

Fermi-normal coordinates for the Newtonian approximation of gravity

Antonio C. Gutiérrez-Piñeres*

Escuela de Física, Universidad Industrial de Santander,

CP 680002, Bucaramanga, Colombia and

Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México,

AP 70543, México, CDMX 04510, México

In this work, we compute the metric corresponding to a static and spherically symmetric mass distribution in the general relativistic weak field approximation to quadratic order in Fermi-normal coordinates surrounding a radial geodesic. To construct a geodesic and a convenient tetrad transported along it, we first introduce a general metric, use the Cartan formalism of differential forms, and then specialize the space-time by considering the nearly Newtonian metric. This procedure simplifies the calculations significantly, and the expression for the radial geodesic admits a simple form. We conclude that in quadratic order, the effects of a Schwarzschild gravitational field measured locally by a freely falling observer equals the measured by an observer in similar conditions in the presence of a Newtonian approximation of gravitation.

* acgutier@uis.edu.co

I. INTRODUCTION

The local flatness theorem ensures that finding a local Lorentz frame at a point P in a given space-time is always possible. That means that, for a point P of space-time, it is always possible to find a coordinate system in which the metric tensor corresponds to the Minkowski tensor with zero Christoffel symbols. Therefore, free-falling observers do not see any effect of gravity in their immediate vicinity [1, 2].

Pioneering ideas about this theorem probably came from the definitions of the Riemann-normal coordinates of a point P in space-time. In such coordinates of the point P , the metric tensor corresponds to the Minkowski metric, with the first derivative vanishing and the second derivatives expressed only in terms of the Riemann tensor. More general coordinate systems exist where a single point admits extension to an arbitrary curve, leading to the metric orthogonal and few nonzero Christoffel symbols. These coordinate systems are essential in numerous physical situations [3].

Under Fermi transport, the fourth member of an orthonormal tetrad remains tangent on a general curve. The other members of the tetrad provide a spatial triad forming a reference frame for an observer whose world line is precisely the general curve in question. On that orthonormal tetrad, it is possible to construct a reference frame at which the metric is orthogonal and with nonzero Christoffel symbols along the observer world line. It is common to refer to this tetrad as the Fermi reference frame or simply as the Fermi coordinates. This frame gives us the correct relativistic generalization of the Newtonian concept of a non-rotating frame.

An exciting and particular case of the Fermi coordinates occurs when the curve in question is a geodesic. In this case, the Fermi transport of the orthonormal tetrad coincides with its parallel transport. If the operating coordinates satisfy the additional condition that the Christoffel symbols vanish along the geodesic, the resulting coordinates are called Fermi-normal coordinates. Manasse and Misner [4, 5] primarily used these coordinates to determine the lowest-order effects of a Schwarzschild gravitational field, which can be measured locally by a freely falling observer.

For as long as the theory of General Relativity has existed, there has been debate about the corresponding question of whether and to what extent Newton's theory of gravitation can be considered a special case or, at least, a limiting situation of General Relativity.

Much work has been dedicated to the study of Schwarzschild spacetime, and very special coordinates were used to establish its link with Newton's theory of gravitation. Likewise, there are numerous works dedicated to clarifying the transition from the Einsteinian gravitational potential to the Newtonian, and vice versa. The main interest of this work focuses on reinforcing existing knowledge, emphasizing the value of new tools and perspectives to understand established principles.

In this work, we ask ourselves how a freely falling observer determines the quadratic order effects of a Newtonian approximation of gravitation, and we review the well-understood principle of local equivalence between the effects of a Schwarzschild gravitational field and the Newtonian gravitational approximation. To answer the question, we construct a reference geodesic curve and transport a Fermi-normal frame along it. Following the ideas in the early paper by Manasse and Misner [4], Section II presents the geometric construction of the Fermi-normal coordinates and expresses the metric near the geodesic as to quadratic order in these coordinates.

The main advantage of using the normal Fermi coordinates is that, on the basis of these coordinates, an observer in free fall can quickly determine locally the Newtonian approximation of gravitation. To obtain the metric to quadratic order in Fermi-normal coordinates, we must express its coefficients as functions of the components of the Riemann curvature tensor evaluated on the geodesic used to construct these coordinates. Because of this, we need to know the geodesic explicitly and determine the orthonormal tetrad, which is parallel transported on the reference geodesic. Usually, this is more complex than it may seem.

We use local orthonormal tetrads and the Cartan formalism of the differential forms to simplify the calculations and clarify the procedure employed. From the physical point of view, this procedure is promising; in fact, a local orthonormal tetrad is the most straightforward and natural choice for an observer to perform local measurements of time, space, and gravity. Furthermore, once one chooses a local orthonormal tetrad, all the quantities related to this frame are invariant with respect to coordinate transformations.

From the mathematical point of view, the Cartan formalism of the differential forms is significantly helpful and allows us to represent the curvature Riemann tensor in its most straightforward manner. Additionally, it leads an observer placed on a local orthonormal tetrad transported parallelly on a reference geodesic, which looks like a right-line as viewed in such local tetrad. Evidently, the Cartan formalism of differential forms provides a stream-

lined and insightful approach to constructing geodesics.

In Section III, we introduce a general metric, express it in an orthonormal tetrad, use the Cartan formalism of differential forms, and finally, specialize the space-time by considering the nearly Newtonian metric. As far as we know, the precedent idea has yet to be explicitly discussed; equivalent procedures engage a mathematical process implying laborious techniques to obtain the reference geodesic. In Section IV, we specialize the space-time by considering the nearly Newtonian metric. Section V presents some concluding remarks and areas for further research.

II. GEOMETRIC CONSTRUCTION OF FERMI-NORMAL COORDINATES

In this section, we briefly illustrate the procedure for constructing Fermi-normal coordinates. To do so, we first consider a given space-time with a metric expressed in arbitrary coordinates $x^{\tilde{\alpha}}$ ¹. Then, we choose a point O as the origin and assume a time-like geodesic γ , which starts at this point. To describe the geodesic, we let t be a proper time along it so that the point O is given by taking $t = 0$, that is, $O = \gamma(0)$.

We erect an orthonormal basis of vectors $\mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2$ and \mathbf{e}_3 to fix the coordinates axis at O with tangent $\mathbf{e}_0 = \mathbf{e}_0(0)$. Because γ is a geodesic, its tangent at two arbitrary points will relate by parallel displacement along γ . Therefore, the vector $\mathbf{e}_0(t)$ will be tangent to the geodesic in any point $P = \gamma(t)$ on it. Similarly, the other vectors $\mathbf{e}_i(0)$ of the tetrad are parallel displaced to get $\mathbf{e}_i(t)$ at any arbitrary point P . We can assume for simplicity that $\mathbf{e}_0(t)$ is a time-like vector and $\mathbf{e}_i(t)$ are space-like vectors.

We can choose a time-like vector $\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{e}_0(0)$ and a vector $\mathbf{v}(0) = v^i \mathbf{e}_i(0)$ orthonormal to it, i. e. $\mathbf{u}(0) \cdot \mathbf{v}(0) = 0$. In terms of the arbitrary coordinates, these vectors admit the relation

$$u^{\tilde{\mu}} = (\mathbf{e}_\alpha)^{\tilde{\mu}} u^\alpha = (\mathbf{e}_0)^{\tilde{\mu}} , \quad (1)$$

$$v^{\tilde{\mu}} = (\mathbf{e}_\alpha)^{\tilde{\mu}} v^\alpha = (\mathbf{e}_i)^{\tilde{\mu}} v^i , \quad (2)$$

¹ Fermi-normal coordinates will labelled as x^α . We shall use the conventions according to which Greek indices α, β, \dots , and first Latin indices a, b, \dots , run over $0, \dots, 3$, while mid-Latin indices as i, j, \dots , run over $1, \dots, 3$.

where we chose, conveniently, $v^0 = 0$, and $u^\alpha = \delta_0^\alpha$, as well as

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} = (\mathbf{e}_\mu)^{\tilde{\alpha}} (\mathbf{e}_\nu)^{\tilde{\beta}} g_{\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}} , \quad (3)$$

everywhere on γ . Here $g_{\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}}$ is the metric in arbitrary coordinates, and $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ is the Minkowski metric.

Let us now consider a space-like geodesic β originating at a point P on γ , at which $t = t_P$. Then, given x^α , we construct the vector $\mathbf{v}(t) = v^i \mathbf{e}_i(t)$ tangent to β at point P . Naturally, we do

$$v^i = \frac{x^i}{s} , \quad s^2 \equiv (x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2 , \quad (4)$$

to normalize \mathbf{v} properly. We can construct a family of space-like geodesics $\beta(t, v^i)$ orthogonal to γ at P by choosing different v^i . This family of geodesics has proper distance s along β ; we set $s = 0$ at P . Then, a unique geodesic intersects γ orthogonally at P , is tangent to the vector \mathbf{v} , and passes through a point Q . To specify the point Q in Fermi-normal coordinates, we then write

$$Q = \beta(t, v^i, s) , \quad (5)$$

with t denoting proper time at the intersection point, v^i the components of the vector $\mathbf{v}(t)$ at that point, and s the proper distance from P to Q .

Equation (5) determines a point of a geodesic in Fermi-normal coordinates; we can rewrite it in some arbitrary coordinates $x^{\tilde{\alpha}} = (Q)^{\tilde{\alpha}}$ as

$$x^{\tilde{\alpha}} = x^{\tilde{\alpha}}(t, v^i, s) . \quad (6)$$

It is not difficult to demonstrate that, given an arbitrary parameter κ , $x^{\tilde{\alpha}}(t, sv^i, \kappa)$ and $x^{\tilde{\alpha}}(t, v^i, s\kappa)$ satisfy the same geodesic equation and initial condition. Hence, the uniqueness theorem for solutions of differential equations give us

$$x^{\tilde{\alpha}}(t, v^i, s\kappa) = x^{\tilde{\alpha}}(t, sv^i, \kappa) , \quad (7)$$

and, as a special case, we find

$$x^{\tilde{\alpha}}(t, v^i, s) = x^{\tilde{\alpha}}(t, sv^i, 1) \equiv x^{\tilde{\alpha}}(x^\alpha) , \quad (8)$$

which gives a transformation rule between $x^{\tilde{\alpha}}$ and the Fermi-normal coordinates.

Finally, the tangent vector to the geodesics $\beta(t, v^i, s)$ in the coordinates $x^{\tilde{\alpha}}$ is

$$v^{\tilde{\alpha}} = \left. \frac{\partial x^{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\partial s} \right|_{t, v^i} \equiv \frac{dx^{\tilde{\alpha}}}{ds} . \quad (9)$$

The notation indicates that we take the derivative with respect to s while keeping t and v^i fixed. Accordingly, this vector calculated in the geodesic γ reveals that

$$v^{\tilde{\alpha}}|_{\gamma} = \left. \frac{dx^{\tilde{\alpha}}}{ds} \right|_{\gamma} = \left. \frac{\partial x^{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\partial x^i} \right|_{s=0} \frac{dx^i}{ds} = \left. \frac{\partial x^{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\partial x^i} \right|_{s=0} v^i , \quad (10)$$

where we used the relation $x^i = sv^i$ given by Equation (4). Thus, a direct comparison between (2) and (10) gives

$$(\mathbf{e}_i)^{\tilde{\alpha}} = \left. \frac{\partial x^{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\partial x^i} \right|_{\gamma} . \quad (11)$$

Additionally, from (1) we know that

$$(\mathbf{e}_0)^{\tilde{\alpha}} = \left. \frac{\partial x^{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\partial t} \right|_{\gamma} . \quad (12)$$

Therefore, from (11) and (12) we conclude that along the geodesic γ ,

$$(\mathbf{e}_{\mu})^{\tilde{\alpha}} = \left. \frac{\partial x^{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \right|_{\gamma} , \quad (13)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\mathbf{e}_{\mu}(t) = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} \right|_{\gamma} , \quad (14)$$

is the orthonormal tetrad on the geodesic γ in the Fermi-normal coordinates.

Furthermore, we note that the relation between metric components in the Fermi-normal coordinate x^{α} and the components of the metric in the arbitrary coordinates $x^{\tilde{\alpha}}$ is given by

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial x^{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial x^{\tilde{\beta}}}{\partial x^{\nu}} g_{\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}} . \quad (15)$$

Thus, by evaluating all the quantities on the geodesic and using (13), we get

$$g_{\mu\nu} \Big|_{\gamma} = (\mathbf{e}_{\mu})^{\tilde{\alpha}} (\mathbf{e}_{\nu})^{\tilde{\beta}} g_{\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}} . \quad (16)$$

Hence, a direct comparison between (3) and (16) gives

$$g_{\mu\nu} \Big|_{\gamma} = \mathbf{e}_{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} . \quad (17)$$

The last result shows that, in the Fermi-normal coordinates, the metric is Minkowski everywhere on the geodesic γ . This result is consistent with the local-flatness theorem and the specialization of the Fermi original ideas. So, given a geodesic, it is possible to introduce Fermi-normal coordinates $x^\alpha = (t, x^i)$ near this so that the Christoffel symbols vanish along. Furthermore, to express the metric near the geodesic as [4]

$$g_{00} = -1 + R_{0l0m} \Big|_\gamma x^l x^m, \quad (18)$$

$$g_{0i} = 0 + \frac{2}{3} R_{0lim} \Big|_\gamma x^l x^m, \quad (19)$$

$$g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{3} R_{iljm} \Big|_\gamma x^l x^m. \quad (20)$$

Here, t is proper time along the geodesic γ , on which the spatial coordinates x^i vanish, and the curvature tensor is evaluated. The dependence of the metric on t is contained entirely in the curvature tensor components. Naturally, the metric corresponds to the Minkowski metric on the geodesic $x^i = 0$.

III. THE NEARLY NEWTONIAN SPACE-TIME

Linearized theory of gravity is a weak-field limit of general relativity. In this case, the metric coefficients expressed as

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu} \quad (21)$$

satisfies a linear approach to the Einstein field gravitational equations (See [3]). Here $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$,

$$h_{\mu\nu}(t, \mathbf{x}) \equiv 4 \int \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{ret}}(t - |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|, \mathbf{x}') d^3x', \quad (22)$$

and “ret” means the retarded value. Consequently, the stress-energy components that appear in the linearized field equations are the components obtained using special relativity, and, therefore, their conservation does not contain gravitational effects [1].

An engaging model of relativity occurs when bodies are kept at rest relative to each other by a material medium that fills the space between them. In this case, the weak gravitational fields approach allows for a specialization called nearly Newtonian fields and the

corresponding space-time, nearly Newtonian space-time. Here, the source of the gravitational field approximates a nearly Newtonian source: $T_{00} \gg |T_{0i}|$, $T_{00} \gg |T_{ij}|$, and velocities slow enough that retardation is negligible. In such case

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} - \delta_{\mu\nu}\phi , \quad (23)$$

where $\delta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, 1, 1, 1)$ and ϕ is a Newtonian potential $\phi \ll 1$. In terms of general relativity, the metric tensor corresponding to a nearly Newtonian space-time has the following form (see [1], page 470 and [3], page 206) :

$$\mathcal{G} = -(1 + 2\phi)dT \otimes dT + (1 - 2\phi)(dx \otimes dx + dy \otimes dy + dz \otimes dz) . \quad (24)$$

In the next section, we will calculate the metric corresponding to the nearly Newtonian space-time to quadratic order in Fermi-normal coordinates surrounding a radial geodesic. To do it, in the current section, we first must calculate the curvature tensor of the corresponding space-time in the Fermi-normal coordinates. This procedure requires previously computing the curvature of the given space-time in arbitrary coordinates. We initially introduce a general metric, express it in an orthonormal tetrad, and use the Cartan formalism of differential forms to emphasize the independence from the coordinates. Finally, specialize the space-time by considering the nearly Newtonian metric.

Consider a metric \mathcal{G} in coordinates y^a and a set of differential forms $\vartheta^{\hat{\alpha}} \equiv dy^{\hat{\alpha}}$, such that

$$\mathcal{G} = g_{ab}dy^a \otimes dy^b = \eta_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}\vartheta^{\hat{\alpha}} \otimes \vartheta^{\hat{\beta}} , \quad (25)$$

with $\eta_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$, and $\vartheta^{\hat{\alpha}} = (\mathbf{e}^{\hat{\alpha}})_a dy^a$. The first and second Cartan equations (See [1, 6]) ,

$$d\vartheta^{\hat{\alpha}} = -\omega^{\hat{\alpha}}_{\hat{\beta}} \wedge \vartheta^{\hat{\beta}} , \quad (26)$$

$$\Omega^{\hat{\alpha}}_{\hat{\beta}} = d\omega^{\hat{\alpha}}_{\hat{\beta}} + \omega^{\hat{\alpha}}_{\hat{\mu}} \wedge \omega^{\hat{\mu}}_{\hat{\beta}} = \frac{1}{2}R^{\hat{\alpha}}_{\hat{\beta}\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}\vartheta^{\hat{\mu}} \wedge \vartheta^{\hat{\nu}} \quad (27)$$

allow us to compute the Riemann curvature tensor components $R_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}$ in the local orthonormal frame $\vartheta^{\hat{\alpha}}$. As an additional point, if we introduce the bivector representation that defines the curvature components $R_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}$ as the components of a 6×6 matrix \mathbf{R}_{AB} according to the procedure discussed in [7, 8], it is possible to calculate the corresponding eigenvalues of the curvature tensor.

To specialize the metric (25) so that it corresponds to the nearly Newtonian space-time given by (24), let us consider the following metric in spherical coordinates $y^a = (T, R, \Theta, \Phi)$ in the form

$$\mathcal{G} = -(1 + 2\phi)dT \otimes dT + (1 - 2\phi)(dR \otimes dR + R^2 d\Theta \otimes d\Theta + R^2 \sin^2 \Theta d\Phi \otimes d\Phi) . \quad (28)$$

Here $\phi \ll 1$ is the Newtonian potential of a static mass distribution in a not-rotating local frame of reference. As we can see in (28), the $(1 - 2\phi)$ factor multiplies not only the radial component, such as in the Schwarzschild solution of the complete gravitation fields. Conversely, by definition, the $(1 - 2\phi)$ factor also multiplies the angular component of the metric. In this work, we limit ourselves to studying spherically symmetric gravitational configurations, assuming that ϕ depends on R . Remarkably, the fact that the $(1 - 2\phi)$ factor multiplies the angular part in general does not break the symmetry of the spherical space-time considered if the Newtonian potential depends only on the radial coordinate. In previous references, authors present some particular solutions of the Poisson equation determining specific forms of the Newtonian gravitational potentials. Each one of these solutions preserves the spherical symmetry of the nearly Newtonian space-time. However, as we shall see in the next section, performing a quadratic order of the nearly Newtonian gravitational field breaks the spherical symmetry if Fermi-Normal coordinates are employed.

The components of the orthonormal tetrad are then

$$\vartheta^{\hat{0}} = \sqrt{1 + 2\phi} dT \equiv d\mathcal{T} , \quad \vartheta^{\hat{1}} = \sqrt{1 - 2\phi} dR \equiv d\mathcal{R} , \quad (29)$$

$$\vartheta^{\hat{2}} = \sqrt{1 - 2\phi} R d\Theta \equiv d\theta , \quad \vartheta^{\hat{3}} = \sqrt{1 - 2\phi} R \sin \Theta d\Phi \equiv d\varphi , \quad (30)$$

which, in the first-order approximation, leads to the connection 1-form

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^{\hat{1}}_{\hat{0}} &= -\phi_R \vartheta^{\hat{0}} , \quad \omega^{\hat{2}}_{\hat{3}} = -\frac{1}{R}(1 + \phi) \cot \Theta \vartheta^{\hat{3}} , \\ \omega^{\hat{1}}_{\hat{2}} &= -\frac{1}{R}(1 + \phi - R\phi_R) \vartheta^{\hat{2}} , \quad \omega^{\hat{1}}_{\hat{3}} = -\frac{1}{R}(1 + \phi - R\phi_R) \vartheta^{\hat{3}} . \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Moreover, the only non-vanishing components of the curvature 2-form can be expressed up to the first order in ϕ as

$$\Omega^{\hat{0}}_{\hat{1}} = -\phi_{RR} \vartheta^{\hat{0}} \wedge \vartheta^{\hat{1}} , \quad \Omega^{\hat{0}}_{\hat{2}} = -\frac{1}{R} \phi_R \vartheta^{\hat{0}} \wedge \vartheta^{\hat{2}} , \quad \Omega^{\hat{0}}_{\hat{3}} = -\frac{1}{R} \phi_R \vartheta^{\hat{0}} \wedge \vartheta^{\hat{3}} , \quad (32)$$

$$\Omega^{\hat{2}}_{\hat{3}} = \frac{2}{R} \phi_R \vartheta^{\hat{2}} \wedge \vartheta^{\hat{3}} , \quad \Omega^{\hat{3}}_{\hat{1}} = (\phi_{RR} + \frac{1}{R} \phi_R) \vartheta^{\hat{2}} \wedge \vartheta^{\hat{3}} , \quad \Omega^{\hat{1}}_{\hat{2}} = (\phi_{RR} + \frac{1}{R} \phi_R) \vartheta^{\hat{1}} \wedge \vartheta^{\hat{2}} . \quad (33)$$

It then follows that the only non-zero components of the curvature tensor are

$$R_{\hat{0}\hat{1}\hat{0}\hat{1}} = \mathbf{R}_{\hat{1}\hat{1}} = \phi_{RR}, \quad R_{\hat{0}\hat{2}\hat{0}\hat{2}} = \mathbf{R}_{\hat{2}\hat{2}} = R_{\hat{0}\hat{3}\hat{0}\hat{3}} = \mathbf{R}_{\hat{3}\hat{3}} = \frac{1}{R}\phi_R, \quad (34)$$

$$R_{\hat{2}\hat{3}\hat{2}\hat{3}} = \mathbf{R}_{\hat{4}\hat{4}} = \frac{2}{R}\phi_R, \quad R_{\hat{3}\hat{1}\hat{3}\hat{1}} = \mathbf{R}_{\hat{5}\hat{5}} = R_{\hat{1}\hat{2}\hat{1}\hat{2}} = \mathbf{R}_{\hat{6}\hat{6}} = \phi_{RR} + \frac{1}{R}\phi_R. \quad (35)$$

Consequently, the curvature matrix $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{AB}}$ is diagonal with eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1 = \phi_{RR}, \quad \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \frac{1}{R}\phi_R, \quad (36)$$

$$\lambda_4 = \frac{2}{R}\phi_R, \quad \lambda_5 = \lambda_6 = \phi_{RR} + \frac{1}{R}\phi_R, \quad (37)$$

which satisfies the relationship

$$\sum_{i=1}^6 \lambda_i = 3 \left(\phi_{RR} + \frac{2}{R}\phi_R \right) = 3 \nabla^2 \phi, \quad (38)$$

where ∇^2 denotes the usual Laplace operator in the spherical coordinates. Furthermore, in Newtonian gravity, the eigenvalue problems of the Riemann curvature give us

$$\sum_{i=1}^6 \lambda_i = \frac{3\kappa}{2}\rho \Leftrightarrow \nabla^2 \phi = \frac{\kappa}{2}\rho. \quad (39)$$

Here, ρ represents the mass density of the source corresponding to the gravitational. This last result indicates that the approach for determining the eigenvalues presented in [7] is compatible with the Poisson equation. It then follows that in a region containing no mass, i.e., $\rho = 0$, the gravitational potential of a source of mass M is written as $\phi = -M/R$. Hence, the only non-zero components of the curvature tensor are

$$R_{\hat{0}\hat{1}\hat{0}\hat{1}} = \mathbf{R}_{\hat{1}\hat{1}} = -\frac{2M}{R}, \quad R_{\hat{0}\hat{2}\hat{0}\hat{2}} = \mathbf{R}_{\hat{2}\hat{2}} = R_{\hat{0}\hat{3}\hat{0}\hat{3}} = \mathbf{R}_{\hat{3}\hat{3}} = \frac{M}{R}, \quad (40)$$

$$R_{\hat{2}\hat{3}\hat{2}\hat{3}} = \mathbf{R}_{\hat{4}\hat{4}} = \frac{2M}{R}, \quad R_{\hat{3}\hat{1}\hat{3}\hat{1}} = \mathbf{R}_{\hat{5}\hat{5}} = R_{\hat{1}\hat{2}\hat{1}\hat{2}} = \mathbf{R}_{\hat{6}\hat{6}} = -\frac{M}{R}.$$

Consequently, the curvature matrix $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{AB}}$ is diagonal with eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1 = -\lambda_4 = -\frac{2M}{R}, \quad \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = -\lambda_5 = -\lambda_6 = \frac{M}{R}, \quad (41)$$

satisfying the relationship

$$\sum_{i=1}^6 \lambda_i = 0, \quad (42)$$

which agrees with equation (39).

IV. NEARLY NEWTONIAN SPACE-TIME TO QUADRATIC ORDER IN FERMI-NORMAL COORDINATES

This section aims to calculate the metric corresponding to the nearly Newtonian space-time to quadratic order in Fermi-normal coordinates surrounding a radial geodesic. We start by considering the nearly Newtonian metric in the orthonormal tetrad previously discussed in Equations (28) and, (29) and (30), i.e.,

$$\mathcal{G} = -d\mathcal{T} \otimes d\mathcal{T} + d\mathcal{R} \otimes d\mathcal{R} + d\theta \otimes d\theta + d\varphi \otimes d\varphi . \quad (43)$$

To find the equations of a radial geodesic $\mathcal{T}(t)$, $\mathcal{R}(t)$ with θ and φ constants, one may replace the geodesic equations with two first integrals:

$$-\mathcal{T}'^2 + \mathcal{R}'^2 = -1 , \quad (44)$$

$$-\mathcal{T}' = -k , \quad (45)$$

the normalization of proper time and a dimensionless energy parameter $(-k)$, respectively. Here, “ ’ ” denotes derivate with respect to the parameter t . By combining these equations, we get

$$\mathcal{R}'^2 = k^2 - 1 , \quad (46)$$

and, consequently, after renaming the constant $\alpha^2 \equiv (k^2 - 1)^{-1}$, we receive the geodesic equation given by

$$dt^2 = \alpha^2 d\mathcal{R}^2 . \quad (47)$$

Finally, after using the relations given in (29) and (30), we reach

$$dt^2 = \alpha^2 (1 - 2\phi) dR^2 . \quad (48)$$

This expression allows us to get a geodesic explicitly after specifying a Newtonian potential. Along with this work, we will consider only central Newtonian potentials; therefore, this result indicates that one may take R in place of proper time t to identify points on this geodesic.

So far, we have studied a nearly Newtonian approach corresponding to a static and spherical gravitational source and have chosen a geodesic in that space-time. Usually, the difficult

part of the subsequent calculations in resolving the Fermi coordinates is the determination of the orthonormal basis parallel transported on the reference geodesic. However, the calculations are simple since we have expressed the space-time on the orthonormal basis as given in Equations (29) and (30). On this basis, the geodesic looks like a right line, and the transformation between the Fermi-normal coordinates $x^\alpha \equiv (t, x, y, z)$ and the arbitrary coordinates are then $x^{\tilde{\alpha}} \equiv (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{R}, \theta, \varphi)$

$$\eta_{\alpha\beta} = (\mathbf{e}_\alpha)^{\hat{\mu}} (\mathbf{e}_\beta)^{\hat{\nu}} \eta_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} , \quad (49)$$

with

$$(\mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3) \equiv \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \Big|_\gamma . \quad (50)$$

Hence, the non-zero components of this basis in terms of the orthonormal tetrad previously discussed can be derived from

$$\mathbf{e}_0 \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_\gamma = \mathcal{T}' \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{T}} + \mathcal{R}' \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{R}} , \quad (51)$$

$$\mathbf{e}_1 \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Big|_\gamma = R' \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{T}} + \mathcal{T}' \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{R}} , \quad (52)$$

$$\mathbf{e}_2 \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Big|_\gamma = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} , \quad (53)$$

$$\mathbf{e}_3 \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Big|_\gamma = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} . \quad (54)$$

After determining the orthonormal basis, the next step in expressing the metric in the Fermi-normal coordinates is to project the curvature tensor components given by equation (34) in this basis. For this purpose, we use the transformation formula given by

$$R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = (\mathbf{e}_\alpha)^{\hat{\alpha}} (\mathbf{e}_\beta)^{\hat{\beta}} (\mathbf{e}_\mu)^{\hat{\mu}} (\mathbf{e}_\nu)^{\hat{\nu}} R_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} . \quad (55)$$

Hence, the non-zero components of the Riemann curvature tensor are:

$$R_{0101} = R_{\hat{0}\hat{1}\hat{0}\hat{1}} = \phi_{RR} , \quad (56)$$

$$R_{0303} = R_{0202} = \mathcal{T}'^2 R_{\hat{0}\hat{2}\hat{0}\hat{2}} + \mathcal{R}'^2 R_{\hat{1}\hat{2}\hat{1}\hat{2}} = k^2 \nabla^2 \phi - (\phi_{RR} + \frac{1}{R} \phi_R) , \quad (57)$$

$$R_{2323} = R_{\hat{2}\hat{3}\hat{2}\hat{3}} = \frac{2}{R} \phi_R , \quad (58)$$

$$R_{1313} = R_{1212} = \mathcal{R}'^2 R_{\hat{0}\hat{2}\hat{0}\hat{2}} + \mathcal{T}'^2 R_{\hat{1}\hat{2}\hat{1}\hat{2}} = k^2 \nabla^2 \phi - \frac{1}{R} \phi_R . \quad (59)$$

Finally, after introducing the last components of the Riemann curvature tensor in equations (18), (19) and (20), we get the Fermi-normal metric corresponding to the Newtonian approximation,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} = & - \left[1 - \phi_{RR}x^2 + \left(\phi_{RR} + \frac{1}{R}\phi_R - k^2\nabla^2\phi \right) (y^2 + z^2) \right] dt \otimes dt \\ & - \frac{1}{3R}\phi_R [(ydx - xdy) \otimes (ydx - xdy) + (xdz - zdx) \otimes (xdz - zdx) \\ & - 2(ydz - zdy) \otimes (ydz - zdy)] + dx \otimes dx + dy \otimes dy + dz \otimes dz \\ & + \frac{k^2}{3R}\nabla^2\phi [(ydx - xdy) \otimes (ydx - xdy) + (xdz - zdx) \otimes (xdz - zdx)] \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

By introducing spherical coordinates r, θ, φ related to the rectangular coordinates x, y, z by the standard formulas and taking the x direction as the polar axis, i.e.,

$$x = r \cos \theta, \quad y = r \sin \theta \cos \varphi, \quad z = r \sin \theta \sin \varphi,$$

the Fermi-normal metric corresponding to the nearly Newtonian space-time reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} = & - \left[1 - (2\phi_{RR} + \frac{1}{R}\phi_R - k^2\nabla^2\phi)r^2 \cos^2 \theta + (\phi_{RR} + \frac{1}{R}\phi_R - k^2\nabla^2\phi)r^2 \right] dt \otimes dt \\ & + dr \otimes dr + \left[1 - \frac{r^2}{3R}\phi_R + \frac{k^2}{3}r^2\nabla^2\phi \right] r^2 d\theta \otimes d\theta + \left[1 - \frac{r^2}{3R}\phi_R (3 \cos^2 \theta - 1) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{r^2}{3R^2}\phi_R + \frac{k^2}{3}r^2 \cos^2 \theta \nabla^2\phi \right] r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\varphi \otimes d\varphi. \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

To illustrate the Fermi-normal metric corresponding to the nearly Newtonian space-time, let us consider a spherically symmetric solution. Then, the exterior field should correspond to that of a sphere described by a solution of the Laplace equation with

$$\rho = 0, \quad \phi = -\frac{M}{R}, \quad (62)$$

where M is a constant. It is then straightforward to calculate the Fermi-normal metric, which turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} = & - [1 + \mu(3 \cos^2 \theta - 1)] dt \otimes dt + dr \otimes dr + \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{3} \right) r^2 d\theta \otimes d\theta \\ & + \left[1 - \mu(3 \cos^2 \theta - 1) + \frac{\mu}{3} \right] r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\varphi \otimes d\varphi, \quad \mu \equiv \frac{Mr^2}{R^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

Consistently with this metric, the geodesic (48) takes the particular form

$$dt^2 = \alpha^2 \left(1 + \frac{2M}{R} \right) dR^2. \quad (64)$$

In Equation (61), we observe that performing a quadratic order of the nearly Newtonian gravitational field breaks the spherical symmetry if Fermi-Normal coordinates are used. In fact, even if the Newtonian potential has no angular dependence, the Fermi-normal metric corresponding to the Newtonian approximation contains mixed terms, implying functionality in terms of angular variables. The reason for that angular dependence follows from the construction of the metric tensor in terms of the Riemann curvature tensor. Another critical point to discuss in this part has to do with the radial coordinate R . Attending Equation (64), we observe that in Equation (63) R must be considered a function of t or to take R as the time coordinate. The chief conclusion about all of this is that the description of the nearly Newtonian gravitational field on the basis of the Fermi-normal coordinates, in a quadratic order of approximation in general relativity, exhibits different symmetry to that gravitational field in the Newton theory employed to perform that approximation.

In this section, we studied an example consisting of a Newtonian potential of a punctual mass. On the basis of the Fermi-normal coordinates, in the quadratic order of approximation in general relativity, this corresponds to the potential of a no-static source with no spherical symmetry. A similar result is obtained by performing a description of the Schwarzschild on the basis of the Fermi-normal coordinates in the quadratic order of approximation. In this case, we obtain the space-time near a no-static source with deformation parameters different from zero. We finalize this section by calculating the shape of a sphere whose center of mass coincides with the coordinates of some point of the central geodesic. To do so, we define a sphere Σ as the surface formed by all points a proper fixed distance r measured orthogonally from such a point. For the coordinates of Equation (61) this is the surface $t = \text{constant}$, $r = \text{constant}$, whose metric is,

$$\mathcal{G}|_{\Sigma} = \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{3}\right) r^2 d\theta \otimes d\theta + \left[1 - \mu(3 \cos \theta - 1) + \frac{\mu}{3}\right] r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\varphi \otimes d\varphi . \quad (65)$$

The length of the great circle $\varphi = \text{constant}$ over the poles of this sphere is

$$L_{\text{Poles}} = \int_0^{2\pi} \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{3}\right)^{1/2} r d\theta \approx 2\pi r \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{6}\right) . \quad (66)$$

The circumference of the equator, $\theta = \pi/2$, is

$$L_{\text{Equator}} = \int_0^{2\pi} \left[1 - \frac{\mu}{3}(3 \cos \theta - 1) + \frac{\mu}{3}\right]^{1/2} r \sin \theta d\varphi |_{\theta=\pi/2} \approx 2\pi r \left(1 + \frac{\mu}{3}\right) . \quad (67)$$

Consequently, the distortion of the shape η of the sphere is given by

$$\eta \equiv \left| \frac{L_{\text{Poles}} - L_{\text{Equator}}}{L_{\text{Poles}} + L_{\text{Equator}}} \right| = \frac{\mu}{4} = \frac{Mr^2}{4R^3} . \quad (68)$$

This result indicates that a sphere $r=\text{constant}$ is a surface shaped like a prolate spheroid.

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this work, we find the metric corresponding to a static gravitational source for a weak-field approximation to quadratic order in fermi-normal coordinates surrounding a radial geodesic. We conclude that in the lowest order, the effects of a Schwarzschild gravitational field measured locally by a freely falling observer equals the measured by an observer in similar conditions in the presence of a Newtonian approximation of gravitation.

To simplify the calculations in this work, we introduced a general metric, expressed it in an orthonormal tetrad, and used the Cartan formalism of differential forms. Incidentally, we cast out the eigenvalues of the Riemann tensor corresponding to the nearly Newtonian gravitation. It is possible to directly compare these eigenvalues and the corresponding to the nearly Newtonian gravitation in its quadratic approximation. This comparison reveals that the metric corresponds to a non-static space-time in its quadratic approximation.

The results obtained in this work indicate the possibility of studying the nearly Newtonian gravitation in its quadratic approximation in other theories and scenarios, for instance, the problem of two gravitational sources, the interaction between gravitational sources in Einstein-Maxwell gravity, etcetera. The discussion presented here can be generalized to stationary environments and rotating observers. It can be used in practical problems to improve understanding of relativistic effects, for example, the Sagnac effect. We expect to consider this problem in future works.

Appendix A: Fermi normal coordinates for the Schwarzschild solution

In this section, we compute the metric corresponding to the Schwarzschild solution to quadratic order in Fermi-normal coordinates surrounding a radial geodesic. The Schwarzschild metric in a not-rotating local frame of reference in spherical coordinates $y^a = (T, R, \Theta, \Phi)$

admits the form

$$\mathcal{G} = -X dT \otimes dT + \frac{1}{X} dR \otimes dR + R^2 (d\Theta \otimes d\Theta + \sin^2 \Theta d\Phi \otimes d\Phi), \quad X \equiv 1 - \frac{2M}{R}. \quad (\text{A1})$$

To use the Cartan formalism, we introduce the orthonormal tetrad

$$\vartheta^{\hat{0}} = X^{1/2} dT \equiv d\mathcal{T}, \quad \vartheta^{\hat{1}} = X^{-1/2} dR \equiv d\mathcal{R}, \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$\vartheta^{\hat{2}} = R d\Theta \equiv d\theta, \quad \vartheta^{\hat{3}} = R \sin \Theta d\Phi \equiv d\varphi, \quad (\text{A3})$$

which leads to the metric in the rectangular form

$$\mathcal{G} = -d\mathcal{T} \otimes d\mathcal{T} + d\mathcal{R} \otimes d\mathcal{R} + d\theta \otimes d\theta + d\varphi \otimes d\varphi, \quad (\text{A4})$$

and the connection 1-form

$$\omega^{\hat{1}}_{\hat{0}} = -\frac{M}{R^3} X^{-1/2} \vartheta^{\hat{0}}, \quad \omega^{\hat{2}}_{\hat{3}} = -\frac{1}{R} \cot \Theta \vartheta^{\hat{3}}, \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$\omega^{\hat{1}}_{\hat{2}} = -\frac{1}{R} X^{1/2} \vartheta^{\hat{2}}, \quad \omega^{\hat{1}}_{\hat{3}} = -\frac{1}{R} X^{1/2} \vartheta^{\hat{3}}. \quad (\text{A6})$$

It then follows that the only non-zero components of the curvature tensor are

$$R_{\hat{0}\hat{1}\hat{0}\hat{1}} = \mathbf{R}_{\hat{1}\hat{1}} = -\frac{2M}{R}, \quad R_{\hat{0}\hat{2}\hat{0}\hat{2}} = \mathbf{R}_{\hat{2}\hat{2}} = R_{\hat{0}\hat{3}\hat{0}\hat{3}} = \mathbf{R}_{\hat{3}\hat{3}} = \frac{M}{R}, \quad (\text{A7})$$

$$R_{\hat{2}\hat{3}\hat{2}\hat{3}} = \mathbf{R}_{\hat{4}\hat{4}} = \frac{2M}{R}, \quad R_{\hat{3}\hat{1}\hat{3}\hat{1}} = \mathbf{R}_{\hat{5}\hat{5}} = R_{\hat{1}\hat{2}\hat{1}\hat{2}} = \mathbf{R}_{\hat{6}\hat{6}} = -\frac{M}{R}. \quad (\text{A8})$$

Consequently, the curvature matrix $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{AB}}$ is diagonal with eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1 = -\frac{2M}{R}, \quad \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \frac{M}{R}, \quad (\text{A9})$$

$$\lambda_4 = \frac{2M}{R}, \quad \lambda_5 = \lambda_6 = -\frac{M}{R}, \quad (\text{A10})$$

which satisfies the relationship

$$\sum_{i=1}^6 \lambda_i = 0, \quad (\text{A11})$$

in consistency with the fact that the Schwarzschild solution is a vacuum solution of the Einstein equations.

To find the equations of a radial geodesic $\mathcal{T}(t)$, $\mathcal{R}(t)$ with θ and φ constants, one may replace the geodesic equations with two first integrals:

$$-\mathcal{T}'^2 + \mathcal{R}'^2 = -1, \quad (\text{A12})$$

$$-\mathcal{T}' = -k, \quad (\text{A13})$$

the normalization of proper time and a dimensionless energy parameter $(-k)$, respectively. Here, “ ’ ” denotes derivate with respect to the parameter t . After combining these equations, we get

$$\mathcal{R}'^2 = k^2 - 1 , \quad (\text{A14})$$

and, consequently, after renaming the constant $\alpha^2 = (k^2 - 1)^{-1}$ we receive the geodesic equation given by

$$dt^2 = \alpha^2 d\mathcal{R}^2 . \quad (\text{A15})$$

Finally, after using the relations given in (A2) and (A3), we reach

$$dt^2 = \frac{\alpha^2}{1 - 2M/R} dR^2 . \quad (\text{A16})$$

This expression allows us to get a radial geodesic in the Schwarzschild background. As we can see, because of its functional dependence, one may take R in place of proper time t to identify points on this geodesic. As we can see, similarly to the early Newtonian approach, the calculations are simple since we have expressed the space-time on the orthonormal basis as given in Equations (A2) and (A3) . On this basis, the geodesic looks like a right line, and the transformation between the Fermi-normal coordinates $x^\alpha \equiv (t, x, y, z)$ and the arbitrary coordinates are then $x^{\tilde{\alpha}} \equiv (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{R}, \theta, \varphi)$

$$\eta_{\alpha\beta} = (\mathbf{e}_\alpha)^{\hat{\mu}} (\mathbf{e}_\beta)^{\hat{\nu}} \eta_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} \quad (\text{A17})$$

with

$$(\mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3) \equiv \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \Big|_\gamma . \quad (\text{A18})$$

Hence, the non-zero components of this basis in terms of the orthonormal tetrad previously discussed can be derived from

$$\mathbf{e}_0 \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_\gamma = \mathcal{T}' \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{T}} + \mathcal{R}' \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{R}} , \quad (\text{A19})$$

$$\mathbf{e}_1 \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Big|_\gamma = R' \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{T}} + \mathcal{T}' \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{R}} , \quad (\text{A20})$$

$$\mathbf{e}_2 \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Big|_\gamma = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} , \quad (\text{A21})$$

$$\mathbf{e}_3 \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Big|_\gamma = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} . \quad (\text{A22})$$

After determining the orthonormal basis, the next step in expressing the metric in the Fermi-normal coordinates is to project the curvature tensor components given by equation (34) in this basis. For this purpose, we use the transformation formula given by

$$R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = (\mathbf{e}_\alpha)^{\hat{\alpha}}(\mathbf{e}_\beta)^{\hat{\beta}}(\mathbf{e}_\mu)^{\hat{\mu}}(\mathbf{e}_\nu)^{\hat{\nu}} R_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} . \quad (\text{A23})$$

Hence, the non-zero components of the Riemann curvature tensor are:

$$R_{0101} = R_{\hat{0}\hat{1}\hat{0}\hat{1}} = -\frac{2M}{R^3} , \quad (\text{A24})$$

$$R_{0303} = R_{0202} = \mathcal{T}'^2 R_{\hat{0}\hat{2}\hat{0}\hat{2}} + \mathcal{R}'^2 R_{\hat{1}\hat{2}\hat{1}\hat{2}} = \frac{M}{R^3} , \quad (\text{A25})$$

$$R_{2323} = R_{\hat{2}\hat{3}\hat{2}\hat{3}} = \frac{M}{R^3} , \quad (\text{A26})$$

$$R_{1313} = R_{1212} = \mathcal{R}'^2 R_{\hat{0}\hat{2}\hat{0}\hat{2}} + \mathcal{T}'^2 R_{\hat{1}\hat{2}\hat{1}\hat{2}} = -\frac{M}{R^3} . \quad (\text{A27})$$

Finally, after introducing the last components of the Riemann curvature tensor in equations (18), (19) and (20), we get the Fermi-normal metric corresponding to the Schwarzschild space-time,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} = & - \left[1 - \frac{M}{R^3}(y^2 + z^2 - 2x^2) \right] dt \otimes dt \\ & + \frac{2M}{3R^3} [xydx \otimes dy + xzdx \otimes dz - 2yzdy \otimes dz] \\ & + \left[1 - \frac{M}{3R^3}(y^2 + z^2) \right] dx \otimes dx \\ & + \left[1 - \frac{M}{3R^3}(x^2 - 2z^2) \right] dy \otimes dy \\ & + \left[1 - \frac{M}{3R^3}(x^2 - 2y^2) \right] dz \otimes dz . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A28})$$

By introducing spherical coordinates r, θ, φ related to the rectangular coordinates x, y, z by the standard formulas and taking the x direction as the polar axis, i.e. ,

$$x = r \cos \theta , \quad y = r \sin \theta \cos \varphi , \quad z = r \sin \theta \sin \varphi ,$$

the Fermi-normal metric corresponding to the Schwarzschild space-time reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} = & - \left[1 + \mu(3 \cos^2 \theta - 1) \right] dt \otimes dt + dr \otimes dr + \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{3} \right) r^2 d\theta \otimes d\theta \\ & + \left[1 - \mu(3 \cos^2 \theta - 1) + \frac{\mu}{3} \right] r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\varphi \otimes d\varphi , \quad \mu \equiv \frac{Mr^2}{R^3} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A29})$$

-
- [1] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (Princeton University Press, 2017).
 - [2] E. Poisson, *A relativist's toolkit: the mathematics of black-hole mechanics* (Cambridge university press, 2004).
 - [3] J. Synge, *Relativity: the general theory* (North-Holland Pub. Co.; Interscience Publishers, Amsterdam; New York, 1960).
 - [4] F. Manasse and C. W. Misner, Journal of mathematical physics **4**, 735 (1963).
 - [5] F. K. Manasse, Journal of Mathematical Physics **4**, 746 (1963).
 - [6] S. Chandrasekhar, *The mathematical theory of black holes*, Oxford classic texts in the physical sciences (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2002).
 - [7] A. C. Gutiérrez-Piñeres and H. Quevedo, Classical and Quantum Gravity **36**, 135003 (2019).
 - [8] A. C. Gutiérrez-Piñeres and H. Quevedo, Classical and Quantum Gravity **39**, 035015 (2022).