

Partial Liouville sums over divisors

Milo Moses

Berkeley High School
e-mail: milo@tacocat.com

Abstract: Using sieves and elementary manipulations, we show that the signs of partial sums of the Liouville function over divisors are in a strong sense equally distributed.

Keywords: Liouville function, Sieves

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A25.

1 Introduction

The Liouville function $\lambda(n)$ is defined by $\lambda(n) = (-1)^{\Omega(n)}$ where $\Omega(n)$ counts the total number of factors in the prime decomposition of n . A natural problem is to study the partial sums $L(n, z) := \sum_{d|n, d < z} \lambda(n)$. These sums will be larger if divisors come clumped in groups with the same parity of number of prime divisors, and they will be smaller otherwise. In [2], it is proved that the quantities

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{-1} \sum_{n < x} L(n, z)^2$$

exist for each z , and converge to a finite limit as z tends to infinity. These quantities were further studied in [1]. The purpose of this note is to show that the signs of $L(n, z)$ are randomly distributed over n and z , in the following sense:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(a_{n,x})_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of complex numbers depending on x such that*

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{-1} \sum_{n < x} |a_{n,x}|^2 < \infty.$$

If the limits

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{-1} \sum_{n < x} a_{n,x} L(n, z)$$

exist for all z , then they tend to 0 as z tends to infinity.

2 Details and proof

We begin by clarifying the condition on $a_{n,x}$ used in Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 1. *The quantities $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{-1} \sum_{n < x} a_{n,x} L(n, z)$ exist for all z if and only if the quantities*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{-1} \sum_{n < x} a_{qn,x}$$

exist for all integers $q \geq 1$.

Proof. Manipulating, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{-1} \sum_{n < x} a_n L(n, z) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{-1} \sum_{n < x} a_n \sum_{d|n, d < z} \lambda(d) \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{-1} \sum_{d < z} \sum_{n < x/d} a_{dn} \lambda(d). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, letting z vary, we find that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{-1} \sum_{n < x/d} a_{dn} \lambda(d)$$

must exist for all d . Since $\lambda(d)$ is nonzero, we arrive at the desired conclusion. \square

We now prove a technical lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *Fix a sequence $(a_{n,x})_{n \geq 0}$. Define*

$$f_x(q) = \frac{q}{x} \sum_{n < x/q} a_{qn,x}, \quad g_x(q) = \sum_{d|q} \mu(q/d) f_x(d),$$

and $S_x(b/q) = x^{-1} \sum_{n < x} a_{n,x} e^{2\pi n(b/q)i}$. The following equality holds:

$$g_x(q) = x^{-1} \sum_{b \bmod q}^* S_x(b/q).$$

The star indicates the summation is taken over residue classes in $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^$.*

Proof. We observe via sum manipulations that

$$\begin{aligned} x^{-1} \sum_{d|q} \sum_{b \bmod d}^* S_x(b/d) &= x^{-1} \sum_{b \bmod q} S_x(b/q) \\ &= x^{-1} \sum_{n < x} a_n \left(\sum_{b \bmod q} e^{2\pi n(b/q)i} \right) \\ &= \frac{q}{x} \sum_{n < x/q} a_{qn} \end{aligned}$$

The desired formula follows by Möbius inversion. \square

Corollary 2.1. *Using the notation of Lemma 2.1, if $(a_{n,x})$ is such that $g(q) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g_x(q)$ exists for all q , then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|g(q)|^2}{\varphi(q)}$$

converges whenever $\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{-1} \sum_{n < x} |a_{n,x}|^2$ converges

Proof. Using Cauchy–Schwarz on $g(q)$, we find

$$\sum_{q < Q} \frac{|g(q)|^2}{\varphi(q)} \leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{-2} \sum_{q < Q} \sum_{b \bmod q}^* |S_x(b/q)|^2$$

The large sieve inequality states that the left hand side of this expression is bounded above by

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x + Q}{x^2} \sum_{n < x} |a_{n,x}|^2,$$

which is bounded uniformly as Q varies by our assumption on $(a_{n,x})$. \square

We can now prove the main theorem:

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We work with the notation of Lemma 2.1. To begin, we see via elementary sum manipulations

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{-1} \sum_{n < x} a_{n,x} L(n, z) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{-1} \sum_{n < x} a_{n,x} \sum_{d|n, d < z} \lambda(d) \\ &= \sum_{d < z} \frac{\lambda(d) f(d)}{d}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f(d) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f_x(d)$. This limit exists for all d by Proposition 1. By Möbius inversion, we know that $g(d) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g_x(d)$ must exist as well. Thus, we can manipulate our sum further as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d < z} \frac{\lambda(d) f(d)}{d} &= \sum_{d < z} \frac{\lambda(d)}{d} \left(\sum_{q|d} g(q) \right) \\ &= \sum_{q < z} \frac{\lambda(q) g(q)}{q} \left(\sum_{d < z/q} \frac{\lambda(d)}{d} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note the key use of the fact that λ is completely multiplicative. Combining our work thus far, we get the following:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{-1} \sum_{n < x} a_n L(n, z) = \sum_{q < z} \frac{\lambda(q) g(q)}{q} \left(\sum_{d < z/q} \frac{\lambda(d)}{d} \right)$$

By the prime number theorem $\left| \sum_{d < z/q} \frac{\lambda(d)}{d} \right| \ll \frac{1}{\log^*(z/q)}$ where $\log^*(z/q) = \max(1, \log(z/q))$. Hence,

$$\left| \sum_{q < z} \frac{\lambda(q)g(q)}{q} \left(\sum_{d < z/q} \frac{\lambda(d)}{d} \right) \right| \ll \sum_{q < z} \frac{|g(q)|}{q \log^*(z/q)}.$$

Fixing large $T > 0$, we find by Cauchy–Schwarz that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{q < z} \frac{|g(q)|}{q \log^*(z/q)} \right| &\leq \left| \sum_{q < z/T} \frac{|g(q)|}{q \log^*(z/q)} \right| + \left| \sum_{z/T \leq q < z} \frac{|g(q)|}{q \log^*(z/q)} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{q < z/T} \frac{|g(q)|^2}{\varphi(q)} \right|^{1/2} \cdot \left| \sum_{q < z/T} \frac{\varphi(q)}{q^2 \log^*(z/q)^2} \right|^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \left| \sum_{z/T \leq q < z} \frac{|g(q)|^2}{\varphi(q)} \right|^{1/2} \cdot \left| \sum_{z/T \leq q < z} \frac{\varphi(q)}{q^2 \log^*(z/q)^2} \right|^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

We treat these summations one by one. By the conditions of the proposition we get that the first sum is bounded uniformly in terms of a_n , and that the first sum on the second row is $o_T(1)$. For the second sum in the first row, we note that $\log^*(z/q) \geq \log(T)$. The second sum in the bottom row is clearly $O_T(1)$, and hence collecting we get that

$$\limsup_{z \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{q < z} \frac{|g(q)|}{q \log^*(z/q)} \right| \ll \limsup_{z \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{q < z/T} \frac{1}{q \log^*(z/q)^2} \right|^{1/2}.$$

Decomposing along intervals $2^{-(k+1)} \cdot z/T < q \leq 2^{-k} \cdot z/T$ it is clear that not only is the right hand side bounded but it tends to 0 as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, we conclude the result. \square

3 Acknowledgements

The author thanks T. Tao for his collaboration on part of the proof of Theorem 1.1, and thanks K. Soundararajan for making him aware of the reference [2] which contains results proved in an earlier draft.

References

- [1] Régis de la Bretèche, François Dress, and Gérald Tenenbaum. Remarques sur une somme liée à la fonction de möbius. *Mathematika*, 66(2):416–421, 2020.
- [2] F Drerss, G Tenenbaum, and H Iwaniec. Sur une somme liée à la fonction de möbius. 1983.