

ON DUO, REVERSIBLE AND SYMMETRIC GROUP RINGS

BRAYAN S. FLÓREZ-BURBANO , ALEXANDER HOLGUÍN-VILLA ,
AND JOHN H. CASTILLO 

ABSTRACT. Let RG denote the group ring of the torsion group G over a commutative ring R with identity. In this paper we present proofs of some statements that appear without to be proved in the literature. We establish the valid implications between the ring-theoretic conditions duo, reversible, SI property and symmetric in the setting of group rings. We further show that if the group ring RG possesses any of these properties, then G is a Hamiltonian group and the characteristic of R is either 0 or 2. Moreover, we characterize the same properties in group rings RG in the following cases: (1) RG is a semi-simple group ring and (2) R is a semi-simple ring and G any group.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this manuscript, R always will denote an associative ring, which does not necessarily contains identity unless otherwise noted. A ring R is called left (resp. right) duo if every left (resp. right) ideal is an ideal, and R is called duo if it is both left and right duo. The ring R is reversible if for all $a, b \in R$, $ab = 0$ implies $ba = 0$. Finally, R is called symmetric if any $a, b, c \in R$, $abc = 0$ implies $acb = 0$.

It is known that in every commutative ring, the set of nilpotent elements forms an ideal that coincides with the intersection of all prime ideals. This result also holds for certain non-commutative rings, which are the so-called *2-primal rings*. Marks in [6] presented the following table that summarizes the valid implications between some ring-theoretic properties that give rise to the 2-primal rings, where the rings do not necessarily contain identity:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{reduced} & \Rightarrow & \text{symmetric} & & \text{all prime ideals are completely prime} \\
 \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\
 \text{reversible} & \Rightarrow & \text{SI} & \Rightarrow & \text{2-primal}
 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 1. Relations between six ring-theoretic conditions.

Reversible rings, as a natural common generalization of commutative rings and integral domains, were studied in [2] by P. M. Cohn. In particular, he observed that the Köthe conjecture holds for the class of these rings. The relationship between symmetric and reversible rings were discussed in [6]. Moreover, he proved that the group algebra $\mathbf{F}_2 Q_8$ of the quaternions Q_8 over the field $\mathbf{F}_2$ is reversible, but not symmetric. In [4] M. Gutan and A. Kisielewicz characterized reversible group algebras $\mathbf{F}G$ of torsion groups G over fields $\mathbf{F}$. In particular, they described all finite reversible group algebras which are not symmetric, extending a result of G. Marks. Y. Li and M. M. Parmenter investigated in [5] reversible group rings RG of torsion groups G over commutative rings R , which gave continuity to the results of Gutan and Kisielewicz. For group algebras $\mathbf{F}G$ of torsion groups G over a field $\mathbf{F}$, H. E. Bell and Y. Li in [1] showed that the duo and reversible

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16S34, 16U80.

Key words and phrases. Group rings; Duo rings; Reversible rings; Symmetric rings.

properties are equivalent. Finally, W. Gao and Y. Li in [3] described when the group ring RG of a torsion group G over an integral domain R is duo.

In this paper, we present the proof of some results that are asserted (without proof) in the mentioned articles and we also establish a modification of Figure 1. Moreover, for group rings RG of a non-abelian torsion group G over a commutative ring R with identity, we design diagrams similar to Figure 1 (see Figure 4 and Figure 5), in which, in addition to determining the precise relationships among the duo, reversible and symmetric properties, we give necessary conditions, in terms of the group G and the ring R , when RG has these properties. Finally, we close this article by presenting some results, Theorem 4.1, Corollary 4.1, Theorem 4.2, Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.4, that emerged after trying to answer Question 1, raised by Gao and Li in [3].

2. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATIONS

Let R be a ring. We use $\eta(R)$, $\eta_*(R)$ and $\eta^*(R)$ to denote the set of all nilpotent elements, the lower nil-radical of R , i.e., the intersection of all prime ideals of R , and the upper nil-radical of R , i.e., the sum of all nil ideals of R , respectively. It is well-known that $\eta_*(R) \subset \eta^*(R) \subset \eta(R)$.

A ring-theoretic property between “commutative” and “symmetric” is as follows: a ring R is called reduced if it contain no non-zero nilpotent elements. Another property which generalize commutativity that implies the 2-primal condition is known as the SI condition, defined by for all $a, b \in R$, $ab = 0$ implies $aRb = \{0\}$.

The following examples, in addition to illustrating the ring-theoretic properties of interest, will be useful in the last section.

Example 2.1. *Let D be a division ring.*

- (1) *Since the only right and left ideals of a division ring D are the trivial two-sided ideals, then D is right and left duo ring. Therefore, D is a duo ring. Moreover, in a division ring D there are no zero divisors, thus D is reversible, symmetric, and has the SI property.*
- (2) *Let $R = M_n(D)$ the full ring of $n \times n$ matrices over D . If $n \geq 2$, we have*

$$I = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} : x_i \in D \right\},$$

is a right ideal of R but it is not a left ideal of R and thus, the ring R is not a right duo. Similarly, we can prove that R is not a left duo either. Moreover, if $n \geq 2$ we also can show that R does not have neither reversible, symmetric nor SI properties. Therefore, R is duo, reversible, symmetric, and has the SI property if and only if $n = 1$.

In what follows, we present the proof, for the convenience of the readers, of some results that appear in the Figure 1.

Lemma 2.1. *If R is a reduced ring, then R is reversible and symmetric.*

Proof. We will prove that reduced implies symmetric. The arguments to establish that reduced implies reversible are similar.

Let $a, b, c \in R$ such that $abc = 0$, then $(cab)^2 = c(abc)ab = 0$. Since R is reduced, we have $cab = 0$ and thus, $(bca)^2 = b(cab)ca = 0$ and again by hypothesis $bca = 0$. Similarly, $cacb = 0$ and $acbac = 0$ since $(cacb)^2 = cac(bca)cb = 0$ and $(acb)^2 = acba(cacb)ac = 0$.

Therefore, $(bac)^2 = b(acbac) = 0$ and thus, $bac = 0$. Finally, $(acb)^2 = ac(bac)b = 0$ and by hypothesis $acb = 0$. This finishes the proof.

The proof of the other statement is similar. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *If R is either reversible or symmetric, then R has the SI property.*

Proof. Suppose that R is reversible and let $a, b \in R$ such that $ab = 0$. Then, by hypothesis $ba = 0$ and for all $r \in R$ we have

$$0 = 0r = (ba)r = b(ar) = (ar)b.$$

Therefore, $aRb = \{0\}$ and R has the SI property.

If R is symmetric, the proof that it has the SI property follows in a similar way. $\square$

Note that in a ring R which has the SI property, the ideal RaR is nilpotent whenever $a \in \eta(R)$, and hence any nilpotent element a is contained in $\eta_*(R)$. Thus, $\eta_*(R) = \eta(R)$ and then R is a 2-primal ring. Moreover, by [8, Proposition 1.11] a necessary and sufficient condition for a ring R to be 2-primal is that every minimal prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of R be completely prime (i.e. $R/\mathfrak{p}$ be a domain). The above together with Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 justify the implications presented in Figure 1. We include the following statement in this diagram.

Recall that, the subsets $Ann_l(a) = \{r \in R : ra = 0\}$ y $Ann_r(a) = \{r \in R : ar = 0\}$, denote the left and right annihilator of a in R , respectively.

Theorem 2.1. *If the ring R is right duo or left duo, then R has the SI property. In particular, if R is a duo ring, then R has the SI property.*

Proof. Suppose that R is a right duo ring and consider $a, b \in R$ such that $ab = 0$. Then, $b \in Ann_r(a)$ and since $Ann_r(a)$ is a right ideal of R , it is also a left ideal of R . Let $r \in R$. Then, $rb \in Ann_r(a)$ and thus, $arb = 0$. Therefore, $aRb = \{0\}$ and R has the SI property. When R is a left duo ring, the proof is similar. By definition of a duo ring, the last statement is now clear. $\square$

Moreover, since in a one-sided duo ring R every prime ideal is completely prime, we present the following modification of Figure 1.




FIGURE 2. Modified Marks' Diagram.

We remark that Figure 2 allows us to prove that a duo ring is 2-primal without setting that all its prime ideals are completely prime.

Let RG denote the group ring of a group G over a commutative ring R with identity. Using the so-called *classical involution*, which is induced from the map $g \mapsto g^* = g^{-1}$, for all $g \in G$, given by $\left(\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g\right)^* = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g^{-1}$, Bell and Li [1] asserted, without proving, that the properties left and right duo are equivalent on RG . However, for completeness' sake, we now include easy proof of this fact.

Proposition 2.1. *Let R be a commutative ring with identity and G be a group. The group ring RG is left duo if and only if it is right duo.*

Proof. Suppose that the group ring RG is left duo and let I be a right ideal of RG . Then, the set $J = \{\alpha^* : \alpha \in I\} \subseteq RG$ is a left ideal of RG . In fact, $J \neq \emptyset$ since $0_{RG}^* = 0_{RG} \in J$ and for $\gamma \in RG$ and $x, y \in J$, we have $x = \alpha^*$ and $y = \beta^*$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in I$. Therefore,

$$x - y = \alpha^* - \beta^* = (\alpha - \beta)^* \in J, \quad \text{and also} \quad \gamma x = \gamma \alpha^* = (\alpha \gamma^*)^* \in J,$$

thus J is a left ideal of RG . Moreover,

$$J^* = \{w^* : w \in J\} = \{(\alpha^*)^* : \alpha \in I\} = I.$$

Finally, let $\gamma \in RG$ and $\rho \in I$. By hypothesis RG is left duo, then J is a two-sided ideal and since $\rho^* \in J$, $\gamma^* \in RG$, we have $\rho^* \gamma^* \in J$, hence

$$\gamma \rho = ((\gamma \rho)^*)^* = (\rho^* \gamma^*)^* \in J^* = I.$$

Therefore, RG is a right duo ring. The proof of the other implication is similar. $\square$

Remark 1. The “Only if” part in the previous proof can be schematized as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} * : RG & \longrightarrow & RG & \longrightarrow & RG \\ I \text{ (right)} & \longmapsto & I^* \text{ (left)} & & I^{**} = I \\ & & \Downarrow \text{(Hypothesis)} & & \Uparrow \\ & & I^* \text{ (right)} & \longmapsto & (I^*)^* \text{ (left)} \end{array}$$

FIGURE 3. Proof’s Summary of Proposition 2.1.

Marks [6] showed that if R is a commutative ring with identity and G is a finite group, then the group ring RG is reversible if and only if it has the SI property. Bell and Li in [1] asserted, without proving, that this result is also true for any group G . In the sequel, we present a proof of this statement.

Proposition 2.2. *Let R be a commutative ring with identity and let G be any group. Then, the group ring RG is reversible if and only if RG has the SI property.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, in general reversible implies the SI condition.

Conversely, suppose that RG has the SI property and let $\alpha, \beta \in RG$ such that $\alpha\beta = 0$. Defining the trace map $tr : RG \rightarrow R$ in the usual way, $\sum_{g \in G} a_g g \mapsto a_1$, we have $tr(xy) = tr(yx)$ for all $x, y \in RG$. Since RG satisfies the SI condition, $\alpha\gamma\beta = 0$ for all $\gamma \in RG$. Therefore, $0 = tr(\alpha[\gamma\beta]) = tr([\gamma\beta]\alpha)$ and thus, every element of the left ideal $(RG)\beta\alpha$ has trace zero. Now, if $\beta\alpha$ has the following representation

$$\beta\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i g_i, \quad a_i \in R, \quad g_i \in G \quad \text{and} \quad g_i \neq g_j \quad \text{for} \quad i \neq j,$$

then when considering the elements $\gamma_i = g_i^{-1} \in RG$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, it follows from $tr(\gamma_1 \beta \alpha) = 0$ that $a_1 = 0$. Similarly, from $tr(\gamma_2 \beta \alpha) = 0$, it is obtained that $a_2 = 0$ and continuing in this way, $a_i = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, i.e., $\beta\alpha = 0$ and thus, RG is reversible. $\square$

3. DUO, REVERSIBLE AND SYMMETRIC GROUP RINGS

Let R be a commutative ring and G be a group. If G is an abelian group, then clearly RG is commutative and thus, RG is duo, reversible, symmetric, and has the SI property. So we are interested in the case when G is non-abelian. For a non-abelian torsion group G and $\mathbf{F}$ a field, Gutan and Kisielewicz [4, Theorem 2.1] showed that if the group algebra $\mathbf{F}G$ is reversible, then G is a Hamiltonian group. Y. Li and M. M. Parmenter in [5, Introduction] asserted, without showing, that this result is also true when $\mathbf{F} = R$ is a commutative ring with identity.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a non-abelian torsion group and R be a commutative ring with identity. If the group ring RG is reversible, then G is Hamiltonian.*

Proof. We will show that for all $x \in G$, $\langle x \rangle$ is a normal subgroup of G , and thus, G is a Hamiltonian group. When x is the neutral element, the result is clear. Let $x \in G \setminus \{1\}$. Since G is a torsion group, x has finite order. Let n denote the order of x and let $g \in G$, then

$$0 = g(1 - x^n) = g(1 - x)(1 + x + x^2 + \cdots + x^{n-1}),$$

and since RG is reversible,

$$0 = (1 + x + x^2 + \cdots + x^{n-1})g(1 - x) = (1 + x + x^2 + \cdots + x^{n-1})(g - gx)$$

Multiplying by the inverse of g , we have

$$0 = (1 + x + x^2 + \cdots + x^{n-1})(1 - gxg^{-1}). \quad (1)$$

Then, since the order of g is n , the set $\{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{n-1}\}$ contains n pairwise distinct elements of G and from the expression (1), which is equivalent to $1 + x + x^2 + \cdots + x^{n-1} = gxg^{-1} + xgxg^{-1} + x^2gxg^{-1} + \cdots + x^{n-1}gxg^{-1}$, thus for i there exists j such that $x^i = x^jgxg^{-1}$, whence $gxg^{-1} \in \langle x \rangle$, then it follows that $\langle x \rangle \trianglelefteq G$ for all $x \in G$. $\square$

It is clear that if a ring R with identity is symmetric, then it is also reversible. In fact, if $a, b \in R$ such that $ab = 0$ and R is symmetric, then $1ab = 1ba = ba = 0$, and thus, R is reversible. From the above and together with Theorem 2.1, Proposition 2.1 Proposition 2.2, and Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following diagram on group rings RG which summarizes the valid implications between duo, reversible, symmetric, and SI properties and allows us to conclude that a necessary condition for RG to have these properties is G to be Hamiltonian.




FIGURE 4. Necessary condition over RG and structure of G .

It is well-known that every Hamiltonian group G is of the form $G = Q_8 \times E \times A$, where $Q_8 = \langle x, y : x^4 = 1, x^2 = y^2, y^{-1}xy = x^{-1} \rangle$ is the quaternion group of order 8, E is an elementary abelian 2-group, and A is an abelian group in which every element has odd order, see [7, Theorem 1.8.5]. Thus, by the previous theorem, if G is a non-abelian torsion group and R is a commutative ring with identity, $RG = R(Q_8 \times E \times A)$ and since $R(Q_8 \times E \times A) \cong [R(E \times A)]Q_8$, the study of reversibility of RG is essentially reduced to the case when $G = Q_8$.

Li and Parmenter in [5] characterized when the group ring $\mathbb{Z}_n Q_8$ is a reversible ring. They proved the following theorem.

Lemma 3.1 ([5, Theorem 2.5]). *Let $\mathbb{Z}_n$ be the ring of integers modulo n . The group ring $\mathbb{Z}_n Q_8$ is reversible if and only if $n = 2$.*

Note that if RQ_8 is reversible and $\text{char}(R) = n > 0$, then the subring $\mathbb{Z}_n Q_8$ of RQ_8 is also reversible, and by the last lemma, $n = 2$. Then, if RQ_8 is reversible, the only possibilities to the characteristic of R are either $\text{char}(R) = 0$ or $\text{char}(R) = 2$. Assume that RG is reversible with G a non-abelian torsion group, by Theorem 3.1, G is Hamiltonian. Since $RG \cong [R(E \times A)]Q_8$ is reversible and $\text{char}(R) = \text{char}(R(E \times A))$, then either $\text{char}(R) = 0$ or $\text{char}(R) = 2$. Therefore, for non-abelian torsion groups, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.2. *Let R be a commutative ring with identity and G be a non-abelian torsion group. If RG is reversible, then either $\text{char}(R) = 0$ or $\text{char}(R) = 2$.*

Using the previous theorem and in a similar way to the construction of Figure 4, we present the following diagram for group rings RG of non-abelian torsion groups over any commutative rings with identity, where now some necessary conditions for RG to have these properties are that the characteristic of R is either 0 or 2.




FIGURE 5. Necessary condition over RG and $\text{char}(R)$.

We close this section by presenting a summary of the different researches that have been developed around the ring-theoretic properties of interest in this paper, in the setting of group rings.

Let $\mathbf{F}$ be a field and let G be a non-abelian torsion group. According to Figure 5, some necessary conditions for $\mathbf{F}G$ to be a reversible ring are that $\text{char}(\mathbf{F}) = 0$ or $\text{char}(\mathbf{F}) = 2$. In [4, Theorem 5.1] Gutan and Kisielewicz gave a characterization of the reversibility of $\mathbf{F}G$ in terms of these two options. Moreover, in [4, Theorem 5.2] they described all finite reversible group rings. Li and Parmenter investigated in [5] reversible group rings RG of a torsion group G over commutative rings, extending the results of Gutan and Kisielewicz.

From Figure 4 it is known that if G is a non-abelian torsion group, duo implies reversible. However, the converse is not true, Bell and Li in [1, Example 1.2] showed that the group ring $\mathbb{Z}Q_8$ is duo but is not reversible and using the results of Gutan and Kisielewicz, they established in [1, Theorem 4.2, Corollary 4.3] the equivalence between these two properties when $R = \mathbf{F}$ is a field and G is a torsion group.

In order to extend the study of the duo property in group rings, Gao and Li in [3] showed that if the group ring RQ_8 over an integral domain R is duo, then R is a field for the following cases: (1) $\text{char}(R) \neq 0$, (2) $\text{char}(R) = 0$, and $S \subseteq R \subseteq K_S$, where S is a ring of algebraic integers and K_S is its quotient field, and using this, they described when the group ring RG with R an integral domain and G a torsion group is duo, see [3, Theorem 2.8, Theorem 2.9].

4. FURTHER RESULTS

Gao and Li in [3] showed that if R is an integral domain of $\text{char}(R) = 0$, a necessary condition for RQ_8 to be duo is that $1 + x^2 + y^2 \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ (the set of units of R) for all $x, y \in R$. Moreover, they were not aware of any example of an integral domain R of $\text{char}(R) = 0$ satisfying this necessary condition for which RQ_8 is not duo. Finally, they close their paper by proposing the following question:

Question 1 ([3, Question 2.10]). *Assume that R is an integral domain of $\text{char}(R) = 0$ such that $1 + x^2 + y^2 \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ for all $x, y \in R$. Is RQ_8 duo?*

We also do not know the answer to the previous question. However, modifying some hypotheses and in order to give continuity to the study of the relationships between the duo, reversible, symmetric and SI properties, in the setting of group rings, we present some results in this direction using the following lemma, whose proof is not hard.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $R_1, \dots, R_k$ be rings such that $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k R_i$. Then, the ring R is duo (resp. reversible, symmetric, has the SI property) if and only if R_i is duo (resp. reversible, symmetric, has the SI property), for $1 \leq i \leq k$.*

Theorem 4.1. *Let R be a semi-simple ring and G be a finite group, such that $|G| \in \mathcal{U}(R)$. The following statements are equivalent.*

- | | |
|-------------------------|--|
| (1) RG is duo. | (4) RG has the SI property. |
| (2) RG is symmetric. | (5) $RG \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k D_i$, where D_i are division rings. |
| (3) RG is reversible. | |

Proof. We will show that (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (5). The arguments to prove that (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (5), (3) $\Leftrightarrow$ (5) and (4) $\Leftrightarrow$ (5) are similar.

Since R is semi-simple, G is finite and $|G| \in \mathcal{U}(R)$, by Maschke's theorem [7, Theorem 3.4.7], the group ring RG is semi-simple and thus, using the Wedderburn-Artin theorem [7, Theorem 2.6.18], we have that

$$RG \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k M_{n_i}(D_i), \text{ where } D_i, 1 \leq i \leq k, \text{ is a division ring.}$$

Suppose that RG is a duo ring. Then, each $M_{n_i}(D_i)$ must also be duo, by Example 2.1, $n_i = 1$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, and thus RG has the required decomposition.

Since the division rings are duo, the converse follows directly from Lemma 4.1. $\square$

Regarding Question 1, by changing the hypotheses of the ring being an integral domain with characteristic zero for being a semi-simple ring, from the previous theorem, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.1. *Let R be a semi-simple ring, such that $1 + x^2 + y^2 \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ for all $x, y \in R$. Then, RQ_8 is a duo ring if and only if RQ_8 is a finite direct sum of division rings, i.e.,*

$$RQ_8 \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k D_i, \text{ where } D_i, 1 \leq i \leq k, \text{ is a division ring.}$$

Proof. Note that $2 = 1 + 1^2 + 0^2$, then $2 \in \mathcal{U}(R)$. Since $4(2^{-1}2^{-1}) = 1$, it follows that $4 \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ and similarly, $8 \in \mathcal{U}(R)$. Moreover, by hypothesis R is semi-simple, then the result follows from Theorem 4.1. $\square$

Example 4.1. *Let $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r & s \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix} : r, s \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}$. Given $x, y \in R$, it is not hard to check that $\det(1 + x^2 + y^2) \neq 0$ and thus, $1 + x^2 + y^2 \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ for all $x, y \in R$. Following the arguments of the proof in the last corollary, $|Q_8| \in \mathcal{U}(R)$. In the other hand, Y. Li and M. M. Parmenter in [5, Example 4.3] showed that RQ_8 is reversible. Furthermore, R is a semi-simple ring, then by Theorem 4.1,*

$$RQ_8 \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k D_i, \text{ where } D_i, 1 \leq i \leq k, \text{ is a division ring.}$$

Therefore, RQ_8 is also duo, symmetric, and has SI property.

It is possible to show that if the group ring RG is duo, reversible, symmetric, or has the SI property, then R must also respectively satisfy the property. Next, we present a characterization of these properties for group rings RG over semi-simple rings.

Theorem 4.2. *Let R be a semi-simple ring, G a group and RG its group ring. Then, RG is duo (resp. reversible, symmetric, has the SI property) if and only if $R \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k D_i$ is a direct sum of division rings, and every group ring D_iG is duo (resp. reversible, symmetric, has the SI property), for $1 \leq i \leq k$.*

Proof. We will prove the duo case. The arguments in the other cases are similar.

Suppose that RG is a duo ring. Then, R must also be duo. Since R is a semi-simple, it follows from Wedderburn-Artin theorem [7, Theorem 2.6.18], that $R \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k M_{n_i}(D_i)$, where D_i is a division ring, $1 \leq i \leq k$. By Lemma 4.1 every $M_{n_i}(D_i)$ is duo and thus, $n_i = 1$. Then,

$$RG \cong \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^k D_i \right) G \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k D_i G.$$

Therefore, using the hypothesis and Lemma 4.1, we have the result.

Conversely, if $R \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k D_i$ and every group ring $D_i G$ has duo property, we can write $RG \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k D_i G$ and again, it follows from Lemma 4.1 that RG is also a duo ring. $\square$

Finally, as a consequence of the Wedderburn-Artin theorem, we have: 1) If R is a semi-simple ring which is commutative, then R is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of fields and 2) If R is a finite-dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field $\mathbf{F}$, which is semi-simple, then R is isomorphic to a direct sum of the form $M_{n_1}(\mathbf{F}) \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n_k}(\mathbf{F})$ (see [7, Exercises 3 and 8, p. 106]). Therefore, using similar arguments to the proof of the previous theorem, the following results hold.

Theorem 4.3. *Let R be a commutative semi-simple ring and G a group. Then, the group ring RG is duo (resp. reversible, symmetric, has the SI property) if and only if $R \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathbf{F}_i$ is a direct sum of fields, and each group ring $\mathbf{F}_i G$ is duo (resp. reversible, symmetric, has the SI property), for $1 \leq i \leq k$. In particular, if G is a non-abelian torsion group, then G is Hamiltonian, and each $\mathbf{F}_i$ is of characteristic 0 or 2.*

Theorem 4.4. *Let R be a finite-dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field $\mathbf{F}$, which is semi-simple. Then, R has duo, reversible, symmetric or SI property if and only if $R \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathbf{F}$ is a direct sum of fields.*

Example 4.2. *Let $R = \mathbb{C}Q_8$, clearly R is a finite-dimensional algebra over the algebraically closed field $\mathbb{C}$. Suppose that R is a reversible ring, then by Theorem 4.4, $R \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{C}$, which is a contradiction since R is not commutative. Therefore, R is not reversible and thus, from the Figure 4, R also does not have the duo, symmetric and SI properties.*

Remark 2. *The previous example can also be deduced from Theorem 3.1 in [4].*

The following example shows a group ring over a commutative semi-simple ring which is reversible but not symmetric. This example was given by Gutan and Kisielewicz in [4, p. 291], where they provided an example of a reversible but not symmetric group ring over a ring of characteristic 0.

Example 4.3. *Let $R = \mathbf{F}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Q}$. It follows from Theorem 3.1 in [4] that the rational group algebra $\mathbb{Q}Q_8$ is reversible and by [6, Example 7] we have that $\mathbf{F}_2 Q_8$ is also a reversible but not symmetric group ring. Therefore, by Theorem 4.3 RQ_8 , is a reversible but not symmetric group ring.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first and second authors are members of the Research Group ALCOM (Álgebra y Combinatoria) at Escuela de Matemáticas - Universidad Industrial de Santander. The third author is a member of the Research Group ALTENUA (Álgebra, Teoría de Números y Aplicaciones: ERM) and was partially supported by Vicerrectoria de Investigaciones e Interacción Social at Universidad de Nariño.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. E. Bell and Y. Li. Duo group rings. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 209(3):833–838, 2007.
- [2] P. M. Cohn. Reversible rings. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 31(6):641–648, 1999.
- [3] W. Gao and Y. Li. On duo group rings. In *Algebra Colloquium*, volume 18, pages 163–170. World Scientific, 2011.
- [4] M. Gutan and A. Kisieiewicz. Reversible group rings. *J. Algebra*, 279(1):280–291, 2004.
- [5] Y. Li and M. M. Parmenter. Reversible group rings over commutative rings. *Comm. Algebra*, 35(12):4096–4104, 2007.
- [6] G. Marks. Reversible and symmetric rings. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 174(3):311–318, 2002.
- [7] C. Polcino Milies and S. K. Sehgal. *An introduction to group rings*, volume 1 of *Algebras and Applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2002.
- [8] G. Shin. Prime ideals and sheaf representation of a pseudo symmetric ring. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 184:43–60, 1973.

BRAYAN S. FLÓREZ-BURBANO, ESCUELA DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD INDUSTRIAL DE SANTANDER
Email address: brayan2218071@correo.uis.edu.co

ALEXANDER HOLGUÍN-VILLA, ESCUELA DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD INDUSTRIAL DE SANTANDER
Email address: aholguin@uis.edu.co

JOHN H. CASTILLO, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS Y ESTADÍSTICA, UNIVERSIDAD DE NARIÑO
Email address: jhcastillo@udenar.edu.co