

# AdS/CFT Correspondence with a 3D Black Hole Simulator

Aydin Deger<sup>1,2,\*</sup> and Jiannis K. Pachos<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, United Kingdom*

<sup>2</sup>*Interdisciplinary Centre for Mathematical Modelling and Department of Mathematical Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough, Leicestershire LE11 3TU, United Kingdom*

The AdS/CFT correspondence has been insightful for high-energy and condensed matter physics alike. An application of this correspondence is the duality between the entanglement entropy of Anti-de Sitter (AdS) black holes and lower-dimensional conformal field theories (CFT). To explicitly demonstrate this correspondence we simulate the effect a 3D black hole geometry has on Dirac fields by employing a square lattice of fermions with inhomogeneous tunnelling couplings. Simulating a 3D BTZ black hole horizon, we numerically obtain an area law behaviour that is in agreement with the corresponding 2D CFT with a central charge that depends on the cosmological constant of the AdS space. A systematic numerical investigation of various 3D black hole profiles suggests that all 3D black holes give an entropic behaviour that can be represented by the same CFT.

**Introduction:**— Spacetime geometry changes dramatically across the horizon of a black hole. Classical particles or even light that fall across the horizon can never escape purely due to the structure of spacetime. Surprisingly, quantum correlations can escape a black hole horizon, a phenomenon named Hawking radiation [1, 2]. Conceptually, this mechanism is equivalent to quantum tunnelling across a potential barrier [3, 4]. Hawking radiation comes with several universal characteristics. The Hawking temperature describes the thermal behaviour of the emitted radiation and depends only on the curvature at the horizon. Signatures of Hawking radiation have been identified in different systems, e.g. Bose–Einstein condensates [5], quantum Hall effect [6], Weyl fermions [7], critical Floquet systems [8] or magnons [9].

As a result of Hawking radiations quantum correlations are built between the inside and the outside of the black hole. The thermal entropy across the horizon is given by the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy ( $S^{\text{BH}}$ ) that follows an area law and can be determined from general thermodynamic arguments [10–17]. The area-law behaviour also appears in Ryu–Takayanagi formula that was proposed to calculate holographic entanglement entropy using AdS/CFT correspondence [18–20], although it was found that the method is general and can be used to calculate fine-grained entropy of quantum systems coupled to gravity regardless of the correspondence [21]. The holographic principle provides a bridge between the theories of gravity in  $D + 1$  dimension with quantum field theories in  $D$  dimension [22]. In particular, AdS/CFT emerges as a powerful tool for probing strongly coupled conformal field theories (CFT) in arbitrary dimensions where no analytic solutions exist. This duality also suggests that the complicated expressions of the entanglement entropy on the boundary CFT manifest themselves as an area law, which coincides with Bekenstein-Hawking entropy, in higher dimensional Anti-de Sitter (AdS) spacetime [23].

Here we propose a quantum simulator for 3D black hole horizons where the quantum correlations across the hori-

zon can be numerically investigated. It has been shown that the radiation of black holes due to fluctuating gravity in the semiclassical limit is equivalent to the radiation of scalar or fermionic particles in the black hole background [24, 25]. Hence, such simulations of black holes can allow us to probe static and dynamic properties of quantum gravity that might be otherwise inaccessible. We propose here the simulation of a black hole with a two-dimensional square lattice of fermions. By choosing the tunnelling couplings of the lattice appropriately the system can be effectively described by the Dirac fermions embedded in the curved geometry of a black hole [26]. To test the validity of the simulator we show that the temperature of emitted radiation is faithfully given by Hawking temperature. Subsequently, we employ this simulator to investigate the entanglement entropy of 3D black holes. While the entanglement entropy of 2D systems is fairly well understood [27, 28], the generalisation to higher dimensions entails many subtleties [28–32].

We numerically determine the entanglement entropy of the 3D BTZ black hole from its lattice representation. Remarkably, we verify the AdS/CFT holographic principle predictions by comparing our result with the analytic prediction of CFT that lives on the 2D boundary of the AdS spacetime [15, 17, 33, 34]. This is of significance because our simulator is given by a free theory whereas corresponding CFT is in general interacting and thermal. Moreover, we demonstrate that different profiles of black holes give the same entanglement behaviour, leading us to hypothesise that the universal behaviour of entanglement across the horizon of any black hole is given by the same CFT. The simplicity of our scheme paves the way for probing spatial correlations of the interacting theories with a free theory in higher dimensions. Our quantum simulator comprises free fermion lattice that can be realised with many quantum technologies, e.g., cold atoms or Josephson junctions opening the possibility to realise it in the laboratory [35, 36].

**The model:**— We want to encode the behaviour of a 3D Dirac fermion in black hole geometry by employing a

square lattice of fermions with position dependent tunnelling couplings. First, we employ a rotationally symmetric gravitational field with line element

$$ds^2 = F(r)d\tau^2 - F(r)^{-1}dr^2 - r^2d\theta^2, \quad (1)$$

where  $F(r)$  is a function only of the radial coordinate  $r$ . The corresponding Dirac equation of fermions with mass  $m$  is given by

$$i\nabla\psi = m\psi, \quad (2)$$

where  $\nabla = e_a^\mu \gamma^a \partial_\mu + \frac{1}{2|g|^{1/2}} \gamma^a \partial_\mu (|g|^{1/2} e_a^\mu)$ . The dreibeins  $e_a^\mu$  are defined as  $g^{\mu\nu} = e_a^\mu e_b^\nu \eta^{ab}$ , with  $\eta^{ab} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1)$ . The gamma matrices  $\gamma^a$  satisfy the Clifford algebra  $\{\gamma^a, \gamma^b\} = \eta^{ab}$ . Due to the rotational symmetry of space (1) the spinor  $\psi$  can be written as  $\psi(t, r, \theta) = \psi_{2D}(t, r)\chi(\theta)$ , where  $\nabla_\theta \chi = \kappa \chi$  and  $\nabla_{2D} \psi_{2D} = (\frac{\kappa}{r} i\sigma^z - im\mathbb{1}_2)\psi_{2D}$ . The 2D Dirac operator corresponds to the line element  $ds^2 = F(r)d\tau^2 - F(r)^{-1}dr^2$  [37]. The parameter  $\kappa$  is a positive (non-zero) integer [38]. In the massless limit ( $m \rightarrow 0$ ) and in the low energy regime ( $\kappa$  small) the region with large  $r$  is described by

$$\nabla_{2D} \psi_{2D} \approx 0. \quad (3)$$

Hence, for large  $r \gg \kappa$ , the massless radially symmetric 3D Dirac equation (2) reduces to the corresponding 2D equation (3). Note, that this reduction directly generalises to any radially symmetric  $(d+1)$ D space with  $d \geq 2$ .

To encode the 3D Dirac equation (2) in black hole background with a square lattice of fermions we generalise the procedure employed in [24, 39, 40]. To avoid coordinate singularity at the black hole horizon, we perform a change of variable  $dt = d\tau + F(r)^{-1}dr$  and work in the ingoing Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates,  $ds^2 = F(r)dt^2 - 2dt dr$ . In these coordinates Dirac equation (3) simplifies to

$$\partial_t \phi(t, r) = -[\partial_r(F(r)\phi(t, r)) + F(r)\partial_r \phi(t, r)]/4, \quad (4)$$

where the massless Dirac spinor in two spacetime dimensions decomposes as  $\psi_{2D} = [\phi(t, r), -\phi(t, r)]^T/\sqrt{2}$ . The representation of (4) on a square lattice is obtained by discretising the spatial position with a lattice constant  $a$  (we fix  $a = 1$ ) and approximating the spatial derivatives with central differences  $\partial_r \phi(r) \approx [\phi(r_{j+1}) - \phi(r_{j-1})]/2$  [39–43]. This is followed by substituting  $\phi = \hat{f}$  where  $\{\hat{f}_i, \hat{f}_j^\dagger\} = \delta_{ij}$  and using Heisenberg equation of motion  $i\partial_t \hat{f}_j = [\hat{f}_j, \mathcal{H}]$ . In the low energy limit where  $\phi$  is smooth and for slowly varying functions  $F(r)$ , the resulting lattice system is described by free fermions on a two-dimensional square lattice with nearest neighbouring hopping

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\langle i, j \rangle} F_i (\hat{f}_i^\dagger \hat{f}_j + \text{h.c.}), \quad (5)$$




FIG. 1. Hawking radiation and temperature in 3D black hole simulator with profile  $F_1(r) = \eta \tanh[\alpha(r - r_h)]/\alpha$ . A single Dirac particle is initialised in a superposition of four points at  $t = 0$  (blue crosses) just behind the black hole horizon with radius  $r_h = 10$  on a lattice with linear size  $L = 61$ ,  $\eta = 10$  and  $\alpha = 10^{-3}$ . The dispersion of particle density is depicted at  $t = 1$ . (b) The particle population that escaped the black hole appears as Hawking radiation at  $t = 8$ . (c) Hawking radiation has thermal distribution. The slope on semi-log plot yields a Hawking temperature  $T_H$  which is in good agreement with the theoretically predicted value, for  $r_h = 15$ ,  $\eta = 10$  and  $\alpha = 10^{-3}$ . (d) Time averaged Hawking temperatures over  $t = \{0.2, 8.0\}$  are depicted for a range of parameters  $\eta$ . The error bars indicate the standard deviation around the mean. Good agreement with the expected Hawking temperature  $T_H = \eta/(4\pi)$  is obtained apart from large values of  $\eta$ , due to the finite lattice spacing, and for small  $\eta$ , due to finite size effects.

where  $F_i$  is the value of  $F(r)$  with  $r$  the polar distance of the vertex  $i$  of the square lattice. By taking  $F$  to be position-dependent we can encode the spacetime geometry (1) of a black hole. As we demonstrate in the following, this simulation can faithfully describe static and dynamic properties of Dirac fermions in the presence of a black hole geometry. In particular, we are interested in the physics of the black hole horizon, taken to be at large radius, where (3) is valid. We note that this equation holds for any spacetime dimension  $d+1 \geq 3$ . Thus, we expect that these results can be generalised to higher dimensions.

In (1) space and time are exchanged when  $F(r)$  goes from positive to negative, corresponding to moving from outside to inside the black hole. The horizon is positioned at  $r_h$  where  $F(r_h) = 0$ . In the lattice representation (5) the couplings never become zero everywhere around the circle with radius  $r_h$  due to the lattice nature




FIG. 2. Entanglement entropy of three-dimensional flat and curved spacetime (a) Blue (orange) points indicate entanglement entropy for the flat (localised) spacetime with  $L = 81$ . The rest of the colours and markers indicate entanglement entropy of black holes (BH) with different  $\eta$  and  $\alpha$  parameters in the lapse function  $F_1$ . We note that the entanglement entropy stays unchanged for a large range of parameters which signals an area law for the black hole in 3D.

of the model. Nevertheless, the transition from positive to negative values of  $F_i$  encodes the black hole spacetime geometry. Hence, our system can be split into three parts: the inner region denoted by  $\mathcal{B}$ , i.e., the black hole, the outer region  $\mathcal{A}$  and the event horizon that bridges these regions.

**Hawking temperature:**— The most celebrated quantum property of black holes is that quantum fluctuations escape their gravitational attraction. These fluctuations are witnessed outside the black hole as thermal radiation with temperature  $T_H$  that depends on the geometrical characteristics of the black hole, as Hawking famously predicted in 1974 [2]. We now demonstrate that the fermionic lattice (5) accurately describes 3D Dirac fermions in black hole geometry by determining the temperature of the escaped radiation.

Consider for concreteness a 3D black hole with profile  $F_1(r) = \eta \tanh[\alpha(r - r_h)]/\alpha$ , parametrised by the radial distance of the horizon  $r_h$  and by  $\alpha$  and  $\eta$ . The Hawking temperature is then given by  $T_H = \partial_r F_1(r_h)/(4\pi) = \eta/(4\pi)$  [7]. To investigate the Hawking radiation with our lattice model we initially prepare a wave packet  $|\psi(0)\rangle$  inside the black hole and monitor its quenched evolution as it escapes through the horizon. In particular, we initialise a single-particle state  $|\psi(0)\rangle = \sum_{\{n\}} \lambda_{\{n\}} c_{\{n\}}^\dagger |0\rangle$  in an equal superposition on the  $\{n\}$  sites on the inner region of the black hole horizon, as shown in Fig. 1(a). Subsequently, we let the system evolve in time and we measure the probability density of the particle that is emitted outside the black hole across the horizon at a given time  $t$ . Most of the population remains trapped inside the black hole [44] until eventually some *escapes*, via quantum tunnelling [45] through the horizon and moves to infinity, as shown in Fig. 1(b).

The component of the wave packet outside the black

hole corresponds to Hawking radiation if the population  $P(E) = |\langle E|\psi(t)\rangle|^2$  of modes  $|E\rangle$  with energy  $E$  that are the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian in the outer region. It's then expected that the Hawking radiation takes the thermal form  $P(E) \propto e^{-E/T_H}$ , where  $T_H$  denotes the Hawking temperature. We numerically evolve the wave packet  $|\psi(t)\rangle$  and calculate the corresponding Hawking temperature from a slope in a semi-log plot, as shown in Fig. 1(c). We find that the extracted values are in startling agreement with exact values of Hawking temperature during the early times. Robustness and limits of our 3D black hole simulator for a wide range of different profiles, e.g., various horizon radiuses  $r_h$ , and range of  $\eta$  are depicted in Fig. 1(d). Hence, the fermionic lattice (5) faithfully represents 3D Dirac fermions in black hole background.

**Entanglement entropy, gravitational constant and area law:**— We next study the entanglement entropy in our black hole simulator. It has been long hypothesised that the entanglement entropy of quantum fields on the black hole gravitational background represents an important contribution to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy (thermal entropy) also known as the area law,

$$S^{\text{BH}} = \frac{A_h}{4G}, \quad (6)$$

where  $G$  is a Newton constant and  $A_h$  is the area of horizon [1, 2, 11]. From the point of view of the outside, our black hole simulator can be regarded as a thermal quantum system defined on classical geometry, i.e., a semi-classical black hole. In a spacetime dimension  $D = 3$ , the area-law [11] implies that the entanglement entropy takes the form of  $S(r_h) = \gamma 2\pi r_h$ , where  $\gamma$  plays the role of an effective Newton constant  $G^{\text{eff}}$  [16, 46–49]. Thus, we expect to see a linear relation between  $S(r_h)$  and  $r_h$  for both flat and black hole cases, disparately the entanglement entropy in 2D flat CFT is given by  $S(r_h) \propto \log(r_h)$ .

We now show the calculations of the entanglement entropy of the 3D black hole simulator. We start by constructing the correlation matrix that contains all two-point correlation functions from  $\mathcal{C}_{ij} = \langle \Phi | c_i^\dagger c_j | \Phi \rangle$  where  $|\Phi\rangle$  is a many-body ground state of the Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}$  in Eq. (5). Note that  $i$  and  $j$  runs inside the subsystem  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then the entanglement entropy  $S$  between the block  $\mathcal{B}$  and the rest of the system  $\mathcal{A}$  is given by  $S^{\text{black hole}} = -\sum_k \zeta_k \log(\zeta_k) + (1 - \zeta_k) \log(1 - \zeta_k)$ , where the  $\zeta_k$  are the positive eigenvalues of the correlation matrix  $\mathcal{C}_{ij}$  [50, 51].

In Fig. 2, we present entanglement entropy results for the flat, i.e., homogeneous system, and the inhomogeneous system that encodes the black hole. We see that the entanglement entropy across the simulated horizon follows the celebrated area law behaviour, dictated by the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy [49, 52]. We further demonstrate that this behaviour is universal with respect to dif-




FIG. 3. (a) Different lapse functions with horizon at  $r_h = 5$  indicated by vertical black line. We consider  $F_1(r) = \eta \tanh[\alpha(r - r_h)]/\alpha$  with  $\eta = 10$ ,  $\alpha = 10^{-3}$ ,  $F_2(r) = r_h \tanh(r - r_h)$ ,  $F_3(r) = (1 - r_h/r)$  (Schwarzschild metric) and the BTZ black hole  $F_4(r) = (r^2 - r_h^2)/l^2$  with  $l = 5$ . (b) Universality of  $G$  for  $L = 101$ . The slope of the entanglement entropy for these functions as a function of horizon yield a value with  $G^{\text{eff}} \sim 0.7$ . All entropies are perfectly aligned with the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy (6), indicated by black solid line.

ferent black hole temperatures and profiles, determined by  $\eta$  and  $\alpha$  respectively, only depending on the lattice regularisation of the simulator. We contrast this result with the entanglement entropy of a homogeneous system and the system with completely random local potential that exhibits Anderson localisation. As we are probing the quantum properties of the Dirac field the lattice regularisation influences the resulting entanglement entropy,  $S(r_h)$ , i.e., it diverges in the continuous limit due to the presence of a sharp boundary between regions. Through appropriate regularisation we fix the lattice spacing to obtain a fixed value for the gravitational constant  $G^{\text{eff}}$ .

Now we are ready to demonstrate the universal behaviour of entanglement entropy for different space-time curvatures and black hole profiles. To this end, in Fig. 3(a), we consider several lapse functions each corresponding to a different black hole profile and Hawking temperature. In Fig. 3(b), we show that entanglement entropy for these lapse functions takes the form  $S = 2\pi r_h/(4G^{\text{eff}})$  with the same slope value. This demonstrates that the entanglement entropies of considered black holes are given by an area law as in Eq. (6). With this, we determine the effective Newton constant as  $G^{\text{eff}} \sim 0.7$  for our simulator.

**Demonstrating AdS/CFT correspondence with 3D BTZ black hole:**— In presence of negative cosmological constant  $\Lambda = -1/l^2$ , the most prominent solution to Einstein’s equations is the three-dimensional locally  $AdS_3$  BTZ black hole [53, 54]. The metric of the BTZ black hole with mass  $M$  is given by Eq. (1) with  $F_4 = (r^2 - r_h^2)/l^2$ . The horizon of the BTZ black hole is at position  $r_h = 2l\sqrt{2GM}$  and its Hawking temperature is given by  $T_H = \sqrt{2GM}/(l\pi)$ . The simulator (5)

produces the Hawking temperature of the 3D BTZ black hole within an error of 3%.

We will now demonstrate the  $AdS_3/CFT_2$  duality between the entanglement entropy of the 3D BTZ black hole simulator and of the 2D boundary CFT living on a circle with radius  $l$ . In the holographic context, the Ryu–Takayanagi formula suggests that the entanglement entropy of a region  $A$  with a length  $\xi$  on the boundary  $CFT_2$  is given by the area of the minimal surface  $\gamma_A$  in the  $AdS_3$  spacetime that is attached to the two endpoints of region  $A$  [18, 19]. In the presence of a generic black hole in  $AdS_3$  spacetime, this holographic duality yields the entanglement entropy

$$S^{\text{CFT}}(\beta, \xi) = \frac{c}{3} \ln \left[ \frac{\beta}{\pi\epsilon} \sinh \frac{\pi\xi}{\beta} \right], \quad (7)$$

where  $\epsilon$  denotes the UV cutoff,  $c$  is the central charge and  $\beta$  is inverse temperature [18–20]. Note that (7) has the same expression as the entanglement entropy of a thermal 2D CFT [27]. This holographic dual is therefore useful for determining spatial correlations at scales set by  $\xi$  and thermal correlations set by  $\beta$  in the bulk of the black hole by using the highly nonlocal correlations of the boundary CFT, or vice versa [15, 17, 34]. We now specialise to the case of a BTZ black hole in the large mass,  $M$ , limit. In this semiclassical limit, the minimal surface  $\gamma_A$  wraps the black hole horizon and the region  $A$  covers almost all the boundary, such that it is conjectured to be given by  $\xi \sim 2\pi l$  [34, 55, 56]. After fixing the boundary temperature to the BTZ Hawking temperature  $\beta = T_H^{-1}$  and using Brown–Henneaux holographic formula  $c = 3l/(2G)$  [33] that relates the bulk properties of the black hole with the central charge of the boundary, we can express the entanglement of the BTZ black hole as

$$S^{\text{BTZ}} = S^{\text{CFT}}(T_H^{-1}, 2\pi l). \quad (8)$$

In Fig. 4, we see that the entanglement entropy of the 3D BTZ black hole simulator and the entanglement entropy of the corresponding boundary  $CFT_2$ , dictated by (8), align remarkably well in the semiclassical limit  $r_h \gg l$ , where (5) is valid.

The effective description of black holes with our simulator (5) reveals a possible generalisation of  $AdS_3/CFT_2$  correspondence (8). In the semiclassical limit, the entanglement entropy (7) is given by  $S^{\text{CFT}} \propto cr_h/l$  and thus  $AdS_3$  radius  $l$  cancels out due to the Brown–Henneaux formula giving a slope of entanglement entropy that is independent of any particular characteristics of the black hole, such as its Hawking temperature. Moreover, over-all factors in the lapse functions  $F(r)$  of the black hole geometry become also an overall factor in the simulator Hamiltonian (5). Since the two-point correlation matrix is invariant to such overall factors, the entanglement entropy stays the same for different black hole profiles even if they have different Hawking temperatures. With




FIG. 4. The AdS<sub>3</sub>/CFT<sub>2</sub> duality shown for the BTZ black hole with  $l = 5$ . The holographic entanglement entropy is given by boundary CFT with central charge  $c = 10.84$  at Hawking temperature. The correspondence holds accurately in the large temperature limit  $r_h \gg l$ .

these observations, we conclude that any black hole profile that only depends on mass, e.g., the ones considered in Fig. 3(a), can be described by the same thermal CFT as the BTZ black hole as can be readily observed by comparing Fig. 3(b) and Fig. 4.

**Conclusion:**— We have shown that our simulator is able to probe dynamical characteristics of the black hole as well as its quantum correlation properties. With that, we were able to illustrate the holographic AdS<sub>3</sub>/CFT<sub>2</sub> correspondence. Interestingly, we observed that a whole set of 3D black holes have the same entanglement entropy as the one predicted by the CFT<sub>2</sub> dual to the BTZ black hole. This is an open question, known as the *problem of universality* [57–59]. In this paper we find more evidence that the CFT<sub>2</sub> given in (8) is universal for all black holes near the horizon. Moreover, our results are in line with the interpretation of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy as topological entanglement entropy [60]. Indeed, (7) indicates that the universal term comes from additive part,  $S^{\text{top}} = c \ln[\sinh(\pi\xi/\beta)]/3$ , without UV cutoff. This topological term describes the thermal entropy of black hole in the semiclassical limit. Finally, note that our universal black hole simulator is given in terms of free fermions that is analytically tractable making it viable to theoretical investigations and can be readily realised in the laboratory. It can be directly applied also to higher dimensions, thus offering a simple and versatile medium to probe more complex questions, such as investigating the effect of black hole geometry on interacting fermions.

We are grateful to Giandomenico Palumbo and Matthew Horner for helpful discussions. This work was in part supported by EPSRC Grant No. EP/R020612/1.

\* A.Deger@leeds.ac.uk; A.Deger@lboro.ac.uk

- [1] J. D. Bekenstein, Black holes and entropy, *Phys. Rev. D* **7**, 2333 (1973).
- [2] S. W. Hawking, Black hole explosions?, *Nature* **248**, 30 (1974).
- [3] S. W. Hawking, Particle creation by black holes, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **43**, 199 (1975).
- [4] L. Susskind, The paradox of quantum black holes, *Nature Physics* **2**, 665 (2006).
- [5] L. J. Garay, J. R. Anglin, J. I. Cirac, and P. Zoller, Sonic Analog of Gravitational Black Holes in Bose-Einstein Condensates, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 4643 (2000).
- [6] M. Stone, An analogue of Hawking radiation in the quantum Hall effect, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **30**, 085003 (2013).
- [7] G. E. Volovik, Black hole and Hawking radiation by type-II Weyl fermions, *Jetp Lett.* **104**, 645 (2016).
- [8] B. Lapierre, K. Choo, C. Tauber, A. Tiwari, T. Neupert, and R. Chitra, Emergent black hole dynamics in critical floquet systems, *Phys. Rev. Research* **2**, 023085 (2020).
- [9] A. Roldán-Molina, A. S. Nunez, and R. A. Duine, Magnonic Black Holes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 061301 (2017).
- [10] L. Bombelli, R. K. Koul, J. Lee, and R. D. Sorkin, Quantum source of entropy for black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* **34**, 373 (1986).
- [11] M. Srednicki, Entropy and area, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 666 (1993).
- [12] J. L. Cardy, Operator content of two-dimensional conformally invariant theories, *Nuclear Physics B* **270**, 186 (1986).
- [13] A. Strominger and C. Vafa, Microscopic origin of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy, *Physics Letters B* **379**, 99 (1996).
- [14] A. Strominger, Black hole entropy from near-horizon microstates, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **1998**, 009 (1998).
- [15] O. Aharony, S. S. Gubser, J. Maldacena, H. Ooguri, and Y. Oz, Large N field theories, string theory and gravity, *Physics Reports* **323**, 183 (2000).
- [16] R. Emparan, Black hole entropy as entanglement entropy: a holographic derivation, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2006**, 012 (2006).
- [17] G. Maiella and C. Stornaio, A CFT description of the BTZ black hole: Topology versus geometry (or thermodynamics versus statistical mechanics), *International Journal of Modern Physics A* **22**, 3429 (2007).
- [18] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, Holographic Derivation of Entanglement Entropy from the anti-de Sitter Space/Conformal Field Theory Correspondence, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 181602 (2006).
- [19] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, Aspects of holographic entanglement entropy, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2006**, 045 (2006).
- [20] M. Rangamani and T. Takayanagi, *Holographic Entanglement Entropy* (Springer International Publishing, 2017).
- [21] A. Almheiri, T. Hartman, J. Maldacena, E. Shaghoulian, and A. Tajdini, The entropy of Hawking radiation, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **93**, 035002 (2021).
- [22] J. Maldacena, The Large-N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity, *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* **38**, 1113 (1999).
- [23] B. Chen, B. Czech, and Z.-Z. Wang, Quantum information in holographic duality, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **85**, 046001 (2022).
- [24] S. M. Morsink and R. B. Mann, Black hole radiation of

- dirac particles in 1+1 dimensions, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **8**, 2257 (1991).
- [25] R. B. Mann, S. M. Morsink, A. E. Sikkema, and T. G. Steele, Semiclassical gravity in 1+1 dimensions, *Phys. Rev. D* **43**, 3948 (1991).
- [26] Y.-H. Shi, R.-Q. Yang, Z. Xiang, Z.-Y. Ge, H. Li, Y.-Y. Wang, K. Huang, Y. Tian, X. Song, D. Zheng, K. Xu, R.-G. Cai, and H. Fan, On-chip black hole: Hawking radiation and curved spacetime in a superconducting quantum circuit with tunable couplers (2021), [arXiv:2111.11092](https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.11092).
- [27] P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory, *J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp.* **2004**, P06002 (2004), publisher: IOP Publishing.
- [28] J. Eisert, M. Cramer, and M. B. Plenio, Colloquium: Area laws for the entanglement entropy, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 277 (2010).
- [29] H. Casini and M. Huerta, Entanglement and alpha entropies for a massive scalar field in two dimensions, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2005**, P12012 (2005).
- [30] T. Nishioka, S. Ryu, and T. Takayanagi, Holographic entanglement entropy: an overview, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **42**, 504008 (2009).
- [31] H. Casini, M. Huerta, and R. C. Myers, Towards a derivation of holographic entanglement entropy, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2011**, 36 (2011).
- [32] Y. Zhang, T. Grover, and A. Vishwanath, Entanglement Entropy of Critical Spin Liquids, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 067202 (2011).
- [33] J. D. Brown and M. Henneaux, Central charges in the canonical realization of asymptotic symmetries: An example from three dimensional gravity, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **104**, 207 (1986).
- [34] M. Cadoni and M. Melis, Holographic entanglement entropy of the BTZ black hole, *Foundations of Physics* **40**, 638 (2010).
- [35] J. R. Muñoz de Nova, K. Golubkov, V. I. Kolobov, and J. Steinhauer, Observation of thermal Hawking radiation and its temperature in an analogue black hole, *Nature* **569**, 688 (2019).
- [36] Y. Yanay, J. Braumüller, S. Gustavsson, W. D. Oliver, and C. Tahan, Two-dimensional hard-core Bose-Hubbard model with superconducting qubits, *npj Quantum Information* **6**, 58 (2020).
- [37] A. Lopez-Ortega, The Dirac equation in D-dimensional spherically symmetric spacetimes (2009), [arXiv:0906.2754 \[gr-qc\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/0906.2754).
- [38] R. Camporesi and A. Higuchi, On the eigenfunctions of the Dirac operator on spheres and real hyperbolic spaces, *Journal of Geometry and Physics* **20**, 1 (1996).
- [39] R.-Q. Yang, H. Liu, S. Zhu, L. Luo, and R.-G. Cai, Simulating quantum field theory in curved spacetime with quantum many-body systems, *Phys. Rev. Research* **2**, 023107 (2020).
- [40] J. S. Pedernales, M. Beau, S. M. Pittman, I. L. Egusquiza, L. Lamata, E. Solano, and A. del Campo, Dirac Equation in ( 1 + 1 )-Dimensional Curved Spacetime and the Multiphoton Quantum Rabi Model, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 160403 (2018).
- [41] W. P. Su, J. R. Schrieffer, and A. J. Heeger, Solitons in Polyacetylene, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **42**, 1698 (1979).
- [42] J. Goldstone and F. Wilczek, Fractional Quantum Numbers on Solitons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **47**, 986 (1981).
- [43] R. Jackiw and J. R. Schrieffer, Solitons with fermion number 1/2 in condensed matter and relativistic field theories, *Nuclear Physics B* **190**, 253 (1981).
- [44] K. Flouris, M. Mendoza Jimenez, J.-D. Debus, and H. J. Herrmann, Confining massless dirac particles in two-dimensional curved space, *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 155419 (2018).
- [45] M. K. Parikh and F. Wilczek, Hawking Radiation as Tunneling, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 5042 (2000), [arXiv:hep-th/9907001](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9907001).
- [46] T. Jacobson, Black hole entropy and induced gravity (1994).
- [47] L. Susskind and J. Uglum, Black hole entropy in canonical quantum gravity and superstring theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 2700 (1994).
- [48] M. Cadoni, Induced gravity and entanglement entropy of 2D black holes [10.48550/arXiv.0709.0163](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.48550/arXiv.0709.0163) (2007).
- [49] A. Satz and T. Jacobson, Black hole entropy and the renormalization group (2013).
- [50] J. I. Latorre and A. Riera, A short review on entanglement in quantum spin systems, *J. Phys. A* **42**, 504002 (2009), publisher: IOP Publishing.
- [51] Y.-B. Guo, Y.-C. Yu, R.-Z. Huang, L.-P. Yang, R.-Z. Chi, H.-J. Liao, and T. Xiang, Entanglement entropy of non-hermitian free fermions, *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **33**, 475502 (2021).
- [52] M. Cadoni, Entanglement entropy of two-dimensional anti-de Sitter black holes, *Physics Letters B* **653**, 434 (2007).
- [53] M. Bañados, C. Teitelboim, and J. Zanelli, Black hole in three-dimensional spacetime, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 1849 (1992).
- [54] R. B. Mann and S. N. Solodukhin, Quantum scalar field on a three-dimensional (BTZ) black hole instanton: Heat kernel, effective action, and thermodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 3622 (1997).
- [55] L. Susskind and E. Witten, The holographic bound in Anti-de Sitter space (1998).
- [56] T. Azeyanagi, T. Nishioka, and T. Takayanagi, Near extremal black hole entropy as entanglement entropy via AdS<sub>2</sub>/CFT<sub>1</sub>, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 064005 (2008).
- [57] S. Carlip, Near-Horizon Conformal Symmetry and Black Hole Entropy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 241301 (2002).
- [58] S. Carlip, Black hole entropy and the problem of universality, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **67**, 012022 (2007).
- [59] A. Strominger, Five problems in quantum gravity, *Nuclear Physics B - Proceedings Supplements* **192-193**, 119 (2009).
- [60] L. McGough and H. Verlinde, Bekenstein-Hawking entropy as topological entanglement entropy, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2013**, 208 (2013).