

Anger Breeds Controversy: Analyzing Controversy and Emotions on Reddit

Kai Chen

USC Information Sciences Institute
Marina del Rey, CA, USA
kchen035@usc.edu

Zihao He

USC Information Sciences Institute
Marina del Rey, CA, USA
zihao@usc.edu

Rong-Ching Chang

University of California, Davis
Davis, CA, USA
rocchang@ucdavis.edu

Jonathan May

USC Information Sciences Institute
Marina del Rey, CA, USA
jonmay@isi.edu

Kristina Lerman

USC Information Sciences Institute
Marina del Rey, CA, USA
lerman@isi.edu

ABSTRACT

Emotions play an important role in interpersonal interactions and social conflict, yet their function in the development of controversy and disagreement in online conversations has not been explored. To address this gap, we study controversy on Reddit, a popular network of online discussion forums. We collect discussions from a wide variety of topical forums and use emotion detection to recognize a range of emotions from text, including anger, fear, joy, admiration, etc. Our study has three main findings. First, controversial comments express more anger and less admiration, joy and optimism than non-controversial comments. Second, controversial comments affect emotions of downstream comments in a discussion, usually resulting in long-term increase in anger and a decrease in positive emotions, although the magnitude and direction of emotional change depends on the forum. Finally, we show that emotions help better predict which comments will become controversial. Understanding emotional dynamics of online discussions can help communities to better manage conversations.

CCS CONCEPTS

• **Information systems** → **Social networking sites**; • **Human-centered computing** → *Empirical studies in collaborative and social computing*; • **Applied computing** → *Sociology*.

KEYWORDS

Controversy, emotion, Reddit, comment, discussion

ACM Reference Format:

Kai Chen, Zihao He, Rong-Ching Chang, Jonathan May, and Kristina Lerman. 2023. Anger Breeds Controversy: Analyzing Controversy and Emotions on Reddit. In *Proceedings of WebScience (WebScience '23)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 9 pages. <https://doi.org/XXXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from [permissions@acm.org](https://permissions.acm.org).

WebScience '23, April 30–May 01, 2023, Austin, TX

© 2023 Association for Computing Machinery.
ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-XXXX-X/18/06...\$15.00
<https://doi.org/XXXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

1 INTRODUCTION

The social web has linked millions of people worldwide, creating “digital town squares” for exchanging ideas, opinions, and beliefs. On platforms like Reddit and Twitter, among many others, people post messages or respond to the messages posted by others. The low barriers to entry into global online conversations offers society many benefits, such as democratizing the production and distribution of information, reducing the power of traditional gatekeepers to decide what information gets attention, creating better ways for people to learn from the diverse experiences of others, and catalyzing mass protest movements. Unfortunately, the same mechanisms that lead to societal benefits are also responsible for creating unique new vulnerabilities. Exchanging diverse viewpoints within global online communities invites disagreement, which malicious actors and anti-social trolls exploit to derail conversations, spread misinformation, and inflame polarization. The rise in anti-social online behaviors has had profound consequences on society, undermining collective trust in institutions and in democracy itself [19].

Online communities have tried to reduce harmful speech by mediating discussions to remove messages that violate community norms due to toxicity, harassment, or personal attacks [27]. However, manual moderation does not scale to the volume and speed of online conversations. Although machine moderation has improved in recent years, with tools that automatically recognize harassment, hate speech, and other types of toxic speech [24, 28, 29], these methods treat the symptoms, rather than causes of the problem. In order to better identify and mediate controversy, we need to understand how controversy develops and derails conversations in open online communities before we can effectively—and automatically—moderate them.

Researchers have attempted to identify controversial discussions in online communities using network approaches [17] or features derived from user activity [23]. Others have trained models to learn language cues associated with controversial comments [27, 33]. With advances in natural language processing, we are now able to move beyond these works to explore psycholinguistic dimensions of controversy. Specifically, we study how controversy and disagreement develop within online discussions through the lens of emotions. We focus on emotions because they are the cornerstone of interpersonal interactions [31] and shape the social response to conflict [2]. Emotions are also important in online interactions and have been shown to contribute to the viral spread of topics [5, 7, 12].

However, the role of emotions in the development of controversy or disagreement in online discussions has not been explored.

We study Reddit, a popular network of online communities. Within Reddit’s many topical forums, or ‘subreddits,’ members post new topics for discussion, and others comment on these submissions or respond to the comments of others. Community members can upvote or downvote any comment, expressing their agreement or disagreement with it. Reddit automatically flags a comment as *controversial* if it has a large and similar number of upvotes and downvotes.

To study how emotions affect the development of controversy and disagreement in online interactions, we pose the following research questions:

- RQ1** Are controversial comments more emotional than non-controversial comments?
- RQ2** How do controversial comments change emotions in the discussion?
- RQ3** Can we identify controversial comments at time of creation, i.e., before they become controversial?

To answer these research questions, we use a state-of-the-art emotion detection method [1] to measure a range of emotions expressed in text. We find that controversial comments on Reddit express substantially more anger and less joy, love and optimism than non-controversial comments. Although controversial comments represent a small fraction of all comments in a discussion—typically, only 3% of comments are controversial—we show that discussions with at least one controversial comment also express more anger and less positive emotions like joy and love. To explain this observation, we investigate how controversial comments change emotions of the subsequent discussion by comparing the emotions expressed in comments that follow a controversial comment to the emotions expressed in the comments preceding it. We find that controversial comments set the long-term emotional tone of discussions. Finally, we show that adding emotions as features to a state-of-the-art controversial comment classification method leads to significant performance improvement. This enables us to predict whether a comment will become controversial, potentially allowing a moderator to step in to keep the conversation from becoming overheated.

We argue that, besides focusing on simple metrics like the number of upvotes and downvotes of comments, public media watchdogs and social media platforms should pay attention to the emotional tone of online discussions. Understanding the emotional dynamics of online conversations could help communities engage in more constructive dialog and prevent disagreement and controversy from derailing conversations.

2 RELATED WORK

In this section, we briefly introduce controversy detection on different social media platforms, emotion detection, and a few recent works that have laid the groundwork by combining emotions and controversy detection.

2.1 Controversy Detection on Social Platforms

Previous work has explored different methods to detect and predict controversy in online platforms. Some works leverage the network

structures between the users, submissions, and text features for detection of controversial comments. For example, Garimella et al. [17] construct conversation graphs on Twitter and characterize controversy based on graph structures, such as random walks, betweenness centrality, and low dimensional embeddings. They define a measure of controversy based on random walks, which measures how likely a random user joining a controversial discussion is to be exposed to the dominant authority of each side in the debate. They show that this method using graph structural features is better at identifying controversial topics than those using content-based features. Zayats and Ostendorf [33] train a graph-structured bidirectional LSTM to predict the popularity of comments in Reddit discussions and further use language cues to help identify controversial comments. Similarly, Park et al. [27] detect norm violations on Reddit, leveraging LSTM and pretrained language models, which are more suitable for sequential data.

Koncar et al. [23] identify controversial comments in multilingual discussions on Reddit by training a linear classifier on features of comments and discussions. They explore different types of features, including lexical features of the comments themselves, the predecessors, and successors. They find that user activities (such as the rate at which people comment before and after a controversial comment, and the number of preceding comments) produce the most discriminating features. One confounding factor is that discussions with controversial comments receive more attention since they are flagged by Reddit, making them easier to find through its user interface. The increased attention affects the evolution of controversial discussions. Jang and Allan [22] summarize controversy through stance-indicativeness, articulation, and topic relevance and the evaluation shows that their summaries based on these lexicon features has a better understanding of the controversy.

Besides detecting individual controversial comments, some works have focused on identifying controversial submissions, which initiate discussions on Reddit. Hessel and Lee [20] and Zhong et al. [34] define controversial submissions by ratio of upvotes to all votes. Hessel and Lee [20] focus on both the textual contents of comments as well as the discussion hierarchy. They conclude that the textual contents are significantly more helpful for detecting submission controversy but fail to generalize to different forums (subreddits); however, structural features are more generalizable.

2.2 Emotion Recognition

To understand emotions in human text, early research uses dictionary-based methods to measure the sentiment expressed in messages by counting positive or negative words they contain [6, 9, 15, 18, 25]. Another popular approach measures emotions in text along the dimensions of valence and arousal, with the former capturing the level of pleasure, or positive sentiment, expressed in text, and the latter capturing the level of activation induced by the emotion. This approach relies on lexicons, e.g., the WKB lexicon [32], that include valence and arousal scores of common English words. After lemmatizing the input text, they average the scores of terms that match the lexicon features. Using these methods, researchers find that the sentiment of tweets display characteristic diurnal and weekly patterns of mood variation [18] and are able to track the geographic distribution of emotional wellbeing [21].

These lexicon-based approaches, however, do not account for context and are difficult to extend to multilingual data due to the effort required to label words. To address these challenges, a new generation of methods based on large language models enables a wider range of emotional expressions to be quantified at scale [1]. These methods benefit from the availability of large-scale datasets of sentences that have associated emotion labels. For example, Mohammad et al. [26] provide a corpus of tweets annotated with emotion labels in English, Arabic and Spanish. Multilingual transformers like XLM-T [3, 16] have been trained on this data, extending emotion detection capability to multilingual settings. In addition, GoEmotions [13] is a dataset of 58k English Reddit comments with up to 28 different categories of emotion.

2.3 Emotions and Controversy Online

Research exploring the role of emotions in controversy on a large scale is largely under-explored. Bi [5] studies the role of emotions in the diffusion of posts on Facebook. She finds evidence that both positive and negative emotions are directly associated with the diffusion of highly controversial topics. Mejova et al. [25] categorize news into controversial and non-controversial and find that controversial news tends to use more negative emotional words. Similarly, Stieglitz and Dang-Xuan [30] and Brady et al. [8] discover that emotional tweets tend to spread wider and faster based on analysis of political discussions on Twitter.

Building upon prior works, we focus on psycholinguistic indicators, specifically emotions expressed in comments. We further extend the contribution by studying the relationship and dynamics between emotions and controversial comments in different granularity, covering individual comments, how controversial comments impact the succeeding comments in discussions, and the ability to detect controversial comments using emotional cues.

3 DATA

Reddit is a popular social platform for user discussions. It consists of a wide range of topical forums, or subreddits. In each subreddit, a user can start a discussion by posting a new submission, which other users can comment on or respond to the comments of others. We use Pushshift API [4] to collect Reddit discussions. Pushshift has archives of Reddit data dating back to 2005, which includes complete discussions and metadata, such as the controversial tag. A comment is automatically flagged by Reddit as controversial when the numbers of upvotes and downvotes it has are both high and very similar. We further define a controversial discussion as the one that includes at least one controversial comment.

From the collected discussions, we create two datasets – Dataset I: Popular Forums (used in Sec. 4.2 and Sec. 4.3) and Dataset II: Multilingual Forums (used in Sec. 4.4).

3.1 Dataset I: Popular Forums

We collect data from the 100 most popular subreddits on Reddit based on the number of subscribers. For subreddits that were very large, we randomly under-sample discussions so that the number of discussions from all subreddits were roughly similar. We then filter out discussions with fewer than five comments, and discard ten subreddits that disallow users from commenting after 2022, such as

r/announcement. The remaining 90 subreddits cover a large variety of topics, such as art (*r/Art*, *r/pics*), music (*r/Music*, *r/listentothis*), sports (*r/sports*, *r/nba*), politics (*r/politics*, *r/news*), science (*r/science*, *r/space*), humor (*r/jokes*, *r/Animalsbeingbros*, *r/facepalm*), gender (*r/TwoXChromosomes*), advice (*r/lifehacks*, *r/LifeProTips*), gaming (*r/PS4*, *r/Minecraft*), and emotional reactions (*r/aww*, *r/wholesomememes*, *r/mademesmile*), among many others. The complete list of the 90 subreddits are shown on the y-axis of Figure 2. We call this dataset of popular forums Dataset I and show the statistics in Table 1.

	min	max	mean	median
avg. # of comments per discussion	7.9	557.6	104.4	82
ratio of controversial comments	0.3%	9.7%	3%	2.8%
ratio of moderated comments	3.1%	57.9%	12.7%	9.5%

Table 1: Statistics of discussions in Dataset I: Popular Forums.

3.2 Dataset II: Multilingual Forums

For controversy detection, we sample six subreddits from the aforementioned popular forums covering four different categories: science (*r/science* and *r/technology*), question & answer (*r/AskScience* and *r/AskReddit*), news (*r/news* and *r/worldnews*). To further demonstrate our approach’s generalizability to discussions in languages other than English, we add multilingual discussions from subreddits *r/france* (in French) and *r/de* (in German). We call this dataset of multilingual forums Dataset II and report the statistics in Table 2.

4 METHODS AND RESULTS

We answer our research questions by analyzing emotions and controversy of online discussions. We define a discussion to be controversial if it has at least one comment that has been tagged as controversial by Reddit ¹. As a reminder, a comment is tagged as “controversial” if it has the same (or similar) number of upvotes as downvotes, and both numbers are large.

4.1 Overview of Emotions on Reddit

To recognize emotions expressed in text, we use a multilingual emotion detection model from Chochlakis et al. [10, 11]. The model is based on SpanEmo [1] that is the state-of-the-art in emotion detection. The original backbone language model BERT [14] is replaced with multilingual XLM-T [3, 16], which is more suitable in a multilingual setting to handle text inputs in large number of languages. The model was finetuned on SemEval 2018 Task 1 E-c data [26] and GoEmotions [13], with ten simplified *emotion clusters*: “Anger, Hate, Contempt, Disgust,” “Embarrassment, Guilt, Shame, Sadness,” “Admiration, Love,” “Optimism, Hope,” “Joy, Happiness,” “Pride, National Pride,” “Fear, Pessimism,” “Amusement,” “Other Positive Emotions,” and “Other Negative Emotions.” Further details

¹Results do not differ qualitatively when using a higher threshold of the number of controversial comments to define controversial discussions

	r/science	r/technology	r/news	r/worldnews	r/AskReddit	r/AskScience	r/france	r/de
number of discussions	32,744	102,246	8,691	17,858	188,177	73,665	50,558	63,058
number of comments	1,681,039	1,482,271	2,116,989	2,693,907	6,615,721	1,681,039	1,628,475	1,845,356
average discussion length	51.3	14.4	243.5	150.8	35.2	5.7	32.2	29.2
ratio of controversial comments	4.4%	5.8%	7.4%	7.9%	1.2%	1.1%	5.9%	5.1%
ratio of removed comments	42.5%	10.8%	18.5%	11.2%	5.8%	47.3%	6.3%	6.3%

Table 2: Statistics of Dataset II: Multilingual Forums.

of the model and performance are described in [10, 11].² Given input text, the model returns one scalar value per emotion, indicating the *confidence* that the emotion is present. Since it is a multi-label classification setting, the model can assign multiple (or no) emotions to text input. Therefore, for each input Reddit comment, we have a 10d confidence vector of the ten emotion clusters.

Consider a discussion of length L (i.e. it has L comments). We measure the confidence $f_i(e)$ of emotion e expressed in comment i of the discussion using the emotion detection model. By averaging over all L comments in a discussion, we obtain $\hat{f}(e)$, its average emotion confidence. Figure 1 shows the distribution of $\hat{f}(e)$ for five emotions of controversial discussions (orange curve) and non-controversial discussions (blue curve) on four subreddits. We observe that the controversial and non-controversial discussions have largely different distributions on some emotions. Anger/Hate-/Contempt/Disgust, for example, has systematically higher confidence in controversial discussions on *r/france* and *r/news* compared to non-controversial discussions. On the other hand, the confidence of the Admiration/Love emotion is higher in non-controversial discussions on *r/art* and *r/science*. Our research quantifies these differences and helps explain how they arise.

4.2 RQ1: Emotions in Controversial Comments

To answer our first research question, we compare emotions expressed in controversial comments to emotions in non-controversial comments. Let CC be the set of controversial comments in a subreddit, and NC be the set of non-controversial comments in the same subreddit. We define emotion gap δ_e as the difference between the mean confidence of emotion e in controversial comments and its mean confidence in non-controversial comments:

$$\delta_e = \frac{1}{|CC|} \sum_{i \in CC} f_i(e) - \frac{1}{|NC|} \sum_{i \in NC} f_i(e).$$

We calculate δ_e separately for each emotion and subreddit.

Figure 2 shows the emotion gaps δ_e for all ten emotion clusters and across all 90 subreddits in Dataset I. We observe strong global trends. The Anger/Hate/Disgust emotion cluster is consistently stronger in controversial comments than in non-controversial comments (as indicated by bright red colors in Fig. 2). Negative other emotions are also higher in controversial comments, but there are no strong differences in other negative emotions like Embarrassment/Guilt/Shame and Fear/Pessimism. In contrast, positive emotions are stronger in non-controversial comments than in controversial comments. For example, Admiration/Love, Joy/Happiness, and Positive-other are all much less common in controversial comments than in non-controversial comments (as indicated

by bright blue color in Fig. 2). The emotion Amusement appears to be stronger in controversial comments in half of the forums, but rarely weaker. This emotion is usually used to denote text that is funny, but sometimes also captures sarcasm. This suggests that controversial comments are often funny or sarcastic, though not in all forums.

There are many differences across subreddits in the strength of the emotion gap. For example, compared to other forums, subreddits *r/Music*, *r/listentothis*, *r/Art* have strongest differences across all emotions. Controversial comments on these forums have much more Anger than non-controversial comments, but also much less Admiration and Joy than non-controversial comments. Surprisingly, the forums that we expected to have more controversy, like *r/politics* and *r/AmItheAsshole*, show smaller emotional differences between controversial and non-controversial comments.

These results answer our first research question. *Controversial comments are angrier than non-controversial comments and express less positive emotion, like love, joy and optimism.* These differences also apply to controversial discussions, though the magnitude of the emotional gap is reduced: compared to non-controversial discussions, controversial discussions express more anger and less admiration and joy. Controversial comments alone do not explain the difference in the emotionality of controversial discussions, since they represent a small share of all comments (see Table 1). Instead, controversial comments change the emotional tone of subsequent comments, which we explore next.

4.3 RQ2: Controversial Comments Change Emotions in Discussions

In this section, we explore how controversial comments shape emotions in the discussions. To quantify the impact of a controversial comment i on emotion e in a discussion, we calculate the difference between the average confidence of e in comments posted *after* the comment i and comments that came *before* it. Let L be the length of a discussion, and i the position of a controversial comment within the discussion. We refer to comments in positions $\{1, \dots, i-1\}$ as *predecessors* of the controversial comment, and comments in positions $\{i+1, \dots, L\}$ as *successors* of comment i . The emotional impact θ_e^i of the controversial comment i is:

$$\theta_e^i = \frac{1}{(L-i)} \sum_{j>i} f_j(e) - \frac{1}{(i-1)} \sum_{j<i} f_j(e).$$

If $\theta_e^i > 0$, the controversial comment i leads to more emotion e in subsequent comments; otherwise, if $\theta_e^i < 0$, the comment i reduces that emotion in the discussion.

²<https://github.com/gchochla/Demux-MEmo>




Figure 1: Distributions of five emotion clusters of discussions on four subreddits. The emotion confidence values of each discussion are averaged from those of each comment within it.

To measure the overall impact of controversial comments on emotions, we average the impact of all controversial comments within each subreddit’s discussions. Figure 3 shows this quantity across all emotions and all 90 subreddits. Most subreddits follow the same pattern: negative emotions like Anger/Hate/Contempt/Disgust, Fear/Pessimism and other negative emotions rise after a controversial comment and positive emotions generally, though not always, fall. There are some exceptions to this trend. In *r/nosleep* and *r/AmItheAsshole* subreddits, anger decreases after a controversial comment. Moreover, positive emotions, such as Admirations/Love and Joy/Happiness, in *r/photoshopbattle* and *r/WritingPrompts* increase after a controversial comment. Amusement rises systematically in almost all subreddits, on par with negative emotions. This suggests rising sarcasm in controversial discussions.

These findings answer our second research question and suggest that *controversial comments lead to long-term changes in the emotional tone of discussions*, typically, not only raising anger of

downstream comments but also reducing positive emotions of discussions. However, different communities respond differently to controversy, resulting in some deviations from this pattern. Therefore, automatic tools to moderate controversial comments will need to take the varying community context into account.

4.4 RQ3: Predicting Controversy from Emotions

Koncar et al. [23] address the task of predicting whether a comment i in a discussion on Reddit is controversial using a variety of lexical and user activity features. They find that the most predictive features were ones related to user activity, which they calculate based on comments that preceded the comment i in a discussion (i.e., predecessors) and comments that succeeded it (i.e., successors):

- Predecessor features: number of comments preceding the current comment i , number of unique authors of preceding comments, elapsed time from the first predecessor till the




Figure 2: Emotion gaps between controversial and non-controversial comments for all subreddits in Dataset I. Red indicates higher emotion confidence for controversial comments, blue indicates higher emotion confidence for non-controversial comments, and white indicates equal emotion confidence between them. Color saturation denotes the magnitude of emotion gap.

current comment, and the average elapsed time between predecessors.




Figure 3: Emotional impact of controversial comments. Cells show the difference between the average emotion confidence of successors of a controversial comment and its predecessors in Dataset I. Red indicates a long-term increase in emotions following controversial comments, blue indicates long-term decrease in emotions, and white indicates no change in emotions following controversial comments. Color saturation denotes the magnitude of the impact.

- Successor features: number of comments posted after the comment i , number of unique authors of succeeding comments, elapsed time from the current comment to the last successor, and average time between successors.




Figure 4: Performance (ROC AUC) of controversial comment prediction using ten-fold cross validation on eight subreddits using thirteen features sets, including user activity (UA) features and emotion features of the current comment, its predecessors, and its successors. Results using successor features are shadowed. Overall the models that take in emotion features achieve a better performance. We use user activity (UA) features as baseline and test different combinations of UA and emotion features. All comments are in English except for the *r/france* (in French) and *r/de* (in German) subreddits.

We supplement these features with emotions, and use the emotions expressed in the current comment, and those expressed in predecessors or successors, as features.

- All emotions: confidence of emotion clusters in predecessor comments, successor comments, or the comment *i*'s own emotion.
- Positive emotions: subset of emotions that includes Admiration/Love, Optimism/Hope, Joy/Happiness, Pride, other positive emotions.
- Negative emotions: subset of emotions that includes Anger/Hate/Contempt/Disgust, Embarrassment/Sadness, Fear/Pessimism, and other negative emotions.

To better understand the impact of emotions on predicting controversial comments, we construct different feature sets to be used by the classification model (as shown in Fig. 4). Following Koncar et al. [23], we used Gradient Boosted Decision Trees with ten-fold cross-validation for the prediction task.³ We conducted a grid search to select hyperparameters that achieve the best performance on the validation set.

We applied the model to predict whether a comment is controversial using Dataset II (Sec. 3.2). This data includes discussions from six popular subreddits (in English) and also discussions in French and German, demonstrating the utility of our approach to

multilingual settings. The data is highly imbalanced in that controversial comments make up only 5% of all comments; therefore, we under-sample non-controversial comments to create a balanced dataset for testing. We use ROC-AUC to measure classification performance.

Figure 4 shows the results of the controversial comment classification separately for the eight subreddits. Overall, adding emotions to the model consistently improves performance compared to using only activity features. Such non-trivial improvement demonstrates the effectiveness of emotions in controversial comment detection.

The best performance is achieved on *r/AskReddit*, with AUC of 78.6%, followed by *r/france* and *r/de* with AUC of 77.3% and 74.7%, respectively. These scores represent 4.2%, 6.2%, and 5.7%, respectively, improvement in performance compared to using activity features only (comparing feature set m and feature set i). Interestingly, these three subreddits are also the least moderated forums in our data (see Table 2), with the lowest ratio of comments removed by moderators. This suggests that it is easier to identify controversial comments in less-moderated or unmoderated forums.

In addition to the overall comparison between different feature sets and different subreddits, there are some intriguing observations on feature selection. First, the model that uses comment's own emotion as a feature (feature sets e, f, g) outperforms models that use emotions of predecessor comments (feature sets b, c, d). Taking a deeper look, we observe that the average length of discussions on *r/news* and *r/worldnews* is extremely high (on average 243.5

³We also tried using a pretrained language model [14] taking in the original comments as inputs without feature engineering for predicting comment controversy, but the model failed to converge.

comments per discussion). As a result, the emotion features of predecessors are too noisy due to many non-controversial comments among the predecessors. Second, negative emotions are more effective than positive emotions in predicting controversy, suggesting that controversy is better conveyed by negative emotions. Features of successors (feature sets i, j, k, l, m) are more helpful in strongly moderated subreddits, such as in *r/askscience*, because these features still leverage the structure information from removed comments.

Finally, although using features of predecessors and the current comment does not perform as well as using all features (including successor features), it represents a more realistic prediction scenario. On this task, adding emotions to the feature set significantly improves classification performance across all subreddits. Our results show emotions help identify controversial comments **before** they become controversial.

5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Emotions, or feelings, are fundamental to human experience, and play a critical role in the formation of beliefs, social interactions [31], and interpersonal conflict [2]. Our study demonstrates that emotions also shape the evolution of controversial discussions in online communities. Leveraging emotional cues present in language, we identify a range of positive and negative emotions expressed in the text of comments. Our large-scale study of discussions on more than 90 subreddits shows that controversial comments have stronger negative emotions, especially anger, and fewer positive emotions than non-controversial comments. Although controversial comments represent a small share of all comments in a discussion, they shift the emotional tone of the entire discussion, leading to angrier and less positive subsequent comments. We also show that an emotionally aware classification model could better recognize comments that will become controversial, even in multilingual discussions.

Our work suggests that moderating controversial comments may help improve the emotional tone of a discussion. It is possible to catch such comments at the time of their creation, and step in to help the author regulate the negative emotions such comments express. Reducing the long-term impact of controversial comments on the discussion will help improve the overall quality of the discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Hassan Alhuzali and Sophia Ananiadou. 2021. SpanEmo: Casting Multi-label Emotion Classification as Span-prediction. In *Proc. European Chapter of the ACL*. ACL, Online, 1573–1584.
- [2] Daniel Bar-Tal, Eran Halperin, and Joseph De Rivera. 2007. Collective emotions in conflict situations: Societal implications. *Journal of Social Issues* 63, 2 (2007), 441–460.
- [3] F. Barbieri, L. Anke, and J. Camacho-Collados. 2021. XLM-T: Multilingual Language Models in Twitter for Sentiment Analysis and Beyond.
- [4] Jason Baumgartner, Savvas Zannettou, Brian Keegan, Megan Squire, and Jeremy Blackburn. 2020. The pushshift reddit dataset. In *Proceedings of the international AAAI conference on web and social media*, Vol. 14. 830–839.
- [5] Nicky Chang Bi. 2022. How emotions and issue controversy influence the diffusion of societal issues with imagined audience on Facebook. *Behaviour & Information Technology* 41, 6 (2022), 1245–1257.
- [6] Johan Bollen, Huina Mao, and Xiaojun Zeng. 2011. Twitter mood predicts the stock market. *Journal of computational science* 2, 1 (2011), 1–8.
- [7] William J Brady, Killian McLoughlin, Tuan N Doan, and Molly J Crockett. 2021. How social learning amplifies moral outrage expression in online social networks. *Science Advances* 7, 33 (2021), eabe5641.
- [8] William J Brady, Julian A Wills, John T Jost, Joshua A Tucker, and Jay J Van Bavel. 2017. Emotion shapes the diffusion of moralized content in social networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114, 28 (2017), 7313–7318.
- [9] Yanqing Chen and Steven Skiena. 2014. Building sentiment lexicons for all major languages. In *Proceedings of the 52nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers)*. 383–389.
- [10] Georgios Chochlakis, Gireesh Mahajan, Sabyasachee Baruah, Keith Burghardt, Kristina Lerman, and Shrikanth Narayanan. 2022. Leveraging Label Correlations in a Multi-label Setting: A Case Study in Emotion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.15842* (2022).
- [11] Georgios Chochlakis, Gireesh Mahajan, Sabyasachee Baruah, Keith Burghardt, Kristina Lerman, and Shrikanth Narayanan. 2022. Using Emotion Embeddings to Transfer Knowledge Between Emotions, Languages, and Annotation Formats. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.00171* (2022).
- [12] Lorenzo Coviello, Yunkyu Sohn, Adam DI Kramer, Cameron Marlow, Massimo Franceschetti, Nicholas A Christakis, and James H Fowler. 2014. Detecting emotional contagion in massive social networks. *PLoS one* 9, 3 (2014), e90315.
- [13] Dorotyya Demsky, Dana Movshovitz-Attias, Jeongwoo Ko, Alan Cowen, Gaurav Nemade, and Sujith Ravi. 2020. GoEmotions: A dataset of fine-grained emotions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.00547* (2020).
- [14] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, Minneapolis, Minnesota, 4171–4186. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/N19-1423>
- [15] Shiri Dori-Hacohen and James Allan. 2013. Detecting controversy on the web. In *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM international conference on Information & Knowledge Management*. 1845–1848.
- [16] T. Wolf et al. 2020. Transformers: State-of-the-Art Natural Language Processing. In *EMNLP*. ACL, Online, 38–45.
- [17] Kiran Garimella, Gianmarco De Francisci Morales, Aristides Gionis, and Michael Mathioudakis. 2018. Quantifying Controversy on Social Media. *Trans. Soc. Comput.* 1, 1, Article 3 (jan 2018), 27 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3140565>
- [18] Scott A Golder and Michael W Macy. 2011. Diurnal and seasonal mood vary with work, sleep, and daylength across diverse cultures. *Science* 333, 6051 (2011), 1878–1881.
- [19] Jonathan Haidt. 2022. Why the Past 10 Years of American Life Have Been Uniquely Stupid. *The Atlantic* (May 2022).
- [20] Jack Hessel and Lillian Lee. 2019. Something’s Brewing! Early Prediction of Controversy-causing Posts from Discussion Features. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, Minneapolis, Minnesota, 1648–1659. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/N19-1166>
- [21] Kokil Jaidka, Salvatore Giorgi, H Andrew Schwartz, Margaret L Kern, Lyle H Ungar, and Johannes C Eichstaedt. 2020. Estimating geographic subjective well-being from Twitter: A comparison of dictionary and data-driven language methods. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 117, 19 (2020), 10165–10171.
- [22] Myungha Jang and James Allan. 2018. Explaining controversy on social media via stance summarization. In *The 41st International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research & Development in Information Retrieval*. 1221–1224.
- [23] Philipp Koncar, Simon Walk, and Denis Helic. 2021. Analysis and Prediction of Multilingual Controversy on Reddit. In *13th ACM Web Science Conference 2021 (Virtual Event, United Kingdom) (WebSci '21)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 215–224. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3447535.3462481>
- [24] Sean MacAvaney, Hao-Ren Yao, Eugene Yang, Katina Russell, Nazli Goharian, and Ophir Frieder. 2019. Hate speech detection: Challenges and solutions. *PLoS one* 14, 8 (2019), e0221152.
- [25] Yelena Mejova, Amy X Zhang, Nicholas Diakopoulos, and Carlos Castillo. 2014. Controversy and sentiment in online news. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.8152* (2014).
- [26] Saif Mohammad, Felipe Bravo-Marquez, Mohammad Salameh, and Svetlana Kiritchenko. 2018. Semeval-2018 task 1: Affect in tweets. In *Proceedings of the 12th international workshop on semantic evaluation*. 1–17.
- [27] Chan Young Park, Julia Mendelsohn, Karthik Radhakrishnan, Kinjal Jain, Tushar Kanakagiri, David Jurgens, and Yulia Tsvetkov. 2021. Detecting Community Sensitive Norm Violations in Online Conversations. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2021*. Association for Computational Linguistics, Punta Cana, Dominican Republic, 3386–3397. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2021.findings-emnlp.288>
- [28] Flor Miriam Plaza-del Arco, M Dolores Molina-González, L Alfonso Urena-López, and M Teresa Martín-Valdivia. 2021. Comparing pre-trained language models for Spanish hate speech detection. *Expert Systems with Applications* 166 (2021), 114120.
- [29] Fabio Poletto, Valerio Basile, Manuela Sanguinetti, Cristina Bosco, and Viviana Patti. 2021. Resources and benchmark corpora for hate speech detection: a systematic review. *Language Resources and Evaluation* 55, 2 (2021), 477–523.
- [30] Stefan Stieglitz and Linh Dang-Xuan. 2013. Emotions and information diffusion in social media—sentiment of microblogs and sharing behavior. *Journal of management information systems* 29, 4 (2013), 217–248.

- [31] Gerben A Van Kleef, Arik Cheshin, Agneta H Fischer, and Iris K Schneider. 2016. The social nature of emotions. *Frontiers in psychology* 7 (2016), 896.
- [32] Amy Beth Warriner, Victor Kuperman, and Marc Brysbaert. 2013. Norms of valence, arousal, and dominance for 13,915 English lemmas. *Behavior research methods* 45, 4 (2013), 1191–1207.
- [33] Victoria Zayats and Mari Ostendorf. 2018. Conversation Modeling on Reddit Using a Graph-Structured LSTM. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics* 6 (2018), 121–132. https://doi.org/10.1162/tacl_a_00009
- [34] Lei Zhong, Juan Cao, Qiang Sheng, Junbo Guo, and Ziang Wang. 2020. Integrating Semantic and Structural Information with Graph Convolutional Network for Controversy Detection. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. Association for Computational Linguistics, Online, 515–526. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2020.acl-main.49>