

(Almost) Contact (3) (Metric) Structure(s) and Transverse $SU(3)$ Structures Associated with $\mathcal{M}$ -Theory Dual of Thermal QCD at Intermediate Coupling

Aalok Misra*, Gopal Yadav†

Department of Physics,
Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee 247667, India

Abstract

Relevant to top-down $\mathcal{M}$ -theory dual of large- N thermal QCD-like theories at *intermediate* coupling, induced by the G_2 structure supported by the closed M_7 - a warped product of the $\mathcal{M}$ -theory circle and a non-Kähler six-fold which is the warped product of the thermal circle with a non-Einsteinian deformation of $T^{1,1}$ - we obtain explicitly (Almost) Contact (3) (Metric)Structure(s) and a three-tuple of $SU(3)$ structures along the “transverse” six-fold induced by the AC(3)MS on M_7 . (Assuming the arguments to also hold for non-closed manifolds, similar structures can be obtained on the non-closed $\tilde{M}_7$ - a warped product of the $\mathcal{M}$ -theory circle and a non-Kähler conifold.) The aforementioned ACS do(es) not yield a Contact Structure. We separately obtain an explicit Contact Structure on the seven-fold M_7 , and then obtain an explicit transverse $SU(3)$ structure from the same. These results are expected to be very useful in the context of classification of non-supersymmetric geometries relevant to top-down holographic thermal QCD at intermediate coupling.

One of us (AM) dedicates this paper to the memory of Professor Asoke Nath Mitra - an unparalleled academic and sentient being

*email- aalok.misra@ph.iitr.ac.in

†email- gyadav@ph.iitr.ac.in

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	String/$\mathcal{M}$-Theory Setup and (Almost) Contact (3) Metric Structures [(A)C(3)MS] Basics	5
2.1	$\mathcal{M}$ -Theory Uplift of Large- N Thermal QCD and the MQGP Limit	5
2.2	(A)C(3)MS	8
3	G_2-Structure of $M_7 = S^1_{\mathcal{M}} \times_w (S^1_{\text{thermal}} \times_w M_5) _{\text{Ouyang-embedding[parent type IIB]} \cap \mu_{\text{Ouyang}} \ll 1}$	10
4	(A)C(3)(M)S	12
4.1	AC(3)S arising from $\tau_{i=0,2,3}(M_7)$	12
4.2	AC(3)MS on M_7	13
5	C(3)S on M_7	14
5.1	Construction of Contact (3) Structure	14
6	$SU(3)$ Structure on a Manifold with G_2 Structure $\Leftrightarrow$ Reduction of G_2 Structure to $SU(3)$ Structure	22
6.1	$M_7 _{\text{Ouyang-embedding[parent type IIB]} \cap \mu_{\text{Ouyang}} \ll 1}$	23
6.1.1	Transverse $SU(3)$ Structure from ACMS	23
6.1.2	Transverse $SU(3)$ Structure from CMS	23
7	Summary	27
A	Co-frames near $\psi = 2n\pi, n = 0, 1, 2$-patches	30
B	$\Omega^1_a, \Omega^7_a, \Omega^a_{bc}$	33
C	$\Phi, * \Phi, d\Phi, *d\Phi, *d * \Phi$	40

$$\mathbf{D} \quad \Omega_- : i_{R(1)} \Omega_- = 0 \cap * \Phi = -\sigma^{(1)} \wedge \Omega_- + \frac{1}{2} \omega_\Phi^2 \quad 41$$

1 Introduction

G_2 -structure manifolds are ubiquitous to fluxed $\mathcal{M}$ -theory compactifications [1]. Manifolds with Almost Contact Structures (which induce a complex structure on the “transverse geometry”) could be thought of as odd-dimensional analogs of even-dimensional manifolds equipped with almost complex structures. The obstruction of the existence of nowhere vanishing vector fields on closed manifolds essential to the existence of ACS, is non-vanishing Euler characteristic. As the Euler characteristic of odd-dimensional manifolds is vanishing, therefore odd-dimensional manifolds may support ACS. Seven-dimensional manifolds equipped with G_2 -structure are known to provide an Almost Contact 3 Structure (AC(3)S). The existence of nowhere-vanishing vector fields implies that the structure group of the tangent bundle is reducible which has an implication on the amount of SUSY preserved by the vacuum. Also, a seven-fold supporting a G_2 structure can be shown to admit an Almost Contact Metric Structure (ACMS) and this implies that the structure group reduces to $SU(3)$. In fact, there exist at least three non-zero vector fields on a manifold with G_2 structure which provides the manifold with an AC3MS.

The use of G -structure torsion classes is a very useful tool for classifying, specially non-Kähler geometries. A complete classification of the $SU(n)$ structures relevant to non-supersymmetric string vacua, does not exist [2].

In the context of $SU(3)$ -structure manifolds, non-supersymmetric type II vacua on $SU(3)$ -structure manifolds were studied in [3] and classified using calibrations in [4], and similar solutions in heterotic string theory were obtained in [5] - see [6] for G_2 structures relevant to non-supersymmetric vacua in heterotic($\mathcal{M}$ -) SUGRA.

A classification of $SU(3)/G_2/Spin(7)/Spin(4)$ structures relevant to *non-supersymmetric* (UV-complete) string theoretic dual of large- N thermal QCD-like theories, and its $\mathcal{M}$ -theory uplift, has been missing in the literature. This is what we initiated in [7] wherein we worked out the $SU(3)$ -structure torsion classes of the relevant non-Kähler conifold M_6 = relevant to the type IIA SYZ mirror, the G_2 -structure torsion classes of the relevant seven-fold $M_7 = S^1 \times_w M_6$, i.e. a warped product of the $\mathcal{M}$ -theory circle and M_6 , as well as the $SU(4)$ -structure and $Spin(7)$ -structure torsion classes of the eight-fold M_8 - a warped product of the thermal S^1 and M_7 - relevant to the $\mathcal{M}$ -Theory uplift. Table 1 summarizes the G -structure torsion classes’ results.

We continue in this work wherein we obtain explicitly (Almost) Contact (Metric)(3)Structures and associated transverse $SU(3)$ structures corresponding to seven/six-folds relevant to the $\mathcal{M}$ -

S. No.	Manifold	G -Structure	Non-Trivial Torsion Classes
1.	$M_6 = \text{non-Kähler conifold}$	$SU(3)$	$T_{SU(3)}^{\text{IIA}} = W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus W_3 \oplus W_4 \oplus W_5 : W_4 \sim W_5$
2.	$M_7 = S_{\mathcal{M}}^1 \times_w M_6$	G_2	$T_{G_2}^{\mathcal{M}} = W_1 \oplus W_7 \oplus W_{14} \oplus W_{27}$ $\downarrow r \sim (1 + \alpha_a)a, \alpha_a \sim 0.1 - 0.3$ $W_1 = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{\alpha_{W_1}}}\right), \alpha_{W_1} > 1,$ therefore disregarded up to $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$; $W_7 \approx 0$ $\Rightarrow T_{G_2}^{\mathcal{M}} = W_{14} \oplus W_{27}$
3.	$M_8 = S_t^1 \times_w M_7$	$SU(4)$	$T_{SU(4)}^{\mathcal{M}} = W_2 \oplus W_3 \oplus W_5$
4.	M_8	$Spin(7)$	$T_{Spin(7)}^{\mathcal{M}} = W_1 \oplus W_2$

Table 1: IR G -Structure Classification of Six-/Seven-/Eight-Folds in the type IIA/ $\mathcal{M}$ -Theory Duals of Thermal QCD-Like Theories (at High Temperatures) and for $r \in \text{IR}$

theory inclusive of $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ terms, dual of large- N thermal QCD at intermediate coupling as obtained in [8], [7].

Main result of the paper: In this paper we prove the proposition (partly numerically):

Proposition 1: The seven-fold M_7 , with coframes $e^{a=1,\dots,7}$, which is the warped product of the $\mathcal{M}$ -theory circle and a non-Kähler six-fold that is the warped product of the thermal circle and a non-Einsteinian generalization of $T^{1,1}$ which figures in the $\mathcal{M}$ -theory uplift of the type IIB dual of large- N thermal QCD (the latter as constructed in [9], with its type IIA SYZ mirror and leading order (in a derivative expansion) $\mathcal{M}$ -theory uplift constructed in [8] and $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ corrections worked out in [7]), near the Ouyang embedding of the flavor $D7$ -branes (A4) in the parent type IIB dual of large- N thermal QCD in the limit of very small Ouyang embedding parameter, and $N = 100, M(\text{number of fractional } D3\text{-branes in [9]}) = N_f(\text{number of flavor } D7\text{-branes in [9]}) = 3, g_s = 0.1$:

1. supports a G_2 structure with the non-trivial torsion classes τ given by $\tau = \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \oplus \tau_3$;
2. supports an Almost Contact (3) Metric Structure $(\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3) = (e^1, e^7, -e^2)$ with associated $(R^1, R^2, R^1 \times_{\Phi} R^2)$ which does not correspond to Contact (3) Structure;
3. supports a Contact (3) Structure: $(\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3) = (\alpha_1 e^1 + \alpha_3 e^3 + \alpha_7 e^7, \beta_1 e^1 + \beta_4 e^4 + \beta_7 e^7, \sigma^3)$ with $\sigma^3 : \sigma^3(R^1 \times_{\Phi} R^2) = 1$
4. inherits a transverse $SU(3)$ structure $(\Omega_+^{(\alpha)}, \Omega_-^{(\alpha)})$ wherein $\Omega_+^{(\alpha)} = \Phi - \sigma^{(\alpha)} \wedge \omega_{\Phi}^{(\alpha)}, \Omega_-^{(\alpha)} = \sigma^{(\alpha)} \lrcorner \left(\frac{1}{2} \omega_{\Phi}^{(\alpha)} \wedge \omega_{\Phi}^{(\alpha)} - *_7 \Phi \right), \alpha = 1, 2, 3$ from the AC(3)S constructed in 2.

5. inherits a transverse $SU(3)$ structure from the C(3)S constructed in 3, $(\Omega_+^{(\alpha)}, \Omega_-^{(\alpha)})$, where $\Omega_+^{(\alpha)} = \Phi - \sigma^{(\alpha)} \wedge \omega_\Phi^{(\alpha)}$ and, e.g., for $\alpha = 1$, a three-parameter $(\Lambda_{145}^{(1)}, \Lambda_{156}^{(1)}, \Lambda_{456})$ family of:

$$\Omega_- = \Lambda_{AMC} e^{ABC} = \Lambda_{1b_0c_0}^{(1)} e^{1b_0c_0} + \Lambda_{3b_0c_0}^{(1)} e^{3b_0c_0} + \Lambda_{7b_0c_0}^{(1)} e^{7b_0c_0} + \Lambda_{a_0b_0c_0} e^{a_0b_0c_0},$$

where $a_0, b_0, c_0 = 2, 4, 5, 6$ and Λ_{456} is the only linearly independent non-vanishing $\Lambda_{a_0b_0c_0}$.

The remainder of the paper which is based on the proof of the aforementioned Proposition 1, is organised as follows. Section 2, via two subsections, summarizes in 2.1 the $\mathcal{M}$ -theory dual of large- N thermal QCD and the ‘‘MQGP limit’’, and (Almost) Contact (3)(Metric) Structure(s) in 2.2. In Lemma 1 in 3, we provide an explicit G_2 structure on $M_7 = S_{\mathcal{M}}^1 \times_w M_6, M_6 = S_{\text{thermal}}^1 \times_w M_5$ where w implies a warped product and M_5 is a non-Einsteinian generalization of $T^{1,1}$, and evaluate the four G_2 -structure torsion classes of M_7 . In 4, explicit Almost Contact 3-Structures are constructed in Lemma 2 in 4.1. In Lemma 3 in 4.2, it is shown that the Almost Contact Structure on M_7 constructed in 4.1 is in fact an Almost Contact Metric Structure. In Lemma 4 in 5, we provide an explicit Contact (3) Structure on M_7 . In 6.1.1, we provide an explicit transverse $SU(3)$ -structure arising from the G_2 structure constructed in Lemma 5 in 3. In Lemma 6 in 6.1.2, we provide an explicit transverse $SU(3)$ -structure arising from the Contact Structure constructed in Lemma 4. There are four appendices. Appendix A quotes from [7] the co-frames for the non-Einsteinian generalization of $T^{1,1}$. Appendix B lists out the independent components of $\Omega_a^1, \Omega_a^7, \Omega_{bc}^a$ that figure in $de^1 = \Omega_a^1 e^a, de^7 = \Omega_a^7 e^a, de^a = \Omega_{bc}^a e^b \wedge e^c, a = 2, \dots, 6$. In appendix C, expressions for $\Phi, *\Phi, *d\Phi, *d*\Phi$ relevant to 3, are listed out. Appendix D has details of the computation of the transverse $SU(3)$ -structure valued Ω_- .

2 String/ $\mathcal{M}$ -Theory Setup and (Almost) Contact (3) Metric Structures [(A)C(3)MS] Basics

2.1 $\mathcal{M}$ -Theory Uplift of Large- N Thermal QCD and the MQGP Limit

Holographic dual of thermal QCD-like theories at finite coupling was successfully constructed in [8, 10]. Since one requires finite coupling on gauge theory side therefore one is required to study the strong coupling limit of string theory i.e. $\mathcal{M}$ -theory to be consistent with gauge - gravity duality. Authors did the same in the ‘MQGP limit’ defined as [8, 10]:

$$g_s \lesssim 1; N_f, M \equiv \mathcal{O}(1), N \gg 1, \frac{g_s M^2}{N} \ll 1. \quad (1)$$

$\mathcal{M}$ -theory uplift of type IIB string dual [9] was obtained by first constructing type IIA Strominger-Yau-Zaslow mirror symmetry of type IIB string theory implemented via a triple T duality along

a delocalized special Lagrangian (sLag) T^3 – which could be identified with the T^2 -invariant sLag of [11] with a large base $\mathcal{B}(r, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ [10, 12], and then uplifted to $\mathcal{M}$ -theory using Witten's prescription. Type IIB string dual involves N color $D3$ -branes $(\mathbb{R}^{1,3}(t, x^{1,2,3}) \times \{r = 0\})$, M $D5(\mathbb{R}^{1,3}(t, x^{1,2,3}) \times \{r = 0\} \times S^2(\theta_1, \phi_1) \times \text{NP}_{S_a^2(\theta_2, \phi_2)})$ and $\overline{D5}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3}(t, x^{1,2,3}) \times \{r = 0\} \times S^2(\theta_1, \phi_1) \times \text{SP}_{S_a^2(\theta_2, \phi_2)})$ -branes and N_f flavor $D7(\mathbb{R}^{1,3}(t, x^{1,2,3}) \times \mathbb{R}_+(r \in [|\mu_{\text{Ouyang}}|^{\frac{2}{3}}, r_{\text{UV}}])) \times S^3(\theta_1, \phi_1, \psi) \times \text{NP}_{S_a^2(\theta_2, \phi_2)})$ and $\overline{D7}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3}(t, x^{1,2,3}) \times \mathbb{R}_+(r \in [\mathcal{R}_{D5/\overline{D5}} - \epsilon, r_{\text{UV}}])) \times S^3(\theta_1, \phi_1, \psi) \times \text{SP}_{S_a^2(\theta_2, \phi_2)})$ -branes¹. SYZ mirror symmetry is triple T-duality along three isometry directions (ϕ_1, ϕ_2, ψ) . By performing first T-duality along ψ direction, one obtains N $D4$ branes which are wrapping ψ direction and M $D4$ -branes straddling a pair of orthogonal NS5-branes. Further, from T-dualities along ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 , one obtains a pair of Taub-Nut spaces and N $D6$ branes. Effect of triple T-dualities on the flavor $D7$ branes is that $D7$ branes are replaced by $D6$ -branes. The $\mathcal{M}$ -theory mirror of the type IIA mirror yields KK monopoles (variants of Taub-NUT spaces). Therefore, we can see that there are no branes in $\mathcal{M}$ -theory uplift and we have $\mathcal{M}$ -theory on a G_2 -structure manifold with fluxes.

The $\mathcal{N} = 1, D = 11$ supergravity action inclusive of $\mathcal{O}(l_p^6)$ terms, is hence given by:

$$\mathcal{S}_{D=11} = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{11}^2} \left[\int_{M_{11}} \sqrt{G} R + \int_{\partial M_{11}} \sqrt{h} K - \frac{1}{2} \int_{M_{11}} \sqrt{G} G_4^2 - \frac{1}{6} \int_{M_{11}} C_3 \wedge G_4 \wedge G_4 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{(4\pi\kappa_{11}^2)^{\frac{2}{3}}}{(2\pi)^4 3^2 \cdot 2^{13}} \left(\int_{\mathcal{M}} d^{11}x \sqrt{G^{\mathcal{M}}} \left(J_0 - \frac{1}{2} E_8 \right) + 3^2 \cdot 2^{13} \int C_3 \wedge X_8 + \int t_8^2 G^2 R^3 + \dots \right) \right] - \mathcal{S}^{\text{ct}}, \quad (2)$$

where:

$$J_0 = 3 \cdot 2^8 (R^{HMK} R_{PMNQ} R_H^{RSP} R^Q_{RSK} + \frac{1}{2} R^{HKMN} R_{PQMN} R_H^{RSP} R^Q_{RSK}) \\ E_8 = \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon^{ABCM_1 N_1 \dots M_4 N_4} \epsilon_{ABCM'_1 N'_1 \dots M'_4 N'_4} R^{M'_1 N'_1}_{M_1 N_1} \dots R^{M'_4 N'_4}_{M_4 N_4}, \\ t_8 t_8 G^2 R^3 = t_8^{M_1 \dots M_8} t_8^{N_1 \dots N_8} G_{M_1}{}^{N_1 PQ} G_{M_2}{}^{N_2 PQ} R_{M_3 M_4}{}^{N_3 N_4} R_{M_5 M_6}{}^{N_5 N_6} R_{M_7 M_8}{}^{N_7 N_8}, \\ \kappa_{11}^2 = \frac{(2\pi)^8 l_p^9}{2}; \quad (3)$$

κ_{11}^2 being related to the eleven-dimensional Newtonian coupling constant, $G = dC$ with C being the $\mathcal{M}$ -theory three-form potential with the four-form G being the associated four-form field strength and $\mathcal{S}^{\text{ct}}$ being the counter-terms needed for renormalization at $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$.

Seeking a completion of the 1-loop $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ in the presence of NS-NS B in type IIA compatible with T duality, and thus defining the torsionful spin connection, $\Omega_{\pm} \equiv \Omega \pm \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{H}^{ab} = \mathcal{H}_{\mu}^{ab} dx^{\mu}$,

¹ $S_a^2(\theta_2, \phi_2)$ is blown up S^2 in the conifold geometry, NP and SP stand for north pole and south pole of the resolving S^2 , and a is the resolution parameter.

and $\overline{X}_8 \equiv \frac{X_8(R(\Omega_+)) + X_8(R(\Omega_-))}{2}$, where $R(\Omega_+) = R(\Omega) + \frac{1}{2}d\mathcal{H} + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{H} \wedge \mathcal{H}$, the ten dimensional $\overline{X}_8$ shifts by an exact form [13]²:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{X}_8 = & \frac{1}{192(2\pi)^4} \left[\left(\text{tr} R^4 - \frac{1}{4}(\text{tr} R^2)^2 \right) + \right. \\ & d \left(\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} (\mathcal{H} \nabla \mathcal{H} R^2 + \mathcal{H} R \nabla \mathcal{H} R + \mathcal{H} R^2 \nabla \mathcal{H}) - \frac{1}{8} (\text{tr} R^2 \text{tr} \mathcal{H} \nabla \mathcal{H} + 2 \text{tr} \mathcal{H} R \text{tr} R \nabla \mathcal{H}) \right. \\ & \frac{1}{16} \text{tr} (2\mathcal{H}^3 (\nabla \mathcal{H} R + R \nabla \mathcal{H}) + \mathcal{H} R \mathcal{H}^2 \nabla \mathcal{H} + \mathcal{H} \nabla \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H}^2 R) \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{2} (\text{tr} \mathcal{H} \nabla \mathcal{H} \text{tr} R \mathcal{H}^2 + \text{tr} R \nabla \mathcal{H} \text{tr} \mathcal{H}^3 + \text{tr} \nabla \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H}^2 \text{tr} \mathcal{H} R) + \right. \\ & \left. \frac{1}{32} \text{tr} \nabla \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H}^5 - \frac{1}{192} \text{tr} \nabla \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H}^2 \text{tr} \mathcal{H}^3 + \frac{1}{16} \text{tr} \mathcal{H} (\nabla \mathcal{H})^3 - \frac{1}{64} \text{tr} \mathcal{H} \nabla \mathcal{H} \text{tr} (\nabla \mathcal{H})^2 \right) \Big]. \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

Defining the $O(1,10)$ -valued one-form $\mathcal{G}^{abc} \equiv 4G_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} dx^\mu e^{a\nu} e^{b\rho} e^{c\lambda}$, the $\mathcal{M}$ -theory uplift of the first two lines of (4) of type IIA, yields [13]:

$$\begin{aligned} B_2 \wedge \overline{X}_8 \longrightarrow & \frac{1}{192(2\pi)^4} \left[C \wedge \left(\text{tr} R^4 - \frac{1}{4}(\text{tr} R^2)^2 \right) \right. \\ & + G \wedge \left(\frac{1}{4} (R^{ab} R^{bc} \mathcal{G}^{cde} \nabla \mathcal{G}^{dae} + 2R^{ab} \mathcal{G}^{bce} R^{cd} \nabla \mathcal{G}^{dae} + R^{ab} R^{bc} \nabla \mathcal{G}^{cde} \mathcal{G}^{dae}) \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \frac{1}{24} (\text{tr} R^2 \wedge \mathcal{G}^{abe} \nabla \mathcal{G}^{bae} + 6R^{ab} \mathcal{G}^{bae} R^{cd} \mathcal{G}^{dce}) + \dots \right) \right]. \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

In this paper as in [7], we restrict ourselves only to the first line in (5). Given that the same was shown to vanish [8], perhaps to be T-duality invariant, the sum of the terms in the second and third lines of (5) too may yield zero. We have not proven the same.

The $D = 11$ action in (2) is holographically renormalizable by construction of appropriate counter terms $\mathcal{S}^{\text{ct}}$. It can be shown [14] that the bulk on-shell $D = 11$ supergravity action inclusive of $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ -corrections is given by:

$$S_{D=11}^{\text{on-shell}} = -\frac{1}{2} \left[-2S_{\text{EH}}^{(0)} + 2S_{\text{GHY}}^{(0)} + \beta \left(\frac{20}{11} S_{\text{EH}} - 2 \int_{M_{11}} \sqrt{-g^{(1)}} R^{(0)} + 2S_{\text{GHY}} - \frac{2}{11} \int_{M_{11}} \sqrt{-g^{(0)}} g_{(0)}^{MN} \frac{\delta J_0}{\delta g_{(0)}^{MN}} \right) \right]. \quad (6)$$

The UV divergences of the various terms in (6) are of the following types:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{M_{11}} \sqrt{-g} R \Big|_{\text{UV-divergent}}, \quad \int_{\partial M_{11}} \sqrt{-h} K \Big|_{\text{UV-divergent}} & \sim r_{\text{UV}}^4 \log r_{\text{UV}}, \\ \int_{M_{11}} \sqrt{-g} g^{MN} \frac{\delta J_0}{\delta g^{MN}} \Big|_{\text{UV-divergent}} & \sim \frac{r_{\text{UV}}^4}{\log r_{\text{UV}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

²When wedged with C it will be understood that the metric, curvature, etc. are eleven-dimensional and when wedged with B , ten dimensional.

It can be shown [14] that an appropriate linear combination of the boundary terms: $\int_{\partial M_{11}} \sqrt{-h} K \Big|_{r=r_{UV}}$ and $\int_{\partial M_{11}} \sqrt{-h} h^{mn} \frac{\partial J_0}{\partial h^{mn}} \Big|_{r=r_{UV}}$ serves as the appropriate counter terms to cancel the UV divergences as given in (7).

The EOMS are:

$$\begin{aligned} & R_{MN} - \frac{1}{2} g_{MN} \mathcal{R} - \frac{1}{12} \left(G_{MPQR} G_N^{PQR} - \frac{g_{MN}}{8} G_{PQRS} G^{PQRS} \right) \\ &= -\beta \left[\frac{g_{MN}}{2} \left(J_0 - \frac{1}{2} E_8 \right) + \frac{\delta}{\delta g^{MN}} \left(J_0 - \frac{1}{2} E_8 \right) \right], \\ & d * G = \frac{1}{2} G \wedge G + 3^2 2^{13} (2\pi)^4 \beta X_8, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where [15]:

$$\beta \equiv \frac{(2\pi^2)^{\frac{1}{3}} (\kappa_{11}^2)^{\frac{2}{3}}}{(2\pi)^4 3^2 2^{12}} \sim l_p^6, \tag{9}$$

$R_{MNPQ}, R_{MN}, \mathcal{R}$ in (2)/(8) being respectively the eleven-dimensional Riemann curvature tensor, Ricci tensor and the Ricci scalar.

Now, it was shown in [7] that if one makes an ansatz:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{MN} &= g_{MN}^{(0)} + \beta g_{MN}^{(1)}, \\ C_{MNP} &= C_{MNP}^{(0)} + \beta C_{MNP}^{(1)}, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

one can self-consistently, set $C_{MNP}^{(1)} = 0$. Further, as proved in [7] (as Lemma 1), in the neighborhood of the Ouyang embedding of flavor $D7$ -branes (A4) (that figure in the type IIB string dual of thermal QCD-like theories at high temperatures [9]) effected by working in the neighborhood of small $\theta_{1,2}$ (assuming a vanishingly small Ouyang embedding parameter), in the MQGP limit (1), $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_8}{J_0} = 0$, $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t_8 t_8 G^2 R^3}{E_8} = 0$. Therefore, E_8 and $t_8^2 G^2 R^3$ -contributions (were) are disregarded (in [7]).

2.2 (A)C(3)MS

Let Y be an odd dimensional ((non-)closed) Riemannian manifold with metric g , then if it admits the existence of an endomorphism J of the tangent bundle TY , a unit vector field R (with respect to the metric g) and a one form σ which satisfy

$$J^2 = -\mathbf{1} + R \otimes \sigma, \quad \sigma(R) = 1$$

Y is said to admit an almost contact structure (J, R, σ) (ACS) and σ is called the contact potential [16]. The structure group of the tangent space reduces to $U(n) \times \mathbf{1}$ where $2n + 1$ is the dimension of Y .

The ACS is said to be a contact structure if

$$\sigma \wedge d\sigma \dots \wedge d\sigma \neq 0 \quad \forall \text{points} \in Y.$$

A Riemannian manifold Y with an ACS (J, R, σ) has an almost contact metric structure (J, R, σ, g) (ACMS) if,

$$g(Ju, Jv) = g(u, v) - \sigma(u)\sigma(v), \quad \forall u, v \in \Gamma(TY).$$

The fundamental two-form ω of the almost contact manifold is then defined as,

$$\omega(u, v) = g(Ju, v), \quad \forall u, v \in \Gamma(TY)$$

and satisfies

$$\sigma \wedge \omega \wedge \dots \wedge \omega \neq 0.$$

The ACMS determines a foliation $\mathcal{F}_R$ of Y by one-dimensional integral curves of R w.r.t. G_2 metric: $ds^2 = \sigma^2 + ds_\perp^2$, where $ds_\perp^2$ is the metric on the transverse geometry of $\mathcal{F}_R$ induced by the ACMS on Y .

An almost contact 3-structure (AC3S) on a manifold Y is defined by three distinct ACS $(J^\alpha, R^\alpha, \sigma^\alpha)$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ on Y which satisfy [17],

$$\begin{aligned} J^\gamma &= J^\alpha J^\beta - R^\alpha \otimes \sigma^\beta = J^\beta J^\alpha - R^\beta \otimes \sigma^\alpha \\ R^\gamma &= J^\alpha(R^\beta) = -J^\beta(R^\alpha) \\ \sigma^\gamma &= \sigma^\alpha \circ J^\beta = -\sigma^\beta \circ J^\alpha \\ \sigma^\alpha(R^\beta) &= \sigma^\beta(R^\alpha) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ are cyclic permutation of $\{1, 2, 3\}$. An AC3S consisting of three contact structures satisfying (11), defines a 3-Sasakian geometry [18].

Y admitting an AC3S must have dimensionality $4n + 3$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and the structure group reduces to $Sp(n) \times \mathbf{1}_3$. An almost contact metric 3-structure (AC3MS) on a Riemannian manifold Y with metric g is an AC3S satisfying,

$$g(J^\alpha u, J^\alpha v) = g(u, v) - \sigma^\alpha(u)\sigma^\alpha(v), \quad \forall u, v \in \Gamma(TY)$$

for $\alpha \in 1, 2, 3$. With $J^\alpha(u) = R^\alpha \times_\Phi u$, one can see that with $R^\alpha.R^\beta = \delta^{\alpha\beta}$, $R^1, R^2, (R^1 \times R^2)$ provide an AC3S.

3 G_2 -Structure of

$$M_7 = S_{\mathcal{M}}^1 \times_w (S_{\text{thermal}}^1 \times_w M_5) \Big|_{\text{Ouyang-embedding[parent type IIB]} \cap |\mu_{\text{Ouyang}}| \ll 1}$$

Given that the adjoint of $SO(7)$ decomposes under G_2 as $\mathbf{21} \rightarrow \mathbf{7} \oplus \mathbf{14}$ where $\mathbf{14}$ is the adjoint representation of G_2 , one obtains four G_2 -structure torsion classes:

$$T \in \Lambda^1 \otimes g_2^\perp = W_1 \oplus W_7 \oplus W_{14} \oplus W_{27} = \tau_0 \oplus \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \oplus \tau_3, \quad (11)$$

$g_2^\perp$ being the orthogonal complement of g_2 , the subscript a in W_a denoting the dimensionality of the torsion class W_a , and p in τ_p denoting the rank of the associated differential form. We now proceed to determine the τ_p 's of the seven-fold $M_7 = S_{\mathcal{M}}^1 \times_w (S_{\text{thermal}}^1 \times_w M_5)$, M_5 being a non-Einsteinian generalization of $T^{1,1}$ and close to the Ouyang embedding (A4) of the flavor $D7$ -branes in the parent type IIB dual in the limit of very-small-Ouyang-embedding parameter limit ($|\mu_{\text{Ouyang}}| \ll 1$).

Lemma 1: Near the Ouyang embedding locus of the flavor $D7$ -branes (A4) assuming the modulus of the Ouyang embedding parameter $|\mu_{\text{Ouyang}}|$ to be very small and the $\psi = 2n\pi, n = 0, 1, 2$ -coordinate patches, in the MQGP limit (2.1), $\tau(M_7) = \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \oplus \tau_3$.

Proof: Setting,

$$e^1 = \sqrt{G_{x^0 x^0}^{\mathcal{M}}} dx^0, \quad (12)$$

and using the following definitions from [7],

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta_{i=1/2} &= \sum_{a=2}^6 \Theta_{ia} e^a, \\ dx &= \sum_{a=2}^6 \mathcal{X}_a e^a, \\ dy &= \sum_{a=2}^6 \mathcal{Y}_a e^a, \\ dz &= \sum_{a=2}^6 \mathcal{Z}_a e^a, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

one can show that:

•

$$de^a = \Omega_{bc}^a e^b \wedge e^c, \quad (14)$$

where $a, b, c = 2, \dots, 6$ and,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{bc}^a(r = \text{constant} \in \mathbb{R}) &= \partial_{[\theta_2} e^a_{\theta_1]} \Theta_{2b} \Theta_{1c} + \partial_{[x} e^a_{\theta_1]} \mathcal{X}_b \Theta_{1c} + \partial_{[y} e^a_{\theta_1]} \mathcal{Y}_b \Theta_{1c} + \partial_{[z} e^a_{\theta_1]} \mathcal{Z}_b \Theta_{1c} + \partial_{[x} e^a_{\theta_2]} \mathcal{X}_b \Theta_{2c} \\ &+ \partial_{[y} e^a_{\theta_2]} \mathcal{Y}_b \Theta_{2c} + \partial_{[z} e^a_{\theta_2]} \mathcal{Z}_b \Theta_{2c} + \partial_{[y} e^a_x] \mathcal{Y}_b \mathcal{X}_c + \partial_{[z} e^a_x] \mathcal{Z}_b \mathcal{X}_c + \partial_{[z} e^a_y] \mathcal{Z}_b \mathcal{Y}_c. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

• Similarly,

$$de^1(r = \text{constant} \in \mathbb{R}) = \Omega_a^1 e^{a1}, \quad (16)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_a^1(r = \text{constant} \in \mathbb{R}) &= \frac{1}{2G_{x^0x^0}^{\mathcal{M}}} \left(\partial_{\theta_1} G_{x^0x^0}^{\mathcal{M}} \Theta_{1a} + \partial_{\theta_2} G_{x^0x^0}^{\mathcal{M}} \Theta_{2a} + \partial_x G_{x^0x^0}^{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{X}_a \right. \\ &\left. + \partial_y G_{x^0x^0}^{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{Y}_a + \partial_z G_{x^0x^0}^{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{Z}_a \right). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

• Also, defining

$$e^7 = \sqrt{G_{x^{10}x^{10}}^{\mathcal{M}}} dx^{10}, \quad (18)$$

$$de^7(r = \text{constant} \in \mathbb{R}) = \Omega_a^7 e^{a7}, \quad (19)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_a^7(r = \text{constant} \in \mathbb{R}) &= \frac{1}{2G_{x^{10}x^0}^{\mathcal{M}}} \left(\partial_{\theta_1} G_{x^{10}x^0}^{\mathcal{M}} \Theta_{1a} + \partial_{\theta_2} G_{x^{10}x^0}^{\mathcal{M}} \Theta_{2a} + \partial_x G_{x^{10}x^0}^{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{X}_a \right. \\ &\left. + \partial_y G_{x^{10}x^0}^{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{Y}_a + \partial_z G_{x^{10}x^0}^{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{Z}_a \right). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The results of appendices B and C yield:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi \wedge *_7 d\Phi &= e^{127456} (2\Omega_2^7 - 2\Omega_{23}^2 - 2\Omega_{23}^5) + e^{127356} (-2\Omega_2^7 + \Omega_{23}^3 - \Omega_{23}^5) + e^{127346} (2\Omega_2^7 + \Omega_{23}^2 + 2\Omega_{23}^5) \\ &+ e^{127345} (-2\Omega_{23}^2 - \Omega_{23}^5) + e^{234567} (\Omega_{23}^2 + \Omega_{24}^3 + \Omega_{23}^5) + e^{123456} (-\Omega_{23}^2 - \Omega_{23}^3 + \Omega_{23}^5) + e^{134567} \Omega_{23}^5 \\ &\approx e^{234567} \Omega_{24}^3 - e^{123456} \Omega_{23}^3, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

implying,

$$\tau_1 = \frac{1}{12} *_7 (\Phi \wedge *_7 d\Phi) \sim e^1 \Omega_{24}^3 - e^7 \Omega_{23}^3. \quad (22)$$

Also ³,

$$\tau_0 = d\Phi \lrcorner *_7 \Phi = 0. \quad (23)$$

To obtain τ_2 , one notes that:

$$\tau_1 \lrcorner \Phi \sim (e^{27} + e^{35} - e^{46}) \Omega_{24}^3 - J \Omega_{23}^3, \quad (24)$$

where $J = e^{12} + e^{34} + e^{56}$.

Similarly, to obtain τ_3 , one notes that:

$$\tau_1 \lrcorner *_7 \Phi \sim (e^2 \wedge J + e^{457} + e^{367}) \Omega_{24}^3 - (e^{246} - e^{235} - e^{456}) \Omega_{23}^3. \quad (25)$$

³Thanks to S. Sarkar for pointing out an error in a previous version of this result.

4 (A)C(3)(M)S

In this section, in 4.1, we provide explicit construction of Almost Contact (3) Structures at fixed IR-valued r supported on M_7 (which is a warped product of the $\mathcal{M}$ -theory circle and M_6 where M_6 is a warped product of the thermal circle and a non-Einsteinian generalization of $T^{1,1}$). As a small aside, we also provide a similar construction of AC(3)S for $\tilde{M}_7$ which is a warped product of the $\mathcal{M}$ -theory circle and a non-Kähler conifold $\tilde{M}_6$. In 4.2, we show that the ACS of 4.1 is in fact an Almost Contact Metric Structure (ACMS).

4.1 AC(3)S arising from $\tau_{i=0,2,3}(M_7)$

From (12), (18) and (A1), we arrive at the following lemma:

Lemma 2: Near the Ouyang embedding of the flavor $D7$ -branes in the parent type IIB dual of [9] near the $\psi = 2n\pi, n = 0, 1, 2$ -coordinate patches in the MQGP limit, one obtains AC(3)MS $^{\text{MQGP}}$ $_{\text{Ouyang} \cap \psi=2n\pi\text{-coordinate-patch}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} R^1 &= \sqrt{G^{\mathcal{M} \ 00}} \partial_{x^0}, \\ R^2 &= \sqrt{G^{\mathcal{M} \ x^{10}x^{10}}} \partial_{x^{10}}, \\ R^3 &= \Phi_{x^0x^{10}}^\mu \sqrt{G^{\mathcal{M} \ 00} G^{\mathcal{M} \ x^{10}x^{10}}} \partial_\mu \\ &= -G_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{G^{\mathcal{M} \ 00} G^{\mathcal{M} \ x^{10}x^{10}}} (e^{217})_{\nu x^0x^{10}} \partial_\mu = -G_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mu\nu} e_\nu^2 \partial_\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Further, $\sigma^\alpha = G_{mn}^{\mathcal{M}} R_\alpha^n dx^m$ implying

$$(\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3) = (e^1, e^7, -e^2); \quad (27)$$

the fundamental two-forms $\omega^\alpha = d\sigma^\alpha = i_{R^\alpha} \Phi$, which using (14), (16) and (19), can be evaluated. We demonstrate the existence of a C(3)S in 5.

One can similarly see that near the Ouyang embedding of the flavor $D7$ -branes in the parent type IIB dual of [9] near the $\psi = 2n\pi, n = 0, 1, 2$ -coordinate patches, the non-compact $\tilde{M}_7$ - a warped product of the $\mathcal{M}$ -theory circle and a non-Kähler conifold $\tilde{M}_6$ - supports an AC(3)S:

$$\begin{aligned} R^1 &= \sqrt{G^{\mathcal{M} \ rr}} \partial_r, \\ R^2 &= \sqrt{G^{\mathcal{M} \ x^{10}x^{10}}} \partial_{x^{10}}, \\ R^3 &= \Phi_{rx^{10}}^\mu \sqrt{G^{\mathcal{M} \ rr} G^{\mathcal{M} \ x^{10}x^{10}}} \partial_\mu \\ &= -G_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mu\nu} e^{-\frac{2}{3}\Phi^{\text{IIA}}} \sqrt{G^{\mathcal{M} \ rr} G^{\mathcal{M} \ x^{10}x^{10}}} (e^{217})_{\nu rx^{10}} \partial_\mu = -e^{-\frac{2}{3}\Phi^{\text{IIA}}} \sqrt{G^{\mathcal{M} \ x^{10}x^{10}}} e^{2,\mu} \partial_\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Further, $\sigma^\alpha = G_{mn}^{\mathcal{M}} R_\alpha^n dx^m$; fundamental two-forms $\omega^\alpha = d\sigma^\alpha = i_{R^\alpha} \Phi$:

$$(\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3) = (e^1, e^7, -e^{-\frac{2}{3}\Phi^{\text{IIA}}} \sqrt{G^{\mathcal{M} \ x^{10}x^{10}}} e^2). \quad (29)$$

4.2 AC(3)MS on M_7

In this section we prove the lemma:

Lemma 3: The AC(3)S of 4.1 in fact corresponds to AC(3)MS.

Proof: We will explicitly show the existence of ACMS for (R^1, σ^1) in (27).

As we know, ACS is ACMS provided $g(Ju, Jv) = g(u, v) - \sigma(u)\sigma(v)$. We now show that the same is satisfied by (R^1, σ^1) . In components, the aforementioned requirement is written as:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{mn} J_{m_1}^m J_{n_1}^n &= g_{m_1 n_1} - \sigma_{m_1}^{(a)} \sigma_{n_1}^{(a)} \\ \text{or } g_{mn} \Phi_{m_1 l}^m \Phi_{n_1 \bar{l}}^n R^l R^{\bar{l}} &= g_{m_1 n_1} - \sigma_{m_1}^{(a)} \sigma_{n_1}^{(a)}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

- $m_1 = n_1 = x^0$: The RHS of (30) in this case is null. The LHS of (30) will be proportional to $g_{mn} \Phi_{x^0 x^0}^m \Phi_{x^0 x^0}^n = 0$ and therefore (30) checks out.
- $m_1 = n_1 = x^{10}$: The RHS of (30) in this case is $g_{x^{10} x^{10}}$. The LHS of (30) is:

$$g^{mn} \Phi_{m x^{10} x^0} \Phi_{n x^{10} x^0} (R^{x^0})^2 = g^{mn} (e^{217})_{m x^{10} x^0} (e^{217})_{n x^{10} x^0} (R^{x^0})^2 = g^{mn} e_m^2 e_n^2 g_{x^{10} x^{10}} = g_{x^{10} x^{10}}. \quad (31)$$

Therefore, (30) checks out.

- $m_1, n_1 \neq x^0, x^{10}$ The LHS of (30) yields $g_{m_1 n_1}$. The LHS of (30) obtains:

$$g_{mn} \Phi_{m_1 x^0}^m \Phi_{n_1 x^0}^n (R^{x^0})^2 = (e^{27} + e^{35} - e^{46})_{nm_1} (e^{27} + e^{35} - e^{46})_{n_1} (e_{x^0}^1)^2 (R^{x^0})^2. \quad (32)$$

Now (32) will involve:

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad (e^{27})_{m_1 n} (e^{27})_{n_1}^n &= e_{m_1}^2 e_n^7 E^{2n} e_{n_1}^7 - e_n^2 e_{m_1}^7 E^{2n} e_{n_1}^7 + e_n^7 e_{m_1}^2 E^{7n} e_{m_1}^2 = 0 - 0 + e_{m_1}^2 e_{n_1}^2; \\ (b) \quad (e^{35})_{m_1 n} (e^{35})_{n_1}^n &= e_n^3 E^{3n} e_{m_1}^5 e_{n_1}^5 + e_n^5 E^{5n} e_{m_1}^3 e_{n_1}^3 - e_n^3 E^{5n} e_{m_1}^5 e_{n_1}^3 = e_{m_1}^5 e_{n_1}^5 + e_{m_1}^3 e_{n_1}^3 - 0; \\ (c) \quad (e^{46})_{m_1 n} (e^{46})_{n_1}^n &= e_n^4 E^{4n} e_{m_1}^6 e_{n_1}^6 + e_n^6 E^{6n} e_{m_1}^4 e_{n_1}^4 - e_n^4 E^{6n} e_{m_1}^6 e_{n_1}^4 = e_{m_1}^6 e_{n_1}^6 + e_{m_1}^4 e_{n_1}^4 - 0. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

One hence obtains:

$$LHS = \left(\sum_{a=2}^6 e_{m_1}^a e_{n_1}^a \right) (e_{x^0}^1)^2 (R^{x^0})^2 = g_{m_1 n_1}, \quad (34)$$

and therefore, (30) checks out.

- $m_1 = x^0, n_1 = x^{10}$ The LHS of (30) then becomes proportional to $\Phi_{mx^0x^0}\Phi_{x^{10}x^0}^m = 0$ and the RHS of (30) is the difference of $g_{x^0x^{10}} = 0$ and $\sigma_{x^0}^{(1)}\sigma_{x^{10}}^{(1)} = 0$. Therefore, (30) checks out. Similarly, for $m_1 = x^{10}, n_1 = x^0$.
- One can similarly argue that the LHS and RHS of (30) vanish identically for $m_1/n_1 = x^0, n_1/m_1 \neq x^{0,10}$.
- $m_1 = x^{10}, n_1 \neq x^{0,10}$ The LHS of (30) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & g^{n\tilde{n}}\Phi_{nx^{10}x^0}\Phi_{\tilde{n}n_1x^0}\left(R^{x^0}\right)^2 \\ &= g^{n\tilde{n}}\left(e^{27}\right)_{nx^{10}}\left(e^{27}+e^{35}-e^{46}\right)_{\tilde{n}m} \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & g^{n\tilde{n}}\left(e^{27}\right)_{nx^{10}}\left(e^{27}\right)_{\tilde{n}n_1} = g^{n\tilde{n}}\left(e_n^2e_{\tilde{n}}^2e_{x^{10}}^7e_{n_1}^7 - e_n^2e_{\tilde{n}}^7e_{n_1}^2e_{x^{10}}^7 - e_n^7e_{\tilde{n}}^2e_{x^{10}}^2e_{n_1}^7 + e_{x^{10}}^2e_{n_1}^2e_n^7e_{\tilde{n}}^7\right) \\ &= \left(e_{x^{10}}^7e_{n_1}^7 + e_{n_1}^2e_{x^{10}}^2\right) = 0 \text{ (as } e_{x^{10}}^2 = e_{n_1}^7 = 0); \\ (b) \quad & g^{n\tilde{n}}e_{nx^{10}}^{27}e_{\tilde{n}n_1}^{35} = g^{n\tilde{n}}e_{nx^{10}}^{27}e_{\tilde{n}n_1}^{46} = 0 \text{ (as } g^{mn}e_m^ae_n^b = \delta^{ab}). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Also, as $g_{x^{10}n_1} = \sigma_{x^{10}}^{(1)} = 0$, (30) checks out.

One can similarly argue that the ACS corresponding to $\sigma^{2,3}$ of (27), correspond to ACMSs.

5 C(3)S on M_7

As $\omega \wedge \omega^3 = 0$ in 4.1 in the $\psi = 2n\pi, r = \text{constant}$ -coordinate patches, the same does not provide a contact structure. In this section, we provide an explicit construction of a contact structure in the $\psi = 2n\pi$ -coordinate patch.

5.1 Construction of Contact (3) Structure

We now prove the lemma:

Lemma 4: Near the $\psi = 2n\pi$ -coordinate patch, $(\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3) = (\alpha_1e^1 + \alpha_3e^3 + \alpha_7e^7, \beta_1e^1 + \beta_4e^4 + \beta_7e^7, \sigma^3)$,

$R^2) = 1$ with

$$\begin{aligned}
R_1^x &= \frac{\alpha_3 e_{\theta_1}^3}{G_{x\theta_1}^{\mathcal{M}}}; \\
R_1^y &= \frac{\alpha_3(e_{\theta_2}^3 G_{x\theta_1}^{\mathcal{M}} - e_{\theta_1}^3 G_{x\theta_2}^{\mathcal{M}})}{G_{x\theta_1}^{\mathcal{M}} G_{y\theta_2}^{\mathcal{M}}}; \\
R_1^z &= \frac{\alpha_3(e_y^3 G_{x\theta_1}^{\mathcal{M}} - e_{\theta_1}^3 G_{xy}^{\mathcal{M}})}{G_{x\theta_1}^{\mathcal{M}} G_{yz}^{\mathcal{M}}}; \\
R_1^{\theta_1} &= \frac{\alpha_3(-e_{\theta_1}^3 G_{x\theta_2}^{\mathcal{M}} G_{yz}^{\mathcal{M}} G_{xz}^{\mathcal{M}} - e_{\theta_1}^3 G_{xz}^{\mathcal{M}} G_{xy}^{\mathcal{M}} G_{z\theta_2}^{\mathcal{M}} - e_x^3 G_{x\theta_1}^{\mathcal{M}} G_{yz}^{\mathcal{M}} G_{z\theta_2}^{\mathcal{M}} + e_y^3 G_{x\theta_1}^{\mathcal{M}} G_{xz}^{\mathcal{M}} G_{z\theta_2}^{\mathcal{M}} + e_z^3 G_{x\theta_1}^{\mathcal{M}} G_{x\theta_2}^{\mathcal{M}} G_{yz}^{\mathcal{M}})}{G_{x\theta_1}^{\mathcal{M}} G_{x\theta_2}^{\mathcal{M}} G_{yz}^{\mathcal{M}} G_{z\theta_1}^{\mathcal{M}}}; \\
R_1^{\theta_2} &= \frac{\alpha_3(e_{\theta_1}^3 G_{xz}^{\mathcal{M}} G_{xy}^{\mathcal{M}} + e_x^3 G_{x\theta_1}^{\mathcal{M}} G_{yz}^{\mathcal{M}} - e_y^3 G_{x\theta_1}^{\mathcal{M}} G_{xz}^{\mathcal{M}})}{G_{x\theta_1}^{\mathcal{M}} G_{x\theta_2}^{\mathcal{M}} G_{yz}^{\mathcal{M}}}, \tag{37}
\end{aligned}$$

$\alpha_1 \sim \alpha_3 \sim \alpha_7; \beta_1 \sim \beta_4 \sim \beta_7$ and $R_2 = R_1(e^3 \rightarrow e^4, \alpha_3 \rightarrow \beta_4)$, provides a Contact 3-Structure.

Proof:

- To find a contact structure, one makes the ansatz:

$$\sigma^1 = \alpha_1 e^1 + \alpha_3 e^3 + \alpha_7 e^7, \tag{38}$$

which, using (13)-(20), implies:

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega^1 &= d\sigma^1 = \alpha_1 \Omega_{a1} e^{a1} + \alpha_3 \Omega_{bc}^3 e^{bc} + \alpha_7 \Omega_{a7} e^{a7}, \quad a, b, c = 2, \dots, 6; \\
\sigma^1 \wedge (\omega^1)^3 &\sim \alpha_1 \alpha_3^2 \alpha_7 \Omega_{23}^3 \Omega_{45}^3 \Omega_{61} e^{1234567} \neq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Now, (38) implies (in the $\psi = 2n\pi$ -coordinate patch):

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_r^1 &= G_{x^0 x^0}^{\mathcal{M}} R_1^{x^0} = \alpha_1 \sqrt{G_{x^0 x^0}^{\mathcal{M}}}, \text{ implying } R_1^{x^0} = \frac{\alpha_1}{\sqrt{G_{x^0 x^0}^{\mathcal{M}}}}; \\
\sigma_{x^{10}}^1 &= G_{x^{10} x^{10}}^{\mathcal{M}} R_1^{x^{10}} = \alpha_7 \sqrt{G_{x^{10} x^{10}}^{\mathcal{M}}}, \text{ implying } R_1^{x^{10}} = \frac{\alpha_7}{\sqrt{G_{x^{10} x^{10}}^{\mathcal{M}}}}; \\
\sigma_{\theta_i}^1 &= G_{\theta_i \dots}^{\mathcal{M}} R_1^{\dots} = \alpha_3 e_{\dots}^3, \quad \dots \equiv x, y, z (G_{\theta_i \theta_j}^{\mathcal{M}}(\psi = 2n\pi) = 0); \\
\sigma_{\dots}^1 &= G_{\dots \beta}^{\mathcal{M}} R_1^\beta = \alpha_3 e_{\dots}^3, \quad \dots \equiv x, y, z; \beta = x, y, z, \theta_1, \theta_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Also,

$$G_{mn}^{\mathcal{M}} R_1^m R_1^n = 1, \quad m, n = r, x^{10}, \theta_{1,2}, x, y, z. \tag{41}$$

The last equation in (40) and (41) are solved to yield (37).

$\sigma^1(R_1) = 1$ implies:

$$\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_3 R_1 \cdot e^3 + \alpha_7^2 = 1. \tag{42}$$

where, $r = \langle r \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ either as valued in [19] or $R.e^2(\langle r \rangle) = 0$ arising from $i_{R^\alpha} \Phi = \omega^\alpha, \alpha = 1, 2, 3$ - 54, (52) in particular.

Similarly, making an ansatz:

$$\sigma^2 = \beta_1 e^1 + \beta_4 e^4 + \beta_7 e^7, \quad (43)$$

implying

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^2 &= d\sigma^2 = \beta_1 \Omega_{a1} e^{a1} + \beta_4 \Omega_{bc}^4 e^{bc} + \beta_7 \Omega_{a7} e^{a7}; \\ \sigma^2 \wedge (\omega^2)^3 &\sim \beta_1 \beta_4^2 \beta_7 \Omega_{23}^4 \Omega_{56}^4 \Omega_{61} e^{1234567}. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Now, (43) implies:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{x^0}^2 &= G_{x^0 x^0}^{\mathcal{M}} R_2^r = \beta_1 \sqrt{G_{x^0 x^0}^{\mathcal{M}}}, \text{ implying } R_2^{x^0} = \frac{\alpha_1}{\sqrt{G_{x^0 x^0}^{\mathcal{M}}}}; \\ \sigma_{x^{10}}^2 &= G_{x^{10} x^{10}}^{\mathcal{M}} R_2^{x^{10}} = \beta_7 \sqrt{G_{x^{10} x^{10}}^{\mathcal{M}}}, \text{ implying } R_2^{x^{10}} = \frac{\beta_7}{\sqrt{G_{x^{10} x^{10}}^{\mathcal{M}}}}; \\ \sigma_{\theta_i}^2 &= G_{\theta_i \dots}^{\mathcal{M}} R_2^{\dots} = \beta_4 e^4, \dots \equiv x, y, z (G_{\theta_i \theta_j}^{\mathcal{M}} (\psi = 2n\pi) = 0); \\ \sigma_{\dots}^2 &= G_{\dots \beta}^{\mathcal{M}} R_2^\beta = \beta_4 e^3, \dots \equiv x, y, z; \beta = x, y, z, \theta_1, \theta_2. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{mn}^{\mathcal{M}} R_2^m R_2^n &= 1, \quad m, n = x^0, x^{10}, \theta_{1,2}, x, y, z; \\ \sigma^1(R_2) &= \sigma^2(R_1) = 0 : G_{mn}^{\mathcal{M}} R_1^m R_2^n = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

along with

$$R_2 = R_1(e^3 \rightarrow e^4, \alpha_3 \rightarrow \beta_4), \quad (47)$$

implies: $\beta_1^2 + \beta_4 R_2.e^4 + \beta_7^2 = 1$. The second constraint in (46), implies:

$$\alpha_1 \beta_1 + \alpha_7 \beta_7 + \Sigma_{\sigma^1(R_2)}(N, M, N_f; r_h) = 0, \quad (48)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{\sigma^1(R_2)}(N, M, N_f; r_h) &\equiv G_{x\theta_1}^{\mathcal{M}} (R_2^x R_1^{\theta_1} + R_2^{\theta_1} R_1^x) + G_{x\theta_2}^{\mathcal{M}} (R_2^x R_1^{\theta_2} + R_2^{\theta_2} R_1^x) \\ &+ G_{y\theta_1}^{\mathcal{M}} (R_2^y R_1^{\theta_1} + R_2^{\theta_1} R_1^y) + G_{z\theta_1}^{\mathcal{M}} (R_2^z R_1^{\theta_1} + R_2^{\theta_1} R_1^z) \\ &+ G_{z\theta_2}^{\mathcal{M}} (R_2^z R_1^{\theta_2} + R_2^{\theta_2} R_1^z) + G_{\dots}^{\mathcal{M}} (R_2^x R_1^y + R_2^y R_1^x) \\ &+ G_{yz}^{\mathcal{M}} (R_2^y R_1^z + R_2^z R_1^y) + G_{xz}^{\mathcal{M}} (R_2^x R_1^z + R_2^z R_1^x). \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

One can show,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{\sigma^1(R_2)}(N, M, N_f; r_h) &\sim -\beta_4^2 (\kappa_{\theta_1;1;\beta_0}^4)^2 \kappa_{y;1;\beta_0}^4 \frac{r^4}{(r^2 - 3a^2)^2 \mathcal{A}^{2/3} (\log r)^4 (\log r_h)^2} \frac{(1 + \alpha_{\theta_2}^3) N^{9/5}}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \\ &+ \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{N^{13/10}}{(\mathcal{A}(r))^{\frac{2}{3}} (|\log r|)^3 \log r_h} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

In the IR and in the MQGP limit, $|\log r|, |\log r_h| \sim N^{1/3}$ as used earlier, and hence,

$\Sigma_{\sigma^1(R_2)}(N, M, N_f; r_h) \sim \frac{(1 + \alpha_{\theta_2}^3) N^{9/5}}{(\log N)^2 N^{11/5}}$, or globally, $\Sigma_{\sigma^1(R_2)}(N, M, N_f; r_h) \sim \frac{1}{N^{13/10}}$ and is thus large- N suppressed. Thus, (48) can be approximated by $\alpha_1 \beta_1 + \alpha_7 \beta_7 = 0$. One expects that the σ^3 dual to $R^3 = R^1 \times_{\Phi} R^2$ also provides a Contact Structure.

- Requiring $i_{R^\alpha} \Phi = \omega^\alpha$, we work out constraints on $\alpha_{1,3,7}$ that figure in (38) corresponding to R^1 of (40) and (37). Similar constraints can be worked out for R^2 of (47) and $R^3 = R^1 \times_{\Phi} R^2$. In the following “ $\sim$ ” implies equality up to numerical multiplicative constants of $\mathcal{O}(1)$.

As,

$$\begin{aligned} i_{R^1} \Phi &= \alpha_7 J + \alpha_1 e^{27} - R.e^2 e^{17} + R.e^3 e^{47} - R.e^4 e^{57} + R.e^5 e^{67} - R.e^6 e^{57} \\ &+ \alpha_1 e^{35} - R.e^3 e^{15} + R.e^5 e^{13} - \alpha_1 e^{46} + R.e^4 e^{16} \\ &- R.e^6 e^{14} - R.e^2 e^{36} + R.e^3 e^{26} - R.e^6 e^{23} - R.e^2 e^{45} + R.e^4 e^{25} - R.e^5 e^{24}. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

The following (wherein $m = 2, \dots, 6$) follow from (51):

—

$$R_1^m e_m^2 = 0. \quad (52)$$

Now, to estimate the r around which $R_1.e^2 \sim 0$, we will expand all r -dependent terms except $\log r$ in $R_1.e^2$ about $r = r_h$ to yield:

$$\begin{aligned} R_1^m e_m^2 &\sim 10^{-4} \frac{\alpha_3 (\lambda_5^2 \log N^2 + \lambda_5 \log N (31.32 \log r - 0.25 \log N) + 68.73 \log r)}{\log r^2 r_h^2 (N_f (\log N - 3 \log r))^2/3} \\ &+ 10^{-6} \times \mathcal{O}(r - r_h), \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

λ_5 being the parameter parametrizing the one-parameter family of eigenvectors relevant to the diagonalization of the $M_5(\theta_{1,2}, x, y, z)$ -metric in [7]. Assuming $\lambda_5 \gg 1$ and in the large- N limit, one sees from (53) vanishes in the IR-valued r in the neighborhood of:

$$\begin{aligned} \log \langle r \rangle &= -\mathcal{O}(10^{-2}) \lambda_5 \log N \\ \text{or} \\ \langle r \rangle &\sim N^{-\mathcal{O}(10^{-2}) \lambda_5} \mathcal{R}_{D5/\overline{D5}} \equiv r_h + \delta. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

$$\alpha_7 = -\alpha_1 \Omega_2^1 = \alpha_3 \Omega_{34}^3 = \alpha_3 \Omega_{56}^3. \quad (55)$$

Now, $\alpha_7 = -\alpha_1 \Omega_2^1$ in (55) implies:

$$\alpha_7 = \alpha_1 \frac{N^{7/20} \alpha_{\theta_2}}{(\log \langle r \rangle)^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2}; \quad (56)$$

for $N = 10^2$ and $\langle r \rangle$ as of (54) and λ_5 of (61), (56) implies $\alpha_7 \sim \mathcal{O}(1) \alpha_1$.

$\alpha_7 = \alpha_3 \Omega_{34}^3$ in (56) implies:

$$\alpha_7 = \alpha_3 \frac{0.1 g_s^{21/4} (\log N)^3 M^3 N_f^3 (\log \langle r \rangle)^3 (-0.7 + \langle r \rangle \log \langle r \rangle) (0.004 + \langle r \rangle \log \langle r \rangle) (\log N + 263 \langle r \rangle \log \langle r \rangle)}{N^{3/20} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2}, \quad (57)$$

which at (54) and (61) for $N = 10^2$ implies $\langle r \rangle \log \langle r \rangle \sim -0.1$, and thus for $M = N_f = 3, g_s = 0.1$:

$$\alpha_7 \sim \alpha_3. \quad (58)$$

Similarly, $\Omega_{56}^3(g_s = 0.1, M = N_f = 3, N = 100, \langle r \rangle : (54) \cap (61)) = \mathcal{O}(1)$, implying again $\alpha_7 \sim \mathcal{O}(1) \alpha_3$.

$$\alpha_7 \Omega_2^7 = R_1^{x^0} e_{x^0}^1 = \alpha_1. \quad (59)$$

From (59) and (55), one sees that consistency requires $\Omega_2^7 \sim \Omega_2^1 \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$, i.e.,

$$N^{7/20} \frac{\alpha_{\theta_2}}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^2} \sim (\log \langle r \rangle)^2, \quad (60)$$

and near (54),

$$\lambda_5 \approx 10^2 \frac{N^{7/40} \sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_2}}}{\log N \alpha_1}. \quad (61)$$

$$\alpha_1 \Omega_5^1 = -R_1^m e_m^3. \quad (62)$$

Using (60)-(61), one obtains:

$$\mathcal{O}(10) \alpha_1 = \lambda_5 \frac{\alpha_3}{(\log \langle r \rangle)^{2/3}} = \mathcal{O}(10^2) \frac{\alpha_3 (\log \langle r \rangle)^{1/3}}{\log N}, \quad (63)$$

implying:

$$\alpha_1 \sim \alpha_3 \frac{(\log \langle r \rangle)^{1/3}}{\log N}. \quad (64)$$

$$\alpha_1 \Omega_6^1 = R_1^m e_m^4, \quad (65)$$

which implies:

$$\frac{\alpha_{\theta_1}}{\alpha_{\theta_2}} N^{1/20} \log N \sim \frac{\alpha_{\theta_2}}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^2} \alpha_3 \frac{N^{3/5} \delta}{\langle r \rangle^2 \log N (\log \langle r \rangle)^{11/3}}. \quad (66)$$

Using (64), (66) implies:

$$N^{\frac{N^{7/40}}{\log N} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_2}}}{\alpha_{\theta_1}} - \frac{3}{20}} (\log N)^3 \sim \mathcal{O}(1). \quad (67)$$

For $N \sim 10^2$, one notes (67) is satisfied by $\frac{\sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_2}}}{\alpha_{\theta_1}} = \mathcal{O}(1)$.

$$\alpha_1 \Omega_4^1 = R_1^m e_m^6, \quad (68)$$

which implies:

$$\alpha_1 \frac{(\log N)^2}{N^{1/4}} \sim \alpha_3 \frac{\log N}{(\log \langle r \rangle)^{8/3}}. \quad (69)$$

Using (64), (69) implies:

$$\frac{N^{1/4}}{(\log N)^3} \sim \frac{\mathcal{O}(10)}{N^{11/40} \log N} \frac{\alpha_{\theta_1}^3}{\alpha_{\theta_2}^{3/2}} \quad (70)$$

must be $\mathcal{O}(1)$, which is indeed the case for $N = 10^2$.

$$\alpha_1 \Omega_3^1 = -R_1^m e_m^5, \quad (71)$$

which implies

$$\alpha_1 \times \mathcal{O}(1) = \mathcal{O}(10^3) \frac{\alpha_3}{(\log r)^{11/3}}. \quad (72)$$

Using (64), (73) implies:

$$\alpha_1 \times \mathcal{O}(1) = \mathcal{O}(10^3) \alpha_1 \frac{\log N}{(\log r)^4} \sim \mathcal{O}(10^3) \frac{\alpha_1}{N^{7/10} (\log N)^3}, \quad (73)$$

which should be $\mathcal{O}(1)$ - the same checks out for $N = 10^2$.

$$\alpha_7 \Omega_4^7 = R_1^m e_m^3. \quad (74)$$

One can show (74) is equivalent to (62).

$$\alpha_7 \Omega_3^7 = -R_1^m e_m^4, \quad (75)$$

which implies:

$$\alpha_7 \times \mathcal{O}(10^2) = \alpha_3 \frac{\alpha_{\theta_1}^{5/3}}{\alpha_{\theta_2}^{5/6}} N^{\frac{N^{7/40}}{\log N} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_2}}}{\alpha_{\theta_1}} - \frac{1}{24}} (\log N)^{11/3}. \quad (76)$$

For $N = 10^2$, (76) obtains:

$$\alpha_7 \sim \alpha_3. \quad (77)$$

$$\alpha_7 \Omega_5^7 = -R_1^m e_m^6, \quad (78)$$

which implies:

$$\alpha_7 \sim \alpha_3 \sim \alpha_3 \frac{\log N}{(\log \langle r \rangle)^{8/3}} = \mathcal{O}(10) \alpha_3 \frac{\log N}{N^{7/15}} \frac{\alpha_{\theta_1}^{8/3}}{\alpha_{\theta_2}^{4/3}} \xrightarrow{N=10^2} \mathcal{O}(1), \quad (79)$$

for $\alpha_3 = \mathcal{O}(1)$, and hence checks out.

$$\alpha_7 \Omega_6^7 = R_1^m e_m^5, \quad (80)$$

which using (77) obtains:

$$\frac{\alpha_7}{\mathcal{O}(10)} \sim \alpha_3 = \alpha_3 \frac{\mathcal{O}(10^2)}{(\log \langle r \rangle)^{11/3}} \sim \frac{10^2}{N^{77/120}} \frac{\alpha_{\theta_1}^{11/3}}{\alpha_{\theta_2}^{11/6}} \sim \alpha_3. \quad (81)$$

$$\alpha_3 \Omega_{25}^3 = -R_1^m e_m^4, \quad (82)$$

which yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_3 \frac{g_s^{7/4} N^{1/10} \log N (\log \langle r \rangle)^4 \langle r \rangle}{\alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \frac{N^{7/20} \alpha_{\theta_2}}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^2 (\log \langle r \rangle)^2} &\sim g_s^{7/4} N^{4/5 - \frac{N^{7/40}}{\log N} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_2}}}{\alpha_{\theta_1}}} \log N \frac{1}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_2}}}{\alpha_{\theta_1}} \right)^4 \\ &\sim \alpha_3. \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

So, one chooses $\alpha_{\theta_1} : \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_2}}}{\alpha_{\theta_1}} \equiv \mathcal{O}(1) \cap (83)$, which one can effect for $N = 10^2$.

$$\alpha_3 \Omega_{26}^3 = -R_1^m e_m^3, \quad (84)$$

which obtains:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_3 N^{9/20} M N_f \log \langle r \rangle \frac{\delta}{r_h} &\sim \alpha_3 M N_f \left(\frac{N^{7/20} \alpha_{\theta_2}}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^2 (\log \langle r \rangle)^2} \right) \frac{\alpha_{\theta_1}^2}{\alpha_{\theta_2}} (\log \langle r \rangle)^3 \frac{\delta}{r_h} \\
&\sim M N_f N^{21/40 - \frac{N^{7/40}}{\log N} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_2}}}{\alpha_{\theta_1}}} \times \alpha_3 \\
&\sim \frac{\lambda_5 \alpha_3}{(\log \langle r \rangle)^{2/3} (3N_f)^{2/3}} \sim 10^2 \left(\frac{N^{7/20} \alpha_{\theta_2}}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^2 (\log \langle r \rangle)^2} \right) \frac{1}{(3N_f)^{2/3}} \frac{\alpha_{\theta_1}}{\sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_2}}} \frac{(\log r)^{4/3}}{\log N} \\
&\sim \frac{10^2}{(3N_f)^{2/3} \log N} N^{7/120} \alpha_3 \sim \alpha_3,
\end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

which checks out for $N = 10^2$.

—

$$\alpha_3 \Omega_{24}^3 = -R_1^m e_m^5, \tag{86}$$

which obtains:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_3 \frac{M N_f}{N^{1/4}} (\log N)^2 \log \langle r \rangle &\sim \frac{M N_f}{N^{3/40}} (\log N)^2 \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_2}}}{\alpha_{\theta_1}} \\
&\sim 10^3 \frac{\alpha_3}{(\log \langle r \rangle)^{11/3}} \frac{\alpha_{\theta_2}^{11/3}}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^{11/6}},
\end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

which checks out for $M = N_f = 3, N = 100$.

—

$$\alpha_3 \Omega_{23}^3 = -R_1^m e_m^6, \tag{88}$$

that obtains:

$$\begin{aligned}
-\alpha_3 \frac{N^{9/20} (\log N)^2 (\log \langle r \rangle)^2 \langle r \rangle (0.9 - \langle r \rangle \log \langle r \rangle)}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}} &\sim -\alpha_3 \frac{N^{9/20} (\log N)^2 (\log \langle r \rangle)^2 \langle r \rangle (0.9 - \langle r \rangle \log \langle r \rangle)}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}} \\
&\sim -\alpha_3 \frac{N^{7/20} \alpha_{\theta_2}}{(\log \langle r \rangle)^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2} \frac{(\log N)^2 (\log \langle r \rangle)^4 \langle r \rangle N^{1/10}}{\alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \sim -\alpha_3 \frac{N^{4/5 - \frac{7/40}{\log N} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_2}}}{\alpha_{\theta_1}}}}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \\
&\sim -10 \alpha_3 \frac{\log N}{(\log \langle r \rangle)^{8/3}} \sim -\frac{10 \alpha_3 \log N}{N^{7/15}} \frac{\alpha_{\theta_1}^{8/3}}{\alpha_{\theta_2}^{4/3}},
\end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

which checks out for $N = 100$.

—

$$\alpha_3 \Omega_{36}^3 = R_1^m e_m^2, \tag{90}$$

Now,

$$\Omega_{36}^3 \sim -\alpha_3 \frac{N^{9/20} (\log N)^2 (\log \langle r \rangle)^2 \langle r \rangle (0.1 + \langle r \rangle \log \langle r \rangle)}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}}. \tag{91}$$

Now, $\langle r \rangle \log \langle r \rangle$ for $N = 10^2$, $\frac{\sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_2}}}{\alpha_{\theta_1}} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ is close to -0.1 and hence $\Omega_{36}^3 \sim 0$ just like $R_1^m e_m^2$.

—

$$\alpha_3 \Omega_{45}^3 = -R_1^m e_m^2. \quad (92)$$

Now,

$$\Omega_{45}^3 \sim 10^{-4} \frac{M^3 N_f^3 (\log N)^2 (\log \langle r \rangle)^3}{N^{3/20} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2}, \quad (93)$$

which for $N = 10^2$ and $\langle r \rangle$ given by (54), is much less than unity; this mirrors the vanishing of $r_1^m e_m^2$.

The gist of this subsection hence is:

$$\alpha_1 \sim \alpha_3 \sim \alpha_7. \quad (94)$$

6 $SU(3)$ Structure on a Manifold with G_2 Structure $\Leftrightarrow$ Reduction of G_2 Structure to $SU(3)$ Structure

A seven-fold M_7 admitting a G_2 structure Φ admits an almost contact metric structure (ACMS) and thereby reduces the structure group to $SU(3)$ [20]. The reason stems from the fact that any such manifold admits a nowhere vanishing vector field R which can be normalized with respect to the metric g_Φ . (In the context of supersymmetric theories) A nowhere-vanishing vector field R on (Y, Φ) and nowhere-vanishing spinor η (implicit in the choice of G_2 structure) induce a second spinor $R\eta$ which together can be used to construct an $SU(3)$ structure [21].

Alternatively, the reduction of the structure group from G_2 to $SU(3)$ can be effected by construction of the latter from Φ_{G_2} and ACS:

Proposition [2]: The ACMS induces a reduction of the G_2 structure to an $SU(3)$ structure (ω_Φ, Ω) on the transverse geometry of the foliation with ω_Φ being the fundamental 2-form on Y ($\omega_\Phi = i_R \Phi$) and Ω the transverse $(3, 0)$ -form w.r.t. J ($J(u) = R \times_\Phi u$, $\forall u \in \Gamma(TY)$). (ω_Φ, Ω) are determined by the ACS decomposition of the G_2 structure Φ on Y :

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= \sigma \wedge \omega_\Phi + \Omega_+, \\ \psi &= *_7 \Phi = -\sigma \wedge \Omega_- + \frac{1}{2} \omega_\Phi \wedge \omega_\Phi. \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

6.1 $M_7|_{\text{Ouyang-embedding[parent type IIB]} \cap |\mu_{\text{Ouyang}}| \ll 1}$

In this subsection, via two lemmas, we will construct an explicit transverse $SU(3)$ structure in 6.1.1 from the ACMS of 4.2, and then from a CMS of 5.1 in 6.1.2.

6.1.1 Transverse $SU(3)$ Structure from ACMS

Lemma 5: M_7 , near the Ouyang embedding in the limit of very small limit of the Ouyang embedding parameter and near the $\psi = 2n\pi, n = 0, 1, 2$ -coordinate patch, inherits a transverse $SU(3)$ structure $(\Omega_+^{(\alpha)}, \Omega_-^{(\alpha)})$ wherein $\Omega_+^{(\alpha)} = \Phi - \sigma^{(\alpha)} \wedge \omega_\Phi^{(\alpha)}, \Omega_-^{(\alpha)} = \sigma^{(\alpha)} \lrcorner \left(\frac{1}{2} \omega_\Phi^{(\alpha)} \wedge \omega_\Phi^{(\alpha)} - *_7 \Phi \right), \alpha = 1, 2, 3$ from the AC(3)S constructed above.

Proof: Using (27), (14), (16) and (19), one hence obtains,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_+^{(1)} &= \Phi - e^1 \wedge \omega_\Phi^{(1)}, \\ \Omega_-^{(1)} &= e^1 \lrcorner \left(\frac{1}{2} \omega_\Phi^{(1)} \wedge \omega_\Phi^{(1)} - *_7 \Phi \right); \\ \Omega_+^{(2)} &= \Phi - e^7 \wedge \omega_\Phi^{(2)}, \\ \Omega_-^{(2)} &= e^7 \lrcorner \left(\frac{1}{2} \omega_\Phi^{(2)} \wedge \omega_\Phi^{(2)} - *_7 \Phi \right); \\ \Omega_+^{(3)} &= \Phi + e^2 \wedge \omega_\Phi^{(3)}, \\ \Omega_-^{(3)} &= -e^2 \lrcorner \left(\frac{1}{2} \omega_\Phi^{(3)} \wedge \omega_\Phi^{(3)} - *_7 \Phi \right). \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

6.1.2 Transverse $SU(3)$ Structure from CMS

Lemma 6: M_7 , near the Ouyang embedding in the limit of very small limit of the Ouyang embedding parameter and near the $\psi = 2n\pi, n = 0, 1, 2$ -coordinate patch, inherits a transverse $SU(3)$ structure from the C(3)S constructed in 3, $(\Omega_+^{(\alpha)}, \Omega_-^{(\alpha)})$, where $\Omega_+^{(\alpha)} = \Phi - \sigma^{(\alpha)} \wedge \omega_\Phi^{(\alpha)}$ and, e.g., for $\alpha = 1$, a three-parameter $(\Lambda_{145}^{(1)}, \Lambda_{156}^{(1)}, \Lambda_{456})$ family of:

$$\Omega_- = \Lambda_{AMC} e^{ABC} = \Lambda_{1b_0c_0}^{(1)} e^{1b_0c_0} + \Lambda_{3b_0c_0}^{(1)} e^{3b_0c_0} + \Lambda_{7b_0c_0}^{(1)} e^{7b_0c_0} + \Lambda_{a_0b_0c_0} e^{a_0b_0c_0},$$

where $a_0, b_0, c_0 = 2, 4, 5, 6$ and Λ_{456} is the only linearly independent non-vanishing $\Lambda_{a_0b_0c_0}$.

Proof: Making the following ansatz for Ω_- :

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_- = \Lambda_{AMC} e^{ABC} &= \Lambda_{1b_0c_0}^{(1)} e^{1b_0c_0} + \Lambda_{13c_0}^{(2)} e^{13c_0} + \Lambda_{17c_0}^{(3)} e^{17c_0} \\ &+ \Lambda_{137}^{(4)} e^{137} + \Lambda_{3b_0c_0}^{(1)} e^{3b_0c_0} + \Lambda_{37c_0}^{(3)} e^{37c_0} + \Lambda_{7b_0c_0}^{(1)} e^{7b_0c_0} + \Lambda_{a_0b_0c_0} e^{a_0b_0c_0}, \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

where $a_0, b_0, c_0 = 2, 4, 5, 6$.

The solution using results of Appendix D, along with addition of a regulator ϵ_{1745} (as) in $\epsilon_{1745} + \alpha_3 \Lambda_{145}^{(1)} = \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3}{\alpha_7} \Lambda_{745}^{(1)}$ that arises from (D9)(3) and (D11)(4), is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{125}^{(1)} &= -\frac{((0.02\alpha_1\epsilon_{1745}\kappa_{\log r_{\text{IR}}}{}^2\Lambda_{724}^{(1)}((R.e^4)^2 + R.e^2R.e^5))}{R.e^5(-R.e^4 + R.e^5)}, \\
\Lambda_{126}^{(1)} &= -((\Lambda_{125}^{(1)}R.e^5)/R.e^6), \\
\Lambda_{146}^{(1)} &= -((R.e^5(-\Lambda_{125}^{(1)}R.e^2 + \Lambda_{156}^{(1)}R.e^6))/(R.e^4R.e^6)), \\
\Lambda_{324}^{(1)} &= 0, \\
\Lambda_{325}^{(1)} &= (0.5\Lambda_{125}^{(1)})/\alpha_1, \\
\Lambda_{326}^{(1)} &= -((0.5\Lambda_{125}^{(1)}R.e^5)/(\alpha_1R.e^6)), \\
\Lambda_{345}^{(1)} &= (0.5\Lambda_{145}^{(1)})/\alpha_1, \\
\Lambda_{346}^{(1)} &= -((0.5\Lambda_{145}^{(1)}R.e^5)/(\alpha_1R.e^6)), \\
\Lambda_{356}^{(1)} &= (0.5(\Lambda_{125}^{(1)}R.e^2 + \Lambda_{145}^{(1)}R.e^4))/(\alpha_1R.e^6), \\
\Lambda_{724}^{(1)} &= \epsilon_{1724} : |\epsilon_{1724}| \ll 1, \\
\Lambda_{725}^{(1)} &= (-\Lambda_{724}^{(1)}R.e^4 + 2\alpha_7\Lambda_{325}^{(1)}R.e^5)/R.e^5(0.6\Lambda_{325}^{(1)}R.e^5)/R.e^5, \\
\Lambda_{726}^{(1)} &= (\alpha_7\Lambda_{126}^{(1)})/\alpha_1, \\
\Lambda_{745}^{(1)} &= (\alpha_7(2\epsilon_{1745} + \Lambda_{145}^{(1)}))/\alpha_1, \\
\Lambda_{746}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{\Lambda_{125}^{(1)}\Lambda_{724}^{(1)}} \left(2\alpha_7\Lambda_{126}^{(1)}\Lambda_{325}^{(1)}\Lambda_{724}^{(1)} + 2\alpha_7\Lambda_{126}^{(1)}\Lambda_{345}^{(1)}\Lambda_{724}^{(1)} - 2\alpha_7\Lambda_{126}^{(1)}\Lambda_{325}^{(1)}\Lambda_{725}^{(1)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \Lambda_{126}^{(1)}\Lambda_{725}^{(1)2} + 2\epsilon_{1745}\Lambda_{724}^{(1)}\Lambda_{726}^{(1)} \right) = -((\Lambda_{745}^{(1)}R.e^5)/R.e^6), \\
\Lambda_{756}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{\Lambda_{125}^{(1)}\Lambda_{724}^{(1)2}} \left(-4\alpha_7^2\Lambda_{126}^{(1)}\Lambda_{325}^{(1)}\Lambda_{345}^{(1)}\Lambda_{724}^{(1)} + 2\alpha_7\Lambda_{126}^{(1)}\Lambda_{325}^{(1)}\Lambda_{724}^{(1)}\Lambda_{725}^{(1)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2\alpha_7\Lambda_{126}^{(1)}\Lambda_{345}^{(1)}\Lambda_{724}^{(1)}\Lambda_{725}^{(1)} - 2\alpha_7\Lambda_{126}^{(1)}\Lambda_{325}^{(1)}\Lambda_{725}^{(1)2} + \Lambda_{126}^{(1)}\Lambda_{725}^{(1)3} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 4\alpha_7\epsilon_{1745}\Lambda_{325}^{(1)}\Lambda_{724}^{(1)}\Lambda_{726}^{(1)} + 2\epsilon_{1745}\Lambda_{724}^{(1)}\Lambda_{725}^{(1)}\Lambda_{726}^{(1)} \right) \\
&= (\Lambda_{745}^{(1)}R.e^4)/R.e^6, \\
\Lambda_{324}^{(3)} &= (-\alpha_1\Lambda_{124}^{(1)} - \alpha_7\Lambda_{724}^{(1)})/R.e^3,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{325}^{(3)} &= \frac{1}{(R.e^3(R.e^4 - R.e^5)R.e^5)} \left((\alpha_7 \Lambda_{724}^{(1)} - 0.02\alpha_1^2 \epsilon_{1745} \kappa_{\log r^{\text{IR}}}^2 \Lambda_{724}^{(1)})(R.e^4)^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \alpha_7(-2\alpha_7 \Lambda_{325}^{(1)} - 1, \Lambda_{724}^{(1)}) R.e^4 R.e^5 + R.e^5(-0.02\alpha_1^2 \epsilon_{1745} \kappa_{\log r^{\text{IR}}}^2 \Lambda_{724}^{(1)} R.e^2 + 2\alpha_7^2 \Lambda_{325}^{(1)} R.e^5) \right), \\
\Lambda_{326}^{(3)} &= \frac{(\alpha_1^2 \Lambda_{125}^{(1)} R.e^5 - \alpha_7^2 \Lambda_{126}^{(1)} R.e^6)}{\alpha_1 R.e^3 R.e^6}, \\
\Lambda_{345}^{(3)} &= \frac{\alpha_7^2(-2\epsilon_{1745} - \Lambda_{145}^{(1)}) - \alpha_1^2 \Lambda_{145}^{(1)} - \alpha_1 \Lambda_{456} R.e^6}{\alpha_1 R.e^3} \sim \frac{\alpha_7^2(-\Lambda_{145}^{(1)}) - \alpha_1^2 \Lambda_{145}^{(1)} - \alpha_1 \Lambda_{456} R.e^6}{\alpha_1 R.e^3}, \\
\Lambda_{346}^{(3)} &= \frac{1}{\Lambda_{125}^{(1)} \Lambda_{724}^{(1)} R.e^3 R.e^4 R.e^6} \left(-\alpha_1 \Lambda_{125}^{(1)} \Lambda_{724}^{(1)} R.e^2 R.e^5 + ((\alpha_7(-1\Lambda_{126}^{(1)} \Lambda_{725}^{(1)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \alpha_7 \Lambda_{126}^{(1)}(-2\Lambda_{325}^{(1)} \Lambda_{724}^{(1)} - 2\Lambda_{345}^{(1)} \Lambda_{724}^{(1)} + 2\Lambda_{325}^{(1)} \Lambda_{725}^{(1)}) - 2\epsilon_{1745} \Lambda_{724}^{(1)} \Lambda_{726}^{(1)}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \Lambda_{125}^{(1)} \Lambda_{724}^{(1)} \Lambda_{456} R.e^5) R.e^4 + 1\alpha_1 \Lambda_{125}^{(1)} \Lambda_{156}^{(1)} \Lambda_{724}^{(1)} R.e^5) R.e^6 \right) \sim \frac{\Lambda_{456} R.e^5}{R.e^3}, \\
\Lambda_{356}^{(3)} &= \frac{1}{\Lambda_{125}^{(1)} \Lambda_{724}^{(1)} R.e^3} \left(4\alpha_7^3 \Lambda_{126}^{(1)} \Lambda_{325}^{(1)} \Lambda_{345}^{(1)} \Lambda_{724}^{(1)} - \alpha_1 \Lambda_{125}^{(1)} \Lambda_{156}^{(1)} \Lambda_{724}^{(1)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \alpha_7^2(\Lambda_{126}^{(1)} \Lambda_{725}^{(1)}(-2\Lambda_{325}^{(1)} \Lambda_{724}^{(1)} - 2\Lambda_{345}^{(1)} \Lambda_{724}^{(1)} + 2\Lambda_{325}^{(1)} \Lambda_{725}^{(1)}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 4\epsilon_{1745} \Lambda_{325}^{(1)} \Lambda_{724}^{(1)} \Lambda_{726}^{(1)} + \alpha_7(-\Lambda_{126}^{(1)} \Lambda_{725}^{(1)} - 2\epsilon_{1745} \Lambda_{724}^{(1)} \Lambda_{725}^{(1)} \Lambda_{726}^{(1)}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \Lambda_{125}^{(1)} \Lambda_{724}^{(1)} \Lambda_{456} R.e^4 \right) \sim \frac{-\alpha_1 \Lambda_{156}^{(1)} - \Lambda_{456} R.e^4 + \frac{(0.02\alpha_7^3 \epsilon_{1745} \kappa_{\log r^{\text{IR}}}^2 \Lambda_{145}^{(1)}((R.e^4)^2 + R.e^2 R.e^5))}{(\alpha_1(-R.e^4 + R.e^5) R.e^6)}}{R.e^3}.
\end{aligned} \tag{98}$$

We hence see that (setting $\epsilon_{1724}, \epsilon_{1745}$ to zero), one obtains a three-parameter $(\Lambda_{145}^{(1)}, \Lambda_{156}^{(1)}, \Lambda_{456})$ family of solutions.

As regards $\Lambda_{756}^{(1)}$, on one hand:

$$\Lambda_{756}^{(1)} \sim -\frac{(\epsilon_{1745}^2 \kappa_{\log r^{\text{IR}}}^4 \Lambda_{724}^{(1)}((R.e^4)^2 + R.e^2 R.e^5)^2)}{R.e^5(-R.e^4 + R.e^5)^2 R.e^6}; \tag{99}$$

using:

$$\begin{aligned}
R.e^2 &\sim 0, \\
R.e^3 &\sim - \frac{\mathcal{O}(1)\alpha_3\lambda_5}{(|\log\langle r \rangle|N_f)^{2/3}} \Big|_{\log\langle r \rangle \sim -N^{7/40}\alpha_\theta, \lambda_5 \sim 10^2 N^{7/40} \frac{\alpha_\theta}{\log N}}, \\
R.e^4 &\sim \frac{\alpha_3\delta N^{3/5}\alpha_\theta}{\mathcal{O}(1)g_s^{7/2}\log N (\log\langle r \rangle)^3 M^2 N_f^{8/3} \langle r \rangle^2} \Big|_{\log\langle r \rangle \sim -N^{7/40}\alpha_\theta, \lambda_5 \sim 10^2 N^{7/40} \frac{\alpha_\theta}{\log N}}, \\
R.e^5 &\sim \frac{\mathcal{O}(1)\alpha_3 N^{N^{7/40} \frac{\alpha_\theta}{\log N} - 7/24}}{(\alpha_\theta N_f^{2/5})^{5/3}}, \\
R.e^6 &\sim - \frac{\alpha_3 \log N N^{N^{7/40} \frac{\alpha_\theta}{\log N} - 7/24}}{\mathcal{O}(1) (\alpha_\theta N_f^{2/5})^{5/3}} \sim - \frac{R.e^5}{6},
\end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

and given that $N^{11/30} < 10.7$ for $N = 100$, one obtains:

$$\Lambda_{756}^{(1)} \sim \frac{\epsilon_{1745}^2 \kappa_{\log r^{\text{IR}}} {}^4 N}{g_s^{14}}. \tag{101}$$

One the other hand:

$$\Lambda_{756}^{(1)} = \frac{\Lambda_{745}^{(1)} R.e^4}{R.e^6} \sim \frac{N^{1/4}}{g_s^{7/2}} \Lambda_{745}^{(1)}. \tag{102}$$

Assuming,

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{1745} \kappa_{\log r^{\text{IR}}}^2 &\sim \mathcal{O}(1), \\
\Lambda_{724}^{(1)} \frac{N^{3/4}}{g_s^{21/2}} &\sim \mathcal{O}(1), g_s \lesssim 0.1,
\end{aligned} \tag{103}$$

one may obtain consistency between (102) and $\Lambda_{745}^{(1)} \sim \frac{\alpha_7}{\alpha_1}$.

Substituting (98) into the remaining two equations:

$$\alpha_7 \Lambda_{325}^{(1)} - \alpha_3 \Lambda_{725}^{(1)} - \frac{10^5 e^{-10^{7/20}\alpha_\theta}}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^4}, \tag{104}$$

and

$$\alpha_3 \Lambda_{124}^{(1)} - \alpha_1 \Lambda_{324}^{(1)} - \mathcal{O}(10^3) \alpha_\theta^2 = 0, \tag{105}$$

substituting $\Lambda_{324}^{(1)} = 0$ from (98). For $N = 10^2$ and $\alpha_\theta \sim 8$ for an appropriate value of $\Lambda_{124}^{(1)}$ obtained by solving (105) for α_θ , (104) is approximately numerically satisfied.

7 Summary

Continuing with the program of classification of non-supersymmetric geometries relevant to $\mathcal{M}$ -theory dual of large large- N thermal QCD at intermediate coupling initiated in [7], we obtained the G_2 -structure torsion classes of the relevant seven-fold M_7 which is the $\mathcal{M}$ -theory circle times the warped product $S^1_{\text{thermal}} \times_w M_5$, M_5 being non-Einsteinian deformation of $T^{1,1}$:

$$\tau \in \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \oplus \tau_3. \quad (106)$$

We then explicitly showed that the aforementioned M_7 supports an Almost Contact 3 Structure. Subsequently, we verified that this AC(3)S is in fact an Almost Contact 3 Metric Structure (AC3MS). It turned out that the abovementioned Almost Contact Structure is in fact not a Contact Structure. We then showed that it is possible to explicitly construct a Contact Structure on the seven-fold M_7 .

Using a proposition of [2], we not only obtained a transverse $SU(3)$ structure by a reduction of the G_2 structure obtained earlier induced by aforementioned Almost Contact Metric Structure, but also a three-parameter family of transverse $SU(3)$ structures by a reduction of the G_2 structure induced by the Contact Structure obtained earlier.

Combining the Proposition of [7] and this paper, we hence arrive at the following (combined) proposition:

Proposition 2:

- The non-Kähler warped six-fold M_6 , obtained as a cone over a compact five-fold M_5 (a non-Einsteinian generalization of $T^{1,1}$), that appears in the type IIA background corresponding to the $\mathcal{M}$ -theory uplift of thermal QCD-like theories at high temperatures, in the neighborhood of the Ouyang embedding (with vanishingly small embedding parameter) ([22]) of the parent type IIB flavor $D7$ -branes (that figure in the type IIB string dual of thermal QCD involving N $D3$ -branes, M fractional $D3$ -branes and N_f flavor $D7$ -branes “wrapping” $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times S^3$) effected by working in the neighborhood of small $\theta_{1,2}$ ($\theta_{1,2} \in [0, \pi]$), in the “MQGP limit” *inclusive of the* $\mathcal{O}(l_p^6)$, (l_p being Planck length) *corrections*,
 - is a non-complex manifold (though the deviation from $W_{1,2}^{SU(3)} = 0$ being N -suppressed) - proved in [7],
 - $W_4^{SU(3)} \sim W_5^{SU(3)}$ (upon comparison with [23], interpreted as “almost” supersymmetric [in the large- N limit]) - proved in [7].
- The G_2 -structure torsion classes of the seven-fold M_7 (a cone over the $\mathcal{M}$ -theory- S^1 -fibration over M_5 : $p_1^2(M_{11}) = p_2(M_{11}) = 0$, are: $\kappa_{G_2}^0 = W_{14}^{G_2} \oplus W_{27}^{G_2}$ - proved in [7].

- M_8 , a warped product of the thermal S^1 and M_7 : $W_{M_8}^{SU(4)/Spin(7)} = W_2^{SU(4)} \oplus W_3^{SU(4)} \oplus W_5^{SU(4)}/W_1^{Spin(7)} \oplus W_2^{Spin(7)}$ - proved in [7].
- (Proved in this work - Proposition 1) $M_7|_{r=\text{const} \in \mathbb{R} \cap (A4)}$
 - has the following non-trivial G_2 -structure torsion classes: $\kappa_{G_2}^0 = W_7^{G_2} \oplus W_{14}^{G_2} \oplus W_{27}^{G_2}$
 - supports an Almost Contact (3) Metric Structure $(\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3) = (e^1, e^7, -e^2)$ [and associated $(R^1, R^2, R^1 \times_\Phi R^2)$], but the same does not correspond to Contact (3) Structure;
 - supports a Contact (3) Structure: $(\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3) = (\alpha_1 e^1 + \alpha_3 e^3 + \alpha_7 e^7, \beta_1 e^1 + \beta_4 e^4 + \beta_7 e^7, \sigma^3)$ with $\sigma^3 : \sigma^3(R^1 \times_\Phi R^2) = 1$
 - inherits a transverse $SU(3)$ structure $(\Omega_+^{(\alpha)}, \Omega_-^{(\alpha)})$ wherein $\Omega_+^{(\alpha)} = \Phi - \sigma^{(\alpha)} \wedge \omega_\Phi^{(\alpha)}$, $\Omega_-^{(\alpha)} = \sigma^{(\alpha)} \lrcorner \left(\frac{1}{2} \omega_\Phi^{(\alpha)} \wedge \omega_\Phi^{(\alpha)} - *_7 \Phi \right)$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ from the abovementioned AC(3)S.
 - inherits a transverse $SU(3)$ structure from the aforementioned C(3)S, $(\Omega_+^{(\alpha)}, \Omega_-^{(\alpha)})$, where $\Omega_+^{(\alpha)} = \Phi - \sigma^{(\alpha)} \wedge \omega_\Phi^{(\alpha)}$ and, e.g., for $\alpha = 1$, a three-parameter $(\Lambda_{145}^{(1)}, \Lambda_{156}^{(1)}, \Lambda_{456}^{(1)})$ family of:

$$\Omega_- = \Lambda_{AMC} e^{ABC} = \Lambda_{1b_0c_0}^{(1)} e^{1b_0c_0} + \Lambda_{3b_0c_0}^{(1)} e^{3b_0c_0} + \Lambda_{7b_0c_0}^{(1)} e^{7b_0c_0} + \Lambda_{a_0b_0c_0} e^{a_0b_0c_0},$$

where $a_0, b_0, c_0 = 2, 4, 5, 6$ and Λ_{456} is the only linearly independent non-vanishing $\Lambda_{a_0b_0c_0}$.

The results are summarized in the following table:

S. No.	Structure Type	Description	Reference in the paper wherefrom Structure obtained
1.	G_2 -structure torsion classes τ	$\tau \in \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \oplus \tau_3$	Section 3
2.	AC(3)S	$(\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3) = (e^1, e^7, -e^2)$	(26)-(27) in 4.1
3.	AC(3)MS	$g_{mn} J_{m_1}^{m(a)} J_{n_1}^{n(a)} \\ = g_{m_1 n_1} - \sigma_{m_1}^{(a)} \sigma_{n_1}^{(a)}$	4.2
4.	C(3)S	$\sigma^1 = \alpha_1 e^1 + \alpha_3 e^3 + \alpha_7 e^7 \\ \sigma^2 = \beta_1 e^1 + \beta_4 e^4 + \beta_7 e^7 \\ \sigma^3 : \sigma^3(R^1 \times_{\Phi} R^2) = 1$	5
5.	Transverse $SU(3)$ 3-Structure from AC(3)S	(95)	(96) in 6.1.1
6.	Transverse $SU(3)$ Structure from Contact Structure	$(\Omega_+, \Omega_- (97))$	(95), (98) in 6.1.2, App. D

Table 2: Summary of Results for M_7 : a warped product of the $\mathcal{M}$ -theory circle and the warped product $S_{\text{thermal}}^1 \times_w M_5$, M_5 being a non-Einsteinian deformation of $T^{1,1}$ - G_2 Structure torsion classes, (A)C(3)(M)S and Transverse $SU(3)$ Structures for $N \sim 10^2$, $M = N_f = 3$, $g_s \sim 0.1$

Acknowledgements

AM is partly supported by a Core Research Grant number SER-1829-PHY from the Science and Engineering Research Board, Govt. of India. GY is supported by a Senior Research Fellowship

(SRF) from the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, Govt. of India. Some of the results were presented by one of us (AM) in a seminar at UC Santa Barbara this fall. We thank S. Sarkar for verifying some results of 3 and 4.1 as part of his Master's project.

A Co-frames near $\psi = 2n\pi, n = 0, 1, 2$ -patches

Quoting from [7], the coframes diagonalizing the five-fold which locally is $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times M_5, M_5$ being a non-Einsteinian deformation of $T^{1,1}$, near $\psi = 2n\pi, n = 0, 1, 2$ -coordinate patches, relevant to this paper, are given by:

$$e^1 = \sqrt{G_{rr}} dr,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
e^2 = & \sqrt{\kappa_{1;\beta 0}^2 \csc^2(\theta_2) + \kappa_{2;\beta 0}^2 \frac{r^6 \sin^{61}(\theta_2) \sin^{64}(\theta_1)}{g_s^6 \log N^3 M^3 N_f^3 \log^3(r)} + \kappa_{1;\beta}^2 \frac{\sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)} C_q}}{\sin^{\frac{7}{2}}(\theta_2)}} \\
& \times \left[\frac{d\theta_1 \left(\kappa_{\theta_1;1;\beta 0}^2 \frac{g_s^{7/4} \log N M N_f (0.25a^2 - 0.06r^2) \sin(\theta_1) \csc(\theta_2) \log(r)}{r^2} + \kappa_{\theta_1;2;\beta}^2 \frac{\sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)} C_q} g_s^{7/4} \log N M N_f (1.8a^2 + 2.5r^2) \csc(\theta_2) \log(r)}{r^2 \sqrt{\sin(\theta_1)}} \right)}{\sqrt[4]{N}} \right. \\
& + d\theta_2 \left(\kappa_{\theta_2;1;\beta 0}^2 \frac{g_s^{7/4} M N_f \sin^2(\theta_1) \csc^2(\theta_2) \log(r) (3a^2 \log(r) + 0.08r)}{\sqrt[4]{N} r} + \kappa_{\theta_2;2;\beta}^2 \frac{\sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)} C_q} g_s^{21/4} M^3 N_f^3 \sin^{\frac{9}{2}}(\theta_1) \csc^6(\theta_2) \log^3(r) (a^2 r^2 \log(r) + r^3)}{N^{3/4} r^3} \right) \\
& + dx \left(\kappa_{x;1;\beta 0}^2 \sin^2(\theta_1) \csc(\theta_2) + \kappa_{x;2;\beta}^2 \frac{\sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)} C_q} g_s^{7/2} M^2 N_f^2 \log^2(r) \sin^{\frac{9}{2}}(\theta_1) \csc^5(\theta_2) (3.6a^2 r \log(r) + 4.8r^2)}{\sqrt{N} r^2} \right) \\
& + dy \left(1 - \kappa_{y;1;\beta 0}^2 \frac{g_s^{7/2} M^2 N_f^2 \sin^4(\theta_1) \csc^4(\theta_2) \log^2(r) (3a^2 \log(r) + 0.08r)^2}{\sqrt{N} r^2} - \kappa_{y;1;\beta}^2 \frac{\sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)} C_q}}{\sin^{\frac{3}{2}}(\theta_1)} \right) \\
& + dz \left(\kappa_{z;1;\beta 0}^2 \sin(\theta_2) - \kappa_{z;1;\beta}^2 \frac{\sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)} C_q} \sin(\theta_2)}{\sin^{\frac{3}{2}}(\theta_1)} \right) \Big] \\
e^3 = & \sqrt{\kappa_{1;\beta 0}^3 \csc^2(\theta_2) + \kappa_{2;\beta 0}^3 \frac{r^6 \sin^{61}(\theta_2) \sin^{64}(\theta_1)}{g_s^6 \log N^3 M^3 N^{311/10} N_f^3 \log^3(r)} - \kappa_{1;\beta}^3 \sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)} C_q} \sqrt{\sin(\theta_1)} \csc^2(\theta_2)} \\
& \times \left[\frac{d\theta_1 \left(\kappa_{\theta_1;1;\beta 0}^3 \frac{4g_s^{7/4} \log N M N_f (0.2a^2 - 0.1r^2) \sin(\theta_1) \csc(\theta_2) \log(r)}{N^{3/20} r^2} + \kappa_{\theta_1;1;\beta}^3 \frac{16 \cdot \sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)} C_q} g_s^{7/4} \log N M N_f (1.56a^2 - 0.522r^2) \csc(\theta_2) \log(r) \sin^{\frac{3}{2}}(\theta_1)}{r^2} \right)}{\sqrt[4]{N}} \right. \\
& + \frac{d\theta_2 \left(\kappa_{\theta_2;1;\beta 0}^3 \frac{g_s^{7/4} M N_f \sin^2(\theta_1) \csc^2(\theta_2) \log(r) (0.0005a^2 \log(r) + 0.000014r)}{20\sqrt[4]{N} r} + \kappa_{\theta_2;1;\beta}^3 \frac{16 \sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)} C_q} g_s^{7/4} M N_f \sin^{\frac{5}{2}}(\theta_1) \csc^2(\theta_2) \log(r) (2.7a^2 \log(r) + 0.081r)}{r} \right)}{\sqrt[4]{N}} \\
& + dy \left(1 - \kappa_{y;1;\beta 0}^3 \frac{g_s^{7/2} M^2 N_f^2 \sin^4(\theta_1) \csc^4(\theta_2) \log^2(r) (4.32a^2 \log(r) + 0.064r)}{r} - \kappa_{y;1;\beta}^3 \frac{16 \cdot \sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)} C_q} g_s^{7/2} M^2 N_f^2 \sin^{\frac{9}{2}}(\theta_1) \csc^4(\theta_2) \log^2(r) (-7a^2 \log(r) - 0.1r)}{\sqrt[4]{N} r} \right) \\
& + dx \left(\kappa_{x;1;\beta 0}^3 \sin^2(\theta_1) \csc(\theta_2) - \kappa_{x;1;\beta}^3 \sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)} C_q} \sin^{\frac{5}{2}}(\theta_1) \csc(\theta_2) \right) + dz \left(\kappa_{z;1;\beta 0}^3 \sin \theta_2 + \kappa_{z;1;\beta}^3 \sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)} C_q} \sqrt{\sin \theta_1} \sin \theta_2 \right) \Big]
\end{aligned} \tag{A1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
e^4 = & \sqrt{\kappa_{1;\beta^0}^4 \csc^2(\theta_2) - \kappa_{2;\beta^0}^4 \frac{r^6 \sin^{61}(\theta_2) \sin^{64}(\theta_1)}{g_s^6 \log N^3 M^3 N_f^3 \log^3(r)} - \kappa_{1;\beta}^4 \frac{\sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} C_q}{\sin^{\frac{7}{2}}(\theta_2)}} \\
& \times \left[d\theta_1 \sqrt[4]{N} \left(\kappa_{\theta_1;1;\beta^0}^4 \frac{(0.006a^2 r^2 - 0.002r^4) \csc(\theta_1)}{g_s^{7/4} \log N M N_f (0.02a^4 - 0.01a^2 r^2 + 0.002r^4) \log(r)} + \kappa_{\theta_1;1;\beta}^4 \frac{\sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} C_q \csc^3(\theta_2)}{g_s^{15/4} \log N^3 M^2 N_f^2 \sqrt{\sin(\theta_1) \log^2(r)}} \right) \right. \\
& + d\theta_2 \left(\kappa_{\theta_2;1;\beta^0}^4 \frac{\sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} C_q \sqrt[4]{N} \csc^2(\theta_2)}{g_s^{15/4} \log N^2 M^2 N_f^2 \sin^{\frac{3}{2}}(\theta_1) \log^2(r)} - \kappa_{\theta_2;2;\beta^0}^4 \frac{r^{10} \sin^{\frac{127}{2}}(\theta_1) \sin^{59}(\theta_2)}{\sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} C_q g_s^{25/4} \log N^3 M^3 \sqrt[4]{N} N_f^3 (0.022a^4 - 0.015a^2 r^2 + 0.002r^4) \log^3(r)} \right. \\
& + dx \left(\kappa_{x;1;\beta^0}^4 \frac{(0.033a^4 - 0.02a^2 r^2 + 0.004r^4) \sin^2(\theta_1)}{0.022a^4 - 0.015a^2 r^2 + 0.002r^4} - \kappa_{x;1;\beta}^4 \frac{\sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} C_q \sqrt{N}}{g_s^{7/2} \log N^2 M^2 N_f^2 \sin^{\frac{3}{2}}(\theta_1) \log^2(r)} \right) \\
& + dy \left(\kappa_{y;1;\beta^0}^4 \frac{\pi^{5/2} r^9 \sin^{57}(\theta_2) \sin^{66}(\theta_1) (9.54a^2 \log(r) + 0.265r)}{g_s^8 \log N^5 M^4 N_f^4 (0.022a^4 - 0.015a^2 r^2 + 0.002r^4) \log^4(r)} + \kappa_{y;1;\beta}^4 \frac{\sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} C_q \sqrt{\sin(\theta_1)} \csc^4(\theta_2)}{g_s^2 \log N^2 M N_f \log(r)} \right) \\
& \left. + dz \left(1 - \sqrt{N} \left(\kappa_{z;1;\beta^0}^4 \frac{r^{20} \sin^{118}(\theta_2) \sin^{128}(\theta_1)}{g_s^{39/2} \log N^{10} M^{10} N_f^{10} (0.022a^4 - 0.015a^2 r^2 + 0.002r^4)^2 \log^{10}(r)} + \kappa_{z;1;\beta}^4 \frac{\sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} C_q \sqrt{\sin(\theta_1)}}{g_s^{7/2} \log N^2 M^2 N_f^2 \log^2(r)} \right) \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
e^5 = & \sqrt{\kappa_{1;\beta^0}^5 \csc^2(\theta_2) + \kappa_{2;\beta^0}^5 \frac{r^6 \sin^{61}(\theta_2) \sin^{64}(\theta_1)}{g_s^6 \log N^3 M^3 N_f^3 \log^3(r)} + \kappa_{1;\beta}^5 \frac{\sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} C_q}{\sin^{\frac{7}{2}}(\theta_2)}} \\
& \times \left[d\theta_1 \left(-\kappa_{\theta_1;1;\beta^0}^5 \frac{g_s^{7/4} \log N M N_f (0.00002r^2 - 0.00004a^2) \sin(\theta_1) \csc(\theta_2) \log(r)}{\sqrt[4]{N} r^2} \right. \right. \\
& + \kappa_{\theta_1;1;\beta}^5 \frac{\sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} C_q g_s^{21/4} \log N M^3 N_f^3 (0.592r^2 - 2.37a^2) \sin^{\frac{7}{2}}(\theta_1) \csc^5(\theta_2) \log^3(r) (0.00049a^2 \log(r) + 0.000014r)^2}{N^{3/4} r^4} \Big) \\
& + d\theta_2 \left(-\kappa_{\theta_2;1;\beta^0}^5 \frac{g_s^{7/4} M N_f \sin^2(\theta_1) \csc^2(\theta_2) \log(r) (-0.00049a^2 \log(r) - 0.000014r)}{\sqrt[4]{N} r} \right. \\
& + \kappa_{\theta_2;1;\beta}^5 \frac{\sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} C_q g_s^{21/4} M^3 N_f^3 \sin^{\frac{9}{2}}(\theta_1) \csc^6(\theta_2) \log^3(r) (-1.89a^2 \log(r) - 0.0197r) (0.00049a^2 \log(r) + 0.000014r)^2}{N^{3/4} r^3} \Big) \\
& + dx \left(\kappa_{x;1;\beta}^5 \frac{\sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} C_q g_s^{7/2} M^2 N_f^2 \sin^{\frac{9}{2}}(\theta_1) \csc^5(\theta_2) \log^2(r) (0.00049a^2 \log(r) + 0.000014r)^2}{N^{2/5} r^2} + \kappa_{x;1;\beta^0}^5 \sin^2(\theta_1) \csc(\theta_2) \right) \\
& + dy \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left\{ \kappa_{y;1;\beta^0}^5 \frac{g_s^{7/2} M^2 N_f^2 \sin^4(\theta_1) \csc^4(\theta_2) \log^2(r) (0.00049a^2 \log(r) + 0.000014r)^2}{r^2} \right. \right. \\
& + \kappa_{y;1;\beta}^5 \frac{\sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} C_q g_s^{7/2} M^2 \sqrt[5]{N} N_f^2 \sin^{\frac{5}{2}}(\theta_1) \csc^4(\theta_2) \log^2(r) (0.00049a^2 \log(r) + 0.000014r)^2}{r^2} \Big\} \Big) + dz \left(\kappa_{z;1;\beta^0}^5 \sin(\theta_2) + \kappa_{z;1;\beta}^5 \frac{\sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} C_q}{\sqrt{\sin(\theta_2)}} \right) \Big]
\end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
e^6 = & \sqrt{\kappa_{1;\beta^0}^6 \csc^2(\theta_2) + \kappa_{2;\beta^0}^6 \frac{r^6 \sin^{61}(\theta_2) \sin^{64}(\theta_1)}{g_s^6 \log N^3 M^3 N_f^3 \log^3(r)} - \kappa_{1;\beta}^6 \frac{\sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)} C_q}}{\sin^{\frac{7}{2}}(\theta_2)}} \\
& \times \left[d\theta_1 \left(\kappa_{\theta_1;1;\beta^0}^6 \frac{g_s^{7/4} \log N M N_f (34.9a^2 - 11.6r^2) \sin(\theta_1) \csc(\theta_2) \log(r)}{\sqrt[4]{N} r^2} + \kappa_{\theta_1;1;\beta}^6 \frac{\sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)} C_q} g_s^{7/4} \log N M N_f (0.064r^2 - 5.8a^2) \csc(\theta_2) \log(r)}{\sqrt[4]{N} r^2 \sqrt{\sin(\theta_1)}} \right) \right. \\
& + \frac{d\theta_2 \left(\kappa_{\theta_2;1;\beta^0}^6 \frac{g_s^{7/4} M N_f \sin^2(\theta_1) \csc^2(\theta_2) \log(r) (0.5a^2 \log(r) + 0.014r)}{r} + \kappa_{\theta_2;1;\beta}^6 \frac{\sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)} C_q} g_s^{7/4} M N_f \sqrt{\sin(\theta_1)} \csc^2(\theta_2) \log(r) (2.9a^2 \log(r) + 0.1r)}{r} \right)}{\sqrt[4]{N}} \\
& + dy \left(1 - \kappa_{y;1;\beta^0}^6 \frac{g_s^{7/2} M^2 N_f^2 \sin^4(\theta_1) \csc^4(\theta_2) \log^2(r) (15.625a^4 \log^2(r) + 0.875a^2 r \log(r) + 0.01225r^2)}{\sqrt{N} r^2} \right. \\
& + \kappa_{y;1;\beta}^6 \frac{\sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)} C_q} g_s^{7/2} M^2 N_f^2 \sin^{\frac{5}{2}}(\theta_1) \csc^4(\theta_2) \log^2(r) (-a^4 \log^2(r) - 0.1a^2 r \log(r))}{\sqrt{N} r^2} \Big) \\
& \left. + dx \left(\kappa_{x;1;\beta^0}^6 \sin^2(\theta_1) \csc(\theta_2) - \kappa_{x;1;\beta}^6 \sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)} C_q} \sqrt{\sin(\theta_1)} \csc(\theta_2) \right) + dz \left(\kappa_{z;1;\beta^0}^6 \sin(\theta_2) + \kappa_{z;1;\beta}^6 \frac{\sqrt[4]{\beta} \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)} C_q} \sin(\theta_2)}{\sin^{\frac{3}{2}}(\theta_1)} \right) \right]. \tag{A3}
\end{aligned}$$

In (A1) - (A3), $\kappa_{\theta_{1,2}/x/y/z;1;\beta}^{a=1,\dots,6} \ll 1$. Except for e^4 , however, all the rest have an IR-enhancement factor involving some power of $\log r$ appearing in the contributions picked up from the $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ terms. Further, these contributions also receive near-Ouyang-embedding enhancements around small $\theta_{1,2}$ - which also provide the most dominant contributions to all the terms of the action. Also, $\kappa_{1;\beta^0}^a \gg 1$ but are accompanied by IR-suppression factors involving exponents of r along with near-Ouyang-embedding enhancements around small $\theta_{1,2}$.

Now, (A1) - (A3) can be inverted - in this paper, for simplicity, one restricts to the Ouyang embedding:

$$(r^6 + 9a^2 r^4)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{\frac{i}{2}(\psi - \phi_1 - \phi_2)} \sin \frac{\theta_1}{2} \sin \frac{\theta_2}{2} = \mu, \tag{A4}$$

μ being the Ouyang embedding parameter assuming $|\mu| \ll r^{\frac{3}{2}}$, effected, e.g., by working near the $\theta_1 = \frac{\alpha_{\theta_1}}{N^{\frac{1}{5}}}, \theta_2 = \frac{\alpha_{\theta_2}}{N^{\frac{3}{10}}}$ -coordinate patch (wherein an explicit $SU(3)$ -structure for the type IIB dual constructed in [9] and its SYZ type IIA mirror and an explicit G_2 -structure for its $\mathcal{M}$ -Theory uplift was worked out in [8], [10], [7]). As explained in [7], one ought to also consider the $\sqrt{\beta}, \beta^{\frac{3}{4}}, \beta$ terms in the coframes (A1) - (A3). To capture the essence of the results that one gets if one were to actually do so, what is being additionally assumed is that $N \sim 10^2, g_s \sim 0.1, M = N_f = 3$, and r in the IR estimated as $r(\in \text{IR}) \sim N^{-\frac{f_r}{3}}$ with $f_r \sim 1$ [24], [14]. One can then show, that by working in the neighborhood of $(\theta_{10} = \frac{\alpha_{\theta_1}}{N^{\frac{1}{5}}}, \theta_{20} = \frac{\alpha_{\theta_2}}{N^{\frac{3}{10}}}, \psi = 2n\pi), n = 0, 1, 2$, the β -dependent terms are given by: $\mathcal{O}(10^{-14})\sqrt[4]{\beta} + \mathcal{O}(10^{-13})\sqrt{\beta} + \mathcal{O}(10^{-10})\beta^{\frac{3}{4}} + \mathcal{O}(1)\beta$. Therefore, e.g., by choosing $\beta \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-19})$, one sees that the most dominant terms are the $\beta^{\frac{1}{4}}$ and the β terms which are both of the same order. This is hence the reason why we write explicitly down corrections in the co-frames up to $\mathcal{O}(\beta^{\frac{1}{4}})$ and this hence captures the essence of the exact calculation up to $\mathcal{O}(\beta)$.

B $\Omega_a^1, \Omega_a^7, \Omega_{bc}^a$

In this appendix, we list out the expressions for $\Omega_a^1, \Omega_a^7, \Omega_{bc}^a$ inclusive of $\mathcal{O}(\beta)$ -corrections, relevant to 3 and 5.1.

• Ω_a^1 Components

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_2^1 &= \frac{80N^{7/20}\alpha_{\theta_2}(2a^2+r^2)}{Mr^2\alpha_{\theta_1}^2 N_f g_s^{7/4} \log(r) (2\tilde{A})} - \beta^{1/4} \left(\frac{\tilde{\omega}_{12} C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{17/20} \sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}}}{\alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \sqrt[4]{g_s} (\tilde{A})} \right) \\
\Omega_3^1 &= \frac{56.7618N^{7/20}\alpha_{\theta_2}}{M\alpha_{\theta_1}^2 N_f g_s^{7/4} \log(r) (\tilde{A})} - \beta^{1/4} \left(\frac{\tilde{\omega}_{13} C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{17/20} \sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}}}{\alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \sqrt[4]{g_s} (\tilde{A})} \right) \\
\Omega_4^1 &= \frac{15.6835M (r^2 - 2.85714a^2) N_f g_s^{7/4} \log^2(N) \log(r)}{\sqrt[4]{N} r^2 (\tilde{A})} - \beta^{1/4} \left(\frac{\tilde{\omega}_{14} C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{11/20} \sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}} N_f}{\alpha_{\theta_2}^3 \sqrt[4]{g_s} \log(N) \sqrt[3]{N_f (2 \log(N) - \log(9a^2 r^4 + r^6))} (N_f (2\tilde{A}))^{2/3}} \right) \\
\Omega_5^1 &= -\frac{88.059N^{7/20}\alpha_{\theta_2}}{M\alpha_{\theta_1}^2 N_f g_s^{7/4} \log(r) (\tilde{A})} + \beta^{1/4} \left(-\frac{\tilde{\omega}_{15} C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{17/20} \sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}}}{\alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \sqrt[4]{g_s} (\tilde{A})} \right) \\
\Omega_6^1 &= -\frac{1.29M {}^{20}\sqrt{N} (a^2 - 0.5r^2) N_f^2 g_s^{7/4} \log(N) \log(r)}{r^2 \alpha_{\theta_2} \sqrt[3]{N_f (2 \log(N) - \log(9a^2 r^4 + r^6))} (N_f (-\log(9a^2 r^4 + r^6) - 4(\log(\alpha_{\theta_1}) + \log(\alpha_{\theta_2})) + 2 \log(N)))^{2/3}} \\
&+ \beta^{1/4} \left(\frac{\tilde{\omega}_{16} \sqrt[4]{\beta} C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{17/20} \sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}} N_f}{\alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \sqrt[4]{g_s} \log(N) \sqrt[3]{N_f (2 \log(N) - \log(9a^2 r^4 + r^6))} (N_f (2\tilde{A}))^{2/3}} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{B1}$$

where $\tilde{A} \equiv \log \left(\frac{N}{\sqrt{(9a^2 r^4 + r^6) \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^2}} \right)$.

• Ω_a^7 Components

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_2^7 &= -\frac{160N^{7/20}\alpha_{\theta_2}(2a^2+r^2)}{Mr^2\alpha_{\theta_1}^2 N_f g_s^{7/4} \log(r) (2\tilde{A})} - \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{72} \left(\frac{C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{17/20} \sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}} ((-6.1a^2 - 0.7r^2) \log(N) + (3.06a^2 + 0.3r^2) \log(9a^2 r^4 + r^6))}{\alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \sqrt[4]{g_s} \log(N) (\tilde{A}) (\tilde{D})} \right) \\
\Omega_3^7 &= -\frac{3784.1N^{7/20}\alpha_{\theta_2}}{Mr^2\alpha_{\theta_1}^2 N_f^2 g_s^{11/4} \log(r) (\tilde{A})^2} \times \left[r N_f g_s (a^2 \log(r) (\tilde{A}) - 0.01r \log(9a^2 r^4 + r^6) + 0.03r \log(N) - 0.07r \log(\alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2})) \right] \\
&+ \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{73} \left(\frac{C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{17/20} \sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}}}{\alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \sqrt[4]{g_s} \log(N) (\tilde{D})} \right) \\
&\times \left(\frac{N_f g_s ((2.5a^2 + 0.3r^2) \log(\alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}) + (-1.2a^2 - 0.1r^2) \log(N) + (0.6a^2 + 0.1r^2) \log(9a^2 r^4 + r^6) - 0.4r^2) - 1.7r^2}{N_f g_s (\tilde{A})} \right) \\
\Omega_4^7 &= \frac{5870.7N^{7/20}\alpha_{\theta_2}}{Mr^2\alpha_{\theta_1}^2 N_f^2 g_s^{11/4} \log(r) (\tilde{A})^2} \left[r N_f g_s (a^2 \log(r) (\tilde{A}) - 0.01r \log(9a^2 r^4 + r^6) + 0.03r \log(N) - 0.07r \log(\alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2})) \right] \\
&- \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{74} \left[\frac{C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{17/20} \sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}}}{\alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \sqrt[4]{g_s} \log(N) (\tilde{D})} \right] \left(\frac{(-19a^2 + 2.2r^2) \log(\alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}) + (a^2 + 1.1r^2) \log(N) + (-4.9a^2 - 0.6r^2) \log(9a^2 r^4 + r^6)}{\tilde{A}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_5^7 &= \frac{176.121 N^{7/20} \alpha_{\theta_2}}{Mr \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 N_f g_s^{7/4} \log(r) (\tilde{A})^2} \left[\log(N) (33.3a^2 \log(r) + r) + (-16.7a^2 \log(r) - 0.3r) \log(9a^2 r^4 + r^6) - 66.7a^2 \log(r) \log(\alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2.3r \log(\alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}) \right] - \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{75} \left[\frac{10.C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{17/20} \sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}}}{\alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \sqrt[4]{g_s} \log(N) (\tilde{A})} \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[\frac{N_f g_s (- (19a^2 + 2.2r^2) \log(\alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}) + (9.9a^2 + 1.1r^2) \log(N) + (-4.9a^2 - 0.6r^2) \log(9a^2 r^4 + r^6) + 28a^2 + 3.1r^2) + 120a^2 + 14r^2}{N_f g_s (- (20a^2 + 2r^2) \log(\alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}) + (10.a^2 + r^2) \log(N) + (-5a^2 - 0.5r^2) \log(9a^2 r^4 + r^6) + 3.r^2) + 14.r^2} \right] \\
\Omega_6^7 &= \frac{12.9M^{20} \sqrt[20]{N} N_f g_s^{7/4} \log(N) \log(r)}{r^2 \alpha_{\theta_2} (\tilde{A})^2} \left[-0.2a^2 \log(\alpha_{\theta_1}) - 0.2a^2 \log(\alpha_{\theta_2}) + \log(N) (a^2 r \log(r) + 0.1a^2 - 0.05r^2) - 2.a^2 r \log(r) \log(\alpha_{\theta_1}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (-0.5a^2 r \log(r) - 0.05a^2 + 0.02r^2) \log(9a^2 r^4 + r^6) - 2.a^2 r \log(r) \log(\alpha_{\theta_2}) + 0.1r^2 \log(\alpha_{\theta_1}) + 0.1r^2 \log(\alpha_{\theta_2}) \right] \\
&\quad - \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{76} \left(\frac{\sqrt{C_{xx}^{(1)} C_{yy}^{(1)}} C_q N^{17/20} \sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}}}{\alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \sqrt[4]{g_s} \log(N) (\tilde{A})} \right) \left[6.6a^2 \log(\alpha_{\theta_1}) + 6.6a^2 \log(\alpha_{\theta_2}) + (-3.3a^2 - 0.4r^2) \log(N) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (1.6a^2 + 0.2r^2) \log(9a^2 r^4 + r^6) + 0.7r^2 \log(\alpha_{\theta_1}) + 0.7r^2 \log(\alpha_{\theta_2}) \right] \frac{1}{\tilde{D}} \tag{B2}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{D} \equiv (a^2 + 0.1r^2)\tilde{A}$.

• Ω_{bc}^a Components (i) Ω_{bc}^2

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{23}^2 &= \frac{12.8N^{3/4} \alpha_{\theta_2} (5.8a^2 + r^2)}{Mr^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^4 N_f g_s^{7/4} \log(r)} + \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{23}^2 \left[\frac{0.5C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{5/4}}{r^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^{3/2} \alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \sqrt[4]{g_s}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times (-5.7 \times 10^3 a^2 r \log(r) + 0.3a^2 - 3.1 \times 10^2 r^2) \right] \\
\Omega_{24}^2 &= \frac{2.6MN^{3/20} N_f g_s^{7/4} \log^2(N) \log(r) (-13.6a^4 + 4.54545a^2 r^2 + (1363.6a^4 r - 227.3a^2 r^3) \log(r) + r^4)}{r^4 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2} \\
&\quad + \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{24}^2 \left[-\frac{1.5C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{19/20} (-8.3a^4 - 2.2a^2 r^2 + r^4)}{r^4 \alpha_{\theta_1}^{3/2} \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 \sqrt[4]{g_s}} \right] \\
\Omega_{25}^2 &= -\frac{9.9N^{3/4} \alpha_{\theta_2} (9.7a^2 + r^2)}{Mr^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^4 N_f g_s^{7/4} \log(r)} + \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{25}^2 \left[-\frac{4.7 \times 10^2 C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{5/4}}{r^4 \alpha_{\theta_1}^{3/2} \alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \sqrt[4]{g_s} \log(N)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times (\log(N) (0.05a^4 + 1.3a^2 r^2 + (12.09a^2 r^3 - 1.2a^4 r) \log(r) + r^4) + 0.007r^4) \right] \\
\Omega_{26}^2 &= -\frac{4.1N^{3/4} \alpha_{\theta_2} (2a^2 + r^2)}{Mr^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^4 N_f g_s^{7/4} \log(r)} + \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{26}^2 \left[\frac{0.5C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{5/4}}{r^4 \alpha_{\theta_1}^{3/2} \alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \sqrt[4]{g_s}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. (-17a^4 + 1.5 \times 10^3 a^2 r^3 \log(r) - 4.5a^2 r^2 + 76r^4) \right] \\
\Omega_{34}^2 &= \frac{MN^{3/20} N_f g_s^{7/4} \log^2(N) \log(r)}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^2} + \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{34}^2 \left[\frac{0.5C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{19/20}}{r^4 \alpha_{\theta_1}^{3/2} \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 \sqrt[4]{g_s}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. (20a^2 r^2 + (590a^4 r - 140a^2 r^3) \log(r) - 5r^4) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{35}^2 &= \frac{16.7N^{3/4}\alpha_{\theta_2}}{M\alpha_{\theta_1}^4 N_f g_s^{7/4} \log(r)} + \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{35}^2 \left[\frac{450C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{5/4} (0.03a^2 r + (1.1a^4 + 37.5a^2 r^2) \log(r) + r^3)}{r^3 \alpha_{\theta_1}^{3/2} \alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \sqrt[4]{g_s}} \right] \\
\Omega_{36}^2 &= -\frac{6.9N^{3/4}\alpha_{\theta_2}}{M\alpha_{\theta_1}^4 N_f g_s^{7/4} \log(r)} + \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{36}^2 \left[\frac{C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{5/4} (-0.1a^2 r^2 + (22a^2 r^3 - 4.1a^4 r) \log(r) + r^4)}{r^4 \alpha_{\theta_1}^{3/2} \alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \sqrt[4]{g_s}} \right] \\
\Omega_{45}^2 &= \frac{1.6MN^{3/20} N_f g_s^{7/4} \log^2(N) \log(r)}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^2} + \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{45}^2 \left[\frac{C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{19/20}}{r^4 \alpha_{\theta_1}^{3/2} \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 \sqrt[4]{g_s} \log(N)} \right. \\
&\quad \times (0.04a^2 r^2 \log(N) + \log(r) (a^4 r \log(N) + a^2 r^3 (-0.2 \log(N) - 0.7)) + r^4 (-0.008 \log(N) - 0.013)) \left. \right] \\
\Omega_{46}^2 &= -\frac{1.4MN^{3/20} (r^2 - 3.3a^2) N_f g_s^{7/4} \log^2(N) \log(r)}{r^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2} - \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{46}^2 \left[\frac{C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{19/20} (20.5a^2 \log(r) + r)}{r \alpha_{\theta_1}^{3/2} \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 \sqrt[4]{g_s} \log(N)} \right] \\
\Omega_{56}^2 &= \frac{10.6N^{3/4}\alpha_{\theta_2}}{M\alpha_{\theta_1}^4 N_f g_s^{7/4} \log(r)} + \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{56}^2 \left[\frac{0.5C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{5/4} (22a^2 r + (-3.2 \times 10^3 a^2 r^2) \log(r) - 1.5 \times 10^2 r^3)}{r^3 \alpha_{\theta_1}^{3/2} \alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \sqrt[4]{g_s}} \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{B3}$$

In (B3), $|\tilde{\omega}_{bc}^2| \ll 1$.

(ii) Ω_{bc}^3

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{23}^3 &= -\frac{0.5MN^{9/20} (r^2 - 3a^2) (r^2 - 2a^2) (2a^2 + r^2) N_f g_s^{7/4} \log^2(N) \log(r)}{r^6 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}} + \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{23}^3 \left[-\frac{C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{5/4}}{r^4 \alpha_{\theta_1}^{3/2} \alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \sqrt[4]{g_s} \log(N)} \right. \\
&\quad \times (33.3a^2 r \log(r) - 0.3a^2 + r^2) ((r^2 - 3.3a^2) \log(N) - 87.7a^2 r \log(r)) \left. \right] \\
\Omega_{24}^3 &= \frac{M (-988.8a^4 + 352.3a^2 r^2 + 72.4r^4) N_f g_s^{7/4} \log^2(N) \log(r)}{\sqrt[4]{N} r^4} - \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{24}^3 \left[\frac{C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{19/20} (r^2 - 3a^2) (2a^2 + r^2)}{r^4 \alpha_{\theta_1}^{3/2} \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 \sqrt[4]{g_s}} \right] \\
\Omega_{25}^3 &= \frac{0.3MN^{9/20} (r^2 - 3a^2) (r^2 - 2a^2) (2a^2 + r^2) N_f g_s^{7/4} \log^2(N) \log(r)}{r^6 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}} + \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{25}^3 \left[\frac{C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{5/4}}{r^4 \alpha_{\theta_1}^{3/2} \alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \sqrt[4]{g_s} \log(N)} \right. \\
&\quad \times (100a^2 r \log(r) - 4a^2 + r^2) ((r^2 - 3.3a^2) \log(N) - 87.6667a^2 r \log(r)) \left. \right] \\
\Omega_{26}^3 &= \frac{0.3MN^{9/20} (r^2 - 3a^2) (r^2 - 2a^2) (2a^2 + r^2) N_f g_s^{7/4} \log^2(N) \log(r)}{r^6 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}} \\
&\quad + \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{26}^3 \left[\frac{C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{5/4} (2a^2 + r^2) ((r^2 - 3a^2) \log(N) - 78.9a^2 r \log(r))}{r^4 \alpha_{\theta_1}^{3/2} \alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \sqrt[4]{g_s} \log(N)} \right] \\
\Omega_{34}^3 &= -\frac{10.4M^3 (1.7a^2 - 0.8r^2) (a^2 - 0.3r^2) (0.2a^2 - 0.07r^2) N_f^3 g_s^{21/4} \log^4(N) \log^3(r)}{N^{3/20} r^6 \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \\
&\quad - \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{34}^3 \left[\frac{C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{19/20} (33.3a^2 r \log(r) + r^2) ((r^2 - 3.3a^2) \log(N) - 87.7a^2 r \log(r))}{r^4 \alpha_{\theta_1}^{3/2} \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 \sqrt[4]{g_s} \log(N)} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{35}^3 &= -\frac{0.5MN^{9/20}(r^2-3.3a^2)(r^2-3.a^2)N_fg_s^{7/4}\log^2(N)\log(r)}{r^4\alpha_{\theta_1}^2\alpha_{\theta_2}} \\
&\quad -\beta^{1/4}\tilde{\omega}_{35}^3\left[\frac{\sqrt{C_{x^{10}x^{10}}^{(1)}}C_qN^{5/4}(33.3a^2r\log(r)+r^2)((1.r^2-3.3a^2)\log(N)-87.7a^2r\log(r))}{r^4\alpha_{\theta_1}^{3/2}\alpha_{\theta_2}^4\sqrt[4]{g_s}\log(N)}\right] \\
\Omega_{36}^3 &= \frac{0.4MN^{9/20}(r^2-3.a^2)(r^2-2.a^2)N_fg_s^{7/4}\log^2(N)\log(r)}{r^4\alpha_{\theta_1}^2\alpha_{\theta_2}} \\
&\quad -\beta^{1/4}\tilde{\omega}_{36}^3\left[\frac{C_q\sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}}N^{5/4}(a^2-0.3r^2)(a^2\log(r)+0.03r)}{r^3\alpha_{\theta_1}^{3/2}\alpha_{\theta_2}^4\sqrt[4]{g_s}}\right] \\
\Omega_{45}^3 &= \frac{178.2M^3(a^2-0.3r^2)(0.2a^2-0.07r^2)(0.03r^2-0.06a^2)N_f^3g_s^{21/4}\log^4(N)\log^3(r)}{N^{3/20}r^6\alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \\
&\quad -\beta^{1/4}\tilde{\omega}_{45}^3\left[\frac{C_q\sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}}N^{19/20}(33.3a^2r\log(r)+r^2)((r^2-3.3a^2)\log(N)-87.7a^2r\log(r))}{r^4\alpha_{\theta_1}^{3/2}\alpha_{\theta_2}^3\sqrt[4]{g_s}\log(N)}\right] \\
\Omega_{46}^3 &= \frac{0.09M^3(3.3a^2-r^2)^2(r^2-2.a^2)N_f^3g_s^{21/4}\log^4(N)\log^3(r)}{N^{3/20}r^6\alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \\
&\quad +\beta^{1/4}\tilde{\omega}_{46}^3\left[\frac{C_q\sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}}M^2N^{13/20}\sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}}N_f^2g_s^{13/4}\log(N)\log^2(r)(35.7143a^2r\log(r)-2.8a^2+r^2)}{r^4\alpha_{\theta_2}^5}\right. \\
&\quad \left.\times((r^2-3.3a^2)\log(N)-87.7a^2r\log(r))\right] \\
\Omega_{56}^3 &= -\frac{0.5MN^{9/20}(r^2-3.a^2)(r^2-2.a^2)N_fg_s^{7/4}\log^2(N)\log(r)}{r^4\alpha_{\theta_1}^2\alpha_{\theta_2}} + \beta^{1/4}\tilde{\omega}_{56}^3\left[\frac{C_q\sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}}N^{5/4}(a^2-0.3r^2)}{r^2\alpha_{\theta_1}^{3/2}\alpha_{\theta_2}^4\sqrt[4]{g_s}}\right]
\end{aligned} \tag{B4}$$

In (B4), $|\tilde{\omega}_{bc}^3| \ll 1$.

(iii) Ω_{bc}^4

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{23}^4 &= -\frac{38.7M(r^2-2.a^2)(8.2a^4-5.a^2r^2+r^4)N_fg_s^{7/4}\log(N)\log(r)}{\sqrt[4]{N}r^2(11a^4-7.5a^2r^2+r^4)} - \beta^{1/4}\tilde{\omega}_{23}^4\left[\frac{C_q\sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}}N^{37/20}(r^2-9.7a^2)}{M^2r^2\alpha_{\theta_1}^{7/2}\alpha_{\theta_2}^3N_f^2g_s^{15/4}\log^2(N)\log^2(r)}\right] \\
\Omega_{24}^4 &= -\frac{32.9a^2M^4\alpha_{\theta_1}^3(a^2-0.3r^2)(a^4-0.7a^2r^2+0.1r^4)N_f^4g_s^7\log^4(N)\log^5(r)}{Nr^3\alpha_{\theta_2}^2(a^4-0.7a^2r^2+0.09r^4)} - \beta^{1/4}\tilde{\omega}_{24}^4\left[\frac{C_q\sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}}N^{5/4}}{r^4\alpha_{\theta_1}^{3/2}\alpha_{\theta_2}^4\sqrt[4]{g_s}}\right. \\
&\quad \left.\times(50a^4-40a^2r^2+r^4)\right] \\
\Omega_{25}^4 &= \frac{21.9M(r^2-2.a^2)(8.25a^4-5.a^2r^2+r^4)N_fg_s^{7/4}\log(N)\log(r)}{\sqrt[4]{N}r^2(11a^4-7.5a^2r^2+r^4)} - \beta^{1/4}\tilde{\omega}_{25}^4\left[\frac{C_q\sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}}N^{37/20}(-7.02a^2r^2+r^4)}{M^2r^4\alpha_{\theta_1}^{7/2}\alpha_{\theta_2}^3N_f^2g_s^{15/4}\log^2(N)\log^2(r)}\right] \\
\Omega_{26}^4 &= \frac{22.8M(r^2-2.a^2)(8.25a^4-5.a^2r^2+r^4)N_fg_s^{7/4}\log(N)\log(r)}{\sqrt[4]{N}r^2(11a^4-7.5a^2r^2+r^4)} - \beta^{1/4}\tilde{\omega}_{26}^4\left[\frac{a^2C_q\sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}}N^{37/20}(2a^2+r^2)}{M^2r^3\alpha_{\theta_1}^{7/2}\alpha_{\theta_2}^3N_f^2g_s^{15/4}\log^2(N)\log(r)}\right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{34}^4 &= \frac{23.2M^3\alpha_{\theta_1}^2(r^2 - 2.1a^2)(r^2 - 2a^2)(8.2a^4 - 5a^2r^2 + r^4)N_f^3g_s^{21/4}\log^3(N)\log^3(r)}{N^{17/20}r^4\alpha_{\theta_2}(11a^4 - 7.5a^2r^2 + r^4)} \\
&+ \beta^{1/4}\tilde{\omega}_{34}^4 \left[\frac{C_q\sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}}N^{5/4}((1000a^4r + 100a^2r^3)\log(r) + r^4)}{r^4\alpha_{\theta_1}^{3/2}\alpha_{\theta_2}^4\sqrt[4]{g_s}} \right] \\
\Omega_{35}^4 &= -\frac{70.6M(r^2 - 2a^2)(8.2a^4 - 5a^2r^2 + r^4)N_fg_s^{7/4}\log(N)\log(r)}{\sqrt[4]{N}r^2(11a^4 - 7.5a^2r^2 + r^4)} \\
&+ \beta^{1/4}\tilde{\omega}_{35}^4 \left[\frac{C_q\sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}}N^{37/20}(r^4)}{M^2r^4\alpha_{\theta_1}^{7/2}\alpha_{\theta_2}^3N_f^2g_s^{15/4}\log^2(N)\log^2(r)} \right] \\
\Omega_{36}^4 &= \frac{47.3M(r^2 - 2a^2)(8.2a^4 - 5a^2r^2 + r^4)N_fg_s^{7/4}\log(N)\log(r)}{\sqrt[4]{N}r^2(11a^4 - 7.5a^2r^2 + r^4)} \\
&- \beta^{1/4}\tilde{\omega}_{36}^4 \left[\frac{C_q\sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}}N^{37/20}(15.9a^2\log(r) + r)(28.3a^2\log(r) + r)}{M^2r^2\alpha_{\theta_1}^{7/2}\alpha_{\theta_2}^3N_f^2g_s^{15/4}\log^2(N)\log^2(r)} \right] \\
\Omega_{45}^4 &= \frac{13.1M^3\alpha_{\theta_1}^2(r^2 - 2.1a^2)(r^2 - 2a^2)(8.2a^4 - 5a^2r^2 + r^4)N_f^3g_s^{21/4}\log^3(N)\log^3(r)}{N^{17/20}r^4\alpha_{\theta_2}(11a^4 - 7.5a^2r^2 + r^4)} \\
&+ \beta^{1/4}\tilde{\omega}_{45}^4 \left[\frac{C_q\sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}}N^{5/4}((500a^4r + 100a^2r^3)\log(r) + 1r^4)}{r^4\alpha_{\theta_1}^{3/2}\alpha_{\theta_2}^4\sqrt[4]{g_s}} \right] \\
\Omega_{46}^4 &= \frac{13.7M^3\alpha_{\theta_1}^2(r^2 - 2.1a^2)(r^2 - 2a^2)(8.2a^4 - 5a^2r^2 + r^4)N_f^3g_s^{21/4}\log^3(N)\log^3(r)}{N^{17/20}r^4\alpha_{\theta_2}(11a^4 - 7.5a^2r^2 + r^4)} \\
&- \beta^{1/4}\tilde{\omega}_{46}^4 \left[\frac{C_q\sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}}N^{5/4}(35.7143a^2r\log(r) - 2.85714a^2 + r^2)}{r^2\alpha_{\theta_1}^{3/2}\alpha_{\theta_2}^4\sqrt[4]{g_s}} \right] \\
\Omega_{56}^4 &= -\frac{68.5M(r^2 - 2a^2)(8.25a^4 - 5a^2r^2 + r^4)N_fg_s^{7/4}\log(N)\log(r)}{\sqrt[4]{N}r^2(11a^4 - 7.5a^2r^2 + r^4)} \\
&+ \beta^{1/4}\tilde{\omega}_{56}^4 \left[\frac{C_q\sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}}N^{37/20}}{M^2\alpha_{\theta_1}^{7/2}\alpha_{\theta_2}^3N_f^2g_s^{15/4}\log^2(N)\log^2(r)} \right] \tag{B5}
\end{aligned}$$

In (B5), $|\tilde{\omega}_{bc}^4| \ll 1$.

(iv) Ω_{bc}^5

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{23}^5 &= \frac{407.4N^{7/20}\alpha_{\theta_2}(4.6a^2 + r^2)}{Mr^2\alpha_{\theta_1}^2N_fg_s^{7/4}\log(r)} - \beta^{1/4}\tilde{\omega}_{23}^5 \left[\frac{C_q\sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}}N^{17/20}\sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}}(0.01a^4 - 0.03a^2r^2 + (13.1a^2r^3 - 1.2a^4r)\log(r) + r^4)}{r^4\alpha_{\theta_2}^4\sqrt[4]{g_s}} \right] \\
\Omega_{24}^5 &= \frac{M(-854.5a^4 + 302.5a^2r^2 + 62.7r^4)N_fg_s^{7/4}\log^2(N)\log(r)}{\sqrt[4]{N}r^4} \\
&+ \beta^{1/4}\tilde{\omega}_{24}^5 \left[\frac{0.5C_q\sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}}N^{11/20}\sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}}(a^4\log N - 5.03 \times 10^{-12}a^2r^3\log(r) - 9.9 \times 10^{-14}\log Nr^4)}{\sqrt[4]{g_s}\log Nr^4\alpha_{\theta_2}^3} \right] \\
\Omega_{25}^5 &= -\frac{228.8N^{7/20}\alpha_{\theta_2}(9.2a^2 + r^2)}{Mr^2\alpha_{\theta_1}^2N_fg_s^{7/4}\log(r)} + \beta^{1/4}\tilde{\omega}_{25}^5 \left[\frac{C_q\sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}}N^{17/20}\sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}}(0.03a^4 + 1.23a^2r^2 + (13.04a^2r^3 - 0.95a^4r)\log(r) + 1r^4)}{r^4\alpha_{\theta_2}^4\sqrt[4]{g_s}} \right] \\
\Omega_{26}^5 &= -\frac{202.05N^{7/20}\alpha_{\theta_2}(2a^2 + r^2)}{Mr^2\alpha_{\theta_1}^2N_fg_s^{7/4}\log(r)} + \beta^{1/4}\tilde{\omega}_{26}^5 \left[\frac{C_q\sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}}N^{17/20}\sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}}(r^4 - 0.0746269a^4)}{r^4\alpha_{\theta_2}^4\sqrt[4]{g_s}} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{34}^5 &= \frac{M (274.7a^2 - 66.9r^2) N_f g_s^{7/4} \log^2(N) \log(r)}{\sqrt[4]{N} r^2} - \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{34}^5 \left[\frac{C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{11/20} \sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}} (r^2 - 1.5a^2)}{r^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 \sqrt[4]{g_s}} \right] \\
\Omega_{35}^5 &= \frac{674.08 N^{7/20} \alpha_{\theta_2}}{M \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 N_f g_s^{7/4} \log(r)} - \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{35}^5 \left[\frac{C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{17/20} \sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}} (0.04a^2 r^2 + (1.4a^4 r + 59.8a^2 r^3) \log(r) + r^4)}{r^4 \alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \sqrt[4]{g_s}} \right] \\
\Omega_{36}^5 &= -\frac{337.8 N^{7/20} \alpha_{\theta_2}}{M \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 N_f g_s^{7/4} \log(r)} - \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{36}^5 \left[\frac{(a^2 - 26.7) C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{17/20} \sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}}}{r^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \sqrt[4]{g_s}} \right] \\
\Omega_{45}^5 &= \frac{66.3 M (0.0316742a^2 + r^2) N_f g_s^{7/4} \log^2(N) \log(r)}{\sqrt[4]{N} r^2} \\
&\quad - \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{45}^5 \left[\frac{C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{11/20} \sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}} (-3a^2 r^2 + (50.a^2 r^3 - 100.a^4 r) \log(r) + r^4)}{r^4 \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 \sqrt[4]{g_s}} \right] \\
\Omega_{46}^5 &= -\frac{85.2 M N_f g_s^{7/4} \log^2(N) \log(r) (302.03a^4 r^2 \log^2(r) - 2.5a^2 r^2 + (42.3a^2 r^3 - 22.7a^4 r) \log(r) + r^4)}{\sqrt[4]{N} r^4} \\
&\quad - \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{46}^5 \left[\frac{C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{11/20} \sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}} (20.5a^2 r \log(r) + r^2)}{r^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 \sqrt[4]{g_s} \log(N)} \right] \\
\Omega_{56}^5 &= \frac{524.01 N^{7/20} \alpha_{\theta_2}}{M \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 N_f g_s^{7/4} \log(r)} \\
&\quad - \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{56}^5 \left[\frac{C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{17/20} \sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}} (-0.04a^2 r + (10.1a^2 r^2 - 1.4a^4) \log(r) + r^3)}{r^3 \alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \sqrt[4]{g_s}} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

(B6)

In (B6), $|\tilde{\omega}_{bc}^5| \ll 1$.

(v) Ω_{bc}^6

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{23}^6 &= \frac{517.5 N^{7/20} \alpha_{\theta_2} (4.5a^2 + r^2)}{M r^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 N_f g_s^{7/4} \log(r)} + \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{23}^6 \left[\frac{C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{17/20} \sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}}}{r^4 \alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \sqrt[4]{g_s} \log(N)} \right] \\
&\quad \times (23.9a^4 r^2 \log^2(r) + \log(N) (-0.008a^4 + 0.03a^2 r^2 + (a^4 r - 11.1a^2 r^3) \log(r) - 0.8r^4)) \\
\Omega_{24}^6 &= \frac{78.1 M (-13.5a^4 + 4.7a^2 r^2 + r^4) N_f g_s^{7/4} \log^2(N) \log(r)}{\sqrt[4]{N} r^4} + \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{24}^6 \left[\frac{a^4 C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{11/20} \sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}} (0.04 \log(N) + r \log(r))}{r^4 \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 \sqrt[4]{g_s} \log(N)} \right] \\
\Omega_{25}^6 &= -\frac{283.8 N^{7/20} \alpha_{\theta_2} (9.2a^2 + r^2)}{M r^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 N_f g_s^{7/4} \log(r)} - \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{25}^6 \left[\frac{C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{17/20} \sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}}}{r^4 \alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \sqrt[4]{g_s} \log(N)} \right] \\
&\quad \times (23.9a^4 r^2 \log^2(r) + \log(N) (-0.04a^4 - 1.3a^2 r^2 + (a^4 r - 14.6a^2 r^3) \log(r) - 1.1r^4)) \\
\Omega_{26}^6 &= -\frac{262.5 N^{7/20} \alpha_{\theta_2} (2a^2 + r^2)}{M r^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 N_f g_s^{7/4} \log(r)} - \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{26}^6 \left[\frac{C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{17/20} \sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}}}{r^4 \alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \sqrt[4]{g_s} \log(N)} \right] \\
&\quad \times (23.9a^4 r \log(r) + \log(N) (a^4 - 130.05a^2 r^3 \log(r) + 0.2a^2 r^2 - 15.2r^4))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{34}^6 &= \frac{M(350.1a^2 - 87.8r^2)N_f g_s^{7/4} \log^2(N) \log(r)}{\sqrt[4]{N} r^2} + \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{34}^6 \left[\frac{C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{11/20} \sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}}}{r^3 \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 \sqrt[4]{g_s} \log(N)} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \left(a^4 r \log^2(r) + \log(N) (0.001a^2 r + (0.04a^4 - 0.01a^2 r^2) \log(r) - 0.0004r^3) \right) \right] \\
\Omega_{35}^6 &= \frac{867.8N^{7/20} \alpha_{\theta_2}}{M \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 N_f g_s^{7/4} \log(r)} + \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{35}^6 \left[\frac{C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{17/20} \sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}}}{r^4 \alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \sqrt[4]{g_s} \log(N)} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \left(23.9a^4 r^2 \log^2(r) + \log(N) (0.03a^2 r^2 + (a^4 r + 45.2a^2 r^3) \log(r) + 0.8r^4) \right) \right] \\
\Omega_{36}^6 &= -\frac{438.8N^{7/20} \alpha_{\theta_2}}{M \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 N_f g_s^{7/4} \log(r)} - \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{36}^6 \left[\frac{C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{17/20} \sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}}}{r^4 \alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \sqrt[4]{g_s} \log(N)} \left(23.9a^4 r^2 \log^2(r) + \log(N) (0.03a^2 r^2 + (1.a^4 r - 8.a^2 r^3) \log(r) - 0.9r^4) \right) \right] \\
\Omega_{45}^6 &= \frac{82.8M(r^2 - 0.03a^2)N_f g_s^{7/4} \log^2(N) \log(r)}{\sqrt[4]{N} r^2} + \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{45}^6 \left[\frac{C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{11/20} \sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}}}{r^3 \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 \sqrt[4]{g_s} \log(N)} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \left(a^4 r \log^2(r) + \log(N) (0.001a^2 r + (0.042a^4 - 0.012a^2 r^2) \log(r) - 0.0004r^3) \right) \right] \\
\Omega_{46}^6 &= \frac{M(276a^2 - 110.74r^2)N_f g_s^{7/4} \log^2(N) \log(r)}{\sqrt[4]{N} r^2} - \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{46}^6 \left[\frac{C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{11/20} \sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}} (20.5a^2 \log(r) + r)}{r \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 \sqrt[4]{g_s} \log(N)} \right] \\
\Omega_{56}^6 &= \frac{680.8N^{7/20} \alpha_{\theta_2}}{M \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 N_f g_s^{7/4} \log(r)} + \beta^{1/4} \tilde{\omega}_{56}^6 \left[\frac{C_q \sqrt{C_{zz}^{(1)}} N^{17/20} \sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_1}}}{r^4 \alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \sqrt[4]{g_s} \log(N)} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \left(23.9a^4 r^2 \log^2(r) + \log(N) (0.03a^2 r^2 + (a^4 r - 7.5a^2 r^3) \log(r) - 0.8r^4) \right) \right] \tag{B7}
\end{aligned}$$

In (B7), $|\tilde{\omega}_{bc}^6| \ll 1$.

From (B1) - (B7), one notes that in the MQGP limit,

$$(\Omega_2^1)^{\beta^0} \sim (\Omega_3^1)^{\beta^0} \sim -(\Omega_5^1)^{\beta^0}, \tag{B8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Omega_2^7)^{\beta^0} &\sim -(\Omega_2^1)^{\beta^0}; \\
(\Omega_3^7)^{\beta^0} &\sim -(\Omega_4^7)^{\beta^0} \sim -(\Omega_5^7)^{\beta^0}, \tag{B9}
\end{aligned}$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Omega_{23}^2)^{\beta^0} &\sim -(\Omega_{25}^2)^{\beta^0} \sim -(\Omega_{26}^2)^{\beta^0} \sim (\Omega_{56}^2)^{\beta^0} \sim (\Omega_{35}^2)^{\beta^0} \sim -(\Omega_{36}^2)^{\beta^0}, \\
(\Omega_{34}^2)^{\beta^0} &\sim (\Omega_{45}^2)^{\beta^0} \sim (\Omega_{46}^2)^{\beta^0}; \\
(\Omega_{23}^3)^{\beta^0} &\sim (\Omega_{24}^3)^{\beta^0} \sim (\Omega_{25}^3)^{\beta^0} \sim (\Omega_{26}^3)^{\beta^0} \sim (\Omega_{35}^3)^{\beta^0} \sim (\Omega_{36}^3)^{\beta^0} \sim (\Omega_{56}^3)^{\beta^0}, \\
(\Omega_{34}^3)^{\beta^0} &\sim (\Omega_{45}^3)^{\beta^0} \sim (\Omega_{46}^3)^{\beta^0}; \\
(\Omega_{23}^4)^{\beta^0} &\sim (\Omega_{25}^4)^{\beta^0} \sim (\Omega_{26}^4)^{\beta^0} \sim (\Omega_{35}^3)^{\beta^0} \sim (\Omega_{36}^4)^{\beta^0} \sim (\Omega_{56}^4)^{\beta^0}, \\
(\Omega_{34}^4)^{\beta^0} &\sim (\Omega_{45}^4)^{\beta^0} \sim (\Omega_{46}^4)^{\beta^0}; \\
(\Omega_{23}^5)^{\beta^0} &\sim (\Omega_{25}^5)^{\beta^0} \sim (\Omega_{26}^5)^{\beta^0} \sim (\Omega_{35}^5)^{\beta^0} \sim -(\Omega_{36}^5)^{\beta^0} \sim (\Omega_{56}^5)^{\beta^0}, \\
(\Omega_{24}^5)^{\beta^0} &\sim (\Omega_{34}^5)^{\beta^0} \sim (\Omega_{45}^5)^{\beta^0} \sim (\Omega_{46}^5)^{\beta^0} \sim (\Omega_{24}^6)^{\beta^0} \sim (\Omega_{34}^6)^{\beta^0} \sim (\Omega_{45}^6)^{\beta^0} \sim (\Omega_{46}^6)^{\beta^0}, \\
(\Omega_{23}^6)^{\beta^0} &\sim -(\Omega_{25}^6)^{\beta^0} \sim -(\Omega_{26}^6)^{\beta^0} \sim (\Omega_{35}^6)^{\beta^0} \sim -(\Omega_{36}^6)^{\beta^0} \sim -(\Omega_{56}^6)^{\beta^0} \quad (B10)
\end{aligned}$$

C $\Phi, * \Phi, d\Phi, *d\Phi, *d * \Phi$

In this appendix, we summarize the results for $\Phi, d\Phi, *_7 d\Phi$ and $*_7 d *_7 \Phi$ that will be useful to obtaining the results of [3](#).

1.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi &= e^{127} + e^{347} + e^{567} + e^{135} - e^{146} - e^{236} - e^{245}, \\
d\Phi &= (e^{2135} + e^{2146} + e^{3127} + e^{3146} - e^{5127} - e^{5146}) \Omega_2^1 \\
&+ (e^{2734} + e^{2756} + e^{3712} + e^{3756} - e^{4712} - e^{4756} - e^{5712} - e^{5734}) \Omega_2^7 \\
&+ (e^{2317} - e^{2345} - e^{2517} - e^{2536} - e^{2617} - e^{2645} + e^{3517} - e^{3617} - e^{3645} + e^{5617}) \Omega_{23}^2 \\
&+ (e^{2347} + e^{2315} + e^{2547} + e^{2647} + e^{2615} + e^{3547} - e^{3526} + e^{3647} + e^{3615} + e^{5647}) \Omega_{23}^3 + e^{2415} \Omega_{24}^3 \\
&+ \left(e^{2367} + e^{2567} + e^{3567} - e^{3524} - e^{3624} - e^{5624} + e^{2357} - e^{2314} + e^{2514} - e^{2657} + e^{2614} - e^{3657} + e^{3614} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - e^{5614} - e^{5623} \right) \Omega_{23}^5 \\
&\approx (e^{2347} + e^{2315} + e^{2547} + e^{2647} + e^{2615} + e^{3547} - e^{3526} + e^{3647} + e^{3615} + e^{5647}) \Omega_{23}^3 + e^{2415} \Omega_{24}^3; \quad (C1)
\end{aligned}$$

2.

$$\begin{aligned}
*_7\Phi &= e^{3456} + e^{1256} + e^{1234} - e^{2467} + e^{2357} + e^{1457} + e^{1367} \\
d *_7\Phi &= -e^{12457} (\Omega_2^1 + \Omega_2^7) - e^{12367} (\Omega_2^1 + \Omega_2^7 + \Omega_{23}^3 + \Omega_{23}^5) + e^{12356} (\Omega_2^1 + \Omega_{23}^2 + \Omega_{23}^5) \\
&\quad - e^{13457} (\Omega_2^1 + \Omega_2^7 + \Omega_{23}^2 + \Omega_{23}^5) + e^{12345} (\Omega_2^1 + \Omega_{23}^2 + \Omega_{23}^3) - e^{13567} (\Omega_2^1 + \Omega_{23}^3) \\
&\quad + e^{23467} (\Omega_2^7 - \Omega_{23}^2 - \Omega_{23}^5) - e^{23457} \Omega_2^7 + e^{13467} (\Omega_{23}^5 - \Omega_2^7) - e^{24567} (\Omega_{23}^2 + \Omega_{23}^5) + e^{14567} (\Omega_{23}^5 - \Omega_{23}^2) \\
&\quad + e^{23456} (\Omega_{23}^3 + \Omega_{23}^5) - e^{12567} \Omega_{23}^3 + e^{23567} (\Omega_{23}^3 + \Omega_{23}^5) - e^{12346} \Omega_{23}^3 + e^{12456} \Omega_{23}^3 + e^{12467} (\Omega_{24}^3 - \Omega_{23}^5) \\
&\quad + (e^{12347} - e^{12457} - e^{12357} - e^{23456} - e^{12356} + e^{13567}) \Omega_{23}^5 \\
&\approx \left(-e^{12367} + e^{12345} - e^{13567} + e^{23456} - e^{12567} + e^{23567} - e^{12346} + e^{12456} \right) \Omega_{23}^3; \tag{C2}
\end{aligned}$$

3.

$$\begin{aligned}
*_7d\Phi &= (e^{467} + e^{357} - e^{456} - e^{257} + e^{346} - e^{237}) \Omega_2^1 \\
&\quad + (-e^{156} + e^{134} + e^{456} + e^{124} - e^{356} - e^{123} + e^{346} + e^{126}) \Omega_2^7 \\
&\quad + (-e^{456} - e^{167} + e^{346} - e^{147} - e^{345} + e^{137} + e^{246} + e^{245} - e^{127} - e^{234}) \Omega_{23}^2 \\
&\quad + (e^{156} - e^{467} - e^{136} + e^{135} - e^{347} + e^{126} - e^{147} - e^{125} + e^{247} + e^{123}) \Omega_{23}^3 - e^{367} \Omega_{24}^3 \\
&\quad + \left(e^{145} - 2e^{124} + e^{167} - e^{157} + e^{137} - e^{146} - e^{567} - e^{367} + e^{357} - e^{257} - e^{237} - e^{147} \right) \Omega_{23}^5 \\
&\sim (e^{156} - e^{467} - e^{136} + e^{135} - e^{347} + e^{126} - e^{147} - e^{125} + e^{247} + e^{123}) \Omega_{23}^3 - e^{367} \Omega_{24}^3; \tag{C3}
\end{aligned}$$

4.

$$\begin{aligned}
*_7(d *_7\Phi) &= (-e^{36} - e^{45} + e^{47} + e^{26} - e^{67} - e^{24}) \Omega_2^1 \\
&\quad + (-e^{36} - e^{45} - e^{15} + e^{26} - e^{16} - e^{25}) \Omega_2^7 \\
&\quad - (-e^{15} + e^{47} - e^{13} - e^{67} - e^{23} + e^{26}) \Omega_{23}^2 \\
&\quad + (-e^{17} - e^{45} - e^{34} + e^{24} + e^{67} + e^{14} + e^{57} - e^{37}) \Omega_{23}^3 + e^{35} \Omega_{24}^3 \\
&\quad + \left(e^{56} - e^{17} - e^{36} + e^{35} + e^{47} + e^{26} - e^{25} + e^{14} + e^{23} - e^{46} + e^{17} + e^{45} - e^{47} + e^{15} + e^{13} - e^{24} \right) \Omega_{23}^5 \\
&\approx (-e^{17} - e^{45} - e^{34} + e^{24} + e^{67} + e^{14} + e^{57} - e^{37}) \Omega_{23}^3 + e^{35} \Omega_{24}^3. \tag{C4}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{D} \quad \Omega_- : i_{R(1)}\Omega_- = 0 \cap *_7\Phi = -\sigma^{(1)} \wedge \Omega_- + \frac{1}{2}\omega_\Phi^2$$

Relevant to the explicit construction of a transverse $SU(3)$ structure in 6 and in particular, 6.1, arising from the contact structure constructed in 5, in this appendix we discuss the details

pertaining to solution of:

$$\begin{aligned} i_{R(1)}\Omega_- &= 0, \\ *\Phi &= -\sigma^{(1)} \wedge \Omega_- + \frac{1}{2}\omega_\Phi^2, \end{aligned} \tag{D1}$$

for Ω_- with the understanding that $\Omega_+ = \Phi - \sigma \wedge \omega_\Phi$ (the holomorphic three-form $\Omega = \Omega_+ + \iota\Omega_-$).

From the ansatz (97), one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} i_{R(1)}\Omega_- &= \Lambda_{1b_0c_0}^{(1)} (R_{(1)}.e^1 e^{b_0c_0} - R_{(1)}.e^{b_0} e^{c_01} + R_{(1)}.e^{c_0} e^{b_01}) + \Lambda_{13c_0}^{(2)} (R_{(1)}.e^1 e^{3c_0} - R_{(1)}.e^3 e^{c_01} + R_{(1)}.e^{c_0} e^{31}) \\ &+ \Lambda_{17c_0}^{(3)} (R_{(1)}.e^1 e^{7c_0} - R_{(1)}.e^7 e^{c_01} + R_{(1)}.e^{c_0} e^{71}) + \Lambda_{137}^{(4)} (R_{(1)}.e^1 e^{37} - R_{(1)}.e^3 e^{71} + R_{(1)}.e^7 e^{31}) \\ &+ \Lambda_{3b_0c_0}^{(1)} (R_{(1)}.e^3 e^{b_0c_0} - R_{(1)}.e^{b_0} e^{c_01} + R_{(1)}.e^{c_0} e^{37}) \\ &+ \Lambda_{37c_0}^{(3)} (R_{(1)}.e^3 e^{7c_0} - R_{(1)}.e^7 e^{3c_0} + R_{(1)}.e^{c_0} e^{37}) + \Lambda_{7b_0c_0}^{(1)} (R_{(1)}.e^7 e^{b_0c_0} - R_{(1)}.e^{b_0} e^{7c_0} + R_{(1)}.e^{c_0} e^{7b_0}) \\ &+ \lambda_{a_0b_0c_0} (R_{(1)}.e^{a_0} e^{b_0c_0} - R_{(1)}.e^{b_0} e^{a_0c_0} + R_{(1)}.e^{c_0} e^{a_0b_0}) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{D2}$$

($a_0, b_0, c_0 = 2, 4, 5, 6$) that yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{1b_0c_0}^{(1)} R_{(1)}.e^1 + \Lambda_{3b_0c_0}^{(3)} R_{(1)}.e^3 + \Lambda_{7b_0c_0}^{(1)} R_{(1)}.e^7 + 3\lambda_{a_0b_0c_0} R_{(1)}.e^{a_0} &= 0, \\ \Lambda_{1b_0c_0}^{(1)} R_{(1)}.e^{b_0} + \Lambda_{13c_0}^{(2)} R_{(1)}.e^3 + \Lambda_{17c_0}^{(3)} R_{(1)}.e^7 &= 0, \\ \Lambda_{13c_0}^{(2)} R_{(1)}.e^{(1)} - 2\Lambda_{3b_0c_0}^{(1)} R_{(1)}.e^{b_0} - \Lambda_{37c_0}^{(3)} R_{(1)}.e^7 &= 0, \\ \Lambda_{17c_0}^{(3)} R_{(1)}.e^1 + \Lambda_{37c_0}^{(3)} R_{(1)}.e^3 - 2\Lambda_{7b_0c_0}^{(1)} R_{(1)}.e^{b_0} &= 0, \\ \Lambda_{13c_0}^{(2)} R_{(1)}.e^{c_0} + \lambda_{137}^{(1)} R_{(1)}.e^7 &= 0, \\ \Lambda_{137}^{(4)} R_{(1)}.e^3 &= 0, \\ \Lambda_{17c_0}^{(3)} R_{(1)}.e^{c_0} &= 0, \\ \Lambda_{137}^{(4)} R_{(1)}.e^1 + \Lambda_{37c_0}^{(3)} R_{(1)}.e^{c_0} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{D3}$$

From (D3),

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{13c_0}^{(2)} &= \Lambda_{17c_0}^{(1)} = \Lambda_{37c_0}^{(3)} = \Lambda_{17c_0}^{(3)} = 0, \\ \Lambda_{137}^{(4)} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{D4}$$

Substituting

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_\Phi^2 &= 2 \left(\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \Omega_a^1 \Omega_{bc}^3 e^{a1bc} + \alpha_1 \alpha_7 \Omega_a^1 \Omega_b^7 e^{a1b7} + \alpha_3 \alpha_7 \Omega_{bc}^3 \Omega_a^7 e^{bca7} \right) \\
&+ \alpha_3^2 \Omega_{bc}^3 \Omega_{df}^3 e^{bcd f} \\
&= 2 \left(\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \Omega_3^1 \Omega_{b_0 c_0}^3 e^{31 b_0 c_0} + 2 \alpha_1 \alpha_3 \Omega_{a_0}^1 \Omega_{3 c_0}^3 e^{a1 3 c_0} + \Omega_3^1 \Omega_{b_0}^7 e^{31 b_0 7} \right. \\
&+ \Omega_{a_0}^1 \Omega_3^7 e^{a0 1 3 7} + 2 \alpha_3 \alpha_7 \Omega_{3 c_0}^3 \Omega_{a_0}^7 e^{3 c_0 a_0 7} + \alpha_3 \alpha_7 \Omega_{b_0 c_0}^3 \Omega_3^7 e^{b_0 c_0 3 7} \left. \right) \\
&+ 2 \left(\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \Omega_{a_0}^1 \Omega_{b_0 c_0}^3 e^{a_0 1 b_0 c_0} + \alpha_1 \alpha_7 \Omega_{a_0}^1 \Omega_{b_0}^7 e^{a_0 1 b_0 7} + \alpha_3 \alpha_7 \Omega_{c_0 c_0}^3 \Omega_{a_0}^7 e^{b_0 c_0 a_0 7} \right) \\
&+ \alpha^2 \Omega_{b_0 c_0}^3 \Omega_{d_0 f_0}^3 e^{b_0 c_0 d_0 f_0}; \tag{D5}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Omega_{b_0 c_0}^3 \Omega_{d_0 f_0}^3 e^{b_0 c_0 d_0 f_0} = \left(\Omega_{24}^3 \Omega_{56}^3 - \Omega_{25}^3 \Omega_{46}^3 + \Omega_{26}^3 \Omega_{45}^3 \right) e^{2456} \approx 0, \tag{D6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma^1 \wedge \omega_\Phi &= \alpha_1 \alpha_3 \left(\Omega_{bc}^3 e^{1bc} + \Omega_a^1 e^{3a1} \right) + \alpha_1 \alpha_7 \left(\Omega_a^7 e^{1a7} + \Omega_a^1 e^{7a1} \right) \\
&+ \alpha_3^2 \Omega_{bc}^3 e^{3bc} + \alpha_3 \alpha_7 \left(\Omega_a^7 e^{3a7} + \Omega_{bc}^3 e^{7bc} \right), \tag{D7}
\end{aligned}$$

into (95) one can obtain Ω_+ , and the following set of conditions on $\Lambda_{ABC}^{(i)}$'s:

$$\begin{aligned}
(i) \quad & -\alpha_1 \Lambda_{3|b_0 c_0}^{(1)} + \alpha_1 \alpha_3 \Omega_{[3}^1 \Omega_{b_0]c_0}^3, b_0, c_0 \neq 2, 4; \\
& -\alpha_1 \Lambda_{3|24}^{(1)} + \alpha_1 \alpha_3 \Omega_{[3}^1 \Omega_{2]4}^3 = 1, \\
(ii) \quad & -\left(\alpha_1 \Lambda_{37c_0}^{(3)} - \alpha_3 \Lambda_{17c_0}^{(3)} + \alpha_7 \Lambda_{13c_0}^{(3)}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{[3}^1 \Omega_{c_0]}^7, c_0 \neq 6; \\
& -\left(\alpha_1 \Lambda_{376}^{(3)} - \alpha_3 \Lambda_{176}^{(3)} + \alpha_7 \Lambda_{136}^{(3)}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{[3}^1 \Omega_{6]}^7 = 1, \\
(iii) \quad & -\left(\alpha_3 \Lambda_{7b_0 c_0}^{(1)} - \alpha_7 \Lambda_{3b_0 c_0}^{(1)}\right) + \frac{1}{2} (2\alpha_3 \alpha_7 \Omega_{[3|c_0}^3 \Omega_{a_0]}^7) = 0, b_0, c_0 \neq 2, 5; \\
& -\left(\alpha_3 \Lambda_{725}^{(1)} - \alpha_7 \Lambda_{325}^{(1)}\right) + \frac{1}{2} (2\alpha_3 \alpha_7 \Omega_{[3|5}^3 \Omega_{2]}^7) = -1, \\
(iv) \quad & -\Lambda_{a_0 b_0 c_0} + \alpha_3^2 \Omega_{3[c_0}^3 \Omega_{a_0]b_0}^3 = 0, a_0, b_0, c_0 \neq 4, 5, 6; \\
& -\Lambda_{456} + \alpha_3^2 \Omega_{3[6}^3 \Omega_{4]5}^3 = 1, \\
(v) \quad & \Lambda_{a_0 b_0 c_0} + \alpha_1 \alpha_3 \Omega_{a_0}^1 \Omega_{b_0 c_0}^3 = 0, a_0, b_0, c_0 \neq 2, 5, 6; \\
& \Lambda_{256} + \alpha_1 \alpha_3 \Omega_2^1 \Omega_{56}^3 = 0, \\
(vi) \quad & -\alpha_{[1} \Lambda_{7]b_0 c_0}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 \alpha_7 \Omega_{[c_0}^1 \Omega_{b_0]}^7 = 0, b_0, c_0 \neq 4, 5; \\
& -\alpha_{[1} \Lambda_{7]45}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 \alpha_7 \Omega_{[5}^1 \Omega_{4]}^7 = 1, \\
(vii) \quad & \Lambda_{a_0 b_0 c_0} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_3 \alpha_7 \Omega_{b_0 c_0}^3 \Omega_{a_0}^7 = 0, a_0, b_0, c_0 \neq 2, 4, 6; \\
& \Lambda_{246} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_3 \alpha_7 \Omega_{46}^3 \Omega_2^7 = 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{D8}$$

In (D8), assuming $\alpha_{\theta_1} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$,

- (D8) (i) implies:

$$(1) \quad \Omega_3^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{25}^{3, \beta^0} - \Omega_5^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{32}^{3, \beta^0} \sim \frac{10^2 N^{4/5} \log N}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^4}$$

$$\downarrow N = 10^2$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{O}(1)}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \ll 1,$$

$$\alpha_3 \Lambda_{124}^{(1)} - \alpha_1 \Lambda_{324}^{(1)} \sim \frac{(\log N)^2 \left(-\mathcal{O}(1) \alpha_{\theta}^2 e^{-\alpha_{\theta} N^{7/40}} N^{5/8} g_s^{7/2} M^2 N_f^2 \log N + \mathcal{O}(10^3) \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \right)}{\alpha_{\theta} N^{3/40} \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}}$$

$$\downarrow N = 10^2, M = N_f = 3, g_s = 0.1$$

$$\mathcal{O}(10^3) \alpha_{\theta}^2, \alpha_{\theta} \equiv \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_{\theta_2}}}{\alpha_{\theta_1}},$$

$$(2) \quad \Omega_3^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{26}^{3, \beta^0} - \Omega_6^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{32}^{3, \beta^0} \sim -\frac{\mathcal{O}(1) \delta N^{4/5} (\log N)^2}{\langle r \rangle \log \langle r \rangle \alpha_{\theta_1}^4} - \frac{g_s^{7/2} (\log N)^2 \sqrt{N} M^2 N_f \langle r \rangle \log \langle r \rangle}{\mathcal{O}(1) \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^2}$$

$$\downarrow \delta \sim \langle r \rangle \sim \frac{N^{-N^{7/40}} \alpha_{\theta}}{\log N}, \log \langle r \rangle \sim -N^{7/40} \alpha_{\theta}; \quad N = 100, M = N_f = 3, g_s = 0.1, \alpha_{\theta} = \mathcal{O}(1)$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{O}(10^2)}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \ll 1, \quad \alpha_{\theta_1} \sim 8;$$

$$(3) \quad \Omega_3^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{45}^{3, \beta^0} - \Omega_5^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{34}^{3, \beta^0} \sim \frac{\mathcal{O}(10^{-3}) g_s^{7/2} N^{1/5} (\log N)^3 M^2 N_f^2 \log \langle r \rangle}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}}$$

$$\downarrow \delta \sim \langle r \rangle \sim \frac{N^{-N^{7/40}} \alpha_{\theta}}{\log N}, \log \langle r \rangle; \quad N = 100, M = N_f = 3, g_s = 0.1, \alpha_{\theta} = \mathcal{O}(1)$$

$$-\frac{\mathcal{O}(1)}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^4 \alpha_{\theta}^2} \ll 1;$$

$$(4) \quad \Omega_3^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{46}^{3, \beta^0} - \Omega_6^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{34}^{3, \beta^0} \sim -\frac{10^{-2} g_s^7 (\log N)^4 (\log \langle r \rangle)^3}{N^{1/10} \alpha_{\theta_1}^6 \alpha_{\theta}^6} - \frac{\mathcal{O}(1) g_s^{7/2} M^2 N_f^2 N^{1/5} (\log N)^3 \log \langle r \rangle}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^4 \alpha_{\theta}^2}$$

$$\downarrow \log \langle r \rangle \sim -N^{7/40} \alpha_{\theta}; \quad N = 100, M = N_f = 3, g_s = 0.1, \alpha_{\theta} = \mathcal{O}(1)$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{O}(1)}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \ll 1;$$

$$(5) \quad \Omega_3^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{56}^{3, \beta^0} - \Omega_6^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{35}^{3, \beta^0}$$

$$\sim -\frac{\mathcal{O}(1) g_s^{7/2} M^2 N_f^2 \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{O}(1)} (\log N)^2 \sqrt{N} (\langle r \rangle)^2 (\log \langle r \rangle)^3 + (\log N)^3 N^{1/5} \log \langle r \rangle \right) \alpha_{\theta}^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^6 \alpha_{\theta}^4}$$

$$\downarrow \langle r \rangle \sim \frac{N^{-N^{7/40}} \alpha_{\theta}}{\log N}, \log \langle r \rangle; \quad N = 100, M = N_f = 3, g_s = 0.1, \alpha_{\theta} = \mathcal{O}(1)$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{O}(10^2)}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^4} < 1.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(6) \quad & \Omega_3^{1,\beta^0} \Omega_{24}^{3,\beta^0} - \Omega_4^{1,\beta^0} \Omega_{32}^{3,\beta^0} \sim \frac{10^2 N^{4/5} \log N}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \\
& \sim - \frac{2g_s^{7/2} (\log N)^3 N^{1/5} N_f^2 \langle r \rangle (\log \langle r \rangle)^3 + 1784 (\log N)^2 N^{1/10} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2}{\log \langle r \rangle \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}} \\
& \downarrow \langle r \rangle \sim \frac{N^{-N^{7/40}} \alpha_\theta}{\log N}, \log \langle r \rangle; \quad N = 100, M = N_f = 3, g_s = 0.1, \alpha_\theta = \mathcal{O}(1) \\
& 6868 \alpha_\theta^2
\end{aligned} \tag{D9}$$

• (D8) (ii) implies:

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad & \Omega_{[3]}^{1,\beta^0} \Omega_{[2]}^{7,\beta^0} \sim - \frac{\mathcal{O}(1) N^{7/10} \alpha_\theta^4}{g_s^{7/2} M^2 N_f^2 (\log N - 3 \log \langle r \rangle)^2 (\log \langle r \rangle)^2} \\
& \downarrow \log \langle r \rangle - N^{7/40} \alpha_\theta; \quad N = 100, M = N_f = 3, g_s = 0.1, \alpha_\theta = \mathcal{O}(1) \\
& \mathcal{O}(10^{-3}); \\
(2) \quad & \Omega_{[3]}^{1,\beta^0} \Omega_{[4]}^{7,\beta^0} \sim \frac{N^{1/10} \alpha_\theta^2 \left(\mathcal{O}(10) (\log N)^2 (\log \langle r \rangle)^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 + \frac{\mathcal{O}(10^3) N^{3/5} \alpha_\theta^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2}{g_s^{7/2} M^2 N_f^2} \right)}{(\log \langle r \rangle)^4 \alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \\
& \downarrow \log \langle r \rangle \sim -\kappa_{\log r, \text{IR}} N^{7/40} \alpha_\theta; \quad N = 100, M = N_f = 3, g_s = 0.1, \alpha_\theta = \mathcal{O}(1) \\
& \frac{\mathcal{O}(10)}{\kappa_{\log r, \text{IR}}^4} 1, \kappa_{\log r, \text{IR}} \sim \mathcal{O}(1); \\
(3) \quad & \Omega_{[3]}^{1,\beta^0} \Omega_{[5]}^{7,\beta^0} \sim \frac{\alpha_\theta^2 \mathcal{O}(10^3) N^{7/10}}{g_s^{7/2} M^2 N_f^2 \log \langle r \rangle^4} \\
& \downarrow \log \langle r \rangle \sim -\kappa_{\log r, \text{IR}} N^{7/40} \alpha_\theta; \quad N = 100, M = N_f = 3, g_s = 0.1, \alpha_\theta, \kappa_{\log r, \text{IR}} \sim \mathcal{O}(1) \\
& \frac{\mathcal{O}(10)}{\kappa_{\log r, \text{IR}}^4} \ll 1; \\
(4) \quad & \Omega_{[3]}^{1,\beta^0} \Omega_{[6]}^{7,\beta^0} \sim \frac{N^{2/5} \log N}{\mathcal{O}(1) (\log \langle r \rangle)^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2} \xrightarrow{N=10^2, \alpha_\theta=\mathcal{O}(1)} \frac{\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^2} \ll 1, \\
& \text{Using } \Lambda_{17a_0}^{(3)} = 0, \\
& \alpha_1 \Lambda_{376}^{(3)} + \alpha_7 \Lambda_{136}^{(3)} = -1.
\end{aligned} \tag{D10}$$

- (D8) (iii) implies:

$$(1) \quad \frac{2 \left(\Omega_{32}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_4^{7, \beta^0} - \Omega_{34}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_2^{7, \beta^0} + \Omega_{24}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_3^{7, \beta^0} \right) N^{1/10} \log N \left(10^3 N^{7/10} \langle r \rangle + \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \left(\frac{\mathcal{O}(10) g_s^{7/2} \log \langle r \rangle M^2 N^{1/10} N_f^2 (\log N)^2}{\alpha_{\theta_2}} + \frac{\mathcal{O}(10^3) \log N \alpha_{\theta}^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2}{\log \langle r \rangle} \right) \right)}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^4}$$

$\downarrow \log \langle r \rangle \sim -N^{7/40} \alpha_{\theta}$; $\alpha_{\theta} = \mathcal{O}(1)$; retaining the most dominant term in N

$$\sim \frac{N^{3/8} (\log N)^3}{\mathcal{O}(1) \alpha_{\theta} \alpha_{\theta_1}^4}$$

$\downarrow N = 100, M = N_f = 3, g_s = 0.1$

$$-\frac{\mathcal{O}(10^2)}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^4 \alpha_{\theta}} \ll 1;$$

$$(2) \quad \frac{2 \left(\Omega_{32}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_5^{7, \beta^0} - \Omega_{35}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_2^{7, \beta^0} + \Omega_{25}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_3^{7, \beta^0} \right) \mathcal{O}(10^3) N^{4/5} \langle r \rangle (\mathcal{O}(10^{-2} + \langle r \rangle \log \langle r \rangle)) \log N}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^4}$$

$$\sim \frac{\mathcal{O}(10^2) e^{-\alpha_{\theta} N^{7/40}} N^{4/5} \log N}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^4}$$

$\downarrow \log \langle r \rangle \sim -N^{7/40} \alpha_{\theta}$; $N = 100, M = N_f = 3, g_s = 0.1, \alpha_{\theta} = \mathcal{O}(1)$

$$(3) \quad 2 \left(\Omega_{32}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_6^{7, \beta^0} - \Omega_{36}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_2^{7, \beta^0} + \Omega_{26}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_3^{7, \beta^0} \right)$$

$$\frac{N^{4/5} \log N (\mathcal{O}(10^2) \langle r \rangle^2)}{\langle r \rangle \alpha_{\theta_1}^4} - \frac{\mathcal{O}(1) g_s^{7/2} (\log \langle r \rangle)^2 M^2 \sqrt{N} N_f^2 \langle r \rangle (\log N)^2}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^2}$$

$\downarrow \langle r \rangle \sim \frac{N^{-N^{7/40}} \alpha_{\theta}}{\log N}$, $\log \langle r \rangle$; $N = 100, M = N_f = 3, g_s = 0.1, \alpha_{\theta} = \mathcal{O}(1)$

$$\frac{\mathcal{O}(10^{2-3})}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^4} < 1;$$

$$(4) \quad 2 \left(\Omega_{34}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_5^{7, \beta^0} - \Omega_{35}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_4^{7, \beta^0} + \Omega_{45}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_3^{7, \beta^0} \right)$$

$$\frac{\log \langle r \rangle N^{1/5} \log N \left(-\mathcal{O}(10^4) N^{3/5} \langle r \rangle^2 + \frac{g_s^{7/2} M^2 N_f^2 (\mathcal{O}(10) - \mathcal{O}(10^{-2}) \log N) (\log N)^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2}{\alpha_{\theta_2}} \right)}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^4}$$

$\downarrow \langle r \rangle \sim \frac{N^{-N^{7/40}} \alpha_{\theta}}{\log N}$; $N = 100, M = N_f = 3, g_s = 0.1, \alpha_{\theta} = \mathcal{O}(1)$

$$\frac{\mathcal{O}(1)}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^4 \alpha_{\theta}^2} \ll 1;$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(5) \quad & 2 \left(\Omega_{34}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_6^{7, \beta^0} - \Omega_{36}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_4^{7, \beta^0} + \Omega_{46}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_3^{7, \beta^0} \right) \\
& - \frac{\mathcal{O}(10^2) N^{4/5} \langle r \rangle \log N}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^4} - \frac{\mathcal{O}(10^{-2}) g_s^7 (\log \langle r \rangle)^3 M^4 N_f^4 (\log N)^4}{N^{1/10} \alpha_\theta^6 \alpha_{\theta_1}^6} + \frac{\mathcal{O}(10) g_s^{7/2} \log \langle r \rangle M^2 N_f^2 (\log N)^3}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \\
& \downarrow \langle r \rangle \sim \frac{N^{-N^{7/40}} \alpha_\theta}{\log N}; \quad N = 100, M = N_f = 3, g_s = 0.1, \alpha_\theta = \mathcal{O}(1) \\
& - \frac{10^3}{\alpha_\theta^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \ll 1; \\
(6) \quad & 2 \left(\Omega_{32}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_6^{7, \beta^0} - \Omega_{36}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_2^{7, \beta^0} + \Omega_{26}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_3^{7, \beta^0} \right) \\
& \sim \frac{N^{4/5} \log N \left(353.2 \langle r \rangle^2 + \frac{29.7 e^{-\alpha_\theta N^{7/40}} \log N}{\log r} \right)}{\langle r \rangle \alpha_{\theta_1}^4} - \frac{g_s^{7/2} (\log \langle r \rangle)^2 M^2 \sqrt{N} N_f^2 \langle r \rangle (\log N)^2}{\alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2} \\
& \downarrow \langle r \rangle \sim \frac{N^{-N^{7/40}} \alpha_\theta}{\log N}; \quad N = 100, M = N_f = 3, g_s = 0.1, \alpha_\theta = \mathcal{O}(1) \\
& \sim -\frac{10^3}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\theta_1} \sim 8} \sim -0.2 \approx 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{D11}$$

• (D8) (iv) implies:

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) - & \left(\Omega_{24}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_{35}^{3, \beta^0} + \Omega_{45}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_{32}^{3, \beta^0} - \Omega_{25}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_{34}^{3, \beta^0} \right) \\
& \sim \frac{g_s^{7/2} M^2 N_f^2 N^{1/5} \langle r \rangle (\log N)^3 (\log \langle r \rangle)^4 \left(g_s^{7/2} M^2 N^{1/10} N_f^2 (0.9 - \mathcal{O}(10^{-3}) \log N) \log \langle r \rangle + \mathcal{O}(10^3 \langle r \rangle \alpha_\theta^4 \alpha_{\theta_1}^4) \right)}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^8 \alpha_\theta^6}; \\
(2) - & \left(\Omega_{24}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_{36}^{3, \beta^0} + \Omega_{46}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_{32}^{3, \beta^0} - \Omega_{26}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_{34}^{3, \beta^0} \right) \\
& \sim \frac{g_s^{7/2} M^2 N_f^2 N^{1/5} (\log N)^3 (\log \langle r \rangle)^3 \left(g_s^{7/2} M^2 N^{1/10} N_f^2 (-0.1 \delta \log N + \mathcal{O}(1) \langle r \rangle^2 \log \langle r \rangle) \log N \log \langle r \rangle + \mathcal{O}(10^2 \langle r \rangle^2 \alpha_\theta^4 \alpha_{\theta_1}^4) \right)}{\langle r \rangle \alpha_{\theta_1}^8 \alpha_\theta^6}; \\
(3) - & \left(\Omega_{25}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_{36}^{3, \beta^0} + \Omega_{56}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_{32}^{3, \beta^0} - \Omega_{26}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_{35}^{3, \beta^0} \right) \\
& \sim \frac{g_s^{7/2} M^2 N_f^2 N^{3/10} \langle r \rangle (\log N)^2 (\log \langle r \rangle)^4 \left(N^{3/5} (-\mathcal{O}(10) \delta \log N - \mathcal{O}(10) \langle r \rangle) \alpha_\theta^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 + \mathcal{O}(1) g_s^{7/2} M^2 N_f^2 \langle r \rangle (\log N)^2 \right)}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^{10} \alpha_\theta^6}; \\
(4) - & \left(\Omega_{45}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_{36}^{3, \beta^0} + \Omega_{56}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_{34}^{3, \beta^0} - \Omega_{46}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_{35}^{3, \beta^0} \right) \\
& \sim \frac{g_s^7 M^4 N_f^4 \langle r \rangle (\log N)^4 (\log \langle r \rangle)^5 \left(\frac{N^{3/5} \langle r \rangle (-\mathcal{O}(10^{-3}) \log N - \mathcal{O}(10) \langle r \rangle \log \langle r \rangle) \alpha_\theta^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^2} + \mathcal{O}(10^{-2}) g_s^{7/2} M^2 N_f^2 \log \langle r \rangle (\log N)^2 \right)}{N^{3/10} \alpha_{\theta_1}^8 \alpha_\theta^8}.
\end{aligned} \tag{D12}$$

Now, for $N = 10^2$, $M = N_f = 3$, $g_s = 0.1$, $\alpha_\theta = 3.9$, $\alpha_{\theta_2} = \alpha_\theta^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(a) \quad \Lambda_{245} &= \alpha_3^2 \frac{0.48}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^4}, \\
(b) \quad \Lambda_{246} &= \alpha_3^2 \frac{9.06}{\alpha_\theta^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^8}, \\
(c) \quad \Lambda_{456} &= -1 + \alpha_3^2 \frac{0.26}{\alpha_\theta^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^8}, \\
(d) \quad \Lambda_{256} &= \alpha_3^2 \frac{18.15}{\alpha_\theta^6 \alpha_{\theta_1}^8}.
\end{aligned} \tag{D13}$$

• (D8) (v) implies:

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) & \Omega_2^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{45}^{3, \beta^0} - \Omega_4^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{25}^{3, \beta^0} + \Omega_5^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{24}^{3, \beta^0} \\
& \sim \frac{g_s^{7/2} (\log N)^3 \log \langle r \rangle M^2 N_f^2 N^{1/5} (\mathcal{O}(10^{-3}) \log N - 1.1 \langle r \rangle \log \langle r \rangle) \log \langle r \rangle + \mathcal{O}(10^3) (\log N)^2 N^{1/10} \alpha_\theta^4 \alpha_{\theta_1}^4}{\log \langle r \rangle \alpha_{\theta_1}^4 \alpha_\theta^2}; \\
(2) & \Omega_2^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{46}^{3, \beta^0} - \Omega_4^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{26}^{3, \beta^0} + \Omega_6^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{24}^{3, \beta^0} \\
& \sim \frac{g_s^{7/2} (\log N)^3 M^2 N_f^2 \left(N^{2/5} (\mathcal{O}(10^{-2} \delta \log N \log \langle r \rangle - \mathcal{O}(1) \langle r \rangle \log \langle r \rangle)) - \mathcal{O}(1) \langle r \rangle \log \langle r \rangle \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \right)}{N^{1/5} \langle r \rangle \alpha_\theta^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^4}; \\
(3) & \Omega_4^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{56}^{3, \beta^0} - \Omega_5^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{46}^{3, \beta^0} + \Omega_6^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{45}^{3, \beta^0} \\
& \sim \frac{g_s^{7/2} (\log N)^3 (\log \langle r \rangle)^2 M^2 N_f^2 \left(-\frac{\mathcal{O}(10) N^{3/5} \alpha_\theta^4 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2}{\log \langle r \rangle} + g_s^{7/2} M^2 N_f^2 (\log N)^2 (\mathcal{O}(10^{-5}) N^{3/10} \log \langle r \rangle - \mathcal{O}(10^{-2}) \log \langle r \rangle \alpha_\theta^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2) \right)}{N^{2/5} \alpha_{\theta_1}^4 \alpha_\theta^2}; \\
(4) & \Omega_2^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{56}^{3, \beta^0} - \Omega_5^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{26}^{3, \beta^0} + \Omega_6^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{25}^{3, \beta^0} \\
& \sim \frac{N^{1/5} (\log N)^2 \left(-\frac{\mathcal{O}(10) \delta N^{3/5}}{\langle r \rangle \log \langle r \rangle} + \frac{g_s^{7/2} M^2 N_f^2 \log \langle r \rangle \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \left(\frac{N^{3/10} \langle r \rangle \log \langle r \rangle}{\mathcal{O}(1)} - \mathcal{O}(1) \log N \alpha_\theta^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \right)}{\alpha_\theta^4 \alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \right)}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^4}.
\end{aligned} \tag{D14}$$

Hence, for $N = 10^2$, $M = N_f = 3$, $g_s = 0.1$, $\alpha_\theta = 3.9$, $\alpha_{\theta_2} = \alpha_\theta^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Lambda_{245}| &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{O}(1) \alpha_{\theta_1}^4 \alpha_\theta} \ll 1; \\
|\Lambda_{246}| &= \frac{\mathcal{O}(1)}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^4 \alpha_\theta} \ll 1; \\
|\Lambda_{456}| &= \frac{\mathcal{O}(10^2)}{\alpha_\theta \alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \ll 1; \\
|\Lambda_{256}| &= \left| 1 - \frac{4350}{\alpha_\theta \alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \right| \sim 0 \text{ for appropriate } \alpha_\theta, \alpha_{\theta_1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{D15}$$

- (D8) (vi) implies:

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad & \Omega_{[2]}^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{[4]}^{7, \beta^0} \sim \frac{N^{1/10} \alpha_\theta^2 \left(\mathcal{O}(10) (\log N)^2 \log \langle r \rangle \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 + \frac{\mathcal{O}(10^3) N^{3/5} \alpha_\theta^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2}{g_s^{7/2} M^2 N_f^2} \right)}{(\log \langle r \rangle)^4 \alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \\
& \downarrow \langle r \rangle \sim \frac{N^{-N^{7/40}} \alpha_\theta}{\log N}; \quad N = 100, M = N_f = 3, g_s = 0.1, \alpha_\theta = \mathcal{O}(1) \\
& \frac{\mathcal{O}(10)}{g_s^{7/2} \kappa_{\log r^{\text{IR}}}^4} \ll 1; \\
(2) \quad & \Omega_{[2]}^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{[5]}^{7, \beta^0} \\
& \downarrow \log \langle r \rangle \sim -N^{7/40} \alpha_\theta \kappa_{\log r^{\text{IR}}}; \quad N = 100, M = N_f = 3, g_s = 0.1, \alpha_\theta = \mathcal{O}(1) \\
& \frac{\mathcal{O}(10^3) \alpha_\theta^4}{g_s^{7/2} \kappa_{\log r^{\text{IR}}}^4}; \\
(3) \quad & \Omega_{[2]}^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{[6]}^{7, \beta^0} \sim \frac{N^{2/5} \log N}{\mathcal{O}(1) (\log \langle r \rangle)^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2} \\
& \downarrow \log \langle r \rangle \sim -N^{7/40} \alpha_\theta \kappa_{\log r^{\text{IR}}}; \quad N = 100, M = N_f = 3, g_s = 0.1, \alpha_\theta = \mathcal{O}(1) \\
(4) \quad & \Omega_{[4]}^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{[5]}^{7, \beta^0} \sim \frac{N^{1/10} \alpha_\theta^2 \left(\mathcal{O}(10) (\log N)^2 (\log \langle r \rangle)^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 + \frac{\mathcal{O}(10^3) N^{3/5} \alpha_\theta^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2}{g_s^{7/2} M^2 N_f^2} \right)}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^2 (\log \langle r \rangle)^4} \\
& \downarrow \log \langle r \rangle \sim -N^{7/40} \alpha_\theta \kappa_{\log r^{\text{IR}}}; \quad N = 100, M = N_f = 3, g_s = 0.1, \alpha_\theta = \mathcal{O}(1) \\
& \frac{\mathcal{O}(10^2)}{\kappa_{\log r^{\text{IR}}}^2} > 1; \\
(5) \quad & \Omega_{[4]}^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{[6]}^{7, \beta^0} \sim -\frac{\mathcal{O}(1) N^{2/5} \log N}{(\log \langle r \rangle)^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2} - \mathcal{O}(10^{-2}) \frac{g_s^{7/2} (\log N)^3 M^2 N_f^2}{N^{1/5} \alpha_\theta^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2} \\
& \downarrow \log \langle r \rangle \sim -N^{7/40} \alpha_\theta \kappa_{\log r^{\text{IR}}}; \quad N = 100, M = N_f = 3, g_s = 0.1, \alpha_\theta = \mathcal{O}(1) \\
& -\frac{\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})}{\alpha_\theta^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2}; \\
(6) \quad & \Omega_{[5]}^{1, \beta^0} \Omega_{[6]}^{7, \beta^0} \sim -\frac{\mathcal{O}(10^{-2}) N^{2/5} \log N}{(\log \langle r \rangle)^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2} \\
& \downarrow \log \langle r \rangle \sim -N^{7/40} \alpha_\theta \kappa_{\log r^{\text{IR}}}; \quad N = 100, M = N_f = 3, g_s = 0.1, \alpha_\theta = \mathcal{O}(1) \\
& -\frac{1}{\mathcal{O}(1) \alpha_\theta^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2} \ll 1. \tag{D16}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\alpha_7 \Lambda_{45}^{1, \beta^0} - \alpha_1 \Lambda_{745}^{1, \beta^0} + \frac{10^2}{\kappa_{\log r^{\text{IR}}}^2} = 0. \tag{D17}$$

- (D8) (vii) implies:

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad & \frac{\left(\Omega_{24}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_5^{7, \beta^0} + \Omega_{45}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_2^{7, \beta^0} - \Omega_{25}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_4^{7, \beta^0} \right)}{2} \\
& \sim \frac{N^{1/10} \log N \left(-\mathcal{O}(10^2) N^{7/10} \langle r \rangle + \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \left(-\frac{g_s^{7/2} M^2 N^{1/10} N_f^2 (\log N)^3 \log \langle r \rangle}{\mathcal{O}(10^3) \alpha_\theta^2 \alpha_1^2} - \frac{\mathcal{O}(10^3) \log N \alpha_\theta^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2}{\log \langle r \rangle} \right) \right)}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \\
& \downarrow \log \langle r \rangle \sim -N^{7/40} \alpha_\theta, \alpha_{\theta_2} = \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \alpha_\theta^2; \text{ Large } -N \text{ limit} \\
& \frac{\mathcal{O}(10^{-4}) N^{3/8} (\log N)^4}{\alpha_\theta \alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \xrightarrow{N=10^2} \frac{1}{\mathcal{O}(1) \alpha_\theta \alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \ll 1; \\
(2) \quad & \frac{\left(\Omega_{24}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_6^{7, \beta^0} + \Omega_{46}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_2^{7, \beta^0} - \Omega_{26}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_4^{7, \beta^0} \right)}{2} \\
& \sim \frac{(\log N)^2 \left(\frac{\mathcal{O}(10) \delta N}{\langle r \rangle \alpha_{\theta_1}^4} + \frac{\mathcal{O}(1) g_s^{7/2} M^2 N_f^2 \log N (\log \langle r \rangle)^2}{\alpha_\theta \alpha_{\theta_1}^2} + \frac{\mathcal{O}(1) g_s^{7/2} M^2 N_f^2 \log N (\log \langle r \rangle)^2}{\alpha_\theta^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \right)}{\log \langle r \rangle N^{1/5}}; \\
& \downarrow \log \langle r \rangle \sim -N^{7/40} \alpha_\theta \kappa_{\log r \text{IR}}; \quad N = 100, M = N_f = 3, g_s = 0.1, \alpha_\theta = \mathcal{O}(1) \\
& \Rightarrow |\Lambda_{246}| = \left| 1 - \alpha_1 \alpha_7 \frac{4500}{\alpha_\theta \alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \right| \sim 0 \text{ for } \alpha_{1,7} \sim \frac{1}{\mathcal{O}(1)}, \alpha_\theta, \alpha_{\theta_1} \sim \mathcal{O}(1); \\
(3) \quad & \frac{\left(\Omega_{45}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_6^{7, \beta^0} + \Omega_{56}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_4^{7, \beta^0} - \Omega_{46}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_5^{7, \beta^0} \right)}{2} \\
& - \frac{10^{-5} g_s^7 M^4 N_f^4 (\log N)^5 \log \langle r \rangle^3}{N^{1/10} \alpha_\theta^6 \alpha_{\theta_1}^6} + \frac{g_s^{7/2} M^2 N^{1/5} N_f^2 ()^3 \log \langle r \rangle}{\alpha_\theta^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \\
& \downarrow \log \langle r \rangle \sim -N^{7/40} \alpha_\theta \kappa_{\log r \text{IR}}; \quad N = 100, M = N_f = 3, g_s = 0.1, \alpha_\theta = \mathcal{O}(1) \\
& - \frac{\mathcal{O}(1)}{\alpha_\theta \alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \ll 1; \\
(4) \quad & \frac{\left(\Omega_{25}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_6^{7, \beta^0} + \Omega_{56}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_2^{7, \beta^0} - \Omega_{26}^{3, \beta^0} \Omega_5^{7, \beta^0} \right)}{2} \\
& \sim \frac{N^{1/5} (\log N)^2 \left(\frac{\mathcal{O}(10) \delta N^{1/5}}{\langle r \rangle} + \frac{g_s^{7/2} M^2 N_f^2 (\log \langle r \rangle)^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \left(-\frac{N^{3/10}}{\mathcal{O}(1)} \langle r \rangle \log \langle r \rangle + \mathcal{O}(1) \log N \alpha_\theta^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \right)}{\alpha_\theta^4 \alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \right)}{\log \langle r \rangle \alpha_{\theta_1}^4}; \\
& \downarrow \log \langle r \rangle \sim -N^{7/40} \alpha_\theta \kappa_{\log r \text{IR}}; \quad N = 100, M = N_f = 3, g_s = 0.1, \alpha_\theta \sim 3.9, \alpha_{\theta_1} \approx 8 \\
& - \frac{10^3}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \ll 1. \tag{D18}
\end{aligned}$$

From (D12), (D14) and (D18), one notes that for $N = 100, M = N_f = 3, g_s = 0.1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Lambda_{245}| &\sim \alpha_1 \alpha_3 \frac{0.4}{\alpha_\theta^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^4}, \alpha_3 \alpha_7 \frac{0.4}{\alpha_\theta \alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \sim \alpha_3^2 \frac{0.5}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^4}; \\
|\Lambda_{246}| &\sim \alpha_1 \alpha_3 \frac{5.3}{\alpha_\theta \alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \sim \left| 1 - \alpha_3 \alpha_7 \frac{4350}{\alpha_\theta \alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \right| \sim \alpha_3^2 \frac{9}{\alpha_\theta^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^8}; \\
|\Lambda_{256}| &\sim \left| 1 - \alpha_1 \alpha_3 \frac{4350}{\alpha_\theta \alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \right| \sim \alpha_3 \alpha_7 \frac{4000}{\alpha_\theta \alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \sim \alpha_3^2 \frac{18}{\alpha_\theta^6 \alpha_{\theta_1}^8}; \\
|\Lambda_{456}| &\sim \alpha_1 \alpha_3 \frac{146}{\alpha_\theta \alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \sim \alpha_3 \alpha_7 \frac{12}{\alpha_\theta \alpha_{\theta_1}^4} \sim \left| 1 - \alpha_3^2 \frac{0.3}{\alpha_\theta^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^8} \alpha_3^2 \right| \sim 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{D19}$$

Hence, only Λ_{456} will be taken to be non-vanishing.

(i)

$$\alpha_3 \Lambda_{124}^{(1)} - \alpha_1 \Lambda_{324}^{(1)} = 6868. \tag{D20}$$

(ii)

$$\frac{0.05}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^2} = 2; \quad \Lambda_{27a_0}^{(3)} = 0 \text{ for } a_0 = 2, 4, 5, 6. \tag{D21}$$

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_7 \Lambda_{325}^{(1)} - \alpha_3 \Lambda_{725}^{(1)} &= \frac{102681 e^{-10^{7/20} \alpha_\theta}}{\alpha_{\theta_1}^4}; \\
\alpha_1 \Lambda_{325}^{(1)} &= \alpha_3 \Lambda_{125}^{(1)} (\text{from } (i)).
\end{aligned} \tag{D22}$$

(vi)

$$\alpha_7 \Lambda_{145}^{(1)} - \alpha_1 \Lambda_{745}^{(1)} + \frac{108.9}{\kappa_{\log r \text{IR } 2}} = 0. \tag{D23}$$

$$\Lambda_{7b_02}^{(1)} R.e^2 + \Lambda_{7b_04}^{(1)} R.e^4 + \Lambda_{7b_05}^{(1)} R.e^4 + \Lambda_{7b_06}^{(1)} R.e^6 = 0. \tag{D24}$$

$$\Lambda_{13a_0}^{(2)} R.e^{a_0} = 0. \tag{D25}$$

is identically satisfied if $\Lambda_{13a_0}^{(2)} = 0, a_0 = 2, 4, 5, 6$.

$$\Lambda_{a_0 b_0}^{(1)}, \neq 0 : \tag{D26}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_3 \Lambda_{125}^{(1)} &= \alpha_1 \Lambda_{325}^{(1)} (\text{from (i)}); \\
\alpha_3 \Lambda_{126}^{(1)} &= \alpha_1 \Lambda_{326}^{(1)} = \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3}{\alpha_7} \Lambda_{726}^{(1)} (\text{from (iii)}); \\
\alpha_3 \Lambda_{145}^{(1)} &= \alpha_1 \Lambda_{345}^{(1)} = \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3}{\alpha_7} \Lambda_{745}^{(1)}; \\
\alpha_3 \Lambda_{146}^{(1)} &= \alpha_1 \Lambda_{346}^{(1)} = \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3}{\alpha_7} \Lambda_{746}^{(1)}; \\
\alpha_3 \Lambda_{156}^{(1)} &= \alpha_1 \Lambda_{356}^{(1)} = \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3}{\alpha_7} \Lambda_{756}^{(1)}
\end{aligned} \tag{D27}$$

and

$$\Lambda_{1b_0a_0}^{(1)} R.e^{b_0} = 0. \tag{D28}$$

Using (i):

$$\Lambda_{3a_0b_0}^{(1)} R.e^{b_0} = 0. \tag{D29}$$

References

- [1] T. House and A. Micu, *M-Theory compactifications on manifolds with $G(2)$ structure*, Class. Quant. Grav. **22**, 1709-1738 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0412006 [hep-th]]; G. Dall'Agata and N. Prezas, *Scherk-Schwarz reduction of M-theory on G_2 -manifolds with fluxes*, JHEP **10**, 103 (2005) e[arXiv:hep-th/0509052 [hep-th]]; M. Grana, *Flux compactifications in string theory: A Comprehensive review*, Phys. Rept. **423**, 91-158 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0509003 [hep-th]]; K. Behrndt, M. Cvetič and T. Liu, *Classification of supersymmetric flux vacua in M theory*, Nucl. Phys. B **749**, 25-68 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0512032 [hep-th]]; S. Grigorian, *G_2 -structures for $N = 1$ supersymmetric AdS_4 solutions of M-theory*, Class. Quant. Grav. **35**, no.8, 085012 (2018) [arXiv:1711.10619 [hep-th]].
- [2] X. de la Ossa, M. Larfors and M. Magill, *Almost contact structures on manifolds with a G_2 structure*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **26**, no.1, 143-216 (2022) [arXiv:2101.12605 [hep-th]].
- [3] P. G. Camara and M. Grana, *No-scale supersymmetry breaking vacua and soft terms with torsion*, JHEP **02**, 017 (2008) [arXiv:0710.4577 [hep-th]].
- [4] D. Lust, F. Marchesano, L. Martucci and D. Tsimpis, *Generalized non-supersymmetric flux vacua*, JHEP **11**, 021 (2008) [arXiv:0807.4540 [hep-th]].
- [5] J. Held, D. Lust, F. Marchesano and L. Martucci, *DWSB in heterotic flux compactifications*, JHEP **06**, 090 (2010) [arXiv:1004.0867 [hep-th]].
- [6] J. Held, Ph.D. thesis, *Non-Supersymmetric Flux Compactifications of Heterotic String- and M-theory*, 2012.

- [7] V. Yadav and A. Misra, *On $\mathcal{M}$ -Theory Dual of Large- N Thermal QCD-Like Theories up to $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ and G -Structure Classification of Underlying Non-Supersymmetric Geometries*, to appear in *Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* [arXiv:2004.07259 [hep-th]].
- [8] M. Dhuria and A. Misra, *Towards MQGP*, JHEP 1311 (2013) 001 [arXiv:hep-th/1306.4339].
- [9] M. Mia, K. Dasgupta, C. Gale and S. Jeon, *Five Easy Pieces: The Dynamics of Quarks in Strongly Coupled Plasmas*, Nucl. Phys. B **839**, 187 (2010) [arXiv:hep-th/0902.1540].
- [10] K. Sil and A. Misra, *On Aspects of Holographic Thermal QCD at Finite Coupling*, Nucl. Phys. B **910**, 754 (2016) [arXiv:1507.02692 [hep-th]].
- [11] M. Ionel and M. Min-Oo, *Cohomogeneity One Special Lagrangian 3-Folds in the Deformed and the Resolved Conifolds*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 52, Number 3 (2008).
- [12] K. Sil and A. Misra, *New Insights into Properties of Large- N Holographic Thermal QCD at Finite Gauge Coupling at (the Non-Conformal/Next-to) Leading Order in N* , Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, no. 11, 618 (2016) [arXiv:1606.04949 [hep-th]]; M. Dhuria and A. Misra, *Transport Coefficients of Black MQGP M3-Branes*, Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, no. 1, 16 (2015) [arXiv:1406.6076 [hep-th]].
- [13] J. T. Liu and R. Minasian, *Higher-derivative couplings in string theory: dualities and the B-field*, arXiv:1304.3137 [hep-th].
- [14] G. Yadav, V. Yadav and A. Misra, *$\mathcal{M}$ cTEQ ($\mathcal{M}$ chiral perturbation theory-compatible deconfinement Temperature and Entanglement entropy up to terms Quartic in curvature) and FM (Flavor Memory)*, JHEP **10** (2021) 220, arXiv:2108.05372 [hep-th].
- [15] K. Becker and M. Becker, *Supersymmetry breaking, $\mathcal{M}$ theory and fluxes*, JHEP bf07, 038 (2001) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2001/07/038 [arXiv:hep-th/0107044 [hep-th]].
- [16] S. Sasaki, *On differentiable manifolds with certain structures which are closely related to almost contact structure, i*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) 12 (1960) 459; S. Sasaki and Y. Hatakeyama, *On differentiable manifolds with certain structures which are closely related to almost contact structure, ii*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) 13 (1961) 281.
- [17] Y.-Y. Kuo, *On almost contact 3-structure*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) 22 (1970) 325.
- [18] T. Kashiwada, *On a contact 3-structure*, Mathematische Zeitschrift 238 (2001) 829.
- [19] A. Czajka, K. Dasgupta, C. Gale, S. Jeon, A. Misra, M. Richard and K. Sil, *Bulk Viscosity at Extreme Limits: From Kinetic Theory to Strings*, JHEP **07**, 145 (2019)[arXiv:1807.04713 [hep-th]].

- [20] M. F. Arian, H. Cho and S. Salur, *Contact Structures on G2-Manifolds and Spin 7-Manifolds*, arXiv:1207.2046[math.dg]; M. F. Arian, H. Cho and S. Salur, *Existence of Compatible Contact Structures on G2-manifolds*, Asian J. Math. 17 (2013) 321 [arXiv:1112.2951[math.dg]]; A. J. Todd, *An Almost Contact Structure on G2-Manifolds*, arXiv:1501.06966[math.dg].
- [21] T. Friedrich, I. Kath, A. Moroianu and U. Semmelmann, *On nearly parallel G2-structures*, Journal of Geometry and Physics 23 (1997) 259.
- [22] P. Ouyang, *Holomorphic D7-Branes and Flavored N=1 Gauge Theories*, Nucl.Phys.B 699:207-225 (2004), [arXiv:hep-th/0311084].
- [23] A. Butti, M. Grana, R. Minasian, M. Petrini and A. zaffaroni, *The baryonic branch of Klebanov-Strassler solution: A supersymmetric family of SU(3) structure backgrounds*, JHEP 0503, 069 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0412187].
- [24] V. Yadav, G. Yadav and A. Misra, *(Phenomenology/Lattice-Compatible) SU(3) M χ PT HD up to $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ and the $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ -Large-N Connection*, JHEP 2108 (2021) 151 [arXiv:2011.04660 [hep-th]].