0)_{\varpi_L} z^{\mathbf{h}_{ir}}))$ is a parameter of $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration \mathcal{F} .

The following definition is a generalization of the accumulation property in [15, Definition 2.11].

Definition 4.4. Let X be a union of irreducible components of an open subset of $X_{\Omega_{[1,k]},\mathbf{h}}(\bar{r})$. We say that X satisfies the accumulation property at x , if for any positive real number $C > 0$, the set of potentially crystalline strictly points $x' = (\rho', \underline{x}', \delta_{x'}^0) \in X_{\Omega_{[1,k]},\mathbf{h}}(\bar{r})$ such that:

- x' is generic;
- x' is non-critical;
- $\mathrm{wt}(\delta_{x,i}^0) - \mathrm{wt}(\delta_{x,i+1}^0) > C$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $\tau \in \Sigma_L$;

accumulate at x .

The (purely local) Bernstein paraboline variety is closely related to the (global) patched Bernstein eigenvariety. Consider the composition

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]},\mathbf{p},\lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^\infty(\bar{\rho}) &\hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}^{\mathbf{p}}}^\square \times \mathfrak{X}_{\bar{r}}^\square \times (\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)},\mathcal{O}_L} \\ &\xrightarrow{\iota_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}} \mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}^{\mathbf{p}}}^\square \times \mathfrak{X}_{\bar{r}}^\square \times (\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)},\mathcal{O}_L}. \end{aligned}$$

where $\iota_{\Omega_{[1,k]}} : (\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}}$ is the isomorphism such that

$$\pi_{\iota_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}(x)_i} = \pi_{x_i} \otimes_E \mathrm{unr}(q_L^{(i-1)r + \frac{r-1}{2}}) \circ \det$$

for $x = (x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k} \in (\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}}$. An easy variation of the proof of [12, Theorem 3.3.9] asserts that

Proposition 4.5. *The composition in (4.4) factors through $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}^p}^\square \times X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathbf{h}}(\bar{\tau})$, i.e.,*

$$(4.5) \quad \Lambda : \mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathbf{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^\infty(\bar{\rho}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}^p}^\square \times \iota_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{-1}(X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathbf{h}}(\bar{\tau})).$$

It induces an isomorphism between $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathbf{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^\infty(\bar{\rho})$ with a union of irreducible components of the space $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}^p}^\square \times \iota_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{-1}(X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathbf{h}}(\bar{\tau}))$ equipped with the reduced closed rigid subspace structure.

4.2. Non-critical special point. Let $\rho_L : \text{Gal}_L \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(E)$ be a potentially semistable non-crystalline representation. Let \mathbf{D} be the associated (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}$ of rank n .

Let $\mathbf{h} := (\mathbf{h}_{\tau,1} > \mathbf{h}_{\tau,2} > \cdots > \mathbf{h}_{\tau,n})_{\tau \in \Sigma_L}$ be the Hodge-Tate weights of ρ_L (or \mathbf{D}). We put $\mathbf{h}_i = (\mathbf{h}_{\tau,i})_{\tau \in \Sigma_L}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We put $\lambda_{\mathbf{h}} = (\mathbf{h}_{\tau,i} + i - 1)_{\tau \in \Sigma_L, 1 \leq i \leq n}$, which is a dominant weight of $(\text{Res}_{L/\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{GL}_n) \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ respect to $(\text{Res}_{L/\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbf{B}) \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$. In the sequel, we fix this weight \mathbf{h} .

Suppose that \mathbf{D} admits a non-critical special $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration \mathcal{F} with parameter

$$(\check{\mathbf{x}}_\pi, \check{\delta}_{\mathbf{h}}) \in (\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}$$

(or $(\mathbf{x}_\pi, \delta_{\mathbf{h}}) \in (\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, L}$), see (Definition 2.2, Definition 2.4). In the sequel, we use the notations of Section 2.2 freely.

Recall that $\pi \cong \pi_0 \otimes \text{unr}(\alpha_\pi)$ for some $\alpha_\pi \in E^\times$. We put

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \bullet (\check{\mathbf{x}}_\pi, \underline{\mathbf{1}}) \in (\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}, \\ & \check{\mathbf{x}}_\pi = (\check{\mathbf{x}}_{\pi,i})_{1 \leq i \leq k}, \text{ and } \pi_{\check{\mathbf{x}}_\pi, i} \cong \pi_0 \otimes_E \text{unr}(\alpha_\pi q_L^{i-k + \frac{1-r}{2} - r(i-1)}) \circ \det \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k; \\ & \bullet (\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_\pi, \underline{\mathbf{1}}) \in (\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}, \\ & \widehat{\mathbf{x}}_\pi = (\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_{\pi,i})_{1 \leq i \leq k}, \text{ and } \pi_{\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_\pi, i} \cong \pi_0 \otimes_E \text{unr}(\alpha_\pi q_L^{i-k}) \circ \det \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that there exists $x^p \in \mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}^p}^\square$ such that

$$x = (x^p, \rho_L, \check{\mathbf{x}}_\pi, \underline{\mathbf{1}}) \in \mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathbf{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^\infty(\bar{\rho}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}^p}^\square \times \mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\tau}}^\square \times (\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L},$$

By definition, we see that

$$\Lambda(x) = (x^p, \iota_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{-1}(x_L)) = (x^p, \iota_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{-1}(\rho_L, \widehat{\mathbf{x}}_\pi, \underline{\mathbf{1}})) \in \mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}^p}^\square \times \iota_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{-1}(X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathbf{h}}(\bar{\tau}))$$

via the injection (4.5). In this case, we call x_L (resp., x) a *non-critical special point* on $X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathbf{h}}(\bar{\tau})$ (resp., $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathbf{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^\infty(\bar{\rho})$).

We derive several local consequences of Bernstein paraboline variety $X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathbf{h}}(\bar{\tau})$ at the non-critical special point x_L . Recall $\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathbf{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^\infty(\bar{\rho})}_x$ (resp. $\widehat{X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathbf{h}}(\bar{\tau})}_{x_L}$) means the complete local ring of $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathbf{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^\infty(\bar{\rho})$ (resp. $X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathbf{h}}(\bar{\tau})$) at x (resp. x_L).

4.3. Accumulation property at non-critical special point. Let $\mathcal{E}(x)$ be the union of irreducible components of $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathbf{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^\infty(\bar{\rho})$ containing x , which is thus equidimensional of dimension

$$g + nd_L + n^2(|S_p| + 1) + [F^+ : \mathbb{Q}] \left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2} + r \right).$$

Since $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]},\mathfrak{p},\lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho})$ is reduced at x (by Proposition 4.1 (2)), one has

$$(4.7) \quad \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]},\mathfrak{p},\lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho}),x} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{E}(x),x}.$$

By Theorem 4.5, $\mathcal{E}(x)$ has the form $\cup_{i,j} (X_i^{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathbb{U}^g \times \iota_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{-1}(X_{j,\mathfrak{p}}))$, where $X_{i,\mathfrak{p}}$ is an irreducible component of $X_{\Omega_{[1,k]},\mathbf{h}}(\bar{\tau})$ containing x_L , and $X_i^{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an irreducible component of $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square}$. By [36, Theorem 3.3.8] and [21, Lemma 2.5], $X_{\Omega_{[1,k]},\mathbf{h}}(\bar{\tau})$ is smooth at $x^{\mathfrak{p}}$, and hence $\{X_i^{\mathfrak{p}}\}_i$ is a singleton $\{X^{\mathfrak{p}}\}$. Therefore, we have

$$(4.8) \quad \mathcal{E}(x) = X^{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathbb{U}^g \times \iota_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{-1}(X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{X^{\mathfrak{p}}-\text{aut}}(x)) \hookrightarrow X^{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathbb{U}^g \times \iota_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{-1}(X_{\Omega_{[1,k]},\mathbf{h}}(\bar{\tau}))$$

with $X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{X^{\mathfrak{p}}-\text{aut}}(x) = \cup_j X_{j,\mathfrak{p}}$.

Lemma 4.6. $X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{X^{\mathfrak{p}}-\text{aut}}(x)$ satisfies the accumulation property at x_L .

Proof. We follow the route of [15, Proposition 3.10], the proof of [16, Theorem 3.9] and the statement in [12, Theorem 3.3.5]. Recall that [12, Theorem 3.3.5] say that the set of very classical non-critical generic points (and therefore potentially crystalline) is Zariski-dense in $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]},\mathfrak{p},\lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho})$ and accumulate at any point $x = (\mathfrak{m}_x, \pi_x, \chi_x) \in \mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]},\mathfrak{p},\lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho})$ with χ_x locally algebraic. We adapt the proof of this statement to our setting. More precisely, for the non-critical point $x = (x^{\mathfrak{p}}, \rho_L, \check{\chi}_{\pi}, \underline{1}) \in \mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]},\mathfrak{p},\lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho})$ and an irreducible component X of $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]},\mathfrak{p},\lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho})$ containing x , one can choose an affinoid and connected neighborhood of x such that $U \rightarrow \omega_X(U)$ is a finite surjective morphism, and $\omega_X(U)$ is an affinoid open subset, by [12, Proposition 3.3.2 (2)]. For any sufficiently large C , we define a part W_C of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)},\mathcal{O}_L}$ consists of dominant algebraic character $\delta^0 \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)},\mathcal{O}_L}$ such that $\text{wt}(\delta_{\tau,i}^0) - \text{wt}(\delta_{\tau,i+1}^0) > C$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ and $\tau \in \Sigma_L$. Since U is affinoid, by [12, Proposition 3.2.14] and [12, Proposition 3.2.9 and (3.17)], for any sufficiently large C , if a point $x' = (x^{\mathfrak{p}}, \rho', \underline{x}', \delta_{x'}^0) \in \mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]},\mathfrak{p},\lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho})$ satisfies that $\delta_{x'}^0 \in W_C$ then x' is a very classical non-critical generic point. Then by a similar argument of the second paragraph in the proof of [16, Theorem 3.9], we see that there exists an affinoid neighbourhood U of x in X and a part W of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)},\mathcal{O}_L}$ such that $\omega_X^{-1}((\text{Spf } S_{\infty})^{\text{rig}} \times W) \cap U$ consists of very classical non-critical generic points, where ω_X is the restriction of the map

$$\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]},\mathfrak{p},\lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho}) \xrightarrow{\kappa_z} ((\text{Spf } S_{\infty})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)},\mathcal{O}_L} \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{rig}} \rightarrow (\text{Spf } S_{\infty})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)},\mathcal{O}_L},$$

which is defined in the [12, discussion before Proposition 3.3.2]. Using the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{E}(x) = X^{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathbb{U}^g \times \iota_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{-1}(X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{X^{\mathfrak{p}}-\text{aut}}(x)),$$

this lemma is contained in the above discussion. \square

Let r^{univ} be the universal framed Galois deformation of $\bar{\tau}$ over $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\square}$, $\delta_i^{0,\text{univ}}$ be the universal character of \mathcal{O}_L^{\times} over $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_L^{\times}}$, and $\Delta_{\Omega_r}^{\text{univ}}$ be the universal p -adic differential equation over $(\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_r})^{\text{rig}}$ (see [12, Section 2.2]). Let $X \subset X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{X^{\mathfrak{p}}-\text{aut}}(x)$ be a subspace, and let r_X^{univ} , $\delta_{X,i}^{0,\text{univ}}$ and $\Delta_{\Omega_r,X}^{\text{univ}}$ be the pull-back of r^{univ} , $\delta_i^{0,\text{univ}}$ and $\Delta_{\pi}^{\text{univ}}$ over X . Let $D_{\text{rig}}(r_X^{\text{univ}})$ be the (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathcal{R}_{X,L}$ associated to r_X^{univ} .

For $1 \leq i \leq k$, we set

$$\Delta_{X,i} = \Delta_{\Omega_r, X}^{\text{univ}} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{X,L}} \mathcal{R}_{X,L} \left((\delta_{X,i}^{0,\text{univ}})_{\varpi_L} z^{\mathbf{h}(i-1)r+1} \right).$$

By the argument after (2.2), we have natural injection $\Delta_{X,x_L,i} \hookrightarrow \text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D} \cong \text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} D_{\text{rig}}(r_{X,x_L}^{\text{univ}})$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, where $\Delta_{X,x_L,i}$ is the specialization of $\Delta_{X,i}$ at x_L . We show that the $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration on x_L can extend to some open affinoid neighborhood around x_L .

Theorem 4.7. *There exists an open affinoid neighborhood $X \subset X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{X^{\text{p-aut}}}(x)$ of non-critical special point x_L such that the (φ, Γ) -module $D_{\text{rig}}(r_X^{\text{univ}})[\frac{1}{t}]$ over $\mathcal{R}_{X,L}$ admits a filtration \mathcal{M}_{\bullet} such that $\text{gr}^i \mathcal{M}_{\bullet} \cong \Delta_{X,i}[\frac{1}{t}]$. In particular, the specialization of the filtration \mathcal{M}_{\bullet} on non-critical special point x_L gives an $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration of $D_{\text{rig}}(\rho_L)[\frac{1}{t}]$ with parameter $(\mathbf{x}_{\pi}, \delta_{\mathbf{h}})$.*

Proof. By the accumulation property at x_L , there exists a Zariski dense set S of potentially crystalline and non-critical generic points such that for $z \in S$, we have (see the proof of [12, Proposition 4.2.7])

$$\dim_{k(z)} \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^i(\Delta_{X,z,1}, D_{\text{rig}}(r_{X,z}^{\text{univ}})) = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 0; \\ 1 + nr \cdot d_L, & i = 1; \\ 0, & i = 2. \end{cases}$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.8 (recall that $\text{gr}_1^{\mathcal{F}} D_{\text{rig}}(r_{X,x_L}^{\text{univ}})/\Delta_{X,x_L,1}$ is a torsion (φ, Γ) -module), we can deduce that for $s > 1$,

- $\text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\Delta_{X,x_L,1}, \text{gr}_s^{\mathcal{F}} D_{\text{rig}}(r_{X,x_L}^{\text{univ}})) = 0$,
- $\text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\Delta_{X,x_L,1}, \text{gr}_s^{\mathcal{F}} D_{\text{rig}}(r_{X,x_L}^{\text{univ}})) = 0$,
- $\text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\Delta_{X,x_L,1}, \text{gr}_1^{\mathcal{F}} D_{\text{rig}}(r_{X,x_L}^{\text{univ}})/\Delta_{X,x_L,1}) = 0$,
- $\text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(\Delta_{X,x_L,1}, \text{gr}_1^{\mathcal{F}} D_{\text{rig}}(r_{X,x_L}^{\text{univ}})/\Delta_{X,x_L,1}) = 0$.

An easy computation and dévissage argument imply

$$\dim_{k(x_L)} \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^i(\Delta_{X,x_L,1}, D_{\text{rig}}(r_{X,x_L}^{\text{univ}})) = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 0; \\ 1 + nr \cdot d_L, & i = 1; \\ 0, & i = 2. \end{cases}$$

By [2, Corollary 4.7], $\text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\Delta_{X,1}, D_{\text{rig}}(r_X^{\text{univ}}))$ is locally free of rank one at x_L . Shrinking X , we can assume that $\text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(\Delta_{X,1}, D_{\text{rig}}(r_X^{\text{univ}}))$ is free of rank one at x_L . A choice of a generator allows a map

$$\iota_1 : \Delta_{X,1} \longrightarrow D_{\text{rig}}(r_X^{\text{univ}}).$$

By [2, Lemma 5.4 (a)], ι_1 is injective.

Now consider $Q_{X,1} := \text{coker} \iota_1$, which is a generalized (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathcal{R}_{X,L}$. By [2, Lemma 5.4 (b)], we can assume $Q_{X,1}$ is nearly flat (in the sense of the [2, Definition 4.1]) by shrinking X . A difference with trianguline case is that the map ι_1 is never saturated, and so $Q_{X,1}$ has t -torsions at all points. For any $z \in S$ (resp., x_L), by definition $Q_{X,z}$ (resp., $Q_{X,x_L,1}$) is an extension of

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Fil}_2^k D_{\text{rig}}(r_{X,z}^{\text{univ}}) &:= D_{\text{rig}}(r_{X,z}^{\text{univ}})/\text{gr}_1^{\mathcal{F}} D_{\text{rig}}(r_{X,z}^{\text{univ}}) \\ (\text{resp., } \text{Fil}_2^k D_{\text{rig}}(r_{X,x_L}^{\text{univ}})) &:= D_{\text{rig}}(r_{X,x_L}^{\text{univ}})/\text{gr}_1^{\mathcal{F}} D_{\text{rig}}(r_{X,x_L}^{\text{univ}}) \end{aligned}$$

by torsion (φ, Γ) -module $\mathrm{gr}_1^{\mathcal{F}} D_{\mathrm{rig}}(r_{X,z}^{\mathrm{univ}})/\Delta_{X,z,1}$ (resp., $\mathrm{gr}_1^{\mathcal{F}} D_{\mathrm{rig}}(r_{X,x_L}^{\mathrm{univ}})/\Delta_{X,x_L,1}$). Moreover, as $z \in S$ is generic, we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathrm{Fil}_2^k D_{\mathrm{rig}}(r_{X,z}^{\mathrm{univ}}), \mathrm{gr}_1^{\mathcal{F}} D_{\mathrm{rig}}(r_{X,z}^{\mathrm{univ}})/\Delta_{X,z,1}) = 0$$

and hence $Q_{X,z,1} \cong \mathrm{Fil}_2^k D_{\mathrm{rig}}(r_{X,z}^{\mathrm{univ}}) \oplus \mathrm{gr}_1^{\mathcal{F}} D_{\mathrm{rig}}(r_{X,z}^{\mathrm{univ}})/\Delta_{X,z,1}$.

For the point x_L , since $Q_{X,x_L}[\frac{1}{t}] = \mathrm{Fil}_2^k D_{\mathrm{rig}}(r_{X,x_L}^{\mathrm{univ}})[\frac{1}{t}]$, then there exists a sufficiently large integer N , such that (using E - B -pairs and the same strategy as in the proof of Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.8)

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(t^N \Delta_{X,x_L,2}, Q_{X,x_L,1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(t^N \Delta_{X,x_L,2}, \mathrm{Fil}_2^k D_{\mathrm{rig}}(r_{X,x_L}^{\mathrm{univ}})).$$

In this case, note that for $s > 2$,

- $\mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(t^N \Delta_{X,x_L,2}, \mathrm{gr}_s^{\mathcal{F}} D_{\mathrm{rig}}(r_{X,x_L}^{\mathrm{univ}})) = 0$,
- $\mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(t^N \Delta_{X,x_L,2}, \mathrm{gr}_s^{\mathcal{F}} D_{\mathrm{rig}}(r_{X,x_L}^{\mathrm{univ}})) = 0$,
- $\mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(t^N \Delta_{X,x_L,2}, \mathrm{gr}_2^{\mathcal{F}} D_{\mathrm{rig}}(r_{X,x_L}^{\mathrm{univ}})/\Delta_{X,x_L,2}) = 0$,
- $\mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^2(t^N \Delta_{X,x_L,2}, \mathrm{gr}_2^{\mathcal{F}} D_{\mathrm{rig}}(r_{X,x_L}^{\mathrm{univ}})/\Delta_{X,x_L,2}) = 0$.

We can obtain

$$(4.10) \quad \dim_E \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(t^N \Delta_{X,x_L,2}, \mathrm{Fil}_2^k D_{\mathrm{rig}}(r_{X,x_L}^{\mathrm{univ}})) = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 0; \\ 1 + (k-1)r^2 \cdot d_L, & i = 1; \\ 0, & i = 2. \end{cases}$$

Fix this integer N , for any $z \in S$, we claim that if $\mathrm{wt}(\delta_{1,z}^0) - \mathrm{wt}(\delta_{2,z}^0) > N$, then we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(t^N \Delta_{X,z,2}, \mathrm{gr}_1^{\mathcal{F}} D_{\mathrm{rig}}(r_{X,z}^{\mathrm{univ}})/\Delta_{X,z,1}) = 0.$$

Therefore, we can obtain

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \dim_E \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^i(t^N \Delta_{X,z,2}, Q_{X,z,1}) = \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(t^N \Delta_{X,z,2}, \mathrm{Fil}_2^k D_{\mathrm{rig}}(r_{X,z}^{\mathrm{univ}})) \\ &= \begin{cases} 1, & i = 0; \\ 1 + (k-1)r^2 \cdot d_L, & i = 1; \\ 0, & i = 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

By the accumulation property at x_L , the potentially crystalline and non-critical generic points $z \in S$ such that $\mathrm{wt}(\delta_{1,z}^0) - \mathrm{wt}(\delta_{2,z}^0) > N$ is Zariski dense in X . By [2, Corollary 5.4(b)], we see that

$\mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(t^N \Delta_{X,2}, Q_{X,1})$ is locally free of rank one at x_L . By shrinking X , we can further assume that $\mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^0(t^N \Delta_{X,2}, Q_{X,1})$ is free of rank one at x_L . A choice of a generator allows a map

$$\iota_2 : t^N \Delta_{X,2} \longrightarrow Q_{X,1}.$$

By [2, Corollary 5.4(a)], ι_2 is injective. Now consider $Q_{X,2} := \mathrm{coker} \iota_2$. Proceeding as we did for $Q_{X,1} := \mathrm{coker} \iota_1$, we complete the proof step by step. In conclusion, the (φ, Γ) -module $D_{\mathrm{rig}}(r_X^{\mathrm{univ}})[\frac{1}{t}]$ over $\mathcal{R}_{X,L}$ admits a filtration \mathcal{M}_{\bullet} such that $\mathrm{gr}_i^{\mathcal{M}_{\bullet}} D_{\mathrm{rig}}(r_X^{\mathrm{univ}})[\frac{1}{t}] \cong \Delta_{X,i}[\frac{1}{t}]$. The specialization of the filtration \mathcal{M}_{\bullet} at non-critical special point x_L gives a $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration of $D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho_L)[\frac{1}{t}]$ such that

$$(4.12) \quad \mathrm{gr}_i^{\mathcal{M}_{\bullet}} D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho)[\frac{1}{t}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta_{\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_{\pi,i}} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E,L}(z^{\mathbf{h}^{(i-1)r+1}})[\frac{1}{t}] \cong \Delta_{\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_{\pi,i}} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E,L}(z^{\mathbf{h}^{ir}})[\frac{1}{t}].$$

This proves the last assertion. \square

4.4. Smoothness of non-critical special point. Recall that the deformation theory of Galois representations is usually studied by considering a functor whose values are the set of isomorphism classes of liftings. In some cases, it is better to consider a functor with values in groupoids, i.e., to consider the category of liftings and the isomorphisms between them. If X is a groupoid over Art_E , we denote by $|X|$ the functor on Art_E such that $|X|(A)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of the category $X(A)$. In this section, we study certain groupoids or functors whose values are deformations of type $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ for (φ, Γ) -module \mathbf{D} over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}$ (and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{D}} := \mathbf{D}[\frac{1}{t}]$ over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}[\frac{1}{t}]$).

We also fix the $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration \mathcal{F} on \mathbf{D} with non-critical special parameter

$$(\mathbf{x}_\pi, \delta_{\mathbf{h}}) \in (\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, L}.$$

By inverting t , this filtration \mathcal{F} on \mathbf{D} induces an increasing filtration $\mathcal{M}_\bullet = \{\text{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D}[\frac{1}{t}]\}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{D}}$ by (φ, Γ) -submodules over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}[\frac{1}{t}]$. Under the terminology in [12, Section 6.2], a parameter of $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration \mathcal{M}_\bullet of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{D}}$ is $(\mathbf{x}_\pi, \delta_{\mathbf{h}}) \in (\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, L}$. By [12, Lemma 6.2.1], we see that all parameters of \mathcal{M}_\bullet are of the form $(\mathbf{x}'_\pi, \delta'_{\mathbf{h}})$ such that, for $i = 1, \dots, k$, $\Delta_{\mathbf{x}'_\pi, i} = \Delta_{\mathbf{x}_\pi, i} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E,L}(\psi_i)$ and $\delta'_{\mathbf{h}, i} = \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i} \psi_i^{-1} \eta_i z^{\mathbf{k}}$ for some unramified character ψ_i of L^\times , $\eta_i \in \mu_{\Omega_\pi}$, and $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{|\Sigma_L|}$.

Let $X_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{D}}}$ be the groupoid over Art_E of deformation of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{D}}$, and $X_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{D}}, \mathcal{M}_\bullet}$ be the groupoid over Art_E of $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -deformation of $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{D}}, \mathcal{M}_\bullet)$ (see [12, Section 6.2]). There is a natural morphism (by forgetting the filtration) $X_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{D}}, \mathcal{M}_\bullet} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{D}}}$. Let $X_{\mathbf{D}}$ be the groupoid over Art_E of deformation of \mathbf{D} . Recall that we have natural morphism $X_{\mathbf{D}} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{D}}}$ by inverting t . More precisely, note that $|X_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{D}}, \mathcal{M}_\bullet}|$ is the deformation functor

$$|X_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{D}}, \mathcal{M}_\bullet}| : \text{Art}_E := \{\text{Artinian local } E\text{-algebra with residue field } E\} \longrightarrow \{\text{sets}\}$$

sends A to the set of isomorphism classes $\{(\mathcal{M}_A, j_A, \mathcal{M}_{A, \bullet})\} / \sim$, where

- (1) \mathcal{M}_A is a (φ, Γ) -module of rank n over $\mathcal{R}_{A,L}$ with an isomorphism $j_A : \mathcal{M}_A \otimes_A E \cong \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{D}}$,
- (2) $\mathcal{M}_{A, \bullet}$ is an increasing $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration of (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathcal{R}_{A,L}[\frac{1}{t}]$ on \mathcal{M}_A ,
- (3) j_A induces isomorphisms $j_A : \mathcal{M}_{A, i} \otimes_A E \cong \mathcal{M}_i$.

By [12, Lemma 6.2.2], there exists a unique characters $\delta_A = \otimes_{i=1}^k \delta_{A, i}$ such that $\delta_{A, i} \equiv \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_A}$ and $(\mathbf{x}_\pi := (\mathbf{x}_{\pi, i})_{1 \leq i \leq k}, \delta_A)$ is a parameter of $\mathcal{M}_{A, \bullet}$, i.e., there exists an isomorphism of (φ, Γ) -module of rank r over $\mathcal{R}_{A,L}[\frac{1}{t}]$: $\text{gr}_i \mathcal{M}_A \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta_\pi \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{A,L}(\delta_{A, i})[\frac{1}{t}]$. We put $X_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{M}_\bullet} := X_{\mathbf{D}} \times_{X_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{D}}}} X_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{D}}, \mathcal{M}_\bullet}$.

We view the character $\delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ as a point of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, L}$. Observe that the functor

$$A \in \text{Art}_E \mapsto \{\delta_A : \mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(L) \rightarrow A^\times, \delta_{A, i} \equiv \delta_{\mathbf{h}, i} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_A}\}$$

is pro-representable by $(\widehat{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, L}})_{\delta_{\mathbf{h}}}$, where $(\widehat{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, L}})_{\delta_{\mathbf{h}}}$ is the completion of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, L}$ at the point $\delta_{\mathbf{h}}$. Then we have a morphism of groupoids over Art_E :

$$(4.13) \quad \omega_{\delta_{\mathbf{h}}} : X_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{D}}, \mathcal{M}_\bullet} \rightarrow (\widehat{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, L}})_{\delta_{\mathbf{h}}}, \quad (A, \mathcal{M}_A, j_A, \mathcal{M}_{A, \bullet}) \mapsto \delta_A,$$

by [12, Lemma 6.2.2].

The E -linear map $\delta_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2} \mapsto (\delta_{E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2} \delta_{\mathbf{h}}^{-1} - 1)/\epsilon$ induces an isomorphism

$$(\widehat{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, L}})_{\delta_{\mathbf{h}}} (E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(L), E) = \prod_{i=1}^k \text{Hom}(L^\times, E).$$

Therefore, we consider the following composition

$$(4.14) \quad \omega_{\delta_h}^\kappa : X_{\mathcal{M}_D, \mathcal{M}_\bullet}(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \rightarrow (\widehat{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, L}})_{\delta_h}(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \xrightarrow{\kappa_L} \prod_{ir \in \Delta_n(k)} \text{Hom}(L^\times, E),$$

where the last map κ_L sends $(\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_k)$ to $(\psi_i - \psi_{i+1})_{ir \in \Delta_n(k)}$ (see 2.7). The composition of the morphism ω_{δ_h} (resp., the map $\omega_{\delta_h}^\kappa$) with the natural morphism $X_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{M}_\bullet} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{M}_D, \mathcal{M}_\bullet}$ (resp., the map $X_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{M}_\bullet}(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{M}_D, \mathcal{M}_\bullet}(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$) of groupoids gives a morphism $\omega_{\delta_h} : X_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{M}_\bullet} \rightarrow (\widehat{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, L}})_{\delta_h}$ of groupoids over Art_E (resp., a map $\omega_{\delta_h}^\kappa : X_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{M}_\bullet}(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \rightarrow \prod_{ir \in \Delta_n(k)} \text{Hom}(L^\times, E)$). Note that ω_{δ_h} (resp., $\omega_{\delta_h}^\kappa$) factors through $|X_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{M}_\bullet}|$ (resp., $|X_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{M}_\bullet}|(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$).

Lemma 4.8. *We have*

- (1) $|X_{\mathcal{M}_D, \mathcal{M}_\bullet}|$ is a subfunctor of $|X_{\mathcal{M}_D}|$.
- (2) $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0$ (see Section 2.3) is a subfunctor of $|X_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{M}_\bullet}|$. Moreover, the following diagram is commutative:

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) & \hookrightarrow & |X_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{M}_\bullet}|(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \\ & \searrow \kappa, (2.7) & \downarrow \omega_{\delta_h}^\kappa \\ & & \prod_{i=1}^k \text{Hom}(L^\times, E). \end{array}$$

Proof. We first to show $|X_{\mathcal{M}_D, \mathcal{M}_\bullet}|$ that is a subfunctor $|X_{\mathcal{M}_D}|$, i.e., the $\Omega_{[1, k]}$ -filtration $\mathcal{M}_{A, \bullet}$ deforming \mathcal{M}_\bullet on a deformation \mathcal{M}_A is unique. This follows from an argument analogous to [12, Proposition 6.2.8] and [1, Proposition 2.3.6]. The proof proceeds by induction on the length of $\mathcal{M}_{A, \bullet}$, we should show that $\mathcal{M}_{A, \bullet}$ is an Ω -filtration on \mathcal{M}_A , then $\mathcal{M}_{A, 1}$ is uniquely determined as a (φ, Γ) -submodule of \mathcal{M}_A , $\mathcal{M}_{A, 2}/\mathcal{M}_{A, 1}$ is uniquely determined as a (φ, Γ) -submodule of $\mathcal{M}_A/\mathcal{M}_{A, 1}$, and so on. Now suppose that $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{A, 1}$ is another (φ, Γ) -submodule of \mathcal{M}_A deforming \mathcal{M}_1 . Observe that $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{A, 1}$ (resp., $\mathcal{M}_A/\mathcal{M}_{A, 1}$) is a successive extension of \mathcal{M}_1 (resp., $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M}_1$). Applying [12, Lemma 6.2.5 (1)] to the case $1 = i < j$, we deduce $\text{Hom}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{A, 1}, \mathcal{M}_A/\mathcal{M}_{A, 1}) = 0$ by an easy dévissage argument. Therefore, we see that $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{A, 1} \subset \mathcal{M}_{A, 1}$. Then we are done since $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{A, 1}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{A, 1}$ have the same rank. This completes the proof of Part (1) by proceeding as we did for $\mathcal{M}_{A, 1}$ step by step. Similar to the proof of Part (1), we see that $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0$ is a subfunctor of $|X_{\mathbf{D}}|$. The [12, Lemma 6.2.5 (1)] has to be replaced by Lemma 2.7. Since the morphism $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0 \rightarrow |X_{\mathbf{D}}|$ factors through $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0 \rightarrow |X_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{M}_\bullet}|$, we deduce from Part (1) that $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0$ is a subfunctor of $|X_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{M}_\bullet}|$. \square

The non-critical property of \mathbf{D} implies the following isomorphism of functors.

Proposition 4.9. *The morphism $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0 \hookrightarrow |X_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{M}_\bullet}|$ of functors induces an isomorphism.*

Proof. For any $A \in \text{Art}_E$ and $(A, \mathbf{D}_A, j_A, \mathcal{M}_{A, \bullet}) \in X_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{M}_\bullet}(A)$, the $\Omega_{[1, k]}$ -filtration on \mathcal{M}_D induces an increasing filtration $\mathcal{F}'_A := (\text{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}'_A} \mathbf{D}_A)_{1 \leq i \leq k} := (\mathcal{M}_{A, i} \cap \mathbf{D}_A)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ on \mathbf{D} by (φ, Γ) -submodules over $\mathcal{R}_{E, L}$. Therefore, we get that

$$\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}'_A} \mathbf{D}_A \left[\frac{1}{t} \right] = \text{gr}_i \mathcal{M}_A \left[\frac{1}{t} \right] = \Delta_\pi \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E, L}} \mathcal{R}_{E, L}(\delta_{A, i}) \left[\frac{1}{t} \right]$$

for any $1 \leq i \leq k$. Note that $(\mathcal{M}_{A,i} \cap \mathbf{D}_A)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ may fail to be projective over A , and thus \mathcal{F}_A only gives an unsaturated filtration of \mathbf{D}_A . We first show that $\mathcal{F}'_E = \mathcal{F}_E$. By an easy variation of [1, Lemma 2.4.2], we see that $\mathcal{F}' := \mathcal{F}'_E$ is a saturated filtration of \mathbf{D} . Let $\{\mathbf{h}'_{\tau,1}, \mathbf{h}'_{\tau,2}, \dots, \mathbf{h}'_{\tau,ir}\}_{\tau \in \Sigma_L}$ be the Hodge-Tate weights of $\text{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}'} \mathbf{D}$. By Lemma 2.7, we see that $\text{Hom}_{(\varphi,\Gamma)}(\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}'} \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}/\text{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}'} \mathbf{D}) = 0$ (resp., $\text{Hom}_{(\varphi,\Gamma)}(\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}/\text{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}'} \mathbf{D}) = 0$) for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Therefore, we see that $\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}'} \mathbf{D} \subset \text{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D}$ (resp., $\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D} \subset \text{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}'} \mathbf{D}$). For $1 \leq i \leq k$, we further recall that $\text{Hom}_{(\varphi,\Gamma)}(\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}'} \mathbf{D}, \text{Fil}_{i-1}^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D}) = 0$ (resp., $\text{Hom}_{(\varphi,\Gamma)}(\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D}, \text{Fil}_{i-1}^{\mathcal{F}'} \mathbf{D}) = 0$). Therefore. We have two injections of (φ, Γ) -modules:

(4.16)

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\pi \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E,L}(\text{unr}(q_L^{1-k})z^{\mathbf{h}'_{(i-1)r+1}}) &\hookrightarrow \text{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}'} \mathbf{D} \hookrightarrow \text{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D} \hookrightarrow \Delta_\pi \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E,L}(\text{unr}(q_L^{1-k})z^{\mathbf{h}_{ir}}), \\ \Delta_\pi \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E,L}(\text{unr}(q_L^{1-k})z^{\mathbf{h}_{(i-1)r+1}}) &\hookrightarrow \text{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{D} \hookrightarrow \text{Fil}_i^{\mathcal{F}'} \mathbf{D} \hookrightarrow \Delta_\pi \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E,L}(\text{unr}(q_L^{1-k})z^{\mathbf{h}'_{ir}}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\mathbf{h}'_{(i-1)r+1} \geq \mathbf{h}_{ir}$ and $\mathbf{h}_{(i-1)r+1} \geq \mathbf{h}'_{ir}$. This implies $\mathbf{h}'_{\tau,i} = \mathbf{h}_{\tau,i}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $\tau \in \Sigma_L$ by the non-critical assumption. By the uniqueness of $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration with the parameter $(\mathbf{x}_\pi, \delta_{\mathbf{h}}) \in (\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)},L}$, we conclude that $\mathcal{F}'_E = \mathcal{F}_E$. Furthermore, by the above discussion and the uniqueness of $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration with the parameter $(\mathbf{x}_\pi, \delta_{\mathbf{h}}) \in (\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)},L}$, we also see that the filtration \mathcal{F}_A on \mathbf{D}_A coincides with \mathcal{F} on \mathbf{D} when module \mathbf{m}_A . Similar to the proof of [1, Lemma 2.2.3], we get the result. \square

We now prove the main results of this section, which assert that $X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{X^{\text{p-aut}}}(x)$ (resp., $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p},\lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^\infty(\bar{\rho})$) is smooth at the point x_L (resp., x).

We need to study the tangent space $T_{X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}\mathbf{h}(\bar{\tau}),x_L}}(x)$ (resp., $T_{\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p},\lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^\infty(\bar{\rho}),x}$) of $X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}\mathbf{h}(\bar{\tau})}$ (resp., $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p},\lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^\infty(\bar{\rho})$) at the non-critical special point x_L (resp., x). Recall that $X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{X^{\text{p-aut}}}(x) \subset X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}\mathbf{h}(\bar{\tau})}$ is a union of irreducible components of $X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}\mathbf{h}(\bar{\tau})}$ containing x_L . Consider the morphisms

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega^\square &:= \omega|_{X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{X^{\text{p-aut}}}(x)} : X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{X^{\text{p-aut}}}(x) \subset X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}\mathbf{h}(\bar{\tau})} \longrightarrow (\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)},\mathcal{O}_L}, \\ \zeta^\square &:= X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{X^{\text{p-aut}}}(x) \subset X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}\mathbf{h}(\bar{\tau})} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\tau}}^\square. \end{aligned}$$

We define $\omega^{\square,1} : X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{X^{\text{p-aut}}}(x) \longrightarrow (\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\text{rig}}$ (resp., $\omega^{\square,2} : X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{X^{\text{p-aut}}}(x) \subset X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}\mathbf{h}(\bar{\tau})} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)},\mathcal{O}_L}$) to be the composition of ω^\square with projection to the 1-th (resp., 2-th) factor.

We describe explicitly the tangent map of $\omega^{\square,1}$ (resp., $\omega^{\square,2}$) at x_L :

$$(4.18) \quad d\omega_{x_L}^{\square,1} \text{ (resp., } d\omega_{x_L}^{\square,2}) : T_{X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{X^{\text{p-aut}}}(x),x_L} \longrightarrow T_{(\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\text{rig}},\check{\mathbf{x}}_\pi} \text{ (resp., } T_{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)},\mathcal{O}_L},\mathbf{1}}).$$

Then $d\omega_{x_L}^\square = d\omega_{x_L}^{\square,1} \oplus d\omega_{x_L}^{\square,2}$.

We identify $\mathbf{v} \in T_{X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{X^{\text{p-aut}}}(x),x_L}$ with an $E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$ -valued point $\text{Spec}(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{v}} X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{X^{\text{p-aut}}}(x)$ of $X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{X^{\text{p-aut}}}(x)$. Then the composition

$$\text{Spec}(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{v}} X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{X^{\text{p-aut}}}(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)},\mathcal{O}_L}$$

gives the point $d\omega_{x_L}^{\square,2}(\mathbf{v})$ and thus a continuous character $\delta_{\mathbf{v}}^0 = \boxtimes_{i=1}^k \delta_{\mathbf{v},i}^0 : \mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L) \longrightarrow (E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)^\times$ such that $\delta_{\mathbf{v}}^0 \equiv \mathbf{1} \pmod{\epsilon}$. Via the identification $T_{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)},\mathcal{O}_L},\mathbf{1}} \cong \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L), E) = \prod_{i=1}^k \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_L^\times, E)$,

the element $\delta_{\mathbf{v}}^0$ becomes $((\delta_{\mathbf{v},i}^0 - 1)/\epsilon)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$. On the other hand, the composition

$$\mathrm{Spec}(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{v}} X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{\mathrm{Xp-aut}}(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow (\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}}$$

corresponds to the point $d\omega_{x_L}^{\square,1}(\mathbf{v})$. Recall that $\mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}} \cong \otimes_{i=1}^k E[z, z^{-1}]^{\mu_{\Omega_r}^{\mathrm{unr}}}$ (depending on the choice of the element $\pi_0^{\otimes k}$ in $\Omega_{[1,k]}$). Therefore, for $A \in \mathrm{Art}_E$, the A -valued points of $(\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}}$ are isomorphic to the vector space of A -valued unramified characters on $\mathbf{Z}^{\langle r \rangle}(L)$. In terms of the language of p -adic differential equations, for any $\psi = (\psi_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k} \in (\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathrm{Sp} A)$, there exists a unique unramified character $\mathrm{unr}(a_{\psi_i}) : L^\times \rightarrow A^\times$, such that $a_{\psi_i} \equiv 1 \pmod{m_A}$ and

$$(4.19) \quad \psi_i^* \Delta_{\Omega_r} \cong \Delta_{\Omega_r, \widehat{\mathfrak{x}}_{\pi,i}} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{k(x),L}} \mathcal{R}_{A,L}(\mathrm{unr}(a_{\psi_i})) = \Delta_{\pi_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{k(x),L}} \mathcal{R}_{A,L}(\mathrm{unr}(a_{\psi_i} \alpha_\pi q_L^{i-k})),$$

where Δ_{Ω_r} is the universal p -adic differential equation over $(\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_r})^{\mathrm{rig}}$. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, we put $\mathbf{q}_{k,i} := \mathrm{unr}(\alpha_\pi q_L^{i-k}) \circ \det$ and $\mathbf{q}_k := \boxtimes_{i=1}^k \mathbf{q}_{k,i}$. Therefore, we have

$$(4.20) \quad d\omega_{x_L}^{\square} : T_{X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{\mathrm{Xp-aut}}(x), x_L} \longrightarrow T_{(\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times_{\mathbf{Z}^{\langle r \rangle}, \mathcal{O}_L} \omega(x_L)}^{\mathrm{rig}} = T_{(\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}}, \widehat{\mathfrak{x}}_\pi}^{\mathrm{rig}} \oplus T_{\mathbf{Z}^{\langle r \rangle}, \mathcal{O}_L}^{\perp} \\ \cong T_{\mathbf{Z}^{\langle r \rangle}, L, \mathbf{q}_k} \xrightarrow{-\cdot(z^{\mathbf{h}_{ir}})_{1 \leq i \leq k}} T_{\mathbf{Z}^{\langle r \rangle}, L, \delta_{\mathbf{h}}}.$$

Therefore, by composing $d\omega_{x_L}^{\square}$ with κ_L , we can get a map

$$(4.21) \quad \eta^{\square} : T_{X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{\mathrm{Xp-aut}}(x), x_L} \xrightarrow{d\omega_{x_L}^{\square}} T_{\mathbf{Z}^{\langle r \rangle}, L, \delta_{\mathbf{h}}} \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}^{\langle r \rangle}(L^\times), E) = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathrm{Hom}(L^\times, E) \\ \xrightarrow{\kappa_L} \prod_{ir \in \Delta_k} \mathrm{Hom}(L^\times, E).$$

Let X_{ρ_L} be the groupoid over Art_E of deformations of the group morphism ρ_L . The map ζ^{\square} (see (4.17)) induces a natural morphism $X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{\mathrm{Xp-aut}}(x)_{x_L} \rightarrow (\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}}^{\square})_{\rho_L} \cong X_{\rho_L}$. By [15, Lemma 4.13], there is an exact sequence of E -vector spaces

$$(4.22) \quad 0 \rightarrow K(\rho_L) \rightarrow T_{\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}}, \rho_L} \xrightarrow{f_{\rho_L}} \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{Gal}_L}^1(\rho_L, \rho_L) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}) = F_{\mathbf{D}}(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $K(\rho_L)$ is a $k(x_L)$ -vector space of $T_{\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}}, \rho_L}$ of dimension $n^2 - \dim_E \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Gal}_L}(\rho_L)$.

Let $\mathcal{L}(\rho_L) := \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D})$ be the parabolic Fontaine-Mazur simple \mathcal{L} -invariants associated to ρ_L (equivalently, to \mathbf{D}).

Proposition 4.10. $X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{\mathrm{Xp-aut}}(x)$ is smooth at the point x_L , and (4.21) factors through a surjective map

$$(4.23) \quad \eta^{\square} : T_{X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{\mathrm{Xp-aut}}(x), x_L} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\rho_L).$$

Proof. We first show that the image of f_{ρ_L} in (4.22) is contained in the E -vector space $|X_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{M}}|(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \cong F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$. By Theorem 4.7 (we use the notation of Section 4.3, Theorem 4.7

and its proof), we see that there exists an open affinoid neighborhood $X \subset X_{\Omega[1,k]}^{X^p\text{-aut}}(x)$ of x_L such that the (φ, Γ) -module $D_{\text{rig}}(r_X^{\text{univ}})[\frac{1}{t}]$ over $\mathcal{R}_{X,L}$ admits a filtration \mathcal{M}_{\bullet} such that $\text{gr}^i \mathcal{M}_{\bullet} \cong \Delta_{X,i}[\frac{1}{t}]$. Let $A \in \text{Art}_E$, and $\text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow X$ a morphism of rigid analytic spaces sending the only point of $\text{Spec}(A)$ to x . By pulling along $\psi : \text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\square}$, we obtain a deformation ρ_A of $\bar{\tau}$ such that

$$D_{\text{rig}}(\rho_A)[\frac{1}{t}] \cong A \otimes_{\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)} D_{\text{rig}}(r_X^{\text{univ}})[\frac{1}{t}],$$

and $A \otimes_{\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)} \mathcal{M}_{\bullet}$ gives a filtration $\mathcal{M}_{A,\bullet}$ on $D_{\text{rig}}(\rho_A)[\frac{1}{t}]$. Let $\Delta_{A,i}$ be the pull-back of $\Delta_{X,i}$ along $\text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow X$. Therefore, the filtration $\mathcal{M}_{A,\bullet}$ on $D_{\text{rig}}(\rho_A)[\frac{1}{t}]$ satisfies

$$(4.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{M}_{A,\bullet}} D_{\text{rig}}(\rho_A)[\frac{1}{t}] &\xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta_{A,i}[\frac{1}{t}] \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta_{\pi_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{A,L}(\text{unr}(a_{A,i} \alpha_{\pi} q_L^{i-k}) \cdot (\delta_{A,i})_{\varpi_L} \cdot z^{\mathbf{h}(i-1)r+1})[\frac{1}{t}] \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq k$, where δ_A is the pullback of the universal character of \mathcal{O}_L^{\times} along $\text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow X$, and $a_{A,i}$ is an element of A such that $a_{A,i} \equiv 1 \pmod{m_A}$. Since the point x_L is non-critical, the uniqueness of $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{D}}$ implies that $\mathcal{M}_{A,\bullet} \otimes_A E \cong \mathcal{M}_{\bullet}$, i.e., the filtration \mathcal{M}_{\bullet} on $D_{\text{rig}}(\rho_L)[\frac{1}{t}]$ satisfies

$$\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{M}_{\bullet}} D_{\text{rig}}(\rho_L)[\frac{1}{t}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta_{\pi_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{E,L}} \mathcal{R}_{E,L}(\text{unr}(\alpha_{\pi}) \delta_{\mathbf{h},i})[\frac{1}{t}],$$

for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Evaluating at $A = E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$ -points and using Proposition 4.9, we see that the image of f_{ρ_L} in (4.22) is contained in the E -vector space $|X_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{M}_{\bullet}}(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \cong F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$.

The closed embedding $X_{\Omega[1,k]}^{X^p\text{-aut}}(x) \hookrightarrow X_{\Omega[1,k], \mathbf{h}}(\bar{\tau}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\square} \times (\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega[1,k]})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}$ induces an injection on tangent spaces $T_{X_{\Omega[1,k]}^{X^p\text{-aut}}(x), x_L} \hookrightarrow T_{\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\square}, \rho_L} \oplus T_{(\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega[1,k]})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}, (\check{\mathfrak{x}}_{\pi}, \underline{1})}^{\text{rig}}$. Then $d\zeta_{x_L}^{\square}$ is the composition of this injection with the projection

$$T_{\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\square}, \rho_L} \oplus T_{(\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega[1,k]})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}, (\check{\mathfrak{x}}_{\pi}, \underline{1})}^{\text{rig}} \rightarrow T_{\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\square}, \rho_L}.$$

We claim that the tangent map $d\zeta_{x_L}^{\square}$ remains injective. Let $\mathbf{v} \in T_{X_{\Omega[1,k]}^{X^p\text{-aut}}(x), x_L}$ which maps to 0 in $T_{\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\square}, \rho_L}$. Then it maps to 0 in $F_{\mathbf{D}}(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$ via f_{ρ_L} . We have to show that the image of $\mathbf{v} \in T_{X_{\Omega[1,k]}^{X^p\text{-aut}}(x), x_L}$ in $T_{(\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega[1,k]})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}, (\check{\mathfrak{x}}_{\pi}, \underline{1})}^{\text{rig}}$ is also zero. Since $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0$ is a subfunctor of $F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}$, we see that the image $f_{\rho_L}(\mathbf{v}) \in F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$ is isomorphic to the trivial deformation of \mathbf{D} of type $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ over $E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$ (and then the parameter of trivial deformation is trivial). We conclude that the image of $\mathbf{v} \in T_{X_{\Omega[1,k]}^{X^p\text{-aut}}(x), x_L}$ in $T_{(\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega[1,k]})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}, (\check{\mathfrak{x}}_{\pi}, \underline{1})}^{\text{rig}}$ is also zero. Therefore, we obtain from (4.22) a short exact sequence

$$(4.25) \quad 0 \rightarrow K(\rho_L) \cap T_{X_{\Omega[1,k]}^{X^p\text{-aut}}(x), x_L} \rightarrow T_{X_{\Omega[1,k]}^{X^p\text{-aut}}(x), x_L} \xrightarrow{f_{\rho_L}} F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2).$$

Then Proposition 2.24 gives an upper bound:

$$\dim_E T_{X_{\Omega[1,k]}^{X^p\text{-aut}}(x), x_L} \leq n^2 + d_L \left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2} + k \right) = \dim_E X_{\Omega[1,k], \mathbf{h}}(\bar{\tau}).$$

This implies that $X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}^{X^{\mathfrak{p}}-\text{aut}}}(x)$ is smooth at the point x_L . At last, by comparing the construction, we see that the composition

(4.26)

$$T_{X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}^{X^{\mathfrak{p}}-\text{aut}}}(x), X_L} \xrightarrow{f_{\rho_L}} F_{\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{F}}^0(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \xrightarrow{\omega_{\delta_{\mathbf{h}}}(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2), (4.13)} (\widehat{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, L}})_{\delta_{\mathbf{h}}}(E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) = T_{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, L}, \delta_{\mathbf{h}}}$$

coincides with $d\omega_{x_L}^{\square}$ (see (4.20)). We deduce from Corollary 2.19 the surjection (4.23). \square

We now prove the main proposition of this section. The natural embedding

$$\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho}) \hookrightarrow (\text{Spf } R_{\infty}^{\mathfrak{p}})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\square} \times (\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}$$

induces the weight map

$$(4.27) \quad \omega : \mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho}) \longrightarrow (\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}.$$

Consider the tangent map of ω at point $x = (x^{\mathfrak{p}}, \rho_L, \check{\mathbf{x}}_{\pi}, \underline{1})$:

$$(4.28) \quad d\omega_x : T_{\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho}), x} \longrightarrow T_{(\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\text{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}, \omega(x)} \cong T_{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, L}, \delta_{\mathbf{h}}},$$

where the second isomorphism follows by the same argument of (4.20).

Proposition 4.11. $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho})$ is smooth at x , and (4.28) factors through a surjective map

$$(4.29) \quad T_{\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho}), x} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\rho_L).$$

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{E}(x)$ is the union of irreducible components of $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho})$ containing x . By (4.7) and (4.8), we see that $\kappa_L \circ d\omega_x$ is equal to the following composition

$$(4.30) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho}), x} &\cong T_{\mathcal{E}(x), x} \cong T_{X^{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathbb{U}^g \times \iota_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}^{-1}(X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}^{X^{\mathfrak{p}}-\text{aut}}}(x)), (x^{\mathfrak{p}}, x_L)} \\ &\longrightarrow T_{X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}^{X^{\mathfrak{p}}-\text{aut}}}(x), x_L} \xrightarrow{\eta^{\square}, (4.21)} \prod_{ir \in \Delta_n(k)} \text{Hom}(L^{\times}, E). \end{aligned}$$

Now the result follows. \square

Remark 4.12. Let $X_{\rho_L, \mathcal{M}_{\bullet}}$ be the groupoid over Art_E defined in [12, Section 6.4]. For $w \in \mathcal{W}_{n, \Sigma_L}$, let $X_{\rho_L, \mathcal{M}_{\bullet}}^w$ be the closed subgroupoid of $X_{\rho_L, \mathcal{M}_{\bullet}}$ over Art_E defined in [12, Section 6.4], which is closely related to some varieties studied in geometric representation theory. Since the parameters of our $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration \mathcal{F} are non-generic (in the sense of [12, (6.5)]), the morphism

$$X_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{D}}, \mathcal{M}_{\bullet}} \rightarrow (\widehat{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, L}})_{\delta_{\mathbf{h}}} \times_{\widehat{\mathfrak{Z}_L}^{(r)}} X_{W_{\text{dR}}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{D}}), \mathcal{F}}, \quad \mathcal{F} := W_{\text{dR}}(\mathcal{M}_{\bullet})$$

of groupoids in [12, Theorem 6.2.6] is no longer formally smooth. Thus the discussions in [12, Section 6.4] cannot be applied to our case (for example, the final result [12, Corollary 6.4.7]). In our non-critical special case, it seems likely that $X_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathbf{h}}^{\square}(\bar{\tau})_{x_L}$ is also isomorphic to $X_{\rho_L, \mathcal{M}_{\bullet}}^{w_0}$.

4.5. Local-global compatibility and the main theorem. We are ready to establish the second main theorem. Suppose that ρ_L appears in the patched Bernstein eigenvariety $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho})$, i.e. there exist $x^{\mathfrak{p}} \in (\mathrm{Spf} R_{\infty}^{\mathfrak{p}})^{\mathrm{rig}}$, and

$$(\pi_{x, \mathbf{L}^{(r)}}, \chi) \in (\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}$$

such that $x := (x^{\mathfrak{p}}, \rho_L, \pi_{x, \mathbf{L}^{(r)}}, \chi) \in \mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho})$. Let \mathfrak{m}_y be the maximal ideal of $R_{\infty}[1/p]$ corresponding to the point $y := (x^{\mathfrak{p}}, \rho_L)$ of $(\mathrm{Spf} R_{\infty})^{\mathrm{rig}}$ (e.g. if ρ_L is attached to an automorphic representation of \tilde{G} with non-zero $U^{\mathfrak{p}}$ -fixed vectors). By the argument in Section 4.1 (especially, the continuous R_{∞} -admissible unitary representation Π_{∞} of G over E) or [21], we get that

$$\hat{\Pi}(\rho_L) := \Pi_{\infty}[\mathfrak{m}_y]$$

is an admissible unitary Banach representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(L)$, which one might expect to be the right representation (up to multiplicities) corresponding to ρ_L in the p -adic local Langlands program. Suppose the following holds:

- (a) The (φ, Γ) -module $\mathbf{D} = D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho_L)$ over $\mathcal{R}_{E,L}$ admits a non-critical special $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration with parameter $(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\pi, \mathbf{h}}, \tilde{\delta}_{\mathbf{h}}) \in (\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}$ (see Definition 2.4);
- (b) ρ_L is potentially semi-stable;
- (c) The monodromy operator N on $D_{\mathrm{pst}}(\rho_L)$ satisfies $N^{k-1} \neq 0$.

By the argument before [12, Corollary 3.1.11], $(\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}$ has an action of $\mu_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}$. For $\psi \in \mu_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}$, we have

$$\psi \cdot ((x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}, (\chi_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}) = ((x_i \otimes_E \mathrm{unr}(\psi_i(\varpi_L)))_{1 \leq i \leq k}, (\chi_i \psi_i|_{\mathcal{O}_L^{\times}})_{1 \leq i \leq k}), \quad \psi = (\psi_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k} \in \mu_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}.$$

By Proposition 4.1 (1), $(x^{\mathfrak{p}}, \rho_L, \pi_{x, \mathbf{L}^{(r)}}, \chi)$ appears in patched Bernstein eigenvariety $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho})$ if and only if $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)}(\pi_{x, \mathbf{L}^{(r)}} \otimes_E \chi_{\varpi_L} \otimes_E L^{(r)}(\lambda_{\mathbf{h}}), J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\mathrm{an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y])) \neq 0$. By Proposition 4.2 (4) (where we use the theory of $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration in families (see [12, Appendix A.1]), which may be viewed as a parabolic analogue of the global triangulation theory), [12, Corollary 3.1.11], and the uniqueness of $\Omega_{[1,k]}$ -filtration with the parameter $(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\pi, \mathbf{h}}, \tilde{\delta}_{\mathbf{h}}) \in (\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}$ and proof of Proposition 4.9, we deduce

Lemma 4.13. *For $(\pi_{x, \mathbf{L}^{(r)}}, \chi) \in (\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}$, the eigenspace*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)}\left(\pi_{x, \mathbf{L}^{(r)}} \otimes_E \chi_{\varpi_L} \otimes_E L^{(r)}(\lambda_{\mathbf{h}}), J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\mathrm{an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y])\right) \neq 0$$

i.e., $(x^{\mathfrak{p}}, \rho_L, \pi_{x, \mathbf{L}^{(r)}}, \chi)$ appears in patched Bernstein eigenvariety $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^{\infty}(\bar{\rho})$ if and only if $(\pi_{x, \mathbf{L}^{(r)}}, \chi)$ belongs to the same $\mu_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}$ -orbit of the point $(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\pi}, \mathbf{1}) \in (\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L}$. For $\psi \in \mu_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}$, we put $x_{\psi} := (x^{\mathfrak{p}}, \rho_L, \psi \cdot (\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\pi}, \mathbf{1}))$. Then the point x appearing in Section 4.2 is just $x = x_{\mathbf{1}}$.

For $\beta \in E^\times$, and $\underline{\lambda} \in X_{\Delta_n^k}^+$, we put $\pi_0^{(r)}(\beta, \underline{\lambda}) := \text{unr}(\beta) \circ \det_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)} \otimes_E \pi_0^{(r)} \otimes_E L^{(r)}(\underline{\lambda})$ and $\pi_0^{(r)}(\beta) := \pi_0^{(r)}(\beta, \underline{0})$ (see (3.3) for $\pi_0^{(r)}$). Then for all $\psi \in \mu_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.31) \quad & \pi_{x_\psi, \mathbf{L}^{(r)}} \otimes_E (\psi \cdot \underline{1})_{\varpi_L} \otimes_E L^{(r)}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_h) \\
& \cong \text{unr}(\alpha_\pi q_L^{\frac{n-1}{2}}) \circ \det_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)} \otimes_E \delta_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^{1/2} \otimes_E \Delta_{[k-1,0]}(\pi_0) \otimes_E L^{(r)}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_h) \\
& = \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_h) \otimes_E \delta_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}, \quad \alpha := \alpha_\pi q_L^{\frac{n-1}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

which is independent on the choice of ψ . Therefore, Lemma 4.13 implies

$$(4.32) \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)}(\pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_h) \otimes_E \delta_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}, J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y])) \neq 0.$$

We define the natural map

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.33) \quad & \text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\alpha, \pi, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_h), \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y]) \\
& \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)}(J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\alpha, \pi_0, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_h)), J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y])) \\
& \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)}(\pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_h) \otimes_E \delta_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}, J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y])),
\end{aligned}$$

where the first map is induced by applying the Jacquet-Emerton functor, the second map is induced by the injection

$$\pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_h) \otimes_E \delta_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}} \hookrightarrow J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(i_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\alpha, \pi_0, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_h)) \hookrightarrow J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\alpha, \pi_0, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_h))$$

(by the same argument as in the proof of [34, Lemma 3.4]), we can prove that $J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(i_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\alpha, \pi_0, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_h))$ is semi-simple and $J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\text{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\alpha, \pi_0, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_h)) = \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_h) \otimes_E \delta_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}$ for the unique irreducible quotient $\text{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\alpha, \pi_0, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_h)$ of $i_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\alpha, \pi_0, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_h)$.

We first establish an adjunction formula, following the line of [26, Proposition 4.7] and [3].

Proposition 4.14. *The natural map constructed in (4.33)*

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.34) \quad & \text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\alpha, \pi_0, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_h), \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y]) \\
& \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)}(\pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_h) \otimes_E \delta_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}, J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y]))
\end{aligned}$$

is bijective. Moreover, this bijection stays true if $\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\alpha, \pi_0, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_h)$ is replaced by any subrepresentation W such that $\text{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\alpha, \pi_0, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_h) \subseteq W \subseteq \text{St}_{(r,k)}^{\text{an}}(\alpha, \pi_0, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_h)$. In particular, we have an injection

$$(4.35) \quad \text{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\alpha, \pi_0, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_h) \hookrightarrow \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y] \subseteq \widehat{\Pi}(\rho_L).$$

Proof. The proof is divided into the following steps.

(a) Let $f \in \text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\alpha, \pi_0, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_h), \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y])$ be any non-zero map. By Lemma 4.13, [11, Theorem 4.3], [10, Corollary 3.4], we see that f factors through $\text{St}_{(r,k)}^{\text{an}}(\alpha, \pi_0, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_h)$ and induces a non-zero map $\text{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\alpha, \pi_0, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_h) \hookrightarrow \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y]$. Indeed, suppose that W' is an irreducible constituent

of $\mathbb{I}_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}_I}^G(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})$ for some $\emptyset \neq I \subset \Delta_n(k)$. If W' is locally algebraic (i.e., $s = 1$), then W' is an irreducible constituent of $i_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}_I}^G(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})$. But

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)}\left(J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}\left(i_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}_I}^G(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})\right), J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}\left(\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\mathrm{an}}[\mathbf{m}_y]\right)\right) = 0$$

by Lemma 4.13. If W' is not locally algebraic, we deduce from [41, Theorem] that W' has the form $\mathcal{F}_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}_J}^G(L^{(r)}(-s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}), W'')$ with $s \in \mathcal{W}_{n, \Sigma_L}$ satisfying $I \subseteq J$, $s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}} \in X_{\Delta_n^k \cup J}^+$, where W'' is an irreducible constituent of $i_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}_I}^{\mathbf{L}_J^{(r)}(L)}(\mathbf{L}_J^{(r)}(L) \cap \mathbf{L}_J^{(r)}(L)) \pi_I$. We deduce from [11, Théorème 4.3, Remarque 4.4 (i), Corollaire 4.5] that

$$(4.36) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\neq \mathrm{Hom}_G\left(\mathcal{F}_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}^{(r)}(L)}^G((\overline{M}^{(r)}(-s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}))^{\vee}, \pi_0^{(r)}), \Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\mathrm{an}}[\mathbf{m}_y]\right) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)}\left(\pi_0^{(r)} \otimes_E L^{(r)}(s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}), J_{\overline{\mathbf{P}}^{(r)}(L)}\left(\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\mathrm{an}}[\mathbf{m}_y]\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

which leads a contradiction to Lemma 4.13. This shows that f factors through the locally \mathbf{Q}_p -analytic representation $\mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^{\mathrm{an}}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})$. By the left exactness of $J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}$, we get that f induces a non-zero map $\mathrm{St}_{(r,k)}^{\infty}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \hookrightarrow \Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\mathrm{an}}[\mathbf{m}_y]$ (so (4.35) holds). This implies that (4.34) is injective.

(b) The proof of [3, Theorem 4.8] and [26, Proposition 4.7] apply in our case, although our input is slightly different from that in [3, Theorem 4.8] or [26, Proposition 4.7]. We indicate below the changes. Recall that M_{∞} is finite projective over $S_{\infty}[[\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_L)]]$, we deduce from [16, Corollary 3.9] that $\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\mathrm{an}}$ is a direct summand of $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{Q}_p-\mathrm{an}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^s \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_L), E)$ as $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_L)$ -representations where $s = n^2(|S_p| + 1) + q$. Let $\mathfrak{m} \subset S_{\infty}$ be the preimage of \mathbf{m}_y via the morphism $S_{\infty} \rightarrow R_{\infty}$.

(c) We put $V := \Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\mathrm{an}}[\mathfrak{m}]$. It is an admissible Banach representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(L)$ equipped with a continuous action of R_{∞} . There exists a pro- p uniform compact open subgroup H of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_L)$ such that $V|_H \cong \mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{Q}_p-\mathrm{an}}(H, E)^{\oplus r}$ for certain $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. By Lemma 4.15 below, we see that V satisfies the first hypothesis in [3, Theorem 4.8]. On the other hand, by Lemma 4.13, $(U, \pi) = (L^{(r)}(\lambda_{\mathbf{h}}), \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha) \otimes_E \delta_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}})$ is non-critical with respect to $\Pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}-\mathrm{an}}[\mathbf{m}_y]$ (in the terminology of [3, Definition 4.4]).

We first recall that $\mathcal{C}_c^{\mathrm{lp}}(\mathbf{N}^{(r)}(L), \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}))$ (resp., $\mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathbf{N}^{(r)}(L), \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha))$) is the locally polynomial (resp., smooth) $\pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})$ -valued (resp., $\pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha)$ -valued) functions on $\mathbf{N}^{(r)}(L)$ with compact support, it has the topology defined in [29, (2.5)] (resp. see [29, (2.2)]). Both spaces $\mathcal{C}_c^{\mathrm{lp}}(\mathbf{N}^{(r)}(L), \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}))$ and $\mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathbf{N}^{(r)}(L), \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha))$ are convex E -vector space of compact type. We write

$$A^{\mathrm{lp}} := \mathcal{C}_c^{\mathrm{lp}}(\mathbf{N}^{(r)}(L), \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})), \quad A^{\infty} := \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathbf{N}^{(r)}(L), \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha))$$

for simplicity (only suitable for the following commutative diagram). We use a similar commutative dia-

gram to [3, proof of Theorem 4.8] with V being our $V[\mathfrak{m}_y]$, (U, π) being our $(L^{(r)}(\lambda_{\mathbf{h}}), \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha) \otimes_E \delta_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}})$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}), V[\mathfrak{m}_y]) & \xrightarrow{(1)} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)}(\pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \otimes_E \delta_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}, J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(V[\mathfrak{m}_y])) \\
\downarrow (a) \simeq & & \downarrow (b) \simeq \\
\mathrm{Hom}^{\#}(A^{\mathrm{lp}}, V[\mathfrak{m}_y]) & \xrightarrow{(2)} & \mathrm{Hom}^{\#}(U(\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_L}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p}_{\Sigma_L}^{(r)})} A^{\mathrm{lp}}, V[\mathfrak{m}_y]) \\
\downarrow (c) \simeq & & \downarrow (d) \simeq \\
\mathrm{Hom}^{\#}(M^{(r)}(\lambda_{\mathbf{h}})^{\vee} \otimes_E A^{\infty}, V[\mathfrak{m}_y]) & \xrightarrow{(3)} & \mathrm{Hom}^{\#}(M^{(r)}(\lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \otimes_E A^{\infty}, V[\mathfrak{m}_y]) \\
\downarrow \eta_1 & \nearrow \eta_2 & \\
\mathrm{Hom}^{\#}(L^{(r)}(\lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \otimes_E A^{\infty}, V[\mathfrak{m}_y]), & &
\end{array}$$

where we write $\mathrm{Hom}^{\#} := \mathrm{Hom}_{(\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_L}, \mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L))}$ for simplicity. The map (1) is equal to (4.34). We refer to [3, Theorem 4.8] or [26, Proposition 4.7] for the explanation for the terms and maps (1), (2), (a), (b), (c) and (d). The maps η_1 , η_2 and (3) are induced by natural morphisms $M^{(r)}(\lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \rightarrow L^{(r)}(\lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \hookrightarrow M^{(r)}(\lambda_{\mathbf{h}})^{\vee}$. By Lemma 4.13 and the same arguments as in [3, Proposition 4.9], we can show that η_2 is bijective and η_1 is injective.

To prove that η_1 is surjective, we need a generalization of Step (c) of the proof of [26, Proposition 4.7]. It suffices to prove that for any pair (M, M') such that $M'/M = L(s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{alg}}^{\overline{\mathbf{p}}^{(r)}, \Sigma_L}$ with $1 \neq s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}} \in X_{\Delta_n^k}^+$, the restriction map

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Hom}_{(\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_L}, \mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L))}(M' \otimes_E \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathbf{N}^{(r)}(L), \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha)), V[\mathfrak{m}_y]) \\
& \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{(\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_L}, \mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L))}(M \otimes_E \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathbf{N}^{(r)}(L), \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha)), V[\mathfrak{m}_y])
\end{aligned}$$

is surjective. Now given a $(\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_L}, \mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L))$ -equivariant morphism f in the right side, we can obtain

$$(4.37) \quad M \otimes_E \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathbf{N}^{(r)}(L), \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha)) \rightarrow V[\mathfrak{m}_y] \hookrightarrow V.$$

Observe that V is equipped with a natural action of $R_{\infty}[\frac{1}{p}]$, we can endow with an $R_{\infty}[\frac{1}{p}]$ action on the left side of (4.37) via $R_{\infty}[\frac{1}{p}] \twoheadrightarrow R_{\infty}[\frac{1}{p}]/\mathfrak{m}_y \cong E$ to make that the $(\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_L}, \mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L))$ -equivariant morphism f is also $R_{\infty}[\frac{1}{p}]$ -equivariant. Let V' denote the pushforward of $M' \otimes_E \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathbf{N}^{(r)}(L), \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha))$ via f . Then we get an exact sequence of $(\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_L}, \mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L))$ -modules (endowed with natural continuous $R_{\infty}[\frac{1}{p}]$ actions)

$$(4.38) \quad 0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow V' \xrightarrow{\beta_0} L(s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \otimes_E \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathbf{N}^{(r)}(L), \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha)) \rightarrow 0.$$

It suffices to construct a section of β_0 (as $(\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_L}, \mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)) \times R_{\infty}[\frac{1}{p}]$ -equivariant morphism. Indeed, if we can obtain a section s_0 of β_0 , then $s_0 \circ f'$ gives a desired lifting of f to $M' \otimes_E \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathbf{N}^{(r)}(L), \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha))$, and gives an $(\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_L}, \mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L))$ -morphism $M' \otimes_E \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathbf{N}^{(r)}(L), \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha)) \rightarrow V[\mathfrak{m}_y]$. Pulling back the exact sequence (4.38) along the quotient map $M^{(r)}(s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \twoheadrightarrow L(s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})$, we get an exact sequence

$$(4.39) \quad 0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow V'' \xrightarrow{\beta_1} M^{(r)}(s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \otimes_E \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathbf{N}^{(r)}(L), \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha)) \rightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 4.13 and the argument after [26, (80)] or [3, (15)], it suffices to construct a $(\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_L}, \mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)) \times R_\infty[\frac{1}{p}]$ -equivariant map

$$(4.40) \quad M^{(r)}(s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \otimes_E \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbf{N}^{(r)}(L), \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha)) \rightarrow V''.$$

It remains to construct a $\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L) \times R_\infty[\frac{1}{p}]$ -equivariant map

$$(4.41) \quad L^{(r)}(s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \otimes_E \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbf{N}^{(r)}(L), \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha)) \rightarrow V''.$$

By using Proposition 4.16 below and taking the \mathfrak{m}_y -generalized eigenspaces for $R_\infty[\frac{1}{p}]$, we can get an $R_\infty[\frac{1}{p}]$ -equivariant exact sequence of finite-dimensional E -vector spaces

$$(4.42) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow B_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}(V)[\mathfrak{z}^{(r)}] = d\omega_{s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}[\mathfrak{m}^\infty, \mathfrak{m}_y^\infty][\mathfrak{m}_{\omega_{s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}}] \\ &\rightarrow B_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}(V'')[\mathfrak{z}^{(r)}] = d\omega_{s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}[\mathfrak{m}^\infty, \mathfrak{m}_y^\infty][\mathfrak{m}_{\omega_{s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}}] \\ &\rightarrow B_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}(L(s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \otimes_E \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbf{N}^{(r)}(L), \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha)))[\mathfrak{z}^{(r)}] = d\omega_{s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}[\mathfrak{m}^\infty, \mathfrak{m}_y^\infty][\mathfrak{m}_{\omega_{s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}}] \\ &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_{s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}$ denotes the central character of $\pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha) \otimes_E L^{(r)}(s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})$, and \mathfrak{m} denotes the maximal ideal of $\mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}$ associated to $\pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha) \otimes_E \delta_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}$. By Lemma 4.13, we deduce that

$$B_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}(V)[\mathfrak{z}^{(r)}] = d\omega_{s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}[\mathfrak{m}^\infty, \mathfrak{m}_y^\infty][\mathfrak{m}_{\omega_{s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}}] = 0.$$

Hence we obtain an isomorphism

$$(4.43) \quad \begin{aligned} &B_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}(V'')[\mathfrak{z}^{(r)}] = d\omega_{s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}[\mathfrak{m}^\infty, \mathfrak{m}_y^\infty][\mathfrak{m}_{\omega_{s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}}] \\ &\cong B_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}(L(s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \otimes_E \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbf{N}^{(r)}(L), \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha)))[\mathfrak{z}^{(r)}] = d\omega_{s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}[\mathfrak{m}^\infty, \mathfrak{m}_y^\infty][\mathfrak{m}_{\omega_{s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}}] \\ &\cong \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha) \otimes_E \delta_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}} \otimes_E L^{(r)}(s \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}), \end{aligned}$$

where the second isomorphism follows from [27, Lemma 3.5.2]. Then (4.41) is induced by the inverse of (4.43) and [27, Theorem 3.5.6]. \square

The proof of [3, Example 4.2] gives the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.15. *Suppose that V is an admissible continuous Banach representation of G , and there exists a compact open subgroup H such that $V|_H \xrightarrow{\sim} C^0(H, L)^{\oplus l}$. Then*

$$(4.44) \quad H^1(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_{\Sigma_L}^{(r)}, V^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}} \otimes U^\vee) = 0,$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, and any finite-dimensional E -linear locally analytic representation U of $\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)$.

The following proposition generalizes a result of [19, Proposition 4.1]. Let \mathfrak{o} be an integral weight of $\mathfrak{z}^{(r)}$. Let $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^\infty(\overline{\rho})_{\mathfrak{o}}$ be the fibre of $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^\infty(\overline{\rho})$ at \mathfrak{o} via the morphism

$$\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \mathfrak{p}, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}}^\infty(\overline{\rho}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}_\infty \times (\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}})^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{z}^{(r)})^\vee,$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}, \mathcal{O}_L} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{z}^{(r)})^\vee$ is the differentiation map $\chi \mapsto d\chi$. We use $\iota_0(\mathfrak{z}^{(r)})$ to emphasize the action on $B_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \lambda_h}(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}})$ derived from $\iota_0(\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L))$. For an E -algebra A , $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$ an ideal, and an A -module, we denote by $M[\mathfrak{m}^\infty]$ the A -submodule of M consisting of elements annihilated by \mathfrak{m}^n for some $n \geq 0$. Then [12, Lemma 3.1.4] shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.45) \quad & B_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \lambda_h}(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}})[\iota_0(\mathfrak{z}^{(r)}) = \mathfrak{o}] \\
&= \bigoplus_{\delta, \chi} B_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \lambda_h}(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}})[\iota_0(\mathfrak{z}^{(r)}) = \mathfrak{o}][\mathfrak{m}_\chi][\mathfrak{m}_\delta^\infty] \\
&= \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{MaxSpec } \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \chi}} B_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \lambda_h}(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}})[\iota_0(\mathfrak{z}^{(r)}) = \mathfrak{o}][\mathfrak{m}_\chi][\mathfrak{m}^\infty],
\end{aligned}$$

where δ (resp. χ) runs through the smooth characters of Δ_0 (see [12, (3.4)]) (resp. through the locally algebraic character of $\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ of weight \mathfrak{o}), and $\mathfrak{m}_\delta \subset E[\Delta_0]$ (resp. $\mathfrak{m}_\chi \subset E[\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L)]$) is the maximal ideal associated to δ (resp. χ). Replacing character δ of Δ_0 by maximal ideals \mathfrak{m} of $\mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}$, we can get the second identity by the proof of [12, Lemma 3.1.4]. By [12, Lemma 3.1.4], we see that each term in the direct sums is finite-dimensional over E .

Proposition 4.16. *Suppose that V is an admissible continuous Banach representation of G and there exists a compact open subgroup H such that $V|_H \xrightarrow{\sim} C^0(H, L)^{\oplus l}$. Assume that*

$$(4.46) \quad 0 \rightarrow V^{\mathbb{Q}_p - \text{an}} \rightarrow \Pi \rightarrow \Pi_1 \rightarrow 0,$$

is an exact sequence of admissible locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representations of G . Let $\chi : \mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L) \rightarrow E^\times$ be a continuous character of weight \mathfrak{o} , and let \mathfrak{m} be a maximal ideal of $\mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_{[1,k]}}$. Now we have short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.47) \quad & 0 \rightarrow (V^{\mathbb{Q}_p - \text{an}})^{\mathbf{N}^{(r)}(L)_0}[\mathfrak{z}^{(r)} = \mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{d}^{(r)} = 0] \rightarrow \Pi^{\mathbf{N}^{(r)}(L)_0}[\mathfrak{z}^{(r)} = \mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{d}^{(r)} = 0] \\
& \rightarrow \Pi_1^{\mathbf{N}^{(r)}(L)_0}[\mathfrak{z}^{(r)} = \mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{d}^{(r)} = 0] \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.48) \quad & 0 \rightarrow B_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \lambda_h}(V^{\mathbb{Q}_p - \text{an}})[\mathfrak{z}^{(r)} = \mathfrak{o}][\mathfrak{m}^\infty][\mathfrak{m}_\chi] \rightarrow B_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \lambda_h}(\Pi)[\mathfrak{z}^{(r)} = \mathfrak{o}][\mathfrak{m}^\infty][\mathfrak{m}_\chi] \\
& \rightarrow B_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \lambda_h}(\Pi_1)[\mathfrak{z}^{(r)} = \mathfrak{o}][\mathfrak{m}^\infty][\mathfrak{m}_\chi] \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, all the vector spaces in the last exact sequence are finite-dimensional.

Proof. The above proposition implies that taking $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_{\Sigma_L}^{(r)}$ -invariant is exact on the short exact sequence (4.46). Since taking $\mathbf{N}^{(r)}(L)_0$ -invariant is exact on the category of smooth representations of $\mathbf{N}^{(r)}(L)_0$, we deduce the first exact sequence (4.47). To see the exactness of the second sequence of (4.48), we need to unwind the action of Δ_0 , $\iota_0(\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L))$ and $\iota_1(\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L))$ on $B_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \lambda_h}(W)$ for $W \in \{V^{\mathbb{Q}_p - \text{an}}, \Pi, \Pi_1\}$. As in the proof of [12, Lemma 3.1.4], we can choose a compact open subgroup of $\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ such that $B_{\Omega_{[1,k]}, \lambda_h}(W)[\mathfrak{z}^{(r)} = \mathfrak{o}]$ is a Δ_0 -equivalent direct summand of

$$\begin{aligned}
& (J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(W)_{\lambda_h}[\mathfrak{z}^{(r)} = \mathfrak{o}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)}]) \widehat{\otimes}_E \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Q}_p - \text{an}}(\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L), E)[\mathfrak{z}^{(r)} = \mathfrak{o}] \otimes_E \sigma^\vee)^H \\
& \cong \bigoplus_{\delta'} ((J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(W)_{\lambda_h} \otimes_E \sigma^\vee)^{H^D}[\mathfrak{z}^{(r)} = \mathfrak{o} \circ \det_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)}][\mathfrak{m}_{\delta'}^\infty]) \\
& \quad \widehat{\otimes}_E \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Q}_p - \text{an}}(\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L), E)[\mathfrak{z}^{(r)} = \mathfrak{o}]^{Z_H},
\end{aligned}$$

where $H^D := H \cap \mathbf{D}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ (resp., $Z_H := H \cap \mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L)$), and δ' runs through the locally algebraic characters of $\iota_1(\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L))$ (and $[\mathfrak{m}_{\delta'}^\infty]$ is for the corresponding $\iota_1(\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(\mathcal{O}_L))$ -action). Similar to the proof of [19, Proposition 4.1, (4.3), Pages 10521-10522], we have a short exact sequence of finite-dimensional E -vector spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow (J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(V^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}})_{\lambda_{\mathbf{h}}} \otimes_E \sigma^\vee)^{H^D} [\mathfrak{z}^{(r)} = \mathfrak{o}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)}] [\mathfrak{m}_{\delta'}^\infty] \\ \rightarrow (J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\Pi)_{\lambda_{\mathbf{h}}} \otimes_E \sigma^\vee)^{H^D} [\mathfrak{z}^{(r)} = \mathfrak{o}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)}] [\mathfrak{m}_{\delta'}^\infty] \\ \rightarrow (J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\Pi_1)_{\lambda_{\mathbf{h}}} \otimes_E \sigma^\vee)^{H^D} [\mathfrak{z}^{(r)} = \mathfrak{o}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)}] [\mathfrak{m}_{\delta'}^\infty] \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then the result follows by taking $[\mathfrak{m}_\chi]$ -eigenspaces and replacing character δ' of Δ_0 by maximal ideals \mathfrak{m} of $\mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega[1,k]}$, as in the proof of [12, Lemma 3.1.4]. \square

Let $\mathfrak{I}_y \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_y$ be a closed ideal of $R_\infty[1/p]$ such that $\dim_E(R_\infty[1/p]/\mathfrak{I}_y) < +\infty$ and that \mathfrak{m}_y is the unique closed maximal ideal containing \mathfrak{I}_y (e.g. $\mathfrak{I}_y = \mathfrak{m}_y^k$). Similar to [25, Corollary 4.9], we can obtain

Corollary 4.17. *Let $\text{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \subseteq W \subseteq \text{St}_{(r,k)}^{\text{an}}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})$. If a morphism*

$$f : W \longrightarrow \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{I}_y]$$

satisfies that $f|_{\text{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})} \subseteq \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y]$, then f has image in $\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y]$.

The following theorem is the main result of this paper. As soon as we finish the previous preparation, the proof is almost completely parallel to the proof of [26, Theorem 4.10]. We include a proof for the reader's convenience.

Theorem 4.18. (1) *The following restriction map is bijective*

$$(4.49) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_G(\Sigma^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}, \mathcal{L}(\rho_L)), \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y]) \\ \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_G(\text{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}), \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y]). \end{aligned}$$

(2) *Let $0 \neq \psi \in \text{Hom}(L^\times, E)$ and $ir \in \Delta_n(k)$, an injection*

$$f : \text{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \hookrightarrow \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y]$$

can extend to an injection $\Sigma_i(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}, \psi) \hookrightarrow \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y]$ if and only if $\psi \in \mathcal{L}(\rho_L)_{ir}$.

The rest of the section is to prove Theorem 4.18, and we use the strategy of ([26]).

Proof. (a) The “if” part of Theorem 4.18 (2) is a consequence of (1). It suffices to prove the “only if” part. Otherwise, we assume that there exists $\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}, \psi) \hookrightarrow \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y]$ with $\psi \notin \mathcal{L}(\rho_L)_{ir}$. Recall that $\mathcal{L}(\rho_L)_{ir}$ is of codimension 1 in $\text{Hom}(L^\times, E)$, we see that $\mathcal{L}(\rho_L)_{ir} + E\psi = \text{Hom}(L^\times, E)$. Then the injection

$$\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}, \mathcal{L}(\rho_L)_{ir}) \oplus_{\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})} \Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}, \psi) \hookrightarrow \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty\text{-an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y]$$

induces

$$\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h, \psi_\infty) \hookrightarrow \Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h, \mathcal{L}(\rho_L)_{ir}) \oplus_{\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h)} \Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h, \psi) \hookrightarrow \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y].$$

for any $0 \neq \psi_\infty \in \text{Hom}_\infty(L^\times, E)$. But by [34, Remark 5.21], $\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h, \psi_\infty)$ contains $V \otimes_E L(\lambda_h)$ where V is a smooth extension of $v_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^\infty(\pi)$ by $\text{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\pi)$. By applying the (left exact) Jacquet-Emerton functor to $V \otimes_E L(\lambda_h) \hookrightarrow \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y]$, we get a contradiction with Lemma 4.13. This completes the proof of (2).

(b) Since $\text{soc}_G \Sigma^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h = \text{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h))$, it follows from Lemma 4.13 and the step (a) of the proof of Proposition 4.14 that the injectivity of (4.49) holds. It remains to show that (4.49) is surjective. By definition, it suffices to show that for any $ir \in \Delta_n(k)$, $\psi \in \mathcal{L}(\rho_L)_{ir}$, the following restriction map is surjective

(4.50)

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{GL}_n(L)}(\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi, \lambda_h, \psi), \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y]) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{GL}_n(L)}(\text{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h), \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y]).$$

The key ingredient is the consequence of the surjectivity of (4.29). By Corollary 4.11, there exists a $t : \text{Spec} E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k],p}, \lambda_h}^\infty(\bar{\rho})$ (as an element in $T_{\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k],p}, \lambda_h}^\infty(\bar{\rho}), x}^\infty$) such that the i -th factor of the image of t under (4.29) equals ψ , and the j -th factors for all $j \neq i$ are zero. Let $\Psi \in \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}^{(r)}(L), E)$ be the image of t via the first map in (4.30). By Proposition 4.1 (3), we see that the coherent sheaf $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega_{[1,k], \lambda_h}}^\infty$ is Cohen-Macaulay over $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k],p}, \lambda_h}^\infty(\bar{\rho})$. Since non-critical special point x is a smooth point on $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_{[1,k],p}, \lambda_h}^\infty(\bar{\rho})$, we see that $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega_{[1,k], \lambda_h}}^\infty$ is locally free in a certain neighborhood of x . Let \mathcal{I}_t denotes the kernel of the morphism $R_\infty[1/p] \rightarrow E[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$ induced by t . We put

$$\pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_h, \Psi) := \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_h) \otimes_E (1 + \Psi\epsilon) \circ \det_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)}.$$

By the construction of $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega_{[1,k], \lambda_h}}^\infty$, we deduce the following facts:

- (a) $(x^* \mathcal{M}_{\Omega_{[1,k], \lambda_h}}^\infty)^\vee \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)}(\pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_h) \otimes_E \delta_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}, J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y]))$, and $(t^* \mathcal{M}_{\Omega_{[1,k], \lambda_h}}^\infty)^\vee \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)}(\pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_h, \Psi) \otimes_E \delta_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}, J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathcal{I}_t]))$, which are closed subrepresentations of $J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y])$ and $J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathcal{I}_t])$ respectively;
- (b) there are natural $\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)$ -equivariant injections

$$(4.51) \quad (x^* \mathcal{M}_{\Omega_{[1,k], \lambda_h}}^\infty)^\vee \hookrightarrow (t^* \mathcal{M}_{\Omega_{[1,k], \lambda_h}}^\infty)^\vee \hookrightarrow J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathcal{I}_t]).$$

By Lemma 4.13 and an easy variation of the proof of [26, Lemma 4.11], we can show that

Lemma 4.19. *The morphisms of $\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)$ -representations:*

$$(4.52) \quad \begin{aligned} & (x^* \mathcal{M}_{\infty, \Omega_{[1,k], \lambda_h}})^\vee \hookrightarrow J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y]), \\ & \text{and } (t^* \mathcal{M}_{\infty, \Omega_{[1,k], \lambda_h}})^\vee \hookrightarrow J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathcal{I}_t]) \end{aligned}$$

are balanced (see [29, Definition 0.8], [30, Definition 5.17]).

Proof. This lemma follows by an easy variation of the proof of [26, Lemma 4.11]. We briefly indicate below the changes. The notation " $U(\mathbf{B}(L))$ " (resp., $\mathcal{C}_c^{\text{sm}}(\mathbf{N}(L), -)$, resp., $\mathcal{C}_c^{\text{lp}}(\mathbf{N}(L), -)$, resp., $\mathcal{C}_c^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-pol}}(\mathbf{N}(L), -)$) has to be replaced by $U(\mathfrak{p}_{\Sigma_L}^{(r)})$ (resp., $\mathcal{C}_c^{\text{sm}}(\mathbf{N}^{(r)}(L), -)$, resp., $\mathcal{C}_c^{\text{lp}}(\mathbf{N}^{(r)}(L), -)$, resp., $\mathcal{C}_c^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-pol}}(\mathbf{N}^{(r)}(L), -)$). The short exact sequence " $0 \rightarrow \chi \rightarrow \tilde{\chi} \rightarrow \chi \rightarrow 0$ " has to be replaced by the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \otimes_E \delta_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}} \longrightarrow \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}, \Psi) \otimes_E \delta_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}} \longrightarrow \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \otimes_E \delta_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

The "[26, Lemma 4.6]" has to be replaced by Lemmas 4.13. \square

This lemma shows that the adjunction formula in [29] is suitable for us. Let V'' be a locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representation of $\mathbf{L}^{(r)}(L)$. We refer to [29] for the detail of locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representation $I_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(V'')$ of G , which is a closed G -subrepresentation of $(\text{Ind}_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}^G V'')^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}$. By [29, Theorem 0.13], there exists an integer r , such that the injections in (4.51) induce

$$(4.53) \quad I_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}))^{\oplus r} \hookrightarrow I_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}, \Psi))^{\oplus r} \longrightarrow \pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}\text{-an}}[\mathcal{J}_t].$$

By [29, Proposition 2.8.10], we have $I_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})) \cong i_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})$. The natural exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \longrightarrow \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}, \Psi) \longrightarrow \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \longrightarrow 0$$

induces a sequence (not necessary exact)

$$i_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \hookrightarrow I_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}, \Psi)) \twoheadrightarrow i_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}).$$

Then $v_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^{\infty}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})$ is an irreducible constituent in $I_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}, \Psi))$ of multiplicity 2. Using the natural embedding

$$I_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}, \Psi)) \hookrightarrow \left(\text{Ind}_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}^G \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}, \Psi) \right)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}},$$

we can take inside $\left(\text{Ind}_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}^G \pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}, \Psi) \right)^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}$ the following intersections:

$$\begin{aligned} U &:= I_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}, \Psi)) \cap \left(\sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \Delta_n(k)} \mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}) \right), \\ W &:= I_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}, \Psi)) \cap \mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\tilde{\Sigma}^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})' := W/U$ is a subrepresentation of $\text{St}_{(r,k)}^{\text{an}}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})$, and $I_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}, \Psi))/U$ is an extension of $i_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})$ by $\tilde{\Sigma}^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})'$. As in Step (a) of the proof of Proposition 4.14, we deduce from Lemma 4.13 that any irreducible constituent of U can not appear in the socle of $\pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}\text{-an}}[\mathcal{J}_t]$. Then (4.53) induces

$$(4.54) \quad \text{St}_{(r,k)}^{\infty}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}})^{\oplus r} \hookrightarrow (I_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_{\mathbf{h}}, \Psi))/U)^{\oplus r} \longrightarrow \pi_{\infty}^{R_{\infty}\text{-an}}[\mathcal{J}_t].$$

This composition is injective. Since $(x^* \mathcal{M}_\infty)^\vee$ has image in $J_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}(L)}(\pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y])$ via (4.51), we see that it factors through an injection $\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y] \hookrightarrow \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathfrak{J}_t]$ by Corollary 4.17.

Denote by $\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h)' := \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h)' \cap \Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h)$. Then [34, Proposition 5.28] deduce $\text{soc}_G \Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h)' \cong \text{St}_{(r,k)}^\infty(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h)$. Thus by Corollary 4.17, the composition

$$(4.55) \quad (\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h)')^{\oplus r} \hookrightarrow (I_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_h, \Psi))/U)^{\oplus r} \longrightarrow \pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathfrak{J}_t]$$

also has image in $\pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y]$. By Lemma 4.13, it is also injective. By Proposition 4.14, we see that (4.55) extends uniquely to an injection

$$(4.56) \quad \Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h)^{\oplus r} \longrightarrow \pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y] \hookrightarrow \pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathfrak{J}_t].$$

Combining (4.55) with (4.56), we put

$$V^+ := (I_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_h, \Psi))/U) \oplus_{\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h)'} \Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h).$$

Therefore, (4.55) and (4.56) give

$$(4.57) \quad \Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h)^{\oplus r} \hookrightarrow (V^+)^{\oplus r} \longrightarrow \pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathfrak{J}_t].$$

It has image in $\pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \text{an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y]$. It suffices to prove the following assertion.

Claim. $\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h, \psi)$ is a subrepresentation of V^+ .

Proof of the claim. Let V be the pull-back of $I_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_h, \Psi))/U$ via the injection

$$i_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h) \hookrightarrow i_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h).$$

Then V lies in a commutative diagram

$$(4.58) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h)' & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow & i_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \subseteq & & \downarrow \subseteq & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{St}_{(r,k)}^{\text{an}}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}_{\{i\}}^\emptyset(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h, \Psi)^0 / \widetilde{U} & \longrightarrow & i_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h) \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where $\widetilde{U} := \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \Delta_n(k)} \mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h)$. Recall that the representation $\mathcal{E}_{\{i\}}^\emptyset(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h, \Psi)^0$ is defined in the argument below [34, Theorem 5.19]. By [34, Theorem 5.19] and [34, Remark 5.21], the pull-back of the bottom exact sequence via the injection

$$(4.59) \quad w_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^\infty(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h) := w_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^\infty(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h) \otimes_E \text{unr}(\alpha) \circ \det \hookrightarrow i_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h)$$

is split. Since $\text{Hom}_G(w_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^\infty(\alpha, \lambda_h), \text{St}_{(r,k)}^{\text{an}}(\alpha, \lambda_h) / \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h)') = 0$, we deduce

$$\text{Ext}_G^1(w_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^\infty(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h), \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h)') \hookrightarrow \text{Ext}_G^1(w_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^\infty(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h), \text{St}_{(r,k)}^{\text{an}}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h))$$

Therefore, the pull-back of the top exact sequence of (4.58) via (4.59) is also split. This implies that $I_{\mathbf{P}^{(r)}}^G(\pi_0^{(r)}(\alpha, \lambda_h, \Psi))/U$ contains a subrepresentation $\tilde{\Sigma}_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h, \psi)'$, which is isomorphic to an extension of $v_{\mathbf{P}_{ir}}^\infty(\alpha, \lambda_h)$ by $\tilde{\Sigma}^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h)'$. We have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}_G^1(v_{\mathbf{P}_I}^\infty(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h), \Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h)') \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ext}_G^1(v_{\mathbf{P}_I}^\infty(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h), \tilde{\Sigma}^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h)'),$$

by similar strategy in the proof of [34, Proposition 5.29] and [34, Proposition 5.34(2)]. This asserts that $\tilde{\Sigma}_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h, \psi)'$ comes from some $\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h, \psi)'$, which is an extension of $v_{\mathbf{P}_{ir}}^\infty(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h)$

by the representation $\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h)'$. But the push-forward of $\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h, \psi)'$ via the injection $\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h)' \hookrightarrow \Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h)$ is isomorphic to $\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h, \psi)$. The claim follows.

The composition in (4.57) induces then

$$\Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h)^{\oplus r} \hookrightarrow \Sigma_i^{(r)}(\alpha, \pi_0, \lambda_h, \psi)^{\oplus r} \longrightarrow \Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \mathrm{an}}[\mathcal{J}_t].$$

By using the same argument as in the [25, Page. 8040], we see that the image of the second morphism is also contained in $\Pi_\infty^{R_\infty - \mathrm{an}}[\mathfrak{m}_y]$. The surjectivity of (4.50) now follows. We complete the proof of Theorem 4.18. \square

Acknowledgement. This is part of the author's PhD thesis. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my advisor Yiwen Ding for introducing me to this subject, for many helpful discussion and suggestions, and for his comments on earlier draft of this paper.

References

- [1] *J. Bellaïche and G. Chenevier*, Families of Galois representations and Selmer groups (English, French summary), *Astérisque* No. **324** (2009), xii+314 pp.
- [2] *J. Bergdall*, Parabolic variation over p -adic families of (ϕ, Γ) -modules (English summary), *Compos. Math.* **153** (2017), no. 1, 132-174.
- [3] *J. Bergdall and P. Chojacki*, An adjunction formula for the Emerton-Jacquet functor (English summary), *Israel J. Math.* **223** (2018), no. 1, 1-52.
- [4] *L. Berger*, Équations différentielles p -adiques et (φ, N) -modules filtrés (French. English, French summary), *Astérisque* No. **319** (2008), 13-38.
- [5] *J. N. Bernstein and P. Deligne*, Le “centre” de Bernstein (French, Edited by P. Deligne) [The Bernstein “center”], *Travaux en Cours, Representations of reductive groups over a local field*, 1-32, Hermann, Paris, 1984.
- [6] *C. Breuil*, Ext^1 localement analytique et compatibilité local-global, *Amer. J. Math.* **141** (2019), no. 3, 611-703.
- [7] *C. Breuil*, Sur quelques représentations modulaires et p -adiques de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$. I, *Compositio Math.* **138** (2003), no. 2, 165-188.
- [8] *C. Breuil*, Sur quelques représentations modulaires et p -adiques de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$. II, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **2** (2003), no. 1, 23-58.
- [9] *C. Breuil*, Série spéciale p -adique et cohomologie étale complétée (French. English, French summary), *Astérisque* No. **331** (2010), 65-115.
- [10] *C. Breuil*, Socle localement analytique I. (French. English, French summary) [Locally analytic socle I], *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **66** (2016), no. 2, 633-685.
- [11] *C. Breuil*, Vers le socle localement analytique pour GL_n II (French. French summary) [Towards the locally analytic socle for GL_n II], *Math. Ann.* **361** (2015), no. 3-4, 741-785.
- [12] *C. Breuil and Y. Ding*, Bernstein eigenvarieties, preprint 2021, <http://arxiv.org/abs/2109.06696>.
- [13] *C. Breuil and Y. Ding*, Higher L -invariants for $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ and local-global compatibility (English summary), *Camb. J. Math.* **8** (2020), no. 4, 775-951.

- [14] *C. Breuil and Y. Ding*, SUR UN PROBLÈME DE COMPATIBILITÉ LOCAL-GLOBAL LOCALEMENT ANALYTIQUE, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, 2020.
- [15] *C. Breuil, E. Hellmann and B. Schraen*, Smoothness and classicality on eigenvarieties (English summary), *Invent. Math.* **209** (2017), no. 1, 197-274.
- [16] *C. Breuil, E. Hellmann and B. Schraen*, Une interprétation modulaire de la variété trianguline. *Math. Ann.* **367** (2017), no. 3-4, 1587-1645.
- [17] *C. Breuil, E. Hellmann and B. Schraen*, A local model for the trianguline variety and applications (English summary), *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **130** (2019), 299-412.
- [18] *C. Breuil and F. Herzig*, Towards the Finite Slope Part for GL_n (English summary), *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2020, no. 24, 10495-10552.
- [19] *C. Breuil and P. Schneider*, First steps towards p -adic langlands functoriality (English summary), *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **610** (2007), 149-180.
- [20] *C. J. Bushnell and P. C. Kutzko*, The Admissible Dual of GL_n via Compact Open Subgroups, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, **129**. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. xii+313 pp.
- [21] *A. Caraiani, M. Emerton, T. Gee, D. Geraghty, V. Paškūnas and S. W. Shin*, Patching and the p -adic local Langlands correspondence (English summary), *Camb. J. Math.* **4** (2016), no. 2, 197-287.
- [22] *G. Chenevier*, On the infinite fern of Galois representations of unitary type (English, French summary), *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.* (4) **44** (2011), no. 6, 963-1019.
- [23] *L. Clozel, M. Harris and R. Taylor*, Automorphy for some l -adic lifts of automorphic mod l Galois representations (English summary, with Appendix A, summarizing unpublished work of Russ Mann, and Appendix B by Marie-France Vignéras), *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. No.* **108** (2008), 1-181.
- [24] *P. Colmez*, Représentations de $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ et (ϕ, Γ) -modules, *Astérisque No.* **330** (2010), 281-509.
- [25] *Y. Ding*, \mathcal{L} -invariants, partially de Rham families, and local-global compatibility (English, French summary), *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **67** (2017), no. 4, 1457-1519.
- [26] *Y. Ding*, Simple \mathcal{L} -invariants for GL_n (English summary), *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **372** (2019), no. 11, 7993-8042.
- [27] *M. Emerton*, Jacquet modules of locally analytic representations of p -adic reductive groups. I. Construction and first properties (English, French summary), *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) **39** (2006), no. 5, 775-839.
- [28] *M. Emerton*, On the interpolation of systems of eigenvalues attached to automorphic Hecke eigenforms, *Invent. Math.* **164** (2006), no. 1, 1-84.
- [29] *M. Emerton*, Jacquet modules of locally analytic representations of p -adic reductive groups II. The relation to parabolic induction, *J. Institut Math. Jussieu*, 2007.
- [30] *M. Emerton*, Locally analytic representation theory of p -adic reductive groups: a summary of some recent developments, L -functions and Galois representations, 407-437, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, **320**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [31] *M. Emerton and T. Gee*, A geometric perspective on the breuil-mézard conjecture (English summary), *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **13** (2014), no. 1, 183-223.
- [32] *M. Emerton*, Local-global compatibility in the p -adic Langlands programme for GL_2/\mathbb{Q} , 2011, available electronically at "<http://math.uchicago.edu/~emerton/preprints.html>"
- [33] *M. Emerton*, Locally analytic vectors in representations of locally p -adic analytic groups, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **248** (2017), no. 1175, iv+158 pp.
- [34] *Y. He*, Extensions of locally analytic generalized parabolic Steinberg representations, preprint 2022, <http://arxiv.org/abs/2211.00476>.
- [35] *J. E. Humphreys*, Representations of Semisimple Lie Algebras in the BGG Category \mathcal{O} , volume 94. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 94. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008. xvi+289 pp.
- [36] *M. Kisin*, Potentially semi-stable deformation rings, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **21** (2008), no. 2, 513-546.
- [37] *K. S. Kedlaya, J. Pottharst and L. Xiao*, Cohomology of arithmetic families of (φ, Γ) -modules, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **27** (2014), no. 4, 1043-1115.
- [38] *R. Liu*, Cohomology and duality for (φ, Γ) -modules over the robba ring, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2008, no. 3, Art. ID rnm150, 32 pp. 11S25
- [39] *K. Nakamura*, Classification of two-dimensional split trianguline representations of p -adic fields (English summary), *Compos. Math.* **145** (2009), no. 4, 865-914. 11S25 (11F80 11F85)
- [40] *S. Orlik*, On Jordan-Hölder series of some locally analytic representations, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **28** (2015), no. 1, 99-157.
- [41] *S. Orlik, and B. Schraen*, The Jordan-hölder series of the locally analytic steinberg representation. (English summary), *Doc. Math.* **19** (2014), 647-671.
- [42] *B. Schraen*, Représentations localement analytiques de $GL_3(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.* (4) **44** (2011), no. 1, 43-145.
- [43] *Z. Qian*, Dilogarithm and higher \mathcal{L} -invariants for $GL_3(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, *Represent. Theory* **25** (2021), 344-411.

- [44] *P. Schneider* and *J. Teitelbaum*, Banach space representations and Iwasawa theory (English summary), *Israel J. Math.* **127** (2002), 359-380.
- [45] *P. Scholze*, The local Langlands correspondence for GL_n over p -adic fields, and the cohomology of compact unitary Shimura varieties. (English summary) *Shimura varieties*, 251-265, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, **457**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, [2020], 2020.
- [46] *A. V. Zelevinsky*, Induced representations of reductive p -adic groups. II. On irreducible representations of $GL(n)$, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) **13** (1980), no. 2, 165-210

Yiqin He, School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, No.5 Yiheyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing 100871,
P.R.China
e-mail: 1801110008@pku.edu.cn