An Identity relating Eisenstein series on general linear groups

Zahi Hazan

Abstract

We give a general identity relating Eisenstein series on general linear groups. We do it by constructing an Eisenstein series, attached to a maximal parabolic subgroup and a pair of representations, one cuspidal and the other a character, and express it in terms of a degenerate Eisenstein series. In the local fields analogue, we prove the convergence in a half plane of the local integrals, and their meromorphic continuation. In addition, we find that the unramified calculation gives the Godement-Jacquet zeta function. This realizes and generalizes the construction proposed by Ginzburg and Soudry in Section 3 in [GS19].

1 Introduction

1.1 Statement of the main results

Global theory

Let k be a number field, and let A be its ring of adeles. Let $m \ge n$ be two positive integers. Let π be an irreducible, automorphic, cuspidal representation of $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$ and assume that its central character ω_{π} is unitary. Let φ_{π} be a cusp form in the space of π . Consider, for a complex number s, the Eisenstein series $\operatorname{E}_{mn}(f_{\omega_{\pi},s})$ on $\operatorname{GL}_{mn}(\mathbb{A})$, attached to a smooth, holomorphic section $f_{\omega_{\pi},s}$ of the normalized parabolic induction $\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{mn-1,1}(\mathbb{A})}^{\operatorname{GL}_{mn}(\mathbb{A})}(1 \otimes \omega_{\pi}^{-1}) \delta_{P_{mn-1,1}}^{s}$. We set

$$\mathfrak{E}\left(f_{\omega_{\pi},s},\varphi_{\pi}\right)(h) = \int_{Z_{n}(\mathbb{A})\mathrm{GL}_{n}(k)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{A})} \varphi_{\pi}(g) \mathrm{E}_{mn}\left(f_{\omega_{\pi},s}\right)\left(t\left(h,g\right)\right) dg.$$
(1.1)

Here, $Z_n(\mathbb{A})$ denotes the center of $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$ and the map $t : \operatorname{GL}_m(\mathbb{A}) \times \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}) \to \operatorname{GL}_{mn}(\mathbb{A})$ denotes the Kronecker product (see Section 1.3.3). Our main theorem is the identity

Theorem 1. $\mathfrak{E}(f_{\omega_{\pi},s},\varphi_{\pi})$ is an Eisenstein series on $\operatorname{GL}_{m}(\mathbb{A})$, corresponding to the normalized parabolic induction $\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{m-n,n}(\mathbb{A})}^{\operatorname{GL}_{m}(\mathbb{A})}(1 \otimes \tilde{\pi}) \delta_{P_{m-n,n}}^{s}$. In more details, there exists an explicit meromorphic section $\xi(f_{\omega_{\pi},s},\varphi_{\pi})$ of this parabolic induction, given by

Key words and phrases. general linear group, p-adic group, adeles, automorphic forms, Eisenstein series, L-functions, representation theory.

the following integral which converges absolutely for $\Re(s)$ sufficiently large and admits a meromorphic continuation to \mathbb{C} ,

$$\xi\left(f_{\omega_{\pi},s},\varphi_{\pi}\right)(h) = \int_{Z_{n}(\mathbb{A})\backslash \operatorname{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{A})} \varphi_{\pi}(g) f_{\omega_{\pi},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(h,g\right)\right) dg, \qquad (1.2)$$

where $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ is a specific element of $\operatorname{GL}_{mn}(k)$. For $\Re(s)$ sufficiently large,

$$\mathfrak{E}\left(f_{\omega_{\pi},s},\varphi_{\pi}\right)(h) = \sum_{\gamma \in P_{m-n,n}(k) \setminus \mathrm{GL}_{m}(k)} \xi\left(f_{\omega_{\pi},s},\varphi_{\pi}\right)(\gamma h).$$
(1.3)

The R.H.S of eq. (1.3) continues to a meromorphic function in the whole plane. Denote it by $E_m(\xi(f_{\omega_{\pi},s},\varphi_{\pi}))$. Then, as meromorphic functions on $GL_m(\mathbb{A})$,

$$\mathfrak{E}(f_{\omega_{\pi},s},\varphi_{\pi}) = \mathcal{E}_m\left(\xi\left(f_{\omega_{\pi},s},\varphi_{\pi}\right)\right). \tag{1.4}$$

Remark 1.1. The identity (1.2) immediately gives a realizations of π , in the case m = n.

The proof of this theorem occupies the next two sections. In Section 2 we formally show that the global identity eq. (1.3) holds. In Section 3 we make sense of the integral in eq. (1.2). This allows us to complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Local theory

Let ν be a finite place of k. Denote by k_{ν} the completion of k with respect to ν . Denote by \mathcal{O}_{ν} its ring of integers. Let π_{ν} be a smooth, irreducible, generic representation of $\operatorname{GL}_n(k_{\nu})$ (with central character $\omega_{\pi_{\nu}}$). Our global integral gives rise to the local integral at ν :

$$I\left(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}, v_{\pi_{\nu}}\right) = \int_{Z_n(k_{\nu})\backslash \operatorname{GL}_n(k_{\nu})} f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(I_m,g\right)\right) \pi_{\nu}\left(g_{\nu}\right) v_{\pi_{\nu}}dg_{\nu}, \quad (1.5)$$

where $v_{\pi_{\nu}}$ is in the space of π_{ν} , and $f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}$ is a smooth, holomorphic section of the induced representation $\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{mn-1,1}(k_{\nu})}^{\operatorname{GL}_{mn}(k_{\nu})} (1 \otimes \omega_{\pi_{\nu}}^{-1}) \delta_{P_{mn-1,1}}^s$.

In Section 3.2 we prove

Theorem 2. Assume $\Re(s) >> 0$. If ν is an Archimedean place, then $I\left(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}, v_{\pi_{\nu}}\right)(h_{\nu})$ is a meromorphic function. If ν is a ramified non-Archimedean place, then

$$I\left(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}, v_{\pi_{\nu}}\right)(h_{\nu}) = P_{\nu}\left(q_{\nu}^{-s}\right),\tag{1.6}$$

where $P_{\nu}(q_{\nu}^{-s})$ is a rational function in $\mathbb{C}(q_{\nu}^{-s})$. Moreover, $I(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}, v_{\pi_{\nu}})(h_{\nu})$ extends to a meromorphic function on all \mathbb{C} , for all place ν ,.

Assume that π_{ν} is unramified (this is the case for all but finitely many places ν). Fix a spherical vector $\check{v}^{\circ}_{\pi_{\nu}}$ in $V^{*}_{\pi_{\nu}}$. Then, there exists a unique spherical vector $v^{\circ}_{\pi_{\nu}}$ in $V_{\pi_{\nu}}$, such that $\langle v^{\circ}_{\pi_{\nu}}, \check{v}^{\circ}_{\pi_{\nu}} \rangle = 1$. Similarly, there exists a unique unramified section $f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}$ normalized by the condition $f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}(I_{mn}) = 1$. Given a matrix coefficient $c_{v,\tilde{w}}(g) = \langle \pi(g)v, \check{w} \rangle$ of π_{ν} , where $v \in V_{\pi_{\nu}}$ and $\check{w} \in V_{\tilde{\pi}_{\nu}}$, and given a Schwartz-Bruhat function $\Phi \in S(M_n(k_{\nu}))$, we recall the Godement-Jacquet zeta integral [GJ72]

$$Z_{\rm GJ}(s, c_{v,\check{w}}, \Phi) = \int_{{\rm GL}_n(k_{\nu})} \Phi(g_{\nu}) c_{v,\check{w}}(g_{\nu}) \left|\det g_{\nu}\right|^{s + \frac{n-1}{2}} dg_{\nu},$$
(1.7)

which is absolutely convergent for $\Re(s)$ sufficiently large. In Section 3.1 we prove

Theorem 3.

$$I\left(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}^{\circ},v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}\right) = \frac{Z_{\rm GJ}\left(m(s+\frac{1}{2}) - \frac{n-1}{2},c_{v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}},\check{v}_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ},\Phi_{0}\right)}{L(m(s+\frac{1}{2}),\omega_{\pi_{\nu}})}v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ},\tag{1.8}$$

where Φ_0 is the characteristic function of $M_n(\mathcal{O}_{\nu})$.

By the test vector lemma [GJ72, Lemma 6.10] we have $Z_{GJ}(s, c_{v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}, \check{v}_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}}, \Phi_0) = L(s, \pi_{\nu})$. Thus, Theorem 3 immediately implies

Theorem 4.

$$I\left(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}^{\circ}, v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}\right) = \frac{L\left(m(s+\frac{1}{2}) - \frac{n-1}{2}, \pi_{\nu}\right)}{L\left(m(s+\frac{1}{2}), \omega_{\pi_{\nu}}\right)}v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}.$$
(1.9)

1.2 Background and motivation

Eisenstein series are key objects in the theory of automorphic forms. They are an important tool in the study of automorphic L-functions, and they figure out in the spectral decomposition of the L^2 -space of automorphic forms. In recent years, new constructions of global integrals generating identities relating Eisenstein series were discovered.

In [GS18] Ginzburg and Soudry introduced two general identities relating Eisenstein series on split classical groups, as well as double covers of symplectic groups. Basically, the idea of their theorem, for example, in case of symplectic group, is as follows. Let τ be an irreducible, automorphic, cuspidal representation of $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$. Denote by $\Delta(\tau, i)$ the Speh representation of $\operatorname{GL}_{ni}(\mathbb{A})$ of "length" *i* corresponding to τ . Let σ be an irreducible, automorphic, cuspidal representation of $\mathrm{Sp}_m(\mathbb{A})$, and σ^{ι} a certain outer conjugation of σ by an element of order 2. Their theorem shows that Eisenstein series parabolically induced from $\Delta(\tau, i) |\det \cdot|^s \times \sigma^i$ can be expressed in terms of "more degenerate" Eisenstein series, namely Eisenstein series parabolically induced from the Speh representation $\Delta(\tau, m+i) |\det|^s$. In particular they get that any Eisenstein series attached to an irreducible, cuspidal representation on a maximal parabolic subgroup can be expressed in terms of an Eisenstein series, attached to a Speh representation on a Siegel parabolic subgroup. They generalized Mœglin's work [Mœg97] which is an extension of [GPSR97]. The identity of Ginzburg and Soudry can also be viewed as an extension of the doubling construction introduced in [CFGK16]. The second identity in [GS18] generalizes Ikeda's work [Ike94] and can be viewed as a generalization of the descent construction studied in [GRS11].

In this work we demonstrate the principle above by proving an identity relating Eisenstein series on general linear groups. Namely, we express an Eisenstein series, attached to a maximal parabolic subgroup and a pair of representations, one cuspidal and the other a character, in terms of a degenerate Eisenstein series.

The identity (1.4) has further applications. In an ongoing project, we explore several of these. For example, we use it to provide another proof that the Eisenstein series $E_m(\xi(f_{\omega_{\pi},s},\varphi_{\pi}))$ is holomorphic, and also apply the aforementioned realization (see Remark 1.1) to certain Rankin-Selberg integrals.

1.3 Preliminaries and notation

1.3.1 The groups

Recall that k denotes a number field, and A its ring of adeles. We consider general linear groups and their parabolic subgroups, as algebraic groups over k. Let $\ell, r \ge 0$ be two integers. We write $P_{\ell,r}$ for the block upper-triangular maximal parabolic subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}_{\ell+r}$ with Levi part $S_{\ell,r} \cong \operatorname{GL}_{\ell} \times \operatorname{GL}_{r}$. Its Levi decomposition is

$$P_{\ell,r} = \mathcal{S}_{\ell,r} \ltimes U_{\ell,r},\tag{1.10}$$

$$\mathbf{S}_{\ell,r} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0\\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in \mathrm{GL}_{\ell}, \ B \in \mathrm{GL}_{r} \right\},\tag{1.11}$$

$$U_{\ell,r} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_{\ell} & X\\ 0 & I_r \end{pmatrix} \mid X \in M_{\ell,r} \right\}.$$
(1.12)

We denote by $H_{\ell,1}$ (or $H_{1,r}$) the subgroup of $P_{\ell,1}$ (or $P_{1,r}$) such that B = 1 (or A = 1) in eq. (1.11). The standard Borel subgroup of GL_{ℓ} is denoted by $P_{\ell} = S_{\ell}U_{\ell}$, and the Weyl group of GL_{ℓ} by W_{ℓ} .

For a place ν , we let k_{ν} be the completion of k with respect to the absolute value $|\cdot|_{\nu}$. Let $\ell \geq 1$ be an integer. We denote the maximal compact subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}_{\ell}(k_{\nu})$ by $K_{\ell,\nu}$. For $\nu = \mathbb{R}$, we have $K_{\ell,\nu} = O_{\ell}$, the orthogonal subgroup, and for $\nu = \mathbb{C}$, we have $K_{\ell,\nu} = U_{\ell}(\mathbb{C})$, the unitary subgroup (not to be confused with the unipotent radical). For $\nu < \infty$, we denote the ring of integers of k_{ν} by \mathcal{O}_{ν} and its maximal ideal by \mathcal{P}_{ν} . We denote a uniformizer of \mathcal{P}_{ν} by ϖ , and the cardinality of the residue field by q_{ν} . In this case, $K_{\ell,\nu} = \operatorname{GL}_{\ell}(\mathcal{O}_{\nu})$. We denote $K_{\ell} := \prod_{\nu} K_{\ell,\nu}$.

Let P = SU be a standard parabolic subgroup of $G = \operatorname{GL}_{\ell}$. Let $T_S = Z(S)$ be the center of S. For simplicity we denote $T = T_{S_{\ell}}$. Let Σ be the set of all roots corresponding to the pair (G,T). i.e., the non-trivial eigencharacters of the adjoint action of T on the lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G. Let Σ^+ be the subset of positive roots determined by P_{ℓ} , so that $\mathfrak{u} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$, where \mathfrak{g}_{α} is the eigenspace of α , and \mathfrak{u} is the lie algebra of U_{ℓ} . Let $\Delta = \Delta_{P_{\ell}}$ be the basis of Σ^+ , so that every root in Σ^+ is a sum of roots in Δ .

1.3.2 Sections and Eisenstein series

We recall the definition of a holomorphic section of a parabolically induced representation, parameterized by an unramified character of the Levi part (see [Kap13, §2.4]). For a thorough treatment of this subject refer to [Wal03, §IV] and [Mui08]. Let ℓ and r be two positive numbers. Let τ an automorphic representation of $\operatorname{GL}_{\ell}(\mathbb{A})$. Consider, for a complex number s, the normalized parabolic induction

$$\rho_{\tau,s} = \operatorname{Ind}_{P_{\ell,r}(\mathbb{A})}^{\operatorname{GL}_{\ell+r}(\mathbb{A})} (1 \otimes \tau) \, \delta^s_{P_{\ell,r}}.$$

Here, $\delta_{P_{\ell,r}}$ stands for the modulus character of $P_{\ell,r}(\mathbb{A})$, i.e.,

$$\delta_{P_{\ell,r}}\left(\begin{pmatrix}A & X\\ & B\end{pmatrix}\right) = \left|\det A\right|^r \left|\det B\right|^{-\ell},$$

where $A \in GL_{\ell}(\mathbb{A})$, and $B \in GL_r(\mathbb{A})$, and $X \in M_{\ell,r}(\mathbb{A})$.

For a given complex number s, the representation $\rho_{\tau,s}$ acts in the space of all smooth, holomorphic functions $\tilde{f}_{\tau,s}: \operatorname{GL}_{\ell+r}(\mathbb{A}) \times \operatorname{GL}_{\ell}(\mathbb{A}) \times \operatorname{GL}_{r}(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy

I. For all $g \in \operatorname{GL}_{\ell+r}(\mathbb{A}), a, A \in \operatorname{GL}_{\ell}(\mathbb{A}), b, B \in \operatorname{GL}_{r}(\mathbb{A}), and X \in \operatorname{M}_{\ell,r}(\mathbb{A}),$

$$\tilde{f}_{\tau,s}\left(\begin{pmatrix}A & X\\ & B\end{pmatrix}g;a,b\right) = \delta_{P_{\ell,r}}^{s+1/2}\left(\begin{pmatrix}A & \\ & B\end{pmatrix}\right)\tilde{f}_{\tau,s}\left(g;aA,bB\right).$$

II. For fixed $g \in \operatorname{GL}_{\ell+r}(\mathbb{A})$,

$$\left[(a,b) \mapsto \tilde{f}_{\tau,s} \left(g; a, b \right) \right] \in \tau,$$

where $a \in \operatorname{GL}_{\ell}(\mathbb{A})$ and $b \in \operatorname{GL}_{r}(\mathbb{A})$.

By smooth we mean that there is some compact open subgroup $Y \leq \operatorname{GL}_{\ell+r}(\mathbb{A})$ such that $\tilde{f}_{\tau,s}(ng) = \tilde{f}_{\tau,s}(g)$ for all $n \in Y$ and $g \in \operatorname{GL}_{\ell+r}(\mathbb{A})$. We realize the space of $\rho_{\tau,s}$ as smooth, holomorphic functions from $\operatorname{GL}_{\ell+r}(\mathbb{A})$ to \mathbb{C} by setting

$$f_{\tau,s}\left(g\right) = \tilde{f}_{\tau,s}\left(g; I_{\ell}, I_{r}\right).$$

The function $f_{\tau,s}$ satisfies

$$f_{\tau,s}\left(\begin{pmatrix}A & X\\ & B\end{pmatrix}g\right) = \delta_{P_{\ell,r}}^{s+1/2}\left(\begin{pmatrix}A & X\\ & B\end{pmatrix}\right)\tilde{f}_{\tau,s}\left(g;A,B\right).$$

In particular,

$$f_{\tau,s}: \mathbf{S}_{\ell,r}(k)U_{\ell,r}(\mathbb{A}) \setminus \mathrm{GL}_{\ell+r}(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C}.$$

There is a bijection between $\rho_{\tau,s}|_{K_{\ell+r}}$ and $\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{\ell,r}(\mathbb{A})\cap K_{\ell+r}}^{K_{\ell+r}}(1\otimes \tau)|_{P_{\ell,r}(\mathbb{A})\cap K_{\ell+r}}$. Let $g = g_P g_K$ were $g_P \in P_{\ell,r}(\mathbb{A})$ and $g_K \in K_{\ell+r}$ be the Iwasawa decomposition of $g \in \operatorname{GL}_{\ell+r}(\mathbb{A})$. This bijection is given by mapping $\varphi_{\tau} \in \operatorname{Ind}_{P_{\ell,r}(\mathbb{A})\cap K_{\ell+r}}^{K_{\ell+r}}(1\otimes \tau)|_{P_{\ell,r}(\mathbb{A})\cap K_{\ell+r}}$ to $f_{\varphi_{\tau,s}}$:

$$f_{\varphi,s}(g) = \delta_{P_{\ell,r}}(g_P) \left(1 \otimes \tau\right) (g_P) \varphi_{\tau}(g_K).$$

A section of the form $f_{\varphi_{\tau,s}}(g)$ is called a standard section (when restricted to the maximal compact subgroup, it does not depend on s). The space of holomorphic sections equals to the space of all linear combinations of standard sections over $\mathbb{C}[q_{\nu}^{-s}, q_{\nu}^{s}]$. Hence, a holomorphic section $f_{\tau,s}$ can be written as

$$f_{\tau,s} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_i(q_{\nu}^{-s}, q_{\nu}^s) f_{\varphi_{\tau}^{(i)}, s}$$

where for all $1 \leq i \leq N$, $P_i \in \mathbb{C}[q_{\nu}^{-s}, q_{\nu}^s]$ and $f_{o_{\nu}^{(i)}s}$ is a standard section.

We use similar notations over k_{ν} . Let τ_{ν} be a representation of $\operatorname{GL}_{\ell}(k_{\nu})$. Consider, for a complex number s, the normalized parabolic induction

$$\rho_{\tau_{\nu},s} = \operatorname{Ind}_{P_{\ell,r}(k_{\nu})}^{\operatorname{GL}_{\ell+r}(k_{\nu})}(\tau_{\nu})\,\delta_{P_{\ell,r}}^{s},$$

where $\delta_{P_{\ell,r}}$ is the modulus character of $P_{\ell,r}(k_{\nu})$. We use analogous notation realize the space of $\rho_{\tau_{\nu,s}}$ as functions $f_{\tau_{\nu,s}}$ from $U_{\ell,r}(k_{\nu}) \setminus \operatorname{GL}_{\ell+r}(k_{\nu})$ to $V_{\tau_{\nu}}$. Similarly we can write a holomorphic section $f_{\tau_{\nu,s}}$ as

$$f_{\tau_{\nu,s}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_i(q_{\nu}^{-s}, q_{\nu}^s) f_{\varphi_{i,\nu},s}, \qquad (1.13)$$

where for all $1 \leq i \leq N$, P_i is holomorphic function in $q_{\nu}^{\pm s}$ (if ν is non-Archimedean then $P_i \in \mathbb{C}[q_{\nu}^{-s}, q_{\nu}^s]$) and $f_{\varphi_{i,\nu},s}$ is a standard section in $\rho_{\tau_{\nu},s}$.

We consider smooth, holomorphic sections $s \mapsto f_{\tau,s}$ of the normalized parabolic induction $\rho_{\tau,s}$. We denote by $E_{\ell+r}(f_{\tau,s})$ the Eisenstein series on $\operatorname{GL}_{\ell+r}(\mathbb{A})$, attached to $f_{\tau,s}$. For $\Re(s)$ sufficiently large, it is given by the following (absolutely convergent) series

$$\mathbf{E}_{\ell+r}\left(f_{\tau,s}\right)(h) = \sum_{\gamma \in P_{\ell,r}(k) \setminus \mathrm{GL}_{\ell+r}(k)} f_{\tau,s}\left(\gamma h\right).$$

1.3.3 Kronecker product

Let F be a field. We realize the tensor product map $t_F : \operatorname{GL}_{\ell}(F) \times \operatorname{GL}_{r}(F) \to \operatorname{GL}_{\ell r}(F)$ as follows. Let h and g be two square matrices of sizes ℓ and r, respectively. Then, $t_F(h,g)$ is the ℓr square block matrix

$$t_F(h,g) = \begin{pmatrix} h_{1,1}g & \cdots & h_{1,\ell}g \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ h_{\ell,1}g & \cdots & h_{\ell,\ell}g \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (1.14)$$

where $h = (h_{i,j})_{1 \le i,j \le \ell}$.

By eq. (1.14) we immediately get that ker $t_F = \{(\lambda I_\ell, \lambda^{-1} I_r) | \lambda \in F^{\times}\}$. Therefore,

$$T_{\ell,r}(F) := \operatorname{Im} t_F \cong F^{\times} \setminus (\operatorname{GL}_{\ell}(F) \times \operatorname{GL}_r(F)).$$
(1.15)

It is convenient to simply denote $t_F = t$.

We denote the transpose of the matrix X by X^T . For a square matrix Y, we denote its determinant by |Y|. If Y is also invertible we set $Y^* := (Y^T)^{-1}$. The Kronecker product satisfies

$$t(h,g) = t(h,I_r)t(I_\ell,g) = t(I_\ell,g)t(h,I_r),$$
(1.16)

$$(t(h,g))^T = t(h^T, g^T), \ |t(h,g)| = |h|^r |g|^\ell, \ \text{and} \ (t(h,g))^* = t(h^*, g^*).$$
 (1.17)

2 Proof of Theorem 1 - global theory

In this section we prove the global unfolding part of Theorem 1. First, we note that the integral of eq. (1.1) is absolutely convergent. This is due to the rapid decrease of the cusp form, the moderate growth of the Eisenstein series and the fact that the domain of integration is of finite measure.

We start with unfolding the Eisenstein series $E_{mn}(f_{\omega_{\pi},s})$ for $\Re(s) >> 0$ in eq. (1.1), where it is defined by

$$E_{mn}(f_{\omega_{\pi},s})(t(h,g)) = \sum_{\varepsilon \in P_{mn-1,1}(k) \setminus \operatorname{GL}_{mn}(k)} f_{\omega_{\pi},s}(\varepsilon t(h,g)).$$
(2.1)

The group $T_{m,n}(k)$ acts on the set of cosets $P_{mn-1,1}(k) \setminus \operatorname{GL}_{mn}(k)$ from the right. We split the sum as

$$E_{mn}(f_{\omega_{\pi},s})(t(h,g)) = \sum_{\varepsilon \in P_{mn-1,1}(k) \setminus \operatorname{GL}_{mn}(k)/T_{m,n}(k)} \sum_{\gamma \in Q^{\varepsilon}(k) \setminus T_{m,n}(k)} f_{\omega_{\pi},s}(\varepsilon \gamma t(h,g)),$$
(2.2)

where $Q^{\varepsilon} := P^{\varepsilon}_{mn-1,1} \cap T_{m,n}$ and $P^{\varepsilon}_{mn-1,1} := \varepsilon^{-1} P_{mn-1,1} \varepsilon$.

In Section 2.1 we show that the set $P_{mn-1,1}(k) \setminus \operatorname{GL}_{mn}(k)/T_{m,n}(k)$ is finite and find an explicit set of representatives. In Section 2.2 we find for each representative ε , the stabilizer Q^{ε} . In Section 2.3 we show that the representative corresponding to the open cell is the only one that contributes to the integral eq. (1.1). Then, we rewrite the integral in eq. (1.1) in the form of an Eisenstein series on $\operatorname{GL}_{mn}(\mathbb{A})$ attached to a section $\xi(f_{\omega_{\pi},s},\varphi_{\pi})$ which we write explicitly.

2.1 The double cosets $P_{mn-1,1}(k) \setminus \operatorname{GL}_{mn}(k) / T_{m,n}(k)$

As mentioned above, in this section we show that the set $P_{mn-1,1}(k) \setminus \operatorname{GL}_{mn}(k)/T_{m,n}(k)$ is finite and find an explicit set of representatives. Namely, we prove

Theorem 5. There are exactly n double cosets in $P_{mn-1,1}(k) \setminus \operatorname{GL}_{mn}(k)/T_{m,n}(k)$. We list the following set of representatives: for $0 \le r \le n-1$

$$\varepsilon_r := \begin{pmatrix} I_{(m-r)n-1} & & \\ & & I_{rn} \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_{(m-r)n-1} & 0 & 0 \\ & & 1 & \frac{b_r}{I_{rn}} \end{pmatrix},$$
(2.3)

where $\underline{b_r} := \left(e_{n-1}^T, e_{n-2}^T, \dots, e_{n-r}^T\right).$

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 5.

We begin as follows. Using the Bruhat decomposition in $GL_{mn}(k)$, we have

$$GL_{mn}(k) = \bigcup_{1 \le j \le mn} \bigcup_{u \in U_{mn}^{(j)}(k)} P_{mn-1,1}(k) w_j u T_{m,n}(k),$$
(2.4)

where $U_{mn}^{(j)}(k) := w_j^{-1} P_{mn-1,1}(k) w_j \cap U_{mn}(k) \setminus U_{mn}(k)$, and for $1 \le j \le mn$, w_j are the representatives of $\begin{pmatrix} W_{mn-1} \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \setminus W_{mn}$, i.e. (I_{i-1})

$$w_j = \begin{pmatrix} I_{j-1} & & \\ & I_{mn-j} \\ & 1 & \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2.5)

The following lemma gives an explicit form of the representatives in eq. (2.4).

Lemma 2.1. Let $1 \le j \le mn$. The elements

$$u_j(\underline{v_j}) := \begin{pmatrix} I_{j-1} & 0 & 0 \\ & 1 & \underline{v_j} \\ & & I_{mn-j} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \underline{v_j} \in k^{mn-j}.$$

form a set of representatives of $U_{mn}^{(j)}(k)$.

Proof. Let

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} u_{j-1} & X_1 & X_2 \\ & 1 & \underline{v_j} \\ & & u_{mn-j} \end{pmatrix} \in U_{mn}(k).$$

Then,

$$w_{j} \begin{pmatrix} u_{j-1} & X_{1} & X_{2} \\ & 1 & \underline{v_{j}} \\ & & u_{mn-j} \end{pmatrix} w_{j}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{j-1} & X_{2} & X_{1} \\ & u_{mn-j} & 0 \\ & \underline{v_{j}} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, $w_j u w_j^{-1} \in P_{mn-1,1}(k)$ iff $\underline{v_j} = \underline{0}$. This gives

$$U_{mn}(k) \cap w_j^{-1} P_{mn-1,1}(k) w_j = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u_{j-1} & X_1 & X_2 \\ & 1 & 0 \\ & & u_{mn-j} \end{pmatrix} \in U_{mn}(k) \right\}$$

and the lemma follows.

We denote

$$C_j(\underline{v_j}) := P_{mn-1,1}(k)w_ju_j(\underline{v_j})T_{m,n}(k)$$

In this notation we can rewrite the decomposition in eq. (2.4) as follows.

$$\operatorname{GL}_{mn}(k) = \bigcup_{1 \le j \le mn} \bigcup_{\underline{v}_j \in k^{mn-j}} C_j(\underline{v}_j).$$
(2.6)

We now note that in particular, for r = 0 we have $\varepsilon_0 = I_{mn}$ and $C_{mn}(\underline{b}_0) = P_{mn-1,1}(k)T_{m,n}(k)$. For $0 \le r \le n-1$ the representative ε_r corresponds to the double coset $C_{(m-r)n}(\underline{b}_r)$. Therefore, Theorem 5 reduces the decomposition in eq. (2.6) to the disjoint union

$$\operatorname{GL}_{mn}(k) = \bigcup_{0 \le r \le n-1} C_{(m-r)n}(\underline{b_r}).$$
(2.7)

We continue by viewing the first row of $u_1(\underline{v}_1)$ as m vectors in k^n , $(a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in k^{mn}$, where $a_j \in k^n$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $a_1 = (1, a'_1)$ with $a'_1 \in k^{n-1}$. Denote

$$R_{m,n}(\underline{v_1}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_m \end{pmatrix} \in M_{m,n}(k).$$

Denote also $u_1(R_{m,n}(\underline{v_1})) := u_1(\underline{v_1})$. The following lemma describes the orbit of the right action of $H_{1,m-1}(k) \otimes H_{1,n-1}(k)$ on the coset $P_{mn-1,1}(k)w_1u_1(R_{m,n}(\underline{v_1}))$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $h \in H_{1,m-1}(k)$ and $g \in H_{1,n-1}(k)$. Then,

$$P_{mn-1,1}(k)w_1u_1\left(R_{m,n}(\underline{v_1})\right)t(h,g) = P_{mn-1,1}(k)w_1u_1\left(h^T R_{m,n}(\underline{v_1})g\right).$$
(2.8)

Proof. By the Levi decomposition, we can write $h = h_U h_S$ and $g = g_U g_S$. We denote

$$h_U := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & I_{m-1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad g_U := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y \\ 0 & I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Box$$

where $x = (x_2, \dots, x_m)$, $y = (y_2, \dots, y_n)$, and $h_{\mathbf{S}} := \text{diag}(1, h')$, $g_{\mathbf{S}} := \text{diag}(1, g')$, where $h' = (h_{i,j})_{2 \le i,j \le m} \in \text{GL}_{m-1}(k)$, $g' = (g_{i,j})_{2 \le i,j \le n} \in \text{GL}_{n-1}(k)$. We have

$$t(h,g) = t(h_U, I_n) t(I_m, g_U) t(h_S, I_n) t(I_m, g_S).$$
(2.9)

We first prove eq. (2.8) for each one of the matrices on the right hand side of eq. (2.9).

Case $t(h_U, I_n)$:

$$u_1(R_{m,n}(\underline{v_1}))t(h_U, I_n) = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & \dots & A_m \\ & I_n & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & & I_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & x_2I_n & \dots & x_mI_n \\ & I_n & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & I_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a'_1 \\ 0 & I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{GL}_n(k) \quad \text{and} \quad \forall 2 \le i \le m, \ A_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_n(k).$$

Thus,

$$u_1(R_{m,n}(\underline{v_1})) t(h_U, I_n) = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & x_2A_1 + A_2 & \dots & x_mA_1 + A_m \\ I_n & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & I_n \end{pmatrix} = u_1(h_U^T R_{m,n}(\underline{v_1})).$$

Case
$$t((I_m, g_U))$$
:

$$u_1\left(R_{m,n}(\underline{v_1})\right)t(I_m,g_U) = \operatorname{diag}(I_n,g_U,\ldots,g_U)\begin{pmatrix}A_1g_U & A_2g_U & \ldots & A_mg_U\\ & I_n & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & I_n\end{pmatrix}.$$

By Lemma 2.1 diag $(I_n, g_U, \ldots, g_U) \in w_1^{-1} P_{mn-1,1}(k) w_1 \cap U_{mn}(k)$. Therefore,

$$P_{mn-1,1}(k)w_1u_1\left(R_{m,n}(\underline{v_1})\right)t(I_m,g_U) = P_{mn-1,1}(k)w_1u_1\left(R_{m,n}(\underline{v_1})g_U\right).$$

Case $t(h_S, I_n)$:

$$u_1(R_{m,n}(\underline{v_1})) t(h_{\rm S}, I_n) = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & \dots & A_m \\ & I_n & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & I_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & h_{2,2}I_n & \dots & h_{2,m}I_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & h_{m,2}I_n & & h_{m,m}I_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

We get that,

$$u_{1}\left(R_{m,n}(\underline{v_{1}})\right)t(h_{\mathrm{S}},I_{n}) = t(h_{\mathrm{S}},I_{n})\begin{pmatrix}A_{1} & \sum_{j=2}^{m} h_{j,2}A_{j} & \dots & \sum_{j=2}^{m} h_{j,m}A_{j}\\ I_{n} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & I_{n}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$u_1\left(R_{m,n}(\underline{v_1})\right)t(h_{\mathrm{S}}, I_n) = t(h_{\mathrm{S}}, I_n)u_1\left(h_{\mathrm{S}}^T R_{m,n}(\underline{v_1})\right)$$

Case $t(I_m, g_S)$:

$$u_1(R_{m,n}(\underline{v_1}))t(I_m, g_{\rm S}) = t(I_m, g_{\rm S}) \begin{pmatrix} g_{\rm S}^{-1}A_1g_{\rm S} & g_{\rm S}^{-1}A_2g_{\rm S} & \dots & g_{\rm S}^{-1}A_mg_{\rm S} \\ & I_n & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & I_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Again, by the fact that the first column of $g_{\rm S}$ is $(1, 0, \ldots, 0) \in k^n$ and for $1 \le i \le m$, only the first row of A_i is nonzero, we have $g_{\rm S}^{-1}A_ig_{\rm S} = A_ig_{\rm S}$. Thus,

$$u_1\left(R_{m,n}(\underline{v_1})\right)t(I_m,g_{\rm S})=t(I_m,g_{\rm S})u_1\left(R_{m,n}(\underline{v_1})g_{\rm S}\right).$$

Let D be one of the matrices $t(h_{\rm S}, I_n)$ or $t(I_m, g_{\rm S})$. Then, by the fact that the first column of D is $(1, 0, \ldots, 0) \in k^{mn}$, we have $w_1 D w_1^{-1} \in P_{mn-1,1}(k)$. Hence, eq. (2.8) is true for the last two cases as well.

All in all, together with eq. (2.9), we get

$$P_{mn-1,1}(k)w_1u_1\left(R_{m,n}(\underline{v_1})\right)t(h,g) = P_{mn-1,1}(k)w_1u_1\left(h_{\rm S}^T h_U^T R_{m,n}(\underline{v_1})g_U g_{\rm S}\right)$$

and the lemma follows.

Next, we use Lemma 2.2 to show that for each $\underline{v_1} \in k^{mn-1}$, the double coset $C_1(\underline{v_1})$ equals to one of the double cosets in eq. (2.7).

Lemma 2.3. There exists $0 \le r \le n-1$ such that $C_1(\underline{v_1}) = C_{(m-r)n}(\underline{b_r})$.

Proof. Let $r := \operatorname{rk}(R_{m,n}(\underline{v_1})) - 1$, i.e. the dimension of the space spanned by a_1, \ldots, a_m minus 1. There exist $h_0 \in \operatorname{GL}_m(k)$ and $g_0 \in \operatorname{GL}_n(k)$ such that $h_0^T R_{m,n}(\underline{v_1})g_0 = R_{m,n}(b'_r)$, where b'_r corresponds to

$$R_{m,n}(\underline{b'_r}) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{r+1} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In fact, in order to preserve the 1 at the top left corner of $R_{m,n}(\underline{v_1})$, we must have $h_0 \in H_{1,m-1}(k)$ and $g_0 \in H_{1,n-1}(k)$. Hence, by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$C_1(\underline{v_1}) = P_{mn-1,1}(k)w_1u_1\left(R_{m,n}(\underline{v_1})\right)t(h_0, g_0)T_{m,n}(k) = C_1(\underline{b'_n}).$$

Now,

$$C_1(\underline{b'_r}) = P_{mn-1,1}(k)w_1 E\left(E^{-1}u_1\left(\underline{b'_r}\right)E\right)T_{m,n}(k),$$

where

$$E := t \left(\begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & I_{m-r-2} & & \\ 1 & & & \\ & & & I_r \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ 1 & & & \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

On the one hand, $E^{-1}u_1\left(\underline{b'_r}\right)E = u_{(m-r)n}\left(\underline{b_r}\right)$, and on the other, $P_{mn-1,1}(k)w_1E = P_{mn-1,1}(k)w_{(m-r)n}$. Thus, $C_1(\underline{b'_r}) = C_{(m-r)n}(\underline{b_r})$ as requested.

Now, we conclude that for each $1 \leq j \leq mn$ and $\underline{v_j} \in k^{mn-j}$, the double coset $C_j(v_j)$ equals to one of the double cosets in eq. (2.7).

Lemma 2.4. Let $1 \leq j \leq mn$ and $\underline{v_j} \in k^{mn-j}$. There exists $0 \leq r \leq n-1$ such that $C_j(\underline{v_j}) = C_{(m-r)n}(\underline{b_r})$.

Proof. If j = 1 then by Lemma 2.3 there exists $0 \le r \le n-1$ such that $C_1(\underline{v_1}) = C_{(m-r)n}(\underline{b_r})$ as requested. For all other cases $1 < j \le mn$, we denote $j = \alpha n + \beta$, where $0 \le \alpha \le m-1$ and $1 \le \beta \le n$. We have

$$C_j(\underline{v_j}) = P_{mn-1,1}(k)w_j E\left(E^{-1}u_j\left(\underline{v_j}\right)E\right)T_{m,n}(k),$$

where

$$E := t \left(\begin{pmatrix} I_{\alpha} \\ 1 \\ & I_{m-\alpha-1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} I_{\beta-1} \\ 1 \\ & I_{n-\beta} \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

On the one hand, $E^{-1}u_j(\underline{v_j})E = u_1(\underline{v_1})$, where $\underline{v_1} = (0, \underline{v_j}) \in k^{mn-1}$, and on the other, $P_{mn-1,1}(k)w_jE = P_{mn-1,1}(k)w_1$. Thus, $C_j(\underline{v_j}) = C_1(\underline{v_1})$, and the proof follows from the j = 1 case.

Lemma 2.4 covers all the possibilities for the double cosets that appear in eq. (2.6). i.e.

$$\bigcup_{1 \le j \le mn} \bigcup_{\underline{v}_j \in k^{mn-j}} C_j(\underline{v}_j) = \bigcup_{0 \le r \le n-1} C_{(m-r)n}(\underline{b}_r).$$

It is left to show that the double cosets in eq. (2.7) are pairwise disjoint. This is done in following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. Let $0 \le r \ne \ell \le n-1$ be two integers. Then, $C_{(m-r)n}(\underline{b_r}) \ne C_{(m-\ell)n}(\underline{b_\ell})$. *Proof.* Assume that $C_{(m-r)n}(\underline{b_r}) = C_{(m-\ell)n}(\underline{b_\ell})$. i.e.,

$$P_{mn-1,1}(k)w_{(m-r)n}u_{(m-r)n}\left(\underline{b_r}\right)T_{m,n}(k) = P_{mn-1,1}(k)w_{(m-\ell)n}u_{(m-\ell)n}\left(\underline{b_\ell}\right)T_{m,n}(k).$$
(2.10)

We show that $r = \ell$. Equation (2.10) gives that there exist $p \in P_{mn-1,1}(k)$, $h \in \operatorname{GL}_m(k)$, and $g \in \operatorname{GL}_n(k)$ such that

$$w_{(m-\ell)n}^{-1} p w_{(m-r)n} u_{(m-r)n} \left(\underline{b_r}\right) = u_{(m-\ell)n} \left(\underline{b_\ell}\right) t(h,g).$$
(2.11)

Denote

$$p := \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & \underline{y_1} \\ A_3 & A_4 & \underline{y_2} \\ 0 & 0 & d \end{pmatrix},$$

where A_4 is a ℓn by rn matrix and $d \in k^{\times}$. Then, the left hand side of eq. (2.11) equals

$$w_{(m-\ell)n}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & \underline{y_1} \\ A_3 & A_4 & \underline{y_2} \\ 0 & 0 & d \end{pmatrix} w_{(m-r)n} u_{(m-r)n} \left(\underline{b_r} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & \underline{y_1} & \underline{y_1} \cdot \underline{b_r} + A_2 \\ 0 & d & d\underline{b_r} \\ A_3 & \underline{y_2} & \underline{y_2} \cdot \underline{b_r} + A_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2.12)

Denote $h = (h_{i,j})_{1 \le i,j \le m}$ and $g = (g_{i,j})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$. Then, the right hand side of eq. (2.11) equals

$$u_{(m-\ell)n}\left(\underline{b}_{\ell}\right)t(h,g) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{(m-\ell)n-1} & 0 & 0\\ & 1 & \underline{b}_{\ell}\\ & & I_{\ell n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_{1,1}g & \cdots & h_{1,\ell}g\\ \vdots & & \vdots\\ h_{\ell,1}g & \cdots & h_{\ell,\ell}g \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2.13)

We see that for $1 \le i \le (m-r)n - 1$ the coordinates ((m-r)n, i) of the matrix in the right hand side of eq. (2.12) are all zero. Hence, by comparing eqs. (2.12) and (2.13), we get the following system of equations. For all $1 \le j \le n$ and all $1 \le j' \le m-r-1$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\ell} h_{m-\ell+i,j'} g_{n-i,j} = 0.$$

The rows of g are linearly independent, so we conclude that for $m - \ell \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq m - r - 1$ we have $h_{i,j} = 0$. This gives

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix},$$

where $D \in M_{\ell+1,r+1}(k)$. i.e. D has $\ell + 1$ linearly independent rows in k^{r+1} . This implies $\ell \leq r$. From symmetry of r and ℓ in eq. (2.10) we get that $r \leq \ell$ as well. Thus, $r = \ell$ as requested.

2.2 The stabilizers

In this section we compute $Q^{\varepsilon_r} = P_{mn-1,1}^{\varepsilon_r} \cap T_{m,n}$ for all $0 \le r < n$, where ε_r are the different representatives in eq. (2.3). We denote the following maximal parabolic subgroups of $\operatorname{GL}_m(k)$ and $\operatorname{GL}_n(k)$ by $P_{r+1}^m := P_{m-r-1,r+1}(k)$ and $P_{r+1}^n := P_{n-r-1,r+1}(k)$, respectively. Consider the following subgroup of $t\left(P_{r+1}^m(k), P_{r+1}^n(k)\right) \le T_{m,n}(k)$,

$$t_{\Delta}\left(P_{r+1}^{m}(k), P_{r+1}^{n}(k)\right) := \left\{ t\left(\begin{pmatrix} A & B\\ 0 & \lambda d^{*} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & b\\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \right) \in T_{m,n}(k) \middle| d \in \mathrm{GL}_{r+1}(k), \ \lambda \in k^{\times} \right\},$$

such that $d^* := \tilde{w}_r^{-1} (d^T)^{-1} \tilde{w}_r$, where

$$\tilde{w}_r = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ & \ddots & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{GL}_r(k).$$

Proposition 2.6. Let $0 \le r \le n-1$. Then, $Q^{\varepsilon_r}(k) = t_{\Delta} \left(P_{r+1}^m(k), P_{r+1}^n(k) \right)$.

Before proving Proposition 2.6, we need the following two lemmas. Generally, we have,

Lemma 2.7. Let V, W be vector spaces of dimension ℓ over k, with bases $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell\}$ and $\{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_\ell\}$, respectively. Let $B: V \times W \to k$ be a non-degenerate bilinear form, such that $B(v_i, w_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq \ell$. Let $S: V \to V$ and $R: W \to W$ be linear transformations. Then, for $\alpha \in k$,

$$(S \otimes R) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} v_j \otimes w_j \right) = \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} v_j \otimes w_j$$
(2.14)

iff $S \circ R^T = \alpha \cdot id_V$. Therefore, in case $\alpha \neq 0$, eq. (2.14) holds iff S, R are invertible and $S = \alpha (R^T)^{-1}$, where $R^T : V \to V$ is the transformation adjoint to R via the non-degenerate bilinear form B.

Proof. The bilinear form B defines an isomorphism $\iota : V \otimes W \to \operatorname{Hom}_k(V, V)$ by sending $v \otimes w$ to the linear map $\iota(v \otimes w) = i_{v \otimes w} : V \to V$ defined by $i_{v \otimes w}(x) = B(x, w)v$. Under this isomorphism, the inverse image of id_V is $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} v_j \otimes w_j$. We have $(S \circ i_{v \otimes w})(x) = B(x, w)S(v) = i_{S(v) \otimes w}$, and $(i_{v \otimes w} \circ S)(x) = B(S(x), w)v = B(x, S^T(w))v = i_{v \otimes S^T(w)}$. Thus, we get that $S \otimes \operatorname{id}_W$ corresponds to postcomposition by S, and that $\operatorname{id}_V \otimes R$ corresponds to precomposition by R^T . Hence, eq. (2.14) is equivalent under the isomorphism ι to $S \circ R^T = \alpha \cdot \operatorname{id}_V$, as requested.

We now make use of Lemma 2.7 to prove the following lemma,

Lemma 2.8. Let $h \in GL_m(k)$, $g \in GL_n(k)$, and

$$\underline{v} := (0_n, \dots, 0_n, e_n^T, e_{n-1}^T, \dots, e_{n-r}^T)$$
(2.15)

where 0_n is the row vector of n zeros (it appears m - r - 1 times in \underline{v}).

Then, there is $\lambda \in k^{\times}$ such that $\underline{v}t(h,g) = \lambda \underline{v}$ iff $t(h,g) \in t_{\Delta}\left(P_{r+1}^{m}(k), P_{r+1}^{n}(k)\right)$.

Proof. Let $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m\}$ and $\{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n\}$ be the bases of standard row vectors of k^m and k^n , respectively. We take the basis of $k^m \otimes k^n$ in lexicographic order, i.e.

$$\{v_1 \otimes w_1, \dots, v_1 \otimes w_n, \dots, v_m \otimes w_1, \dots, v_m \otimes w_n\}.$$
 (2.16)

We have

$$\underline{v} = \sum_{j=0}^{r} v_{m-j} \otimes w_{n-r+j}.$$

Now, we write

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, \qquad g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix},$$

where $D \in M_{r+1}(k)$ and $d \in M_{r+1}(k)$. Denote $E_0 = \text{Sp}\{v_{m-r}, \ldots, v_m\}, E'_0 = \text{Sp}\{w_{n-r}, \ldots, w_n\}, E_1 = \text{Sp}\{v_1, \ldots, v_{m-r-1}\}, \text{ and } E'_1 = \text{Sp}\{w_1, \ldots, w_{n-r-1}\}.$ The matrices C, D, and c, d act from right on the space k^{r+1} . Let us denote the corresponding linear transformations T_C, T_c, T_D and T_d , respectively, such that

$$T_C: E_0 \to E_1, \qquad T_D: E_0 \to E_0,$$

$$T_c: E'_0 \to E'_1, \qquad T_d: E'_0 \to E'_0.$$

With this notation, the equation $\underline{v}t(h,g) = \lambda \underline{v}$ takes the form

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r} \left(T_C \left(v_{m-j} \right) + T_D \left(v_{m-j} \right) \right) \otimes \left(T_c \left(w_{n-r+j} \right) + T_d \left(w_{n-r+j} \right) \right) = \lambda \sum_{j=0}^{r} v_{m-j} \otimes w_{n-r+j}.$$

The tensor product is bilinear, $\underline{v} \in E_0 \otimes E'_0$ and we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r} T_{C}(v_{m-j}) \otimes T_{c}(w_{n-r+j}) \in E_{1} \otimes E_{1}',$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r} T_{C}(v_{m-j}) \otimes T_{d}(w_{n-r+j}) \in E_{1} \otimes E_{0}',$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r} T_{D}(v_{m-j}) \otimes T_{c}(w_{n-r+j}) \in E_{0} \otimes E_{1}',$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r} T_{D}(v_{m-j}) \otimes T_{d}(w_{n-r+j}) \in E_{0} \otimes E_{0}'.$$
(2.17)

We conclude, by comparing the coefficients of the basis, that eq. (2.17) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases}
\sum_{j=0}^{r} T_{C}(v_{m-j}) \otimes T_{c}(w_{n-r+j}) = 0, \\
\sum_{j=0}^{r} T_{C}(v_{m-j}) \otimes T_{d}(w_{n-r+j}) = 0, \\
\sum_{j=0}^{r} T_{D}(v_{m-j}) \otimes T_{c}(w_{n-r+j}) = 0, \\
\sum_{j=0}^{r} T_{D}(v_{m-j}) \otimes T_{d}(w_{n-r+j}) = \lambda \sum_{j=0}^{r} v_{m-j} \otimes w_{n-r+j}.
\end{cases}$$
(2.18)

We apply Lemma 2.7 by taking $V = E_0$, $W = E'_0$, $\ell = r + 1$, $S = T_D$, $R = T_d$. We identify E_0 and E'_0 with k^{r+1} by the linear isomorphisms ψ_{E_0} and $\psi_{E'_0}$, defined by $\psi_{E_0}(v_{m-j}) = e_{j+1}$ and $\psi_{E'_0}(w_{n-r+j}) = e_{j+1}$ for all $0 \le j \le r$, where $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{r+1}\}$ is the standard basis of k^{r+1} . Then, $T_D(v) = \psi_{E_0}^{-1}(D\psi_{E_0}(v))$ for all $v \in E_0$ and $T_d(w) = \psi_{E'_0}^{-1}(d\psi_{E'_0}(w))$ for all $w \in E'_0$. Now, B in Lemma 2.7 is given by

$$B(v,w) = (\psi_{E_0}(v))^T \tilde{w}_r \psi_{E'_0}(w),$$

where $v \in E_0$ and $w \in E'_0$. Therefore, we have

$$B(T_D(v), w) = (\psi_{E_0}(v))^T D^T \tilde{w}_r \psi_{E'_0}(w) = (\psi_{E_0}(v))^T \tilde{w}_r \tilde{w}_r^{-1} D^T \tilde{w}_r \psi_{E'_0}(w).$$

The matrix $\tilde{D} := \tilde{w}_r^{-1} D^T \tilde{w}_r$ defines a linear transformation $T_{\tilde{D}}$, such that, $T_{\tilde{D}}(w) = \psi_{E'_0}^{-1}(\tilde{D}\psi_{E'_0}(w))$ for all $w \in E'_0$. Thus,

$$B(T_D(v), w) = B\left(v, \psi_{E'_0}^{-1}\left(\tilde{D}\psi_{E'_0}(w)\right)\right) = B\left(v, T_{\tilde{D}}(w)\right)$$

Hence, $T_D^T(w) = T_{\tilde{D}}(w)$. By Lemma 2.7, the last equation in eq. (2.18) is equivalent to $T_D \circ T_d^T = \lambda \operatorname{id}_{E_0}$ (by taking $\alpha = \lambda$), i.e. T_D and T_d are invertible, and $T_D = \lambda (T_d^T)^{-1} = \lambda T_{\tilde{d}}^{-1}$. So,

$$D = \lambda \tilde{d}^{-1} = \lambda \tilde{w}_r^{-1} (d^T)^{-1} \tilde{w}_r = \lambda d^*,$$

as requested. Similarly, by Lemma 2.7 (where we take $\alpha = 0$), the second and the third equations in eq. (2.18) are equivalent to $T_D \circ T_d^T = 0$ and $T_D \circ T_c^T = 0$. These last equations, in turn, are equivalent to say that both T_C and T_c are zero transformations, as T_d and T_D are invertible. i.e. C = 0 and c = 0, as requested.

Proof of Proposition 2.6. We first note that

$$Q^{\varepsilon_r} = P_{mn-1,1}^{\varepsilon_r} \cap T_{m,n} = \left(P_{mn-1,1} \cap T_{m,n}^{\varepsilon_r^{-1}}\right)^{\varepsilon_r}.$$

So, we find $P_{mn-1,1}(k) \cap T_{m,n}(k)^{\varepsilon_r^{-1}}$ and conjugate the result by ε_r . Let $X \in P_{mn-1,1}(k) \cap T_{m,n}(k)^{\varepsilon_r^{-1}}$. The condition $X \in T_{m,n}(k)^{\varepsilon_r^{-1}}$ implies that there exist $h \in \operatorname{GL}_m(k)$ and $g \in \operatorname{GL}_n(k)$ such that

$$X = \varepsilon_r t(h,g) \,\varepsilon_r^{-1}. \tag{2.19}$$

On the other hand, the condition $X \in P_{mn-1,1}(k)$ yield that there exists $\lambda \in k^{\times}$ such that

$$e_{mn}^T X = \lambda e_{mn}^T, \tag{2.20}$$

where $e_{mn}^T = (0, ..., 0, 1)$. Plugging eq. (2.19) to eq. (2.20) gives

$$e_{mn}^T \varepsilon_r t(h,g) = \lambda e_{mn}^T \varepsilon_r.$$
(2.21)

Recall that by Theorem 5, $\varepsilon_r = w_{(m-r)n}u_{(m-r)n}(\underline{b_r})$, where $\underline{b_r} := (e_{n-1}^T, e_{n-2}^T, \dots, e_{n-r}^T)$. Hence, eq. (2.21) becomes,

$$e_{mn}^{T} w_{(m-r)n} u_{(m-r)n}(\underline{b_r}) t(h,g) = \lambda e_{mn}^{T} w_{(m-r)n} u_{(m-r)n}(\underline{b_r}).$$
(2.22)

Note that $e_{mn}^T w_{(m-r)n} = e_{(m-r)n}^T$ and that $e_{(m-r)n}^T u_{(m-r)n}(\underline{b_r}) = \underline{v}$, where \underline{v} is as in eq. (2.15). Therefore, eq. (2.22) can be written as

$$\underline{v}t\left(h,g\right) = \lambda \underline{v}$$

The proof now follows from Lemma 2.8.

2.3 Analysis of the contributions of the double cosets

We now plug eq. (2.2) to our construction in eq. (1.1). By Theorem 5, we get that for $\Re(s) >> 0$

$$\mathfrak{E}\left(f_{\omega_{\pi},s},\varphi_{\pi}\right)(h) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \int_{Z_{n}(\mathbb{A}) \mathrm{GL}_{n}(k) \setminus \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{A})} \varphi_{\pi}(g) \sum_{\gamma \in Q^{\varepsilon_{r}}(k) \setminus T_{m,n}(k)} f_{\omega_{\pi},s}\left(\varepsilon_{r}\gamma t\left(h,g\right)\right) dg.$$
(2.23)

For all $0 \leq r \leq n-1$ and all $n, \sum_{\gamma} |f_{\omega_{\pi,s}}(\varepsilon_r \gamma t(h,g))|$ is of moderate growth in g, φ_{π} is rapidly decreasing, and since $Z_n(\mathbb{A})\mathrm{GL}_n(k)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$ is of finite measure, the integral in eq. (2.23) is absolutely convergent. In this section, we find the contribution of each double coset to the integral eq. (2.23). In Proposition 2.9 we prove that all double cosets, except the one that corresponds to the open cell, contribute zero. We then analyze, in Proposition 2.10, the contribution of the open cell, and show that it allows us to rewrite eq. (2.23) in a form of an Eisenstein series on $\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{A})$. In Proposition 2.11 we formally show that this series is the Eisenstein series on $\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{A})$ corresponding to a section of $\mathrm{Ind}_{P_{m-n,n}(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{A})}(1 \otimes \tilde{\pi}) \delta_{P_{m-n,n}}^s$. In Proposition 2.12 we state the last argument required for completing the proof of Theorem 1.

Proposition 2.9. Let $0 \le r \le n-2$ and assume that $\Re(s) >> 0$. Then,

$$\int_{Z_n(\mathbb{A})\mathrm{GL}_n(k)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})} \varphi_{\pi}(g) \sum_{\gamma \in Q^{\varepsilon_r}(k)\backslash T_{m,n}(k)} f_{\omega_{\pi},s}\left(\varepsilon_r \gamma t\left(h,g\right)\right) dg = 0.$$
(2.24)

Proof. Recall that Proposition 2.6 gives

$$Q^{\varepsilon_r} = t_{\Delta} \left(P_{r+1}^m(k), P_{r+1}^n(k) \right) \le t \left(P_{r+1}^m(k), P_{r+1}^n(k) \right).$$

Therefore, we split the summation in eq. (2.24) as follows,

$$\sum_{\gamma \in t \left(P_{r+1}^{m}(k), P_{r+1}^{n}(k)\right) \setminus T_{m,n}(k) \gamma' \in Q^{\varepsilon_{r}}(k) \setminus t \left(P_{r+1}^{m}(k), P_{r+1}^{n}(k)\right)} f_{\omega_{\pi},s}\left(\varepsilon_{r} \gamma' \gamma t\left(h,g\right)\right).$$
(2.25)

We can write the representatives of $t\left(P_{r+1}^{m}(k), P_{r+1}^{n}(k)\right) \setminus T_{m,n}(k)$ as $\gamma = t(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2})$, where $\gamma_{1} \in P_{r+1}^{m}(k) \setminus \operatorname{GL}_{m}(k)$, and $\gamma_{2} \in P_{r+1}^{n}(k) \setminus \operatorname{GL}_{n}(k)$. Similarly, we can write the representatives of $Q^{\varepsilon_{r}}(k) \setminus t\left(P_{r+1}^{m}(k), P_{r+1}^{n}(k)\right)$ as $\gamma' = t(\gamma_{3}, I_{n})$, where

$$\gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} I_{m-r-1} & 0\\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix},$$

such that $d \in Z_{r+1}(k) \setminus \operatorname{GL}_{r+1}(k)$.

With this notation, we can write the left hand side of eq. (2.24) as follows.

$$\int_{Z_n(\mathbb{A})\mathrm{GL}_n(k)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})} \varphi_{\pi}(g) \sum_{\gamma_1} \sum_{\gamma_2} \sum_{\gamma_3} f_{\omega_{\pi},s} \left(\varepsilon_r t\left(\gamma_3 \gamma_1 h, \gamma_2 g\right)\right) dg.$$
(2.26)

By the absolute convergence of the integral, we may switch the order of the dg-integral with the summations over γ_1 and γ_3 . By the automorphic property of φ_{π} , we can write $\varphi_{\pi}(g) = \varphi_{\pi}(\gamma g)$, which allows us to collapse the sum over γ_2 and the integral. Thus, eq. (2.26) becomes

$$\sum_{\gamma_1} \sum_{\gamma_3} \int_{Z_n(\mathbb{A})P_{r+1}^n(k)\backslash \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})} \varphi_\pi(g) f_{\omega_{\pi,s}}\left(\varepsilon_r t\left(\gamma_1 \gamma_3 h, g\right)\right) dg.$$
(2.27)

We now focus on the inner integral in eq. (2.27). We unfold it using the Levi decomposition $P_{r+1}^n = S_{r+1}^n \ltimes U_{r+1}^n$,

$$\int_{Z_n(\mathbb{A})S_{r+1}^n(k)\cup U_{r+1}^n(\mathbb{A})\backslash \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})} \int_{U_{r+1}^n(k)\setminus U_{r+1}^n(\mathbb{A})} \varphi_{\pi}(ug) f_{\omega_{\pi},s}\left(\varepsilon_r t\left(\gamma_1\gamma_3 h, ug\right)\right) dudg.$$

Notice that, $t(\gamma_1\gamma_3h, ug) = t(I_m, u) t(\gamma_1\gamma_3h, g)$. Now, $t(I_m, u)$ commutes with ε_r , as it is in the stabilizer Q^{ε_r} , and the section $f_{\omega_{\pi},s}$ is invariant under left multiplication by unipotent elements. So, we can extract an inner integration

$$\int_{U_{r+1}^n(k)\setminus U_{r+1}^n(\mathbb{A})} \varphi_{\pi}(ug) du = 0, \qquad (2.28)$$

which vanishes by the cuspidality of φ_{π} , for all $0 \leq r \leq n-2$.

Proposition 2.10. Assume that $\Re(s) >> 0$. Then,

$$\mathfrak{E}\left(f_{\omega_{\pi},s},\varphi_{\pi}\right)(h) = \sum_{\gamma \in P_{m-n,n}(k) \setminus \mathrm{GL}_{m}(k)} \xi\left(f_{\omega_{\pi},s},\varphi_{\pi}\right)(\gamma h), \qquad (2.29)$$

where

$$\xi\left(f_{\omega_{\pi},s},\varphi_{\pi}\right)(h) = \int_{Z_{n}(\mathbb{A})\backslash \operatorname{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{A})} \varphi_{\pi}(g) f_{\omega_{\pi},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(h,g\right)\right) dg.$$
(2.30)

The integral eq. (2.30) converges absolutely for $\Re(s) >> 0$.

Proof. By now, eq. (2.23) is simplified to

$$\mathfrak{E}\left(f_{\omega_{\pi},s},\varphi_{\pi}\right)(h) = \int_{Z_{n}(\mathbb{A})\mathrm{GL}_{n}(k)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{A})} \varphi_{\pi}(g) \sum_{\gamma \in Q^{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(k)\backslash T_{m,n}(k)} f_{\omega_{\pi},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}\gamma t\left(h,g\right)\right) dg, \quad (2.31)$$

where $\tilde{\varepsilon} := \varepsilon_{n-1}$, and $Q^{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(k) = t_{\Delta}(P_n^m(k), \operatorname{GL}_n(k))$. As in the beginning of the proof of Proposition 2.9, we split the summation in eq. (2.31) as follows,

$$\sum_{\gamma \in t(P_n^m(k), \operatorname{GL}_n(k)) \setminus T_{m,n}(k)} \sum_{\gamma' \in Q^{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(k) \setminus t(P_n^m(k), \operatorname{GL}_n(k))} f_{\omega_{\pi},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}\gamma'\gamma t\left(h,g\right)\right).$$
(2.32)

As in the last proof, we can write the representatives of $t(P_n^m(k), \operatorname{GL}_n(k)) \setminus T_{m,n}(k)$ as $\gamma = t(\gamma_1, I_n)$, where $\gamma_1 \in P_n^m(k) \setminus \operatorname{GL}_m(k)$. We can also write the representatives of $Q^{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(k) \setminus t(P_n^m(k), \operatorname{GL}_n(k))$ as $\gamma' = t(\gamma_3, I_n)$, where

$$\gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} I_{m-n} & 0\\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix},$$

such that $d \in Z_n(k) \setminus GL_n(k)$. With this notation, we can write eq. (2.32) as follows.

$$\int_{Z_n(\mathbb{A})\mathrm{GL}_n(k)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})} \varphi_{\pi}(g) \sum_{\gamma_1} \sum_{\gamma_3} f_{\omega_{\pi},s} \left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t \left(\gamma_3 \gamma_1 h, g \right) \right) dg.$$
(2.33)

Let

$$(\gamma_3^{-1})^* := \begin{pmatrix} I_{m-n} & 0\\ 0 & (d^{-1})^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, $f_{\omega_{\pi},s}$ is invariant under left multiplication by the element $t\left(\gamma_3^{-1}, \left(\gamma_3^{-1}\right)^*\right)$, which also commutes with $\tilde{\varepsilon}$. So,

$$f_{\omega_{\pi},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(\gamma_{3}\gamma_{1}h,g\right)\right) = f_{\omega_{\pi},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(\gamma_{1}h,\left(\gamma_{3}^{-1}\right)^{*}g\right)\right).$$

As in the last proof, we switch the order of the dg-integration with the summation over γ_1 , and then collapse the sum over γ_3 and the integral. Thus, eq. (2.33) gets a form of an Eisenstein series. i.e.

$$\mathfrak{E}\left(f_{\omega_{\pi},s},\varphi_{\pi}\right)(h) = \sum_{\gamma_{1}\in P_{n}^{m}(k)\backslash\operatorname{GL}_{m}(k)} \int_{Z_{n}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\operatorname{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{A})} \varphi_{\pi}(g)f_{\omega_{\pi},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(\gamma_{1}h,g\right)\right)dg.$$
(2.34)

By writing $\gamma_1 = \gamma$ we get the requested result. We note that for $\Re(s) >> 0$,

$$\sum_{\gamma_{1}\in P_{n}^{m}(k)\backslash \mathrm{GL}_{m}(k)_{Z_{n}}(\mathbb{A})\backslash \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{A})} \int_{(\mathbb{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{A})} |\varphi_{\pi}(g)f_{\omega_{\pi},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(\gamma_{1}h,g\right)\right)| dg < \infty$$

The integral in eq. (2.30) lies in the space of $\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{m-n,n}(\mathbb{A})}^{\operatorname{GL}_m(\mathbb{A})}(1 \otimes \tilde{\pi}) \delta^s_{P_{m-n,n}}$. The next proposition prove this fact at the formal level. The precise meaning of this integral is shown in Section 3.

Proposition 2.11. Assume that $\Re(s) >> 0$. Let $p \in P_{m-n,n}(\mathbb{A})$ be of the form

$$p = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ & D \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A \in \operatorname{GL}_{m-n}(\mathbb{A})$, $D \in \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$ and $B \in \operatorname{M}_{m-n,n}(\mathbb{A})$. Then

$$\xi\left(f_{\omega_{\pi},s},\varphi_{\pi}\right)\left(ph\right) = \delta_{P_{m-n,n}}^{s+1/2}\left(p\right) \int_{Z_{n}(\mathbb{A})\backslash \operatorname{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{A})} \varphi_{\pi}(D^{*}g) f_{\omega_{\pi},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(h,g\right)\right) dg.$$
(2.35)

Proof. We begin by the variables change $g \mapsto D^*g$.

$$\xi\left(f_{\omega_{\pi},s},\varphi_{\pi}\right)(ph) = \int_{Z_{n}(\mathbb{A})\backslash \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{A})} \varphi_{\pi}(D^{*}g) f_{\omega_{\pi},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(ph,D^{*}g\right)\right) dg$$

By the definition of $Q^{\tilde{\varepsilon}}$, the matrix $t(p, D^*)$ conjugated by $\tilde{\varepsilon}$, is an element in $P_{mn-1,1}$. Hence, we denote it by

$$\begin{pmatrix} R & Y \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} := \tilde{\varepsilon}t(p, D^*)\,\tilde{\varepsilon}^{-1},$$

where $R \in \operatorname{GL}_{mn-1}(\mathbb{A})$, $Y \in k^{mn-1}$, and $\alpha \in k^{\times}$.

$$\xi \left(f_{\omega_{\pi},s}, \varphi_{\pi} \right) \left(ph \right) = \omega_{\pi}^{-1}(\alpha) \delta_{P_{mn-1,1}}^{s+1/2} \left(\begin{pmatrix} R & Y \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \right)$$
$$\cdot \int_{Z_{n}(\mathbb{A}) \setminus \operatorname{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{A})} \varphi_{\pi}(D^{*}g) f_{\omega_{\pi},s} \left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t \left(h, g \right) \right) dg.$$

By eq. (2.20) we have $e_{mn}^T \tilde{\varepsilon}t(p, D^*) \tilde{\varepsilon}^{-1} = e_{mn}^T$. i.e., $\alpha e_{mn}^T = e_{mn}^T$. Thus, $\alpha = 1$ and $\omega_{\pi}^{-1}(\alpha) = 1$. This also gives, $|\det(R)| = |\det(\tilde{\varepsilon}t(p, D^*) \tilde{\varepsilon}^{-1})|$. Therefore,

$$\delta_{P_{mn-1,1}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} R & Y \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \right) = \left| \det(R) \right| = \left| \det(p) \right|^n \left| \det(D^*) \right|^m$$
$$= \left| \det(A) \right|^n \left| \det(D) \right|^{-(m-n)}$$

This implies

$$\delta_{P_{mn-1,1}}^{s+1/2} \left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(p, D^*\right) \tilde{\varepsilon}^{-1} \right) = \delta_{P_{m-n,n}}^{s+1/2} \left(p\right).$$

The point now is that the function

$$D \mapsto \int_{Z_n(\mathbb{A}) \setminus \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})} \varphi_{\pi}(D^*g) f_{\omega_{\pi},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(h,g\right)\right) dg$$

is formally a cusp form in the space of $\tilde{\pi}$, that is of the form $\varphi'_{\pi}(D^*)$ where φ'_{π} is in the space of π .

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 1, we need the following proposition.

Proposition 2.12. The function on $\operatorname{GL}_m(\mathbb{A})$, $\xi(f_{\omega_{\pi},s},\varphi_{\pi})$, defined for $\Re(s)$ sufficiently large by the integral eq. (2.30), admits an analytic continuation to a meromorphic function of s in the whole plane. It defines a smooth meromorphic section of

$$\rho_{\tilde{\pi},s} := \operatorname{Ind}_{P_{m-n,n}(\mathbb{A})}^{\operatorname{GL}_{m}(\mathbb{A})} (1 \otimes \tilde{\pi}) \, \delta_{P_{m-n,n}}^{s}.$$

$$(2.36)$$

Thus, by eq. (2.29), $\mathfrak{E}(f_{\omega_{\pi},s},\varphi_{\pi})(h)$ is the Eisenstein series on $\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{A})$, corresponding to the section $\xi(f_{\omega_{\pi},s},\varphi_{\pi})$ of $\rho_{\tilde{\pi},s}$.

The proof of Proposition 2.12 is given at the end of Section 3, where we first make sense of the integral in eq. (2.30).

3 Local result

We begin this section by explaining how the global integral in eq. (1.2) gives rise to corresponding local integrals. Then, in Section 3.1 we explicitly compute these local integrals at the unramified places. In Section 3.2 we show that, in the "bad" places, Archimedean or ramified non-Archimedean, these local integrals are meromorphic functions (rational functions in $q_{\nu}^{\pm}s$ in the *p*-adic case).

In the course of proving Theorem 1, we showed that, for $\Re(s) >> 0, h \in \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$

$$\mathfrak{E}\left(f_{\omega_{\pi},s},\varphi_{\pi}\right)(h) = \sum_{\gamma \in P_{m-n,n}(k) \setminus \mathrm{GL}_{m}(k)} \xi\left(f_{\omega_{\pi},s},\varphi_{\pi}\right)(\gamma h).$$

where (for $\Re(s) >> 0$),

$$\xi\left(f_{\omega_{\pi},s},\varphi_{\pi}\right)(h) = \int_{Z_{n}(\mathbb{A})\backslash \operatorname{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{A})} \varphi_{\pi}(g) f_{\omega_{\pi},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(h,g\right)\right) dg.$$
(3.1)

We have seen formally that $\xi(f_{\omega_{\pi},s},\varphi_{\pi}) \in \operatorname{Ind}_{P_{m-n,n}(\mathbb{A})}^{\operatorname{GL}_{m}(\mathbb{A})}(1 \otimes \tilde{\pi}) \delta_{P_{m-n,n}}^{s}$. In order to substantiate this statement, we carry out a local study at each place of eq. (3.1). We denote by π_{ν} the generic, irreducible, smooth representation of $\operatorname{GL}_{n}(k_{\nu})$ (with central character $\omega_{\pi_{\nu}}$), which is the local factor of π at ν . We write π as a restricted tensor product of the local representations $\pi_{\nu}, \pi = \ell_{\pi}(\otimes_{\nu}' \pi_{\nu})$, where ℓ_{π} is a fixed isomorphism. Assume that the cusp form φ_{π} is decomposable, i.e. $\varphi_{\pi} = \ell_{\pi}(\otimes_{\nu}' v_{\pi_{\nu}})$, where $v_{\pi_{\nu}}$ lies in the space of π_{ν} . So,

$$\varphi_{\pi}(g) = (\pi(g)\varphi_{\pi})(I_n) = (\pi(g)\ell_{\pi}\left(\otimes_{\nu}' v_{\pi_{\nu}}\right))(I_n) = \ell_{\pi}\left(\otimes_{\nu}' \pi_{\nu}(g_{\nu})v_{\pi_{\nu}}\right)(I_n), \quad (3.2)$$

where $g = \prod_{\nu}' g_{\nu} \in \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$. Assume that $f_{\omega_{\pi,s}}$ is decomposable and corresponds to a tensor product of local sections $f_{\omega_{\pi,\nu},s}$ of

$$\rho_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s} := \operatorname{Ind}_{P_{mn-1,1}(k_{\nu})}^{\operatorname{GL}_{mn}(k_{\nu})} \left(1 \otimes \omega_{\pi_{\nu}}^{-1}\right) \delta_{P_{mn-1,1}}^{s}.$$
(3.3)

Let us fix a finite set of places S_0 , containing the Archimedean places, for which, π_{ν} is unramified. For all $\nu \notin S_0$, we fix a spherical vector $v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ} \in V_{\pi_{\nu}}$ and $f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}^{\circ}$, unramified and normalized, such that for $p \in P_{mn-1,1}(k_{\nu})$ and $x \in K_{n,\nu}$, $f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}^{\circ}(I_n) = 1$.

Now we can interpret eq. (3.1) via a product over the places of k. Our assumptions together with eq. (3.2) give

$$\xi \left(f_{\omega_{\pi},s}, \varphi_{\pi} \right) (h) = \int_{Z_{n}(\mathbb{A}) \setminus \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{A})} \ell_{\pi} \left(\bigotimes_{\nu}' \pi_{\nu}(g_{\nu}) v_{\pi_{\nu}} \right) (I_{n}) \prod_{\nu}' f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s} \left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t \left(h_{\nu}, g_{\nu} \right) \right) dg$$

$$= \int_{Z_{n}(\mathbb{A}) \setminus \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{A})} \ell_{\pi} \left[\bigotimes_{\nu}' f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s} \left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t \left(h_{\nu}, g_{\nu} \right) \right) \pi_{\nu}(g_{\nu}) v_{\pi_{\nu}} \right] (I_{n}) dg, \qquad (3.4)$$

where $h = \prod_{\nu}^{\prime} h_{\nu}$. Equation (3.4) is defined by the following limit.

$$\xi \left(f_{\omega_{\pi,s}}, \varphi_{\pi} \right) (h) = \lim_{S_0 \subseteq S \nearrow} \ell_{\pi} \Big[\bigotimes_{\nu \in S} \int_{Z_n(k_{\nu}) \setminus \operatorname{GL}_n(k_{\nu})} f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s} \left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t \left(h_{\nu}, g_{\nu} \right) \right) \pi_{\nu}(g_{\nu}) v_{\pi_{\nu}} dg_{\nu} \\ \bigotimes_{\nu \notin S} \int_{\mathcal{O}_{\nu}^{\times} \setminus K_{n,\nu}} f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}^{\circ} \left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t \left(h_{\nu}, g_{\nu} \right) \right) \pi_{\nu}(g_{\nu}) v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ} dg_{\nu} \Big] (I_n),$$

$$(3.5)$$

where the limit is taken over increasing finite sets of places $S \supseteq S_0$. For all $g_{\nu} \in K_{n,\nu}$ we have

$$f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(h_{\nu},g_{\nu}\right)\right) = f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(h_{\nu},I_{n}\right)\right)$$

This gives

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}_{\nu}^{\times}\setminus K_{n,\nu}} f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}^{\circ}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(h_{\nu},g_{\nu}\right)\right)\pi_{\nu}(g_{\nu})v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}dg_{\nu} = v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}^{\circ}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(h_{\nu},I_{n}\right)\right).$$

Therefore, we can rewrite eq. (3.5) as

$$\xi \left(f_{\omega_{\pi},s}, \varphi_{\pi} \right) (h) = \lim_{S_0 \subseteq S \nearrow} \ell_{\pi} \Big[\bigotimes_{\nu \in S} \int_{Z_n(k_{\nu}) \setminus \operatorname{GL}_n(k_{\nu})} f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s} \left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t \left(h_{\nu}, g_{\nu} \right) \right) \pi_{\nu}(g_{\nu}) v_{\pi_{\nu}} dg_{\nu} \\ \bigotimes_{\nu \notin S} f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s} \left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t \left(h_{\nu}, I_n \right) \right) v^{\circ}_{\pi_{\nu}} \Big] (I_n).$$

$$(3.6)$$

All in all, the inner local integrals in eq. (3.6) are the corresponding local integrals to our global construction. We denote them by

$$I\left(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s},v_{\pi_{\nu}}\right)(h_{\nu}) = \int_{Z_n(k_{\nu})\backslash \operatorname{GL}_n(k_{\nu})} f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(h_{\nu},g_{\nu}\right)\right)\pi_{\nu}(g_{\nu})v_{\pi_{\nu}}dg_{\nu}.$$
 (3.7)

In this section we use the Cartan decomposition (modulo the center). We can write $g_{\nu} \in Z_n(k_{\nu}) \setminus \operatorname{GL}_n(k_{\nu})$ as

$$g_{\nu} = A\underline{t}B,\tag{3.8}$$

where $A, B \in K_{n,\nu}$ and $\underline{t} = \text{diag}(t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1}, 1)$ such that for $\nu < \infty$,

$$\underline{t} \in Z_n(k_{\nu}) \setminus T^- := \{ \operatorname{diag}(\varpi^{r_1}, \dots, \varpi^{r_{n-1}}, 1) \in \operatorname{GL}_n(k_{\nu}) | r_1 \ge \dots \ge r_{n-1} \ge 0 \}$$

and for $\nu = \infty$,

$$\underline{t} \in Z_n(k_\nu) \setminus T^- := \{ \operatorname{diag} \left(e^{r_1}, \dots, e^{r_{n-1}}, 1 \right) \in \operatorname{GL}_n(k_\nu) | r_1 \ge \dots \ge r_{n-1} \ge 0 \}.$$

In both cases \underline{t} satisfies $|t_1| \leq \ldots \leq |t_{n-1}| \leq 1$.

We also make use of the following integral formula. We refer to the paper [II10] for the statement of this claim in this explicit form.

Claim 3.1 ([II10] p.12). Let G be a reductive algebraic group defined over k_{ν} , and $G = KT^-K$ its Cartan decomposition. Let $f \in L^1(G)$. Then,

$$\int_{G} f(g)dg = \int_{T^{-}} \mu(a) \int_{K^{2}} f(k_1ak_2)dk_1dadk_2,$$

where $\mu(a) = \mu(KaK)/\mu(K)$ for non-Archimedean places ν and $\mu(a) \geq 0$ for the Archimedean places.

Moreover, we have the following formulae. By [Mac98, Chapter V, eq. (2.9)],

Claim 3.2. Let $\nu < \infty$. Let $\underline{t} = \text{diag}(\varpi^{r_1}, \ldots, \varpi^{r_n}) \in T^-$. Suppose that the integers n_i are the lengths of constant runs in the sequence (r_i) , so that $n_1 + \ldots + n_\ell = n$ and

$$r_1 = \ldots = r_{n_1} > r_{n_1+1} = \ldots = r_{n_1+n_2} > r_{n_1+n_2+1} = \ldots$$

Then,

$$\mu(\underline{t}) = q_{\nu}^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (n-2i+1)r_i} \frac{\varphi_n(q^{-1})}{(1-q^{-1})^n} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{(1-q^{-1})^{n_j}}{\varphi_{n_j}(q^{-1})},$$

where as usual $\varphi_j(t) = (1 - t)(1 - t^2) \cdots (1 - t^j).$

By [Hel84, Section I.5, Theorem 5.8] or by [Kna01, Proposition 5.28],

Claim 3.3. Let $\nu = \infty$. Let $a \in T^-$. Set H in the Lie algebra of T^- such that $a = e^H$. Then,

$$\mu(a) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+} \left| \sinh \alpha(H) \right|^{\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha}$$

3.1 Unramified computation

In this section we prove Theorem 3 by computing the local integrals eq. (3.7) at the unramified places ν . i.e.

$$I\left(f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s},v^{\circ}_{\pi_{\nu}}\right)(h_{\nu}) = \int_{Z_{n}(k_{\nu})\backslash \mathrm{GL}_{n}(k_{\nu})} f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(h_{\nu},g_{\nu}\right)\right)\pi_{\nu}(g_{\nu})v^{\circ}_{\pi_{\nu}}dg_{\nu}.$$
 (3.9)

As part of the proof of Theorem 3, we also conclude by the end of this section that

Lemma 3.4. $I\left(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}^{\circ}, v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}\right)$ is an unramified section of $\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{m-n,n}(k_{\nu})}^{\operatorname{GL}_{m}(k_{\nu})}(1 \otimes \tilde{\pi}_{\nu}) \delta_{P_{m-n,n}}^{s}$.

In the meantime, we note that $I\left(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}^{\circ}, v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}\right)$ is left-invariant under $K_{m,\nu}$ by the fact that $f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}^{\circ}$ is unramified. In addition, a similar (local) computation to Proposition 2.11 formally gives that $I\left(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}^{\circ}, v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}\right)$ lies in $\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{m-n,n}(k_{\nu})}^{\operatorname{GL}(k_{\nu})}(1 \otimes \tilde{\pi}_{\nu}) \delta_{P_{m-n,n}}^{s}$. Therefore, by writing the Iwasawa decomposition $h_{\nu} = h_{P}h_{K}$, where $h_{P} \in P_{m-n,n}(k_{\nu})$ and $h_{K} \in K_{m}$, we get that it is sufficient to evaluate $I\left(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}^{\circ}, v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}\right)$ at I_{m} . Before proving Theorem 3, we find in Lemma 3.5 and Proposition 3.6 the value of

Before proving Theorem 3, we find in Lemma 3.5 and Proposition 3.6 the value of $f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi\nu},s}(\tilde{\varepsilon}t(I_m,g_{\nu}))$, as an expression of <u>t</u>.

Lemma 3.5. Let $g_{\nu} = A\underline{t}B$, be the Cartan decomposition (modulo the center) of g_{ν} as in eq. (3.8). Then,

$$f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(I_{m},g_{\nu}\right)\right) = f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(I_{m},\underline{t}\right)\right)$$

Proof. The section $f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}$ is unramified, so, in particular, it is right-invariant under $t(\operatorname{diag}(I_{m-n}, x^*), I_n)$, where $x \in K_{n,\nu}$. Thus,

$$f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(I_{m}, xg_{\nu}\right)\right) = f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(\operatorname{diag}(I_{m-n}, x^{*}), xg_{\nu}\right)\right).$$

On the other hand, $t(\operatorname{diag}(I_{m-n}, x^*), x) \in Q^{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(k_{\nu})$, so its conjugation with $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ lies in $P_{mn-1,1}(k_{\nu}) \cap K_{mn,\nu}$. Since $f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}$ is left invariant under $P_{mn-1,1}(k_{\nu}) \cap K_{mn,\nu}$, we conclude that

$$f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(I_{m}, xg_{\nu}\right)\right) = f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(I_{m}, g_{\nu}\right)\right).$$
(3.10)

We conclude that the function $g_{\nu} \mapsto f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi\nu},s}(\tilde{\varepsilon}t(I_m,g_{\nu}))$ is bi-invariant under $K_{n,\nu}$. Therefore,

$$f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(I_{m},g_{\nu}\right)\right) = f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(I_{m},A\underline{t}B\right)\right) = f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(I_{m},\underline{t}\right)\right).$$

Proposition 3.6. In the notation above,

$$f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(I_{m},\underline{t}\right)\right) = \left|\det\underline{t}\right|^{ms+\frac{m}{2}}.$$

Proof. We denote $\underline{t}^{\Delta} := t(I_m, \underline{t})$. Recall that $\tilde{\varepsilon} = \tilde{w}\tilde{u}$ where we write $\tilde{w} := w_{(m-n+1)n}$ and $\tilde{u} := u_{(m-n+1)n}(\underline{b_{n-1}})$. In this notation we have,

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}\underline{t}^{\Delta} = \left(\tilde{w}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\tilde{w}^{-1}\right)\tilde{w}\left(\left(\underline{t}^{\Delta}\right)^{-1}\tilde{u}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\right) = \left(\tilde{w}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\tilde{w}^{-1}\right)\tilde{w}u_{(m-n+1)n}(\underline{b_{n-1}}(\underline{t})),$$

where $\underline{b_{n-1}}(\underline{t}) = (t_{n-1} \cdot e_{n-1}^T, \dots, t_1 \cdot e_1^T)$. All the elements above the diagonal of the unipotent matrix $u_{(m-n+1)n}(\underline{b_{n-1}}(\underline{t}))$ are bounded in absolute value by 1. Therefore, $\tilde{w}u_{(m-n+1)n}(\underline{b_{n-1}}(\underline{t})) \in K_{mn,\nu}$, which implies

$$f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}\right) = f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{w}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\tilde{w}^{-1}\right).$$

$$(3.11)$$

Since $\tilde{w}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\tilde{w}^{-1}$ equals \underline{t}^{Δ} up to the order of the elements on the diagonal

$$f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{w}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\tilde{w}^{-1}\right) = \omega^{-1}_{\pi_{\nu}}(\alpha)\delta^{s+\frac{1}{2}}_{P_{mn-1,1}}\left(\tilde{w}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\tilde{w}^{-1}\right),\tag{3.12}$$

where α is the (mn, mn)-th coordinate of $\tilde{w}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\tilde{w}^{-1}$. It is given by

$$e_{mn}^{T}\left(\tilde{w}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\tilde{w}^{-1}\right)e_{mn} = e_{(m-n+1)n}^{T}\underline{t}^{\Delta}e_{(m-n+1)n}.$$

i.e. it is the ((m-n+1)n, (m-n+1)n)-th coordinate of \underline{t}^{Δ} , which equals 1. So $\alpha = 1$ and

$$\omega_{\pi_{\nu}}^{-1}(\alpha) = 1. \tag{3.13}$$

This also gives that

$$\delta_{P_{mn-1,1}}\left(\tilde{w}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\tilde{w}^{-1}\right) = \left|\det\underline{t}^{\Delta}\right| = \left|\det\underline{t}\right|^m = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} |t_i|^m.$$
(3.14)

We now plug eq. (3.13) and eq. (3.14) in eq. (3.12). The proposition now follows by plugging this result in eq. (3.11).

We are now ready to prove Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3. We normalize the measure such that

$$\mu(K_{n,\nu}) = \int_{K_{n,\nu}} dx = 1.$$
(3.15)

Let $g_{\nu} = A\underline{t}B$, be the Cartan decomposition (modulo the center) of g_{ν} as in eq. (3.8). By Claim 3.1 we can write eq. (3.9) as

$$I\left(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}^{\circ},v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}\right)(I_{m}) = \int_{Z_{n}(k_{\nu})\backslash T^{-}} \mu(\underline{t}) \int_{K_{n,\nu}^{2}} f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}^{\circ}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(I_{m},A\underline{t}B\right)\right)\pi_{\nu}(A\underline{t}B)v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}dAdBd\underline{t},$$

$$(3.16)$$

where $\mu(\underline{t}) = \mu(K_{n,\nu}\underline{t}K_{n,\nu})$. We assume that $\Re(s) >> 0$. By Lemma 3.5 we have $f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}(\tilde{\varepsilon}t(I_m, A\underline{t}B)) = f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}(\tilde{\varepsilon}t(I_m, \underline{t}))$. In addition, $v^{\circ}_{\pi_{\nu}}$ is spherical so $\pi_{\nu}(A\underline{t}B)v^{\circ}_{\pi_{\nu}} = \pi_{\nu}(A\underline{t})v^{\circ}_{\pi_{\nu}}$. Therefore, eq. (3.9) equals

$$I\left(f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s},v^{\circ}_{\pi_{\nu}}\right)(I_{m}) = \int_{Z_{n}(k_{\nu})\backslash T^{-}} \mu(\underline{t})f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(I_{m},\underline{t}\right)\right) \int_{K_{n,\nu}} \pi_{\nu}(A\underline{t})v^{\circ}_{\pi_{\nu}}dAd\underline{t}.$$
 (3.17)

Applying Proposition 3.6 gives

$$I\left(f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s},v^{\circ}_{\pi_{\nu}}\right)(I_{m}) = \int_{Z_{n}(k_{\nu})\backslash T^{-}} \mu(\underline{t}) \left|\det \underline{t}\right|^{ms+\frac{m}{2}} \int_{K_{n,\nu}} \pi_{\nu}(A\underline{t})v^{\circ}_{\pi_{\nu}}dAd\underline{t}.$$
 (3.18)

The inner integral is an unramified functional on V_{π} . Hence, there exists a constant $c(\underline{t})$ such that

$$\int_{K_{n,\nu}} \pi_{\nu}(A\underline{t}) v^{\circ}_{\pi_{\nu}} dA = c(\underline{t}) v^{\circ}_{\pi_{\nu}}.$$
(3.19)

We apply the unique vector $\check{v}^{\circ}_{\tilde{\pi}_{\nu}} \in V_{\tilde{\pi}}$, such that $\langle v^{\circ}_{\pi_{\nu}}, \check{v}^{\circ}_{\pi_{\nu}} \rangle = 1$, on eq. (3.19)

$$c(\underline{t}) = \int_{K_{n,\nu}} \left\langle \pi_{\nu}(A\underline{t})v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}, \check{v}_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ} \right\rangle dA = \int_{K_{n,\nu}} \left\langle \pi_{\nu}(\underline{t})v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}, \check{\pi}_{\nu}(A^{-1})\check{v}_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ} \right\rangle dA = \left\langle \pi_{\nu}(\underline{t})v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}, \check{v}_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ} \right\rangle.$$

Therefore, by eq. (3.15) we conclude that $c(\underline{t}) = c_{v_{\pi\nu}^{\circ}, \tilde{v}_{\pi\nu}^{\circ}}(\underline{t})$ (the matrix coefficient of π_{ν}).

Thus, for $\Re(s) >> 0$

$$I\left(f^{\circ}_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s},v^{\circ}_{\pi_{\nu}}\right)(I_{m}) = \int_{Z_{n}(k_{\nu})\backslash T^{-}} \mu(\underline{t})c_{v^{\circ}_{\pi_{\nu}},\check{v}^{\circ}_{\pi_{\nu}}}(\underline{t})v^{\circ}_{\pi_{\nu}} \left|\det\underline{t}\right|^{ms+\frac{m}{2}}d\underline{t},$$
(3.20)

By the fact that $I\left(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}^{\circ}, v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}\right)(I_m)$ is a $K_{n,\nu}$ -invariant vector, there exists a constant c(I,s) such that

$$I\left(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}^{\circ},v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}\right)(I_m) = c(I,s)v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}.$$
(3.21)

Applying $\check{v}^{\circ}_{\tilde{\pi}_{\nu}}$ on eq. (3.21) gives

$$c(I,s) = \int_{Z_n(k_\nu)\backslash T^-} \mu(\underline{t}) c_{v^{\circ}_{\pi_\nu}, \check{v}^{\circ}_{\pi_\nu}}(\underline{t}) \left|\det \underline{t}\right|^{ms + \frac{m}{2}} d\underline{t}.$$
(3.22)

We now consider the Godement-Jacquet zeta integral eq. (1.7). We evaluate it at the normalized matrix coefficient, which correspond to the spherical vectors $v^{\circ}_{\pi_{\nu}}, \check{v}^{\circ}_{\pi_{\nu}}$, and at Φ_0 , the characteristic function of $M_n(\mathcal{O}_{\nu})$,

$$Z_{\rm GJ}\left(s, c_{v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}, \check{v}_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}}, \Phi_{0}\right) = \int_{{\rm GL}_{n}(k_{\nu})} \Phi_{0}(g_{\nu}) c_{v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}, \check{v}_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}}(g_{\nu}) \left|\det g_{\nu}\right|^{s + \frac{n-1}{2}} dg_{\nu}.$$
 (3.23)

Notice that the integrand is bi-invariant under $K_{n,\nu}$. Therefore, by writing the Cartan decomposition (modulo the center) of g_{ν} as in eq. (3.8), applying Claim 3.1 on eq. (3.23), and splitting the integral through the center, we have

 $a\underline{t} \in M_n\left(\mathcal{O}_\nu\right) \iff |a| \le |1,$

$$Z_{\rm GJ}\left(s, c_{v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}, \check{v}_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}}, \Phi_{0}\right) = \int_{Z_{n}(k_{\nu})\backslash T^{-}} \mu(\underline{t}) F_{s, \Phi_{0}}(\underline{t}) c_{v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}, \check{v}_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}}(\underline{t}) \left|\det \underline{t}\right|^{s+\frac{n-1}{2}} d\underline{t}, \qquad (3.24)$$

where

i.e.

$$F_{s,\Phi_0}(\underline{t}) := \int_{k_{\nu}^{\times}} \Phi_0(a\underline{t}) |a|^{n\left(s + \frac{n-1}{2}\right)} \omega_{\pi_{\nu}}(a) d^{\times} a.$$
(3.25)

For $a \in k^{\times}$,

$$\Phi_0(a\underline{t}) = \begin{cases} 1, & |a| \le 1\\ 0, & |a| > 1. \end{cases}$$
(3.26)

By eq. (3.26), we find that eq. (3.25) equals

$$F_{s,\Phi_0}(\underline{t}) = \int_{|a| \le 1} |a|^{n\left(s + \frac{n-1}{2}\right)} \omega_{\pi_\nu}(a) d^{\times} a = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q_{\nu}^{-jn\left(s + \frac{n-1}{2}\right)} \omega_{\pi_\nu}^j(\varpi).$$
(3.27)

Hence,

$$F_{s,\Phi_0}(\underline{t}) = \frac{1}{1 - \omega_{\pi_\nu}(\varpi)q_\nu^{-n\left(s + \frac{n-1}{2}\right)}} = L\left(n\left(s + \frac{n-1}{2}\right), \omega_{\pi_\nu}\right).$$
 (3.28)

We now plug eq. (3.28) in eq. (3.24).

$$\frac{Z_{\rm GJ}\left(s, c_{v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}, \check{v}_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}}, \Phi_{0}\right)}{L\left(n\left(s + \frac{n-1}{2}\right), \omega_{\pi_{\nu}}\right)} = \int_{Z_{n}(k_{\nu})\setminus T^{-}} \mu(\underline{t}) c_{v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}, \check{v}_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}}(\underline{t}) \left|\det\left(\underline{t}\right)\right|^{s + \frac{n-1}{2}} d\underline{t},$$
(3.29)

where we divided the *L*-function of $\omega_{\pi_{\nu}}$ from both sides. Now by taking $s \mapsto m(s + \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{n-1}{2}$ we get that the integral in eq. (3.29) equals to $c(I, m(s + \frac{1}{2}))$ in eq. (3.22). Now we get eq. (1.8) as requested.

We note that eq. (3.21) shows in particular that $I\left(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}^{\circ},v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}\right)$ lies in the space of π_{ν} , which is Lemma 3.4. Also, Theorem 4 follows, and, in particular, $I\left(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}^{\circ},v_{\pi_{\nu}}^{\circ}\right)$ continues to a meromorphic function in \mathbb{C} , which is a rational function in q_{ν}^{-s} .

3.2 The "bad" places

3.2.1 Common statements

Let ν be an Archimedean or a ramified non-Archimedean place. Recall that for a section $f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}}}$ in the induced space (given in eq. (3.3)):

$$\rho_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s} = \operatorname{Ind}_{P_{mn-1,1}(k_{\nu})}^{\operatorname{GL}_{mn}(k_{\nu})} \left(1 \otimes \omega_{\pi_{\nu}}^{-1}\right) \delta_{P_{mn-1,1}}^{s}$$

we consider the local integrals (given in eq. (3.7)):

$$I\left(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s},v_{\pi_{\nu}}\right)(h_{\nu}) = \int_{Z_n(k_{\nu})\backslash \operatorname{GL}_n(k_{\nu})} \pi_{\nu}(g_{\nu})v_{\pi_{\nu}}f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(h_{\nu},g_{\nu}\right)\right)dg_{\nu}.$$

This section is dedicated to prove Theorem 2.

First, we make some reductions. We first note that

$$f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(h_{\nu},g_{\nu}\right)\right) = \left[\rho_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}(t(h_{\nu},I_{n}))f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\right]\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(I_{m},g_{\nu}\right)\right).$$

Hence, by replacing $f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}$ by the smooth section $\rho_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}(t(h_{\nu}, I_n))f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}$, we can assume $h_{\nu} = I_m$ in eq. (3.7). Now, we prove

Lemma 3.7. $I(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}, v_{\pi_{\nu}})(I_m)$ is a finite sum of integrals of the form

$$\alpha\left(\tau,\phi,\phi'\right) \int_{Z_n(k_\nu)\backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(k_\nu)} P_i(q_\nu^{-s},q_\nu^s) f_{\omega_{\pi_\nu},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(I_m,g_\nu\right)\right)\left(\pi_\nu(g_\nu)v_{\pi_\nu},\phi\right) dg_\nu\cdot\phi', \quad (3.30)$$

where $f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}$ is a standard section, τ is an irreducible representation of the compact subgroup $t(\operatorname{diag}(I_{m-n}, K_{n,\nu}), I_n) \leq K_{mn,\nu}$, ϕ and ϕ' are vectors in (an orthonormal basis of) the finite dimensional isotypic subspace $V_{\pi}(\tau)$, and $\alpha(\tau, \phi, \phi') \in \mathbb{C}$.

We note that Lemma 3.7 also proves that $I(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}, v_{\pi_{\nu}})$ is a section in

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{m-n,n}(k_{\nu})}^{\operatorname{GL}_{m}(k_{\nu})}(1\otimes\tilde{\pi}_{\nu})\,\delta^{s}_{P_{m-n,n}}$$

Proof. We normalize the measure such that

$$\mu(K_{n,\nu}) = \int_{K_{n,\nu}} dx = 1.$$
(3.31)

We begin by writing $f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}$ in terms of standard sections as in eq. (1.13):

$$f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_i(q_{\nu}^{-s}, q_{\nu}^{s}) f_{\varphi_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}}}^{(i)},s},$$

where for all $1 \leq i \leq N$, $P_i \in \mathbb{C}[q_{\nu}^{-s}, q_{\nu}^s]$ and $f_{\varphi_{\omega\pi_{\nu},s}^{(i)}}$ is a standard section in $\rho_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu},s}}$. Therefore, it suffices to prove the proposition for $I\left(P(q_{\nu}^{-s}, q_{\nu}^s)f_{\varphi_{\omega\pi_{\nu},s}}, v_{\pi_{\nu}}\right)(I_m)$, where $P(q_{\nu}^{-s}, q_{\nu}^s)$ is a holomorphic function (polynomial for non-Archimedean ν) and $f_{\varphi_{\omega\pi_{\nu},s}}$ is a standard section. Let $x \in K_{n,\nu}$ and $g_{\nu} \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k_{\nu})$. We now show that

$$f_{\varphi_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(I_{m}, xg_{\nu}\right)\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left\langle \xi_{\tau_{j},\tilde{\tau}_{j}}\left(x^{*}\right)\check{\xi}_{\tilde{\tau}_{j}}\right\rangle f_{\varphi_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}}^{(j)}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(I_{m}, g_{\nu}\right)\right), \qquad (3.32)$$

where for all $1 \leq j \leq N$, τ_j is an irreducible representation of the compact subgroup $t(\operatorname{diag}(I_{m-n}, K_{n,\nu}), I_n) \leq K_{mn,\nu}, \xi_{\tau_j} \in V_{\tau_j}$ and $\check{\xi}_{\tilde{\tau}_j} \in V_{\tilde{\tau}_j}$. Indeed, generally, by the $K_{mn,\nu}$ -finiteness of $f_{\varphi_{\omega_{\pi_\nu}},s}$, there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{f_{\varphi_{\omega_{\pi_\nu}},s}^{(j)}\}_{j=1}^N \subseteq \rho_{\omega_{\pi_\nu},s}$ such that for all $A \in \operatorname{GL}_{mn}(k_{\nu})$ and $X \in K_{mn,\nu}$ we have

$$f_{\varphi_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}}(AX) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_j(X) f_{\varphi_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}}^{(j)}(A), \qquad (3.33)$$

where

$$c_j(X) = \left(\rho_{\omega_{\pi_\nu},s}(X) f_{\varphi_{\omega_{\pi_\nu},s}}, f_{\varphi_{\omega_{\pi_\nu},s}}^{(j)}\right)$$
(3.34)

and (,) is a $K_{mn,\nu}$ -invariant inner product of the space of $\rho_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}$. We note that for all $1 \leq j \leq N$, $c_j(X)$ is independent of s, as $f_{\varphi_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}}},s}$ is a standard section. Let $x \in K_{n,\nu}$. Then,

$$f_{\varphi_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(I_{m}, xg_{\nu}\right)\right) = f_{\varphi_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(\operatorname{diag}(I_{m-n}, x^{*})\operatorname{diag}(I_{m-n}, (x^{*})^{-1}), xg_{\nu}\right)\right).$$

On the other hand, $t(\operatorname{diag}(I_{m-n}, x^*), x) \in Q^{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(k_{\nu})$, so its conjugation with $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ lies in $P_{mn-1,1}(k_{\nu}) \cap K_{mn,\nu}$. Since $f_{\varphi_{\omega\pi_{\nu}},s}$ is $P_{mn-1,1}(k_{\nu}) \cap K_{mn,\nu}$ -left invariant,

$$f_{\varphi_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(I_{m}, xg_{\nu}\right)\right) = f_{\varphi_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(I_{m}, g_{\nu}\right)t\left(\operatorname{diag}(I_{m-n}, (x^{*})^{-1}), I_{n}\right)\right)$$

By eq. (3.33),

$$f_{\varphi_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}}(t(I_m, xg_{\nu})) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} c'_j((x^*)^{-1}) f^{(j)}_{\varphi_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}}(\tilde{\varepsilon}t(I_m, g_{\nu})), \qquad (3.35)$$

where

$$c'_{j}((x^{*})^{-1}) = c_{j}(t(\operatorname{diag}(I_{m-n},(x^{*})^{-1}),I_{n}))$$

We can assume that c'_j are matrix coefficients of an irreducible representation, say τ_j , of the compact subgroup t (diag $(I_{m-n}, K_{n,\nu}), I_n$) $\leq K_{mn,\nu}$. i.e. by Riesz representation theorem there exist $\xi_{\tau_j} \in V_{\tau_j}$ and $\check{\xi}_{\tilde{\tau}_j} \in V_{\tilde{\tau}_j}$ such that

$$c_{j}'\left((x^{*})^{-1}\right) = \left\langle \tau_{j}\left(\tilde{w}x^{T}\tilde{w}\right)\xi_{\tau_{j}}, \check{\xi}_{\tilde{\tau}_{j}}\right\rangle = \left\langle \xi_{\tau_{j}}, \tilde{\tau}_{j}\left(x^{*}\right)\check{\xi}_{\tilde{\tau}_{j}}\right\rangle.$$
(3.36)

Plugging eq. (3.36) to eq. (3.35) gives eq. (3.32).

Now, we write $I\left(P(q_{\nu}^{-s}, q_{\nu}^{s})f_{\varphi_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}}}, s}, v_{\pi_{\nu}}\right)(I_{m})$ as

$$\frac{1}{\mu(K_{n,\nu})} \int_{Z_n(k_\nu)\backslash \operatorname{GL}_n(k_\nu)} \int_{K_{n,\nu}} P(q_\nu^{-s}, q_\nu^s) f_{\omega_{\pi_\nu},s} \left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(I_m, xg_\nu\right)\right) \pi_\nu(xg_\nu) v_{\pi_\nu} dx dg_\nu.$$
(3.37)

Applying eq. (3.32) implies that $I\left(P(q_{\nu}^{-s}, q_{\nu}^{s})f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu},s}}, v_{\pi_{\nu}}\right)(I_{m})$ is a finite sum of integrals of the form

$$\int_{Z_n(k_\nu)\backslash \operatorname{GL}_n(k_\nu)} P(q_\nu^{-s}, q_\nu^s) f_{\omega_{\pi\nu}, s} \left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t \left(I_m, g_\nu \right) \right) \int_{K_{n,\nu}} \left\langle \xi_\tau, \tilde{\tau} \left(x^* \right) \check{\xi}_{\tilde{\tau}} \right\rangle \pi_\nu(xg_\nu) v_{\pi\nu} dx dg_\nu.$$
(3.38)

Let $\tau = \tau_{\ell}$ for some $1 \leq \ell \leq N$. Let $P_{\xi_{\tau}, \check{\xi}_{\check{\tau}}} : V_{\pi} \to V_{\pi}$ be the following map

$$P_{\xi_{\tau},\check{\xi}_{\tilde{\tau}}} := \int_{K_{n,\nu}} \left\langle \xi_{\tau}, \tilde{\tau} \left(x^* \right) \check{\xi}_{\tilde{\tau}} \right\rangle \pi_{\nu}(x_{\nu}) dx, \qquad (3.39)$$

We note that the inner integral over $K_{n,\nu}$ in eq. (3.38) equals $P_{\xi_{\tau},\check{\xi}_{\tau}}(\pi_{\nu}(g_{\nu})v_{\pi_{\nu}})$. Let $B := \{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_r\}$ be an orthonormal basis of of the finite dimensional isotypic subspace $V_{\pi}(\tau)$. Then,

$$P_{\xi_{\tau},\check{\xi}_{\tilde{\tau}}}\left(\pi_{\nu}(g_{\nu})v_{\pi_{\nu}}\right) = \sum_{1 \le i,j \le r} \left(\pi_{\nu}(g_{\nu})v_{\pi_{\nu}},\phi_{j}\right) \int_{K_{n,\nu}} \left\langle \xi_{\tau},\tilde{\tau}\left(x^{*}\right)\check{\xi}_{\tilde{\tau}}\right\rangle \left(\pi_{\nu}(x^{-1})\phi_{i},\phi_{j}\right) dx \cdot \phi_{i}.$$
(3.40)

Indeed, the map $P_{\xi_{\tau},\check{\xi}_{\tilde{\tau}}}$ is a projection to $V_{\pi}(\tau)$. Then,

$$P_{\xi_{\tau},\check{\xi}_{\check{\tau}}}\left(\pi_{\nu}(g_{\nu})v_{\pi_{\nu}}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} e_{i}(g_{\nu})\phi_{i},$$
(3.41)

where

$$e_i(g_{\nu}) = \left(P_{\xi_{\tau},\check{\xi}_{\tilde{\tau}}}\left(\pi_{\nu}(g_{\nu})v_{\pi_{\nu}}\right),\phi_i\right) = \int\limits_{K_{n,\nu}} \left\langle \xi_{\tau},\tilde{\tau}\left(x^*\right)\check{\xi}_{\tilde{\tau}}\right\rangle \left(\pi_{\nu}(xg_{\nu})v_{\pi_{\nu}},\phi_i\right)dx.$$
(3.42)

By the fact that π_{ν} is unitary we have $(\pi_{\nu}(xg_{\nu})v_{\pi_{\nu}}, \phi_i) = (\pi_{\nu}(g_{\nu})v_{\pi_{\nu}}, \pi_{\nu}(x^{-1})\phi_i)$. The vector ϕ_i is in the finite dimensional space $V_{\pi}(\tau)$. Hence,

$$\pi_{\nu}(x^{-1})\phi_i = \sum_{j=1}^r \left(\pi_{\nu}(x^{-1})\phi_i, \phi_j\right)\phi_j.$$
(3.43)

We now plug eq. (3.43) to eq. (3.42). Then, we apply the result to eq. (3.41) and get eq. (3.40).

The result now followed immediately by denoting

$$\alpha(\tau,\phi,\phi') := \int\limits_{K_{n,\nu}} \left\langle \xi_{\tau}, \tilde{\tau}\left(x^*\right) \check{\xi}_{\tilde{\tau}} \right\rangle \left(\pi_{\nu}(x^{-1})\phi',\phi \right) dx,$$

which absolutely converges as it is an integral of continuous function on a compact subgroup.

We state two corollaries obtained from this proof,

Corollary 3.8. Let $g_{\nu} \in \operatorname{GL}_n(k_{\nu})$, $x \in K_n$, and $f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}$ a standard section. Then, $f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}(\tilde{\varepsilon}t(I_m, g_{\nu}x))$ equals to a finite sum of elements of the form $c(x)f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}(\tilde{\varepsilon}t(I_m, g_{\nu}))$, where c(x) is independent of s.

Proof. This is eq. (3.33).

Corollary 3.9. Let $g_{\nu} = A\underline{t}B$, be the Cartan decomposition (modulo the center) of g_{ν} as in eq. (3.8), and $f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}$ a standard section. Then, $f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}(\tilde{\varepsilon}t(I_m,g_{\nu}))$ equals to a finite sum of elements of the form $c(A, B)f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}(\tilde{\varepsilon}t(I_m,\underline{t}))$, where c(A, B) is independent of s.

Proof. This follows immediately from eq. (3.32) and corollary 3.8.

In the next subsections we split to the cases of non-Archimedean and Archimedean places.

3.2.2 Non-Archimedean places

Let $\nu < \infty$. We now denote $\underline{t}^{\Delta} := t(I_m, \underline{t})$. Recall that $\tilde{\varepsilon} = \tilde{w}\tilde{u}$ where we write $\tilde{w} := w_{(m-n+1)n}$ and $\tilde{u} := u_{(m-n+1)n}(\underline{b_{n-1}})$. We also denote $\tilde{u}(\underline{t}) := u_{(m-n+1)n}(\underline{b_{n-1}}(\underline{t}))$, where

$$\underline{b_{n-1}}(\underline{t}) = \left(t_{n-1} \cdot e_{n-1}^T, \dots, t_1 \cdot e_1^T\right).$$

Proposition 3.10. Let $\underline{t} \in Z_n(k_\nu) \setminus T^-$. Then, for a standard section $f_{\omega_{\pi_\nu},s}$ we have

$$f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_j(\tilde{w}\tilde{u}(\underline{t})) \left|\det\underline{t}\right|^{ms+\frac{m}{2}},$$

where for $1 \leq j \leq N$, c_j is the inner product of the space of $\rho_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}$ given in eq. (3.34).

Proof. We have

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}\underline{t}^{\Delta} = \left(\tilde{w}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\tilde{w}^{-1}\right)\tilde{w}\left(\left(\underline{t}^{\Delta}\right)^{-1}\tilde{u}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\right) = \left(\tilde{w}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\tilde{w}^{-1}\right)\tilde{w}\tilde{u}(\underline{t}).$$

All the elements above the diagonal of the unipotent matrix $u_{(m-n+1)n}(\underline{b_{n-1}}(\underline{t}))$ are bounded in absolute value by 1. Therefore, $\tilde{w}\tilde{u}(\underline{t}) \in K_{mn,\nu}$. Corollary 3.8 implies that $f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\right)$ equals to a finite sum of elements of the form $c_j(\tilde{w}\tilde{u}(\underline{t}))f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{w}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\tilde{w}^{-1}\right)$. Now, by the same arguments as in the proof of Proposition 3.6 starting with eq. (3.11), we find that $f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{w}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\tilde{w}^{-1}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} |t_i|^{ms+\frac{m}{2}}$ and the proposition follows. \Box

Corollary 3.11. Assume that $\Re(s) >> 0$. In the notation of Lemma 3.7 and proposition 3.10, $I(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}, v_{\pi_{\nu}})(I_m)$ is a finite sum of integrals of the form

$$\alpha\left(\tau,\phi,\phi'\right)\beta\int_{Z_n(k_{\nu})\backslash T^-} P(q_{\nu}^{-s},q_{\nu}^s)\mu(\underline{t})c(X(\tilde{\varepsilon},\underline{t}))\left|\det\underline{t}\right|^{ms+\frac{m}{2}}(\pi_{\nu}(\underline{t})v_{\pi_{\nu}},\phi)\,d\underline{t}\cdot\phi',\quad(3.44)$$

where $P(q_{\nu}^{-s}, q_{\nu}^{s})$ is a holomorphic function (polynomial for non-Archimedean ν), $\mu(\underline{t}) = \mu(K_{n,\nu}\underline{t}K_{n,\nu})$, and c is the inner product of the space of $\rho_{\omega_{\pi\nu},s}$ given in eq. (3.34).

Proof. By Lemma 3.7, $I\left(f_{\omega_{\pi\nu},s}, v_{\pi\nu}\right)(I_m)$ is a finite sum of integrals of the form

$$\alpha\left(\tau,\phi,\phi'\right) \int_{Z_n(k_\nu)\backslash \operatorname{GL}_n(k_\nu)} P(q_\nu^{-s},q_\nu^s) f_{\omega_{\pi_\nu},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(I_m,g_\nu\right)\right) \left(\pi_\nu(g_\nu)v_{\pi_\nu},\phi\right) dg_\nu \cdot \phi'. \quad (3.45)$$

By Corollary 3.9, $f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}(\tilde{\varepsilon}t(I_m,g_{\nu}))$ equals to a finite sum of $c(A,B)f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}(\tilde{\varepsilon}t(I_m,\underline{t}))$, where c(A,B) is independent of s. Since $v_{\pi_{\nu}}$ and ϕ are $K_{n,\nu}$ -finite, we may replace $(\pi_{\nu}(g_{\nu})v_{\pi_{\nu}},\phi)$ by $(\pi_{\nu}(\underline{t})v_{\pi_{\nu}},\phi)$. Hence, by Claim 3.1 we get that $I(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s},v_{\pi_{\nu}})(I_m)$ is a finite sum of terms of the form

$$\alpha\left(\tau,\phi,\phi'\right) \int\limits_{K_{n,\nu}^2} c(A,B) dA dB \int\limits_{Z_n(k_\nu)\backslash T^-} P(q_\nu^{-s},q_\nu^s) \mu(\underline{t}) f_{\omega_{\pi_\nu},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}t\left(I_m,\underline{t}\right)\right) \left(\pi_\nu(\underline{t})v_{\pi_\nu},\phi\right) d\underline{t}\cdot\phi',$$
(2.46)

(3.46) where $\mu(\underline{t}) = \mu(K_{n,\nu}\underline{t}K_{n,\nu})$. The integral $\beta := \int_{K_{n,\nu}^2} c(A, B) dA dB$ absolutely converges. Finally, Proposition 3.10 implies that eq. (3.46) equals to a finite sum of terms of the

finally, Proposition 3.10 implies that eq. (3.40) equals to a finite sum of terms of the form

$$\alpha\left(\tau,\phi,\phi'\right)\beta\int_{Z_n(k_{\nu})\backslash T^-}P(q_{\nu}^{-s},q_{\nu}^s)\mu(\underline{t})c(X(\tilde{\varepsilon},\underline{t}))\left|\det\underline{t}\right|^{ms+\frac{m}{2}}(\pi_{\nu}(\underline{t})v_{\pi_{\nu}},\phi)\,d\underline{t}\cdot\phi',$$

where c is the inner product of the space of $\rho_{\omega_{\pi\nu},s}$ given in eq. (3.34).

Next, we make use of the asymptotic behavior of matrix coefficients due to [Cas95] (quoted from [Haz22] for convenience). For each $\Theta \subseteq \Delta$ and $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, we define

$$T_{\Theta}^{-}(\varepsilon) = \left\{ a \in T \middle| \begin{array}{c} |\alpha(a)| \leq \varepsilon \; \forall \alpha \in \Delta \backslash \Theta \\ \varepsilon < |\alpha(a)| \leq 1 \; \forall \alpha \in \Theta \end{array} \right\}$$

This is a subset of T^- . For each $\Theta \subseteq \Delta$ we denote by $P_{\Theta} = M_{\Theta}N_{\Theta}$ the standard parabolic subgroup corresponding to Θ .

Theorem (Theorem 1 in [Haz22]). Let $v \in V$ and $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{V}$. There exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and finite sets of vectors, that depend on $\{\pi_{\nu}, v, \tilde{v}\}, \underline{p}' = (p'_1, \ldots, p'_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r, \underline{p} = (p_1, \ldots, p_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r_{\geq 0}$, and $\underline{\chi} = (\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_r)$ where for all $1 \leq i \leq r, \chi_i : k^{\times} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ are unitary characters, such that for all $a \in T^-$, one has

$$\langle \pi_{\nu}(a)v, \tilde{v} \rangle = \sum_{\Theta \subseteq \Delta, \underline{p}', \underline{p}, \underline{\chi}} \chi_{A_{\Theta}^{-}(\varepsilon)}(a) \alpha_{\underline{p}', \underline{p}, \underline{\chi}} \prod_{i=1}^{r_{\Theta}} \chi_{i}(a_{i}) \left| a_{i} \right|^{p_{i}'} \log_{q}^{p_{i}} \left| a_{i} \right|,$$
(3.47)

where $\chi_{T_{\Theta}^{-}(\varepsilon)}(a)$ is the indicator function of $T_{\Theta}^{-}(\varepsilon)$, r_{Θ} is such that $T_{M_{\Theta}} \cong (k^{\times})^{r_{\Theta}}$ by the map $a \mapsto (a_1, \ldots, a_{r_{\Theta}})$, and $\alpha_{\underline{p}', \underline{p}, \underline{\chi}} \in \mathbb{C}$ are such that $\alpha_{\underline{p}', \underline{p}, \underline{\chi}} = 0$ for all but finitely many $\underline{p}', \underline{p}, \underline{\chi}$. Let $g = (g_{i,j})_{1 \le i,j \le n} \in \operatorname{GL}_n(k_{\nu})$. Define $||g|| = \max_{1 \le i,j \le n} \{|g_{i,j}|, |g_{i,j}^{-1}|\}$. Then, eq. (3.47) gives in particular,

Corollary 3.12. There exist constants $\lambda, m_a \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$\langle \pi(a)v, \tilde{v} \rangle | \leq \lambda ||a||^{m_a}.$$

Proposition 3.13. The integral $I(f_{\omega_{\pi\nu},s}, v_{\pi\nu})(I_m)$ absolutely converges in $\Re(s) >> 0$.

Proof. For any $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, T^- is the disjoint union of $T^-_{\Theta}(\varepsilon)$ as Θ ranges over all subsets of Δ . Thus, together with Corollary 3.11, $I(f_{\omega_{\pi\nu},s}, v_{\pi\nu})(I_m)$ is a finite sum of integrals of the form

$$\alpha\left(\tau,\phi,\phi'\right)\beta\int_{Z_{n}(k_{\nu})\setminus T_{\Theta}^{-}(\varepsilon)}P(q_{\nu}^{-s},q_{\nu}^{s})\mu(\underline{t})c(X(\tilde{\varepsilon},\underline{t}))\left|\det\underline{t}\right|^{ms+\frac{m}{2}}(\pi_{\nu}(\underline{t})v_{\pi_{\nu}},\phi)\,d\underline{t}\cdot\phi'.$$

where, $P(q_{\nu}^{-s}, q_{\nu}^{s})$ is polynomial, $c(X(\tilde{\varepsilon}, \underline{t}))$ does not depend on $s, \mu(\underline{t}) = \frac{\mu_{M_a}}{\mu_G} \delta_B^{-1}(a)$ (by Claim 3.2). We have $||\underline{t}|| = |t_1|^{-1}$. Therefore, each of the integrals above are bounded in absolute value by

$$\int_{|t_1| \le |t_2| \le \dots |t_{n-1}| \le 1} |t_1|^{1-n-m_a+ms+m/2} |t_2|^{3-n+ms+m/2} \cdots |t_{n-1}|^{n-3+ms+m/2} d^{\times} t_1 \cdots d^{\times} t_{n-1}.$$

By separate variables we find that the last integral equals

$$\int_{|t_1| \leq 1} |t_1|^{1-n-m_a+ms+m/2} d^{\times} t_1 \prod_{j=2}^{n-1} \int_{|t_j| \leq 1} |t_j|^{j+1-n+ms+m/2} d^{\times} t_j.$$

Hence, it is absolutely convergent iff $\Re(1 - n - m_a + ms + m/2) \ge 0$.

Moreover, the integral $I(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}, v_{\pi_{\nu}})(I_m)$ has meromorphic continuation. We show it by first applying eq. (3.47) to Corollary 3.11.

Corollary 3.14. Assume that $\Re(s) >> 0$. In the notation of Lemma 3.7 and proposition 3.10, $I\left(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}, v_{\pi_{\nu}}\right)(I_m)$ is a finite sum of integrals of the form

$$\alpha\left(\tau,\phi,\phi'\right)\beta\int_{Z_{n}(k_{\nu})\setminus T_{\Theta}^{-}(\varepsilon)}P(q_{\nu}^{-s},q_{\nu}^{s})\mu(\underline{t})c(X(\tilde{\varepsilon},\underline{t}))\left|\det\underline{t}\right|^{ms+\frac{m}{2}} (3.48)$$
$$\cdot\alpha_{\underline{p},\underline{p}',\underline{\chi}}\prod_{i=1}^{r_{\Theta}}\chi_{i}(t_{i})\left|t_{i}\right|^{p_{i}}\log^{p_{i}'}\left|t_{i}\right|d\underline{t}\cdot\phi',$$

where $P(q_{\nu}^{-s}, q_{\nu}^{s})$ is a holomorphic function (polynomial for non-Archimedean ν), $\mu(\underline{t}) = \mu(K_{n,\nu}\underline{t}K_{n,\nu})$, and c is the inner product of the space of $\rho_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}$ given in eq. (3.34).

Proposition 3.15. The integral $I(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}, v_{\pi_{\nu}})(I_m)$ has meromorphic continuation for $\Re(s) >> 0$.

Proof. By Corollary 3.11, $I(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}, v_{\pi_{\nu}})(I_m)$ is a finite sum of integrals of the form

$$\alpha\left(\tau,\phi,\phi'\right)\beta\int_{Z_{n}(k_{\nu})\setminus T_{\Theta}^{-}(\varepsilon)}P(q_{\nu}^{-s},q_{\nu}^{s})\mu(\underline{t})c(X(\tilde{\varepsilon},\underline{t}))\left|\det\underline{t}\right|^{ms+\frac{m}{2}}\cdot\alpha_{\underline{p},\underline{p}',\underline{\chi}}\prod_{i=1}^{r_{\Theta}}\chi_{i}(t_{i})\left|t_{i}\right|^{p_{i}}\log^{p_{i}'}\left|t_{i}\right|d\underline{t}\cdot\phi'.$$

where, $P(q_{\nu}^{-s}, q_{\nu}^{s})$ is polynomial, $c(X(\tilde{\varepsilon}, \underline{t}))$ does not depend on s, and by Claim 3.2,

$$\mu(\underline{t}) = q_{\nu}^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (n-2i+1)r_i} \frac{\varphi_n(q^{-1})}{(1-q^{-1})^n} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{(1-q^{-1})^{n_j}}{\varphi_{n_j}(q^{-1})}.$$

We have $||\underline{t}|| = |t_1|^{-1}$. Therefore, each of the integrals above can be brought to the form

$$\int_{|t_1| \le |t_2| \le \dots |t_{n-1}| \le 1} |t_1|^{1-n+ms+m/2} |t_2|^{3-n+ms+m/2} \cdots |t_{n-1}|^{n-3+ms+m/2}$$
$$\prod_{i=1}^{r_{\Theta}} \chi_i(t_i) |t_i|^{p_i} \log^{p'_i} |t_i| d^{\times} t_1 \cdots d^{\times} t_{n-1}.$$

By separating variables we find that the last integral equals

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_{|t_j| \le 1} \chi_j(t_j) |t_j|^{\alpha_j + ms} \log^{p'_j} |t_j| \, d^{\times} t_j.$$
(3.49)

We can assume $\chi_i(t_i) = 0$ for all *i*, otherwise the integral will be zero. Therefore, eq. (3.49) equals

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i q_{\nu}^{-i(\alpha_j + ms)}.$$

The last sum is a derivative of a rational function, and the meromorphic continuation follows. $\hfill \square$

3.2.3 Archimedean places

In this section we briefly provide Archimedean analogues of the results in the Section 3.2.2. Let $\nu = \infty$.

Proposition 3.16. Let $\underline{t} \in Z_n(k_\nu) \setminus T^-$. Then, for a standard section $f_{\omega_{\pi_\nu},s}$ we have

$$f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\right) = c(\tilde{\omega},\underline{t}) \left|\det\underline{t}\right|^{ms+\frac{m}{2}} \omega_{\pi_{\nu}}\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_{j}^{2}\right) \left|1+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_{j}^{2}\right|^{-mn(s+\frac{1}{2})},$$

where $c(\tilde{\omega}, \underline{t})$ is the inner product of the space of $\rho_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}$ given in eq. (3.34) evaluated on a matrix in K_{mn} that depends on $\tilde{\omega}$ and \underline{t} .

Proof. In any case $\nu = \mathbb{R}$ or $\nu = \mathbb{C}$, for all $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, we can write $t_j = r_j e^{i\theta_j}$, where $0 < r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \ldots \leq r_{n-1} \leq 1$ and $-\pi \leq \theta_j < \pi$. We write

$$\underline{t} = \operatorname{diag}(r_1, \dots, r_{n-1}, 1) \operatorname{diag}(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_{n-1}}, 1).$$

By Corollary 3.8 we have

$$f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\right) = c(\underline{\theta})f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}\underline{r}^{\Delta}\right)$$

where $\underline{\theta} = \text{diag}(e^{i\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{i\theta_{n-1}}, 1)$ and $c(\underline{\theta})$ is a holomorphic function in $q_{\nu}^{\pm s}$. Therefore, we assume that $0 < t_1 \le t_2 \le \ldots \le t_{n-1} \le 1$. Following the proof of Proposition 3.10 we get that all the arguments hold except that this time $\tilde{w}\tilde{u}(\underline{t}) \notin K_{mn,\nu}$. Hence,

$$f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\right) = \left|\det\underline{t}\right|^{ms+\frac{m}{2}} f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{w}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\tilde{w}^{-1}\tilde{w}\right).$$
(3.50)

We apply Corollary 3.8 again

$$f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{w}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\right) = c(\tilde{w})f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{w}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\tilde{w}^{-1}\right),\tag{3.51}$$

where $c(\tilde{w})$ is a holomorphic function in $q_{\nu}^{\pm s}$. Now

$$\tilde{w}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\tilde{w}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{mn-1} & 0\\ x(\underline{t}) & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the last row equals

$$(x(\underline{t}), 1) = (0_{(m-n+1)n}, t_{n-1}e_{n-2}, \dots, t_3e_2, t_2e_1 + t_1e_n, e_n).$$
(3.52)

We would like to find the Iwasawa decomposition $\tilde{w}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\tilde{w}^{-1} = x_P x_K$, such that $x_P \in P_{mn-1,1}(k_{\nu})$ and $x_K \in K_{mn,\nu}$. This implies

$$f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{w}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\tilde{w}^{-1}\right) = c(x_K)\left(1\otimes\omega_{\pi_{\nu}}\right)(x_P)\delta_{P_{mn-1,1}}^{s+\frac{1}{2}}(x_P).$$
(3.53)

Most of the rows and columns of $\tilde{w}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\tilde{w}^{-1}$ are already the standard orthonormal basis of k_{ν}^{mn} . Therefore, it is sufficient to find the Iwasawa decomposition

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{n-1} & 0\\ y(\underline{t}) & 1 \end{pmatrix} = y_P y_K, \tag{3.54}$$

where the last row equals

$$(y(\underline{t}), 1) = (t_{n-1}, \dots, t_1, 1).$$
 (3.55)

We denote

$$y_P^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} X_{n,n-1} & \dots & X_{n,0} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ X_{1,n-1} & & X_{1,0} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-1} & 0 \\ y(\underline{t}) & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -u_1 - \\ \vdots \\ -u_n - \end{pmatrix}, \qquad y_K = \begin{pmatrix} -v_n - \\ \vdots \\ -v_1 - \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this notation eq. (3.54) can be written as the following system of equation. For all $1 \le i \le n$,

$$v_i = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} X_{i,r} u_{n-r}.$$

The Gram–Schmidt process gives for all $1 \leq i \leq n$

$$v_i' = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} X_{i,r}' u_{n-r}.$$

This provides y_K by $v_i = \frac{v'_i}{||v'_i||}$, and y_P^{-1} by $X_{i,r} = \frac{X'_{i,r}}{||v'_i||}$. We set $v'_1 = u_n$. For all $1 \le i \le n$ we denote

$$\varphi_i = 1 + \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} t_j^2.$$

We note that $\varphi_1 = ||u_n||^2 = ||v_1'||^2$, $\varphi_n = 1$, and

$$\varphi_i + t_{i-1}^2 = \varphi_{i-1}. \tag{3.56}$$

We show by induction that for $2 \leq i \leq n$ we get

$$v'_{i} = u_{n-i+1} + \frac{t_{i-1}}{\varphi_{i-1}} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{i-2} t_{r} u_{n-r} - u_{n} \right), \qquad (3.57)$$

$$\left|\left|v_{i}'\right|\right|^{2} = \frac{\varphi_{i}}{\varphi_{i-1}}.$$
(3.58)

Indeed, by applying the Gram-Schmidt process for i = 2 we have

$$v'_{2} = u_{n-1} - \frac{\langle u_{n-1}, v'_{1} \rangle}{||v'_{1}||^{2}} v'_{1} = u_{n-1} - \frac{t_{1}}{\varphi_{1}} u_{n}.$$

This also implies,

$$v_{2}' = e_{n-1} - \frac{t_{1}}{\varphi_{1}} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} t_{r} e_{n-r} + e_{n} \right) = \left(1 - \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{\varphi_{1}} \right) e_{n-1} - \frac{t_{1}}{\varphi_{1}} \left(\sum_{r=2}^{n-1} t_{r} e_{n-r} + e_{n} \right).$$

So,

$$\left|\left|v_{2}'\right|\right|^{2} = \left(1 - \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{\varphi_{1}}\right)^{2} + \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{\varphi_{1}^{2}}\left(\sum_{r=2}^{n-1} t_{r}^{2} + 1\right) = \frac{\varphi_{2}^{2}}{\varphi_{1}^{2}} + \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{\varphi_{1}^{2}}\varphi_{2} = \frac{\varphi_{2}}{\varphi_{1}}\left(\frac{\varphi_{2} + t_{1}^{2}}{\varphi_{1}}\right) = \frac{\varphi_{2}}{\varphi_{1}}.$$

Let $2 < i \le n$ and assume the induction hypothesis eqs. (3.57) and (3.58) is true for all $2 \le j < i$. Gram-Schmidt process gives

$$v'_{i} = u_{n-i+1} - \frac{\langle u_{n-i+1}, v'_{1} \rangle}{||v'_{1}||^{2}} v'_{1} - \sum_{\ell=2}^{i-1} \frac{\langle u_{n-i+1}, v'_{\ell} \rangle}{||v'_{\ell}||^{2}} v'_{\ell}.$$
(3.59)

Now,

$$\frac{\langle u_{n-i+1}, v_1' \rangle}{||v_1'||^2} v_1' = \frac{t_{i-1}}{\varphi_1} u_n, \qquad (3.60)$$

and by the induction hypothesis we have for all $1 < \ell < i$

$$\left\langle u_{n-i+1}, v_{\ell}' \right\rangle = \left\langle u_{n-i+1}, u_{n-\ell+1} \right\rangle + \frac{t_{\ell-1}}{\varphi_{\ell-1}} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\ell-2} t_r \left\langle u_{n-i+1}, u_{n-r} \right\rangle - \left\langle u_{n-i+1}, u_n \right\rangle \right).$$

So,

$$\langle u_{n-i+1}, v'_{\ell} \rangle = \frac{t_{\ell-1}t_{i-1}}{\varphi_{\ell-1}}.$$
 (3.61)

By the induction hypothesis, eq. (3.60), and eq. (3.61), we can rewrite eq. (3.59)

$$v_{i}' = u_{n-i+1} - \frac{t_{i-1}}{\varphi_{1}}u_{n} - \sum_{\ell=2}^{i-1} \frac{t_{\ell-1}t_{i-1}}{\varphi_{\ell}}u_{n-\ell+1} + \sum_{\ell=2}^{i-1} \frac{t_{\ell-1}^{2}t_{i-1}}{\varphi_{\ell}\varphi_{\ell-1}} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\ell-2} t_{r}u_{n-r} - u_{n}\right).$$

By rearranging and changing the order of summation we have

$$v_{i}' = u_{n-i+1} - \left(\frac{t_{i-1}}{\varphi_{1}} + \sum_{\ell=2}^{i-1} \frac{t_{\ell-1}^{2} t_{i-1}}{\varphi_{\ell} \varphi_{\ell-1}}\right) u_{n} - \sum_{r=1}^{i-2} \frac{t_{r} t_{i-1}}{\varphi_{r+1}} u_{n-r} + \sum_{r=1}^{i-3} t_{r} u_{n-r} \sum_{\ell=r+2}^{i-1} \frac{t_{\ell-1}^{2} t_{i-1}}{\varphi_{\ell} \varphi_{\ell-1}}.$$
(3.62)

We use eq. (3.62) to find the coefficients $X'_{i,r}$ for all $0 \le r \le n-1$. It is immediate to see that

$$\begin{cases} X'_{i,r} = 0, & i \le r \le n - \\ X'_{i,i-1} = 1, \\ X'_{i,i-2} = \frac{t_{i-1}t_{i-2}}{\varphi_{i-1}}, \end{cases}$$

For $1 \leq r \leq i - 3$,

$$X'_{i,r} = t_r t_{i-1} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi_{r+1}} + \sum_{\ell=r+2}^{i-1} \frac{t_{\ell-1}^2}{\varphi_\ell \varphi_{\ell-1}} \right).$$
(3.63)

1

Generally, for $1 \le a \le n-2$,

$$\frac{1}{\varphi_a} + \frac{t_a^2}{\varphi_{a+1}\varphi_a} = \frac{1}{\varphi_a} \left(1 + \frac{t_a^2}{\varphi_{a+1}} \right) = \frac{1}{\varphi_a} \frac{\varphi_a}{\varphi_{a+1}} = \frac{1}{\varphi_{a+1}}.$$
 (3.64)

Thus, the sum in the parenthesis in eq. (3.63) equals φ_{i-1}^{-1} and $X'_{i,r} = t_r t_{i-1} \varphi_{i-1}^{-1}$, for all $1 \leq r \leq i-3$. This applies to r = 0 as well,

$$X_{i,0}' = t_{i-1} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi_1} + \sum_{\ell=2}^{i-1} \frac{t_{\ell-1}^2}{\varphi_\ell \varphi_{\ell-1}} \right) = \frac{t_{i-1}}{\varphi_{i-1}}.$$
 (3.65)

This implies that eq. (3.57) holds true for all $2 \le i \le n$. We now plug $u_j = e_j$ for all $1 \le j \le n - 1$ and eq. (3.55) in eq. (3.57):

$$v'_{i} = e_{n-i+1} + \frac{t_{i-1}}{\varphi_{i-1}} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{i-2} t_{r} e_{n-r} - \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} t_{r} e_{n-r} + e_{n} \right),$$

and rearrange

$$v_i' = \left(1 - \frac{t_{i-1}^2}{\varphi_{i-1}}\right) e_{n-i+1} - \frac{t_{i-1}}{\varphi_{i-1}} \sum_{r=i}^{n-1} t_r e_{n-r} + \frac{t_{i-1}}{\varphi_{i-1}} e_n.$$

Therefore,

$$\left|\left|v_{i}'\right|\right|^{2} = \left(1 - \frac{t_{i-1}^{2}}{\varphi_{i-1}}\right)^{2} + \frac{t_{i-1}^{2}}{\varphi_{i-1}^{2}}\sum_{r=i}^{n-1} t_{r}^{2} + \frac{t_{i-1}^{2}}{\varphi_{i-1}^{2}} = \frac{\varphi_{i}^{2}}{\varphi_{i-1}^{2}} + \frac{t_{i-1}^{2}\varphi_{i}}{\varphi_{i-1}^{2}} = \frac{\varphi_{i}}{\varphi_{i-1}},$$

and

$$v_i = e_{n-i+1} - \frac{t_{i-1}}{\varphi_i} \sum_{r=i}^{n-1} t_r e_{n-r} + \frac{t_{i-1}}{\varphi_i} e_n.$$

Thereby, y_P^{-1} is an upper triangular matrix with diagonal

diag
$$(X_{n,n-1},\ldots,X_{1,0})$$
 = diag $\left(\frac{1}{||v'_n||},\ldots,\frac{1}{||v'_1||}\right)$ = diag $\left(\frac{\sqrt{\varphi_{n-1}}}{\sqrt{\varphi_n}},\ldots,\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varphi_1}}\right)$.

Thus, y_P is also an upper triangular matrix with diagonal

diag
$$\left(\frac{\sqrt{\varphi_n}}{\sqrt{\varphi_{n-1}}},\ldots,\sqrt{\varphi_1}\right)$$
.

Now, by eq. (3.52) we get that x_P is an upper triangular matrix with diagonal

diag
$$\left(0_{(m-n+1)n}, \frac{\sqrt{\varphi_n}}{\sqrt{\varphi_{n-1}}}e_{n-2}, \dots, \frac{\sqrt{\varphi_4}}{\sqrt{\varphi_3}}e_2, \frac{\sqrt{\varphi_3}}{\sqrt{\varphi_2}}e_1 + \frac{\sqrt{\varphi_2}}{\sqrt{\varphi_1}}e_n, \sqrt{\varphi_1}e_n\right).$$
 (3.66)

Now we can use eq. (3.66) to evaluate eq. (3.53).

$$f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{w}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\tilde{w}^{-1}\right) = c(x_{K})\omega_{\pi_{\nu}}(\sqrt{\varphi_{1}})\left|\sqrt{\varphi_{1}}\right|^{-mn(s+\frac{1}{2})}$$

Plugging this result to eq. (3.51) and then to eq. (3.50) gives

$$f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\right) = \left|\det\underline{t}\right|^{ms+\frac{m}{2}} c(\tilde{w})c(x_K)\omega_{\pi_{\nu}}(\sqrt{\varphi_1})\left|\sqrt{\varphi_1}\right|^{-mn(s+\frac{1}{2})}.$$

Recall that x_K is the compact part in the Iwasawa decomposition of $\tilde{w}\underline{t}^{\Delta}\tilde{w}^{-1}$. So, by denoting $c(\tilde{\omega},\underline{t}) := c(\underline{\theta})c(\tilde{w})c(x_K)$ the proof is done.

Corollary 3.17. Assume that $\Re(s) >> 0$. In the notation of Lemma 3.7 and proposition 3.10, $I(f_{\omega_{\pi\nu},s}, v_{\pi\nu})(I_m)$ is a finite sum of integrals of the form

$$\alpha\left(\tau,\phi,\phi'\right) \int_{Z_{n}(k_{\nu})\backslash T^{-}} P(q_{\nu}^{-s},q_{\nu}^{s})\mu(\underline{t})c(\tilde{\omega},\underline{t}) \left|\det \underline{t}\right|^{ms+\frac{m}{2}} \omega_{\pi_{\nu}}\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}t_{j}^{2}\right) \cdot \left|1+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}t_{j}^{2}\right|^{-mn(s+\frac{1}{2})} (\pi_{\nu}(\underline{t})v_{\pi_{\nu}},\phi) d\underline{t} \cdot \phi',$$

$$(3.67)$$

where $P(q_{\nu}^{-s}, q_{\nu}^{s})$ is a holomorphic function, $\mu(\underline{t}) = \mu(K_{n,\nu}\underline{t}K_{n,\nu})$, and c is the inner product of the space of $\rho_{\omega_{\pi\nu},s}$ given in eq. (3.34).

Proof. The proof is the same as the proof of Corollary 3.11, except that this time we apply Proposition 3.16 instead of Proposition 3.10.

Next, we make use of the asymptotic behavior of matrix coefficients due to [Cas80]. Embed T^- in \mathbb{C}^{Δ} : $\underline{t} \mapsto (\alpha(\underline{t}))_{\alpha \in \Delta}$. For each $s \in \mathbb{C}^{\Delta}$ define functions which are single-valued on T^- , multivalued on the complement of coordinate hyperplanes in \mathbb{C}^{Δ} :

$$a^{s} \log^{c} a = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} \alpha(a)^{s_{\alpha}} \log^{c_{\alpha}} \alpha(a),$$

where $c \in \mathbb{N}^{\Delta} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{\Delta}$, the set of integer vectors in \mathbb{C}^{Δ} .

Proposition (Casselman, 1978). There exist finite sets $S \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{\Delta}$, $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\Delta}$ such that for every $v \in V$, $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{V}$, there exist functions $h_{s,c}$ ($s \in S, c \in \mathcal{M}$) holomorphic in T^- with

$$\langle \pi(a)v, \tilde{v} \rangle = \sum_{s \in S, c \in \mathcal{M}} h_{s,c} a^s \log^c a, \qquad (3.68)$$

for $a \in T^-$.

Similarly to the non-Archimedean case we can deduce in particular,

Corollary 3.18. There exist $\lambda, m_a \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$|\langle \pi(a)v, \tilde{v} \rangle| \le \lambda ||a||^{m_a}$$

Proposition 3.19. The integral $I(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}, v_{\pi_{\nu}})(I_m)$ absolutely converges in $\Re(s) >> 0$.

Proof. By Corollary 3.17, the integral $I(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu},s}}, v_{\pi_{\nu}})(I_m)$ is a finite sum of integrals of the form eq. (3.67). By Claim 3.3 we have $\mu(\underline{t}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+} |\sinh \alpha(H)|^{\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}}$. In addition, $||\underline{t}|| = |t_1|^{-1}$. Thus, by Corollary 3.18, each of the integrals above is bounded in absolute value by

$$\int_{|t_1| \le |t_2| \le \dots |t_{n-1}| \le 1} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} e^{c_i t_i} |t_1|^{1-n-m_a+ms+m/2} |t_2|^{3-n+ms+m/2} \cdots |t_{n-1}|^{n-3+ms+m/2} d^{\times} t_1 \cdots d^{\times} t_{n-1}.$$

By separating variables, the last integral can be brought to the form

$$\int_{|t_1| \le 1} e^{c_1 t_1} |t_1|^{1-n-m_a+ms+m/2} d^{\times} t_1 \prod_{j=2}^{n-1} \int_{|t_j| \le 1} e^{c_j t_j} |t_j|^{j+1-n+ms+m/2} d^{\times} t_j.$$

Hence, it is absolutely convergent iff $\Re(1 - n - m_a + ms + m/2) \ge 0$.

Moreover, the integral $I(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu},s}}, v_{\pi_{\nu}})(I_m)$ has meromorphic continuation. In order to see that we first apply eq. (3.68) to Corollary 3.17 and obtain:

Corollary 3.20. Assume that $\Re(s) >> 0$. In the notation of Lemma 3.7 and proposition 3.16, $I(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}, v_{\pi_{\nu}})(I_m)$ is a finite sum of integrals of the form

$$\alpha\left(\tau,\phi,\phi'\right) \int_{Z_{n}(k_{\nu})\backslash T^{-}} P(q_{\nu}^{-s},q_{\nu}^{s})\mu(\underline{t})c(\tilde{\omega},\underline{t}) \left|\det \underline{t}\right|^{ms+\frac{m}{2}} \omega_{\pi_{\nu}} \left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}t_{j}^{2}\right) \\ \cdot \left|1+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}t_{j}^{2}\right|^{-mn(s+\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{s\in S,c\in\mathcal{M}} h_{s,c}\underline{t}^{s}\log^{c}\underline{t}d\underline{t}\cdot\phi',$$

$$(3.69)$$

where $P(q_{\nu}^{-s}, q_{\nu}^{s})$ is a holomorphic function, $\mu(\underline{t}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_{+}} |\sinh \alpha(H)|^{\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}}$, and c is the inner product of the space of $\rho_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}$ given in eq. (3.34).

Proposition 3.21. The integral $I(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}, v_{\pi_{\nu}})(I_m)$ has meromorphic continuation for $\Re(s) >> 0$.

Proof. By Corollary 3.11, $I(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}, v_{\pi_{\nu}})(I_m)$ is a finite sum of integrals of the form

$$\alpha\left(\tau,\phi,\phi'\right)\beta\int_{Z_n(k_\nu)\setminus T_{\Theta}^-(\varepsilon)}P(q_{\nu}^{-s},q_{\nu}^s)\mu(\underline{t})c(X(\tilde{\varepsilon},\underline{t}))\left|\det\underline{t}\right|^{ms+\frac{m}{2}}\sum_{s\in S,c\in\mathcal{M}}h_{s,c}\underline{t}^s\log^c\underline{t}d\underline{t}\cdot\phi'.$$

where, $P(q_{\nu}^{-s}, q_{\nu}^{s})$ is a holomorphic function, $c(X(\tilde{\varepsilon}, \underline{t}))$ does not depend on s, $\mu(\underline{t}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_{+}} |\sinh \alpha(H)|^{\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}}$ (by Claim 3.3). We have $||\underline{t}|| = |t_{1}|^{-1}$. Therefore, each of the integrals above equals

$$\int_{|t_1| \le |t_2| \le \dots |t_{n-1}| \le 1} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} e^{c_i t_i} |t_1|^{1-n+ms+m/2} |t_2|^{3-n+ms+m/2} \cdots |t_{n-1}|^{n-3+ms+m/2} \\ \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{r_{\Theta}} \chi_i(t_i) |t_i|^{p_i} \log^{p'_i} |t_i| d^{\times} t_1 d^{\times} t_2 \cdots d^{\times} t_{n-1}.$$

By separating variables we find that the last integral equals

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_{|t_j| \le 1} e^{c_j t_j} \chi_j(t_j) |t_j|^{\alpha_j + ms} \log^{p'_j} |t_j| \, d^{\times} t_j.$$
(3.70)

Each of the classic integrals in eq. (3.70) has meromorphic continuation and so does $I(f_{\omega_{\pi_{\nu}},s}, v_{\pi_{\nu}})(I_m)$.

Acknowledgment

I am grateful to my advisor, David Soudry, for his guidance, patience, support, and for many insightful discussions. I would also like to thank David Ginzburg for suggesting the integral construction in eq. (1.1), and for his kind help and advice. My sincere gratitude goes to Solomon Friedberg and Elad Zelingher for their kind encouragement and practical suggestions. This work was supported by the Israel science foundation.

Bibliography

- [Cas80] William Casselman. Jacquet modules for real reductive groups. In Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Helsinki, 1978), volume 557563. Acad. Sci. Fennica Helsinki, 1980.
- [Cas95] William Casselman. Introduction to the theory of admissible representations of p-adic reductive groups, unpublished notes distributed by paul sally. 1995.
- [CFGK16] Y. Cai, S. Friedberg, D. Ginzburg, and E. Kaplan. Doubling constructions for covering groups and tensor product *L*-functions. arXiv e-prints, 2016. arXiv:1601.08240.
- [GJ72] Roger Godement and Hervé Jacquet. Zeta functions of simple algebras, volume 260. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.

- [GPSR97] D. Ginzburg, I. Piatetski-Shapiro, and S. Rallis. L functions for the orthogonal group. Memoirs AMS, no. 611, Vol. 128, 128(611), 1997.
- [GRS11] D. Ginzburg, S. Rallis, and D. Soudry. The descent map from automorphic representations of GL(n) to classical groups. World Scientific, 2011.
- [GS18] D. Ginzburg and D. Soudry. Two identities relating Eisenstein series on classical groups. *arXiv preprint*, 2018. arXiv:1808.01572.
- [GS19] D. Ginzburg and D. Soudry. Integrals derived from the doubling method. IMRN, 2019. rnz147.
- [Haz22] Zahi Hazan. A note on the asymptotic expansion of matrix coefficients over *p*-adic fields. *arXiv preprint*, 2022. arXiv:2211.15822.
- [Hel84] Sigurdur Helgason. Groups and geometric analysis: integral geometry, invariant differential operators, and spherical functions, volume 83. Academic Press Incorporated, 1984.
- [II10] Atsushi Ichino and Tamutsu Ikeda. On the periods of automorphic forms on special orthogonal groups and the gross–prasad conjecture. *Geometric* and Functional Analysis, 19(5):1378–1425, 2010.
- [Ike94] T. Ikeda. On the theory of Jacobi forms and Fourier-Jacobi coefficients of Eisenstein series. J. Math. Kyoto Univ., no. 3, Vol. 34, 34(3):615–636, 1994.
- [Kap13] Eyal Kaplan. Rankin-Selberg Convolutions for $SO_{2\ell+1} \times GL_n$. Tel-Aviv University. Raymond and Beverly Sackler faculty of exact sciences. School of mathematical sciences, 2013.
- [Kna01] Anthony W Knapp. Representation theory of semisimple groups: an overview based on examples. 2001.
- [Mac98] Ian Grant Macdonald. Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials. Oxford university press, 1998.
- [Mœg97] C. Mœglin. Quelques propriétés de base des séries théta. J. Lie Theory, no. 2, Vol. 7, 7(2):231–238, 1997.
- [Mui08] Goran Muić. A geometric construction of intertwining operators for reductive p-adic groups. manuscripta mathematica, 125(2):241–272, 2008.
- [Wal03] J-L Waldspurger. La formule de plancherel pour les groupes p-adiques. d'apres harish-chandra. Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu, 2(2):235–333, 2003.

School of Mathematical Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv, Tel Aviv 6997801, Israel

E-mail address: zahihaza@tauex.tau.ac.il