

SoK: Inference Attacks and Defenses in Human-Centered Wireless Sensing

Wei Sun, Tingjun Chen, and Neil Gong

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Duke University
Durham, North Carolina, USA

ABSTRACT

Human-centered wireless sensing aims to understand the fine-grained environment and activities of a human using the diverse wireless signals around her. The wireless sensing community has demonstrated the superiority of such techniques in many applications such as smart homes, human-computer interactions, and smart cities. Like many other technologies, wireless sensing is also a double-edged sword. While the sensed information about a human can be used for many good purposes such as enhancing life quality, an adversary can also abuse it to steal private information about the human (e.g., location, living habits, and behavioral biometric characteristics). However, the literature lacks a systematic understanding of the privacy vulnerabilities of wireless sensing and the defenses against them.

In this work, we aim to bridge this gap. First, we propose a framework to systematize wireless sensing-based inference attacks. Our framework consists of three key steps: *deploying a sniffing device*, *sniffing wireless signals*, and *inferring private information*. Our framework can be used to guide the design of new inference attacks since different attacks can instantiate these three steps differently. Second, we propose a defense-in-depth framework to systematize defenses against such inference attacks. The *prevention* component of our framework aims to prevent inference attacks via obfuscating the wireless signals around a human, while the *detection* component aims to detect and respond to attacks. Third, based on our attack and defense frameworks, we identify gaps in the existing literature and discuss future research directions.

ACM Reference Format:

Wei Sun, Tingjun Chen, and Neil Gong. 2022. SoK: Inference Attacks and Defenses in Human-Centered Wireless Sensing. In *Proceedings of ACM Conference (Conference'17)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 17 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>

1 INTRODUCTION

Wireless sensing is an emerging enabling technology for many applications such as smart homes/cities, autonomous systems, and human-computer interactions. Given the advanced wireless communication techniques (e.g., Wi-Fi, acoustic signals, and 5G) and the proliferation of wireless devices (e.g., Internet-of-Things), wireless




Figure 1: Illustration of inference attacks to an example human-centered wireless sensing scenario: home. A transmitter (Tx) is a device (e.g., an IoT node, or a Wi-Fi access point) that transmits wireless signals at home. The attacker can sniff the wireless signals using a receiver (Rx) to extract private information about the occupants at home (e.g., locations, living habits, and behavioral biometric characteristics).

sensing is becoming more and more popular. Wireless signals in different forms, including *radio frequency (RF)*, *sound/acoustic*, and *light*, interact with human bodies and other physical objects in the environment during transmission. As a result, the variation of the wireless signals around a human can be leveraged to understand the physical environment and human activities in it [16, 148, 159, 196]. For instance, Vasisht et al. [148] show that wireless signals can be used to localize and identify occupants at home based on their walking patterns, thereby enabling a smart home that is aware of the occupants' locations and identities to personalize appliance settings.

Like nearly any advanced technology, wireless sensing is a double-edged sword. On one hand, wireless sensing enables many life-quality-improving applications such as health status monitoring [10, 49, 52], energy-efficient smart home [35, 114, 148], and friendly human-computer interaction [91, 159] via understanding the physical environment and activities of human subjects. On the other hand, the same technology can be abused by an attacker to infer a human's private information such as location, living habits, and behavioral biometric characteristics (e.g., walking pattern, heart rate, and hand gesture), therefore leading to privacy and security risks. For instance, inferring location leads to location privacy leakage [148, 158]; inferring living habits may lead to well-planned burglary [134, 196]; and inferring hand gesture used to unlock a smartphone leads to password compromise [18, 20, 79]. An example of such inference attacks is illustrated in Fig. 1.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA

© 2022 Association for Computing Machinery.

ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM...\$15.00

<https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>

However, the literature lacks a systematic understanding of inference attacks via wireless sensing and defenses against them. In particular, existing literature surveys about wireless sensing [87, 95, 162] focus on wireless sensing techniques and their *benign* applications, leaving systematization of the privacy aspect of wireless sensing largely untouched. Such a gap makes it hard to comprehensively understand the privacy vulnerabilities of wireless sensing and design effective defenses.

Our work. In this paper, we aim to bridge this gap. Specifically, in our threat model, we assume an attacker’s goal is to infer private information about a victim human by analyzing her surrounding wireless signals. Moreover, the attacker is able to deploy a receiver (Rx) device to passively eavesdrop on the wireless signals around the victim.

First, we propose a framework to systematize wireless sensing-based inference attacks under the threat model. Our framework includes three steps: *deploying a sniffing device*, *sniffing wireless signals*, and *inferring private information*. In the first step, an attacker determines the available wireless signals (e.g., RF, acoustic, light) around the victim and then deploy a corresponding Rx device that can eavesdrop on these signals sent by the transmitter (Tx). In the second step, the attacker passively eavesdrops on the wireless signals using the deployed device. Moreover, the attacker extracts different features from the received wireless signals to represent them. We group such features into three categories based on which characteristics of the wireless signals are used to derive them. In the third step, the attacker infers the victim’s private information using the features extracted in the second step. In particular, the attacker can use either a *rule-based* or a *machine learning- (ML-) based* method to perform such inference. Our framework can be used to characterize existing inference attacks and guide the design of new ones. In particular, different attacks essentially instantiate our three steps differently.

Second, we propose a defense-in-depth framework to systematize defenses against wireless sensing-based inference attacks. Our defense-in-depth framework consists of two key components: *prevention* and *detection*. Prevention aims to prevent inference attacks via (i) *shielding the wireless signals* (e.g., geofencing) so the attacker cannot eavesdrop on them, (ii) *obfuscating the wireless signals* (e.g., adding noise to them before transmitting them) so the attacker cannot perform accurate inference using the noisy wireless signals, and/or (iii) *obfuscating the wireless channel* (e.g., using programmable metasurfaces) through which the wireless signals propagate so that the signals received by the attacker are noisy even if the Tx does not add noise to them. Detection aims to detect an attacker’s device through (i) *stimulus*, which transmits a pre-defined stimulation signal to trigger the attacker’s device to leak unintended signals that can be detected, (ii) *passive sensing*, which detects the attacker’s device through the wireless signals leaked from it, and/or (iii) *sensing via side channels*, which detects the attacker’s device using its wireless signals leaked through side channels. Our proposed framework can be used to characterize existing defenses and aid the design of new ones.

Third, based on our frameworks for inference attacks and defenses, we identify gaps in the existing literature and discuss future research directions. For instance, on the attack side, an attacker can




Figure 2: A typical wireless sensing system consists of a transmitter (Tx) and a receiver (Rx), where the Tx transmits wireless signals undergoing the physical environment and the Rx receives wireless signals. The wireless signals may reach the Rx through multiple paths due to reflections of the different objects in the physical environment.

use more advanced ML techniques in the third step of our inference attack framework to infer a victim’s private information more accurately. ML is vulnerable to *adversarial examples* [26], which add carefully crafted small perturbations to inputs (i.e., wireless signals in our context) to induce misclassification. Therefore, on the defense side, we can use adversarial examples to obfuscate the wireless signals as a defense against ML-based inference attacks. Specifically, we turn the noisy/obfuscated wireless signals into adversarial examples to the attacker’s ML classifier, so it infers the victim’s private information incorrectly. Moreover, we find that existing defenses lack formal privacy guarantees. Therefore, another interesting future research direction is to design defenses with formal privacy guarantees, e.g., differential privacy [41].

To summarize, our main contributions are as follows:

- **A framework to systematize wireless sensing-based inference attacks.** We propose the first framework to comprehensively systematize inference attacks that leverage wireless sensing. Our framework makes it possible to compare different inference attacks and design new ones.
- **A framework to systematize defenses against wireless sensing-based inference attacks.** We propose the first defense-in-depth framework to comprehensively systematize defenses against inference attacks. Our framework makes it possible to compare different defenses and aids the design of new ones.
- **Future research directions.** We identify important gaps in the existing literature and propose future research directions using our proposed frameworks.

2 BACKGROUND ON WIRELESS SENSING

We first present the background of wireless sensing. The notations used in this paper are summarized in Table 1.

Transmitter (Tx) and Receiver (Rx). A typical wireless sensing system consists of two devices: a *transmitter (Tx)* and a *receiver (Rx)*, as shown in Fig. 2. A Tx or Rx may have one or multiple *antennas*. A Tx antenna emits wireless signals, which propagate and may be reflected by different objects (e.g., walls) and subjects

Table 1: Notations.

Notation	Description
Tx/Rx	Transmitter/Receiver
$x(t)$	Transmitted signals
$y(t)$	Received signals
$h(t)$	Wireless channel, or channel state information (CSI)
$h_{ij}(t)$	Wireless channel between the i -th antenna of the Rx and j -th antenna of the Tx
$h_{air}(t)$	Over-the-air wireless channel
$h_{tx}(t)$	Channel gain due to the Tx's hardware imperfection
$h_{rx}(t)$	Channel gain due to the Rx's hardware imperfection
$w(t)$	White Gaussian noise
$p(t)$	Signal preamble used for channel estimation
$\alpha(t)$	Signal attenuation
L, l	Total number of signal paths and their indexes
$d(t)$	Line-of-sight distance between Tx and Rx
$d_l(t)$	Distance between the Tx and Rx over the l -th path
$\alpha_l(t)$	Signal attenuation along l -th path path
f_c	Signal carrier frequency
λ	Signal wavelength
$\phi(t)$	Phase offset introduced by hardware imperfection
$\gamma_l(t)$	Phase offset introduced by the Doppler shift effect on the l -th signal path
$\phi_l(t)$	Angle of departure (AoD) of the l -th signal path at the Tx antenna array
$\theta_l(t)$	Angle of arrival (AoA) of the l -th signal path at the Rx antenna array
D	Antenna separation in an antenna array
d_e	Extra travel distance of the signal in comparison to the reference antenna in the antenna array
β	Angle of the moving object with respect to the Rx
B	Signal bandwidth

(e.g., human) in the physical environment. An Rx antenna receives wireless signals.

Different Types of Wireless Signals. Wireless signals can typically be grouped as the following three categories [7], in which RF and light signals are electromagnetic waves, and sound signals are mechanical waves:

- *RF signals* that have been widely employed by the wireless sensing systems include Wi-Fi signals (at 2.4/5/6 GHz), LTE signals (at sub-6 GHz), millimeter-wave signals (at 30–300 GHz), RFID backscattering signals (at 902–928 MHz), and ultra-wideband signals (at 3.1–10.6 GHz). Each of these signals can be transmitted and received with commercial off-the-shelf devices such as Wi-Fi access points, smartphones, millimeter-wave, UWB radars, and RFID readers.
- *Light signals*, which are more directional due to their high operating frequency, can also be used to sense the subjects in the physical environment. These include visible light (at 400–800 THz) and laser (at ~330 THz). Typical commercial off-the-shelf devices that transmit and receive light signals include cameras, LEDs, and LiDARs.
- *Sound signals* are different from RF signals since they are mechanical waves that can propagate as a wave of pressure through the medium. Sound signals include audible sound (at <20 kHz) and ultrasound (at >20 kHz). Typical commercial off-the-shelf devices

that can transmit and receive sound signals include smartphones or speech assistant devices (e.g., Alexa).

Wireless Communication Models. To model the wireless communication between a Tx and an Rx, we start with a pair of Tx and Rx, each equipped with a single antenna. Specifically, the Tx transmits the wireless signals, denoted by $x(t)$, which is reflected by different types of objects (e.g., walls, desks, and couches) and subjects (e.g., human) in the physical environment, and then received by the Rx. Let $h(t)$ denote the multipath propagation characteristics of the physical environment, or the *wireless channel*. Moreover, let $y(t)$ denote the wireless signals received by the Rx. Based on the communications theory, we have:

$$y(t) = h(t) * x(t) + w(t), \quad (1)$$

where $*$ indicates the convolution operation and $w(t)$ denotes the White Gaussian noise introduced by the wireless channel. (1) can be generalized to the scenarios where the Tx and Rx are equipped with multiple antennas:

$$\mathbf{y}(t) = \mathbf{H}(t) * \mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{w}(t), \quad (2)$$

where (i) $\mathbf{x}(t) = [x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_{N_t}(t)]^T$ and $\mathbf{y}(t) = [y_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_{N_r}(t)]^T$ with N_t and N_r being the number of antennas at the Tx and Rx, respectively, and (ii) $\mathbf{H}(t) = [h_{ij}(t)]$, where $h_{ij}(t)$ ($i = 1, \dots, N_r$ and $j = 1, \dots, N_t$) characterizes the wireless channel between the j -th antenna of the Tx and the i -th antenna of the Rx.

Based on the communication models (1)–(2), wireless sensing aims to perceive the physical environment using the received wireless signals $y(t)$ around a human. The intuition is that $y(t)$ is affected by the wireless channel $h(t)$, which is affected by the variation of the wireless environment (e.g., human's movement). Therefore, a wireless sensing system usually analyzes the variation and extracts different properties of $y(t)$ to achieve the sensing purpose.

3 THREAT MODEL

3.1 Attacker's Goal

We consider an attacker's goal to infer various private information about a victim human through passively sensing and analyzing the wireless signals around him/her. In particular, we summarize the private information considered in existing inference attacks as the following three categories:

- *Location.* Location represents sensitive information about a victim. Knowing the location of a victim leaks sensitive places that the victim has been to, such as those in a hospital, and enables tracking of the victim.
- *Living habits.* Living habits of a victim can leak other sensitive information about a victim. For instance, eating meals and going to the restroom frequently could be an indicator of diabetes disease. Moreover, knowing the living habits of a victim enables an attacker to commit well-informed severe crimes. For instance, an attacker may plan a burglary at a time when a victim is not at home.
- *Behavioral biometric characteristics.* Behavioral biometric characteristics refer to a person's pattern of behavior, including walking patterns, heart rate, and hand gestures. The leak of such behavioral biometric characteristics of a victim leads to severe privacy and security risks to the victim. For instance, heart rate may




Figure 3: Our framework to systematize wireless sensing-based inference attacks consists of three steps: (i) deploying a sniffing device, (ii) sniffing wireless signals, and (iii) inferring private information.

reveal that a victim has asthma or heart disease; hand gesture (e.g., touched locations and swiping patterns on the screen) of a victim to unlock a smartphone leads to compromise of the victim’s password; and walking patterns enable an attacker to identify the victim’s identity.

3.2 Attacker’s Capability

Sensing the type of wireless signal. Recall that there are three different types of wireless signals. Different types of wireless signals require different Rx to receive them. We consider the attacker can sense the types of wireless signals around a victim. For instance, the attacker can first perform coarse-grained spectrum scanning to check if electromagnetic waves or mechanical waves exist in the physical environment and then use fine-grained spectrum scanning to figure out the operating frequency of the wireless signals if they exist [50].

Receiving wireless signals via deploying an Rx. After the attacker senses the type of wireless signals, we consider the attacker is able to deploy an Rx to receive the wireless signals. The Rx should not be too far away from the Tx around the victim, in order to receive wireless signals. For instance, when an attacker targets at a victim in a house, the attacker can deploy its Rx outside/around the house.

Out-of-scope capabilities. In this work, we focus on *passive* attackers, who can deploy an Rx to passively receive wireless signals around a victim. Active attackers, who can deploy a Tx to perform man-in-the-middle attacks or jam the wireless signals, are also relevant but out of the scope of this work, due to limited space. Attacks that exploit the hardware/software vulnerabilities of the Tx/Rx are also out of the scope.

4 INFERENCE ATTACK SYSTEMATIZATION

We first present our three-step framework to systematize wireless sensing-based inference attacks. Then, we discuss the details of the three steps. Finally, we apply our framework to characterize existing inference attacks.

4.1 A Framework to Systematize Inference Attacks

We propose to systematize the inference attacks to human-centered wireless sensing using the framework shown in Fig. 3. Our framework consists of three main steps: (i) *deploying a sniffing device*, (ii) *sniffing wireless signals*, and (iii) *inferring private information*.

In the first step, the attacker deploys a device (i.e., an Rx) around the physical environment based on the type of wireless signals. In the second step, the attacker sniffs the wireless signals propagated in the physical environment using the deployed sniffing device.




Figure 4: Taxonomy of the features extracted from the received wireless signals to represent them in the second step of our framework.

Moreover, the attacker extracts different features from the wireless signals to represent them. We categorize the features based on which characteristics are used to derive them. Recall that a wireless communication model (see Section 2) captures the relationships between $y(t)$ (received wireless signals at the attacker’s Rx), $h(t)$ (characteristics of the wireless channel), and $x(t)$ (transmitted signals from the Tx). The first category of features is directly extracted from $y(t)$. An attacker can reconstruct $h(t)$ from the received $y(t)$ and the second category of features is extracted from the reconstructed $h(t)$. An attacker can further reconstruct $x(t)$ from $h(t)$ and the third category of features is extracted from the reconstructed $x(t)$. In the third step, the attacker infers the private information of the victim based on the extracted features. In particular, the attacker can use a rule-based or ML-based method to perform such inference.

4.2 Deploying a Sniffing Device

Since the wireless signal is “transparent” to the attacker, the attacker needs to assure the type of wireless signals used in the environment and choose the corresponding sniffing device (i.e., Rx) to receive the wireless signals. In particular, the attacker can perform spectrum scanning to obtain the type of wireless signals in the environment and their corresponding operating frequency. Spectrum scanning can be divided into two categories: (i) using dedicated spectrum analyzers, which have poor time resolution due to large sweeping time [4, 122], and (ii) using low-cost radio receivers, which have small signal bandwidths due to the limited sampling rate [53, 120, 128]. Recently, SweepSense [50] proposes to modify the software-defined radio receiver (i.e., USRP N210) to sweep the spectrum with high bandwidth and time resolution.

4.3 Sniffing Wireless Signals

In this step, the attacker sniffs the wireless signals using the deployed Rx and extracts features from them. (1)–(2) represent the relationships between three signals: $x(t)$, $y(t)$, and $h(t)$, which can be analyzed by the attacker to extract features. In particular, the attacker directly sniffs the signals $y(t)$ using its deployed Rx, $h(t)$ can be reconstructed from $y(t)$, and the reconstructed $h(t)$ can be

further used to reconstruct $x(t)$. Then, the attacker can extract features from these (reconstructed) signals. Fig. 4 shows the taxonomy of the extracted features. Next, we discuss them one by one.

4.3.1 Features based on $y(t)$. The received wireless signals $y(t)$ at the attacker can be used for inference attacks. Specifically, the attacker can collect the received wireless signals $y(t)$ and then use $y(t)$ as the features for an inference attack. For example, Zhu et al. [196] and IRshield [134] propose to use the signal strength of the received wireless signals $y(t)$ as the feature for inference attack. Vital-Radio [17], BreathTrack [61], and Wistress [52] use the signal phase of the received wireless signals as the feature for inference attack.

4.3.2 Features based on reconstructed $h(t)$. In the physical environment, the wireless signals will be bounced back and forth by different reflectors (e.g., walls, furniture, etc.), and finally constructively or destructively added at the attacker due to the multipath effect. So, it is important to reconstruct the wireless channel $h(t)$ from the received wireless signals $y(t)$ for performing the inference attack. Specifically, the attacker can reconstruct the wireless channel $h(t)$ following three steps: (i) *estimating the wireless channel*, (ii) *extracting the over-the-air wireless channel*, and (iii) *resolving multipath*. At last, the attacker further extracts the features based on the reconstructed $h(t)$.

In the first step, the attacker needs to reconstruct the wireless channel. Since the reconstructed wireless channel is consisting of the over-the-air wireless channel and the hardware imperfection of the Tx and attacker's Rx, the attacker needs to further extract the over-the-air wireless channel in the second step. Since the extracted over-the-air wireless channel is affected by different reflectors in the physical environment, the attacker needs to resolve the multipath and only reconstruct the wireless channel that is affected by the victim in the third step. After the attacker has reconstructed the wireless channel $h(t)$, the attacker can use $h(t)$ as the features or extract the features from $h(t)$ (i.e., signal attenuation, Doppler shift, time-of-flight (ToF), AoA/AoD) for inference attack.

Reconstructing the Wireless Channel. Let's first model the wireless channel. When a device transmits a signal, this signal is distorted by the wireless environment. Specifically, the signal undergoes the attenuation $\alpha(t)$ due to path loss and absorption. Since the signal travels over a distance of $d(t)$, its phase and strength can be changed. Moreover, the hardware imperfection of the transceiver introduces an extra phase shift $\phi(t)$, and the moving transceiver or reflectors will introduce phase shift $\gamma(t)$ due to the Doppler shift effect. All these changes are collectively referred to as the wireless channel. Therefore, for the signal transmitted at a carrier frequency of f_c (or with wavelength $\lambda = \frac{c}{f_c}$ where c is the speed of light), the single-path wireless channel $h(t)$ can be defined as:

$$h(t) = \alpha(t) \cdot \exp\left(-j2\pi \frac{d(t)}{\lambda} + j\phi(t) + j\gamma(t)\right). \quad (3)$$

In a real-world wireless environment, the signal received at the Rx is a composition of multiple copies of the original signal due to the multipath effect, where each copy can experience different attenuation, delay, and/or phase change. We can represent the channel seen by the Rx as the combination of all the possible L




Figure 5: $h(t)$ is the composition of $h_{tx}(t)$ (hardware imperfection at Tx), $h_{rx}(t)$ (hardware imperfection at Rx), and $h_{air}(t)$ (over-the-air wireless channel). We further reconstruct $h_{air}(t)$ from the reconstructed $h(t)$.

single-path channels:

$$h(t) = \sum_{l=1}^L \alpha_l(t) \cdot \exp\left(-j2\pi \frac{d_l(t)}{\lambda} + j\phi(t) + j\gamma_l(t)\right). \quad (4)$$

For a single-antenna wireless sensing system, a multipath channel can be characterized by the tuple $\{(\alpha_l(t), d_l(t), \gamma_l(t), \phi(t))\}_{l=1}^L$. In a multiple-antenna wireless sensing system, we need to consider the extra distance that the signal travels to/from each antenna in comparison to the reference antenna. This is characterized by the angle of arrival (AoA) for antenna array-enabled Rx, and the angle of departure (AoD) for antenna array-enabled Tx, as shown in Fig. 6. Specifically, for a linear array with equal spacing between adjacent antennas, the wireless channel seen by the i -th antenna is shown as follows:

$$h_i(t) = \sum_{l=1}^L \alpha_l(t) \cdot \exp\left(-j2\pi \frac{d_l(t)}{\lambda} + j\phi(t) + j\gamma_l(t)\right) \cdot k(t), \quad (5)$$

where $k(t) = c(\phi_l(t)) \cdot g(\theta_l(t))$, $c(\phi_l(t))$ and $g(\theta_l(t))$ indicate the effects of AoD ($\phi_l(t)$) and AoA ($\theta_l(t)$) of l -th signal path at the Tx and Rx antenna array, respectively.

The wireless channel $h(t)$ can be obtained using signal preambles known to both the Tx and Rx, and indicates the variation of the wireless environment. Let $p(t)$ denote the preamble signal, the received preamble at the Rx is given by:

$$y_p(t) = h(t) * p(t) + w(t). \quad (6)$$

With the known $p(t)$ and white Gaussian noise $w(t)$, $h(t)$ can be obtained using the maximum likelihood estimator. Based on the assumption that the signals at the adjacent frequency will undergo the same multipath, ML-based channel estimation methods have also been proposed in [24, 65, 89, 150].

Extracting the Over-the-Air Wireless Channel. The wireless channel, $h(t)$, usually includes the hardware imperfection of the transceiver hardware and the over-the-air wireless channel that is usually extracted for the inference attack as shown in Fig. 5. It can be expressed as follows:

$$h(t) = h_{rx}(t) * h_{air}(t) * h_{tx}(t), \quad (7)$$

where $h_{air}(t)$, $h_{tx}(t)$, and $h_{rx}(t)$ denote the over-the-air channel, the channel gain due to Tx hardware imperfection, and the channel gain due to the attacker's hardware imperfection, respectively. The hardware imperfection introduces extra phase offset to the measured wireless channel, $h(t)$, which needs to be eliminated for accurate extraction of $h_{air}(t)$ through proper hardware calibration [47]. Specifically, we can connect the Tx's RF chain to the Rx's

RF chain with a fixed-length coaxial cable, whose over-the-cable channel can be pre-measured. Then, the extra phase offset due to hardware imperfection can be calibrated through the subtraction of the channel between the Tx and Rx, and the over-cable channel. However, the attacker cannot calibrate the hardware imperfection at the Tx, since the attacker cannot physically access the Tx. To this end, one way is to regard the $h_{rx} * h_{air}$ as the extracted over-the-air wireless channel, from which important features can be extracted. Another way is to differentiate h_{air} and h_{tx} in the frequency domain (via FFT operations) on the reconstructed wireless channel $h(t)$. After h_{tx} is identified due to its unique characteristics, the attacker can use it for calibration purposes.

Since the hardware imperfection is unique to each specific hardware device, it can also be leveraged to achieve the authentication/identification purpose. For example, we can extract this hardware imperfection to authenticate the device. Since the wireless channel is composed of the over-the-air wireless channel and the hardware imperfection, the attacker needs to eliminate the over-the-air wireless channel to obtain the hardware imperfection through advanced signal processing (e.g., signal cancellation) or ML-based approaches. For example, a mobile device using Bluetooth Low Energy (BLE) can be tracked for contact tracing by snooping on its beacon signals to extract the unique physical-layer imperfection (i.e., the device's fingerprint) [46]. As such, the attacker can steal the device owner's position information and further predict his/her daily activities. This hardware imperfection-based inference attack is also feasible to fingerprint other communication or sensing devices such as passive RFID tags [30, 105, 113], Wi-Fi devices [38, 39, 77, 153], and LoRa devices [66, 121, 127].

Resolving Multipath. The over-the-air wireless channel, $h_{air}(t)$, is a composition of multiple single-path channels due to the multipath effect in the wireless environment (see (4)). To extract the path(s) that "interact" with the victim for the wireless sensing purpose, we have to resolve the multipath signal propagation. So, we illustrate the design space for multipath resolving as follows:

- *Resolving multipath in the time domain.* The straightforward idea is to assume the wireless environment is only affected by the victim and all other objects are relatively static. Specifically, the signal cancellation approach is employed to cancel out the effects from all the other non-victims (e.g., walls, desks). For instance, Tadar [174] leverages this signal cancellation approach to eliminate the effects of the other reflections from objects that are out of interest.
- *Resolving multipath in the frequency domain.* The main idea is to leverage the characteristic of the frequency-selective wireless channel in which the wireless signals operating at different frequencies will be affected by the physical environment differently. To do so, we leverage wireless signals that occupy a wide frequency band to measure the time-of-flight for resolving the multipath. For instance, Chronos [149] concatenates the adjacent Wi-Fi frequency bands to accurately achieve time-of-flight estimation for indoor localization.
- *Resolving multipath in the space domain.* Resolving the multipath in the space domain is intuitive, as the different objects in the physical environment will be located in different places. Therefore, the signals reflected by these different objects will undergo




Figure 6: Angle of arrival (AoA) θ at the attacker's Rx antenna array.

different physical paths, resulting in different AoA values that can be measured to resolve the multipath signal propagation. For instance, RF-IDraw [159] leverages this space-domain information to resolve the multipath in the physical environment for gesture recognition. SpotiFi [72] resolves the multipath in the space domain using multi-antenna transceivers.

So far, we have illustrated how the attacker can extract the clean over-the-air wireless channel that is affected by the victim. Since the reconstructed $h(t)$ and $h_{air}(t)$, as well as the multipath-resolved wireless channel, can just affect the sensing accuracy of wireless sensing systems, in the remaining of the paper and for simplicity, we use $h(t)$ by default to represent the wireless channel used for sensing purposes. Finally, the attacker needs to extract various features based on $h(t)$ for conducting inference attacks.

Extracting Features based on Reconstructed $h(t)$. After obtaining the reconstructed wireless channel $h(t)$, the attacker can use it as the feature for an inference attack. Furthermore, the attacker can extract the features based on the reconstructed $h(t)$ for inference attack. Specifically, the attacker can extract the following features based on the reconstructed $h(t)$:

- *$h(t)$.* The straightforward idea is to use the reconstructed $h(t)$ as the features directly. Using the wireless channel $h(t)$ as the features has been extensively studied to achieve gesture/activity recognition [79, 106, 152, 156, 163, 164] and indoor localization/tracking [18, 20, 28, 44, 168].
- *Signal Attenuation.* The signal attenuation can be directly derived from $\alpha(t)$, which can characterize the wireless signal's power loss due to the over-the-air propagation. The signal attenuation feature has been widely used to infer human gestures/activities [9, 35, 71, 80, 129–132, 167], respiration/heart rate [8, 69, 109], and localization/tracking [23, 25, 75, 90, 101, 110, 124, 157, 171, 178, 179, 196].
- *Doppler Shift.* Doppler shift is caused by the victim's movements in the physical environment, which can be used as a feature to infer private information. A victim moving at speed of v at an angle of β from the attacker in the physical environment experiences a Doppler frequency shift given by:

$$\Delta f \propto \frac{2v \cdot \cos \beta}{c} \cdot f_c. \quad (8)$$

The attacker can obtain the Doppler shift feature from the frequency-domain signals by applying the Fourier transform on the received

signals. Prior works mainly leverage the Doppler shift for activity/gesture recognition and respiration/heart rate estimation using RF signals [33, 48, 76, 82, 93, 118, 119, 142, 155, 189] and acoustic signals [51, 68, 112, 173, 184].

- *Time of Flight (ToF)*. ToF, denoted by τ , denotes the time duration during which the wireless signal travels through the physical environment for distance d , and is given by:

$$\tau = d/c. \quad (9)$$

The estimation accuracy of the ToF information highly depends on the signal bandwidth B :

$$\text{ToF} \propto 1/B. \quad (10)$$

In radar-based wireless sensing systems, ToF can be derived from the multipath profile describing the signal over time in a round trip. To conduct the inference attack, the attacker can snoop the pulse or frequency-modulated continuous-wave (FMCW) signals transmitted from the radar and reflected by the victim to create a multipath profile, which can be leveraged to infer the private information of hand gestures and location [13–15, 85, 100]. ML models have been employed in radar-based wireless sensing systems to analyze the collected 3D point clouds, which can achieve fined-grained sensing on emotion/gestures/activity/behavior recognition [43, 81, 146, 190], gait velocity and strait length estimation [58], sleep sensing [56, 182, 194], human pose/mesh estimation [191, 192], 3D body skeleton [193], human identification/authentication [42, 57, 70, 148], and respiration/heart rate detection [181].

- *Angle of Arrival (AoA)/Angle of Departure (AoD)*. AoA needs to be derived from the antenna array-enabled attacker. As shown in Fig. 6, AoA of l -th signal path, denoted by $\theta_l(t)$, can be derived from the following equation:

$$d_e = D \cdot \cos \theta_l(t), \quad (11)$$

where d_e denotes the extra distance the signal travels, and D denotes the antenna separation in the antenna array. Similarly, AoD can be derived at the Tx's antenna array. AoA and/or AoD information has been widely employed to achieve activity recognition and localization/tracking [16, 99, 104, 114, 143, 158, 159, 161, 169, 180, 185].

4.3.3 Features based on reconstructed $x(t)$. Since the Tx's transmitted wireless signals $x(t)$ carry important data information related to the victim, the attacker can use the transmitted wireless $x(t)$ for an inference attack. Specifically, after the reconstruction of the wireless channel $h(t)$, the attacker can further reconstruct the transmitted wireless signals $x(t)$ based on the received wireless signals $y(t)$. After reconstructing the transmitted wireless signals $x(t)$, the attacker can use them as features for inference attacks. For example, the transmitted signals $x(t)$ have been explored to conduct the inference attack by analyzing the features of $x(t)$ including its traffic pattern [12, 22, 32, 73, 78].

The attacker needs to eliminate the human-introduced artifacts that are "hidden" in the wireless signals. For example, different people could perform the same activity or gesture with different scales and/or orientations with respect to the attacker. To remove




Figure 7: Taxonomy of the methods to infer private information in the third step of our framework.

the human-introduced artifacts in the extracted features, the attacker can rescale the time-series features [98, 103, 187]. To remove the orientation artifacts in the extracted features, the prior works mainly leverage the space diversity by using two antennas to receive the wireless signals based on the fact that the orientation artifact can be canceled out across different antennas [140, 186]. After the pre-processing, the attacker can use them as the input of private information inference components for indoor localization [62, 177] and tracking [19].

Takeaway: In the human-centered wireless sensing, three important pieces of information (i.e., $h(t)$, $y(t)$ and $x(t)$) and their derivations could be leveraged by the attacker to infer the human's private information, whereby our defenses should be created based on $h(t)$, $y(t)$ and $x(t)$.

4.4 Inferring Private Information

After the attacker sniffs the wireless signals and extracts features from them, the attacker uses them to infer the victim's private information. Specifically, there are two categories of methods to infer private information. The first category of methods is rule-based, which leverages some mathematical models of the wireless signals (e.g., triangulation). The second category of methods leverages ML models, including both conventional ML models and deep learning models. Next, we illustrate the details of the two categories of methods, whose taxonomy is shown in Fig. 7.

4.4.1 Rule-based Inference. To infer private information related to the victim, we need to find the relationship between it and the extracted features (see Section 4.3). Prior works on rule-based inference mainly focus on four methods:

Triangulation. The location of the victim can be obtained through triangulation, which can leverage the features from multiple receiving devices deployed by the attacker. To further improve the localization accuracy, the features from three receiving devices deployed by the attacker can be used to reduce the ambiguity due to the noise. For example, the overlap of two features (e.g., AoAs) can pinpoint the location of the victim [159]. The feature (e.g., ToF) from one receiving device deployed by the attacker can formulate an ellipse. The overlap of three ellipses can pinpoint the location of the victim [14, 15, 92].

Table 2: Existing inference attacks instantiate the three steps of our proposed framework differently.

cl: Conventional machine learning models; dl: Deep learning models; ✓: Used; ✗: Not used; m1: Triangulation; m2: Filtering; m3: Markov chain model; m4: Dynamic time warping; >1: Multiple antennas; =1: Single antenna

Attacker's goal	Reference	Deploying a sniffing device	Sniffing wireless signals							Inferring private information					
			x(t)	y(t)	h(t)					Rule based				ML based	
					h(t)	$\phi(t)/\theta(t)$	$\gamma(t)$	$\tau(t)$	$\alpha(t)$	m1	m2	m3	m4	cl	dl
Location	Duet [3]	RF (>1)	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
	Zhu et al. [4]	RF (=1)	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
	LTrack [127]	RF (>1)	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
	MAVL [121]	Sound	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
	mD-Track [122]	RF (>1)	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Living habits	WiSee [8]	RF (=1)	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
	EI [43]	RF (>1) & light & sound	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
	LiSense [65]	Light	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
	Tadar [55]	RF (>1)	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
	RF-Diary [103]	RF (>1)	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
Walking pattern	RF-identity [37]	RF (>1)	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓
	XModal-ID [113]	Light & RF (>1)	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
	AcousticID [94]	Sound	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
Heart rate	WiStress [6]	RF (>1)	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
	Vital-Radio [29]	RF (>1)	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
Sleep stage	BodyCompass [107]	RF (>1)	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
Respiration rate	BreathTrack [30]	Sound	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓
Hand gesture	WindTalker [15]	RF (>1)	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
	WiKey [13]	RF (>1)	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗
	Bo et al. [38]	RF (>1)	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗
	Asonov et al. [14]	Sound	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓

Filtering. To obtain the location of the victim, the attacker can use filters to filter out the extracted features that are not related to the victim. The widely used filtering methods for localization, tracking, and gesture/activity recognition include Kalman filtering and particle filtering. For example, TurboTrack [92] leverages particle filtering to achieve robot localization. Pantomime [125] uses extended Kalman filtering to achieve gesture recognition.

Markov Chain Modeling. Since tracking, hand gesture and human activity recognition are time-series movements, it is intuitive to leverage Markov chain models to delineate these time-series

events. Prior works mainly use the Markov chain model or hidden Markov model (HMM) for tracking, localization, and gesture recognition. For example, TurboTrack [92] uses HMM to track RFID-tagged drones. Lei et al. [174] use HMM to track moving objects through the wall.

Dynamic Time Warping (DTW). The main idea of DTW is to measure the similarity between the extracted and ground-truth features for private information inference. For example, Mudra [187] uses DTW to recognize hand gestures, and Holt et al. [144] leverage the multi-dimensional DTW for hand gesture recognition.

4.4.2 Machine Learning (ML)-based Inference. It is natural to leverage ML models to characterize the relationship between the extracted features and the private information of a victim. Existing works on ML-based inference mainly use either conventional ML models or deep neural network (DNN) models.

Conventional ML-based Inference. There are different types of conventional ML models that can be used for private information inference based on the extracted features described in Section 4.3. Specifically, the attacker can build a classifier, such as based on k -nearest neighbors (k -NN), multi-layer perception (MLP), and support vector machine (SVM), to infer the private information related to the victim using the extracted features as the input. The output of the classifiers is the private information related to the victim.

Deep Neural Network-based Inference. The rule or conventional ML model-based approaches are either computationally expensive or suffer from low prediction accuracy. Deep neural network (DNN) has demonstrated its powerful capability to solve complex problems with high accuracy and low cost in different applications (e.g., computer vision, natural language processing, autonomous driving, etc.). For example, in a DNN model, $h(t)$ can be used as the input features to infer the private information related to the victim.

Note that prior works mainly leverage convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and recurrent neural network (RNNs) for the purpose of privacy inference based on the extracted features. CNN can characterize the spatial relation of the extracted features. To use CNNs with $h(t)$ as the input features, the attacker needs to transform $h(t)$ to an image-style data format. For example, Ayyalasomayajula et al. [21] convert the wireless channel matrices to 2D AoA-ToF heatmaps using 2D fast Fourier transform (FFT) or the MUSIC algorithm for DNN-based indoor localization. Koutris et al. [74] regard the wireless channel matrices as images using multiple antenna arrays. In comparison to CNNs, RNNs can characterize the time relation of the extracted features. The time-series wireless channel can be used as the input to an RNN to infer the private information related to the victim [40, 97, 111]. To further characterize the space and time relation of the extracted features, the combination of RNNs and CNNs has also been exploited to accurately infer the private information related to the victim [115, 188, 195].

To build DNN models that can perform well across different physical environments, it is important to collect large training datasets in each environment. However, this is extremely difficult for the attacker who does not have any knowledge about the physical environment. To this end, transfer learning-based DNN models have been proposed, which transfer the DNN models trained from one physical environment to another (targeted) physical environment. For example, FaHo [172] considers DNN model training using synthetic data, and mTransSee [86] considers DNN model training using a large dataset that is further tuned using a smaller dataset for real-world gesture recognition.

4.5 Instantiate Our Framework for Inference Attacks

Under our framework, different inference attacks essentially instantiate the three steps differently. Table 2 summarizes how existing




Figure 8: Our defense-in-depth framework.

inference attacks instantiate the three steps of our proposed framework (see Fig. 3).

Takeaway: The mapping approaches from the wireless signals and their derivations to the human’s private information are mainly designed to achieve high sensing accuracy. However, these mapping approaches, especially the proliferated machine learning-based approaches, are vulnerable to inference attacks that need to be secured for privacy-preserving wireless system design.

5 DEFENSE SYSTEMATIZATION

In this section, we first present a defense-in-depth framework to systematize defenses against wireless sensing-based inference attacks. Then, we provide details about the prevention and detection components of our framework. Finally, we show that existing defenses are instantiations of our framework.

5.1 A Defense-in-Depth Framework

We propose a defense-in-depth framework as shown in Fig. 8 to systematize the defenses against inference attacks based on human-centered wireless sensing. Our defense-in-depth framework consists of two components, i.e., *prevention*, and *detection*. In particular, prevention aims to prevent an attacker from being able to perform inference attacks, and detection aims to detect an attacker’s Rx device and respond to the attacks. Recall that in our inference attack framework, an attacker can perform inference using features extracted from the three types of (reconstructed) signals: $y(t)$, $h(t)$, and $x(t)$. Therefore, the prevention and detection defenses essentially revolve around the three types of signals. For instance, prevention can shield or geofence $y(t)$ such that an attacker cannot receive $y(t)$ using its deployed sniffing device, and thus cannot perform inference attacks; and in detection, a detector (a Tx and an Rx) can broadcast a pre-defined stimulus signal to trigger the attacker’s Rx to emit signals that can be used to detect it. Next, we discuss details about the prevention and detection methods.

5.2 Prevention Methods

Fig. 9 summarizes and illustrates the prevention methods that we will elaborate next.

5.2.1 Shielding Wireless Signals. The root cause of the inference attack is due to the widespread propagation nature of wireless signals and the multipath effect in the physical environment, thereby any attacker residing in the coverage area of the Tx can sniff the




Figure 9: Illustration of prevention methods.

wireless signals. To prevent the inference attack, we can shield the transmitted signals such that the attacker's Rx cannot receive them using the following two methods:

- **Geofencing.** Geofencing is a way that can block the wireless signal so that it becomes inaccessible to the attacker. To do so, we can cover the walls with electromagnetic shielding paints, customize the wireless signal coverage with 3D fabricated reflectors [29, 34, 170] or backscatter arrays [83, 183], as shown in Fig. 9(a).
- **Nulling.** To eliminate or mitigate the wireless signal propagation that is accessible to the attacker, the TX can also beamform the signal towards the desired Rx [37] to minimize the signals leaking in the direction that could be received by the attacker, as shown in Fig. 9(b). Furthermore, if the location of the attacker is known, the Tx can apply beamforming to generate a deep null towards the attacker. Abedi et al. [11] leverage the nulling capability of Wi-Fi access points, and PushID [160] uses the blind beamforming to extend the coverage of the backscatter communication, which can be used to eliminate the eavesdropping in Wi-Fi backscatter sensing systems.

5.2.2 Obfuscating Wireless Signals. When preventing the attacker from receiving the wireless signals is difficult, we can also obfuscate the transmitted signals, such that the attacker cannot extract useful features from the sniffed wireless signals. To do so, the Tx can either randomize the transmitted signals or jam the received signals at the attacker's Rx as follows.

- **Randomizing the Transmitted Signals.** To obfuscate the transmitted signals, one way is to randomize the transmitted signals such that the attacker cannot predict anything from the traffic analysis based on the received signals as shown in Fig. 9(c). For example, RF-Cloak [54] randomizes the illuminated signals transmitted from the RFID reader to disable the attacker. Wijewardena et al. [165] consider randomization of the signal strengths to disable the attacker.
- **Jamming the Signals Received by the Attacker.** another way to obfuscate the transmitted signals is to deploy a signal generator to jam the attacker, such that the signal-to-interference plus noise ratio (SINR) at the attacker is small enough to disable the




Figure 10: Illustration of detection methods.

attacker, as shown in Fig. 9(d). For example, [31] presents a wearable microphone jamming system that can prevent the attacker in acoustic sensing scenarios. Venkatesh et al. [151] design a Spatio-temporal modulated millimeter-wave array that can jam the attacker and deliver the intended signals to the desired Rx. Jiao et al. [67] consider injecting artificial channels at the Tx to prevent inference attacks. Huang et al. [60] use programmable metasurface to jam the pilot of the signals, and Lyu et al. [94] use the programmable metasurface to jam the over-the-air signals.

5.2.3 Obfuscating the Wireless Channel. Prevention methods mentioned above mainly focus on Tx-side shielding and obfuscation. The wireless channel itself, $h(t)$, which plays an important role in human-centered wireless sensing, can also be obfuscated using techniques such as programmable phased arrays, metasurfaces, or full-duplex relays. Obfuscating the wireless channel eventually leads to noisier wireless signals received by the attacker.

- **Reconfigurable Phased Array-based Wireless Channel Obfuscation.** To obfuscate the wireless channel, we can use a reconfigurable phased array consisting of multiple discrete phase shifters that can change the phase of the wireless signals, as shown in Fig. 9(e). For example, LAIA [83] uses a phased array to control the wireless channel in the desired way by changing the wireless signal's phase.
- **Programmable Metasurface-based Wireless Channel Obfuscation.** We can also use the programmable metasurface to change the impinging signal's phase in the desired way, as shown in Fig. 9(f). As such, the signals received by the attacker cannot help to extract the clean wireless channel that is only affected by the victim for private information inference. For example, IRShield [134] designs a metasurface that can change the wireless channel to disable eavesdropping. Hu et al. [59] use the reconfigurable metasurface to change the wireless channel coefficients. Staat et al. [133] use the metasurface to achieve the jamming purpose that could disable eavesdroppers.
- **Full-duplex Relay-based Wireless Channel Obfuscation.** Another way to obfuscate the wireless channel is to use full-duplex relays, as shown in Fig. 9(g). An amplify-and-forward (AF) relay amplifies and delays the impinging signal from the Tx and then forwards it to the attacker, during which the AF relay can change the amplitude and/or phase of the Tx signal. As such, the AF relay can change the wireless channel in the desired way such that the attacker cannot extract the desired and clean wireless signals affected by the victim for private information inference. For example, PhyCloak [117] uses the AF relay node to change the wireless

Table 3: Existing defenses instantiate the two components of our proposed framework differently. ✓: used and ✗: not used.

(a) Prevention						
Paper	Shielding		Obfuscating wireless signals		Obfuscating wireless channel	
	Geofencing, $y(t)$	Nulling, $y(t)$	Randomization, $x(t)$	Jamming, $y(t)$	Metasurface, $h(t)$	FD relay, $h(t)$
[29, 34, 83, 170, 183]	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
[11, 37]	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
[54, 165]	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
[31, 60, 67, 94, 151]	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
[59, 133, 134]	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
[116, 117, 138, 139, 175]	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓

(b) Detection			
Paper	Stimulus, $x(t)$ and $y(t)$	Passive sensing, $y(t)$	Side-channel, $y(t)$
[102, 108]	✗	✓	✗
[166]	✗	✓	✗
[1, 5, 6, 45, 176]	✗	✓	✗
[2, 3, 88]	✓	✗	✗
[84, 135, 136]	✓	✗	✗
[145]	✓	✗	✗
[147]	✗	✗	✓
[107]	✗	✓	✗
[36]	✗	✗	✓
[96]	✗	✓	✗
[123, 137]	✓	✗	✗
[27, 32, 55, 126]	✗	✓	✗

channel that can prevent the attacker. Channel Spoofer [116] further demonstrates the AF relay node can change the wireless channel as designed. Sun et al. [138, 139] use the AF relay to achieve destructive signal addition at the attacker in RFID-based sensing systems.

5.3 Detection Methods

Detection of inference attacks aims to detect an attacker’s Rx, which is challenging because it only passively sniffs the wireless signals in the environment without transmitting any signals. Detecting an attacker’s Rx can be viewed as a sensing problem, where the detector aims to sense the Rx used by the attacker. To this end, there are three methods for detecting an Rx (*i.e.*, attacker), as illustrated in Fig. 10.

5.3.1 Stimulus. Although the attacker’s passive Rx does not actively emit any signal, we can actively transmit a known stimulation signal that can trigger the attacker’s Rx circuit to leak unintended signals, which can then be captured for the detection purpose, as shown in Fig. 10(a). For example, [84, 123, 135–137, 145] show that by actively transmitting a known stimulation signal, the attacker’s circuit can be triggered to reflect the unintended wireless signals, which could be further analyzed to detect the attacker. [2, 3, 88] also showed that by emitting light signals, hidden cameras can be detected.

5.3.2 Passive Sensing. The passive devices deployed by the attacker can still leak the wireless signals, although it is inactive and just listening (sniffing). So, we can sense these weak signal leakage from the attacker to detect the presence of the inference attack as shown in Fig. 10(b). For example, [27, 32, 96, 102, 107, 108, 126, 166] demonstrate and analyze the signal leakage from the local oscillator of the radio that can be sensed to detect the attacker. [45, 176] show the security issue of the leaky wave antennas in Terahertz communication and sensing, which can be detected to eliminate the attack.

5.3.3 Sensing through Side-channel. A passive device that does not actively transmit any signal can also leak the signals through side channels. Therefore, we can detect the presence of the attacker over these side channels, as shown in Fig. 10(c). For example, Varshney et al. [147] propose to use inductive coupling to detect the attacker in backscatter communication. Cui et al. [36] use a wireless signal sniffer to detect the signal leakage of the visible light communication and sensing systems.

5.4 Instantiate Our Framework for Different Defenses

Under our framework, different defenses essentially instantiate the prevention and detection components differently. Table 3 summarizes how existing defenses instantiate the prevention and detection components of our framework.

Takeaway: Our defense framework is created based on the wireless signals and their derivations, which can fundamentally defend against the inference attack in human-centered wireless sensing. This will provide a guideline for the joint wireless communication and privacy-preserving system design.

6 DISCUSSION AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

In this section, we identify gaps in the existing literature and introduce future research directions using our proposed inference and defense frameworks.

6.1 Multimodal Device Fusion-based Inference Attacks

Existing inference attacks only leverage wireless signals from a single Rx. To be resilient and robust to the dynamic and multipath wireless environment, the attacker can leverage multimodal device fusion, in which multiple Rxs can be used to sense the variation of the physical environment. As such, this multimodal device fusion provides improved diversity for the attacker to infer private information about the victim. To mitigate the privacy leakage in human-centered wireless sensing, we can still leverage the defensive mechanisms discussed in Section 5. This is because multimodal device fusion highly depends on trustworthy signal sources from different devices. The above defensive mechanisms can also defend against the inference attack on each individual device in multimodal device fusion-based inference attacks.

6.2 Adversarial Example-based Defenses

A key challenge in defending against inference attacks is to preserve the utility of wireless signals. Existing defenses did not explicitly capture utility when adding noise to the wireless signals. As a result, they often achieve suboptimal privacy-utility trade-offs. Under our three-step inference attack framework, strong inference attacks often leverage ML models in the third step, which are vulnerable to adversarial examples [26, 141]. Therefore, we can add small carefully crafted noises to wireless signals to turn them into adversarial examples to the attacker’s ML models, such that they

would make random inferences about a victim's private information. Since the added noise in adversarial examples is small, the utility of the wireless signals may be better preserved. Such adversarial examples-based privacy protection has been explored in defending against attribute inference attacks [63] and membership inference attacks [64]. However, they haven't been extended to defend against wireless sensing-based inference attacks. We can leverage adversarial examples to obfuscate the transmitted signals, the wireless channel, and/or the received signals. The key difference with prior work [63, 64, 154] on adversarial examples based privacy protection is that the adversarial perturbation added to the wireless signals should be physically realizable. Next, we discuss details on such adversarial examples-based defenses in the context of wireless sensing.

- *Adversarial Examples Added to the Transmitted Signals.* To defend against an inference attack based on the analysis of the transmitted signals, we can add physically realizable perturbations to the transmitted signals to turn them into adversarial examples such that the attacker's ML model randomly predicts the private information about the victim from the sniffed adversarially perturbed wireless signals.
- *Adversarial Examples Added to the Wireless Channel.* To defend against an inference attack conducted based on the wireless channel, we can turn it into adversarial examples via deploying the full-duplex relay or programmable metasurface in the physical environment, such that the adversarially perturbed wireless channel makes the attacker's ML models randomly predict a victim's private information.
- *Adversarial Examples Added to the Received Signals.* To defend against an inference attack conducted based on the received signals, the adversarial examples could be generated by a generator (i.e., a Tx and an Rx) to induce misclassification for the attacker's ML models. In this case, the wireless signals received by the attacker's Rx consist of the signals transmitted from the legitimate Tx and the signals generated by the generator. In other words, the composition of the signals transmitted from the legitimate Tx and the signals generated by the generator should be adversarial examples to the attacker's ML models. Such defenses are illustrated in Fig. 11.

To protect a legitimate Rx from being affected by these adversarial examples, it could use different mechanisms from the attacker to analyze the received wireless signals. In particular, a legitimate Rx may know the added adversarial perturbations and filter them before analyzing the wireless signals if the Rx and the Tx have established a secure communication channel in advance and can exchange the added adversarial perturbations. Moreover, the generated adversarial examples can be directed to the attacker's Rx without interfering with the legitimate Rx's sensing purpose using either directional antennas or beamforming techniques. In particular, we know the locations of the legitimate Rx in many scenarios and thus we can direct the adversarial examples towards directions not covering the legitimate Rx. Furthermore, it is an interesting future research direction to carefully design the adversarial examples, such that the legitimate Rx's analysis is unaffected by the adversarially perturbed wireless signals while the attacker's ML models make




Figure 11: Adversarial example-based defenses against ML-based inference attacks.

random inferences based on the adversarially perturbed wireless signals.

6.3 $h(t)$ -based Detection

Recall that, in our defense-in-depth framework, a prevention or detection method essentially revolves around the three types of signals $y(t)$, $h(t)$, and $x(t)$. Existing prevention methods have leveraged all three types of signals. However, we identify that existing detection methods haven't leveraged $h(t)$. It is an interesting future research direction to explore $h(t)$ based detection methods. For instance, we can detect an attacker's Rx by measuring the wireless channel $h(t)$. One idea is that the existence of the attacker's Rx changes the multipath reflection profile of the wireless channel (i.e., the FFT of $h(t)$). This is because wireless signal propagation highly depends on the reflection of different objects in the physical environment. Therefore, by comparing the difference of the multipath profile of the physical environment, we can detect the attacker's Rx.

6.4 Defenses with Formal Privacy Guarantees

Existing defenses do not have formal privacy guarantees. For instance, the prevention methods mainly focus on blocking or obfuscating the wireless signals and the detection methods mainly focus on detecting the signal leakage at the attacker's Rx. Therefore, the defenses may be broken by advanced, adaptive inference attacks that know the defenses. It is an interesting future research direction to explore defenses with formal privacy guarantees, e.g., differential privacy [41]. In particular, we can add calibrated noise to the wireless signals to achieve differential privacy.

7 CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we systematized the literature on wireless sensing-based inference attacks and defenses. We found that existing wireless sensing-based inference attacks are instantiations of a three-step framework. Moreover, existing defenses against such attacks are instantiations of a defense-in-depth framework. Our proposed attack and defense frameworks can aid the comprehensive understanding of privacy vulnerabilities of wireless sensing and the design of defenses.

REFERENCES

- [1] 2022. Bug detector and hidden camera finder. <https://www.spygadgets.com/counter-surveillance/>.
- [2] 2022. Glint finder - camera detector. <https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=com.workshop512.glintfinder>.

- [3] 2022. Hidden camera detector. <https://apps.apple.com/us/app/hidden-camera-detector/id532882360>.
- [4] 2022. Microsoft. Spectrum Observatory. <http://observatory.microsoftspectrum.com/>.
- [5] 2022. Orion hx deluxe non-linear junction detector. <https://reiusa.net/nljd/orion-hx-deluxe-nljd/>.
- [6] 2022. Spy hidden camera detector. <https://apps.apple.com/us/app/spy-hidden-camera-detector/id925967783?mt=8..>
- [7] 2022. types of waves. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wave>.
- [8] Heba Abdelnasser, Khaled A Harras, and Moustafa Youssef. 2015. UbiBreathe: A ubiquitous non-invasive WiFi-based breathing estimator. In *Proceedings of the 16th ACM International Symposium on Mobile Ad Hoc Networking and Computing*. 277–286.
- [9] Heba Abdelnasser, Moustafa Youssef, and Khaled A Harras. 2015. Wigest: A ubiquitous wifi-based gesture recognition system. In *2015 IEEE conference on computer communications (INFOCOM)*. IEEE, 1472–1480.
- [10] Ali Abedi and Omid Abari. 2020. WiFi Says "Hi!" Back to Strangers!. In *Proceedings of the 19th ACM Workshop on Hot Topics in Networks*. 132–138.
- [11] Ali Abedi and Omid Abari. 2021. Can WiFi Backscatter Achieve the Range of RFID? Nulling to the Rescue. In *Proceedings of the Twentieth ACM Workshop on Hot Topics in Networks*. 171–177.
- [12] Abbas Acar, Hossein Fereidooni, Tigist Abera, Amit Kumar Sikder, Markus Miettinen, Hidayet Aksu, Mauro Conti, Ahmad-Reza Sadeghi, and Selcuk Uluagac. 2020. Peek-a-boo: I see your smart home activities, even encrypted!. In *Proceedings of the 13th ACM Conference on Security and Privacy in Wireless and Mobile Networks*. 207–218.
- [13] Fadel Adib, Chen-Yu Hsu, Hongzi Mao, Dina Katabi, and Frédo Durand. 2015. Capturing the human figure through a wall. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)* 34, 6 (2015), 1–13.
- [14] Fadel Adib, Zachary Kabelac, and Dina Katabi. 2015. {Multi-Person} Localization via {RF} Body Reflections. In *12th USENIX Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation (NSDI 15)*. 279–292.
- [15] Fadel Adib, Zach Kabelac, Dina Katabi, and Robert C Miller. 2014. 3D tracking via body radio reflections. In *11th USENIX Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation (NSDI 14)*. 317–329.
- [16] Fadel Adib and Dina Katabi. 2013. See through walls with WiFi!. In *Proceedings of the ACM SIGCOMM 2013 conference on SIGCOMM*. 75–86.
- [17] Fadel Adib, Hongzi Mao, Zachary Kabelac, Dina Katabi, and Robert C Miller. 2015. Smart homes that monitor breathing and heart rate. In *Proceedings of the 33rd annual ACM conference on human factors in computing systems*. 837–846.
- [18] Kamran Ali, Alex X Liu, Wei Wang, and Muhammad Shahzad. 2015. Keystroke recognition using wifi signals. In *Proceedings of the 21st annual international conference on mobile computing and networking*. 90–102.
- [19] Pubudu Aravinda, Sulochana Sooriyaarachchi, Chandana Gamage, and Navinda Kottege. 2021. Optimization of RSSI based indoor localization and tracking to monitor workers in a hazardous working zone using Machine Learning techniques. In *2021 International Conference on Information Networking (ICOIN)*. IEEE, 305–310.
- [20] Dmitri Asonov and Rakesh Agrawal. 2004. Keyboard acoustic emanations. In *IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy, 2004. Proceedings.* 2004. IEEE, 3–11.
- [21] Roshan Ayyalasomayajula, Aditya Arun, Chenfeng Wu, Sanatan Sharma, Abhishek Rajkumar Sethi, Deepak Vasisht, and Dinesh Bharadia. 2020. Deep learning based wireless localization for indoor navigation. In *Proceedings of the 26th Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking*. 1–14.
- [22] Sangwook Bae, Mincheol Son, Dongkwan Kim, CheolJun Park, Jiho Lee, Soeul Son, and Yongdae Kim. 2022. Watching the Watchers: Practical Video Identification Attack in {LTE} Networks. In *31st USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security 22)*. 1307–1324.
- [23] Paramvir Bahl and Venkata N Padmanabhan. 2000. RADAR: An in-building RF-based user location and tracking system. In *Proceedings IEEE INFOCOM 2000. Conference on computer communications. Nineteenth annual joint conference of the IEEE computer and communications societies (Cat. No. 00CH37064)*, Vol. 2. Ieee, 775–784.
- [24] Arjun Bakshi, Yifan Mao, Kannan Srinivasan, and Srinivasan Parthasarathy. 2019. Fast and efficient cross band channel prediction using machine learning. In *The 25th Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking*. 1–16.
- [25] Paolo Barsocchi, Stefano Lenzi, Stefano Chessa, and Gaetano Giunta. 2009. A novel approach to indoor RSSI localization by automatic calibration of the wireless propagation model. In *VTC Spring 2009-IEEE 69th Vehicular Technology Conference*. IEEE, 1–5.
- [26] Nicholas Carlini and David Wagner. 2017. Towards evaluating the robustness of neural networks. In *2017 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy (SP)*. Ieee, 39–57.
- [27] Anadi Chaman, Jiaming Wang, Jiachen Sun, Haitham Hassanieh, and Romit Roy Choudhury. 2018. Ghostbuster: Detecting the presence of hidden eavesdroppers. In *Proceedings of the 24th Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking*. 337–351.
- [28] Bo Chen, Vivek Yenamandra, and Kannan Srinivasan. 2015. Tracking keystrokes using wireless signals. In *Proceedings of the 13th Annual International Conference on Mobile Systems, Applications, and Services*. 31–44.
- [29] Lili Chen, Wenjun Hu, Kyle Jamieson, Xiaojiang Chen, Dingyi Fang, and Jeremy Gummesson. 2021. Pushing the Physical Limits of {IoT} Devices with Programmable Metasurfaces. In *18th USENIX Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation (NSDI 21)*. 425–438.
- [30] Xingyu Chen, Jia Liu, Xia Wang, Haisong Liu, Dong Jiang, and Lijun Chen. 2020. Fingerprint: Robust energy-related fingerprinting for passive {RFID} tags. In *17th USENIX Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation (NSDI 20)*. 1101–1113.
- [31] Yuxin Chen, Huiying Li, Shan-Yuan Teng, Steven Nagels, Zhijing Li, Pedro Lopes, Ben Y Zhao, and Haitao Zheng. 2020. Wearable microphone jamming. In *Proceedings of the 2020 chi conference on human factors in computing systems*. 1–12.
- [32] Yushi Cheng, Xiaoyu Ji, Tianyang Lu, and Wenyuan Xu. 2018. Dewicam: Detecting hidden wireless cameras via smartphones. In *Proceedings of the 2018 on Asia Conference on Computer and Communications Security*. 1–13.
- [33] Kevin Chetty, Graeme E Smith, and Karl Woodbridge. 2011. Through-the-wall sensing of personnel using passive bistatic wifi radar at standoff distances. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing* 50, 4 (2011), 1218–1226.
- [34] Kun Woo Cho, Mohammad H Mazaheri, Jeremy Gummesson, Omid Abari, and Kyle Jamieson. 2021. mmWall: A reconfigurable metamaterial surface for mmWave networks. In *Proceedings of the 22nd International Workshop on Mobile Computing Systems and Applications*. 119–125.
- [35] Gabe Cohn, Daniel Morris, Shwetak Patel, and Desney Tan. 2012. Human-tenna: using the body as an antenna for real-time whole-body interaction. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1901–1910.
- [36] Minhao Cui, Yuda Feng, Qing Wang, and Jie Xiong. 2020. Sniffing visible light communication through walls. In *Proceedings of the 26th Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking*. 1–14.
- [37] Hong-Ning Dai, Qiu Wang, Dong Li, and Raymond Chi-Wing Wong. 2013. On eavesdropping attacks in wireless sensor networks with directional antennas. *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks* 9, 8 (2013), 760834.
- [38] Boris Danev, Heinrich Lueken, Srdjan Capkun, and Karim El Defrawy. 2010. Attacks on physical-layer identification. In *Proceedings of the third ACM conference on Wireless network security*. 89–98.
- [39] Boris Danev, Davide Zanetti, and Srdjan Capkun. 2012. On physical-layer identification of wireless devices. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)* 45, 1 (2012), 1–29.
- [40] Yegang Du, Yuto Lim, and Yasuo Tan. 2019. Activity prediction using lstm in smart home. In *2019 IEEE 8th Global Conference on Consumer Electronics (GCCE)*. IEEE, 918–919.
- [41] Cynthia Dwork, Aaron Roth, et al. 2014. The algorithmic foundations of differential privacy. *Foundations and Trends® in Theoretical Computer Science* 9, 3–4 (2014), 211–407.
- [42] Lijie Fan, Tianhong Li, Rongyao Fang, Rumen Hristov, Yuan Yuan, and Dina Katabi. 2020. Learning longterm representations for person re-identification using radio signals. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 10699–10709.
- [43] Lijie Fan, Tianhong Li, Yuan Yuan, and Dina Katabi. 2020. In-home daily-life captioning using radio signals. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*. Springer, 105–123.
- [44] Chao Feng, Jie Xiong, Liqiong Chang, Fuwei Wang, Ju Wang, and Dingyi Fang. 2021. RF-Identity: Non-Intrusive Person Identification Based on Commodity RFID Devices. *Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies* 5, 1 (2021), 1–23.
- [45] Yasaman Ghasempour, Chia-Yi Yeh, Rabi Shrestha, Yasith Amarasinghe, Daniel Mittleman, and Edward W Knightly. 2020. LeakyTrack: Non-coherent single-antenna nodal and environmental mobility tracking with a leaky-wave antenna. In *SenSys' 20: Proceedings of the 18th Conference on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems*.
- [46] Hadi Givchian, Nishant Bhaskar, Eliana Rodriguez Herrera, Héctor Rodrigo López Soto, Christian Dameff, Dinesh Bharadia, and Aaron Schulman. 2022. Evaluating physical-layer ble location tracking attacks on mobile devices. In *2022 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy (SP)*. IEEE, 1690–1704.
- [47] Shyamnath Gollakota, Samuel David Perli, and Dina Katabi. 2009. Interference alignment and cancellation. In *Proceedings of the ACM SIGCOMM 2009 conference on Data communication*. 159–170.
- [48] Changzhan Gu, Changzhi Li, Jianshan Lin, Jiang Long, Jiangtao Huangfu, and Lixin Ran. 2009. Instrument-based noncontact Doppler radar vital sign detection system using heterodyne digital quadrature demodulation architecture. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement* 59, 6 (2009), 1580–1588.
- [49] Yu Gu, Yifan Zhang, Jie Li, Yusheng Ji, Xin An, and Fuji Ren. 2018. Sleepy: Wireless channel data driven sleep monitoring via commodity WiFi devices. *IEEE Transactions on Big Data* 6, 2 (2018), 258–268.

- [50] Yeswanth Guddeti, Raghav Subbaraman, Moein Khazraee, Aaron Schulman, and Dinesh Bharadia. 2019. {SweepSense}: Sensing 5 {GHz} in 5 Milliseconds with Low-cost Radios. In *16th USENIX Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation (NSDI 19)*. 317–330.
- [51] Sidhant Gupta, Daniel Morris, Shwetak Patel, and Desney Tan. 2012. Soundwave: using the doppler effect to sense gestures. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1911–1914.
- [52] Unsoo Ha, Sohrab Madani, and Fadel Adib. 2021. WiStress: Contactless stress monitoring using wireless signals. *Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies* 5, 3 (2021), 1–37.
- [53] Haitham Hassanieh, Lixin Shi, Omid Abari, Ezzeldin Hamed, and Dina Katabi. 2014. GHz-wide sensing and decoding using the sparse Fourier transform. In *IEEE INFOCOM 2014-IEEE Conference on Computer Communications*. IEEE, 2256–2264.
- [54] Haitham Hassanieh, Jue Wang, Dina Katabi, and Tadayoshi Kohno. 2015. Securing {RFIDs} by Randomizing the Modulation and Channel. In *12th USENIX Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation (NSDI 15)*. 235–249.
- [55] Yan He, Qiuye He, Song Fang, and Yao Liu. 2021. Motioncompass: pinpointing wireless camera via motion-activated traffic. In *Proceedings of the 19th Annual International Conference on Mobile Systems, Applications, and Services*. 215–227.
- [56] Chen-Yu Hsu, Aayush Ahuja, Shichao Yue, Rumen Hristov, Zachary Kabelac, and Dina Katabi. 2017. Zero-effort in-home sleep and insomnia monitoring using radio signals. *Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, mobile, wearable and ubiquitous technologies* 1, 3 (2017), 1–18.
- [57] Chen-Yu Hsu, Rumen Hristov, Guang-He Lee, Mingmin Zhao, and Dina Katabi. 2019. Enabling identification and behavioral sensing in homes using radio reflections. In *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–13.
- [58] Chen-Yu Hsu, Yuchen Liu, Zachary Kabelac, Rumen Hristov, Dina Katabi, and Christine Liu. 2017. Extracting gait velocity and stride length from surrounding radio signals. In *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 2116–2126.
- [59] Lei Hu, Guyue Li, Hongyi Luo, and Aiqun Hu. 2021. On the RIS manipulating attack and its countermeasures in physical-layer key generation. In *2021 IEEE 94th Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC2021-Fall)*. IEEE, 1–5.
- [60] Ke-Wen Huang and Hui-Ming Wang. 2020. Intelligent reflecting surface aided pilot contamination attack and its countermeasure. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications* 20, 1 (2020), 345–359.
- [61] Bashima Islam, Md Mahbubur Rahman, Tousif Ahmed, Mohsin Yusuf Ahmed, Md Mehedi Hasan, Viswam Nathan, Korosh Vatanparvar, Ebrahim Nemati, Jilong Kuang, and Jun Alex Gao. 2021. BreathTrack: detecting regular breathing phases from unannotated acoustic data captured by a smartphone. *Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies* 5, 3 (2021), 1–22.
- [62] Charu Jain, Gundepudi V Surya Sashank, S Markkandan, et al. 2021. Low-cost BLE based indoor localization using RSSI fingerprinting and machine learning. In *2021 sixth international conference on wireless communications, signal processing and networking (WiSPNET)*. IEEE, 363–367.
- [63] Jinyuan Jia and Neil Zhenqiang Gong. 2018. {AttriGuard}: A practical defense against attribute inference attacks via adversarial machine learning. In *27th USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security 18)*. 513–529.
- [64] Jinyuan Jia, Ahmed Salem, Michael Backes, Yang Zhang, and Neil Zhenqiang Gong. 2019. Memguard: Defending against black-box membership inference attacks via adversarial examples. In *Proceedings of the 2019 ACM SIGSAC conference on computer and communications security*. 259–274.
- [65] Wenjun Jiang, Chenglin Miao, Fenglong Ma, Shuochao Yao, Yaqing Wang, Ye Yuan, Hongfei Xue, Chen Song, Xin Ma, Dimitrios Koutsonikolas, et al. 2018. Towards environment independent device free human activity recognition. In *Proceedings of the 24th annual international conference on mobile computing and networking*. 289–304.
- [66] Yu Jiang, Lining Peng, Aiqun Hu, Sheng Wang, Yi Huang, and Lu Zhang. 2019. Physical layer identification of LoRa devices using constellation trace figure. *EURASIP Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking* 2019, 1 (2019), 1–11.
- [67] Xianjun Jiao, Michael Mehari, Wei Liu, Muhammad Aslam, and Ingrid Moerman. 2021. openwifi CSI fuzzer for authorized sensing and covert channels. In *Proceedings of the 14th ACM Conference on Security and Privacy in Wireless and Mobile Networks*. 377–379.
- [68] Kaustubh Kalgaonkar and Bhiksha Raj. 2009. One-handed gesture recognition using ultrasonic Doppler sonar. In *2009 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*. IEEE, 1889–1892.
- [69] Ossi Kaltiokallio, Hüseyin Yiğitler, Riku Jäntti, and Neal Patwari. 2014. Non-invasive respiration rate monitoring using a single COTS TX-RX pair. In *IPSN-14 Proceedings of the 13th International Symposium on Information Processing in Sensor Networks*. IEEE, 59–69.
- [70] Belal Korany, Chitra R Karanam, Hong Cai, and Yasamin Mostofi. 2019. XModal-ID: Using WiFi for through-wall person identification from candidate video footage. In *The 25th Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking*. 1–15.
- [71] Ahmed E Kosba, Ahmed Saeed, and Moustafa Youssef. 2012. RASID: A robust WLAN device-free passive motion detection system. In *2012 IEEE International Conference on Pervasive Computing and Communications*. IEEE, 180–189.
- [72] Manikanta Kotaru, Kiran Joshi, Dinesh Bharadia, and Sachin Katti. 2015. Spotfi: Decimeter level localization using wifi. In *Proceedings of the 2015 ACM Conference on Special Interest Group on Data Communication*. 269–282.
- [73] Martin Kotuliak, Simon Erni, Patrick Leu, Marc Roeschlin, and Srdjan Capkun. 2021. LTrack: Stealthy Tracking of Mobile Phones in LTE. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.05007* (2021).
- [74] Aristotelis Koutris, Theodoros Siozos, Yannis Kopsinis, Aggelos Pikrakis, Timon Merk, Matthias Mahlig, Stylianos Papaharalabos, and Peter Karlsson. 2022. Deep Learning-Based Indoor Localization Using Multi-View BLE Signal. *Sensors* 22, 7 (2022), 2759.
- [75] Ka-Ho Lam, Chi-Chung Cheung, and Wah-Ching Lee. 2018. New RSSI-based LoRa localization algorithms for very noisy outdoor environment. In *2018 IEEE 42nd Annual Computer Software and Applications Conference (COMPSAC)*, Vol. 2. IEEE, 794–799.
- [76] Changzhi Li and Jenshan Lin. 2008. Random body movement cancellation in Doppler radar vital sign detection. *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques* 56, 12 (2008), 3143–3152.
- [77] Guyue Li, Jiabao Yu, Yuexiu Xing, and Aiqun Hu. 2019. Location-invariant physical layer identification approach for WiFi devices. *IEEE Access* 7 (2019), 106974–106986.
- [78] Hong Li, Yunhua He, Limin Sun, Xiuzhen Cheng, and Jiguo Yu. 2016. Side-channel information leakage of encrypted video stream in video surveillance systems. In *IEEE INFOCOM 2016-The 35th Annual IEEE International Conference on Computer Communications*. IEEE, 1–9.
- [79] Mengyuan Li, Yan Meng, Junyi Liu, Haojin Zhu, Xiaohui Liang, Yao Liu, and Na Ruan. 2016. When CSI meets public WiFi: inferring your mobile phone password via WiFi signals. In *Proceedings of the 2016 ACM SIGSAC conference on computer and communications security*. 1068–1079.
- [80] Tianxing Li, Chuankai An, Zhao Tian, Andrew T Campbell, and Xia Zhou. 2015. Human sensing using visible light communication. In *Proceedings of the 21st Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking*. 331–344.
- [81] Tianhong Li, Lijie Fan, Mingmin Zhao, Yingcheng Liu, and Dina Katabi. 2019. Making the invisible visible: Action recognition through walls and occlusions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*. 872–881.
- [82] Wenda Li, Bo Tan, and Robert J Piechocki. 2016. Non-contact breathing detection using passive radar. In *2016 IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*. IEEE, 1–6.
- [83] Zhuqi Li, Yaxiong Xie, Longfei Shangquan, Rotman Ivan Zelaya, Jeremy Gummeson, Wenjun Hu, and Kyle Jamieson. 2019. Towards programming the radio environment with large arrays of inexpensive antennas. In *16th USENIX Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation (NSDI 19)*. 285–300.
- [84] Zhengxiong Li, Zhuolin Yang, Chen Song, Changzhi Li, Zhengyu Peng, and Wenyao Xu. 2018. E-eye: Hidden electronics recognition through mmwave non-linear effects. In *Proceedings of the 16th ACM Conference on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems*. 68–81.
- [85] Jaime Lien, Nicholas Gillian, M Emre Karagozler, Patrick Amihoud, Carsten Schwesig, Erik Olson, Hakim Raja, and Ivan Poupyrev. 2016. Soli: Ubiquitous gesture sensing with millimeter wave radar. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)* 35, 4 (2016), 1–19.
- [86] Haipeng Liu, Kening Cui, Kaiyuan Hu, Yuheng Wang, Anfu Zhou, Liang Liu, and Huadong Ma. 2022. mTransSee: Enabling Environment-Independent mmWave Sensing Based Gesture Recognition via Transfer Learning. *Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies* 6, 1 (2022), 1–28.
- [87] Jian Liu, Hongbo Liu, Yingying Chen, Yan Wang, and Chen Wang. 2019. Wireless sensing for human activity: A survey. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials* 22, 3 (2019), 1629–1645.
- [88] Tian Liu, Ziyu Liu, Jun Huang, Rui Tan, and Zhen Tan. 2018. Detecting wireless spy cameras via stimulating and probing. In *Proceedings of the 16th Annual International Conference on Mobile Systems, Applications, and Services*. 243–255.
- [89] Zikun Liu, Gagandeep Singh, Chenren Xu, and Deepak Vasishth. 2021. FIRE: enabling reciprocity for FDD MIMO systems. In *Proceedings of the 27th Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking*. 628–641.
- [90] Chris Xiaoxuan Lu, Yang Li, Peijun Zhao, Changhao Chen, Linhai Xie, Hongkai Wen, Rui Tan, and Niki Trigoni. 2018. Simultaneous localization and mapping with power network electromagnetic field. In *Proceedings of the 24th annual international conference on mobile computing and networking*. 607–622.
- [91] Chengwen Luo, Zhongru Yang, Xingyu Feng, Jin Zhang, Hong Jia, Jianqiang Li, Jiawei Wu, and Wen Hu. 2021. RFaceID: Towards RFID-Based Facial Recognition. *Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies* 5, 4 (2021), 1–21.

- [92] Zhihong Luo, Qiping Zhang, Yunfei Ma, Manish Singh, and Fadel Adib. 2019. 3D Backscatter Localization for {Fine-Grained} Robotics. In *16th USENIX Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation (NSDI 19)*. 765–782.
- [93] Bastien Lyonnnet, Cornel Ioana, and Moeness G Amin. 2010. Human gait classification using microdoppler time-frequency signal representations. In *2010 IEEE Radar Conference*. IEEE, 915–919.
- [94] Bin Lyu, Dinh Thai Hoang, Shimin Gong, Dusit Niyato, and Dong In Kim. 2020. IRS-based wireless jamming attacks: When jammers can attack without power. *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters* 9, 10 (2020), 1663–1667.
- [95] Marwa Mamdouh, Mohamed Al Elrukhsy, and Ahmed Khattab. 2018. Securing the internet of things and wireless sensor networks via machine learning: A survey. In *2018 International Conference on Computer and Applications (ICCA)*. IEEE, 215–218.
- [96] Julio César Manco Vásquez, Jesús María Ibáñez Díaz, Javier Vía Rodríguez, Luis Ignacio Santamaría Caballero, et al. 2015. Detection of radio receivers: an experimental evaluation approach. (2015).
- [97] Wenguang Mao, Mei Wang, Wei Sun, Lili Qiu, Swadhin Pradhan, and Yi-Chao Chen. 2019. Rnn-based room scale hand motion tracking. In *The 25th Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking*. 1–16.
- [98] David McGlynn and Michael G Madden. 2010. An ensemble dynamic time warping classifier with application to activity recognition. In *International Conference on Innovative Techniques and Applications of Artificial Intelligence*. Springer, 339–352.
- [99] Pedro Melgarejo, Xinyu Zhang, Parameswaran Ramanathan, and David Chu. 2014. Leveraging directional antenna capabilities for fine-grained gesture recognition. In *Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Joint Conference on pervasive and ubiquitous computing*. 541–551.
- [100] Pavlo Molchanov, Shalini Gupta, Kihwan Kim, and Kari Pulli. 2015. Short-range FMCW monopulse radar for hand-gesture sensing. In *2015 IEEE Radar Conference (RadarCon)*. IEEE, 1491–1496.
- [101] M Moussa and M Youssef. 2009. Smart Devices for Smart Environments: Device-free Passive Detection in Real Environments. Pervasive Computing and Communications. In *2009. PerCom 2009. IEEE International Conference on*. IEEE.
- [102] Amitav Mukherjee and A Lee Swindlehurst. 2012. Detecting passive eavesdroppers in the MIMO wiretap channel. In *2012 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2809–2812.
- [103] Meinard Müller. 2007. Dynamic time warping. *Information retrieval for music and motion* (2007), 69–84.
- [104] Santosh Nannuru, Yunpeng Li, Yan Zeng, Mark Coates, and Bo Yang. 2012. Radio-frequency tomography for passive indoor multitarget tracking. *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing* 12, 12 (2012), 2322–2333.
- [105] Revathy Narayanan, Ambuj Varshney, and Panos Papadimitratos. 2021. HarvestPrint: Securing Battery-free Backscatter Tags through Fingerprinting. In *Proceedings of the Twentieth ACM Workshop on Hot Topics in Networks*. 178–184.
- [106] Sameera Palipana, David Rojas, Piyush Agrawal, and Dirk Pesch. 2018. FallDeFi: Ubiquitous fall detection using commodity Wi-Fi devices. *Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies* 1, 4 (2018), 1–25.
- [107] Sanghoon Park, Lawrence E Larson, and Laurence B Milstein. 2006. Hidden mobile terminal device discovery in a UWB environment. In *2006 IEEE International Conference on Ultra-Wideband*. IEEE, 417–421.
- [108] Sanghoon Park, Lawrence E Larson, and Laurence B Milstein. 2010. An RF receiver detection technique for cognitive radio coexistence. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Express Briefs* 57, 8 (2010), 652–656.
- [109] Neal Patwari, Lara Brewer, Quinn Tate, Ossi Kallio, and Maurizio Bocca. 2013. Breathfinding: A wireless network that monitors and locates breathing in a home. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing* 8, 1 (2013), 30–42.
- [110] Anindya S Paul and Eric A Wan. 2009. RSSI-based indoor localization and tracking using sigma-point Kalman smoothers. *IEEE Journal of selected topics in signal processing* 3, 5 (2009), 860–873.
- [111] Schalk Wilhelm Pienaar and Reza Malekian. 2019. Human activity recognition using LSTM-RNN deep neural network architecture. In *2019 IEEE 2nd wireless africa conference (WAC)*. IEEE, 1–5.
- [112] Corey Pittman, Pamela Wisniewski, Conner Brooks, and Joseph J LaViola Jr. 2016. Multiwave: Doppler effect based gesture recognition in multiple dimensions. In *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1729–1736.
- [113] Mauro Piva, Gaia Maselli, and Francesco Restuccia. 2021. The tags are alright: Robust large-scale rfid clone detection through federated data-augmented radio fingerprinting. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-second International Symposium on Theory, Algorithmic Foundations, and Protocol Design for Mobile Networks and Mobile Computing*. 41–50.
- [114] Qifan Pu, Sidhant Gupta, Shyamnath Gollakota, and Shwetak Patel. 2013. Whole-home gesture recognition using wireless signals. In *Proceedings of the 19th annual international conference on Mobile computing & networking*. 27–38.
- [115] Kun Qian, Chenshu Wu, Yi Zhang, Guidong Zhang, Zheng Yang, and Yunhao Liu. 2018. Widar2.0: Passive human tracking with a single Wi-Fi link. In *Proceedings of the 16th Annual International Conference on Mobile Systems, Applications, and Services*. 350–361.
- [116] Yue Qiao, Kannan Srinivasan, and Anish Arora. 2017. Channel spoofer: Deceiving channel variability and unpredictability. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on emerging Networking EXperiments and Technologies*. 402–413.
- [117] Yue Qiao, Ouyang Zhang, Wenjie Zhou, Kannan Srinivasan, and Anish Arora. 2016. {PhyCloak}: Obfuscating Sensing from Communication Signals. In *13th USENIX Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation (NSDI 16)*. 685–699.
- [118] Shobha Sundar Ram, Yang Li, Adrian Lin, and Hao Ling. 2008. Doppler-based detection and tracking of humans in indoor environments. *Journal of the Franklin Institute* 345, 6 (2008), 679–699.
- [119] Shobha Sundar Ram and Hao Ling. 2008. Through-wall tracking of human movers using joint Doppler and array processing. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters* 5, 3 (2008), 537–541.
- [120] Moslem Rashidi, Kasra Haghighi, Ashkan Panahi, and Mats Viberg. 2011. A NLLS based sub-nyquist rate spectrum sensing for wideband cognitive radio. In *2011 IEEE International Symposium on Dynamic Spectrum Access Networks (DySPAN)*. IEEE, 545–551.
- [121] Pieter Robyns, Eduard Marin, Wim Lamotte, Peter Quax, Dave Singelée, and Bart Preneel. 2017. Physical-layer fingerprinting of LoRa devices using supervised and zero-shot learning. In *Proceedings of the 10th ACM Conference on Security and Privacy in Wireless and Mobile Networks*. 58–63.
- [122] S Salous, N Nikandrou, and NF Bajj. 1998. Digital techniques for mobile radio chirp sounders. *IEE Proceedings-Communications* 145, 3 (1998), 191–196.
- [123] Sarah Ann Seguin. 2009. Detection of low cost radio frequency receivers based on their unintended electromagnetic emissions and an active stimulation. Missouri University of Science and Technology.
- [124] Moustafa Seifeldin, Ahmed Saeed, Ahmed E Kosba, Amr El-Keyi, and Moustafa Youssef. 2012. Nuzzer: A large-scale device-free passive localization system for wireless environments. *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing* 12, 7 (2012), 1321–1334.
- [125] Longfei Shangguang, Zimu Zhou, and Kyle Jamieson. 2017. Enabling gesture-based interactions with objects. In *Proceedings of the 15th Annual International Conference on Mobile Systems, Applications, and Services*. 239–251.
- [126] Rahul Anand Sharma, Elahe Soltanaghaei, Anthony Rowe, and Vyas Sekar. [n. d.]. Lumos: Identifying and Localizing Diverse Hidden IoT Devices in an Unfamiliar Environment. ([n. d.]).
- [127] Guanyong Shen, Junqing Zhang, Alan Marshall, Linning Peng, and Xianbin Wang. 2021. Radio frequency fingerprint identification for LoRa using deep learning. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications* 39, 8 (2021), 2604–2616.
- [128] Lixin Shi, Paramvir Bahl, and Dina Katabi. 2015. Beyond Sensing: {Multi-GHz} Realtime Spectrum Analytics. In *12th USENIX Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation (NSDI 15)*. 159–172.
- [129] Stephan Sigg, Ulf Blanke, and Gerhard Tröster. 2014. The telepathic phone: Frictionless activity recognition from wifi-rssi. In *2014 IEEE international conference on pervasive computing and communications (PerCom)*. IEEE, 148–155.
- [130] Stephan Sigg, Markus Scholz, Shuyu Shi, Yusheng Ji, and Michael Beigl. 2013. RF-sensing of activities from non-cooperative subjects in device-free recognition systems using ambient and local signals. *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing* 13, 4 (2013), 907–920.
- [131] Stephan Sigg, Shuyu Shi, Felix Buesching, Yusheng Ji, and Lars Wolf. 2013. Leveraging RF-channel fluctuation for activity recognition: Active and passive systems, continuous and RSSI-based signal features. In *Proceedings of International Conference on Advances in Mobile Computing & Multimedia*. 43–52.
- [132] Vijay Srinivasan, John Stankovic, and Kamin Whitehouse. 2008. Protecting your daily in-home activity information from a wireless snooping attack. In *Proceedings of the 10th international conference on Ubiquitous computing*. 202–211.
- [133] Paul Staat, Harald Elders-Boll, Christian Zenger, and Christof Paar. 2021. Mirror Mirror on the Wall: Next-Generation Wireless Jamming Attacks Based on Software-Controlled Surfaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.01709* (2021).
- [134] Paul Staat, Simon Mulzer, Stefan Roth, Veelasha Moonsamy, Aydin Sezgin, and Christof Paar. 2021. IRShield: A Countermeasure Against Adversarial Physical-Layer Wireless Sensing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.01967* (2021).
- [135] Colin Stagner, Andrew Conrad, Christopher Osterwise, Daryl G Beetner, and Steven Grant. 2011. A practical superheterodyne-receiver detector using stimulated emissions. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement* 60, 4 (2011), 1461–1468.
- [136] Colin Stagner, Matthew Halligan, Christopher Osterwise, Daryl G Beetner, and Steven L Grant. 2012. Locating noncooperative radio receivers using wideband stimulated emissions. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement* 62, 3 (2012), 667–674.
- [137] Colin Blake Stagner. 2013. Detecting and locating electronic devices using their unintended electromagnetic emissions. Missouri University of Science and Technology.

- [138] Wei Sun. 2020. Destructive full duplex relay for commodity rfid system. In *2020 IEEE International Conference on RFID (RFID)*. IEEE, 1–8.
- [139] Wei Sun. 2021. Destructive and constructive full duplex relaying for commodity rfid system. *IEEE Journal of Radio Frequency Identification* 5, 4 (2021), 417–426.
- [140] Wei Sun. 2021. Orientation-Aware RFID-Based Sensing. In *2021 IEEE International Conference on RFID Technology and Applications (RFID-TA)*. IEEE, 52–54.
- [141] Christian Szegedy, Wojciech Zaremba, Ilya Sutskever, Joan Bruna, Dumitru Erhan, Ian Goodfellow, and Rob Fergus. 2013. Intriguing properties of neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6199* (2013).
- [142] Bo Tan, Karl Woodbridge, and Kevin Chetty. 2016. Airwareless passive radar system for real-time through-wall movement detection. *IEEE Trans. Aerospace Electron. Systems* 52, 5 (2016), 2596–2603.
- [143] Masaya Tanbo, Ryoma Nojiri, Yuusuke Kawakita, and Haruhisa Ichikawa. 2015. Active RFID attached object clustering method based on RSSI series for finding lost objects. In *2015 IEEE 2nd World Forum on Internet of Things (WF-IoT)*. IEEE, 363–368.
- [144] Gineke A Ten Holt, Marcel JT Reinders, and Emile A Hendriks. 2007. Multi-dimensional dynamic time warping for gesture recognition. In *Thirteenth annual conference of the Advanced School for Computing and Imaging*, Vol. 300. 1.
- [145] Vivek Thotla, Mohammad Tayeb Ahmad Ghasr, Maciej J Zawodniok, Sarangapani Jagannathan, and Sanjeev Agarwal. 2013. Detection of super-regenerative receivers using hurst parameter. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement* 62, 11 (2013), 3006–3014.
- [146] Ipsit V Vahia, Zachary Kabelac, Chen-Yu Hsu, Brent P Forester, Patrick Monette, Rose May, Katherine Hobbs, Usman Munir, Kreshnik Hoti, and Dina Katabi. 2020. Radio signal sensing and signal processing to monitor behavioral symptoms in dementia: a case study. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 28, 8 (2020), 820–825.
- [147] Lav R Varshney, Pulkit Grover, and Anant Sahai. 2012. Securing inductively-coupled communication. In *2012 Information theory and applications workshop*. IEEE, 47–53.
- [148] Deepak Vasishth, Anubhav Jain, Chen-Yu Hsu, Zachary Kabelac, and Dina Katabi. 2018. Duet: Estimating user position and identity in smart homes using intermittent and incomplete RF-data. *Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies* 2, 2 (2018), 1–21.
- [149] Deepak Vasishth, Swarun Kumar, and Dina Katabi. 2016. {Decimeter-Level} Localization with a Single {WiFi} Access Point. In *13th USENIX Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation (NSDI 16)*. 165–178.
- [150] Deepak Vasishth, Swarun Kumar, Hariharan Rahul, and Dina Katabi. 2016. Eliminating channel feedback in next-generation cellular networks. In *Proceedings of the 2016 ACM SIGCOMM Conference*. 398–411.
- [151] Suresh Venkatesh, Xuyang Lu, and Kaushik Sengupta. 2021. Spatio-temporal modulated mm-wave arrays for physical layer security and resiliency against distributed eavesdropper attacks. In *Proceedings of the 5th ACM Workshop on Millimeter-Wave and Terahertz Networks and Sensing Systems*. 19–24.
- [152] Aditya Virmani and Muhammad Shahzad. 2017. Position and orientation agnostic gesture recognition using wifi. In *Proceedings of the 15th Annual International Conference on Mobile Systems, Applications, and Services*. 252–264.
- [153] Tien Dang Vo-Huu, Triet Dang Vo-Huu, and Guevara Noubir. 2016. Fingerprinting Wi-Fi devices using software defined radios. In *Proceedings of the 9th ACM Conference on Security & Privacy in Wireless and Mobile Networks*. 3–14.
- [154] Binghui Wang and Neil Zhenqiang Gong. 2019. Attacking graph-based classification via manipulating the graph structure. In *Proceedings of the 2019 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security*. 2023–2040.
- [155] Fu-Kang Wang, Tzyy-Sheng Horng, Kang-Chun Peng, Je-Kuan Jau, Jian-Yu Li, and Cheng-Chung Chen. 2011. Single-antenna Doppler radars using self and mutual injection locking for vital sign detection with random body movement cancellation. *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques* 59, 12 (2011), 3577–3587.
- [156] Hao Wang, Daqing Zhang, Yasha Wang, Junyi Ma, Yuxiang Wang, and Shengjie Li. 2016. RT-Fall: A real-time and contactless fall detection system with commodity WiFi devices. *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing* 16, 2 (2016), 511–526.
- [157] Jie Wang, Qinghua Gao, Yan Yu, Peng Cheng, Lifei Wu, and Hongyu Wang. 2012. Robust device-free wireless localization based on differential RSS measurements. *IEEE transactions on industrial electronics* 60, 12 (2012), 5943–5952.
- [158] Jue Wang and Dina Katabi. 2013. Dude, where’s my card? RFID positioning that works with multipath and non-line of sight. In *Proceedings of the ACM SIGCOMM 2013 conference on SIGCOMM*. 51–62.
- [159] Jue Wang, Deepak Vasishth, and Dina Katabi. 2014. RF-IDraw: Virtual touch screen in the air using RF signals. *ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review* 44, 4 (2014), 235–246.
- [160] Jingxian Wang, Junbo Zhang, Rajarshi Saha, Haojian Jin, and Swarun Kumar. 2019. Pushing the Range Limits of Commercial Passive {RFIDs}. In *16th USENIX Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation (NSDI 19)*. 301–316.
- [161] Mei Wang, Wei Sun, and Lili Qiu. 2021. {MAVL}: Multiresolution Analysis of Voice Localization. In *18th USENIX Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation (NSDI 21)*. 845–858.
- [162] Ning Wang, Pu Wang, Amir Alipour-Fanid, Long Jiao, and Kai Zeng. 2019. Physical-layer security of 5G wireless networks for IoT: Challenges and opportunities. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal* 6, 5 (2019), 8169–8181.
- [163] Wei Wang, Alex X Liu, Muhammad Shahzad, Kang Ling, and Sanglu Lu. 2017. Device-free human activity recognition using commercial WiFi devices. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications* 35, 5 (2017), 1118–1131.
- [164] Yuxi Wang, Kaishun Wu, and Lionel M Ni. 2016. Wifall: Device-free fall detection by wireless networks. *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing* 16, 2 (2016), 581–594.
- [165] Pruthvi Maheshakya Wijewardena, Aditya Bhaskara, Sneha Kumar Kasera, Syed Ayaz Mahmud, and Neal Patwari. 2020. A plug-n-play game theoretic framework for defending against radio window attacks. In *Proceedings of the 13th ACM Conference on Security and Privacy in Wireless and Mobile Networks*. 284–294.
- [166] Ben Wild and Kannan Ramchandran. 2005. Detecting primary receivers for cognitive radio applications. In *First IEEE International Symposium on New Frontiers in Dynamic Spectrum Access Networks, 2005. DySPAN 2005*. IEEE, 124–130.
- [167] Joey Wilson and Neal Patwari. 2010. See-through walls: Motion tracking using variance-based radio tomography networks. *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing* 10, 5 (2010), 612–621.
- [168] Wei Xi, Jizhong Zhao, Xiang-Yang Li, Kun Zhao, Shaojie Tang, Xue Liu, and Zhiping Jiang. 2014. Electronic frog eye: Counting crowd using WiFi. In *IEEE INFOCOM 2014-IEEE Conference on Computer Communications*. IEEE, 361–369.
- [169] Yaxiong Xie, Jie Xiong, Mo Li, and Kyle Jamieson. 2019. mD-Track: Leveraging multi-dimensionality for passive indoor Wi-Fi tracking. In *The 25th Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking*. 1–16.
- [170] Xi Xiong, Justin Chan, Ethan Yu, Nisha Kumari, Ardan Amiri Sani, Changxi Zheng, and Xia Zhou. 2017. Customizing indoor wireless coverage via 3D-fabricated reflectors. In *Proceedings of the 4th ACM International Conference on Systems for Energy-Efficient Built Environments*. 1–10.
- [171] Chenren Xu, Bernhard Firner, Robert S Moore, Yanyong Zhang, Wade Trappe, Richard Howard, Feixiong Zhang, and Ning An. 2013. SCPL: Indoor device-free multi-subject counting and localization using radio signal strength. In *Proceedings of the 12th international conference on Information Processing in Sensor Networks*. 79–90.
- [172] Huatao Xu, Dong Wang, Run Zhao, and Qian Zhang. 2019. FaHo: deep learning enhanced holographic localization for RFID tags. In *Proceedings of the 17th Conference on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems*. 351–363.
- [173] Wei Xu, ZhiWen Yu, Zhu Wang, Bin Guo, and Qi Han. 2019. Acoustid: gait-based human identification using acoustic signal. *Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies* 3, 3 (2019), 1–25.
- [174] Lei Yang, Qiongzhen Lin, Xiangyang Li, Tianci Liu, and Yunhao Liu. 2015. See through walls with COTS RFID system! In *Proceedings of the 21st Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking*. 487–499.
- [175] Yao Yao, Yan Li, Xin Liu, Zicheng Chi, Wei Wang, Tiantian Xie, and Ting Zhu. 2018. Aegis: An interference-negligible RF sensing shield. In *IEEE INFOCOM 2018-IEEE conference on computer communications*. IEEE, 1718–1726.
- [176] Chia-Yi Yeh, Yasaman Ghasempour, Yasith Amarasinghe, Daniel M Mittleman, and Edward W Knightly. 2020. Security in terahertz WLANs with Leaky wave antennas. In *Proceedings of the 13th ACM Conference on Security and Privacy in Wireless and Mobile Networks*. 317–327.
- [177] Simon Yiu, Marzieh Dashti, Holger Claussen, and Fernando Perez-Cruz. 2017. Wireless RSSI fingerprinting localization. *Signal Processing* 131 (2017), 235–244.
- [178] Moustafa Youssef, Matthew Mah, and Ashok Agrawala. 2007. Challenges: device-free passive localization for wireless environments. In *Proceedings of the 13th annual ACM international conference on Mobile computing and networking*. 222–229.
- [179] Hongli Yu, Bin Yang, Jinjun Liu, and Gwo-Jong Yu. 2018. Passive human trajectory tracking study in indoor environment with CSI. In *2018 International Conference on Networking and Network Applications (NaNA)*. IEEE, 372–377.
- [180] Jih-Tsun Yu, Li Yen, and Po-Hsuan Tseng. 2020. mmWave radar-based hand gesture recognition using range-angle image. In *2020 IEEE 91st Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC2020-Spring)*. IEEE, 1–5.
- [181] Shichao Yue, Hao He, Hao Wang, Hariharan Rahul, and Dina Katabi. 2018. Extracting multi-person respiration from entangled RF signals. *Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies* 2, 2 (2018), 1–22.
- [182] Shichao Yue, Yuzhe Yang, Hao Wang, Hariharan Rahul, and Dina Katabi. 2020. BodyCompass: Monitoring sleep posture with wireless signals. *Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies* 4, 2 (2020), 1–25.
- [183] R Ivan Zelaya, William Sussman, Jeremy Gummeson, Kyle Jamieson, and Wenjun Hu. 2021. LAVA: fine-grained 3D indoor wireless coverage for small IoT devices. In *Proceedings of the 2021 ACM SIGCOMM 2021 Conference*. 123–136.

- [184] Qinglin Zeng, Zheng Kuang, Shuaibing Wu, and Jun Yang. 2019. A method of ultrasonic finger gesture recognition based on the micro-doppler effect. *Applied Sciences* 9, 11 (2019), 2314.
- [185] Daqiang Zhang, Jingyu Zhou, Minyi Guo, Jiannong Cao, and Tianbao Li. 2010. TASA: Tag-free activity sensing using RFID tag arrays. *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems* 22, 4 (2010), 558–570.
- [186] Fusang Zhang, Kai Niu, Jie Xiong, Beihong Jin, Tao Gu, Yuhang Jiang, and Daqing Zhang. 2019. Towards a diffraction-based sensing approach on human activity recognition. *Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies* 3, 1 (2019), 1–25.
- [187] Ouyang Zhang and Kannan Srinivasan. 2016. Mudra: User-friendly fine-grained gesture recognition using WiFi signals. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on emerging Networking EXperiments and Technologies*. 83–96.
- [188] Yi Zhang, Yue Zheng, Kun Qian, Guidong Zhang, Yunhao Liu, Chenshu Wu, and Zheng Yang. 2021. Widar3. 0: Zero-effort cross-domain gesture recognition with wi-fi. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* (2021).
- [189] Heng Zhao, Hong Hong, Li Sun, Yusheng Li, Changzhi Li, and Xiaohua Zhu. 2017. Noncontact physiological dynamics detection using low-power digital-IF Doppler radar. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement* 66, 7 (2017), 1780–1788.
- [190] Mingmin Zhao, Fadel Adib, and Dina Katabi. 2016. Emotion recognition using wireless signals. In *Proceedings of the 22nd annual international conference on mobile computing and networking*. 95–108.
- [191] Mingmin Zhao, Tianhong Li, Mohammad Abu Alsheikh, Yonglong Tian, Hang Zhao, Antonio Torralba, and Dina Katabi. 2018. Through-wall human pose estimation using radio signals. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 7356–7365.
- [192] Mingmin Zhao, Yingcheng Liu, Aniruddh Raghu, Tianhong Li, Hang Zhao, Antonio Torralba, and Dina Katabi. 2019. Through-wall human mesh recovery using radio signals. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*. 10113–10122.
- [193] Mingmin Zhao, Yonglong Tian, Hang Zhao, Mohammad Abu Alsheikh, Tianhong Li, Rumen Hristov, Zachary Kabelac, Dina Katabi, and Antonio Torralba. 2018. RF-based 3D skeletons. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the ACM Special Interest Group on Data Communication*. 267–281.
- [194] Mingmin Zhao, Shichao Yue, Dina Katabi, Tommi S Jaakkola, and Matt T Bianchi. 2017. Learning sleep stages from radio signals: A conditional adversarial architecture. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 4100–4109.
- [195] Yue Zheng, Yi Zhang, Kun Qian, Guidong Zhang, Yunhao Liu, Chenshu Wu, and Zheng Yang. 2019. Zero-effort cross-domain gesture recognition with Wi-Fi. In *Proceedings of the 17th Annual International Conference on Mobile Systems, Applications, and Services*. 313–325.
- [196] Yanzi Zhu, Zhujun Xiao, Yuxin Chen, Zhijing Li, Max Liu, Ben Y Zhao, and Haitao Zheng. 2018. Et tu alexa? when commodity wifi devices turn into adversarial motion sensors. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.10109* (2018).