

THE $B(G)$ -PARAMETRIZATION OF THE LOCAL LANGLANDS CORRESPONDENCE

ALEXANDER BERTOLONI MELI AND MASAO OI

ABSTRACT. This article is on the parametrization of the local Langlands correspondence over local fields for non-quasi-split groups according to the philosophy of Vogan. We show that a parametrization indexed by the basic part of the Kottwitz set (which is an extension of the set of pure inner twists) implies a parametrization indexed by the full Kottwitz set. On the Galois side, we consider irreducible algebraic representations of the full centralizer group of the L -parameter (i.e not a component group). When F is a p -adic field, we discuss a generalization of the endoscopic character identity.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
Acknowledgements	4
2. Preliminaries	4
2.1. Review of the Kottwitz set	5
2.2. Representation theory of disconnected reductive groups	8
2.3. S -groups of L -parameters as disconnected reductive groups	10
2.4. Weyl group constructions	11
3. Review of the $B(G)_{\text{bas}}$ form of the conjectural correspondence.	13
3.1. The enhanced Archimedean basic correspondence	14
3.2. Statement of main theorem	19
4. The construction	20
4.1. The map	20
4.2. Independence of choices	22
4.3. Properties of the correspondence	23
5. Endoscopic character identity	25
5.1. Setup	25
5.2. Motivation	27
5.3. Preliminaries on the Weyl groups	32
5.4. Definition of the regular part on the endoscopic side	32
5.5. Parametrization of members of $\Pi_\phi(G_b)$	33
5.6. Definition of $\langle \pi, \eta(s) \rangle_{\text{reg}}$	35
5.7. Proof of main theorem	38
References	39

1. INTRODUCTION

For a quasi-split connected reductive group G over a local field F , a *local Langlands correspondence (LLC)* is a map

$$\mathrm{LLC}_G: \Pi(G) \longrightarrow \Phi(G),$$

satisfying certain desiderata. Here, $\Pi(G)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible admissible \mathbb{C} -valued representations of $G(F)$ and $\Phi(G)$ is the set of \widehat{G} -conjugacy classes of L -parameters $\phi: W_F \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \rightarrow {}^L G$. The map LLC_G is not injective in general but it has finite fibers (called *L -packets*) parametrized, after a choice of Whittaker datum of G , by $\mathrm{Irr}(\pi_0(S_\phi/Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma))$, where $S_\phi := Z_{\widehat{G}}(\mathrm{im}\phi)$ and where $\mathrm{Irr}(\pi_0(S_\phi/Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma))$ denotes the set of irreducible representations of the finite group $\pi_0(S_\phi/Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma)$.

In the case where G is not quasi-split, Whittaker data are no longer defined, and in any case, the two sets are not always in bijection. Vogan realized ([Vog93]) that instead of trying to parametrize the L -packets of G on their own, one does better by simultaneously parametrizing L -packets of a collection of suitably rigidified inner twists of the unique quasi-split inner form G^* of G . For various reasons, inner twists classified by $H^1(F, G_{\mathrm{ad}})$ are not suitable; for example, they have outer-automorphisms. Some natural suitable collections of inner twists are parametrized by $H^1(F, G)$, $B(G)_{\mathrm{bas}}$, or $H^1(u \rightarrow W, Z(G) \rightarrow G)$ (see [Kal16a] for the details). In each case, one can conjecture an expected parametrization of L -packets. For instance, in the case of $B(G)_{\mathrm{bas}}$, Kottwitz conjectured a bijection ([Kal16a, Conjecture F])

$$\coprod_{b \in B(G)_{\mathrm{bas}}} \Pi_\phi(G_b) \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}} \mathrm{Irr}(S_\phi^\natural),$$

where $S_\phi^\natural = S_\phi/(\widehat{G}_{\mathrm{der}} \cap S_\phi)^\circ$ ($\widehat{G}_{\mathrm{der}}$ denotes the derived subgroup of \widehat{G}). In light of the recent work of Fargues–Scholze ([FS21]), the set $B(G)_{\mathrm{bas}}$ is quite natural to use as a parametrization. Recalling that topologically $|\mathrm{Bun}_G| \cong B(G)$ however, the set $B(G)$ is an even more natural choice. Note that the set $B(G)$ parametrizes certain inner twists of G^* and its Levi subgroups. In [FS21, Remark I.10.3], Fargues and Scholze express their expectation of a parametrization of LLC using $B(G)$. The point of this article is to show that assuming the $B(G)_{\mathrm{bas}}$ -parametrization of LLC, there exists a $B(G)$ -parametrization. We remark that for G with connected center, the $B(G)_{\mathrm{bas}}$ -parametrization is known to be equivalent to the rigid parametrization of Kaletha by [Kal18].

Theorem 1.1 (See §3, §4). *Let G be a quasi-split connected reductive group with fixed Whittaker datum \mathfrak{w} . Suppose that there is an LLC for G and its $B(G)_{\mathrm{bas}}$ -inner twists as well as an LLC for each proper Levi subgroup $L \subset G$ and its $B(L)_{\mathrm{bas}}$ -inner twists. Then there is an LLC for the $B(G)$ -twists of G and a bijection*

$$\coprod_{b \in B(G)} \Pi_\phi(G_b) \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}} \mathrm{Irr}(S_\phi),$$

where $\mathrm{Irr}(S_\phi)$ now denotes the set of irreducible algebraic representations of the disconnected reductive group S_ϕ . (See §3 for the precise meaning of “LLC”.)

One advantage of Theorem 1.1 is that only the group S_ϕ appears as opposed to its variants. Elements of $\mathrm{Irr}(S_\phi)$ correspond to sheaves on the stack $[*/S_\phi] \hookrightarrow$

$Z^1(W_F, \widehat{G})/\widehat{G}$, where the latter is the stack of L -parameters. Hence we expect Theorem 1.1 to provide a means to relate classical formulations of LLC to the work of Fargues–Scholze. On the other hand, the use of the disconnected reductive group S_ϕ as opposed to variants of its component group is one of the main subtleties we must contend with. The algebraic representations of disconnected reductive group form a highest weight category ([AHR20]) and this structure is central to our construction.

We explain how Theorem 1.1 can be seen as part of an *extended Vogan philosophy*. Each of the classes of inner twists we mentioned above ($H^1(F, G)$, $B(G)_{\text{bas}}$, $H^1(u \rightarrow W, Z(G) \rightarrow G)$) are related to cohomology of certain Galois gerbes. For us, Galois gerbes will be extensions of Γ by the \overline{F} -points of a certain pro-multiplicative F -group which we call the *band*. For $H^1(F, G)$, the relevant gerbe $\mathcal{E}^{\text{pure}} = \Gamma$ is banded by the trivial group. For $B(G)_{\text{bas}}$ one has the gerbe \mathcal{E}^{iso} banded by the pro-torus \mathbb{D}_F with character group \mathbb{Q} . Finally, $H^1(u \rightarrow W, Z(G) \rightarrow G)$ is associated to the Kaletha gerbe \mathcal{E}^{Kal} banded by a certain multiplicative pro-algebraic group u . In each case, the parametrizing set is given as the cohomology $H_{\text{bas}}^1(\mathcal{E}, G(\overline{F}))$, where we are taking equivalence classes of 1-cocycles z of \mathcal{E} whose restriction to $D(\overline{F})$ (here D is the band) comes from an algebraic map $\nu_z : D \rightarrow G$. Further, the “bas” signifies that we are only considering ν_z with central image in G .

The expectation evidenced by Theorem 1.1 is that one gets a cleaner parametrization by dropping this centrality condition on ν_z . In the $H^1(F, G)$ case, $D = 1$ so dropping this assumption does nothing. For $B(G)_{\text{bas}}$ one gets $B(G)$. We remark that non-central cocycles of \mathcal{E}^{Kal} have not been studied so far and it should be interesting to understand what the parametrization of LLC looks like in this case.

In §5 we discuss the compatibility of our construction with endoscopy in the case where F is a p -adic field. In particular, we define the transfer to G_b of the stable distribution $S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H$ attached to a tempered L -parameter ϕ_H of an endoscopic group H of G . The transfer map is essentially a composition of the Jacquet functor from H to certain Levi subgroups H_L of H that are simultaneously endoscopic groups of G_b , and then the endoscopic transfer from H_L to G_b .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Dist}^{\text{st}}(H) & & \\ \downarrow \Pi J_{P_b}^H & \searrow \text{Trans}_H^{G_b} & \\ \coprod \text{Dist}^{\text{st}}(H_L) & \xrightarrow{\text{Trans}_{H_b}^{G_b}} & \text{Dist}(G_b) \end{array}$$

The goal is then to describe $\text{Trans}_H^{G_b} S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H$ in terms of our L -packet $\Pi_\phi(G_b)$ for $\phi := \eta \circ \phi_H$, where η denotes the L -embedding ${}^L H \hookrightarrow {}^L G$.

When $H = G$, this is essentially a question of understanding the compatibility of the local Langlands correspondence with Jacquet modules and already in this case, the description is quite complicated and not known in general. In particular, $\text{Trans}_H^{G_b} S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H$ can contain representations of G_b that are associated to different L -parameters of G (see [Ato20]; though the phenomenon appears even for GL_4 , see Example 5.12).

In this paper, we give the following partial description of $\text{Trans}_H^{G_b} S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H$. We first define the regular part $[\text{Trans}_H^{G_b} S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H]_{\text{reg}}$ of $\text{Trans}_H^{G_b} S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H$. Standard desiderata of

LLC imply that whenever ϕ_H has trivial SL_2 -part, we have $[\mathrm{Trans}_H^{G_b} S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H]_{\mathrm{reg}} = \mathrm{Trans}_H^{G_b} S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H$, though in general they are different. We prove the following.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 5.13). *We have an equality of distributions on G_b .*

$$[\mathrm{Trans}_H^{G_b} S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H]_{\mathrm{reg}} = e(G_b) \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_\phi(G_b)} \langle \pi, \eta(s) \rangle_{\mathrm{reg}} \Theta_\pi,$$

where $e(G_b)$ denotes the Kottwitz sign of G_b and $\langle \pi, \eta(s) \rangle_{\mathrm{reg}}$ is a certain number defined in §5.6 and Θ_π is the trace distribution attached to π .

It would be quite interesting to extend Theorem 1.2 beyond the regular case.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank David Hansen for suggesting we think about this question. We also thank Anne-Marie Aubert and Tasho Kaletha for several helpful discussions and Sug Woo Shin for inspiring us to a more general form of our results. We thank Jonathan Leake for helping us with some Weyl group computations. A.B.M. was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1840234. M.O. was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 20K14287.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let F be a local field. We write Γ (resp. W_F) for the absolute Galois group (resp. the Weil group) of F . In this paper, when F is non-Archimedean, we adopt the geometric normalization of the local class field theory for F i.e., a uniformizer of F^\times is mapped to a lift of the geometric Frobenius.

Let G be a quasi-split connected reductive group over F . We fix an F -rational splitting $(T, B, \{X_\alpha\})$ of G . We let \hat{G} denote the Langlands dual group of G . By fixing a splitting $(\hat{T}, \hat{B}, \{\hat{X}_\alpha\})$ of \hat{G} , we get an action of Γ on \hat{G} . To be more precise, let $\Psi(G)$ (resp. $\Psi(\hat{G})$) be the based root datum of G (resp. \hat{G}) determined by the Borel pair contained in the fixed splitting. Then, by fixing an isomorphism of based root data $\Psi(G)^\vee \cong \Psi(\hat{G})$, where $\Psi(G)^\vee$ is the dual to $\Psi(G)$, we obtain a unique action of Γ on \hat{G} which preserves the fixed splitting and is compatible with the Galois action on $\Psi(G)$ through the isomorphism $\Psi(G)^\vee \cong \Psi(\hat{G})$.

For any algebraic group H , we write H° for the identity component of H and $Z(H)$ for the center of H . When H acts on a set X , for any subset $Y \subset X$, we put $Z_H(Y) := \{h \in H \mid h \cdot y = y \text{ for any } y \in Y\}$ and $N_H(Y) := \{h \in H \mid h \cdot y \in Y \text{ for any } y \in Y\}$.

We fix the following additional notation. Let $A_T \subset T$ be the maximal split subtorus and denote $\mathfrak{A}_T = X_*(A_T)_\mathbb{R}$. Let \overline{C} denote the closed Weyl chamber in \mathfrak{A}_T associated to B and let $\overline{C}_\mathbb{Q}$ denote its intersection with $X_*(A_T)_\mathbb{Q}$. For each standard Levi subgroup $M \subset G$, let A_M denote the maximal split torus in the center of M . We denote $\mathfrak{A}_M = X_*(A_M)_\mathbb{R} \subset \mathfrak{A}_T$. Let ${}^L M$ be a standard Levi subgroup of ${}^L G$ which corresponds to M (see [Bor79, §3] for the details of the correspondence between Levi subgroups of G and those of ${}^L G$). We put $\widehat{M} := {}^L M \cap \hat{G}$ and $A_{\widehat{M}} := Z(\widehat{M})^{\Gamma, \circ}$.

We write $W := W_G := W_G(T)$ and $W^{\mathrm{rel}} := W_G^{\mathrm{rel}} := W_G(A_T) \cong W^\Gamma$. On the dual side, similarly, we write $\widehat{W} := \widehat{W}_G := W_{\hat{G}}(\hat{T})$ and $\widehat{W}^{\mathrm{rel}} := \widehat{W}_G^{\mathrm{rel}} := W_{\hat{G}}(A_{\hat{T}}) \cong \widehat{W}^\Gamma$. Since we have fixed F -splittings of G and \hat{G} , we have a Γ -equivariant identification $W \cong \widehat{W}$ which induces $W^{\mathrm{rel}} \cong \widehat{W}^{\mathrm{rel}}$. (We refer the

reader to [KMSW14, §0.4.3] for the details.) In this paper, we often implicitly use these identifications of Weyl groups.

For a standard parabolic subgroup Q of G with standard Levi L , we let $J_Q^G(-)$ (resp. $I_Q^G(-)$) denote the associated normalized Jacquet functor (resp. normalized parabolic induction) (see [BZ77, §2.3]; our J_Q^G (resp. I_Q^G) is denoted by $r_{L,G}$ (resp. $i_{G,L}$ in *loc. cit.*).

2.1. Review of the Kottwitz set. In this section, we briefly review the theory of the Kottwitz set $B(G)$ for local fields following [Kot14]. We follow this source instead of [Kot97] since we handle a general local field F . In each case, the set $B(G)$ is the first cohomology of a certain Galois gerbe.

Let \mathbb{D}_F be the F -(pro-)torus defined as in [Kot14, §10.4]. Note that \mathbb{D}_F is isomorphic to \mathbb{G}_m when F is Archimedean and also that $X^*(\mathbb{D}_F) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ when F is non-Archimedean (see Remark 2.1). We have an extension

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_F(\overline{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_F^{\text{iso}} \xrightarrow{\pi} \Gamma \rightarrow 1$$

such that

- when F is non-Archimedean, $\mathcal{E}_F^{\text{iso}}$ corresponds to $1 \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} = H^2(\Gamma, \varprojlim \mu_n(\overline{F})) \rightarrow H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{D}_F(\overline{F}))$ (see [Kal18, §3.1]),
- when $F = \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{E}_F^{\text{iso}}$ corresponds to the nontrivial class of $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{C}))$, and
- when $F = \mathbb{C}$, $\mathcal{E}_F^{\text{iso}} = \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{C})$.

We then define $B(G)$ in all cases to be the set $H_{\text{alg}}^1(\mathcal{E}_F^{\text{iso}}, G(\overline{F}))$ of equivalence classes of algebraic cocycles $Z_{\text{alg}}^1(\mathcal{E}_F^{\text{iso}}, G(\overline{F}))$ (see [Kot14, §2, §10]).

For $z \in Z_{\text{alg}}^1(\mathcal{E}_F^{\text{iso}}, G(\overline{F}))$, we define an algebraic group G_b over F by

$$G_b(R) := \{g \in G(R \otimes_F \overline{F}) \mid \text{Int}(z_e)(\gamma(g)) = g, \forall e \in \mathcal{E}_F^{\text{iso}} \text{ such that } \pi(e) = \gamma\},$$

for any F -algebra R . Then G_b is an inner form of a standard Levi subgroup of G . This Levi subgroup is given by the centralizer of the image of $b := [z]$ under the Newton map, which is mentioned next.

The Kottwitz set $B(G)$ has two important invariants. In the non-Archimedean and complex cases, these invariants completely determine the set $B(G)$.

The first invariant is the Kottwitz map

$$\kappa_G : B(G) \rightarrow X^*(Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma) \cong \pi_1(G)_\Gamma,$$

where $(-)^{\Gamma}$ (resp. $(-)_{\Gamma}$) denotes the Γ -invariants (resp. Γ -coinvariants) ([Kot14, §11]). When G is a torus, the Kottwitz map is bijective (see [Kot14, §13.2]).

The second invariant is the Newton map [Kot14, §10.7]

$$\nu_G : B(G) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_T,$$

which takes image in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

In the non-Archimedean case, this is constructed by noting that by definition of an algebraic cocycle, the restriction of $z \in Z_{\text{alg}}^1(\mathcal{E}_F^{\text{iso}}, G(\overline{F}))$ to $\mathbb{D}_F(\overline{F})$ is induced from a homomorphism $\nu_z : \mathbb{D}_F \rightarrow G$ defined over \overline{F} with Γ -invariant $G(\overline{F})$ -conjugacy class. Modifying z by a coboundary has the effect of conjugating z by an element of $G(\overline{F})$, so we get that $[z] \mapsto [\nu_z] \in (\text{Hom}_{\overline{F}}(\mathbb{D}_F, G)/G(\overline{F}))^{\Gamma}$, which corresponds to a unique element of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. In the Archimedean case, we have an analogous construction with \mathbb{G}_m taking the role of \mathbb{D}_F .

We define $B(G)_{\text{bas}} \subset B(G)$ to be the preimage of \mathfrak{A}_G under the Newton map ν_G and recall that in the non-Archimedean case, κ_G induces a bijection $B(G)_{\text{bas}} \cong X^*(Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma)$ [Kot14, Proposition 13.1.(1)].

In the real case, $\kappa_G|_{B(G)_{\text{bas}}}$ is no longer injective or surjective so $B(G)_{\text{bas}}$ is parametrized differently. Recall a fundamental torus of G is defined to be a maximal torus of minimal split rank. Suppose $S \subset G$ is a fundamental torus. Then $B(S)_{G\text{-bas}}$ is defined to be the subset of $B(S)$ whose image under $B(S) \rightarrow B(G)$ lies in $B(G)_{\text{bas}}$. The map $B(S)_{G\text{-bas}} \rightarrow B(G)_{\text{bas}}$ is surjective (see [Kot14, Lemma 13.2] and its proof) and induces a bijection $B(S)_{G\text{-bas}}/W_G(S)^\Gamma \cong B(G)_{\text{bas}}$, where $W_G(S)$ denotes the Weyl group of S in G .

Recall that for each standard Levi subgroup M , there is a map $X_*(A_M) \rightarrow X^*(A_{\widehat{M}})$ given by

$$X_*(A_M) \hookrightarrow X_*(Z(M)^\circ) \cong X^*(\widehat{M}_{\text{ab}}) = X^*(\widehat{M}) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} X^*(A_{\widehat{M}}),$$

which induces an isomorphism after taking the tensor product with \mathbb{R} . We write α_M for the inverse of this isomorphism:

$$(2.1) \quad \alpha_M : X^*(Z(\widehat{M})^\Gamma)_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{A}_M \subset \mathfrak{A}_T,$$

where we note that the restriction map induces an isomorphism $X^*(Z(\widehat{M})^\Gamma)_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\sim} X^*(A_{\widehat{M}})_{\mathbb{R}}$. We remark that the restriction of the Newton map ν_G on $B(G)_{\text{bas}}$ is given by the composition of κ_G and α_G (see [Kot14, Proposition 11.5], cf. [Kot97, §4.4]):

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \xrightarrow{\nu_G} & & \\ & \text{---} & & \text{---} & \\ B(G)_{\text{bas}} & \xrightarrow{\kappa_G} & X^*(Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & X^*(Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma)_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\alpha_G} \mathfrak{A}_G \end{array}$$

(See also Remark 2.1.)

For any standard parabolic subgroup P with Levi decomposition $P = MN$ such that $M \supset T$ (i.e., M is a standard Levi subgroup), we put

$$\mathfrak{A}_P^+ := \{\mu \in \mathfrak{A}_M \mid \langle \alpha, \mu \rangle > 0 \text{ for any root of } T \text{ in } N\}.$$

Then we have the decomposition

$$(2.3) \quad \overline{\mathcal{C}} = \coprod_P \mathfrak{A}_P^+,$$

where the index is the set of standard parabolic subgroups of G . We define the subset $B(G)_P$ of $B(G)$ to be the preimage of \mathfrak{A}_P^+ under the Newton map. This gives the decomposition

$$(2.4) \quad B(G) = \coprod_P B(G)_P.$$

Note that $B(G)_G = B(G)_{\text{bas}}$. For a general standard parabolic $P = MN$, $B(G)_P$ has the following description. By noting that the image of the Newton map $\nu_M|_{B(M)_{\text{bas}}}$ lies in \mathfrak{A}_M , we define $B(M)_{\text{bas}}^+$ by

$$B(M)_{\text{bas}}^+ := \{b \in B(M)_{\text{bas}} \mid \nu_M(b) \in \mathfrak{A}_P^+\}.$$

Then the canonical map $B(M) \rightarrow B(G)$ induces a bijection $B(M)_{\text{bas}}^+ \xrightarrow{1:1} B(G)_P$ (see [Kot97, §5.1] for the non-Archimedean case). Indeed, given a $b \in B(G)_P$, we choose a cocycle representative z whose restriction to \mathbb{G}_m or \mathbb{D}_F is equal to $\nu_G(b)$. Then z will factor through the centralizer of $\nu_G(b)$, which is M . Hence, it suffices

to prove the injectivity. But if $z_1, z_2 \in B(M)_{\text{bas}}^+$ are conjugate by some $g \in G(\overline{F})$, then we can assume their restrictions to \mathbb{G}_m or \mathbb{D}_F are equal. Then g centralizes this restriction so lies in M .

Remark 2.1. Let us give some comments on the difference between the convention used in [Kot14] and ours (which is closer to the one in [Kot97]). Recall that \mathbb{D}_F is defined to be $\varprojlim_{K/F} \mathbb{G}_m$, where the projective limit is taken over the directed set of finite Galois extensions K/F and the transition map for $L \supset K \supset F$ is given by $\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m: z \mapsto z^{[L:K]}$. Thus the character group $X^*(\mathbb{D}_F)$ is given by $\varinjlim_{K/F} \mathbb{Z}$, where the transition map for $L \supset K \supset F$ is given by $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}: x \mapsto [L:K]x$. The point is that we have a natural injective map

$$(2.5) \quad X^*(\mathbb{D}_F) = \varinjlim_{K/F} \mathbb{Z} \cong \varinjlim_{K/F} \frac{1}{[K:F]} \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q},$$

where the middle isomorphism is given by $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \frac{1}{[K:F]} \mathbb{Z}: x \mapsto \frac{x}{[K:F]}$ at each K/F and the last map is the one induced from the inclusion $\frac{1}{[K:F]} \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ (note that the transition maps of $\varinjlim_{K/F} \frac{1}{[K:F]} \mathbb{Z}$ are natural inclusions).

- (1) In [Kot14, §11.5], the target of the Kottwitz map is given by

$$A(F, G) := \varinjlim_{K/F} X^*(Z(\hat{G}))_{\text{Gal}(K/F)}.$$

Here, the limit is taken over the directed set of finite Galois extensions K/F such that the action of Γ on $X^*(Z(\hat{G}))$ factors through $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ and the transition maps are the isomorphisms induced from the identity maps. Thus we naturally have $A(F, G) \cong X^*(Z(\hat{G}))_{\Gamma} (\cong X^*(Z(\hat{G})^{\Gamma}))$.

- (2) In [Kot14, §1.4.1], the target of the Newton map restricted to the basic part is given by $(X^*(\hat{G}_{\text{ab}}) \otimes X^*(\mathbb{D}_F))^{\Gamma}$. Let us write

$$\nu'_G|_{B(G)_{\text{bas}}}: B(G)_{\text{bas}} \rightarrow (X^*(\hat{G}_{\text{ab}}) \otimes X^*(\mathbb{D}_F))^{\Gamma}$$

for this map in order to emphasize the difference of the conventions. Using the above identification (2.5), we have

$$(X^*(\hat{G}_{\text{ab}}) \otimes X^*(\mathbb{D}_F))^{\Gamma} \hookrightarrow X^*(\hat{G}_{\text{ab}})_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\Gamma} \cong X_*(Z(G)^{\circ})_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\Gamma} \cong X_*(A_G)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Then our Newton map $\nu_G|_{B(G)_{\text{bas}}}$ is nothing but the composition of $\nu'_G|_{B(G)_{\text{bas}}}$ with the inclusion $(X^*(\hat{G}_{\text{ab}}) \otimes X^*(\mathbb{D}_F))^{\Gamma} \hookrightarrow X_*(A_G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

- (3) In [Kot14, Definition 11.3], a map

$$N: A(F, G) \rightarrow (X^*(Z(\hat{G})) \otimes X^*(\mathbb{D}_F))^{\Gamma}$$

is constructed by taking the inductive limit of the norm map

$$N_{K/F}: X^*(Z(\hat{G}))_{\text{Gal}(K/F)} \rightarrow X^*(Z(\hat{G}))_{\text{Gal}(K/F)}^{\text{Gal}(K/F)}$$

given by $\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)} \sigma$ at each finite level. Note that, if we compose the map N with the above identification (2.5) (and also $A(F, G) \cong X^*(Z(\hat{G}))_{\Gamma}$), the resulting map $X^*(Z(\hat{G}))_{\Gamma} \rightarrow X^*(Z(\hat{G}))_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\Gamma}$ is given by $\frac{1}{[K:F]} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)} \sigma$ at each finite level. Thus, by furthermore composing it with the quotient map $X^*(Z(\hat{G}))_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\Gamma} \rightarrow X^*(Z(\hat{G}))_{\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma}$, we get the natural map $X^*(Z(\hat{G}))_{\Gamma} \rightarrow X^*(Z(\hat{G}))_{\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma}$.

- (4) In fact, [Kot14, Proposition 11.5] mentioned before asserts that $N \circ \kappa_G$ is equal to $i \circ \nu'_G|_{B(G)_{\text{bas}}}$, where i denotes the natural map $(X^*(\hat{G}_{\text{ab}}) \otimes X^*(\mathbb{D}_F))^\Gamma \rightarrow (X^*(Z(\hat{G})) \otimes X^*(\mathbb{D}_F))^\Gamma$. By putting all the above observations into together, we obtain the assertion as in (2.2) (after furthermore changing the coefficients from \mathbb{Q} to \mathbb{R}).

The situation can be summarized as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 B(G)_{\text{bas}} & \xrightarrow{\kappa_G} & X^*(Z(\hat{G}))_\Gamma \\
 \downarrow \nu'_G|_{B(G)_{\text{bas}}} & & \downarrow N \\
 (X^*(\hat{G}_{\text{ab}}) \otimes X^*(\mathbb{D}_F))^\Gamma & \xrightarrow{i} & (X^*(Z(\hat{G})) \otimes X^*(\mathbb{D}_F))^\Gamma \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 X^*(\hat{G}_{\text{ab}})^\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X^*(Z(\hat{G}))^\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}} \\
 \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \\
 X_*(A_G)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_G^{-1}} & X^*(Z(\hat{G}))_{\mathbb{Q}, \Gamma}
 \end{array}
 \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Left side: } \nu_G|_{B(G)_{\text{bas}}} \\ \text{Right side: } (-) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \end{array}$$

The top square commutes by [Kot14, Proposition 11.5]. It can be easily seen that the middle and bottom squares also commute. Thus we get the commutativity of the outer big square, as stated in (2.2).

2.2. Representation theory of disconnected reductive groups. We now briefly recall the theory of algebraic representations of disconnected reductive groups as in [AHR20]. For us, a disconnected reductive group is an algebraic group G whose identity component G° is reductive. For an L -parameter ϕ of G , the group S_ϕ is disconnected reductive (see Lemma 2.5) and we need to understand the algebraic representations of these groups. For this reason, we always assume in this section our groups are defined over \mathbb{C} and only consider \mathbb{C} -valued representations.

Suppose G is disconnected reductive and fix a maximal torus T and Borel subgroup B of G° such that $T \subset B \subset G^\circ$. We put $W_G(T) := N_G(T)/T$ and $W_G(T, B) := N_G(T, B)/T$, where $N_G(T, B) := \{n \in G \mid {}^n(T, B) = (T, B)\}$.

Lemma 2.2. (1) *We have a canonical bijection $\pi_0(G) \xrightarrow{\cong} W_G(T, B)$.*
 (2) *We have $W_G(T) = W_{G^\circ}(T) \rtimes W_G(T, B)$.*

Proof. Let us first show (1). For $\bar{g} \in \pi_0(G)$, we can choose a representative $g \in G$. Then the conjugation map $\text{Int}(g)$ takes (T, B) to some pair ${}^g(T, B)$. All pairs are conjugate in G° so we can find some $g^\circ \in G^\circ$ such that $\text{Int}(g^\circ)$ takes ${}^g(T, B)$ to (T, B) . Then we let \bar{g} act on T by $\text{Int}(g^\circ g)$ where we have $g^\circ g \in N_G(T, B)$. Any two such g° differ by an element of T so this indeed gives a well-defined action. Suppose that $g_1, g_2 \in G$ give the same element of $W_G(T, B)$. Then we have elements $g_1^\circ, g_2^\circ \in G^\circ$ and $t \in T$ satisfying $g_1^\circ g_1 = g_2^\circ g_2 t$. This means that g_1 and g_2 are equal in $\pi_0(G)$. The surjectivity of the map is obvious.

We next show (2). Since G° is normal in G , so is $W_{G^\circ}(T)$ in $W_G(T)$. We have $W_{G^\circ}(T) \cap W_G(T, B) = W_{G^\circ}(T, B) = \{1\}$. Thus it is enough only to show that any element of $W_G(T)$ can be written as a product of elements of $W_{G^\circ}(T)$ and $W_G(T, B)$. Choose $w \in W_G(T)$. Then ${}^w(T, B) = (T, {}^wB)$ and we can choose $w_0 \in W_{G^\circ}(T)$ such that ${}^{w_0}B = {}^wB$. Then $w_0^{-1}w \in W_G(T, B)$, which concludes the proof. \square

For each dominant $\lambda \in X^*(T)^+$, we let $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ denote the irreducible algebraic representation of G° with highest weight λ . We define $A^\lambda \subset \pi_0(G)$ to be the stabilizer of λ under the action just described. We let G^λ be the pre-image in G of A^λ . For each $a \in A^\lambda$, we fix a representative $\iota(a)$ of a in G^λ and an G° -equivariant isomorphism

$$\theta_a: \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\cong} {}^{\iota(a)}\mathcal{L}(\lambda),$$

such that $\iota(1) = 1$ and $\theta_1 = \text{id}$. Then the data $\{\theta_a\}_{a \in A^\lambda}$ defines a 2-cocycle $\alpha(-, -): A^\lambda \times A^\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ (see [AHR20, §2.4] for the details). We define a twisted group algebra \mathcal{A}^λ to be the \mathbb{C} -vector space $\mathbb{C}[A^\lambda]$ spanned by symbols $\{\rho_a \mid a \in A^\lambda\}$ with multiplication given by $\rho_a \cdot \rho_b = \alpha(a, b)\rho_{ab}$ for $a, b \in A^\lambda$.

For each simple \mathcal{A}^λ -module E , we have an irreducible representation $\mathcal{L}(\lambda, E)$ of G given by $\text{Ind}_{G^\lambda}^{G^\circ}(E \otimes \mathcal{L}(\lambda))$. Here, the G^λ -module structure on $E \otimes \mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ is given by

$$(\iota(a)g) \cdot (u \otimes v) = (\rho_a u) \otimes (\theta_a^{-1}(gv))$$

for $a \in A^\lambda$ and $g \in G^\circ$.

An $a \in \pi_0(G)$ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{L}(\lambda, E) \cong \mathcal{L}({}^a\lambda, {}^aE)$, for a certain simple $\mathcal{A}^{a\lambda}$ -module aE , and we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3 ([AHR20, Theorem 2.16]). *There is a bijection*

$$\{(\lambda, E)\}/\pi_0(G) \leftrightarrow \text{Irr}(G),$$

given by $(\lambda, E) \mapsto \mathcal{L}(\lambda, E)$, where $\{(\lambda, E)\}$ denotes the set of pairs of $\lambda \in X^*(T)^+$ and an isomorphism class of simple \mathcal{A}^λ -modules E and $\text{Irr}(G)$ denotes the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible algebraic representations of G .

Lemma 2.4. *The set $\{(\lambda, E)\}/\pi_0(G)$ can be identified with the set*

$$\coprod_{\lambda \in X^*(T)^+/W_G(T, B)} \{E: \text{simple } \mathcal{A}^\lambda\text{-module}\}/\cong,$$

where the index set is over a(ny) complete set of representatives of $X^*(T)^+/W_G(T, B)$ and each summand is the set of isomorphism classes of simple \mathcal{A}^λ -modules.

Proof. We first note that if two dominant characters λ_1 and λ_2 satisfy $\lambda_2 = w \cdot \lambda_1$ for $w \in W_{G^\circ}(T)$, then we must have $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ (see, e.g., [Hum78, Lemma 10.3.B]). Thus, by Lemma 2.2, we have $X^*(T)^+/\pi_0(G) = X^*(T)^+/W_G(T, B)$. By fixing a complete set of representatives of $X^*(T)^+/W_G(T, B)$, we get a surjective map

$$\coprod_{\lambda \in X^*(T)^+/W_G(T, B)} \{E: \text{simple } \mathcal{A}^\lambda\text{-module}\}/\cong \twoheadrightarrow \{(\lambda, E)\}/\pi_0(G): E \mapsto (\lambda, E).$$

Let us consider the fibers of this map. For any simple \mathcal{A}^{λ_1} -module E_1 and simple \mathcal{A}^{λ_2} -module E_2 , (λ_1, E_1) and (λ_2, E_2) are equivalent under the $\pi_0(G)$ -action if and only if $\lambda_2 = \lambda_1$ (as λ_1 and λ_2 are representatives of $X^*(T)^+/\pi_0(G) = X^*(T)^+/W_G(T, B)$) and $E_2 \cong {}^w E_1$ for some $w \in \pi_0(G)$ stabilizing λ_1 . Since $\text{Stab}_{\pi_0(G)}(\lambda) = A^\lambda$ by definition, we have ${}^w E_1 \cong E_1$. In other words, the above map is in fact bijective. \square

2.3. S -groups of L -parameters as disconnected reductive groups. Let $\phi: L_F \rightarrow {}^L G$ be an L -parameter of G , where $L_F = W_F \times \mathrm{SL}_2$ in the non-Archimedean case and W_F in the Archimedean case. Let ${}^L M$ be a smallest Levi subgroup of ${}^L G$ such that ϕ factors through the L -embedding ${}^L M \hookrightarrow {}^L G$. Up to replacing ϕ with a conjugate, we can and do assume that ${}^L M$ is a standard Levi subgroup. Let M be an F -rational standard Levi subgroup of G which corresponds to ${}^L M$. Then, ϕ is discrete as an L -parameter of M . For each F -rational standard Levi subgroup L containing M , we define $S_{\phi,L} := Z_{\hat{L}}(\mathrm{im}\phi)$.

Lemma 2.5. (1) *The group S_{ϕ}° is a connected reductive group.*
 (2) *We have $S_{\phi,M}^{\circ} = A_{\widehat{M}}$ and this is a maximal torus of S_{ϕ}° .*
 (3) *For any F -rational standard Levi subgroup L containing M , the group $S_{\phi,L}^{\circ}$ is a Levi subgroup of S_{ϕ}° and satisfies $S_{\phi,L}^{\circ} = S_{\phi,L} \cap S_{\phi}^{\circ}$.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S_{\phi,M} & \hookrightarrow & S_{\phi,L} & \hookrightarrow & S_{\phi} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ S_{\phi,M}^{\circ} = A_{\widehat{M}} & \hookrightarrow & S_{\phi,L}^{\circ} & \hookrightarrow & S_{\phi}^{\circ} \end{array}$$

Proof. See [Kot84, 10.1.1, Lemma] (and also a comment in [Kot84, §12, p.648]) for the assertion (1).

The equality $S_{\phi,M}^{\circ} = A_{\widehat{M}}$ follows from the fact that ϕ is discrete as an L -parameter of M (see [Kot84, 10.3.1, Lemma]). We note that $\hat{L} = Z_{\hat{G}}(A_{\hat{L}})$ (see [KMSW14, §0.4.1]). We have

$$S_{\phi,L}^{\circ} = (\hat{L} \cap S_{\phi}^{\circ})^{\circ} = (Z_{\hat{G}}(A_{\hat{L}}) \cap S_{\phi}^{\circ})^{\circ} = Z_{S_{\phi}^{\circ}}(A_{\hat{L}})^{\circ}.$$

As S_{ϕ}° is a connected reductive group, the centralizer $Z_{S_{\phi}^{\circ}}(A_{\hat{L}})$ of a torus $A_{\hat{L}}$ is a Levi subgroup of S_{ϕ}° (in particular, connected). This also shows that $A_{\widehat{M}}$ is a maximal torus of S_{ϕ}° .

Let us finally verify the equality $S_{\phi,L}^{\circ} = S_{\phi,L} \cap S_{\phi}^{\circ}$. The inclusion $S_{\phi,L}^{\circ} \subset S_{\phi,L} \cap S_{\phi}^{\circ}$ is obvious, so it suffices to check the converse inclusion $S_{\phi,L}^{\circ} \supset S_{\phi,L} \cap S_{\phi}^{\circ}$. For this, it is enough to show that $S_{\phi,L} \cap S_{\phi}^{\circ}$ is connected. We have

$$S_{\phi,L} \cap S_{\phi}^{\circ} = (\hat{L} \cap S_{\phi}) \cap S_{\phi}^{\circ} = Z_{\hat{G}}(A_{\hat{L}}) \cap S_{\phi}^{\circ} = Z_{S_{\phi}^{\circ}}(A_{\hat{L}}).$$

Thus $S_{\phi,L} \cap S_{\phi}^{\circ}$ is connected as shown above. \square

Note that Lemma 2.5 implies that for the fixed L -parameter ϕ , the Levi subgroup M is determined canonically up to conjugation. Indeed, suppose that ${}^L M'$ is another smallest Levi subgroup of ${}^L G$ such that ϕ factors through ${}^L M'$. Let us assume that ${}^g({}^L M)$ and ${}^{g'}({}^L M')$ are standard. Then, by the above lemma, $A_{g\widehat{M}}$ and $A_{g'\widehat{M}'}$ are maximal tori of $S_{g\phi}^{\circ}$ and $S_{g'\phi}^{\circ}$, respectively. Noting that ${}^{gg'^{-1}}S_{g'\phi}^{\circ} = S_{g\phi}^{\circ}$, both $A_{g\widehat{M}}$ and ${}^{gg'^{-1}}A_{g'\widehat{M}'} = A_{g\widehat{M}}$ are maximal tori of $S_{g\phi}^{\circ}$, hence conjugate by $S_{g\phi}^{\circ}$. This implies that $A_{\widehat{M}}$ and $A_{\widehat{M}'}$ are conjugate by S_{ϕ}° . By using ${}^L M = Z_{{}^L G}(A_{\widehat{M}})$ and ${}^L M' = Z_{{}^L G}(A_{\widehat{M}'})$ ([KMSW14, §4.0.1]), we also see that ${}^L M$ and ${}^L M'$ are conjugate by S_{ϕ}° . Thus, M and M' are conjugate in G .

2.4. Weyl group constructions. Let ϕ and M be as in the previous section. Suppose that $\lambda \in X^*(A_{\widehat{M}})$ is given. Then we have $\alpha_M(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{A}_M \subset \mathfrak{A}_T$ where α_M is the map of (2.1). We take an element $w \in W^{\text{rel}}$ such that $w \cdot \alpha_M(\lambda) \in \overline{C} \subset \mathfrak{A}_T$. Let us write $M' := {}^w M$ and $\lambda' := {}^w \lambda$. Thus we have $w \cdot \alpha_M(\lambda) = \alpha_{M'}(\lambda')$. According to the decomposition (2.3), there exists a unique standard parabolic subgroup Q_λ of G satisfying $\alpha_{M'}(\lambda') \in \mathfrak{A}_{Q_\lambda}^+$. We let L_λ be the F -rational standard Levi subgroup of G associated to Q_λ (hence we have $T \subset M' \subset L_\lambda \subset G$). Equivalently, L_λ is the centralizer of an element of $X_*(A_T)$ given by some suitable scaling of $\alpha_{M'}(\lambda')$. We simply write Q and L for Q_λ and L_λ in the following, respectively. We note that the map $\alpha_T^{-1} \circ \alpha_{M'} : X^*(A_{\widehat{M'}})_{\mathbb{R}} \hookrightarrow X^*(A_{\widehat{T}})_{\mathbb{R}}$ gives a section to the restriction map $X^*(A_{\widehat{T}})_{\mathbb{R}} \twoheadrightarrow X^*(A_{\widehat{M'}})_{\mathbb{R}}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{A}_{M'} & \xleftarrow{\alpha_{M'}} & X^*(A_{\widehat{M'}})_{\mathbb{R}} \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \text{res} \\ \mathfrak{A}_T & \xrightarrow{\alpha_T^{-1}} & X^*(A_{\widehat{T}})_{\mathbb{R}} \end{array}$$

By furthermore noting that the isomorphism α_T is equivariant with respect to the action of $W^{\text{rel}} \cong \widehat{W}^{\text{rel}}$, we get the following.

Lemma 2.6. *We have $\text{Stab}_{W^{\text{rel}}}(\alpha_{M'}(\lambda')) = W_L^{\text{rel}}$ and $\text{Stab}_{\widehat{W}^{\text{rel}}}(\alpha_T^{-1} \circ \alpha_{M'}(\lambda')) = \widehat{W}_L^{\text{rel}}$.*

In the following, by choosing a representative $\dot{w} \in N_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{T}})$ of $w \in W^{\text{rel}} \cong \widehat{W}^{\text{rel}}$ and replacing ϕ with $\dot{w}\phi$, let us write M and λ for M' and λ' , respectively.

We fix a Borel subgroup $B_\phi \subset S_\phi^\circ$ containing $A_{\widehat{M}}$. We put

- $W_\phi := W_{S_\phi}(A_{\widehat{M}}) := N_{S_\phi}(A_{\widehat{M}})/A_{\widehat{M}}$,
- $W_\phi^\circ := W_{S_\phi^\circ}(A_{\widehat{M}}) := N_{S_\phi^\circ}(A_{\widehat{M}})/A_{\widehat{M}}$,
- $R_\phi := W_{S_\phi}(A_{\widehat{M}}, B_\phi) := N_{S_\phi}(A_{\widehat{M}}, B_\phi)/A_{\widehat{M}}$.

Then, by Lemma 2.2, we have an identification $\pi_0(S_\phi) \cong R_\phi$ and the semi-direct product decomposition $W_\phi = W_\phi^\circ \rtimes R_\phi$. Note that we have a natural map

$$(2.6) \quad W_\phi = N_{S_\phi}(A_{\widehat{M}})/A_{\widehat{M}} \rightarrow N_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{M}})/\widehat{M} = W_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{M}}).$$

Lemma 2.7. *We have a natural injective map $W_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{M}}) \hookrightarrow \widehat{W}^{\text{rel}}$. Moreover, via this injection, the restriction map $X^*(A_{\widehat{T}})_{\mathbb{R}} \twoheadrightarrow X^*(A_{\widehat{M}})_{\mathbb{R}}$ is equivariant with respect to the actions of $W_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{M}})$ on $X^*(A_{\widehat{M}})_{\mathbb{R}}$ and \widehat{W}^{rel} on $X^*(A_{\widehat{T}})_{\mathbb{R}}$.*

Proof. The construction of the injective map can be found in [KMSW14, §0.4.3 and §0.4.7]. For the sake of completeness, we explain it. We note that $W_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{T}}) = W_{\widehat{G}}(\widehat{T})^\Gamma$ (see [KMSW14, §0.4.3]) and that the same fact holds replacing \widehat{T} with an F -rational standard Levi subgroup of \widehat{G} . We will first prove that we have an injective map $W_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{M}}) \hookrightarrow W_{\widehat{G}}(\widehat{T})$, and then show that this map is Γ -equivariant, which will finish the proof of the first assertion.

Set $\widehat{B}_{\widehat{M}} := \widehat{B} \cap \widehat{M}$. Then $(\widehat{T}, \widehat{B}_{\widehat{M}})$ is a Borel pair of \widehat{M} . Let $n \in N_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{M}})$, hence we have ${}^n A_{\widehat{M}} = A_{\widehat{M}}$. As $A_{\widehat{M}} \subset {}^n \widehat{T}$, we get $\widehat{M} \supset {}^n \widehat{T}$ by taking centralizers in \widehat{G} . Since ${}^n \widehat{B}$ is a Borel subgroup of \widehat{G} containing ${}^n \widehat{T}$, it follows that ${}^n \widehat{B} \cap \widehat{M} = {}^n \widehat{B}_{\widehat{M}}$ is a Borel subgroup of \widehat{M} containing ${}^n \widehat{T}$. Thus $({}^n \widehat{T}, \widehat{B}_{\widehat{M}})$ is also a Borel pair of \widehat{M} . Hence there exists an element m of \widehat{M} (unique up to right \widehat{T} -multiplication) such

that ${}^m(\widehat{T}, \widehat{B}_{\widehat{M}}) = {}^n(\widehat{T}, \widehat{B}_{\widehat{M}})$, which implies that $m^{-1}n \in N_{\widehat{G}}(\widehat{T})$. In other words, we have obtained a well-defined map $N_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{M}})/\widehat{M} \rightarrow N_{\widehat{G}}(\widehat{T})/\widehat{T}$ (given by $n \mapsto m^{-1}n$).

Let us suppose that two elements $n_1, n_2 \in N_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{M}})$ map to the same element of $N_{\widehat{G}}(\widehat{T})/\widehat{T}$. By the definition of the map, this means that there exist $m_1, m_2 \in \widehat{M}$ such that $m_1^{-1}n_1 = m_2^{-1}n_2t$ with some $t \in \widehat{T}$, or equivalently, $m_1^{-1}n_1 = t'm_2^{-1}n_2$ with some $t' \in \widehat{T}$. In particular, we have $n_2n_1^{-1} = m_2t'^{-1}m_1^{-1} \in \widehat{M}$. Thus n_1 and n_2 are equal in $N_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{M}})/\widehat{M}$.

We now prove Γ -equivariance. Fix $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and consider $\gamma(m^{-1}n) \in N_{\widehat{G}}(\widehat{T})$. It suffices to show that $\gamma(m^{-1}n)\widehat{B} = {}^{m^{-1}n}\widehat{B}$. In fact, since $m^{-1}n$ preserves $\widehat{B}_{\widehat{M}}$, we need only show that $\gamma(m^{-1}n)U_{\widehat{P}} = {}^{m^{-1}n}U_{\widehat{P}}$, where $U_{\widehat{P}}$ denotes the unipotent radical of the standard parabolic \widehat{P} with Levi component \widehat{M} . But since $m^{-1}n$ gives a γ -invariant element of $W_{\widehat{G}}(\widehat{M})$, we have $\gamma(m^{-1}n) = m^{-1}nm'$ for some $m' \in \widehat{M}$. Then the result follows from the fact that \widehat{M} normalizes $U_{\widehat{P}}$.

By this construction, the second assertion for the restriction map is obvious. \square

We also need the following.

Lemma 2.8. *The map $\alpha_T^{-1} \circ \alpha_M: X^*(A_{\widehat{M}})_{\mathbb{R}} \hookrightarrow X^*(A_{\widehat{T}})_{\mathbb{R}}$ is equivariant with respect to the action of $W_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{M}}) \hookrightarrow \widehat{W}^{\text{rel}}$.*

Proof. Similarly to the previous lemma, it can be also checked that we have a natural inclusion $W_G(A_M) \hookrightarrow W^{\text{rel}}$ and that the inclusion map $\mathfrak{A}_M \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{A}_T$ is equivariant with respect to the action of $W_G(A_M) \hookrightarrow W^{\text{rel}}$. Then the statement follows by checking that α_T (resp. α_M) is equivariant with respect to the actions of $W^{\text{rel}} \cong \widehat{W}^{\text{rel}}$ (resp. $W_G(A_M) \cong W_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{M}})$) and that the inclusions $W_G(A_M) \hookrightarrow W^{\text{rel}}$ and $W_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{M}}) \hookrightarrow \widehat{W}^{\text{rel}}$ are consistent under the identifications $W^{\text{rel}} \cong \widehat{W}^{\text{rel}}$ and $W_G(A_M) \cong W_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{M}})$. \square

Following the notation of §2.2, we denote the stabilizer of λ in $\pi_0(S_{\phi})$ by A^{λ} . Here, recall that $\pi_0(S_{\phi})$ acts on $X^*(A_{\widehat{M}})$ through the identification $\pi_0(S_{\phi}) \cong R_{\phi}$. We denote the stabilizer of λ in $\pi_0(S_{\phi,L})$ by A_L^{λ} . We define the groups $W_{\phi,L}$, $W_{\phi,L}^{\circ}$, and $R_{\phi,L}$ in the same way as W_{ϕ} , W_{ϕ}° , and R_{ϕ} , respectively. Note that $\pi_0(S_{\phi,L})$ can be regarded as a subgroup of $\pi_0(S_{\phi})$ by Lemma 2.5 (3).

Proposition 2.9. *We have $\pi_0(S_{\phi,L}) = A_L^{\lambda}$ and the natural map $A_L^{\lambda} \rightarrow A^{\lambda}$ is surjective, hence bijective.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_0(S_{\phi,L}) & \hookrightarrow & \pi_0(S_{\phi}) \\ \parallel & & \uparrow \\ A_L^{\lambda} & \xhookrightarrow{\quad = \quad} & A^{\lambda} \end{array}$$

Proof. Our task is to show that, for any $g \in \pi_0(S_{\phi})$, g stabilizes λ if and only if $s \in \pi_0(S_{\phi,L})$. By letting $w \in R_{\phi}$ be the image of $g \in \pi_0(S_{\phi})$ under the identification $\pi_0(S_{\phi}) \cong R_{\phi}$, it suffices to check that w stabilizes λ if and only if $w \in R_{\phi,L}$. For this, it is enough to check that the image of w in $W_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{M}})$ under the map (2.6) (say \overline{w}) stabilizes λ if and only if \overline{w} lies in $W_{\widehat{L}}(A_{\widehat{M}})$. We note that, by construction,

the maps of Lemma 2.7 for G and L are compatible.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\pi_0(S_\phi) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & R_\phi & \longrightarrow & W_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{M}}) & \hookrightarrow & \widehat{W}^{\text{rel}} \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\pi_0(S_{\phi,L}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & R_{\phi,L} & \longrightarrow & W_{\widehat{L}}(A_{\widehat{M}}) & \hookrightarrow & \widehat{W}_L^{\text{rel}}
\end{array}$$

If we let \tilde{w} be the image of $\overline{w} \in W_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{M}})$ in \widehat{W}^{rel} , then \overline{w} stabilizes λ if and only if \tilde{w} stabilizes $\alpha_T^{-1} \circ \alpha_M(\lambda)$ by Lemma 2.8. By Lemma 2.6, this is equivalent to $\tilde{w} \in \widehat{W}_L^{\text{rel}}$. This completes the proof. \square

3. REVIEW OF THE $B(G)_{\text{bas}}$ FORM OF THE CONJECTURAL CORRESPONDENCE.

In this section we review the conjectural local Langlands correspondence parametrized in terms of $B(G)_{\text{bas}}$ following [Kal16a, §2.5]. Recall that we fixed an F -splitting $(T, B, \{X_\alpha\})$ of G . Fix also a nontrivial additive character $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. This defines a Whittaker datum for G which we denote by \mathfrak{w} . For an L -parameter ϕ of G , we let $S_\phi = Z_{\widehat{G}}(\text{im } \phi)$ and define S_ϕ^\natural to equal $S_\phi / (\widehat{G}_{\text{der}} \cap S_\phi)^\circ$.

The local Langlands correspondence with $B(G)_{\text{bas}}$ -parametrization is as follows:

Conjecture 3.1. *For each $b \in B(G)_{\text{bas}}$, there exists a finite-to-one map*

$$\text{LLC}_{G_b} : \Pi(G_b) \rightarrow \Phi(G),$$

or, equivalently, a partition

$$\Pi(G_b) = \coprod_{\phi \in \Phi(G)} \Pi_\phi(G_b),$$

where $\Pi_\phi(G_b)$ denotes the finite set $\text{LLC}_{G_b}^{-1}(\phi)$ (“ L -packet”). Furthermore, for each $\phi \in \Phi(G)$, the union of $\Pi_\phi(G_b)$ over $b \in B(G)_{\text{bas}}$ is equipped with a bijective map $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}$ to $\text{Irr}(S_\phi^\natural)$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\coprod_{b \in B(G)_{\text{bas}}} \Pi_\phi(G_b) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}} & \text{Irr}(S_\phi^\natural) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
B(G)_{\text{bas}} & \xrightarrow{\kappa_G} & X^*(Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma),
\end{array}
\tag{3.1}$$

where the left vertical map is the obvious projection and the right vertical map takes central character.

In the following, we refer to Conjecture 3.1 as “ $B(G)_{\text{bas}}$ -LLC”.

Remark 3.2. We note that in [Kal16a], Conjecture 3.1 was stated for tempered L -parameters and that the proof of [BMHN22, Theorem 2.5] shows that if Conjecture 3.1 holds for all tempered L -parameters of each Levi subgroup of G , then it holds for all L -parameters of G .

3.1. The enhanced Archimedean basic correspondence. In the Archimedean case, the Kottwitz map κ_G is not injective. Thus, when π belongs to $\Pi_\phi(G_b)$ for $b \in B(G)_{\text{bas}}$, Conjecture 3.1 does not allow us to recover b from the $Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma$ -central character of $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}(\pi)$. We explain how to remedy this. Recall that when F is an Archimedean local field, we have $W_F = \mathcal{E}_F^{\text{iso}}$.

We first consider the simplest case when $F = \mathbb{C}$. Then the Newton map gives a bijection $\nu_G : B(G) \xrightarrow{\sim} X_*(T)^+$. An L -parameter is determined by two elements $\mu, \nu \in X_*(\widehat{T})_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\mu - \nu \in X_*(\widehat{T})$ via the formula $\phi(z) = z^\mu \bar{z}^\nu$. This implies the centralizer group, S_ϕ , is a Levi subgroup of \widehat{G} ([Vog93, Corollary 5.5]) and hence is connected. In particular, $S_\phi^\natural \cong \widehat{G}_{\text{ab}}$. The classical Langlands correspondence for \mathbb{C} (see [Vog93, Theorem 5.3]) gives a bijection between $\Pi(G)$ and $\Phi(G)$. Since $B(G)_{\text{bas}}$ is identified via ν_G with $X_*(A_G)$, which is canonically isomorphic to $X^*(\widehat{G}_{\text{ab}}) = \text{Irr}(S_\phi^\natural)$, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{b \in B(G)_{\text{bas}}} \Pi_\phi(G_b) & \longrightarrow & \text{Irr}(S_\phi^\natural) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B(G)_{\text{bas}} & \longrightarrow & X^*(\widehat{G}_{\text{ab}}), \end{array}$$

where every map is a bijection.

Now let $F = \mathbb{R}$. Let $\phi : W_F \rightarrow {}^L G$ be an L -parameter. Let M be a minimal Levi subgroup through which ϕ factors. By possibly replacing ϕ with a conjugate, we can assume M is a standard Levi subgroup. Then $\phi(W_F)$ normalizes a maximal torus of \widehat{M} (see [Lan89, pg. 126]), which we can assume is \widehat{T} , again possibly replacing ϕ by a conjugate. We have an element $\mu \in X_*(\widehat{T})_{\mathbb{C}}$ with $\mu - \phi(j)(\mu) \in X_*(\widehat{T})$ such that $\phi(z) = z^\mu \bar{z}^{\phi(j)(\mu)} \rtimes z$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, where $j \in W_{\mathbb{R}}$ projects to the nontrivial element of Γ and satisfies $j^2 = -1$. The group $A_{\widehat{M}}$ is a maximal torus of S_ϕ° (see §2.3) and we fix also a Borel subgroup B_ϕ of S_ϕ° containing $A_{\widehat{M}}$.

We explain first the discrete case where $G = M$ (our exposition parallels that of [Kal16b, §5.6]). Then we have $Z_{\widehat{G}}(\phi(\mathbb{C}^\times)) = \widehat{T}$ (see [Lan89, Lemma 3.3]) and note that ϕ induces an action of Γ on \widehat{T} , which will in general be distinct to the given action of Γ . This data specifies an \mathbb{R} -rational torus S whose dual is \widehat{T} with the Γ -action coming from ϕ . Our fixed Borel pair induces (T, B) gives us an embedding $S \rightarrow T \subset G$ defined over \mathbb{C} whose $G(\mathbb{C})$ -conjugacy class is Γ -stable. Since G is quasisplit, there exists an embedding $i : S \rightarrow G$ defined over \mathbb{R} in this conjugacy class.

Now fix an inner twist $\varphi : G \rightarrow G'$. Since $i(S)$ is a fundamental torus, $\varphi \circ i$ has a $G(\mathbb{C})$ -conjugate defined over \mathbb{R} and we call such an embedding *admissible*. Shelstad proves that the L -packet $\Pi_\phi(G')$ is in bijection with the set of $G'(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy classes of admissible embeddings $S \rightarrow G'$.

Using Shelstad's bijection, we now show how to construct $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}$ in 3.1. Fix $b \in B(G)_{\text{bas}}$ and choose a cocycle z representing b and let (G_b, φ, z) be an extended pure inner twist. In particular, this means $\varphi : G \rightarrow G_b$ and $\varphi^{-1} \circ \gamma(\varphi) = \text{Int}(z_e)$ for each $e \in \mathcal{E}_F^{\text{iso}}$ projecting to $\gamma \in \Gamma$. There exists a unique \mathfrak{w} -generic element $\pi_{\mathfrak{w}}$ of the packet $\Pi_\phi(G)$ which corresponds to an embedding $i_{\mathfrak{w}} : S \rightarrow G$. Then choose any $\pi \in \Pi_\phi(G_b)$ and take its corresponding embedding $i_\pi : S \rightarrow G_b$. Then take $g \in G(\mathbb{C})$ such that $i_\pi = \varphi \circ \text{Int}(g) \circ i_{\mathfrak{w}}$ and let $\text{inv}[z](\pi_{\mathfrak{w}}, \pi) \in B(S)_{G\text{-bas}}$

be the cohomology class corresponding to the cocycle $e \mapsto i_{\mathfrak{w}}^{-1}(g^{-1}z_e e(g))$. Then observe $B(S) = X^*(\widehat{S}^{\Gamma_\phi}) = X^*(\widehat{T}^{\Gamma_\phi})$ (where the ϕ -subscript reminds us that the invariants are with respect to the Γ -action induced by ϕ). Since ϕ is discrete, we have $S_\phi = S_\phi^\natural = \widehat{T}^{\Gamma_\phi}$. So this gives an element of $\text{Irr}(S_\phi^\natural)$ that recovers $b \in B(G)_{\text{bas}}$ via the map $B(S)_{G-\text{bas}} \rightarrow B(G)_{\text{bas}}$. Conversely, given an element of $B(S)$ whose image under $i_{\mathfrak{w}}$ equals $b \in B(G)_{\text{bas}}$, we get an admissible embedding $S \rightarrow G_b$ (proof analogous to [BM21b, Lemma 3.5]). Finally, we claim that $B(S)_{G-\text{bas}}$ is in bijection with $\text{Irr}(S_\phi^\natural)$ which follows from the fact that $B(S)_{G-\text{bas}} = B(S)$ (since $i_{\mathfrak{w}}(S) \subset G$ is an elliptic torus because ϕ is discrete).

We now explain how to handle the tempered case as in [She82], following the notation of [Kal16b, §5.6].

Remark 3.3. One could construct S by taking $Z_{\widehat{M}}(\phi(\mathbb{C}^\times))$ in analogy with the discrete case. However, this construction will in general give the “wrong” Γ -action on \widehat{S} . A simple example of this is the parameter $\phi: W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L\text{SL}_2$ where the composition of ϕ with the projection ${}^L\text{SL}_2 \rightarrow \widehat{\text{SL}}_2$ has kernel equal to \mathbb{C}^\times and $\phi(j) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \rtimes j$ where $j \in W_{\mathbb{R}}$ projects to the nontrivial element of Γ and satisfies $j^2 = -1$. Then the “naive” construction of S yields \mathbb{G}_m , but the construction we are about to describe produces $U(1)$.

In the tempered case, Shelstad ([She82, §5.3-§5.4]) defines a Levi subgroup $M_1 \supset M$, an element $s \in \widehat{G}$ and a parameter $\phi_1 = \text{Int}(s) \circ \phi$ that is therefore equivalent in ${}^L G$ to ϕ and such that ϕ_1 is a limit of discrete series parameter for M_1 . We have $\phi_1(W_{\mathbb{R}})$ normalizes \widehat{T} and $\phi_1(\mathbb{C}^\times) \subset \widehat{T}$. Hence ϕ_1 induces an action of Γ on \widehat{T} which gives a torus S which is elliptic in M_1 .

Using this, Shelstad proves that for each group G' that is an inner form of G , there is an L -packet $\Pi_\phi(G')$ that is in bijection with the admissible embeddings $i: S \rightarrow G'$ such that $i(\Delta_\phi)$ consists entirely of non-compact imaginary roots, where

$$\Delta_\phi = \{\alpha \in X^*(S) \cong X_*(\widehat{T}) \mid \alpha^\vee \in R(\widehat{T}, \widehat{G}), \langle \mu, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 0, \sum_{r \in R_\phi} r \cdot \alpha^\vee = 0\},$$

($R(\widehat{T}, \widehat{G})$ denotes the set of roots of \widehat{T} in \widehat{G}). We recall that the group R_ϕ acts on $X^*(\widehat{T})$ through the map $R_\phi \rightarrow W_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{M}}) \hookrightarrow \widehat{W}^{\text{rel}}$ (see §2.4).

We give a few details on this construction. Fix an inner twist $\varphi: G \rightarrow G'$ as before and assume that M transfers to some standard Levi M' of G' (if it does not, the L -packet will be trivial), and potentially change φ by conjugation so that it restricts to an inner twist $\varphi: M \rightarrow M'$. Then the Γ -cocycle given by $\sigma \mapsto \varphi^{-1} \circ \sigma(\varphi)$ takes values in $M_{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{C})$ and hence it follows that if we define $M'_1 = \varphi(M_1)$, then $\varphi: M_1 \rightarrow M'_1$ is also an inner twist. Then for each admissible embedding $i: S \rightarrow M'_1$, we obtain a distribution on M'_1 by taking a limit at μ of the character formula for an essentially discrete series representation. Next, we take the parabolic induction to G' and this is either 0 or an irreducible character. The L -packet $\Pi_\phi(G')$ corresponds to the set of these characters which are in bijection with certain $M'_1(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy classes of admissible embeddings $i: S \rightarrow M'_1$. We claim the set of all $M'_1(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy classes of admissible embeddings is the same as the set of all $G'(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy classes of admissible embeddings. Indeed the former (resp. latter) set is in bijection with $\ker(H^1(\mathbb{R}, S) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}, M'_1))$ (resp.

$\ker(H^1(\mathbb{R}, S) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}, G'))$ and it is a standard fact that $H^1(\mathbb{R}, M'_1) \hookrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}, G')$ (see, [Ces22, §1.3.5] for instance). Thus, we have a bijection between $\Pi_\phi(G')$ and $G'(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy classes of admissible embeddings $i : S \rightarrow G'$ such that $i(\Delta_\phi)$ consists of non-compact roots.

We need to characterize the set of embeddings i satisfying this non-compactness condition. There is a unique \mathfrak{w} -generic constituent $I_{P_1}^G(\pi_{M_1, \mathfrak{w}})$ of $\Pi_\phi(G)$. We let $i_{\mathfrak{w}} : S \rightarrow G$ denote the corresponding embedding. Now let $b \in B(G)_{\text{bas}}$ and choose an extended pure inner twist (G_b, φ, z) , where z is an algebraic cocycle representing b . Now, $i_{\mathfrak{w}} : S \rightarrow G$ is known to satisfy that $i_{\mathfrak{w}}(\Delta_\phi)$ consists of non-compact roots. The condition we need on some embedding $i_\pi : S \rightarrow G_b$ is that the image of $\text{inv}[z](\pi_{\mathfrak{w}}, \pi) \in B(S)$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}, S_{\text{ad}}) \cong \pi_0(\widehat{S_{\text{ad}}}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}})^\vee$ pairs to an even integer with each α^\vee such that $\alpha \in \Delta_\phi$. Indeed, note that in the notation of loc. cit., a root α is non-compact relative to the embedding $S \rightarrow G$ if and only if $f_{(G, S)}(\alpha) = 1$. Then by [Kal15, Proposition 4.3.(1)] and using $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}$ as our base-point, we need only determine when $\kappa_\alpha(\eta_{t, \alpha}) = 1$. By [Kal15, Proposition 4.3.(2)], this is equivalent to our claimed expression (recalling that $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\pm\alpha}$ since the roots in question are symmetric).

Note that $B(S)_{G\text{-bas}}$ is those elements of $B(S)$ whose image under the Newton map ν'_S in the sense of [Kot14] belongs to $(X^*(\widehat{G}_{\text{ab}}) \otimes X^*(\mathbb{D}_F))^\Gamma$ (see [Kot14, Definition 10.2] and also the discussion in Remark 2.1). Thus, by the diagram (2.2) and Remark 2.1, we have a diagram

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} B(S)_{G\text{-bas}} & \hookrightarrow & B(S) & \xrightarrow{\kappa_S} & X^*(\widehat{S}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}}) \\ \downarrow \nu'_S & & \downarrow \nu'_S & \swarrow N & \\ (X^*(\widehat{G}_{\text{ab}}) \otimes X^*(\mathbb{D}_F))^\Gamma & \hookrightarrow & (X^*(\widehat{S}) \otimes X^*(\mathbb{D}_F))^\Gamma & & \end{array}$$

In particular, the set $B(S)_{G\text{-bas}}$ corresponds to the subgroup of $X^*(\widehat{S}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}})$ which is the pre-image under N of $(X^*(\widehat{G}_{\text{ab}}) \otimes X^*(\mathbb{D}_F))^\Gamma$. By the anti-equivalence of categories between multiplicative groups and finitely generated abelian groups, we get a subgroup $\widehat{S}_{G\text{-bas}} \subset \widehat{S}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}}$ such that the elements of $B(S)_{G\text{-bas}}$ correspond via κ_S to the subset $X^*(\widehat{S}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}}/\widehat{S}_{G\text{-bas}})$ of elements of $X^*(\widehat{S}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}})$ that vanish on $\widehat{S}_{G\text{-bas}}$.

Now for each $\alpha \in \Delta_\phi$, we get an element $\alpha^\vee(-1) \in \widehat{S}$. The nontrivial element $\sigma \in \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ is known to satisfy $\phi_1(\sigma)(\alpha) = -\alpha$ and so we have $\alpha^\vee(-1) \in \widehat{S}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}}$. Let $\Omega(\Delta_\phi)$ be the group generated by the reflections w_α for $\alpha \in \Delta_\phi$. Then we define a map $\Omega(\Delta_\phi) \times \widehat{S}_{G\text{-bas}} \rightarrow \widehat{S}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}}$ where the map on the first factor is given by $w_\alpha \mapsto \alpha^\vee(-1)$ and the map on the second factor is the natural inclusion. Then it is clear that an embedding $i_\pi : S \rightarrow G_b$ satisfies that $i_\pi(\Delta_\phi)$ are non-compact if and only if $\text{inv}[z](\pi_{\mathfrak{w}}, \pi) \in B(S) \cong X^*(\widehat{S}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}})$ vanishes on $\text{im}(\Omega(\Delta_\phi) \times \widehat{S}_{G\text{-bas}})$.

Lemma 3.4. *We have an exact sequence*

$$\Omega(\Delta_\phi) \times \widehat{S}_{G\text{-bas}} \xrightarrow{r} \widehat{T}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}} \xrightarrow{p} S_\phi^\natural \rightarrow 1.$$

Proof. We first construct the map $p : \widehat{T}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}} \rightarrow S_\phi^\natural$ and prove it is surjective. Recall [She82, Proposition 5.4.3], that $\phi_1(\mathbb{C}^\times) \subset \widehat{T}$ and that $\phi_1(W_{\mathbb{R}})$ normalizes \widehat{T} . Hence, $S_{\phi_1} \cap \widehat{T} = \widehat{T}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}}$. Shelstad proves ([She82, Theorem 5.4.4]) that we have a surjection $\widehat{T}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}} \twoheadrightarrow \pi_0(S_{\phi_1})$. We also claim the natural map $\widehat{T}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}} \cap S_{\phi_1}^\circ \rightarrow S_{\phi_1}^\circ/(\widehat{G}_{\text{der}} \cap S_{\phi_1})^\circ$

is surjective. Indeed, it suffices to show that $Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma \cap S_{\phi_1}^\circ \rightarrow S_{\phi_1}^\circ / (\widehat{G}_{\text{der}} \cap S_{\phi_1})^\circ$ and this follows from the fact ([KMSW14, Lemma 0.4.13]) that $Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma$ surjects onto $S_{\phi_1}^\circ Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma / (\widehat{G}_{\text{der}} \cap S_{\phi_1})^\circ$. Now that the claim is proven, we can combine the two surjections to get a surjection $\widehat{T}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}} \rightarrow S_{\phi_1}^\natural$. Finally, we post-compose with $\text{Int}(s^{-1})$ to get the desired map p .

Since $\widehat{S}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}} = \widehat{T}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}}$, the map r is as constructed immediately before the statement of the lemma. It remains to prove exactness in the middle. We first show that $p \circ r$ is trivial. To do so, we let $\chi \in X^*(S_\phi^\natural)$ and show the pullback to $\widehat{T}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}}$ vanishes on $\text{im}(r)$. By conjugating by s , we get $\chi' \in X^*(S_{\phi_1}^\natural)$. Then χ' by definition vanishes on $(\widehat{G}_{\text{der}} \cap S_{\phi_1})^\circ$ and hence $(\widehat{G}_{\text{der}} \cap \widehat{T}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}})^\circ$. Let \widehat{T}_{der} denote the torus given by $(\widehat{T} \cap \widehat{G}_{\text{der}})^\circ$. Then we have that χ' vanishes on $\widehat{T}_{\text{der}}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}, \circ}$. We now have the following commutative diagram

(3.4)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X^*(\widehat{T}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}}) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}} & X^*(\widehat{T}_{\text{der}}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}}) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}} & X^*(\widehat{T}_{\text{der}}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}, \circ}) \\ \downarrow N & & \downarrow N & & \\ (X^*(\widehat{T}) \otimes X^*(\mathbb{D}_F))^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}} & \xrightarrow{\text{res}} & (X^*(\widehat{T}_{\text{der}}) \otimes X^*(\mathbb{D}_F))^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}} & & \end{array}$$

We claim that the image of χ' in $(X^*(\widehat{T}_{\text{der}}) \otimes X^*(\mathbb{D}_F))^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}}$ is trivial. Indeed the restriction of χ' to $\widehat{T}_{\text{der}}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}}$ is a character of $\pi_0(\widehat{T}_{\text{der}}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}})$, and by the classification of tori over \mathbb{R} , the elements in the component group all have order 2 and hence are killed by the norm map. Finally, we observe that $\widehat{T}/\widehat{T}_{\text{der}} \cong \widehat{G}/\widehat{G}_{\text{der}} = \widehat{G}_{\text{ab}}$. Hence it follows that $N(\chi')$ lies in $(X^*(\widehat{G}_{\text{ab}}) \otimes X^*(\mathbb{D}_F))^\Gamma$, which implies that χ' vanishes on \widehat{S}_G -bas. Now we show that χ' vanishes on the image of $\Omega(\Delta_\phi)$. But the image of this map also lies in $\widehat{T}_{\text{der}}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}}$, so we are done.

Finally, we need to show that if $\chi \in X^*(\widehat{T}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}})$ vanishes on $\text{im}(r)$, then it factors through p . Now, we have a surjection $\widehat{T}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}} \rightarrow S_{\phi_1}^\natural$ so it suffices to show that χ vanishes on $(\widehat{G}_{\text{der}} \cap S_{\phi_1})^\circ \cap \widehat{T}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}} = (\widehat{G}_{\text{der}} \cap \widehat{T}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}})^\circ = \widehat{T}_{\text{der}}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}, \circ}$. We are assuming χ vanishes on \widehat{S}_G -bas and so by the previous paragraph, $N(\chi)$ vanishes on \widehat{T}_{der} . Now, since all tori over \mathbb{R} are a product of $\mathbb{G}_m, U(1), \text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{G}_m$, we have that the center vertical norm map is injective when restricted to $\widehat{T}_{\text{der}}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}, \circ}$. Hence χ must vanish on $\widehat{T}_{\text{der}}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}, \circ}$ as desired. \square

We define $W_G(S)^\Gamma$ by fixing an embedding $i : S \rightarrow G$ defined over \mathbb{R} and defining $W_G(S)^\Gamma := W_G(i(S))^\Gamma$. We note that this definition is independent of i since any two such embeddings are conjugate by some $g \in G(\mathbb{C})$ which can be taken to be in $N_G(i(S))$ and whose Γ -invariance in $W_G(S)$, comes from both embeddings being defined over \mathbb{R} . As a consequence of Lemma 3.4, we have constructed for all

tempered parameters ϕ a commutative diagram

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{b \in B(G)_{\text{bas}}} \Pi_\phi(G_b) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}} & \text{Irr}(S_\phi^{\natural}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B(G)_{\text{bas}} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & B(S)_{G\text{-bas}}/W_G(S)^\Gamma, \end{array}$$

where $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}$ is bijective. More precisely, for any $\rho \in \text{Irr}(S_\phi^{\natural})$, the pull back of ρ along the map p is trivial on $\text{im}(r)$ by Lemma 3.4. In particular, it gives rise to an element of $X^*(\widehat{S}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}}/\widehat{S}_{G\text{-bas}})$. By noting that we have a bijection $\kappa_S: B(S)_{G\text{-bas}} \rightarrow X^*(\widehat{S}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}}/\widehat{S}_{G\text{-bas}})$, we get an element b of $B(S)_{G\text{-bas}}$. This association $\rho \mapsto b$ is the right vertical map. Moreover, the $G_b(\mathbb{R})$ -rational conjugacy class of admissible embeddings $i: S \rightarrow G_b$ corresponding to the element $b \in B(S)_{G\text{-bas}}$ satisfying the condition that $i(\Delta_\phi)$ are non-compact by the triviality of $p^*\rho$ on $r(\Omega(\Delta_\phi))$. Hence i corresponds to an element π of $\Pi_\phi(G_b)$. This association $\rho \mapsto \pi$ is the top horizontal map.

We now extend this construction to the non-tempered case. This is done via the Langlands classification and Langlands classification for L -parameters as in [SZ18, Appendix A]. Fix G' a connected reductive group over \mathbb{R} , a minimal \mathbb{R} -parabolic $P_0 \subset G'$ with Levi subgroup M_0 and maximal \mathbb{R} -split torus A_0 . Let $\mathfrak{a}_{M_0}^* = X^*(M_0)_{\mathbb{R}}^\Gamma$. On the one hand we have a bijection

Theorem 3.5 (Langlands Classification).

$$\{(P, \sigma, \nu)\} \leftrightarrow \Pi(G'),$$

where (P, σ, ν) is a triple where $P \supset P_0$ is a standard parabolic subgroup with Levi M and unipotent radical N , where $\sigma \in \Pi(M)$ is tempered, and $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}_M^* \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \mathfrak{a}_{M_0}^*$ pairs positively with any root of A_0 in N .

On the L -parameter side, we have

Theorem 3.6 ([SZ18, A.2]).

$$\{(P, {}^t\phi, \nu)\} \leftrightarrow \Phi(G'),$$

where $(P, {}^t\phi, \nu)$ is a triple where $P \supset P_0$ is a standard parabolic subgroup with Levi M and unipotent radical N , where ${}^t\phi$ is a tempered L -parameter of M up to equivalence, and $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}_M^* \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \mathfrak{a}_{M_0}^*$ pairs positively with any root of A_0 in N .

With these theorems, we define $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}$ as follows. Choose $b \in B(G)_{\text{bas}}$ and choose an extended pure inner twist (G_b, φ, z) such that $[z] = b$. Let $\phi \in \Phi(G_b)$ and suppose ϕ corresponds to $(P_b, {}^t\phi, \nu)$ by Theorem 3.6. We have that $P_b = M_b N_b \subset G_b$ where $M_b \subset G_b$ is a Levi subgroup corresponding to a Levi $M \subset G$. Then by [BMHN22, Lemma 2.4] (this Lemma is proven for $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ in *loc. cit.* but the proof works also for $F = \mathbb{R}$), there is a unique equivalence class of extended pure inner twists (M_b, φ_M, z_M) with class $b_M \in B(M)$ whose class in $B(G)$ is b . We define $\Pi_\phi(G_b)$ to consist of all elements of $\Pi(G_b)$ with corresponding triple (P, σ, ν) such that $\sigma \in \Pi_{t_\phi}(M_b)$.

Following [SZ18, §7] we have $S_{\phi, G} = S_{\phi, M} = S_{t_\phi, M}$ so we define $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}$ on G by declaring that for $\pi \in \Pi(G_b)$ corresponding to (P_b, σ, ν) , we have $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}(\pi) := \iota_{\mathfrak{w}_M}(\sigma)$ where we are temporarily thinking of both sides of this equality as representations

of $S_{\phi,G} = S_{t_{\phi},M}$. Then the proof of [BMHN22, Theorem 2.5] shows that $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}(\pi)$ factors to give a representation of S_{ϕ}^{\natural} .

If we pullback $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}(\pi)$ to $\widehat{S}^{\Gamma_{\phi_1}}$ via p , then we get an element $b_S \in B(S)_{M\text{-bas}}$ whose image in $B(M)$ is b_M . Hence, the image in $B(G)$ is b and therefore $b_S \in B(S)_{G\text{-bas}}$ and gives a class in $B(S)_{G\text{-bas}}/W_G(S)^{\Gamma}$ which recovers b . To prove $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}$ is a bijection, we construct an inverse. Note that given a representation $\rho \in \text{Irr}(S_{\phi})$ whose pullback to S_{ϕ_1} yields $b_S \in B(S)_{G\text{-bas}}$ mapping to $b \in B(G)$, such a representation factors to give a representation of $S_{t_{\phi},M}^{\natural}$ and by the uniqueness result ([BMHN22, Lemma 2.4]) we must have that b_S maps to $b_M \in B(M)_{\text{bas}}$.

In particular, we have proven the following theorem.

Theorem 3.7. *We have the following commutative diagram*

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{b \in B(G)_{\text{bas}}} \Pi_{\phi}(G_b) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}} & \text{Irr}(S_{\phi}^{\natural}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B(G)_{\text{bas}} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & B(S)_{G\text{-bas}}/W_G(S)^{\Gamma}, \end{array}$$

where $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}$ is bijective.

The bottom map is explained in §2.1. The right vertical map comes from pullback along the map p of Lemma 3.4 and uses the constructions in that lemma to show that we indeed get an element of $B(S)_{G\text{-bas}}$. This element of $B(S)$ depends on the choice of i_{π} in its $G(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy class. This ambiguity corresponds to modifying our element of $B(S)$ by an element of $N_{G(\mathbb{R})}(i_{\pi}(S))$ and this ambiguity is removed when we take a quotient by $W_G(S)^{\Gamma}$.

3.2. Statement of main theorem. We now return to considering a general local field F . Our aim in this paper is, by assuming the $B(G)_{\text{bas}}$ -LLC (Conjecture 3.1) and its refinement in the Archimedean case, to establish its “ $B(G)$ -version” in a reasonable way:

Theorem 3.8. *We assume Conjecture 3.1 for G and any Levi subgroup of G . For each $b \in B(G)$, there exists a finite-to-one map*

$$\text{LLC}_{G_b} : \Pi(G_b) \rightarrow \Phi(G).$$

Furthermore, for each $\phi \in \Phi(G)$, the union of $\Pi_{\phi}(G_b) := \text{LLC}_{G_b}^{-1}(\phi)$ over $b \in B(G)$ is equipped with a bijection $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}$ to $\text{Irr}(S_{\phi})$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{b \in B(G)} \Pi_{\phi}(G_b) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}} & \text{Irr}(S_{\phi}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B(G) & \xrightarrow{\kappa_G} & X^*(Z(\widehat{G})^{\Gamma}), \end{array}$$

where the left vertical map is the obvious projection and the right vertical map takes central character. In particular, we note that since $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}$ is bijective, one can recover $b \in B(G)$ from $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}(\pi) \in \text{Irr}(S_{\phi})$ for $\pi \in \Pi_{\phi}(G_b)$.

4. THE CONSTRUCTION

In this section, F is an arbitrary local field. Recall that we fixed an F -splitting $(T, B, \{X_\alpha\})$ of G , which gives rise to a Whittaker datum \mathfrak{w} of G . For each standard Levi subgroup $L \subset G$, the Whittaker datum \mathfrak{w} restricts to give a Whittaker datum \mathfrak{w}_L of L .

Let $[\phi] \in \Phi(G)$, i.e., $[\phi]$ is a \widehat{G} -conjugacy class of L -parameters of G . (In this section, we use the symbol $[\phi]$ in order to emphasize that it is a \widehat{G} -conjugacy class.) We fix a representative ϕ of $[\phi]$. The group S_ϕ is a possibly disconnected reductive group. The aim of this section is to associate to each $\rho \in \text{Irr}(S_\phi)$ an element $b \in B(G)$ and a representation $\pi_b \in \Pi(G_b)$. We let ${}^L M$ be a minimal Levi subgroup through which ϕ factors and as in §2.4, we replace ϕ with a conjugate such that we can assume ${}^L M$ is a standard Levi. Let M be the standard Levi subgroup of G corresponding to ${}^L M$. We fix a Borel subgroup B_ϕ of S_ϕ° containing the maximal torus $A_{\widehat{M}}$.

4.1. The map. Let $\rho \in \text{Irr}(S_\phi)$.

By Theorem 2.3 there exists a weight $\lambda \in X^*(A_{\widehat{M}})^+$ (dominant relative to B_ϕ) and a simple \mathcal{A}^λ -module E such that $\rho \cong \mathcal{L}(\lambda, E)$ with the notations as in §2.2. We associate w , $Q = Q_\lambda$, and $L = L_\lambda$ to λ according to the construction given in §2.4. Let us write $M' := {}^w M$. Choose a representative $\dot{w} \in N_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{T}})$ of $w \in W^{\text{rel}} \cong \widehat{W}^{\text{rel}}$ and consider the conjugate L -parameter $\phi' := \text{Int}(\dot{w}) \circ \phi$ of ϕ . By construction, ϕ' factors through ${}^L M'$ and hence ${}^L L$. Conjugation by \dot{w} induces an isomorphism $\text{Int}(\dot{w}) : S_\phi \cong S_{\phi'}$ and hence we get a corresponding representation $\rho' \in \text{Irr}(S_{\phi'})$ and weight $\lambda' := {}^w \lambda \in X^*(A_{\widehat{M'}})^+$ (dominant relative to ${}^w B_\phi$). We have $\rho' \cong \mathcal{L}(\lambda', E')$, where E' is the simple $\mathcal{A}^{\lambda'}$ -module corresponding to E under the identification $S_\phi \cong S_{\phi'}$.

We let $\mathcal{L}_L(\lambda')$ be the irreducible representation of $S_{\phi', L}^\circ$ with highest weight λ' . Proposition 2.9 says that the natural map from $\pi_0(S_{\phi', L}) = A_L^{\lambda'}$ to $A^{\lambda'}$ is a bijection. Thus we may regard E' as a simple $\mathcal{A}_L^{\lambda'}$ -module, for which we write E'_L . According to §2.2, we get an irreducible representation $\mathcal{L}_L(\lambda', E'_L)$ of $S_{\phi', L}$. We denote this representation by ρ_L .

Lemma 4.1. *The representation $\rho_L \in \text{Irr}(S_{\phi', L})$ factors through $S_{\phi', L}^\natural$, to give a representation which by abuse of notation we also denote ρ_L .*

Proof. We first study the representation $\mathcal{L}_L(\lambda') \in \text{Irr}(S_{\phi', L}^\circ)$. Note that $\alpha_{M'}(\lambda')$ belongs to \mathfrak{A}_L by construction and the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \alpha_{M'} & & \\
 & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\
 X^*(A_{\widehat{M'}})_\mathbb{R} & \xleftarrow{\text{res}} & X^*(\widehat{M'}_{\text{ab}})_\mathbb{R} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathfrak{A}_{M'} \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & X^*(\widehat{L}_{\text{ab}})_\mathbb{R} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathfrak{A}_L
 \end{array}$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be a positive integer such that $\alpha_{M'}(m\lambda')$ belongs to $X_*(A_L)$. Hence, by the above diagram, there is a character of \widehat{L} whose restriction to $A_{\widehat{M'}}$ is $m\lambda'$. Then the irreducible representation $\mathcal{L}_L(m\lambda') \in \text{Irr}(S_{\phi', L}^\circ)$ with highest weight $m\lambda'$ is actually just this character acting through $S_{\phi', L}^\circ \subset \widehat{L}$. This implies that the

irreducible representation $\mathcal{L}_L(\lambda') \in \text{Irr}(S_{\phi',L}^\circ)$ with highest weight λ' is also a character of $S_{\phi',L}^\circ$. (This can be checked by, e.g., comparing the dimensions of $\mathcal{L}_L(m\lambda')$ and $\mathcal{L}_L(\lambda')$; through the Weyl dimension formula, we can easily see that $\dim \mathcal{L}_L(\lambda') \leq \dim \mathcal{L}_L(m\lambda')$.)

Since $\mathcal{L}_L(m\lambda')$ is the restriction of a character of \widehat{L} , the representation $\mathcal{L}_L(m\lambda')$ is clearly trivial on $(\widehat{L}_{\text{der}} \cap S_{\phi',L}^\circ)^\circ$. In other words, the m -th power of the character $\mathcal{L}_L(\lambda')|_{(\widehat{L}_{\text{der}} \cap S_{\phi',L}^\circ)^\circ}$ is trivial. As the finitely generated abelian group $X^*((\widehat{L}_{\text{der}} \cap S_{\phi',L}^\circ)^\circ)$ is torsion-free, this implies that $\mathcal{L}_L(\lambda')|_{(\widehat{L}_{\text{der}} \cap S_{\phi',L}^\circ)^\circ}$ is trivial. Therefore ρ_L is trivial on $(\widehat{L}_{\text{der}} \cap S_{\phi',L}^\circ)^\circ = (\widehat{L}_{\text{der}} \cap S_{\phi',L})^\circ$. This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

Now, by the $B(L)_{\text{bas}}$ -LLC (Conjecture 3.1 for F non-Archimedean, Diagram (3.2) for \mathbb{C} , Theorem 3.7 for \mathbb{R} Archimedean), we get $b_L \in B(L)_{\text{bas}}$ and $\pi_{b_L} \in \Pi(L_{b_L})$ corresponding to $\rho_L \in \text{Irr}(S_{\phi',L}^\circ)$. Denote by b the image of b_L in $B(G)$.

Lemma 4.2. *We have $b_L \in B(L)_{\text{bas}}^+$.*

Proof. Recall that the natural map $B(L) \rightarrow B(G)$ induces a bijection $B(L)_{\text{bas}}^+ \xrightarrow{1:1} B(G)_Q$ and that the subset $B(G)_Q$ of $B(G)$ is defined to be the preimage of \mathfrak{A}_Q^+ under the Newton map (§2.1):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B(L) & \longrightarrow & B(G) & \xrightarrow{\nu_G} & \overline{C} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ B(L)_{\text{bas}}^+ & \xrightarrow{1:1} & B(G)_Q & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{A}_Q^+ \end{array}$$

Thus our task is to check that $\nu_G(b)$ belongs to \mathfrak{A}_Q^+ . Since the Newton map is functorial, i.e., we have $\nu_G(b) = \nu_L(b_L)$, it suffices to show that $\nu_L(b_L)$ belongs to \mathfrak{A}_Q^+ .

Recall that we have $\nu_L(b_L) = \alpha_L \circ \kappa_L(b_L)$ since b_L is basic ((2.2) for L):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \xrightarrow{\nu_L} & & \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ B(L)_{\text{bas}} & \xrightarrow{\kappa_L} & X^*(Z(\widehat{L})^\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & X^*(Z(\widehat{L})^\Gamma)_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\alpha_L} \mathfrak{A}_L = X_*(A_L)_{\mathbb{R}} \end{array}$$

By the commutative diagram (3.1) (applied to L), $Z(\widehat{L})^\Gamma$ acts on ρ_L via $\kappa_L(b_L) \in X^*(Z(\widehat{L})^\Gamma)$. Since $\widehat{T} \subset \widehat{M}' \subset \widehat{L}$, we have $\widehat{T} \supset A_{\widehat{M}'} \supset A_{\widehat{L}}$. By construction, $A_{\widehat{M}'}$ acts on ρ_L via λ' . Hence the element $\kappa_L(b_L) \in X^*(Z(\widehat{L})^\Gamma)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is nothing but the image of λ' under the map

$$X^*(A_{\widehat{M}'})_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\text{res}} X^*(A_{\widehat{L}})_{\mathbb{R}} = X^*(Z(\widehat{L})^\Gamma)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Now recall that the standard parabolic subgroup Q with standard Levi L is chosen so that $w \cdot \alpha_M(\lambda) = \alpha_{M'}(\lambda')$ belongs to \mathfrak{A}_Q^+ . We note that the natural inclusion map $\mathfrak{A}_L \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{M'}$ gives a section of the restriction map $X^*(A_{\widehat{M}'})_{\mathbb{R}} \twoheadrightarrow$

$X^*(A_{\widehat{L}})_{\mathbb{R}}$ under the identifications via α_L and $\alpha_{M'}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^*(A_{\widehat{M'}})_{\mathbb{R}} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{M'}} & \mathfrak{A}_{M'} \\ \downarrow \text{res} & & \uparrow \\ X^*(A_{\widehat{L}})_{\mathbb{R}} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_L} & \mathfrak{A}_L \end{array}$$

Hence the image of $\lambda' \in X^*(A_{\widehat{M'}})_{\mathbb{R}}$ in $X^*(A_{\widehat{L}})_{\mathbb{R}}$, which equals $\kappa_L(b_L)$ by the argument in the previous paragraph, is equal to $\alpha_L^{-1} \circ \alpha_{M'}(\lambda')$. Thus we get $\alpha_L \circ \kappa_L(b_L) = \alpha_{M'}(\lambda')$. This implies that $\nu_L(b_L) (= \alpha_L \circ \kappa_L(b_L) = \alpha_{M'}(\lambda'))$ lies in \mathfrak{A}_Q^+ . \square

Lemma 4.3. *We have $L_{b_L} = G_b$.*

Proof. By the definition of the groups G_b and L_{b_L} , we have that L_{b_L} is naturally embedded in G_b . The group G_b is an inner form of a Levi subgroup of G given by the centralizer of $\nu_G(b)$ in G (§2.1). Similarly, the group L_{b_L} is an inner form of a Levi subgroup of L given by the centralizer of $\nu_L(b_L)$ in L . Thus, since we have $\nu_L(b_L) = \nu_G(b)$, it is enough to show that the centralizer of $\nu_G(b)$ in G is equal to L . Noting that $\nu_G(b)$ belongs to \mathfrak{A}_Q^+ by Lemma 4.2, this can be easily checked by looking at the definition of \mathfrak{A}_Q^+ . \square

By this lemma, we may regard π_{b_L} as a representation of $G_b(F)$. We define $\pi_b \in \Pi(G_b)$ to be this representation. Hence we have finally constructed (b, π_b) as desired. This concludes the construction.

4.2. Independence of choices. Recall that, for fixed $[\phi] \in \Phi(G)$ and $\rho \in \text{Irr}(S_\phi)$, several choices were made in the construction of (b, π_b) as follows.

- (1) We fixed a representative ϕ of $[\phi]$.
- (2) We chose a smallest Levi subgroup ${}^L M$ such that ϕ factors through ${}^L M \hookrightarrow {}^L G$. Furthermore, we replaced ϕ with its conjugate ${}^x \phi$ so that ${}^x({}^L M)$ is a standard Levi subgroup. (We put ${}^L M$ to be ${}^x({}^L M)$.)
- (3) We took a weight $\lambda \in X^*(A_{\widehat{M}})^+$ and a simple \mathcal{A}^λ -module E such that $\rho \cong \mathcal{L}(\lambda, E)$.
- (4) We took $w \in W^{\text{rel}}$ such that $w \cdot \alpha_M(\lambda)$ belongs to \overline{C} and defined the standard parabolic Q with standard Levi L to be the unique one satisfying $w \cdot \alpha_M(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{A}_Q^+$.
- (5) Then, by taking a representative \dot{w} of the element $w \in W^{\text{rel}} \cong \widehat{W}^{\text{rel}}$, we applied the $B(L)_{\text{bas-LLC}}$ to $({}^{\dot{w}}\phi, \rho_L)$, where $\rho_L := \mathcal{L}_L({}^{\dot{w}}\lambda, {}^{\dot{w}}E_L)$.

We now explain that our construction is independent of these.

We first discuss (5). Any two choices $\dot{w}, \dot{w}' \in N_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{T}})$ differ by an element of \widehat{T} . This means that $({}^{\dot{w}}\phi, \mathcal{L}_L({}^{\dot{w}}\lambda, {}^{\dot{w}}E_L))$ and $({}^{\dot{w}'}\phi, \mathcal{L}_L({}^{\dot{w}'}\lambda, {}^{\dot{w}'}E_L))$ differ by conjugation by an element of $\widehat{T} \subset \widehat{L}$. Hence, the resulting (b, π_b) does not change since the basic correspondence is assumed to be well-defined.

We next discuss (4). If $w' \in W^{\text{rel}}$ is another element such that $w' \cdot \alpha_M(\lambda) \in \overline{C}$, then we must have $w \cdot \alpha_M(\lambda) = w' \cdot \alpha_M(\lambda)$ (see, e.g., [Hum78, Lemma 10.3.B]). In particular, the standard Levi subgroup L does not change. Furthermore, $w'w^{-1}$ stabilizes $w \cdot \alpha_M(\lambda)$ and hence lies in W_L^{rel} by Lemma 2.6. This will modify $({}^{\dot{w}}\phi, \rho_L)$ up to \widehat{L} -conjugacy, which does not affect (b, π_b) .

Let us discuss (3). We take another weight $\lambda' \in X^*(A_{\widehat{M}})^+$ and simple $\mathcal{A}^{\lambda'}$ -module E' such that $\rho \cong \mathcal{L}(\lambda', E')$. By Lemma 2.4, we may assume that λ' is R_ϕ -conjugate to λ (say $\lambda' = w \cdot \lambda$) and E and E' are identified under the isomorphism $\mathcal{A}^\lambda \cong \mathcal{A}^{w \cdot \lambda}$. Recall that the action of $w \in R_\phi$ factors through $R_\phi \rightarrow W_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{M}})$ (see (2.6)) and that $W_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{M}})$ is identified with a subgroup of \widehat{W}^{rel} (Lemma 2.7). Thus, by Lemma 2.8, w does not affect the definition of L and ρ_L .

Let us discuss (2). Let ${}^L M$ and ${}^L M'$ be two smallest Levi subgroups of G such that ϕ factors through ${}^L M$ and ${}^L M'$, respectively. As explained in §2.3, ${}^L M$ and ${}^L M'$ are conjugate by an element of S_ϕ° , say ${}^s({}^L M) = {}^L M'$. Thus using M' instead of M amounts to using ${}^s \rho$ instead of ρ . Since ${}^s \rho \cong \rho$, this does not change the rest of the construction of (b, π_b) .

We finally discuss (1). Let us choose ${}^g \phi$ conjugate to ϕ via $g \in \widehat{G}$. Then ${}^g({}^L M)$ is a smallest Levi subgroup such that ${}^g \phi$ factors through ${}^g({}^L M) \hookrightarrow {}^L G$. Thus, both ϕ and ${}^g \phi$ are conjugate to ${}^x \phi$, whose image is contained in a standard Levi subgroup ${}^x({}^L M)$.

4.3. Properties of the correspondence. We now verify that the construction in §4.1 is well behaved.

Proposition 4.4. *The map $([\phi], \rho) \mapsto (b, \pi_b)$ constructed in §4.1 is injective. To be more precise, suppose ϕ_1, ϕ_2 are L -parameters of G and $\rho_i \in \text{Irr}(S_{\phi_i})$ and that our map takes ρ_i to (π_i, b_i) with $b_1 = b_2$ and $\pi_1 \cong \pi_2$. Then $\phi_1 \sim \phi_2$ and $\rho_1 \sim \rho_2$.*

Here, the meaning of “ $\rho_1 \sim \rho_2$ ” in the statement is as follows. Since we have $\phi_1 \sim \phi_2$, we can take $g \in \widehat{G}$ such that ${}^g \phi_2 = \phi_1$, which implies that ${}^g S_{\phi_2} = S_{\phi_1}$. Then we have ${}^g \rho_2 \cong \rho_1$. Note that this condition is independent of the choice of g as any other choice g' can differ from g only by an element of S_{ϕ_2} .

Proof. For $i = 1, 2$, let L_i be the Levi subgroup associated to (ϕ_i, ρ_i) as in §4.1. Similarly, we let $\rho_{i, L_i} \in \text{Irr}(S_{\phi_i, L_i}^\natural)$ denote the representation associated to (ϕ_i, ρ_i) as in §4.1. Recall that b_i and $\pi_i \in \Pi(G_{b_i})$ are obtained by applying the $B(L_i)_{\text{bas}}$ -LLC to $\rho_{i, L_i} \in \text{Irr}(S_{\phi_i, L_i}^\natural)$.

Note that L_i is characterized as the unique standard Levi subgroup of G such that $b_i \in B(G)$ is contained in $B(L_i)_{\text{bas}}^+$ by Lemma 4.2 and the decomposition (2.4). Thus the assumption that $b_1 = b_2$ implies that $L_1 = L_2$. Let us simply write L for $L_1 = L_2$ in the following.

Since the $B(L)_{\text{bas}}$ -LLC is bijective, the assumption $\pi_1 \cong \pi_2$ implies that ${}^{w_1} \phi_1$ and ${}^{w_2} \phi_2$ are equivalent as L -parameters of L . Hence ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are equivalent as L -parameters of G . In the following, we fix an element $l \in \widehat{L}$ satisfying ${}^{w_2} \phi_2 = {}^l {}^{w_1} \phi_1$ (hence we get ${}^l S_{{}^{w_1} \phi_1, L}^\natural = S_{{}^{w_2} \phi_2, L}^\natural$ and ${}^l S_{{}^{w_1} \phi_1}^\natural = S_{{}^{w_2} \phi_2}^\natural$).

Let us show that the representations ${}^{l w_1} \rho_1$ and ${}^{w_2} \rho_2$ of $S_{{}^{w_2} \phi_2}^\natural$ are isomorphic. For this, for each $i = 1, 2$, we take an element $\lambda_i \in X^*(A_{\widehat{M}})^+$ and a simple \mathcal{A}^{λ_i} -module E_i such that $\rho_i \cong \mathcal{L}(\lambda_i, E_i)$. Then, by construction, $\rho_{i, L}$ is the unique irreducible representation of $S_{\phi_i, L}$ associated with the pair $({}^{w_i} \lambda_i, {}^{w_i} E_{i, L})$, where ${}^{w_i} E_{i, L}$ is ${}^{w_i} E_i$ regarded as a simple $\mathcal{A}_L^{w_i \lambda_i}$ -module via the bijection $\mathcal{A}_L^{w_i \lambda_i} \cong \mathcal{A}^{w_i \lambda_i}$. As the assumption $\pi_1 \cong \pi_2$ also implies that the representations ${}^l \rho_{1, L}$ and $\rho_{2, L}$ of $S_{{}^{w_2} \phi_2, L}^\natural$ are isomorphic, we have ${}^{l w_1} \lambda_1 = {}^{w_2} \lambda_2$ and ${}^{l w_1} E_{1, L} \cong {}^{w_2} E_{2, L}$. Thus we see that ${}^{l w_1} E_1 \cong {}^{w_2} E_2$ and conclude that ${}^{l w_1} \rho_1 \cong {}^{w_2} \rho_2$. \square

We denote by $\Pi_\phi(G_b)$ the set of all $\pi \in \Pi(G_b)$ attached to some $\rho \in \text{Irr}(S_\phi)$. As a result of Proposition 4.4, we can define a bijective map $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}$.

$$(4.1) \quad \coprod_{b \in B(G)} \Pi_\phi(G_b) \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}} \text{Irr}(S_\phi).$$

Proposition 4.5. *The map $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}$ fits into a commutative diagram.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{b \in B(G)} \Pi_\phi(G_b) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}} & \text{Irr}(S_\phi) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B(G) & \xrightarrow{\kappa_G} & X^*(Z(\hat{G})^\Gamma). \end{array}$$

Proof. Suppose that $\pi_b \in \Pi_\phi(G_b)$ is mapped to $\rho \in \text{Irr}(S_\phi)$ under the map $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}$. Let $\omega_\rho \in X^*(Z(\hat{G})^\Gamma)$ be the image of ρ under the map $\text{Irr}(S_\phi) \rightarrow X^*(Z(\hat{G})^\Gamma)$, i.e., $Z(\hat{G})^\Gamma$ acts on ρ via ω_ρ . Our task is to show that $\omega_\rho = \kappa_G(b)$. In the following, we follow the notation of §4.1.

By our construction, $b \in B(G)$ is the image of $b_L \in B(L)_{\text{bas}}^+$ in $B(G)$ and $\pi_b = \pi_{b_L}$ (under the identification $G_b \cong L_{b_L}$), where π_{b_L} corresponds to $\rho_L \in \text{Irr}(S_{\hat{w}\phi,L}^\natural)$ under the $B(L)_{\text{bas}}$ -LLC. Let $\omega_{\rho_L} \in X^*(Z(\hat{L})^\Gamma)$ be the image of ρ_L under the map $\text{Irr}(S_{\hat{w}\phi,L}^\natural) \rightarrow X^*(Z(\hat{L})^\Gamma)$, i.e., $Z(\hat{L})^\Gamma$ acts on ρ_L via ω_{ρ_L} . Then the commutativity in the basic case (3.1) implies that ω_{ρ_L} is given by $\kappa_L(b_L)$. By the functoriality of the Kottwitz homomorphism (see [Kot97, §4.9]), $\kappa_L(b_L) \in X^*(Z(\hat{L})^\Gamma)$ is mapped to $\kappa_G(b) \in X^*(Z(\hat{G})^\Gamma)$ under the natural map $X^*(Z(\hat{L})^\Gamma) \rightarrow X^*(Z(\hat{G})^\Gamma)$. In other words, $\omega_{\rho_L}|_{Z(\hat{G})^\Gamma}$ is given by $\kappa_G(b)$. Hence it suffices to show that $\omega_\rho = \omega_{\rho_L}|_{Z(\hat{G})^\Gamma}$, i.e., $Z(\hat{G})^\Gamma$ acts on both ρ and ρ_L via the same character.

Recall that $\rho \cong \mathcal{L}(\lambda, E)$. Since the conjugate action of $Z(\hat{G})^\Gamma$ on S_ϕ is trivial, $Z(\hat{G})^\Gamma$ is contained in the preimage S_ϕ^λ of A^λ under the map $S_\phi \rightarrow \pi_0(S_\phi)$. As $\mathcal{L}(\lambda, E)$ is defined to be the induction of $E \otimes \mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ from S_ϕ^λ to S_ϕ , we see that $Z(\hat{G})^\Gamma$ acts on $\mathcal{L}(\lambda, E)$ and $E \otimes \mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ via the same character ω_ρ .

Recall that, in §2.2, we choose a representative $\iota(a)$ of $a \in A^\lambda$ in S_ϕ^λ and an S_ϕ° -equivariant isomorphism $\theta_a: \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\cong} {}^{\iota(a)}\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ such that $\iota(1) = 1$ and $\theta_1 = \text{id}$. Let Z^λ be the image of $Z(\hat{G})^\Gamma \subset S_\phi$ in A^λ . For any $a \in Z^\lambda$, we may and do choose $\iota(a)$ to be an element of $Z(\hat{G})^\Gamma$ and θ_a to be the identity map. Then, for any element $z \in Z(\hat{G})^\Gamma$, its action on $u \otimes v \in E \otimes \mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ is given by

$$z \cdot (u \otimes v) = (\rho_a u) \otimes (gv),$$

where a denotes the image of z in $Z^\lambda \subset A^\lambda$, ρ_a is the associated element of \mathcal{A}^λ (see §2.2), and $g := \iota(a)^{-1}z \in S_\phi^\circ \cap Z(\hat{G})^\Gamma$. Since $S_\phi^\circ \cap Z(\hat{G})^\Gamma$ is a central subgroup of the connected reductive group S_ϕ° , $S_\phi^\circ \cap Z(\hat{G})^\Gamma$ is contained in the maximal torus $A_{\hat{M}}^\circ$ of S_ϕ° . In particular, we have $gv = \lambda(g)v$, hence we get $z \cdot (u \otimes v) = (\rho_a u) \otimes (\lambda(g)v)$. By the same argument, we can also check that the action of $Z(\hat{G})^\Gamma$ on $\rho_L \cong \mathcal{L}_L({}^w\lambda) \otimes {}^wE_L$ and wE_L is given by the same formula. \square

We now verify that $\Pi_\phi(G_b)$ exhausts $\Pi(G_b)$. The following proposition gives us the desired finite-to-one map

$$\text{LLC}_{G_b}: \Pi(G_b) \rightarrow \Phi(G).$$

Proposition 4.6. *We have an equality of sets*

$$\coprod_{\phi} \Pi_{\phi}(G_b) = \Pi(G_b).$$

Proof. Let $\pi \in \Pi(G_b)$. By (2.4), there exists a unique standard Levi subgroup L of G and $b_L \in B(L)_{\text{bas}}^+$ such that b_L is identified with $b \in B(G)$. We may regard π as an element of $\Pi(L_b)$ via the identification $G_b \cong L_{b_L}$ as in Lemma 4.3. Then, by the $B(L)_{\text{bas}}$ -LLC, we can associate to π the pair (ϕ, ρ_L) of an L -parameter ϕ of L and an irreducible representation ρ_L of $S_{\phi, L}^{\natural}$.

Let ${}^L M$ be a smallest Levi subgroup of ${}^L G$ such that ϕ factors through the L -embedding ${}^L M \hookrightarrow {}^L L$. We regard ϕ also as an L -parameter of G by composing it with the embedding ${}^L L \hookrightarrow {}^L G$. Then, by Lemma 2.5, S_{ϕ}° is a connected reductive group and $S_{\phi, L}^{\circ}$ is its Levi subgroup with a maximal torus $A_{\widehat{M}}$. Hence, by representation theory of disconnected reductive groups (§2.2), ρ_L is given by $\mathcal{L}_L(\lambda, E)$, where $\lambda \in X^*(A_{\widehat{M}})^+$ is a dominant character and E is a simple \mathcal{A}_L^{λ} -module with the notation as in §2.2.

Since $A_L^{\lambda} = A^{\lambda}$ by Proposition 2.9, E can be regarded as a simple \mathcal{A}^{λ} -module. Thus we get an irreducible representation $\mathcal{L}(\lambda, E)$ of S_{ϕ} . If we put $\rho := \mathcal{L}(\lambda, E)$, then it can be easily checked that our construction given in §4.1 associates π to (ϕ, ρ) . \square

5. ENDOSCOPIC CHARACTER IDENTITY

In this section, we restrict to the case where F is a p -adic field. It seems to us that analogous results must hold for all local fields.

5.1. Setup. Recall that a *refined endoscopic datum* \mathfrak{e} of G is a tuple $(H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$ consisting of

- H is a quasi-split connected reductive group over F ,
- \mathcal{H} is a split extension of W_F by \widehat{H} such that the induced action of W_F on \widehat{H} coincides with the one coming from the F -rational structure of \widehat{H} ,
- s is an element of $Z(\widehat{H})^{\Gamma}$, and
- $\eta: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow {}^L G$ is an L -homomorphism which restricts to an isomorphism $\widehat{H} \rightarrow Z_{\widehat{G}}(\eta(s))^{\circ}$

Recall also that an isomorphism of refined endoscopic data from $(H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$ to $(H', \mathcal{H}', s', \eta')$ is an element $g \in \widehat{G}$ such that

- (1) we have $(\text{Int}(g) \circ \eta)(\mathcal{H}) = \eta'(\mathcal{H}')$, and
- (2) $\text{Int}(g)(\eta(s)) = \eta'(s')$.

(see [BM21a, Definition 2.11], [BMS22, Definition 2.3.4] and also [Kal16a, §1.3 and §4.1]). We let $\mathbf{E}^{\text{iso}}(G)$ be the set of refined endoscopic data for G and let $\mathcal{E}^{\text{iso}}(G)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes.

We fix a refined endoscopic datum $\mathfrak{e} = (H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$ in the following. For simplicity, we assume throughout that $\mathcal{H} = {}^L H$. We fix an F -splitting $(T_H, B_H, \{X_{H, \alpha}\})$ of H and a Γ -stable splitting $(\widehat{T}_H, \widehat{B}_H, \{X_{\widehat{H}, \alpha}\})$ of \widehat{H} in addition to the splittings of G and \widehat{G} we fixed in §2. We assume that $\eta(\widehat{T}_H) = \widehat{T}$ and $\eta(\widehat{B}_H) \subset \widehat{B}$.

Temporarily fix $b \in B(G)_{\text{bas}}$ and choose a cocycle $z \in Z_{\text{alg}}^1(\mathcal{E}_F^{\text{iso}}, G(\overline{F}))$ and $\varphi: G \rightarrow G_b$ such that (G_b, φ, z) is an extended pure inner twist of G . Recall that we can define the notion of *matching orbital integrals* between test functions

$f_b \in C_c^\infty(G_b(F))$ and $f_H \in C_c^\infty(H(F))$. For any test function $f_b \in C_c^\infty(G_b(F))$, there always exists a test function $f_H \in C_c^\infty(H(F))$ (*transfer*) which has matching orbital integrals with f_b (see [Kal16a, Theorem 4]). Accordingly, for any stable distribution D on $H(F)$, we may consider its *transfer* $\text{Trans}_H^{G_b} D$ to $G_b(F)$ by, for any test function $f_b \in C_c^\infty(G_b(F))$,

$$\text{Trans}_H^{G_b} D(f_b) := D(f_H),$$

where $f_H \in C_c^\infty(H(F))$ is a transfer of f_b to $H(F)$.

Let ϕ be a tempered L -parameter of G . We assume that ϕ factors through η ; let ϕ_H be an L -parameter of H such that $\phi = \eta \circ \phi_H$.

In the following, we assume the existence of the basic case of the local Langlands correspondence (Conjecture 3.1). Hence, by Theorem 3.8, we have a bijective map

$$\iota_{\mathfrak{w}} : \coprod_{b \in B(G)} \Pi_\phi(G_b) \xrightarrow{1:1} \text{Irr}(S_\phi)$$

which extends the bijection of the $B(G)_{\text{bas}}$ -LLC

$$\iota_{\mathfrak{w}} : \coprod_{b \in B(G)_{\text{bas}}} \Pi_\phi(G_b) \xrightarrow{1:1} \text{Irr}(S_\phi^{\natural}).$$

In the following, for any $\pi \in \Pi_\phi(G_b)$, we let $\langle \pi, - \rangle$ denote the irreducible character of S_ϕ corresponding to π under $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}$, i.e.,

$$\langle \pi, s \rangle := \text{tr}(s \mid \iota_{\mathfrak{w}}(\pi))$$

for $s \in S_\phi$. For any $b \in B(G)$ and $s \in S_\phi$, we put

$$\Theta_\phi^{G_b, s} := e(G_b) \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_\phi(G_b)} \langle \pi, s \rangle \Theta_\pi,$$

where $e(G_b)$ denotes the Kottwitz sign of G_b . When $s = 1$, we write $S\Theta_\phi^{G_b}$ for $\Theta_\phi^{G_b, 1}$.

It is expected that the basic case of the local Langlands correspondence satisfies the *stability* and the *endoscopic character identity* (cf. [Kal16a, Conjecture F]). We assume these properties in the following:

Assumption 5.1 (stability and endoscopic character identity). Let $b \in B(G)_{\text{bas}}$.

- (1) The distribution $S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H$ on $H(F)$ is stable.
- (2) We have the following equality as distributions on $G_b(F)$:

$$(5.1) \quad \text{Trans}_H^{G_b} S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H = \Theta_\phi^{G_b, \eta(s)}.$$

Our aim in this section is to generalize the identity (5.1) to any $b \in B(G)$. For this, we additionally assume the following standard properties of the basic case of the local Langlands correspondence.

Assumption 5.2. Let Q be a standard parabolic subgroup of G with standard Levi L . If a tempered L -parameter ϕ of G factors through the L -embedding of ${}^L L$ into ${}^L G$, then we have the following equality as distributions on $G(F)$:

$$S\Theta_\phi^G = I_Q^G(S\Theta_\phi^L).$$

Remark 5.3. By using the transitivity of parabolic induction, we can reduce the property of Assumption 5.2 to the case when L is minimal among which ϕ factors through, i.e., ϕ is discrete as an L -parameter of L . Then, this is a special case of the local intertwining relation (see [Art13, Theorem 2.4.1], for instance).

Assumption 5.4. Suppose that $\alpha: G \rightarrow G$ is an F -rational automorphism of G . Let ${}^L\alpha: {}^L G \rightarrow {}^L G$ be the dual to G . Then, for any L -parameter $\phi: L_F \rightarrow {}^L G$, we have

$$\Pi_{L_{\alpha \circ \phi}}(G) = \alpha^* \Pi_{\phi}(G),$$

where $\alpha^* \Pi_{\phi}(G)$ denotes the pull-back of $\Pi_{\phi}(G)$ via $\alpha: G(F) \rightarrow G(F)$.

Remark 5.5. Assumption 5.4 should be standard (see, for example, [Hai14, Conjecture 4.9]) and can be also thought of as a special case of the compatibility of the local Langlands correspondence with isogeny; for example, see [Bor79, 10.3 (5)], [FS21, §IX.6.1], [GL17, Théorème 0.1], etc.

5.2. Motivation. Our aim is to generalize the identity (5.1) to general $b \in B(G)$. We now describe what we believe is the correct way to formulate the endoscopic character identity for a general $b \in B(G)$. To begin, we want to define a transfer of functions from $C_c^\infty(G_b(F))$ to $C_c^\infty(H(F))$ for any $b \in B(G)$ and an endoscopic group H of G . We suspect this will not be possible in full generality, but it will be for ν_b -acceptable functions. We recall their definition (see [BMS22, §2.7]).

Let $\nu: \mathbb{D}_F \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism of groups and let M_ν be the centralizer of ν in G . The homomorphism ν defines a parabolic subgroup $P_\nu = M_\nu N_\nu$ whereby the positive roots of P_ν are those such that $\langle \nu, \alpha \rangle < 0$.

Warning 5.6. We often take ν to be $\nu_b := \nu_G(b)$ and the opposite parabolic P_ν^{op} is standard in this case.

We say that $\gamma \in M_\nu(\overline{F})$ is ν -acceptable if the adjoint action of γ on $N_\nu(\overline{F})$ is dilating, namely each eigenvalue λ of this action satisfies $|\lambda| > 1$. The set of acceptable elements is nonempty and open in $M_\nu(\overline{F})$. Since acceptability only depends on the stable conjugacy class of γ in M_ν , we can define for an inner twist $\varphi_M: M_\nu \rightarrow M'_\nu$ that $\gamma' \in M'_\nu(\overline{F})$ is ν -acceptable if $\varphi^{-1}(\gamma')$ is ν -acceptable. We let $C_{c,\text{acc}}^\infty(M_\nu(F)) \subset C_c^\infty(M_\nu(F))$ (resp. $C_{c,\text{acc}}^\infty(M'_\nu(F)) \subset C_c^\infty(M'_\nu(F))$) denote the subset of functions supported on acceptable elements. We remark that there are enough acceptable functions to separate $\Pi(M'_\nu)$ (see the argument of [Shi09, Lemma 6.4], cf. [BMS22, Lemma 2.7.5]) so it is sufficient to restrict our attention them. The relevant proposition is as follows.

Proposition 5.7 ([KS21, Lemma 3.1.2]). *Let $f_\nu \in C_{c,\text{acc}}^\infty(M_\nu(F))$. Then there exists an $f \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$ satisfying the following properties.*

- *For every semisimple element $g \in G(F)$, we have the following identity of orbital integrals*

$$O_g^G(f) = \delta_{P_\nu}^{-1/2}(m) \cdot O_m^{M_\nu}(f_\nu),$$

if there exists an acceptable $m \in M_\nu(F)$ that is conjugate to $g \in G(F)$ and $O_g^G(f) = 0$ otherwise.

- *we have*

$$\text{tr}(f \mid \pi) = \text{tr}(f_\nu \mid J_{P_\nu^{\text{op}}}^G(\pi)),$$

for $\pi \in \Pi(G)$.

We need to study the relation between the endoscopy of G and its Levi subgroups. Fix $L \subset G$ a standard Levi subgroup of G (later, especially, we take L to be the standard Levi subgroup of G such that G_b is its inner twist).

Definition 5.8. An *embedded endoscopic datum* for G is a tuple $(H_L, \mathcal{H}_L, H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$, where

- $(H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$ is a refined endoscopic datum of G with a fixed F -pinning $(T_H, B_H, \{X_{H,\alpha}\})$ of H ,
- H_L is a standard Levi subgroup of H ,
- \mathcal{H}_L is a Levi subgroup of \mathcal{H} , namely \mathcal{H}_L surjects onto W_F and its intersection with \hat{H} is a Levi subgroup of \hat{H} ,

such that $\hat{H}_L = \mathcal{H}_L \cap \hat{H}$ and $(H_L, \mathcal{H}_L, s, \eta|_{\mathcal{H}_L})$ is a refined endoscopic datum of L .

An isomorphism of embedded data from $(H_L, \mathcal{H}_L, H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$ to $(H'_L, \mathcal{H}'_L, H', \mathcal{H}', s', \eta')$ is a $g \in \hat{G}$, which simultaneously produces isomorphisms

$$(H_L, \hat{H}_L, s, \eta) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H'_L, \mathcal{H}'_L, s', \eta') \quad \text{and} \quad (H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H', \mathcal{H}', s', \eta').$$

We denote the set of embedded endoscopic data by $\mathbf{E}^{\text{emb}}(L, G)$ and the set of isomorphism classes by $\mathcal{E}^{\text{emb}}(L, G)$.

We have the natural restrictions $X : \mathbf{E}^{\text{emb}}(L, G) \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^{\text{iso}}(L)$ and $Y^{\text{emb}} : \mathbf{E}^{\text{emb}}(L, G) \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^{\text{iso}}(G)$. These induce maps of isomorphism classes, and the map induced by X is a bijection by [BM21a, Proposition 2.20]. We recall from [BM21a, Construction 2.15] that there is a natural map $Y : \mathbf{E}^{\text{iso}}(L) \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^{\text{iso}}(G)$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{E}^{\text{iso}}(G) & \\ Y^{\text{emb}} \nearrow & & \nwarrow Y \\ \mathcal{E}^{\text{emb}}(L, G) & \xrightarrow{X} & \mathcal{E}^{\text{iso}}(L). \end{array}$$

Definition 5.9. For a refined endoscopic datum $(H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$ of G , we define $\mathcal{E}^{\text{emb}}(L, G; H)$ to be the set of isomorphism classes of embedded endoscopic data whose image under

$$(5.3) \quad Y^{\text{emb}} : \mathcal{E}^{\text{emb}}(L, G) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\text{iso}}(G)$$

is the isomorphism class of $(H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$. We define the set of *inner classes of embedded endoscopic data* relative to H , denoted by $\mathcal{E}^i(L, G; H)$, to be the set of equivalence classes of elements of the form $(H_L, \mathcal{H}_L, H, \mathcal{H}, s, \text{Int}(n) \circ \eta)$ of $\mathbf{E}^{\text{emb}}(L, G)$, for $n \in N_{\hat{G}}(\hat{T})$. The isomorphism class of such elements lies in $\mathcal{E}^{\text{emb}}(L, G; H)$ and two such data are considered equivalent if they are isomorphic by an inner isomorphism α of the group H inducing an isomorphism of embedded endoscopic data.


In the following, we fix a refined endoscopic datum $\mathfrak{e} = (H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$. Although we believe that our result can be established for general \mathcal{H} , we focus only on the case $\mathcal{H} = {}^L H$ in the following. We also fix $b \in B(G)$ and an extended pure inner twist (G_b, φ, z) of L , where $L \subset G$ is the standard Levi subgroup given by the centralizer of $\nu_b := \nu_G(b)$ with standard parabolic Q and z is a cocycle corresponding to $b_L \in B(L)_{\text{bas}}^+$.

We furthermore fix $X_L^{\mathfrak{e}}$, a set of representatives of $\mathcal{E}^i(L, G; H)$. For each $\mathfrak{e}_L \in X_L^{\mathfrak{e}}$, we get a character $\nu : \mathbb{D}_F \xrightarrow{\nu_b} A_L \subset T' \cong T_H$ where $T' \subset G$ and we note

the isomorphism $T' \cong T_H$ is determined by ϵ_L and canonical up to our choice of splittings.

Fix $f_b \in C_{c,\text{acc}}^\infty(G_b(F))$. We produce a matching $f_H \in C_c^\infty(H(F))$.

- (1) Define $f_b^0 := f_b \otimes \bar{\delta}_{P_{\nu_b}}^{-1/2}$, where $\bar{\delta}_{P_{\nu_b}}$ is the character on G_b defined such that $\bar{\delta}_{P_{\nu_b}}(\gamma') = \delta_{P_{\nu_b}}(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in L(F)$ matching $\gamma' \in G_b(F)$.
- (2) For each $\epsilon_L \in X_L^\epsilon$, define $f_{\epsilon_L} \in C_c^\infty(H_L)$ to be a transfer of f_b^0 from G_b to H_L using the Whittaker normalized $\Delta[\mathfrak{w}_L, z]$ transfer factor (we use the Δ_D^λ normalization as in [KS12, §5.5], these transfer factors are defined by [KT18, (4.3)], though note that they use the Δ'_λ normalization). By multiplying with the indicator function on the set of ν -acceptable elements, we can and do assume that $f_{\epsilon_L} \in C_{c,\text{acc}}^\infty(H_L(F))$.
- (3) We now apply Proposition 5.7 to each $f_{\epsilon_L} \in C_{c,\text{acc}}^\infty(H_L(F))$ to get functions $f_{H,\epsilon_L} \in C_c^\infty(H(F))$.
- (4) We finally let $f_H = \sum_{X_L^\epsilon} f_{H,\epsilon_L} \in C_c^\infty(H(F))$.



Now take $\gamma_H \in H(F)$ that is G -strongly regular and let γ be its transfer to G . We compute the stable orbital integral $SO_{\gamma_H}^H(f_H)$. If there is no $\epsilon_L \in X_L^\epsilon$ and ν -acceptable $\gamma_{H_L} \in H_L(F)$ conjugate to γ_H in $H(F)$, then $SO_{\gamma_H}^H(f_H) = 0$ by Proposition 5.7. Otherwise, we have that

$$(5.4) \quad SO_{\gamma_H}^H(f_H) = \sum_{\epsilon_L} \delta_{P_\nu}^{-1/2}(\gamma_{H_L}) \cdot SO_{\gamma_{H_L}}^{H_L}(f_{\epsilon_L}),$$

where the sum is over some subset of X_L^ϵ . Here we used the fact that the identity of orbital integrals in Proposition 5.7 induces the identity of stable orbital integrals ([Shi10, Lemma 3.5]). Crucially, by [BMS22, Lemma 2.7.13] (cf. [Shi10, Lemma 6.2], [BM21a, Lemma 2.42]) there is at most one ϵ_L appearing on the right hand side of (5.4). If γ_{H_L} for such ϵ_L does not transfer to some $\gamma_{G_b} \in G_b(F)$ whose image γ_L in $L(F)$ is ν_b -acceptable, then the original $SO_{\gamma_H}^H(f_H)$ is 0. Otherwise, we get

$$\begin{aligned} SO_{\gamma_H}^H(f_H) &= \delta_{P_\nu}^{-1/2}(\gamma_{H_L}) \cdot SO_{\gamma_{H_L}}^{H_L}(f_{\epsilon_L}) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma'_{G_b} \sim_{\text{st}} \gamma_{G_b}} \Delta[\mathfrak{w}_L, z](\gamma_{H_L}, \gamma'_{G_b}) \delta_{P_\nu}^{-1/2}(\gamma_{H_L}) \bar{\delta}_{P_{\nu_b}}^{-1/2}(\gamma'_{G_b}) O_{\gamma'_{G_b}}^{G_b}(f_b). \end{aligned}$$

The formula

$$\frac{|\det(\text{Ad}(\gamma_L) - 1 \mid \text{Lie}(G)/\text{Lie}(L))|^{1/2}}{|\det(\text{Ad}(\gamma_{H_L}) - 1 \mid \text{Lie}(H)/\text{Lie}(H_L))|^{1/2}} \Delta[\mathfrak{w}_L](\gamma_{H_L}, \gamma_L) = \Delta[\mathfrak{w}](\gamma_{H_L}, \gamma_L)$$

(see [BM21a, Proposition 5.3] for instance) and the facts that

- $|\delta_{P_\nu}(\gamma_{H_L})| = |\det(\text{Ad}(\gamma_{H_L}) - 1 \mid \text{Lie}(H)/\text{Lie}(H_L))|$ and
- $|\delta_{P_{\nu_b}}(\gamma_L)| = |\det(\text{Ad}(\gamma_L) - 1 \mid \text{Lie}(G)/\text{Lie}(L))|$

(see [Shi10, Lemma 3.4]) imply that finally:

$$SO_{\gamma_H}^H(f_H) = \sum_{\gamma'_{G_b} \sim_{\text{st}} \gamma_{G_b}} \Delta[\mathfrak{w}](\gamma_{H_L}, \gamma_L) \langle \text{inv}[z](\gamma_L, \gamma_{G'_b}), \hat{\varphi}_{\gamma_{H_L}, \gamma_L}(s) \rangle^{-1} O_{\gamma'_{G_b}}^{G_b}(f_b),$$

where $\hat{\varphi}_{\gamma_{H_L}, \gamma_L}$ is the dual of the admissible isomorphism taking $Z_{H_L}(\gamma_{H_L})$ to $Z_L(\gamma_L)$ (cf. [BM21a, §4.1]). This is our notion of *matching function*. Corresponding to this notion of matching function, we get a *transfer* of distributions $\text{Trans}_H^{G_b} D(f_b) = D(f_H)$ where f_b and f_H are matching functions and D is a stable distribution on $H(F)$.

We have the following:

Lemma 5.10. *We have*

$$(5.5) \quad \text{Trans}_H^{G_b} S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H = \sum_{\epsilon_L \in X_L^\epsilon} (\text{Trans}_{H_L}^{G_b} J_{P_{\nu}^{\text{op}}}^H S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H) \otimes \bar{\delta}_{P_{\nu_b}}^{1/2}.$$

Proof. We fix $f_b \in C_{c, \text{acc}}^\infty(G_b(F))$ and its transfer $f_H \in C_c^\infty(H(F))$. Then we have

$$\text{Trans}_H^{G_b} S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H(f_b) = S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H(f_H).$$

On the other hand, if we let f_b^0 , f_{ϵ_L} , f_{H, ϵ_L} be intermediate test functions as explained above, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\epsilon_L \in X_L^\epsilon} (\text{Trans}_{H_L}^{G_b} J_{P_{\nu}^{\text{op}}}^H S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H) \otimes \bar{\delta}_{P_{\nu_b}}^{1/2}(f_b) &= \sum_{\epsilon_L \in X_L^\epsilon} (\text{Trans}_{H_L}^{G_b} J_{P_{\nu}^{\text{op}}}^H S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H)(f_b^0) \\ &= \sum_{\epsilon_L \in X_L^\epsilon} (J_{P_{\nu}^{\text{op}}}^H S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H)(f_{\epsilon_L}) \\ &= \sum_{\epsilon_L \in X_L^\epsilon} S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H(f_{H, \epsilon_L}) = S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H(f_H), \end{aligned}$$

where we used Proposition 5.7 (2) in the third equality. \square

A naive expectation is that the identity (5.1) holds also for non-basic $b \in B(G)$ with this definition of $\text{Trans}_H^{G_b} S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H$. However, this is not true. Let us explain the difficulty.

Consider the simplest case where $(H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta) = (G, {}^L G, 1, \text{id})$. In this case, the set X_L^ϵ is a singleton whose unique element can be taken to be $(L, {}^L L, G, {}^L G, 1, \text{id})$. Note that the standard parabolic subgroup $P_\nu^{\text{op}} = P_{\nu_b}^{\text{op}}$ associated to this unique embedded endoscopic datum is given by Q . Hence, by Lemma 5.10, the identity (5.1) would become

$$(5.6) \quad (\text{Trans}_L^{G_b} J_Q^G S\Theta_\phi^G) \otimes \bar{\delta}_Q^{-1/2} = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_\phi(G_b)} \langle \pi, 1 \rangle \Theta_\pi.$$

Let us explain how this identity fails in the following two examples.

Example 5.11. Let $G = \text{GL}_2$. We take ϕ to be the direct sum $\mathbb{1} \oplus \mathbb{1}$ of two trivial representations of $W_F \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Then we have $S_\phi = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose that ρ is an irreducible representation of S_ϕ which is not 1-dimensional. Then the element $b \in B(G)$ associated to ρ is non-basic and $G_b = L = T$. Since $\Pi_\phi(G_b)$ is a singleton consisting of $\mathbb{1} \boxtimes \mathbb{1}$, we have

$$\sum_{\pi \in \Pi_\phi(G_b)} \langle \pi, 1 \rangle \Theta_\pi = \dim(\rho) \Theta_{\mathbb{1} \boxtimes \mathbb{1}}.$$

On the other hand, $\Pi_\phi(G)$ is a singleton consisting of $I_B^G(\mathbb{1} \boxtimes \mathbb{1})$. We have $Q = B$ and can check that

$$(\text{Trans}_T^{G_b} J_B^G S \Theta_\phi^G) \otimes \bar{\delta}_B^{-1/2} = 2\Theta_{\mathbb{1} \boxtimes \mathbb{1}} \otimes \bar{\delta}_B^{-1/2}$$

(for example, by the geometric lemma ([BZ77, p. 448])). Thus, firstly, this example suggests that it would be better to twist the G_b -side $\sum_{\pi \in \Pi_\phi(G_b)} \langle \pi, 1 \rangle \Theta_\pi$ via the character $\bar{\delta}_B^{-1/2}$. Secondly, even if we make this modification, the equality (5.6) does not hold unless $\dim(\rho) = 2$.

Example 5.12. Let $G = \text{GL}_4$. We take ϕ to be the direct sum $\text{Std} \oplus \text{Std}$ of two standard representations of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ (trivial on the W_F -part). Then we have $S_\phi \cong \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose that ρ is an irreducible representation of S_ϕ which is not 1-dimensional. Then the element $b \in B(G)$ associated to ρ is non-basic and G_b is given by an inner form of the standard Levi subgroup $L = \text{GL}_2 \times \text{GL}_2$ of G . Since $\Pi_\phi(G_b)$ is a singleton consisting of $\text{Trans}_L^{G_b} \text{St}_2 \boxtimes \text{St}_2$, we have

$$\sum_{\pi \in \Pi_\phi(G_b)} \langle \pi, 1 \rangle \Theta_\pi = \dim(\rho) \text{Trans}_L^{G_b} \Theta_{\text{St}_2 \boxtimes \text{St}_2},$$

where St_2 denotes the Steinberg representation of $\text{GL}_2(F)$. On the other hand, $\Pi_\phi(G)$ is a singleton consisting of $I_Q^G(\text{St}_2 \boxtimes \text{St}_2)$, where Q is the standard parabolic subgroup of G with Levi part L . By using the geometric lemma as before, we can check that

$$J_Q^G S \Theta_\phi^G = 2\Theta_{\text{St}_2 \boxtimes \text{St}_2} + \Theta_{I_B^{\text{GL}_2}(|-\frac{1}{2}| \boxtimes |-\frac{1}{2}|) \boxtimes I_B^{\text{GL}_2}(|-\frac{1}{2}| \boxtimes |-\frac{1}{2}|)}.$$

Thus the equality (5.6) cannot hold even if we twist the G_b -side via $\bar{\delta}_Q^{-1/2}$ and if $\dim(\rho) = 2$ because of an extra term in $J_Q^G S \Theta_\phi^G$.

What we will do in the following is to modify the identity (5.1) so that the problems as in the above examples are resolved.

On the G_b -side, we introduce a quantity $\langle \pi, - \rangle_{\text{reg}}$ and replace $\langle \pi, - \rangle$ in $\Theta_\phi^{G_b, \eta(s)}$ with $\langle \pi, - \rangle_{\text{reg}}$. In the cases of Examples 5.11 and 5.12, we get $\langle \pi, 1 \rangle_{\text{reg}} = 2$ for any π whose $\rho \in \text{Irr}(S_\phi)$ is not 1-dimensional. Moreover, we consider the character twist via $\bar{\delta}_{P_{\nu_b}}^{1/2}$.

On the H -side, we define the *regular part* $[\text{Trans}_H^{G_b} S \Theta_{\phi_H}^H]_{\text{reg}}$ of $\text{Trans}_H^{G_b} S \Theta_{\phi_H}^H$ by simply cutting off some part of the sum obtained after applying the geometric lemma (Definition 5.17). In the case of Example 5.11, nothing changes by this procedure; in the case of Example 5.12, the second term of $J_Q^G S \Theta_\phi^G$ is non-regular and thrown away.

The following is the main result of this section, which will be proved in §5.7.

Theorem 5.13. *For any $b \in B(G)$, we have the following equality as distributions on $G_b(F)$:*

$$(5.7) \quad [\text{Trans}_H^{G_b} S \Theta_{\phi_H}^H]_{\text{reg}} = e(G_b) \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_\phi(G_b)} \langle \pi, \eta(s) \rangle_{\text{reg}} \Theta_\pi \otimes \bar{\delta}_{P_{\nu_b}}^{1/2}$$

Remark 5.14. It is a natural attempt to seek a formulation of the endoscopic character identity such that the non-regular part is not discarded. However, we do not pursue this direction in this paper. Note that it is expected that the L -packet of a supercuspidal L -parameter (i.e., discrete and trivial on $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -part) contains

only supercuspidal representations (cf. [Hai14, Proposition 4.27]). This implies that when ϕ has trivial SL_2 -part, the regular part is everything. For general ϕ , we just remark that the non-regular part can be quite complicated (cf. [Ato20]).

5.3. Preliminaries on the Weyl groups. For any F -rational Levi subgroups L_1 and L_2 of G , we put

- $W^{\mathrm{rel}}(L_1, L_2) := \{w \in W^{\mathrm{rel}} \mid w(A_{L_1}) \supset A_{L_2}\} = \{w \in W^{\mathrm{rel}} \mid w(L_1) \subset L_2\}$,
- $W^{\mathrm{rel}, L_1, L_2} := \{w \in W^{\mathrm{rel}} \mid w(L_1 \cap B) \subset B, w^{-1}(L_2 \cap B) \subset B\}$, and
- $W^{\mathrm{rel}}[L_1, L_2] := W^{\mathrm{rel}}(L_1, L_2) \cap W^{\mathrm{rel}, L_1, L_2}$.

We note that $W^{\mathrm{rel}}[L_1, L_2]$ gives a complete set of representatives of the double cosets $W_{L_2}^{\mathrm{rel}} \backslash W^{\mathrm{rel}}(L_1, L_2) / W_{L_1}^{\mathrm{rel}}$ (see [BZ77, Lemma 2.11]). Also note that we have $W_{L_2}^{\mathrm{rel}} w W_{L_1}^{\mathrm{rel}} = W_{L_2}^{\mathrm{rel}} w W_{L_1}^{\mathrm{rel}} w^{-1} w = W_{L_2}^{\mathrm{rel}} w$ for any $w \in W^{\mathrm{rel}}(L_1, L_2)$, hence we have $W_{L_2}^{\mathrm{rel}} \backslash W^{\mathrm{rel}}(L_1, L_2) / W_{L_1}^{\mathrm{rel}} = W_{L_2}^{\mathrm{rel}} \backslash W^{\mathrm{rel}}(L_1, L_2)$.

On the dual side, similarly, we put

$$\widehat{W}^{\mathrm{rel}}(L_1, L_2) := \{w \in \widehat{W}^{\mathrm{rel}} \mid w(A_{\widehat{L}_1}) \supset A_{\widehat{L}_2}\}$$

for any Levi subgroups ${}^L L_1$ and ${}^L L_2$ of ${}^L G$. The condition $w(A_{\widehat{L}_1}) \supset A_{\widehat{L}_2}$ is equivalent to $w({}^L L_1) \subset {}^L L_2$ by [KMSW14, §0.4.1].

Note that the identification $W^{\mathrm{rel}} \cong \widehat{W}^{\mathrm{rel}}$ induces $W^{\mathrm{rel}}(L_1, L_2) \cong \widehat{W}^{\mathrm{rel}}(L_1, L_2)$ for any standard Levi subgroups L_1, L_2 .

Lemma 5.15. *The image of the map $W_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{M}}) \hookrightarrow \widehat{W}^{\mathrm{rel}}$ (see Lemma 2.7) is contained in $\widehat{W}^{\mathrm{rel}}(M, M)$. In particular, for any standard Levi subgroup L of G , the set $\widehat{W}^{\mathrm{rel}}(M, L)$ is stable under the right $W_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{M}})$ -translation.*

Proof. Let w be an element of $W_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{M}})$ with a lift $n \in N_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{M}})$. Recall that the image of w in $\widehat{W}^{\mathrm{rel}} = W_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{T}})$ is given by the class of $m^{-1}n \in N_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{T}})$, where $m \in \widehat{M}$ is an element such that $m^{-1}n$ -conjugation preserves the Borel pair $(\widehat{T}, \widehat{B}_{\widehat{M}})$ of \widehat{M} . In particular, $m^{-1}n$ -conjugation preserves $A_{\widehat{M}}$. Hence we get the first assertion.

Since $\widehat{W}^{\mathrm{rel}}(M, L)$ is stable under right $\widehat{W}^{\mathrm{rel}}(M, M)$ -translation, the second assertion follows from the first one. \square

5.4. Definition of the regular part on the endoscopic side. We continue with the fixed data from §5.1 and §5.2. Let P be a standard parabolic subgroup of G with standard Levi M for a fixed tempered L -parameter ϕ as in §2.3, i.e., ${}^L M$ is a smallest Levi subgroup of ${}^L G$ such that ϕ factors through ${}^L M \hookrightarrow {}^L G$. Then X_L^ξ is in bijection with $W_L \backslash W(L, H) / W_H$ where we identify W_H with a subgroup of \widehat{W}_G via η and $W(L, H)$ consists of $w \in \widehat{W}_G$ such that for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, there exists $h_\gamma \in \widehat{H}$ such that $\mathrm{Int}(h_\gamma) \circ \gamma$ centralizes $(w \circ \eta)^{-1}(A_{\widehat{M}})$ (see [BM21a, §2.7]).

Lemma 5.16. *Suppose $(H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$ is a refined endoscopic datum through which ϕ factors as ϕ_H and let $H_M \subset H$ be a minimal Levi through which ϕ_H factors. Then $\eta^{-1}(\widehat{M})$ and \widehat{H}_M are conjugate in $N_{\widehat{H}}(\widehat{T}_H)$.*

Proof. We have that $A_{\widehat{M}}$ is a maximal torus of S_ϕ° and note that $A_{\widehat{M}} \subset \widehat{T} \subset \eta(\widehat{H})$. Since $\eta(S_{\phi_H}^\circ) \subset S_\phi^\circ$, we have that $\eta^{-1}(A_{\widehat{M}})$ is a maximal torus of $S_{\phi_H}^\circ$. But if H_M is a minimal Levi through which ϕ_H factors, then $A_{\widehat{H}_M}$ is a maximal torus of $S_{\phi_H}^\circ$ and hence there exists $h \in S_{\phi_H}^\circ \subset \widehat{H}$ conjugating $A_{\widehat{H}_M}$ to $\eta^{-1}(A_{\widehat{M}})$. Let

$\widehat{T}' = \text{Int}(h)(\widehat{T}_H)$. Then \widehat{T}' and \widehat{T}_H are two maximal tori in $Z_{\widehat{H}}(\eta^{-1}(A_{\widehat{M}}))$ and hence are conjugate. Thus, we may as well assume $h \in N_{\widehat{H}}(\widehat{T}_H)$. \square

We assume ϕ_H and ϕ are chosen such that H_M and M can be chosen to be standard Levi subgroups. Each $\mathfrak{e}_L \in X_L^\mathfrak{e}$ determines a Borel subgroup $\widehat{B}^{\mathfrak{e}_L} \subset \widehat{H}$ via $\widehat{B}^{\mathfrak{e}_L} = (\text{Int}(h) \circ \eta)^{-1}(\widehat{B})$. There is a unique standard parabolic subgroup for \widehat{H}_M containing $\widehat{B}^{\mathfrak{e}_L}$, which we call $P^{\mathfrak{e}_L}$. Similarly, there is a standard parabolic for \widehat{H}_L containing $\widehat{B}^{\mathfrak{e}_L}$, which is exactly P_{ν}^{op} .

By Assumption 5.2 and the geometric lemma of [BZ77], we have that the term $J_{P_{\nu}^{\text{op}}}^H S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H$ which appears in the expression in (5.5) becomes

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} J_{P_{\nu}^{\text{op}}}^H S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H &= J_{P_{\nu}^{\text{op}}}^H I_{P^{\mathfrak{e}_L}}^H S\Theta_{\phi_H}^{H_M} \\ &= \sum_{w \in W^{\text{rel}, H_M, H_L}} I_{P_2}^{H_L} \circ w^* \circ J_{P_1}^{H_M} S\Theta_{\phi_H}^{H_M}, \end{aligned}$$

where P_1 (resp. P_2) is the standard parabolic subgroup of $H_M \cap w^{-1}(H_L)$ inside H_M (resp. $w(H_M) \cap H_L$ inside H_L) and w^* denotes the pull-back via the w -conjugation from $H_M \cap w^{-1}(H_L)$ to $w(H_M) \cap H_L$.

Note that when $w \in W^{\text{rel}}[H_M, H_L]$, we have $I_{P_2}^{H_L} \circ w^* \circ J_{P_1}^{H_M} S\Theta_{\phi_H}^{H_M} = S\Theta_{w\phi_H}^{H_L}$. Indeed, $H_M \cap w^{-1}(H_L) = H_M$ and so the $J_{P_1}^{H_M}$ is just the identity map. Moreover, by Assumption 5.4, we have $w^* S\Theta_{\phi_H}^{H_M} = S\Theta_{w\phi_H}^{H_M}$. Finally, by Assumption 5.2, we get $I_{P_2}^{H_L} S\Theta_{w\phi_H}^{H_M} = S\Theta_{w\phi_H}^{H_L}$.

This motivates the following definition.

Definition 5.17. We define the *regular part* of $J_{P_{\nu}^{\text{op}}}^H S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H$ to be

$$[J_{P_{\nu}^{\text{op}}}^H S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H]_{\text{reg}} := \sum_{w \in W^{\text{rel}}[H_M, H_L]} S\Theta_{w\phi_H}^{H_L}.$$

We define the *regular part* of $\text{Trans}_H^{G_b} S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H$ by replacing $J_{P_{\nu}^{\text{op}}}^H S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H$ in the expression (5.5) with $[J_{P_{\nu}^{\text{op}}}^H S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H]_{\text{reg}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{Trans}_H^{G_b} S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H]_{\text{reg}} &:= \sum_{\mathfrak{e}_L \in X_L^\mathfrak{e}} (\text{Trans}_{H_L}^{G_b} [J_{P_{\nu}^{\text{op}}}^H S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H]_{\text{reg}}) \otimes \bar{\delta}_{P_{\nu_b}}^{1/2} \\ &= \sum_{\mathfrak{e}_L \in X_L^\mathfrak{e}} \left(\text{Trans}_{H_L}^{G_b} \sum_{w \in W^{\text{rel}}[H_M, H_L]} S\Theta_{w\phi_H}^{H_L} \right) \otimes \bar{\delta}_{P_{\nu_b}}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

5.5. Parametrization of members of $\Pi_\phi(G_b)$. In §4.1, we associated to each $\rho \in \text{Irr}(S_\phi)$ an element $b \in B(G)$ and an irreducible smooth representation π_ρ of $G_b(F)$. Recall that $b \in B(G)$ is defined to be the image of an element $b_L \in B(L)_{\text{bas}}^+$ for a standard Levi subgroup L of G . Our aim in the following is to study the L -packet $\Pi_\phi(G_b)$ for an individual $b \in B(G)$. Thus, let us fix a standard parabolic subgroup Q of G with Levi part L and fix $b_L \in B(L)_{\text{bas}}^+$ such that $\alpha_L(\lambda_L) \in \mathfrak{A}_Q^+$, where $\lambda_L := \kappa_L(b_L)|_{A_{\widehat{L}}}$. We put $b \in B(G)$ to be the image of b_L in $B(G)$.

Lemma 5.18. *Let $\rho = \mathcal{L}(\lambda, E) \in \text{Irr}(S_\phi)$ be the irreducible representation of S_ϕ with highest weight $\lambda \in X^*(A_{\widehat{M}})^+$ and a simple \mathcal{A}^λ -module E . Assume that the element $b \in B(G)$ is associated with ρ by our procedure (in other words, $\pi_\rho \in \Pi_\phi(G_b)$). Then there exists an element $w \in \widehat{W}^{\text{rel}}(M, L)$ satisfying $\alpha_w M(w\lambda) = \alpha_L(\lambda_L)$, or equivalently, $\lambda = \alpha_M^{-1} \circ w^{-1} \circ \alpha_L(\lambda_L)$.*

Proof. Recall that the element $b_L \in B(L)_{\text{bas}}^+$ associated with ρ is given as follows. We first choose an element $w \in W^{\text{rel}}$ satisfying ${}^w\alpha_M(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{A}_Q^+$ for a (unique) standard parabolic subgroup Q . Let L be the Levi part of Q (thus we have $\mathfrak{A}_Q^+ \subset X_*(A_L)_{\mathbb{R}}$). We have ${}^w\alpha_M(\lambda) = \alpha_{wM}({}^w\lambda)$. Note that ${}^wM \subset L$ since we have $\alpha_{wM}({}^w\lambda) \in \mathfrak{A}_Q^+$, hence w belongs to $W^{\text{rel}}(M, L)$. Then $b_L \in B(L)_{\text{bas}}^+$ is obtained by applying the $B(L)_{\text{bas}}$ -LLC to $({}^w\phi, \rho_L)$, where $\rho_L := \mathcal{L}_L({}^w\lambda, {}^wE_L)$ (see §4.1). We have the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
X^*(Z({}^w\widehat{M})^\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & X^*(A_{w\widehat{M}}) & \hookrightarrow & X^*(A_{w\widehat{M}})_{\mathbb{R}} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{wM}} & \mathfrak{A}_{wM} \\
\downarrow \text{res} & & \downarrow \text{res} & & \downarrow \text{res} & & \uparrow \\
B(L)_{\text{bas}} & \xrightarrow{\kappa_L} & X^*(Z(\widehat{L})^\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & X^*(A_{\widehat{L}}) & \hookrightarrow & X^*(A_{\widehat{L}})_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\alpha_L} \mathfrak{A}_L
\end{array}$$

In particular, by the commutative diagram (3.1), $\kappa_L(b_L)|_{A_{\widehat{L}}}$ is given by the $A_{\widehat{L}}$ -central character of ρ_L , which equals ${}^w\lambda|_{A_{\widehat{L}}}$. Thus we get $\lambda_L = {}^w\lambda|_{A_{\widehat{L}}}$. On the other hand, since $\alpha_{wM}({}^w\lambda)$ belongs to $\mathfrak{A}_L \subset \mathfrak{A}_{wM}$, we have ${}^w\lambda|_{A_{\widehat{L}}} = \alpha_L^{-1} \circ \alpha_{wM}({}^w\lambda)$. Hence we obtain $\lambda_L = \alpha_L^{-1} \circ \alpha_{wM}({}^w\lambda)$. \square

In the following, for $w \in \widehat{W}^{\text{rel}}(M, L)$, we shortly write λ_L^w for $\alpha_M^{-1} \circ w^{-1} \circ \alpha_L(\lambda_L) \in X^*(A_{\widehat{M}})$. We also put

$$\lambda_{L,w} := w \circ \alpha_M^{-1} \circ w^{-1} \circ \alpha_L(\lambda_L) = \alpha_{wM}^{-1} \circ \alpha_L(\lambda_L) \in X^*(A_{w\widehat{M}}).$$

Note that wM , ${}^w\phi$, and $\lambda_{L,w}$ depend only on the right W_ϕ -coset of $w \in \widehat{W}^{\text{rel}}(M, L)$. (Recall that we have a map $W_\phi \rightarrow W_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{M}}) \hookrightarrow \widehat{W}^{\text{rel}}$ by (2.6) and Lemma 2.7, hence $\widehat{W}^{\text{rel}}(M, L)$ is stable under right W_ϕ -translation by Lemma 5.15.)

We consider the set of pairs $(w, E_{L,w})$, where

- $w \in W^{\text{rel}}(M, L)/W_\phi$, and
- $E_{L,w}$ is a simple $\mathcal{A}_L^{\lambda_{L,w}}$ -module such that the $Z(\widehat{L})^\Gamma$ -central character of the irreducible representation $\mathcal{L}_L(\lambda_{L,w}, E_{L,w})$ of $S_{w\phi,L}$ is given by $\kappa_L(b_L)$.

(Here, note that we need to specify the dominance in $S_{w\phi,L}^\circ$ so that the notation $\mathcal{L}_L(-, -)$ makes sense in general. However, since $\lambda_{L,w}$ extends to a 1-dimensional character of $S_{w\phi,L}^\circ$ as shown in the proof of Lemma 4.1, the representation $\mathcal{L}_L(\lambda_{L,w}, E_{L,w})$ is determined independently of the choice of the dominance.) We let $\mathcal{I}(\phi, b)$ be the set of such pairs $(w, E_{L,w})$. We define an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{I}(\phi, b)$ as follows: we have $(w_1, E_{L,w_1}) \sim (w_2, E_{L,w_2})$ if and only if there exists an element $w_L \in W_L^{\text{rel}}$ such that

- $w_2 = w_L w_1$ (i.e., w_1 and w_2 belong to the same double coset in $W_L^{\text{rel}} \backslash W^{\text{rel}}(M, L)/W_\phi$) and
- E_{L,w_1} and E_{L,w_2} are identified under the isomorphism $S_{w_1\phi,L}^{\lambda_{L,w_1}} \cong S_{w_2\phi,L}^{\lambda_{L,w_2}}$ given by $\text{Int}(w_L)$.

Proposition 5.19. *We have a natural bijection between the set of elements $\rho \in \text{Irr}(S_\phi)$ whose π_ρ belongs to $\Pi_\phi(G_b)$ and the set $\mathcal{I}(\phi, b)/\sim$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, the set $\text{Irr}(S_\phi)$ is bijective to the set of pairs (λ, E) , where

- λ is (a representative of) an element of $X^*(A_{\widehat{M}})^+/R_\phi$,

- E is (the isomorphism classes of) a simple \mathcal{A}^λ -module,

by $\mathcal{L}(\lambda, E) \leftrightarrow (\lambda, E)$. By construction, the representation π_ρ for $\rho = \mathcal{L}(\lambda, E) \in \text{Irr}(S_\phi)$ belongs to $\Pi_\phi(G_b)$ if and only if the Levi subgroup L_λ associated to λ as in §2.4 equals L and the $Z(\widehat{L})^\Gamma$ -central character of ρ_L equals $\kappa_L(b_L)$. Moreover, in this case, a Weyl element $w \in W^{\text{rel}}$ as in §2.4 belongs to $W^{\text{rel}}(M, L)$ and $\lambda = \lambda_L^w$ (equivalently, ${}^w\lambda = \lambda_{L,w}$) by Lemma 5.18.

Thus, the set of elements $\rho \in \text{Irr}(S_\phi)$ satisfying $\pi_\rho \in \Pi_\phi(G_b)$ is in bijection with the set of pairs (λ, E) , where

- λ runs over a complete set of representatives of

$$\{\lambda_L^w \in X^*(A_{\widehat{M}})^+ \mid w \in W^{\text{rel}}(M, L)\}/R_\phi,$$

- E runs over the isomorphism classes of simple \mathcal{A}^λ -modules such that the $Z(\widehat{L})^\Gamma$ -central character of $\mathcal{L}_L(\lambda_{L,w}, E_{L,w})$ is given by $\kappa_L(b_L)$, where $E_{L,w}$ is the simple $\mathcal{A}_L^{\lambda_{L,w}}$ -module which is identified with E under the isomorphism $\mathcal{A}^\lambda \cong \mathcal{A}^{w\lambda} \cong \mathcal{A}_L^{w\lambda}$.

Since we have $W_\phi = W_\phi^\circ \rtimes R_\phi$ (Lemma 2.2) and each W_ϕ° -orbit in $X^*(A_{\widehat{M}})$ contains a unique dominant element, we have

$$X^*(A_{\widehat{M}})/W_\phi \cong X^*(A_{\widehat{M}})^+/R_\phi.$$

Thus, by noting that the stabilizer of λ_L in W^{rel} is given by W_L^{rel} , we see that the map $W^{\text{rel}}(M, L) \rightarrow X^*(A_{\widehat{M}}): w \mapsto \lambda_L^w$ induces a bijection

$$W_L^{\text{rel}} \backslash W^{\text{rel}}(M, L)/W_\phi \xrightarrow{1:1} \{\lambda_L^w \in X^*(A_{\widehat{M}})^+ \mid w \in W^{\text{rel}}(M, L)\}/R_\phi.$$

Therefore the set of pairs (λ, E) as above can be identified with $\mathcal{I}(\phi, b)/\sim$. \square

We note that if $\rho \in \text{Irr}(S_\phi)$ corresponds to $(w, E_{L,w})$, then the representation ρ_L of $S_{w\phi, L}$ constructed in §4.1 is nothing but $\mathcal{L}_L(\lambda_{L,w}, E_{L,w})$.

5.6. Definition of $\langle \pi, \eta(s) \rangle_{\text{reg}}$.

Lemma 5.20. *Let ϕ be an L -parameter of G . Suppose that $(H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$ is a refined endoscopic datum which ϕ factors through as ϕ_H (i.e., $\phi = \eta \circ \phi_H$). Then, for any Levi subgroup L of G such that ϕ factors through ${}^L L \hookrightarrow {}^L G$, we have $\eta(s)$ belongs to $S_{\phi, L}$.*

Proof. We first note that $\eta(s)$ belongs to S_ϕ . Indeed, by definition, $\eta(s) \in S_\phi$ if and only if $\eta(s) \cdot \phi(\sigma) \cdot \eta(s)^{-1} = \phi(\sigma)$ for any $\sigma \in L_F$. As we have $\phi = \eta \circ \phi_H$ and η is an L -embedding ${}^L H \hookrightarrow {}^L G$, this is equivalent to that $s \cdot \phi_H(\sigma) \cdot s^{-1} = \phi_H(\sigma)$ for any $\sigma \in L_F$, which is true since $s \in Z(\widehat{H})^\Gamma$.

Thus our task is to show that $\eta(s)$ belongs to \widehat{L} . Let M be a minimal Levi subgroup of G such that $M \subset L$ and ϕ factors through M . It is enough to show that $\eta(s)$ belongs to \widehat{M} . Let H_M be a minimal Levi subgroup of H which ϕ_H factors through. As $\widehat{H}_M \subset \widehat{H}$, we have $Z(\widehat{H}_M)^\Gamma \supset Z(\widehat{H})^\Gamma$. Since $s \in Z(\widehat{H})^\Gamma$ and $Z(\widehat{H}_M)^\Gamma \subset \widehat{H}_M$, we get $s \in \widehat{H}_M$. By Lemma 5.16, there exists an element $h \in \widehat{H}$ satisfying $h\eta^{-1}(\widehat{M})h^{-1} = \widehat{H}_M$. Hence we get $\eta(h^{-1}sh) \in \widehat{M}$. Again noting that $s \in Z(\widehat{H})^\Gamma$, we get $\eta(h^{-1}sh) = \eta(s)$, which completes the proof. \square

Now suppose that $(H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$ is a refined endoscopic datum for G which ϕ factors through as ϕ_H (i.e., $\phi = \eta \circ \phi_H$). Let $\rho = \mathcal{L}(\lambda, E) \in \text{Irr}(S_\phi)$ be an element satisfying

$\pi_\rho \in \Pi_\phi(G_b)$, where $\lambda \in X^*(A_{\widehat{M}})^+$ and E is a simple \mathcal{A}^λ -module. We define a quantity $\langle \pi_\rho, \eta(s) \rangle_{\text{reg}} \in \mathbb{C}$ in the following manner.

Let $(w, E_{L,w}) \in \mathcal{I}(\phi, b)/\sim$ be an element corresponding to ρ as in Proposition 5.19. We take a representative of $(w, E_{L,w}) \in \mathcal{I}(\phi, b)/\sim$ in $\mathcal{I}(\phi, b)$ and furthermore a representative of $w \in W^{\text{rel}}(M, L)/W_\phi$ in $W^{\text{rel}}(M, L)$. We use the same notations $((w, E_{L,w})$ and w) to refer to these representatives. We put $\rho_L := \mathcal{L}_L(\lambda_{L,w}, E_{L,w})$, which is an irreducible representation of $S_{w_\phi, L}$. For any element $w' \in W_{w_\phi}$, we have ${}^{w'}w\eta(s) \in S_{w_\phi, L}$ by applying Lemma 5.20 to the refined endoscopic datum $(H, s, \text{Int}(w'w) \circ \eta)$ and the L -parameter $\text{Int}(w'w) \circ \phi (= \text{Int}(w) \circ \phi)$. Here, we implicitly fix a representative of $w \in W^{\text{rel}} \cong \widehat{W}^{\text{rel}}$ in $N_{\widehat{G}}(A_{\widehat{T}})$ (resp. $w' \in W_{w_\phi}$ in $N_{S_{w_\phi}}(A_{w_\phi})$) and again write w (resp. w') for it by abuse of notation. We put

$$\langle \pi_\rho, \eta(s) \rangle_{\text{reg}} := \sum_{w' \in W_{w_\phi, L} \setminus W_{w_\phi}} \text{tr}({}^{w'}w\eta(s) \mid \rho_L).$$

Here, note that the trace of ρ_L is invariant under the $S_{w_\phi, L}$ -conjugation, hence the quotienting by $W_{w_\phi, L} = W_{w_\phi} \cap W_L^{\text{rel}}$ in the index set makes sense.

Remark 5.21. Suppose that $L = G$. Then $\alpha_M(\lambda)$ is central so $w \in W^{\text{rel}}(M, L) = W^{\text{rel}}$ can be chose to be trivial. Thus we simply have $\langle \pi_\rho, \eta(s) \rangle_{\text{reg}} = \langle \pi_\rho, \eta(s) \rangle$ in this case.

Lemma 5.22. *The quantity $\langle \pi_\rho, \eta(s) \rangle_{\text{reg}}$ is well-defined, i.e., independent of the choices of representatives of $(w, E_{L,w}) \in \mathcal{I}(\phi, b)/\sim$ in $\mathcal{I}(\phi, b)$ and $w \in W^{\text{rel}}(M, L)/W_\phi$ in $W^{\text{rel}}(M, L)$.*

Proof. By noting that $W_{w_\phi}w = wW_\phi$ and that $|W_{w_\phi, L}| = |W_{\phi, L}|$, we have

$$\langle \pi_\rho, \eta(s) \rangle_{\text{reg}} = |W_{\phi, L}|^{-1} \sum_{w'' \in wW_\phi} \text{tr}({}^{w''}\eta(s) \mid \rho_L).$$

Thus the independence of the choice of a representative of $w \in W^{\text{rel}}(M, L)/W_\phi$ in $W^{\text{rel}}(M, L)$ is clear from this expression. If $(w_1, E_{L,w_1}) \in \mathcal{I}(\phi, b)$ and $(w_2, E_{L,w_2}) \in \mathcal{I}(\phi, b)$ represent $(w, E_{L,w}) \in \mathcal{I}(\phi, b)/\sim$, then there exists an element $w_L \in W_L^{\text{rel}}$ such that $w_2 = w_L w_1$ and E_{L,w_1} and E_{L,w_2} are identified under the isomorphism $S_{w_1\phi, L}^{\lambda_{L,w_1}} \cong S_{w_2\phi, L}^{\lambda_{L,w_2}}$ given by $\text{Int}(w_L)$. In particular, the representations $\mathcal{L}_L(\lambda_{L,w_1}, E_{L,w_1})$ of $S_{w_1\phi, L}$ and $\mathcal{L}_L(\lambda_{L,w_2}, E_{L,w_2})$ of $S_{w_2\phi, L}$ are identified under the isomorphism $\text{Int}(w_L): S_{w_1\phi, L} \cong S_{w_2\phi, L}$. Moreover, $\text{Int}(w_L)$ maps the set $\{{}^{w''}\eta(s) \mid w'' \in w_1 W_\phi\}$ to $\{{}^{w''}\eta(s) \mid w'' \in w_2 W_\phi\}$ bijectively. Thus we get

$$\sum_{w'' \in w_1 W_\phi} \text{tr}({}^{w''}\eta(s) \mid \mathcal{L}_L(\lambda_{L,w_1}, E_{L,w_1})) = \sum_{w'' \in w_2 W_\phi} \text{tr}({}^{w''}\eta(s) \mid \mathcal{L}_L(\lambda_{L,w_2}, E_{L,w_2})).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 5.23. *We have*

$$e(G_b) \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_\phi(G_b)} \langle \pi, \eta(s) \rangle_{\text{reg}} \Theta_\pi = \sum_{w \in W_L^{\text{rel}} \setminus W^{\text{rel}}(M, L)} \Theta_{w_\phi}^{L_{b_L}, {}^w\eta(s)}.$$

Proof. By our construction of the L -packet Π_ϕ , we have

$$\sum_{\pi \in \Pi_\phi(G_b)} \langle \pi, \eta(s) \rangle_{\text{reg}} \Theta_\pi = \sum_{\substack{\rho \in \text{Irr}(S_\phi) \\ \rho \mapsto b}} \langle \pi_\rho, \eta(s) \rangle_{\text{reg}} \Theta_{\pi_{\rho_L}},$$

where the sum on the right-hand side is over $\rho \in \text{Irr}(S_\phi)$ associated to $b \in B(G)$ and $\pi_{\rho_L} \in \Pi(L)$ corresponds to ρ_L under the $B(L)_{\text{bas}}\text{-LLC}$ (see §4.1). By Proposition 5.19 and the definition of $\langle \pi_\rho, \eta(s) \rangle_{\text{reg}}$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\rho \in \text{Irr}(S_\phi) \\ \rho \mapsto b}} \langle \pi_\rho, \eta(s) \rangle_{\text{reg}} \Theta_{\pi_{\rho_L}} = \sum_{\substack{(w, E_{L,w}) \\ \in \mathcal{I}(\phi, b)/\sim}} \sum_{w' \in W_{w_\phi, L} \backslash W_{w_\phi}} \text{tr}(w' w \eta(s) \mid \rho_L) \Theta_{\pi_{\rho_L}}.$$

Note that the order of an equivalence class of $(w, E_{L,w}) \in \mathcal{I}(\phi, b)$ is given by $|W_L^{\text{rel}} w W_\phi / W_\phi|$. Hence, the right-hand side equals

$$\sum_{(w, E_{L,w}) \in \mathcal{I}(\phi, b)} |W_{w_\phi, L}|^{-1} \cdot |W_L^{\text{rel}} w W_\phi / W_\phi|^{-1} \sum_{w' \in W_{w_\phi}} \text{tr}(w' w \eta(s) \mid \rho_L) \Theta_{\pi_{\rho_L}}.$$

By noting that the association $w_L \mapsto w_L w W_\phi$ induces a bijection $W_L^{\text{rel}} / W_{w_\phi, L} \xrightarrow{1:1} W_L^{\text{rel}} w W_\phi / W_\phi$, this equals

$$\sum_{(w, E_{L,w}) \in \mathcal{I}(\phi, b)} |W_L^{\text{rel}}|^{-1} \sum_{w' \in W_\phi} \text{tr}(w w' \eta(s) \mid \rho_L) \Theta_{\pi_{\rho_L}}.$$

By the definitions of $\mathcal{I}(\phi, b)$ and ρ_L , this equals

$$(5.9) \quad \sum_{w \in W^{\text{rel}}(M, L)} |W_L^{\text{rel}}|^{-1} \sum_{E_{L,w}} \text{tr}(w \eta(s) \mid \mathcal{L}_L(\lambda_{L,w}, E_{L,w})) \Theta_{\pi_{\rho_L}},$$

where $E_{L,w}$ runs over (the isomorphism classes of) simple $\mathcal{A}_{w_\phi, L}^{\lambda_{L,w}}$ -modules such that the $Z(\hat{L})^\Gamma$ -central character of $\mathcal{L}_L(\lambda_{L,w}, E_{L,w})$ is given by $\kappa_L(b_L)$. By Lemma 5.24 (see below), (5.9) is equal to

$$(5.10) \quad \sum_{w \in W_L^{\text{rel}} \backslash W^{\text{rel}}(M, L)} \sum_{\substack{\rho_L \in \text{Irr}(S_{w_\phi, L}^\natural) \\ \rho_L|_{Z(\hat{L})^\Gamma} = \kappa_L(b_L)}} \text{tr}(w \eta(s) \mid \rho_L) \cdot \Theta_{\pi_{\rho_L}},$$

where the second sum is over irreducible representations ρ_L of $S_{w_\phi, L}^\natural$ with $Z(\hat{L})^\Gamma$ -central character $\kappa_L(b_L)$. Since the product of $e(G_b)$ and the inner sum is nothing but $\Theta_{w_\phi}^{L_{b_L}, w \eta(s)}$, we get the desired equality. \square

Lemma 5.24. *Let $w \in W^{\text{rel}}(M, L)$. The association $E_{L,w} \mapsto \mathcal{L}_L(\lambda_{L,w}, E_{L,w})$ gives a bijection between*

- *the set of isomorphism classes of simple $\mathcal{A}_{w_\phi, L}^{\lambda_{L,w}}$ -modules such that the $Z(\hat{L})^\Gamma$ -central character of $\mathcal{L}_L(\lambda_{L,w}, E_{L,w})$ is given by $\kappa_L(b_L)$, and*
- *the set of irreducible representations ρ_L of $S_{w_\phi, L}^\natural = S_{w_\phi, L} / (\hat{L}_{\text{der}} \cap S_{w_\phi, L})^\circ$ with $Z(\hat{L})^\Gamma$ -central character $\kappa_L(b_L)$.*

Proof. The well-definedness of the map is already discussed in Lemma 3.1. Here, we remark that $(\hat{L}_{\text{der}} \cap S_{w_\phi, L})^\circ$ and $Z(\hat{L})^\Gamma$ act on $\mathcal{L}_L(\lambda_{L,w}, E_{L,w})$ as characters, hence so does their product $(\hat{L}_{\text{der}} \cap S_{w_\phi, L})^\circ \cdot Z(\hat{L})^\Gamma$. For our convenience, we let $\tilde{\lambda}_{L,w}$ denote for this character of $(\hat{L}_{\text{der}} \cap S_{w_\phi, L})^\circ \cdot Z(\hat{L})^\Gamma$. Since $\tilde{\lambda}_{L,w}|_{(\hat{L}_{\text{der}} \cap S_{w_\phi, L})^\circ}$ is trivial and $\tilde{\lambda}_{L,w}|_{Z(\hat{L})^\Gamma} = \kappa_L(b_L)$, $\tilde{\lambda}_{L,w}$ does not depend on $E_{L,w}$. Note that we have

$$(\hat{L}_{\text{der}} \cap S_{w_\phi, L})^\circ \cdot Z(\hat{L})^\Gamma = S_{w_\phi, L}^\circ \cdot Z(\hat{L})^\Gamma$$

by [KMSW14, Lemma 0.4.13]. In particular, the group $(\widehat{L}_{\text{der}} \cap S_{w\phi,L})^\circ \cdot Z(\widehat{L})^\Gamma$ contains $S_{w\phi,wM}^\circ = A_{w\widehat{M}}$. The restriction of $\tilde{\lambda}_{L,w}$ to $A_{w\widehat{M}}$ equals $\lambda_{L,w}$.

The injectivity of the map is a part of the classification theorem of irreducible representations of a disconnected reductive group (Theorem 2.3 together with Lemma 2.4, applied to $S_{w\phi,L}$).

To us show the surjectivity, let us take an irreducible representation ρ_L of $S_{w\phi,L}^\natural$ with $Z(\widehat{L})^\Gamma$ -central character $\kappa_L(b_L)$. It is enough to show that if we regard ρ_L as an irreducible representation of $S_{w\phi,L}$ by inflation, the highest weight of ρ_L is given by $\lambda_{L,w} \in X^*(A_{w\widehat{M}})$. By the discussion in the first paragraph, it suffices to check that the group $(\widehat{L}_{\text{der}} \cap S_{w\phi,L})^\circ \cdot Z(\widehat{L})^\Gamma$ acts on ρ_L by the character $\tilde{\lambda}_{L,w}$. This is obvious since ρ_L is constructed by inflation from $S_{w\phi,L}^\natural$ and the $Z(\widehat{L})^\Gamma$ -central character of ρ_L is $\kappa_L(b_L)$. \square

5.7. Proof of main theorem.

Lemma 5.25. *We have a natural bijection*

$$\coprod_{X_L^\natural} W_{H_L}^{\text{rel}} \backslash W^{\text{rel}}(H_M, H_L) = W_L^{\text{rel}} \backslash W^{\text{rel}}(M, L).$$

Proof. Fix $h \in N_{\widehat{H}}(\widehat{T}_H)$ conjugating $\eta^{-1}(A_{\widehat{M}})$ to $A_{\widehat{H}_M}$ as in Lemma 5.16. An element of X_L^\natural yields some $\dot{w}^{-1} \in N_{\widehat{G}}(\widehat{T})$ that takes $A_{\widehat{L}}$ into $\eta(A_{\widehat{H}_L})$ and an element of $W^{\text{rel}}(H_M, H_L)$, whose inverse mapped into \widehat{W}^{rel} via η takes $\eta(A_{\widehat{H}_L})$ to $\eta(A_{\widehat{H}_M})$, which we identify with $A_{\widehat{M}}$ via $\eta(h)^{-1}$. So in all we get a map $A_{\widehat{L}} \rightarrow A_{\widehat{M}}$. If we act on the element of $W^{\text{rel}}(H_M, H_L)$ on the left by an element of $W_{H_L}^{\text{rel}}$, then the resulting map $A_{\widehat{L}} \rightarrow A_{\widehat{M}}$ does not change. In particular, the corresponding elements of $W^{\text{rel}}(M, L)$ agree up to an element of W_L^{rel} . This constructs a map in one direction.

Conversely, suppose we are given $w \in W^{\text{rel}}(M, L)$ that therefore satisfies $w^{-1}(A_{\widehat{L}}) \subset A_{\widehat{M}}$. We take a lift $\dot{w} \in N_{\widehat{G}}(\widehat{T})$ of w and then $\eta(h)\dot{w}^{-1}$ maps $A_{\widehat{L}}$ into $\eta(A_{H_M})$. Then $(\text{Int}(\dot{w}\eta(h)^{-1}) \circ \eta)^{-1}(A_{\widehat{L}}) \subset A_{\widehat{H}_M} \subset \widehat{T}_H^\Gamma$, so $\dot{w}\eta(h)^{-1}$ induces an element of $W(L, H)$. By the proof of [BM21a, Proposition 2.24], $\text{Int}(\dot{w}\eta(h)^{-1}) \circ \eta$ restricts to give an embedded endoscopic datum $(H'_L, H, s, \text{Int}(\dot{w}\eta(h)^{-1}) \circ \eta)$. This datum is conjugate by some $h' \in N_{\widehat{H}}(\widehat{T}_H)$ to some $(H_L, H, s, \text{Int}(\dot{w}\eta(h^{-1}h')) \circ \eta) \in X_L^\natural$. In particular, $\text{Int}(\dot{w}\eta(h^{-1}h'))(\eta(A_{\widehat{H}_L})) \supset A_{\widehat{L}}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Int}(\dot{w}\eta(h)^{-1}) \circ \eta)({}^L H_M) &= \text{Int}(\dot{w})((\text{Int}(\eta(h)^{-1}) \circ \eta)({}^L H) \cap {}^L M) \\ &\subset (\text{Int}(\dot{w}\eta(h)^{-1}) \circ \eta)({}^L H) \cap {}^L L = (\text{Int}(\dot{w}\eta(h)^{-1}) \circ \eta)({}^L H'_L) \\ &= (\text{Int}(\dot{w}\eta(h^{-1}h'^{-1})) \circ \eta)({}^L H_L), \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\text{Int}(h')(A_{\widehat{H}_M}) \supset A_{\widehat{L}}$. So h' gives an element of $W^{\text{rel}}(H_M, H_L)$. So we have given an element of X_L^\natural and $W^{\text{rel}}(H_M, H_L)$ and we see that by the construction going in the other direction, we recover w since we are supposed to compose $\eta(h)^{-1} \circ \eta(h') \circ (\dot{w}\eta(h^{-1}h'))^{-1}$ and this is supposed to yield the inverse of the element of $W^{\text{rel}}(M, L)$. If we act on the original $w \in W^{\text{rel}}(M, L)$ on the left by an element of W_L^{rel} , then the embedded datum $(H'_L, H, s, \text{Int}(\dot{w}\eta(h)) \circ \eta)$ will be in the same inner class, and hence the new h' will differ from the old one by an element of $W_{H_L}^{\text{rel}}$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 5.26. *We have*

$$[\mathrm{Trans}_H^{G_b} S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H]_{\mathrm{reg}} = \sum_{w \in W_L^{\mathrm{rel}} \backslash W^{\mathrm{rel}}(M, L)} \Theta_{w\phi}^{L_{b_L}, {}^w\eta(s)} \otimes \bar{\delta}_{P_{\nu_b}}^{1/2}.$$

Proof. We recall that by Definition 5.17, the left-hand side is

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{c}_L \in X_L^\natural} \left(\sum_{w \in W^{\mathrm{rel}}[H_M, H_L]} \mathrm{Trans}_{H_L}^{G_b} S\Theta_{w\phi_H}^{H_L} \right) \otimes \bar{\delta}_{P_{\nu_b}}^{1/2}.$$

Applying the endoscopic character identities from the basic correspondence (Assumption 5.1), we have that the left-hand side equals a sum over terms of the form $\Theta_{w'\phi}^{L_{b_L}, {}^{w'}\eta(s)}$ for $w' \in \widehat{W}_G$. Moreover, each element w' that we get can be chosen to be exactly the element of $W^{\mathrm{rel}}(H_M, H_L)$ constructed in Lemma 5.25 (since h as in that lemma can be chosen to centralize ϕ_H). Hence, to show that the two sides are equal, we just need to show the indexing sets are the same. But this is Lemma 5.25. \square

Now let us prove Theorem 5.13.

Proof of Theorem 5.13. By Lemma 5.26, we have

$$[\mathrm{Trans}_H^{G_b} S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H]_{\mathrm{reg}} = \sum_{w \in W_L^{\mathrm{rel}} \backslash W^{\mathrm{rel}}(M, L)} \Theta_{w\phi}^{L_{b_L}, {}^w\eta(s)} \otimes \bar{\delta}_{P_{\nu_b}}^{1/2}.$$

By Proposition 5.23, we have

$$e(G_b) \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_\phi(G_b)} \langle \pi, \eta(s) \rangle_{\mathrm{reg}} \Theta_\pi = \sum_{w \in W_L^{\mathrm{rel}} \backslash W^{\mathrm{rel}}(M, L)} \Theta_{w\phi}^{L_{b_L}, {}^w\eta(s)}.$$

Thus we obtain the desired identity (5.7):

$$[\mathrm{Trans}_H^{G_b} S\Theta_{\phi_H}^H]_{\mathrm{reg}} = e(G_b) \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_\phi(G_b)} \langle \pi, \eta(s) \rangle_{\mathrm{reg}} \Theta_\pi \otimes \bar{\delta}_{P_{\nu_b}}^{1/2}.$$

\square

REFERENCES

- [AHR20] P. N. Achar, W. Hardesty, and S. Riche, *Representation theory of disconnected reductive groups*, Doc. Math. **25** (2020), 2149–2177.
- [Art13] J. Arthur, *The endoscopic classification of representations: Orthogonal and symplectic groups*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 61, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013.
- [Ato20] Hiraku Atobe, *Jacquet modules and local Langlands correspondence*, Invent. Math. **219** (2020), no. 3, 831–871.
- [BM21a] A. Bertoloni Meli, *An averaging formula for the cohomology of PEL-type Rapoport–Zink spaces*, preprint, [arXiv:2103.11538](#), 2021.
- [BM21b] ———, *Global $b(g)$ with adelic coefficients and transfer factors at non-regular elements*, preprint, [arXiv:2103.11570](#), 2021.
- [BMHN22] A. Bertoloni Meli, L. Hamann, and K. H. Nguyen, *Compatibility of the Fargues–Scholze correspondence for unitary groups*, preprint, [arXiv:2207.13193](#), 2022.
- [BMS22] A. Bertoloni Meli and S. W. Shin, *The stable trace formula for Igusa varieties, II*, preprint, [arXiv:2205.05462](#), 2022.
- [Bor79] A. Borel, *Automorphic L-functions*, Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979, pp. 27–61.

- [BZ77] I. N. Bernstein and A. V. Zelevinsky, *Induced representations of reductive p -adic groups. I*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **10** (1977), no. 4, 441–472.
- [Čes22] K. Česnavičius, *Problems about torsors over regular rings*, Acta Math. Vietnam. **47** (2022), no. 1, 39–107, With an appendix by Yifei Zhao.
- [FS21] L. Fargues and P. Scholze, *Geometrization of the local Langlands correspondence*, preprint, [arXiv:2102.13459](#), 2021.
- [GL17] A. Genestier and V. Lafforgue, *Chtoucas restreints pour les groupes réductifs et paramétrisation de Langlands locale*, preprint, [arXiv:1709.00978](#), 2017.
- [Hai14] T. J. Haines, *The stable Bernstein center and test functions for Shimura varieties*, Automorphic forms and Galois representations. Vol. 2, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 415, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2014, pp. 118–186.
- [Hum78] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 9, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1978, Second printing, revised.
- [Kal15] T. Kaletha, *Epipelagic L -packets and rectifying characters*, Invent. Math. **202** (2015), no. 1, 1–89.
- [Kal16a] ———, *The local Langlands conjectures for non-quasi-split groups*, Families of automorphic forms and the trace formula, Simons Symp., Springer, [Cham], 2016, pp. 217–257.
- [Kal16b] ———, *Rigid inner forms of real and p -adic groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **184** (2016), no. 2, 559–632.
- [Kal18] ———, *Rigid inner forms vs isocrystals*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **20** (2018), no. 1, 61–101.
- [KMSW14] T. Kaletha, A. Minguez, S. W. Shin, and P.-J. White, *Endoscopic classification of representations: inner forms of unitary groups*, preprint, [arXiv:1409.3731](#), 2014.
- [Kot84] R. E. Kottwitz, *Stable trace formula: cuspidal tempered terms*, Duke Math. J. **51** (1984), no. 3, 611–650.
- [Kot97] ———, *Isocrystals with additional structure. II*, Compositio Math. **109** (1997), no. 3, 255–339.
- [Kot14] ———, *$b(g)$ for all local and global fields*, preprint, [arXiv:1401.5728](#), 2014.
- [KS12] R. E. Kottwitz and D. Shelstad, *On splitting invariants and sign conventions in endoscopic transfer*, preprint, [arXiv:1201.5658](#), 2012.
- [KS21] A. Kret and S. W. Shin, *H^0 of Igusa varieties via automorphic forms*, preprint, [arXiv:2102.10690](#), 2021.
- [KT18] T. Kaletha and O. Taïbi, *Global rigid inner forms vs isocrystals*, preprint, [arXiv:1812.11373](#), 2018.
- [Lan89] R. P. Langlands, *On the classification of irreducible representations of real algebraic groups*, Representation theory and harmonic analysis on semisimple Lie groups, Math. Surveys Monogr., vol. 31, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989, pp. 101–170.
- [She82] D. Shelstad, *L -indistinguishability for real groups*, Math. Ann. **259** (1982), no. 3, 385–430.
- [Shi09] Sug Woo Shin, *Counting points on Igusa varieties*, Duke Math. J. **146** (2009), no. 3, 509–568.
- [Shi10] ———, *A stable trace formula for Igusa varieties*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **9** (2010), no. 4, 847–895.
- [SZ18] A. J. Silberger and E.-W. Zink, *Langlands classification for L -parameters*, J. Algebra **511** (2018), 299–357.
- [Vog93] D. A. Vogan, Jr., *The local Langlands conjecture*, Representation theory of groups and algebras, Contemp. Math., vol. 145, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993, pp. 305–379.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, 2074 EAST HALL, 530 CHURCH STREET, ANN ARBOR, MI 48105, USA.

Email address: abertolo@umich.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS (HAKUBI CENTER), KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KITASHIRAKAWA, OIWAKE-CHO, SAKYO-KU, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN.

Email address: masaooi@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp