

# Quantum Initial Conditions for Curved Inflating Universes

M. I. Lety, <sup>1,2,\*</sup> Z. Shumaylov, <sup>1,3,†</sup> F. J. Agocs, <sup>4,1,5</sup> W. J. Handley, <sup>1,5</sup> M. P. Hobson, <sup>5</sup> and A. N. Lasenby <sup>1,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Kavli Institute for Cosmology, Madingley Road, Cambridge, CB3 0HA, UK*

<sup>2</sup>*Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 2Y5, Canada*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics,*

*University of Cambridge, Wilberforce Rd, Cambridge, CB3 0WA, UK*

<sup>4</sup>*Center for Computational Mathematics, Flatiron Institute, 162 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York, USA*

<sup>5</sup>*Astrophysics Group, Cavendish Laboratory, J. J. Thomson Avenue, Cambridge, CB3 0HE, UK*

(Dated: May 9, 2023)

We discuss the challenges of motivating, constructing, and quantising a canonically-normalised inflationary perturbation in spatially curved universes. We show that this has historically proved challenging due to the interaction of non-adiabaticity with spatial curvature. We propose a novel curvature perturbation which is canonically normalised, unique up to a single scalar parameter. This corrected quantisation has potentially observational consequences via modifications to the primordial power spectrum at large angular scales, as well as theoretical implications for quantisation procedures in curved cosmologies filled with a scalar field.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Cosmological inflation [1–4] provides explanatory power for observations of CMB anisotropies [5–8], by yielding the quantum fluctuations that seed large scale structure today [9]. Additionally, inflation also resolves the horizon and curvature problems, both of which can be thought of as initial conditions for the universe [10]. From a theoretical standpoint, it is inconsistent to assume a flat universe at the start of inflation, and instead one could consider inflation as starting in a general  $\Lambda$ CDM universe [11–15]. Such investigations are further motivated in light of the conversation in the literature regarding the statistical significance (or lack thereof) of the preference in CMB and BAO data for present-day curvature [16–21], and it is undeniably true that any observation of present day curvature would have profound implications for theories of inflation [22–25].

The imprint of quantum perturbations on the CMB as anisotropies is described by the power spectrum for the gauge-invariant comoving curvature perturbation variable  $\mathcal{R}$ . Thus, towards the goal of computing the power spectrum for  $\mathcal{R}$  in a curved inflationary spacetime, Ref. [26] finds the Mukhanov–Sasaki equation of motion for  $\mathcal{R}$  for non-zero  $K$ , in analogy with standard inflationary calculations. Introducing curvature markedly complicates the equation of motion for  $\mathcal{R}$ , which only simplifies in two important cases: Firstly when  $K = 0$ ; secondly when one takes the matter field to be either a scalar field without potential (i.e. a stiff fluid) or hydrodynamical matter [9, 55]. During very early evolution the inflaton can be approximated as a stiff fluid, and during slow roll as hydrodynamical matter [54], thus simplifying the equation of motion. However, this does not occur in the period between the two regimes, which we show is due to

the interaction of non-adiabatic perturbations with curvature. This motivates the need for a novel inflationary perturbation variable, which we introduce.

To compute the power spectrum, the curvature perturbation variable must be evolved according to its equation of motion from some initial conditions. In flat spacetimes, initial conditions from the Bunch–Davies vacuum set arbitrarily far back in the past are typically used. It is non-trivial to generalise such quantisation schemes to a curved inflationary spacetime on two counts: In the first instance, eternal inflation is impossible in the context of curvature [23]; refer further to fig. 1 for a timeline of inflationary  $\Lambda$ CDM cosmology. In the second, traditionally posed methods such as the Bunch–Davies vacuum, Danielsson vacuum, and Hamiltonian diagonalisation have multiple theoretical issues which we discuss.

The contributions in this paper are structured as follows. In section II, we introduce standard cosmological perturbation theory generalised to curved spacetimes, set up generalised equations for  $\mathcal{R}$ , and discuss vacuum selection. Section III provides formalism clarifying what prevents  $\mathcal{R}$  from having a canonically-normalised wave equation of motion in the curved case, motivating section IV, in which we propose a novel curvature perturbation variable that admits a simple harmonic oscillator wave equation of motion. This allows for connections to established work in quantum fields in curved spacetimes, in addition to allowing this variable to be easily quantised by the minimised-RSET procedure given in section V, which is a generalisation of the procedure proposed by Ref. [27]. These calculations are as general as possible, written in terms of inflationary sound speed, which allows extensions to non-standard inflationary Lagrangians. Finally, section VI discusses how to use this novel variable as a means of setting initial conditions, not only for  $\mathcal{R}$  but for any first order scalar perturbation variable. The resulting power spectrum for  $\mathcal{R}$  is plotted and we discuss and conclude in section VII.

\* [mletey@perimeterinstitute.ca](mailto:mletey@perimeterinstitute.ca); equal contribution

† [zs334@cam.ac.uk](mailto:zs334@cam.ac.uk); equal contribution




FIG. 1. Timeline of a  $\Lambda$ CDM cosmology using *Planck* best-fit parameters [7] with `plikTTTEEE+lensing` for a cosmology including spatial curvature and  $V(\phi) \propto \phi^{4/3}$  potential with instant reheating [23]. The universe begins in a kinetically dominated pre-inflationary epoch [28–42], with the comoving horizon  $\frac{1}{aH}$  and curvature  $\Omega_K = -\frac{K}{(aH)^2}$  parameters growing. Inflation begins when the scale factor of the universe reaches around  $10^5$  Planck lengths, acting to flatten the universe and dramatically shrinking the comoving horizon through a period of slow roll. At a scale factor of around  $1\text{mm}$  the inflaton reaches the bottom of its potential, with oscillations about the minima simulating a matter dominated universe. At some point in between  $10\text{mm}$  and  $10\text{m}$  the universe undergoes a reheating phase which we model as instantaneous, but can easily be extended to allow greater freedom [23]. The universe then grows in a protracted radiation-dominated phase, undergoing several phase transitions until recombination at around the time that the universe transitions into a matter dominated epoch when the scale factor is around  $0.1\text{Gly}$ . Post recombination/CMB the universe eventually enters into a late time dark energy dominated epoch and the curvature and comoving horizon start to shrink again, until we reach a universe today with radius  $a_0 \approx 50\text{Gpc}$ . The best-fit parameters today even with a small amount of curvature place strong constraints on inflationary potential consistent with this history, and only a relatively small range of primordial curvatures prove compatible. For more detail, consult Hergt *et al.* [23].

( $H_0 = 64.03\text{kms}^{-1}\text{Mpc}^{-1}$ ,  $\Omega_m = 0.3453$ ,  $\Omega_K = -0.0092$ ,  $\log 10^{10} A_s = 3.0336$ ,  $n_s = 0.9699$ ,  $z_* = 1089.61$ )

## II. BACKGROUND

We begin with a discussion of the relevant inflationary perturbation theory setup, summarising previous results before motivating the need for both an alternate curvature perturbation variable and a more robust method of quantisation.

By convention, we will work in conformal time  $\eta$ , where we will denote  $' \equiv d/d\eta$ , and use the conformal Hubble parameter  $\mathcal{H} = aH = a'/a$ .

### A. Perturbation Theory Setup

To leading order, both spacetime and the inflaton field can be taken to be homogeneous and isotropic, i.e. dependent only on  $\eta$ . We will consider the background to be a general Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) spacetime, whose metric is given by

$$ds^2 = a^2(\eta)\{d\eta^2 - c_{ij} dx^i dx^j\}, \quad (1)$$

with the spatial metric

$$c_{ij} dx^i dx^j = \frac{dr^2}{1 - Kr^2} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2). \quad (2)$$

This background is then subject to scalar perturbations

$$ds^2 = a^2(\eta)\{(1 + 2\Phi)d\eta^2 - ((1 - 2\Psi)c_{ij} dx^i dx^j)\}, \quad (3)$$

where we have picked the Newtonian gauge and omitted vector and tensor perturbations as they decouple from the scalar perturbations. See Ref. [10] for a more in-depth discussion of gauge choices. We restrict our attention to scalars in this instance since vectors generically decay during inflation, and tensors are already canonically normalised, even for  $K \neq 0$  [26].

The inflaton is taken to be a scalar field minimally-coupled to the curved spacetime,

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{|g|} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}R + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^\mu\phi\nabla_\mu\phi - V(\phi) \right\}. \quad (4)$$

which is homogeneous and isotropic to zeroth order and is perturbed by  $\delta\phi(\eta, \mathbf{x})$ . The usual background inflation dynamics are given by

$$\mathcal{H}^2 = \frac{a^2}{3}\rho - K, \quad (5)$$

$$\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{H}^2 + K - \frac{a^2}{2}(\rho + p), \quad (6)$$

where (5) and (6) are the Friedmann and Raychaudhuri equations respectively. The background energy density  $\rho$  and pressure  $p$  for the inflaton are

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2a^2}(\phi')^2 + V(\phi), \quad (7)$$

$$p = \frac{1}{2a^2}(\phi')^2 - V(\phi). \quad (8)$$

### B. Gauge Invariant Curvature Perturbation

Typical analyses now proceed by defining the gauge-invariant comoving curvature perturbation,

$$\mathcal{R} = \Psi + \frac{\mathcal{H}}{\phi'}\delta\phi. \quad (9)$$

$\mathcal{R}$  is of interest as it controls the perturbations to spatial curvature, as seen from the spatial Ricci scalar

$$R^{(3)} = 6\frac{K}{a^2} + \frac{4}{a^2}(\nabla^2 + 3K)\mathcal{R}. \quad (10)$$

These perturbations are directly related to CMB anisotropies, as variations in curvature at the time of last scattering will correspond to the scattered photons being more or less redshifted, resulting in the CMB temperature distribution [10, 43].

As derived in standard references [10], the resulting equation of motion for  $\mathcal{R}$  when  $K = 0$  is

$$(z\mathcal{R})'' - \left(\nabla^2 + \frac{z''}{z}\right)(z\mathcal{R}) = 0 \quad (11)$$

$$z = \frac{a\phi'}{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (12)$$

Note that here  $\nabla^2 \equiv c^{ij}\nabla_i\nabla_j$  refers to the Laplacian defined by the curved spatial metric  $c_{ij}$ .

However for  $K \neq 0$ , by expanding the Einstein and conservation equations to first order in the perturbation variables, Ref. [26] shows that  $\mathcal{R}$  obeys the second-order Mukhanov-Sasaki equation

$$0 = (\mathcal{D}^2 - K\mathcal{E})\mathcal{R}'' + 2\left(\frac{z'}{z}\mathcal{D}^2 - K\mathcal{H}\mathcal{E}\right)\mathcal{R}' + \left(K\left(1 + \mathcal{E} - \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}}\frac{z'}{z}\right)\mathcal{D}^2 + K^2\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{D}^4\right)\mathcal{R}, \quad (13)$$

$$\mathcal{D}^2 = \nabla^2 + 3K, \quad \mathcal{E} = \frac{a^2(\rho + p)}{2\mathcal{H}^2}. \quad (14)$$

This can be written more concisely by first mode-decomposing into Fourier space, namely writing

$$\nabla^2 Y_k(\mathbf{x}) = -\kappa^2(k)Y_k(\mathbf{x}) \quad (15)$$

for  $Y_k(\mathbf{x})$  the appropriate hyperspherical Bessel functions [43, 44] that give the eigenspectrum of the spatially-curved Laplacian. Thus we identify  $\mathcal{D}^2$  with  $-\kappa^2(k) + 3K$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa^2(k) &= k^2, & k \in \mathbb{R}, k > 0: & \quad K = 0, -1, \\ \kappa^2(k) &= k(k+2), & k \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 2: & \quad K = +1, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Define wavenumber-dependent  $\mathcal{Z}$  as

$$\mathcal{Z} = z\sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{D}^2}{\mathcal{D}^2 - K\mathcal{E}}} = a\sqrt{\frac{2\mathcal{D}^2\mathcal{E}}{\mathcal{D}^2 - K\mathcal{E}}} \quad (17)$$

Equation (13) can be recast as

$$(\mathcal{Z}\mathcal{R}_k)'' + \left( \kappa^2 - \frac{\mathcal{Z}''}{\mathcal{Z}} - 2K - \frac{2K\mathcal{Z}'}{\mathcal{H}\mathcal{Z}} \right) (\mathcal{Z}\mathcal{R}_k) = 0, \quad (18)$$

where  $\mathcal{R}_k(\eta)$  is the Fourier component of  $\mathcal{R}(\eta, \mathbf{x})$  with wavenumber of magnitude  $k$ .

To connect this theoretical framework to observation, we must compute the primordial power spectrum from  $\mathcal{R}$  at horizon crossing. This is an initial value problem:  $\mathcal{R}$  can be numerically computed according to its equations of motion eqs. (13) and (18) from some initial conditions  $\mathcal{R}(\eta_0)$ ,  $\mathcal{R}'(\eta_0)$ , or equivalently, a vacuum state for the corresponding quantised variable. The correct theoretical choice for initial conditions in this case is far from clear [10, 45, 46]; we will now discuss possible vacuum choices.

### C. Vacuum Choice

For quantum fields on a curved spacetime, the choice of vacuum state is either physically unclear or ambiguous. At zeroth order, the slow-roll inflaton mimics a positive cosmological constant, and thus the background dynamics of an inflationary FLRW spacetime are well-described by de Sitter space. This is true for general  $K$ . Since de Sitter space is maximally symmetric, there exists a natural choice of vacuum for a scalar field in this spacetime by means of the Bunch–Davies (BD) vacuum [47], which is invariant under all isometries of de Sitter space. This motivates the BD vacuum as a physically reasonable choice. An alternative choice is setting initial conditions by means of Hamiltonian Diagonalisation (HD). However, it is questionable whether this choice of vacuum is physically meaningful in an expanding spacetime as the time-dependent Hamiltonian will yield infinite particle density after the instant the vacuum is set [45, 46].

In the  $K = 0$  case, the BD vacuum can be painlessly applied to quantise the Mukhanov variable  $z\mathcal{R}$ , as its equation of motion eq. (11) is analogous to the resulting Klein-Gordon equation of motion for a scalar field, with a time-dependent mass term given by  $z$ . However, applying the BD vacuum to quantise perturbations in inflation requires the spacetime to be quasi-de Sitter at the time of quantisation, which is not possible for theories of finite inflation [23, 37, 41]. This can be seen further in fig. 1, which illustrates a period of kinetic dominance of the inflaton.

Further, in the curved case,  $\mathcal{R}$  no longer possesses a canonically-normalised action nor equation of motion, i.e. it does not behave like a simple harmonic oscillator (SHO) as eq. (11). This can be seen from the  $k$ -dependence of  $\mathcal{Z}$  in eq. (18). As such, we can make no connections to the large body of work on quantum fields in curved spacetime in order to provide insight on what initial conditions to use. Almost all existing literature regarding second quantisation in curved spacetimes deal with a massive scalar field with constant coupling to

gravity [45, 48], admitting an SHO-like equation of motion to then quantise the scalar field by analogy with the time-independent quantum harmonic oscillator. Thus, the first step in generalising inflationary theories to non-flat primordial curvature is to find a curvature perturbation variable that obeys an analogous SHO equation of motion, unlike  $\mathcal{R}$ .

Additionally, as shown by Ref. [49] for  $K = 0$ , neither the BD vacuum nor the vacuum from HD are robust against canonical transformations. Namely, under a canonical transformation of phase space preserving the field's equation of motion, these vacuum setting procedures yield ambiguous vacuum initial conditions which would be observationally distinguishable. Another potential vacuum choice is the one proposed by Danielsson [50]. This vacuum is derived in the Heisenberg picture for the field operators, and initial conditions are set by considering the time at which each mode reaches Planckian lengths. Unfortunately, this choice is also not invariant under canonical transformations when  $K = 0$ .

However, as proposed in Ref. [27], one can instead set the vacuum by minimising the renormalised stress energy tensor (RSET). This formulation avoids consideration of the tricky concept of particles. Furthermore, it does not require any assumptions about the asymptotic behaviour of the inflationary spacetime, and so allows for non-eternal theories of inflation. More crucially, this method yields canonically invariant vacuum conditions [49] when  $K = 0$ . We expect that an analogous result will persist even for the case of  $K \neq 0$ , which motivates our calculations of initial conditions resulting from this procedure. We leave it to future work to extend the calculations of Ref. [49] to  $K \neq 0$  with the help of results from section VI.

As discussed by Fulling [45], computing the correct form of the RSET for a given field subject to a general action is challenging, and in the case of  $\mathcal{R}$ , is virtually intractable due to the convoluted form of its action [26],

$$S_{\mathcal{R}}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\eta d^3x a \sqrt{|c|} \frac{(\phi')^2}{\mathcal{H}^2} \left\{ \mathcal{R} \mathcal{D}^2 \mathcal{R} + \left( \mathcal{R}' - K \frac{\mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{H}} \right) \frac{\mathcal{D}^2}{\mathcal{D}^2 - K\mathcal{E}} \left( \mathcal{R}' - K \frac{\mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{H}} \right) \right\}. \quad (19)$$

As discussed further in section V, a more feasible task is finding the RSET for a massless, minimally coupled scalar field  $\psi$  on a curved spacetime, with resulting equation of motion

$$(a\psi)'' - \left( \nabla^2 + \frac{a''}{a} \right) (a\psi) = 0 \quad (20)$$

for which the renormalised stress energy tensor has been derived by Birrell and Davies [44]. As proposed and discussed in Ref. [27], when  $K = 0$ , an analogy can be drawn between eq. (20) and the equation of motion eq. (11) for

$\mathcal{R}$  in flat space, by noting that during flat slow-roll inflation

$$\frac{a''}{a} \approx \frac{z''}{z}. \quad (21)$$

Thus  $a\psi$  and  $z\mathcal{R}$  share an equation of motion, and so  $\mathcal{R}$  can be quantised directly through the minimised RSET conditions for this arbitrary scalar field  $\psi$ .

However, for  $K \neq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{R}$  cannot yet be quantised using the minimised-RSET procedure as above, since it does not have the equation of motion of a SHO. Thus, in what follows, we will motivate and derive a novel perturbation variable which obeys a canonically-normalised wave equation of motion. Finally, we will derive initial conditions for this variable in section V by means of the minimised-RSET procedure in Ref. [27] which we have generalised to curved spacetimes.

### III. MOTIVATION FOR A NEW VARIABLE

As discussed above, we aim to construct a scalar perturbation variable obeying a SHO equation of motion analogous to eq. (11) in order to make connections with the existing literature concerning quantum fields in curved spacetime and their quantisation, and further, to be able to apply minimised-RSET as a well-motivated choice of vacuum selection.

Progress towards finding a canonically normalised perturbation variable has been made by Brechet *et al.* [51], in which the proposed variable obeys an equation of motion that recovers the wave equation seen for  $\mathcal{R}$  in the  $K = 0$  case.

In order to understand the results of this paper as they relate to curved inflation, let us set up again a perturbed spacetime, filled instead by a perfect fluid. Note that, with care, a scalar field can be seen as a special case of a perfect fluid to zeroth order [52]. The stress energy tensor for this component fluid can be expanded to first order as

$$\begin{aligned} T_0^0 &= -\rho - \delta\rho \\ T_i^j &= -(p + \delta p)\delta_i^j \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Again, we consider a perturbed spacetime in the Newtonian gauge,

$$ds^2 = (1 + 2\Phi)dt^2 - a^2(1 - 2\Psi)c_{ij}dx^i dx^j \quad (23)$$

Following Unnikrishnan and Sriramkumar [53], by expanding the Einstein field and conservation equations to first order in perturbation variables  $\delta\rho, \delta p, \Phi, \Psi$ , given component tensor eq. (22) and metric eq. (23), we find the Bardeen equation of motion for Bardeen potential  $\Phi$  to be

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi'' + 3\mathcal{H}(1 + c_a^2)\Phi' - c_a^2\nabla^2\Phi \\ + [(1 + 3c_a^2)\mathcal{H}^2 - K(1 + 3c_a^2) + 2\mathcal{H}']\Phi = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where

$$c_a^2 \equiv \frac{p'}{\rho'} \quad (25)$$

is the adiabatic sound speed.

Adapted to the notation used above, Brechet *et al.* [51] then defines an alternate comoving curvature perturbation scalar

$$\zeta_{\text{PF}} = \mathcal{R} - \frac{2K}{a^2(\rho + p)}\Phi. \quad (26)$$

Note briefly that  $\zeta_{\text{PF}} = \mathcal{R}$  when  $K = 0$ , and that  $c_a^2 \approx -1$  during slow-roll inflation.

The equation of motion for  $\zeta_{\text{PF}}$  can then be found simply by writing  $\zeta_{\text{PF}}$  in terms of  $\Phi$  as

$$\zeta_{\text{PF}} = \Phi + \frac{2\mathcal{H}}{a^2(\rho + p)}(\mathcal{H}\Phi + \Phi') - \frac{2K}{a^2(\rho + p)}\Phi. \quad (27)$$

Equation (24) then reduces to the wave equation

$$(z_0\zeta_{\text{PF}})'' - \left(c_a^2\nabla^2 v + \frac{z_0''}{z_0}\right)(z_0\zeta_{\text{PF}}) = 0 \quad (28)$$

$$z_0 = \frac{a^2\sqrt{\rho + p}}{c_a\mathcal{H}}, \quad (29)$$

Thus, given the above canonically-normalised wave equation of motion for  $\zeta_{\text{PF}}$ , we can canonically quantise curvature perturbations for a perfect fluid on a curved spacetime, and set initial conditions using minimised-RSET as discussed in section V.

This framework can be then applied to the specific case of the inflaton, by calculating  $\rho_\phi, p_\phi, \delta\rho_\phi, \delta p_\phi, \delta\Sigma_\phi$  from the stress-energy tensor for a perturbed *inflationary* scalar field  $\phi(\eta) + \delta\phi(\eta, \mathbf{x})$ .

For the sake of generality, we consider the following extension of action eq. (4),

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2}R - P(X, \phi) \right], \quad (30)$$

where

$$X = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi. \quad (31)$$

A more general treatment of inflationary  $P(X, \phi)$  theories can be seen in Refs. [54, 55]. Applying the results in this paper to alternate-Lagrangian theories of inflation, such as DBI inflation, could be a fruitful future extension of this work.

Then, following Garriga and Mukhanov [55], we define the inflationary sound speed, or the effective sound speed of the perturbations,

$$c_s^2 \equiv \frac{\partial_X P}{\partial_X P + 2X\partial_{XX}P}. \quad (32)$$

Note that the inflaton is typically described by a standard Lagrangian

$$P(X, \phi) = X - V(\phi). \quad (33)$$



Thus usually  $c_s^2 = 1$ .

Equation (32) allows us to recast the equivalent non-adiabatic pressure perturbation for a scalar field as

$$\delta p_{\text{en}} = \frac{2}{a^2}(c_s^2 - c_a^2)\mathcal{D}^2\Phi \quad (34)$$

Equations (32) and (34) allow us to write the Bardeen equation of motion for a scalar field as

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi'' + 3\mathcal{H}(1 + c_a^2)\Phi' - c_a^2\nabla^2\Phi \\ &+ [(1 + 3c_a^2)\mathcal{H}^2 - K(1 + 3c_a^2) + 2\mathcal{H}']\Phi = \frac{a^2}{2}\delta p_{\text{en}} \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

We highlight that this only differs from eq. (24), the Bardeen equation of motion for a spacetime with component perfect fluid, by the addition of the entropic pressure term  $\frac{a^2}{2}\delta p_{\text{en}}$  on the RHS.

Given  $\Phi$  described by eq. (24), i.e. for the toy-universe filled with a perfect fluid, we have

$$\zeta'_{\text{PF}} = \frac{2\mathcal{H}c_a^2}{a^2(\rho + p)}\nabla^2\Phi. \quad (36)$$

However, for a perturbed FLRW universe filled instead with the inflationary scalar field, where  $\Phi$  is described by eq. (35),

$$\zeta'_{\text{PF}} = \frac{2\mathcal{H}c_s^2}{a^2(\rho + p)}\nabla^2\Phi + 6\frac{K\mathcal{H}(c_s^2 - c_a^2)}{a^2(\rho + p)}\Phi, \quad (37)$$

The discrepancy between eqs. (36) and (37) suggests that a SHO wave equation of motion is impossible for this variable unless  $K = 0$  or  $c_a^2 = c_s^2$ . Writing eq. (37) more explicitly as

$$\zeta'_{\text{PF}} = \frac{2\mathcal{H}c_a^2}{a^2(\rho + p)}\nabla^2\Phi + \frac{2\mathcal{H}}{\rho + p}\delta p_{\text{en}}, \quad (38)$$

we see that the term preventing the desired equation of motion is proportional to the entropic pressure perturbation, which is dependent of spatial curvature through  $\mathcal{D}$ .

#### IV. NOVEL CURVATURE VARIABLE

Firstly, the Bardeen eq. (35), which fully describes the evolution of perturbations in a curved FLRW spacetime with a perturbed inflaton, is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi'' + 3\mathcal{H}(1 + c_a^2)\Phi' - c_s^2\nabla^2\Phi \\ &+ [(1 + 3c_a^2)\mathcal{H}^2 - K(1 + 3c_s^2) + 2\mathcal{H}']\Phi = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

We aim to define a variable whose dynamics simplify to a canonically normalisable wave equation under eq. (39). Thus consider

$$\zeta = g(\eta)\Phi + \frac{2\mathcal{H}g(\eta)}{a^2(\rho + p)}(\mathcal{H}\Phi + \Phi') - \frac{2Kf(\eta)}{a^2(\rho + p)}\Phi, \quad (40)$$

where recall

$$\mathcal{R} = \Phi + \frac{2\mathcal{H}}{a^2(\rho + p)}(\mathcal{H}\Phi + \Phi'), \quad (41)$$

$$\zeta_{\text{PF}} = \Phi + \frac{2\mathcal{H}}{a^2(\rho + p)}(\mathcal{H}\Phi + \Phi') - \frac{2K}{a^2(\rho + p)}\Phi. \quad (42)$$

So far,  $g(\eta), f(\eta)$  are yet unspecified functions, and so  $\zeta$  can represent any linear combination of  $\Phi, \Phi'$ , i.e. of the form  $A(\eta)\Phi + B(\eta)\Phi'$ . Note, that currently this is the most general form for  $\zeta$ , since it must be a first order perturbation, and thus a linear combination of other gauge-invariant quantities.

We can see that under eq. (39), the derivative of  $\zeta$  simplifies as

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta' = &\frac{2\mathcal{H}g}{a^2(\rho + p)}\left[c_s^2\mathcal{D}^2\Phi + \Phi'\left(\frac{K}{\mathcal{H}} - \frac{f}{g}\frac{K}{\mathcal{H}} + \frac{g'}{g}\right) + \right. \\ &\Phi\left(K + \frac{g'}{g}\left(\mathcal{H} + \frac{a^2(\rho + p)}{2\mathcal{H}}\right) + \right. \\ &\left.\left.\frac{K}{\mathcal{H}}\frac{f}{g}\left(2\mathcal{H} + \frac{(\rho + p)'}{(\rho + p)} - \frac{f'}{f}\right)\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Now, by inspection of eq. (43) and by analogy with eq. (36), we will want an ansatz where  $\zeta'$  is proportional to only  $\mathcal{D}^2\Phi$ , and not to  $\Phi$  or  $\Phi'$ .

$$\zeta' = g\frac{2\mathcal{H}c_s^2}{a^2(\rho + p)}\mathcal{D}^2\Phi. \quad (44)$$

Once this is picked as an ansatz, we are guaranteed to arrive at a wave equation, as long as we can solve equations specifying functions  $f(\eta), g(\eta)$ :

$$f(\eta) = g'\frac{\mathcal{H}}{K} + g \quad (45)$$

$$\frac{f'}{f} = K + \frac{g'}{g}\left(\mathcal{H} + \frac{a^2(\rho + p)}{2\mathcal{H}}\right) + \frac{K}{\mathcal{H}}\frac{f}{g}\left(2\mathcal{H} + \frac{(\rho + p)'}{(\rho + p)}\right). \quad (46)$$

Both of these equations can be rewritten to simplify by taking  $\mathcal{G}, b$  such that

$$\mathcal{G} = \frac{b'}{b}, \quad (47)$$

$$\mathcal{G}^2 - \mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}' + K, \quad (48)$$

and setting

$$g(\eta) = \frac{a}{\mathcal{H}}\frac{\mathcal{G}}{b}, \quad (49)$$

$$f(\eta) = g'\frac{\mathcal{H}}{K} + g, \quad (50)$$

which we will use as the definition for our functions  $f(\eta)$  and  $g(\eta)$ . We leave it to future work to more formally and constructively motivate ansatz eq. (44), and to ascertain

whether or not it's a necessary condition (in addition to being sufficient) for a SHO equation for  $\zeta$  under eq. (39).

For  $\zeta$  defined such that eq. (44) holds, the resulting equation of motion simplifies to

$$(z_g \zeta)'' + \left( c_s^2 \mathcal{D}^2 - \frac{z_g''}{z_g} \right) (z_g \zeta) = 0, \quad (51)$$

$$z_g(\eta) = \frac{z}{g} = \frac{a^2(\rho + p)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{g c_s \mathcal{H}}, \quad (52)$$

as expected from section III. The only thing left is to see whether a solution  $\mathcal{G}$  satisfying eq. (48) exists. By rewriting eq. (48) using eq. (47), we can see that we have a linear second order differential equation for  $1/b$ :

$$b \left( \frac{1}{b} \right)'' = a \left( \frac{1}{a} \right)'' + K. \quad (53)$$

Unfortunately, eq. (53) does not have a closed form solution, unless  $K = 0$ . We can solve it numerically, however. In order to justify a selection of initial conditions for this differential equation, we should note, that there is an overall scaling freedom in the definition of  $b$  and therefore there is only one effective degree of freedom. Furthermore, selection of initial conditions on  $b$  and  $\dot{b}$  at some initial time  $t_0$  is equivalent to picking initial values of  $f$  and  $g$  at time  $t_0$  as can be seen from:

$$\dot{b}_0 = \frac{g_0 H_0}{a_0} b_0^2 \quad (54)$$

$$b_0 = a_0 \frac{\dot{H}_0 - \frac{K}{a_0^2}}{\frac{K}{a_0^2}(f_0 - g_0) + g_0 \dot{H}_0}, \quad (55)$$

where subscript 0 corresponds to value at initial time  $t_0$ . Therefore, picking which initial conditions to consider for the variable  $\zeta$  is equivalent to asking which variable we want to quantise at  $t_0$ . We leave it to future work to address the question of existence of solutions to eq. (53) in a more general setting.

With the wave equation in place, we can discuss a few things of note about the new variable. First of all,  $\zeta$  defined via eqs. (40) and (47) to (50) has an overall arbitrary scaling, due to a scaling freedom of both  $g$  and  $f$ . However, the resultant Mukhanov variable  $v = z_g \zeta$  does not, and furthermore always collapses to the original flat Mukhanov variable  $v_{\text{flat}} = z \mathcal{R}$  when  $K = 0$ , even when we pick  $b \propto a$  as the solution to eq. (53).

We have thus constructed  $\zeta$ , such that the equation of motion for  $\zeta$  has canonically-normalisable wave equation form, and can be quantised using the minimised-RSET vacuum conditions derived below in section V.

## V. VACUUM CONDITIONS VIA RSET

In what follows, we will demonstrate how to apply minimised-RSET to quantise  $\zeta$  with  $k$ -space equation of

motion

$$(z_g \zeta_k)'' + \left( c_s^2(\eta) \kappa_{\mathcal{D}}^2(k) - \frac{z_g''}{z_g} \right) (z_g \zeta_k) = 0 \quad (56)$$

where  $\kappa_{\mathcal{D}}$  gives the wavespace decomposition of the  $\mathcal{D}^2$  operator

$$-\mathcal{D}^2 \leftrightarrow \kappa_{\mathcal{D}}^2(k) = \kappa^2(k) - 3K \quad (57)$$

We highlight that none of the following calculations are particular to the definitions of  $z_g$ ,  $\zeta$ , and  $c_s$ , so this procedure is easily applicable for the quantisation of a wider class of variables with comparable equation of motion.

Compare eq. (56) with a massless minimally-coupled scalar field given by

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{|g|} (g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \psi \nabla_\nu \psi). \quad (58)$$

Note that this is *not* the inflaton scalar field, but merely introduced for computational convenience; the RSET for such  $\psi$  have been calculated by Birrell and Davies [44], while such a calculation for  $\zeta$  is not yet obvious.  $\psi$  has equation of motion in  $k$ -space given by

$$(a\psi_k)'' + \left( \kappa^2(k) - \frac{a''}{a} \right) (a\psi_k) = 0, \quad (59)$$

i.e. another wave-equation of motion with mass function given by the scale factor. As discussed in-depth in appendix A, and in analogy with Ref. [49], introduce four extra degrees of freedom in the form of time re-definitions and field rescalings

$$\eta \longrightarrow \eta_\zeta, \quad (60)$$

$$\zeta \longrightarrow \chi_\zeta = \frac{\zeta(\eta_\zeta)}{h_\zeta(\eta_\zeta)} \quad (61)$$

$$\eta \longrightarrow \eta_\psi, \quad (62)$$

$$\psi \longrightarrow \chi_\psi = \frac{\psi(\eta_\psi)}{h_\psi(\eta_\psi)} \quad (63)$$

We show there exist unique  $h_\zeta, h_\psi, \eta_\zeta, \eta_\psi$  such that the redefined fields corresponding to  $\zeta$  and  $\psi$  have identical wave equations of motion. Then, generalising the calculations performed in Ref. [49], we find initial conditions for  $\zeta$  at  $\eta = \eta_0$  to be

$$|\zeta(\eta_0)|^2 = \frac{1}{2c_s(\eta_0)z_g^2(\eta_0)\kappa_{\mathcal{D}}}, \quad \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\eta_0) = -i\kappa_{\mathcal{D}} + \frac{a'}{a}(\eta_0) - \frac{z_g'}{z_g}(\eta_0) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{c_s'}{c_s}(\eta_0) \quad (64)$$

or at  $t = t_0$  by

$$|\zeta(t_0)|^2 = \frac{1}{2c_s(t_0)z_g^2(t_0)\kappa_{\mathcal{D}}}, \quad \frac{\dot{\zeta}}{\zeta}(t_0) = -i\frac{1}{a(t_0)}\kappa_{\mathcal{D}} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}(t_0) - \frac{\dot{z}_g}{z_g}(t_0) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\dot{c}_s}{c_s}(t_0) \quad (65)$$




FIG. 2. Left: representative best-fit primordial power spectra corresponding to a range of allowed primordial curvatures. Right: corresponding low- $\ell$  effects on the CMB power spectrum.

## VI. POWER SPECTRUM AND RESULTS

In order to ascertain whether the addition of spatial curvature provides a better description of observations, we aim to compute the power for  $\mathcal{R}$ . We can write  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}'$  in terms of  $\zeta$  and  $\zeta'$  as

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{\zeta}{g} + \frac{K}{\mathcal{H}} \frac{f}{g^2 c_s^2 \mathcal{D}^2} \zeta' \quad (66)$$

$$\mathcal{R}' = \frac{1}{g \mathcal{D}^2} \left( \frac{K^2 f}{g c_s^2 \mathcal{H}^2} + (\mathcal{D}^2 - K \mathcal{E}) \right) \zeta' + \frac{K}{g \mathcal{H}} \zeta \quad (67)$$

for  $\mathcal{E}$  as in eq. (14). Now note that  $\zeta$  still describes a family of functions, as  $\zeta$  is formulated in terms of a family of functions  $f$  and  $g$ , which are defined by the second-order differential eq. (53). For this section, rather than considering  $\zeta$  as a physically-meaningful perturbation variable in its own right, we shall use it as a means of setting well-motivated initial conditions for  $\mathcal{R}$ : if one takes  $f(\eta_0) = 0$  and  $g(\eta_0) = 1$ , then  $\mathcal{R} = \zeta$  at  $\eta_0$ . Thus it is appropriate to define initial conditions from  $\mathcal{R}$  from the minimised-RSET initial conditions for one such choice in the  $\zeta$  family, defined at  $\eta = \eta_0$  by  $f(\eta_0) = 0$  and  $g(\eta_0) = 1$ . Equations (64), (66) and (67) together yield

$$\left. \frac{\mathcal{R}'}{\mathcal{R}} \right|_{\eta_0} = \left( 1 + \frac{K z^2}{2 a^2 \kappa_{\mathcal{D}}^2} \right) \left( -i \kappa_{\mathcal{D}} + \frac{a'}{a} - \frac{z'}{z} - \frac{c'_s}{2 c_s} - \frac{K}{\mathcal{H}} \right) + \frac{K}{\mathcal{H}} \Big|_{\eta_0} \quad (68)$$

$$|\mathcal{R}(\eta_0)|^2 = \frac{1}{2 c_s \kappa_{\mathcal{D}} z^2} \Big|_{\eta_0},$$

written in terms of the usual mass variable  $z = g z_g$ .

Of note is the independence of eq. (68) on  $b$ , since it

was only introduced as a mathematical tool to construct the desired equation of motion.

We highlight that this technique would generalise easily, as any perturbation scalar can be written in terms of  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$ , and thus equivalently, in terms of  $\zeta$ ,  $\zeta'$ . Thus for an appropriate choice of initial  $f, g$  values, we can set initial conditions for any perturbation scalar by means of minimised-RSET on  $\zeta$ .

Using an oscillatory solver [56] and approximating slow-roll with  $V(\phi) \propto \phi^{4/3}$ , one can numerically evolve  $\mathcal{R}$  from  $t_0$  to the time of mode re-entry using eq. (68) and equation of motion eq. (13). We take a parametric form for the primordial power spectrum given by

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}^{K \Lambda \text{CDM}}(k) = A_s \left( \frac{k}{k_*} \right)^{n_s - 1}. \quad (69)$$

The resulting power spectrum for  $\mathcal{R}$  using minimised-RSET initial conditions is given in fig. 2.

We can compare this with a naive application of the flat-case minimised-RSET conditions, as given in Refs. [23, 26, 27], as well as with the Bunch–Davies vacuum conditions [10, 26]. This is shown in fig. 3, where we see a clear increase in power spectrum oscillations when using the new minimised-RSET conditions derived in this paper, thus hopefully corresponding to better detectability. We also highlight that the differences between vacuum choices is particularly pronounced for larger values of primordial curvature and for lower  $k$  modes (where the presence curvature is more relevant), as expected. We leave a more thorough study of the power spectrum and the effects of proposed initial conditions in curved space to future work.






FIG. 3. Comparison of the effect of initial conditions on the power spectrum of  $\mathcal{R}$ . Initial conditions considered are the novel initial conditions eq. (68) for  $\mathcal{R}$  by means of generalised-RSET on  $\zeta$ ; a naive application of flat-space RSET conditions computed in Ref. [27]; and Bunch–Davies ICs. Power spectrum is computed for minimum and maximum primordial curvature cases. Note that in the maximum case (right hand graph) the BD condition solution numerically coincides with the naive-flat condition.

## VII. CONCLUSION

We began by introducing the relevant setup, highlighting work by Ref. [26] in deriving the  $K \neq 0$  Mukhanov–Sasaki equation of motion for the gauge-invariant comoving curvature perturbation  $\mathcal{R}$ . We discussed why this equation of motion prevents setting initial conditions for  $\mathcal{R}$  in a well-defined and physically-motivated way, and motivated the method of minimised-RSET.

We then propose a novel perturbation scalar  $\zeta$ , inspired by the case of perfect-fluid filled universe as in Ref. [51], and with particular emphasis on eq. (37). Under the Bardeen equation of motion eq. (39), describing the evolution of perturbation scalars in our inflationary universe, the equation of motion for  $\zeta$  collapses to the desired canonically-normalisable wave equation eq. (51) (i.e. of the same form as the typically-considered equation of motion eq. (11) for  $\mathcal{R}$  when  $K = 0$ ). We believe this variable will be an important catalyst for further work on the subject of inflation in curved spacetimes, as its SHO form allows for connection to standard inflationary and QFT literature.

Building on calculations in Ref. [49], we generalise the minimised-RSET vacuum-setting procedure proposed in Ref. [27] to curved spacetimes, allowing us to quantise  $\zeta$  (and any variable with analogous equation of motion). Finally, we demonstrate how to use the family of  $\zeta$  variables to construct a well-motivated set of initial conditions for  $\mathcal{R}$ , allowing us to plot the resulting power spectrum. We see changes in  $\Delta\chi^2$  in all cases compared to

previously studied BD and flat-RSET conditions, particularly noting an increase in power spectrum oscillatory behaviour.

This work however leaves several questions open. First, what are the correct initial conditions to choose for  $b(\eta)$  and therefore  $g(\eta)$ ? Ideally there would be a unique, well-motivated theoretical choice, though of course given its impact on the primordial power spectrum, this initial condition is a single degree of freedom which could also be fit for. Second, the link between curvature and non-adiabaticity given by eqs. (34), (35) and (38) should be further analytically explored in the directions of explaining the non-locality of action eq. (19) and identifying the degree of uniqueness of the variable  $\zeta$ . Third, the calculations made by Ref. [49] in examining the effects of canonical transformations on vacuum choice should be extended to curved universes. Finally, it remains to be seen to what extent (if at all) these vacuum states can be constrained using modern cosmological data.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

M. I. Letey would like to thank the Royal Society and the Cambridge Department of Applied Mathematics and

Theoretical Physics (DAMTP) Cambridge Mathematics Placements Programme (CMP) for providing summer bursary funding for this work. Z. Shumaylov was funded through a summer project by DAMTP CMP. F. J. Agocs was supported by STFC. W.J. Handley was supported by a Royal Society University Research Fellowship.

- 
- [1] A. A. Starobinskii, Spectrum of relict gravitational radiation and the early state of the universe, *Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics Letters* **30**, 682 (1979).
  - [2] A. H. Guth, Inflationary universe: A possible solution to the horizon and flatness problems, *Physical Review D* **23**, 347 (1981).
  - [3] A. Linde, A new inflationary universe scenario: A possible solution of the horizon, flatness, homogeneity, isotropy and primordial monopole problems, *Physics Letters B* **108**, 389 (1982).
  - [4] A. Albrecht and P. J. Steinhardt, Cosmology for Grand Unified Theories with Radiatively Induced Symmetry Breaking, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48**, 1220 (1982).
  - [5] Planck Collaboration, Planck 2018 results. I. Overview and the cosmological legacy of Planck, *Astronomy & Astrophysics* **641**, A1 (2020).
  - [6] Planck Collaboration, Planck 2018 results. V. CMB power spectra and likelihoods, *Astronomy & Astrophysics* **641**, A5 (2020).
  - [7] Planck Collaboration, Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters, *Astronomy & Astrophysics* **641**, A6 (2020).
  - [8] Planck Collaboration, Planck 2018 results. X. Constraints on inflation, *Astronomy & Astrophysics* **641**, A10 (2019).
  - [9] V. F. Mukhanov, H. A. Feldman, and R. H. Brandenberger, Theory of cosmological perturbations, *Phys. Rep.* **215**, 203 (1992).
  - [10] D. Baumann, TASI Lectures on Inflation, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:0907.5424 (2009), [arXiv:0907.5424 \[hep-th\]](#).
  - [11] M. White and D. Scott, Why Not Consider Closed Universes?, *The Astrophysical Journal* **459**, 415 (1996).
  - [12] A. Lasenby and C. Doran, Closed universes, de Sitter space, and inflation, *Physical Review D* **71**, 063502 (2005).
  - [13] B.onga, B. Gupta, and N. Yokomizo, Inflation in the closed FLRW model and the CMB, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2016** (10), 031.
  - [14] B.onga, B. Gupta, and N. Yokomizo, Tensor perturbations during inflation in a spatially closed Universe, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2017** (05), 021.
  - [15] M. Forconi, W. Giarè, E. Di Valentino, and A. Melchiorri, Cosmological constraints on slow roll inflation: An update, *Physical Review D* **104**, 103528 (2021), [arXiv:2110.01695](#).
  - [16] E. Di Valentino, A. Melchiorri, and J. Silk, Planck evidence for a closed Universe and a possible crisis for cosmology, *Nature Astronomy*, 1 (2019).
  - [17] W. Handley, Curvature tension: Evidence for a closed universe, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, L041301 (2021), [arXiv:1908.09139 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
  - [18] G. Efstathiou and S. Gratton, The evidence for a spatially flat Universe, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters* **496**, L91 (2020).
  - [19] S. Vagnozzi, E. Di Valentino, S. Gariazzo, A. Melchiorri, O. Mena, and J. Silk, The galaxy power spectrum take on spatial curvature and cosmic concordance, *Physics of the Dark Universe* **33**, 100851 (2021), [arXiv:2010.02230 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
  - [20] S. Vagnozzi, A. Loeb, and M. Moresco, Eppure è piatto? The Cosmic Chronometers Take on Spatial Curvature and Cosmic Concordance, *ApJ* **908**, 84 (2021), [arXiv:2011.11645 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
  - [21] A. Glanville, C. Howlett, and T. Davis, Full-shape galaxy power spectra and the curvature tension, *MNRAS* **517**, 3087 (2022), [arXiv:2205.05892 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
  - [22] M. Kleban and M. Schillo, Spatial curvature falsifies eternal inflation, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2012** (06), 029.
  - [23] L. T. Hergt, F. J. Agocs, W. J. Handley, M. P. Hobson, and A. N. Lasenby, Finite inflation in curved space, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 063529 (2022), [arXiv:2205.07374 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
  - [24] M. Tegmark, The multiverse hierarchy, in *Universe or Multiverse?*, edited by B. Carr (Cambridge University Press, 2007) Chap. 7, pp. 99–126, [arXiv:0905.1283](#).
  - [25] G. Ellis and J. Larena, The case for a closed universe, *Astronomy & Geophysics* **61**, 1.38 (2020).
  - [26] W. Handley, Primordial power spectra for curved inflating universes, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 123517 (2019), [arXiv:1907.08524 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
  - [27] W. J. Handley, A. N. Lasenby, and M. P. Hobson, Novel quantum initial conditions for inflation, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 024041 (2016), [arXiv:1607.04148 \[gr-qc\]](#).
  - [28] A. Linde, Fast-Roll Inflation, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2001**, 052 (2001).
  - [29] C. R. Contaldi, M. Peloso, L. Kofman, and A. Linde, Suppressing the lower multipoles in the CMB anisotropies, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2003** (07), 002.
  - [30] D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega, and N. G. Sanchez, CMB quadrupole suppression. I. Initial conditions of inflationary perturbations, *Physical Review D* **74**, 123006 (2006).
  - [31] D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega, and N. G. Sanchez, CMB quadrupole suppression. II. The early fast roll stage, *Physical Review D* **74**, 123007 (2006).
  - [32] C. Destri, H. J. de Vega, and N. G. Sanchez, CMB quadrupole depression produced by early fast-roll inflation: Monte Carlo Markov chains analysis of WMAP and SDSS data, *Physical Review D* **78**, 023013 (2008).
  - [33] E. Ramirez and D. J. Schwarz,  $\phi^4$  inflation is not excluded, *Physical Review D* **80**, 023525 (2009).
  - [34] C. Destri, H. J. de Vega, and N. G. Sanchez, Preinflationary and inflationary fast-roll eras and their signatures in

- the low CMB multipoles, *Physical Review D* **81**, 063520 (2010).
- [35] E. Ramirez and D. J. Schwarz, Predictions of just-enough inflation, *Physical Review D* **85**, 103516 (2012).
  - [36] E. Ramirez, Low power on large scales in just-enough inflation models, *Physical Review D* **85**, 103517 (2012).
  - [37] W. J. Handley, S. D. Brechet, A. N. Lasenby, and M. P. Hobson, Kinetic initial conditions for inflation, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 063505 (2014), [arXiv:1401.2253 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
  - [38] L. Lello and D. Boyanovsky, Tensor to scalar ratio and large scale power suppression from pre-slow roll initial conditions, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2014** (05), 029.
  - [39] M. Cicoli, S. Downes, B. Dutta, F. G. Pedro, and A. Westphal, Just enough inflation: power spectrum modifications at large scales, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2014** (12), 030.
  - [40] A. Scacco and A. Albrecht, Transients in finite inflation, *Physical Review D* **92**, 083506 (2015).
  - [41] L. T. Hergt, W. J. Handley, M. P. Hobson, and A. N. Lasenby, Case for kinetically dominated initial conditions for inflation, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 023502 (2019), [arXiv:1809.07185 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
  - [42] H. V. Ragavendra, D. Chowdhury, and L. Sriramkumar, Unique Contributions to the Scalar Bispectrum in ‘Just Enough Inflation’, in *Workshop on Frontiers in High Energy Physics 2019*, edited by A. Giri and R. Mohanta (Springer, Singapore, 2020) pp. 39–47.
  - [43] J. Lesgourgues and T. Tram, Fast and accurate CMB computations in non-flat FLRW universes, *J. Cosmology Astropart. Phys.* **2014**, 032 (2014), [arXiv:1312.2697 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
  - [44] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, Stress-tensor renormalization, in *Quantum Fields in Curved Space*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics (Cambridge University Press, 1982) p. 150–224.
  - [45] S. A. Fulling, *Aspects of Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts (Cambridge University Press, 1989).
  - [46] S. A. Fulling, Remarks on positive frequency and Hamiltonians in expanding universes., *General Relativity and Gravitation* **10**, 807 (1979).
  - [47] T. S. Bunch and P. C. W. Davies, Quantum field theory in de Sitter space - Renormalization by point-splitting, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series A* **360**, 117 (1978).
  - [48] L. Parker and D. Toms, *Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime: Quantized Fields and Gravity*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics (Cambridge University Press, 2009).
  - [49] F. J. Agocs, L. T. Hergt, W. J. Handley, A. N. Lasenby, and M. P. Hobson, Quantum initial conditions for inflation and canonical invariance, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 023507 (2020), [arXiv:2002.07042 \[gr-qc\]](#).
  - [50] U. H. Danielsson, Note on inflation and trans-Planckian physics, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 023511 (2002), [arXiv:hep-th/0203198 \[hep-th\]](#).
  - [51] S. D. Brechet, M. P. Hobson, and A. N. Lasenby, First-order adiabatic perturbations of a perfect fluid about a general FLRW background using the 1+3 covariant and gauge-invariant formalism, *arXiv e-prints*, [arXiv:0909.5384](#) (2009), [arXiv:0909.5384 \[gr-qc\]](#).
  - [52] V. Faraoni, Correspondence between a scalar field and an effective perfect fluid, *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 024040 (2012), [arXiv:1201.1448 \[gr-qc\]](#).
  - [53] S. Unnikrishnan and L. Sriramkumar, A note on perfect scalar fields, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 103511 (2010), [arXiv:1002.0820 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
  - [54] Z. Shumaylov and W. Handley, Primordial power spectra from k-inflation with curvature, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 123532 (2022), [arXiv:2112.07547 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
  - [55] J. Garriga and V. F. Mukhanov, Perturbations in k-inflation, *Physics Letters B* **458**, 219 (1999), [arXiv:hep-th/9904176 \[hep-th\]](#).
  - [56] F. J. Agocs, W. J. Handley, A. N. Lasenby, and M. P. Hobson, Efficient method for solving highly oscillatory ordinary differential equations with applications to physical systems, *Physical Review Research* **2**, 013030 (2020), [arXiv:1906.01421 \[physics.comp-ph\]](#).

## Appendix A: General Minimised RSET Calculations for Wave-like Fields

We aim to relate

$$(z_g \zeta_k)'' + \left( c_s^2(\eta) \kappa_{\mathcal{D}}^2(k) - \frac{z_g(\eta)''}{z_g(\eta)} \right) (z_g \zeta_k) = 0 \quad (\text{A1})$$

to

$$(a\psi_k)'' + \left( \kappa^2(k) - \frac{a''}{a} \right) (a\psi_k) = 0, \quad (\text{A2})$$

by means of time-redefinition and field rescaling defined in eqs. (60) to (63), so that we may quantise  $\zeta$  by analogy with  $\psi$ .

Time-redefinition from  $\eta$  to  $\eta_\zeta$  gives

$$\frac{d}{d\eta} = \eta'_\zeta \frac{d}{d\eta_\zeta} \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\eta^2} = (\eta'_\zeta)^2 \frac{d^2}{d\eta_\zeta^2} + \eta''_\zeta \frac{d}{d\eta_\zeta} \quad (\text{A4})$$

where  $'$  denotes differentiation with respect to conformal time  $\eta$ . Then eq. (A1) becomes the equivalent equation of motion for  $\chi_\zeta$  given by

$$0 = \partial_{\eta_\psi \eta_\psi} \chi_\zeta + \partial_{\eta_\zeta} \chi_\zeta \left( \frac{\eta''_\zeta}{(\eta'_\zeta)^2} + 2 \frac{\partial_{\eta_\zeta} h_\zeta}{h_\zeta} + 2 \frac{\partial_{\eta_\zeta} z_g}{z_g} \right) + \chi_\zeta \left( \frac{\partial_{\eta_\zeta}^2 h_\zeta}{h_\zeta} + \frac{\eta''_\zeta}{(\eta'_\zeta)^2} \frac{\partial_{\eta_\zeta} h_\zeta}{h_\zeta} + 2 \frac{\partial_{\eta_\zeta} h_\zeta}{h_\zeta} \frac{\partial_{\eta_\zeta} z_g}{z_g} + \frac{c_s^2 \kappa_{\mathcal{D}}^2}{(\eta'_\zeta)^2} \right). \quad (\text{A5})$$

We aim to have an equation of motion with no  $\partial_{\eta_\zeta} \chi_\zeta$  terms, i.e. want to choose  $h_\zeta, \eta_\zeta$  such that

$$0 = \frac{\eta''_\zeta}{(\eta'_\zeta)^2} + 2 \frac{\partial_{\eta_\zeta} h_\zeta}{h_\zeta} + 2 \frac{\partial_{\eta_\zeta} z_g}{z_g} = \frac{\partial_{\eta_\zeta} (C_\zeta^2)}{C_\zeta^2} \quad (\text{A6})$$

for

$$C_\zeta^2 = \eta'_\zeta h_\zeta^2 z_g^2. \quad (\text{A7})$$

Thus, fix  $C_\zeta^2$  to be constant. Then

$$0 = \partial_{\eta_\zeta \eta_\zeta} \chi_\zeta + \chi_\zeta \left( \left( \frac{c_s(\eta_\zeta) \kappa_{\mathcal{D}}(k) h_\zeta^2 z_g^2}{C_\zeta^2} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial_{\eta_\zeta} \eta_\zeta}{\eta_\zeta} - 2 \left( \frac{\partial_{\eta_\zeta} h_\zeta}{h_\zeta} \right)^2 \right) \quad (\text{A8})$$

The equivalent formulation for the rescaled and time-redefined  $\psi$  field is

$$0 = \partial_{\eta_\psi \eta_\psi} \chi_\psi + \chi_\psi \left( \left( \frac{\kappa(k) h_\psi^2 a^2}{C_\psi^2} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial_{\eta_\psi} \eta_\psi}{\eta_\psi} - 2 \left( \frac{\partial_{\eta_\psi} h_\psi}{h_\psi} \right)^2 \right) \quad (\text{A9})$$

for constant

$$C_\psi^2 = \eta'_\psi h_\psi^2 a^2. \quad (\text{A10})$$

As argued in Ref. [49],

$$\frac{\partial_{\eta_\psi \eta_\psi} h_\psi}{h_\psi} - 2 \left( \frac{\partial_{\eta_\psi} h_\psi}{h_\psi} \right)^2 = \frac{\partial_{\eta_\zeta} \eta_\zeta}{\eta_\zeta} - 2 \left( \frac{\partial_{\eta_\zeta} h_\zeta}{h_\zeta} \right)^2 \quad (\text{A11})$$

can always be made to be true for suitable choices of  $h_\zeta, \eta_\zeta, h_\psi, \eta_\psi$ .

Hence the only remaining condition to be fixed is

$$\frac{\sqrt{c_s} h_\zeta z_g}{C_\zeta} = \frac{h_\psi a}{C_\psi}, \quad (\text{A12})$$

having shifted the wavenumber for  $\psi$  so that

$$\kappa_{\mathcal{D}}(k_{\text{shift}}) = \kappa(k). \quad (\text{A13})$$

Now promote  $\chi_\psi$  to an operator, as is standard in canonical quantisation; then  $\psi$  may be expanded in terms of creation and annihilation operators as

$$\psi = \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} h_\psi(\eta_\psi) \left( \hat{a}_k \chi_{\psi, k} Y_k(\mathbf{x}) + \hat{a}_k^\dagger \chi_{\psi, k}^* Y_k^*(\mathbf{x}) \right), \quad (\text{A14})$$

where  $Y_k(x)$  is the eigenfunction of the curved spacial Laplacian, namely

$$\nabla^2 Y_k(\mathbf{x}) = -\kappa^2(k) Y_k(\mathbf{x}). \quad (\text{A15})$$

The renormalised  $T_{00}$  component is then given by

$$\langle 0 | T_{00} | \rangle_{\text{ren}} = \lim_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}'} \mathcal{D}_{00} G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') - \tilde{T}, \quad (\text{A16})$$

where

$$G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \frac{1}{2} \langle 0 | \psi(\mathbf{x}) \psi(\mathbf{x}') + \psi(\mathbf{x}') \psi(\mathbf{x}) | 0 \rangle. \quad (\text{A17})$$

Crucially, the de-Witt Schwinger geometrical terms given by  $\tilde{T}$  are independent of the field variables  $\chi_\psi$  (or  $\psi$ ), shown in Birrell and Davies [44] (Chapter 6, Section 6.4). Thus, we expand

$$2G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} h(\eta_\psi) h(\eta'_\psi) \chi(\eta_\psi) \chi^*(\eta'_\psi) Y(\mathbf{x}) Y^*(\mathbf{x}') + h(\eta_\psi) h(\eta'_\psi) \chi(\eta'_\psi) \chi^*(\eta_\psi) Y(\mathbf{x}') Y^*(\mathbf{x}) \quad (\text{A18})$$

where for brevity,  $h = h_\psi$ ,  $\chi = \chi_{\psi, k}$  and  $Y = Y_k$ . Then

$$\langle 0 | T_{00} | \rangle_{\text{ren}} = \tilde{T} + \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{h^2 \kappa^2}{(\partial_{\eta_\psi} \eta_\psi)^2} \chi \chi^* Y Y^* + h^2 \left( \partial_{\eta_\psi} \chi + \frac{\partial_{\eta_\psi} h}{h} \chi \right) \left( \partial_{\eta_\psi} \chi^* + \frac{\partial_{\eta_\psi} h}{h} \chi^* \right) Y Y^* \quad (\text{A19})$$

The spherical-harmonic-like functions  $Y_k(x)$  are normalised as

$$\int d^3x \sqrt{\det(c_{ij})} Y_k(x) Y_p(x) = \delta(k-p) \quad (\text{A20})$$

so that the canonical commutation relation for the re-defined field is given by

$$(\partial_{\eta_\psi} \chi_{\psi,k}) \chi_{\psi,k}^* - (\partial_{\eta_\psi} \chi_{\psi,k}^*) \chi_{\psi,k} = -\frac{i}{C_\psi^2}. \quad (\text{A21})$$

Finally, minimising eq. (A19) with respect to field variables  $\chi_{\psi,k}, \chi_{\psi,k}^*$  and their derivatives  $\partial_{\eta_\psi} \chi_{\psi,k}, \partial_{\eta_\psi} \chi_{\psi,k}^*$  subject to the constraint eq. (A21) gives

$$\partial_{\eta_\psi} \ln(\chi_{\psi,k}) = -\frac{i\kappa(k)}{\eta'_\psi} - \partial_{\eta_\psi} \ln(h_\psi), \quad (\text{A22})$$

$$|\chi_{\psi,k}^2| = \frac{1}{2\kappa h_\psi^2 a^2}. \quad (\text{A23})$$

The equation of motion for  $\chi_\zeta$  in terms of  $\eta_\zeta$  at  $k_{\text{shift}}(k)$  is now identical to the equation of motion for  $\chi_\psi$  in terms of  $\eta_\psi$  at  $k$ . Thus we have equivalence of solutions

$$\chi_\psi(k) = \chi_\zeta(k_{\text{shift}}). \quad (\text{A24})$$

Substituting  $\chi_\psi(k) = \chi_\zeta(k_{\text{shift}})$ ,  $h_\psi$  for  $h_\zeta$ , and converting to conformal time gives

$$\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} = -i\kappa_{\mathcal{D}} + \frac{a'}{a} - \frac{z'_g}{z_g} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{c'_s}{c_s}. \quad (\text{A25})$$

Introducing 2 arbitrary time redefinitions and 2 arbitrary field rescalings gives 4 degrees of freedom. Thus far, we still have one remaining degree of freedom: eqs. (A11) and (A12) each fix one degree of freedom, and eqs. (A7) and (A10) *together* fix another degree of freedom (as the *values* of  $C_\zeta, C_\psi$  are arbitrary). Thus we're free to set  $C_\zeta = C_\psi$ . In conclusion, we can initialise  $\zeta$  at  $\eta = \eta_0$  by

$$|\zeta(\eta_0)|^2 = \frac{1}{2c_s(\eta_0)z_g^2(\eta_0)\kappa_{\mathcal{D}}},$$

$$\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\eta_0) = -i\kappa_{\mathcal{D}} + \frac{a'}{a}(\eta_0) - \frac{z'_g}{z_g}(\eta_0) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{c'_s}{c_s}(\eta_0), \quad (\text{A26})$$

or at  $t = t_0$  by

$$|\zeta(t_0)|^2 = \frac{1}{2c_s(t_0)z_g^2(t_0)\kappa_{\mathcal{D}}},$$

$$\frac{\dot{\zeta}}{\zeta}(t_0) = -i\frac{1}{a(t_0)}\kappa_{\mathcal{D}} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}(t_0) - \frac{\dot{z}_g}{z_g}(t_0) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\dot{c}_s}{c_s}(t_0). \quad (\text{A27})$$