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#### Abstract

The (first-type Landau) singularities of any $n$-point massless planar Feynman integral, at any loop order, are encoded in a certain "ziggurat graph". We compute the leading Landau singularities of the 7 -point ziggurat graph and find perfect agreement with a subset of the "heptagon symbol alphabet" that has appeared in the context of planar $\mathcal{N}=4$ super-Yang-Mills theory. The remaining heptagon symbol letters are found in subleading Landau singularities, which we address in a companion paper.
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## 1 Introduction

A key aspect of the S-matrix program is the expectation that scattering amplitudes should be largely determinable from a thorough understanding of their analytic structure. In recent years planar $\mathcal{N}=4$ super-Yang-Mills (SYM) theory has served as an exemplar of this approach [1]. In particular, the assumption that the singularities of all six- and seven-point amplitudes are encoded in symbol letters that are cluster variables [2, 3], together with physical input from near-collinear and multi-Regge kinematics, has allowed them to be determined to high loop order (see [4] for a review).

While it is known that higher-point amplitudes in SYM theory (and certainly those in other, less "simple" field theories) can have significantly more complicated analytic structure, a general criterion for determining the locations of singularities of Feynman integrals was formulated over 60 years ago by Landau [5]. Recently it has proven fruitful to explore general implications of the Landau equations and other classic work on discontinuities of amplitudes in the context of the modern amplitudes program; see for example [6-14].

In [15] it was shown that the Landau singularities of an arbitrary $n$-point massless planar Feynman integral (at any loop order) are a subset of those of a certain $n$-point ziggurat graph (see Fig. 1). Knowing the locations of an amplitude's singularities is closely related to, but not quite the same as, knowing its symbol alphabet. Knowledge of the former only provides information about where symbol letters vanish (or where the letters themselves have algebraic branch points, as a function of the kinematic data), not necessarily what the symbol letters


Figure 1: The four-, five-, six- and seven-point ziggurat graphs. A massless external leg attaches to each white vertex. The pattern continues by adding another column to the right each time $n$ increases by 2 .
are (although in many cases it gives enough information to make a natural guess). Indeed, the results of [15] do not directly involve the notion of symbol at all; they capture the singularities of arbitrary integrals not necessarily limited to those that can be expressed in terms of any particular special class of functions (such as multiple polylogarithms).

Also in [15] the singularities of the 6-point ziggurat were determined and found to comprise precisely those encoded in the "hexagon symbol alphabet" which is expected to suffice for expressing all 6 -point amplitudes in SYM theory. Consequently, no massless planar 6 -point Feynman integral, in any field theory, can have (first-type) Landau singularities anywhere other than on the vanishing locus of the hexagon symbol alphabet. In this paper we initiate a study of the singularities of the 7-point ziggurat and its connection to the "heptagon symbol alphabet" that, according to all evidence available to date [4, 16, 17], seems to suffice for expressing all 7-point amplitudes in SYM theory. However we emphasize that just like [15], our present analysis is completely general and is in no way tied to SYM theory.

In Sec. 2 we review the Landau equations, and in particular their formulation in momentum twistor space where on-shell conditions can be solved via simple geometric considerations in many nontrivial examples. As illustrative examples we discuss in detail how to determine the singularities of the 4 - and 5 -point ziggurat graphs before reviewing the 6 -point case from [15]. In Sec. 3 we find the leading singularities of (a graph equivalent to) the 7-point ziggurat, which are all consistent with the heptagon symbol alphabet. The graph has numerous subgraphs that need to be analyzed separately, which we defer to a companion paper.


Figure 2: Six- and seven-point graphs that are "Landau equivalent" to the corresponding graphs shown in Fig. 1(c) and (d). The labeling on (b) will be used in Sec. 3. The arrows indicate the four propagators carrying loop momentum $\ell_{a}$ (adjacent to the corresponding $\mathcal{L}_{a}$ ).

## 2 Warm-Up

In [5] Landau showed that a Feynman integral can have singularities only if certain polynomial equations are satisfied. In this section we review (largely following [8]) the formulation of the Landau equations in momentum twistor space. A significant advantage of working in momentum twistor space is that some of the Landau equations (the on-shell conditions) can be solved analytically, in many non-trivial cases (such as the showcase of this paper: Fig. 2(b)), via simple geometric considerations (see for example [18] for some simpler examples). We also review the equivalence of solutions to the Landau equations under certain graphical moves and the role of ziggurat graphs for classifying solutions of the Landau equations in massless planar theories.

### 2.1 Landau equations in momentum twistor space

In momentum twistor space each external leg of a planar $n$-point graph is associated to a point $Z_{i}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ (with an implied cyclic ordering of $1, \ldots, n$ ) and the external face bounded by legs $Z_{i}, Z_{i+1}$ is associated to the line containing those points, which we denote by ( $Z_{i}, Z_{i+1}$ ) or simply ( $i i+1$ ). Momentum twistors are related to the standard Mandelstam variables by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{i}+p_{i+1}+\cdots+p_{j}\right)^{2}=\frac{\langle i-1 i j j+1\rangle}{\langle i-1 i \mathcal{I}\rangle\langle j-1 j \mathcal{I}\rangle} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle A B C D\rangle$ denotes the $4 \times 4$ determinant of the homogeneous coordinates of four points in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ and $\mathcal{I}=\left(\mathcal{I}_{1}, \mathcal{I}_{2}\right)$ represents the "line at infinity", the choice of which necessarily breaks dual conformal invariance. To put it another way, any quantities involving $\mathcal{I}$ must cancel out in SYM theory since there is no invariant notion of "infinity" in momentum space.

Each internal face of a graph is associated to a line $\mathcal{L}_{\ell}$ representing the loop integration degrees of freedom. Often we parameterize $\mathcal{L}_{\ell}$ as $\left(A_{\ell}, B_{\ell}\right)$ for a choice of two distinct points on the line. We label a propagator bounded by two faces $(A, B)$ and $(C, D)$ by $\langle A B C D\rangle$. While the numerical value of this quantity is ambiguous, depending on the choices of representative points $(A, B)$ and $(C, D)$ on the two lines, it vanishes if and only if the two lines intersect, which is all that we will be interested in: this corresponds to the propagator going on-shell.

Consider an $L$-loop planar graph with $p$ propagators labeled $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{p}$. The Landau equations come in two types. First we have the on-shell conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{J}=0 \quad J=1,2, \ldots, p, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a solution of which we naturally call a cut), and next we have the Kirchhoff conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{J=1}^{p} \alpha_{J} \frac{\partial f_{J}}{\partial c_{A}}=0 \quad A=1, \ldots, 4 L \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\alpha_{J}$ 's are Feynman parameters and the $c_{A}$ stand for $4 L$ independent variables in terms of which we choose to parameterize the $L$ loop momenta. For the sake of definiteness we can choose for example

$$
\mathcal{L}_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & c_{1} & c_{2}  \tag{2.4}\\
0 & 1 & c_{3} & c_{4}
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathcal{L}_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & c_{5} & c_{6} \\
0 & 1 & c_{7} & c_{8}
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { etc. }
$$

We always exclude trivial solutions to (2.3) having all $\alpha_{J}=0$. The singularities encoded in the Landau equations (2.2) and (2.3) are sometimes called first-type singularities, in contrast to second-type singularities which arise from pinch singularities at infinite loop momentum [1921]. The latter commonly arise from triangle subdiagrams; see for example the discussion in Sec. V of [6]. Henceforth whenever we talk about Landau singularities, we only mean first-type singularities.

To find the Landau singularities of any given graph it is necessary to analyze the Landau equations for the full graph itself as well as for any subgraph that can be obtained by contracting any subset of its propagators. Because this is tantamount to setting various $\alpha$ 's to zero, the on-shell conditions (2.2) are traditionally written as $\alpha_{J} f_{J}=0$ to emphasize that one can consider the two cases $\alpha_{J}=0$ or $f_{J}=0$ separately. However for massless diagrams, it is common (as we will see below) to have solutions with both $\alpha_{J}=0$ and $f_{J}=0$ for one or more $J$ 's. For bookkeeping purposes we find it more convenient to demand that all propagators of a given graph must be put on-shell, and then remember to analyze all possible subgraphs separately. Note that (other than excluding trivial solutions) we are never interested in the values of the $\alpha$ 's (or $c$ 's), only in the binary question: what constraints must the external $Z_{i}$ satisfy in order for nontrivial solutions of the Landau equations to exist? This is the locus of (potential) singularities for any Feynman integral involving the propagators indicated in the graph under consideration. (Specific choices of numerator factors in a Feynman integral may conspire to cancel some of the potential singularities; the Landau equations are manifestly
blind to numerators and only care about the propagator structure, which is encoded in the graph topology.)

### 2.2 Ziggurat graphs

In $[7,15]$ it was shown that when all propagators are massless, the locus of solutions to the Landau equations associated to a graph is invariant under the graphical moves familiar from electrical circuit theory: series reduction, parallel reduction, and most importantly the wye-delta transform.

The problem of classifying all planar graphs under these graphical moves has been solved in terms of what were called "ziggurat" graphs in [15]. Specifically, any planar $n$-point graph is equivalent to the $n$-point ziggurat graph or a minor thereof. A minor of a graph is any graph that can be obtained by any combination of edge contractions or edge deletions. Therefore, the possible locations of any Landau singularity of any $n$-point massless planar Feynman integral are the same as those of the $n$-point ziggurat graph and its minors. Singularities associated to a given initial graph are called its leading singularities, while those associated to a minor are called subleading singularities.

### 2.3 Four-Point

In order to demonstrate the procedure of solving Landau equations in momentum twistor space we begin with the massless box graph shown in Fig. 1(a). The on-shell conditions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathcal{L} 12\rangle=\langle\mathcal{L} 23\rangle=\langle\mathcal{L} 34\rangle=\langle\mathcal{L} 41\rangle=0, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we use the shorthand $\langle\mathcal{L} i j\rangle=\left\langle\mathcal{L} Z_{i} Z_{j}\right\rangle$. These admit two distinct solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\left(Z_{1}, Z_{3}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad \mathcal{L}=\left(Z_{2}, Z_{4}\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Kirchhoff conditions take the form of a $4 \times 4$ matrix multiplying ( $\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}$ ) to give zero. Nontrivial solutions exist only when the determinant of this matrix, which evaluates to $\langle 1234\rangle^{2}$ on either of the two on-shell solutions, vanishes. This agrees with the usual momentum space analysis which reveals that (in terms of $\left.s=\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}, t=\left(p_{2}+p_{3}\right)^{2}\right)$ the massless box integral has leading Landau singularities only when

$$
\begin{equation*}
s t=\frac{\langle 1234\rangle^{2}}{\langle 12 \mathcal{I}\rangle\langle 23 \mathcal{I}\rangle\langle 34 \mathcal{I}\rangle\langle 41 \mathcal{I}\rangle}=0 . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Interestingly we don't see any sign of IR divergences in the leading Landau singularity. However if we contract (for example) the fourth edge, the three remaining on-shell conditions then admit two one-parameter families of solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\left(Z_{2}, \alpha Z_{3}+(1-\alpha) Z_{4}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad \mathcal{L}=\left(Z_{3}, \alpha Z_{1}+(1-\alpha) Z_{2}\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Kirchhoff conditions are no longer equivalent to a vanishing determinant since they are non-linear in the remaining variables $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha\right)$. Nevertheless it is easy to check that
the Landau equations admit the nontrivial solution $\mathcal{L}=\left(Z_{2}, Z_{3}\right), \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{3}=0$ for arbitrary external kinematics $Z_{i}$. We interpret solutions that exist for all kinematics as signalling the presence of IR singularities, arising from the soft/collinear region of loop momentum space. Going forward we are interested in classifying solutions of the Landau equations that exist only on codimension-one surfaces in the space of external kinematics, since these determine the locus of (potential) branch points ("branch surfaces", really) of an integral. (See [22] for an interesting recent discussion of higher codimension singularities.)

Altogether, after checking the Landau equations for all (triangle, bubble, or tadpole) subdiagrams one can obtain from the box by any combination of edge contractions, and discarding all solutions corresponding to IR singularities, one finds no additional singularities beyond the one at $\langle 1234\rangle=0$ present already in the box's leading singularity.

### 2.4 Five-Point

We label the external edges of the five-point ziggurat graph shown in Fig 1(b) with $Z_{1}$ on the lower right corner, increasing in clockwise order, and we label the lower (upper) loop with $\mathcal{L}_{1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$ respectively. It is well-known that the seven on-shell conditions (i.e., the double box heptacut) admit six distinct one-parameter families of solutions (see for example [23] for a nice discussion):

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{L}_{1}=\left(Z_{1}, \alpha Z_{2}+(1-\alpha) Z_{3}\right) & \mathcal{L}_{1}=\left(Z_{1}, \alpha Z_{2}+(1-\alpha) Z_{3}\right) \\
\mathcal{L}_{2}=\left(Z_{1}, Z_{4}\right) & \mathcal{L}_{2}=\left(Z_{5},(\alpha\langle 1245\rangle+(1-\alpha)\langle 1345\rangle) Z_{3}-\alpha\langle 1235\rangle Z_{4}\right) \\
\mathcal{L}_{1}=\left(Z_{1},\langle 1345\rangle Z_{2}-\langle 1245\rangle Z_{3}\right) & \mathcal{L}_{1}=\left(Z_{2}, \alpha Z_{1}+(1-\alpha) Z_{5}\right) \\
\mathcal{L}_{2}=\left(Z_{4}, \alpha Z_{1}+(1-\alpha) Z_{5}\right) & \mathcal{L}_{2}=\left(Z_{5},\langle 1245\rangle Z_{3}-\langle 1235\rangle Z_{4}\right) \\
& \\
\mathcal{L}_{1}=\left(Z_{2}, Z_{5}\right) & \mathcal{L}_{1}=\left(Z_{2}, \alpha Z_{1}+(1-\alpha) Z_{5}\right) \\
\mathcal{L}_{2}=\left(Z_{5}, \alpha Z_{3}+(1-\alpha) Z_{4}\right) & \mathcal{L}_{2}=\left(Z_{4}, \alpha Z_{1}+(1-\alpha) Z_{5}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Plugging (for example) the first on-shell solution into the Kirchhoff conditions gives eight equations in eight variables (the seven Feynman parameters $\alpha_{i}$ and the on-shell parameter $\alpha)$. There are seven non-trivial solutions which are at most codimension one in the external kinematics. One of these solutions has $\alpha=1$ (so that $\mathcal{L}_{1}=\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)$ ) and all Feynman parameters $\alpha_{i}$ vanishing except for the one associated to the propagator $\left\langle\mathcal{L}_{1} 12\right\rangle$. This solution exists for all external kinematics and we interpret as an IR singularity, as discussed in the previous section. In addition to such uninteresting solutions, there are other solutions that only exist when $\langle 1345\rangle\langle 1245\rangle\langle 1235\rangle=0$.

After repeating this analysis for the other on-shell solutions, and considering also all cyclic relabelings of the ziggurat graph, one finds that leading Landau singularities of fivepoint integrals can exist when $\langle i i+1 i+2 i+3\rangle=0$ for some $i$. In momentum space this corresponds to $\left(p_{i}+p_{i+1}\right)^{2}=s_{i, i+1}=0$. As in the four-point case, a thorough analysis of the Landau equations for every minor of the ziggurat graph Fig. 1(b) reveals no additional
singularities beyond those of the form $\langle i i+1 i+2 i+3\rangle$ already present in the ziggurat's leading singularities. We conclude that no massless planar five-point Feynman integral can have Landau singularities anywhere other than on the locus where $\prod_{i} s_{i, i+1}=0$.

Let us pause here to emphasize that there is no tension between this result and the fact that massless planar five-point Feynman integrals with more complicated singularities are certainly known. For example, the two-loop master integrals relevant to five-point functions in massless QCD have a 30 -letter symbol alphabet [24] that indicates the presence of branch point singularities at $s_{i, i+1}+s_{i+1, i+2}=0$ (and other more complicated functions of the Mandelstam variables). This is not a contradiction because our claim, as mentioned above, only applies to the so-called first-type Landau singularities, which can always be expressed in momentum twistor space without reference to a choice of infinity twistor $\mathcal{I}$. The fact that the locus $s_{i, i+1}+s_{i+1, i+2}=0$ cannot be expressed without introducing $\mathcal{I}$ is a giveaway that these more complicated letters indicate the presence of second-type singularities.

### 2.5 Six-Point

The six-point case was analyzed in [15]. It is qualitatively more similar to the four-point case than to the five-point case. The problem can be simplified by using a sequence of graphical moves to transform the six-point ziggurat graph shown in Fig. 1(c) into the equivalent graph in Fig. 2(a). The latter has the advantage of having precisely four times as many propagators as loops. This means that like in the four-point case, the solutions to the on-shell conditions are discrete (here there are 16 instead of 2 ) and the Kirchhoff conditions can be expressed as a determinant (here it is $12 \times 12$ instead of $4 \times 4$ ). Also like in the four-point case, the analysis of the leading singularity is not clouded by the need to isolate and discard solutions corresponding to IR singularities.

When evaluated on any one of the on-shell solutions, the Kirchhoff determinant factors into a product of various four-brackets $\langle i j k l\rangle$. By scanning over all 16 solutions, and considering all independent cyclic images of the graph, one encounters all $\binom{6}{4}=15$ distinct four-brackets, leading to the conclusion that all (first-type) Landau singularities of any massless planar six-point Feynman integral lie on the locus where a four-bracket vanishes.

This bolsters the expectation - consistent with all results available to date, which now extends to seven loop order [25] - that the 15 four-brackets constitute the symbol alphabet for all six-point amplitudes in SYM theory, to any loop order. However, we pause again to emphasize that this analysis cannot be considered to provide a proof of this fact, since the Landau equations can only tell us where symbol letters vanish, not their exact functional form away from the vanishing locus.

## 3 The Seven-Point Ziggurat

In this section we outline the calculation of the (leading) Landau singularities of the sevenpoint ziggurat graph shown in Fig. 1(d). We will see that this analysis is computationally




Figure 3: A sequence of graphical moves (see [15]) that transforms the 7-point ziggurat graph Fig. 1(d) into the wheel graph Fig. 2(b). YD indicates wye-delta transformation(s) on the node(s) shaded in grey; DY indicates delta-wye transformations(s) on the triangle(s) shaded in grey, and FP indicates a trivial contraction of external edges.
similar to the five-point calculation reviewed in Sec. 2.4. Our first step is to reduce the sixloop ziggurat graph to a simpler four-loop graph by the sequence of graphical moves shown in Fig. 3.

### 3.1 Solving the on-shell conditions

We now turn to the first set of Landau equations-the on-shell conditions. Since the graph Fig. 2(b) has 14 propagators, and there are 16 degrees of freedom in the four loop momenta, we expect solutions to come in 2-parameter families.

To organize the calculation we first consider the two propagators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathcal{L}_{1} 71\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathcal{L}_{1} 12\right\rangle=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are of "one-mass bubble" type, referring to the standard terminology (see for example Tab. 1 of [8]), and have two solutions: one for which the line $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ passes through the point $Z_{1}$, and one for which $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ lies in the plane $\overline{1}$. (Here we use the standard notation $\bar{i}=(i-1 i i+1)$, with ( $i j k$ ) denoting the plane containing $Z_{i}, Z_{j}$ and $Z_{k}$.) Since the Landau equations are parity invariant, the parity conjugate of any solution is again a solution, so it suffices to consider only the case where $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ passes through $Z_{1}$ and obtain the remaining solutions by parity conjugation.

Altogether we find a total of 40 two-dimensional solutions to the on-shell equations. Half of these solutions are listed in Tables 1 and 2; the rest are their parity conjugates.

### 3.2 Solving the Kirchhoff conditions

When evaluated on any one of the on-shell solutions, the Kirchhoff conditions provide a system of 16 equations in 16 variables: the 14 Feynman parameters $\alpha_{i}$ (which appear linearly) and the 2 parameters $\alpha, \beta$ associated to the cut (which in general appear nonlinearly). These equations are much more difficult to solve analytically than the on-shell conditions, though we report some very helpful intermediate results below. In general we find it necessary to adopt a "numerical experimentation" approach. Specifically, we populate the $4 \times 7$ matrix $Z$ of momentum twistors describing the external kinematics with 28 random integers, except for a single parameter " $z$ " in some position. We then evaluate the Kirchhoff conditions on this one-parameter family of kinematic configurations, and find all solutions that exist only for certain values of $z$. Like the five-point calculation, this analysis is complicated by the fact that there are branches of solutions that exist for all values of $z$, which must be excluded. By iterating over all possible positions of the parameter $z$, and by repeating the calculation for many choices of random integer values for the other entries of $Z$, we can be sure that we have identified all codimension-one loci in kinematic space where the Landau equations admit solutions.

The last step is to make the connection between the Landau singularities found in this way and the vanishing of symbol letters; and specifically to test the expectation that the heptagon symbol alphabet captures the singularities of all seven-point amplitudes in SYM theory. The 49 symbol letters of the heptagon alphabet (see [16, 26]) fall into seven classes under the $Z_{i} \rightarrow Z_{i+1}$ cyclic group. Let us denote the letters by

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
b_{01}=\langle 1234\rangle, & & b_{11}=\langle 1256\rangle, \quad b_{21}=\langle 1456\rangle, \quad b_{31}=\langle 1236\rangle, \\
b_{41}=\langle 1346\rangle, & & b_{51}=\langle 1(23)(45)(67)\rangle, & b_{61}=\langle 1(34)(56)(72)\rangle, \tag{3.3}
\end{array}
$$

with $b_{i j}$ obtained from $b_{i 1}$ by cyclically relabeling $Z_{m} \rightarrow Z_{m+j-1}$. Here $\langle a(b c)(d e)(f h)\rangle=$ $\langle b a d e\rangle\langle c a f g\rangle-(b \leftrightarrow c)$. The letters of type $b_{0 j}, b_{1 j}$ and $b_{6 j}$ are individually invariant under

| \# | $\left(\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{L}_{1} & \mathcal{L}_{4} \\ \mathcal{L}_{2} & \mathcal{L}_{3} \end{array}\right)$ |  | Letter Classes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \left(Z_{1}, l_{24}(\alpha)\right) \\ & \left(Z_{2}, Z_{4}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(Z_{6}, \beta Z_{1}+(1-\beta) l_{24}(\alpha)\right) \\ & \left(Z_{4}, Z_{6}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $b_{0}, b_{2}, b_{4}$ |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \left(Z_{1}, l_{24}(\alpha)\right) \\ & \left(Z_{2}, Z_{4}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(Z_{6},\left(Z_{1}, l_{24}(\alpha)\right) \cap \overline{5}\right) \\ & \left(Z_{4}, l_{56}(\beta)\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}, \\ b_{4} \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline\left(Z_{1}, P\right) \\ & \left(Z_{3}, Z_{1}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(Z_{6},(1, P) \cap(356)\right) \\ & \left(Z_{5}, Z_{3}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{3}$ |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \left(Z_{1}, l_{23}(\alpha)\right) \\ & \left(Z_{3}, l_{12}(\beta)\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(Z_{6},\left(l_{23}(\alpha), Z_{1}\right) \cap(356)\right) \\ & \left(Z_{5}, Z_{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{3}$ |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}(1-\beta)+\beta l_{34}(\alpha)\right) \\ & \left(Z_{2}, l_{34}(\alpha)\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(Z_{6},\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}(1-\beta)+\beta l_{34}(\alpha)\right) \cap\left(l_{34}(\alpha), Z_{5}, Z_{6}\right)\right) \\ & \left(Z_{5}, l_{34}(\alpha)\right) \end{aligned}$ | $b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}$, <br> $b_{3}, b_{5}$ |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \left(Z_{1}, l_{23}(\alpha)\right) \\ & \left(Z_{3},(12) \cap \overline{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(Z_{6}, \beta Z_{1}+(1-\beta) l_{23}(\alpha)\right) \\ & \left(Z_{5},(34) \cap\left(Z_{5}, Z_{6}, \beta Z_{1}+(1-\beta) l_{23}(\alpha)\right)\right) \end{aligned}$ | $b_{0}, b_{3}$ |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \left(Z_{1}, \beta Z_{2}+(1-\beta) l_{34}(\alpha)\right) \\ & \left(Z_{2}, l_{34}(\alpha)\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(Z_{6},\left(Z_{1}, \beta Z_{2}+(1-\beta) l_{34}(\alpha)\right) \cap \overline{5}\right) \\ & \left(Z_{4},(56) \cap \overline{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}$ |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline\left(Z_{1}, P\right) \\ & \left(Z_{3}, Z_{1}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(Z_{6},\left(Z_{1}, P\right) \cap \overline{5}\right) \\ & \left(Z_{4},(56) \cap(134)\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{3}, \\ b_{4} \end{gathered}$ |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline\left(Z_{1}, l_{23}(\alpha)\right) \\ & \left(Z_{3},(12) \cap(346)\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(Z_{6}, \beta Z_{1}+(1-\beta) l_{23}(\alpha)\right) \\ & \left(Z_{4}, Z_{6}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} b_{0}, b_{2}, b_{3}, \\ b_{4} \end{gathered}$ |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \left(Z_{1}, l_{23}(\alpha)\right) \\ & \left(Z_{3}, l_{12}(\beta)\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(Z_{6},\left(Z_{1}, l_{23}(\alpha)\right) \cap \overline{5}\right) \\ & \left(Z_{4},(56) \cap\left(l_{12}(\beta), Z_{3}, Z_{4}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}, \\ b_{3}, b_{4} \end{gathered}$ |

Table 1: The first ten solutions to the on-shell conditions associated to Fig. 2(b). These solutions all have the line $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ passing through $Z_{1}$ and the line $\mathcal{L}_{4}$ passing through $Z_{6}$. Here $\bar{i}$ indicates the plane $(i-1 i i+1), l_{i j}(\alpha)=\alpha Z_{i}+(1-\alpha) Z_{j}$ is a point on the line $(i j)$, and $P$ denotes an arbitrary twistor. Each solution has two degrees of freedom, manifested in most cases by the arbitrary parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$. The arbitrary point $P$ in solutions $\# 3$ and $\# 8$ has three degrees of freedom, but shifting $P$ in the direction of $Z_{1}$ leaves the solution unchanged so there are effectively only two degrees of freedom. The third column indicates which symbol letters (see main text) appear as Landau singularities for each cut.
parity while the others are related under parity by

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{2, j} \leftrightarrow b_{3, j-1}, \quad b_{4, j} \leftrightarrow b_{5, j-1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the third column of Tables 1 and 2 we indicate the families of symbol letters encountered for each of the on-shell solutions, using $b_{i}$ as shorthand for the cyclic family $\left\{b_{i 1}, \ldots, b_{i 7}\right\}$. Specifically, the Kirchhoff equations associated to a given cut admit (codimension-one) solutions only if the parameter $z$ takes a value that sets one or more symbol letters in an indicated

| \# | $\left(\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{L}_{1} & \mathcal{L}_{4} \\ \mathcal{L}_{2} & \mathcal{L}_{3} \end{array}\right)$ |  | Letter <br> Classes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | $\begin{aligned} & \left(Z_{1}, l_{24}(\alpha)\right) \\ & \left(Z_{2}, Z_{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{6} \cap\left(Z_{1}, l_{24}(\alpha), l_{56}(\beta)\right) \\ & \left(Z_{4}, l_{56}(\beta)\right) \end{aligned}$ | $b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}$ |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & \left(Z_{1},(23) \cap\left(Z_{1}, Z_{5}, P\right)\right) \\ & \left(Z_{3}, l_{12}(\alpha)\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{6} \cap\left(Z_{1}, Z_{5}, P\right) \\ & \left(Z_{5}, Z_{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{3}$ |
| 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \left(Z_{1}, P\right) \\ & \left(Z_{3}, Z_{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{6} \cap\left(Z_{1}, Z_{5}, P\right) \\ & \left(Z_{5}, Z_{3}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{3}$ |
| 14 | $\begin{aligned} & \left(Z_{1}, l_{2,(34) \cap \overline{6}}(\alpha)\right) \\ & \left(Z_{2},(34) \cap \overline{6}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{6} \cap\left(Z_{1}, l_{2,(34) \cap \overline{6}}(\alpha), P\right) \\ & \left(Z_{5},(34) \cap \overline{6}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $b_{0}, b_{3}, b_{5}$ |
| 15 | $\begin{aligned} & \left(Z_{1},\left(2, l_{34}(\alpha)\right) \cap\left(Z_{1}, Z_{5}, P\right)\right) \\ & \left(Z_{2}, l_{34}(\alpha)\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{6} \cap\left(Z_{1}, Z_{5}, P\right) \\ & \left(Z_{5}, l_{34}(\alpha)\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{3}$ |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \left(Z_{1}, l_{23}(\alpha)\right) \\ & \left(Z_{3},(12) \cap \overline{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{6} \cap\left(Z_{1}, l_{23(\alpha)}, P\right) \\ & \left(Z_{5},(34) \cap \overline{6}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $b_{0}, b_{3}$ |
| 17 | $\begin{aligned} & \left(Z_{1}, l_{23}(\alpha)\right) \\ & \left(Z_{3},(12) \cap \overline{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{6} \cap\left(Z_{1}, l_{23}(\alpha), 5\right) \\ & \left(Z_{5}, l_{34}(\alpha)\right) \end{aligned}$ | $b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{3}$ |
| 18 | $\begin{aligned} & \left(Z_{1}, \beta Z_{2}+(1-\beta) l_{34}(\alpha)\right) \\ & \left(Z_{2}, l_{34}(\alpha)\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{6} \cap\left(Z_{1}, \beta Z_{2}+(1-\beta) l_{34}(\alpha),(56) \cap \overline{3}\right) \\ & \left(Z_{4},(56) \cap \overline{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}$ |
| 19 | $\begin{aligned} & \left(Z_{1}, l_{23}(\alpha)\right) \\ & \left(Z_{3}, l_{12}(\beta)\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{6} \cap\left(Z_{1}, l_{23}(\alpha),(56) \cap\left(l_{12}(\beta), Z_{3}, Z_{4}\right)\right) \\ & \left(Z_{4},(56) \cap\left(l_{12}(\beta), Z_{3}, Z_{4}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$ | $b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}$, <br> $b_{3}, b_{4}$ |
| 20 | $\begin{aligned} & \left(Z_{1}, P\right) \\ & \left(Z_{3}, Z_{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{6} \cap\left(Z_{1}, P,(56) \cap(134)\right) \\ & \left(Z_{4},(56) \cap(134)\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{3} \\ b_{4} \end{gathered}$ |

Table 2: The second ten solutions to the on-shell conditions associated to Fig. 2(b). These solutions all have the line $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ passing through $Z_{1}$ and the line $\mathcal{L}_{4}$ lying in the plane $\overline{6}$. Each solution has two degrees of freedom.
family to zero. The union of singularities found in all cyclic relabelings of the original ziggurat graph comprises complete cyclic families. From the tables (and the parity conjugate cuts, which lead to analogous results with $b_{2} \leftrightarrow b_{3}$ and $b_{4} \leftrightarrow b_{5}$ ) we see that all heptagon symbol letters except for family $b_{6}$ are found as (leading) singularities of the seven-point graph Fig. 2(b). Singularities of type $b_{6}$ certainly appear in relaxations, as discussed in Sec. 3.4.

### 3.3 Some analytic details

Let us provide some insight into solving the Kirchhoff equations analytically using momentum twistors, and in doing so demonstrate how to translate the twistor space results into kinematic configurations occurring in momentum space. The Kirchhoff equations associated to Fig. 2(b),
written in momentum space read,

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{1}\left(l_{1}-p_{1}\right)+\alpha_{2} l_{1}+\alpha_{11}\left(l_{1}+p_{2}-l_{2}\right)+\alpha_{14}\left(l_{1}-p_{1}-l_{4}-p_{6}-p_{7}\right) & =0, \\
\alpha_{9} l_{4}+\alpha_{10}\left(l_{4}+p_{6}\right)-\alpha_{13}\left(l_{3}+p_{5}-l_{4}\right)-\alpha_{14}\left(l_{1}-p_{1}-l_{4}-p_{6}-p_{7}\right) & =0,  \tag{3.5}\\
\alpha_{4} l_{2}+\alpha_{5}\left(l_{2}+p_{3}\right)+\alpha_{12}\left(l_{2}+p_{3}-l_{3}+p_{4}\right)-\alpha_{11}\left(l_{1}+p_{2}-l_{2}\right)+\alpha_{3}\left(l_{2}-p_{2}\right) & =0, \\
\alpha_{7} l_{3}+\alpha_{8}\left(l_{3}+p_{5}\right)+\alpha_{13}\left(l_{3}+p_{5}-l_{4}\right)-\alpha_{12}\left(l_{2}+p_{3}-l_{3}+p_{4}\right)+\alpha_{6}\left(l_{3}-p_{4}\right) & =0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Each momentum $p_{a \dot{a}}$ in the graph is associated to four twistors $Z_{A}, Z_{B}, Z_{C}, Z_{D}$ (for external legs only three of the four twistors are distinct) with the explicit mapping given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{a \dot{a}}(A, B, C, D)=\mathcal{I}^{\alpha \beta} \mathcal{I}_{\gamma \delta} \frac{\epsilon_{\beta}(\cdot, A, B, C) D^{\delta}-\epsilon_{\beta}(\cdot, A, B, D) C^{\delta}}{\langle\mathcal{I} A B\rangle\langle\mathcal{I} C D\rangle} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{I}^{\alpha \beta} \mathcal{I}_{\gamma \delta}$ denotes the infinity twistor and it's dual, and the four twistors associated to a loop momentum occurring between the loop region $\mathcal{L}_{i}$ and the zone $y_{j}$ are $\left(\mathcal{L}_{i} Z_{j} Z_{j-1}\right)$. For example $\left(l_{1}\right)_{a \dot{a}}=p_{a \dot{a}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, Z_{2}, Z_{1}\right)$. The reader can verify that using (3.6) in (3.5) and then going to the gauge (2.4) precisely reproduces the twistor space formulation of the Kirchhoff equations described in (2.3).

Note that the first Kirchhoff equation in (3.5) requires four four-dimensional vectors to be linearly dependent, which only occurs if their determinant vanishes. We can translate this vanishing determinant condition to ( $a, \dot{a}$ ) indices via the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 i \epsilon_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} \sigma_{\dot{a}_{1} a_{1}}^{\mu} \sigma_{\dot{a}_{2} a_{2}}^{\nu} \sigma_{\dot{a}_{3} a_{3}}^{\rho} \sigma_{\dot{a}_{4} a_{4}}^{\sigma}=\epsilon_{a_{1} a_{2}} \epsilon_{\dot{a}_{2} \dot{a}_{3}} \epsilon_{a_{3} a_{4}} \epsilon_{\dot{a}_{4} \dot{a}_{1}}-\epsilon_{\dot{a}_{1} \dot{a}_{2}} \epsilon_{a_{2} a_{3}} \epsilon_{\dot{a}_{3} \dot{a}_{4}} \epsilon_{a_{4} a_{1}}, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{\dot{a} a}^{\mu}$ are the Pauli matrices. Using this we deduce that the first Kirchhoff equation admits solutions if either all of its Feynman parameters are zero, or if

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\operatorname{Det}\left[l_{1}-p_{1}, l_{1}, l_{1}+p_{2}-l_{2}, l_{1}-p_{1}-l_{4}-p_{6}-p_{7}\right]\right|_{\mathcal{L}_{1}=\left(Z_{1}, B\right)}=0  \tag{3.8}\\
\Longrightarrow\left\langle 72 \mathcal{L}_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle\mathcal{I}\left(\mathcal{L}_{2} 1\right) \cap\left(\mathcal{L}_{4} 1\right)\right\rangle=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the implication is understood only to hold on the support of our twenty cut solutions in Tables 1 and 2 which all take the form $\mathcal{L}_{1}=\left(Z_{1}, B\right)$. Similar constraints apply for the second Kirchhoff equation in (3.5). For example on the support of the first ten cut solutions (Table 1) which have $\mathcal{L}_{4}=\left(Z_{6}, H\right)$ we deduce that either

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Det}\left[l_{4}, l_{4}+p_{6},\right.\left.l_{3}+p_{5}-l_{4}, l_{1}-p_{1}-l_{4}-p_{6}-p_{7}\right]\left.\right|_{\mathcal{L}_{4}=(6, H)}=0  \tag{3.10}\\
& \Longrightarrow\left\langle 57 \mathcal{L}_{4}\right\rangle\left\langle\mathcal{I}\left(\mathcal{L}_{3} 6\right) \cap\left(\mathcal{L}_{1} 6\right)\right\rangle=0 \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

or that all the Feynman parameters in the second Kirchhoff equation vanish.
Let us exemplify the kinematic configurations which solve the Landau equations by using the aforementioned results to find a solution to the Kirchhoff equations for the second cut in Table 1 , which has $\mathcal{L}_{1}=\left(Z_{1}, l_{24}(\alpha)\right)$. If we seek a solution where not all $\alpha$ 's in the
first Kirchhoff equation are zero, then we must necessarily satisfy (3.9). A simple branch of solutions can be obtained by choosing $l_{24}=Z_{2}$ so that the first bracket in (3.9) vanishes. Plugging this solution into the first Kirchhoff equation we find that this sets $\left(l_{1}\right)_{a \dot{a}}$ soft, in particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(l_{1}\right)_{a \dot{a}}=p_{a \dot{a}}\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}, Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)=0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used that (3.6) vanishes when only two distinct twistors occur in its arguments. Let us continue on this branch of solutions and seek a solution where not all Feynman parameters in the second Kirchhoff equation are zero, in which case (3.11) must also be satisfied. A particular branch of solutions can be obtained by setting the first bracket in (3.11) to zero,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle 576(1,2) \cap \overline{5}\rangle=\langle 4567\rangle\langle 1256\rangle \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By choosing either of the four brackets in (3.13) to vanish we obtain two branches of solutions. Let us examine the $\langle 1256\rangle=0$ branch. Using $\langle 1256\rangle=0$ in the second Kirchhoff equation we find that $\left(l_{4}\right)_{a \dot{a}}$ is set to zero,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(l_{4}\right)_{a \dot{a}} & =p_{a \dot{a}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{4}, 5,6\right)  \tag{3.14}\\
& =-\langle 1256\rangle \mathcal{I}^{\alpha \beta} \mathcal{I}_{\gamma \delta} \frac{\epsilon_{\beta}(\cdot, 4,5,6) Z_{6}^{\delta}}{\langle\mathcal{I} 56\rangle\langle\mathcal{I} 6(12) \cap \overline{5}\rangle}, \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used that $\mathcal{L}_{4}=(6,(12) \cap \overline{5})=\left(6, Z_{4}\langle 5612\rangle+Z_{5}\langle 6412\rangle\right)$. We therefore observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle 1256\rangle=0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad\left(l_{4}\right)_{a \dot{a}}=0 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We still have one degree of freedom left in our second cut solution which has $\mathcal{L}_{3}=\left(4, l_{56}(\beta)\right)$. One can readily show that for codimension one solutions to the Landau equations our choice $\mathcal{L}_{1}=(1,2)$ requires $\alpha_{11}=0$. One way to see this is that all of the momenta in the first Kirchhoff equation are proportional to $\mathcal{I}_{\gamma \delta} Z_{1}^{\delta}$ except for $\left(l_{1}+p_{2}-l_{2}\right) \propto I_{\gamma \delta} Z_{2}^{\delta}$; hence either this latter momentum must be zero, which we do not have the remaining degrees of freedom available to achieve, or $\alpha_{11}=0$. Setting $\alpha_{11}=0$ requires that either all of the remaining $\alpha$ 's in the third Kirchhoff equation are zero, or that the remaining vectors satisfy the determinant condition

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\operatorname{Det}\left[l_{2}-p_{2}, l_{2}, l_{2}+p_{3}, l_{1}+p_{2}-l_{2}\right]\right|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}=(2,4)}=0  \tag{3.17}\\
\Longrightarrow\langle 1234\rangle\left\langle 234 l_{56}\right\rangle=0 \tag{3.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us consider the case where not all of the remaining of $\alpha$ 's in the third Kirchhoff equation are zero, in which case (3.18) applies, and we can solve this requirement by choosing

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{56}=(56) \cap \overline{3} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plugging this solution into the third Kirchhoff equation we find that this choice sets two of the momenta collinear,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{2}=(2,4) \& \mathcal{L}_{3}=(4,(56) \cap 3) \Longrightarrow l_{2}+p_{3} \| l_{2}+p_{3}-l_{3}+p_{4} . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plugging in our now fully localized cut solution into the fourth Kirchhoff equation we find that two of the momenta are automatically collinear,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{2}=(2,4) \& \mathcal{L}_{3}=(4,(56) \cap 3) \Longrightarrow l_{3}-p_{4} \| l_{2}+p_{3}-l_{3}+p_{4}, \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is as expected from momentum conservation at the vertex where the momenta (3.20) and (3.21) meet. In summary we have found the solution

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{1}=(1,2), \quad \mathcal{L}_{2} & =(2,4), \quad \mathcal{L}_{3}=(4,(56) \cap \overline{3}), \quad \mathcal{L}_{4}=(6,(12) \cap \overline{5})  \tag{3.22}\\
& \text { with the constraint: }\langle 1256\rangle=0
\end{align*}
$$

which solves the Kirchhoff equations,

$$
\begin{gather*}
l_{1}=l_{4}=0 \\
\alpha_{5}\left(l_{2}+p_{3}\right)+\alpha_{12}\left(l_{2}+p_{3}-l_{3}+p_{4}\right)=0, \quad \alpha_{6}\left(l_{3}-p_{4}\right)-\alpha_{12}\left(l_{2}+p_{3}-l_{3}+p_{4}\right)=0 \tag{3.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

by setting the momenta on the first line soft, and all three momenta on the second line collinear. Lastly, we must verify that our solution (3.22) is not a subcase of a solution which should be associated to an IR-divergence. As explained in the previous sections, IR divergences are associated to solutions to the Landau equations which do not impose any constraints on the external kinematics. We note that it is impossible to solve $l_{1}=l_{4}=0$ on the second cut solution in Table 1 without imposing $\langle 1256\rangle=0$, thus our solution (3.23) cannot be associated to an IR divergence. This concludes our prototypical example of a leading solution to the Landau equations.

### 3.4 Relaxations

In order to complete the enumeration of all possible (first-type Landau) singularities of sevenpoint amplitudes in massless planar theories, it remains to consider all possible relaxations of graph Fig. (2)(b). By relaxation, we mean any subgraph that can be obtained by contracting any subset of propagators (which, at the level of the Landau equations, amounts to setting the corresponding Feynman parameters to zero). We don't need to explicitly consider "edge deletions", mentioned at the end of Sec. 2.2, since solutions associated to such subgraphs come along for the ride as solutions of the parent graph with identically zero momentum flowing through the deleted edge.

It is interesting to note that the analysis of four-, five- and six-particle ziggurats reviewed in Sec. 2 does not reveal any additional singularities in relaxations beyond those already encountered at leading order. This certainly cannot be the case for graph Fig. (2)(b) since it does not have any leading singularities corresponding to symbol letters of type $b_{6}$, yet it contains (after relaxing 10 propagators) subgraphs of one-loop three-mass box type, which do have such singularities (see for example [6]). Therefore we know that the seven-point ziggurat has singularities (leading and/or subleading) corresponding to all elements of the heptagon symbol alphabet, but our analysis is not yet enough to conclude that no other singularities are possible. Since Fig. (2)(b) has quite a few nontrivial graphs as relaxations, we postpone a full analysis of this question to a companion paper.
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