

# SIMULTANEOUS LARGE VALUES AND DEPENDENCE OF DIRICHLET $L$ -FUNCTIONS IN THE CRITICAL STRIP

SHŌTA INOUE AND JUNXIAN LI

**ABSTRACT.** We consider the joint value distribution of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions in the critical strip  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ . We show that the values of distinct Dirichlet  $L$ -functions are *dependent* in the sense that they do not behave like independently distributed random variables and they prevent each other from obtaining large values. Nevertheless, we show that distinct Dirichlet  $L$ -functions can achieve large values *simultaneously* infinitely often.

## 1. Introduction and statements of results

Many problems in number theory revolve around determining the size of  $L$ -functions. Let  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ . Montgomery [13] conjectured for the Riemann zeta-function  $\zeta(s)$  that

$$\max_{t \in [T, 2T]} \log |\zeta(\sigma + it)| \asymp \frac{(\log T)^{1-\sigma}}{(\log \log T)^\sigma}. \quad (1.1)$$

Even under the Riemann Hypothesis we only know that  $\log \zeta(\sigma + it) \ll (\log t)^{2-2\sigma} / \log \log t$ , which is still far from the conjecture (1.1). However, we do know that the lower bound in (1.1) holds unconditionally from the work of Montgomery [13] using Diophantine approximation, which improved the previous work of Titchmarsh [16, Theorem 8.12]. Later Aistleitner [1] gave a different proof of the lower bound in (1.1) using the resonance method. We would expect that (1.1) holds for other  $L$ -functions as well, but both methods break down in establishing the lower bound in (1.1) when the coefficients of the  $L$ -function are not positive. For more general  $L$ -functions, the best known  $\Omega$ -result is due to Aistleitner-Pańkowski [2] where they showed that

$$\max_{t \in [T, 2T]} \log |L(\sigma + it)| \gg \frac{(\log T)^{1-\sigma}}{\log \log T}, \quad (1.2)$$

as long as  $L(s)$  satisfies some suitable assumptions. On the other hand, one could obtain the lower bound as in (1.1) for the Dedekind zeta-function  $\zeta_K(s)$  of a number field  $K$  due to the positivity of the coefficients in the Dirichlet series (see e.g. [3, 14]). The Dedekind zeta-function of a number field of degree greater than two can be factorized, for instance, when  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\eta_q)$  with  $\eta_q$  the primitive  $q$ -th root of unity we know that  $\zeta_K(s)$  can be factored as  $\prod_{\chi \pmod{q}} L(s, \chi)$ , where  $\chi$  runs over all Dirichlet characters modulo  $q$ . The fact that Dedekind zeta-functions can obtain large values shows that the product of certain  $L$ -functions can be large, and thus it is natural to ask if all of these  $L$ -functions can achieve large values *simultaneously* along vertical lines. The existence of simultaneous large values of general  $L$ -functions is an open problem mentioned in [12]. We give an affirmative answer to this question in the case of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11M06; Secondary 60B12.

*Key words and phrases.* simultaneous large values, dependence of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r$ , and let  $\boldsymbol{\chi} = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r)$  be an  $r$ -tuple of distinct primitive Dirichlet characters. There exists some constant  $c = c(\sigma, r) > 0$  such that for any  $T \geq T_0(\sigma, \boldsymbol{\chi}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$  sufficiently large we have*

$$\max_{t \in [T, 2T]} \min_{1 \leq j \leq r} \operatorname{Re} e^{-i\theta_j} \log L(\sigma + it, \chi_j) \geq c \frac{(\log T)^{1-\sigma}}{\log \log T}.$$

*Remark 1.* The lower bound agrees with the lower bound in (1.2) (except the constant  $c$ ) obtained by Aistleitner-Pańkowski for a single general  $L$ -function whose coefficients are not necessarily positive.

*Remark 2.* For comparison, we mention the recent work of Mahatab-Pańkowski-Vatwani [12] where they used Diophantine approximation to prove existence of joint large values of a class of  $L$ -functions in a small neighborhood: they showed that there exist  $t_1, \dots, t_r \in [T, 2T]$  such that

$$\operatorname{Re} e^{-i\theta_j} \log L_j(\sigma + it_j) \gg \frac{(\log T)^{1-\sigma}}{\log \log T}$$

with  $|t_i - t_j| \leq 2(\log T)^{(1+\sigma)/2}(\log \log T)^{1/2}$  when each of the  $L$ -functions  $L_j$  satisfies suitable assumptions.

To prove Theorem 1, we consider the joint value distribution of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions in the critical strip  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ . Let us recall the value distribution of the Riemann zeta-function. The study of the value distribution of  $\zeta(s)$  in the critical strip dates back to the work of Bohr-Jessen [4], where a continuous limiting distribution of values of  $\zeta(\sigma + it)$  in the case  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$  was established. Precisely, for any fixed  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ , there exists a probability measure  $P_\sigma$  on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$  such that for any fixed  $V \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\Psi(T, V) := \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas} \{t \in [T, 2T] : \log |\zeta(\sigma + it)| > V\} \sim P_\sigma((V, +\infty)) \text{ as } T \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (1.3)$$

However, the precise behavior of  $P_\sigma$  had not been determined until some 60 years later when Hattori-Matsumoto [7] first showed that for  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$

$$P_\sigma((V, +\infty)) = \exp \left( -A(\sigma) V^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} (\log V)^{\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}} (1 + o(1)) \right), \quad V \rightarrow +\infty \quad (1.4)$$

where  $A(\sigma)$  is defined by

$$A(\sigma) = \left( \frac{\sigma^{2\sigma}}{(1-\sigma)^{2\sigma-1} G(\sigma)^\sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}, \quad G(\sigma) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\log I_0(u)}{u^{1+\frac{1}{\sigma}}} du. \quad (1.5)$$

Here  $I_0(z) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^\pi \exp(z \cos \theta) d\theta = \sum_{n=0}^\infty (z/2)^{2n} / n!^2$  is the modified 0-th Bessel function. Later Lamzouri [9] gave an effective bound for the  $o(1)$  in (1.4) uniformly for  $V \ll \frac{(\log T)^{1-\sigma}}{\log \log T}$  by comparing  $\zeta(s)$  with its random Euler product model.

We would like to generalize (1.3) and (1.4) for the joint value distribution of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions. To do this, we introduce the following notation. Let  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ . For an  $r$ -tuple of Dirichlet characters  $\boldsymbol{\chi} = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r)$ ,  $\mathbf{V} = (V_1, \dots, V_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r$ , and  $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^r$  we denote

$$\Psi(T, \mathbf{V}, \boldsymbol{\chi}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) := \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas} \left\{ t \in [T, 2T] : \operatorname{Re} e^{-i\theta_j} \log L(\sigma + it, \chi_j) > V_j \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, r \right\}.$$

From [15, Theorem 12.1], we know that there exists a probability measure  $P_\sigma^\mathbf{X}$  on  $(\mathbb{R}^r, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^r))$  such that

$$\Psi(T, \mathbf{V}, \boldsymbol{\chi}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \sim P_\sigma^\mathbf{X}((V_1, \infty) \times \dots \times (V_r, \infty)), \quad T \rightarrow \infty.$$

The existence of the limiting distribution can be used to study the joint universality theorem (see e.g. [10]). We are interested in determining the behavior of  $P_\sigma^\chi$  when  $V_j \rightarrow \infty$ . To state the results, we define for  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^r$

$$\xi(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) := \frac{1}{\phi(d)} \sum_{u \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left| \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j e^{-i\theta_j} \chi_j(u) \right|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \quad (1.6)$$

with  $\phi$  the Euler totient function and  $d$  the least common multiple of the moduli of  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r$ . Throughout this paper, we denote the vector with all components being one by  $\mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{R}^r$  for some suitable positive integer  $r$  which should be clear from the content and so we omit it in this notation. We say that two Dirichlet characters  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  are equivalent denoted by  $\chi_1 \sim \chi_2$  if they are induced by the same primitive character.

We first state a result concerning the joint value distribution for two Dirichlet  $L$ -functions.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2)$  with  $\chi_1 \not\sim \chi_2$ . Then, there exists a positive constant  $a_1 = a_1(\sigma)$  such that for any large  $T, V$  satisfying  $V \leq a_1 \frac{(\log T)^{1-\sigma}}{\log \log T}$ , we have*

$$\Psi(T, \mathbf{V}, \chi, \theta) = \exp \left( -\tilde{\xi}(\sigma, \chi) A(\sigma) V^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} (\log V)^{\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}} \left( 1 + O_{\sigma, \chi, \theta} \left( \left( \frac{\log \log V}{\log V} \right)^{1-\sigma} \right) \right) \right)$$

where  $\mathbf{V} = (V, V)$  and

$$\tilde{\xi}(\sigma, \chi, \theta) = 2^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \left( \xi(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \mathbf{1}) \right)^{\frac{-\sigma}{1-\sigma}},$$

and  $\xi(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \mathbf{1})$  is defined in (1.6) and  $A(\sigma)$  is defined in (1.5).

The joint value distribution of  $L$ -functions on the critical line  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$  was considered by Bombieri-Hejhal [5], who showed that normalized values of  $L$ -functions satisfying certain assumptions behave like *independent* Gaussian distributed random variables. One may wonder if the independence still holds when we move into the critical strip away from the critical line. From work of Voronin [17] and Lee-Nakamura-Pańkowski [11], we know that different  $L$ -functions under suitable assumptions satisfy joint universality properties, which indicate some independence between these  $L$ -functions. However, we show that the “independence” breaks down when considering their value distributions and distinct Dirichlet  $L$ -functions “repel” each other in the sense that they prevent each other obtaining large values simultaneously.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2)$  with  $\chi_1 \not\sim \chi_2$ . Then  $\tilde{\xi}(\sigma, \chi, \theta) > 2$ .*

Note that from work of Lamzouri, we can infer that (1.3) still holds if one replace the Riemann zeta-function by any fixed Dirichlet  $L$ -function. Thus if the values of distinct Dirichlet  $L$ -functions behave like independent random variables, we would have  $\tilde{\xi}(\sigma, \chi, \theta) = 2$  contrary to Theorem 3.

The joint distribution for a generic set of  $r$ -tuples of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions is more complicated. We need to further introduce the arithmetic factors  $\Xi_j(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq r$ ,  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^r$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Xi_j(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) \\ &:= \frac{1}{\phi(d)} \sum_{u \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times}^* \left| \sum_{\ell=1}^r \alpha_\ell e^{-i\theta_\ell} \chi_\ell(u) \right|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-2} \operatorname{Re} e^{-i\theta_j} \chi_j(u) \sum_{k=1}^r \alpha_k e^{-i\theta_k} \chi_k(u), \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

where  $d$  is the least common multiple of the moduli of  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r$  and  $\sum_{u \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times}^*$  means the sum is over the irreducible residue class of  $d$  such that  $\sum_{\ell=1}^r \alpha_\ell e^{-i\theta_\ell} \chi_\ell(u) \neq 0$ . Note that we have the relation

$$\xi(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j \Xi_j(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha). \quad (1.8)$$

With this notation, we can state the result for the joint distribution of  $r$  Dirichlet  $L$ -functions.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^r$ , and let  $\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r)$  be an  $r$ -tuple of pairwise inequivalent Dirichlet characters. Suppose  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^r$  satisfies  $\Xi_j(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) > 0$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq r$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $a_1 = a_1(\sigma, \alpha)$  such that for any sufficiently large  $T$  and for  $\mathbf{V} = (\Xi_1(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha)V, \dots, \Xi_r(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha)V)$  with  $V$  sufficiently large satisfying  $V \leq a_1 \frac{(\log T)^{1-\sigma}}{\log \log T}$ , we have*

$$\Psi(T, \mathbf{V}, \chi, \theta) = \exp \left( -\xi(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) A(\sigma) V^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} (\log V)^{\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}} \left( 1 + O_{\sigma, \chi, \theta, \alpha} \left( \left( \frac{\log \log V}{\log V} \right)^{1-\sigma} \right) \right) \right).$$

*Remark 3.* Here the arithmetic factors  $\Xi_j(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha)$  appear naturally in the partial derivatives of the cumulant-generating function and we need their positivity when applying the saddle point method. To apply Theorem 4 unconditionally, we need to find  $\alpha$  such that  $\Xi_j(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) > 0$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , but the choice of  $\alpha$  depends highly on  $\chi, \theta$ , and  $\sigma$ . When  $r = 1, 2$  we can simply take  $\alpha = \mathbf{1}$ . However, the choice  $\alpha = \mathbf{1}$  does not work for all choices of  $\chi$  in the full range  $1/2 < \sigma < 1$ . For example, we can find 8 characters modulo 13 such that  $\Xi_j(\sigma, \chi, \mathbf{1}) < 0$  for some  $j$  when  $\sigma$  is close to 1. This is the reason that we introduce the parameter  $\alpha$  and our choice of  $\alpha$  is in Theorem 5 below.

*Remark 4.* Here we assume that  $\chi_i \not\sim \chi_j$  for all  $i \neq j$  since we allow  $\theta_j$  to be arbitrary. We could relax the conditions on  $\chi_j$  if we put more restrictions on  $\theta$ . For instance, if  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r$  is the set of all Dirichlet characters modulo  $d$  and  $\theta = (\theta, \dots, \theta)$ , then one can show that  $\Xi_j(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \mathbf{1}) > 0$  for all  $j$  and the conclusion in Theorem 4 still holds. (See the remark after Lemma 5.1.)

Next we give our choice of  $\alpha$  which can be used to verify the conditions in Theorem 4 as well as *dependence* of value distributions of distinct Dirichlet  $L$ -functions.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ ,  $r \geq 2$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^r$ , and let  $\chi$  be an  $r$ -tuple of pairwise inequivalent Dirichlet characters. For  $\alpha = (\alpha, 1, \dots, 1)$  with  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0(\sigma, r)$  sufficiently large depending only on  $\sigma$  and  $r$ , we have  $\Xi_j(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) > 0$  for all  $j$  and*

$$\xi(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) > \sum_{j=1}^r \Xi_j(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}.$$

*Remark 5.* If the values of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions behave like independently distributed random variables, then we would have  $\xi(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^r \Xi_j(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}$  contrary to Theorem 5.

To prove Theorem 4, we consider the joint value distribution for the corresponding Dirichlet polynomials. Define  $P_\chi(\sigma + it, X) = \sum_{p \leq X} \frac{\chi(p)}{p^{\sigma+it}}$  and  $\Psi(T, \mathbf{V}, X) = \Psi(T, \mathbf{V}, X; \chi, \theta)$  by

$$\Psi(T, \mathbf{V}, X) := \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ t \in [T, 2T] : \text{Re } e^{-i\theta_j} P_{\chi_j}(\sigma + it, X) > V_j \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, r \right\}.$$

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $L \geq 2$ , and let  $\sigma, \chi, \theta, \alpha$  be as in Theorem 4. There exists a positive constant  $a_2 = a_2(\sigma, L, \alpha)$  such that for any large numbers  $T, V, X = (\log T)^L$  with  $V \leq a_2 \frac{(\log T)^{1-\sigma}}{\log \log T}$ , we have*

$$\Psi(T, V, X) = \exp \left( -\xi(\sigma, \chi; \alpha) A(\sigma) V^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} (\log V)^{\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}} \left( 1 + O_{\sigma, \chi, \theta, \alpha} \left( \left( \frac{\log \log V}{\log V} \right)^{1-\sigma} \right) \right) \right)$$

for  $V = (\Xi_1(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha)V, \dots, \Xi_r(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha)V)$ .

To conclude the introduction, we give some heuristics on the *dependence* of the joint value distribution in the critical strip  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$  by comparing it with the situation on the critical line  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ . Roughly speaking, the value distribution of  $\log L(\sigma + it, \chi)$  can be compared with a truncated Dirichlet series over primes with random coefficients:  $\sum_{p \leq X} \frac{\chi(p)\mathcal{X}(p)}{p^{\sigma+it}}$  where  $\{\mathcal{X}(p)\}_p$  is a sequence of independent random variables uniformly distributed on the unit circle and  $X$  is certain parameter that will be chosen depending on the distribution of zeros close to the line  $\text{Re}(s) = \sigma$ . On the critical line  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ , we need a long Dirichlet polynomial (e.g with length  $X = t^{1/(\log_2 t)^{O(1)}}$ ) to determine the distribution of  $\log L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi)$ , since there are many zeros near the critical line and we need  $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \leq X} \frac{|\chi(p)|^2}{p} \sim \frac{1}{2} \log \log t$  to capture the variance. In this case, the orthogonality of Dirichlet characters is enough to conclude that the joint value distributions of different Dirichlet  $L$ -functions behave like independent random variables asymptotically. One of the key points of the independency comes from the following asymptotic formula:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \operatorname{Re} \sum_{p \leq X} \frac{\chi_j(p)\mathcal{X}(p)}{p^{1/2}} \right)^2 \right] \sim \sum_{j=1}^r z_j^2 \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \operatorname{Re} \sum_{p \leq X} \frac{\chi_j(p)\mathcal{X}(p)}{p^{1/2}} \right)^2 \right]$$

which holds for any  $z_1, \dots, z_r \in \mathbb{C}$  as  $X \rightarrow \infty$ . When  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$  we only need a short Dirichlet polynomial (e.g with length (e.g.  $X \leq (\log t)^{O(1)}$ ) to determine the distribution of  $\log L(\sigma + it, \chi)$ , since there are fewer zeros close to the line  $\text{Re } s = \sigma$  and the variance  $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \leq X} \frac{|\chi(p)|^2}{p^{2\sigma}}$  converges. In contrast to the case of the critical line, for example, we can find some  $z_1, \dots, z_r \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \operatorname{Re} \sum_{p \leq X} \frac{\chi_j(p)\mathcal{X}(p)}{p^\sigma} \right)^2 \right] \not\sim \sum_{j=1}^r z_j^2 \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \operatorname{Re} \sum_{p \leq X} \frac{\chi_j(p)\mathcal{X}(p)}{p^\sigma} \right)^2 \right]$$

as  $X \rightarrow \infty$  when  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ , which then prevent distinct Dirichlet  $L$ -functions from being independent. The choice for  $z_1, \dots, z_r$  is related to the choice of  $\alpha$  in Theorem 5.

## 2. Preliminaries

From now on, we use  $\{\mathcal{X}(p)\}_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$  to denote a sequence of independent random variables on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$  uniformly distributed on the unit circle in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $T \geq 5$ . Let  $\{a(p)\}$  be a complex sequence over prime numbers. For any  $X \geq 3$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  such that  $X^k \leq T$ , we have*

$$\int_T^{2T} \left| \sum_{p \leq X} \frac{a(p)}{p^{it}} \right|^{2k} dt \ll Tk! \left( \sum_{p \leq X} |a(p)|^2 \right)^k, \quad (2.1)$$

and for any  $X \geq 3$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \sum_{p \leq X} a(p) \mathcal{X}(p) \right|^{2k} \right] \leq k! \left( \sum_{p \leq X} |a(p)|^2 \right)^k. \quad (2.2)$$

Here, the above sums run over prime numbers, and  $\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$  is the expectation.

*Proof.* This follows from [8, Lemma 3.6] and [8, Lemma 4.3].  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\{b_1(m)\}, \dots, \{b_n(m)\}$  be complex sequences. For any  $q_1, \dots, q_s$  distinct prime numbers and any  $k_{1,1}, \dots, k_{1,n}, \dots, k_{s,1}, \dots, k_{s,n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{T} \int_T^{2T} \prod_{\ell=1}^s \left( \operatorname{Re} b_1(q_\ell^{k_{1,\ell}}) q_\ell^{-itk_{1,\ell}} \right) \cdots \left( \operatorname{Re} b_n(q_\ell^{k_{n,\ell}}) q_\ell^{-itk_{n,\ell}} \right) dt \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{\ell=1}^s \left( \operatorname{Re} b_1(q_\ell^{k_{1,\ell}}) \mathcal{X}(q_\ell^{k_{1,\ell}}) \right) \cdots \left( \operatorname{Re} b_n(q_\ell^{k_{n,\ell}}) \mathcal{X}(q_\ell^{k_{n,\ell}}) \right) \right] + O \left( \frac{1}{T} \prod_{\ell=1}^s \prod_{j=1}^n q_\ell^{k_{j,\ell}} |b_j(q_\ell^{k_{j,\ell}})| \right). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* This is [8, Lemma 4.2].  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $\chi$  be a Dirichlet character modulo  $q$ . Let  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ . There exist positive constants  $\delta_\chi$ ,  $A = A(\sigma, \chi)$  such that for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ ,  $3 \leq X \leq T^{1/k}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{T} \int_T^{2T} \left| \log L(\sigma + it, \chi) - \sum_{2 \leq n \leq X} \frac{\Lambda(n) \chi^*(n)}{n^{\sigma+it} \log n} - \sum_{p|q} \log \left( 1 - \frac{\chi^*(p)}{p^{\sigma+it}} \right) \right|^{2k} dt \\ & \leq A^k k^{4k} T^{\delta_\chi(1-2\sigma)} + A^k k^k \left( \frac{X^{1-2\sigma}}{\log X} \right)^k. \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\Lambda(n)$  is the von Mangoldt function, and  $\chi^*$  is the primitive character that induces  $\chi$ .

*Proof.* We consider the case when  $\chi$  is primitive first. In this case we know that  $L(s, \chi)$  belongs to the Selberg class, satisfies a strong zero density estimate (see [6, Lemma 1]), and  $\sum_{p|q} \log \left( 1 - \frac{\chi^*(p)}{p^s} \right) = 0$ . Hence, we derive from [8, Proposition 3.3] that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{T} \int_T^{2T} \left| \log L(\sigma + it, \chi) - \sum_{2 \leq n \leq X} \frac{\Lambda(n) \chi(n)}{n^{\sigma+it} \log n} \right|^{2k} dt \\ & \leq A^k k^{4k} T^{\delta_\chi(1-2\sigma)} + A^k k^k \left( \sum_{X < p \leq T^{1/k}} \frac{|\chi(p)|^2}{p^{2\sigma}} \right)^k \end{aligned}$$

for some constants  $\delta_\chi > 0$ ,  $A = A(\sigma, \chi) > 0$ . Using the prime number theorem and partial summation, we see that

$$\sum_{X < p \leq Y} \frac{|\chi(p)|^2}{p^{2\sigma}} \leq \sum_{p > X} \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma}} \ll_\sigma \frac{X^{1-2\sigma}}{\log X},$$

which completes the proof in the case of primitive characters.

When  $\chi$  is imprimitive, we use the formula

$$\log L(s, \chi) = \log L(s, \chi^*) + \sum_{p|q} \log \left( 1 - \frac{\chi^*(p)}{p^s} \right),$$

which together with the primitive case completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3. Approximate formulae for moment generating functions

In this section, we give an approximate formula for moment generating functions of an  $r$ -tuple of Dirichlet polynomials supported on primes, in analogue to [8, Section 4.1]. Throughout this section, we suppose that  $\mathbf{a}(p) = (a_1(p), \dots, a_r(p))$  is an  $r$ -tuple of bounded sequences supported on prime numbers. We define  $\|\cdot\|$  stands for the maximum norm, that is  $\|\mathbf{z}\| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq r} |z_j|$  if  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_r) \in \mathbb{C}^r$ . We also write  $\|a_j\|_\infty := \sup_p |a_j(p)|$  and  $\|\mathbf{a}\| = \|(\|a_1\|_\infty, \dots, \|a_r\|_\infty)\|$ . For every  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_r) \in \mathbb{C}^r$ ,  $\sigma, t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and any prime number  $p$ , we define

$$K_{\mathbf{a}}(p, \mathbf{z}) := \sum_{j=1}^r z_j a_j(p) \sum_{k=1}^r z_k \overline{a_k(p)},$$

and

$$P_j(\sigma + it, X) := \sum_{p \leq X} \frac{a_j(p)}{p^{\sigma+it}}.$$

To compute the moment generating function of  $(P_j(\sigma + it, X))_{j=1}^r$ , we work on a subset  $\mathcal{A}$  where the Dirichlet polynomials do not obtain large values. Precisely, let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(T, X, \mathbf{a})$  be

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigcap_{j=1}^r \left\{ t \in [T, 2T] : |P_j(\sigma + it, X)| \leq \frac{(\log T)^{1-\sigma}}{\log \log T} \right\}. \quad (3.1)$$

We first show that the measure of  $\mathcal{A}$  is close to  $T$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $L \geq 1$ . Let  $T, X$  be large numbers with  $X \leq (\log T)^L$ . Then, there exists a positive number  $b_0 = b_0(\sigma, L, \|\mathbf{a}\|, r)$  such that*

$$\frac{1}{T} \text{meas}([T, 2T] \setminus \mathcal{A}) \leq \exp \left( -b_0 \frac{\log T}{\log \log T} \right).$$

*Proof.* Let  $k$  be a positive integer. If  $X \leq k \log 2k$ , then we see that

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left| \sum_{p \leq X} \frac{a_j(p)}{p^{\sigma+it}} \right|^{2k} dt \ll \left( \sum_{p \leq k \log 2k} \frac{a_j(p)}{p^\sigma} \right)^{2k} \ll \left( \frac{C_1 k^{1-\sigma}}{(\log 2k)^\sigma} \right)^{2k}$$

for some constant  $C_1 > 0$  depending on  $\sigma$  and  $\|a_j\|_\infty$ . Suppose that the inequality  $k \log 2k < X$  holds. We then write

$$\int_T^{2T} |P_j(\sigma + it, X)|^{2k} dt \leq 4^k \left( \int_T^{2T} \left| \sum_{p \leq k \log 2k} \frac{a_j(p)}{p^{\sigma+it}} \right|^{2k} dt + \int_T^{2T} \left| \sum_{k \log 2k < p \leq X} \frac{a_j(p)}{p^{\sigma+it}} \right|^{2k} dt \right).$$



By estimate (2.1) and the prime number theorem, it holds that, for  $1 \leq k \leq \frac{\log T}{L \log \log T}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_T^{2T} \left| \sum_{k \log 2k < p \leq X} \frac{a_j(p)}{p^{\sigma+it}} \right|^{2k} dt \ll k! \left( \sum_{k \log 2k < p \leq X} \frac{|a_j(p)|^2}{p^{2\sigma}} \right)^k \ll \left( \frac{C_2 k^{1-\sigma}}{(\log 2k)^\sigma} \right)^{2k},$$

where  $C_2$  is a positive constant which may depend on  $\sigma$  and  $\|a_j\|_\infty$ . Furthermore, by the prime number theorem it follows that

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_T^{2T} \left| \sum_{p \leq k \log 2k} \frac{a_j(p)}{p^{\sigma+it}} \right|^{2k} dt \ll \left( \sum_{p \leq k \log 2k} \frac{|a_j(p)|}{p^\sigma} \right)^{2k} \ll \left( \frac{C_3 k^{1-\sigma}}{(\log 2k)^\sigma} \right)^{2k}$$

for some positive constant  $C_3$  which may depend on  $\sigma$  and  $\|a_j\|_\infty$ . Hence, we have

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_T^{2T} |P_j(\sigma + it, X)|^{2k} dt \ll \left( \frac{B_j k^{1-\sigma}}{(\log 2k)^\sigma} \right)^{2k} \quad (3.2)$$

for any  $1 \leq k \leq \frac{\log T}{L \log \log T}$ . Here  $B_j = B(a_j, \sigma) := \max_{1 \leq i \leq 3} C_i$ . Therefore, there exist positive constants  $B_j = B_j(\sigma, \|a_j\|_\infty)$  such that

$$\frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ t \in [T, 2T] : |P_j(\sigma + it, X)| > \frac{(\log T)^{1-\sigma}}{\log \log T} \right\} \leq \left( B_j \frac{k^{1-\sigma} \log \log T}{(\log 2k)^\sigma (\log T)^{1-\sigma}} \right)^{2k}$$

holds for any  $2 \leq k \leq \frac{\log T}{L \log \log T}$ . Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas}([T, 2T] \setminus \mathcal{A}) &\leq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{j=1}^r \text{meas} \left\{ t \in [T, 2T] : |P_j(\sigma + it, X)| > \frac{(\log T)^{1-\sigma}}{\log \log T} \right\} \\ &\leq \left( B \frac{k^{1-\sigma} \log \log T}{(\log k)^\sigma (\log T)^{1-\sigma}} \right)^{2k}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $B = r \cdot \max_{1 \leq j \leq r} B_j$ . By choosing  $k = [c \log T / \log \log T]$  for a suitably small constant  $c = c(\sigma, L, \|\mathbf{a}\|, r)$ , we complete the proof.  $\square$

Next we compute the moment generating function of  $(P_j(\sigma + it, X))_{j=1}^r$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ ,  $L \geq 1$  be fixed. There exists a positive constant  $b_1 = b_1(\sigma, L, \|\mathbf{a}\|, r)$  such that for large  $T$ ,  $X = (\log T)^L$ , and  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_r) \in \mathbb{C}^r$  with  $\|\mathbf{z}\| \leq b_1(\log T)^\sigma$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{T} \int_{\mathcal{A}} \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \text{Re } P_j(\sigma + it, X) \right) dt \\ &= \prod_{p \leq X} I_0 \left( \sqrt{K_{\mathbf{a}}(p, \mathbf{z}) / p^{2\sigma}} \right) + O \left( \exp \left( -b_1 \frac{\log T}{\log \log T} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $I_0(z)$  is the 0-th modified Bessel function.

To prove Proposition 2, we require two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.2.** *For every  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , define*

$$P_j(\sigma, \mathcal{X}, X) = \sum_{p \leq X} \frac{a_j(p) \mathcal{X}(p)}{p^\sigma}. \quad (3.3)$$



Then there exists a positive constant  $C = C(\sigma, \|a_j\|_\infty)$  such that for any  $X \geq 3$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ |P_j(\sigma, \mathcal{X}, X)|^k \right] \leq \left( \frac{Ck^{1-\sigma}}{(\log 2k)^\sigma} \right)^k.$$

*Proof.* Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ |P_j(\sigma, \mathcal{X}, X)|^k \right] \leq \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ |P_j(\sigma, \mathcal{X}, X)|^{2k} \right] \right)^{1/2}.$$

Using (2.2) instead of (2.1) in the proof of (3.2), we can show that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ |P_j(\sigma, \mathcal{X}, X)|^{2k} \right] \leq \left( \frac{Ck^{1-\sigma}}{(\log 2k)^\sigma} \right)^{2k}$$

for any  $X \geq 3$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** For any  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_r) \in \mathbb{C}^r$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \operatorname{Re} \frac{a_j(p)}{p^\sigma} \mathcal{X}(p) \right) \right] = I_0(\sqrt{K_{\mathbf{a}}(p, \mathbf{z})/p^{2\sigma}}).$$

*Proof.* By the Taylor expansion of  $\exp(\cdot)$  and the identity  $\operatorname{Re} w = \frac{w+\overline{w}}{2}$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \operatorname{Re} \frac{a_j(p)}{p^\sigma} \mathcal{X}(p) \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n p^{n\sigma} n!} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \left( a_j(p) \mathcal{X}(p) + \overline{a_j(p) \mathcal{X}(p)} \right) \right)^n \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using the binomial expansion, we find that

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \left( a_j(p) \mathcal{X}(p) + \overline{a_j(p) \mathcal{X}(p)} \right) \right)^n = \sum_{\ell=0}^n \binom{n}{\ell} \left( \sum_{j=1}^r z_j a_j(p) \right)^\ell \left( \sum_{k=1}^r z_k \overline{a_k(p)} \right)^{n-\ell} \mathcal{X}(p)^{2\ell-n}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{X}(p)$  is uniformly distributed on the unit circle in  $\mathbb{C}$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E} [\mathcal{X}(p)^a] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \operatorname{Re} \frac{a_j(p)}{p^\sigma} \mathcal{X}(p) \right) \right] &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{2n} p^{2n\sigma} (2n)!} \binom{2n}{n} K_{\mathbf{a}}(p, \mathbf{z})^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \left( \sqrt{K_{\mathbf{a}}(p, \mathbf{z})/p^{2\sigma}}/2 \right)^{2n} = I_0(\sqrt{K_{\mathbf{a}}(p, \mathbf{z})/p^{2\sigma}}). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

*Proof of Proposition 2.* Let  $L \geq 1$  be fixed and  $T$ ,  $X$ , and  $Y$  be large numbers such that  $X \leq (\log T)^L$  and  $Y = \frac{\log T}{4L \log \log T}$ . Let  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_r) \in \mathbb{C}^r$  with  $\|\mathbf{z}\| \leq b_1(\log T)^\sigma$ , where  $b_1 = b_1(\sigma, L, \|\mathbf{a}\|, r)$  is a suitably small constant to be chosen later. From (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{T} \int_{\mathcal{A}} \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \operatorname{Re} P_j(\sigma + it, X) \right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq Y} \frac{1}{k!} \int_{\mathcal{A}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \operatorname{Re} P_j(\sigma + it, X) \right)^k dt + O \left( \sum_{k > Y} \frac{1}{k!} \left( r \|\mathbf{z}\| \frac{(\log T)^{1-\sigma}}{\log \log T} \right)^k \right). \end{aligned}$$

From the choice of  $Y$  and the bound for  $\|\mathbf{z}\|$ , we see that this  $O$ -term is bounded by  $\ll \exp(-\log T / \log \log T)$ . Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{T} \int_{\mathcal{A}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \operatorname{Re} P_j(\sigma + it, X) \right)^k dt \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \int_T^{2T} \left( \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \operatorname{Re} P_j(\sigma + it, X) \right)^k dt \\ &+ O \left( \frac{1}{T} (\operatorname{meas}([T, 2T] \setminus \mathcal{A}))^{1/2} \left( \int_T^{2T} \left| \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \operatorname{Re} P_j(\sigma + it, X) \right|^{2k} dt \right)^{1/2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1, estimate (3.2), and the bound for  $\|\mathbf{z}\|$ , this  $O$ -term is

$$\begin{aligned} & \ll \exp \left( -\frac{b_0}{2} \frac{\log T}{\log \log T} \right) \left( C_1 b_1 (\log T)^\sigma \frac{k^{1-\sigma}}{(\log 2k)^\sigma} \right)^k \\ & \ll \exp \left( -\frac{b_0}{2} \frac{\log T}{\log \log T} \right) \left( 2C_1 b_1 \frac{\log T}{\log \log T} \right)^k \end{aligned}$$

for  $0 \leq k \leq Y$ , where  $C_1 = C_1(\sigma, \|\mathbf{a}\|) > 0$  is a positive constant. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{T} \int_{\mathcal{A}} \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \operatorname{Re} P_j(\sigma + it, X) \right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq Y} \frac{1}{k!} \int_T^{2T} \left( \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \operatorname{Re} P_j(\sigma + it, X) \right)^k dt \\ &+ O \left( \exp \left( -\frac{b_0}{2} \frac{\log T}{\log \log T} \right) \sum_{0 \leq k \leq Y} \frac{1}{k!} \left( 2C_1 b_1 \frac{\log T}{\log \log T} \right)^k \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

This  $O$ -term is

$$\ll \exp \left( -\frac{b_0}{2} \frac{\log T}{\log \log T} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left( 2C_1 b_1 \frac{\log T}{\log \log T} \right)^k = \exp \left( -\left( \frac{b_0}{2} - 2C_1 b_1 \right) \frac{\log T}{\log \log T} \right).$$

Hence the  $O$ -term on the right hand side of (3.4) is  $\ll \exp \left( -\frac{b_0}{4} \frac{\log T}{\log \log T} \right)$  when  $b_1$  is sufficiently small.

Next, we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_T^{2T} \left( \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \operatorname{Re} P_j(\sigma + it, X) \right)^k dt \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_k \leq r} z_{j_1} \cdots z_{j_k} \sum_{p_1, \dots, p_k \leq X} \frac{1}{(p_1 \cdots p_k)^\sigma} \int_T^{2T} (\operatorname{Re} a_{j_1}(p_1) p_1^{-it}) \cdots (\operatorname{Re} a_{j_k}(p_k) p_k^{-it}) dt. \end{aligned}$$

From this equation and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{T} \int_T^{2T} \left( \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \operatorname{Re} P_j(\sigma + it, X) \right)^k dt \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \operatorname{Re} P_j(\sigma, \mathcal{X}, X) \right)^k \right] \\ &+ O \left( \frac{1}{T} \sum_{1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_k \leq r} |z_{j_1} \cdots z_{j_k}| \sum_{p_1^{\ell_1}, \dots, p_k^{\ell_k} \leq X} |a_{j_1}(p_1) \cdots a_{j_k}(p_k)| (p_1 \cdots p_k)^{1-\sigma} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $P_j(\sigma, \mathcal{X}, X)$  is defined by (3.3). Additionally, we see that this  $O$ -term is

$$\ll \frac{1}{T} \left( \sum_{j=1}^r |z_j| \sum_{p \leq X} |a_j(p)| p^{1-\sigma} \right)^k \leq \frac{(C \|z\| X^2)^k}{T} \leq \frac{C^{2k}}{T^{1/3}} \leq \exp \left( -\frac{\log T}{\log \log T} \right)$$

for  $0 \leq k \leq Y$  when  $T$  is sufficiently large. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{T} \int_{\mathcal{A}} \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \operatorname{Re} P_j(\sigma + it, X) \right) dt \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq Y} \frac{1}{k!} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \operatorname{Re} P_j(\sigma, \mathcal{X}, X) \right)^k \right] + O \left( \exp \left( -\frac{b_0}{4} \frac{\log T}{\log \log T} \right) \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \operatorname{Re} P_j(\sigma, \mathcal{X}, X) \right) \right] - \sum_{k > Y} \frac{1}{k!} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \operatorname{Re} P_j(\sigma, \mathcal{X}, X) \right)^k \right] \\ &+ O \left( \exp \left( -\frac{b_0}{4} \frac{\log T}{\log \log T} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.2 and the bound for  $\|z\|$ , we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \operatorname{Re} P_j(\sigma, \mathcal{X}, X) \right|^k \right] \leq b_1^k (\log T)^{\sigma k} \left( \frac{C k^{1-\sigma}}{(\log 2k)^\sigma} \right)^k$$

for some constant  $C = C(\mathbf{a}, \sigma) > 0$ . Hence it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k>Y} \frac{1}{k!} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \operatorname{Re} P_j(\sigma, \mathcal{X}, X) \right)^k \right] \right| &\leq \sum_{k>Y} \frac{(Cb_1(\log T)^\sigma)^k}{(k \log k)^{\sigma k}} \leq \sum_{k>Y} e^{-k} \\ &\ll \exp \left( -\frac{\log T}{4L \log \log T} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We find from these that for any  $\|\mathbf{z}\| \leq b_1(\log T)^\sigma$  with  $b = b_1(\sigma, L, \|\mathbf{a}\|, r) > 0$  sufficiently small

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{T} \int_{\mathcal{A}} \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \operatorname{Re} P_j(\sigma + it, X) \right) dt \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \operatorname{Re} P_j(\sigma, \mathcal{X}, X) \right) \right] + O \left( \exp \left( -b \frac{\log T}{\log \log T} \right) \right) \\ &= \prod_{p \leq X} \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \operatorname{Re} \frac{a_j(p)}{p^\sigma} \mathcal{X}(p) \right) \right] + O \left( \exp \left( -b \frac{\log T}{\log \log T} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

by using the independence of  $\mathcal{X}(p)$ . By this equation and Lemma 3.3, we complete the proof of Proposition 2.  $\square$

#### 4. Distribution of Dirichlet polynomials in the strip $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$

Let  $\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r)$  be an  $r$ -tuple of Dirichlet characters, and  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^r$ . For  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r$  define

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\chi, \theta}(n, \mathbf{x}) &:= \left| \sum_{j=1}^r x_j e^{-i\theta_j} \chi_j(n) \right|^2, \\ F_{\chi, \theta, \sigma}(\mathbf{x}) &:= \frac{1}{\phi(d)} \sum_{u \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times} K_{\chi, \theta}(u, \mathbf{x})^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

We evaluate the main term in Proposition 2 for  $K_{\chi, \theta}(p, \mathbf{x})$  in this section.

**Proposition 3.** *Under the notation above, for any  $X \geq 30$ ,  $3 \leq x_j \leq X^{\frac{2\sigma}{3}}$ , we have*

$$\prod_{p \leq X} I_0 \left( \sqrt{K_{\chi, \theta}(p, \mathbf{x}) / p^{2\sigma}} \right) = \exp \left( \frac{G(\sigma)}{\log \|\mathbf{x}\|} \left( F_{\chi, \theta, \sigma}(\mathbf{x}) + O_{\sigma, \chi} \left( \frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \log \log \|\mathbf{x}\|}{\log \|\mathbf{x}\|} \right) \right) \right),$$

where  $G(\sigma)$  is defined in (1.5).

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $d, u \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  with  $(d, u) = 1$ . Let  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$  be fixed. Denote*

$$B(x, X; u, d) := \sum_{\substack{p \leq X \\ p \equiv u \pmod{d}}} \log I_0 \left( \frac{x}{p^\sigma} \right).$$

For  $X \geq 3$  and  $0 \leq x \leq X^{\frac{2\sigma}{3}}$ , we have

$$B(x, X; u, d) = \frac{G(\sigma) x^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{\phi(d) \log(x+2)} \left( 1 + O_{d, \sigma} \left( \frac{1}{\log(x+2)} \right) \right).$$

*Proof.* Using the Taylor expansion of  $I_0$  we find that for  $0 \leq x \leq 2$

$$B(x, X; u, d) \ll \sum_{p \leq X} \frac{x^2}{p^{2\sigma}} \ll_{\sigma} x^2 \ll \frac{x^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{(\log(x+2))^2},$$

which proves the lemma when  $0 \leq x \leq 2$ . From now on, we assume that  $x \geq 2$ . Let  $y_0, y_1$  be some parameters to be chosen later. We split the range of  $p \leq X$  into three ranges

$$B(x, X; u, d) = \left( \sum_{\substack{p \leq y_0 \\ p \equiv u \pmod{d}}} + \sum_{\substack{y_1 < p \leq X \\ p \equiv u \pmod{d}}} + \sum_{\substack{y_0 < p \leq y_1 \\ p \equiv u \pmod{d}}} \right) \log I_0 \left( \frac{x}{p^{\sigma}} \right) =: S_1 + S_2 + S_3.$$

From the prime number theorem in arithmetic progressions we have

$$A(y; u, d) := \sum_{\substack{p \leq y \\ p \equiv u \pmod{d}}} 1 = \frac{\text{li}(y)}{\phi(d)} + O_d \left( y \exp \left( -c\sqrt{\log y} \right) \right) \quad (4.2)$$

where  $\text{li}(y) := \int_2^y \frac{du}{\log u}$ . By partial summation, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} S_3 &= - \int_{y_0}^{y_1} A(\xi; u, d) \left( \frac{d}{d\xi} \log I_0 \left( \frac{x}{\xi^{\sigma}} \right) \right) d\xi + A(y_1; u, d) \log I_0 \left( \frac{x}{y_1^{\sigma}} \right) \\ &\quad - A(y_0; u, d) \log I_0 \left( \frac{x}{y_0^{\sigma}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

By equation (4.2), the integral on the right hand side is equal to

$$- \frac{1}{\phi(d)} \int_{y_0}^{y_1} \text{li}(\xi) \left( \frac{d}{d\xi} \log I_0 \left( \frac{x}{\xi^{\sigma}} \right) \right) d\xi + O_d \left( \int_{y_0}^{y_1} \xi e^{-c\sqrt{\log \xi}} \left( \frac{d}{d\xi} \log I_0 \left( \frac{x}{\xi^{\sigma}} \right) \right) d\xi \right).$$

Note that we used the monotonicity of  $I_0$  in the above deformation. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} &- \int_{y_0}^{y_1} \text{li}(\xi) \left( \frac{d}{d\xi} \log I_0 \left( \frac{x}{\xi^{\sigma}} \right) \right) d\xi \\ &= - \text{li}(y_1) \log I_0 \left( \frac{x}{y_1^{\sigma}} \right) + \text{li}(y_0) \log I_0 \left( \frac{x}{y_0^{\sigma}} \right) + \int_{y_0}^{y_1} \frac{\log I_0 \left( \frac{x}{\xi^{\sigma}} \right)}{\log \xi} d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{y_0}^{y_1} \xi e^{-c\sqrt{\log \xi}} \left( \frac{d}{d\xi} \log I_0 \left( \frac{x}{\xi^{\sigma}} \right) \right) d\xi \\ &\ll y_1 e^{-c\sqrt{\log y_1}} \log I_0 \left( \frac{x}{y_1^{\sigma}} \right) + y_0 e^{-c\sqrt{\log y_0}} \log I_0 \left( \frac{x}{y_0^{\sigma}} \right) + \int_{y_0}^{y_1} e^{-c\sqrt{\log \xi}} \log I_0 \left( \frac{x}{\xi^{\sigma}} \right) d\xi \\ &\ll x^2 y_1^{1-2\sigma} e^{-c\sqrt{\log y_1}} + x y_0^{1-\sigma} e^{-c\sqrt{\log y_0}}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above estimates to (4.3) and using (4.2), we obtain

$$S_3 = \frac{1}{\phi(d)} \int_{y_0}^{y_1} \frac{\log I_0 \left( \frac{x}{\xi^{\sigma}} \right)}{\log \xi} d\xi + O_d \left( x^2 y_1^{1-2\sigma} e^{-c\sqrt{\log y_1}} + x y_0^{1-\sigma} e^{-c\sqrt{\log y_0}} \right).$$

By making the change of variables  $u = \frac{x}{\xi^\sigma}$ , we have

$$\int_{y_0}^{y_1} \frac{\log I_0\left(\frac{x}{\xi^\sigma}\right)}{\log \xi} d\xi = x^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \int_{x/y_1^\sigma}^{x/y_0^\sigma} \frac{\log I_0(u)}{u^{1+\frac{1}{\sigma}} \log(x/u)} du.$$

We have that  $\frac{1}{\log(x/u)} = \frac{1}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{|\log u|}{(\log x)^2}\right)$  for  $x^{-1/2} \leq u \leq x^{1/2}$ . Therefore, by choosing  $y_0 = x^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}}$ ,  $y_1 = x^{\frac{3}{2\sigma}}$  we find that the right hand side above is equal to

$$\frac{x^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{\log x} \int_{x/y_1^\sigma}^{x/y_0^\sigma} \frac{\log I_0(u)}{u^{1+\frac{1}{\sigma}}} du + O\left(\frac{x^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{(\log x)^2} \int_{x/y_1^\sigma}^{x/y_0^\sigma} \frac{\log I_0(u) |\log u|}{u^{1+\frac{1}{\sigma}}} du\right). \quad (4.4)$$

Moreover, we find that the main term of (4.4) is equal to

$$\frac{x^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{\log x} \int_0^\infty \frac{\log I_0(u)}{u^{1+\frac{1}{\sigma}}} du + O_\sigma\left(\frac{x^{1/\sigma}}{\log x} \left(\left(\frac{x}{y_1^\sigma}\right)^{\frac{2\sigma-1}{\sigma}} + \left(\frac{x}{y_0^\sigma}\right)^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}}\right)\right) = \frac{G(\sigma)x^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{\log x} + O_\sigma\left(\frac{x^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{(\log x)^2}\right),$$

and that the  $O$ -term of (4.4) is

$$\ll \frac{x^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{(\log x)^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{\log I_0(u) |\log u|}{u^{1+\frac{1}{\sigma}}} du \ll_\sigma \frac{x^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{(\log x)^2}.$$

Hence, we have

$$S_3 = \frac{G(\sigma)x^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{\phi(d)\log x} \left(1 + O_{d,\sigma}\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)\right).$$

We also find that

$$S_1 \leq \sum_{p \leq y_0} \frac{x}{p^\sigma} \ll x^{\frac{1+\sigma}{2\sigma}} \ll_\sigma \frac{x^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{(\log x)^2}$$

by the inequality  $I_0(x/p^\sigma) \leq \exp(x/p^\sigma)$ , and that

$$S_2 \ll \sum_{p > y_1} \frac{x^2}{p^{2\sigma}} \ll_\sigma \frac{x^2}{y_1^{2\sigma-1}} \ll_\sigma \frac{x^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{(\log x)^2}$$

by using the Taylor expansion of  $I_0$ . Thus, we obtain Lemma 4.1.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 3.* Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_r) \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 3})^r$ , and  $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^r$ . When  $\chi_j$  is a Dirichlet character modulo  $q_j$ , we take  $d = \text{lcm}(q_1, \dots, q_r)$  and split the sum over  $p$  into residue classes modulo  $d$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p \leq X} \log I_0\left(\sqrt{K_{\chi, \boldsymbol{\theta}}(p, \mathbf{x})/p^{2\sigma}}\right) \\ &= \sum_{u \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times} \sum_{\substack{p \leq X \\ p \equiv u \pmod{d}}} \log I_0\left(\sqrt{K_{\chi, \boldsymbol{\theta}}(u, \mathbf{x})/p^{2\sigma}}\right) + \sum_{\substack{p \leq X \\ p|d}} \log I_0\left(\sqrt{K_{\chi, \boldsymbol{\theta}}(p, \mathbf{x})/p^{2\sigma}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|\log I_0(x)| \leq x$  and  $|K_{\chi, \boldsymbol{\theta}}(p, \mathbf{x})| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|^2$  it holds that

$$\sum_{p|d} \log I_0\left(\sqrt{K_{\chi, \boldsymbol{\theta}}(u, \mathbf{x})/p^{2\sigma}}\right) \leq \sum_{p|d} \frac{1}{p^\sigma} \|\mathbf{x}\| \ll_{\chi} \|\mathbf{x}\|.$$

By Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{p \leq X \\ p \equiv u \pmod{d}}} \log I_0 \left( \sqrt{K_{\chi, \theta}(u, \mathbf{x})/p^{2\sigma}} \right) \\ &= \frac{G(\sigma) K_{\chi, \theta}(u, \mathbf{x})^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}}}{\phi(d) \log(K_{\chi, \theta}(u, \mathbf{x})^{1/2} + 2)} \left( 1 + O_{\sigma, \chi} \left( \frac{1}{\log(K_{\chi, \theta}(u, \mathbf{x})^{1/2} + 2)} \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

If  $K_{\chi, \theta}(u, \mathbf{x}) \geq \frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2}{(\log \|\mathbf{x}\|)^2}$ , then (4.5) becomes

$$\frac{G(\sigma)}{\phi(d) \log \|\mathbf{x}\|} \left( K_{\chi, \theta}(u, \mathbf{x})^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}} + O_{\sigma, \chi} \left( \frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \log \log \|\mathbf{x}\|}{\log \|\mathbf{x}\|} \right) \right)$$

by using the trivial bound  $K_{\chi, \theta}(u, \mathbf{x}) \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|^2$ . If  $K_{\chi, \theta}(u, \mathbf{x}) \leq \frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2}{(\log \|\mathbf{x}\|)^2}$ , then we have (4.5) is  $\ll_{\sigma, \chi} \frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{(\log \|\mathbf{x}\|)^2}$ . Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p \leq X} \log I_0 \left( \sqrt{K_{\chi, \theta}(p, \mathbf{x})/p^{2\sigma}} \right) \\ &= \frac{G(\sigma)}{\phi(d) \log \|\mathbf{x}\|} \sum_{u \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left( K_{\chi, \theta}(u, \mathbf{x})^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}} + O_{\sigma, \chi} \left( \frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \log \log \|\mathbf{x}\|}{\log \|\mathbf{x}\|} \right) \right) + O_{\chi}(\|\mathbf{x}\|) \\ &= \frac{G(\sigma)}{\log \|\mathbf{x}\|} \left( F_{\chi, \theta, \sigma}(\mathbf{x}) + O_{\sigma, \chi} \left( \frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \log \log \|\mathbf{x}\|}{\log \|\mathbf{x}\|} \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Proposition 3.  $\square$

Now, we are ready to prove Proposition 1.

*Proof of Proposition 1.* Let  $\alpha \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^r$  such that  $\Xi_1(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha), \dots, \Xi_r(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) > 0$ . Let  $L \geq 2$ . Let  $T$  be large, and  $X = (\log T)^L$ . Let  $V$  be large with  $V \leq \frac{a_2(\log T)^{1-\sigma}}{\log \log T}$ , where  $a_2 = a_2(\sigma, L, \alpha)$  is a suitably positive constant to be chosen later. Here, we let the positive parameters  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  to be the solutions of the equations

$$V = \frac{G(\sigma)}{\sigma \log(x_1/\alpha_1)} (x_1/\alpha_1)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-1} = \dots = \frac{G(\sigma)}{\sigma \log(x_r/\alpha_r)} (x_r/\alpha_r)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-1}.$$

When  $V$  is sufficiently large, we can verify that  $x_i/\alpha_i = x_j/\alpha_j$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, r$ , and that

$$\frac{x_j}{\alpha_j} = \frac{A(\sigma)}{1-\sigma} (V \log V)^{\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}} \left( 1 + O_{\sigma, \chi, \alpha} \left( \frac{\log \log V}{\log V} \right) \right). \quad (4.6)$$

To use Propositions 2, 3, we choose  $a_2 = a_2(\sigma, L, \alpha)$  such that  $\|\mathbf{x}\| \leq \frac{b_1}{2} (\log T)^\sigma$ , where  $b_1 = b_1(\sigma, L, r)$  is the same constant as in Proposition 2 with  $a_j(p) = \chi_j(p) e^{-i\theta_j}$ .

For any Lebesgue measurable set  $S \subset [T, 2T]$  and  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r$ , denote

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\Psi}_S(T, \mathbf{v}, X) \\ &:= \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ t \in S : \text{Re} \sum_{p \leq X} \frac{\chi_j(p) e^{-i\theta_j}}{p^{\sigma+it}} > \Xi_j(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) v_j \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, r \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$



Let  $y_j = x_j \Xi_j(\sigma, \chi; \alpha)$ . Then we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & y_1 \cdots y_r \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{y_1 v_1 + \cdots + y_r v_r} \tilde{\Psi}_{\mathcal{A}}(T, (v_1, \dots, v_r), X) dv_1 \cdots dv_r \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \int_{\mathcal{A}} \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^r x_j \operatorname{Re} \sum_{p \leq X} \frac{\chi_j(p) e^{-i\theta_j}}{p^{\sigma+it}} \right) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(T, X, \chi, \theta)$  is the set defined by (3.1) with  $a_j(p) = \chi_j(p) e^{-i\theta_j}$ . By this equation and Propositions 2, 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{y_1 v_1 + \cdots + y_r v_r} \tilde{\Psi}_{\mathcal{A}}(T, (v_1, \dots, v_r), X) dv_1 \cdots dv_r \\ &= \exp \left( \frac{G(\sigma)}{\log \|\mathbf{x}\|} \left( F_{\chi, \theta, \sigma}(\mathbf{x}) + O_{\sigma, \chi} \left( \frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \log \log \|\mathbf{x}\|}{\log \|\mathbf{x}\|} \right) \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Next, we divide the range of the integral of (4.8) as follows:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} = \int_{V(1-\delta)}^{V(1+\delta)} \cdots \int_{V(1-\delta)}^{V(1+\delta)} + \sum_{k=1}^r \left( \int \cdots \int_{D_k^+} + \int \cdots \int_{D_k^-} \right), \quad (4.9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \int \cdots \int_{D_k^+} &= \int_{V(1-\delta)}^{V(1+\delta)} \cdots \int_{V(1-\delta)}^{V(1+\delta)} \int_{V(1+\delta)}^{\infty} \overbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}}^{k-1}, \\ \int \cdots \int_{D_k^-} &= \int_{V(1-\delta)}^{V(1+\delta)} \cdots \int_{V(1-\delta)}^{V(1+\delta)} \int_{-\infty}^{V(1-\delta)} \overbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}}^{k-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for some small  $\delta$  to be chosen later. By equation (4.8), we find that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \cdots \int_{D_k^{\pm}} e^{y_1 v_1 + \cdots + y_r v_r} \tilde{\Psi}_{\mathcal{A}}(T, (v_1, \dots, v_r), X) dv_1 \cdots dv_r \\ &\leq e^{\mp \varepsilon y_k V(1 \pm \delta)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{y_1 v_1 + \cdots + (1 \pm \varepsilon) y_k v_k + \cdots + y_r v_r} \tilde{\Psi}_{\mathcal{A}}(T, (v_1, \dots, v_r), X) dv_1 \cdots dv_r \\ &= e^{\mp \varepsilon y_k V(1 \pm \delta)} \exp \left( \frac{G(\sigma)}{\log \|\mathbf{x}\|} \left( F_{\chi, \theta, \sigma}(x_1, \dots, (1 \pm \varepsilon)x_k, \dots, x_r) + O_{\sigma, \chi} \left( \frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \log \log \|\mathbf{x}\|}{\log \|\mathbf{x}\|} \right) \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

It follows from the mean value theorem that there exist  $c_{\pm} \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{\chi, \theta, \sigma}(x_1, \dots, (1 \pm \varepsilon)x_k, \dots, x_r) \\ &= F_{\chi, \theta, \sigma}(\mathbf{x}) \pm \frac{\partial F_{\chi, \theta, \sigma}}{\partial x_k}(x_1, \dots, (1 \pm c_{\pm} \varepsilon)x_k, \dots, x_r) \varepsilon x_k. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

From the definition of  $F_{\chi, \theta, \sigma}$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial F_{\chi, \theta, \sigma}}{\partial x_k}(x_1, \dots, (1 \pm c_{\pm} \varepsilon) x_k, \dots, x_r) \\
&= \frac{1}{\phi(d)} \sum_{u \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times} \frac{1}{2\sigma} K_{\chi, \theta}(u, x_1, \dots, (1 \pm c_{\pm} \varepsilon) x_k, \dots, x_r)^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}-1} \frac{\partial K_{\chi, \theta}}{\partial x_k}(u, x_1, \dots, (1 \pm c_{\pm} \varepsilon) x_k, \dots, x_r) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sigma \phi(d)} \sum_{u \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left( \frac{x_k^2}{\alpha_k^2} \left| \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j e^{-i\theta_j} \chi_j(u) \pm \alpha_k c_{\pm} \varepsilon e^{-i\theta_k} \chi_k(u) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}-1} \\
&\quad \times \frac{x_k}{\alpha_k} \left( \operatorname{Re} \overline{e^{-i\theta_k} \chi_k(u)} \sum_{\ell=1}^r \alpha_\ell e^{-i\theta_\ell} \chi_\ell(u) \pm \alpha_k c_{\pm} \varepsilon \right) \\
&= \frac{(x_k/\alpha_k)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-1}}{\sigma \phi(d)} \sum_{u \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times}^* \left| \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j e^{-i\theta_j} \chi_j(u) \right|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-2} \times (1 + O_{\sigma, \chi, \alpha}(\varepsilon))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-2} \\
&\quad \times \operatorname{Re} \overline{e^{-i\theta_k} \chi_k(u)} \sum_{\ell=1}^r \alpha_\ell e^{-i\theta_\ell} \chi_\ell(u) (1 + O_{\sigma, \chi, \alpha}(\varepsilon)) + O_{\sigma, \chi, \alpha} \left( (\varepsilon x_k)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-1} \right) \\
&= \sigma^{-1} \Xi_k(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) (x_k/\alpha_k)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-1} + O_{\sigma, \chi, \alpha} \left( (\varepsilon \|\mathbf{x}\|)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-1} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\Xi(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha)$  is defined in (1.7). Applying this in (4.11) and using the definition of  $\mathbf{x}$ , we find that (4.10) is

$$\leq \exp \left( \frac{G(\sigma)}{\log \|\mathbf{x}\|} \left( F_{\chi, \theta, \sigma}(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{\varepsilon \delta}{2\sigma} \Xi_k(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) x_k^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \alpha_k^{1-\frac{1}{\sigma}} \right) \right)$$

by taking  $\delta = K \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-1}$  with  $\varepsilon = (\log \log \|\mathbf{x}\| / \log \|\mathbf{x}\|)^\sigma$  and  $K$  sufficiently large depending on  $\sigma, \chi, \alpha$ . From the assumption that  $\Xi_k(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) > 0$  we can choose  $K$  large enough depending only on  $\sigma, \chi, \theta$ , and  $\alpha$  so that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{V(1-\delta)}^{V(1+\delta)} \dots \int_{V(1-\delta)}^{V(1+\delta)} e^{y_1 v_1 + \dots + y_r v_r} \tilde{\Psi}_{\mathcal{A}}(T, (v_1, \dots, v_r), X) dv_1 \dots dv_r \\
&= \exp \left( \frac{G(\sigma)}{\log \|\mathbf{x}\|} \left( F_{\chi, \theta, \sigma}(\mathbf{x}) + O_{\sigma, \chi, \alpha} \left( \frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \log \log \|\mathbf{x}\|}{\log \|\mathbf{x}\|} \right) \right) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

by equation (4.8) and (4.9).

Note that

$$\int_{V(1-\delta)}^{V(1+\delta)} \dots \int_{V(1-\delta)}^{V(1+\delta)} e^{y_1 v_1 + \dots + y_r v_r} dv_1 \dots dv_r = \exp((y_1 + \dots + y_r) V(1 + O(\delta))),$$

which, together with (4.12), gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\Psi}_{\mathcal{A}}(T, (V(1+\delta), \dots, V(1+\delta)), X) \\
& \leq \exp \left( \frac{G(\sigma)}{\log \|\mathbf{x}\|} \left( F_{\chi, \theta, \sigma}(\mathbf{x}) + O_{\sigma, \chi, \alpha} \left( \frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \log \log \|\mathbf{x}\|}{\log \|\mathbf{x}\|} \right) \right) - (y_1 + \dots + y_r) V(1 + O(\delta)) \right) \\
& \leq \tilde{\Psi}_{\mathcal{A}}(T, (V(1-\delta), \dots, V(1-\delta)), X).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

It follows by (1.6), (1.7), and  $\frac{x_1}{\alpha_1} = \frac{x_2}{\alpha_2} = \dots = \frac{x_r}{\alpha_r}$  that

$$(y_1 + \dots + y_r)V = \left( \alpha_1 \Xi_1(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) \frac{x_1}{\alpha_1} + \dots + \alpha_r \Xi_r(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) \frac{x_r}{\alpha_r} \right) V = \xi(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) \frac{x_1}{\alpha_1} V.$$

Using (4.1) and the equation  $V = \frac{G(\sigma)}{\sigma \log x_1} (x_1/\alpha_1)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-1}$ , we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{G(\sigma) F_{\chi, \theta, \sigma}(\mathbf{x})}{\log \|\mathbf{x}\|} \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{\phi(d)} \sum_{u \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left| \sum_{\ell=1}^r \alpha_\ell e^{-i\theta_\ell} \chi_\ell(u) \right|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \right) \times \frac{G(\sigma)}{\log x_1} \left( \frac{x_1}{\alpha_1} \right)^{1/\sigma} \left( 1 + O_{\sigma, \chi, \alpha} \left( \frac{1}{\log x_1} \right) \right) \\ &= \sigma \xi(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) \frac{x_1}{\alpha_1} V \left( 1 + O_{\sigma, \chi, \alpha} \left( \frac{1}{\log x_1} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the middle in (4.13) is equal to

$$\exp \left( -(1-\sigma) \xi(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) \frac{x_1}{\alpha_1} V (1 + O_{\sigma, \chi, \alpha}(\delta)) \right),$$

which combined with the inequalities (4.13) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\Psi}_{\mathcal{A}}(T, (V, \dots, V), X) \\ &= \exp \left( -(1-\sigma) \xi(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) \frac{x_1}{\alpha_1} V \left( 1 + O_{\sigma, \chi, \theta, \alpha} \left( \left( \frac{\log \log \|\mathbf{x}\|}{\log \|\mathbf{x}\|} \right)^{1-\sigma} \right) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\Psi}_{\mathcal{A}}(T, (V, \dots, V), X) \\ &= \exp \left( -\xi(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) A(\sigma) V^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} (\log V)^{\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}} \left( 1 + O_{\sigma, \chi, \theta, \alpha} \left( \left( \frac{\log \log V}{\log V} \right)^{1-\sigma} \right) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By this equation and Lemma 3.1, we obtain that when  $a_2$  is suitably small,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(T, \mathbf{V}, \chi, \theta; \alpha) &= \tilde{\Psi}_{\mathcal{A}}(T, (V, \dots, V), X) + O \left( \frac{1}{T} \text{meas}([T, 2T] \setminus \mathcal{A}) \right) \\ &= \exp \left( -\xi(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) A(\sigma) V^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} (\log V)^{\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}} \left( 1 + O_{\sigma, \chi, \theta, \alpha} \left( \left( \frac{\log \log V}{\log V} \right)^{1-\sigma} \right) \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

for  $\mathbf{V} = (\Xi_1(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha)V, \dots, \Xi_r(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha)V)$ . This completes the proof of Proposition 1.  $\square$

## 5. Value distribution of Dirichlet $L$ -functions in the strip $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$

*Proof of Theorem 4.* Let  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^r$ , and let  $\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r)$  be an  $r$ -tuple of Dirichlet characters modulo  $q_j$ . Assume that there exists an  $\alpha = (\alpha_j)_{j=1}^r$  such that  $\Xi_1(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha), \dots, \Xi_r(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) > 0$ . Let  $T$  be a large parameter, and let  $X = (\log T)^L$  with  $L = \frac{10}{2\sigma-1}$ . Moreover, let  $\mathbf{V} = (\Xi_1(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha)V, \dots, \Xi_r(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha)V)$  with  $V$  a large with  $V \leq a_1 \frac{(\log T)^{1-\sigma}}{\log \log T}$ . Here, we choose  $a_1(\sigma, \alpha) = a_2(\sigma, L, \alpha) > 0$  where  $a_2$  is the same constant

as in Proposition 1. Using Lemma 2.3, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{1 \leq j \leq r} \frac{1}{T} \int_T^{2T} \left| \log L(\sigma + it, \chi_j) - \sum_{2 \leq n \leq X} \frac{\Lambda(n) \chi_j^*(n)}{n^{\sigma+it} \log n} - \sum_{p|q_j} \log \left( 1 - \frac{\chi_j^*(p)}{p^{\sigma+it}} \right) \right|^{2k} dt \quad (5.1) \\ & \leq A^k k^{4k} T^{(1-2\sigma)\delta_{\chi}} + A^k k^k \left( \frac{X^{1-2\sigma}}{\log X} \right)^k \leq A^k k^{4k} T^{(1-2\sigma)\delta_{\chi}} + \left( \frac{k}{(\log T)^9} \right)^k \end{aligned}$$

for  $1 \leq k \leq \frac{1}{L} \frac{\log T}{\log \log T}$  with  $\delta_{\chi}$  and  $A = A(\sigma, \chi)$  some positive constants. We see that

$$\left| \sum_{2 \leq n \leq X} \frac{\Lambda(n) \chi_j(n)}{n^{\sigma+it} \log n} - \sum_{2 \leq n \leq X} \frac{\Lambda(n) \chi_j^*(n)}{n^{\sigma+it} \log n} \right| = \left| \sum_{\substack{p^\ell \leq X \\ p|q_j, \ell \geq 1}} \frac{\chi_j^*(p^\ell)}{\ell p^{\ell(\sigma+it)}} \right| \leq \sum_{p|q_j} \frac{1}{p^\sigma - 1},$$

and that

$$\left| \sum_{2 \leq n \leq X} \frac{\Lambda(n) \chi_j(n)}{n^{\sigma+it} \log n} - \sum_{p \leq X} \frac{\chi_j(p)}{p^{\sigma+it}} \right| \leq \sum_{p^\ell, \ell \geq 2} \frac{|\chi_j(p^\ell)|}{\ell p^{\ell\sigma}}.$$

Applying inequality (5.1) with  $k = \lfloor c \frac{\log T}{\log \log T} \rfloor$ ,  $c = \min\{1, \delta_{\chi}\}(2\sigma - 1)/20$  and the above two inequalities, we can find that there exists a set  $\mathcal{C} \subset [T, 2T]$  such that  $\frac{1}{T} \text{meas}([T, 2T] \setminus \mathcal{C}) \leq T^{-d}$  with  $d = d(\sigma, \chi)$  some positive constant, and for all  $t \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $j = 1, \dots, r$ ,

$$\left| \log L(\sigma + it, \chi_j) - \sum_{p \leq X} \frac{\chi_j(p)}{p^{\sigma+it}} \right| \leq 1 + C_j, \quad (5.2)$$

where  $C_j$  is the positive constants given by  $C_j = \sum_{p|q_j} \frac{1}{p^\sigma - 1} + \sum_{p^\ell, \ell \geq 2} \frac{|\chi_j(p^\ell)|}{\ell p^{\ell\sigma}}$ . In particular, we have

$$\frac{1}{T} \text{meas}([T, 2T] \setminus \mathcal{C}) \leq \exp \left( -2\xi(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) A(\sigma) V^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} (\log V)^{\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}} \right) \quad (5.3)$$

when  $T$  is sufficiently large. By the inequality (5.2) we also find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\Psi}_{\mathcal{C}}(T, (V + (1 + C_1)/\Xi_1(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha), \dots, V + (1 + C_r)/\Xi_r(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha)), X) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ t \in \mathcal{C} : \text{Re } e^{-i\theta_j} \log L(\sigma + it, \chi_j) > \Xi_j(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) V_j \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, r \right\} \\ & \leq \tilde{\Psi}_{\mathcal{C}}(T, (V - (1 + C_1)/\Xi_1(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha), \dots, V - (1 + C_r)/\Xi_r(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha)), X) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\Psi}_{\mathcal{C}}(T, \mathbf{V}, X)$  is defined by (4.7). By these inequalities and Proposition 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi(T, \mathbf{V}, \chi, \theta) \\ & = \exp \left( -\xi(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) A(\sigma) V^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} (\log V)^{\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}} \left( 1 + O_{\sigma, \chi, \theta, \alpha} \left( \left( \frac{\log \log V}{\log V} \right)^{1-\sigma} \right) \right) \right) \\ & \quad + O \left( \frac{1}{T} \text{meas}([T, 2T] \setminus \mathcal{C}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

which together with the inequality (5.3) yields Theorem 4.  $\square$

Next we prove Theorem 2. We first prove the positivity of  $\Xi_i(\sigma, \chi, \mathbf{1})$  when  $r = 2$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2)$  with  $\chi_1 \not\sim \chi_2$  and let  $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then we have  $\Xi_1(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \mathbf{1}) = \Xi_2(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \mathbf{1}) = \xi(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \mathbf{1})/2 > 0$ .*

*Proof.* First, we prove  $\xi(\sigma, \chi, \theta; 1) > 0$ . Since  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  are inequivalent, we see that

$$\xi(\tfrac{1}{2}, \chi, \theta; 1) = \frac{1}{\phi(d)} \sum_{u \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times} \sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2} e^{-i(\theta_j - \theta_k)} \chi_j \overline{\chi_k}(u) = 2.$$

Therefore, there exists some  $u_0 \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^2 e^{-i\theta_j} \chi_j(u_0) \neq 0$ , which yields the positivity of  $\xi(\sigma, \chi, \theta; 1)$ .

Next, we show that  $\Xi_1(\sigma, \chi, \theta; 1) = \Xi_2(\sigma, \chi, \theta; 1) = \xi(\sigma, \chi, \theta; 1)/2$  when  $r = 2$ . The second equation follows from the first one since we have

$$\xi(\sigma, \chi, \theta; 1) = \sum_{j=1}^r \Xi_j(\sigma, \chi, \theta; 1)$$

by (1.8). If  $\chi_1(u) \neq 0$ ,  $\chi_2(u) \neq 0$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left( \overline{e^{-i\theta_1} \chi_1(u)} (e^{-i\theta_1} \chi_1(u) + e^{-i\theta_2} \chi_2(u)) \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \overline{\chi_1(u)} \chi_2(u) e^{-i(\theta_2 - \theta_1)} \right) = \operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \overline{\chi_1(u)} \chi_2(u) e^{-i(\theta_2 - \theta_1)} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + e^{-i(\theta_1 - \theta_2)} \chi_1(u) \overline{\chi_2(u)} \right) = \operatorname{Re} \left( \overline{e^{-i\theta_2} \chi_2(u)} (e^{-i\theta_1} \chi_1(u) + e^{-i\theta_2} \chi_2(u)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_1(\sigma, \chi, \theta; 1) &= \frac{1}{\phi(d)} \sum_{u \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times}^* \left| \sum_{\ell=1}^2 e^{-i\theta_\ell} \chi_\ell(u) \right|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-2} \operatorname{Re} \left( \overline{e^{-i\theta_1} \chi_1(u)} \sum_{k=1}^2 e^{-i\theta_k} \chi_k(u) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\phi(d)} \sum_{u \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times}^* \left| \sum_{\ell=1}^2 e^{-i\theta_\ell} \chi_\ell(u) \right|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-2} \operatorname{Re} \overline{e^{-i\theta_2} \chi_2(u)} \sum_{k=1}^2 e^{-i\theta_1} \chi_k(u) = \Xi_2(\sigma, \chi, \theta; 1). \end{aligned}$$

□

*Remark 6.* Note that the proof of Lemma 5.1 can be generalized when  $\{\overline{\chi_i} \chi_k\}_{k=1, \dots, \phi(q)}$  runs over all characters modulo  $d$ . We also note that with the choice  $\theta = (\theta, \dots, \theta)$  and  $\alpha = (1, \dots, 1)$

$$\xi(\sigma, \chi, \theta; 1) = \phi(q)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-1}.$$

by orthogonality of Dirichlet characters. By the choice of  $\chi$ , we see as in the proof of Lemma 5.1 that

$$\Xi_j(\sigma, \chi, \theta; 1) = \xi(\sigma, \chi, \theta; 1)/\phi(q) > 0.$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Combing Theorem 4 and Lemma 5.1, we derive Theorem 2. □

Next we give the proof of Theorem 3 which shows that distinct Dirichlet characters “repels” each other.

*Proof of Theorem 3.* From Theorem 2, it suffices to show that  $0 < \xi(\sigma, \chi, \theta; 1) < 2$ . Since we have  $\xi(\sigma, (\chi_1, \chi_2), (\theta_1, \theta_2); 1) = \xi(\sigma, (1, \overline{\chi_1} \chi_2), (0, \theta_2 - \theta_1); 1)$ , it is enough show that for any non-principal character  $\chi \pmod{q}$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times} |1 + e^{-i\theta} \chi(a)|^{1/\sigma} < 2. \quad (5.4)$$

The positivity follows from Lemma 5.1. Next we consider the upper bound. We have  $|1 + e^{-i\theta}\chi(a)|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} = 2^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}}(1 + \operatorname{Re} e^{-i\theta}\chi(a))^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}}$ , and  $(1+x)^{1/2\sigma} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2\sigma}x$  for  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ . Using these, we have

$$\frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times} |1 + e^{-i\theta}\chi(a)|^{1/\sigma} \leq \frac{2^{1/2\sigma}}{\phi(q)} \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2\sigma} \operatorname{Re} e^{-i\theta}\chi(a)\right) = 2^{1/2\sigma} < 2,$$

which is inequality (5.4). Thus, we obtain Theorem 3.  $\square$

As mentioned in Remark 3, the choice  $\alpha = \mathbf{1}$  cannot guarantee that  $\Xi_j(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \mathbf{1}) > 0$  for all  $\chi, \theta$  and all  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ . Nevertheless we can find a particular choice for  $\alpha$  such that  $\Xi_j(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) > 0$ . To state the following results, we introduce the quantity  $B(\chi, \theta)$  defined by

$$B(\chi, \theta) = \sum_{\substack{j=2 \\ \chi_j \sim \chi_1^2 \overline{\chi_\ell}}}^r \sum_{\ell=2}^r \cos(2\theta_1 - \theta_j - \theta_\ell).$$

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^r$ , and let  $\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r)$  be an  $r$ -tuple of Dirichlet characters. Suppose  $\chi_i \not\sim \chi_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . For  $\alpha = (\alpha, 1, \dots, 1)$  with  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0(\sigma, r)$  sufficiently large, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \Xi_j(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) \\ &= \begin{cases} \alpha^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-1} \left(1 + \frac{r-1-(2\sigma-1)B(\chi, \theta)}{4\sigma\alpha^2} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} - 2\right) + O_{\sigma,r}(\alpha^{-3})\right) & \text{if } j = 1, \\ \alpha^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-2} \left(\frac{1-(2\sigma-1)\cos(2\theta_1-\theta_j-\theta_\ell)}{2\sigma} + O_{\sigma,r}(\alpha^{-1})\right) & \text{if } \chi_j \sim \chi_1^2 \overline{\chi_\ell} \text{ for some } 2 \leq \ell \leq r, \\ \alpha^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-2} \left(\frac{1}{2\sigma} + O_{\sigma,r}(\alpha^{-1})\right) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have  $\Xi_j(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) > 0$  for all  $j$  when  $\alpha = (\alpha, 1, \dots, 1)$  for  $\alpha \geq \alpha_1(\sigma, r)$  sufficiently large depending only on  $\sigma$  and  $r$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha_1 = \alpha$  and  $\alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_r = 1$ . It follows from the Taylor expansion that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{\ell=1}^r \alpha_\ell e^{-i\theta_\ell} \chi_\ell(u) \right|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-2} = \alpha^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-2} \left| 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{\ell=2}^r e^{i(\theta_1-\theta_\ell)} \overline{\chi_1} \chi_\ell(u) \right|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-2} \\ &= \alpha^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} - 2 \right) \operatorname{Re} \sum_{\ell=2}^r e^{i(\theta_1-\theta_\ell)} \overline{\chi_1} \chi_\ell(u) - \frac{1}{2\alpha^2} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} - 2 \right) \operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_{\ell=2}^r e^{i(\theta_1-\theta_\ell)} \overline{\chi_1} \chi_\ell(u) \right)^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2\alpha^2} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} - 2 \right)^2 \left( \operatorname{Re} \sum_{\ell=2}^r e^{i(\theta_1-\theta_\ell)} \overline{\chi_1} \chi_\ell(u) \right)^2 \right\} + O_{\sigma,r} \left( \alpha^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-5} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By this formula and the fact that  $\sum_{\ell=1}^r \alpha_\ell e^{-i\theta_\ell} \chi_\ell(u)$  is not zero for all  $u \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times$  when  $\alpha$  is large enough (e.g.  $\alpha > r - 1$ ), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Xi_j(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) \\
&= \frac{\alpha^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-2}}{\phi(d)} \sum_{u \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} - 2 \right) \operatorname{Re} \sum_{\ell=2}^r e^{i(\theta_1 - \theta_\ell)} \overline{\chi_1} \chi_\ell(u) \right. \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2\alpha^2} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} - 2 \right) \operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_{\ell=2}^r e^{i(\theta_1 - \theta_\ell)} \overline{\chi_1} \chi_\ell(u) \right)^2 \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2\alpha^2} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} - 2 \right)^2 \left( \operatorname{Re} \sum_{\ell=2}^r e^{i(\theta_1 - \theta_\ell)} \overline{\chi_1} \chi_\ell(u) \right)^2 \right\} \operatorname{Re} \overline{e^{-i\theta_j} \chi_j(u)} \sum_{k=1}^r \alpha_k e^{-i\theta_k} \chi_k(u) \\
&\quad + O_{\sigma,r} \left( \alpha^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-4} \right) \\
&= \frac{\alpha^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-2}}{\phi(d)} \sum_{u \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left( A_{j,1}(u) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} - 2 \right) A_{j,2}(u) - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} - 2 \right) A_{j,3}(u) + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} - 2 \right)^2 A_{j,4}(u) \right) \\
&\quad + O_{\sigma,r} \left( \alpha^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-4} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{j,1}(u) &:= \operatorname{Re} \overline{e^{-i\theta_j} \chi_j(u)} \sum_{k=1}^r \alpha_k e^{-i\theta_k} \chi_k(u), \\
A_{j,2}(u) &:= \sum_{\ell=2}^r \sum_{k=1}^r \alpha_k \operatorname{Re} \left( e^{i(\theta_1 - \theta_\ell)} \overline{\chi_1} \chi_\ell(u) \right) \operatorname{Re} \left( e^{i(\theta_j - \theta_k)} \overline{\chi_j} \chi_k(u) \right), \\
A_{j,3}(u) &:= \operatorname{Re} \left( e^{-i(\theta_1 - \theta_j)} \chi_1 \overline{\chi_j}(u) \right) \operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_{\ell=2}^r e^{i(\theta_1 - \theta_\ell)} \overline{\chi_1} \chi_\ell(u) \right)^2, \\
A_{j,4}(u) &:= \operatorname{Re} \left( e^{-i(\theta_1 - \theta_j)} \chi_1 \overline{\chi_j}(u) \right) \left( \operatorname{Re} \sum_{\ell=2}^r e^{i(\theta_1 - \theta_\ell)} \overline{\chi_1} \chi_\ell(u) \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r$  are pairwise inequivalent, there exists one  $\chi_i$  such that  $\overline{\chi_j} \chi_i$  is principal, and there exists at most one  $\chi_i$  such that  $\chi_1^2 \overline{\chi_j \chi_i}$  is principal. By this observation and the orthogonality of characters, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{u \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times} A_{j,1}(u) &= \sum_{u \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times} \operatorname{Re} \overline{e^{-i\theta_j} \chi_j(u)} \sum_{k=1}^r \alpha_k e^{-i\theta_k} \chi_k(u) \\
&= \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^r e^{i(\theta_j - \theta_k)} \alpha_k \sum_{u \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times} \overline{\chi_j} \chi_k(u) = \alpha_j \phi(d) = \begin{cases} \alpha \phi(d) & \text{if } j = 1, \\ \phi(d) & \text{if } j \neq 1, \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$



and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{u \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times} A_{j,2}(u) \\
&= \sum_{\ell=2}^r \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{\alpha_k}{2} \sum_{u \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times} \operatorname{Re} \left( e^{i(\theta_1 - \theta_\ell + \theta_j - \theta_k)} \overline{\chi_1 \chi_j} \chi_\ell \chi_k(u) + e^{i(\theta_1 - \theta_\ell - \theta_j + \theta_k)} \overline{\chi_1 \chi_k} \chi_j \chi_\ell(u) \right) \\
&= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(B(\chi, \theta) + r - 1) & \text{if } j = 1, \\ \frac{\alpha\phi(d)}{2}(1 + \cos(2\theta_1 - \theta_j - \theta_\ell)) + O_r(\phi(d)) & \text{if } \chi_j \sim \chi_1^2 \overline{\chi_\ell} \text{ for some } 2 \leq \ell \leq r, \\ \frac{\alpha\phi(d)}{2} + O_r(\phi(d)) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we also find when  $j = 1$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{u \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times} A_{j,3}(u) &= \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=2}^r \sum_{\ell=2}^r e^{-i(2\theta_1 - \theta_j - \theta_\ell)} \sum_{u \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times} \chi_1^2 \overline{\chi_j \chi_\ell}(u) = \phi(d) B(\chi, \theta), \\
\sum_{u \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times} A_{j,4}(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=2}^r \sum_{\ell=2}^r \sum_{u \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left( e^{-i(2\theta_1 - \theta_j - \theta_\ell)} \chi_1^2 \overline{\chi_j \chi_\ell}(u) + e^{i(\theta_\ell - \theta_j)} \chi_j \overline{\chi_\ell}(u) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(B(\chi, \theta) + r - 1)\phi(d).
\end{aligned}$$

From these formulas and the trivial bounds  $\sum_{u \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times} A_{j,i}(u) \ll_r \phi(d)$ ,  $i = 3, 4$  for  $j \neq 1$ , we obtain Proposition 4.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5.* Put  $\alpha_1 = \alpha$  and  $\alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_r = 1$  with  $\alpha$  sufficiently large. Then Proposition 4 shows that  $\Xi_j(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) > 0$  for all  $j$ . By (1.8) and Proposition 4, we have

$$\xi(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j \Xi_j(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha) = \alpha^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} + \frac{1}{4\sigma^2} (r - 1 - (2\sigma - 1)B(\chi, \theta)) \alpha^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-2} + O_{r,\sigma}(\alpha^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-3}).$$

On the other hand, we find by Proposition 4 that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^r \Xi_j(\sigma, \chi; \alpha)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \\
&= \alpha^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} + \frac{1 - 2\sigma}{4\sigma^2(1 - \sigma)} (r - 1 - (2\sigma - 1)B(\chi, \theta)) \alpha^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-2} + O_{r,\sigma} \left( \alpha^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}(\frac{1}{\sigma}-2)} + \alpha^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-3} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that we have the trivial bound  $B(\chi, \theta) \leq r - 1$  since there is at most one  $\ell \in \{2, \dots, r\}$  such that  $\chi_j \sim \chi_1^2 \overline{\chi_\ell}$  for each  $j$ . Hence, it holds that  $r - 1 - (2\sigma - 1)B(\chi, \theta) > 0$  for  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ . Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \xi(\sigma, \chi; \alpha) - \sum_{j=1}^r \Xi_j(\sigma, \chi; \alpha)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \\
&= \frac{1}{4\sigma(1 - \sigma)} \left( r - 1 - (2\sigma - 1)B(\chi, \theta) \right) \alpha^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-2} + O_{\sigma,r}(\alpha^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}(\frac{1}{\sigma}-2)} + \alpha^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-3}) > 0
\end{aligned}$$

for any  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0(r, \sigma)$  with  $\alpha_0(r, \sigma)$  sufficiently large depending only on  $r$  and  $\sigma$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* From Theorem 4 and Proposition 4 we see that there exists  $c = c(\sigma, r)$  such that for  $V = c \frac{(\log T)^{1-\sigma}}{\log \log T}$  with  $T$  sufficiently large depending on  $\sigma, \chi, \theta$  we have that

$$\Psi(T, V, \chi, \theta) > 0$$

for  $V = (\Xi_1(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha)V, \dots, \Xi_r(\sigma, \chi, \theta; \alpha)V)$  where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1(\sigma, r), 1, \dots, 1)$  and  $\alpha_1$  is the same constant as in Proposition 4. This gives the lower bound in Theorem 1.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to thank Winston Heap for helpful comments on improving the exposition of the paper and Masahiro Mine for providing valuable remarks on a dependence property of  $L$ -functions. We would also like to thank Łukasz Pańkowski for pointing out a mistake in an earlier version of the paper. The first author was supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Research Fellow (Grant Number: 21J00425). The second author is supported by Germany's Excellence Strategy grant EXC-2047/1 - 390685813 as well as DFG grant BL 915/5-1.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. Aistleitner, Lower bounds for the maximum of the Riemann zeta function along vertical lines, *Math. Ann.* **365** (2016) 473–496.
- [2] C. Aistleitner and Ł. Pańkowski, Large values of  $L$ -functions from the Selberg class. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **446** (2017), no. 1, 345–364.
- [3] U. Balakrishnan, Extreme values of the Dedekind zeta function, *Acta Arith.* **46** (1986) 199–209.
- [4] H. Bohr and B. Jessen, Über die Werteverteilung der Riemannschen Zetafunktion, Erste Mitteilung, *Acta Math.* **54** (1930), 1–35; Zweite Mitteilung, *ibid.* **58** (1932), 1–55.
- [5] E. Bombieri and D. A. Hejhal, On the distribution of zeros of linear combinations of Euler products, *Duke Math. J.* **80** no.3 (1995), 821–862.
- [6] A. Fujii, On the zeros of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions. I, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **196** (1974), 225–235.
- [7] T. Hattori and K. Matsumoto, A limit theorem for Bohr-Jessen's probability measures of the Riemann zeta-function, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **507** (1999), 219–232.
- [8] S. Inoue and J. Li, Joint value distribution of  $L$ -functions on the critical line, preprint, [arXiv:2102.12724](https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.12724).
- [9] Y. Lamzouri, On the distribution of extreme values of zeta and  $L$ -functions in the strip  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ , *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2011, no.23, 5449–5503.
- [10] A. Laurinćikas and K. Matsumoto, The joint universality of twisted automorphic  $L$ -functions, *J. Math. Soc. Jpn.* **56** (2004), 923–939.
- [11] Y. Lee, T. Nakamura, and Ł. Pańkowski, Selberg's orthonormality conjecture and joint universality of  $L$ -functions, *Math. Z.* **286** (2017), 1–18.
- [12] K. Mahatab, Ł. Pańkowski, and A. Vatwani, Joint Extreme values of  $L$ -functions, *Math. Z.* **302** (2022), no. 2, 1177–1190.
- [13] H. L. Montgomery, Extreme values of the Riemann zeta function, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* **52** (1977), 511–518.
- [14] A. Sankaranarayanan and J. Sengupta, Omega theorems for a class of  $L$ -functions, *Funct. et Approx.* **36** (2006), 119–131.
- [15] J. Steuding, Value-distribution of  $L$ -functions. Berlin: Springer, 2007.
- [16] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, Second Edition, Edited and with a preface by D. R. Heath-Brown, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1986.
- [17] S. M. Voronin, Analytic Properties of Dirichlet Generating Functions of Arithmetic Objects, Ph.D. Thesis, Moscow, Steklov Math. Institute (1977) (Russian).

(S. Inoue) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 2-12-1 OOKAYAMA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO 152-8551, JAPAN  
*Email address:* [inoue.s.bd@m.titech.ac.jp](mailto:inoue.s.bd@m.titech.ac.jp)

(J. Li) MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, ENDENICHER ALLEE 60, 53115 BONN  
*Email address:* [jli135@math.uni-bonn.de](mailto:jli135@math.uni-bonn.de)