

PRIMES IN DENOMINATORS OF ALGEBRAIC NUMBERS

DEEPESH SINGHAL, YUXIN LIN

ABSTRACT. Denote the set of algebraic numbers as $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and the set of algebraic integers as $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$. For $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, consider its irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, $F_\gamma(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_0$. Denote $e(\gamma) = \gcd(a_n, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1)$. Drungilas, Dubickas and Jankauskas show in a recent paper that $\mathbb{Z}[\gamma] \cap \mathbb{Q} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \mid \{p \mid v_p(\alpha) < 0\} \subseteq \{p \mid p|e(\gamma)\}\}$. Given a number field K and $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, we show that there is a subset $X(K, \gamma) \subseteq \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, for which $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K = \{\alpha \in K \mid \{\mathfrak{p} \mid v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha) < 0\} \subseteq X(K, \gamma)\}$. We prove that $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K$ is a principal ideal domain if and only if the primes in $X(K, \gamma)$ generate the class group of $\mathcal{O}_K$. We show that given $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, we can find a finite set $S \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$, such that for every number field K , we have $X(K, \gamma) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid \mathfrak{p} \cap S \neq \emptyset\}$. We study how this set S relates to the ring $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}[\gamma]$ and the ideal $\mathfrak{D}_\gamma = \{a \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}} \mid a\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}\}$ of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$. We also show that $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ satisfy $\mathfrak{D}_{\gamma_1} = \mathfrak{D}_{\gamma_2}$ if and only if $X(K, \gamma_1) = X(K, \gamma_2)$ for all number fields K .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$ and let $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the set of algebraic integers. We start with the following question:

Question 1.1. For an algebraic number $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, how should we define its denominator?

Denote the minimal polynomial of γ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ as

$$F_\gamma(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0.$$

So $F_\gamma(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is an irreducible polynomial with $F_\gamma(\gamma) = 0$, $\gcd(a_n, \dots, a_0) = 1$ and $a_n > 0$. We denote $\deg(\gamma) = n$. The leading coefficient is denoted by $c(\gamma) = a_n$. The smallest positive integer d for which $d\gamma$ is an algebraic integer is denoted as $d(\gamma)$. The numbers $c(\gamma)$ and $d(\gamma)$ are two natural candidates for the denominator of γ and $d(\gamma)$ is usually called as the denominator of γ . The two are closely related and it is known that

$$d(\gamma) \mid c(\gamma) \mid d(\gamma)^n.$$

In their paper, Arno, Robinson and Wheeler [1] compute $d(\gamma)$ in terms of the coefficients of $F_\gamma(x)$ by showing that for every prime p

$$v_p(d(\gamma)) = \max \left(0, \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} \left\lceil \frac{v_p(a_n) - v_p(a_j)}{n - j} \right\rceil \right).$$

They also show that $d(\gamma) = c(\gamma)$ if and only if there is no prime p such that $p^2 \mid a_n$ and $p \mid a_{n-1}$. Finally, they show that the density of algebraic numbers γ for which $c(\gamma) = d(\gamma)$ is $\frac{1}{\zeta(3)}$. Ayad, Bayad and Kihel in [2], fix a number field K , a rational prime p , integer $k \geq 1$ and determine the sets $\{v_p(c(\gamma)) \mid \gamma \in K, v_p(d(\gamma)) = k\}$. They show that this set only depends on $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$, k and how p splits in K . There is another related quantity $i(\gamma) = \gcd_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} F_\gamma(m)$, that has been studied in several papers [3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9].

The third candidate for the denominator of γ should be

$$e(\gamma) = \gcd(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n).$$

Note that the gcd does not include the constant term a_0 . Drungilas, Dubickas and Jankauskas show the following in [5]:

Theorem 1.2. [5, Theorem 5] *Given an algebraic number $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, we have*

$$\mathbb{Z}[\gamma] \cap \mathbb{Q} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \mid \text{for all primes } p, \text{ if } v_p(\alpha) < 0, \text{ then } p \mid e(\gamma)\}.$$

Corollary 1.2.1. *An algebraic number $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ satisfies $\mathbb{Z}[\gamma] \cap \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $e(\gamma) = 1$.*

Note that if γ is an algebraic integer, then $e(\gamma) = 1$. However, we can have $e(\gamma) = 1$ even if γ is not an algebraic integer. For example, take $\gamma = \frac{1}{2+i}$, so $F_\gamma(x) = 5x^2 - 4x + 1$. We have $c(\gamma) = d(\gamma) = 5$ and $e(\gamma) = 1$, it satisfies $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2+i}] \cap \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Z}$.

In Section 6, we classify which tuples of positive integers occur as $(c(\gamma), d(\gamma), e(\gamma), \deg(\gamma))$.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose we are given positive integers c, d, e, n with $n \geq 2$. Then there is a algebraic number γ for which $c(\gamma) = c$, $d(\gamma) = d$, $e(\gamma) = e$ and $\deg(\gamma) = n$ if and only if $d \mid c$, $c \mid d^n$, $e \mid c$, $c \mid d^{n-1}e$ and each rational prime p satisfies at least one of the following:*

- (1) $v_p(d(\gamma)) + v_p(e(\gamma)) \leq v_p(c(\gamma))$.
- (2) $v_p(c(\gamma)) = nv_p(d(\gamma))$.

For a number field K , we denote the ring of algebraic integers in K as $\mathcal{O}_K$ and the set of non-zero prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$ as $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. The ring $\mathbb{Z}[\gamma] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ is controlled by the prime divisors of $e(\gamma)$. We will show that the ring $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K$ is controlled by certain prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$ in a similar manner. Denote the monic irreducible polynomial of γ over K as $f_{K,\gamma}(x) = b_n x^n + \dots + b_0 \in K[x]$. So, $f_{K,\gamma}(x)$ is irreducible in $K[x]$, $f_{K,\gamma}(\gamma) = 0$ and $b_n = 1$.

Theorem 1.4. *Given a number ring $\mathcal{O}_K$, an algebraic number γ and a prime $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, the following are equivalent:*

- For every $i \in [1, n]$: $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b_i) > v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b_0)$.
- There exists an $\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}$ for which $\frac{1}{\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma]$.
- For every $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K(\gamma)})$: if $\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}$ then $v_{\mathfrak{q}}(\gamma) < 0$.

Theorem 1.5. *Given a number ring $\mathcal{O}_K$, an algebraic number γ and a prime $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, the following are equivalent:*

- *There exists $i \in [0, n-1]$ for which $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b_i) < 0$.*
- *There exists $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K(\gamma)})$ for which $\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}$ and $v_{\mathfrak{q}}(\gamma) < 0$.*

The collection of primes in $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ that satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1.4 is denoted by $X(K, \gamma)$. The collection of primes that satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1.5 is denoted by $Y(K, \gamma)$. Clearly we have $X(K, \gamma) \subseteq Y(K, \gamma)$. Note that

$$X(\mathbb{Q}, \gamma) = \{p \in \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid p|e(\gamma)\},$$

and

$$Y(\mathbb{Q}, \gamma) = \{p \in \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid p|c(\gamma)\} = \{p \in \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid p|d(\gamma)\}.$$

We generalize Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.2.1 to an arbitrary number ring $\mathcal{O}_K$. The set $X(K, \gamma)$ acts as the analog of prime divisors of $e(\gamma)$.

Theorem 1.6. *Given a number ring $\mathcal{O}_K$ and an algebraic number γ , the following hold:*

- (1) $X(K, \gamma) = \emptyset$ if and only if $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K = \mathcal{O}_K$.
- (2) $Y(K, \gamma) = \emptyset$ if and only if $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Proposition 1.7. *For $\alpha \in K$, we have $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma]$ if and only if*

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha) < 0\} \subseteq X(K, \gamma).$$

Moreover, the primes in $X(K, \gamma)$ also control the the class group of $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K$.

Proposition 1.8. *If K is a number field and $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, then $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K$ is a Dedekind domain and its class group is*

$$\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K) \cong \text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K) / \langle [\mathfrak{p}] \mid \mathfrak{p} \in X(K, \gamma) \rangle.$$

The following corollaries follow at once from Proposition 1.8.

Corollary 1.8.1. *If K is a number field and $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, then $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K) \cong \text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ if and only if all prime ideals in $X(K, \gamma)$ are principal.*

Corollary 1.8.2. *If K is a number field and $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, then $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K$ is a principal ideal domain if and only if $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \langle [\mathfrak{p}] \mid \mathfrak{p} \in X(K, \gamma) \rangle$.*

Given a fixed algebraic number $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, we consider the problem of determining $X(K, \gamma)$ for every number field K . Firstly, if $\gamma \in K$, then Theorem 1.4 implies that $\mathfrak{p} \in X(K, \gamma)$ if and only if $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\gamma) < 0$. However, if $\gamma \notin K$, then we need to look at the primes of $\mathcal{O}_{K(\gamma)}$ that are above $\mathfrak{p}$. There is a simpler way to test whether $\mathfrak{p} \in X(K, \gamma)$.

Definition 1.9. *Let $S \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$, then S is called a generating set of γ if it has the property that for every number field L ,*

$$X(L, \gamma) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L) \mid \mathfrak{q} \cap S \neq \emptyset\}.$$

For example, we will show that $\{15, 4 + i\}$ is a generating set of $\frac{1}{60+15i}$. This means that for any number field K , we have

$$X\left(K, \frac{1}{60+15i}\right) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid 15 \in \mathfrak{p} \text{ or } 4 + i \in \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

In Section 4, we will show that every algebraic number γ has a generating set of finite size. Proposition 1.7 describes the ring $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K$ in terms of $X(K, \gamma)$. We can also describe this ring in terms of a generating set of γ .

Proposition 1.10. *For a subset $S \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$, S is a generating set of γ if and only if for every number field K , we have*

$$\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K = \mathcal{O}_K[1/\alpha \mid \alpha \in S \cap K].$$

Proposition 1.11. *Suppose S is a generating set of γ . Then we have*

$$\overline{\mathbb{Z}}[\gamma] = \overline{\mathbb{Z}}[1/\alpha \mid \alpha \in S].$$

Given an algebraic number γ , we define its denominator ideal to be

$$\mathfrak{D}_\gamma = \{a \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}} \mid a\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}\}.$$

Note that $\mathfrak{D}_\gamma \cap \mathbb{Z} = d(\gamma)\mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 1.12. *Let S be a finite generating set of γ , then as ideals of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$, we have*

$$\sqrt{\mathfrak{D}_\gamma} = \sqrt{\left(\prod_{\alpha \in S} \alpha\right)\overline{\mathbb{Z}}}.$$

Let K be a number field, then as ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$ we have

$$\sqrt{\mathfrak{D}_\gamma \cap \mathcal{O}_K} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in Y(K, \gamma)} \mathfrak{p}$$

and

$$\sqrt{\left(\prod_{\alpha \in S \cap K} \alpha\right)\mathcal{O}_K} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in X(K, \gamma)} \mathfrak{p}.$$

In Theorem 4.9, we show that two algebraic numbers γ_1, γ_2 satisfy $\mathfrak{D}_{\gamma_1} = \mathfrak{D}_{\gamma_2}$ if and only if for any number field K , $X(K, \gamma_1) = X(K, \gamma_2)$. In Proposition 5.1, we obtain an analog of Proposition 1.7 for finite extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

2. PRIMES IN DENOMINATORS

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.4, Theorem 1.5, Theorem 1.6, Proposition 1.7 and Proposition 1.8. Let K be a number field and $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be an algebraic number. Recall that the monic irreducible polynomial of γ in $K[x]$ is denoted as $f_{K,\gamma}(x) = b_n x^n + \cdots + b_0 \in K[x]$. We have $b_n = 1$. For each non-zero prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, let

$$\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} = -\min\{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b_i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Clearly $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ for all but finitely many primes $\mathfrak{p}$. Since $b_n = 1$, we also know that all $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq 0$. Consider the ideal $I_{K,\gamma} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)} \mathfrak{p}^{\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}}$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let K , γ and $I_{K,\gamma}$ be as above, then we have*

$$\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cong \mathcal{O}_K[x]/(f_{K,\gamma}(x)I_{K,\gamma}[x]).$$

Proof. First we check that $f_{K,\gamma}(x)I_{K,\gamma}[x] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K[x]$. For any $b \in I_{K,\gamma}$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, we know that $\mathfrak{p}^{\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mid I_{K,\gamma}$ and $I_{K,\gamma} \mid (b)$, so $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b) \geq \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By the definition of $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we see that for each $0 \leq i \leq n$, $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(bb_i) \geq 0$. Since this holds for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, we have $bb_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$. This means that for any $b \in I_{K,\gamma}$, we have $bf_{K,\gamma}(x) \in \mathcal{O}_K[x]$ and hence $f_{K,\gamma}(x)I_{K,\gamma}[x] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K[x]$.

Now consider the homomorphism ϕ from $\mathcal{O}_K[x]$ to $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma]$ that sends x to γ . It is clearly surjective. It is also clear that $f_{K,\gamma}(x)I_{K,\gamma}[x] \subseteq \ker(\phi)$. It only remains to show that $\ker(\phi) \subseteq f_{K,\gamma}(x)I_{K,\gamma}[x]$.

Pick some $g(x) \in \ker(\phi)$, so $g(x) \in \mathcal{O}_K[x]$. Since $g(\gamma) = 0$, we know that $f_{K,\gamma} \mid g$ in $K[x]$. So we have $h(x) \in K[x]$, such that $g(x) = f_{K,\gamma}(x)h(x)$. Say $h(x) = d_m x^m + \cdots + d_0$ and $g(x) = c_{m+n} x^{m+n} + \cdots + c_0$. So $d_i \in K$ and $c_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$. For $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, denote $\beta_{\mathfrak{p}} = \min\{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(d_j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq m\}$.

Fix a prime $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. Let t be the largest integer for which $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b_t) = -\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Also let k be the largest integer for which $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(d_k) = \beta_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then we have

$$c_{t+k} = \sum_{i>0} b_{t+i}d_{k-i} + b_t d_k + \sum_{j>0} b_{t-j}d_{k+j}.$$

Now, notice that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b_t d_k) = \beta_{\mathfrak{p}} - \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}$. For $i > 0$, we have $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b_{t+i}) > -\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(d_{k-i}) \geq \beta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ meaning $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b_{t+i}d_{k-i}) > \beta_{\mathfrak{p}} - \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Similarly for $j > 0$ we have $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b_{t-j}d_{k+j}) > \beta_{\mathfrak{p}} - \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We therefore see that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{t+k}) = \beta_{\mathfrak{p}} - \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Next since $c_{t+k} \in \mathcal{O}_K$, we conclude $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \beta_{\mathfrak{p}}$. This means that for each $0 \leq j \leq m$, we have $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(d_j) \geq \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(I_{K,\gamma})$.

Since this holds for each prime $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, we conclude that $I_{K,\gamma} \mid (d_j)$, that is $d_j \in I_{K,\gamma}$. This implies that $h(x) \in I_{K,\gamma}[x]$ and $g(x) \in f_{K,\gamma}(x)I_{K,\gamma}[x]$. We have shown that $\ker(\phi) = f_{K,\gamma}(x)I_{K,\gamma}[x]$ and hence we conclude that $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cong \mathcal{O}_K[x]/(f_{K,\gamma}(x)I_{K,\gamma}[x])$. $\square$

Let K , γ and $f_{K,\gamma}(x) = b_n x^n + \cdots + b_0$ be as above ($b_n = 1$). Denote

$$S_{K,1}(\gamma) = \left\{ \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid \text{there exists } \alpha \in \mathfrak{p} \text{ such that } 1/\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \right\},$$

$S_{K,2}(\gamma) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid \text{for every } i \in [1, n], \text{ we have } v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b_i) > v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b_0)\},$
 $S_{K,3}(\gamma) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid \text{for every } \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K(\gamma)}), \text{ if } \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p} \text{ then } v_{\mathfrak{q}}(\gamma) < 0\}.$
 Recall that Theorem 1.4 asks us to show that $S_{K,1}(\gamma) = S_{K,2}(\gamma) = S_{K,3}(\gamma)$. We will do this by showing that $S_{K,1}(\gamma) \supseteq S_{K,2}(\gamma)$, $S_{K,2}(\gamma) \supseteq S_{K,3}(\gamma)$ and $S_{K,3}(\gamma) \supseteq S_{K,1}(\gamma)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Given number field K and $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, we have $S_{K,2}(\gamma) \subseteq S_{K,1}(\gamma)$.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathfrak{p} \in S_{K,2}(\gamma)$. Pick $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that each $ab_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Denote $c_i = ab_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Then we have $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_n), \dots, v_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_1) > v_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_0)$ and

$$c_0 = -c_n \gamma^n - c_{n-1} \gamma^{n-1} - \dots - c_1 \gamma.$$

Suppose $(c_0) = \mathfrak{p}^e J$, where $e = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_0)$ and $J + \mathfrak{p} = (1)$. Let h be the class number of K . Suppose $\mathfrak{p}^h = (\beta)$ and $J^h = (\alpha)$. Therefore $c_0^h = \beta^e \alpha$ (possibly after replacing α by a unit multiple) and $(\alpha) + (\beta) = (1)$. Moreover, $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$, $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta) = h$ and $v_{\mathfrak{p}'}(\beta) = 0$ for any $\mathfrak{p}' \neq \mathfrak{p}$. Now, we have

$$\beta^e \alpha = c_0^h = (-c_n \gamma^n - c_{n-1} \gamma^{n-1} - \dots - c_1 \gamma)^h = (-1)^h \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_h \leq n} c_{j_1} \dots c_{j_h} \gamma^{\sum_{i=1}^h j_i}.$$

Notice that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{j_1} \dots c_{j_h}) \geq h(e+1) = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta^{e+1})$. This means that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\frac{c_{j_1} \dots c_{j_h}}{\beta^{e+1}}\right) \geq 0$. Since $v_{\mathfrak{p}'}(\beta) = 0$ for other primes $\mathfrak{p}'$, we conclude that $\frac{c_{j_1} \dots c_{j_h}}{\beta^{e+1}} \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Therefore,

$$\frac{\alpha}{\beta} = (-1)^h \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_h \leq n} \frac{c_{j_1} \dots c_{j_h}}{\beta^{e+1}} \gamma^{\sum_{i=1}^h j_i} \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma].$$

Now since $(\alpha) + (\beta) = (1)$, there are $x, y \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $x\alpha + y\beta = 1$. Thus, $\frac{1}{\beta} = x\frac{\alpha}{\beta} + y \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma]$. So $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $\frac{1}{\beta} \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma]$. Thus $\mathfrak{p}$ in $S_{K,1}(\gamma)$. $\square$

Lemma 2.3. *Given a number field K and $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, we have $S_{K,1}(\gamma) \subseteq S_{K,3}(\gamma)$.*

Proof. Suppose we have a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ in $S_{K,1}(\gamma)$. This means that we have $\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $c_k \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\frac{1}{\alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^m c_k \gamma^k$. Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a prime of $\mathcal{O}_{K[\gamma]}$ above $\mathfrak{p}$. Since $\alpha \in \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$, we see that $v_{\mathfrak{q}}\left(\sum_{k=0}^m c_k \gamma^k\right) < 0$. This implies there is some k for which $v_{\mathfrak{q}}(c_k \gamma^k) < 0$. Since $c_k \in \mathcal{O}_K$, we conclude that $v_{\mathfrak{q}}(\gamma) < 0$. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{O}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ be the completion of $\mathcal{O}_K$ at $\mathfrak{p}$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}}$ be an algebraic closure of $\mathcal{O}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. Let $\bar{v}$ be the unique valuation on $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}}$ that extends $v_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Say we have

$$f_{K,\gamma}(x) = (x - \gamma_1) \dots (x - \gamma_n)$$

over $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}}$. Suppose we have the following factorisation in $\mathcal{O}_{K(\gamma)}$

$$\mathfrak{p} \mathcal{O}_{K(\gamma)} = \mathfrak{q}_1^{e_1} \dots \mathfrak{q}_r^{e_r}.$$

It is known that

$$\{e_i v_{\mathfrak{q}_i}(\gamma) \mid i \in \{1, \dots, r\}\} = \{\bar{v}(\gamma_j) \mid j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}.$$

Lemma 2.4. *Given a number field K and $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, we have $S_{K,3}(\gamma) \subseteq S_{K,2}(\gamma)$.*

Proof. Suppose we have $\mathfrak{p} \in S_{K,3}(\gamma)$. This means that all $v_{\mathfrak{q}_i}(\gamma) < 0$ and hence all $\overline{v}(\gamma_j) < 0$. Now, we have

$$\overline{v}(b_0) = \sum_{j=1}^n \overline{v}(\gamma_j) < 0 = \overline{v}(b_n).$$

Also for $1 \leq t \leq n-1$ we have

$$\overline{v}(b_{n-t}) \geq \min_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_t \leq n} \sum_{k=1}^t \overline{v}(\gamma_{j_k}) > \sum_{j=1}^n \overline{v}(\gamma_j) = \overline{v}(b_0).$$

We conclude that $\mathfrak{p} \in S_{K,2}(\gamma)$. $\square$

Theorem 1.4. Given a number ring $\mathcal{O}_K$, an algebraic number γ and a prime $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, the following are equivalent:

- For every $i \in [1, n]$: $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b_i) > v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b_0)$.
- There exists an $\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}$ for which $\frac{1}{\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma]$.
- For every $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K(\gamma)})$: if $\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}$ then $v_{\mathfrak{q}}(\gamma) < 0$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4. $\square$

Theorem 1.5. Given a number ring $\mathcal{O}_K$, an algebraic number γ and a prime $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, the following are equivalent:

- There exists $i \in [0, n-1]$ for which $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b_i) < 0$.
- There exists $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K(\gamma)})$ for which $\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}$ and $v_{\mathfrak{q}}(\gamma) < 0$.

Proof. Suppose $\mathfrak{p}$ does not satisfy the second condition, this means that each $v_{\mathfrak{q}_i}(\gamma) \geq 0$ and hence each $\overline{v}(\gamma_j) \geq 0$. We see that for $1 \leq t \leq n$,

$$\overline{v}(b_{n-t}) \geq \min_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_t \leq n} \sum_{k=1}^t \overline{v}(\gamma_{j_k}) \geq 0.$$

Therefore $\mathfrak{p}$ does not satisfy the first condition.

For the other direction, suppose $\mathfrak{p}$ satisfies the second condition. This means that at least one $v_{\mathfrak{q}_i}(\gamma) < 0$ and hence at least one $\overline{v}(\gamma_j) < 0$. Relabel the γ_j such that $\overline{v}(\gamma_1), \dots, \overline{v}(\gamma_t)$ are negative ($t \geq 1$) and $\overline{v}(\gamma_{t+1}), \dots, \overline{v}(\gamma_n)$ are not negative. Then

$$\min \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^t \overline{v}(\gamma_{j_k}) \mid 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_t \leq n \right\} = \sum_{k=1}^t \overline{v}(\gamma_k),$$

and it is the unique minimum element in the set. Therefore

$$\overline{v}(b_{n-t}) = \sum_{k=1}^t \overline{v}(\gamma_k) < 0.$$

This shows that $\mathfrak{p}$ satisfies the first condition. $\square$

Proposition 1.7. For $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_K$, $b \neq 0$: we have $\frac{a}{b} \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma]$ if and only if

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) < v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b)\} \subseteq X(K, \gamma).$$

Proof. Suppose we have $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_K$, $b \neq 0$ with $\frac{a}{b} \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma]$. Consider some $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ such that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) < v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b)$. Suppose $(a) = \mathfrak{p}^e I$ and $(b) = \mathfrak{p}^k J$, where $e = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a)$, $k = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b)$ and $I + \mathfrak{p} = (1)$. Let h be the class number of $\mathcal{O}_K$, so $\mathfrak{p}^h$, I^h and J^h are all principal ideals. Say $\mathfrak{p}^h = (\beta)$, $I^h = (\alpha_1)$ and $J^h = (\alpha_2)$. Therefore (after replacing α_1 by a unit multiple) we have $\frac{a^h}{b^h} = \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta^r \alpha_2} \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma]$, where $r = k - e \geq 1$. Since $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{O}_K$, we have that $\frac{\alpha_1}{\beta^r} \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma]$. Next $I + \mathfrak{p} = (1)$ implies $(\alpha_1) + (\beta^r) = (1)$. This means there exist $x, y \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $x\beta^r + y\alpha_1 = 1$. So then $\frac{1}{\beta^r} = x + y\frac{\alpha_1}{\beta^r} \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma]$. Finally, $\beta^r \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $\frac{1}{\beta^r} \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma]$, therefore $\mathfrak{p} \in X(K, \gamma)$.

Conversely, suppose we have $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $b \neq 0$ and

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) < v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b)\} \subseteq X(K, \gamma).$$

Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ be the list of primes such that $v_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(b) > v_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(a)$. Then since $\mathfrak{p}_i \in X(K, \gamma)$, there are $\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{p}_i$ such that $\frac{1}{\alpha_i} \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma]$. We can pick a sufficiently large N such that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a \prod_i \alpha_i^N) \geq v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b)$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. Then $\frac{a \prod_i \alpha_i^N}{b} \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Finally this implies

$$\frac{a}{b} = \frac{a \prod_i \alpha_i^N}{b} \frac{1}{\alpha_1^N} \dots \frac{1}{\alpha_n^N} \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma]. \quad \square$$

Theorem 1.6. Given a number ring $\mathcal{O}_K$ and an algebraic number γ :

- (1) $X(K, \gamma) = \emptyset$ if and only if $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K = \mathcal{O}_K$.
- (2) $Y(K, \gamma) = \emptyset$ if and only if $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Proof. Note that $X(K, \gamma) = S_{K,1}(\gamma)$. Let h be the class number of $\mathcal{O}_K$.

First suppose $X(K, \gamma) = \emptyset$. Consider $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K$. By Proposition 1.7, we know that

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha) < 0\} \subseteq X(K, \gamma).$$

This implies that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha) \geq 0$ for all primes $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. Thus $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and hence $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K = \mathcal{O}_K$.

Conversely, suppose $X(K, \gamma) \neq \emptyset$. Pick $\mathfrak{p} \in X(K, \gamma) = S_{K,1}(\gamma)$. By the definition of $S_{K,1}(\gamma)$, there exists $\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}$ such that $\frac{1}{\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma]$. Then $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ is an element in $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K$ that is not in $\mathcal{O}_K$. We conclude that $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K \neq \mathcal{O}_K$.

Next, we prove the second part. Note that $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ if and only if γ is integral over $\mathcal{O}_K$. Moreover, this happens if and only if $f_{K,\gamma}(x) \in \mathcal{O}_K[x]$. This is equivalent to the condition that for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ and for every $i \in [0, n-1]$: $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b_i) \geq 0$. This is clearly equivalent to $Y(K, \gamma) = \emptyset$. $\square$

Proposition 2.5. [10, Chapter 8, Section 3] *Suppose K is a number field and X is a finite set of prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$. Consider*

$$\mathcal{O}_{K,X} = \{\alpha \in K \mid \text{for all } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K), \text{ if } v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha) < 0, \text{ then } \mathfrak{p} \in X\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{O}_{K,X}$ is a Dedekind domain and its class group is

$$\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_{K,X}) \cong \text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K) / \langle [\mathfrak{p}] \mid \mathfrak{p} \in X \rangle.$$

Proposition 1.8. *If K is a number field and $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, then $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K$ is a Dedekind domain and its class group is*

$$\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K) \cong \text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K) / \langle [\mathfrak{p}] \mid \mathfrak{p} \in X(K, \gamma) \rangle.$$

Proof. This follows from Proposition 1.7 and Proposition 2.5. $\square$

3. VARYING THE NUMBER FIELD

In this Section we will study how $X(K, \gamma)$ and $Y(K, \gamma)$ change as we fix γ and change K .

Lemma 3.1. *If $K \subseteq L$ are number fields then*

$$X(K, \gamma) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid \text{for every } \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L), \text{ if } \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p} \text{ then } \mathfrak{q} \in X(L, \gamma)\},$$

$$X(L, \gamma) \supseteq \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L) \mid \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K \in X(K, \gamma)\}.$$

Moreover if $L \cap K(\gamma) = K$, then we have

$$X(L, \gamma) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L) \mid \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K \in X(K, \gamma)\}.$$

Proof. First, suppose $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime of $\mathcal{O}_K$, such that every prime of $\mathcal{O}_L$ above $\mathfrak{p}$ lies in $X(L, \gamma)$. We will show that $\mathfrak{p} \in X(K, \gamma)$.



Let $\mathfrak{p}'$ be a prime of $\mathcal{O}_{K(\gamma)}$ that is above $\mathfrak{p}$. Let $\mathfrak{q}'$ be a prime of $\mathcal{O}_{L(\gamma)}$ that is above $\mathfrak{p}'$. Let $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{q}' \cap \mathcal{O}_L$. Then $\mathfrak{q}$ lies above $\mathfrak{p}$ and hence $\mathfrak{q} \in X(L, \gamma)$. This implies that $v_{\mathfrak{q}'}(\gamma) < 0$. Hence $v_{\mathfrak{p}'}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{e(\mathfrak{q}'|\mathfrak{p}')} v_{\mathfrak{q}'}(\gamma) < 0$. Since this holds for every prime $\mathfrak{p}'$ of $\mathcal{O}_{K(\gamma)}$ that is above $\mathfrak{p}$, we see that $\mathfrak{p} \in X(K, \gamma)$. We have shown that

$$X(K, \gamma) \supseteq \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid \text{for every } \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L), \text{ if } \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p} \text{ then } \mathfrak{q} \in X(L, \gamma)\}.$$

Next, consider a prime $\mathfrak{p} \in X(K, \gamma)$. Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a prime of $\mathcal{O}_L$ above $\mathfrak{p}$. We will show that $\mathfrak{q} \in X(L, \gamma)$. Consider a prime $\mathfrak{q}'$ of $\mathcal{O}_{L(\gamma)}$ above $\mathfrak{q}$. Let $\mathfrak{p}' = \mathfrak{q}' \cap \mathcal{O}_{K(\gamma)}$. Then $\mathfrak{p}$ is in $X(K, \gamma)$ and $\mathfrak{p}'$ is a prime of $\mathcal{O}_{K(\gamma)}$ above $\mathfrak{p}$, therefore $v_{\mathfrak{p}'}(\gamma) < 0$. Next

$v_{\mathfrak{q}'}(\gamma) = e(\mathfrak{q}'|\mathfrak{p}')v_{\mathfrak{p}'}(\gamma) < 0$. Since this holds for every prime $\mathfrak{q}'$ of $\mathcal{O}_{L(\gamma)}$ above $\mathfrak{q}$, we conclude that $\mathfrak{q} \in X(L, \gamma)$. This shows that

$$X(K, \gamma) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid \text{for every } \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L), \text{ if } \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p} \text{ then } \mathfrak{q} \in X(L, \gamma)\}.$$

We can conclude that

$$X(K, \gamma) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid \text{for every } \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L), \text{ if } \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p} \text{ then } \mathfrak{q} \in X(L, \gamma)\}.$$

Next, let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a prime of $\mathcal{O}_L$ for which $\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K \in X(K, \gamma)$. Let $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K$. Then $\mathfrak{p}$ is in $X(K, \gamma)$ and $\mathfrak{q}$ is a prime of $\mathcal{O}_L$ above $\mathfrak{p}$. Therefore, from the previous part we see that $\mathfrak{q} \in X(L, \gamma)$. This shows that

$$X(L, \gamma) \supseteq \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L) \mid \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K \in X(K, \gamma)\}.$$

For the last part, suppose $L \cap K(\gamma) = K$. This means that

$$f_{L, \gamma}(x) = f_{K, \gamma}(x) = b_n x^n + \cdots + b_0 \in K(x).$$

Consider $\mathfrak{q} \in X(L, \gamma)$. We know that for each $i \in [1, n]$, we have $v_{\mathfrak{q}}(b_i) > v_{\mathfrak{q}}(b_0)$. Let $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K$. Then

$$v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b_i) = \frac{1}{e(\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p})}v_{\mathfrak{q}}(b_i) > \frac{1}{e(\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p})}v_{\mathfrak{q}}(b_0) = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b_0).$$

This implies that $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K \in X(K, \gamma)$. We conclude that

$$X(L, \gamma) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L) \mid \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K \in X(K, \gamma)\}. \quad \square$$

Note that if $L \cap K(\gamma) \neq K$, then it is possible that

$$X(L, \gamma) \supsetneq \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L) \mid \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K \in X(K, \gamma)\}.$$

For example, consider $K = \mathbb{Q}$, $L = \mathbb{Q}[i]$ and $\gamma = \frac{1}{2+i}$. Then $X(L, \gamma) = \{(2+i)\}$, but $X(K, \gamma) = \emptyset$ so $\{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L) \mid \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K \in X(K, \gamma)\} = \emptyset$.

Lemma 3.2. *If $K \subseteq L$ are number fields then*


$$Y(K, \gamma) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid \text{there exists } \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L) \text{ above } \mathfrak{p} \text{ such that } \mathfrak{q} \in Y(L, \gamma)\},$$

$$Y(L, \gamma) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L) \mid \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K \in Y(K, \gamma)\}.$$

Moreover if $L \cap K(\gamma) = K$, then we have

$$Y(L, \gamma) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L) \mid \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K \in Y(K, \gamma)\}.$$

Proof. First, suppose $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ for which there is a prime $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ above $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $\mathfrak{q} \in Y(L, \gamma)$. We will show that $\mathfrak{p} \in Y(K, \gamma)$.



Since $\mathfrak{q} \in Y(L, \gamma)$, there is a prime $\mathfrak{q}'$ of $\mathcal{O}_{L(\gamma)}$ above $\mathfrak{q}$ for which $v_{\mathfrak{q}'}(\gamma) < 0$. Let $\mathfrak{p}' = \mathfrak{q}' \cap \mathcal{O}_{K(\gamma)}$. Then $\mathfrak{p}'$ is a prime of $\mathcal{O}_{K(\gamma)}$ that is above $\mathfrak{p}$. Moreover, $v_{\mathfrak{p}'}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{e(\mathfrak{q}'|\mathfrak{p}')}v_{\mathfrak{q}'}(\gamma) < 0$. We see that $\mathfrak{p} \in Y(K, \gamma)$. We have shown that

$$Y(K, \gamma) \supseteq \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid \text{there exists } \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L) \text{ above } \mathfrak{p} \text{ such that } \mathfrak{q} \in Y(L, \gamma)\}.$$

Next, consider a prime $\mathfrak{p} \in Y(K, \gamma)$. This means there is a prime $\mathfrak{p}'$ of $\mathcal{O}_{K(\gamma)}$ above $\mathfrak{p}$ for which $v_{\mathfrak{p}'}(\gamma) < 0$. Let $\mathfrak{q}'$ be a prime of $\mathcal{O}_{L(\gamma)}$ above $\mathfrak{p}'$. Then $v_{\mathfrak{q}'}(\gamma) = e(\mathfrak{q}'|\mathfrak{p}')v_{\mathfrak{p}'}(\gamma) < 0$. Let $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{q}' \cap \mathcal{O}_L$. Now $\mathfrak{q}'$ is a prime of $\mathcal{O}_{L(\gamma)}$ above $\mathfrak{q}$ for which $v_{\mathfrak{q}'}(\gamma) < 0$. This means that $\mathfrak{q} \in Y(L, \gamma)$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{q}$ is a prime of $\mathcal{O}_L$ above $\mathfrak{p}$. We have shown that

$$Y(K, \gamma) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid \text{there exists } \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L) \text{ above } \mathfrak{p} \text{ such that } \mathfrak{q} \in Y(L, \gamma)\}.$$

Next, consider a prime $\mathfrak{q} \in Y(L, \gamma)$. Let $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K$. Then $\mathfrak{q}$ is a prime of $\mathcal{O}_L$ above $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{q}$ is in $Y(L, \gamma)$. Therefore from the previous part $\mathfrak{p} \in Y(K, \gamma)$. This shows that

$$Y(L, \gamma) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L) \mid \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K \in Y(K, \gamma)\}.$$

For the last part, suppose $L \cap K(\gamma) = K$. This means that

$$f_{L, \gamma}(x) = f_{K, \gamma}(x) = x^n + b_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + b_0 \in K(x).$$

Consider $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ for which $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K \in Y(K, \gamma)$. We know that there is some $i \in [0, n-1]$, for which $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b_i) < 0$. Then $v_{\mathfrak{q}}(b_i) = e(\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p})v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b_i) < 0$. This implies that $\mathfrak{q} \in Y(L, \gamma)$. We conclude that

$$Y(L, \gamma) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L) \mid \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K \in Y(K, \gamma)\}. \quad \square$$

Note that if $L \cap K(\gamma) \neq K$, then it is possible that

$$Y(L, \gamma) \subsetneq \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L) \mid \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K \in Y(K, \gamma)\}.$$

For example, consider $K = \mathbb{Q}$, $L = \mathbb{Q}[i]$ and $\gamma = \frac{1}{2+i}$. Then $Y(L, \gamma) = \{(2+i)\}$, but $Y(K, \gamma) = \{(5)\}$ so $\{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L) \mid \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K \in Y(K, \gamma)\} = \{(2+i), (2-i)\}$.

Proposition 3.3. *If $K \subseteq L$ are number fields, then*

$$\mathcal{O}_L[\gamma] \cap K = \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K.$$

Proof. Clearly $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L[\gamma] \cap K$. Suppose we have $\frac{a}{b} \in \mathcal{O}_L[\gamma] \cap K$, $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Since $\frac{a}{b} \in \mathcal{O}_L[\gamma]$, by Proposition 1.7 we know that whenever $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ satisfies $v_{\mathfrak{q}}(b) > v_{\mathfrak{q}}(a)$, then $\mathfrak{q} \in X(L, \gamma)$. Now suppose we have $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ such that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b) > v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a)$. Then for any $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ that is above $\mathfrak{p}$, we also have $v_{\mathfrak{q}}(b) > v_{\mathfrak{q}}(a)$, which implies that $\mathfrak{q} \in X(L, \gamma)$. Then by Lemma 3.1, we see that $\mathfrak{p} \in X(K, \gamma)$. Therefore, $\frac{a}{b} \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma]$ by Proposition 1.7. $\square$

If two algebraic numbers γ_1, γ_2 satisfy $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma_1] \cap K = \mathcal{O}_K$ and $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma_2] \cap K = \mathcal{O}_K$, then we cannot always conclude that $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma_1, \gamma_2] \cap K = \mathcal{O}_K$. For example, take $\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{2+i}$, $\gamma_2 = \frac{1}{2-i}$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}$. However, we will show that if $K(\gamma_1) \cap K(\gamma_2) = K$, then we can conclude that $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma_1, \gamma_2] \cap K = \mathcal{O}_K$.

Proposition 3.4. *Suppose we are given $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that for every $1 \leq m \leq n-1$ and for every $m+1 \leq j \leq n$:*

$$K(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{m-1}, \gamma_m) \cap K(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{m-1}, \gamma_j) = K(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{m-1}).$$

Suppose moreover that for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ we have $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma_i] \cap K = \mathcal{O}_K$, then we have

$$\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n] \cap K = \mathcal{O}_K.$$

Proof. We start by showing that $X(K(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}), \gamma_i) = \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $i \geq k$. We will induct on k . The base case $k = 1$ follows from Theorem 1.6 and the assumption that each $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma_i] \cap K = \mathcal{O}_K$. Now assume that this is true for $k-1$, that is, for each $i \geq k-1$, we have $X(K(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-2}), \gamma_i) = \emptyset$. Since we have

$$K(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-2}, \gamma_{k-1}) \cap K(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-2}, \gamma_i) = K(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-2}),$$

it follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} & X(K(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}), \gamma_i) \\ &= \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1})}) \mid \mathfrak{q} \cap K(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-2}) \in X(K(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-2}), \gamma_i)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $X(K(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}), \gamma_i) = \emptyset$. This finishes the inductive step. In particular, we have shown that $X(K(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}), \gamma_k) = \emptyset$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Now we will show that $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n] \cap K \neq \mathcal{O}_K$. Assume for the sake of contradiction that $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n] \cap K = \mathcal{O}_K$. This means there is some $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n] \cap K$ for which $\beta \notin \mathcal{O}_K$. Then there must be a prime $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ for which $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta) < 0$. Since $K(\beta) = K$, Theorem 1.4 implies that $\mathfrak{p} \in X(K, \beta)$ and hence there is $\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}$ for which $\frac{1}{\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}_K[\beta] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n] \cap K$.

Next, we will show by induction on k that there exist $\mathfrak{p}_k \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k)})$, such that $\mathfrak{p}_k \cap K = \mathfrak{p}$ and $v_{\mathfrak{p}_k}(\gamma_1), \dots, v_{\mathfrak{p}_k}(\gamma_k)$ are all non-negative. We start with the base case $k = 1$. Since $X(K, \gamma_1) = \emptyset$, $\mathfrak{p} \notin X(K, \gamma_1)$. Therefore, there exists $\mathfrak{p}_1 \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K(\gamma_1)})$ such that $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap K = \mathfrak{p}$ and $v_{\mathfrak{p}_1}(\gamma_1) \geq 0$. Now suppose we have constructed $\mathfrak{p}_{k-1}$. Since $X(K(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}), \gamma_k) = \emptyset$, $\mathfrak{p}_{k-1} \notin X(K(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}), \gamma_k)$. Therefore, there exists $\mathfrak{p}_k \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k)})$ such that $\mathfrak{p}_k \cap K(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}) = \mathfrak{p}_{k-1}$, and $v_{\mathfrak{p}_k}(\gamma_k) \geq 0$. But since $\mathfrak{p}_k$ is above $\mathfrak{p}_{k-1}$ and $v_{\mathfrak{p}_{k-1}}(\gamma_1), \dots, v_{\mathfrak{p}_{k-1}}(\gamma_{k-1}) \geq 0$, we have that $v_{\mathfrak{p}_k}(\gamma_1), \dots, v_{\mathfrak{p}_k}(\gamma_{k-1}) \geq 0$. This finishes the inductive step.

In particular, if we set $k = n$, this shows that there exists $\mathfrak{p}_n \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)})$ such that $\mathfrak{p}_n$ is above $\mathfrak{p}$ and $v_{\mathfrak{p}_n}(\gamma_i) \geq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since $\frac{1}{\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n]$, we must have that $v_{\mathfrak{p}_n}(\frac{1}{\alpha}) \geq 0$, so $v_{\mathfrak{p}_n}(\alpha) \leq 0$. This contradicts the fact that $\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_n \cap K$. Therefore, $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n] \cap K = \mathcal{O}_K$. $\square$

Corollary 3.4.1. *If $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma_1] \cap K = \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma_2] \cap K = \mathcal{O}_K$ and $K(\gamma_1) \cap K(\gamma_2) = K$ then*

$$\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma_1, \gamma_2] \cap K = \mathcal{O}_K.$$

4. THE GENERATING SET OF AN ALGEBRAIC NUMBER

In this section we will start by constructing a generating set of γ . After this we will study the properties of generating sets of γ . We will prove Proposition 1.10, Proposition 1.11 and Theorem 1.12.

Given an algebraic number $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, and number field K , denote

$$\mathcal{L}(\gamma, K) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in X(K, \gamma) \mid \text{for every } K_1 \subsetneq K \text{ we have } \mathfrak{q} \cap K_1 \notin X(K_1, \gamma)\}.$$

Further, we define

$$\mathcal{L}(\gamma) = \{K \mid \mathcal{L}(\gamma, K) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *If $K \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma)$, then we have $K \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$.*

Proof. Pick a prime $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma, K)$. Let $K_1 = K \cap \mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$. Now we have $K_1(\gamma) \cap K = K_1$ and $\mathfrak{q} \in X(K, \gamma)$, so by Lemma 3.1 we get $\mathfrak{q} \cap K_1 \in X(K_1, \gamma)$. Since $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma, K)$ and $K_1 \subseteq K$, we conclude that $K_1 = K$. This shows that $K \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$. $\square$

Corollary 4.1.1. *For any algebraic number γ , $\#\mathcal{L}(\gamma) < \infty$.*

We will use $\mathcal{L}(\gamma)$ to construct a generating set of γ . Denote $J_{K, \gamma} = \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma, K)} \mathfrak{q}$, it is an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$. For each $K \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma)$, denote the class number of K as h_K . Then $J_{K, \gamma}^{h_K}$ is a principal ideal, let $\alpha_K \in \mathcal{O}_K$ be one of its generators (α_K is not uniquely determined, but pick one).

Lemma 4.2. *Let $K \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma)$ and α_K be as above. Then $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_K) = K$.*

Proof. Say $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_K) = K_1$, so $K_1 \subseteq K$. Pick a prime $\mathfrak{q}_1 \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma, K)$. Let $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap K_1 \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K_1})$. Note that if we show that $\mathfrak{p} \in X(K, \gamma)$, then the definition of $\mathcal{L}(\gamma, K)$ will imply that $K_1 = K$. Now

$$\alpha_K \mathcal{O}_K = J_{K, \gamma}^{h_K} = \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma, K)} \mathfrak{q}^{h_K},$$

so $\alpha_K \in \mathfrak{q}_1$ and hence $\alpha_K \in \mathfrak{p}$. Next let $\mathfrak{q}_2$ be a prime of $\mathcal{O}_K$ above $\mathfrak{p}$, then $\alpha_K \in \mathfrak{p} \mathcal{O}_K \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_2$. This implies that $\mathfrak{q}_2$ divides $\alpha_K \mathcal{O}_K$ and hence $\mathfrak{q}_2 \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma, K) \subseteq X(K, \gamma)$. We have shown that every prime of $\mathcal{O}_K$ above $\mathfrak{p}$ is in $X(K, \gamma)$, hence Lemma 3.1 implies that $\mathfrak{p} \in X(K_1, \gamma)$. But $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap K_1$ and $\mathfrak{q}_1 \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma, K)$, so we can conclude that $K_1 = K$, meaning $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_K) = K$. $\square$

Let $S = \{\alpha_K \mid K \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma)\}$. Note that $|S| = |\mathcal{L}(\gamma)| < \infty$.

Corollary 4.2.1. *Let S be as above, then $\mathbb{Q}(S)$ is the composite of the fields in $\mathcal{L}(\gamma)$, that is,*

$$\prod_{K \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma)} K = \mathbb{Q}(S) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\gamma).$$

Proposition 4.3. *Let $S = \{\alpha_K \mid K \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma)\}$ as above. Then S is a generating set of γ with $|S| = \#\mathcal{L}(\gamma)$.*

Proof. We know that $|S| = \#\mathcal{L}(\gamma)$, so we only need to show that for every number field L we have

$$X(L, \gamma) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L) \mid \mathfrak{q} \cap S \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Fix a number field L . Consider a prime $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ for which $\mathfrak{q} \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Say $\alpha_K \in \mathfrak{q}$, $K \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma)$. Now $\alpha_K \in \mathfrak{q} \subseteq L$, so $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_K) \subseteq L$. Let $\mathfrak{q}'' = \mathfrak{q} \cap K$, so $\alpha_K \in \mathfrak{q}''$. Since

$$\alpha_K \mathcal{O}_K = J_{K, \gamma}^{h_K} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma, K)} \mathfrak{p}^{h_K},$$

we see that $\mathfrak{q}'' \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma, K) \subseteq X(K, \gamma)$. Then by Lemma 3.1 we see that $\mathfrak{q} \in X(L, \gamma)$.

For the other direction, consider a prime $\mathfrak{q} \in X(L, \gamma)$. Let $K_1 = L \cap \mathbb{Q}(\gamma_1)$ and $\mathfrak{q}' = \mathfrak{q} \cap K_1$. Then $K_1(\gamma) \cap L = K_1$, so by Lemma 3.1 we see that $\mathfrak{q}' \in X(K_1, \gamma)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L & \searrow & \mathbb{Q}(\gamma) \\ & & \nearrow \\ L \cap \mathbb{Q}(\gamma) & = & K_1 \\ \downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_K) & = & K \\ \downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{Q} & & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{q} & \searrow & \mathfrak{p} \\ & & \nearrow \\ \mathfrak{q}' & = & \mathfrak{q} \cap K_1 \\ \downarrow & & \\ \mathfrak{q}'' & = & \mathfrak{q} \cap K \\ \downarrow & & \\ \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathbb{Z} & & \end{array}$$

Now, consider the set

$$\{E \mid E \subseteq K_1, \mathfrak{q}' \cap E \in X(E, \gamma)\}.$$

This is a finite collection of fields, so let K be a minimal field in this collection. Let $\mathfrak{q}'' = \mathfrak{q}' \cap K = \mathfrak{q} \cap K$. It follows from minimality of K that $\mathfrak{q}'' \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma, K)$ and hence $K \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma)$. From the definition of α_K , we see that $\alpha_K \in \mathfrak{q}''$. We conclude that $\alpha_K \in \mathfrak{q}$ and hence $\mathfrak{q} \cap S \neq \emptyset$. $\square$

Example 4.4. Consider $\gamma = \frac{1}{60+15i}$. Then $X(\mathbb{Q}, \gamma) = \{3, 5\}$ and $X(\mathbb{Q}(i), \gamma) = \{3, 2+i, 2-i, 4+i\}$. Therefore $\mathcal{L}(\gamma, \mathbb{Q}) = \{3, 5\}$, $\mathcal{L}(\gamma, \mathbb{Q}(i)) = \{4+i\}$ and $\mathcal{L}(\gamma) = \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}(i)\}$. We have $\alpha_{\mathbb{Q}} = 15$ and $\alpha_{\mathbb{Q}(i)} = 4+i$. Therefore for every number field L ,

$$X(L, \gamma) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L) \mid \{15, 4+i\} \cap S \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Proposition 4.5. *Suppose S' is a generating set of γ . Then we have $\#\mathcal{L}(\gamma) \leq |S'|$ and the composite of the fields in $\mathcal{L}(\gamma)$ is contained in $\mathbb{Q}(S')$. Moreover, if $|S'| = \#\mathcal{L}(\gamma)$, then we have*

$$\prod_{K \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma)} K = \mathbb{Q}(S') \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\gamma).$$

Proof. Consider $K \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma)$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma, K)$. Then $\mathfrak{p} \in X(K, \gamma)$, so $\mathfrak{p} \cap S' \neq \emptyset$. Say $\beta_K \in \mathfrak{p} \cap S'$. Now let $K_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\beta_K)$ and $\mathfrak{p}' = \mathfrak{p} \cap K_1$. Then $\beta_K \in \mathfrak{p}' \cap S'$, so $\mathfrak{p}' \in X(K_1, \gamma)$. But then the definition of $\mathcal{L}(\gamma, K)$ implies that $K_1 = K$, meaning that $\mathbb{Q}(\beta_K) = K$. This shows that for distinct $K \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma)$, we have distinct $\beta_K \in S'$. So we conclude that $|\mathcal{L}(\gamma)| \leq |S'|$. Moreover, the fact that $\beta_K \in S'$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\beta_K) = K$ implies that the

composite $\prod_{K \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma)} K \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(S')$. Finally if $|S'| = |\mathcal{L}(\gamma)|$, then $S' = \{\beta_K \mid K \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma)\}$ and hence $\mathbb{Q}(S') = \prod_{K \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma)} K$. $\square$

Corollary 4.5.1. *Suppose S_1 and S_2 are generating sets of γ_1 and γ_2 respectively with $|S_1| = \#\mathcal{L}(\gamma_1)$ and $|S_2| = \#\mathcal{L}(\gamma_2)$. Suppose further that γ_1, γ_2 have the property that for every number field L , $X(L, \gamma_1) = X(L, \gamma_2)$. Then $\mathbb{Q}(S_1) = \mathbb{Q}(S_2)$.*

Proof. The property that for every number field L , $X(L, \gamma_1) = X(L, \gamma_2)$, implies that $\mathcal{L}(\gamma_1) = \mathcal{L}(\gamma_2)$. Now we see that

$$\mathbb{Q}(S_1) = \prod_{K \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma_1)} K = \mathbb{Q}(S_2). \quad \square$$

Proposition 1.10. For a subset $S \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$, S is a generating set of γ if and only if for every number field K , we have

$$\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K = \mathcal{O}_K[1/\alpha \mid \alpha \in S \cap K].$$

Proof. First suppose that S is a generating set of γ . Fix a number field K . Consider $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K$. Then by proposition 1.7,

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta) < 0\} \subseteq X(K, \gamma).$$

But by the definition of generating set, $X(K, \gamma) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid \mathfrak{p} \cap S \neq \emptyset\}$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ be the primes in $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ such that $v_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(\beta) < 0$. Then for each $\mathfrak{p}_i$, $\mathfrak{p}_i \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Consider $\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{p}_i \cap S$, so $\alpha_i \in S \cap K$. Then for larger enough N , we have $\alpha_1^N \dots \alpha_n^N \beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$, so $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K[\frac{1}{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in S \cap K]$.

Now consider $\alpha \in S \cap K$. Then for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ such that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha) > v_{\mathfrak{p}}(1)$, we have $\alpha \in S \cap \mathfrak{p}$, so $S \cap \mathfrak{p} \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in X(K, \gamma)$. Then by proposition 1.7, we see that $\frac{1}{\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma]$. Therefore, we have $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K = \mathcal{O}_K[\frac{1}{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in S \cap K]$.

Conversely, suppose for every number field K , we have

$$\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K = \mathcal{O}_K[1/\alpha \mid \alpha \in S \cap K].$$

We want to show that S is a generating set, that is, we want to show that for every number field K , $X(K, \gamma) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K \mid \mathfrak{p} \cap S \neq \emptyset\}$.

Suppose we have $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ with $\mathfrak{p} \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Say $\alpha \in \mathfrak{p} \cap S$. Then $\alpha \in S \cap K$. Since $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K = \mathcal{O}_K[\frac{1}{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in S \cap K]$, we have $\frac{1}{\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K$, and $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(1) < v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha)$. Then by proposition 1.7, $\mathfrak{p} \in X(K, \gamma)$.

On the other hand, suppose $\mathfrak{p} \in X(K, \gamma)$. By Theorem 1.4, there exists $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$ such that $\frac{1}{\beta} \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma]$. Then $\frac{1}{\beta} \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K = \mathcal{O}_K[\frac{1}{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in S \cap K]$. Therefore, $\frac{1}{\beta} = f(\frac{1}{\alpha_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{\alpha_m})$, where $f(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathcal{O}_K[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ and $\alpha_i \in S \cap K$. We can clear denominators by multiply both sides by high enough power of $\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_m$. We get that

$$(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_m)^N = \beta g(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m),$$

and $g(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ is in $\mathcal{O}_K$. We see that $(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_m)^N \in \mathfrak{p}$. So there exists i such that $\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{p}$. Therefore $\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{p} \cap S$, and hence $\mathfrak{p} \cap S \neq \emptyset$. $\square$

Proposition 1.11. Suppose S is a generating set of γ . Then we have

$$\overline{\mathbb{Z}}[\gamma] = \overline{\mathbb{Z}}[1/\alpha \mid \alpha \in S].$$

Proof. Pick $\alpha \in S$. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. Then by Proposition 1.10, we know that $\frac{1}{\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K$ and hence $\frac{1}{\alpha} \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}[\gamma]$. This shows that $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}[\frac{1}{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in S] \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Z}}[\gamma]$.

For the other direction suppose $\beta \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}[\gamma]$. Say $\beta = \sum_{i=0}^m c_i \gamma^i$ for $c_i \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(c_0, \dots, c_m, \beta)$. Therefore $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K$. Now Proposition 1.10 implies that $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K[\frac{1}{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in S \cap K]$ and hence $\beta \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}[\frac{1}{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in S]$. This shows that $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}[\gamma] \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Z}}[\frac{1}{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in S]$. $\square$

Lemma 4.6. Let S be a finite generating set of γ , then as ideals of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$, we have

$$\sqrt{\mathfrak{D}_\gamma} = \sqrt{\left(\prod_{\alpha \in S} \alpha\right) \overline{\mathbb{Z}}}.$$

Proof. First consider $\beta \in \mathfrak{D}_\gamma$, say $\beta^m \in \mathfrak{D}_\gamma$. Therefore $\beta^m \gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$. Consider $L = \mathbb{Q}(\beta, \gamma, S)$. We want to show that there is some N for which $\beta^N \in (\prod_{\alpha \in S} \alpha) \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$. This is equivalent to showing that $\frac{\beta^N}{\prod_{\alpha \in S} \alpha} \in \mathcal{O}_L$. Now for a prime $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L)$, if $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\prod_{\alpha \in S} \alpha) > 0$, then there is some $\alpha \in S$ such that $\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}$. By definition of generating set of γ , this implies that $\mathfrak{p} \in X(L, \gamma)$. Now since $L(\gamma) = L$, we see that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\gamma) < 0$. Moreover $\beta^m \gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ implies $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta) > 0$. We have shown that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\prod_{\alpha \in S} \alpha) > 0$ implies $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta) > 0$. Therefore for a sufficiently large N , we will have $\frac{\beta^N}{\prod_{\alpha \in S} \alpha} \in \mathcal{O}_L$. This shows that $\beta \in \sqrt{(\prod_{\alpha \in S} \alpha) \overline{\mathbb{Z}}}$.

For the other direction consider $\beta \in \sqrt{(\prod_{\alpha \in S} \alpha) \overline{\mathbb{Z}}}$. This means that for some m , $\frac{\beta^m}{\prod_{\alpha \in S} \alpha} \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$. Again consider $L = \mathbb{Q}(\beta, \gamma, S)$. Therefore, we have $\frac{\beta^m}{\prod_{\alpha \in S} \alpha} \in \mathcal{O}_L$. Consider $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ for which $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\gamma) < 0$. Then $\mathfrak{p} \in X(L, \gamma)$ and hence by definition of generating set, $S \cap \mathfrak{p} \neq \emptyset$. This implies $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\prod_{\alpha \in S} \alpha) > 0$. Since $\frac{\beta^m}{\prod_{\alpha \in S} \alpha} \in \mathcal{O}_L$, we see that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta) > 0$. We have shown that whenever a prime $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ satisfies $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\gamma) < 0$, then it also satisfies $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta) > 0$. Therefore for sufficiently large N , we will have $\beta^N \gamma \in \mathcal{O}_L$. This means that $\beta \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{D}_\gamma}$. $\square$

Lemma 4.7. Given a number field K , as ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$ we have

$$\sqrt{\mathfrak{D}_\gamma \cap \mathcal{O}_K} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in Y(K, \gamma)} \mathfrak{p}.$$

Proof. First suppose $\beta \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{D}_\gamma \cap \mathcal{O}_K}$, say $\beta^N \in \mathfrak{D}_\gamma \cap \mathcal{O}_K$ so $\beta^N \gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$. Consider $\mathfrak{p} \in Y(K, \gamma)$, so there is a prime $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K(\gamma)})$ such that $\mathfrak{q} \cap K = \mathfrak{p}$ and $v_{\mathfrak{q}}(\gamma) < 0$. This implies $v_{\mathfrak{q}}(\beta) > 0$. Since $\beta \in K$, we see that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta) > 0$. We have shown that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta) > 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in Y(K, \gamma)$. This shows that $\beta \in \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in Y(K, \gamma)} \mathfrak{p}$.

For the other direction, suppose $\beta \in \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in Y(K, \gamma)} \mathfrak{p}$. Let $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K(\gamma)})$ be a prime for which $v_{\mathfrak{q}}(\gamma) < 0$. Then $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \cap K \in Y(K, \gamma)$. Therefore, $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta) > 0$ and hence $v_{\mathfrak{q}}(\beta) > 0$. We have shown that for every prime $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K(\gamma)})$ if $v_{\mathfrak{q}}(\gamma) < 0$ then $v_{\mathfrak{q}}(\beta) > 0$. Therefore for sufficiently large N , we have $\beta^N \gamma \in \mathcal{O}_{K(\gamma)}$. This shows that $\beta^N \in \mathfrak{D}_{\gamma}$ and hence $\beta \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{D}_{\gamma} \cap \mathcal{O}_K}$. $\square$

Lemma 4.8. *Let S be a finite generating set of γ . Then for any number field K , as ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$ we have*

$$\sqrt{\left(\prod_{\alpha \in S \cap K} \alpha\right) \mathcal{O}_K} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in X(K, \gamma)} \mathfrak{p}.$$

Proof. We know that

$$\sqrt{\left(\prod_{\alpha \in S \cap K} \alpha\right) \mathcal{O}_K} = \bigcap_{(\prod_{\alpha \in S \cap K} \alpha) \in \mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p}.$$

Now the primes that contain $(\prod_{\alpha \in S \cap K} \alpha)$ are precisely those that contain at least one $\alpha \in S \cap K$. By the definition of generating set of γ , these are the primes in $X(K, \gamma)$. This is a finite set of primes and hence

$$\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in X(K, \gamma)} \mathfrak{p} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in X(K, \gamma)} \mathfrak{p}. \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 1.12. Follows from Lemma 4.6, Lemma 4.7 and Lemma 4.8. $\square$

Theorem 4.9. *For $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $X(\mathbb{Q}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2), \gamma_1) = X(\mathbb{Q}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2), \gamma_2)$.
- (2) *For every number field L , we have $X(L, \gamma_1) = X(L, \gamma_2)$.*
- (3) $\sqrt{\mathfrak{D}_{\gamma_1}} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{D}_{\gamma_2}}$.
- (4) *For every number field L , we have $Y(L, \gamma_1) = Y(L, \gamma_2)$.*
- (5) $Y(\mathbb{Q}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2), \gamma_1) = Y(\mathbb{Q}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2), \gamma_2)$.

Proof. Suppose γ_1, γ_2 satisfy the condition (1). Consider a number field L and let $K = L \cap \mathbb{Q}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$. Then by Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} X(K, \gamma_1) &= \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid \forall \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}), \text{ if } \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p} \text{ then } \mathfrak{q} \in X(\mathbb{Q}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2), \gamma_1)\} \\ &= \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid \forall \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}), \text{ if } \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p} \text{ then } \mathfrak{q} \in X(\mathbb{Q}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2), \gamma_2)\} \\ &= X(K, \gamma_2). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we have $K(\gamma_1) \cap L = K$, $K(\gamma_2) \cap L = K$ and hence by Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} X(L, \gamma_1) &= \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L) \mid \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K \in X(K, \gamma_1)\} \\ &= \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L) \mid \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K \in X(K, \gamma_2)\} \\ &= X(L, \gamma_2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore γ_1, γ_2 satisfy condition (2).

Next suppose γ_1, γ_2 satisfy condition (2). Let S be a finite generating set of γ_1 . Then S is a finite generating set of γ_2 as well. We conclude from Lemma 4.6 that $\sqrt{\mathfrak{D}_{\gamma_1}} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{D}_{\gamma_2}}$. Therefore γ_1, γ_2 satisfy condition (3).

Next suppose γ_1, γ_2 satisfy condition (3). Then for any number field L , we have

$$\sqrt{\mathfrak{D}_{\gamma_1} \cap \mathcal{O}_L} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{D}_{\gamma_1}} \cap \mathcal{O}_L = \sqrt{\mathfrak{D}_{\gamma_2}} \cap \mathcal{O}_L = \sqrt{\mathfrak{D}_{\gamma_2} \cap \mathcal{O}_L}.$$

Now Lemma 4.7 implies $Y(L, \gamma_1) = Y(L, \gamma_2)$. Therefore γ_1, γ_2 satisfy condition (4).

Condition (4) clearly implies condition (5).

Finally suppose γ_1, γ_2 satisfy condition (5). Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$. Then $K(\gamma_1) = K$, so we have

$$X(K, \gamma_1) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\gamma_1) < 0\} = Y(K, \gamma_1).$$

Similarly we see that $X(K, \gamma_2) = Y(K, \gamma_2)$. Therefore $X(\mathbb{Q}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2), \gamma_1) = X(\mathbb{Q}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2), \gamma_2)$ and γ_1, γ_2 satisfy condition (1). $\square$

5. LOCAL CASE

Proposition 5.1. *Let K be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Let v be the unique valuation on $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ that extends v_p . Then*

- *If $v(\gamma) \geq 0$, then $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K = \mathcal{O}_K$.*
- *If $v(\gamma) < 0$, then $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K = K$.*

Proof. If $v(\gamma) \geq 0$, let $\tilde{v}$ be the restriction of v to $K(\gamma)$ and v_K be the restriction of v to K . Then for $\frac{a}{b} \in K \cap \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma]$, we have that $\tilde{v}(\frac{a}{b}) \geq 0$. Then $v_K(\frac{a}{b}) \geq 0$, so $\frac{a}{b} \in \mathcal{O}_K$. So for $v(\gamma) \geq 0$, we have $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K = \mathcal{O}_K$.

Now suppose $v(\gamma) < 0$. First we will show that $\mathcal{O}_K \subsetneq \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K$. Consider $a = \text{Nm}_K^{K(\gamma)}(\gamma)$. Notice that

$$v(\gamma) = \tilde{v}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{n} v_K \left(\text{Nm}_K^{K(\gamma)}(\gamma) \right) = \frac{1}{n} v(a).$$

Thus $nv(\gamma) = v(a)$. If γ_i is a conjugate of γ over K , then we similarly see that $nv(\gamma_i) = v(a)$. Now let $x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 = 0$ be the minimal polynomial of γ over K . So $a_0 = \pm a$. Then we have that $v(a_i) \geq (n-i)v(\gamma)$ and $v(a) = nv(\gamma)$, so $v(a_i) > v(a)$. Say $a_{i_0} = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} (v(a_i))$ and $u = a_{i_0}^{-1}$. Now,

$$ua = \pm(ua_n\gamma^n + ua_{n-1}\gamma^{n-1} \cdots + ua_1\gamma).$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have $v(a_{i_0}) \leq v(a_i)$, so $v(ua_i) \geq 0$ and hence $ua_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$. This shows that $ua \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K$. Moreover $v(a) < v(a_{i_0})$, so $v(ua) < 0$ and $ua \notin \mathcal{O}_K$. Let $b = ua$.

Finally we will show that $\mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K = K$. Say $v_K(b) = \tilde{v}(b) = -m$. Let t be a uniformizer of $\mathcal{O}_K$, then $\alpha = t^{m-1}b \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K$ and $v_K(\alpha) = -1$. Then for any $\beta \in K$, suppose $v_K(\beta) = -s$. Then $v_K(\frac{\beta}{\alpha^s}) = 0$, so $\frac{\beta}{\alpha^s} \in \mathcal{O}_K^*$. Then $\beta = \frac{\beta}{\alpha^s} \alpha^s \in \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K$. Since β is an arbitrary element in K , we have that $K = \mathcal{O}_K[\gamma] \cap K$. $\square$

6. RELATIONSHIPS AMONG $c(\gamma)$, $d(\gamma)$, $e(\gamma)$ AND $\deg(\gamma)$

Proposition 6.1. *Suppose γ is an algebraic number of degree n . Then $c(\gamma)$ divides $d(\gamma)^{n-1}e(\gamma)$. Moreover, all rational primes p satisfy at least one of the following:*

- (1) $v_p(d(\gamma)) + v_p(e(\gamma)) \leq v_p(c(\gamma))$.
- (2) $\frac{n-1}{n}v_p(c(\gamma)) < v_p(e(\gamma)) \leq v_p(c(\gamma))$ and $v_p(c(\gamma)) = nv_p(d(\gamma))$.

Proof. We know that there is some $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ such that $v_p(a_j) = v_p(e(\gamma))$. We know that $\left\lceil \frac{v_p(a_n) - v_p(a_j)}{n-j} \right\rceil \leq v_p(d(\gamma))$, and hence

$$\frac{v_p(c(\gamma)) - v_p(e(\gamma))}{n-j} = \frac{v_p(a_n) - v_p(a_j)}{n-j} \leq v_p(d(\gamma)).$$

This means that $v_p(c(\gamma)) \leq (n-1)v_p(d(\gamma)) + v_p(e(\gamma))$. Therefore $c(\gamma) | d(\gamma)^{n-1}e(\gamma)$.

First consider a rational prime p that does not divide $e(\gamma)$. Since $d(\gamma) | c(\gamma)$, we have $v_p(d(\gamma)) \leq v_p(c(\gamma))$. Therefore $v_p(d(\gamma)) + v_p(e(\gamma)) \leq v_p(c(\gamma))$.

Next consider a rational prime p that divides $e(\gamma)$. In this case, p also divides $c(\gamma) = a_n$ and $d(\gamma)$ (since $c(\gamma) | d(\gamma)^n$). Moreover p divides a_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and hence $p \nmid a_0$. We know that that

$$v_p(d(\gamma)) = \max \left(0, \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} \left\lceil \frac{v_p(a_n) - v_p(a_j)}{n-j} \right\rceil \right).$$

Now $v_p(a_0) = 0$, so $\left\lceil \frac{v_p(a_n) - v_p(a_0)}{n-0} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{v_p(c(\gamma))}{n} \right\rceil$. Moreover for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$,

$$\frac{v_p(a_n) - v_p(a_j)}{n-j} \leq \frac{v_p(a_n) - v_p(e(\gamma))}{n-j} \leq v_p(a_n) - v_p(e(\gamma)).$$

Since $v_p(a_n) - v_p(e(\gamma))$ is an integer, we conclude that $\left\lceil \frac{v_p(a_n) - v_p(a_j)}{n-j} \right\rceil \leq v_p(c(\gamma)) - v_p(e(\gamma))$. We also know that $v_p(d(\gamma)) \neq 0$. Therefore

$$v_p(d(\gamma)) = \max \left(0, \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} \left\lceil \frac{v_p(a_n) - v_p(a_j)}{n-j} \right\rceil \right) \leq \max \left(\left\lceil \frac{v_p(c(\gamma))}{n} \right\rceil, v_p(c(\gamma)) - v_p(e(\gamma)) \right).$$

- Case 1: $v_p(c(\gamma)) - v_p(e(\gamma)) \geq \left\lceil \frac{v_p(c(\gamma))}{n} \right\rceil$. We see that $v_p(d(\gamma)) \leq v_p(c(\gamma)) - v_p(e(\gamma))$.
- Case 2: $v_p(c(\gamma)) - v_p(e(\gamma)) < \left\lceil \frac{v_p(c(\gamma))}{n} \right\rceil$. It follows that $v_p(d(\gamma)) = \left\lceil \frac{v_p(c(\gamma))}{n} \right\rceil$ and hence $nv_p(d(\gamma)) \geq v_p(c(\gamma))$. Moreover since $c(\gamma) | d(\gamma)^n$, we always have $v_p(c(\gamma)) \leq nv_p(d(\gamma))$. Therefore $v_p(c(\gamma)) = nv_p(d(\gamma))$.

Since $e(\gamma) | c(\gamma)$, we have $v_p(e(\gamma)) \leq v_p(c(\gamma))$. Finally $v_p(c(\gamma)) - v_p(e(\gamma)) < \left\lceil \frac{v_p(c(\gamma))}{n} \right\rceil$ implies $v_p(c(\gamma)) - v_p(e(\gamma)) < \frac{v_p(c(\gamma))}{n}$, which means $\frac{n-1}{n}v_p(c(\gamma)) < v_p(e(\gamma))$. $\square$

Proposition 6.2. *Suppose we are give positive integers c, d, e, n such that $d|c$, $c|d^n$, $e|c$, $c|d^{n-1}e$ and $n \geq 2$. Suppose further that all rational primes p satisfy at least one of the following:*

- (1) $v_p(d) + v_p(e) \leq v_p(c)$.
- (2) $v_p(c) = nv_p(d)$.

Then there is an algebraic number γ for which $\deg(\gamma) = n$, $c(\gamma) = c$, $d(\gamma) = d$ and $e(\gamma) = e$.

Proof. Let Y be the collection of primes factors of c . Pick a prime q that is not in Y . We will construct integers $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n$. Firstly set $a_n = c$. For primes p that are not in $Y \cup \{q\}$, set $v_p(a_i) = 0$ for each $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Set $v_q(a_i) = 1$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Finally for primes $p \in Y$, set $v_p(a_0) = 0$ and for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ set $v_p(a_i) = \max(v_p(c) - (n-i)v_p(d), v_p(e))$

Consider the polynomial $F(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0$. By applying the Eisenstein criterion with prime q , we see that F is irreducible. Let γ be a root of F , then $\deg(\gamma) = n$, $c(\gamma) = a_n = c$.

For primes $p \in Y$, we know that $v_p(c) \leq (n-1)v_p(d) + v_p(e)$. This implies that $v_p(a_1) = v_p(e)$. We also know that for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $v_p(a_i) \geq v_p(e)$. Finally since $e|c$, we have $v_p(a_n) \geq v_p(e)$. This shows that $v_p(e(\gamma)) = v_p(e)$. Moreover, for primes $p \notin Y$, p does not divide a_n . Therefore $e(\gamma) = e$.

For a prime $p \in Y$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, we know that $v_p(a_i) \geq v_p(c) - (n-i)v_p(d)$. This means that $\frac{v_p(c) - v_p(a_i)}{n-i} \leq v_p(d)$ and hence $\left\lceil \frac{v_p(c) - v_p(a_i)}{n-i} \right\rceil \leq v_p(d)$. Since $c|d^n$ and $v_p(a_0) = 0$, we know that $\frac{v_p(c) - v_p(a_0)}{n} \leq v_p(d)$ and hence $\left\lceil \frac{v_p(c) - v_p(a_0)}{n} \right\rceil \leq v_p(d)$. Since

$$v_p(d(\gamma)) = \max \left(0, \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} \left\lceil \frac{v_p(a_n) - v_p(a_j)}{n-j} \right\rceil \right),$$

and $v_p(d(\gamma)) \neq 0$, this shows that $v_p(d(\gamma)) \leq v_p(d)$.

Next if $p \in Y$ satisfies $v_p(d) + v_p(e) \leq v_p(c)$, then $v_p(a_{n-1}) = v_p(c) - v_p(d)$. In this case $\left\lceil \frac{v_p(c) - v_p(a_{n-1})}{n-(n-1)} \right\rceil = v_p(d)$ and hence $v_p(d) \leq v_p(d(\gamma))$.

Finally suppose $p \in Y$ satisfies $nv_p(d) = v_p(c)$. Since $v_p(a_0) = 0$, this means that $\left\lceil \frac{v_p(c) - v_p(a_0)}{n} \right\rceil = v_p(d)$. Therefore $v_p(d) \leq v_p(d(\gamma))$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Follows from Proposition 6.1 and Proposition 6.2. □

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Arno, M.L. Robinson, F. S. Wheeler, On Denominators of Algebraic Numbers and Integer Polynomials, Journal of Number Theory, Volume 57, Issue 2, 1996, Pages 292-302.
- [2] M. Ayad, A. Bayad, O. Kihel, Denominators of algebraic numbers in a number field, Journal of Number Theory, Volume 149, 2015, Pages 1-14.

- [3] M. Ayad, A. Bayad, O. Kihel. On fixed divisors of the minimal polynomials over $\mathbb{Z}$ of algebraic numbers. Hardy-Ramanujan Journal, Hardy-Ramanujan Society, 2020.
- [4] M. Ayad, O. Kihel, Common divisors of values of polynomials and common factors of indices in a number field, International Journal of Number Theory, 2011, Vol. 07, No. 05, 1173-1194
- [5] P. Drungilas, A. Dubickas, J. Jankauskas. On relations for rings generated by algebraic numbers and their conjugates. Annali di Matematica 194, 369–385 (2015).
- [6] H. T. Engstrom, On the common index divisors of an algebraic field, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 32, 1930, 223-237.
- [7] H. Gunji, D. L. McQuillan, On a class of ideals in an algebraic number field, Journal of Number Theory, Volume 2, Issue 2, 1970, Pages 207-222.
- [8] H. Gunji, D. L. McQuillan, On polynomials with integer coefficients, Journal of Number Theory, Volume 1, Issue 4, 1969, Pages 486-493.
- [9] C.R. MacCluer, Common divisors of values of polynomials, Journal of Number Theory, Volume 3, Issue 1, 1971, Pages 33-34.
- [10] J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt, K. Wingberg (2013). Chapter VIII. Section 3. In Cohomology of number fields. Springer.