

Resonance $X(3960)$ as a hidden charm-strange scalar tetraquark

S. S. Agaev,¹ K. Azizi,^{2,3} and H. Sundu⁴

¹*Institute for Physical Problems, Baku State University, Az-1148 Baku, Azerbaijan*

²*Department of Physics, University of Tehran, North Karegar Avenue, Tehran 14395-547, Iran*

³*Department of Physics, Doğuş University, Dudullu-Ümraniye, 34775 Istanbul, Turkey*

⁴*Department of Physics, Kocaeli University, 41380 Izmit, Turkey*

(ΩDated: November 28, 2022)

We investigate features of the hidden charm-strange scalar tetraquark $c\bar{c}s\bar{s}$ by calculating its spectral parameters and width, and compare the obtained results with the mass and width of the resonance $X(3960)$ discovered recently in the LHCb experiment. We model the tetraquark as a diquark-antidiquark state $X = [cs][\bar{c}\bar{s}]$ with spin-parities $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$. The mass and current coupling of X are calculated using the QCD two-point sum rules by taking into account various vacuum condensates up to dimension 10. The width of the tetraquark X is estimated via decay channels $X \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^-$ and $X \rightarrow \eta_c \eta^{(\prime)}$. The partial widths of these processes are expressed in terms of couplings G , g_1 and g_2 which describe strong interactions of particles at the vertices $X D_s^+ D_s^-$, $X \eta_c \eta'$ and $X \eta_c \eta$, respectively. Numerical values of G , g_1 and g_2 are evaluated by employing the three-point sum rule method. Comparing results $m = (3976 \pm 85)$ MeV and $\Gamma_X = (42.2 \pm 8.3)$ MeV obtained for parameters of the tetraquark X and experimental data of the LHCb Collaboration, we conclude that the resonance $X(3960)$ can be considered as a candidate to a scalar diquark-antidiquark state.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, the LHCb Collaboration informed on observation of a new threshold peaking structure $X(3960)$ in the $D_s^+ D_s^-$ invariant mass distribution in the $B^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^- K^+$ decay [1]. Performed analysis demonstrated that it is a scalar resonance $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ with the mass and width

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\text{exp}} &= 3956 \pm 5 \pm 10 \text{ MeV}, \\ \Gamma_{\text{exp}} &= 43 \pm 13 \pm 8 \text{ MeV}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The collaboration also found an additional structure around 4140 MeV with spin-parities 0^{++} . The resonance $X(3960)$ was interpreted by LHCb as a four-quark state with content $c\bar{c}s\bar{s}$, whereas a structure 4140 MeV may be either a new resonance or $J/\psi\phi \leftrightarrow D_s^+ D_s^-$ coupled-channel effect.

Four-quark exotic mesons composed of quarks $c\bar{c}s\bar{s}$ with different quantum numbers are not something new for both experimental and theoretical physicists. In fact, resonances with the quark content $c\bar{c}s\bar{s}$ were fixed by LHCb in the $J/\psi\phi$ invariant mass distribution in the process $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ [2]. The discovered states $X(4140)$ and $X(4274)$ are axial-vector particles with $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$, whereas the spin-parities of $X(4500)$ and $X(4700)$ are $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$. It should be noted that resonances $X(4140)$ and $X(4274)$ were previously seen by the CDF Collaboration [3] in the decays $B^\pm \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^\pm$, and confirmed later by CMS [4] and D0 experiments [5]. The scalar structures $X(4500)$ and $X(4700)$ were fixed by the LHCb Collaboration for the first time.

In experiments numerous exotic vector mesons built of $c\bar{c}s\bar{s}$ quarks were observed as well. Thus, the state $Y(4660)$ was found for the first time by the Belle Collaboration in the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{\text{ISR}}\psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$ as one of

two resonant structures in the $\psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass distribution. Because $Y(4660)$ was produced in the e^+e^- annihilation its quantum numbers are $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$. The structure $X(4630)$ was discovered by LHCb in the $J/\psi\phi$ invariant mass distribution of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ [6].

Theoretical studies of four-quark states $c\bar{c}s\bar{s}$ have also rich history. The charmoniumlike exotic mesons with $s\bar{s}$ component were investigated by means of different methods in numerous publications (see, as examples, Refs. [7–11]). Comprehensive analyses of some of hidden-charm diquark-antidiquark systems $[cs][\bar{c}\bar{s}]$ were carried out in our articles as well. Thus, the axial-vector resonances $X(4140)$ and $X(4274)$ were investigated in Ref. [12], in which, we treated them as diquark-antidiquark states built of scalar and axial-vector components belonging to triplet and sextet representations of $SU_c(3)$ color group, respectively. We calculated not only their masses and current couplings (or pole residues) but also evaluated full widths of these tetraquarks. Predictions for parameters of the color-triplet diquark-antidiquark state allowed us to interpret it as the resonance $X(4140)$. Contrary, the full width of the tetraquark with color sextet ingredients is considerably wider than that of the resonance $X(4274)$. Therefore, to explain an internal organization of $X(4274)$ alternative models should be examined, though existence of a new axial-vector resonance with the mass $m \approx 4274$ MeV and full width $\Gamma \approx 200$ MeV cannot be excluded.

The vector resonance $Y(4660)$ was studied as a diquark-antidiquark vector state $[cs][\bar{c}\bar{s}]$ with $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$ in our work [13]. Results obtained there for the mass and full width of this structure made it possible to interpret the resonance $Y(4660)$ as the diquark-antidiquark exotic meson. The detailed analysis of $X(4630)$ were performed in Ref. [14] by assuming that it is a vector

tetraquark $[cs][\bar{c}\bar{s}]$ with spin-parities $J^{PC} = 1^{-+}$. Here, a nice agreement was obtained between the LHCb data for parameters of the resonance $X(4630)$ and theoretical predictions of the diquark-antidiquark model. There are numerous articles devoted to experimental studies and theoretical analysis of hidden charm-strange four-quark mesons in the literature: Relatively full list of such publications can be found in Refs. [1, 12–14].

First announcement made in Ref. [15] about discovery of the resonance $X(3960)$ triggered extreme interest to this state. In papers [16–21] appeared afterwards, authors addressed different aspects of its internal organization, production mechanisms and rates, placed $X(3960)$ into various four-quark multiplets. The coupled-channel explanation of $X(3960)$ was suggested in Ref. [16], where it emerges as an enhancement in the $D_s^+ D_s^-$ mass distribution via interaction of the $D^+ D^-$ and $D_s^+ D_s^-$ coupled-channels. In Ref. [18] the authors assigned $X(3960)$ the hadronic molecule $D_s^+ D_s^-$, and performed studies in the context of the sum rule method. The resonance $X(3960)$ was explained also as near the $D_s^+ D_s^-$ threshold enhancement due to the contribution of the conventional P -wave charmonium $\chi_{c0}(2P)$ [21].

In the present article, we explore the tetraquark $X = [cs][\bar{c}\bar{s}]$ with spin-parities $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ and compute its parameters. The mass and current coupling of X are evaluated using the QCD two-point sum rule method. Its full width is estimated using the decay channels $X \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^-$ and $X \rightarrow \eta_c \eta^{(\prime)}$. Partial widths of these processes are expressed through strong couplings G , g_1 and g_2 of particles at the vertices $X D_s^+ D_s^-$, $X \eta_c \eta'$, and $X \eta_c \eta$, respectively. To calculate G , g_1 and g_2 , we employ technical tools of the three-point sum rule approach. Results found for parameters of the state X are confronted with the LHCb data to verify the diquark-antidiquark model for $X(3960)$.

This paper is organized in the following way: In Sec. II, we compute the mass and current coupling of the tetraquark X by means of the QCD two-point sum rule method. The decay $X \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^-$ is studied in Sec. III, where we calculate the coupling G and partial width of this process. The strong couplings g_1 and g_2 and partial widths of the decays $X \rightarrow \eta_c \eta'$ and $X \rightarrow \eta_c \eta$, as well as the full width of X are found in Sec. IV. The section V is reserved for our concluding notes.

II. MASS AND CURRENT COUPLING OF THE TETRAQUARK X

In this section, we consider the scalar diquark-antidiquark state $X = [cs][\bar{c}\bar{s}]$ and extract its spectroscopic parameters from the two-point sum rule analysis [22, 23]. It is known that the sum rule method operates with correlation functions and interpolating currents of particles under investigations. There are different ways to construct a scalar tetraquark and corresponding current using a diquark and an antidiquark with different

spin-parities. We assume that X is built of a scalar diquark $\epsilon c^T C \gamma_5 s$ and antidiquark $\bar{c} \bar{c} \gamma_5 C \bar{s}^T$ which belong to the antitriplet and triplet representations of the color $SU_c(3)$ group, respectively. Here, C denotes the charge-conjugation operator. Then the interpolating current for the tetraquark X has the form

$$J(x) = \epsilon \tilde{\epsilon} [c_b^T(x) C \gamma_5 s_c(x)] [\bar{c}_m(x) \gamma_5 C \bar{s}_n^T(x)], \quad (2)$$

where $\epsilon \tilde{\epsilon} = \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{amn}$ and a, b, c, m and n are color indices. This current belongs to $[\bar{\mathbf{3}}_c]_{cs} \otimes [\mathbf{3}_c]_{\bar{c}\bar{s}}$ representation of the color group and corresponds to the scalar state with quantum numbers $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$. It is important that the scalar diquark (antidiquark) configuration is the most attractive and stable two-quark system [24]. Therefore, the current $J(x)$ describes a ground-state scalar particle with lowest mass and required spin-parities.

The mass m and coupling f of the tetraquark X can be determined from analysis of the correlation function $\Pi(p)$

$$\Pi(p) = i \int d^4 x e^{ipx} \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \{ J(x) J^\dagger(0) \} | 0 \rangle. \quad (3)$$

To derive the required sum rules, one has to express $\Pi(p)$ using the spectroscopic parameters of the tetraquark X . For these purposes, we insert into the correlation function $\Pi(p)$ a complete set of states with quantum numbers 0^{++} and perform integration over x in Eq. (3). As a result, we get

$$\Pi^{\text{Phys}}(p) = \frac{\langle 0 | J | X(p) \rangle \langle X(p) | J^\dagger | 0 \rangle}{m^2 - p^2} + \dots \quad (4)$$

Obtained expression forms a hadronic representation of $\Pi(p)$ and is the phenomenological (physical) side of sum rules. Here, a contribution coming from the ground-state particle X is written down explicitly, whereas contributions of higher resonances and continuum states are denoted by ellipses.

The function $\Pi^{\text{Phys}}(p)$ can be further simplified by employing the matrix element

$$\langle 0 | J | X(p) \rangle = f m. \quad (5)$$

It is easy to find that, in terms of the parameters m and f , the function $\Pi^{\text{Phys}}(p)$ takes the following form

$$\Pi^{\text{Phys}}(p) = \frac{m^2 f^2}{m^2 - p^2} + \dots \quad (6)$$

The $\Pi^{\text{Phys}}(p)$ has simple Lorentz structure proportional to I , and relevant invariant amplitude $\Pi^{\text{Phys}}(p^2)$ is given by r.h.s. of Eq. (6).

To determine the QCD side of the sum rules $\Pi^{\text{OPE}}(p)$, we use the interpolating current $J(x)$ in Eq. (3), and contract heavy and light quark fields. After simple manipulations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^{\text{OPE}}(p) = & i \int d^4 x e^{ipx} \epsilon \tilde{\epsilon} \epsilon' \tilde{\epsilon}' \text{Tr} \left[\gamma_5 \tilde{S}_c^{bb'}(x) \right. \\ & \left. \times \gamma_5 S_s^{cc'}(x) \right] \text{Tr} \left[\gamma_5 \tilde{S}_s^{n'n}(-x) \gamma_5 S_c^{m'm}(-x) \right], \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

where $S_c(x)$ and $S_s(x)$ are the c and s -quark propagators, respectively. Explicit expressions of these propagators can be found in Ref. [25]. In Eq. (7), we have also used the notation

$$\tilde{S}_{c(s)}(x) = CS_{c(s)}^T(x)C. \quad (8)$$

The correlation function $\Pi^{\text{OPE}}(p)$ should be computed in the operator product expansion (OPE) with some accuracy. The $\Pi^{\text{OPE}}(p)$ has also a trivial structure $\sim I$ and is characterized by an amplitude $\Pi^{\text{OPE}}(p^2)$. Having equated the invariant amplitudes $\Pi^{\text{Phys}}(p^2)$ and $\Pi^{\text{OPE}}(p^2)$, one gets the master QCD sum rule equality. Afterwards, one needs to suppress contributions of higher resonances and continuum states by applying the Borel transformation. The assumption about quark-hadron duality allows one to subtract these suppressed terms from obtained expression. After these operations, the sum rule equality starts to depend on the Borel M^2 and continuum threshold s_0 parameters.

The Borel transformation of $\Pi^{\text{Phys}}(p^2)$ is a simple function, whereas for $\Pi^{\text{OPE}}(p^2)$ we get a complicated formula

$$\Pi(M^2, s_0) = \int_{4\mathcal{M}^2}^{s_0} ds \rho(s) e^{-s/M^2} + \Pi(M^2), \quad (9)$$

where $\mathcal{M} = m_c + m_s$. In numerical computations we set $m_s^2 = 0$, but include into analysis terms proportional to m_s . The two-point spectral density $\rho(s)$ in Eq. (9) is calculated as an imaginary part of the correlation function. The second term $\Pi(M^2)$ includes nonperturbative contributions extracted directly from $\Pi^{\text{OPE}}(p)$. The correlator $\Pi(M^2, s_0)$ is computed by taking into account nonperturbative terms up to dimension 10. Explicit expression of $\Pi(M^2, s_0)$ is rather lengthy, therefore we do not write down it here.

The sum rules for m and f are expressed via the invariant amplitude $\Pi(M^2, s_0)$

$$m^2 = \frac{\Pi'(M^2, s_0)}{\Pi(M^2, s_0)}, \quad (10)$$

and

$$f^2 = \frac{e^{m^2/M^2}}{m^2} \Pi(M^2, s_0), \quad (11)$$

where $\Pi'(M^2, s_0) = d\Pi(M^2, s_0)/d(-1/M^2)$.

To carry out numerical computations in accordance with Eqs. (10) and (11), we have to fix values of different vacuum condensates. The reason is that the sum rules for m^2 and f^2 through $\Pi(M^2, s_0)$ depend on the vacuum expectation values of quark, gluon and mixed operators. These condensates are universal quantities and known from analysis of numerous processes:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle &= -(0.24 \pm 0.01)^3 \text{ GeV}^3, \quad \langle \bar{s}s \rangle = (0.8 \pm 0.1) \langle \bar{q}q \rangle, \\ \langle \bar{g}_s \sigma G s \rangle &= m_0^2 \langle \bar{s}s \rangle, \quad m_0^2 = (0.8 \pm 0.1) \text{ GeV}^2, \\ \langle \frac{\alpha_s G^2}{\pi} \rangle &= (0.012 \pm 0.004) \text{ GeV}^4, \\ \langle g_s^3 G^3 \rangle &= (0.57 \pm 0.29) \text{ GeV}^6, \\ m_c &= (1.275 \pm 0.025) \text{ GeV}, \quad m_s = 93_{-5}^{+11} \text{ MeV}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The list Eq. (12) contains also masses of the c and s -quarks.

Predictions for m and f extracted from the sum rules depend also on the Borel and continuum subtraction parameters M^2 and s_0 . In general, physical quantities should not contain residual effects connected with a choice of M^2 . But in real situation m and f bear imprints of operations fulfilled to isolate contribution of ground-state particle to sum rules. A way to solve this problem is using some prescriptions to minimize unwanted effects. To this end, in the sum rule analysis the choice of a working window for the Borel parameter M^2 is restricted by the dominance of the pole contribution (PC) and convergence of OPE. To quantify these constraints, it is convenient to introduce expressions

$$\text{PC} = \frac{\Pi(M^2, s_0)}{\Pi(M^2, \infty)}, \quad (13)$$

and

$$R(M^2) = \frac{\Pi^{\text{DimN}}(M^2, s_0)}{\Pi(M^2, s_0)}. \quad (14)$$

First of them is a measure of the pole contribution and necessary to find higher border of the M^2 region. In Eq. (14) $\Pi^{\text{DimN}}(M^2, s_0)$ indicates last three terms in OPE of $\Pi(M^2, s_0)$, i.e., $\text{DimN} = \text{Dim}(8 + 9 + 10)$. We use $R(M^2)$ to estimate convergence of OPE and fix lower limit of M^2 .

Besides, the window for M^2 should generate stable predictions for extracted physical quantities. Performed analysis demonstrates that windows for M^2 and s_0 which satisfy these constraints are

$$M^2 \in [3, 4] \text{ GeV}^2, \quad s_0 \in [21, 22] \text{ GeV}^2. \quad (15)$$

Indeed, in the regions Eq. (15) the pole contribution varies on average within interval

$$0.80 \geq \text{PC} \geq 0.49. \quad (16)$$

Contributions to $\Pi(M^2, s_0)$ arising from the last three terms in OPE at $M^2 = 3 \text{ GeV}^2$ is less than 0.01.

The residual dependence of the mass m of the tetraquark X on the Borel and continuum subtraction parameters M^2 and s_0 are shown in Fig. 1. It is seen, that the window for M^2 , where parameters of X are extracted, leads to approximately stable predictions for m . At the same time, one observes some variation m against the Borel parameter M^2 . This effect allows us to estimate uncertainties of the sum rule predictions. Variation of the continuum threshold parameter s_0 is another source of theoretical ambiguities. The region for s_0 has to meet constraints coming from dominance of PC and convergence of OPE. The parameter $\sqrt{s_0}$ bears also information on a mass m^* of the first radial excitation of the tetraquark X , and should obey $\sqrt{s_0} \leq m^*$.

The results for the mass m and coupling f are evaluated as average values of these parameters in working

regions (15).

$$\begin{aligned} m &= (3976 \pm 85) \text{ MeV}, \\ f &= (7.3 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}^4. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The mass and coupling written down in Eq. (17) cor-




FIG. 1: Mass m of the tetraquark X as a function of the Borel M^2 (left), and the continuum threshold s_0 parameters (right)

III. DECAY $X \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^-$

The spectroscopic parameters of the tetraquark X form a basis to determine its kinematically allowed decay channels. Because $X(3960)$ was observed in the $D_s^+ D_s^-$ invariant mass distribution, we treat the decay $X \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^-$ as a dominant mode of X . The two-meson threshold for this process ≈ 3937 MeV is below the mass of X . Another decay channels that should be considered in this paper are $X \rightarrow \eta_c \eta'$ and $X \rightarrow \eta_c \eta$. The kinematical limits for realization of these processes do not exceed ≈ 3941 MeV which is less than m as well. It is easy to see also that decays of the scalar tetraquark with spin-parities $J^{\text{PC}} = 0^{++}$ to two pseudoscalar mesons with $J^{\text{PC}} = 0^{-+}$ preserves the spin and quantum numbers P and C of the initial state X .

The partial width of the decay $X \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^-$ is determined by a coupling G that describes strong interaction at the vertex $X D_s^+ D_s^-$. Apart from G , it depends also on masses and decay constants of the initial and final particles. The mass and coupling of X have been calculated in the present article, whereas physical parameters of the mesons D_s^+ and D_s^- are known from another sources. Therefore, only physical quantity to be found here is the strong coupling G .

To evaluate G , we use the QCD three-point sum rule method, and start our analysis from the correlation func-

tion respond to predictions at approximately a middle point of these regions $M^2 = 3.4 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $s_0 = 21.5 \text{ GeV}^2$, where $\text{PC} \approx 0.64$ guarantees the ground-state nature of X . An estimate for the mass of the excited tetraquark $m^* \geq (m + 650) \text{ MeV}$ stemming from Eq. (17) is also a reasonable result for double-heavy tetraquarks.

tion

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(p, p') &= i^2 \int d^4 x d^4 y e^{i(p'y - px)} \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \{ J^{D_s^+}(y) \\ &\times J^{D_s^-}(0) J^\dagger(x) \} | 0 \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where $J(x)$, $J^{D_s^+}(y)$ and $J^{D_s^-}(0)$ are the interpolating currents for the tetraquark X , and the pseudoscalar mesons D_s^+ and D_s^- , respectively. The four-momenta of X and D_s^+ are denoted by p and p' , whereas the momentum of the meson D_s^- is equal to $q = p - p'$. The current $J(x)$ is given by Eq. (2), whereas for the mesons, we use the following currents

$$\begin{aligned} J^{D_s^+}(x) &= \bar{s}_j(x) i \gamma_5 c_j(x), \\ J^{D_s^-}(x) &= \bar{c}_i(x) i \gamma_5 s_i(x), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

with i and j being the color indices.

To continue our study of the strong coupling G , we follow usual recipes of the sum rule method and compute the correlation function $\Pi(p, p')$. To this end, we employ the physical parameters of the tetraquark and mesons participating in this process. The correlator $\Pi(p, p')$ found by this way constitutes the phenomenological side

$\Pi^{\text{Phys}}(p, p')$ of the sum rule. It is not difficult to see, that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^{\text{Phys}}(p, p') &= \frac{\langle 0 | J_s^{D_s^+} | D_s^+(p') \rangle \langle 0 | J_s^{D_s^-} | D_s^-(q) \rangle}{(p^2 - m^2)(p'^2 - m_{D_s}^2)} \\ &\times \frac{\langle D_s^-(q) D_s^+(p') | X(p) \rangle \langle X(p) | J^\dagger | 0 \rangle}{(q^2 - m_{D_s}^2)} + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where m_{D_s} is the mass of the mesons D_s^\pm . To derive Eq. (20), we isolate the contribution of the ground-state particles from ones due to higher resonances and continuum states. In Eq. (20) the ground-state term is presented explicitly, whereas the dots stand for other contributions.

The function $\Pi^{\text{Phys}}(p, p')$ can be modified by employing the matrix elements of the mesons D_s^\pm

$$\langle 0 | J_s^{D_s^\pm} | D_s^\pm \rangle = \frac{m_{D_s}^2 f_{D_s}}{m_c + m_s}, \quad (21)$$

with f_{D_s} being their decay constants. The vertex $X D_s^+ D_s^-$ is modeled as

$$\langle D_s^-(q) D_s^+(p') | X(p) \rangle = G(q^2) p \cdot p'. \quad (22)$$

Using these matrix elements, one can easily find new expression for $\Pi^{\text{Phys}}(p, p')$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^{\text{Phys}}(p, p') &= G(q^2) \frac{m_{D_s}^4 f_{D_s}^2 f m}{(m_c + m_s)^2 (p^2 - m^2)} \\ &\times \frac{1}{(p'^2 - m_{D_s}^2)(q^2 - m_{D_s}^2)} \frac{m^2 + m_{D_s}^2 - q^2}{2} + \dots. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

The double Borel transformation of the correlation function $\Pi^{\text{Phys}}(p, p')$ over variables p^2 and p'^2 is given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}\Pi^{\text{Phys}}(p, p') &= G(q^2) \frac{m_{D_s}^4 f_{D_s}^2 f m}{(m_c + m_s)^2 (q^2 - m_{D_s}^2)} e^{-m^2/M_1^2} \\ &\times e^{-m_{D_s}^2/M_2^2} \frac{m^2 + m_{D_s}^2 - q^2}{2} + \dots. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

The correlator $\Pi^{\text{Phys}}(p, p')$ and its Borel transformation has simple Lorentz structure which is proportional to I. As a result, the relevant invariant amplitude $\Pi^{\text{Phys}}(p^2, p'^2, q^2)$ is determined by the whole expression written down in Eq. (23).

To derive the QCD side of the three-point sum rule, we express $\Pi(p, p')$ in terms of quark propagators, and get

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^{\text{OPE}}(p, p') &= \int d^4x d^4y e^{i(p'y - px)} \tilde{\epsilon} \tilde{\epsilon} \\ &\times \text{Tr} \left[\gamma_5 \tilde{S}_c^{ib}(y-x) \gamma_5 \tilde{S}_s^{mi}(x-y) \gamma_5 S_c^{mj}(x) \right. \\ &\left. \times \gamma_5 S_s^{jc}(-x) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The correlator $\Pi^{\text{OPE}}(p, p')$ is computed by taking into account nonperturbative contributions up to dimension 6. This function contains the same trivial Lorentz structure as $\Pi^{\text{Phys}}(p, p')$. Having denoted by $\Pi^{\text{OPE}}(p^2, p'^2, q^2)$ corresponding invariant amplitude, equated the double Borel transformations $\mathcal{B}\Pi^{\text{OPE}}(p^2, p'^2, q^2)$ and $\mathcal{B}\Pi^{\text{Phys}}(p^2, p'^2, q^2)$, and performed continuum subtraction, we find the sum rule for the strong coupling $G(q^2)$.

The amplitude $\Pi^{\text{OPE}}(p^2, p'^2, q^2)$ after the Borel transformation and continuum subtraction procedures can be expressed using the spectral density $\rho(s, s', q^2)$ which is proportional to a relevant imaginary part of $\Pi^{\text{OPE}}(p, p')$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(\mathbf{M}^2, \mathbf{s}_0, q^2) &= \int_{4M^2}^{s_0} ds \int_{\mathcal{M}^2}^{s'_0} ds' \rho(s, s', q^2) \\ &\times e^{-s/M_1^2} e^{-s'/M_2^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

The Borel and continuum threshold parameters are denoted in Eq. (26) by $\mathbf{M}^2 = (M_1^2, M_2^2)$ and $\mathbf{s}_0 = (s_0, s'_0)$, respectively. Then, the sum rule for $G(q^2)$ reads

$$\begin{aligned} G(q^2) &= \frac{2(m_c + m_s)^2}{m_{D_s}^4 f_{D_s}^2 f m} \frac{q^2 - m_{D_s}^2}{m^2 + m_{D_s}^2 - q^2} \\ &\times e^{m^2/M_1^2} e^{m_{D_s}^2/M_2^2} \Pi(\mathbf{M}^2, \mathbf{s}_0, q^2). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

The coupling $G(q^2)$ is also a function of the Borel and continuum threshold parameters, which, for the sake of simplicity, are not shown in Eq. (27). In what follows, we introduce a variable $Q^2 = -q^2$ and label the obtained function $G(Q^2)$.

Equation (27) contains the spectroscopic parameters of the tetraquark X , and the masses and decay constants of the mesons D_s^\pm . These parameters are input information for our numerical computations: Their values are collected in Table I, which contains also parameters of the mesons η_c , η' and η appearing at final stages of the other processes. For the masses of the mesons and decay constant f_{D_s} , we use information from Ref. [26]. As the decay constant of the meson η_c , we employ sum rule's prediction from Ref. [27].

For numerical calculations of $G(Q^2)$ one has to fix working windows for the Borel and continuum subtraction parameters \mathbf{M}^2 and \mathbf{s}_0 . The constraints imposed on \mathbf{M}^2 and \mathbf{s}_0 are usual for sum rule calculations: They have been discussed and explained in the section II. The regions for M_1^2 and s_0 that correspond to the X channel are chosen as in Eq. (15). The parameters (M_2^2, s'_0) for the channel associated with the D_s^+ meson are varied within limits

$$M_2^2 \in [2.5, 3.5] \text{ GeV}^2, \quad s'_0 \in [5, 6] \text{ GeV}^2. \quad (28)$$

The strong coupling $G(Q^2)$ extracted from the sum rule analysis at some values of Q^2 depend on the Borel and continuum subtraction parameters. Therefore, windows for \mathbf{M}^2 and \mathbf{s}_0 are chosen in such a manner that to minimize these effects as well.

Quantity	Value (in MeV units)
m_{D_s}	1969.0 ± 1.4
m_{η_c}	2983.9 ± 0.4
$m_{\eta'}$	957.78 ± 0.06
m_{η}	547.862 ± 0.017
f_{D_s}	249.9 ± 0.5
f_{η_c}	320 ± 40

TABLE I: Masses and decay constants of the mesons D_s^\pm , η_c , η' , and η which are employed in numerical calculations.

The partial width of the process $X \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^-$ should be calculated in terms of the strong coupling $G(-m_{D_s}^2)$ which is defined at the mass shell $q^2 = m_{D_s}^2$ of the meson D_s^- . But the region $Q^2 < 0$ is not accessible for the sum rule analysis. To solve this problem, it is convenient to introduce a fit function $\mathcal{G}_1(Q^2)$ which for the momenta $Q^2 > 0$ is consistent with predictions of the sum rule computations, but can be extrapolated to the region $Q^2 < 0$. For these purposes, we apply the function $\mathcal{G}_i(Q^2)$, $i = 0, 1, 2$

$$\mathcal{G}_i(Q^2) = \mathcal{G}_i^0 \exp \left[c_i^1 \frac{Q^2}{m^2} + c_i^2 \left(\frac{Q^2}{m^2} \right)^2 \right], \quad (29)$$

where \mathcal{G}_i^0 , c_i^1 and c_i^2 are parameters, which will be extracted from fitting procedures. Numerical calculations demonstrate that $\mathcal{G}_0^0 = 1.67 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$, $c_0^1 = 2.19$, and $c_0^2 = -1.59$ generate a nice agreement with the sum rule's data shown in Fig. 2.

At the mass shell $q^2 = m_{D_s}^2$ this function predicts

$$G \equiv \mathcal{G}_0(-m_{D_s}^2) = (8.9 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-1} \text{ GeV}^{-1}. \quad (30)$$

The width of the process $X \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^-$ is determined by the following formula

$$\Gamma [X \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^-] = G^2 \frac{m_{D_s}^2 \lambda}{8\pi} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{m_{D_s}^2} \right), \quad (31)$$

where $\lambda = \lambda(m, m_{D_s}, m_{D_s})$ and

$$\lambda(a, b, c) = \frac{1}{2a} [a^4 + b^4 + c^4 - 2(a^2 b^2 + a^2 c^2 + b^2 c^2)]^{1/2}. \quad (32)$$

Employing the coupling from Eq. (30), it is easy to find partial width of the process $X \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^-$

$$\Gamma [X \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^-] = (34.0 \pm 8.2) \text{ MeV}. \quad (33)$$

IV. PROCESSES $X \rightarrow \eta_c \eta'$ AND $X \rightarrow \eta_c \eta$

The processes $X \rightarrow \eta_c \eta'$ and $X \rightarrow \eta_c \eta$, in general, can be studied by a manner described above. But, it is well




FIG. 2: The sum rule predictions and fit function for the strong coupling $G(Q^2)$. The point $Q^2 = -m_{D_s}^2$ is shown by the red diamond.

known that due to $U(1)$ anomaly there is a mixing in the system of $\eta - \eta'$ mesons [28]. This phenomenon leads to some subtleties in the choice of interpolating currents for these particles. The $\eta - \eta'$ mixing can be described in the framework of different approaches: The physical particles η and η' can be expressed using either the octet-singlet or quark-flavor bases of the flavor $SU_f(3)$ group. It turns out, that mixing of the physical states, decay constants and higher twist distribution amplitudes in the $\eta - \eta'$ system take in the quark-flavor basis $|\eta_q\rangle = (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d)/\sqrt{2}$ and $|\eta_s\rangle = \bar{s}s$ simple forms [28–30]. Therefore, for our purposes it is convenient to describe the mesons η and η' in the quark-flavor basis.

Then, the physical mesons η and η' are expressed using the basic states $|\eta_q\rangle$ and $|\eta_s\rangle$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \eta' \end{pmatrix} = U(\varphi) \begin{pmatrix} |\eta_q\rangle \\ |\eta_s\rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad (34)$$

where

$$U(\varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi & -\sin \varphi \\ \sin \varphi & \cos \varphi \end{pmatrix}, \quad (35)$$

is the mixing matrix in $|\eta_q\rangle - |\eta_s\rangle$ basis with φ being a mixing angle. This assumption on the state mixing implies that the same pattern applies to relevant currents, decay constants and wave functions as well.

In this context the interpolating currents for the mesons η and η' are given by the expressions

$$\begin{aligned} J^\eta(x) &= -\sin \varphi \bar{s}_j(x) i \gamma_5 s_j(x), \\ J^{\eta'}(x) &= \cos \varphi \bar{s}_j(x) i \gamma_5 s_j(x), \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where j is the color index. Let us emphasize that in Eq. (36), we write down only s component of the currents, which contribute to the decays under analysis.

We begin our calculations from the decay $X \rightarrow \eta_c \eta'$. In this case, one should explore the correlation function

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Pi}(p, p') &= i^2 \int d^4x d^4y e^{i(p'y - px)} \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \{ J^{\eta_c}(y) \\ &\quad \times J^{\eta'}(0) J^\dagger(x) \} | 0 \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

with $J^{\eta_c}(y)$ being the interpolating current of the meson η_c

$$J^{\eta_c}(x) = \bar{c}_i(x) i \gamma_5 c_i(x). \quad (38)$$

The ground-state contribution to the correlation function $\tilde{\Pi}(p, p')$ in terms of involved particles' matrix elements has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Pi}^{\text{Phys}}(p, p') &= \frac{\langle 0 | J^{\eta_c} | \eta_c(p') \rangle \langle 0 | J^{\eta'} | \eta'(q) \rangle}{(p^2 - m^2)(p'^2 - m_{\eta_c}^2)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\langle \eta'(q) \eta_c(p') | X(p) \rangle \langle X(p) | J^\dagger | 0 \rangle}{(q^2 - m_{\eta'}^2)} + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where the dots indicate effects of higher resonances and continuum states. The function $\tilde{\Pi}^{\text{Phys}}(p, p')$ can be simplified by invoking the matrix elements of the mesons η_c and η'

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | J^{\eta_c} | \eta_c \rangle &= \frac{m_{\eta_c}^2 f_{\eta_c}}{2m_c}, \\ 2m_s \langle \eta' | \bar{s} i \gamma_5 s | 0 \rangle &= h_{\eta'}^s, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where m_{η_c} and f_{η_c} are the mass and decay constant of the η_c meson. The twist-3 matrix element of the local operator $\bar{s} i \gamma_5 s$ sandwiched between the meson η' and vacuum states is denoted by $h_{\eta'}^s$ [29]. The parameter $h_{\eta'}^s$ complies with mixing effect, and we get

$$h_{\eta'}^s = h_s \cos \varphi. \quad (41)$$

The parameter h_s in Eq. (41) can be defined theoretically [29], but for phenomenological analysis it is enough to use values of h_s and φ

$$\begin{aligned} h_s &= (0.087 \pm 0.006) \text{ GeV}^3, \\ \varphi &= 39.3^\circ \pm 1.0^\circ. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

The vertex $X \eta_c \eta'$ is chosen in the following form

$$\langle \eta'(q) \eta_c(p') | X(p) \rangle = g_1(q^2) p \cdot p', \quad (43)$$

where g_1 is the strong coupling corresponding to the vertex $X \eta_c \eta'$. Using these matrix elements, one can obtain a new expression for $\tilde{\Pi}^{\text{Phys}}(p, p')$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Pi}^{\text{Phys}}(p, p') &= g_1(q^2) \frac{f m m_{\eta_c}^2 f_{\eta_c} h_s \cos^2 \varphi}{4m_c m_s (p^2 - m^2)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{(p'^2 - m_{\eta_c}^2)(q^2 - m_{\eta'}^2)} \frac{m^2 + m_{\eta_c}^2 - q^2}{2} + \dots. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

The QCD side of the sum rule for $g_1(q^2)$ is given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Pi}^{\text{OPE}}(p, p') &= -\cos \varphi \int d^4x d^4y e^{i(p'y - px)} \bar{c} \bar{\epsilon} \\ &\quad \times \text{Tr} \left[\gamma_5 S_c^{ib}(y-x) \gamma_5 \tilde{S}_s^{jc}(-x) \gamma_5 \tilde{S}_s^{nj}(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \gamma_5 S_c^{mi}(x-y) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

The sum rule for the coupling $g_1(q^2)$ is derived using Borel transformations of invariant amplitudes $\tilde{\Pi}^{\text{Phys}}(p^2, p'^2, q^2)$ and $\tilde{\Pi}^{\text{OPE}}(p^2, p'^2, q^2)$ and reads

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(q^2) &= -\frac{4m_c m_s}{f m m_{\eta_c}^2 f_{\eta_c} h_s \cos \varphi} \frac{q^2 - m_{\eta_c}^2}{m^2 + m_{\eta_c}^2 - q^2} \\ &\quad \times e^{m^2/M_1^2} e^{m_{\eta_c}^2/M_2^2} \tilde{\Pi}(\mathbf{M}^2, \mathbf{s}_0, q^2). \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Here, $\tilde{\Pi}(\mathbf{M}^2, \mathbf{s}_0, q^2)$ is the Borel transformed and subtracted amplitude $\tilde{\Pi}^{\text{OPE}}(p^2, p'^2, q^2)$.

The coupling $g_1(q^2)$ is calculated using the following Borel and continuum threshold parameters in the η_c channel

$$M_2^2 \in [3, 4] \text{ GeV}^2, \quad s'_0 \in [9.5, 10.5] \text{ GeV}^2, \quad (47)$$

whereas as M_1^2 and s_0 for the X channel, we employ Eq. (15). The strong coupling g_1 is defined at the mass shell of the η' meson. The fit function $\mathcal{G}_1(Q^2)$ given by Eq. (29) has the parameters $\mathcal{G}_1^0 = 0.26 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$, $c_1^1 = 4.72$, and $c_1^2 = -3.52$. Relevant computations yield

$$g_1 \equiv \mathcal{G}_1(-m_{\eta'}^2) = (1.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1} \text{ GeV}^{-1}. \quad (48)$$

The partial width of this decay can be found by means of the formula Eq. (31), in which one should make substitutions $G \rightarrow g_1$, $m_{D_s}^2 \rightarrow m_{\eta_c}^2$ and $\lambda(m, m_{D_s}, m_{D_s}) \rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}(m, m_{\eta_c}, m_{\eta'})$. Then, for the process $X \rightarrow \eta_c \eta'$, we get

$$\Gamma[X \rightarrow \eta_c \eta'] = (3.0 \pm 0.7) \text{ MeV}. \quad (49)$$

Analysis of the decay $X \rightarrow \eta_c \eta$ can be performed in a similar way. Omitting further details, let us write down predictions obtained for key quantities. Thus, the strong coupling g_2 at the vertex $X \eta_c \eta$ is determined by the equality

$$g_2 \equiv |\mathcal{G}_2(-m_{\eta}^2)| = (1.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-1} \text{ GeV}^{-1}, \quad (50)$$

where parameters of the fit function are $\mathcal{G}_2^0 = -0.15 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$, $c_2^1 = 5.76$, and $c_2^2 = -4.44$. The partial width of the decay $X \rightarrow \eta_c \eta$ is

$$\Gamma[X \rightarrow \eta_c \eta] = (5.2 \pm 1.1) \text{ MeV}. \quad (51)$$

With this information at hands, it is not difficult to find the full width of the scalar tetraquark X

$$\Gamma_X = (42.2 \pm 8.3) \text{ MeV}. \quad (52)$$

This estimate is in excellent agreement with the LHCb data.

V. CONCLUDING NOTES

In this article, we have calculated spectral parameters of the scalar tetraquark X in the framework of the QCD two-point sum rule method. We evaluated also full width of X , by taking into account its decay modes $X \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^-$, $X \rightarrow \eta_c \eta'$ and $X \rightarrow \eta_c \eta$. Our result for the mass $m = (3976 \pm 85)$ MeV of the tetraquark X overshoots the corresponding LHCb datum, but is compatible with m_{exp} provided one takes into account corresponding theoretical and experimental errors. Our prediction for the full width $\Gamma_X = (42.2 \pm 8.3)$ MeV of X is in excellent agreement with Γ_{exp} from Eq. (1).

In Ref. [1] the LHCb Collaboration assumed that the resonance $X(3960)$ is composed of four $c\bar{c}s\bar{s}$ quarks. This assumption is relied on theoretical predictions of Ref. [10], in which the authors used QCD sum rule method and different interpolating currents to find mass spectra of diquark-antidiquark states $qc\bar{q}\bar{c}$ and $sc\bar{s}\bar{c}$ with $J^{\text{PC}} = 0^{++}$ and 2^{++} . Some of currents used there in-

deed led to estimations which are comparable with m_{exp} if one includes into consideration ambiguities of analysis.

In the context of the sum rule approach $X(3960)$ was modeled as a $D_s^+ D_s^-$ molecule state [18], as well. In accordance with this paper, the mass of such hadronic molecule is equal to (3980 ± 100) MeV being in agreement with the LHCb data. It is worth noting that in Refs. [10, 18] the authors did not investigate quantitatively widths of the diquark-antidiquark or molecule states considered there, which is crucial to draw a conclusion about inner organization of $X(3960)$.

The results for m and Γ_X obtained in the present article allow us to consider $X(3960)$ as a candidate to a scalar diquark-antidiquark exotic meson. At the same time, a molecule model for $X(3960)$ should be studied in a more detailed form: There is a necessity to evaluate full width of a molecule state. Only after such comprehensive analysis it is possible to make a choice between competing models.

-
- [1] The LHCb Collaboration, arXiv:2210.15153 [hep-ex].
 - [2] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 022003 (2017); R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **95**, 012002 (2017).
 - [3] T. Aaltonen *et al.* [CDF Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 242002 (2009).
 - [4] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **734**, 261 (2014).
 - [5] V. M. Abazov *et al.* [D0 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **89**, 012004 (2014).
 - [6] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 082001 (2021).
 - [7] J. Nieves, and M. P. Valderrama, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 056004 (2012).
 - [8] Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, 2874 (2014).
 - [9] R. F. Lebed, and A. D. Polosa, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 094024 (2016).
 - [10] W. Chen, H. X. Chen, X. Liu, T. G. Steele, and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **96**, 114017 (2017).
 - [11] L. Meng, B. Wang, and S. L. Zhu, Sci. Bull. **66**, 1288 (2021).
 - [12] S. S. Agaev, K. Azizi and H. Sundu, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 114003 (2017).
 - [13] H. Sundu, S. S. Agaev and K. Azizi, Phys. Rev. D **98**, 054021 (2018).
 - [14] S. S. Agaev, K. Azizi and H. Sundu, Phys. Rev. D **106**, 014025 (2022).
 - [15] C. Chen, and E. S. Norella, <https://indico.cern.ch/event/1176505/>
 - [16] M. Bayar, A. Feijoo, and E. Oset, arXiv:2207.08490 [hep-ph].
 - [17] T. Ji, X. K. Dong, M. Albaladejo, M. L. Du, F. K. Guo, and J. Nieves, arXiv:2207.08563 [hep-ph].
 - [18] Q. Xin, Z. G. Wang, and X. S. Yang, arXiv:2207.09910 [hep-ph].
 - [19] J. M. Xie, M. Z. Liu, and L. S. Geng, arXiv:2207.12178 [hep-ph].
 - [20] R. Chen, and Q. Huang, arXiv:2209.05180 [hep-ph].
 - [21] D. Guo, J. Z. Wang, D. Y. Chen, and X. Liu, arXiv:2210.16720 [hep-ph].
 - [22] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B **147**, 385 (1979).
 - [23] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B **147**, 448 (1979).
 - [24] R. L. Jaffe, Phys. Rept. **409**, 1 (2005).
 - [25] S. S. Agaev, K. Azizi and H. Sundu, Turk. J. Phys. **44**, 95 (2020).
 - [26] R. L. Workman *et al.* [Particle Data Group], Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2022**, 083C01 (2022).
 - [27] P. Colangelo, G. Nardulli and N. Paver, Z. Phys. C **57**, 43 (1993).
 - [28] T. Feldmann, P. Kroll, and B. Stech Phys. Rev. D **58**, 114006 (1998).
 - [29] S. S. Agaev, V. M. Braun, N. Offen, F. A. Porkert and A. Schäfer, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 074019 (2014).
 - [30] S. S. Agaev, K. Azizi and H. Sundu, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 116010 (2015).