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#### Abstract

We establish vanishing results for spaces of automorphic forms in characteristic 0 and characteristic $p$. We prove that for Hodge-type Shimura varieties, the weight of any nonzero automorphic form in characteristic 0 satisfies the Griffiths-Schmid conditions, by purely algebraic, characteristic $p$ methods. We state a conjecture for general Hodge-type Shimura varieties regarding the vanishing of the space of automorphic forms in characteristic $p$ in terms of the weight. We verify this conjecture for unitary PEL Shimura varieties of signature $(n-1,1)$ at a split prime.


## Introduction

In this paper, we establish vanishing results for spaces of automorphic forms in both characteristic 0 and characteristic $p$. Let $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X})$ be a Shimura datum, where $\mathbf{G}$ is a connected reductive $\mathbb{Q}$-group. For a compact open subset $K \subset \mathbf{G}\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$, we have a Shimura variety $\operatorname{Sh}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X})_{K}$ defined over a number field $\mathbf{E}$. Let $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{E}}$ be the parabolic subgroup attached to the Shimura datum (see 1.1.2). Choose a Borel subgroup B and a maximal torus $\mathbf{T}$ such that $\mathbf{T} \subset \mathbf{B} \subset \mathbf{P}$. Then, any algebraic $\mathbf{P}$-representation $(V, \rho)$ naturally gives rise to a vector bundle $\mathcal{V}(\rho)$ on $\operatorname{Sh}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X})_{K}$. Let $\mathbf{L} \subset \mathbf{P}$ denote the unique Levi subgroup of $\mathbf{P}$ containing $\mathbf{T}$. Write $\Phi$ for the $\mathbf{T}$-roots of $\mathbf{G}$, and $\Phi_{+}, \Delta$ respectively for the positive roots and the simple roots with respect to $\mathbf{B}$. Let $I \subset \Delta$ be the subset of simple roots contained in $\mathbf{L}$. For $\lambda \in X^{*}(\mathbf{T})$, we consider the $\mathbf{P}$-representation $V_{I}(\lambda)=\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathbf{P}}(\lambda)$ and denote by $\mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)$ the associated vector bundle on $\operatorname{Sh}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X})_{K}$. We call $\mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)$ the automorphic vector bundle attached to the weight $\lambda$. The global sections of $\mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)$ over $\operatorname{Sh}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X})_{K}$ will be called automorphic forms of weight $\lambda$ and level $K$.

We now restrict to the case when $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X})$ is of Hodge-type and $K$ is of the form $K=$ $K_{p} K^{p}$ with $K_{p} \subset \mathbf{G}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ hyperspecial and $K^{p} \subset \mathbf{G}\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{p}\right)$ is compact open (we say that $p$ is a prime of good reduction). Let $v \mid p$ be a place of $\mathbf{E}$ and write $\mathbf{E}_{v}$ for the completion of $\mathbf{E}$ at $v$. By results of Kisin $(\boxed{\operatorname{Kis} 10 \mid})$ and Vasiu $(\boxed{\operatorname{Vas} 99})$, the variety $\operatorname{Sh}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X})_{K}$ admits a smooth canonical model $\mathscr{S}_{K}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{E}_{v}}$. The vector bundle $\mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)$ over $\operatorname{Sh}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X})_{K}$ extends naturally to $\mathscr{S}_{K}$. For any $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{E}_{v}}$-algebra $F$ that is a field, we investigate which weights $\lambda$ admit nonzero automorphic forms with coefficients in $F$. In other words, we study the following set:

$$
C_{K}(F):=\left\{\lambda \in X^{*}(\mathbf{T}) \mid H^{0}\left(\mathscr{S}_{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{E}_{v}}} F, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right) \neq 0\right\} .
$$

It is a subcone (i.e additive submonoid) of $X^{*}(\mathbf{T})$. It is contained in the set $X_{+, I}^{*}(\mathbf{T})$ of $\mathbf{L}$ dominant characters, because $\mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)=0$ for non $\mathbf{L}$-dominant $\lambda$ (by L-dominant, we mean that it satisfies $\left\langle\lambda, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in I$ ). It suffices to consider the cases $F=\mathbb{C}$ and $F=\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$. Indeed, note that if $F \subset F^{\prime}$ then by flat base change, we have

$$
H^{0}\left(\mathscr{S}_{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{E}_{v}}} F^{\prime}, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)=H^{0}\left(\mathscr{S}_{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{E}_{v}}} F, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right) \otimes_{F} F^{\prime}
$$

therefore $C_{K}(F)=C_{K}\left(F^{\prime}\right)$. By MS11, there exists a smooth, toroidal compactification $\mathscr{S}_{K}^{\Sigma}$ of $\mathscr{S}_{K}$, where $\Sigma$ is a sufficiently fine cone decomposition. The vector bundle $\mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)$ over $\operatorname{Sh}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X})_{K}$ extends naturally to the toroidal compactification $\mathscr{S}_{K}^{\Sigma}$. By results of Lan-Stroh in [LS18], the Koecher principle holds, i.e there is an identification $H^{0}\left(\mathscr{S}_{K} \otimes_{R}\right.$ $\left.R, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)=H^{0}\left(\mathscr{S}_{K}^{\Sigma} \otimes_{R} R, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)$ for all $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{E}_{v}}$-algebra $R$ and all $\lambda \in X^{*}(\mathbf{T})$, except when $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Sh}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X})_{K}\right)=1$ and $\mathscr{S}_{K}^{\Sigma} \backslash \mathscr{S}_{K} \neq \emptyset$. We assume henceforth that $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Sh}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X}))>1$ or that $\mathscr{S}_{K}$ is proper, so that the Koecher principle holds.

In general, the set $C_{K}(F)$ highly depends on the choice of the level $K$ (even in the case of the modular curve). For a subcone $C \subset X^{*}(\mathbf{T})$, define its saturated cone $\langle C\rangle$ as the set of $\lambda \in X^{*}(\mathbf{T})$ such that some positive multiple of $\lambda$ lies in $C$. The saturated cone $\left\langle C_{K}(F)\right\rangle$ is then independent of the level $K$ ([Kos19, Corollary 1.5.3]). Hence, it should be possible to give an expression for the saturated cone in terms of the root data of $\mathbf{G}$. Indeed, it is known (at least for $F=\mathbb{C}$ ) that the cohomology of the Shimura variety $\mathscr{S}_{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{E}_{v}}} F$ can be expressed in terms of automorphic representations, and the theory of automorphic representations is to a large extent controlled by the root datum of the reductive group $\mathbf{G}$.

We first consider the case $F=\mathbb{C}$. Griffiths-Schmid considered in GS69 the following set of characters:

$$
C_{\mathrm{GS}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
\lambda \in X^{*}(\mathbf{T}) & \begin{array}{l}
\left\langle\lambda, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle \geq 0 \text { for } \alpha \in I, \\
\left\langle\lambda, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle \leq 0 \text { for } \alpha \in \Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{\mathbf{L},+}
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

Here $\Phi_{\mathbf{L},+}$ denotes the positive $\mathbf{T}$-roots in $\mathbf{L}$. We call this cone the Griffiths-Schmid cone. The following seems to be known to experts, but as far as we know there is no reference where this result is explicitly stated.
Theorem 1. Let $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X})$ be any Hodge-type Shimura datum. Let $\lambda \in X^{*}(\mathbf{T})$ be a character and assume that $\lambda \notin C_{\mathrm{GS}}$. Then we have $H^{0}\left(\operatorname{Sh}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X})_{K}, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)=0$.

In other words, this theorem amounts to the inclusion $C_{K}(\mathbb{C}) \subset C_{\mathrm{GS}}$. We note that the equality $\left\langle C_{K}(\mathbb{C})\right\rangle=C_{\mathrm{GS}}$ is expected in general. It seems possible to show the above theorem using the theory of Lie algebra cohomology. In this paper, we give a proof based on purely characteristic $p$ methods, which is a novel aspect of our approach. For an automorphic form $f$ in characteristic zero, we may consider the reduction of $f$ modulo $v$ for all except finitely many places $v$ of $\mathbf{E}$. Then, our approach is to use the geometric structure (namely the Ekedahl-Oort stratification) of the special fiber at $v$ to extract information about the weight of $f$.

In our proof of Theorem 1, only weak information at each prime is sufficient to obtain the result because we are able to reduce $f$ at infinitely many places. On the other hand, a more difficult question is to fix a prime $p$ (of good reduction) and study the cone $C_{K}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)$. Similarly to the characteristic zero case, the saturated cone $\left\langle C_{K}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)\right\rangle$ is independent of $K$ and we expect that it can be expressed in terms of root data. However, it also depends in general on the prime $p$. We have conjectured the following ([GK18, Conjecture C]):
Conjecture 1. We have $\left\langle C_{K}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle C_{\text {zip }}\right\rangle$.
Here, the cone $C_{\text {zip }}$ is an entirely group-theoretical object defined using the stack of $G$-zips defined by Moonen-Wedhorn (MW04) and Pink-Wedhorn-Ziegler (PWZ11, PWZ15]). Specifically, write $S_{K}:=\mathscr{S}_{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{E}_{v}}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$. Since $K_{p}$ is hyperspecial, G admits a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-reductive model $\mathcal{G}$. Set $G:=\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathbb{F}_{p}$, and write similarly $T, L$ for the reduction of $\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{L}$ respectively. By results of Zhang ([Zha18]) there exists a smooth map $\zeta: S_{K} \rightarrow G$-Zip ${ }^{\mu}$ where $G$-Zip ${ }^{\mu}$ is the stack of $G$-zips of type $\mu$ (here $\mu$ is a cocharacter of $G_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}}$ whose centralizer is $L$ ). The map $\zeta$ is also surjective by [SYZ19, Corollary 3.5.3(1)]. The automorphic vector bundles $\mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)$ also exist on the stack $G$-Zip ${ }^{\mu}$ (see [IK21a, §2.4]), compatibly
with the map $\zeta$. We defined $C_{\text {zip }}$ ([Kos19, (1.2.3)]) as the set of $\lambda \in X^{*}(\mathbf{T})$ such that $H^{0}\left(G-\operatorname{Zip}^{\mu}, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right) \neq 0$. The space $H^{0}\left(G-\right.$ Zip $\left.^{\mu}, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)$ can be interpreted in terms of representation theory of reductive groups (Kos19, Theorem 3.7.2], [IK21a, Theorem 1]).

Conjecture 1 was proved in GK18, Theorem D] for Hilbert-Blumenthal Shimura varieties, Siegel threefolds and Picard surfaces (at split primes). The Hilbert-Blumenthal case was also treated independently by Diamond-Kassaei in DK17, Corollary 1.3] using different methods and a different formulation. In the preprint GK22, it is proved in the cases $G=\operatorname{GSp}(6), \mathrm{GU}(r, s)$ for $r+s \leq 4$ (except when $r=s=2$ and $p$ is inert). The set $C_{\text {zip }}$ is much more tractable than $\left\langle C_{K}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)\right\rangle$, but is still difficult to determine in general. We can use Conjecture 1 in order to gain intuition about the cone $\left\langle C_{K}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)\right\rangle$. Conversely, facts pertaining to automorphic forms and their weights should have an equivalent group-theoretical statement on the level of the stack $G$-Zip ${ }^{\mu}$. For example, using reduction modulo $p$, one shows easily that $C_{K}(\mathbb{C}) \subset C_{K}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)$ (see Kos19, Proposition 1.8.3]), hence also $\left\langle C_{K}(\mathbb{C})\right\rangle \subset\left\langle C_{K}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)\right\rangle$. Since it is expected that $\left\langle C_{K}(\mathbb{C})\right\rangle=C_{\mathrm{GS}}$ in general, one should expect an inclusion $C_{\mathrm{GS}} \subset\left\langle C_{\text {zip }}\right\rangle$. This fact is highly nontrivial, and was indeed proved in general in the recent preprint IK22, Theorem Theorem 6.4.2], as a sanity check for Conjecture 1 to hold.

In this paper, our second goal is to seek an upper bound approximation of $\left\langle C_{K}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)\right\rangle$. To gain intuition, we first consider the cone $C_{\text {zip }}$ and determine an upper bound for it. We define in section 3.2 the unipotent-invariance cone $C_{\text {unip }} \subset X^{*}(\mathbf{T})$ and show that $C_{\text {zip }} \subset C_{\text {unip }}$. When $G$ is split over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ or $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$ and $P$ is defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, we can give concrete equations for an upper bound of $C_{\text {zip }}$. Let $W_{L}=W(L, T)$ be the Weyl group of $L$. Note that $W_{L} \rtimes \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}} / \mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ acts naturally on the set $\Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}$. Let $\mathcal{O} \subset \Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}$ be an orbit under the action of $W_{L} \rtimes \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}} / \mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ and let $S \subset \mathcal{O}$ be any subset. Set

$$
\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}, S, p}(\lambda):=\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{O} \backslash S}\left\langle\lambda, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{p} \sum_{\alpha \in S}\left\langle\lambda, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle
$$

Define $C_{\mathcal{O}}$ as the set of $\lambda \in X^{*}(\mathbf{T})$ such that $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}, S}(\lambda) \leq 0$ for all subsets $S \subset \mathcal{O}$. Then we have

$$
C_{\text {zip }} \subset \bigcap_{\substack{\text { orbits } \\ \mathcal{O} \subset \Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}}} C_{\mathcal{O}} .
$$

Only certain choices of $(\mathcal{O}, S)$ will contribute non-trivially to the above intersection, but for a general group it is unclear to us how to determine the important pairs $(\mathcal{O}, S)$. By Conjecture 1, we can expect the following:

Conjecture 2. Let $S_{K}$ be the special fiber of a Hodge-type Shimura variety at a prime p of good reduction which splits in $\mathbf{E}$. Furthermore, assume that the attached reductive $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-group $G$ is split over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$. Then if $f \in H^{0}\left(S_{K}, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)$ is a nonzero automorphic form of weight $\lambda \in X^{*}(\mathbf{T})$, we have $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}, S, p}(\lambda) \leq 0$ for all $W_{L} \rtimes \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}} / \mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$-orbit $\mathcal{O} \subset \Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}$ and all subsets $S \subset \mathcal{O}$.

We now consider the case of Shimura varieties attached to a unitary similitude group $\mathbf{G}$ such that $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq \mathrm{GU}(n-1,1)$. We choose a split prime $p$ of good reduction. In this case $G \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{n-1, \mathbb{F}_{p}} \times \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathbb{F}_{p}}$. We parametrize weights by $n$-tuples $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}$. We prove Conjecture 2 in this case. More precisely, we have the following:

Theorem 2. Let $S_{K}$ be the good reduction special fiber of a unitary Shimura variety of signature $(n-1,1)$ at a split prime $p$. Let $f \in H^{0}\left(S_{K}, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)$ be a nonzero mod $p$ automorphic
form and write $\lambda=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Then we have:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{j}\left(k_{i}-k_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=j+1}^{n-1}\left(k_{i}-k_{n}\right) \leq 0 \quad \text { for all } j=1, \ldots, n-1
$$

The inequalities appearing in the statement of the theorem are of the form $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}, S}(\lambda) \leq 0$, as in Conjecture 2. In this case, the set $\Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}$ consists of a single orbit under the group $W_{L}$. Furthermore, we only need consider the sets $S \subset \Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}$ which satisfy the property that if $w \in S$, then any $w^{\prime} \geq w$ is also in $S$, because one sees easily that the other sets do not contribute. This gives the $n$ inequalities in Theorem 2. It is compatible with Theorem 1 in the following sense. In our convention of positivity, we have

$$
C_{\mathrm{GS}}=\left\{\lambda=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \quad \mid k_{n} \geq k_{1} \geq \cdots \geq k_{n-1}\right\} .
$$

Note that $C_{\mathrm{GS}}$ is the set of $L$-dominant characters $\lambda \in X_{+, L}^{*}(T)$ satisfying the condition $k_{1} \leq k_{n}$. If we let $p$ go to infinity in the inequality corresponding to $j=1$ in Theorem 2 , we deduce that the weight $\lambda=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)$ of any characteristic zero automorphic form satisfies $k_{1} \leq k_{n}$, hence lies in $C_{\mathrm{GS}}$.

We briefly explain the proof of Theorem 2. First, we consider the flag space of $S_{K}$, which is a $P / B$-fibration $\pi_{K}: \operatorname{Flag}\left(S_{K}\right) \rightarrow S_{K}$. It carries a family of line bundles $\mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in X^{*}(\mathbf{T})$ such that $\pi_{K, *}\left(\mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda)\right)=\mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)$. Furthermore, it carries a stratification $\left(\operatorname{Flag}\left(S_{K}\right)_{w}\right)_{w \in W}$ defined as the fibers of a natural map $\psi_{K}: \operatorname{Flag}\left(S_{K}\right) \rightarrow[B \backslash G / B]$. For each $w \in W$, we define a cone $C_{K, w} \subset X^{*}(\mathbf{T})$ as the set of $\lambda$ such that the line bundle $\mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda)$ admits nonzero sections on the Zariski closure $\overline{\operatorname{Flag}\left(S_{K}\right)}{ }_{w}$. There is a natural subcone $C_{\text {Hasse }, w} \subset C_{K, w}$ given by the weights of sections which arise by pullback from the stack $[B \backslash G / B]$ via $\psi_{K}$. We say that the stratum Flag $\left(S_{K}\right)_{w}$ is Hasse-regular if $\left\langle C_{\text {Hasse }, w}\right\rangle=$ $\left\langle C_{K, w}\right\rangle$. Let $w_{0}$ and $w_{0, L}$ be the longest elements in $W$ and $W_{L}$ respectively. Set $z=w_{0, L} w_{0}$. The projection $\pi_{K}$ restricts to a map $\pi_{K}: \overline{\operatorname{Flag}\left(S_{K}\right)}{ }_{z} \rightarrow S_{K}$ which is finite etale on the open subset Flag $\left(S_{K}\right)_{z}$. The proof of Theorem 2 uses the following result as a starting point:

Theorem 3. Let $S_{K}$ be the good reduction special fiber of a unitary Shimura variety of signature $(n-1,1)$ at a split prime. For any $w \in W$ such that $w \leq z$, the stratum Flag $\left(S_{K}\right)_{w}$ is Hasse-regular.

We conjecture that the above also generalizes for all Hodge-type Shimura varieties when $G$ is split over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Concretely, this theorem implies the following: Let $f$ be any nonzero section of $\mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda)$ on $\overline{\operatorname{Flag}\left(S_{K}\right)}$ for $\lambda=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Then $\lambda$ satisfies $k_{i}-k_{n} \leq 0$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n-1$. In particular, let $f$ be any nonzero automorphic form in characteristic $p$, of weight $\lambda$. We may view $f$ as a global section of the line bundle $\mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda)$ on $\operatorname{Flag}\left(S_{K}\right)$, using the relation $\pi_{K, *}\left(\mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda)\right)=\mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)$. If $\lambda \notin C_{\mathrm{GS}}$, then the restriction of $f$ to the stratum $\operatorname{Flag}\left(S_{K}\right)_{z}$ is zero. We expect this result to generalize to all Hodge-type cases at split primes of good reduction.

We prove Theorem 2 as a consequence of Theorem 3, by using a suitable sequence of elements $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{N}$ in $W$ starting at $w_{1}=w_{0}$ and ending at $w_{N}=z$. For each $1 \leq i \leq N-1, w_{i+1}$ is a lower neighbour of $w_{i}$ with respect to the Bruhat order on $W$. Furthermore, the flag stratum corresponding to $w_{i+1}$ is cut out inside the Zariski closure of $\operatorname{Flag}\left(S_{K}\right)_{w_{i}}$ by a certain partial Hasse invariant $\mathrm{Ha}_{i}$. It then follows easily that the weight of any nonzero global section of $\mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda)$ is the sum of the weights of $\mathrm{Ha}_{i}$ and of an element of $C_{\mathrm{GS}}$, which proves the result.
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## 1 Weights of automorphic forms

### 1.1 Automorphic forms on Shimura varieties

### 1.1.1 Shimura varieties

Let $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X})$ be a Shimura datum of Hodge-type Del79, 2.1.1]. In particular, $\mathbf{G}$ is a connected, reductive group over $\mathbb{Q}$. Furthermore, $\mathbf{X}$ gives rise to a well-defined $\mathbf{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$-conjugacy class of cocharacters $\{\mu\}$ of $\mathbf{G}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$. Let $\mathbf{E}=\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X})$ be the reflex field of $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X})$ (i.e. the field of definition of $\{\mu\})$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{E}}$ its ring of integers. If $K \subset \mathbf{G}\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$ is an open compact subgroup, write $\operatorname{Sh}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X})_{K}$ for Deligne's canonical model at level $K$ over $\mathbf{E}$ (see [Del79]). When $K$ is small enough, $\operatorname{Sh}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X})_{K}$ is a smooth, quasi-projective scheme over $\mathbf{E}$. Fix a finite set of "bad" primes $S$ and a compact open subgroup $K \subset \mathbf{G}\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$ of the form

$$
K=K_{S} \times K^{S}
$$

where $K_{S} \subset \mathbf{G}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{S}\right)$ and $K^{S}=\mathbf{G}\left(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{S}\right)$, where $\mathbb{Q}_{S}=\prod_{p \in S} \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{S}=\prod_{p \notin S} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. For all $p \notin S$, the Shimura variety $\operatorname{Sh}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X})_{K}$ has good reduction at all primes above $p$. In particular, for each $p \notin S$, the group $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ is unramified, so there exists a reductive $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-model $\mathcal{G}$, such that $G:=\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathbb{F}_{p}$ is connected. For any place $v$ above $p$ in $\mathbf{E}$, Kisin (Kis10 ) and Vasiu (Vas99) constructed a smooth canonical model $\mathscr{S}_{K}$ of $\operatorname{Sh}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X})_{K}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{E}, v}$. By glueing, we obtain a smooth $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{E}}\left[\frac{1}{N}\right]$-model, that we will abusively continue to denote by $\mathscr{S}_{K}$, where $N \geq 1$ is an integer divisible by all the primes in $S$. We denote its $\bmod p$ reduction by $S_{K, p}:=\mathscr{S}_{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{E}, v}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$ (we simply write $S_{K}$ when the choice of $p$ is fixed). We will have to extend the ring of definition so that all objects we consider are defined over that ring. Therefore, we let $R$ be a ring of the form $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{E}^{\prime}}\left[\frac{1}{N^{\prime}}\right]$ for a number field $\mathbf{E} \subset \mathbf{E}^{\prime}$ and an integer $N^{\prime}$ divisible by $N$. We will freely change $R$ to a suitable extention by modifying $\mathbf{E}^{\prime}$ and $N^{\prime}$.

### 1.1.2 Automorphic vector bundles

A cocharacter $\mu \in\{\mu\}$ induces a decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}:=\operatorname{Lie}\left(\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ as $\mathfrak{g}=\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_{n}$, where $\mathfrak{g}_{n}$ is the subspace where $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathbb{C}}$ acts on $\mathfrak{g}$ by $x \mapsto x^{n}$ via $\mu$. It gives rise to an opposite pair of parabolic subgroups $\mathbf{P}_{ \pm}(\mu)$ such that $\operatorname{Lie}\left(\mathbf{P}_{+}(\mu)\right)$ (resp. $\operatorname{Lie}\left(\mathbf{P}_{-}(\mu)\right)$ is the direct sum of $\mathfrak{g}_{n}$ for $n \geq 0$ (resp. $n \leq 0$ ). We set $\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{P}_{-}(\mu)$. Let $(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{T})$ be a Borel pair of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\mathbf{B} \subset \mathbf{P}$ and such that $\mu: \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$ factors through $\mathbf{T}$. As usual, $X^{*}(\mathbf{T})$ denotes the group of characters of $\mathbf{T}$. Let $\mathbf{B}^{+}$be the opposite Borel subgroup (i.e the unique Borel subgroup such that $\left.\mathbf{B}^{+} \cap \mathbf{B}=\mathbf{T}\right)$. Let $\Phi \subset X^{*}(\mathbf{T})$ be the set of $\mathbf{T}$-roots of $G$ and $\Phi_{+} \subset \Phi$ the system of positive roots with respect to $\mathbf{B}^{+}$(i.e. $\alpha \in \Phi_{+}$whenever the $\alpha$-root group $U_{\alpha}$ is contained in $\left.\mathbf{B}^{+}\right)$. We use this convention to match those of the previous publications GK19a, Kos19. Let $\Delta \subset \Phi_{+}$be the set of simple roots. Let $I \subset \Delta$ denote the set of simple roots of the unique Levi subgroup $\mathbf{L} \subset \mathbf{P}$ containing $\mathbf{T}$ (note that $\mathbf{L}$ is the centralizer of $\mu)$.

We may assume that there exists a reductive, smooth group scheme $\mathcal{G}$ over $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{N^{\prime}}\right]$ such that $\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\left[1 / N^{\prime}\right]} \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbf{G}$ and that $\mu$ extends to a cocharacter of $\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\left[1 / N^{\prime}\right]} R$. In particular, we
obtain a parabolic subgroup $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\left[1 / N^{\prime}\right]} R$ that extends $\mathbf{P}$. The $R$-scheme $\mathscr{S}_{K}$ carries a universal $\mathcal{P}$-torsor afforded by the Hodge filtration. This torsor yields a natural functor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}: \operatorname{Rep}_{R}(\mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{V B}\left(\mathscr{S}_{K}\right) \tag{1.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Rep}_{R}(\mathcal{P})$ denotes the category of algebraic $R$-representations of $\mathcal{P}$, and $\mathfrak{V B}\left(\mathscr{S}_{K}\right)$ is the category of vector bundles on $\mathscr{S}_{K}$. Furthermore, the functor $\mathcal{V}$ commutes in an obvious sense with change of level. The vector bundles of the form $\mathcal{V}(\rho)$ for $\rho \in \operatorname{Rep}_{R}(\mathcal{P})$ are called automorphic vector bundles in [Mil90, III. Remark 2.3].

Let $\lambda \in X^{*}(\mathbf{T})$ be an $\mathbf{L}$-dominant character, by which we mean that $\left\langle\lambda, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in I$. Set $\mathbf{V}_{I}(\lambda)=H^{0}\left(\mathbf{P} / \mathbf{B}, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}\right)$, where $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ is the line bundle on $\mathbf{P} / \mathbf{B}$ attached to $\lambda$. It is the unique irreducible representation of $\mathbf{P}$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of highest weight $\lambda$. After possibly extending $R$, we may assume that $\mathbf{V}_{I}(\lambda)$ admits a natural model over $R$, namely $\mathbf{V}_{I}(\lambda)_{R}:=H^{0}\left(\mathcal{P} / \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}\right)$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}\left[1 / N^{\prime}\right]$-Borel subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$ extending $\mathbf{B}$. We denote by $\mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)$ the vector bundle on $\mathscr{S}_{K}$ attached to the $\mathcal{P}$-representation $\mathbf{V}_{I}(\lambda)_{R}$.

### 1.1.3 The stack of $G$-zips

Let $p$ be a prime number and $q$ a $p$-power. Fix an algebraic closure $k$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. For a $k$-scheme $X$, we denote by $X^{(q)}$ its $q$-th power Frobenius twist and by $\varphi: X \rightarrow X^{(q)}$ its relative Frobenius. Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(k / \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ be the automorphism $x \mapsto x^{q}$. If $G$ is a connected, reductive group over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and $\mu: \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}, k} \rightarrow G_{k}$ is a cocharacter, we call the pair $(G, \mu)$ a cocharacter datum over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. In the context of Shimura varieties, we always take $q=p$, and $G$ will be the reduction modulo $p$ of $\mathbf{G}$ at a prime of good reduction. To the pair $(G, \mu)$, we can attach (functorially) a finite smooth stack $G$-Zip ${ }^{\mu}$ called the stack of $G$-zips of type $\mu$. It was introduced by Moonen-Wedhorn and Pink-Wedhorn-Ziegler in MW04, PWZ11, PWZ15]. As in section 1.1.2, $\mu$ gives rise to two opposite parabolic subgroups $P_{ \pm}(\mu) \subset G_{k}$. We set $P:=P_{-}(\mu)$ and $Q:=P_{+}(\mu)^{(q)}$. Let $L:=\operatorname{Cent}(\mu)$ be the centralizer of $\mu$, it is a Levi subgroup of $P$. Put $M:=L^{(q)}$, which is a Levi subgroup of $Q$. We have a Frobenius map $\varphi: L \rightarrow M$. The tuple $\mathcal{Z}:=(G, P, Q, L, M, \varphi)$ is called the zip datum attached to $(G, \mu)$.

Let $\theta_{L}^{P}: P \rightarrow L$ be the projection onto the Levi subgroup $L$ modulo the unipotent radical $R_{\mathrm{u}}(P)$. Define $\theta_{M}^{Q}: Q \rightarrow M$ similarly. The zip group of $\mathcal{Z}$ is defined by

$$
E:=\left\{(x, y) \in P \times Q \mid \varphi\left(\theta_{L}^{P}(x)\right)=\theta_{M}^{Q}(y)\right\} .
$$

Let $E$ act on $G$ by the rule $(x, y) \cdot g:=x g y^{-1}$. The stack of $G$-zips $G$-Zip ${ }^{\mu}$ can be defined as the quotient stack

$$
G \text {-Zip }{ }^{\mu}:=\left[E \backslash G_{k}\right] .
$$

To any $E$-representation $(V, \rho)$, one attaches a vector bundle $\mathcal{V}(\rho)$ on $G$-Zip ${ }^{\mu}$, as explained in IK21a, §2.4.2] using the associated sheaf construction ([Jan03, I.5.8]). In particular, a $P$ representation $(V, \rho)$ gives rise to an $E$-representation via the first projection $\mathrm{pr}_{1}: E \rightarrow P$, thus to a vector bundle $\mathcal{V}(\rho)$. Choose a Borel pair $(B, T)$ of $G_{k}$ such that $B \subset P$ and such that $\mu$ factors through $T$. For $\lambda \in X^{*}(T)$, define $V_{I}(\lambda)$ as the $P$-representation $\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{P}(\lambda)=H^{0}\left(P / B, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}\right)$ similarly to section 1.1.2. The vector bundle on $G$-Zip ${ }^{\mu}$ attached to $V_{I}(\lambda)$ is denoted again by $\mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)$.

We now explain the connection with Shimura varieties. We return to the setting of section 1.1.1. Fix a prime $p \notin S$ of good reduction and let $G:=\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{N^{N}}\right]} \mathbb{F}_{p}$. Write again $\mu$ for the cocharacter of $G_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}}$ obtained by reduction $\bmod p$. We obtain a cocharacter datum $(G, \mu)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, and hence a zip datum $(G, P, L, Q, M, \varphi)$ and a stack of $G$-zips $G$-Zip ${ }^{\mu}$. Write $S_{K, p}:=\mathscr{S} \otimes_{R} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$. Zhang ([Zha18, 4.1]) constructed a smooth morphism

$$
\zeta: S_{K, p} \rightarrow G-\mathrm{Zip}^{\mu} .
$$

This map is also surjective by [SYZ19, Corollary 3.5.3(1)]. Furthermore, the automorphic vector bundle $\mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)$ defined on $S_{K, p}$ using the functor 1.1.1 coincides with the pullback via $\zeta$ of the vector bundle $\mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)$ defined on $G$-Zip ${ }^{\mu}$.

### 1.1.4 Toroidal compactification

By [MS11, Theorem 1], there is a sufficiently fine cone decomposition $\Sigma$ and a toroidal compactification $\mathscr{S}_{K}^{\Sigma}$ of $\mathscr{S}_{K}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{E}, v}$. Again, by glueing we may assume that there exists a toroidal compactification of $\mathscr{S}_{K}$ over the ring $R$, that we denote again by $\mathscr{S}_{K}^{\Sigma}$. Furthermore, the family $\left(\mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)_{\lambda \in X^{*}(\mathbf{T})}$ admits a canonical extension $\left(\mathcal{V}_{I}^{\Sigma}(\lambda)\right)_{\lambda \in X^{*}(\mathbf{T})}$ to $\mathscr{S}_{K}^{\Sigma}$. For a prime $p$, set $S_{K, p}^{\Sigma}:=\mathscr{S}_{K}^{\Sigma} \otimes_{R} \overrightarrow{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$. By GK19a, Theorem 6.2.1], the map $\zeta: S_{K, p} \rightarrow G$-Zip ${ }^{\mu}$ extends naturally to a map

$$
\zeta^{\Sigma}: S_{K, p}^{\Sigma} \rightarrow G-\text { Zip }^{\mu}
$$

Furthermore, by And21, Theorem 1.2], the map $\zeta^{\Sigma}$ is smooth. Since $\zeta$ is surjective, $\zeta^{\Sigma}$ is also surjective. Moreover, [WZ, Proposition 6.20] shows that any connected component $S^{\circ} \subset S_{K, p}^{\Sigma}$ intersects the unique zero-dimensional stratum. Since the map $\zeta^{\Sigma}: S^{\circ} \rightarrow G$-Zip ${ }^{\mu}$ is smooth, its image is open, hence surjective. Therefore, the restriction of $\zeta^{\Sigma}: S_{K, p}^{\Sigma} \rightarrow$ $G$-Zip ${ }^{\mu}$ to any connected component is also surjective.

By construction, the pullback of $\mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)$ via $\zeta$ coincides with the canonical extension $\mathcal{V}_{I}^{\Sigma}(\lambda)$. We have the following Koecher principle:

Theorem 1.1.1 ([LS18, Theorem 2.5.11]). Let $F$ be a field which is an $R$-algebra. The natural map

$$
H^{0}\left(\mathscr{S}_{K}^{\Sigma} \otimes_{R} F, \mathcal{V}_{I}^{\Sigma}(\lambda)\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathscr{S}_{K} \otimes_{R} F, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)
$$

is a bijection, except when $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathscr{S}_{K}\right)=1$ and $\mathscr{S}_{K}^{\Sigma} \backslash \mathscr{S}_{K} \neq \emptyset$.
We will only consider Shimura varieties satisfying the condition $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathscr{S}_{K}\right)>1$ or $\mathscr{S}_{K}^{\Sigma} \backslash$ $\mathscr{S}_{K} \neq \emptyset$.

### 1.2 Weight cones of automorphic forms

### 1.2.1 Griffiths-Schmid conditions

The motivation of this paper is to study the possible weights of automorphic forms over various fields. Specifically, for any field $F$ which is an $R$-algebra, define

$$
C_{K}(F):=\left\{\lambda \in X^{*}(\mathbf{T}) \mid H^{0}\left(\mathscr{S}_{K} \otimes_{R} F, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right) \neq 0\right\} .
$$

By the Koecher principle (Theorem 1.1.1), we may replace the pair $\left(\mathscr{S}_{K} \otimes_{R} F, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)$ with the pair $\left(\mathscr{S}_{K}^{\Sigma} \otimes_{R} F, \mathcal{V}_{I}^{\Sigma}(\lambda)\right)$ in the definition of $C_{K}(F)$.

As explained in the introduction, there are two main cases to consider, namely $F=\mathbb{C}$ and $F=\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$ for a prime number $p$ of good reduction. We first consider the case $F=\mathbb{C}$. The space $H^{0}\left(\mathrm{Sh}_{K}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X}), \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)$ is the space of classical, characteristic zero automorphic forms of weight $\lambda$ and level $K$. Therefore, the set $C_{K}(\mathbb{C})$ is the set of possible weights of nonzero automorphic forms in characteristic 0 . It is a subcone of $X^{*}(\mathbf{T})$ (by "cone", we mean an additive monoid containing zero). Write $\Phi_{\mathbf{L},+}$ for the set of positive $\mathbf{T}$-roots of $\mathbf{L}$. The Griffiths-Schmid cone $C_{\mathrm{GS}}$ is defined as follows.

$$
C_{\mathrm{GS}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
\lambda \in X^{*}(\mathbf{T}) & \begin{array}{l}
\left\langle\lambda, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle \geq 0 \text { for } \alpha \in I, \\
\left\langle\lambda, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle \leq 0 \text { for } \alpha \in \Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{\mathbf{L},+}
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

The conditions defining the cone $C_{\mathrm{GS}}$ were first introduced by Griffiths-Schmid in [GS69]. It is expected that $C_{K}(\mathbb{C}) \subset C_{\mathrm{GS}}$ for general Shimura varieties, although we are not aware of any reference where this statement is proved. We show this containment in the case of general Hodge-type Shimura varieties. More generally, we may consider any projective $R$-scheme $X$ endowed with the following structure:

## Assumption 1.2.1.

(1) There is a connected, reductive $\mathbb{Z}[1 / N]$-group $\mathcal{G}$ and a cocharacter $\mu: \mathbb{G}_{m, R} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{R}$ satisfying the following condition: For $p$ sufficiently large, there exists a smooth map $\zeta_{p}: X_{p} \rightarrow G_{p}$-Zip ${ }^{\mu}$, where $X_{p}:=X \otimes_{R} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$ and $G_{p}:=\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[1 / N]} \mathbb{F}_{p}$. Furthermore, $\zeta_{p}$ is surjective on each connected component of $X_{p}$.
(2) There is a family of vector bundles $\left(\mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)_{\lambda \in X^{*}(\mathbf{T})}$ on $X$ such that the restriction of $\mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)$ to $X_{p}$ coincides with the pullback via $\zeta$ of the vector bundle $\mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)$ on $G_{p}$-Zip ${ }^{\mu}$.

As we explained in section 1.1.4, the scheme $\mathscr{S}_{K}^{\Sigma}$ satisfies Assumption 1.2.1. For such a scheme $X$, define similarly $C_{X}(F)$ as the set of $\lambda \in X^{*}(\mathbf{T})$ such that $H^{0}\left(X \otimes_{R} F, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right) \neq 0$. In Theorem 2.6.4 below, we prove the following:

Theorem 1.2.2. We have $C_{X}(\mathbb{C}) \subset C_{\mathrm{GS}}$.
In particular, we may take $X$ to be $\mathscr{S}_{K}$, which implies that $C_{K}(\mathbb{C}) \subset C_{\mathrm{GS}}$. As the setting suggests, our proof relies entirely on characteristic $p$ methods rather than studying the space $H^{0}\left(\mathrm{Sh}_{K}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X}), \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)$ directly via the theory of automorphic representations or Lie algebra cohomology.

### 1.2.2 The zip cone

We now consider the case $F=\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$. In our approach, the proof of Theorem 1.2.2 relies on the study of $C_{X}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)$ for various prime numbers $p$. In GK18, GK22, the authors started a vast project to investigate the set $C_{K}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)$ using the stack of $G$-zips. For a cocharacter datum $(G, \mu)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, we defined the zip cone of $(G, \mu)$ in [Kos19, §1.2] and [IK22, §3] as

$$
C_{\text {zip }}:=\left\{\lambda \in X^{*}(T) \mid H^{0}\left(G-\operatorname{Zip}^{\mu}, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right) \neq 0\right\} .
$$

This cone can be seen as a group-theoretical version of the set $C_{K}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)$ in the case of Shimura varieties. To emphasize the analogy between $S_{K}$ and $G$-Zip ${ }^{\mu}$, we call $H^{0}\left(G-\operatorname{Zip}^{\mu}, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)$ the space of automorphic forms of weight $\lambda$ on $G$-Zip ${ }^{\mu}$. Since $V_{I}(\lambda)=0$ when $\lambda$ is not $L$-dominant, $C_{\text {zip }}$ is a subset of the set of $L$-dominant characters $X_{+, I}^{*}(T)$. One can see that $C_{\text {zip }}$ is a subcone of $X^{*}(T)$ (Kos19, Lemma 1.4.1]). For a cone $C \subset X^{*}(T)$, define the saturated cone $\langle C\rangle$ as:

$$
\langle C\rangle:=\left\{\lambda \in X^{*}(T) \mid \exists N \geq 1, N \lambda \in C\right\} .
$$

We say that $C$ is saturated in $X^{*}(T)$ if $\langle C\rangle=C$. We explain the main conjecture that motivates the series of papers [GK18, [K22, GK22]. Consider the special fiber $S_{K}$ of good reduction of a Hodge-type Shimura variety (such that $\operatorname{dim}\left(S_{K}\right)>1$ or $S_{K}=S_{K}^{\Sigma}$ ), and its associated map $\zeta: S_{K} \rightarrow G$-Zip ${ }^{\mu}$. Since $\zeta$ is surjective, we have a natural inclusion

$$
H^{0}\left(G-\operatorname{Zip}^{\mu}, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right) \subset H^{0}\left(S_{K}, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right) .
$$

In particular, we deduce $C_{\text {zip }} \subset C_{K}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)$.
Conjecture 1.2.3. One has $\left\langle C_{K}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle C_{\text {zip }}\right\rangle$.

It was noted in Kos19, Corollary 1.5.3] that the set $\left\langle C_{K}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)\right\rangle$ is independent of the level (because the change of level maps are finite etale). Therefore, the above conjecture is indeed reasonable. However, note that the set $C_{K}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)$ highly depends on the choice of the level $K$.

More generally, we expect Conjecture 1.2 .3 to hold for any scheme $X$ endowed with a map $\zeta: X \rightarrow G$-Zip ${ }^{\mu}$ satisfying the conditions of [GK18, Conjecture 2.1.6]. In particular, it should hold when $X$ is proper and irreducible and $\zeta$ is smooth, surjective (it may also be possible to remove the assumption that $\zeta$ is smooth). As explained in the introduction, we have $C_{K}(\mathbb{C}) \subset C_{K}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)$. Furthermore, it is expected that $\left\langle C_{K}(\mathbb{C})\right\rangle=C_{\mathrm{GS}}$. Hence, Conjecture 1.2 .3 predicts the containment $C_{\mathrm{GS}} \subset\left\langle C_{\text {zip }}\right\rangle$ (which is a purely group-theoretical statement). In [IK22, Theorem 6.4.2], we prove $C_{\mathrm{GS}} \subset\left\langle C_{\text {zip }}\right\rangle$ for any arbitrary pair ( $G, \mu$ ), which gives evidence for Conjecture 1.2 .3 .

## 2 Automorphic forms in characteristic $p$

We first work a fixed prime $p$ in sections 2.2, 2.3, 2.5. In section 2.6, we consider objects in families and let $p$ go to infinity.

### 2.1 Notation

For now, fix a cocharacter datum $(G, \mu)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, i.e $G$ is a connected, reductive group over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and $\mu: \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}, k} \rightarrow G_{k}$ is a cocharacter, where $k$ is an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Let $(G, P, Q, L, M, \varphi)$ be the attached zip datum. For simplicity, assume that there is an $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$ Borel pair $(B, T)$ such that $\mu$ factors through $T$ and $B \subset P$ (this can always be achieved after possibly changing $\mu$ to a conjugate cocharacter). Then, the group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k / \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ acts naturally on $X^{*}(T)$. Let $W=W\left(G_{k}, T\right)$ be the Weyl group of $G_{k}$. Similarly, $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k / \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ acts on $W$ and the actions of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k / \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ and $W$ on $X^{*}(T)$ and $X_{*}(T)$ are compatible in a natural sense. For $\alpha \in \Phi$, let $s_{\alpha} \in W$ be the corresponding reflection. The system $\left(W,\left\{s_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\right\}\right)$ is a Coxeter system. We write $\ell: W \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ for the length function, and $\leq$ for the Bruhat order on $W$. Let $w_{0}$ denote the longest element of $W$. For a subset $K \subset \Delta$, let $W_{K}$ denote the subgroup of $W$ generated by $\left\{s_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in K\right\}$. Write $w_{0, K}$ for the longest element in $W_{K}$. Let ${ }^{K} W$ (resp. $W^{K}$ ) denote the subset of elements $w \in W$ which have minimal length in the coset $W_{K} w\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.w W_{K}\right)$. Then ${ }^{K} W$ (resp. $W^{K}$ ) is a set of representatives of $W_{K} \backslash W$ (resp. $W / W_{K}$ ). The map $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ induces a bijection ${ }^{K} W \rightarrow W^{K}$. The longest element in the set ${ }^{K} W$ (resp. $W^{K}$ ) is $w_{0, K} w_{0}$ (resp. $w_{0} w_{0, K}$ ). For any parabolic $P^{\prime} \subset G_{k}$ containing $B$, write $I_{P^{\prime}} \subset \Delta$ for the type of $P^{\prime}$, i.e. the subset of simple roots of the unique Levi subgroup of $P^{\prime}$ containing $T$. For an arbitrary parabolic $P^{\prime} \subset G_{k}$, let $I_{P^{\prime}}$ be the type of the unique conjugate of $P^{\prime}$ containing $B$. Put $I:=I_{P}$ and $J:=I_{Q}$. We set

$$
z=\sigma\left(w_{0, I}\right) w_{0}=w_{0} w_{0, J} .
$$

The triple $(B, T, z)$ is a $W$-frame, in the terminology of GK19b, Definition 2.3.1] (we will simply call such a triple a frame). In sections $2.2,2.3,2.5$, we let $X$ be a projective scheme over $k=\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$ endowed with a map $\zeta: X \rightarrow G$-Zip ${ }^{\mu}$ satisfying:

## Assumption 2.1.1.

(1) $\zeta$ is smooth.
(2) The restriction of $\zeta$ to any connected component of $X$ is surjective.

For $\lambda \in X^{*}(T)$, we write again $\mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)$ for the pullback via $\zeta$ of $\mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)$. Write $C_{X}$ for the set of $\lambda \in X^{*}(T)$ such that $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right) \neq 0$.

### 2.2 The flag space

The rank of the vector bundle $\mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)$ equals the dimension of the representation $V_{I}(\lambda)$, which can be very large. For this reason, it convenient to consider line bundles on the flag space of $X$ and of $G$-Zip ${ }^{\mu}$ instead. We recall the definitions below.

### 2.2.1 The stack of zip flags

The stack of zip flags ([GK19a, Definition 2.1.1]) is defined as

$$
G-\mathrm{ZipFlag}^{\mu}=\left[E \backslash\left(G_{k} \times P / B\right)\right]
$$

where the group $E$ acts on the variety $G_{k} \times(P / B)$ by the rule $(a, b) \cdot(g, h B):=\left(a g b^{-1}, a h B\right)$ for all $(a, b) \in E$ and all $(g, h B) \in G_{k} \times P / B$. The first projection $G_{k} \times P / B \rightarrow G_{k}$ is $E$-equivariant, and yields a natural morphism of stacks

$$
\pi: G-\text { ZipFlag }^{\mu} \rightarrow G-\text { Zip }^{\mu}
$$

whose fibers are isomorphic to $P / B$. Set $E^{\prime}:=E \cap\left(B \times G_{k}\right)$. The injective map $G_{k} \rightarrow$ $G_{k} \times P / B ; g \mapsto(g, B)$ induces an isomorphism of stacks $\left[E^{\prime} \backslash G_{k}\right] \simeq G$-ZipFlag ${ }^{\mu}$ (see GK19a, (2.1.5)]).

### 2.2.2 Line bundles $\mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda)$

To any character $\lambda \in X^{*}(T)$, we can naturally attach a line bundle $\mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda)$ on $G$-ZipFlag ${ }^{\mu}$. Indeed, we may view $\lambda$ as a character of $E^{\prime}$ via the first projection $E^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ and use the associated sheaf construction for the quotient stack $\left[E^{\prime} \backslash G_{k}\right]$. We have by [IK21b, Proposition 3.2.1]:

$$
\pi_{*}\left(\mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda)\right)=\mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)
$$

In particular, we have an identification

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{0}\left(G-\operatorname{Zip}^{\mu}, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)=H^{0}\left(G-\operatorname{ZipFlag}^{\mu}, \mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda)\right) \tag{2.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The line bundles $\mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda)$ satisfy the following identity:

$$
\mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}\left(\lambda+\lambda^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda) \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right), \quad \forall \lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \in X^{*}(T)
$$

In particular, this identity combined with the identification (2.2.1) shows that $C_{\text {zip }}$ is stable by sum, hence is indeed a subcone of $X^{*}(T)$.

### 2.2.3 Flag stratification

Another important feature of $G$-ZipFlag ${ }^{\mu}$ is that it carries a locally closed stratification $\left(\mathcal{F}_{w}\right)_{w \in W}$. First, define the Schubert stack as the quotient stack

$$
\text { Sbt }:=\left[B \backslash G_{k} / B\right] .
$$

The underlying topological space of Sbt is homeomorphic to $W$, endowed with the topology induced by the Bruhat order on $W$. This follows easily from the Bruhat decomposition of $G$. There is a smooth, surjective map of stacks

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi: G-\mathrm{ZipFlag}^{\mu} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sbt} . \tag{2.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is defined as follows: Since the group $E^{\prime}$ is contained in $B \times{ }^{z} B$, we have a natural projection map $\left[E^{\prime} \backslash G_{k}\right] \rightarrow\left[B \backslash G_{k} /^{z} B\right]$. Composing this map with the isomorphism $\left[B \backslash G_{k} /^{z} B\right] \rightarrow\left[B \backslash G_{k} / B\right]$ induced by $G_{k} \rightarrow G_{k} ; g \mapsto g z$, we obtain the map $\psi$ in (2.2.2). For $w \in W$, put $\operatorname{Sbt}_{w}:=[B \backslash B w B / B]$, it is a locally closed substack of Sbt. The flag strata of $G$-ZipFlag ${ }^{\mu}$ are defined as the fibers of $\psi$. Specifically, for $w \in W$ put:

$$
F_{w}:=B\left(w z^{-1}\right)^{z} B=B w B z^{-1} .
$$

Then $F_{w}$ is locally closed in $G_{k}$ of dimension $\operatorname{dim}\left(F_{w}\right)=\ell(w)+\operatorname{dim}(B)$. Via the isomorphism $G$-ZipFlag ${ }^{\mu} \simeq\left[E^{\prime} \backslash G_{k}\right]$, the flag strata of $G$-ZipFlag ${ }^{\mu}$ are the locally closed substacks

$$
\mathcal{F}_{w}:=\left[E^{\prime} \backslash F_{w}\right], \quad w \in W .
$$

The set $F_{w_{0}} \subset G_{k}$ is open in $G_{k}$ and similarly the stratum $\mathcal{F}_{w_{0}}$ is open in $G$-ZipFlag ${ }^{\mu}$. The Zariski closure $\bar{F}_{w}$ is normal by [RR85, Theorem 3] and coincides with $\bigcup_{w^{\prime} \leq w} F_{w^{\prime}}$.

### 2.2.4 The flag space of $X$

Define the flag space $Y:=\operatorname{Flag}(X)$ of $X$ as the fiber product


For $w \in W$, put $Y_{w}:=\zeta_{\text {flag }}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{w}\right)$. We obtain on $Y$ a similar stratification by locally closed, smooth subschemes. For $\lambda \in X^{*}(T)$, we denote again by $\mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda)$ the pullback of the line bundle $\mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda)$ via $\zeta_{\text {flag }}$. Similarly to $G-$ Zip $^{\mu}$, we have the formula $\pi_{X, *}\left(\mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda)\right)=\mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)$. In particular, we have an identification

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)=H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda)\right) \tag{2.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.3 Hasse cones of flag strata

To a pair of characters $(\lambda, \nu) \in X^{*}(T) \times X^{*}(T)$, we can attach a line bundle $\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{Sbt}}(\lambda, \nu)$ on the stack Sbt, as in GK19a, I.2.2] (where it was denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{\text {Sbt }}(\lambda, \nu)$ ). For each $w \in W$, the space $H^{0}\left(\operatorname{Sbt}_{w}, \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{Sbt}}(\lambda, \nu)\right)$ has dimension $\leq 1$ and is nonzero if and only if $\nu=-w^{-1} \lambda$ (loc. cit., Theorem 2.2.1). For each $w \in W$ and $\lambda \in X^{*}(T)$, denote by $f_{w, \lambda}$ a nonzero element of the one-dimensional space $H^{0}\left(\operatorname{Sbt}_{w}, \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{Sbt}}\left(\lambda,-w^{-1} \lambda\right)\right)$. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{w}:=\left\{\alpha \in \Phi_{+} \mid w s_{\alpha}<w \text { and } \ell\left(w s_{\alpha}\right)=\ell(w)-1\right\} . \tag{2.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Elements $w^{\prime} \in W$ such that $w^{\prime}<w$ and $\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right)=\ell(w)-1$ will be called lower neighbours of $w$. They correspond bijectively to the set $E_{w}$ by the map $\alpha \mapsto w s_{\alpha}$. Define $X_{+, w}^{*}(T) \subset X^{*}(T)$ as the subset of $\chi \in X^{*}(T)$ such that $\left\langle\chi, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in E_{w}$. Let $\chi \in X^{*}(T)$. By loc. cit., Theorem 2.2.1, the multiplicity of $\operatorname{div}\left(f_{w,-w \chi}\right)$ along $\operatorname{Sbt}_{w s_{\alpha}}$ is precisely $\left\langle\chi, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle$ for all $\alpha \in E_{w}$. Hence $f_{w,-w \chi}$ extends to the Zariski closure $\overline{\operatorname{Sbt}}_{w}$ if and only if $\chi \in X_{+, w}^{*}(T)$. For any $\lambda, \nu \in X^{*}(T)$, one has the formula

$$
\psi^{*}\left(\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{Sbt}}(\lambda, \nu)\right)=\mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}\left(\lambda+q w_{0, I} w_{0} \sigma^{-1}(\nu)\right)
$$

by GK19a, Lemma 3.1.1 (b)] (note that loc. cit. contains a typo; it should be $\sigma^{-1}$ instead of $\sigma$ ). In particular, the pullback $\psi^{*}\left(\mathcal{V}_{\text {Sbt }}\left(\lambda,-w^{-1} \lambda\right)\right)$ coincides with $\mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda-$ $\left.q w_{0, I} w_{0} \sigma^{-1}\left(w^{-1} \lambda\right)\right)$. Define a map

$$
h_{w}: X^{*}(T) \rightarrow X^{*}(T), \quad \chi \mapsto-w \chi+q w_{0, I} w_{0} \sigma^{-1}(\chi)
$$

Hence $\psi^{*}\left(\mathcal{V}_{\text {Sbt }}(-w \lambda, \lambda)\right)=\mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}\left(h_{w}(\lambda)\right)$. Note that for any $w \in W$, the map $h_{w}: X^{*}(T) \rightarrow$ $X^{*}(T)$ induces an automorphism of $X^{*}(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (because $h_{w} \otimes \mathbb{F}_{p}$ is clearly an automorphism of $\left.X^{*}(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$. For each $\chi \in X^{*}(T)$, define

$$
\mathrm{Ha}_{w, \chi}:=\psi^{*}\left(f_{w,-w \chi}\right)
$$

By the above discussion, $\mathrm{Ha}_{w, \chi}$ is a section over the stratum $\mathcal{F}_{w}$ of the line bundle $\mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}\left(h_{w}(\chi)\right)$ and $\mathrm{Ha}_{w, \chi}$ extends to $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{w}$ if and only if $\chi \in X_{+, w}^{*}(T)$. The multiplicity of $\operatorname{div}\left(\mathrm{Ha}_{w, \chi}\right)$ along $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{w s_{\alpha}}$ is precisely $\left\langle\chi, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle$ for all $\alpha \in E_{w}$. Define the Hasse cone $C_{\text {Hasse }, w}$ by

$$
C_{\text {Hasse }, w}:=h_{w}\left(X_{+, w}^{*}(T)\right) .
$$

Concretely, $C_{\text {Hasse }, w}$ is the set of all possible weights $\lambda \in X^{*}(T)$ of nonzero sections over $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{w}$ which arise by pullback from $\overline{\mathrm{Sbt}}_{w}$.

### 2.4 Regularity of strata

In general, there exist many sections on $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{w}$ that do not arise by pullback from $\overline{\operatorname{Sbt}}_{w}$. For $w \in W$, define the cones $C_{\text {flag }, w}$ and $C_{Y, w}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{\text {flag }, w} & :=\left\{\lambda \in X^{*}(T) \mid H^{0}\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{w}, \mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda)\right) \neq 0\right\} \\
C_{Y, w} & :=\left\{\lambda \in X^{*}(T) \mid H^{0}\left(\bar{Y}_{w}, \mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda)\right) \neq 0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, via the identification (2.2.3), the cone $C_{Y, w_{0}}$ is the set of $\lambda \in X^{*}(T)$ such that $\mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)$ admits nonzero sections over $\bar{X}$, hence we have an equality $C_{Y, w_{0}}=C_{X}$ and similarly $C_{\text {flag }, w_{0}}=C_{\text {zip }}$. For any $w \in W$, we clearly have

$$
C_{\mathrm{Hasse}, w} \subset C_{\mathrm{flag}, w} \subset C_{Y, w} .
$$

Definition 2.4.1. Let $w \in W$.
(a) We say that $Y_{w}$ is Hasse-regular if $\left\langle C_{Y, w}\right\rangle=\left\langle C_{\text {Hasse }, w}\right\rangle$.
(b) We say that $Y_{w}$ is flag-regular if $\left\langle C_{Y, w}\right\rangle=\left\langle C_{\text {flag }, w}\right\rangle$.

A Hasse-regular stratum is obviously flag-regular. Assumptions 2.1.1 are made so that the following easy lemma holds:
Lemma 2.4.2 ([GK18, Proposition 3.2.1]). If $\ell(w)=1$, then $Y_{w}$ is Hasse-regular.
Since $C_{Y, w_{0}}=C_{X}$ and $C_{\mathcal{Y}, w_{0}}=C_{\text {zip }}$, Conjecture 1.2 .3 asserts that the maximal flag stratum $Y_{w_{0}}$ is always flag-regular. It is not Hasse-regular in general (but it is conjecturally Hasse-regular for Hasse-type zip data, see [IK22]). In the case of Hilbert-Blumenthal Shimura varieties attached to a totally real extension $\mathbf{F} / \mathbb{Q}$, a sufficent condition for the Hasse-regularity of strata is given in GK18, Theorem 4.2.3]. When $p$ is split in F, all strata are Hasse-regular. For a general prime $p$, the criterion involves the parity of "jumps" in the orbit under the Galois action. A more elegant proof, using the notion of "intersection cone" (introduced in [GK22]) can be found in the unpublished note Kos22.

Let $w \in W$ with $\ell(w)=1$, and write $w=s_{\beta}$ with $\beta \in \Delta$. One checks readily:

$$
\left\langle C_{\text {Hasse }, w}\right\rangle=\left\{\lambda \in X^{*}(T) \mid\left\langle h_{w}^{-1}(\lambda), \beta^{\vee}\right\rangle \geq 0\right\} .
$$

We deduce:
Proposition 2.4.3. Let $f \in H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda)\right)$ such that the restriction of $f$ to the stratum $Y_{w}$ is not identically zero, where $w=s_{\beta}(\beta \in \Delta)$. Then we have $\left\langle h_{s_{\beta}}^{-1}(\lambda), \beta^{\vee}\right\rangle \geq 0$.

### 2.5 Upper bounds for strata cones

### 2.5.1 Intersection cones

We recall the notion of intersection cone introduced in GK22, which will be used in section 4. We give a simplified version of the one appearing in loc. cit. which suffices for our purpose.

Definition 2.5.1. For each $w \in W$, let $\mathbb{E}_{w} \subset E_{w}$ be a subset (possibly empty) and let $\left\{\chi_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{E}_{w}}$ be a family of characters satisfying the conditions:
(a) $\left\langle\chi_{\alpha}, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle>0$,
(b) $\left\langle\chi_{\alpha}, \beta^{\vee}\right\rangle=0$ for all $\beta \in E_{w} \backslash\{\alpha\}$.

We call $\mathbb{E}=\left(\mathbb{E}_{w}\right)_{w \in W}$ a separating system.
We fix such a system $\mathbb{E}$ and define the intersection cones $\left(C_{w}^{+, \mathbb{E}}\right)_{w \in W}$ of $\mathbb{E}$ as follows. First, set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{w} & :=\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{E}_{w}} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \chi_{\alpha} \\
C_{\text {Hasse }, w}^{\mathbb{E}} & :=h_{w}\left(\Gamma_{w}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\chi_{\alpha} \in X_{+, w}^{*}(T)$, therefore $\Gamma_{w} \subset C_{\text {Hasse }, w}$, but $\Gamma_{w}$ can be much smaller (for example, if we choose $\mathbb{E}_{w}$ to be a singleton, $\Gamma_{w}$ is a half-line in $X^{*}(T)$.

Definition 2.5.2. For $\ell(w)=1$, set $C_{w}^{+, \mathbb{E}}:=C_{\text {Hasse }, w}$. For $\ell(w) \geq 2$, define inductively

$$
C_{w}^{+, \mathbb{E}}:=C_{\mathrm{Hasse}, w}^{\mathbb{E}}+\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{E}_{w}} C_{w s_{\alpha}}^{+, \mathbb{E}}
$$

In the case $\mathbb{E}_{w}=\emptyset$, we define by convention $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{E}_{w}} C_{w s_{\alpha}}^{+, \mathbb{E}}=X^{*}(T)$.
The intersection cones provide upper bounds for the strata cones $C_{Y, w}$. Specifically, by [GK22, Theorem 2.3.9], we have:

Theorem 2.5.3. Let $\mathbb{E}$ be a separating system. For each $w \in W$, we have

$$
C_{Y, w} \subset\left\langle C_{w}^{+, \mathbb{E}^{*}}\right\rangle
$$

### 2.5.2 Upper bound by degree

In general, we do not know a way to construct nontrivial separating systems $\mathbb{E}$ for arbitrary reductive groups. For a given $w \in W$ and $\alpha \in E_{w}$, there may not always exist a character $\chi_{\alpha}$ satisfying the conditions explained in section 2.5.1. Here, we explain a more straightforward method to produce an upper bound for $C_{Y, w}$. The advantage of this method is that it applies in general. However, it only gives a rather coarse upper bound (but it will be sufficient for our purpose).

Since $h_{w}: X^{*}(T)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow X^{*}(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an automorphism, there exists $N \geq 1$ such that $N X^{*}(T) \subset h_{w}\left(X^{*}(T)\right)$. We fix such an integer. For $\lambda \in X^{*}(T)$, let $\chi_{w, \lambda}:=h_{w}^{-1}(N \lambda)$ and write $\mathrm{Ha}_{w}^{\lambda}:=\mathrm{Ha}_{w, \chi_{w, \lambda}}$ for the associated Hasse section on $\mathcal{F}_{w}$ and $Y_{w}$, with weight $N \lambda$. Since the map $\zeta_{\text {flag }}: Y \rightarrow G$-ZipFlag ${ }^{\mu}$ is smooth and surjective, the multiplicities of sections do not change under pullback. Hence, the divisor of $\mathrm{Ha}_{w}^{\lambda}$ over $Y_{w}$ is given by:

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(\mathrm{Ha}_{w}^{\lambda}\right)=\sum_{\alpha \in E_{w}}\left\langle\chi_{w, \lambda}, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle\left[\bar{Y}_{w s_{\alpha}}\right] .
$$

Define

$$
\operatorname{deg}(w, \lambda):=\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{deg}\left(\operatorname{div}\left(\operatorname{Ha}_{w}^{\lambda}\right)\right)=\sum_{\alpha \in E_{w}}\left\langle h_{w}^{-1}(\lambda), \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle
$$

We write $\operatorname{deg}_{q}(w, \lambda)$ when we want to emphasize that the degree depends on the prime power $q$ (since the map $h_{w}$ itself depends on $q$ ). Since $\mathrm{Ha}_{w, \lambda+\lambda^{\prime}}=\mathrm{Ha}_{w, \lambda} \cdot \mathrm{Ha}_{w, \lambda^{\prime}}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(w, \lambda+\lambda^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{deg}(w, \lambda)+\operatorname{deg}\left(w, \lambda^{\prime}\right)
$$

Lemma 2.5.4. Let $w \in W$ of length $\geq 1$. Suppose that the space $H^{0}\left(\bar{Y}_{w}, \mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda)\right)$ is nonzero. Then we have $\operatorname{deg}(w, \lambda) \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $f$ be a nonzero section on $\bar{Y}_{w}$ of weight $\lambda$. Then $f^{N} / \mathrm{Ha}_{w}^{\lambda}$ is a rational section of $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$ over $Y_{w}$. Since $\bar{Y}_{w}$ is projective and normal, we have $\operatorname{deg}\left(\operatorname{div}\left(f^{N} / \mathrm{Ha}_{w}^{\lambda}\right)\right)=0$, hence $\operatorname{deg}(\operatorname{div}(f))=\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{deg}\left(\operatorname{div}\left(\operatorname{Ha}_{w}^{\lambda}\right)\right)=\operatorname{deg}(w, \lambda)$. Since $\operatorname{div}(f)$ is effective, the result follows.

Define $C_{w}^{\operatorname{deg}}:=\left\{\lambda \in X^{*}(T) \mid \operatorname{deg}(w, \lambda) \geq 0\right\}$. As a consequence, we deduce:
Corollary 2.5.5. We have $\left\langle C_{Y, w}\right\rangle \subset C_{w}^{\operatorname{deg}}$.
In other words, if $\operatorname{deg}(w, \lambda)<0$, then the space $H^{0}\left(\bar{Y}_{w}, \mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda)\right)$ is zero. We will apply this result when $p=q$ tends to infinity. Therefore, we need to know the behaviour of the function $\operatorname{deg}_{q}(w, \lambda)$ as $q$ varies. By [GK19a, Lemma 3.1.3], $h_{w}^{-1}(\lambda)$ is an expression of the form $-\frac{1}{q^{m}-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} q^{i} u_{i} \sigma^{i}(\lambda)$ for certain elements $u_{i} \in W$ independent of $q$. Furthermore, for $i=m-1$, the element $u_{m-1} \sigma^{m-1}(\lambda)$ equals $\sigma\left(w_{0} w_{0, I} \lambda\right)$. We deduce:

Proposition 2.5.6. There exists an integer $m \geq 1$ such that

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{q}(w, \lambda)=\frac{1}{q^{m}-1}\left(q^{m-1} \sum_{\alpha \in E_{w}}\left\langle\sigma\left(w_{0, I} w_{0} \lambda\right), \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle+\text { lower terms }\right)
$$

### 2.6 Vanishing in families

In this section we take $X$ to be a scheme over $R$ satisfying Assumption 1.2.1 (for example $\left.X=\mathscr{S}_{K}\right)$. By flat base change along the map $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(R)$, we have $H^{0}\left(X \otimes_{R}\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)=H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right) \otimes_{R} \mathbb{C}$. Hence, for $\lambda \in C_{X}(\mathbb{C})$ the space $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)$ is also nonzero. Therefore, we can apply the proof of [Kos19, Proposition 1.8.3] to show that the space $H^{0}\left(X \otimes_{R} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)$ is also nonzero for all $p$. In particular, we deduce:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{X}(\mathbb{C}) \subset C_{X}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right) \tag{2.6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all primes where $X_{p}$ is defined. The main goal of this section is to show $C_{X}(\mathbb{C}) \subset C_{\mathrm{GS}}$. We may interpret this as a vanishing result (the space $H^{0}\left(X \otimes_{R}, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)$ vanishes for $\lambda$ outside of $C_{\mathrm{GS}}$ ). We will see later some stronger forms of vanishing results at fixed prime $p$.

Let $f$ be a nonzero section of $\mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)$ over $X$. We will show that the weight $\lambda$ is in $C_{\mathrm{GS}}$ by exploiting the fact that $f$ gives rise to a family $\left(f_{p}\right)_{p}$, where $f_{p}$ is the reduction of $f$ to the subscheme $X_{p}=X \otimes_{R} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$. For sufficently large $p$, we have by assumption a map $\zeta_{p}: X_{p} \rightarrow G_{p}$-Zip $^{\mu}$. Denote by $Y_{p}$ the flag space of $X_{p}$ as in 2.2.4.

Theorem 2.6.1. For sufficiently large $p$, the section $f_{p}$ restricts to a nonzero section on each flag stratum $Y_{p, w}$ (for $w \in W$ ).

Proof. Clearly, it suffices to show that $f_{p}$ restricts to a nonzero section on the zerodimensional stratum for sufficiently large $p$. For this, we will prove by decreasing induction that for each $0 \leq i \leq \ell\left(w_{0}\right)$, there exists an element $w_{i}$ of of length $i$ in $W$ such that $f_{p}$ is not identically zero on $S_{w_{i}}$ for sufficiently large $p$. The result is clear for $i=\ell\left(w_{0}\right)$. Suppose that $f_{p}$ is nonzero on $S_{w_{i}}$ for large $p$. For a contradiction, assume that $f_{p}$ is zero on each stratum in the closure of $S_{w_{i}}$ for infinitely many primes $p$. Choose any character $\chi \in X^{*}(T)$ such that $\left\langle\chi, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in E_{w_{i}}$ and $\left\langle\chi, \alpha_{0}^{\vee}\right\rangle>0$ for at least one $\alpha_{0} \in E_{w_{i}}$. The multiplicities of the divisor of $\mathrm{Ha}_{w_{i}, \chi}$ are the numbers $\left\langle\chi, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle$ (for $\alpha \in E_{w_{i}}$ ). Hence, by assumption we can find an integer $m$ (independent of $p$ ) such that for infinitely many primes $p$, the section $f_{p}^{m}$ is divisible by $\mathrm{Ha}_{w_{i}, \chi}$. Thus, we deduce that for infinitely many primes $p$,

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{p}\left(w_{i}, m \lambda-h_{w_{i}, p}(\chi)\right)=m \operatorname{deg}_{p}\left(w_{i}, \lambda\right)-\sum_{\alpha \in E_{w}}\left\langle\chi, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

When $p$ tends to infinity, the expression $\operatorname{deg}_{p}\left(w_{i}, \lambda\right)$ tends to zero by Proposition 2.5.6. Since $\left\langle\chi, \alpha_{0}^{\vee}\right\rangle>0$ for at least one $\alpha_{0} \in E_{w_{i}}$, we have a contradiction. The result follows.

Remark 2.6.2. In this remark, we consider the case $X=\mathscr{S}_{K}$. Theorem 2.6.1 is related to Deuring's theorem regarding the superspecial reduction of abelian varieties. Indeed, assume the following result: any CM abelian variety over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ has superspecial reduction for infinitely many primes $p$. Then a slightly weaker variant of Theorem 2.6.1 would follow immediately (at least for the Siegel-type Shimura variety $\mathcal{A}_{g}$ ) as follows: Since CM points are dense, we may choose a CM point $x \in \mathscr{S}_{K}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$. Then, for all $p$ sufficently large, we must have $f_{p}\left(x_{p}\right) \neq 0$ where $x_{p}$ denotes the specialization of $x$ (which is well-defined for large $p$ ). Since $x_{p}$ lies in the zero-dimensional stratum for infinitely many primes, $f_{p}$ is nonzero on the zero-dimensional stratum (hence on all strata) for inifitely many primes $p$. This is slightly weaker than the content of Theorem 2.6.1, which states the same result for sufficiently large $p$.

Proposition 2.6.3. Let $f \in H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)$. Suppose that for infinitely many primes $p$, the section $f_{p}$ (viewed as a section of $\mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda)$ on the flag space $Y_{p}$ ) restricts to a nonzero section on each flag stratum of $Y_{p}$ of length one. Then $\lambda \in C_{\mathrm{GS}}$.
Proof. By Proposition 2.4.3, we have $\left\langle h_{s_{\beta}, p}^{-1}(\lambda), \beta^{\vee}\right\rangle \geq 0$ for all $\beta \in \Delta$ and infinitely many primes $p$. Looking at the leading term, we obtain $\left\langle\sigma\left(w_{0, I} w_{0} \lambda\right), \beta^{\vee}\right\rangle \geq 0$ for all $\beta \in \Delta$. Since $\sigma(\Delta)=\Delta$, we deduce that $w_{0, I} w_{0} \lambda$ is a dominant character. In other words, $\lambda \in C_{\mathrm{GS}}$.

We deduce immediately from Theorem 2.6.1 and Proposition 2.6.3 our main result of this section:

Theorem 2.6.4. We have $C_{X}(\mathbb{C}) \subset C_{\mathrm{GS}}$.
In particular for $X=\mathscr{S}_{K}$, we obtain $C_{K}(\mathbb{C}) \subset C_{\mathrm{GS}}$. We now explain a slighty more precise result.

Definition 2.6.5. We say that a family of cones $\left(C_{p}\right)_{p}$ (defined for sufficiently large primes p) is asymptotic to $C_{\mathrm{GS}}$ if

$$
\bigcap_{p \geq N} C_{p}=C_{\mathrm{GS}}
$$

for any $N \geq 1$. We say that $\left(C_{p}\right)_{p}$ is asymptotically contained in $C_{\mathrm{GS}}$ if $\bigcap_{p \geq N} C_{p} \subset C_{\mathrm{GS}}$ for all $N \geq 1$.

The proof of Theorem 2.6 .4 actually shows the following:

Corollary 2.6.6. The family of cones $\left(C_{X, p}\right)_{p}$ is asymptotically contained in $C_{\mathrm{GS}}$.
Proof. Let $\lambda \in \bigcap_{p \geq N} C_{X, p}$. For sufficiently large $p$, there exists a nonzero form $f_{p}$ over $Y_{p}$ of weight $\lambda$. Then, we may apply the proof of Theorem 2.6.1 to the family $\left(f_{p}\right)_{p}$ (even if this family does not arise by reduction from a characteristic zero section). It shows that $\lambda \in C_{\mathrm{GS}}$. The result follows.

However, we were not able to show in general that the family of saturated cones $\left(\left\langle C_{K, p}\right\rangle\right)_{p}$ is asymptotically contained in $C_{\mathrm{GS}}$. Corollary 2.6 .6 is slightly more precise than Theorem 2.5.3, since it implies $C_{X}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \bigcap_{p} C_{X, p} \subset C_{\mathrm{GS}}$ using (2.6.1). The proof of Theorem 2.6.4 explained above crucially uses the fact that we have a family of schemes $\left(X_{p}\right)_{p}$ for almost all prime numbers $p$. However, the proof gives no information about the set $C_{X}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)$ for a fixed prime number $p$. Eventually, we are interested in vanishing results for automorphic forms in both characteristics. Therefore, a more desirable method of proof of Theorem 2.6.4 is the following: Assume that for each $p$, we can show that any weight $\lambda \in C_{K, p}:=C_{K}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ satisfies certain inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{i}(p, \lambda) \leq 0, \quad i=1, \ldots N . \tag{2.6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{i}(p, \lambda)$ is an algebraic expression involving $p$ and which is linear in $\lambda$. Denote by $C_{\gamma, p}$ the cone of $\lambda \in X_{+, I}^{*}(T)$ satisfying the inequalities (2.6.2). By assumption, we have $C_{K, p} \subset C_{\gamma, p}$ (note that since $C_{\gamma, p}$ is defined by inequalities, it is obviously saturated, hence we also have $\left\langle C_{K, p}\right\rangle \subset C_{\gamma, p}$ ). We deduce:

$$
C_{K}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \bigcap_{p \gg 0} C_{K, p} \subset \bigcap_{p \gg 0} C_{\gamma, p}
$$

Therefore, if we can choose $\left(\gamma_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ such that $\bigcap_{p \gg 0} C_{\gamma, p}=C_{\mathrm{GS}}$, we obtain the desired containment $C_{K}(\mathbb{C}) \subset C_{\text {GS }}$. We call such a family $\left(\gamma_{i}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, N}$ a GS-approximation of the family $\left(C_{K, p}\right)_{p}$. This method of proof gives much more control and information on the weights of automorphic forms in all characteristics. We will implement such a strategy in the next section. In general, it is a difficult problem to give an upper bound for the cone $C_{K, p}$ at a fixed prime $p$, let alone construct a GS-approximation for the family $\left(C_{K, p}\right)_{p}$. We will do this for unitary Shimura varieties of signature $(n-1,1)$.

## 3 Vanishing results for $G$-Zip ${ }^{\mu}$

We investigate the strategy explained in section 2.6. Recall that we work at a fixed prime number $p$ and want to show that there exists certain suitable algebraic expressions $\left(\gamma_{i}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, N}$ satisfying $C_{K, p} \subset C_{\gamma, p}$. However, we also keep in mind that when $p$ varies, we want the condition $\bigcap_{p \gg 0} C_{\gamma, p}=C_{\mathrm{GS}}$ to be satisfied.

Write $C_{\text {zip }, p}$ for the zip cone of $\left(G_{p}, \mu_{p}\right)$. Since $C_{\mathrm{GS}} \subset\left\langle C_{\text {zip }, p}\right\rangle \subset\left\langle C_{K, p}\right\rangle$, the family $\left(\gamma_{i}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, N}$ would also be a GS-approximation of the family $\left(C_{\text {zip }, p}\right)_{p}$. For this reason, we first seek a GS-approximation of the family $\left(C_{\text {zip }, p}\right)_{p}$ to gain intuition, which is a more tractable, group-theoretical object. We will give a natural and explicit GS-approximation of $\left(C_{\text {zip }, p}\right)_{p}$ in certain cases (including all cases when $G$ is split over $\left.\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$. In the unitary split case of signature $(n-1,1)$, we show in section 4 that this also provides a GS-approximation of the Shimura cone family $\left(C_{K, p}\right)_{p}$.

### 3.1 Group-theoretical preliminaries

Let $(G, \mu)$ be a cocharacter datum over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ (as usual, we take $q=p$ for Shimura varieties). Let $\mathcal{Z}=(G, P, Q, L, M, \varphi)$ be the attached zip datum (see section 1.1.3). Choose a frame $(B, T, z)$, with $(B, T)$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ as in section 2.1 and $z=\sigma\left(w_{0, I}\right) w_{0}$. Define $B_{M}:=B \cap M$. We first explain that we can naturally inject the space of global sections $H^{0}\left(G-\mathrm{Zip}^{\mu}, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)$ into a space of regular maps $B_{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ which are eigenfunctions for a certain action of $T$ on $B_{M}$. We recall some results from [IK22]. Recall that $H^{0}\left(G-\mathrm{Zip}^{\mu}, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)$ identifies with $H^{0}\left(G-Z_{i p F l a g}{ }^{\mu}, \mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda)\right)$ by (2.2.1). Furthermore, using the isomorphism $G-\mathrm{ZipFlag}^{\mu} \simeq\left[E^{\prime} \backslash G_{k}\right]$ (see section 2.2), an element of the space $H^{0}\left(G-\mathrm{ZipFlag}^{\mu}, \mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda)\right.$ ) can be viewed as a function $f: G_{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{k}^{1}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(a g b^{-1}\right)=\lambda(a) f(g), \quad \forall(a, b) \in E^{\prime}, \forall g \in G_{k} \tag{3.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $G$-ZipFlag ${ }^{\mu}$ admits a unique open stratum $\mathcal{U}_{\max }=\mathcal{F}_{w_{0}}$. Write also $U_{\max }:=$ $F_{w_{0}}=B w_{0} B z^{-1}$ (the $B \times^{z} B$-orbit of $\left.w_{0} z^{-1}=\sigma\left(w_{0, I}\right)^{-1}\right)$.

Lemma 3.1.1 (【IK22, Lemma 4.2.1]). The map $B_{M} \rightarrow U_{\max }, b \mapsto \sigma\left(w_{0, I}\right) b^{-1}$ induces an isomorphism $\left[B_{M} / T\right] \simeq \mathcal{U}_{\max }$, where $T$ acts on $B_{M}$ on the right by the action $B_{M} \times T \rightarrow B_{M}$ given by $(b, t) \mapsto \varphi(t)^{-1} b \sigma\left(w_{0, I}\right) t \sigma\left(w_{0, I}\right)^{-1}$.

For $\lambda \in X^{*}(T)$, let $S(\lambda)$ denote the space of functions $h: B_{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ satisfying

$$
h\left(\varphi(t)^{-1} b \sigma\left(w_{0, I}\right) t \sigma\left(w_{0, I}\right)^{-1}\right)=\lambda(t)^{-1} h(b), \quad \forall t \in T, \quad \forall b \in B_{M} .
$$

Corollary 3.1.2. The isomorphism from Lemma 3.1.1 induces an isomorphism

$$
\vartheta: H^{0}\left(\mathcal{U}_{\max }, \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{flag}}(\lambda)\right) \rightarrow S(\lambda)
$$

We describe explicitly this isomorphism. Let $f \in H^{0}\left(\mathcal{U}_{\text {max }}, \mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda)\right)$, viewed as a function $f: U_{\max } \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ satisfying (3.1.1). The corresponding element $\vartheta(f) \in S(\lambda)$ is the function $B_{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1} ; b \mapsto f\left(\sigma\left(w_{0, I}\right) b^{-1}\right)$. Conversely, if $h: B_{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ is an element of $S(\lambda)$, the function $f=\vartheta^{-1}(h)$ is given by

$$
f\left(b_{1} \sigma\left(w_{0, I}\right) b_{2}^{-1}\right)=\lambda\left(b_{1}\right) h\left(\varphi\left(\theta_{L}^{P}\left(b_{1}\right)\right)^{-1} \theta_{M}^{Q}\left(b_{2}\right)\right), \quad\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \in B \times{ }^{z} B,
$$

where the functions $\theta_{L}^{P}$ and $\theta_{M}^{Q}$ were defined in section 1.1.3. By the property of $h$, the function $f$ is well-defined.

Given a section of $\mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}(\lambda)$ over $G$-ZipFlag ${ }^{\mu}$, we can restrict it to the open substack $\mathcal{U}_{\text {max }}$, and then apply $\vartheta$ to obtain an element of $S(\lambda)$. Hence, we may view $H^{0}\left(G-\operatorname{Zip}^{\mu}, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)$ as a subspace of $S(\lambda)$. In general, it is difficult to determine the image of this map. On the other hand, by the previous discussion, a section $f \in H^{0}\left(G-\mathrm{Zip}^{\mu}, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)$ can be viewed as a regular function $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ satisfying condition (3.1.1). In particular, $f$ is equivariant under the action of the unipotent subgroup $U \times V \subset E^{\prime}$. Denote by $S_{\text {unip }}$ the space of such functions:

$$
S_{\text {unip }}:=\left\{f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1} \mid f(u g v)=f(g), u \in U, v \in V\right\} .
$$

Hence, we may also view $H^{0}\left(G-\operatorname{Zip}^{\mu}, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)$ as a subspace of $S_{\text {unip }}$. The reason for introducing this space is the following: We will see in the next section that elements of $S_{\text {unip }}$ can be conveniently decomposed with respect to the action of $T \times T$ on $G$.

Finally, for $f \in S_{\text {unip }}$, we define $\widetilde{f}: B_{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ by $\widetilde{f}(b)=f\left(w_{0, M} b^{-1}\right)$. We write again $\vartheta$ for the map $S_{\text {unip }} \rightarrow k\left[B_{M}\right], f \mapsto \widetilde{f}$ (this map is not injective in general). By construction,
the following diagram is clearly commutative.


In particular, we deduce that if we view a nonzero element $f \in H^{0}\left(G\right.$-Zip $\left.{ }^{\mu}, \mathcal{V}(\lambda)\right)$ as an element of $S_{\text {unip }}$ and then apply $\vartheta: S_{\text {unip }} \rightarrow k\left[B_{M}\right]$, the result is nonzero. This will imply that when we decompose $f$ in $S_{\text {unip }}$ as a sum of $T \times T$-eigenvectors, at least one of the components of $f$ will map via $\vartheta$ to a nonzero element of $k\left[B_{M}\right]$.

Next, we choose coordinates for the Borel subgroup $B_{M}$ of $M$. This can be accomplished using the following result. For $\alpha \in \Phi$, let $U_{\alpha}$ be the corresponding $\alpha$-root group. Recall that by our convention, $\alpha \in \Phi_{+}$when $U_{\alpha}$ is contained in the opposite Borel $B^{+}$to $B$.

Proposition 3.1.3 ( $\widehat{\mathrm{ABD}^{+} 66}$, XXII, Proposition 5.5.1]). Let $G$ be a reductive group over $k$ and let $(B, T)$ be a Borel pair. Choose a total order on $\Phi_{-}$. The $k$-morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma: T \times \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_{-}} U_{\alpha} \rightarrow G \tag{3.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined by taking the product with respect to the chosen order is a closed immersion with image $B$.

We apply Proposition 3.1 .3 to $\left(M, B_{M}\right)$. Choose an order on $\Phi_{M,-}$ and consider the corresponding map $\gamma$ as in (3.1.2), with image $B_{M}$. For a function $h: B_{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$, put $P_{h}:=h \circ \gamma$. For all $\alpha \in \Phi$, choose an isomorphism $u_{\alpha}: \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{a}} \rightarrow U_{\alpha}$ so that $\left(u_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Phi}$ is a realization in the sense of [Spr98, 8.1.4]. In particular, we have

$$
t u_{\alpha}(x) t^{-1}=u_{\alpha}(\alpha(t) x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{a}}, \quad \forall t \in T .
$$

Via the isomorphism $u_{\alpha}: \mathbb{G}_{a} \rightarrow U_{\alpha}$, we can view $P_{h}$ as a polynomial $P_{h} \in k[T]\left[\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Phi_{M,-}}\right]$, where the $x_{\alpha}$ are indeterminates indexed by $\Phi_{M,-}$. For $m=\left(m_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^{\Phi_{M,-}}$ and $\xi \in X^{*}(T)$, denote by $P_{m, \xi}$ the monomial

$$
P_{m, \xi}=\lambda(t) \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_{M,-}} x_{\alpha}^{m_{\alpha}} \in k[T]\left[\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Phi_{M,-}}\right] .
$$

We can write any element $P$ of $k[T]\left[\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Phi_{M,-}}\right]$ as a sum of monomials

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{i} P_{m_{i}, \xi_{i}} \tag{3.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for all $1 \leq i \leq N$, we have $m_{i} \in \mathbb{N}^{\Phi_{M,-}}, \xi_{i} \in X^{*}(T)$ and $c_{i} \in k$. Furthermore, we may assume that the ( $m_{i}, \xi_{i}$ ) are pairwise distinct. Under this assumption, the expression (3.1.3) is uniquely determined up to permutation of the indices. For $P \in k[T]\left[\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\left.\alpha \in \Phi_{M,-}\right]}\right]$, define $h_{P}: B_{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ as the function $P \circ \gamma^{-1}$. For $m=\left(m_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^{\Phi_{L,-}}$ and $\xi \in X^{*}(T)$, define $h_{m, \xi}:=h_{P_{m, \lambda}}$.

Decompose $k\left[B_{M}\right]$ with respect to the action of $T \times T$ on $B_{M}$ :

$$
k\left[B_{M}\right]=\bigoplus_{\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}\right)} k\left[B_{M}\right]_{\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}}
$$

where $k\left[B_{M}\right]_{\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}}$ is the set of functions $h: B_{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ satisfying $h\left(t_{1} b t_{2}^{-1}\right)=\chi_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) \chi_{2}\left(t_{2}\right) h(b)$ for characters $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2} \in X^{*}(T)$. Put $\lambda\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}\right)=q \sigma^{-1} \chi_{1}+\sigma\left(w_{0, I}\right) \chi_{2}$. Then we have:

$$
S(\lambda)=\bigoplus_{\lambda\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}\right)=\lambda} k\left[B_{M}\right]_{\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}} .
$$

It is clear that functions of the form $h_{m, \xi}$ are $T \times T$-eigenfunctions. Lemma 3.1.4 determines exactly its weight $\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}\right)$. The proof of the lemma is similar to that of [IK22, Lemma 4.3.3].
Lemma 3.1.4. Let $(m, \xi) \in \mathbb{N}^{\Phi_{M,-}} \times X^{*}(T)$. Then $h_{m, \xi}$ lies in $k\left[B_{M}\right]_{\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}}$ for

$$
\chi_{1}=\xi, \quad \text { and } \quad \chi_{2}=-\xi+\sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_{M,-}} m_{\alpha} \alpha
$$

For $(m, \xi) \in \mathbb{N}^{\Phi_{M,-}} \times X^{*}(T)$, define the weight $\omega(m, \xi)$ by

$$
\omega(m, \xi):=q \sigma^{-1}(\xi)-w_{0, M} \xi+\sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_{M,-}} m_{\alpha}\left(w_{0, M} \alpha\right) \in X^{*}(T)
$$

It follows immediately from Lemma 3.1.4 that the function $h_{m, \xi}: B_{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ lies in $S(\omega(m, \xi))$.

### 3.2 Unipotent-invariance cone

### 3.2.1 Regular maps invariant under a unipotent subgroup

To give an upper bound on the cone $C_{\text {zip }}$, we will view sections over $G$-Zip ${ }^{\mu}$ as regular functions $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ and use their invariance under the action of the unitary group $U \times V$. We will show that this invariance condition forces the weight of $f$ to be constrained to a certain region of $X^{*}(T)$. We will therefore call this the "unipotent-invariance cone".

Let $(G, \mu)$ be a cocharacter datum over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Let $\mathcal{Z}_{\mu}=(G, P, Q, L, M, \varphi)$ be the attached zip datum. To simplify, we restrict ourselves to the case when $P$ is defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Recall that $U=R_{\mathrm{u}}(P)$ and $V=R_{\mathrm{u}}(Q)$. The key fact is the following easy lemma:
Lemma 3.2.1. Let $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ be a regular function satisfying $f(g u)=f(g)$ for all $g \in G$ and all $u$ in the unipotent radical $U^{\prime}$ of a standard parabolic $P^{\prime} \subset G$. Let $I^{\prime} \subset \Delta$ be the type of $P^{\prime}$. Then:
(1) We may decompose $f$ uniquely as $f=\sum_{\chi} f_{\chi}$ where $\chi \in X^{*}(T)$, such that $f_{\chi}$ is also $U^{\prime}$-equivariant and satisfies furthermore $f_{\chi}(g t)=\chi(t)^{-1} f_{\chi}(g)$ for all $g \in G, t \in T$.
(2) For all $\chi$ such that $f_{\chi} \neq 0$, we have $\left\langle\chi, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle \leq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{+, I^{\prime}}$.

Proof. Consider the space $W$ of all $U^{\prime}$-equivariant functions $h: G \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$. Since $U^{\prime}$ is normal in $P^{\prime}$, it is clear that any right-translate of $h$ by an element of $P^{\prime}$ is again $U^{\prime}$-equivariant. Hence the space $W$ is a $P^{\prime}$-representation. In particular, it decomposes with respect to the action of $T$. This shows (1). For the second assertion, by (1) we may assume $f=f_{\chi}$. Let $\phi_{\alpha}: \mathrm{SL}_{2} \rightarrow G$ denote the map attached to $\alpha$, as in [Spr98, 9.2.2]. It satisfies

$$
\phi_{\alpha}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & x \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right)=u_{\alpha}(x), \quad \phi_{\alpha}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
x & 1
\end{array}\right)\right)=u_{-\alpha}(x) .
$$

For a fixed element $g_{0} \in G$ and $\alpha \in \Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{+, I^{\prime}}$, consider the map

$$
f_{\alpha}: \mathrm{SL}_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}, \quad A \mapsto f\left(g_{0} \phi_{\alpha}(A)\right) .
$$

Let $V(m):=\operatorname{Ind}_{B_{0}}^{\mathrm{SL}_{2}}\left(\chi_{m}\right)$ where $B_{0}$ is the lower Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$ and $\chi_{m}$ is the character $\operatorname{diag}\left(x, x^{-1}\right) \mapsto x^{m}$. It is immediate that $f_{\alpha}$ lies in the $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-representation $V\left(-\left\langle\chi, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle\right)$. We can clearly choose $g_{0}$ such that $f_{\alpha}$ is nonzero. In particular $V\left(-\left\langle\chi, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle\right) \neq 0$ hence $\left\langle\chi, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle \leq 0$.

Corollary 3.2.2. Let $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ be a regular map satisfying $f(u g v)=f(g)$ for all $g \in G$ and all $(u, v) \in U \times V$. Then:
(1) We may decompose $f$ as

$$
f=\sum_{\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}\right)} f_{\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}}
$$

where $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2} \in X^{*}(T)$ and $f_{\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}}$ satisfies $f_{\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}}\left(t_{1} g t_{2}\right)=\chi_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) \chi_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)^{-1} f_{\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}}(g)$ for all $g \in G, t_{1}, t_{2} \in T$, as well as $f_{\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}}(u g v)=f_{\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}}(g)$ for all $g \in G$ and $(u, v) \in U \times V$.
(2) For all $\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}\right)$ such that $f_{\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}} \neq 0$, we have $\left\langle\chi_{1}, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{+, L}$ and $\left\langle\chi_{2}, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle \leq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{+, M}$

Proof. The first assertion is proved as in Lemma 3.2.1, noting that the space of $U \times V$ invariant regular functions is stable by the action of $P \times Q$. For the second assertion, apply the lemma to the functions $g \mapsto f(g)$ (resp. $g \mapsto f\left(w_{0} g^{-1} w_{0}\right)$ ) to obtain the inequality satisfied by $\chi_{2}$ (resp. $\chi_{1}$ ).
Corollary 3.2.3. The space $S_{\text {unip }}$ decomposes as follows:

$$
S_{\text {unip }}=\bigoplus_{\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}\right)} S_{\text {unip }}\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}\right)
$$

where $S_{\text {unip }}\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}\right)$ is the subspace of functions $f \in S_{\text {unip }}$ satisfying $f\left(t_{1} g t_{2}^{-1}\right)=\chi_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) \chi_{2}\left(t_{2}\right) f(g)$. Furthermore, any $\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}\right)$ such that $S_{\text {unip }}\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}\right) \neq 0$ satisfies $\left\langle\chi_{1}, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in$ $\Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{+, L}$ and $\left\langle\chi_{2}, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle \leq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{+, M}$.

We note that the map $\vartheta: S_{\text {unip }} \rightarrow k\left[B_{M}\right]$ is not $T \times T$-equivariant. It maps $S_{\text {unip }}\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}\right)$ to the weight space $k\left[B_{M}\right]_{\chi_{2}, w_{0, M} \chi_{1}}$.

### 3.2.2 Unipotent-invariance cone

We now start with a nonzero section $f \in H^{0}\left(G-\right.$ Zip $\left.^{\mu}, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)$ for some $\lambda \in X_{+, I}^{*}(T)$. Our goal is to show that $\lambda$ satisfies certain constraints. First, view $f$ as an element of $S_{\text {unip }}$. By Corollary 3.2.3, we may decompose $f$ as

$$
f=\sum_{\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}} f_{\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}}
$$

where $f_{\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}} \in S_{\text {unip }}\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}\right)$. Furthermore, we have $\left\langle\chi_{1}, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{+, L}$ and $\left\langle\chi_{2}, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle \leq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{+, M}$ whenever ( $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}$ ) appears. Next, we apply $\vartheta: S_{\text {unip }} \rightarrow k\left[B_{M}\right]$. By the discussion in section 3.1, there exists $\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}\right)$ such that $\vartheta\left(f_{\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}}\right)$ is a nonzero element $h \in k\left[B_{M}\right]$. Recall also that the weight of $h$ with respect to the $T \times T$-action on $k\left[B_{M}\right]$ is $\left(\chi_{2}, w_{0, M} \chi_{1}\right)$. We can decompose $h$ as a sum of monomials of the form $h_{m, \xi}$ for $(m, \xi) \in \mathbb{N}^{\Phi_{M,-}} \times X^{*}(T)$ as in section 3.1. Since $\vartheta(f) \in S(\lambda)$, we have simultaneously:

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\lambda & =\lambda\left(\chi_{2}, w_{0, M} \chi_{1}\right)=q \sigma^{-1} \chi_{2}+\chi_{1} \\
\chi_{1} & =-w_{0, M} \xi+\sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_{M,-}} m_{\alpha}\left(w_{0, M} \alpha\right) \\
\chi_{2} & =\xi
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Note that in the above sum $w_{0, M} \alpha$ lies in $\Phi_{M,+}$. Therefore, putting everything together, we deduce that $\lambda$ satisfies the following condition: There exists a character $\chi_{2} \in X^{*}(T)$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda-q \sigma^{-1}\left(\chi_{2}\right)+w_{0, M} \chi_{2} \text { is a sum of positive roots of } M \\
\left\langle\chi_{2}, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle \leq \min \left(0, \frac{1}{q}\left\langle\sigma(\lambda), \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle\right) \text { for all } \alpha \in \Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{+, M}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Definition 3.2.4. Let $C_{\text {unip }} \subset X^{*}(T)$ be the set of $\lambda \in X^{*}(T)$ such that there exists a character $\chi_{2} \in X^{*}(T)$ satisfying the condition above. We call $C_{\mathrm{unip}}$ the unipotent-invariance cone.

It is clear that $C_{\text {unip }}$ is a saturated subcone of $X^{*}(T)$. We have shown that if $f \in$ $H^{0}\left(G-\operatorname{Zip}^{\mu}, \mathcal{V}_{I}(\lambda)\right)$ is nonzero, then the weight of $f$ lies in $C_{\text {unip }}$. Hence:

Theorem 3.2.5. We have $\left\langle C_{\text {zip }}\right\rangle \subset C_{\text {unip }}$.
The saturated cone $\left\langle C_{\text {unip }}\right\rangle$ has a similar description as $C_{\text {unip }}$, except that we allow a linear combination of positive roots of $M$ with non-negative rational coefficients.

### 3.3 The split case

We simplify the situation by making the following assumptions:
(1) $P$ is defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. In particular, we have $L=M$.
(2) The group $G$ is split over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$.

In particular, both conditions are satisfied if $G$ is split over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. For characters $\chi_{2}, \lambda \in$ $X^{*}(T)$, write $\gamma=\lambda-q \sigma^{-1}\left(\chi_{2}\right)+w_{0, L} \chi_{2}$. We wish to express $\chi_{2}$ in terms of $\lambda$ and $\gamma$. Using the above assumptions, we find:

$$
\chi_{2}=-\frac{1}{q^{2}-1}\left(w_{0, I}(\gamma-\lambda)+q \sigma(\gamma-\lambda)\right)
$$

For characters $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$, write $\lambda_{1} \leq_{L} \lambda_{2}$ if for all roots $\alpha \in \Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}$, we have $\left\langle\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle \leq 0$. Under the assumptions (1)-(2), we deduce that any weight $\lambda \in C_{\text {unip }}$ satisfies: There exists a character $\gamma \in X^{*}(T)$ which is a sum of positive roots of $L$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w_{0, I} \lambda+q \sigma(\lambda) \leq_{L} w_{0, I} \gamma+q \sigma(\gamma) \\
w_{0, I} \lambda+\frac{1}{q} \sigma(\lambda) \leq_{L} w_{0, I} \gamma+q \sigma(\gamma)
\end{array}\right.
$$

In particular, assume that $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m} \in \Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}$ and that $\alpha_{1}^{\vee}+\cdots+\alpha_{m}^{\vee}=\delta$ is a cocharacter in $X_{*}(L)$. Since $w_{0, I} \gamma+q \sigma(\gamma)$ is again a sum of roots of $L$, it is orthogonal to $\delta$. Let $\{1, \ldots, m\}=S_{1} \sqcup S_{2}$ be any partition of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$. We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i \in S_{1}}\left\langle w_{0, I} \lambda+q \sigma(\lambda), \alpha_{i}^{\vee}\right\rangle+\sum_{i \in S_{2}}\left\langle w_{0, I} \lambda+\frac{1}{q} \sigma(\lambda), \alpha_{i}^{\vee}\right\rangle \leq 0, \\
& \text { hence }\langle\lambda, \delta\rangle+q \sum_{i \in S_{1}}\left\langle\sigma(\lambda), \alpha_{i}^{\vee}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{q} \sum_{i \in S_{2}}\left\langle\sigma(\lambda), \alpha_{i}^{\vee}\right\rangle \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

If we assume that $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right\}$ is stable by $\sigma$, then we can replace $\sigma(\lambda)$ by $\lambda$ in the above formula (using the partition $\sigma\left(S_{1}\right) \sqcup \sigma\left(S_{2}\right)$ ). In this case, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(q+1) \sum_{i \in S_{1}}\left\langle\lambda, \alpha_{i}^{\vee}\right\rangle+\left(\frac{1}{q}+1\right) \sum_{i \in S_{2}}\left\langle\lambda, \alpha_{i}^{\vee}\right\rangle & \leq 0 \\
\sum_{i \in S_{1}}\left\langle\lambda, \alpha_{i}^{\vee}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{q} \sum_{i \in S_{2}}\left\langle\lambda, \alpha_{i}^{\vee}\right\rangle & \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where we divided the equation by $q+1$. Consider the action of $W_{L} \rtimes \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ on $\Phi$. Note that this action preserves positivity of roots outside of $L$. In particular, the set
$\Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}$ is stable under $W_{L} \rtimes \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. It is not always the case that $\Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}$ consists of a single orbit. Let $\mathcal{O} \subset \Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}$ be an orbit. Define $\delta_{\mathcal{O}}$ as the sum of all coroots in $\mathcal{O}$ :

$$
\delta_{\mathcal{O}}:=\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}} \alpha^{\vee} .
$$

For any root $\beta \in \Delta_{L}$, the reflection $s_{\beta}$ satisfies $s_{\beta}\left(\delta_{\mathcal{O}}\right)=\delta_{\mathcal{O}}$, hence $\left\langle\beta, \delta_{\mathcal{O}}\right\rangle=0$. It follows that $\delta_{\mathcal{O}} \in X_{*}(L)$. Moreover, it is clear that $\sigma\left(\delta_{\mathcal{O}}\right)=\delta_{\mathcal{O}}$. The above discussion applies to $\delta_{\mathcal{O}}$ and shows that $C_{\text {unip }}$ satisfies all the inequalities of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}, S}(\lambda):=\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{O} \backslash S}\left\langle\lambda, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{q} \sum_{\alpha \in S}\left\langle\lambda, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle \leq 0 \tag{3.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any subset $S \subset \mathcal{O}$. Denote by $C_{\mathcal{O}} \subset X^{*}(T)$ the cone of $\lambda$ satisfying the inequalities (3.3.1) for all subset $S \subset \mathcal{O}$. Note that we could have defined $\delta_{\mathcal{O}}$ similarly when $\mathcal{O}$ is a union of $W_{L} \rtimes \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$-orbits. In particular, we may speak of the cone $C_{\Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}}$. However, note that if $\mathcal{O}=\mathcal{O}_{1} \sqcup \mathcal{O}_{2}$ and $S \subset \mathcal{O}$ is any subset, we have

$$
\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}, S}(\lambda)=\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_{1}, S \cap \mathcal{O}_{1}}(\lambda)+\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_{2}, S \cap \mathcal{O}_{1}}(\lambda) .
$$

Hence we deduce that $C_{\mathcal{O}_{1}} \cap C_{\mathcal{O}_{2}} \subset C_{\mathcal{O}}$ and thus we can reduce to considering the cones $C_{\mathcal{O}}$ when $\mathcal{O}$ is an $W_{L} \rtimes \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$-orbit in $\Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}$. We define the orbit cone $C_{\text {orb }}$ as follows:

$$
C_{\text {orb }}:=\bigcap_{\substack{\text { orbits } \\ \mathcal{O} \subset \Phi_{+}+\Phi_{L,+}}} C_{\mathcal{O}}
$$

where the intersection is taken over all $W_{L} \rtimes \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$-orbits $\mathcal{O} \subset \Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}$. By the above discussion, we have inclusions

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\text {zip }} \subset C_{\text {unip }} \subset C_{\text {orb }} \subset C_{\Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}} . \tag{3.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

All inclusions above are in general strict. We illustrate the difference between $C_{\text {zip }}, C_{\text {orb }}$ and $C_{\text {unip }}$ in section 3.5 in the case $G=\operatorname{Sp}(6)_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}$. We were not able to determine $C_{\text {unip }}$ in general (or even under assumptions (1)-(2)), but it will be sufficient for our purposes to work with the cone $C_{\text {orb }}$ since it already provides a sharp approximation. In certain cases, the inclusion $C_{\text {zip }} \subset C_{\Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}}$ will be enough for our purpose, as in the proof of Theorem 3.4.1 in section 3.4 However, in the case $G=\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n, \mathbb{F} q}$, the set $\Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}$ contains two orbits, and the cone $C_{\Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}}$ is strictly coarser than $C_{\mathcal{O}}$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is the orbit of the unique simple root outside of $L$. When we want to emphasize the dependance of $C_{\mathcal{O}}$ on the prime power $q$, it will be convenient to write $C_{\mathcal{O}, q}$. Similarly, we write $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}, S, q}$ for the function $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}, S}$.

The number of inequalities defining the cone $C_{\mathcal{O}}$ is the cardinality of the powerset of $\mathcal{O}$, which can be quite large. However, we are eventually interested in the cone $C_{\text {zip }}$, which is contained in the $L$-dominant cone $X_{+, I}^{*}(T)$. Therefore, it is sufficient to consider the intersection $C_{\mathcal{O}} \cap X_{+, I}^{*}(T)$. Looking at concrete examples, we see that this intersection is cut out in $X_{+, I}^{*}(T)$ by inequalities $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}, S}(\lambda) \leq 0$ for a rather small number of subsets $S \subset \mathcal{O}$ (the other subsets do not contribute to this intersection). The following notion seems to be relevant:

Definition 3.3.1. A subset $S \subset \Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}$ is L-minimal if it satisfies the following condition: For any $\alpha \in S$ and any $\beta \in \Delta_{L}$ such that $\alpha-\beta \in \Phi_{+}$, we have $\alpha-\beta \in S$. Denote by $\operatorname{Min}\left(\Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}\right)$ the set of all L-minimal subsets of $\Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}$.

For $w \in W^{I}$, define a subset

$$
\operatorname{Min}(w):=\left\{\alpha \in \Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+} \mid \ell\left(w s_{\alpha}\right)<\ell(w)\right\} .
$$

Then one can show that $\operatorname{Min}(w)$ is a $L$-minimal subset, and the map $w \mapsto \operatorname{Min}(w)$ induces a bijection $W^{I} \rightarrow \operatorname{Min}\left(\Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}\right)$. For an orbit $\mathcal{O} \subset \Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}$, define $\operatorname{Min}(\mathcal{O})$ as the set of $L$-minimal subsets contained in $\mathcal{O}$, i.e:

$$
\operatorname{Min}(\mathcal{O})=\operatorname{Min}\left(\Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}\right) \cap \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O})
$$

Then, we expect the following to hold:

$$
C_{\mathcal{O}} \cap X_{+, I}^{*}(T)=\left\{\lambda \in X_{+, I}^{*}(T) \mid \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}, S}(\lambda) \leq 0 \text { for all } S \in \operatorname{Min}(\mathcal{O})\right\}
$$

In particular, only a small number of subsets $S$ contribute nontrivially. The above can be easily checked this in the cases $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n, \mathbb{F}_{q}}$ and $G=\mathrm{Sp}(2 n)_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}$ considered in sections 3.5 and 4 , but we have not proved it in general. It will be convenient to define the following set, which we call the $L$-minimal cone:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{L-\operatorname{Min}}=\left\{\lambda \in X^{*}(T) \mid \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}, S}(\lambda) \leq 0 \text { for all orbits } \mathcal{O} \text { and all } S \in \operatorname{Min}(\mathcal{O})\right\} \tag{3.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, at least in the cases considered in sections 3.5 and 4 , we have $C_{\text {orb }} \cap X_{+, I}^{*}(T)=$ $C_{L-\mathrm{Min}} \cap X_{+, I}^{*}(T)$

### 3.4 Asymptotic zip cone

We apply the results of the previous section to study the asymptotic behaviour of the cone $C_{\text {zip }, p}$ in families. We may work in a more general setting, independently of the theory of Shimura varieties. We let $\mathbf{G}$ be a reductive $\mathbb{Q}$-group endowed with a cocharacter $\mu: \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$. There exists an integer $N \geq 1$ such that $\mathbf{G}$ admits a reductive $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{N}\right]$-model $\mathcal{G}$. Furthermore, there is a number field $E$ such that $\mu$ extends to a cocharacter of $\mathcal{G}_{R}$ where $R=\mathcal{O}_{E}\left[\frac{1}{N}\right]$. From this, we obtain a zip datum $\left(G_{p}, \mu_{p}\right)$ for all primes $p$ not dividing $N$. We choose a Borel pair $(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{T})$ of $\mathbf{G}$ and we may assume that it has a model $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T})$ in $\mathcal{G}$. We obtain compatible Borel pairs $\left(B_{p}, T_{p}\right)$ for all $G_{p}$, and we may identify their character groups and their root data. Write $C_{\text {zip }, p}$ for the zip cone of the zip datum attached to $\left(G_{p}, \mu_{p}\right)$. We may view all the cones $C_{\text {zip }, p}$ inside the same character group $X^{*}(\mathbf{T})$. We have the following:

Theorem 3.4.1. The family $\left(\left\langle C_{\text {zip }, p}\right\rangle\right)_{p}$ is asymptotic to $C_{\mathrm{GS}}$.
Proof. Since $\mu$ is defined over the number field $\mathbf{E}$, the cocharacter $\mu_{p}$ will be defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ for any $p$ which is split in $\mathbf{E}$. Similarly, choose a number field $\mathbf{F}$ such that $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{F}}$ is split. Then for any prime $p$ split in $\mathbf{F}$, the group $G_{p}$ is split over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. In particular, there are infinitely many such primes. It suffices to show for all $N \geq 1$ :

$$
\bigcap_{\substack{G_{p} \text { split } \\ p \geq N}}\left\langle C_{\text {zip }, p}\right\rangle=C_{\mathrm{GS}} .
$$

For such primes, we may apply the results of the previous section. Define the cone $C_{\Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}, p}$ as in section 3.3 (where we take $q=p$ ). By the inclusions (3.3.2), it suffices to show that the intersection of all the cones $C_{\Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}, p}$ (for $p$ such that $G_{p}$ is split) coincides with
$C_{\mathrm{GS}}$. Let $\lambda$ be a character in all the $C_{\Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}, p}$. For $\beta \in \Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}$, consider the subset $S=\Phi_{+} \backslash\left(\Phi_{L,+} \cup\{\beta\}\right)$. By assumption, $\lambda$ satisfies

$$
\Gamma_{\Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}, S, p}(\lambda)=\left\langle\lambda, \beta^{\vee}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{p} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+} \\ \alpha \neq \beta}}\left\langle\lambda, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle \leq 0 .
$$

Passing to the limit on $p$, we obtain $\left\langle\lambda, \beta^{\vee}\right\rangle \leq 0$ for any $\beta \in \Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}$. Since $C_{\text {zip }} \subset X_{+, I}^{*}(T)$ and $C_{\mathrm{GS}} \subset\left\langle C_{\text {zip }, p}\right\rangle$ for all $p$, we deduce that the intersection of the cones $\left\langle C_{\text {zip }, p}\right\rangle$ coincides with $C_{\text {GS }}$.

The proof shows that the family $\left(C_{\Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}, p} \cap X_{+, I}^{*}(T)\right)_{p}$ is a GS-approximation of the family $\left(C_{\text {zip }, p}\right)_{p}$ (this is a slight abuse of terminology, since we have not defined $C_{\Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}, p}$ for general $p$ ). Theorem 3.4.1 combined with Conjecture 1.2 .3 indicates that we should expect a similar result for the Shimura cone family $\left(\left\langle C_{K, p}\right\rangle\right)_{p}$ (recall that $\left.C_{K, p}:=C_{K}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)\right)$. In particular, we expect that $C_{K, p}$ is contained in $C_{\Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}, p}$ for all $p$ where $G_{p}$ is split.

### 3.5 GS-approximations for $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ and $\operatorname{Sp}(2 n)$

We give explicit equations for $C_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $C_{\text {orb }}$ in the case of general linear groups and symplectic groups.

### 3.5.1 General linear groups

Set $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n, \mathbb{F}_{q}}$ (as usual, we take $q=p$ in the context of Shimura varieties). Consider the cocharacter $\mu: \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}, k} \rightarrow G_{k}$ by $\mu(x)=\operatorname{diag}\left(x I_{r}, I_{s}\right)$ with $r+s=n$. Write $\mathcal{Z}_{\mu}=$ $(G, P, L, Q, M, \varphi)$ for the attached zip datum. If $\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ denotes the canonical basis of $k^{n}$, then $P$ is the stabilizer of $V_{P}:=\operatorname{Span}_{k}\left(u_{r+1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ and $Q$ is the stabilizer of $V_{Q}:=\operatorname{Span}_{k}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{r}\right)$. Let $B$ denote the lower-triangular Borel and $T$ the diagonal torus. The Levi subgroup $L=P \cap Q$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_{r, \mathbb{F}_{q}} \times \mathrm{GL}_{s, \mathbb{F}_{q}}$. Identify $X^{*}(T)=\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ such that $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ corresponds to the character $\operatorname{diag}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{a_{i}}$. The simple roots with respect to $B$ are $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq n-1}$ where

$$
\alpha_{i}=e_{i}-e_{i+1}
$$

and $\left(e_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ denotes the canonical basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. For general $(r, s)$, we do not know a description of $C_{\text {zip }}$ or even $\left\langle C_{\text {zip }}\right\rangle$. The cones $X_{+, I}^{*}(T)$ and $C_{\mathrm{GS}}$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{+, I}^{*}(T) & =\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \mid a_{1} \geq \cdots \geq a_{r} \text { and } a_{r+1} \geq \cdots \geq a_{n}\right\} \\
C_{\mathrm{GS}} & =\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in X_{+, I}^{*}(T) \mid a_{1} \leq a_{n}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this case, the group $W_{L}$ acts transitively on $\Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}$.
First, we explicit the set $\operatorname{Min}\left(\Phi^{+} \backslash \Phi_{L}^{+}\right)$. This set is in bijection with the set of finite decreasing sequences $x=\left(x_{j}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq s}$ such that $r \geq x_{1} \geq x_{2} \geq \cdots \geq x_{s} \geq 0$. To each such sequence, we can attach the $L$-minimal subset

$$
S_{x}:=\left\{e_{i}-e_{j} \mid r+1-x_{j} \leq i \leq r, r+1 \leq j \leq n\right\} .
$$

Write simply $\Gamma_{x}(\lambda)$ for the function $\Gamma_{\Phi^{+} \backslash \Phi_{L}^{+}, S_{x}}(\lambda)$. If we write $\lambda=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$, we have:

$$
\Gamma_{x}(\lambda)=\sum_{j=r+1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r-x_{j-r}}\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right)+\frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=r-x_{j-r}+1}^{r}\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right)\right) .
$$

Hence, the $L$-minimal cone $(\sqrt{3.3 .3})$ is given as follows:

$$
C_{L-\mathrm{Min}}=\left\{\lambda \in X^{*}(T) \mid \Gamma_{x}(\lambda) \leq 0, \text { for all decreasing sequences } x\right\} .
$$

On this example, the expected equality $C_{L-\mathrm{Min}} \cap X_{+, I}^{*}(T)=C_{\text {orb }} \cap X_{+, I}^{*}(T)$ (see end of section 3.3) is a straightforward computation. When $(r, s)=(n-1,1)$, we obtain that $C_{L-\text { Min }}$ is given by the following equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(a_{i}-a_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=k+1}^{n-1}\left(a_{i}-a_{n}\right) \leq 0 \quad \text { for all } 0 \leq k \leq n-1 . \tag{3.5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, one can check that the intersection $C_{L-\operatorname{Min}} \cap X_{+, I}^{*}(T)$ coincides with the $\lambda=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in X_{+, I}^{*}(T)$ satisfying the inequalities (3.5.1) for $k=1, \ldots, n-1$ (the inequality for $k=0$ can be omitted).

Consider the case $(r, s)=(2,2)$. The $L$-dominant cone $X_{+, I}^{*}(T)$ is the set of $\lambda=$ $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{4}$ such that $a_{1} \geq a_{2}$ and $a_{3} \geq a_{4}$. The set ${ }^{I} W$ has cardinality $\frac{4!}{2!2!}=6$. When intersecting with $X_{+, I}^{*}(T)$, three of the corresponding 6 equations become redundant. Specifically, $C_{\text {orb }} \cap X_{+, I}^{*}(T)$ is the set of $\lambda=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right) \in X_{+, I}^{*}(T)$ satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 q a_{1}+2 a_{2}-(q+1) a_{3}-(q+1) a_{4} \leq 0 \\
(q+1) a_{1}+2 a_{2}-2 a_{3}-(q+1) a_{4} \leq 0 \\
(q+1) a_{1}+(q+1) a_{2}-2 a_{3}-2 q a_{4} \leq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

In the case of a unitary group of signature $(2,2)$ at a split prime of good reduction, Conjecture 1.2 .3 holds by GK22, Theorem 4.2.8]. Furthermore, this case is of Hasse-type ([IK22, Definition 5.1.6]), hence we have $\left\langle C_{\text {zip }}\right\rangle=\left\langle C_{\text {Hasse }}\right\rangle$ by loc. cit., Theorem 5.3.1. Therefore, if $X$ denotes any $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$-scheme satisfying Assumption 2.1.1 (for example, the corresponding unitary Shimura variety), we have:

$$
\left\langle C_{X}\right\rangle=\left\langle C_{\text {zip }}\right\rangle=\left\langle C_{\text {Hasse }}\right\rangle=\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{1}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right) \in X_{+, I}^{*}(T) \mid q\left(a_{1}-a_{4}\right)+\left(a_{2}-a_{3}\right) \leq 0\right\} .
$$

We see on this example that the actual cones $\left\langle C_{X}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle C_{\text {zip }}\right\rangle$ have a much simpler expression than the approximation $C_{\text {orb }}$. However, for general groups we do not have an expression for either $\left\langle C_{X}\right\rangle$ or $\left\langle C_{\text {zip }}\right\rangle$. Even worse, we could not prove that they are polyhedral cones.

### 3.5.2 Sympectic groups

We first give some notations for an arbitrary symplectic group. Let $\left(V_{0}, \psi\right)$ be a nondegenerate symplectic space over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of dimension $2 n$, for some integer $n \geq 1$. After choosing an appropriate basis $\mathcal{B}$ for $V_{0}$, we assume that $\psi$ is given by the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
J^{-J}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { where } \quad J:=\left({ }_{1} \quad{ }^{1}\right)
$$

Define $G$ as follows:

$$
G(R)=\left\{f \in \operatorname{GL}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(V_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} R\right) \mid \psi_{R}(f(x), f(y))=\psi_{R}(x, y), \forall x, y \in V_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} R\right\}
$$

for all $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-algebras $R$. Identify $V_{0}=\mathbb{F}_{q}^{2 n}$ via $\mathcal{B}$ and view $G$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{2 n, \mathbb{F}_{q}}$. Fix the $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-split maximal torus $T$ given by diagonal matrices in $G$, i.e.

$$
T(R):=\left\{\operatorname{diag}_{2 n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{n}^{-1}, \ldots, x_{1}^{-1}\right) \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in R^{\times}\right\} .
$$

Define $B$ as the Borel subgroup of $G$ consisting of the lower-triangular matrices in $G$. For a tuple $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$, define a character of $T$ by mapping $\operatorname{diag}_{2 n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{n}^{-1}, \ldots, x_{1}^{-1}\right)$ to $x_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}}$. From this, we obtain an identification $X^{*}(T)=\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Denoting by $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$ the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$, the $T$-roots of $G$ and the $B$-positive roots are respectively

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi & :=\left\{ \pm e_{i} \pm e_{j} \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\right\} \cup\left\{ \pm 2 e_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}, \\
\Phi_{+} & :=\left\{e_{i} \pm e_{j} \mid 1 \leq i<j \leq n\right\} \cup\left\{2 e_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the $B$-simple roots are $\Delta:=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, \beta\right\}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{i} & :=e_{i}-e_{i+1} \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n-1, \\
\beta & :=2 e_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Weyl group $W:=W(G, T)$ can be identified with the group of permutations $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2 n}$ satisfying $\sigma(i)+\sigma(2 n+1-i)=2 n+1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 2 n$. Define a cocharacter $\mu: \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathbb{F}_{q}} \rightarrow G$ by $z \mapsto \operatorname{diag}\left(z I_{n}, z^{-1} I_{n}\right)$. Write $\mathcal{Z}:=(G, P, L, Q, M, \varphi)$ for the associated zip datum (since $\mu$ is defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, we have $M=L$ ). Concretely, if we denote by $\left(u_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{2 n}$ the canonical basis of $k^{2 n}$, then $P$ is the stabilizer of $V_{0, P}=\operatorname{Span}_{k}\left(u_{n+1}, \ldots, u_{2 n}\right)$ and $Q$ is the stabilizer of $V_{0, Q}=\operatorname{Span}_{k}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$. The intersection $L:=P \cap Q$ is a common Levi subgroup and there is an isomorphism $\mathrm{GL}_{n, \mathbb{F}_{q}} \rightarrow L, A \mapsto \theta(A)$, where:

$$
\theta(A):=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & \\
& J^{t} A^{-1} J
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Under the identification $X^{*}(T)=\mathbb{Z}^{n}$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{+, I}^{*}(T) & =\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \mid a_{1} \geq \cdots \geq a_{n}\right\} \\
C_{\mathrm{GS}} & =\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in X_{+, I}^{*}(T) \mid a_{1} \leq 0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We do not know the general form of the cone $C_{\text {zip }}$ outside the case $n=2$ (see [Kos19]). For $n=3$, we determined $\left\langle C_{\text {zip }}\right\rangle$ in loc. cit. For $n \geq 4$, neither $C_{\text {zip }}$ nor its saturation $\left\langle C_{\text {zip }}\right\rangle$ are known. Some approximations by subcones (Hasse cone, highest weight cone) were constructed in loc. cit.. These notions were generalized to arbitrary groups in [K22].

Next, we explicit the results of the previous section and give an upper bound on $C_{\text {zip }}$. There are two $W_{L^{-}}$-orbits in $\Phi_{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}$, given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_{1} & =\left\{e_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \\
\mathcal{O}_{2} & =\left\{e_{i}+e_{j} \mid 1 \leq i<j \leq n\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It turns out that the cone $C_{\mathcal{O}_{2}}$ is coarser that $C_{\mathcal{O}_{1}}$, so we will only consider $C_{\mathcal{O}_{1}}$. One can prove that the cone $C_{\mathcal{O}_{1}} \cap X_{+, I}^{*}(T)$ is the set of $\lambda=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in X_{+, I}^{*}(T)$ satisfying

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}+\frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} a_{i} \leq 0 \quad \text { for all } 1 \leq k \leq n-1 .
$$

Therefore, the cone $C_{\text {orb }} \cap X_{+, I}^{*}(T)$ is also given by the above inequalities. Note the similarities between the cases $G=\operatorname{Sp}(2 n)$ and $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}$ of signature $(n, 1)$. Namely, if we set $a_{n+1}=0$ in the latter, we recover the equations for $\operatorname{Sp}(2 n)$. As explained in GK22, $\S 4.2 .2$ ], there is a correspondence between automorphic forms on the corresponding stacks of $G$-zips for these two groups. Even though the number of $W_{L}$-orbits are different for these groups, this correspondence persists for the approximation cones $C_{\text {orb }}$.

In the graph below, we consider the case $G=\operatorname{Sp}(6)$. We illustrate the approximations $C_{\text {orb }}, C_{\text {unip }}$ of the cone $\left\langle C_{\text {zip }}\right\rangle$. Note that $X^{*}(T)=\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ is 3-dimensional, so to simplify we represent a slice of the cones. Hence, each dot on the picture represents a half-line from the origin. For a cone $C \subset X^{*}(T)$, write $C^{+, I}$ for its intersection with $X_{+, I}^{*}(T)$. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{\text {orb }}^{+, I}=C_{L-\text { Min }}^{+, I} & =\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right) \in X_{+, I}^{*}(T) \left\lvert\, a_{1}+\frac{1}{q}\left(a_{2}+a_{3}\right) \leq 0\right., a_{1}+a_{2}+\frac{1}{q} a_{3} \leq 0\right\} \\
C_{\text {unip }}^{+, I} & =\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right) \in X_{+, I}^{*}(T) \left\lvert\, a_{1}+\frac{1}{q}\left(a_{2}+a_{3}\right) \leq 0\right., q a_{1}+q^{2} a_{2}+a_{3} \leq 0\right\} \\
C_{\text {zip }} & =\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right) \in X_{+, I}^{*}(T) \mid q^{2} a_{1}+a_{2}+q a_{3} \leq 0, q a_{1}+q^{2} a_{2}+a_{3} \leq 0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

As one sees on the figure below, the inclusions $C_{\text {zip }} \subset C_{\text {unip }}^{+, I} \subset C_{\text {orb }}^{+, I}$ are strict.


Figure 1: The case $\operatorname{Sp}(6)_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}$

## 4 Vanishing at a fixed prime for unitary Shimura varieties

In this section, we take $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n, \mathbb{F}_{q}}$ and consider the setting of section 3.5.1. In particular, $\mu: \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}, k} \rightarrow G_{k}$ is the cocharacter $x \mapsto \operatorname{diag}\left(x I_{r}, I_{s}\right)$ for $r+s=n$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{\mu}=(G, P, L, Q, M, \varphi)$ is the attached zip datum. In section 4.4, we will specialize to the case $(r, s)=(n-1,1)$.

### 4.1 Partial Hasse invariants

We let $S_{n}=W$ be the group of permutations of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. We start by recalling the following criterion for determining the set $E_{w}$ for $w \in S_{n}$ (see (2.3.1). For $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, we denote by $(i j) \in S_{n}$ the transposition exchanging $i$ and $j$.

Proposition 4.1.1. Let $1 \leq i<j \leq n$. Then $w \times(i j)$ is a lower neighbour of $w$ if and only if the folllowing conditions hold
(1) $\sigma(i)>\sigma(j)$,
(2) There is no $i<k<j$ such that $\sigma(j)<\sigma(k)<\sigma(i)$.

We may represent this criterion visually as follows: Consider the submatrix of $w$ whose corners are $(i, \sigma(i))$ and $(j, \sigma(j))$. Condition (i) says that $(i, \sigma(i))$ is the lower left corner of this matrix, and $(j, \sigma(j))$ is the upper right corner. Condition (ii) says that all the coefficients of this submatrix are zero except for these two corners.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc} 
& & -\cdots \\
\hdashline & 0 & 1 \\
& & 0 \\
\hdashline
\end{array}\right)
$$

Definition 4.1.2. We say that $w \in W$ admits a system of partial Hasse invariants if the elements $\alpha^{\vee}$ for $\alpha \in E_{w}$ are linearly independent in $X_{*}(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

If $w$ admits a system of partial Hasse invariants, then for each $\alpha \in E_{w}$, we can find $\chi \in$ $X^{*}(T)$ satisfying Conditions (a) and (b) of Definition 2.5.1. This will be used to construct a separating system in section 4.4.3. Let us introduce some non-standard terminology: Let $w \in S_{n}$ be a permutation. A triplet ( $i, j, k$ ) satisfying $i<j<k$ and $w(i)<w(j)$ and $w(k)<w(j)$ will be called a $V$-shape. Furthermore, if $w(i)<w(k)$, we call it a $\sqrt{ }$-shape.
Lemma 4.1.3. Assume that $w$ has no $\sqrt{ }$-shape. Then $w$ admits a system of partial Hasse invariants.

Proof. For a transposition $t=(i j)$, put $t_{-}:=\min \{i, j\}$ and $t_{+}:=\max \{i, j\}$. Since $w$ has no $\sqrt{ }$-shape, it is clear that the map $E_{w} \rightarrow\{1, \ldots, n\}, t \mapsto t_{+}$is injective. This implies that the elements $\left(\alpha^{\vee}\right)_{\alpha \in E_{w}}$ are linearly independent.

### 4.2 Auxilliary sequence

For $1 \leq d \leq n$, define the matrix

$$
\Lambda_{d}:=\left(\begin{array}{lll|lll} 
& & & 1 & & \\
& & & & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
\hline & & 1 & & & \\
& . & & & & \\
1 & & & &
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the upper right block has size $d \times d$ and the lower left block has size $(n-d) \times(n-d)$. For example, $\Lambda_{1}=w_{0}$ is the longest element of $W=S_{n}$ and $\Lambda_{n}=I_{n}$ is the identity element.

For two elements $w, w^{\prime}$ such that $w>w^{\prime}$, we define a path from $w$ to $w^{\prime}$ to be a sequence $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{N}$ satisfying the following conditions:
(a) $w_{1}=w$ and $w_{N}=w^{\prime}$.
(b) $w_{1}>\cdots>w_{N}$ and $\ell\left(w_{i+1}\right)=\ell\left(w_{i}\right)-1$ for each $i=1, \ldots, N-1$.

For $1 \leq d<n$, we construct a path from $\Lambda_{d}$ to $\Lambda_{d+1}$ as follows: We multiply $\Lambda_{d}$ successively on the right by the transpositions $(n-d \quad n-i+1)$ for $i=1, \ldots, d$. In other words, we define $w_{1}^{(d)}=\Lambda_{d}$ and for $2 \leq i \leq d+1$,

$$
w_{i}^{(d)}=\Lambda_{d}(n-d n)(n-d n-1) \ldots(n-d \quad n-i+2) .
$$

Then $\left(w_{1}^{(d)}, \ldots, w_{d+1}^{(d)}\right)$ is a path from $\Lambda_{d}$ to $\Lambda_{d+1}$. At each step, the coefficient on the $n-d$-th column of the matrix moves up by one. Moreover, the last $d$ coefficients are in increasing order at each step of the sequence.
Lemma 4.2.1. Each element in the sequence $\left(w_{1}^{(d)}, \ldots, w_{d+1}^{(d)}\right)$ admits a system of partial Hasse invariants.

Proof. Every element in the sequence has no V-shape (in particular no $\sqrt{ }$-shape), hence the result follows from Lemma 4.1.3.

The number of lower neighbours of $w_{i}^{(d)}$ is exactly $n-1$ for all $1 \leq d<n-1$. Furthermore, for $1 \leq d<n-1$, the set $E_{w_{i}^{(d)}}$ can be partitioned into three subsets, namely:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{w_{i}^{(d)}}=A \sqcup B \sqcup C \\
& A:=\{(j j+1) \mid 1 \leq j \leq n-d-1\} \\
& B:=\{(n-d-1 n+1-j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq i-1\} \\
& C:=\{(n-d n-d+j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq d-i+1\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $d=n-1$, the number of lower neighbours of $w_{i}^{(n-1)}$ is $n-i$, and we have

$$
E_{w_{i}^{(d)}}=\{(1 j) \mid 2 \leq j \leq n-i+1\} .
$$

Next, we compute the weight of a Hasse invariant which cuts out the stratum $\bar{Y}_{w_{i+1}^{(d)}}$ in the stratum $\bar{Y}_{w_{i}^{(d)}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$ and $1 \leq d<n-1$. By construction, we have $w_{i+1}^{(d)}=w_{i}^{(d)} s_{\alpha_{i}^{(d)}}$ for the root $\alpha_{i}^{(d)}:=e_{n-d}-e_{n+1-i}$. Recall that for any $w \in W$, the Hasse section $\operatorname{Ha}_{w, \chi}$ is a section of $\mathcal{V}_{\text {flag }}\left(h_{w}(\chi)\right)$ whose divisor has multiplicity $\left\langle\chi, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle$ along $\mathcal{F}_{w s_{\alpha}}$ for each $\alpha \in E_{w}$ (see section 2.3). We call $h_{w}(\chi)$ the weight of $\mathrm{Ha}_{w, \chi}$. Consider the character

$$
\chi_{i}^{(d)}:=-e_{d-i+1} .
$$

It satisfies

$$
\begin{cases}\left\langle\chi_{i}^{(d)}, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle=1 & \text { for } \alpha=\alpha_{i}^{(d)} \\ \left\langle\chi_{i}^{(d)}, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle=0 & \text { for } \alpha \in E_{w_{i}^{(d)}} \backslash\left\{\alpha_{i}^{(d)}\right\} .\end{cases}
$$

Therefore, the partial Hasse invariant $\mathrm{Ha}_{i}^{(d)}$ on $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{w_{i}^{(d)}}$ cuts out with multiplicity one the the stratum $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{w_{i+1}^{(d)}}$. Similarly, the pullback to $Y$ is a section over $\bar{Y}_{w_{i}^{(d)}}$ which cuts out the stratum $\bar{Y}_{w_{i+1}^{(d)}}$. We denote the weight of $\mathrm{Ha}_{i}^{(d)}$ by ha ${ }_{i}^{(d)}:=h_{w_{i}^{(d)}}\left(\chi_{i}^{(d)}\right)$. We obtain:

$$
\mathrm{ha}_{i}^{(d)}=e_{d-i+1}-q w_{0, I}\left(e_{i}\right) .
$$

Proposition 4.2.2. Define $\lambda_{a, b} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ by $\lambda_{a, b}:=e_{a}-q e_{b}$ where $1 \leq a, b \leq n$. Then $\lambda_{a, b} \in C_{L-\operatorname{Min}}$ if and only if $b \leq r$.

Proof. Assume $a \leq r$. Let $x=\left(x_{j}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq s}$ be a finite sequence such that $r \geq x_{1} \geq x_{2} \geq$ $\cdots \geq x_{s} \geq 0$. We need to show that $\Gamma_{x}\left(\lambda_{a, b}\right) \leq 0$. Write $\lambda_{a, b}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$. We have:

$$
\Gamma_{x}\left(\lambda_{a, b}\right)=\sum_{j=r+1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r-x_{j-r}}\left(y_{i}-y_{j}\right)+\frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=r-x_{j-r}+1}^{r}\left(y_{i}-y_{j}\right)\right) .
$$

Since $b \leq r$, the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left(y_{i}-y_{j}\right)+\frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=d+1}^{r}\left(y_{i}-y_{j}\right)$ is $\leq 0$ for any $1 \leq d \leq r$. This shows that $\lambda_{a, b} \in C_{L-\mathrm{Min}}$. We leave the converse implication to the reader, as we will not use it.
Corollary 4.2.3. For any $d \leq \min (r, n-1)$ and any $1 \leq i \leq d$, one has ha ${ }_{i}^{(d)} \in C_{L-\operatorname{Min}}$. Proof. We have ha ${ }_{i}^{(d)}=e_{d-i+1}-q w_{0, I}\left(e_{i}\right)$. Since $i \leq d \leq r$, we have $w_{0, I}\left(e_{i}\right) \leq r$. The result follows from Proposition 4.2.2.

Hence, when $(r, s)=(n-1,1)$, we obtain a path from $\Lambda_{1}=w_{0}$ to $\Lambda_{n-1}$ such that each element of the sequence admits a system of partial Hasse invariants, and furthermore the weights $\mathrm{ha}_{i}^{(d)}$ (for all $1 \leq i \leq d \leq n-1$ ) all lie in $C_{L-\text { Min }}$.

### 4.3 Hasse-regularity

In the case $(r, s)=(n-1,1)$, we have $\Lambda_{n-1}=z$. Recall that for a general cocharacter datum $(G, \mu)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, the element $z$ is defined by $z:=\sigma\left(w_{0, I}\right) w_{0}$ (see section 2.1). The last ingredient of our proof will be to show that the stratum $Y_{z}$ is Hasse-regular (Definition 2.4.1). Before we show this, we collect in this section some expectations in the general case.

Let $(G, \mu)$ be a general cocharacter datum $(G, \mu)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and $(X, \zeta)$ satisfying Assumption 2.1.1. In the terminology of GK19a, Definition 2.4.2], $z:=\sigma\left(w_{0, I}\right) w_{0}$ is the cominimal element of maximal length. We recall some results from loc. cit. about the stratum $\mathcal{F}_{z}$. First, by Kos18, Proposition 2.2.1] the projection map $\pi: G$-ZipFlag ${ }^{\mu} \rightarrow G$-Zip ${ }^{\mu}$ restricts to a finite etale map $\mathcal{F}_{z} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\mu}$, where $\mathcal{U}_{\mu}$ is the open stratum of $G$-Zip ${ }^{\mu}$. On the Zariski closure, the map $\pi: \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{z} \rightarrow G$-Zip ${ }^{\mu}$ is not finite in general. Similar results hold for the stratum $Y_{z} \subset Y$ and the projection map $\pi_{Y}: Y_{z} \rightarrow X$. We conjecture the following in general:
Conjecture 4.3.1. The flag stratum $Y_{z}$ is Hasse-regular.
For example, take $G=\operatorname{Res}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{2, \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}}\right)$ endowed with the parabolic $P=B$. This corresponds to the case of Hilbert-Blumenthal Shimura varieties. In this case, the flag space $Y=\operatorname{Flag}(X)$ coincides with $X$. Hence $Y_{z}$ is simply the unique open stratum of $X$, and we have $\bar{Y}_{z}=X$. In particular, Conjecture 4.3.1 says in this case that $\left\langle C_{X}\right\rangle=C_{\text {Hasse }}$, which was indeed proved in [GK18]. In the case when $G$ is $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-split, the Hasse cone of $z$ has a simple form:

$$
C_{\text {Hasse }, z}=\left\{\lambda \in X^{*}(T) \mid\left\langle\lambda, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle \leq 0 \text { for all } \alpha \in \Phi^{+} \backslash \Phi_{L,+}\right\} .
$$

Furthermore, in this case we expect the following stronger version:
Conjecture 4.3.2. Assume that $G$ is $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-split. For any $w \in W$ such that $w \leq z$, the flag stratum $Y_{w}$ is Hasse-regular.

Conjecture 4.3 .2 holds for Hilbert-Blumenthal Shimura varieties at a split prime $p$ by GK18]. Furthermore, it also holds for the groups $G=\operatorname{Sp}(4)_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}$ and $G=\mathrm{GL}_{3, \mathbb{F}_{q}}$ (in signature $(2,1)$ ) by loc. cit. ( $\S 5.2$, Figure 1 and Figure 2). For $G=\operatorname{GL}_{4, \mathbb{F}_{q}}$ with a parabolic of type $(3,1)$, it follows from GK22, §5.2]. We will generalize the result to the case $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n, \mathbb{F}_{q}}$ with a parabolic of type $(n-1,1)$ in the next section.

### 4.4 The unitary case of signature $(n-1,1)$ at split primes

We now return to the case $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n, \mathbb{F}_{q}}$ and we consider the case $(r, s)=(n-1,1)$. In this case, the element $z$ coincides with $\Lambda_{n-1}$. We say that a permutation $w \in S_{n}$ is $z$-small if $w \leq z$. Similarly, a stratum $Y_{w}$ paramatrized by such an element will be called $z$-small.

### 4.4.1 Hasse cones of $z$-small strata

For an integer $m \geq 1$, we consider the $m \times m$-matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll} 
& 1 & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & 1 \\
1 & & &
\end{array}\right)
$$

which we simply denote by $[m]$ (when no confusion arises from this notation). Similarly, for a tuple of positive integers $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right)$, we define

$$
\left[m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right]:=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
{\left[m_{1}\right]} & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & {\left[m_{k}\right]}
\end{array}\right)
$$

By Proposition 4.1.1, the $z$-small elements of $S_{n}$ are precisely the permutations of the form [ $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}$ ] for positive integers $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}$ such that $m_{1}+\cdots+m_{k}=n$. Note that any lower neighbour of a $z$-small element is again $z$-small. It is clear that a $z$-small element admits a system of partial Hasse invariants, because each block $\left[m_{i}\right]$ admits such a system.

We compute the Hasse cone $C_{\text {Hasse }, w}$ for each $z$-small element $w$. For $w=\left[m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right]$, we put $M_{i}(w):=\sum_{d=1}^{i} m_{i}$ for $1 \leq d \leq k$ and $M_{0}(w):=0$. If the choice of $w$ is clear, we simply write $M_{i}$ instead of $M_{i}(w)$. The set $E_{w}$ is given by

$$
E_{w}=\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{k} E_{w}^{(i)}, \quad E_{w}^{(i)}:=\left\{\left(M_{i-1}+1 \quad M_{i-1}+j\right) \mid 1<j \leq m_{i}\right\} .
$$

We say that $w^{\prime}$ is an $i$-lower neighbour if it corresponds to an element of $E_{w}^{(i)}$, i.e if $w^{\prime}=w s_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in E_{w}^{(i)}$. In other words, an $i$-lower neighbour of $w$ amounts to a partition $m_{i}=a+b$ with $a, b \geq 1$. For $w \in S_{n} z$-small, put $\gamma_{w}:=w^{-1} z$. If $w=\left[m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right]$, we have:

$$
\gamma_{w}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & M_{k-1}+1 & M_{k-2}+1 & \ldots & M_{1}+1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

In particular, $\gamma_{w}$ is a $k$-cycle, so it has order $k$ in $S_{n}$. The cone $\left\langle C_{\text {Hasse }, w}\right\rangle$ is defined by a number of $\left|E_{w}\right|$ inequalities. The inequality corresponding to $\alpha \in E_{w}$ is

$$
\sum_{d=0}^{k-1} q^{k-1-d}\left\langle z^{-1} \lambda, \gamma_{w}^{d} \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. For $\alpha \in E_{w}$, write $C_{\text {Hasse }, w}^{\alpha}$ for the cone in $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ defined by this condition. Therefore, $\left\langle C_{\text {Hasse }, w}\right\rangle=\bigcap_{\alpha \in E_{w}} C_{\text {Hasse }, w}^{\alpha}$. To simplify, we always write $z^{-1} \lambda=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Let $f$ be a linear polynomial in the variables $x_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{x_{i}}, \ldots x_{n}$ (where $\widehat{x_{i}}$ means that we omit the variable $x_{i}$ ). We write $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{x_{i}}, \ldots x_{n}\right) \leq_{i} 0$ for the homogeneous inequality $f\left(x_{1}-x_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}-x_{i}\right) \leq 0$. If $w=\left[m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right]$ and $\alpha=\left(M_{i-1}+1 M_{i-1}+j\right)$ for $1<j \leq m_{i}$, the corresponding inequality defining $C_{\text {Hasse }, w}^{\alpha}$ is given by

$$
\sum_{d=1}^{i-1} q^{k-d} x_{M_{i-d}+1}+q^{k-i} x_{1}+\sum_{d=i}^{k-1} q^{d-i} x_{M_{d}+1} \quad \leq_{M_{i-1}+j} 0
$$

### 4.4.2 Intersection cones

The goal of this section is to show the following result:
Proposition 4.4.1. Let $w \in S_{n}$ be a z-small permutation of length $\ell(w) \geq 2$ and let $\alpha \in E_{w}$. There exist two lower neighbours $w_{1}, w_{2}$ of $w$ (depending on $\alpha$ ) such that

$$
C_{\text {Hasse }, w_{1}} \cap C_{\text {Hasse }, w_{2}} \subset C_{\text {Hasse }, w}^{\alpha} .
$$

We write $w=\left[m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right]$ and $\alpha=\left(M_{i}+1 \quad M_{i}+j\right)$ for $0 \leq i<k$ and $1<j \leq m_{i+1}$. There are several cases to consider.

The case $j \geq 3$. In this case, we show that we may take $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ to be $i$-lower neighbours of $w$. Put:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{1}:=\left[m_{1}, \ldots, m_{i-1}, 1, m_{i}-1, m_{i+1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right] \\
& w_{2}:=\left[m_{1}, \ldots, m_{i-1}, j-1, m_{i}-j+1, m_{i+1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

In other words, $w_{1}, w_{2}$ are given respectively by partitioning $m_{i}$ into $\left[1, m_{i}-1\right]$ and $[j-$ $\left.1, m_{i}-j+1\right]$. Note that by assumption $j-1 \geq 2$. Consider the roots:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
M_{i-1}+2 & M_{i-1}+j
\end{array}\right) \\
& \alpha_{2}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
M_{i-1}+1 & M_{i-1}+2
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It suffices to show $C_{\mathrm{Hasse}, w_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}} \cap C_{\mathrm{Hasse}, w_{2}}^{\alpha_{2}} \subset C_{\mathrm{Hasse}, w}^{\alpha}$. The equations satisfied by $C_{\mathrm{Hasse}, w_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}}$ and $C_{\text {Hasse }, w_{2}}^{\alpha_{2}}$ are respectively:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\left(E_{1}\right): & q^{k} x_{M_{i-1}+2}+\sum_{d=1}^{i-1} q^{k-d} x_{M_{i-d}+1}+q^{k-i} x_{1}+\sum_{d=i}^{k-1} q^{d-i} x_{M_{d}+1} & \leq_{M_{i-1}+j} 0 \\
\left(E_{2}\right): & \sum_{d=0}^{i-2} q^{k-d} x_{M_{i-1-d}+1}+q^{k-i+1} x_{1}+\sum_{d=i}^{k-1} q^{d-i+1} x_{M_{d}+1}+x_{M_{i-1}+j} & \leq_{M_{i-1}+2} 0 .
\end{array}
$$

Equation $\left(E_{1}\right)$ is very similar to the one defining $C_{\text {Hasse }, w}^{\alpha}$, except for the presence of the leading term $q^{k} x_{M_{i-1}+2}$. We can remove this term by using a linear combination with the second inequality (recall that the variable $x_{M_{i-1}+2}$ appears in $\left(E_{2}\right)$ by definition of the symbol $\leq_{M_{i-1}+2}$ ). Specifically, put $\delta:=\frac{q^{k}}{\sum_{j=0}^{k} q^{j}}=\frac{q^{k}(q-1)}{q^{k+1}-1}$. Since $\delta$ is positive, we may form the inequality $\left(E_{1}\right)+\delta\left(E_{2}\right)$. Dividing throughout by $1+\delta q$, we obtain precisely the inequality for $C_{\text {Hasse }, w}^{\alpha}$.

The case $j=2$ and $m_{i}>2$. In this case too, we may take $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ to be $i$-lower neighbours of $w$. Put:

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{1} & :=\left[m_{1}, \ldots, m_{i-1}, 2, m_{i}-2, m_{i+1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right] \\
w_{2} & :=\left[m_{1}, \ldots, m_{i-1}, 1, m_{i}-1, m_{i+1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

In other words, $w_{1}, w_{2}$ are given respectively by partitioning $m_{i}$ into $\left[2, m_{i}-2\right]$ and $\left[1, m_{i}-1\right]$. Consider the roots:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}:=\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
M_{i-1}+1 & M_{i-1}+2
\end{array}\right) \\
& \alpha_{2}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
M_{i-1}+2 & M_{i-1}+3
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It suffices to show $C_{\mathrm{Hasse}, w_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}} \cap C_{\mathrm{Hasse}, w_{2}}^{\alpha_{2}} \subset C_{\mathrm{Hasse}, w}^{\alpha}$. The equations satisfied by $C_{\mathrm{Hasse}, w_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}}$ and $C_{\text {Hasse }, w_{2}}^{\alpha_{2}}$ are respectively:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\left(E_{1}\right): & \sum_{d=0}^{i-2} q^{k-d} x_{M_{i-d-1}+1}+q^{k-i+1} x_{1}+\sum_{d=i}^{k-1} q^{d-i} x_{M_{d}+1}+x_{M_{i-1}+3} & \leq_{M_{i-1}+2} 0 \\
\left(E_{2}\right): & q^{k} x_{M_{i-1}+2}+\sum_{d=1}^{i-1} q^{k-d} x_{M_{i-d}+1}+q^{k-i} x_{1}+\sum_{d=i}^{k-1} q^{d-i} x_{M_{d}+1} & \leq_{M_{i-1}+3} 0 .
\end{array}
$$

Equation $\left(E_{1}\right)$ is very similar to the one defining $C_{\text {Hasse }, w}^{\alpha}$ (multiplied by $q$ ), except for the presence of the last term $x_{M_{i-1}+3}$ in $\left(E_{1}\right)$. We can remove this term by using a linear combination with the second equation. Specifically, put $\delta:=\frac{1}{\sum_{j=0}^{k} q^{j}}=\frac{(q-1)}{q^{k+1}-1}$. Since $\delta$ is positive, we have the inequality $\left(E_{1}\right)+\delta\left(E_{2}\right)$. Dividing throughout by $1+\delta q$, we obtain precisely the inequality for $C_{\text {Hasse }, w}^{\alpha}$.

The case $j=2$ and $m_{i}=2$. In this case, $w$ admits only one $i$-lower neighbour, namely

$$
w_{2}:=\left[m_{1}, \ldots, m_{i-1}, 1,1, m_{i+1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right]
$$

(which corresponds to the partition $2=1+1$ ). Therefore, we need to choose $w_{1}$ in a different block. Since we assume $\ell(w) \geq 2$, at least one other $m_{j}$ is $\geq 2$. We take

$$
w_{1}:=\left[m_{1}, \ldots, m_{j-1}, 1, m_{j}-1, m_{j+1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right]
$$

(the $j$-lower neighbour corresponding to the partition of $m_{j}$ into $\left[1, m_{j}-1\right]$ ). Set:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}:=\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
M_{i-1}+1 & M_{i-1}+2
\end{array}\right) \\
& \alpha_{2}:=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
M_{j-1}+1 & M_{j-1}+2
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It suffices to show $C_{\mathrm{Hasse}, w_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}} \cap C_{\mathrm{Hasse}, w_{2}}^{\alpha_{2}} \subset C_{\mathrm{Hasse}, w}^{\alpha}$. Assume first that we can choose $j>i$. The equations satisfied by $C_{\mathrm{Hasse}, w_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}}$ and $C_{\mathrm{Hasse}, w_{2}}^{\alpha_{2}}$ are respectively:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(E_{1}\right): \quad \sum_{d=0}^{i-2} q^{k-d} x_{M_{i-d-1}+1}+q^{k-i+1} x_{1}+\sum_{d=j}^{k-1} q^{d-i+1} x_{M_{d}+1}+q^{j-i} x_{M_{j-1}+2} \\
& +\sum_{d=i}^{j-1} q^{d-i} x_{M_{d}+1} \quad \leq_{M_{i-1}+2} 0 . \\
& \left(E_{2}\right): \quad \sum_{d=0}^{j-i-1} q^{k-d} x_{M_{d+i}+1}+q^{k-j+i} x_{M_{i-1}+2}+\sum_{d=1}^{i-1} q^{k-j+d} x_{M_{d}+1}+q^{k-j} x_{1} \\
& +\sum_{d=j}^{k-1} q^{d-j} x_{M_{d}+1} \leq_{M_{j-1}+2} 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation $\left(E_{1}\right)$ is similar to the one defining $C_{\text {Hasse }, w}^{\alpha}$. Specifically, the last terms $x_{M_{d}+1}$ for $i \leq d \leq j-1$ are the same in both equations. The terms $x_{M_{d}+1}$ for all other $d$ and for $x_{1}$ are multiplied by an extra power of $q$ in equation $\left(E_{1}\right)$. Finally, the term $q^{j-i} x_{M_{j-1}+2}$ in $\left(E_{1}\right)$ does not appear in the equation of $C_{\text {Hasse }, w}^{\alpha}$. Using a similar strategy as before, we remove this term by using a linear combination with the second equation $\left(E_{2}\right)$. Put $\delta:=\frac{q^{j-i}}{\sum_{d=0}^{k} q^{d}}=\frac{q^{j-i}(q-1)}{q^{k+1}-1}$. Since $\delta$ is positive, we have the inequality $\left(E_{1}\right)+$
$\delta\left(E_{2}\right)$. In this equation, the variable $x_{j-1}+2$ has disappeared. We write the terms in decreasing order of the power of $q$ as they appear in the equation of $C_{\text {Hasse }, w}^{\alpha}$, namely $x_{M_{i-1}+1}, x_{M_{i-2}+1}, \ldots, x_{1}, x_{M_{k-1}}, \ldots, x_{M_{j}+1}, x_{M_{j-1}+1}, \ldots, x_{M_{i}+1}$. One sees immediately that the coefficents which appear in front of these terms in $\left(E_{1}\right)+\delta\left(E_{2}\right)$ are divided by $q$ at each step between the terms $x_{M_{i-1}+1}$ and $x_{M_{j}+1}$, and between $x_{M_{j-1}+1}$ and $x_{M_{i}+1}$. It remains to show that the same happens between the terms $x_{M_{j+1}}$ and $x_{M_{j-1}+1}$. The coefficient of $x_{M_{j}+1}$ is $q^{j-i+1}+\delta$, and the coefficient of $x_{M_{j-1}+1}$ is $q^{j-i-1}+\delta q^{k}$. Since $\delta=\frac{q^{j-i}(q-1)}{q^{k+1}-1}$, one has indeed $q^{j-i+1}+\delta=q\left(q^{j-i-1}+\delta q^{k}\right)$. This shows that the equation $\left(E_{1}\right)+\delta\left(E_{2}\right)$ is a positive multiple of the equation for $C_{\text {Hasse }, w}^{\alpha}$.

It remains to treat the case when there is no $j>i$ such that $m_{j} \geq 2$. We choose $j<i$ with $m_{j} \geq 2$, and define $w_{1}, w_{2}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ as before. The equations satisfied by $C_{\text {Hasse }, w_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}}$ and $C_{\text {Hasse }, w_{2}}^{\alpha_{2}}$ are respectively:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(E_{1}\right): \quad \sum_{d=j}^{i-1} q^{k-i+d+1} x_{M_{d}+1}+q^{k-i+j} x_{M_{j-1}+2}+\sum_{d=1}^{j-1} q^{k-i+d} x_{M_{d}+1}+q^{k-i} x_{1} \\
& +\sum_{d=i}^{k-1} q^{d-i} x_{M_{d}+1} \leq{ }_{M_{i-1}+2} 0 . \\
& \left(E_{2}\right): \quad \sum_{d=1}^{j-1} q^{k-j+d+1} x_{M_{d}+1}+q^{k-j+1} x_{1}+\sum_{d=i}^{k-1} q^{d-j+1} x_{M_{d}+1}+q^{i-j} x_{M_{i-1}+2} \\
& +\sum_{d=j}^{i-1} q^{d-j} x_{M_{d}+1} \leq_{M_{j-1}+2} 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

As before, we remove the term $x_{M_{j-1}+2}$ in $\left(E_{1}\right)$ using $\left(E_{2}\right)$. Put $\delta:=\frac{q^{k-i+j}}{\sum_{d=0}^{k} q^{d}}=\frac{q^{k-i+j}(q-1)}{q^{k+1}-1}$ and consider $\left(E_{1}\right)+\delta\left(E_{2}\right)$. Again, the coefficients of $x_{M_{i-1}+1}, x_{M_{i-2}+1}, \ldots, x_{1}, x_{M_{k-1}}, \ldots$, $x_{M_{j}+1}, x_{M_{j-1}+1}, \ldots, x_{M_{i}+1}$ (in this order) are divided by $q$ at each step, except perhaps for the coefficients of $x_{M_{j}+1}$ and $x_{M_{j-1}+1}$. The former is $q^{k-i+1+j}+\delta$ and the latter is $q^{k-i+j-1}+q^{k} \delta$. Again, we have $q^{k-i+1+j}+\delta=q\left(q^{k-i+j-1}+q^{k} \delta\right)$ by definition of $\delta$. This shows the result.

### 4.4.3 Main result

Our first main result is the strong version of the Hasse-regularity conjecture (see Conjecture 4.3.2 for unitary Shimura varieties of good reduction at a split prime. More generally, we take $(X, \zeta)$ to be an arbitrary pair satisfying Assumption 2.1.1.
Theorem 4.4.2. Assume $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n, \mathbb{F}_{q}}$ and $(r, s)=(n-1,1)$. For any $z$-small element $w \in S_{n}$, the flag stratum $Y_{w}$ is Hasse-regular.

Proof. Since all $z$-small strata admit a system of Hasse invariants (Definition 4.1.2), we may construct a separating system $\mathbb{E}=\left(\mathbb{E}_{w}\right)_{w \in W}$ as follows. For $z$-small elements $w \in W$, we set $\mathbb{E}_{w}=E_{w}$ and we let $\{\chi\}_{\alpha \in E_{w}}$ be any system of characters satisfying Conditions (a) and (b) of Definition 2.5.1. For $w$ not $z$-small, we set $\mathbb{E}_{w}=\emptyset$. We show by induction on $\ell(w)$ that for all $z$-small element $w$, the intersection cone $C_{w}^{+, \mathbb{E}}$ satisfies $C_{w}^{+, \mathbb{E}} \subset\left\langle C_{\text {Hasse }, w}\right\rangle$. For $\ell(w)=1$ the result holds by Lemma 2.4.2. Suppose the result holds for all $z$-small strata of length $\leq d$ and let $w$ be a $z$-small element of length $\ell(w)=d+1$. By Proposition 4.4.1, we obtain

$$
\bigcap_{\alpha \in E_{w}} C_{w s_{\alpha}}^{+, \mathbb{E}} \subset \bigcap_{\alpha \in E_{w}}\left\langle C_{\text {Hasse }, w s_{\alpha}}\right\rangle \subset\left\langle C_{\text {Hasse }, w}\right\rangle
$$

Since we clearly have $C_{\text {Hasse }, w}^{\mathbb{E}} \subset C_{\text {Hasse }, w}$, we deduce $C_{w}^{+, \mathbb{E}} \subset\left\langle C_{\text {Hasse }, w}\right\rangle$, which proves the result. By Theorem 2.5.3, we deduce that for any $z$-small element, $\left\langle C_{Y, w}\right\rangle=\left\langle C_{w}^{+, \mathbb{E}}\right\rangle=$ $\left\langle C_{\text {Hasse }, w}\right\rangle$. This terminates the proof.

In particular, for the element $w=z$, we deduce the following:
Corollary 4.4.3. We have $\left\langle C_{Y, z}\right\rangle=\left\{\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \mid k_{i}-k_{n} \leq 0\right.$ for all $\left.i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$.
We also deduce from Theorem 4.4.2 the following approximation of the cone $\left\langle C_{K}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)\right\rangle$ :
Theorem 4.4.4. We have $C_{K}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right) \subset C_{L-\mathrm{Min}}$. In other words, the weight $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)$ of any nonzero mod $p$ automorphic form satisfies:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{j}\left(k_{i}-k_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=j+1}^{n-1}\left(k_{i}-k_{n}\right) \leq 0 \quad \text { for all } j=1, \ldots, n-1
$$

Proof. We consider the sequence $\left(w_{i}^{(d)}\right)_{i, d}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d+1$ and $1 \leq d<n-1$ which defines a path (in the terminology of section 4.2) from $\Lambda_{1}=w_{0}$ to $\Lambda_{n-1}=z$. By Corollary 4.4.3, we have $C_{Y, z} \subset C_{L-\mathrm{Min}}$. Furthermore, by Corollary 4.2.3, the weight of the partial Hasse invariant $\mathrm{Ha}_{i}^{(d)}$ which cuts out the stratum $Y_{w_{i+1}^{(d)}}($ for $1 \leq i \leq d)$ in the closure of $Y_{w_{i}^{(d)}}$ lies in $C_{L-\mathrm{Min}}$. We deduce that $C_{Y, w} \subset C_{L-\mathrm{Min}}$ for each $w$ in the chain. In particular, the result holds for $w_{0}$, which terminates the proof.

Theorem 4.4.4 illustrates again the connection between group theory and geometry of Shimura varieties: The cone $C_{L-\mathrm{Min}}$ originates from a unipotent-invariance condition for automorphic forms on $G$-Zip ${ }^{\mu}$. Theorem 4.4.4 and its proof show that this condition also appears geometrically as a relationship between the flag strata of a Shimura variety.

Finally, we note that Theorem 4.4.4 provides a second, more precise proof of the containment $C_{K}(\mathbb{C}) \subset C_{\mathrm{GS}}$. Indeed, let $\mathscr{S}_{K}$ be an integral Shimura variety of Hodge-type of unitary type and signature $(n-1,1)$. At each split prime $p$ of good reduction, we have $C_{K}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right) \subset C_{L-\text { Min, } p}$, where $C_{L-\text { Min, } p}$ denotes the $L$-minimal cone of the induced zip datum at $p$. We obtain

$$
C_{K}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \bigcap_{\operatorname{split} p} C_{K}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right) \subset \bigcap_{\operatorname{split} p} C_{L-\text { Min }, p}^{+, I}=C_{\mathrm{GS}}
$$
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