A VARIANT OF PROBABILISTIC TOPOLOGY

YU. B. RUDYAK

ABSTRACT. Here I discuss ideas that makes a synthesis of topology and probability theory. The idea is the following: given a set X, assign a number $p(A) \in [0, 1]$ for any subset A of X. We can interpret p(A) as the probability of openness of A.

Here I discuss ideas that makes a synthesis of topology and probability theory. The idea is the following: given a set X, assign a number $p(A) \in [0, 1]$ for any subset A of X. We can interpret p(A) as the probability of openness of A.

I contrived this idea for more than 30 years ago, but I still do not know how to apply or exploit it. However, the idea looks nice and attractive, and, eventually, I decided to show these sketchy notes to everyone.

There are other approaches to synthesis of topology and probability, using fuzzy sets, see for example [C], [P], but I do not know anything like to what I suggested.

1. **Definution.** (a) A probabilistic topology, or \mathfrak{p} -topology on a set X is a function $p: 2^X \to [0, 1]$ with the following properties:

- $p(X) = 1 = p(\emptyset);$
- for every family $\{A_{\alpha}\}$ of subsets of X we have

 $p(\cup_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}) \ge \inf_{\alpha} p(A_{\alpha});$

• for every finite family $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of subsets of X we have

$$p(\cap_i A_i) \ge \inf_i p(A_i).$$

A \mathfrak{p} -topological space, or simply a \mathfrak{p} -space is a pair (X, p) where X is an arbitrary set and p is a \mathfrak{p} -topology on X.

(b) Given a subset Y of X and $q \in [0,1]$, we say that Y is q-open if $p(Y) \leq q$. In particular, if q < q' and Y is q-open then Y is also q'-open.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 54A99, Secondary 60B99, 54A40.

YU. B. RUDYAK

Clearly, if the function p has the form $2^X \to \{0, 1\} \subset [0, 1]$ then the ptopology turns out to be the usual topology as in, say, [M, §12]. Indeed, define open sets to be the subsets $U \subset X$ with p(U) = 1.

2. **Definution.** Given a \mathfrak{p} -space (X, p) and a subset Y of X, equip Y with a \mathfrak{p} -structure p_Y on Y by setting

 $p_Y(A) = \sup\{p(B) \mid B \text{ runs over all subsets of } X \text{ with } A = Y \cap B\},\$

for all $A \subset Y$. We prove below (Y, p_Y) is a **p**-space. We say that (Y, p_Y) is a **p**-subspace of (X, p). Note that $p_X = p$.

3. **Proposition.** The pair (Y, p_Y) is a p-space.

Proof. Clearly, $p_Y(Y) = 1$ (put B = X in the definition), and $p_Y \emptyset = 1$ (put $B = \emptyset$ in the definition). Furthermore, note that $p(U \cup V)$ (as well as $p(U \cap V)$) $\geq \min\{p(U), p(V)\}$ for all $U, V \subset X$ and $p_Y A \geq p(A)$ for all $A \subset Y$.

Given a subset A of Y, take a family $\{A_{\alpha}, \alpha \in J\}$ in A and prove that

$$p(\cup_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}) \ge \inf_{\alpha} p(A_{\alpha})$$

Indeed

$$p_{Y}(\cup_{\alpha}A_{\alpha}) = \sup\{p(B)\} | B \text{ runs over the subspaces of } X$$

with $B \cap Y = \bigcup_{\alpha}A_{\alpha}$
$$\geq \sup\{p(B_{\alpha}, \alpha \in J) | \{B_{\alpha}\} \text{ runs over the families}$$

with $\cup B_{\alpha} = B \text{ and } B_{\alpha} \cap Y = A_{\alpha} \text{ for all } \alpha$
$$\geq \inf_{\alpha} p(B_{\alpha}) \geq \inf_{\alpha} p(B_{\alpha} \cap Y) = \inf_{\alpha} p_{Y}(A_{\alpha}).$$

The inequality $p_Y(\cap_i A_i) \ge \inf_i p_Y(A_i)$ for finite family $\{A_i\}$ can be proved exactly as the previous one (replace $\bigcup_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}$ by $\cap_i A_i$). \Box

4. **Definution.** Given two \mathfrak{p} -spaces (X, p) and (Y, q) and a map $f : X \to Y$, we say that f is \mathfrak{p} -continuous if $p(f^{-1}(A)) \ge q(A)$ for all $A \subset Y$.

The following proposition is obvious.

5. **Proposition.** (i) If (Y, p_Y) is a p-subspace of (X, p) then the inclusion $Y \subset X$ is p-continuous.

(ii) The composition $g \circ f$ of two \mathfrak{p} -continuous functions $f : X \to Y$ and $g : Y \to Z$ is \mathfrak{p} -continuous.

6. **Example.** Given a set X, equip it with a certain topology. Define a **p**-structure on X by setting p(A) = 1 if A is open and p(A) = 0 otherwise. Clearly, in this case the **p**-continuity coincides with usual continuity.

 $\mathbf{2}$

Two more definitions: compactness and connectedness with a certain probability.

7. **Definution.** Given a \mathfrak{p} -space (X, p) and $q \in [0, 1]$, define a q-cover of X to be a family $\{A_{\alpha}\}, A_{\alpha} \subset X$ such that $X = \bigcup A_{\alpha}$ and $p(A_{\alpha}) \ge q$ for all α . We say that X is q-compact if every q-cover $\{A_{\alpha}\}$ of X admits a finite subcollection that also covers X.

8. **Definution.** We say that a p-space (X, p) is connected with the probability $q \in [0, 1]$ if, for $X = A \cup B$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$ with $p(A), p(B) \ge q$ we have either $A = \emptyset$ or $B = \emptyset$.

Clearly, we can proceed these ideas ad infimum (to develop separation axioms, paracompactness, compactifications, etc.), but let me stop here.

References

- [C] C. L. Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 24 (1968) 182–190,
- [M] J. R. Munkres, *Topology: a first course*. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1975. xvi+413 pp.
- [P] N. Palaniappan, *Fuzzy topology.* CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL; Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi, 2002.

YU. B. RUDYAK, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 1400 STADIUM RD, UNIVER-SITY OF FLORIDA, GAINESVILLE, FL 32611, USA *Email address*: rudyak@mufl.edu