IFT-UAM/CSIC-22-144

Vacuum Decay Actions from Tunneling Potentials for General Spacetime Dimension

J.R. Espinosa[1] and J.-F. Fortin[2]

[1] *Instituto de Física Teórica IFT-UAM/CSIC,*
C/ Nicolás Cabrera 13-15, Campus de Cantoblanco, 28049, Madrid, Spain
[2] *Département de Physique, de Génie Physique et d'Optique*
Université Laval, Québec, QC G1V 0A6, Canada

arXiv:2211.13667v1 [hep-th] 24 Nov 2022

Abstract

The tunneling potential method to calculate the action for vacuum decay is an alternative to the Euclidean bounce method that has a number of attractive features. In this paper we extend the formalism to general spacetime dimension $d > 2$ and use it to give simple proofs of several results. For Minkowski or Anti de Sitter false vacua, we show that gravity or higher barriers increase vacuum lifetime and describe a very clean picture of gravitational quenching of vacuum decay. We also derive the thin-wall limit of the action, show how detailed balance for dS to dS transitions works in the new formalism and how to obtain potentials for which the vacuum decay solution can be obtained analytically.

1 Introduction

Long-lived false vacua appear often in particle physics models (from the Standard Model to the string theory landscape) with decay rates per unit volume suppressed by the exponential of (minus) a tunneling action. Traditionally, this action is computed by the elegant and powerful Euclidean method (developed by Coleman and collaborators [1, 2]) in terms of a bounce configuration that lives in Euclidean spacetime.

A new approach to the calculation of such tunneling actions which does not rely on Euclidean bounces has been developed in [3]. This so-called "tunneling potential approach" reformulates the tunneling action calculation as a simple variational problem in field space. Instead of a bounce one has to find a "tunneling potential" function, $V_t(\phi)$, that interpolates between the false vacuum and (the basin of) the true vacuum and minimizes an action functional, an integral in field space of a simple action density. The resulting action reproduces the Euclidean result and the approach has a number of good properties: it allows a fast and precise numerical calculation of the action [3]; it can be adapted to the study of vacuum decay by thermal fluctuations [3]; it can be used to get solvable potentials (that permit the analytical solution of the tunneling problem) [3–5]; it is very useful for vacuum decay in multi-field potentials as one searches for a minimum of the action functional (instead of a saddle-point, as in the Euclidean method) [6]; it can be generalized quite simply to include gravitational corrections offering a quite direct route to the derivation of key results [4, 7]; it can deal with issues of gauge invariance [8]; etc.

Previous work on the tunneling potential formalism has been mostly done for $d = 4$ spacetime dimensions, although the tunneling action for general spacetime dimension d was derived in [3] for the case without gravitational corrections. The purpose of this paper is to derive the tunneling action for general d including gravity and to discuss some results. The generalization is straightforward as there are no qualitative changes in behavior but rather a quantitative dependence on d. We restrict the discussion to $d > 2$ as the $d = 2$ case requires special modifications (to be nontrivial) and deserves a separate study (see [9] and references therein for a recent discussion of vacuum transitions in $d = 2$). We also leave aside spacetimes with compactified dimensions, in which new instabilities like bubbles of nothing [10] can trigger spacetime decay. Interestingly, tunneling potentials can be applied successfully to the study of such instantons [11].

The structure of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we present our main result, the tunneling action density in V_t formalism for general d and including gravity, equation (2.21). We obtained this action by reverse engineering the differential equation for V_t but it can also be obtained using a canonical transformation that relates Euclidean and V_t formulations (this is done in Appendix A). Although the action (2.21) is simply expressed in terms of a hypergeometric function, it is possible to rewrite it in terms of elementary functions. This is done in 2 for $d = 3, 4, 5$ and in general in Appendix B.

Although the equivalence between the Euclidean and V_t formulations follows from the canonical transformation that relates them, it is illustrative to show in detail how this equiv-

alence comes about, and this is done in Appendix C. While the action (2.21) assumes a canonical kinetic term for a scalar minimally coupled to gravity, both assumptions can be lifted, and this is done in Appendix D.

We then use the new action to prove a number of results in a very simple manner. First, we prove in Section 3 that Anti de Sitter (AdS) or Minkowski false vacua are made more stable by higher barriers and by gravitational effects. If the latter are strong enough, they can eventually stabilize the false vacua completely (gravitational quenching of the decay). The V_t formalism is particularly well suited to describe this quenching effect. Second, for transitions between de Sitter (dS) vacua there is a simple (detailed balance) relation for the difference between back and forth transitions and, in Section 4 we show how this relation arises in the V_t formalism.

Section 5 derives the thin-wall tunneling action in V_t formalism (to be compared with the derivation in the Euclidean approach, which is relegated to Appendix E) illustrating how the thin-wall regime behaves for AdS or Minkowski vacua compared to the dS case. Finally, Section 6 explains how to use the V_t formalism to generate analytically solvable examples of vacuum decay for general d, illustrating the technique with a simple example.

2 Tunneling Action for General Dimension

In the formulation due to Coleman and De Luccia [2], false vacuum decay is described by a bounce configuration that extremizes the Euclidean action, which in d dimensions reads

$$S_E = \int d^d x \sqrt{g} \left[-\frac{1}{2\kappa} R + \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi + V(\phi) \right] + S_{\rm GHY} \ , \tag{2.1}$$

where S_{GHY} is the Gibbons-Hawking-York boundary action [12, 13]. Here $\kappa = 1/m_p^{d-2}$, with m_p the reduced Planck mass.

We assume that the Coleman-De Luccia (CdL) bounce in an Euclidean space of d dimensions has $O(d)$ symmetry, so that the scalar field depends on a single radial variable, $\phi(\xi)$, while the metric can be written as

$$ds^2 = d\xi^2 + \rho(\xi)^2 d\Omega_{d-1}^2 \ , \tag{2.2}$$

and depends on a single function ρ. Here ξ is the coordinate from the center of the bounce, measuring the radial distance along lines normal to $d-1$ spheres of radius of curvature $\rho(\xi)$ and $d\Omega_{d-1}^2$ is the line element for a unit $d-1$ sphere. With this assumption, one has

$$R = -2(d-1)\frac{\ddot{\rho}}{\rho} + (d-1)(d-2)\frac{(1-\dot{\rho}^2)}{\rho^2} \ , \tag{2.3}$$

where $\dot{x} \equiv dx/d\xi$. The $\ddot{\rho}$ term is cancelled, after integrating by parts, by $S_{\rm GHY}$, which is

$$S_{\rm GHY} = -\frac{(d-1)}{\kappa} V_{S,d-1} \rho^{d-2} \dot{\rho} \Big|_{\xi=0}^{\xi=\xi_e} \ , \tag{2.4}$$

and the action takes the form

$$S_E[\phi,\rho] = V_{S,d-1}\int_0^{\xi_e}\left[\rho^{d-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2+V\right)-\frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2\kappa}\rho^{d-3}(1+\dot{\rho}^2)\right]d\xi\ , \tag{2.5}$$

where ξ_e is ∞ for AdS or Minkowski and finite for dS and

$$V_{S,d-1} = \frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)}\ , \tag{2.6}$$

is the volume of a $d-1$ sphere of unit radius.

The CdL equations, derived as Euler-Lagrange equations from the extremality of the Euclidean action, are

$$\ddot{\phi}+(d-1)\frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho}\dot{\phi} = V'\ , \tag{2.7}$$

$$\dot{\rho}^2 = 1+\frac{2\kappa\rho^2}{(d-1)(d-2)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2-V\right)\ , \tag{2.8}$$

where $x' \equiv dx/d\phi$.

As is well known, the bounce for the decay of a vacuum at ϕ_+ satisfies the boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned}&\phi(0)=\phi_0\ ,\quad \phi(\infty)=\phi_+\ ,\quad \dot{\phi}(0)=\dot{\phi}(\infty)=0\ ,\\ &\rho(0)=0\ ,\quad \rho(\infty)=\infty\ ,\quad \dot{\rho}(0)=1\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

for an AdS or Minkowski vacuum, while for a dS vacuum we have instead

$$\begin{aligned}&\phi(0)=\phi_0\ ,\quad \phi(\xi_e)=\phi_{0,+}\neq\phi_+\ ,\quad \dot{\phi}(0)=\dot{\phi}(\xi_e)=0\ ,\\ &\rho(0)=\rho(\xi_e)=0\ ,\quad \dot{\rho}(0)=1\ ,\quad \dot{\rho}(\xi_e)=-1\ .\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

In the equations above, ϕ_0 is a field value to be found so as to satisfy the other boundary conditions.

The Euclidean tunneling action is the difference between the action of the CdL instanton and the background false vacuum action

$$\Delta S_E = S_E[\phi,\rho]-S_E[\phi_+,\rho_+]\ , \tag{2.11}$$

where ϕ_+ and ρ_+ are, respectively, the field value and metric at the false vacuum.

In the tunneling potential formulation, we get rid of all Euclidean quantities and describe the tunneling configuration in terms of a single function $V_t(\phi)$, the tunneling potential, which is related to Euclidean quantities by [3]

$$V_t = V-\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2\ , \tag{2.12}$$

where $\dot{\phi}$ is evaluated with the CdL profile and then expressed as a function of ϕ. Using the CdL equations, the metric function $\rho(\xi)$ can be related to V_t quantities as

$$\rho = (d-1)\frac{\sqrt{2(V-V_t)}}{D_d} \ , \tag{2.13}$$

with

$$D_d \equiv \sqrt{V_t'^2 + 4\kappa_d (V-V_t)V_t} \ , \tag{2.14}$$

and we have defined

$$\kappa_d \equiv \kappa \frac{(d-1)}{(d-2)} \ . \tag{2.15}$$

We also have

$$\dot{\rho} = -\frac{V_t'}{D_d} \ . \tag{2.16}$$

Although we have taken the Euclidean action as starting point of our derivation and introduced V_t relating it to the Euclidean bounce, this is just a convenient shortcut to derive the V_t formulation, in which V_t is the unknown function to be obtained, instead of the Euclidean bounce. The differential "equation of motion" (EoM) for V_t follows from the ξ derivative of (2.13) and reads:

$$2(V-V_t)\left\{V_t'' + 2\kappa\left[\frac{(d-1)}{(d-2)}V - V_t\right]\right\} + \left(\frac{d}{d-1}V_t' - V'\right)V_t' = 0 \ . \tag{2.17}$$

Alternatively, this EoM can be rewritten in a simpler form in terms of D_d as

$$\frac{d}{d\phi}\log D_d = \frac{1}{2(V-V_t)}\left(V' - \frac{d\,V_t'}{d-1}\right) \ . \tag{2.18}$$

The boundary conditions for V_t are

$$V_t(\phi_+) = V(\phi_+) \ , \quad V_t(\phi_0) = V(\phi_0) \ , \quad V_t'(\phi_+) = 0 \ , \quad V_t'(\phi_0) = \frac{3}{4}V'(\phi_0) \ . \tag{2.19}$$

For AdS or Minkowski false vacua (or in the absence of gravity) the function $V_t(\phi)$ monotonically decreases away from ϕ_+. For dS, instead, it first grows and later decreases [4]. In fact, in the interval from ϕ_+ to ϕ_{0+}, one has $V_t = V$ [4].

For later use it is convenient to define the quantities

$$R(\phi)^2 \equiv \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2\kappa|V(\phi)|} \ , \quad R_t(\phi)^2 \equiv \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2\kappa|V_t(\phi)|} \ . \tag{2.20}$$

At potential minima, $R(\phi)$ gives the corresponding dS or AdS radius (divergent in Minkowski).

The action density whose variation gives the EoM above can be obtained (up to a multiplicative constant and a boundary term we fix so as to agree with the tunneling Euclidean action, ΔS_E) using standard techniques.[1] We get

$$s^{(d)} = \frac{\pi^{(d+1)/2}R_t^d}{\Gamma[(d+1)/2]}(V_t' + |V_t'|) + {}_2F_1\left(\frac{d-1}{2}, \frac{d}{2}; \frac{d}{2}+1; 1 - \frac{D_d^2}{V_t'^2}\right) s_0^{(d)} \tag{2.21}$$

[1] Alternatively, one can use a canonical transformation that relates V_t and Euclidean quantities to get the new action [14] (see Appendix A). Both methods give the same answer.

with

$$s_0^{(d)} = \frac{(d-1)^{d-1}\left[2\pi(V-V_t)\right]^{d/2}}{\Gamma(1+d/2)|V_t'|^{d-1}} \ . \tag{2.22}$$

Some comments on this result are in order. We see that $s^{(d)}$ is positive definite. In the limit $\kappa \to 0$ (no gravity) one simply gets $s^{(d)} = s_0^{(d)}$, (as $V_t' \leq 0$ and $1 - D^2/V_t'^2 \to 0$). In estimating the gravitational impact on tunneling, however, one should keep in mind that V_t is different with and without gravity.

The hypergeometric function in (2.21) can be expressed in terms of elementary functions given that d is an integer. For $d = 4$ one recovers the result [4]

$$s^{(4)} = \frac{6\pi^2}{\kappa^2}\frac{(D_4 + V_t')^2}{V_t^2 D_4} \ , \tag{2.23}$$

with $D_4^2 = V_t'^2 + 6\kappa(V - V_t)V_t$.

For $d = 3$ one gets

$$s^{(3)} = \frac{\pi}{\kappa^{3/2}}\left\{\pi\frac{(V_t' + |V_t'|)}{|V_t|^{3/2}} + \frac{4}{V_t}\sqrt{2\kappa(V-V_t)}\left[1 - \frac{\mathrm{Arctanh}(\sqrt{1 - D_3^2/V_t'^2})}{\sqrt{1 - D_3^2/V_t'^2}}\right]\right\} \ , \tag{2.24}$$

with $D_3^2 = V_t'^2 + 8\kappa(V - V_t)V_t$.

For $d = 5$ one gets

$$s^{(5)} = \frac{\pi^2}{\kappa^{5/2}}\left\{\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{(V_t' + |V_t'|)}{(|V_t|/6)^{5/2}} + \frac{48\sqrt{2\kappa(V-V_t)}}{V_t^2}\left[2 + \frac{V_t'^2}{D_5^2} - \frac{3\mathrm{Arctanh}(\sqrt{1 - D_5^2/V_t'^2})}{\sqrt{1 - D_5^2/V_t'^2}}\right]\right\} \ , \tag{2.25}$$

with $D_5^2 = V_t'^2 + 16\kappa(V - V_t)V_t/3$. Appendix B explains how to obtain a general expression for $s^{(d)}$ in terms of elementary functions for arbitrary $d > 2$.

The final expression for the tunneling action is

$$S = \int_{\phi_+}^{\phi_0} s^{(d)}(\phi) d\phi \ . \tag{2.26}$$

Here ϕ_+ (which can be taken to be 0 without loss of generality) is the false vacuum field value and ϕ_0 has to be found minimizing the integral [it corresponds to $\phi(0)$ of the Euclidean formalism]. In our convention, $\phi_+ < \phi_0 < \phi_-$, with ϕ_- being the true vacuum. For Minkowski or AdS false vacua one has $V_t' \leq 0$ so that the first term in (2.21) vanishes and one gets

$$S_{\mathrm{Mink,AdS}} = \frac{(d-1)^{d-1}\left[2\pi\right]^{d/2}}{\Gamma(1+d/2)}\int_{\phi_+}^{\phi_0}\frac{(V-V_t)^{d/2}}{|V_t'|^{d-1}}\,{}_2F_1\left(\frac{d-1}{2}, \frac{d}{2}; \frac{d+2}{2}; 1 - \frac{D_d^2}{V_t'^2}\right) d\phi \ . \tag{2.27}$$

For dS false vacua there is a region in field space, between $\phi = \phi_+$ and some $\phi_{0+} < \phi_0$ where $V_t(\phi) = V(\phi)$, while the interval (ϕ_{0+}, ϕ_0) corresponds to the CdL field range and $V_t < V$ is non trivial. The action density in the interval (ϕ_+, ϕ_{0+}) takes the simple form

$$s^{(d)} = \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}V_t'}{\Gamma[(d+1)/2]}\left[\frac{(d-1)(d-2)\pi}{2\kappa V_t}\right]^{d/2} \ , \qquad (\text{for } \phi_+ \leq \phi \leq \phi_{0+}) \ , \tag{2.28}$$

and can be integrated exactly. Then the tunneling action for dS false vacua reads

$$S_{\rm dS} = \frac{4\pi^{(d+1)/2}}{\kappa\Gamma[(d-1)/2]}\left(R_+^{d-2} - R_{0+}^{d-2}\right) + \int_{\phi_{0+}}^{\phi_0} s^{(d)}(\phi)d\phi \ , \tag{2.29}$$

with $V_+ \equiv V(\phi_+)$ and $V_{0+} \equiv V(\phi_{0+})$ and $s^{(d)}$ as given in (2.21). An alternative expression for this action is

$$S_{\rm dS} = \frac{4\pi^{(d+1)/2}}{\kappa\Gamma[(d-1)/2]}\left(R_+^{d-2} - R_T^{d-2}\right) + \int_{\phi_{0+}}^{\phi_0} s_{CdL}^{(d)}(\phi)d\phi \ , \tag{2.30}$$

where $s_{CdL}^{(d)}(\phi)$ is just the part of (2.21) that depends on the hypergeometric function, and $R_T \equiv R_t(\phi_T)$, where ϕ_T is the field value at which V_t reaches its maximum, so that $V_t'(\phi_T) = 0$.

In appendix C we show the equivalence between the tunneling actions calculated in Euclidean and tunneling potential formalisms. In appendix D we extend the result of this section to a more general action in which we allow for a field-dependent noncanonical kinetic term and a nonminimal coupling of the scalar field to gravity.

3 Some General Results for Minkowski or AdS Vacua

From the general expression of the tunneling action density in (2.21) one can generalize to arbitrary dimension ($d > 2$) results known for the $d = 4$ case. In the context of the tunneling potential approach, these results were proven in [7]. The results for the decay of Minkowski or AdS vacua are discussed in the following subsections.

3.1 Higher Barriers Make False Vacua More Stable

Consider two potentials that take the same values at a false (Minkowski or AdS) minimum[2] located at ϕ_+ and a true AdS vacuum at ϕ_- but with $V_2 \geq V_1$ in between. Take any V_t that leads to a finite tunneling action for the decay in potential V_2. The corresponding tunneling action densities satisfy the inequality

$$s_2(V_t) \geq s_1(V_t) \ , \tag{3.1}$$

with

$$s_i(V_t) \equiv \frac{(d-1)^{d-1}}{\Gamma(1+d/2)}\left[\frac{\pi V_t'^2}{2\kappa_d(-V_t)}\right]^{d/2} F_d(x_i) \geq 0 \ , \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$F_d(x_i) \equiv (1-x_i^2)^{d/2}\,{}_2F_1\left(\frac{d-1}{2}, \frac{d}{2}; \frac{d+2}{2}; 1-x_i^2\right) \ , \tag{3.3}$$

contains all the dependence on V_i via

$$x_i^2 \equiv \frac{D_{d,i}^2}{V_t'^2} \equiv \frac{1}{V_t'^2}\left[V_t'^2 + 4\kappa_d(V_i - V_t)V_t\right] \ . \tag{3.4}$$

[2]We discuss here the case of vacua which are local minima. The case of decaying AdS maxima (that can be stable if they respect the Breitenlohner-Freedman bound [15]) is more subtle, see *e.g.* [16].

As $V_t \leq 0$ and $V_2 \geq V_1$ we have $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$ and $x_2 \leq x_1$. The inequality (3.1) then follows from the fact that $F_d(x)$ is a monotonically decreasing function in $(0,1)$, as proven by

$$\frac{dF_d(x)}{dx} = -d\left(\frac{1}{x^2}-1\right)^{(d-2)/2} \leq 0 \ . \tag{3.5}$$

After having established (3.1), the proof follows the same logic of the $d=4$ case [7]. Let $V_{t\kappa,i}$ be the tunneling potentials for the V_i (*i.e.* $V_{t\kappa,i}$ give the minimum of the respective actions). The $V_{t\kappa,i}$ are defined in some intervals $(\phi_+, \phi_{0,i})$, where $\phi_{0,i} \leq \phi_-$ are the exit points of the tunneling. Then, $V_{t\kappa,2}$ intersects the lower potential V_1 at some field value $\phi_{0,21} \leq \phi_{0,2}$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_2[V_{t\kappa 2}] &\equiv \int_{\phi_+}^{\phi_{0,2}} s_2(V_{t\kappa 2})d\phi \geq \int_{\phi_+}^{\phi_{0,21}} s_2(V_{t\kappa 2})d\phi \\ &\geq \int_{\phi_+}^{\phi_{0,21}} s_1(V_{t\kappa 2})d\phi \geq \int_{\phi_+}^{\phi_{0,1}} s_1(V_{t\kappa 1})d\phi \equiv S_1[V_{t\kappa,1}] \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

The first inequality follows from $\phi_{0,2} \geq \phi_{0,21}$ plus the positivity of the action density; the second inequality follows from (3.1); and the third from the fact that $V_{t\kappa 1}$ minimizes the action for V_1. Notice that the upper limits in the last two integrals correspond to the points where $V_{t\kappa 2}(\phi_{0,21}) = V_1(\phi_{0,21})$ and $V_{t\kappa 1}(\phi_{0,1}) = V_1(\phi_{0,1})$. As was the case for $d=4$, the argument does not require the inequality to hold for the action densities, $s_2(V_{t\kappa 2}) \geq s_1(V_{t\kappa 1})$, which can be violated.

3.2 Gravity Makes Vacua More Stable

To prove that gravity makes false (Minkowski or AdS) vacua more stable, take a path $V_t(\phi)$ out of the metastable vacuum ϕ_+ of a potential $V(\phi)$. The corresponding tunneling action densities with and without gravity satisfy

$$s(V_t) \geq s_0(V_t) \ . \tag{3.7}$$

This inequality follows immediately from (2.21), that gives the simple relation

$$\frac{s(V_t)}{s_0(V_t)} = {}_2F_1\left(\frac{d-1}{2}, \frac{d}{2}; \frac{d+2}{2}; 1-x^2\right) \geq 1, \tag{3.8}$$

with $x^2 \equiv D_d^2/V_t'^2$ satisfying[3] $0 \leq x^2 \leq 1$. The hypergeometric function is bigger than 1 as it is defined by a convergent series of the form $1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ with $a_n > 0$.

To finish the proof, let $V_{t\kappa}$ and V_{t0} be the tunneling potentials that minimize the actions with and without gravity, respectively. Then

$$S[V_{t\kappa}] \equiv \int_{\phi_+}^{\phi_0} s(V_{t\kappa})d\phi \geq \int_{\phi_+}^{\phi_0} s_0(V_{t\kappa})d\phi \equiv S_0[V_{t\kappa}] \geq S_0[V_{t0}] \ , \tag{3.9}$$

where the first inequality follows from (3.7) and the second from the fact that the tunneling functional $S_0[V_t]$ is minimized by V_{t0}.

[3] One has $V_t, V_t' \leq 0$ and D_d must be real for V_t to be a decay path allowed by gravity, see next subsection.

3.3 Gravitational Quenching

One of the most striking effects of gravity on vacuum decay is gravitational quenching: the fact that gravitational effects can stabilize completely false vacua and lead to an infinite tunneling action that forbids vacuum decay [2]. The effect happens for any spacetime dimension $d > 2$ and we show below how this is described by the V_t formalism.

In the V_t formulation an allowed vacuum decay needs to satisfy the condition

$$D_d^2 = V_t'^2 + 4\kappa_d(V - V_t)V_t > 0 \ , \tag{3.10}$$

with gravitational quenching of the decay happening when this condition cannot be satisfied no matter how V_t is chosen [4]. The impact of gravitational effects is increased by making κ larger (in fact, what is increased is the dimensionless combination κM^2, where M is a characteristic mass scale of the potential). For Minkowski or AdS vacua the second term in (3.10) is negative and for large enough κ it will be impossible to satisfy the condition (3.10) for any V_t: the potential will be stabilized. Compared with the $d = 4$ case, it is clear that general d does not introduce a qualitative difference: it simply modifies slightly the impact of gravitational corrections, with the factor $4\kappa_d$ decreasing from 8κ for $d = 3$ to $\simeq 4\kappa$ for $d \gg 1$.

The expected parametric behaviour needed for quenched potentials is the same as it was for $d = 4$ [7]: large $\Delta\phi \equiv \phi_- - \phi_+$, high potential barriers, shallow true minima or deep AdS false minima. In more quantitative detail, one can interpret the condition $D_d^2 > 0$ needed for AdS or Minkowski vacuum decay with gravity as implying that V_t must satisfy a condition stronger than monotonicity to have D_d real:

$$V_t' \leq -\sqrt{4\kappa_d(V - V_t)(-V_t)} \ . \tag{3.11}$$

For a potential V with a metastable minimum at ϕ_+ we can get a "critical" tunneling potential, V_{tc}, as the solution to $D_d \equiv 0$, that is

$$V_{tc}' = -\sqrt{4\kappa_d(V - V_{tc})(-V_{tc})} \ , \tag{3.12}$$

with boundary condition $V_{tc}(\phi_+) = V(\phi_+) \equiv V_+$. To integrate (3.12) and get V_{tc}, it is enough to have the boundary condition at ϕ_+. Other solutions of (3.12) for different boundary values of $V_{tc}(\phi_+)$ generate a family of non-intersecting integral curves for $D = 0$ that cover the area below $\text{Min}\{V_+, V\}$.

Depending on the strength of gravitational effects one can distinguish three different cases, exactly as in $d = 4$:

Subcritical case. This is the typical case with weak gravitational effects: the critical V_{tc} deviates a bit from being horizontal but reaches V at some field value well below ϕ_- and V_t can lie below V_{tc}, intersect the $D = 0$ integral lines from above [so as to satisfy (3.11)] and hit V at ϕ_0 with finite action. In these subcritical case, gravity makes the false ϕ_+ vacuum more stable without forbidding its decay.

Critical case. In this special case, $V_t \equiv V_{tc}$. Note that $D_d = 0$ gives a solution to the EoM (2.18) so that V_{tc} satisfies the right boundary condition at $\phi_0 = \phi_-$. For this critical case,

the tunneling action is infinite as the hypergeometric function in (2.21) diverges for $D_d \to 0$ as [with $x = D_d/(-V_t')$]

$$ {}_2F_1\left(\frac{d-1}{2}, \frac{d}{2}; \frac{d+2}{2}; 1-x^2\right) = \begin{cases} -3[1+\ln(x/2)] + \mathcal{O}(x^2) \ , & \text{for} \quad d=3 \ , \\ \dfrac{d}{(d-3)x^{d-3}} + \mathcal{O}(x^{5-d}) + \mathcal{O}(x^0) \ , & \text{for} \quad d>3 \ , \end{cases} \tag{3.13} $$

so that gravity forbids the decay of ϕ_+ into ϕ_-. This critical case corresponds to the so-called "great divide" case of [17] (discussed in that paper for $d = 4$, see also [18]).

Between two vacua connected by V_{tc} one can have a static domain wall which is the infinite radius limit of a Coleman-De Luccia (CdL) bubble. The domain wall tension can be obtained as

$$ \sigma \equiv \int_{\phi_+}^{\phi_-} \sqrt{2(V-V_t)}d\phi = \int_{\phi_+}^{\phi_-} \frac{-V_t'}{\sqrt{-2\kappa_d V_t}}d\phi = \left.\sqrt{\frac{-2V(\phi)}{\kappa_d}}\right|_{\phi_+}^{\phi_-} , \tag{3.14} $$

where we have used $D_d = 0$ (with $V_t' \leq 0$) to write the second expression and $V_t(\phi_\pm) = V(\phi_\pm)$ to write the last. The domain-wall field profile ϕ_{DW} can be obtained from V_{tc} inverting $V_{tc} = V - \dot{\phi}_{DW}^2/2$, see [7].

One can also solve $D_d \equiv 0$ for V to obtain that any potential made critical by gravity takes the generic form

$$ V_c(\phi) = V_t - \frac{V_t'^2}{4\kappa_d V_t} \ , \tag{3.15} $$

for a monotonic function $V_t(\phi)$. This formula reproduces in a straightforward way the old results of [19–21]. Supersymmetric potentials are naturally of this critical form.

In the context of cobordism, the condition $D_d = 0$ corresponds to end-of-the world branes, and has been studied, using the tunneling potential formalism, in [22].

Supercritical case. For even stronger gravitational effects the V_{tc} potential is curved down so much that it does not intersect V after leaving from ϕ_+. As V_t should lie below V_{tc}, this prevents the existence of a viable V_t with real D_d and vacuum decay is again forbidden by gravity [2, 23].

To sum up, in order to find out if a given (Minkowski or AdS) false vacuum can decay one solves (3.12) with $V_{tc}(\phi_+) = V_+$ and checks if V_{tc} intersects V or not. If it does, decay is allowed; if it does not, decay is quenched. The critical case $V_t = V_{tc}$ corresponds to an intersection precisely at the minimum ϕ_- with vacuum decay forbidden and V_{tc} describing a domain-wall between the two vacua.

Finally, from the d-dependence of D_d [so that $(d-1)/(d-2)$ is a monotonically decreasing function of d] we can also see that a potential that is critical at dimension d is subcritical at dimension $d+1$ (as the critical V_{tc} for dimension d has now finite action) and supercritical at $d-1$ (as the critical V_{tc} should lie below the one for dimension d and therefore it no longer intersects V). See section 5 for an illustration of this point.

4 Detailed Balance for dS to dS Transitions

In the decay of a dS vacuum, only the finite space inside the horizon is required to transition. This makes the rate non zero generically and allows upwards transitions, from a dS vacuum to another with higher cosmological constant. For the discussion below, it is convenient to rewrite the tunneling action S_{+-} for the decay from a dS vacuum at ϕ_+ to a dS vacuum at ϕ_- as the integral of the action density (2.21) in the full interval from ϕ_+ to ϕ_-. The action has three different pieces [4]: In the first, from ϕ_+ to some ϕ_{0+}, one has $V_t \equiv V$, with $V_t' > 0$. This gives $D_d^2 = V_t'^2$ and $s_0^{(d)} = 0$, so that the action density is simply as given in (2.28). From ϕ_{0+} to some ϕ_{0-} one has $V_t < V$ and this range corresponds to the field range of the CdL Euclidean bounce. Finally, from ϕ_{0-} to ϕ_- one can take again $V_t \equiv V$, with $V_t' < 0$, which simplifies the tunneling action density to $s^{(d)} = 0$.

The decay in the opposite direction, from ϕ_- to ϕ_+, with action S_{-+}, proceeds in a similar manner, in fact with the same V_t function, but now taken as starting from ϕ_-, so that its derivative flips sign. This implies that there is in S_{-+} a simple non-zero contribution from the interval ϕ_- to ϕ_{0-} and a zero contribution from the interval from ϕ_{0+} to ϕ_+.

The difference between the two tunneling actions, $\Delta S \equiv S_{+-} - S_{-+}$, takes a very simple form, as only the term linear in V_t' in (2.21), the only one that flips sign, contributes. This term can be integrated exactly and one gets

$$\Delta S = 2\int_{\phi_+}^{\phi_-} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})}\left[\frac{(d-1)(d-2)\pi}{2\kappa V_t}\right]^{d/2} V_t'\, d\phi = \frac{-4\sqrt{\pi}\, V}{(d-2)\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})}\left[\frac{\pi(d-1)(d-2)}{2\kappa V}\right]^{d/2}\Bigg|_{\phi_+}^{\phi_-} . \tag{4.1}$$

This can be rewritten simply as

$$\Delta S = \mathcal{S}_+ - \mathcal{S}_- \ , \tag{4.2}$$

where $\mathcal{S}_\pm$ is the Gibbons-Hawking entropy of a dS vacuum with cosmological constant $V_\pm$ [12]. Indeed, this entropy is one fourth of the horizon's area in Planck units

$$\mathcal{S}_\pm = \frac{1}{4}\frac{A_\pm}{l_P^{d-2}} \ , \tag{4.3}$$

where the area is given by $A_\pm = V_{S,d-2} R_\pm^{d-2}$. In the formulas above one has $l_P = 1/M_P$, $M_P^{2-d} = G$ and $8\pi G = \kappa$.

5 Thin-Wall Limit

In the thin-wall regime, when both vacua are nearly degenerate, the decay happens from vacuum to vacuum and the tunneling action can be expressed in terms of the wall tension σ and the potential difference between the minima, $\Delta V \equiv V_+ - V_-$. The $d = 4$ derivation in the V_t formalism, presented in [3, 4] both with and without gravity, is easy to generalize to $d > 2$.

The starting point is $|V_t'| \ll |(V-V_t)'|$. Without gravity, this implies that the EoM for V_t, (2.17), gives $2(V-V_t)V_t'' \simeq (V_t-V)'V_t'$, which holds for any d and is integrated to give

$$V_t' \simeq -C\sqrt{2(V-V_t)} \ . \tag{5.1}$$

From this approximate equality we can derive all thin-wall key relations. First, the integration constant C can be related to the wall tension and the potential difference by integrating (5.1), noting that $\phi_0 \simeq \phi_-$,

$$\sigma \equiv \int_{\phi_+}^{\phi_0} \sqrt{2(V-V_t)}\, d\phi \simeq \frac{\Delta V}{C} \ . \tag{5.2}$$

Second, if we plug (5.1) in the expression for ρ [Eq. (2.13), setting now $\kappa = 0$], we get a constant value

$$R_B = \frac{(d-1)}{C} = (d-1)\frac{\sigma}{\Delta V} \ . \tag{5.3}$$

This is the radius where all the field evolution of the bounce takes place, which is precisely the radius of the critical bubble. As one would expect, R_B diverges for $\Delta V \to 0$.

Finally, plugging (5.1) in $s_0^{(d)}$ of (2.22), one obtains an integrable action density leading to the thin-wall action

$$S_{\rm thw} = \frac{(d-1)^{d-1}\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(1+d/2)}\frac{\sigma^d}{\Delta V^{d-1}} \ , \tag{5.4}$$

which coincides with the thin-wall result obtained in [24].[4] For $\Delta V \to 0$ the action diverges and tunneling becomes impossible.

With gravity, $|V_t'| \ll |(V-V_t)'|$ implies, from (2.18), $D_d'/D_d \simeq (V-V_t)'/[2(V-V_t)]$ which gives

$$D_d^2 = V_t'^2 + 4\kappa_d(V-V_t)V_t \simeq 2C^2(V-V_t) \ , \tag{5.5}$$

from which

$$\sqrt{2(V-V_t)} \simeq \frac{|V_t'|}{\sqrt{C^2 - 2\kappa_d V_t}} \ . \tag{5.6}$$

This is the generalization of (5.1) with gravitational effects included. Now one has to pay attention to the type of false vacuum decay as this determines the sign of V_t'. Below we discuss the two qualitatively different cases separately.

5.1 Minkowski or AdS Vacua

For Minkowski or AdS false vacua, a thin-wall bounce typically exists when the false and true vacua are nearly degenerate or for sufficiently strong gravitational effects, such that the situation is close to critical (see discussion in Section 3.3). For this type of vacua, $V_t(\phi)$ is monotonic with $V_t' \leq 0$.

[4] Notice that this action has the right dimensions, $[S_{\rm thw}] = 0$, as $[V] = d$ and $[\sigma] = d-1$.

Integrating (5.6) in the interval $(\phi_+, \phi_0) \simeq (\phi_+, \phi_-)$, the wall tension is obtained, in terms of C, as

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{\kappa_d}\sqrt{C^2 - 2\kappa_d V(\phi)}\Big|_{\phi_+}^{\phi_-} \ , \tag{5.7}$$

which can be solved for C^2 giving

$$C^2 = 2\kappa_d V_+ + \frac{1}{\sigma^2}\left(\Delta V - \delta V\right)^2 \ , \tag{5.8}$$

with

$$\delta V \equiv \frac{1}{2}\kappa_d \sigma^2 \ . \tag{5.9}$$

As in the case without gravity, if we plug the thin-wall relation $D_d = C\sqrt{2(V - V_t)}$ in the expression for ρ, (2.13), we get the radius of the thin-wall bounce (or of the critical nucleation bubble) as

$$R_B = \frac{(d-1)}{C} = \frac{(d-2)}{\kappa\sigma}\sqrt{1 + \frac{R_B^2}{R(\phi)^2}}\Big|_{\phi_+}^{\phi_-} \ . \tag{5.10}$$

The limit $\Delta V \to 0$ is meaningless in this expression as it corresponds to a supercritical potential, with decay quenched by gravity. Below we discuss how the limit $R_B \to \infty$ is reached.

Using the previous results we can express the action density $s^{(d)}$ in (2.21), with $V_t' \leq 0$, as a function of V_t and V_t' only that can be integrated exactly. The resulting thin-wall tunneling action is[5]

$$S_{\rm thw}^{\rm AdS} = \frac{\pi^{d/2} R_B^{d-2}}{\kappa\Gamma(1+d/2)}\left[d\sqrt{1-z} + (d-1)z\,{}_2F_1(1/2, d/2; d/2+1; z)\right]\Big|_{z_-}^{z_+} \ , \tag{5.11}$$

with

$$z_\pm \equiv 2\kappa_d \frac{V_\pm}{C^2} = -\frac{R_B^2}{R_\pm^2} \ . \tag{5.12}$$

In appendix E we show that this agrees with the Euclidean thin-wall action.

Figure 1 shows how this action depends on the strength of gravitational effects, measured by varying κ and keeping other potential parameters fixed[6] as indicated, for different values of the spacetime dimension d. This figure illustrates several features, some of which have been discussed in previous subsections. First, for $\kappa = 0$ we see that the action (and therefore the stability of the vacuum) increases with d. Each curve for fixed d also shows how stronger gravity also tends to stabilize the vacua. Eventually a critical value $\kappa_c(d)$ is reached where the action diverges. For $\kappa > \kappa_c(d)$ the vacuum is stable (gravitational quenching of the decay).

[5] Again the dimensions are correct, $[S_{\rm thw}] = 0$, as $[C] = 2$, $[\kappa] = 2 - d$ and $[z] = 0$.

[6] Varying κ is just a convenient way of exploring the effect of keeping m_p fixed and varying any other mass scale M in the problem (in effect varying κM^2).




Figure 1: Thin-wall tunneling action from (5.11) as a function of the strength of gravity, measured by a varying κ, for different spacetime dimensions, $d = 3, 4, 5$, for $V_+ = -0.1$, $V_- \simeq V_0 = -0.5$ and $\sigma = 5$. The dashed vertical lines show the critical $\kappa_c(d)$ values.

The value of $\kappa_c(d)$ is simply obtained from the condition $D_d = 0$, which in the thin-wall regime corresponds to $C^2 = 0$ (or $R_B = \infty$). This gives

$$\kappa_c(d) = \frac{2(d-2)}{\sigma^2(d-1)} \left(\sqrt{-V_+} - \sqrt{-V_-}\right)^2 \ . \tag{5.13}$$

Figure 1 shows the values of $\kappa_c(d)$ as dashed lines. Finally, the figure also shows how changing d at $\kappa = \kappa_c(d)$ transforms a critical case into subcritical, if d is raised, or into supercritical, if d is lowered.

5.2 dS Vacua

For dS false vacua, instead, a thin-wall bounce can occur when the false and true vacua are nearly degenerate *and* gravitational effects are weak. (If they are not, then tunneling rates between nearly degenerate vacua are not supressed.) In the thin-wall regime we now have $\phi_{0+} \simeq \phi_+$ and $\phi_0 \simeq \phi_-$, so that tunneling occurs directly between the vacua. The ϕ_- vacuum can be lower (dS, Minkowski or AdS) or higher (dS) than the ϕ_+ vacuum. We start discussing dS to dS transitions and will comment on decays from dS to Minkowski or AdS at the end.

For dS decays, $V_t(\phi)$ first grows and then decreases. Let us call ϕ_T the field value at which the maximum occurs, with $V_T \equiv V_t(\phi_T)$, $V_t'(\phi_T) = 0$. From (5.6) we see that there are three different possibilities for the location of ϕ_T: (1) $\phi_T \simeq \phi_+$; (2) $\phi_T \simeq \phi_-$ or (3) ϕ_T is somewhere at the wall. In cases (1,2) $V_t(\phi)$ is well approximated by a monotonic function between the minima, and therefore case (1) requires $V_+ > V_-$ while case (2) needs $V_+ < V_-$. In case (3), $V_+ \simeq V_-$ (we make this more precise below) and (5.6) gives $\sqrt{C^2 - 2\kappa_d V_T} = 0$. Figure 2 illustrates the shape of V_t for these three cases using some numerical solutions for V_t.




Figure 2: Potentials and tunneling potentials for the three cases of dS decay: case (1) with $V_- < V_+ - \delta V$ (lower curves); case (2) with $V_- > V_+ + \delta V$ (upper curves) and case (3) with $V_- = V_+$ (central curves). The right plot zooms on the left one to show the V_t structure.

The integral of (5.6) across the wall now gives

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{\kappa_d}\left[\sqrt{C^2 - 2\kappa_d V_+} + \sqrt{C^2 - 2\kappa_d V_-} - 2\sqrt{C^2 - 2\kappa_d V_T}\right] \ , \tag{5.14}$$

which is valid for the three different cases using $V_T = V_+$ for (1); $V_T = V_-$ for (2); and $\sqrt{C^2 - 2\kappa_d V_T} = 0$ for (3). If we solve for C^2 we get (5.8) again for all three cases but we also learn that the solution is valid for case (1) if $V_+ > V_- - \delta V$; for case (2) if $V_- > V_+ + \delta V$ and for case (3) if $|V_+ - V_-| < \delta V$.

Plugging $D_d \simeq C\sqrt{2(V - V_t)}$ in (2.13), the radius of the critical bubble is again related to C by $R_B = (d-1)/C$. Substituting C in terms of R_B in (5.14) one can solve for R_B, which turns out to be given by the same formula in all three cases

$$\frac{1}{R_B^2} = \frac{1}{R_+^2} + \frac{(\Delta V - \delta V)^2}{(d-1)^2\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{R_-^2} + \frac{(\Delta V + \delta V)^2}{(d-1)^2\sigma^2} \ . \tag{5.15}$$

Note, in particular, that for $\Delta V = 0$ one has $R_B = R_+/\sqrt{1 + R_+^2\kappa^2\sigma^2/[4(d-2)^2]}$, a finite value (in contrast with the case of Minkowski or AdS vacua, for which $\Delta V \to 0$ corresponds to a stable vacuum).

Using the thin-wall relations presented above, the tunneling action density can be integrated exactly. Paying attention to the sign of V_t' one gets the action

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\rm thw} &= \frac{\pi^{d/2} R_B^{d-2}}{\kappa\Gamma(1+d/2)}\Big\{\left[d\sqrt{1-z} + (d-1)z\,{}_2F_1(1/2, d/2, d/2+1, z)\right]\Big|_{z_-}^{z_T} \\ &+ \left[d\sqrt{1-z} + (d-1)z_2F_1(1/2, d/2, d/2+1, z)\right]\Big|_{z_+}^{z_T} \\ &+ 2(d-1)\,{}_2F_1(1/2, d/2, d/2+1, 1)\left[z^{1-d/2}\right]\Big|_{z_T}^{z_+}\Big\} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

where now

$$z_\pm \equiv 2\kappa_d \frac{V_\pm}{C^2} = \frac{R_B^2}{R_\pm^2} \ , \tag{5.17}$$




Figure 3: Thin-wall tunneling action from (5.16) as a function of the strength of gravity, measured by a varying κ, for the three different types of dS decay: case (1) with $V_- < V_+ - \delta V$ (lower curve); case (2) with $V_- > V_+ + \delta V$ (upper curve) and case (3) with $V_- = V_+$ (central curve). The red dotted line shows the approximation (5.20) for the $V_- = V_+$ case.

while z_T, R_T take different values for each case: for (1), $T = +$; for (2) $T = -$, and for (3)

$$z_T = 1 \ , \quad V_T = \frac{C^2}{2\kappa_d} \ , \quad R_T^2 \equiv \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2\kappa V_T} \ . \tag{5.18}$$

In this last case, the T-dependent terms in (5.16) cancel out. The height of the bump in V_t is

$$V_T - V_+ = \frac{(\Delta V - \delta V)^2}{4\delta V} \ . \tag{5.19}$$

Figure 3 shows the thin-wall action for the three types of dS decay as a function of κ, taking $d = 4$, $V_+ = 1$, $\sigma = 10$ and $V_+ = \{0.25, 1.75, 1\}$ for types (1), (2) and (3) respectively. The thin-wall action grows now with decreasing κ, as expected, and, for the special case $\Delta V = 0$ the small κ expansion of the action (5.16), which reads

$$S_{thw} \simeq V_{S,d-1} R_+^{d-1} \sigma \left[1 - \frac{(d-1)\kappa_d \sigma^2}{48 V_+} \right] \ , \quad (\text{for } \Delta V = 0) \ , \tag{5.20}$$

gives an excellent approximation (see red dotted line in the figure). For cases (2) and (3) the action diverges for $\kappa \to 0$, as gravity is needed to tunnel up or to the same level. Instead, for case (1) the action is finite for $\kappa \to 0$ as down-tunneling is still possible without gravity.

Finally, if the false dS vacuum decays into Minkowski, the thin-wall action is simply the $R_- \to \infty$ limit of (5.16), which gives, for case (1) decays, with $V_+ > \delta V$,

$$S_{\text{thw},(1)}^{\text{dS}\to\text{Mink}} = \frac{\pi^{d/2} R_B^{d-2}}{\kappa \Gamma(1 + d/2)} \left[d \left(\sqrt{1 - z_+} - 1 \right) + (d-1) z_+ \, {}_2F_1(1/2, d/2, d/2+1, z_+) \right] \ , \tag{5.21}$$

and, for case (3) decays, with $V_+ > \delta V$,

$$S^{\rm dS\to Mink}_{\rm thw,(3)} = \frac{\pi^{d/2}R_B^{d-2}}{\kappa\Gamma(1+d/2)}\left[2(d-1)z_+^{1-d/2}{}_2F_1(1/2,d/2,d/2+1,1)\right.$$
$$\left. - (d-1)z_+\,{}_2F_1(1/2,d/2,d/2+1,z_+) - d\left(\sqrt{1-z_+}+1\right)\right] . \qquad (5.22)$$

If the dS vacuum decays into AdS, the thin-wall action is obtained from (5.16) and (5.8) simply replacing $R_-^2 \to -R_-^2 < 0$. As in the case for dS to Minkowski, the decay can be of type (1) or type (3) depending on sign$(\Delta V - \delta V)$.

6 Exactly Solvable Models

It has been illustrated in several papers [3–5] how the V_t formulation can be used to obtain potentials with exactly solvable false vacuum decay. The procedure is to postulate a simple V_t and solve its EoM for V, which is simpler that solving for V_t given V, as the EoM is a first-order differential equation for V. With gravity, this procedure was detailed in [5], which was restricted to $d = 4$. We generalize the method to arbitrary $d > 2$ in this section.[7]

Starting with the expression for D_d in (2.14) we can write $V(\phi)$ in terms of $V_t(\phi)$ and $D_d(\phi)$ as

$$V(\phi) = V_t + \frac{D_d^2 - V_t'^2}{4\kappa_d V_t} . \qquad (6.1)$$

Using (6.1), the EoM (2.17), given in terms of V_t and V, can be rewritten in terms of D_d and V_t as

$$V_t'' + \frac{2\kappa}{d-2}V_t + \frac{D_d^2 - V_t'^2}{2V_t} - V_t'\frac{D_d'}{D_d} = 0 . \qquad (6.2)$$

This equation can be integrated to obtain D_d^2 in terms of V_t formally as

$$D_d^2(\phi) = \frac{V_t'^2}{1 - V_t F} , \qquad (6.3)$$

where

$$F(\phi) \equiv \frac{4\kappa}{(d-2)E(\phi)}\int_{\phi_0}^{\phi}\frac{E(\tilde\phi)}{V_t'(\tilde\phi)}d\tilde\phi , \quad E(\phi) \equiv \exp\left[\frac{4\kappa}{(d-2)}\int_{\phi_0}^{\phi}\frac{V_t(\tilde\phi)}{V_t'(\tilde\phi)}d\tilde\phi\right] , \qquad (6.4)$$

and ϕ_0 is a reference field value, that we take to be one of the two contact points between V and V_t, so that $D_d^2(\phi_0) = V_t'^2(\phi_0)$.

If V_t is simple enough for the integrals E and F to be performed analytically we obtain an explicit V for which vacuum decay is under analytic control. Having found D_d^2, we plug it in (6.1) and obtain V as[8]

$$V(\phi) = V_t + \frac{V_t'^2}{4\kappa_d(1/F - V_t)} . \qquad (6.5)$$

[7] Analytical potentials (or bounces) for general d have been obtained before, see *e.g.* [24, 25] for examples (without gravity).

[8] Without gravity, we get $V(\phi) = V_t(\phi) + \frac{V_t'(\phi)^2}{d-1}\int_{\phi_0}^{\phi} d\bar\phi/V_t'(\bar\phi)$.

We can recast (6.2) as a differential equation for F as

$$F'V_t' = \frac{4\kappa}{d-2}(1 - FV_t) \ , \tag{6.6}$$

and it is also possible to get analytic examples of V by postulating appropriately a simple F. As in the $d = 4$ case, the results above are general and apply to decays from Minkowski, AdS or dS vacua. The procedure can be used to obtain analytical potentials in all these cases.

The results just obtained for general d are slight modifications of the $d = 4$ case, with a simple rescaling of κ. The general strategy discussed in [5] can thus be applied immediately to the general d case and the interested reader is directed to that paper for further details. In fact, it is straightforward to generalize many analytic examples found in [5] to general d. Here we simply do this for the simplest example of [5], which follows from assuming $F = aV_t + b$ and fixing a, b and an integration constant appropriately. One gets

$$V_t(\phi) = \frac{2}{\sin^2\theta}\left[\cos\theta + \cos\left(2\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{d-2}}\phi\right)\right] \ , \tag{6.7}$$

where θ is a free parameter, with $0 < \theta < \pi/2$, and

$$V(\phi) = \frac{2}{(d-1)\sin^2\theta}\left[(d-2)\cos\theta + d\cos\left(2\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{d-2}}\phi\right)\right] \ . \tag{6.8}$$

This solution describes the CdL instanton part of a dS to dS transition, which exists in the interval $\phi \in (-\alpha, \alpha)$ where $\alpha \equiv \theta\sqrt{(d-2)/(4\kappa)}$.

As done in [5] for $d = 4$, one can derive the field and metric Euclidean profiles, $\phi(\xi)$ and $\rho(\xi)$, now for general d. By integrating $d\phi/d\xi = -\sqrt{2(V - V_t)}$, one gets

$$\phi(\xi) = -\sqrt{\frac{d-2}{\kappa}}\ \mathrm{am}\left(\sqrt{\frac{2\kappa}{(d-2)(d-1)}}\ \frac{(\xi - \xi_e/2)}{\cos(\theta/2)}\middle|\csc^2(\theta/2)\right) \tag{6.9}$$

where $\mathrm{am}(u|m)$ is the Jacobi amplitude function and

$$\xi_e \equiv \sqrt{\frac{(d-2)(d-1)}{2\kappa}}\sin\theta\ K(\sin^2(\theta/2)) \ , \tag{6.10}$$

with $K(m)$ the complete elliptic function of the first kind. The metric function can be obtained from $\rho = (d-1)\sqrt{2(V - V_t)}/D_d$, see (2.13), as

$$\rho(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{(d-2)(d-1)}{\kappa}\left[\cos\left(2\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{d-2}}\phi(\xi)\right) - \cos\theta\right]} \ . \tag{6.11}$$

The CdL instanton is defined in the interval $\xi \in (0, \xi_e)$, with $\rho(0) = \rho(\xi_e) = 0$.

Acknowledgments

The work of J.R.E. has been supported by the following grants: IFT Centro de Excelencia Severo Ochoa SEV-2016-0597, CEX2020-001007-S and by PID2019-110058GB-C22 funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and by "ERDF A way of making Europe". The work of J.F.F. is supported by NSERC.

A Action from Canonical Transformation

To obtain the action (2.21) using a canonical transformation from the Euclidean result we follow closely [14], generalizing to $d > 2$.

A.1 AdS or Minkowski Vacua

First one rewrites the Euclidean action (2.5) in terms of $\xi(\phi)$ and $\rho(\phi)$, as

$$S_E = \int_{\phi_+}^{\phi_0} L\, d\phi = -V_{S,d-1} \int_{\phi_+}^{\phi_0} \left[\rho^{d-1} \left(\frac{1}{2\xi'^2} + V \right) - \frac{(d-1)(d-2)\rho^{d-3}}{2\kappa} \left(\frac{\rho'^2}{\xi'^2} + 1 \right) \right] \xi'\, d\phi\,. \tag{A.1}$$

The canonical momenta are

$$p_\rho = \frac{2(d-1)(d-2)\pi^{d/2}\rho^{d-3}\rho'}{\kappa\Gamma(d/2)\xi'}\,, \tag{A.2}$$

and

$$p_\xi = \frac{\pi^{d/2}\rho^{d-3}}{\kappa\Gamma(d/2)\xi'^2} \left[(d-1)(d-2)(\xi'^2 - \rho'^2) + \kappa\rho^2(1 - 2V\xi'^2) \right]\,, \tag{A.3}$$

and the Hamiltonian can be written as

$$H = \frac{2\pi^{d/2}\rho^{d-1}}{\Gamma(d/2)\xi'}\,, \tag{A.4}$$

where ξ' is understood to be a function of ξ, ρ and the canonical momenta p_ξ and p_ρ. In fact, the Hamiltonian does not depend on ξ, and p_ξ is constant which is 0 from (2.8), see [14]. One gets

$$H = -\frac{2\pi^{d/2}\rho^{d-1}}{\Gamma(d/2)} \sqrt{2V - \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{\kappa\rho^2} + \frac{\kappa\Gamma(d/2)^2 p_\rho^2 \rho^{4-2d}}{4\pi^d(d-1)(d-2)}}\,. \tag{A.5}$$

Now we replace ρ and its momentum p_ρ by V_t and its momentum P, with

$$V_t = V - \frac{1}{2\xi'^2} = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2\kappa\rho^2} + \frac{\kappa\Gamma(d/2)^2 p_\rho^2 \rho^{4-2d}}{8\pi^d(d-1)(d-2)}\,, \tag{A.6}$$

so that p_ρ is

$$p_\rho = \frac{2\pi^{d/2}(d-1)(d-2)\rho^{d-3}}{\kappa\Gamma(d/2)} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2V_t\kappa\rho^2}{(d-1)(d-2)}}\,. \tag{A.7}$$

The generating function is obtained by integrating $p_\rho = \partial G/\partial\rho$ and takes the form

$$G = \frac{2(d-1)\pi^{d/2}\rho^{d-2}}{\kappa\Gamma(d/2)} {}_2F_1\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{d}{2} - 1, \frac{d}{2}, \frac{2\kappa\rho^2 V_t}{(d-1)(d-2)} \right)\,. \tag{A.8}$$

The canonical momentum is then obtained by $P = -\partial G/\partial V_t$. The transformed Hamiltonian reads

$$K = -\frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)} \rho^{d-1} \sqrt{2(V - V_t)}\,, \tag{A.9}$$

where ρ can in principle be expressed as a function of V_t and P. To get the transformed Lagrangian, $L = PV_t' - K$, we need V_t', which we can get directly from K as

$$V_t' = \frac{\partial K}{\partial P} = \frac{3K}{\rho}\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial \rho}\right)^{-1} = -\frac{(d-1)}{\rho}\sqrt{2(V-V_t)}\sqrt{1-\frac{2V_t\kappa\rho^2}{(d-1)(d-2)}}\,, \tag{A.10}$$

from which we also obtain $D_d^2 \equiv V_t'^2 + 4\kappa_d(V-V_t)V_t = 2(d-1)^2(V-V_t)/\rho^2$.

The transformed Lagrangian (action density) then takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \frac{(d-2)\pi^{d/2}D_d}{\kappa\Gamma(d/2)V_t}\left[\frac{(d-1)\sqrt{2(V-V_t)}}{D_d}\right]^{d-2} \\ &\quad\times\left[1+\frac{(d-2)V_t'^2}{D_d^2}+(d-1)\frac{V_t'}{D_d}{}_2F_1\left(-\frac{1}{2},\frac{d}{2}-1,\frac{d}{2},1-\frac{(V_t')^2}{D_d^2}\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

To get the final tunneling action we need to subtract the false vacuum background action and a boundary term from G

$$S = \int_+^0 L\,d\phi + G|_+^0 - S_{E+}\ , \tag{A.12}$$

where we use the subindex 0 for $\phi=\phi_0$ or $\xi=0$ and the subindex + for $\phi=\phi_+$ or $\xi=\infty$. The boundary piece diverges and is

$$G|_+^0 = -G(\rho_\infty, V_+)\ , \tag{A.13}$$

where $\rho_\infty \to \infty$. The false vacuum background piece is also divergent and given by

$$S_{E+} = -\frac{2(d-1)(d-2)\pi^{d/2}}{\kappa\Gamma(d/2)}\int_0^{\rho_\infty}\rho^{d-3}\sqrt{1-\frac{2\kappa V_+\rho^2}{(d-1)(d-2)}}d\rho = -G(\rho_\infty,V_+)\ , \tag{A.14}$$

so that both terms cancel and (A.11) gives in fact the final result. Indeed this is in agreement with the action in (2.21) with $V_t' \le 0$ or (2.27) as can be shown using hypergeometric function identities.

A.2 dS Vacua

The previous derivation goes through for dS vacua as well, paying attention to two facts: the canonical transformation holds for the "CdL regime" of the bounce (when $V_t \neq V$) and one has to keep track of the sign change of $\dot\rho$ (at ξ_T) or V_t' (at ϕ_T), as usual. Equation (A.2) tells us that p_ρ also flips sign and, for dS (A.7) should be multiplied by $\mathrm{sign}\dot\rho = -\mathrm{sign}V_t'$. Still, p_ρ is continuous since the square root vanishes at ξ_T. The generating function G_{dS} is sensitive to the sign flip from the integrand p_ρ so that $G_{dS}(\xi \le \xi_T) = G_{dS}(\phi_T \le \phi \le \phi_0) = G$ and $G_{dS}(\xi < \xi_T) = G_{dS}(\phi_{0+} \le \phi \le \phi_T) = -G + 2G(\phi_T)$ with G as given in (A.8). One also has $dG_{dS}/d\phi = 0$ at ϕ_T. On the other hand, K does not change as it depends on $(V_t')^2$ while L does change, with the replacement $V_t' \to -|V_t'|$ in the term linear in V_t'.

The boundary term from G_{dS} gives a contribution to the tunneling action

$$\int_{\phi_{0+}}^{\phi_0} \frac{dG_{dS}}{d\phi} d\phi = G(\phi_{0+}) + G(\phi_0) - 2G(\phi_T) = \frac{-4\pi^{(d+1)/2}}{\kappa\Gamma((d-1)/2)} R_T^{d-2} \ . \tag{A.15}$$

Here $G(\phi_{0+}) = G(\phi_0) = 0$ as $\rho = 0$ at both points and $G(\phi_T)$ is simplified by the fact that the argument of the hypergeometric function is 1 at ϕ_T.

The false vacuum background contribution to be subtracted is as calculated in (C.27). Combining the three pieces just discussed one gets precisely the action (2.30), after using hypergeometric identities.

B Action in Terms of Elementary Functions

The action for the tunneling potential in general d dimensions derived in the text can be expressed in terms of elementary functions, as has been illustrated by the $d = 3, 4, 5$ cases. Here we give the expression for general d, which can be obtained by exploiting recursively the relation

$$F^{(d)}(z) = \frac{d}{(d-3)z} \left[(1-z)^{(3-d)/2} - F^{(d-2)}(z) \right] \ , \tag{B.1}$$

for

$$F^{(d)}(z) \equiv {}_2F_1((d-1)/2, d/2; 1 + d/2; z) \ . \tag{B.2}$$

For even d, the relation above can be used to relate $F^{(d)}(z)$ to

$$F^{(2)}(z) = \frac{2}{z} \left(1 - \sqrt{1-z} \right) \ . \tag{B.3}$$

For odd d, the relation above can be used to relate $F^{(d)}(z)$ to

$$F^{(3)}(z) = \frac{3}{z^{3/2}} \left(\text{arctanh}\sqrt{z} - \sqrt{z} \right) \ . \tag{B.4}$$

In this way we get

$$F^{(2n)}(z) = \frac{-(2n)!!}{(2n-3)!!} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(2n-2k-3)!!(-1)^k}{(2n-2k)!! z^k (1-z)^{n-k-1/2}} + \frac{(-1)^n}{z^n} - \frac{(-1)^n}{z^n (1-z)^{-1/2}} \right] \ , \tag{B.5}$$

and

$$F^{(2n+1)}(z) = \frac{-(2n+1)!!}{(2n-2)!!} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(2n-2k-2)!!(-1)^k}{(2n+1-2k)!! z^k (1-z)^{n-k}} - \frac{(-1)^n}{z^n} + \frac{(-1)^n}{z^{n+1/2}} \text{arctanh}\sqrt{z} \right] \ . \tag{B.6}$$

These results can then be plugged in the expression for the tunneling action $s^{(d)}$ in (2.21).

C Equivalence with Euclidean Action

To show the equivalence of the $S[V_t]$ action obtained in section 2 with the standard Euclidean action $S_E[\phi, \rho]$, we rewrite the latter as a field-space integral of the action density in terms of V_t quantities. Before doing that, it is convenient to first rewrite the Euclidean action in a simpler manner, which can be done as follows. Taking a further ξ derivative of (2.8) we have

$$\ddot{\rho} = -\frac{\kappa\rho}{(d-1)}\left(\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{2}{d-2}V\right) \ . \tag{C.1}$$

Using this in (2.3) we get

$$R = 2\kappa\left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{d}{d-2}V\right). \tag{C.2}$$

Plugging this in (2.1) we arrive at

$$S_E[\phi, \rho] = -\frac{2V_{S,d-1}}{d-2}\int_0^{\xi_e} d\xi \rho^{d-1}V(\phi) + S_{\rm GHY} \ . \tag{C.3}$$

The Euclidean tunneling action is the difference between the action of the CdL instanton and the background false vacuum action

$$S_E[\phi_+, \rho_+] \equiv S_{E,+} = -\frac{2V_{S,d-1}}{d-2}\int_0^{\xi_{e,+}} d\xi_+ \rho_+^{d-1}V_+ + S_{\rm GHY,+} \ . \tag{C.4}$$

Here we call the radial coordinate ξ_+ to distinguish it from the CdL ξ coordinate, see below.

C.1 AdS or Minkowski Vacua

Consider first the case of AdS or Minkowski false vacua, for which $\xi_e = \xi_{e,+} = \infty$, causing both $S_E[\phi, \rho]$ and $S_E[\phi_+, \rho_+]$ to diverge at $\xi, \xi_+ \to \infty$, while their difference ΔS_E is finite. A convenient way to control this cancellation of divergences is to rewrite $S_E[\phi_+, \rho_+]$ as a ξ integral that can be combined with $S_E[\phi, \rho]$ to get an integral of a finite action density. The false vacuum metric function is $\rho_+(\xi_+) = R_+ \sinh(\xi_+/R_+)$. In the Minkowski limit ($V_+ \to 0$) one recovers simply $\rho_+ = \xi_+$. To rewrite $S_{E,+}$ as a ξ integral, we simply map ξ_+ to ξ by imposing the relation $\rho_+(\xi_+) = \rho(\xi)$, which we can do as both functions grow monotonically from 0 to ∞ over the same $(0, \infty)$ interval. Then, the ξ derivative of this relation gives

$$d\xi_+ = \frac{\dot{\rho}}{\sqrt{1 + \rho^2/R_+^2}}\, d\xi \ . \tag{C.5}$$

Notice that for $\xi \to \infty$ we have $\phi(\xi) \to \phi_+$ and $\dot{\phi}(\xi) \to 0$ implying, from (2.8), that $d\xi/d\xi_+ \to 1$ and therefore

$$S_{\rm GHY} - S_{\rm GHY,+} = -\frac{(d-1)V_{S,d-1}}{\kappa}\ \rho^{d-2}\dot{\rho}\left(1 - \frac{d\xi}{d\xi_+}\right)\Bigg|_{\xi=0}^{\xi=\infty} = 0 \ . \tag{C.6}$$

Putting all the pieces together, we get

$$\Delta S_E = -\frac{4\pi^{d/2}}{(d-2)\Gamma(d/2)} \int_0^\infty \rho^{d-1} \left[V - \frac{\dot{\rho} V_+}{\sqrt{1+\rho^2/R_+^2}} \right] d\xi \ . \tag{C.7}$$

We can then rewrite this as a ϕ integral (with $\phi_+ = 0$)

$$\Delta S_E = \int_0^{\phi_0} s_E^{(d)} d\phi \ , \tag{C.8}$$

of a V_t-dependent action density by using the relations between Euclidean formalism and V_t formalism derived in section 2. We finally obtain the Euclidean density for the case of AdS or Minkowski vacuum decay as

$$s_E^{(d)} = -\frac{4\pi^{d/2}(d-1)^{d-1}}{(d-2)\Gamma(d/2)} \frac{[2(V-V_t)]^{d/2-1}}{D_d^{d-1}} \left(V + \frac{V_+ V_t'}{D_{d+}} \right) \ , \tag{C.9}$$

where

$$D_{d+} \equiv \sqrt{V_t'^2 + 4\kappa_d (V-V_t)(V_t - V_+)} \ . \tag{C.10}$$

The relation between the action densities in Euclidean and V_t formulations is

$$s^{(d)} - s_E^{(d)} + G \ \text{EoM} = \frac{dH}{d\phi} \ , \tag{C.11}$$

where EoM is the "equation of motion" for V_t, given by the LHS of (2.17), and

$$G = -\frac{2(2\pi)^{d/2}(d-1)^d}{(d-2)\Gamma(d/2)} \frac{(V-V_t)^{d/2-1}}{D_d^{d+1}} \left(V_t + \frac{V_+ V_t'}{D_{d+}} \right) \ , \tag{C.12}$$

while[9]

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{2(d-1)^d [2\pi(V-V_t)]^{d/2}}{(d-2)\Gamma(d/2+1) D_d^{d-1}} \left[\frac{V_+}{D_{d+}} {}_2F_1\left(1/2, 1; d/2+1; 1 - D_d^2/D_{d+}^2\right) \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{V_t}{V_t'} {}_2F_1\left(1/2, 1; d/2+1; 1 - D_d^2/V_t'^2\right) \right] - \frac{2\pi^{(d+1)/2} R_t^{d-2}}{\kappa \Gamma[(d-1)/2]} \left(1 + \frac{V_t'}{|V_t'|} \right) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{C.13}$$

Equation (C.11) holds for $dH/d\phi$ evaluated on-shell, *i.e.* with V_t'' as determined by the EoM for V_t. As a cross-check, the case $d = 4$ reproduces the function found in [4].

Integrating (C.11) in ϕ one gets

$$S - \Delta S_E = H(\phi_0) - H(\phi_+) \ . \tag{C.14}$$

To prove $S = \Delta S_E$ we should then check that $H(\phi)$ vanishes at the boundaries. Noting that $V_t'(\phi_0) < 0$ and $D_d(\phi_0) = D_{d+}(\phi_0) = -V_t'(\phi_0)$, it follows that $H(\phi_0) = 0$. To prove $H(\phi_+) = 0$ is a bit more laborious. One has $D_d(\phi_+) = D_{d+}(\phi_+) = 0$ [with $V'(\phi_+) = 0$ at the false vacuum]

[9] To find $H(\phi)$ we used the homotopy operator method (see *e.g.* [26]).

and one needs the ratios of these quantities, so one needs to know in more detail how V_t', D and D_+ approach zero.

Consider the AdS case ($V_+ < 0$) first. Near the false vacuum, $\phi_+ = 0$, we can approximate the potential by keeping up to its second derivative

$$V(\phi) = V_+ + \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 + \dots \tag{C.15}$$

Solving the equation of motion (2.17) for the above potential leads to the expansion for the tunneling potential

$$V_t(\phi) = V_+ + \frac{1}{2}B\phi^2 + B_\alpha\phi^{2+\alpha} + \dots \tag{C.16}$$

with

$$B = \kappa_d V_+ \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{2m^2}{\kappa_d V_+}}\right) < 0\,, \tag{C.17}$$

and

$$\alpha = \frac{4\kappa V_+}{(d-2)B} > 0\ . \tag{C.18}$$

B_α is a free constant fixed by the boundary condition at ϕ_0. From this result it follows that

$$V_t', D_{d,+} \sim \phi\ , \quad D_d \sim \phi^{1+\alpha/2}\ . \tag{C.19}$$

The expansion of $H(\phi)$ around $\phi_+ = 0$ gives terms that are clearly zero except for a term proportional to

$$\frac{(V - V_t)^{d/2}}{D_d^{d-1}}\left(\frac{V_+}{D_{d+}} + \frac{V_t}{V_t'}\right) \sim \phi^{1-\alpha(d-1)/2}\left[0 \times \frac{1}{\phi} + \phi + \mathcal{O}(\phi^{1+\alpha})\right]\ , \tag{C.20}$$

that requires a more detailed analysis. From Eqs. (C.17) and (C.18), it follows that $0 < \alpha < 4/(d-1)$ and so the quantity (C.20) also goes to zero for $\phi \to 0$, ensuring that $H(0) = 0$.

In the Minkowski case ($V_+ = 0$), we cannot simply take the limit $V_+ \to 0$ in the previous AdS analysis. Solving the EOM for V_t, Eq. (2.17), in the small field regime, we find

$$V_t(\phi) = -\frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2\left[\frac{2}{W} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{W^2}\right)\right] + \mathcal{O}(\phi^4)\ , \tag{C.21}$$

where

$$W \equiv W\left(\frac{2m}{d-1}\left(\frac{\phi}{\phi_c}\right)^{-2/(d-1)}\right)\ , \tag{C.22}$$

with $W(x)$ the product-log or Lambert W function [solution of $W(x)e^{W(x)} = x$] and ϕ_c some constant field value (determined by the boundary conditions at ϕ_0). At $x \to \infty$ we have

$$W(x) = \log x + \frac{(1 - \log x)\log(\log x)}{\log x} + ... \tag{C.23}$$

We then find that $V_t(\phi) \sim \phi^2/\log\phi$ at $\phi \to 0$. It follows that $V_t' \sim \phi/\log\phi$ and $D \sim -\phi/\log\phi$. Using these asymptotic behaviours we find that the non-trivial terms in (C.20) go like

$$\frac{(V-V_t)^{d/2}}{D_d^{d-1}}\left(\frac{V_t}{V_t'}\right) \sim \phi^2(-\log\phi)^{(d-2)/2} \to 0 \ , \tag{C.24}$$

and we find that $H(0) = 0$ also in this case. In conclusion, for Minkowski and AdS decays we have proven that $S = \Delta S_E$.

C.2 dS Vacua

Finally, consider the dS case, ($V_+ > 0$), for which ξ_e is finite, with $\rho(0) = \rho(\xi_e) = 0$. From the boundary conditions on ρ, (2.10), we see that $S_{\rm GHY} = 0$ (as it should be, given that the CdL instanton geometry is compact and has no boundary). Then, the tunneling action reads

$$\Delta S_E = \int_{\phi_{0+}}^{\phi_0} s_E^{(d)}\, d\phi - S_{E+} \ . \tag{C.25}$$

The action density for the CdL instanton part is obtained translating (C.3) to field space and V_t quantities, as before. One has

$$s_E^{(d)} = -\frac{4\pi^{d/2}(d-1)^{d-1}}{(d-2)\Gamma(d/2)}\frac{V\left[2(V-V_t)\right]^{d/2-1}}{D_d^{d-1}} \ . \tag{C.26}$$

The action for the background false vacuum is finite and can be calculated exactly simply plugging $\rho_+(\xi) = R_+\sin(\xi/R_+)$, with $\xi_e = \pi R_+$ in (C.3). One gets

$$S_{E+} = -\frac{4\pi^{(d+1)/2}R_+^{d-2}}{\kappa\Gamma[(d-1)/2]} \ . \tag{C.27}$$

For this dS case, the relation between Euclidean and V_t action densities is

$$s^{(d)} - s_E^{(d)} = \frac{dH_0}{d\phi} \ , \tag{C.28}$$

with $H_0(\phi)$ given by $H(\phi)$ in (C.13) without the V_+ term

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= \frac{2(d-1)^d\left[2\pi(V-V_t)\right]^{d/2}}{(d-2)\Gamma(d/2+1)D_d^{d-1}}\left[\frac{V_t}{V_t'}\,{}_2F_1\left(1/2,1;d/2+1;1-D_d^2/V_t'^2\right)\right] \\ &- \frac{2\pi^{(d+1)/2}R_t^{d-2}}{\kappa\Gamma[(d-1)/2]}\left(1+\frac{V_t'}{|V_t'|}\right) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{C.29}$$

As for the previous cases, (C.28) holds on-shell.

To prove the equivalence between the tunneling action for dS decay calculated in the Euclidean formalism, as given by (C.25), and the tunneling potential formalism, as given by (2.30), we make use of (C.28) integrated in the CdL interval (ϕ_{0+}, ϕ_0). We get

$$S - \Delta S_E = H_0(\phi_0) - H_0(\phi_{0+}) - \frac{4\pi^{(d+1)/2}R_{0+}^{d-2}}{\kappa\Gamma[(d-1)/2]} \ . \tag{C.30}$$

Now, at ϕ_0 one still has $D_d = -V_t'$, so that $H_0(\phi_0) = 0$, while at ϕ_{0+} one has $V_t' > 0$ so that $D_d = V_t'$, and

$$H_0(\phi_{0+}) = \frac{4\pi^{(d+1)/2} R_{0+}^{d-2}}{\kappa \Gamma[(d-1)/2]} \ . \tag{C.31}$$

Plugging this in (C.30) leads to the claimed equality $S = \Delta S_E$.

D More General Action

In this appendix we obtain the tunneling action density in the V_t formalism for a more general action of the form

$$S_E = \int d^d x \sqrt{g} \left[G(\phi) R + \frac{1}{2} Z(\phi) g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi + V(\phi) \right] + S_{\rm GHY} \ , \tag{D.1}$$

where we allow for a field-dependent non-canonical kinetic term parametrized by $Z(\phi)$ and a nonminimal coupling function $G(\phi)$.

It is straightforward to get rid of Euclidean quantities in terms of V_t dependent ones and repeat the derivation of the main text. Skipping the details we present the main results. The generalized $\rho(\xi)$ is

$$\rho = (d-1) \frac{\sqrt{2(V - V_t)}}{D_d} \ , \tag{D.2}$$

with

$$D_d \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\hat{V}_t'^2}{\hat{Z}} - 2 \frac{(d-1)}{(d-2)G} (V - V_t) V_t} \ , \tag{D.3}$$

where V_t has been defined by

$$V_t \equiv V - \frac{1}{2} \hat{Z} \dot{\phi}^2 \ , \tag{D.4}$$

and

$$\hat{V}_t' \equiv V_t' - \frac{d\, V_t G'}{(d-2)G} \ , \quad \hat{Z} \equiv Z - \frac{2(d-1) G'^2}{(d-2)G} \ . \tag{D.5}$$

The EoM differential equation for V_t reads:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \ &= \ V_t' \left\{ -d\, V_t' + (d-1) \left[V' + (V - V_t) \frac{\hat{Z}'}{\hat{Z}} \right] + (d+1) \left(2 \frac{d-1}{d-2} V - V_t \right) \frac{G'}{G} \right\} \\ &+ \ \frac{d}{d-2} \frac{V_t G'}{G} \left\{ -(d-1) V' + \left[(2d-1) V_t - 2 \frac{(d-1)^2}{d-2} V \right] \frac{G'}{G} \right\} \\ &- \ 2(d-1)(V - V_t) \left\{ V_t'' + \frac{\hat{Z}}{G} \left(V_t - \frac{d-1}{d-2} V \right) + \frac{d\, V_t}{d-2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{\hat{Z}' G'}{\hat{Z} G} - \frac{G''}{G} \right] \right\} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{D.6}$$

This EoM can be rewritten in a simpler form in terms of D_d as

$$\frac{d}{d\phi} \log D_d = \frac{1}{2(V - V_t)} \left[V' - \frac{d\, V_t'}{d-1} + \left(\frac{2V}{d-2} - \frac{V_t}{d-1} \right) \frac{G'}{G} \right] \ . \tag{D.7}$$

The action density is

$$s^{(d)} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma[(d+1)/2]} \left[\frac{(d-1)(d-2)\pi}{-|V_t|/G}\right]^{d/2} (\hat{V}_t' + |\hat{V}_t'|) + {}_2F_1\left(\frac{d-1}{2}, \frac{d}{2}, \frac{d+2}{2}, 1 - \frac{\hat{Z}D_d^2}{\hat{V}_t'^2}\right) s_0^{(d)} \ , \tag{D.8}$$

with

$$s_0^{(d)} = \frac{(d-1)^{(d-1)} \left[2\pi\hat{Z}(V - V_t)\right]^{d/2}}{\Gamma(1+d/2)|\hat{V}_t'|^{d-1}} \ , \tag{D.9}$$

being the action density without gravity. For the $d = 4$ case one gets

$$s^{(4)} = 24\pi^2 G^2 \hat{Z}^{1/2} \frac{(D_4 + \hat{V}_t'/\hat{Z}^{1/2})^2}{V_t^2 D_4} \ . \tag{D.10}$$

The particular combinations of the functions Z, V, G (and their derivatives) that appear in $s^{(d)}$ guarantee that the action density transforms in the right way under Weyl rescalings of the metric. To see this explicitly, consider the Weyl rescaling to Einstein frame

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \left(\frac{G_E}{G}\right)^{\frac{2}{d-2}} g^E_{\mu\nu} \ , \tag{D.11}$$

in which $G_E = -1/(2\kappa)$. One gets

$$\hat{Z}_E = \frac{G_E}{G}\, \hat{Z} \ , \quad V_E = \left(\frac{G_E}{G}\right)^{\frac{d}{d-2}} V \ , \quad (\dot{\phi}^2)_E = \left(\frac{G_E}{G}\right)^{\frac{2}{d-2}} \dot{\phi}^2 \ , \tag{D.12}$$

from which it follows

$$(V_t)_E = \left(\frac{G_E}{G}\right)^{\frac{d}{d-2}} V_t \ , \quad (\hat{V}_t')_E = \left(\frac{G_E}{G}\right)^{\frac{d}{d-2}} \hat{V}_t' \ , \quad D^2_{dE} = \left(\frac{G_E}{G}\right)^{\frac{d+2}{d-2}} D_d^2 \ . \tag{D.13}$$

These transformation properties imply that the argument of the hypergeometric function and the tunneling action are invariant under the Weyl rescaling. This is needed to give physical meaning to the decay rate, which should be frame-independent.

E Thin-Wall Action via Euclidean Formalism

In the Euclidean formalism, the thin-wall bounce for the decay of a false vacuum is simply a ball with $V \simeq V_-$ and $\phi_B \simeq \phi_-$ and radius $\rho_B = R_B$ (to be determined) surrounded by false vacuum with $V = V_+$ and $\phi_B = \phi_+$. The corresponding thin-wall action can be obtained using the general expression (2.5) to calculate the difference $\Delta S_E = S_E[\phi_B, \rho_B] - S_E[\phi_+, \rho_+]$. It is convenient to discuss separately the different types of false vacua.

E.1 Minkowski or AdS Vacua

Outside the wall at R_B, the bounce solution coincides with the background and the contribution to ΔS_E is zero. For the contribution to ΔS_E from inside the wall we have $\rho_B(\xi) = R_- \sinh(\xi/R_-)$ and $\rho_+(\xi) = R_+ \sinh((\xi + c)/R_+)$, where c is a constant shift to match both solutions at the wall. This leads to

$$\Delta S_{E,in} = -\frac{(d-1)(d-2)V_{S,d-1}}{\kappa} \int_0^{R_B} \rho^{d-3} \left[\sqrt{1 + \rho^2/R_-^2}\, d\rho - \sqrt{1 + \rho^2/R_+^2} \right] d\rho \ . \tag{E.1}$$

In addition, there is a contribution from the wall, as both $\dot\phi^2$ and V have a delta function at $\rho = R_B$. The wall tension is

$$\sigma \equiv \int_{\xi_B - \delta}^{\xi_B + \delta} \left[\frac{1}{2}\dot\phi_B^2 + V(\phi_B) - V_+ \right] d\xi \ , \tag{E.2}$$

where ξ_B is such that $\rho_B(\xi_B) = R_B$. To see that this definition agrees with the one in the V_t formalism, note that, in the thin-wall limit, $V - \dot\phi^2/2 \simeq V_+ \simeq V_-$ is approximately constant, so that

$$\sigma = \int_{\xi_B - \delta}^{\xi_B + \delta} \dot\phi_B^2 \, d\xi = \int_{\phi_-}^{\phi_+} \dot\phi_B^2 \frac{d\phi}{\dot\phi_B} = \int_{\phi_+}^{\phi_-} \sqrt{2(V - V_t)}\, d\phi \ , \tag{E.3}$$

reproducing (5.2). The contribution to the Euclidean action from the wall is then

$$S_{E,wall} = V_{S,d-1} \sigma R_B^{d-1} \ . \tag{E.4}$$

The final result for the tunneling action is therefore

$$\Delta S_E = V_{S,d-1} \left\{ \sigma R_B^{d-1} - \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{\kappa} \int_0^{R_B} \rho^{d-3} \left[\sqrt{1 + \rho^2/R_-^2} \ - \sqrt{1 + \rho^2/R_+^2} \right] d\rho \right\} \ . \tag{E.5}$$

The value of the bounce radius can be obtained from extremizing this action, $d\Delta S_E/dR_B = 0$, which gives

$$R_B = \frac{(d-2)}{\kappa\sigma} \left[\sqrt{1 + R_B^2/R_-^2} \ - \sqrt{1 + R_B^2/R_+^2} \right] \ , \tag{E.6}$$

and this is in agreement with R_B calculated in V_t formalism, see (5.10).[10] Having determined R_B, we finally perform the integrals appearing in the action and get

$$\Delta S_E = V_{S,d-1} \left\{ \sigma R_B^{d-1} - \frac{(d-1)}{\kappa} R_B^{d-2} \left[{}_2F_1(-1/2, d/2-1, d/2, -R_B^2/R^2) \right] \Big|_{R_+}^{R_-} \right\} \ . \tag{E.7}$$

To show that this agrees with the result (5.11) of the V_t formulation one simply needs to use the hypergeometric function identity

$${}_2F_1(-1/2, d/2-1, d/2, z) = \sqrt{1-z} + \frac{z}{d}\, {}_2F_1(1/2, d/2, d/2+1, z) \ , \tag{E.8}$$

and the relation between σ and R_B.

[10] The same result follows from integrating $\ddot\rho = \kappa\rho[(2-d)\dot\phi^2 - 2V]/[(d-1)(d-2)]$ across the wall.

E.2 dS Vacua

As explained in the main text, in the thin-wall regime tunneling proceeds from $\phi_{0+} \simeq \phi_+$ to $\phi_0 \simeq \phi_-$. The metric function ρ is not monotonic but is rather a concave function with two zeros and, in what follows, one should pay attention to the change of sign of $\dot{\rho}$. We start discussing dS to dS transitions and will complete the discussion with decays from dS to Minkowski or AdS at the end. For the $d = 4$ case, see *e.g.* [27, 28].

The bounce field configuration has $\phi_B(\xi < \xi_B) \simeq \phi_-$ and $\phi_B(\xi > \xi_B) \simeq \phi_+$ with a rapid transition between the two values at the wall, located at ξ_B. The metric function is $\rho_B(\xi < \xi_B) = R_- \sin(\xi/R_-)$ and $\rho_B(\xi > \xi_B) = R_+ \sin((\xi + c)/R_+)$, and c is a constant fixed by the continuity condition $\rho_B(\xi_B + \delta) = \rho(\xi_B - \delta) = R_B < R_\pm$ for $\delta \ll \xi_B$. The jump in $\dot{\rho}$ at ξ_B can be obtained integrating $\ddot{\rho}$ across the wall, see footnote 10. One gets

$$\dot{\rho}(\xi_B + \delta) - \dot{\rho}(\xi_B - \delta) = -\frac{\kappa\sigma R_B}{(d-2)} \,, \tag{E.9}$$

showing that the slope of ρ drops at the wall. This negative drop forces only three cases for the qualitative shape of $\rho(\xi)$ depending on whether its maximum occurs inside the bubble, outside it or at the wall. Figure 4 shows the ρ profiles in the three cases: (1) For $V_- < V_+ - \delta V$, where $\delta V = \kappa_d \sigma^2/2$, one has $\xi_B < \pi/2$ with the result that ρ peaks at $\max(\rho) = R_+$ for $\xi > \xi_B$, when $\phi \simeq \phi_+$. In this case, $\dot{\rho}(\xi_B \pm \delta) > 0$. (2) For $V_- > V_+ + \delta V$, one has $\xi_B > \pi/2$ with ρ peaking at $\max(\rho) = R_-$ for $\xi < \xi_B$, when $\phi \simeq \phi_-$. In this case, $\dot{\rho}(\xi_B \pm \delta) < 0$. (3) For $|V_+ - V_-| < \delta V$, ρ peaks (with a cusp) at ξ_B, right at the wall. In this case $\dot{\rho}(\xi_B - \delta) > 0$ and $\dot{\rho}(\xi_B + \delta) < 0$. These three cases exactly correspond to those discussed in the text for the V_t approach. Note in particular that the maximum of ρ occurs at the same field value as the maximum of V_t, see (2.16).

From the previous discussion we get, for cases (1) and (2)

$$\sqrt{1 - R_B^2/R_{\rm max}^2} - \sqrt{1 - R_B^2/R_{\rm min}^2} = \frac{\kappa\sigma R_B}{(d-2)} \,, \tag{E.10}$$

where $R_{\rm max} \equiv \max(R_+, R_-)$ and $R_{\rm min} \equiv \min(R_+, R_-)$. While, for case (3),

$$\sqrt{1 - R_B^2/R_+^2} + \sqrt{1 - R_B^2/R_-^2} = \frac{\kappa\sigma R_B}{(d-2)} \,. \tag{E.11}$$

These formulas are in agreement with (5.14).

It is then straightforward to calculate the Euclidean action for the bounce and the background in all three cases and massage it conveniently into this single formula valid for all cases:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta S_E \;=\; & \left\{ \frac{(\Delta V - \delta V) R_B R_+^{d-2}}{\kappa\sigma\sqrt{1 - R_B^2/R_+^2}} \left[x^{d/2-1}\, {}_2F_1(-1/2, d/2-1, d/2, x)\Big|_{x=1}^{x=R_B^2/R_+^2} \right] \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{(\Delta V + \delta V) R_B R_-^{d-2}}{\kappa\sigma\sqrt{1 - R_B^2/R_-^2}} \left[x^{d/2-1}\, {}_2F_1(-1/2, d/2-1, d/2, x)\Big|_{x=1}^{x=R_B^2/R_-^2} \right] \right. \end{aligned}$$




Figure 4: Metric function $\rho(\xi)$ for the three cases of dS decay: case (1) with $V_- < V_+ - \delta V$ (upper curve); case (2) with $V_- > V_+ + \delta V$ (lower curve) and case (3) with $V_- = V_+$ (central curve). The location of the maximum of each curve is marked by a black dot. These curves correspond to the same examples of Figure 2.

$$+ \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(d/2)}{\kappa\Gamma((d-1)/2)} \left(R_+^{d-2} - R_-^{d-2}\right) + \sigma R_B^{d-1} \Big\} V_{S,d-1} \ . \tag{E.12}$$

This agrees with the V_t result as can be checked using hypergeometric function identities and the relation for σ.

The case of a dS vacuum decaying to Minkowski or AdS is an straightforward generalization of the previous result to $R_- \to \infty$ and $R_-^2 \to R_-^2 < 0$, respectively, as in the discussion at the end of subsection 5.2.

References

[1] S. Coleman, "The Fate of the False Vacuum. 1. Semiclassical Theory," Phys. Rev. D **15** (1977) 2929 Erratum: [Phys. Rev. D **16** (1977) 1248].

[2] S. Coleman and F. De Luccia, "Gravitational Effects on and of Vacuum Decay," Phys. Rev. D **21** (1980) 3305.

[3] J. R. Espinosa, "A Fresh Look at the Calculation of Tunneling Actions," JCAP **07** (2018) 036 [th/1805.03680].

[4] J. Espinosa, "Fresh look at the calculation of tunneling actions including gravitational effects," Phys. Rev. D **100** (2019) 104007 [th/1808.00420].

[5] J. R. Espinosa, J. F. Fortin and J. Huertas, "Exactly solvable vacuum decays with gravity," Phys. Rev. D **104** (2021) 065007 [th/2106.15505].

[6] J. R. Espinosa and T. Konstandin, "A Fresh Look at the Calculation of Tunneling Actions in Multi-Field Potentials," JCAP **01** (2019) 051 [th/1811.09185].

[7] J. R. Espinosa, "The Stabilizing Effect of Gravity Made Simple," JCAP **07** (2020) 061 [th/2005.09548].

[8] S. Arunasalam and M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, "Tunneling potentials for the tunneling action: gauge invariance," JHEP **08** (2022) 138 [ph/2105.07588].

[9] V. Pasquarella and F. Quevedo, "Vacuum Transitions in Two-Dimensions and their Holographic Interpretation," [th/2211.07664].

[10] E. Witten, "Instability of the Kaluza-Klein Vacuum," Nucl. Phys. B **195** (1982) 481.

[11] J.J. Blanco-Pillado, J.R. Espinosa, J. Huertas and K. Sousa, to appear.

[12] G.W. Gibbons and S.W. Hawking, "Action Integrals and Partition Functions in Quantum Gravity," Phys. Rev. D **15** (1977) 2752.

[13] J. W. York, Jr., "Role of conformal three geometry in the dynamics of gravitation," Phys. Rev. Lett. **28** (1972) 1082.

[14] J. R. Espinosa, R. Jinno and T. Konstandin, "Tunneling Potential Actions from Canonical Transformations," [th/2209.03293].

[15] P. Breitenlohner and D. Freedman, "Positive Energy in anti-De Sitter Backgrounds and Gauged Extended Supergravity," Phys. Lett. B **115** (1982) 197.

[16] T. Faulkner, G. Horowitz and M. Roberts, "New stability results for Einstein scalar gravity," Class. Quant. Grav. **27** (2010) 205007 [th/1006.2387].

[17] A. Aguirre, T. Banks and M. Johnson, "Regulating eternal inflation. II. The Great divide," JHEP **0608** (2006) 065 [th/0603107].

[18] R. Bousso, B. Freivogel and M. Lippert, "Probabilities in the landscape: The Decay of nearly flat space," Phys. Rev. D **74** (2006) 046008 [th/0603105].

[19] W. Boucher, "Positive Energy without Supersymmetry," Nucl. Phys. B **242** (1984) 282.

[20] L. Abbott and Q. H. Park, "Gravitational Stabilization of Scalar Potentials," Phys. Lett. B **156** (1985) 373; Q. H. Park and L. Abbott, "Stability and Instability of Scalar Fields Coupled to Gravity," Phys. Lett. B **171** (1986) 223.

[21] P. Townsend, "Positive Energy and the Scalar Potential in Higher Dimensional (Super)gravity Theories," Phys. Lett. B **148** (1984) 55.

[22] R. Angius, J. Calderón-Infante, M. Delgado, J. Huertas and A. M. Uranga, "At the end of the world: Local Dynamical Cobordism," JHEP **06** (2022) 142 [th/2203.11240].

[23] D. Samuel and W. Hiscock, "Effect of gravity on false vacuum decay rates for O(4) symmetric bubble nucleation," Phys. Rev. D **44** (1991) 3052.

[24] A. Amariti, "Analytic bounces in d dimensions," [th/2009.14102].

[25] A. Ivanov, M. Matteini, M. Nemevšek and L. Ubaldi, "Analytic thin wall false vacuum decay rate," JHEP **03** (2022) 209 [erratum: JHEP **07** (2022) 085] [th/2202.04498].

[26] D. Poole and W. Hereman, "The homotopy operator method for symbolic integration by parts and inversion of divergences with applications," App. Analysis **89** (2010) 433.

[27] E. J. Weinberg, "Classical solutions in quantum field theory: Solitons and Instantons in High Energy Physics," Cambridge University Press, 2012.

[28] J. R. Espinosa, J. F. Fortin and M. Trépanier, "Consistency of Scalar Potentials from Quantum de Sitter Space," Phys. Rev. D **93** (2016) 124067 [th/1508.05343].