

Zig-zag for Galois Representations

Ekhnath Ghat

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research,
Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400005, India

November 27, 2023

Abstract

The zig-zag conjecture says that the reductions of two-dimensional crystalline representations of the Galois group of \mathbb{Q}_p of large exceptional weights and half-integral slopes up to $\frac{p-1}{2}$ vary through an alternating sequence of irreducible and reducible mod p representations. We prove this conjecture in smoothly varying families of such representations for $p \geq 5$. The proof uses a limiting argument due to Chitrao-Ghate-Yasuda to reduce to the case of semi-stable representations of weights at most $p+1$, and then appeals to the work of Breuil-Mézard, Guerberoff-Park and Chitrao-Ghate.

1 Introduction

Let p be a prime. There has been much interest in recent years on various aspects of the p -adic and mod p local Langlands program in higher dimensions and over general local fields. It is, however, remarkably still an open problem to describe the shape of the reductions of two-dimensional crystalline representations V_{k,a_p} of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Here $a_p \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and $k \geq 2$ is an integer. The resolution of this problem is important given that such representations arise as the local p -adic Galois representations attached to modular forms with trivial character, at primes not dividing the level of the form.

The first breakthroughs in describing the reduction were made by Deligne, and Fontaine and Edixhoven. Their results can be stated in terms of the *slope* $v_p(a_p)$ of V_{k,a_p} where the p -adic valuation v_p of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is normalized so that $v_p(p) = 1$. The former showed that if $v_p(a_p) = 0$, then the reduction of V_{k,a_p} is reducible, whereas the latter pair showed that if $v_p(a_p) > 0$, then the reduction is irreducible for the small weights $2 \leq k \leq p+1$. A well-known conjecture due to Breuil, Buzzard, and Emerton says that if the weight k is even and the slope $v_p(a_p)$ is not an integer, then the reduction of V_{k,a_p} is always irreducible.

In this paper, we shall recall and prove (in families) our zig-zag conjecture which describes the reduction of V_{k,a_p} for large exceptional weights and half-integral slopes. Let us assume from now on that p is an odd prime (eventually taken to be at least 5). Recall that a weight k is said to be *exceptional* for the representation V_{k,a_p} if the slope $v_p(a_p)$ is a half-integer and

$$k \equiv 2v_p(a_p) + 2 \pmod{p-1}.$$

Exceptional weights fall squarely outside the scope of the conjecture in the previous paragraph since such weights are even exactly when the slope $v_p(a_p)$ is an integer. In fact, the zig-zag conjecture states that for large exceptional weights and half-integral slopes in the range

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq v(a_p) \leq \frac{p-1}{2},$$

the reduction of V_{k,a_p} is given by an alternating sequence of irreducible and reducible representations depending on the sizes of two auxiliary parameters τ and t . In particular, it predicts the existence of several new cases where the reduction is reducible.

A word on the provenance of the term ‘zig-zag’. Historically, when trying to compute the reductions of V_{k,a_p} using the compatibility between the p -adic and mod p local Langlands correspondences with respect to the process of reduction, exceptional weights have turned out to be the trickiest to treat. In fact, the conjecture acquired its name because of a zig-zag like pattern that emerges in the selection of Jordan-Hölder factors in the associated graded of the theta filtration of the $(k-2)$ -th symmetric power representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

We recall the statement of the conjecture¹ (see [Gha21]).

Conjecture 1.1 (Zig-Zag Conjecture). *Say that $k \equiv k_0 = 2v(a_p) + 2 \pmod{p-1}$ is a congruence class of exceptional weights for a particular half-integral slope $\frac{1}{2} \leq v_p(a_p) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$. Let $r = k - 2$ and $r_0 = k_0 - 2$. Define two parameters:*

$$\tau = v_p(c) \quad \text{and} \quad t = v_p(k - k_0),$$

where

$$c = \frac{a_p^2 - \binom{r-v_-}{v_+} \binom{r-v_+}{v_-} p^{r_0}}{pa_p}$$

with v_- and v_+ the largest and smallest integers such that $v_p(a_p)$ lies in (v_-, v_+) .

Then, for all weights $k \geq k_0$ with t sufficiently large, the (semi-simplification of the) reduction \bar{V}_{k,a_p} of the crystalline representation V_{k,a_p} on $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is given by:

$$\bar{V}_{k,a_p} \sim \begin{cases} \mathrm{ind}(\omega_2^{r_0+1+i(p-1)}), & \text{if } \tau \in (t+i-1, t+i) \\ \mu_{\lambda_i} \cdot \omega^{r_0-i} \oplus \mu_{\lambda_i^{-1}} \cdot \omega^{1+i}, & \text{if } \tau = t+i \end{cases}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq \frac{r_0-1}{2}$ if r_0 is odd and $0 \leq i \leq \frac{r_0}{2}$ if r_0 is even and for some constants $\lambda_i \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p^*$. In particular, as τ varies through the t -line, the reduction \bar{V}_{k,a_p} varies through an alternating sequence of irreducible and reducible representations.

We adopt the following conventions:

- If $k > k_0$, so that t is finite, then we interpret the first interval $(t-1, t)$ occurring above as $(-\infty, t)$. Moreover, we interpret the last interval $[t + \frac{r_0-1}{2}, t + \frac{r_0-1}{2}]$ as $[t + \frac{r_0-1}{2}, \infty]$ if r_0 is odd, and interpret the second last interval $(t + \frac{r_0-2}{2}, t + \frac{r_0}{2})$ as $(t + \frac{r_0-2}{2}, \infty]$ if r_0 is even and ignore the last interval $[t + \frac{r_0}{2}, t + \frac{r_0}{2}]$.

¹This version is mildly stronger than the original version [Gha21, Conjecture 1.1] in that there we require k to be sufficiently far away from some weights which are strictly larger than $p+1$, whereas here k is required to be sufficiently close to the weights $3 \leq k_0 \leq p+1$.

- If $k = k_0$, so that $t = \infty$, then we interpret the first interval $(t - 1, t)$ as $(-\infty, \infty]$ and ignore all other intervals.

Also, as usual, here ω is the mod p cyclotomic character, ‘ind’ means induction from $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_{p^2})$ to $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and ω_2^c is the c -th power of the fundamental character of level 2 on the inertia subgroup I_p extended to $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_{p^2})$ so that $\det(\text{ind}(\omega_2^c)) = \omega^c$. Finally, μ_{λ_i} is the unramified mod p character taking geometric Frobenius to λ_i .

The zig-zag conjecture has so far only been proved² when the valuation $v_p(a_p)$ of a_p is $\frac{1}{2}$, 1 and $\frac{3}{2}$ [BG13], [BGR18], [GR19]. The proof becomes more intricate as the slope increases, but in all cases the main idea is to use the p -adic and mod p local Langlands correspondences discovered by Breuil [Bre03] along with a compatibility result of Berger [Ber10] with respect to the process of reduction, or more succinctly, the functorial version of these correspondences due to Colmez [Col10], to reduce to computing the reduction of the standard lattice in the completion of the locally algebraic representation $\text{ind}_{KZ}^G \text{Sym}^{k-2}(E^2)/(T - a_p)$ of $G = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Here K is the compact open subgroup $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ of G and $Z = \mathbb{Q}_p^*$ is the center of G . This last computation is rather tricky and involves using the Hecke operator T to cut out the above-mentioned Jordan-Hölder factors.

We now turn to the main result of this paper. Fix a ‘base’ weight $3 \leq k_0 \leq p + 1$. These weights vary through a complete set of representatives modulo $(p - 1)$. We shall only consider weights k which satisfy $k \equiv k_0 \pmod{p - 1}$, that is, we work in a fixed branch of weight space. We assume that the parameter

$$a_p = a_p(k)$$

appearing in V_{k,a_p} is a *smooth* function of the weight k (even C^2 in the sense of [Sch84] suffices) in a p -adic neighborhood of k_0 and that

$$a_p(k_0) = p^{\frac{k_0-2}{2}}.$$

In other words, we consider a family V_{k,a_p} of crystalline representations whose members are necessarily exceptional as $k \rightarrow k_0$ (p -adically) since the p -adic valuation of $a_p(k)$ is eventually the same as that of $a_p(k_0)$. The main result of this paper is the following theorem. It proves the zig-zag conjecture for the members of the family V_{k,a_p} for k sufficiently close to k_0 .

Theorem 1.2. *Let $p \geq 5$ and $3 \leq k_0 \leq p + 1$. Suppose that $a_p = a_p(k)$ is a smooth function of $k \equiv k_0 \pmod{p - 1}$ in a p -adic neighborhood of k_0 and that $a_p(k_0) = p^{\frac{k_0-2}{2}}$, so that $V_{k,a_p(k)}$ becomes exceptional as $k \rightarrow k_0$ of slope $\frac{1}{2} \leq v_p(a_p(k_0)) \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$.*

Then, the (semi-simplification of the) reduction $\bar{V}_{k,a_p(k)}$ of $V_{k,a_p(k)}$ on the inertia subgroup I_p is exactly as described by Conjecture 1.1 for k sufficiently close to k_0 . Moreover, on $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, the constants λ_i appearing in the unramified mod p characters μ_{λ_i} can be determined completely (see (6), (7)).

²The first paper assumes $p \geq 3$ whereas the last two assume $p \geq 5$. The first work was done before the conjecture was made. This and the second work provided the foundation on which to formulate the conjecture. The third paper checked that the conjecture indeed holds in the next case. In fact, these papers establish a result stronger than Conjecture 1.1 in that there is no requirement that $t = v_p(k - k_0)$ be large (even $t = 0$ is allowed). However, the assumption that t is sufficiently large is necessary for larger slopes since there are counterexamples to this stronger version by Rozenzstajn even for slope 2 (see [Gha21]).

There are two main ingredients in the proof:

- i) Work of Chitrao-Ghate-Yasuda [CGY21] shows that the dual of $V_{k,a_p(k)}$ converges to the dual of the semi-stable representation $V_{k_0,\mathfrak{L}}$ for $\mathfrak{L} = 2a'_p(k_0)/a_p(k_0)$ in a certain blow-up space of trianguline representations constructed by Colmez [Col08] and studied by Chenevier [Che13], so $V_{k,a_p(k)}$ and $V_{k_0,\mathfrak{L}}$ have the same reduction as $k \rightarrow k_0$ with $k \neq k_0$.
- ii) Recent work of Chitrao-Ghate [CG23] computes the reduction of the semi-stable representations $V_{k_0,\mathfrak{L}}$ for all weights $3 \leq k_0 \leq p+1$ and for all primes $p \geq 5$ revealing a zig-zag like pattern in this setting. This extends earlier important works of Breuil-Mézard [BM02] for even k_0 with $4 \leq k_0 < p+1$ on $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and Guerberoff-Park [GP19] for odd k_0 with $3 \leq k_0 < p$ on I_p (later extended partially to $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ by Lee-Park [LP22]).³

Putting i) and ii) together we immediately obtain a proof of zig-zag for the reduction of V_{k,a_p} for $a_p = a_p(k)$ for those weights k that are p -adically close to k_0 .

Thus, while [CGY21] used the zig-zag conjecture (and known cases of it for small slopes) to cross-check (and in some cases of small weight to extend) the works [BM02], [GP19], the present paper is based on the observation that one may use the limiting argument in [CGY21] to argue in the *reverse* direction: the works [BM02], [GP19], [CG23] imply that zig-zag is eventually true for the members of a family of crystalline representations because in the limit the reductions behave like those of semi-stable representations.

We now provide further details.

2 Crystalline and semi-stable representations

2.1 Definitions

Let E be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . Let D_{st} be Fontaine's functor inducing an equivalence of categories between semi-stable representations of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over E and weakly admissible filtered (φ, N) -modules over E . The representations V_{k,a_p} and $V_{k,\mathfrak{L}}$ are defined using this functor as follows.

For every integer $k \geq 2$ and $a_p \in E$ of positive valuation, V_{k,a_p} is the irreducible two-dimensional *crystalline* representation over E of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with Hodge-Tate weights $(0, k-1)$ such that $\text{Fil}^i(D_{\text{st}}(V_{k,a_p}^*)) = Ee_1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, where e_1, e_2 are basis vectors over E of the two-dimensional filtered φ -module $D_{\text{st}}(V_{k,a_p}^*)$, and such that $\varphi e_1 = p^{k-1}e_2$, $\varphi e_2 = -e_1 + a_p e_2$ (so that the characteristic polynomial of φ is $x^2 - a_p x + p^{k-1}$).

Similarly, for every integer $k \geq 2$ and $\mathfrak{L} \in E$ (called the \mathfrak{L} -invariant), $V_{k,\mathfrak{L}}$ is the two-dimensional *semi-stable* representation over E of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ (which is known to be irreducible if $k \geq 3$) with Hodge-Tate weights $(0, k-1)$ and such that $\text{Fil}^i(D_{\text{st}}(V_{k,\mathfrak{L}}^*)) = E(e_1 + \mathfrak{L}e_2)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, where e_1, e_2 are basis vectors over E of the two-dimensional

³The works [BM02], [GP19] were used in our first proof of zig-zag (<https://arxiv.org/pdf/2211.12114.pdf>) for slopes up to $\frac{p-3}{2}$. These works compute the reduction of the associated strongly divisible module which is not available for $k_0 > p$ (and partially so for weight $k_0 = p$). The paper [CG23] computes instead the reduction of Breuil's Banach space $B(k_0, \mathfrak{L})$ and then uses the Iwahori mod p local Langlands correspondence [Chi23]. In principle, this method works for all weights, and in particular, [CG23] covers the cases $k_0 = p$ and $p+1$.

filtered (φ, N) -module $D_{\text{st}}(V_{k, \mathfrak{L}}^*)$, and such that $\varphi e_1 = p^{k/2} e_1$, $\varphi e_2 = p^{(k-2)/2} e_2$ and $N e_1 = e_2$, $N e_2 = 0$.

These representations are important as they arise as the Galois representations $\rho_f|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)}$ attached to normalized cuspidal newforms f of weight k and trivial character:

- V_{k, a_p} arises if p is prime to the level of f with a_p the p -th Fourier coefficient of the form
- $V_{k, \mathfrak{L}}$ arises if p exactly divides the level of f and the p -th Fourier coefficient of f is $p^{\frac{k-2}{2}}$ (as opposed to $-p^{\frac{k-2}{2}}$) with \mathfrak{L} the negative of the Fontaine-Mazur \mathfrak{L} -invariant of f .

2.2 Limits of crystalline representations

Let E be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . The Robba ring R over E is the ring of bidirectional power series in T over E which converge on some clopen annulus $p^{-M} \leq |T| < 1$ ($M > 0$ may vary with the power series).

Colmez [Col08] and Chenevier [Che13] have constructed a rigid analytic space whose E -valued points essentially parameterize non-split trianguline (φ, Γ) -modules of rank 2 over R . Let us recall the definition. Let \mathcal{T} be the rigid analytic variety of characters of \mathbb{Q}_p^* . Let χ be the p -adic cyclotomic character and let $x : \mathbb{Q}_p^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^*$ be the identity character. We call the characters $x^i \chi$ for $i \geq 0$ *exceptional*. For each $i \geq 0$, let F_i and F'_i be the closed analytic sub-varieties of $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} F_i(E) &= \{(\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \mathcal{T}(E) \times \mathcal{T}(E) \mid \delta_1 \delta_2^{-1} = x^i \chi\}, \\ F'_i(E) &= \{(\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \mathcal{T}(E) \times \mathcal{T}(E) \mid \delta_1 \delta_2^{-1} = x^{-i}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $F = \cup_{i \geq 0} F_i$ and $F' = \cup_{i \geq 0} F'_i$. The Colmez-Chenevier space $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_2$ is the blow-up of $(\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}) \setminus F'$ along F in the category of rigid-analytic spaces. For background on blow-ups in the rigid setting see [CGY21, §2].

The functor D_{rig} induces an equivalence of categories between representations of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over E and étale (φ, Γ) -modules over R (see, e.g., [Col08, §1]). Moreover, $D_{\text{rig}}(V_{k, a_p})$ and $D_{\text{rig}}(V_{k, \mathfrak{L}})$ are trianguline, so define points in $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_2$. The latter lie in the exceptional divisor of $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_2$.

The following theorem is proved in [CGY21, Remark 2 after Theorem 1.1].

Theorem 2.1. *Let $3 \leq k_0 \leq p+1$ and $k \equiv k_0 \pmod{p-1}$. Assume that $a_p = a_p(k)$ is a smooth function of k in a p -adic neighborhood of k_0 and $a_p(k_0) = p^{\frac{k_0-2}{2}}$.*

Then, the sequence of crystalline representations $V_{k, a_p(k)}^$ converges to the semi-stable representation $V_{k_0, \mathfrak{L}}^*$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_2$ with $\mathfrak{L} = 2a'_p(k_0)/a_p(k_0)$. In particular, for k sufficiently close (but not equal) to k_0 , the (semi-simplifications of the) reductions of $V_{k, a_p(k)}$ and $V_{k_0, \mathfrak{L}}$ are isomorphic.*

In the interest of keeping this paper self-contained, we sketch a proof of the theorem in the rest of this section. For details see [CGY21]. The explicit triangulation of $D_{\text{rig}}(V_{k, a_p}^*)$ is

$$0 \rightarrow R(\delta_1(k)) \rightarrow D_{\text{rig}}(V_{k, a_p(k)}^*) \rightarrow R(\delta_2(k)) \rightarrow 0$$

where $\delta_1(k) = \mu_{y(k)}$ for μ_x the unramified character of \mathbb{Q}_p^* taking p to $x \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}^*$,

$$y(k) = \frac{a_p(k) + \sqrt{a_p(k)^2 - 4p^{k-1}}}{2}, \quad (1)$$

and $\delta_2(k) = \mu_{y(k)^{-1}} \cdot \chi^{1-k}$, and where for a character δ of \mathbb{Q}_p^* , $R(\delta)$ is the (φ, Γ) -module of rank 1 over R with the twisted actions of φ and Γ given by $\varphi f(T) = \delta(p) \cdot f((1+T)^p - 1)$ and $\gamma f(T) = \delta(\chi(\gamma)) \cdot f((1+T)^{\chi(\gamma)} - 1)$ for $f(T) \in R$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Since $a_p(k) \rightarrow a_p(k_0) = p^{r_0/2}$, formula (1) shows that $y(k)$ converges to $p^{r_0/2}$ as $k \rightarrow k_0$ with $k \neq k_0$. So $\delta_1(k) \rightarrow \delta_1$ and $\delta_2(k) \rightarrow \delta_2$ for $\delta_1 := \mu_{p^{r_0/2}}$ and $\delta_2 := \mu_{p^{-r_0/2}} \chi^{1-k_0}$. Note that $\delta_1/\delta_2 = \mu_{p^{r_0}} \chi^{k_0-1} = x^{r_0} \chi$ is an exceptional character. In particular, the sequence of crystalline representations $V_{k, a_p(k)}^*$ converges to a semi-stable representation $V_{k_0, \mathfrak{L}}^*$ for some \mathfrak{L} as $k \rightarrow k_0$. The computation of \mathfrak{L} is delicate and proceeds as follows.

First it is not important to work with pairs of characters but only their quotients since the \mathfrak{L} -invariant only depends (by definition) on the quotient character. Thus the first reduction is that we may work in $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ which is the blow up of $\mathcal{T} \setminus \{x^{-i} | i \geq 0\}$ along the set $\{x^i \chi | i \geq 0\}$.

We pick a local affinoid chart U_{r_0} in $\mathcal{T} \setminus \{x^{-i} | i \geq 0\}$ around $x^{r_0} \chi$. An explicit formula for the underlying affinoid algebra may be found in [CGY21, §2], but ignoring the tame part of our characters, the E -valued points of U_{r_0} are

$$\begin{aligned} U_{r_0}(E) &= \{(x, y) \in p^{r_0} \mathcal{O}_E^* \times p \mathcal{O}_E\} \\ \delta &\mapsto (\delta(p), \delta(1+p) - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Let \tilde{U}_{r_0} be the preimage of U_{r_0} in $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$. Again, explicit formulas for an admissible cover of \tilde{U}_{r_0} may be found in *ibid.*, but the E -valued points of \tilde{U}_{r_0} are

$$\tilde{U}_{r_0}(E) = \{(x, y, a : b) \in U_{r_0}(E) \times \mathbb{P}^1(E) \mid (x - p^{r_0})b = (y - ((1+p)^{k_0-1} - 1))a\}.$$

Now the triangulation for the crystalline representations $V_{k, a_p(k)}^*$ above is unique (there is a unique non-split trianguline extension of $R(\delta_2(k))$ by $R(\delta_1(k))$ up to isomorphism) and in the coordinate patch for $\tilde{U}_{r_0}(E)$ above it corresponds to

$$(y(k)^2, (1+p)^{k-1} - 1, y(k)^2 - p^{r_0} : (1+p)^{k-1} - (1+p)^{k_0-1}) \in \tilde{U}_{r_0}(E).$$

Dividing the third and fourth terms above by $k - k_0$ (for $k \neq k_0$), a short computation shows that as $k \rightarrow k_0$ these points converge to a point in the exceptional divisor above $x^{r_0} \chi$, namely:

$$(p^{r_0}, (1+p)^{k_0-1} - 1, 2a_p(k_0)a'_p(k_0) : (1+p)^{k_0-1} \log(1+p)) \in \tilde{U}_{r_0}(E).$$

Now a delicate computation shows that the \mathfrak{L} -invariant of the corresponding (φ, Γ) -module in the sense⁴ of Colmez [Col08] is $-\mathfrak{L}$ with

$$\mathfrak{L} = 2 \frac{a'_p(k_0)}{a_p(k_0)}.$$

This computation involves working with two explicit bases of rank 2 trianguline (φ, Γ) -modules of exceptional weight, the first in terms of which the \mathfrak{L} -invariant is defined [Col08], and the second, considered by Benois [Ben11], in terms of which the \mathfrak{L} -invariant can be computed. We refer the reader to [CGY21, Theorem 5.2] for the details. In any case, the limiting Galois representation is $V(\delta_1, \delta_2, -\mathfrak{L})$ in the notation of [Col08] which by comparing filtered (φ, N) -modules is isomorphic to $V_{k_0, \mathfrak{L}}^*$. This proves the limiting statement in Theorem 2.1.

⁴After modifying the sign.

The final statement regarding the reductions follows from the following three facts: [Che13, Proposition 3.9] which shows that points in the rigid moduli space of trianguline representations $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_2$ live in families, a result of Kedlaya-Liu [KL10] which shows that affinoid locally around an étale point such families come from families of Galois representations, and the discussion on [Che13, p. 1513] which shows that the semi-simplifications of the reductions of Galois representations living in connected families are isomorphic.

This completes the overview of the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

3 Proof of zig-zag in the large

We now prove the zig-zag conjecture for the representations $V_{k,a_p(k)}$ for k sufficiently close to k_0 (Theorem 1.2). For $k = k_0$, Conjecture 1.1 is anyway true by [Edi92] for all $3 \leq k_0 \leq p+1$. So we prove the theorem assuming $k \rightarrow k_0$ with $k \neq k_0$.

To this end, let us compute the parameter τ in the statement of Conjecture 1.1. Recall that v_- and v_+ are the largest and smallest integers such that $v_- < r_0/2 < v_+$. For $l \geq 1$, let $H_l = \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{i}$ be the l -th partial harmonic sum, and set $H_0 = 0$. Set $H_- = H_{v_-}$ and $H_+ = H_{v_+}$. For any \mathfrak{L} in a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , let

$$\nu = v_p(\mathfrak{L} - H_- - H_+)$$

be the p -adic valuation of the \mathfrak{L} -invariant shifted by the partial harmonic sums H_- and H_+ .

Now since $a_p = a_p(k)$ is smooth about k_0 , it has a Taylor expansion at k_0 (see, e.g., [Sch84, Proposition 28.3]), which by Theorem 2.1 can be written as

$$a_p(k) = a_p(k_0) + a'_p(k_0)(k - k_0) + \cdots = p^{r_0/2} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{\mathfrak{L}}{2}(k - k_0)\right) + \cdots$$

where the $+\cdots$ means higher order terms involving at least $(k - k_0)^2$. As $k \rightarrow k_0$, we have $v_p(a_p(k)) = r_0/2$. Thus for k sufficiently close to k_0 , we have $v_- + v_+ = k_0 - 2$. For $r = k - 2$, the first binomial coefficient in τ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{r - v_-}{v_+} &= \binom{k - k_0 + v_+}{v_+} = \frac{(k - k_0 + v_+)(k - k_0 + v_+ - 1) \cdots (k - k_0 + 1)}{v_+!} \\ &= 1 + (k - k_0) \sum_{i=1}^{v_+} \frac{1}{i} + \cdots = 1 + (k - k_0)H_+ + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the second binomial coefficient is $\binom{r - v_+}{v_-} = 1 + (k - k_0)H_- + \cdots$. Using the above formulas, we can compute τ for $V_{k,a_p(k)}$ as $k \rightarrow k_0$ with $k \neq k_0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= v_p \left(\frac{a_p(k)^2 - \binom{r - v_-}{v_+} \binom{r - v_+}{v_-} p^{r_0}}{p a_p(k)} \right) \\ &= v_p \left(\frac{p^{r_0} (1 + 2 \cdot \frac{\mathfrak{L}}{2}(k - k_0) + \cdots) - p^{r_0} (1 + (k - k_0)(H_+ + H_-) + \cdots)}{p(p^{r_0/2} (1 + \frac{\mathfrak{L}}{2}(k - k_0)) + \cdots)} \right) \quad (2) \\ &= r_0 + v_p((\mathfrak{L} - H_- - H_+)(k - k_0) + \cdots) - (1 + r_0/2) \\ &= r_0/2 - 1 + \nu + t, \end{aligned}$$

at least if ν is finite. When $\nu = \infty$, note that $\tau - t \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow k_0$ with $k \neq k_0$.

But for k sufficiently close to k_0 with $k \neq k_0$, we have by Theorem 2.1 that

$$\bar{V}_{k,a_p(k)} \sim \bar{V}_{k_0,\mathfrak{L}}$$

with $\mathfrak{L} = 2a'_p(k_0)/a_p(k_0)$. Thus, for such k , we see that the reduction $\bar{V}_{k,a_p(k)}|_{I_p}$ is as described by Conjecture 1.1 if and only if

$$\bar{V}_{k_0,\mathfrak{L}}|_{I_p} \sim \begin{cases} \text{ind}(\omega_2^{r_0+1+i(p-1)}), & \text{if } \nu \in (i - r_0/2, i - r_0/2 + 1) \\ \omega^{r_0-i} \oplus \omega^{1+i}, & \text{if } \nu = i - r_0/2 + 1, \end{cases}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq \frac{r_0-1}{2}$ if r_0 is odd and $0 \leq i \leq \frac{r_0}{2}$ if r_0 is even, with conventions similar to those adopted after the statement of Conjecture 1.1. But this is exactly what is proved by Breuil-Mézard [BM02] for even $r_0 \leq p-1$, by Guerberoff-Park [GP19] for odd $r_0 \leq p-2$ (cf. [CGY21, §1.4]) and by Chitrao-Ghate [CG23, Theorem 1.1] in the respective missing cases $r_0 = p-1$ and $r_0 = p-2$. This proves zig-zag for the reductions of the crystalline representations $V_{k,a_p(k)}$ for large weights k sufficiently close to k_0 with $k \neq k_0$ on the inertia subgroup. The constants λ_i appearing in the unramified characters in the reduction on $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ are determined in the next section (see the formulas (6), (7) below). This proves Theorem 1.2. \square

4 Unramified constants

There remains the question of exact formulas for the constants λ_i appearing in the unramified mod p characters μ_{λ_i} in the reductions of the crystalline representations V_{k,a_p} in Conjecture 1.1.

In [Gha21], we also conjectured that when $\tau = t + i$, these constants have the shape:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_i &= \overline{*_i \cdot \frac{c}{p^i}} & \text{if } 0 \leq i < \frac{r_0-1}{2} \\ \lambda_i + \lambda_i^{-1} &= \overline{*_i \cdot \frac{c}{p^i}} & \text{if } i = \frac{r_0-1}{2} \text{ and } r_0 \text{ is odd,} \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

for some fudge factors $*_i$ which were left unspecified, but which were speculated to be simple rational expressions in r with $r_0 - r$ in the denominator. The following explicit formulas for the $*_i$ for the half-integral slopes $v_p(a_p) = \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}$ (so $r_0 = 1, 2, 3$, respectively) were derived over the past decade (in [BG13], [BGR18], [GR19], respectively) by directly using the p -adic and mod p local Langlands correspondences in the crystalline setting:

$$*_0 = \frac{r_0}{r_0 - r} \text{ for } r_0 = 1, 2, 3, \quad \text{and} \quad *_1 = \frac{r_0 - 1}{(r_0 - r - 1)(r_0 - r)} \text{ for } r_0 = 3. \tag{4}$$

On the other hand, a large portion of [CG23] is dedicated to computing the corresponding constants λ_i appearing in the reductions of the semi-stable representations $V_{k_0,\mathfrak{L}}$ for all $1 \leq r_0 \leq p-1$ and $p \geq 5$, including the tricky ‘self-dual’ constant below. When $\nu = i + 1 - r_0/2$, we have by [CG23, Theorem 1.1],

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_i &= \overline{(-1)^{i+1} (i+1) \binom{r_0-i}{i+1} \frac{\mathfrak{L} - H_- - H_+}{p^{i+1-r_0/2}}}, & \text{if } 0 \leq i < \frac{r_0-1}{2} \\ \lambda_i + \lambda_i^{-1} &= \overline{(-1)^{i+1} (i+1) \binom{r_0-i}{i+1} \frac{\mathfrak{L} - H_- - H_+}{p^{i+1-r_0/2}}}, & \text{if } i = \frac{r_0-1}{2} \text{ and } r_0 \text{ is odd.} \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

We can use (5) to completely determine the constants λ_i appearing in the reductions of the family of crystalline representations V_{k,a_k} with $a_p = a_p(k)$ in Theorem 1.2, for k sufficiently close to k_0 . Indeed, the computation in (2) shows that for $\tau = t + i$

$$\frac{\overline{\mathfrak{L} - H_- - H_+}}{p^{i+1-r_0/2}} = \frac{\overline{c}}{p^i(r-r_0)},$$

as $k \rightarrow k_0$ with $k \neq k_0$. Thus, for all $1 \leq r_0 \leq p-1$, (5) yields the complete formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_i &= \overline{*'_i \cdot \frac{c}{p^i}} & \text{if } 0 \leq i < \frac{r_0-1}{2} \\ \lambda_i + \lambda_i^{-1} &= \overline{*'_i \cdot \frac{c}{p^i}} & \text{if } i = \frac{r_0-1}{2} \text{ and } r_0 \text{ is odd,} \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

with

$$*_i' := \frac{(-1)^i (i+1) \binom{r_0-i}{i+1}}{r_0 - r}. \tag{7}$$

Comparing (7) with the four known fudge factors $*_i$ in (4) one sees that

$$*_i' = *_i,$$

in all but the last self-dual case, where the ratio of the two sides tends to 1 as $k \rightarrow k_0$.

Acknowledgements. This work came about in view of a question asked by A. Pal after a talk at a conference at ICTS in September 2022 organized by A. Burungale, H. Hida, S. Jha and Y. Tian. A first proof for slopes up to $\frac{p-3}{2}$ was written up in November 2022 during a visit to UNIST and KAIST with support from KAIX, and I would like to thank C. Park and W. Kim for the invitations. I also thank A. Chitrao for several useful discussions over many years, and in particular, for recent joint work which allowed us to extend the argument to the last two slopes $\frac{p-2}{2}$, $\frac{p-1}{2}$ in the conjecture.

References

- [Ben11] Denis Benois, *A generalization of Greenberg's \mathcal{L} -invariant*, Amer. J. of Math. **133** (2011), 1573–1632.
- [Ber10] Laurent Berger, *Représentations modulaires de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ et représentations galoisiennes de dimension 2*, Astérisque **330** (2010), 263–279.
- [BGR18] Shalini Bhattacharya, Eknath Ghate and Sandra Rozensztajn, *Reductions of representations of slope 1*, J. Algebra **508** (2018), 98–156.
- [Bre03] Christopher Breuil, *Sur quelques représentations modulaires et p -adiques de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ II*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **2** (2003), no. 1, 23–58.
- [BM02] Christophe Breuil and Ariane Mézard, *Multiplicités modulaires et représentations de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ et de $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}/\mathbf{Q}_p)$ en $l = p$ with an appendix by Guy Henniart*, Duke Math. J. **115** (2002), no. 2, 205–310.

- [BG13] Kevin Buzzard and Toby Gee, *Explicit reduction modulo p of certain 2-dimensional crystalline representations, II*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **45** (2013), no. 4, 779–788.
- [Che13] Gaëtan Chenevier, *Sur la densité des représentations cristallines du groupe de Galois absolu de \mathbb{Q}_p* , Math. Ann. **335** (2013), 1469–1525.
- [Chi23] Anand Chitrao, *An Iwahori theoretic mod p Local Langlands Correspondence*, Preprint, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.02919>.
- [CG23] Anand Chitrao and Eknath Ghate, *Reductions of semi-stable representations using the Iwahori mod p Local Langlands Correspondence*, Preprint, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.03740>.
- [CGY21] Anand Chitrao, Eknath Ghate and Seidai Yasuda, *Semi-stable representations as limits of crystalline representations*, Preprint, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.13676>.
- [Col08] Pierre Colmez, *Représentations triangulines de dimension 2*, Astérisque **319** (2008), 213–258.
- [Col10] Pierre Colmez, *Représentations de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ et (φ, Γ) -modules*, Astérisque (2010), no. 330, 281–509.
- [Edi92] Bas Edixhoven, *The weight in Serre’s conjectures on modular forms*, Invent. Math. **109** (1992), no. 3, 563–594.
- [Gha21] Eknath Ghate, *A zig-zag conjecture and local constancy for Galois representations*, Algebraic Number Theory and Related Topics 2018, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu **B86** (2021), Res. Inst. Math. Sci. (RIMS), Kyoto, 249–268.
- [GR19] Eknath Ghate and Vivek Rai, *Reductions of Galois representations of slope $\frac{3}{2}$* , Preprint, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.01728>, to appear in Kyoto J. Math.
- [GP19] Lucio Guerberoff and Chol Park, *Semi-stable deformation rings in even Hodge-Tate weights*, Pacific J. Math. **298** (2019), no. 2, 299–374.
- [KL10] Kiran S. Kedlaya and Ruochuan Liu, *On families of φ, Γ -modules*. Algebra Number Theory **4** (2010), no. 7, 943–967.
- [LP22] Wan Lee and Chol Park, *Semi-stable deformation rings in even Hodge–Tate weights: the residually reducible case*, Int. J. Number Theory **18** (2022), no.10, 2171–2209.
- [Sch84] Wim H. Schikhof, *Ultrametric calculus. An introduction to p -adic analysis*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **4**. Cambridge University Press (1984).