Chromopolarizabilities of fully-heavy baryons

Xiang-Kun Dong,^{1,2,*} Feng-Kun Guo,^{1,2,†} Alexey Nefediev,^{3,4,‡} and Jaume Tarrús Castellà^{5,6,§}

¹CAS Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics,

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

²School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

³ Jozef Stefan Institute, Jamova 39, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

⁴CeFEMA, Center of Physics and Engineering of Advanced Materials,

Instituto Superior Técnico, Av. Rovisco Pais 1, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

⁵Department of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47401, USA

⁶Center for Exploration of Energy and Matter, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47408, USA

We compute the chromopolarizabilities of the fully-heavy baryons $\Omega_{QQQ'}(Q,Q'=b,c)$ in the framework of potential nonrelativistic quantum chromodynamics. At leading order, the fully-heavy baryons are considered as ground chromo-Coulombic bound states. We find that the chromopolarizability of a fully-heavy baryon QQQ is 2.58 times that of the quarkonium $\bar{Q}Q$ with the same heavy quark flavor Q. We discuss the dependence of this ratio on the heavy quark mass and the strong coupling constant as well as on the ratio of the masses $m_{Q'}/m_Q$, in the case not all quarks in the baryon are identical. Since the chromopolarizability of heavy hadrons defines the strength of their interaction at low energies mediated by soft gluons, which at long range hadronize into pairs of pions and kaons, our findings argue in favor of the existence of near-threshold states composed of pairs of fully-heavy baryons, in line with recent results of lattice calculations.

I. INTRODUCTION

Light-meson exchanges are important as binding forces in nuclei and other hadrons as well as in extended objects like hadronic molecules. The Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka(OZI)allowed light-meson exchanges are only possible between hadrons containing light quarks as constituents while fully-heavy hadrons can interact and, in some cases, form bound states through soft-gluon exchanges. At hadronic level, this mechanism corresponds to exchanges of pairs of light hadrons which are formally OZI suppressed, when the isospin or SU(3) breaking is switched off. A typical example of such a situation is provided by the double- J/ψ system studied experimentally by the LHCb Collaboration [1] and more recently by the ATLAS [2] and CMS [3] Collaborations. In particular, a theoretical coupled-channel analysis of the LHCb data for the $J/\psi J/\psi$ invariant mass distribution indicates the possible existence of a resonance near the double- J/ψ threshold [4-6] with a large molecular component in its wave function [4, 5]. Furthermore, it is argued in Ref. [7] that soft-gluon exchanges, hadronized in the form of correlated two-pion and two-kaon exchanges, might be strong enough to provide a sizable attraction between two J/ψ 's, consistent with the existence of a near-threshold pole on the first or second Riemann sheet of the energy complex plane.

Then a natural question arises that whether or not similar mechanisms could be operative in the systems composed of two fully-heavy baryons to result in the creation of dibaryon bound or virtual states. Interestingly, recent simulations using lattice quantum chromodynamics (QCD) indeed indicate that both double- Ω_{ccc} and double- Ω_{bbb} systems may be bound. In particular, the binding energy of the double- Ω_{ccc} system in the ¹S₀ channel is computed by the HAL QCD Collaboration to be $-5.68^{+1.28}_{-0.90}$ MeV (with the electric Coulomb interaction ignored; the statistical and systematic errors have been added in quadrature) [8] while the double- Ω_{bbb} system was found to be deeply bound in the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ channel, with a binding energy of -89_{-12}^{+16} MeV [9]. These results suggest that theoretical studies of the interactions in the systems formed by fully-heavy hadrons are quite important and timely. Crucial information on such interactions is encoded in the chromopolarizability—the parameter which is determined by the intrinsic properties of a given fullyheavy hadron and defines the strength of its interaction with soft gluons.

The underlying idea of the approach stems from the fact that the effective field theory (EFT) Lagrangian for an S-wave heavy field H in the external chromoelectric field E^a (with a the color index) can be written in the form [10, 11]

$$L_{\rm EFT}^{H} = \int d^{3}X \, H^{\dagger}(t, \boldsymbol{X}) \left\{ i\partial_{0} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{X}}^{2}}{2m_{H}} + \frac{1}{2}\beta_{H}g^{2}\boldsymbol{E}^{a2} + \dots \right\} H(t, \boldsymbol{X}), \quad (1)$$

where the scales m_H , $\alpha_s m_H$, and $\alpha_s^2 m_H$ (with m_H for the heavy hadron mass and α_s for the strong coupling constant) are integrated out, so the ellipsis denotes higherorder operators, g is the strong coupling, and the coefficient β_H is the chromopolarizability mentioned above. Then, matching the Lagrangian in Eq. (1) with the one of weakly coupled potential nonrelativistic QCD (pN-

^{*} dongxiangkun@itp.ac.cn

[†] fkguo@itp.ac.cn

[‡] Alexey.Nefediev@ijs.si

[§] jtarrus@iu.edu

RQCD) [12–14], one can derive an analytical expression for the chromopolarizability; see, for example, Ref. [11] where such an approach was applied to the ground-state bottomonium. Equipped with the value of the chromopolarizability, one can use it as a building block to establish the strength of the interaction potential between the corresponding fully-heavy hadrons.

In this paper, we employ weakly coupled pNRQCD to calculate the chromopolarizability β_{Ω} of a fully-heavy ground-state baryon $\Omega_{QQQ'}$ consisting of two heavy quarks of the same flavor Q and mass m_Q and the third quark of possibly (but not necessarily) a different flavor Q' of the mass $m_{Q'}$. Therefore, for Q, Q' = c, b, we study the three-quark systems ccc, ccb, cbb, and bbb simultaneously. In particular, we obtain the relation between the chromopolarizabilities of a QQQ' baryon, β_{Ω} , and that of a $\bar{Q}Q$ meson, β_{ψ} , and establish the dependence of the ratio $\beta_{\Omega}/\beta_{\psi}$ on the ratio of the masses $m_{Q'}/m_Q$. We treat the heavy hadrons ($\bar{Q}Q$ meson or QQQ' baryon) as purely Coulombic systems thus neglecting the nonperturbative dynamics inside of them, which is consistent with the assumptions made to arrive at the Lagrangian in Eq. (1). Indeed, the ratio of the nonperturbative and perturbative contributions to the energy of the system can be estimated as

$$\frac{E_{\rm np}}{E_{\rm pert}} \sim \frac{\langle \sigma r \rangle}{\langle \alpha_s / r \rangle} \sim \alpha_s \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{\alpha_s^2 m_Q} \right)^2 \ll 1, \qquad (2)$$

where $\langle \rangle$ denotes averaged values, the string tension σ , which introduces the nonperturbative scale related with confinement, was roughly estimated as $\sigma \sim \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2$, and the mean size of the hadron was taken as $\langle r^{-1} \rangle \sim \langle r \rangle^{-1} \sim$ $\alpha_s m_Q$, which is valid for a purely Coulombic system.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. II we consider a heavy quarkonium and present a numerical computation of its chromopolarizability based on placing the system in a finite box. We compare our results with the analytical ones found in the literature and find a good agreement. Therefore, equipped with the investigation method, we proceed to Sect. III and evaluate the chromopolarizability of a fully-heavy baryon. We conclude in Sect. IV. Various details related to the calculations performed in this paper are collected in Appendices. In particular, in Appendix A generalized Jacobi coordinates for a three-body system are introduced, Appendix B is devoted to calculations for a three-quark system in a finite box, and in Appendix C we provide some details of numerical calculations of the fully-heavy baryon chromopolarizability.

II. CHROMOPOLARIZABILITY OF A HEAVY QUARKONIUM

A. Derivation of β_{ψ}

In this section, as a warm-up and to introduce the necessary essentials, we reproduce the results for the chromopolarizability of a heavy quarkonium $\bar{Q}Q$. We follow the lines of Ref. [11]. The underlying idea of the approach is a multipole expansion performed to the order $\mathcal{O}(r)$ (with r for the interquark separation) in the nonrelativistic Lagrangian for the given heavy hadronic system. Since the $Q\bar{Q}$ pair can be either in the color-singlet or color-octet state, the Lagrangian is written in terms of the effective fields S (for the singlet) and O (for the octet). To the order $\mathcal{O}(1/m_Q)$ the Lagrangian of the interacting singlet and octet fields takes the form [12, 13]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{pNRQCD}}^{(0)} = \int d^3 \boldsymbol{r} \text{Tr} \left[S^{\dagger} (i\partial_0 - \hat{h}_S) S + O^{a\dagger} (i\partial_0 - \hat{h}_O) O^{a} + V_A(r) (S^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{r} \cdot g \boldsymbol{E}^a O^a + h.c.) \right],$$
(3)

where the effective potential $V_A(r)$ can be set to unity at the tree level of matching.

In the Lagrangian (3) \hat{h}_S and \hat{h}_O are the singlet and octet Hamiltonians, respectively,

$$\hat{h}_S = -\frac{1}{m_Q} \boldsymbol{\nabla}_r^2 - \frac{4}{3} \frac{\alpha_s}{r},\tag{4}$$

$$\hat{h}_O = -\frac{1}{m_Q} \boldsymbol{\nabla}_r^2 + \frac{1}{6} \frac{\alpha_s}{r},\tag{5}$$

where m_Q is the mass of the quark and only the terms responsible for the relative motion in the system are retained.

The heavy quarkonium $\bar{Q}Q$ (for brevity we denote it as ψ) of a mass M_{ψ} is identified with the ground state of the Hamiltonian (4),

$$\hat{h}_{S} \left| \psi \right\rangle = E_{\psi} \left| \psi \right\rangle, \tag{6}$$

with $E_{\psi} = M_{\psi} - 2m_Q$ for the binding energy. The wave function $|\psi\rangle$ in the coordinate space can be decomposed into the radial and angular parts,

$$\langle \boldsymbol{r} | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{r} u(r) Y_{00}(\hat{r}), \tag{7}$$

where the radial wave function u(r) is normalized as

$$\int_0^\infty dr |u(r)|^2 = 1 \tag{8}$$

and obeys the radial Schrödinger equation

$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_Q}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} - \frac{4}{3}\frac{\alpha_s}{r}\right)u(r) = E_{\psi}u(r). \tag{9}$$

At the same time, the spectrum of the octet Hamiltonian \hat{h}_O from Eq. (5) consists of the continuum states $|p,l,l_z\rangle$ such that

$$\hat{h}_O |p, l, l_z\rangle = E_p |p, l, l_z\rangle, \quad E_p = \frac{p^2}{m_Q}, \qquad (10)$$

where p is the 3-momentum while l and l_z are the orbital angular momentum and its projection, respectively. In the coordinate space one has

$$\langle \boldsymbol{r}|\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{l},\boldsymbol{l}_z\rangle = \frac{1}{r}\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{l}}(r)Y_{\boldsymbol{l}\boldsymbol{l}_z}(\hat{r}),\tag{11}$$

with the radial wave function $v_{p,l}(r)$ normalized as

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} dr |v_{p,l}(r)|^{2} = 1$$
 (12)

and obeying the eigenstate equation

$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_Q}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{m_Q r^2} + \frac{1}{6}\frac{\alpha_s}{r}\right)v_{p,l}(r) = E_p v_{p,l}(r).$$
(13)

Unlike Ref. [11] where the exact Coulombic eigenfunction $\langle \boldsymbol{r} | \boldsymbol{p}, 1 \rangle$ was used, in this work we place the system into a finite box of the size L_{box} in the radial direction and impose the boundary conditions

$$u(0) = u(L_{\text{box}}) = 0, \quad v_{p,l}(0) = v_{p,l}(L_{\text{box}}) = 0.$$
 (14)

Matching weakly coupled pNRQCD, in Eq. (3), to the EFT defined by the Lagrangian in Eq. (1), with $H = \psi$, one can obtain the chromopolarizability as

$$\beta_{\psi} = \frac{1}{9} \left\langle \psi \right| \boldsymbol{r} \frac{1}{\hat{h}_O - E_{\psi}} \boldsymbol{r} \left| \psi \right\rangle.$$
 (15)

This expression can be understood as a double emission of soft gluons with an octet state propagating between the two emission vertices.

Before we proceed to the numerical calculations of the chromopolarizability β_{ψ} , we notice that Eq. (15) allows one to make some general conclusions about the behavior of β_{ψ} as a function of m_Q and α_s . Indeed, in the Coulombic system at hand the following simple relations hold (as before, $\langle \rangle$ stand for the averaged values):

$$\langle p \rangle \sim \frac{1}{\langle r \rangle} \sim \alpha_s m_Q, \quad E_\psi \sim \alpha_s^2 m_Q,$$
 (16)

which imply that

$$\beta_{\psi} = \frac{C_{\psi}}{\alpha_s^4 m_Q^3},\tag{17}$$

with C_{ψ} a constant independent of α_s and m_Q . The expression for β_{ψ} quoted in Eq. (17) was obtained analytically in Ref. [11], and the factor C_{ψ} was evaluated to be 0.93.

Since the chromopolarizability demonstrates such a strong dependence on m_Q and α_s , its numerical value depends significantly on the renormalization scale and scheme used to obtain these two quantities. In principle, the physical observables should be independent of the renormalization scale and scheme used, however, working in perturbation theory some dependence is unavoidable. In particular, the expressions for the chromopolarizability used in this work are derived at leading order, so a strong dependence on the renormalization scale has to be anticipated. Therefore, to sidestep this issue, we provide the results for the constant C_{ψ} and quote some representative values of the chromopolarizability β_{ψ} in Table I below.

B. Numerical evaluation of β_{ψ}

Here we recalculate the factor C_{ψ} by putting the system into a finite box; see Eq. (14). The same technique will be applied later to the fully-heavy baryon case where analytical expressions are not available.

In order to proceed with the numerical calculations, we use the completeness condition for the continuum spectrum to write

$$\beta_{\psi} = \frac{1}{9} \sum_{p,l,l_z} \sum_{r_i = x, y, z} \langle \psi | r_i | p, l, l_z \rangle \frac{1}{E_p - E_{\psi}} \langle p, l, l_z | r_i | \psi \rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{9} \sum_p \frac{|I^{(r)}(p)|^2}{E_p - E_{\psi}}, \tag{18}$$

where we have written the integration over momentum as a summation as the system is put into a finite box. The function $I^{(r)}(p)$ is defined as

$$I^{(r)}(p) \equiv \int_0^\infty r dr \, u(r) v_{p,1}(r), \qquad (19)$$

and the following easily verifiable matrix elements were used in the calculation:

$$\begin{split} \langle \phi | x | p, l, l_z \rangle &= \mp \delta_{l,1} \delta_{l_z, \pm 1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} I^{(r)}(p), \\ \langle \phi | y | p, l, l_z \rangle &= -\delta_{l,1} \delta_{l_z, \pm 1} \frac{i}{\sqrt{6}} I^{(r)}(p), \\ \langle \phi | z | p, l, l_z \rangle &= \delta_{l,1} \delta_{l_z, 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} I^{(r)}(p). \end{split}$$

A direct numerical computation performed according to Eqs. (18) and (19) demonstrates a perfect agreement of the result obtained with the scaling behavior described in Eq. (17) with

$$C_{\psi} \approx 0.93. \tag{20}$$

This result applies both to charmonium $(\bar{c}c)$ and bottomonium $(\bar{b}b)$ systems. Moreover, the value in Eq. (20) is in a good numerical agreement with the result for

Table I. The mean radii and chromopolarizabilities of the ground state heavy $\bar{Q}Q$ mesons for Q = c, b evaluated in this work. See the main text body for the discussion of the uncertainties.

State	$\bar{c}c$	$ar{b}b$
$\langle r \rangle$ [fm]	$0.85^{+0.13}_{-0.23}$	$0.26^{+0.04}_{-0.07}$
$\beta_{\psi} \; [\text{GeV}^{-3}]$	19^{+15}_{-14}	$0.54_{-0.39}^{+0.43}$

the chromopolarizability of a bottomonium reported in Ref. [11].

Now, to provide numerical estimates for the chromopolarizabilities of $\bar{b}b$ and $\bar{c}c$ quarkonia, we use the following values of the heavy quark masses,

$$m_c^{\rm RS'}(1 \text{ GeV}) = 1.496(41) \text{ GeV},$$

$$m_b^{\rm RS'}(1 \text{ GeV}) = 4.885(41) \text{ GeV},$$
(21)

obtained in the renormalon-subtracted scheme (RS') of Ref. [15] which improves the convergence of the perturbative expansion while keeping the leading order potential unchanged. The strong coupling constant is taken at the renormalization scale $\nu_r = 1.5$ GeV, which is large enough for a reasonable convergence of perturbation theory while minimizing contributions of logarithms associated with the soft scale. Using the RunDec routine at the 4-loop accuracy [16, 17] we find

$$\alpha_s(\nu_r = 1.5 \text{ GeV}) = 0.3485.$$
 (22)

The uncertainty of the chromopolarizabilities associated to picking the renormalization scale is estimated by varying ν_r between 1 and 2 GeV, which corresponds to the following boundary values of the strong coupling constant:

$$\alpha_s(\nu_r = 1 \text{ GeV}) = 0.4798, \alpha_s(\nu_r = 2 \text{ GeV}) = 0.3015.$$
(23)

The results for the mean radii and chromopolarizabilities of the heavy $\bar{Q}Q$ mesons for Q = c, b are listed in Table I. The large uncertainties, especially for β_{ψ} , stem from a large spread in the values of α_s quoted in Eq. (22) and (23) and the α_s^{-4} scaling of β_{ψ} in Eq. (17).

III. CHROMOPOLARIZABILITY OF A FULLY-HEAVY BARYON

A. Derivation of β_{Ω}

As was discussed in the Introduction, we consider a baryon made of two quarks of the same flavor (with the mass m_Q) and the third quark of potentially another flavor (with the mass $m_{Q'}$). The interactions between three

heavy quarks in an EFT context incorporating the heavy quark and multipole expansions have been worked out in Ref. [14].

The three heavy quark fields can be decomposed into a singlet (S), two octets (O^A, O^S) and a decuplet (Δ) in the color space,

$$3 \otimes 3 \otimes 3 = 1 \oplus 8 \oplus 8 \oplus 10. \tag{24}$$

These fields depend on the three Jacobi coordinates. The choice of the latter is not unique, and for the future convenience we stick to the following relations (see Appendix A for further details on the Jacobi coordinates in a three-body system):

$$\boldsymbol{X} = \frac{m_Q(\boldsymbol{x}_1 + \boldsymbol{x}_2) + m_{Q'}\boldsymbol{x}_3}{M},$$
$$\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \frac{2}{\zeta} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{x}_1 + \boldsymbol{x}_2}{2} - \boldsymbol{x}_3 \right),$$
$$\boldsymbol{\rho} = \boldsymbol{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{x}_2,$$
(25)

where x_1 , x_2 , and x_3 are the positions of the quarks with the masses m_Q , m_Q , and $m_{Q'}$, respectively, $M = 2m_Q + m_{Q'}$, and

$$\zeta = \sqrt{M/m_{Q'}}.$$
(26)

Then

$$\frac{1}{2}m_Q\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_1^2 + \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_2^2\right) + \frac{1}{2}m_{Q'}\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_3^2 = \frac{1}{2}M\dot{\boldsymbol{X}}^2 + \frac{1}{4}m_Q\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^2 + \dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}^2\right)$$
(27)

and, therefore, the kinetic energy of the relative motion in the baryon is

$$\hat{T}(\boldsymbol{p}_{\rho},\boldsymbol{p}_{\lambda}) = \frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}_{\rho}^{2} + \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}_{\lambda}^{2}}{m_{Q}} = -\frac{1}{m_{Q}} \left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\rho}^{2} + \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\lambda}^{2} \right), \qquad (28)$$

with the eigenenergy

$$E_{p,q} = \frac{p^2 + q^2}{m_Q}.$$
 (29)

For the chromopolarizability of the baryon $\Omega_{QQQ'}$ we consider the dipolar couplings of the singlet with other fields. In particular, there are two such couplings to the octet fields,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{pNRQCD}}^{S-O} = \int d^3 \rho \, d^3 \lambda \left\{ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left[S^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot g \boldsymbol{E}^a O^{Sa} + h.c. \right] - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \left[S^{\dagger} \left(2\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\zeta \right) \cdot g \boldsymbol{E}^a O^{Aa} + h.c. \right] \right\}, \quad (30)$$

where, similar to the case of heavy quarkonium, the potentials which appear as the coefficients in front of each term in Eq. (30) are set to unities at the tree level of matching. We can now define the chromopolarizability β_{Ω} in the same way as it was done for the quarkonium, with the only difference that now there are two terms corresponding to two different dipoles in Eq. (30). We, therefore, proceed along the lines of Ref. [11] and define a lower-energy EFT for the ground state $\Omega_{QQQ'}$ interacting with gluonic fields assuming that the typical energies are smaller than the binding energy of the $\Omega_{QQQ'}$. Then the Lagrangian again takes the form (1) with $H = \Omega_{QQQ'}$, $m_{\Omega} = M + E_{\Omega}$, and E_{Ω} for the ground state binding energy.

Thus, one can derive the explicit expression for the chromopolarizability β_{Ω} by matching Eq. (30) to Eq. (1),

$$\beta_{\Omega} = \beta_{\Omega}^{(\rho)} + \beta_{\Omega}^{(\lambda)}, \qquad (31)$$

where

$$\beta_{\Omega}^{(\rho)} = \frac{1}{12} \left\langle \Omega \right| \boldsymbol{\rho} \frac{1}{\hat{h}_{OS} - E_{\Omega}} \boldsymbol{\rho} \left| \Omega \right\rangle,$$

$$\beta_{\Omega}^{(\lambda)} = \frac{\zeta^2}{36} \left\langle \Omega \right| \boldsymbol{\lambda} \frac{1}{\hat{h}_{OA} - E_{\Omega}} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \left| \Omega \right\rangle.$$
(32)

The ground state $|\Omega\rangle$ is defined through the Schrödinger equation

$$\hat{h}_S |\Omega\rangle = E_\Omega |\Omega\rangle. \tag{33}$$

The Hamiltonian in the given color representation $\mathcal{R} = \{S, O^S, O^A\}$ reads

$$\hat{h}_{\mathcal{R}} = \hat{T}(\boldsymbol{p}_{\rho}, \boldsymbol{p}_{\lambda}) + V_{\mathcal{R}}, \qquad (34)$$

with

$$V_S = -\frac{2\alpha_s}{3} \left(\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{\rho}|} + \frac{2}{|\boldsymbol{\rho} + \zeta \boldsymbol{\lambda}|} + \frac{2}{|\boldsymbol{\rho} - \zeta \boldsymbol{\lambda}|} \right), \quad (35)$$

$$V_{O^S} = \frac{\alpha_s}{6} \left(\frac{2}{|\boldsymbol{\rho}|} - \frac{5}{|\boldsymbol{\rho} + \zeta \boldsymbol{\lambda}|} - \frac{5}{|\boldsymbol{\rho} - \zeta \boldsymbol{\lambda}|} \right), \quad (36)$$

$$V_{O^A} = -\frac{\alpha_s}{6} \left(\frac{4}{|\boldsymbol{\rho}|} - \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{\rho} + \zeta \boldsymbol{\lambda}|} - \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{\rho} - \zeta \boldsymbol{\lambda}|} \right).$$
(37)

B. Evaluation of β_{Ω}

1. Some generalities

Consider first the matrix element

$$\langle \Omega | \boldsymbol{\rho} \frac{1}{\hat{h}_{O^S} - E_{\Omega}} \boldsymbol{\rho} | \Omega \rangle,$$
 (38)

where the operator \hat{h}_{O_S} is given by Eq. (36). To proceed we employ the completeness of the continuum eigenstates of the operator \hat{h}_{O^S} ,

$$\hat{h}_{O^S} |\Psi_{\nu}\rangle = E_{\nu} |\Psi_{\nu}\rangle, \tag{39}$$

where the quantum number ν includes both the discrete angular momenta and the continuous quantum numbers,

that is, momenta; see Eq. (55) below for details.

Then, for the matrix element (38) we find

$$\begin{split} \langle \Omega | \boldsymbol{\rho} \frac{1}{\hat{h}_{O^{S}} - E_{\Omega}} \boldsymbol{\rho} | \Omega \rangle &= 3 \langle \Omega | \rho_{z} \frac{1}{\hat{h}_{O^{S}} - E_{\Omega}} \rho_{z} | \Omega \rangle \\ &= 3 \sum_{\nu,\nu'} \langle \Omega | \rho_{z} | \Psi_{\nu} \rangle \langle \Psi_{\nu} | \frac{1}{\hat{h}_{O^{S}} - E_{\Omega}} | \Psi_{\nu'} \rangle \langle \Psi_{\nu'} | \rho_{z} | \Omega \rangle \\ &= 3 \sum_{\nu} \frac{|\langle \Omega | \rho_{z} | \Psi_{\nu} \rangle|^{2}}{E_{\nu} - E_{\Omega}}, \end{split}$$
(40)

where we have used that the x, y, and z directions contribute equally. Applying the same approach to the matrix element

$$\langle \Omega | \boldsymbol{\lambda} \frac{1}{\hat{h}_{O^A} - E_{\Omega}} \boldsymbol{\lambda} | \Omega \rangle,$$
 (41)

we finally arrive at the following expressions for the two contributions to the chromopolarizability β_{Ω} :

$$\beta_{\Omega}^{(\rho)} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\nu} \frac{|\langle \Omega | \rho_z | \Psi_{\nu} \rangle|^2}{E_{\nu} - E_{\Omega}},$$

$$\beta_{\Omega}^{(\lambda)} = \frac{\zeta^2}{12} \sum_{\nu} \frac{|\langle \Omega | \lambda_z | \Psi_{\nu} \rangle|^2}{E_{\nu} - E_{\Omega}}.$$
 (42)

2. The singlet wave function

To proceed with the bound state spectrum of a heavy baryon, it proves convenient to introduce a hyperspherical basis [18, 19],

$$\rho = R\cos\theta, \quad \lambda = R\sin\theta, \tag{43}$$

so that a complete 6-dimensional set of variables in the coordinate space reads

$$\{R, \theta, \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\} \equiv \{R, O_5\},\tag{44}$$

where $\hat{\rho}$ and $\hat{\lambda}$ are the unit vectors in the directions of the Jacobi coordinates ρ and λ , respectively, and

$$\int dO_5 = \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta \, d\theta \int d\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \int d\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = \pi^3. \quad (45)$$

Since the spin variables are factorized out, they are not considered here. Then the bound-state wave function can be decomposed in a set of K-harmonics [20-22],

$$\Psi^{(b)}(R,O_5) = \frac{1}{R^{5/2}} \sum_{K,\alpha} \psi^{(b)}_{K,\alpha}(R) \mathcal{Y}_{K,\alpha}(O_5), \qquad (46)$$

where $\psi^{(b)}$ and \mathcal{Y} are the radial and angular parts of the wave function. The latter are known as the hyperspherical harmonics, and their explicit form can be built through spherical functions and Jacobi polynomials (see, for example, Ref. [23]). Here

$$\alpha \equiv \{L, L_z, l_\rho, l_\lambda\},\tag{47}$$

with l_{ρ} , l_{λ} , and L for the angular momenta (L being the total one). Then $K = 2n_r + l_{\rho} + l_{\lambda}$ is a nonnegative integer number and n_r is the radial excitation quantum number. The wave functions of the form (46) are normalized as

$$\langle \Psi_0^{(b)} | \Psi_0^{(b)} \rangle = \int R^5 dR dO_5 \left| \Psi_0^{(b)} \right|^2 = 1$$
 (48)

and obey a system of coupled Schrödinger equations,

$$\frac{1}{m_Q} \left[-\frac{d^2}{dR^2} + \frac{L_K(L_K+1)}{R^2} \right] \psi_{K,\alpha}^{(b)}(R) + \sum_{K',\alpha'} \langle K, \alpha | V | K', \alpha' \rangle \psi_{K',\alpha'}^{(b)}(R) = E_\Omega \psi_{K,\alpha}^{(b)}(R), \quad (49)$$

where $L_K \equiv K + 3/2$, and

$$\langle K, \alpha | V | K', \alpha' \rangle = \int dO_5 \mathcal{Y}^*_{K,\alpha}(O_5) V(R, O_5) \mathcal{Y}_{K',\alpha'}(O_5).$$
(50)

For the spherically symmetric ground state solution which represents the $\Omega_{QQQ'}$ baryon studied in this work, we have

$$|\Omega\rangle = \frac{1}{\pi^{3/2} R^{5/2}} \psi_0^{(b)}(R), \qquad (51)$$

where $\psi_0^{(b)}(R)$ is a solution of the radial Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{1}{m_Q} \left[-\frac{d^2}{dR^2} + \frac{L_0(L_0+1)}{R^2} + \langle V_S \rangle \right] \psi_0^{(b)}(R) = E_\Omega \psi_0^{(b)}(R),$$
(52)

with $L_0 = 3/2$. Also, aiming at an order-of-magnitude estimate, we simplified the bound state equation (49) by neglecting all off-diagonal transitions mediated by the potential and retaining only the diagonal term,

$$\langle V_S \rangle \equiv \int \frac{dO_5}{\pi^3} V_S(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}).$$
 (53)

From the normalization condition (48) it is easy to find that $\psi_0^{(b)}(R)$ is normalized as a one-dimensional radial wave function,

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} dR \left| \psi_{0}^{(b)}(R) \right|^{2} = 1.$$
 (54)

3. The octet wave function

For the continuum wave function we have

$$\Psi_{\nu} = \psi_{p,q}(\rho, \lambda) \mathcal{Y}_{\nu}(\hat{\rho}, \lambda), \qquad (55)$$

where $\nu = \{p, q, L, L_z, l_\rho, l_\lambda\}$, with \boldsymbol{p} and \boldsymbol{q} for the momenta conjugated to the Jacobi coordinates $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$, respectively. The angular wave function can be represented in the form

$$\mathcal{Y}_{\nu}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) = \sum_{m_{\rho} + m_{\lambda} = L_{z}} C^{LL_{z}}_{l_{\rho}m_{\rho}j_{\lambda}m_{\lambda}} Y_{l_{\rho}m_{\rho}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) Y_{l_{\lambda}m_{\lambda}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}).$$
(56)

The radial wave function $\psi_{p,q}(\rho,\lambda)$ is found as a continuum spectrum solution of the equation

$$\langle \hat{h}_{O^S} \rangle \psi_{p,q}(\rho,\lambda) = E_{p,q} \psi_{p,q}(\rho,\lambda),$$
 (57)

or

$$\langle \hat{h}_{O^A} \rangle \tilde{\psi}_{p,q}(\rho, \lambda) = E_{p,q} \tilde{\psi}_{p,q}(\rho, \lambda),$$
 (58)

where tilde is used to distinguish between the two octet representations and

$$\langle \hat{h}_{O^{S}} \rangle = \int do_{\rho} do_{\lambda} Y_{10}^{*}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) Y_{00}^{*}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) \hat{h}_{O^{S}} Y_{10}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) Y_{00}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}),$$

$$\langle \hat{h}_{O^{A}} \rangle = \int do_{\rho} do_{\lambda} Y_{00}^{*}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) Y_{10}^{*}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) \hat{h}_{O^{A}} Y_{00}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) Y_{10}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}).$$

$$(59)$$

The radial Schrödinger equations (57) and (58) are solved in a finite box with length L_{box} in both the ρ and λ directions; see Appendix B for details of the formalism used. Thus, $\psi_{p,q}(\rho, \lambda)$ is normalized as

$$\int \rho^2 d\rho \lambda^2 d\lambda \ \psi^{\dagger}_{p,q}(\rho,\lambda)\psi_{p',q'}(\rho,\lambda) = \delta_{p,p'}\delta_{q,q'}.$$
 (60)

4. Numerical evaluation of β_{Ω}

Now, with both the bound state and continuum spectrum solutions at hand, the matrix element $\langle \Omega | \rho_z | \Psi_{\nu} \rangle$ can be evaluated as

$$I^{(\rho)}(p,q) \equiv \langle \Omega | \rho_z | \Psi_\nu \rangle$$

= $\int d^3 \rho d^3 \lambda \frac{\rho_z}{\pi^{3/2} R^{5/2}} \psi_0^{(b)}(R) \psi_{p,q}(\rho,\lambda) \mathcal{Y}_\nu(\hat{\rho},\hat{\lambda})$
= $\frac{4}{\sqrt{3\pi}} \int \frac{d\rho d\lambda}{R^{5/2}} \rho^3 \lambda^2 \psi_0^{(b)}(R) \psi_{p,q}(\rho,\lambda),$ (61)

and, similarly,

$$I^{(\lambda)}(p,q) \equiv \langle \Omega | \lambda_z | \tilde{\Psi}_{\nu} \rangle$$

= $\frac{4}{\sqrt{3\pi}} \int \frac{d\rho d\lambda}{R^{5/2}} \rho^2 \lambda^3 \psi_0^{(b)}(R) \tilde{\psi}_{p,q}(\rho,\lambda), \quad (62)$

where $R = \sqrt{\rho^2 + \lambda^2}$. Further details can be found in Appendix C.

Then the two contributions to the chromopolarizability



Figure 1. Dependence of the correcting function φ , introduced in Eq. (69), on the mass ratio $m_{Q'}/m_Q$ (left) and the scaling behavior of $\beta_{\Omega}^{(\rho)}$ and $\beta_{\Omega}^{(\lambda)}$ from Eqs. (65) and (66) as functions of ζ (right).

 β_{Ω} take the form

$$\beta_{\Omega}^{(\rho)} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{p,q} \frac{|I^{(\rho)}(p,q)|^2}{E_{p,q} - E_{\Omega}},\tag{63}$$

$$\beta_{\Omega}^{(\lambda)} = \frac{\zeta^2}{12} \sum_{p,q} \frac{|I^{(\lambda)}(p,q)|^2}{E_{p,q} - E_{\Omega}}.$$
 (64)

Similar to the case of the $\bar{Q}Q$ quarkonium, the dependence of the chromopolarizability on m_Q and α_s can be inferred from applying the scalings in Eq. (16) to the definitions of the chromopolarizability of the $\Omega_{QQQ'}$ in Eq. (32). For the case of $m_{Q'} = m_Q$ one finds:

$$\beta_{\Omega}^{(\rho)}(m_{Q'} = m_Q) = \frac{C_{\Omega}^{(\rho)}}{m_Q^3 \alpha_s^4},$$
(65)

$$\beta_{\Omega}^{(\lambda)}(m_{Q'} = m_Q) = \frac{C_{\Omega}^{(\lambda)}}{m_Q^3 \alpha_s^4}.$$
 (66)

From these expressions we can expect that $\beta_{\Omega}^{(\rho)}$ and $\beta_{\Omega}^{(\lambda)}$ will be strongly dependent on the renormalization scale through the values of m_Q and α_s . Therefore, we focus our attention on the values of the dimensionless coefficients $C_{\Omega}^{(\rho)}$ and $C_{\Omega}^{(\lambda)}$.

We numerically compute the values of $C_{\Omega}^{(\rho)}$ and $C_{\Omega}^{(\lambda)}$ by putting the system in a finite box and for a range of values of $\alpha_s \in [0.3, 0.5]$ and $m_Q \in [1.5, 5.0]$ GeV. From these numerical computations we confirm the dependence on α_s and m_Q in Eqs. (65) and (66) and find the following values for the constants:

$$C_{\Omega}^{(\rho)} \approx 1.09, \quad C_{\Omega}^{(\lambda)} \approx 1.31, \qquad (67)$$

so that

$$C_{\Omega} = C_{\Omega}^{(\rho)} + C_{\Omega}^{(\lambda)} \approx 2.40 \approx 2.58 \, C_{\psi}. \tag{68}$$

Therefore, we conclude that for the same values of m_Q and α_s the chromopolarizability of the QQQ baryon is 2.58 times that of the $\bar{Q}Q$ meson. This results in a stronger interaction potential in the double- Ω_{QQQ} system than in the double- $\bar{Q}Q$ one from the exchange of soft gluons.

In the general case of $m_{Q'} \neq m_Q$, one can parameterize the heavy quark mass dependence as

$$\beta_{\Omega} = \frac{C_{\Omega}}{m_Q^3 \alpha_s^4} \varphi(m_{Q'}/m_Q), \tag{69}$$

where the function $\varphi(x)$, normalized as $\varphi(1) = 1$ and shown in Fig. 1 (left plot), can be approximated by

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{C_{\Omega}^{(\rho)}}{C_{\Omega}} \left(\frac{\zeta(x)}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^{n_{\rho}} + \frac{C_{\Omega}^{(\lambda)}}{C_{\Omega}} \left(\frac{\zeta(x)}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^{n_{\lambda}}, \quad (70)$$

with $\zeta(x) = \sqrt{1 + 2/x}$, and $n_{\rho} \approx 1.95$ and $n_{\lambda} \approx 4.15$. Equation (70) comes from the dependence of the individual contributions to β_{Ω} on the ratio ζ , as shown in the right plot in Fig. 1.

Similar to the case of the heavy quarkonium studied in Sec. II, the dependence of the chromopolarizability β_{Ω} from Eq. (69) on m_Q and α_s is quite strong. As mentioned above, the estimate from Eq. (68) is obtained under the assumption that the same quark mass and strong coupling constant are used in both cases, for β_{ψ} and β_{Ω} . Numerical values of the fully-heavy baryon chromopolarizabilities for the values of m_c , m_b , and α_s quoted in Eqs. (21) and (22) and discussed in Sect. II B, are listed in Table II.

IV. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSIONS

In this paper we evaluate the chromopolarizability of a fully-heavy ground-state baryon Ω_{QQQ} and find it to be 2.58 of that for the heavy meson $\bar{Q}Q$ composed of the quark and its antiquark of the same flavor. This implies that the interaction from the exchange of soft gluons in the double- Ω_{QQQ} system appears to be con-

Table II. The mean radii and chromopolarizabilities of heavy QQQ' baryons for Q, Q' = c, b evaluated in this work. See Sect. II B for the discussion of the uncertainties.

State	ccc	ccb	cbb	bbb
$\langle R \rangle$ [fm]	$1.66\substack{+0.26 \\ -0.46}$	$1.44_{-0.40}^{+0.23}$	$0.65\substack{+0.10 \\ -0.18}$	$0.51_{-0.14}^{+0.08}$
$\beta_{\Omega} \; [\text{GeV}^{-3}]$	49^{+38}_{-35}	19^{+15}_{-14}	$6.7_{-4.8}^{+5.3}$	$1.4^{+1.1}_{-1.0}$

siderably stronger than in the double-heavy-quarkonium pair and as such provides an argument in favor of the existence of near-threshold (bound or virtual) states in such a dibaryon system. This conclusion agrees well with the recent results of lattice calculations [8, 9] which indeed report the existence of bound states in both double- Ω_{ccc} and double- Ω_{bbb} systems, with the binding energies of the order of several MeV, in the former case, and several dozen MeV, in the latter.

We further extend our analysis to fully-heavy baryons containing different flavours of heavy quarks, Q and Q', and discuss the dependence of the chromopolarizability of the baryon QQQ' on the mass ratio $m_{Q'}/m_Q$. We also estimate the uncertainty in β_{Ω} related to that in the quark mass and the strong coupling constant determination.

As a disclaimer, we note that the approximation of a fully-heavy hadron by a purely color-Coulombic system predictably works well for the ground-state heavy quarkonium and baryon composed of the bottom quarks, that is, for $\bar{b}b$ and bbb systems. Indeed, the corresponding mean radii quoted in Tables I and II appear fairly small enough compared with the scale $r_{\rm np} \sim 1/\sqrt{\sigma} \sim$ $1/\Lambda_{\rm QCD} \simeq 0.8$ fm which roughly quantifies the relevance of the nonperturbative interaction in the hadron. The $\bar{c}c$ and cbb systems are marginally consistent with this requirement while for the ccc and ccb states corrections from the nonperturbative interaction may appear sizable. Nevertheless, we expect our estimates for the chromopolarizabilities of such baryons to also lie in the right ballpark, especially given the large uncertainties they have.

We, therefore, conclude that further experimental and lattice searches for near-threshold hadronic molecules of fully-heavy hadrons look like an appealing and promising task and, as favored by our findings reported in this work, are very likely to result in the discoveries of new twohadron resonances.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

XKD is grateful to the helpful discussions with Wei Hao, Teng Ji, and Qiang Zhao. This work was supported in part by the Chinese Academy of Sciences under Grant No. XDB34030000; by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grants No. 12125507, No. 11835015, No. 12047503, and

No. 11961141012; and by the NSFC and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through the funds provided to the Sino-German Collaborative Research Center TRR110 "Symmetries and the Emergence of Structure in QCD" (NSFC Grant No. 12070131001, DFG Project-ID 196253076). A.N. is supported by the Slovenian Research Agency (research core funding No. P1-0035). J.T.C. acknowledges financial support by National Science Foundation (No. PHY-2013184).

Appendix A: Generalized Jacobi coordinates

In this appendix we provide a generalized form of the Jacobi coordinates in a 3-body system. We follow Ref. [24]. In particular, the center-of-mass coordinate Xand the two relative coordinates λ and ρ can be introduced as

$$x_{1} = X + \sqrt{\frac{\mu m_{3}}{M(m_{1} + m_{2})}} \lambda + \sqrt{\frac{\mu m_{2}}{m_{1}(m_{1} + m_{2})}} \rho,$$

$$x_{2} = X + \sqrt{\frac{\mu m_{3}}{M(m_{1} + m_{2})}} \lambda - \sqrt{\frac{\mu m_{1}}{m_{2}(m_{1} + m_{2})}} \rho,$$

$$x_{3} = X - \sqrt{\frac{\mu(m_{1} + m_{2})}{Mm_{3}}} \lambda,$$
 (A1)

where x_i (i = 1, 2, 3) are the individual coordinates of the particles, $M = m_1 + m_2 + m_3$, and μ is an arbitrary parameter with the dimension of mass. The inverse of Eq. (A1) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{X} &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{3} m_i \boldsymbol{x}_i, \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda} &= \sqrt{\frac{(m_1 + m_2)m_3}{\mu M}} \left(\frac{m_1 \boldsymbol{x}_1 + m_2 \boldsymbol{x}_2}{m_1 + m_2} - \boldsymbol{x}_3 \right), \quad (A2) \\ \boldsymbol{\rho} &= \sqrt{\frac{m_1 m_2}{\mu (m_1 + m_2)}} (\boldsymbol{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{x}_2), \end{aligned}$$

so that ρ can be regarded as the relative coordinate of the particles 1 and 2 while λ describes the distance between particle 3 and the center-of-mass of particles 1 and 2.

The nonrelativistic kinetic term in the Lagrangian turns to be

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{3}m_{i}\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}^{2} = \frac{1}{2}M\dot{\boldsymbol{X}}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mu\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{2} + \dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}^{2}\right), \qquad (A3)$$

where the arbitrary parameter μ clearly plays the role of the mass for both motions in ρ and λ , which makes the coordinate transformation (A1) particularly convenient in practical calculations. The physical observables do not depend on a particular choice of μ , so for convenience, in case of $m_1 = m_2 = m_Q$ and $m_3 = m_{Q'}$ we set $\mu = m_Q/2$, so that Eqs. (A2) and (A3) turn to Eqs. (25) and (27), respectively. As an additional check we have verified that the results reported in this paper indeed do not depend on a particular choice of μ .

Appendix B: Evaluation of the QQQ' continuum spectrum in a 2D well

In this appendix we provide some technical details related to building the continuum spectrum of the Hamiltonians \hat{h}_{O^S} and \hat{h}_{O^A} defined in Eqs. (57) and (58).

Employing the same technique as was previously used to build the continuum spectrum of the Hamiltonian \hat{h}_O in Sect. II A, we embed the studied three-quark system into a finite rectangle box of the size L_{box} in each spatial direction, so that the potentials (36) and (37) averaged as defined in Eq. (59) [see also Eqs. (C3) and (C4) below] take the form

$$V_{O^{S}}(\rho,\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{m_{Q}\rho^{2}} + \frac{\alpha_{s}}{3} \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{5}{\max(\rho,\zeta\lambda)}\right) & 0 < \rho, \lambda < L_{\text{box}}, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

$$V_{O^{A}}(\rho,\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{m_{Q}\lambda^{2}} - \frac{2\alpha_{s}}{3} \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{2\max(\rho,\zeta\lambda)}\right) & 0 < \rho, \lambda < L_{\text{box}}, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$
(B1)
(B2)

otherwise.

It is convenient then to define a length scale $L_0 =$ 0.197 fm $(1/L_0 = 1 \text{ GeV})$ and proceed to the dimensionless Jacobi coordinates $\tilde{\rho} = \rho/L_0 \ \lambda = \lambda/L_0$ as well as other quantities involved in the calculation,

$$\tilde{L}_{\text{box}} = L_{\text{box}}/L_0, \quad \tilde{m}_{Q^{(\prime)}} = m_{Q^{(\prime)}}L_0, \quad \tilde{E} = EL_0.$$
 (B3)

It also proves convenient to define a radial wave function $\chi = \rho \lambda \psi$ and its dimensionless counterpart $\tilde{\chi}$ which is normalized as

$$\int_{0}^{\tilde{L}_{\text{box}}} d\tilde{\rho} \int_{0}^{\tilde{L}_{\text{box}}} d\tilde{\lambda} \, |\tilde{\chi}(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\lambda})|^{2} = 1 \tag{B4}$$

and obeys the radial Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{m}_Q} \left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{\rho}^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{\lambda}^2} + \tilde{V}(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\lambda}) \right) \tilde{\chi}(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\lambda}) = \tilde{E} \tilde{\chi}(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\lambda}), \tag{B5}$$

with

$$\tilde{V}(\tilde{\rho},\tilde{\lambda}) = \frac{2}{\tilde{\rho}^2} + \frac{1}{3}\alpha_s \tilde{m}_Q \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}} - \frac{5}{\max(\tilde{\rho},\zeta\tilde{\lambda})}\right)$$
(B6)

or

$$\tilde{V}(\tilde{\rho},\tilde{\lambda}) = \frac{2}{\tilde{\lambda}^2} - \frac{2}{3} \alpha_s \tilde{m}_Q \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}} - \frac{1}{2\max(\tilde{\rho},\zeta\tilde{\lambda})}\right), \quad (B7)$$

depending on which contribution to β_{Ω} is considered.

The boundary conditions imposed on the wave function $\tilde{\chi}$ read

$$\tilde{\chi}(0,\tilde{\lambda}) = \tilde{\chi}(\tilde{L}_{\text{box}},\tilde{\lambda}) = \tilde{\chi}(\tilde{\rho},0) = \tilde{\chi}(\tilde{\rho},\tilde{L}_{\text{box}}) = 0.$$
(B8)

Equation (B5) is then solved numerically using the spec-

trum method of Ref. [25].

Appendix C: Details of the β_{Ω} calculations

In this appendix we collect some formulas used in Sect. IIIB to evaluate the chromopolarizability of the fully-heavy baryon β_{Ω} .

We start from the averaged value of the interaction potential in the color-singlet representation defined in Eq. (53) to find that

$$\langle V_S \rangle = -\frac{2\alpha_s}{3} \int \frac{dO_5}{\pi^3} \left(\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{\rho}|} + \frac{2}{|\zeta \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{\rho}|} + \frac{2}{|\zeta \boldsymbol{\lambda} - \boldsymbol{\rho}|} \right)$$
$$= -\frac{32\alpha_s}{9\pi R} \left(1 + \frac{4}{\sqrt{1+\zeta^2}} \right), \tag{C1}$$

where an easily verifiable master formula,

$$\left\langle |a\boldsymbol{\rho} + b\boldsymbol{\lambda}|^{-1} \right\rangle = \int \frac{dO_5}{\pi^3} \frac{1}{|a\boldsymbol{\rho} + b\boldsymbol{\lambda}|} = \frac{16}{3\pi R\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}},$$
(C2)

was used, which is valid for arbitrary numerical coefficients a and b. The averaged Hamiltonians for the octet representations as defined in Eq. (59) were evaluated as

$$\begin{split} \langle \hat{h}_{O^S} \rangle &= \int do_{\rho} do_{\lambda} Y_{10}^*(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) Y_{00}^*(\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) \hat{h}_{O^S} Y_{10}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) Y_{00}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) \\ &= \int do_{\rho} |Y_{10}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})|^2 \int \frac{do_{\lambda}}{4\pi} \hat{h}_{O^S} \\ &= \int \frac{do_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}}{4\pi} \hat{h}_{O^S} [l_{\rho} = 1, l_{\lambda} = 0] \end{split}$$

$$= \frac{1}{m_Q} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} \rho - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \lambda + \frac{2}{\rho^2} \right) \\ + \frac{\alpha_s}{3} \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{5}{\max(\rho, \zeta\lambda)} \right)$$
(C3)

and, similarly,

where the following master formula was used:

$$\int \frac{do_{\boldsymbol{v}\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}}}{4\pi} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{v} + \boldsymbol{w}|} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left| \frac{1}{v} + \frac{1}{w} \right| - \left| \frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{w} \right| \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\max(v, w)}. \tag{C5}$$

Finally, the evaluation of the angular part of the integral in Eq. (61) is done as

$$\sum_{m_{\rho}+m_{\lambda}=L_{z}} C_{l_{\rho}m_{\rho}j_{\lambda}m_{\lambda}}^{LL_{z}} \int do_{\rho}do_{\lambda} \ \hat{\rho}_{z}Y_{l_{\rho}m_{\rho}}(\hat{\rho})Y_{l_{\lambda}m_{\lambda}}(\hat{\lambda})$$

$$= 4\pi \sum_{m_{\rho}+m_{\lambda}=L_{z}} C_{l_{\rho}m_{\rho}j_{\lambda}m_{\lambda}}^{LL_{z}} \int do_{\rho}do_{\lambda} \ Y_{00}(\hat{\rho})Y_{00}(\hat{\lambda})\hat{\rho}_{z}Y_{l_{\rho}m_{\rho}}(\hat{\rho})Y_{l_{\lambda}m_{\lambda}}(\hat{\lambda})$$

$$= 4\pi \sum_{m_{\rho}+m_{\lambda}=L_{z}} C_{l_{\rho}m_{\rho}j_{\lambda}m_{\lambda}}^{LL_{z}} \langle L=0, L_{z}=0, l_{\rho}=0, l_{\lambda}=0 | \hat{\rho}_{z} | L, L_{z}, l_{\rho}, l_{\lambda} \rangle$$

$$= \delta_{L1}\delta_{L_{z}0}\delta_{l_{\rho}1}\delta_{l_{\lambda}0} \langle l_{\rho}=0, m_{\rho}=0 | \hat{\rho}_{z} | l_{\rho}=1, m_{\rho}=0 \rangle$$

$$= \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{3}}\delta_{L1}\delta_{L_{z}0}\delta_{l_{\rho}1}\delta_{l_{\lambda}0},$$
(C6)

fined in Eq. (62).

where it was used that, for an arbitrary 3-vector \boldsymbol{v} ,

$$\langle l-1, m | v_z | l, m \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{l^2 - m^2}{l(2l-1)(2l+1)}} \langle l-1 | | v_z | | l \rangle,$$
(C7)

and the reduced matrix element for $v_z = n_z$ reads

$$\langle l-1||n_z||l\rangle = \sqrt{l}.\tag{C8}$$

- R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), Sci. Bull. **65**, 1983 (2020).
- [2] E. Bouhova-Thacker on behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration, "Atlas results on exotic hadronic resonances," https://agenda.infn.it/event/28874/contributions/170298/, proceedings at ICHEP 2022.
- [3] K. Yi on behalf of the CMS Collaboration, "Recent CMS results on exotic resonances," https://agenda.infn.it/event/28874/contributions/170300/, proceedings at ICHEP 2022.
- [4] X.-K. Dong, V. Baru, F.-K. Guo, C. Hanhart, and A. Nefediev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 132001 (2021); **127**, 119901(E) (2021).
- [5] Z.-R. Liang, X.-Y. Wu, and D.-L. Yao, Phys. Rev. D 104, 034034 (2021).
- [6] J.-Z. Wang and X. Liu, Phys. Rev. D 106, 054015 (2022).
- [7] X.-K. Dong, V. Baru, F.-K. Guo, C. Hanhart,

A. Nefediev, and B.-S. Zou, Sci. Bull. 66, 2462 (2021).

A similar calculation was performed for the integral de-

- [8] Y. Lyu, H. Tong, T. Sugiura, S. Aoki, T. Doi, T. Hatsuda, J. Meng, and T. Miyamoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 072003 (2021).
- [9] N. Mathur, M. Padmanath, and D. Chakraborty, arXiv:2205.02862 [hep-lat].
- [10] M. E. Luke, A. V. Manohar, and M. J. Savage, Phys. Lett. B 288, 355 (1992).
- [11] N. Brambilla, G. Krein, J. Tarrús Castellà, and A. Vairo, Phys. Rev. D 93, 054002 (2016).
- [12] A. Pineda and J. Soto, Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl. 64, 428 (1998).
- [13] N. Brambilla, A. Pineda, J. Soto, and A. Vairo, Nucl. Phys. B 566, 275 (2000).
- [14] N. Brambilla, A. Vairo, and T. Rosch, Phys. Rev. D 72, 034021 (2005).
- [15] C. Peset, A. Pineda, and J. Segovia, JHEP 09, 167

(2018).

- [16] K. G. Chetyrkin, J. H. Kuhn, and M. Steinhauser, Comput. Phys. Commun. 133, 43 (2000).
- [17] F. Herren and M. Steinhauser, Comput. Phys. Commun. 224, 333 (2018).
- [18] M. Fabre de la Ripelle, H. Fiedeldey, and S. A. Sofianos, Phys. Rev. C 38, 449 (1988).
- [19] P. Hasenfratz, R. R. Horgan, J. Kuti, and J. M. Richard, Phys. Lett. B 94, 401 (1980).
- [20] Y. A. Simonov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 3, 461 (1966).

- [21] A. M. Badalian and Y. A. Simonov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 3, 755 (1966).
- [22] F. Calogero and Y. A. Simonov, Phys. Rev. 169, 789 (1968).
- [23] J. L. Ballot and M. Fabre de la Ripelle, Annals Phys. 127, 62 (1980).
- [24] M. Fabre de la Ripelle and Y. A. Simonov, Annals Phys. 212, 235 (1991).
- [25] T. Andrade, arXiv:1712.00548 [hep-th].