

# Unpolarized QED parton distribution functions in NLO

A.B. Arbuzov<sup>1,2</sup>, U.E. Voznaya<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Joliot-Curie, 6, Dubna, 141980, Russia

<sup>2</sup>Dubna State University, Universitetskaya str., 19, Dubna, 141980, Russia

E-mail: arbuzov@theor.jinr.ru, voznaya@theor.jinr.ru

**Abstract.** Perturbative solutions for unpolarized QED parton distribution and fragmentation functions are presented explicitly in the next-to-leading logarithmic approximation. The scheme of iterative solution of QED evolution equations is described in detail. Terms up to  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^3 L^2)$  are calculated analytically, where  $L = \ln(\mu_F^2/m_e^2)$  is the large logarithm which depends on the factorization energy scale  $\mu_F \gg m_e$ . The results are process independent and relevant for future high-precision experiments.

*Keywords:* Quantum electrodynamics, parton distribution functions, evolution equations, radiative corrections

## 1. Introduction

The studies of high-energy physics processes at future high-luminosity electron-positron colliders such as the FCC-ee [1] and CEPC [2] require very precise calculations of QED radiative corrections for construction of sufficiently accurate theoretical predictions of these processes [3]. In spite of the existence of powerful methods for calculations in perturbative QED, it is still very difficult to compute complete radiative corrections in  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^3)$ . On the other hand, we know that higher-order radiative corrections enhanced by the so-called large logarithms typically provide the bulk of the effect. For sufficiently inclusive observables, all terms enhanced by the large logs can be calculated with the help of QED parton distribution function (PDF) approach which is based on the factorization theorem.

The parton distribution function approach in QED was developed analogously to the QCD one by E.A. Kuraev and V.S. Fadin [4] in 1980-s as a step in preparation for high-precision measurements at LEP. Actually even earlier, it has been already applied to quasi-elastic neutrino scattering in Ref. [5]. The approach is widely used for calculation of QED radiative corrections in high energy physics. QED evolution

equation are just a reduction of the QCD DGLAP (Dokshitzer-Gribov-Lipatov-Altarelli-Parisi) evolution equations [6, 7, 8] to the abelian case of QED. The equations are based on the renormalization group and scale invariance. They allow to effectively account the logarithmic dependence on the factorization scale. In QED calculations the corresponding method is usually called as the *structure function* approach, but here we will adopt the QCD-like notation in order to preserve the direct correspondence.

The possibility to exponentiate a part of the QED parton distribution functions was already considered in [4], see more details in [9]. The exponentiation is natural because of the Yennie-Frautschi-Suura theorem [10]. And it can be done based on the known exact solution of the evolution equations in the limit of soft radiation [6]. But in the present paper we will consider only perturbative order-by-order representations of QED PDFs, while exponentiation of our results will be presented elsewhere.

Perturbative solutions of QED evolution equations in the leading logarithmic approximation are known up to the fifth order for non-singlet electron distribution functions [11, 12]. Singlet higher-order leading log contributions to this function were computed in [13]. One can see that the existing results for electron PDFs in the collinear leading logarithmic approximation are well cross-checked and used for estimates of radiative corrections to a wide class of processes.

As concerns QED PDFs in the next-to-leading logarithmic approximation, it is much less elaborated and exploited. In the  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2 L)$  spacelike electron PDFs were first considered in Ref. [14]. Later also timelike electron PDFs in the same order were used to describe radiative corrections to muon decay spectrum [15] and deep inelastic scattering [16]. The resummation in the leading order in the unpolarized case was considered in the work [17]. Relatively recently in the series of papers [18, 19, 20], the results for the leading and next-to-leading radiative corrections to electron-positron annihilation into a virtual photon or  $Z$  boson up to the  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^6 L^5)$  order were presented. Those results were obtained with the help of spacelike QED PDFs, but explicit expressions for the functions were not given. Moreover, those results are somewhat incomplete since the transitions from electrons into positrons were missed.

In some recent works the evolution was considered with alternative factorization (Delta, which is DIS-like) and renormalization ( $\alpha(m_Z)$  and  $G_\mu$ ) schemes [21, 22, 23, 24]. Electrons, and then all "QED flavors", i.e., other charged leptons and quarks were considered.

Leading order QED contributions to the nucleon PDFs have been considered in ref. [25] and then applied to nucleon PDFs in refs. [26, 27, 28, 29]. The QED effects were incorporated into the system of evolution equations for parton distributions of quarks, antiquarks, gluons and photons in a nucleon. Here we will consider only the pure QED case, i.e., QED parton distributions inside electrons, positrons, and photons without inclusion of QCD effects.

In this article, we describe in detail the iterative solution of evolution equations of parton distribution functions in QED. The results up to the third iteration are shown explicitly. We consider process-independent PDFs which describe the probability density

of finding massless partons (electron, positron or photon) inside electrons and photons. Both spacelike and timelike QED PDFs are evaluated.

## 2. Master Formula

The cross-section of a high-energy  $ab \rightarrow cd$  process with charged particles in the initial and final states in the next-to-leading order (NLO) approximation in pure QED can be represented in the following form [30]

$$\begin{aligned}
 d\sigma_{ab \rightarrow cd}^{\text{NLO}} = & \sum_{i,j,k,l} \int_{\bar{z}_1}^1 dz_1 \int_{\bar{z}_2}^1 dz_2 D_{ia}^{\text{str}}(z_1, \frac{\mu_F^2}{\mu_R^2}) D_{jb}^{\text{str}}(z_2, \frac{\mu_F^2}{\mu_R^2}) \\
 & \times \left( d\sigma_{ij \rightarrow kl}^{(0)}(z_1, z_2) + d\bar{\sigma}_{ij \rightarrow kl}^{(1)}(z_1, z_2) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2 L^0) \right) \\
 & \times \int_{\bar{y}_1}^1 \frac{dy_1}{Y_1} \int_{\bar{y}_2}^1 \frac{dy_2}{Y_2} D_{ck}^{\text{frg}}(\frac{y_1}{Y_1}, \frac{\mu_F^2}{\mu_R^2}) D_{dl}^{\text{frg}}(\frac{y_2}{Y_2}, \frac{\mu_F^2}{\mu_R^2}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\mu_R^2}{\mu_F^2}\right), \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{z}_i$  and  $\bar{y}_i$  are some minimal energy fractions defined by experimental conditions;  $z_i$  are the energy fractions of the incoming partons;  $Y_i$  are the energy fractions of the outgoing particles;  $d\sigma_{ij \rightarrow kl}^{(0)}(z_1, z_2)$  and  $d\bar{\sigma}_{ij \rightarrow kl}^{(1)}(z_1, z_2)$  are the Born massless parton cross-section and the  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$  contribution to it. The bar in  $d\bar{\sigma}_{ij \rightarrow kl}^{(1)}(z_1, z_2)$  denotes application of a subtraction scheme to exclude mass singularities. Here we will use the standard  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  scheme. The spacelike structure function  $D_{ij}$  (marked "str") and timelike fragmentation ones (marked "frg") depend on energy fractions and the ratio  $\mu_F^2/\mu_R^2$ , which is the argument of the large logarithm. Note that in QED, the renormalization scale  $\mu_R$  is typically chosen to be equal to the electron mass. The factorization scale  $\mu_F$  is usually chosen to be of the order of the energy scale of the hard sub-process. For example, for the process of electron positron annihilation into a  $Z$  boson, we have  $\mu_F = M_Z$  and  $\mu_R = m_e$ , and the large logarithm is really large numerically,  $L = \ln(M_Z^2/m_e^2) \approx 24$ .

As the result, the cross-section in the NLO approximation takes into account the QED radiative corrections enhanced by the large logarithms and reads

$$d\sigma_{ab \rightarrow cd}^{\text{NLO}} = d\sigma_{ab \rightarrow cd}^{(0)} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^k \sum_{l=k-1}^k c_{k,l} L^l + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^k L^{k-2}) \right\}, \quad (2)$$

where  $c_{k,l}$  are the coefficients to be computed. The terms of the type  $\alpha^k L^k$  provide the leading order (LO) logarithmic approximation, and the ones of the type  $\alpha^k L^{k-1}$  yield the NLO contribution.

## 3. QED Evolution Equations

The main goal of the article is to describe how to compute the QED parton distribution functions. Let us consider QED evolution equations for PDFs in the spacelike region. The equations are induced by the renormalization group and have the following form,

see, e.g., Ref. [31]:

$$D_{ba}\left(x, \frac{\mu_F^2}{\mu_R^2}\right) = \delta(1-x)\delta_{ba} + \sum_{i=e,\bar{e},\gamma} \int_{\mu_R^2}^{\mu_F^2} \frac{dt\alpha(t)}{2\pi t} \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} D_{ia}\left(y, \frac{t}{\mu_R^2}\right) P_{bi}\left(\frac{x}{y}, t\right), \quad (3)$$

where index  $a$  corresponds to the initial particle, e.g., an electron; and indices  $b$  and  $i$  mark QED partons which can be photons ( $\gamma$ ) or massless electrons ( $e$ ) and positrons ( $\bar{e}$ ). The parton distribution function  $D_{ba}(x, t/\mu_R^2)$  describes the probability density to find the massless parton  $b$  in the initial (massive) particle  $a$  with the of energy fraction  $x$  of the initial particle energy at the given energy scale  $\sqrt{t}$ . Note that in the QED PDF formalism the initial particle  $a$  is a physical on-mass-shell electron, positron or photon, while partons  $b$  and  $i$  are treated as massless particles of the same types. Confusion between, e.g., massive and massless electrons should not appear since their roles are well defined. This situation is analogous to the standard QCD PDF formalism, where all partons including even bottom quarks are massless.

$P_{ji}(x, t)$  are called splitting functions or kernels of the evolution equation and describe a perturbative transformation of parton  $i$  into parton  $j$  which takes the energy fraction  $x$ . They can be expanded in a series in the coupling constant  $\alpha$

$$P_{ji}(x, t) = P_{ji}^{(0)}(x) + \frac{\alpha(t)}{2\pi} P_{ji}^{(1)}(x) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2), \quad (4)$$

where the running QED coupling constant  $\alpha(t)$  is used, see Appendix A for details.

The splitting function  $P_{ee}^{(1)}(x)$  includes contributions of different sub-processes. Expression for this function can be derived from the analogous expression for quark-quark function in QCD  $P_{qq}^{(1)}(x)$  [32] with singlet and non-singlet parts:

$$P_{q_i q_k}(x, t) = \delta_{ik} P_{qq}^{NS}(x, t) + P_{qq}^S(x, t), \quad (5)$$

where indices  $i$  and  $k$  denote quark flavors. In reduction to QED we have only one flavor<sup>‡</sup>. The singlet part of splitting functions corresponds to Feynman diagrams with discontinuous fermion lines between the initial and final electrons. The non-singlet part corresponds to the Feynman diagrams with a continuous electron line.

The splitting function of electron in positron type  $P_{e\bar{e}}^{(1)}(x)$  also have singlet and non-singlet parts, so the functions  $P_{ee}^{(1)}(x)$  and  $P_{e\bar{e}}^{(1)}(x)$  are

$$P_{ee}^{(1)} = P_{ee}^{NS} + P_{ee}^S, \quad P_{e\bar{e}}^{(1)} = P_{e\bar{e}}^{NS} + P_{e\bar{e}}^S. \quad (6)$$

There are obvious equalities [32] connecting splitting functions which involve electrons and positrons because of the  $C$  parity conservation in QED:

$$P_{ee} = P_{\bar{e}\bar{e}}, \quad (7)$$

$$P_{e\bar{e}} = P_{\bar{e}e}, \quad (8)$$

$$P_{e\gamma} = P_{\bar{e}\gamma}, \quad (9)$$

$$P_{\gamma e} = P_{\gamma\bar{e}}, \quad (10)$$

<sup>‡</sup> The possibility to include in QED, e.g., muons and then have  $N_f = 2$  is straightforward.

and at the two loop level there is a relation between singlet contributions [32]:

$$P_{e\bar{e}}^S = P_{ee}^S. \quad (11)$$

We consider spacelike and timelike parton distribution functions (or, in another notation, structure and fragmentation functions). Spacelike functions are denoted  $[P^{(i)}]_S$ , and timelike  $[P^{(i)}]_T$ . The spacelike functions correspond to transitions from massive particles into massless ones, and the timelike ones correspond to transition from massless particles into massive ones. The difference between timelike and spacelike functions appear in QED only in NLO [31] and only in the  $\Theta$  parts. In the leading order spacelike and timelike functions are equal. The  $\Delta$  parts of timelike and spacelike functions are also equal. The  $\Theta$  part of a splitting function or a parton sub-process cross section corresponds to the situation of real radiation which leads to an energy loss so that the remaining energy fraction  $x < 1 - \Delta$ . The  $\Delta$  part incorporates effects due to virtual (loop) corrections and soft radiation so that the remaining energy fraction  $x$  is exactly one or very close to this value:  $1 - \Delta \leq x \leq 1$ . Note that the limit  $\Delta \rightarrow 1$  has to be taken. Here we will do that analytically. There is the so-called Gribov-Lipatov relation [33] between spacelike and timelike functions:

$$-x[P_{ba}^{(0)}]_T\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = [P_{ab}^{(0)}]_S(x) + \Delta(x), \quad (12)$$

where the  $\Delta(x)$  term can be calculated using analytical continuation of the timelike function to the nonphysical region  $x > 1$  [34]. For electron-electron function, the correction to the Gribov-Lipatov relation reads

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{ee}(x) = & \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^2 \left[ 4 \frac{1+x^2}{1-x} \ln x \ln(1-x) \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{6}{1-x} - 5 - 5x\right) \ln x + \left(1+x - 2 \frac{1+x^2}{1-x}\right) \ln^2 x \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

### 3.1. Initial conditions

We are going to solve evolution equations (3) by iterations. So we need some initial conditions. In the NLO approximation in the  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  scheme, they are [35, 18]

$$D_{ee}^{(0)}(x, \mu_R^2/m_e^2) = \delta(1-x) + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} d_{ee}^{(1)}(x, \mu_R^2/m_e^2), \quad (14)$$

$$D_{\gamma e}^{(0)}(x, \mu_R^2/m_e^2) = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} d_{\gamma e}^{(1)}(x, \mu_R^2/m_e^2), \quad (15)$$

$$D_{e\gamma}^{(0)}(x, \mu_R^2/m_e^2) = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} d_{e\gamma}^{(1)}(x, \mu_R^2/m_e^2), \quad (16)$$

$$D_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)}(x, \mu_R^2/m_e^2) = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} d_{e\bar{e}}^{(1)}(x, \mu_R^2/m_e^2) = 0, \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_{ee}^{(1)}(x, \mu_R^2/m_e^2) = & \left[ \frac{1+x^2}{1-x} \left( \ln \frac{\mu_R^2}{m_e^2} - 1 - 2 \ln(1-x) \right) \right]_+ \\ = & \left[ \frac{1+x^2}{1-x} (-1 - 2 \ln(1-x)) \right]_+, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

note that here and in what follows we apply the natural choice of the QED renormalization constant  $\mu_R = m_e$  [18],

$$d_{\gamma e}^{(1)}(x) = -\frac{1 + (1-x)^2}{x}(2 \ln x + 1), \quad (19)$$

$$d_{e\gamma}^{(1)}(x) = 0. \quad (20)$$

They are fixed with the help of the known results of perturbative calculations. Using the complete result in the first order  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$  we can fix functions  $d_{ba}^{(1)}(x)$  in a chosen subtraction scheme. In any case, the following equality should be held

$$\sigma_{e\bar{e} \rightarrow \gamma^*}^{(1)}(x) = \bar{\sigma}_{e\bar{e} \rightarrow \gamma^*}^{(1)}(x) + 2 \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \left[ P_{ee}^{(0)}(x)L + d_{ee}^{(1)}(x) \right] \otimes \sigma_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)}(x) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m_e^2}{\mu_F^2}\right), \quad (21)$$

where  $\sigma^{(1)}$  is the complete one-loop contribution to the electron-positron annihilation process into a virtual photon  $\bar{e} + e \rightarrow \gamma^*$  in the standard QED with massive electrons. Here  $x$  is the energy fraction of the produced virtual photon with respect to the total c.m.s. energy of the initial particles. To restore the mass dependence in the results of calculations within a massless theory (up to  $\mathcal{O}(m_e^2/\mu_F^2)$  terms) one can use the so-called *massification* procedure. The above equation is an example of this procedure.

We can fix the next-to-leading contributions to the splitting functions and the second-order  $d_{ba}^{(2)}$  functions with the help of a complete  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$  result, e.g., for the same process of electron-positron annihilation with initial state radiation corrections [14, 18]. Note that separation of terms on the right-hand side of Eq. (21) depends on the chosen scheme and factorization scale.

### 3.2. Iterative solution

Evolution equations can be solved using the iterative method with the initial conditions (14). On the first step, we substitute the initial conditions for  $D_{ia}(e, s')$  in the evolution equations (3) under the integral on the right-hand side. The result of the first iteration reads§

$$\begin{aligned} D_{ee}^{(1)}(x, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2) &= D_{ee}^{(0)} + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \left( P_{ee} \otimes D_{ee}^{(0)} + P_{e\gamma} \otimes D_{\gamma e}^{(0)} + P_{e\bar{e}} \otimes D_{\bar{e}e}^{(0)} \right) \\ &= \delta(1-x) + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} d_{ee}^{(1)} + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \left( P_{ee}^{(0)} + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} P_{ee}^{(1)} \right) \otimes \left( \delta(1-x) + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} d_{ee}^{(1)} \right) \\ &\quad + \left( P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} P_{e\gamma}^{(1)} \right) \otimes d_{\gamma e}^{(1)} = \delta(1-x) + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} d_{ee}^{(1)} + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} L P_{ee}^{(0)}, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\gamma e}^{(1)}(x, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2) &= D_{\gamma e}^{(0)} + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} (P_{\gamma\gamma} \otimes D_{\gamma e}^{(0)} + P_{\gamma e} \otimes D_{ee}^{(0)} + P_{\gamma\bar{e}} \otimes D_{\bar{e}e}^{(0)}) \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} d_{\gamma e}^{(1)} + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \left( P_{\gamma\gamma}^{(0)} + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} P_{\gamma\gamma}^{(1)} \right) \otimes \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} d_{\gamma e}^{(1)} \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \left( P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} P_{\gamma e}^{(1)} \right) \otimes \left( \delta(1-x) + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} d_{ee}^{(1)} \right) \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} d_{\gamma e}^{(1)} + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} L P_{\gamma e}^{(0)}, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

§ We dropped arguments of functions on the right hand side.


$$\begin{aligned}
D_{e\bar{e}}^{(1)}(x, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2) &= D_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}(P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes D_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} + P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes D_{ee}^{(0)} + P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} \otimes D_{e\gamma}^{(0)}) \\
&= \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

On the second iteration step, we put the result of the first step under the integral (3). The results of the second and the third iterations are presented in Appendix D.

We also show the results of iterations as functions of  $z$ . The difference between spacelike and timelike functions is only in  $P_{ij}^{(1)}$ , so the parton distributions  $D_{ij}^{(1)}$  are equal for spacelike and timelike cases. As we are interested only in LO and NLO contributions (in other words, LL and NLL approximation), we can omit terms proportional to  $\left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{k+2} L^k$ . The results are presented in Appendix E. We used splitting functions and massless Wilson coefficients [18, 36, 32, 14] which are given in Appendix C.

### 3.3. Numerical results

We can illustrate the convergence of the constructed iterative solution for the *electron in electron* spacelike PDF by looking at the differences of three iterations: which are shown in Fig. 1 for  $L = 24$  ( $\mu_F \approx M_Z$ ) and  $\alpha = 1/137$ . Note that by looking at this plot



**Figure 1.** Difference between the results of three iterations for the function  $[D_{ee}(z, \mu)]_S$  for  $0.1 < z < 0.9$ .

one can only roughly estimate the size of the corresponding radiative corrections, since convolution with a kernel cross section should follow. The growth of the magnitude of corrections at  $z \rightarrow 1$  comes from the infrared singularity which is removed after adding the contributions of soft and virtual emission, which are given by the terms proportional to  $\delta(1 - z)$ .

## 4. Conclusions

In this way, we described the iterative method of solving QED evolution equations. Extension of the presented calculations to higher orders is straightforward. Note that our results are scheme and factorization scale dependent. Application of other schemes and variation of renormalization and factorization scales are possible within the same line of calculations. Here, we presented only the electron parton distribution functions, photon PDFs will be presented elsewhere.

The calculated QED parton distribution functions can be applied to various high-energy processes with participation of electrons and/or positrons. Note that the PDFs here are treated in the collinear approximation which should match the experimental conditions of particle registration of the process under study. In other words, the physical observable should not be sensitive to transverse momenta of initial and/or final state radiation. In any case, the results obtained within the collinear approximation will serve as benchmarks for Monte Carlo simulations which describe the complete kinematics of events.

As we mentioned in the introduction, our results partially intersect with the ones given in Refs. [18, 19, 20]. Results a detailed comparison will be presented later.

### 4.1. Acknowledgments

A.A. is grateful for support to the Russian Science Foundation, project No. 22-12-00021.

## Appendix A. Running coupling constant

The expression for the QED running coupling constant at a certain energy scale  $\mu$  in the  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  scheme can be found, e.g., in [37, 38],

$$\alpha(\mu^2) = \frac{\alpha(\mu_R^2)}{1 + \overline{\Pi}(\mu, \mu_R, \alpha(\mu_R^2))}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\Pi}(\mu, \mu_R, \alpha(\mu_R^2)) &= \frac{\alpha(\mu_R^2)}{\pi} \left( \frac{5}{9} - \frac{L}{3} \right) + \left( \frac{\alpha(\mu_R^2)}{\pi} \right)^2 \left( \frac{55}{48} - \zeta_3 - \frac{L}{4} \right) \\ &+ \left( \frac{\alpha(\mu_R^2)}{\pi} \right)^3 \left( -\frac{L^2}{24} \right) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where  $L = \ln(\mu^2/\mu_R^2)$  is again a large logarithm. After expansion, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\mu^2) &= \alpha(0) \left\{ 1 + \frac{\alpha(0)}{2\pi} \left( -\frac{10}{9} + \frac{2}{3}L \right) + \left( \frac{\alpha(0)}{2\pi} \right)^2 \left( -\frac{1085}{324} + 4\zeta_3 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{13}{27}L + \frac{4}{9}L^2 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^3(0)) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where  $\zeta_n \equiv \zeta(n)$  is the Riemann zeta function. Here we put  $\mu_R = m_e$  and assume  $\alpha(m_e^2) \approx \alpha(0) \equiv \alpha$ . To avoid double counting and following the QCD formalism, we



will omit the  $-10/9$  non-logarithmic term in the running of  $\alpha$  since the corresponding effect is already taken into account in splitting functions  $P_{ji}^{(1)}$ .

## Appendix B. Convolution and plus prescription

The evolution equations involve the convolution operation

$$(f \otimes g)(x) \equiv \int_0^1 dz \int_0^1 dy f(z) g(y) \delta(x - yz) = \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} f(z) g\left(\frac{x}{z}\right). \quad (\text{B.1})$$

Many of relevant functions have a regularization at  $x \rightarrow 1$  and can be represented as a sum of the so-called  $\Theta$  and  $\Delta$  parts:

$$f(x) = \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \left( f_\Theta(x) \Theta(1 - x - \Delta) + f_\Delta \delta(1 - x) \right), \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where  $\Theta(x)$  is the standard Heaviside step function. In many cases, such functions can be regularized with the help of the *plus prescription* which acts in an integral with a regular function as follows

$$\int_z^1 dx [f(x)]_+ g(x) = \int_0^1 dx f(x) \left[ g(x) \Theta(x - z) - g(1) \right]. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

If function  $f(x)$  is regularized by the plus prescription, it satisfies the sum rule

$$f_\Delta = - \int_0^{1-\Delta} f_\Theta(z) dz. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

For the convolution of two functions with  $\Delta$  parts we get

$$(f \otimes g)_\Theta(z) = \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \int_{z/(1-\Delta)}^{1-\Delta} \frac{dx}{x} f_\Theta(x) g_\Theta\left(\frac{z}{x}\right) + f_\Delta g_\Theta(z) + f_\Theta(z) g_\Delta \right\}. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Note that in this way we get only the  $\Theta$  part of the convolution. If the result satisfies sum rule (B.4), its  $\Delta$  part is easily restored. If the sum rule is not applicable, the  $\Delta$  part of a convolution can be calculated as

$$(f \otimes g)_\Delta = f_\Delta g_\Delta - \int_{1-\Delta}^1 dy f(y) \int_{1-\Delta}^{\frac{1-\Delta}{y}} g(x) dx, \quad (\text{B.6})$$

as follows from the definitions (B.1) and (B.2).

## Appendix C. Splitting functions

Process-independent parton splitting functions in the lowest order are

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ee}^{(0)}(x) &= \left[ \frac{1+x^2}{1-x} \right]_+, & P_{e\gamma}^{(0)}(x) &= x^2 + (1-x)^2, & P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)}(x) &= 0, \\ P_{\gamma e}^{(0)}(x) &= \frac{1+(1-x)^2}{x}, & P_{\gamma\gamma}^{(0)}(x) &= \frac{\beta_0}{2} \delta(1-x). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.1})$$

Spacelike functions read

$$\begin{aligned}
[P_{ee}^{(1,NS)}]_S(x) &= C_f^2 \left( \left( -2 \ln x \ln(1-x) - \frac{3}{2} \ln x \right) \frac{1+x^2}{1-x} - \left( \frac{3}{2} + \frac{7}{2}x \right) \ln x \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2}(1+x) \ln^2 x - 5(1-x) \right) + C_f T_f \left( -\frac{2}{3} \ln x - \frac{10}{9} \frac{1+x^2}{1-x} - \frac{4}{3}(1-x) \right) \\
&\quad + \delta(1-x) \left( C_f^2 \left( \frac{3}{8} - \frac{\pi}{2} + 6\zeta_3 \right) - C_f T_f \left( \frac{1}{6} + \frac{2\pi}{9} \right) \right), \tag{C.2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[P_{ee}^{(1,S)}(x)]_S &= C_f T_f \left( \frac{20}{9x} - 2 + 6x - \frac{56}{9}x^2 + (1+5x+\frac{8}{3}x^2) \ln x \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (1+x) \ln^2 x \right), \tag{C.3}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{ee}^{(1,NS)}(x) &= C_f^2 \left( 2 \frac{1+x^2}{1+x} S_2(x) + 2(1+x) \ln x + 4(1-x) \right), \\
[P_{ee}^{(1)}(x)]_S &= [P_{ee}^{(1,NS)}]_S(x) + [P_{ee}^{(1,S)}]_S(x), \tag{C.4}
\end{aligned}$$

$$[P_{ee}^{(1)}(x)]_S = P_{ee}^{(1,NS)}(x) + [P_{ee}^{(1,S)}]_S(x), \tag{C.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[P_{e\gamma}^{(1)}]_S(x) &= C_f T_f \left[ 4 - 9x - (1-4x) \ln x - (1-2x) \ln^2 x \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 4 \ln(1-x) + \left( 2(\ln(1-x) - \ln x)^2 - 4(\ln(1-x) - \ln x) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - 4\zeta(2) + 10 \right) P_{e\gamma}^{(0)}(x) \right], \tag{C.6}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[P_{\gamma e}^{(1)}]_S(x) &= C_f^2 \left( -\frac{5}{2} - \frac{7}{2}x + \left( 2 + \frac{7}{2}x \right) \ln x - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2}x \right) \ln x^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2x \ln(1-x) - (3 \ln(1-x) + \ln^2(1-x)) P_{\gamma e}^{(0)}(x) \right) \\
&\quad + C_f \left( -\frac{4x}{3} - \left( \frac{20}{9} + \frac{4 \ln(1-x)}{3} \right) P_{\gamma e}^{(0)}(x) \right). \tag{C.7}
\end{aligned}$$

The timelike functions read

$$\begin{aligned}
[P_{ee}^{(1,NS)}(x)]_T &= C_f^2 \left( \left( 2 \ln x \ln(1-x) + \frac{3}{2} \ln x - 2 \ln^2 x \right) \frac{1+x^2}{1-x} - \left( \frac{7}{2} + \frac{3}{2}x \right) \ln x \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2}(1+x) \ln^2 x - 5 + 3x \right) + C_f T_f \left( \left( -\frac{2}{3} \ln x - \frac{10}{9} \right) \frac{1+x^2}{1-x} - \frac{4}{3}(1-x) \right) \\
&\quad + \delta(1-x) \left( C_f^2 \left( \frac{3}{8} - \frac{\pi}{2} + 6\zeta_3 \right) - C_f T_f \left( \frac{1}{6} + \frac{2\pi}{9} \right) \right), \tag{C.8}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[P_{ee}^{(1,S)}(x)]_T &= C_f T_f \left( \ln x \left( -5 - 9x - \frac{8}{3}x^2 \right) + \ln^2 x (1+x) - 8 - \frac{20}{9x} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 4x + \frac{56}{9}x^2 \right), \tag{C.9}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[P_{ee}^{(1)}(x)]_T &= [P_{ee}^{(1,NS)}]_T(x) + [P_{ee}^{(1,S)}]_T(x), \\
[P_{e\gamma}^{(1)}(x)]_T &= T_f^2 \left[ -\frac{8}{3} - \left( \frac{16}{9} + \frac{8}{3} \ln x + \frac{8}{3} \ln(1-x) \right) \right] + C_f N_f [-2 + 3x \\
&\quad + (-7 + 8x) \ln x - 4 \ln(1-x) + (1-2x) \ln^2 x + (-4 \ln x \ln(1-x) - 2 \ln^2 x)
\end{aligned}$$

$$-2 \ln(1-x) + 2 \ln x - 2 \ln^2(1-x) + 16 S_1(x) + 12 \zeta_2 - 10) P_{e\gamma}^{(0)}], \quad (\text{C.10})$$

$$[P_{\gamma e}^{(1)}(x)]_T = C_f^2 \left[ -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{9}{2}x \left( -8 + \frac{1}{2}x \right) \ln x + 2x \ln(1-x) + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \ln^2 x \right. \\ \left. + \left( \ln^2(1-x) + 4 \ln x \ln(1-x) - 8 S_1(x) - \frac{4}{3}\pi \right) P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} \right], \quad (\text{C.11})$$

$$S_2(x) = \int_{\frac{x}{1+x}}^{\frac{1}{1+x}} \frac{dz}{z} \ln \frac{1-z}{z} = -3\zeta_2 - \frac{\ln^2 x}{2} + 2 \ln x \ln(1+x) + 2 \text{Li}_2(1+x); \quad (\text{C.12})$$

$$S_1(x) = \int_0^{1-x} \frac{dz}{z} \ln(1-z), \quad (\text{C.13})$$

where  $P_{ee}^{NS}(x)$  and  $P_{ee}^S(x)$  are non-singlet and singlet contributions, which are different for spacelike and timelike functions.  $P_{ee}^{(1,NS)}(x)$  is the same in the spacelike and timelike cases. Note that in QED the QCD constants are reduced to  $C_f = 1$  and  $T_f = 1$ ;  $\beta_0 = -\frac{4}{3}$ .

## Appendix D. PDFs in terms of convolutions

Here we present results for electron PDFs in terms of convolutions.

$$D_{ee}^{(\text{II})}(x, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2) = D_{ee}^{(\text{I})} + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^2 L \left( d_{\gamma e}^{(1)}(x) \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} + P_{ee}^{(1)} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{10}{9} P_{ee}^{(0)} + P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes d_{ee}^{(1)}(x) \right) \\ + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^2 L^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{ee}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{3} P_{ee}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{ee}^{(0)} \right), \quad (\text{D.1})$$

$$D_{\gamma e}^{(\text{II})}(x, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2) = D_{\gamma e}^{(\text{I})} + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^2 L \left( P_{\gamma e}^{(1)} + d_{\gamma e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\gamma\gamma}^{(0)} - \frac{10}{9} P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} + d_{ee}^{(1)}(x) \otimes P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} \right) \\ + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^2 L^2 \left( \frac{1}{3} P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\gamma\gamma}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} \right), \quad (\text{D.2})$$

$$D_{ee}^{(\text{II})}(x, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2) = D_{ee}^{(\text{I})} + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^2 L \left( P_{ee}^{(1)} - \frac{10}{9} P_{ee}^{(0)} + d_{\gamma e}^{(1)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} + d_{ee}^{(1)} \otimes P_{ee}^{(0)} \right) \\ + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^2 L^2 \left( \frac{1}{3} P_{ee}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{ee}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{ee}^{(0)} \right). \quad (\text{D.3})$$

$$D_{ee}^{(\text{III})}(x, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2) = D_{ee}^{(\text{II})} + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^3 L^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} P_{\gamma e}^{(1)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{ee}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{3} d_{\gamma e}^{(1)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} \right. \\ + \frac{1}{2} d_{\gamma e}^{(1)} \otimes P_{\gamma\gamma}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(1)} - \frac{10}{9} P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} + \frac{2}{3} P_{ee}^{(1)} \\ + \frac{1}{2} P_{ee}^{(1)} \otimes P_{ee}^{(0)} - \frac{10}{9} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{ee}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} d_{\gamma e}^{(1)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} \otimes P_{ee}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} d_{ee}^{(1)} \otimes P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{ee}^{(0)} \\ + \frac{1}{2} d_{ee}^{(1)} \otimes P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} - \frac{13}{54} P_{ee}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes d_{\gamma e}^{(1)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} + P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{ee}^{(1)} \\ \left. + \frac{1}{3} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes d_{ee}^{(1)} - \frac{10}{9} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{ee}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes d_{ee}^{(1)} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^3 L^3 \left( \frac{1}{3} P_{\bar{e}e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\bar{e}e}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{6} P_{\bar{e}e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} + \frac{4}{27} P_{ee}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{6} P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\bar{e}e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\bar{e}\gamma}^{(0)} \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{3} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\bar{e}e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\bar{e}e}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{6} P_{\gamma\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\bar{e}e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{3} P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{6} P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\gamma\gamma}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{3} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{3} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{ee}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{6} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{ee}^{(0)} \right), \quad (D.4)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\gamma e}^{(\text{III})}(x, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2) &= D_{\gamma e}^{(\text{II})} + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^3 L^2 \left( \frac{2}{3} P_{\gamma e}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{\gamma e}^{(1)} \otimes P_{\gamma\gamma}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{\gamma\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\bar{e}e}^{(1)} \right. \\
& - \frac{10}{9} P_{\gamma\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\bar{e}e}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{\gamma\bar{e}}^{(1)} \otimes P_{\bar{e}e}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{3} d_{\gamma e}^{(1)} \otimes P_{\gamma\gamma}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} d_{\gamma e}^{(1)} \otimes \left( P_{\gamma\gamma}^{(0)} \right)^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{2} d_{\gamma e}^{(1)} \otimes P_{\gamma\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\bar{e}\gamma}^{(0)} - \frac{13}{54} P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\gamma\gamma}^{(1)} - \frac{10}{9} P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\gamma\gamma}^{(0)} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} \otimes d_{\gamma e}^{(1)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{ee}^{(1)} \otimes P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} d_{ee}^{(1)} \otimes P_{\gamma\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\bar{e}e}^{(0)} \\
& + \frac{1}{3} d_{ee}^{(1)} \otimes P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} d_{ee}^{(1)} \otimes P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\gamma\gamma}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\gamma e}^{(1)} \\
& \left. - \frac{10}{9} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes d_{ee}^{(1)} \otimes P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} \right) \\
& + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^3 L^3 \left( \frac{1}{3} P_{\gamma\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\bar{e}e}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{6} P_{\gamma\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\bar{e}e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{ee}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{6} P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\bar{e}e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\bar{e}e}^{(0)} \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{6} P_{\gamma\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\bar{e}e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\gamma\gamma}^{(0)} + \frac{4}{27} P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{3} P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\gamma\gamma}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{6} P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\gamma\gamma}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\gamma\gamma}^{(0)} \\
& + \frac{1}{6} P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\gamma\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\bar{e}\gamma}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{6} P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{6} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\gamma\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\bar{e}e}^{(0)} \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{3} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{6} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\gamma\gamma}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{6} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} \right), \quad (D.5)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{e\bar{e}}^{(\text{III})}(x, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2) &= D_{e\bar{e}}^{(\text{II})} + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^3 L^2 \left( \frac{2}{3} P_{e\bar{e}}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{\gamma\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(1)} \right. \\
& - \frac{10}{9} P_{\gamma\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{\gamma\bar{e}}^{(1)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{3} d_{\gamma e}^{(1)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} - \frac{13}{54} P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} P_{e\bar{e}}^{(1)} \otimes P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\bar{e}}^{(1)} - \frac{10}{9} P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} d_{\gamma e}^{(1)} \otimes P_{\gamma\gamma}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} d_{ee}^{(1)} \otimes P_{\gamma\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{ee}^{(1)} \otimes P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} d_{\gamma e}^{(1)} \otimes P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\bar{e}\gamma}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{3} d_{ee}^{(1)} \otimes P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} d_{ee}^{(1)} \otimes P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} - \frac{10}{9} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes d_{ee}^{(1)} \otimes P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{2} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\bar{e}}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes d_{\gamma e}^{(1)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} \right) \\
& + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^3 L^3 \left( \frac{4}{27} P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{6} P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{3} P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{6} P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{6} P_{\gamma\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\bar{e}\gamma}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{3} P_{\gamma\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{6} P_{\gamma\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\gamma\gamma}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{6} P_{\gamma\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{6} P_{\gamma e}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{3} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{6} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{6} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{\gamma\bar{e}}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\gamma}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{6} P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{ee}^{(0)} \otimes P_{e\bar{e}}^{(0)} \Big). \quad (\text{D.6})$$

Because of the charge conjugation parity, these functions obey the equalities (7,8,9,10).

## Appendix E. Explicit results for PDFs

$$[D_{ee}^{(\text{I})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_S = \delta(1-z) + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}(-1-2\ln(1-z))\frac{1+z^2}{1-z} + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}L\frac{1+z^2}{1-z}, \quad (\text{E.1})$$

$$[D_{e\bar{e}}^{(\text{I})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_S = 0, \quad (\text{E.2})$$

$$[D_{\gamma e}^{(\text{I})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_S = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}(-2-4\ln z)\frac{(1-z)^2+1}{z} + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}L\frac{(1-z)^2+1}{z}; \quad (\text{E.3})$$

$$\begin{aligned} [D_{ee}^{(\text{II})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_S &= [D_{ee}^{(\text{I})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_S \\ &+ \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^2 L \left[ -\frac{157}{18} - \frac{2}{z} - \frac{11}{9(1-z)} + \frac{251}{18}z - 2z^2 + 4\zeta_2\frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \right. \\ &- (2+2z)\text{Li}_2(1-z) + \ln z \left( -\frac{8}{3z} - \frac{1}{3(1-z)} - \frac{22}{3} - \frac{4}{3}z + \frac{8}{3}z^2 \right) \\ &+ \ln(1-z) \left( 11 - \frac{14}{1-z} + 3z \right) - 6\ln^2(1-z)\frac{1+z^2}{1-z} + \ln z \ln(1-z) \left( -4 + \frac{4}{1-z} - 4z \right) \\ &+ \ln^2 z \left( -\frac{7}{2} - \frac{7}{2}z \right) \Big] + \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^2 L^2 \left[ -\frac{7}{3} + \frac{2}{3z} + \frac{11}{3(1-z)} - \frac{4}{3}z - \frac{2}{3}z^2 + 2\ln(1-z)\frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \right. \\ &+ \ln z \left( \frac{5}{2} - \frac{2}{1-z} + \frac{5}{2}z \right) \Big]; \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.4})$$

$$\begin{aligned} [D_{\gamma e}^{(\text{II})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_S &= [D_{\gamma e}^{(\text{I})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_S + \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^2 L \left[ \frac{47}{18} - \frac{46}{9z} - \frac{2}{1-z} - \frac{133}{18}z + z^2 \right. \\ &+ \ln(1-z) \left( \frac{38}{3} - \frac{38}{3z} - \frac{4}{1-z} - \frac{25}{3}z + 2z^2 \right) \\ &- \ln^2(1-z)\frac{(1-z)^2+1}{z} + \ln z \left( -\frac{2}{3} + \frac{8}{3z} + \frac{29}{6}z \right) + \ln^2 z \left( -1 + \frac{z}{2} \right) \Big] \\ &+ \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^2 L^2 \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{4}z + \ln(1-z)\frac{(1-z)^2+1}{z} + \ln z \left( 1 - \frac{z}{2} \right) \right]; \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.5})$$

$$\begin{aligned} [D_{e\bar{e}}^{(\text{II})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_S &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^2 L \left[ 1 - \frac{2}{z} + 3z - 2z^2 - 6\zeta_2\frac{1+z^2}{1+z} + 4\text{Li}_2(1+z)\frac{1+z^2}{1+z} \right. \\ &+ \ln z \left( -3 - \frac{8}{3z} + 3z + \frac{8}{3}z^2 \right) + \ln^2 z \left( -4 + \frac{2}{1+z} - 2z \right) \Big] \\ &+ \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^2 L^2 \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3z} - \frac{1}{2}z - \frac{2}{3}z^2 + (1+z)\ln z \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.6})$$

The third iteration yields

$$\begin{aligned} [D_{ee}^{(\text{III})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_S &= [D_{ee}^{(\text{II})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_S \\ &+ \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^3 L^2 \left[ \frac{539}{27} + \frac{11}{3z} - \frac{37}{54(1-z)} - \frac{1007}{54}z - \frac{17}{3}z^2 \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \zeta_2 \left( \frac{18}{1-z} - 19 + z + \frac{8}{3}z^2 - \frac{8}{3z} \right) - 10 \zeta_3 \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} - (7+7z)S_{1,2}(1-z) \\
& + \text{Li}_2(1-z) \left( -12 - 10z + \frac{4}{3}z^2 + \ln(1-z)(-12-12z) \right. \\
& \left. + \ln z(-9-9z) \right) + 12(1+z)\text{Li}_3(1-z) + \ln(1-z) \left( -\frac{209}{36} - \frac{2}{3z} - \frac{397}{18(1-z)} \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{1075}{36}z - \frac{4}{3}z^2 + 20\zeta_2 \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \right) + \ln^2(1-z) \left( \frac{53}{2} - \frac{2}{3z} - \frac{34}{1-z} + \frac{15}{2}z + \frac{2}{3}z^2 \right) \\
& - 8 \ln^3(1-z) \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} + \ln z \left( \frac{65}{4} + \frac{17}{6(1-z)} - \frac{61}{4}z + \frac{28}{9}z^2 - 4\zeta_2 \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \right) \\
& + \ln z \ln(1-z) \left( -\frac{68}{3} - \frac{8}{3z} + \frac{28}{3(1-z)} - \frac{26}{3}z + 4z^2 \right) + 12 \ln z \ln^2(1-z) \frac{z^2}{1-z} \\
& + \ln^2 z \left( -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{7}{3(1-z)} - \frac{7}{2}z - 4z^2 \right) + \ln^2 z \ln(1-z) \left( -\frac{11}{2} - \frac{11}{2}z \right) + \ln^3 z \left( \frac{4}{3} + \frac{4}{3}z \right) \Big] \\
& + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^3 L^3 \left[ -\frac{971}{216} + \frac{8}{27z} + \frac{491}{108(1-z)} - 2\zeta_2 \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} - \frac{11}{216}z - \frac{8}{27}z^2 \right. \\
& + \text{Li}_2(1-z) \left( \frac{7}{3} + \frac{7}{3}z \right) + \ln(1-z) \left( -\frac{17}{3} + \frac{8}{9z} + \frac{26}{3(1-z)} - 3z - \frac{8}{9}z^2 \right) \\
& + 2 \ln^2(1-z) \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} + \ln z \left( \frac{151}{36} - \frac{13}{3(1-z)} + \frac{103}{36}z + \frac{8}{9}z^2 \right) \\
& \left. + \ln z \ln(1-z) \left( \frac{13}{3} - \frac{4}{1-z} + \frac{13}{3}z \right) + \ln^2 z \left( -\frac{11}{12} + \frac{2}{3(1-z)} - \frac{11}{12}z \right) \right], \tag{E.7}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[D_{\gamma e}^{(\text{III})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_S &= [D_{\gamma e}^{(\text{II})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_S + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^3 L^2 \left[ -\frac{4879}{108} + \frac{55}{18z} - \frac{2}{3(1-z)} \right. \\
& + \frac{14129}{432}z + \frac{37}{27}z^2 + \left( -\frac{40}{3} - \frac{23}{6}z - \frac{20}{3z} \right) \zeta_2 + (14+z) \zeta_3 + \left( -10 - \frac{4}{z} + z \right) S_{1,2}(1-z) \\
& + \text{Li}_2(1+z) \left( 10 + \frac{6}{z} + 4z + \frac{4}{z} \right) + \text{Li}_2(1-z) \left( -\frac{16}{3} - \frac{16}{3z} - \frac{5}{3}z \right. \\
& \left. + \ln(1-z) \left( -6 - \frac{8}{z} + 3z \right) + \ln z \left( -8 + \frac{4}{z} \right) \right) \\
& + \text{Li}_3(1-z) \left( 6 + \frac{8}{z} - 4z \right) + \text{Li}_3(z^2) \left( -1 + \frac{1}{z} - \frac{z}{2} \right) + \text{Li}_3(1+z) \left( -8 - \frac{8}{z} - 4z \right) \\
& + \ln(1+z) \zeta_2 \left( 12 + \frac{12}{z} + 6z \right) + \ln(1-z) \left( \frac{95}{9} - \frac{253}{18z} - \frac{4}{3(1-z)} - \frac{487}{36}z + \frac{2}{3}z^2 \right. \\
& \left. - 6 \frac{(1-z)^2 + 1}{z} \zeta_2 \right) + \ln^2(1-z) \left( -1 + \frac{6}{z} - \frac{13}{4}z \right) \\
& - 3 \ln^3(1-z) \frac{(1-z)^2 + 1}{z} + \ln z \left( -\frac{137}{4} + \frac{20}{3z} + \frac{11}{2(1-z)} - \frac{23}{12}z \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{127}{36}z^2 + \left( 6 - \frac{8}{z} - z \right) \zeta_2 + \frac{4}{z} \text{Li}_2(1+z) \right) + \ln z \ln(1-z) \left( \frac{7}{3} - \frac{19}{6}z \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \ln z \ln^2(1-z) \left( -7 + \frac{7}{2}z \right) + \ln^2 z \left( -\frac{11}{3} + \frac{8}{3z} - \frac{2}{3} \right) \\
& + \ln(1+z) \left( -2 + \frac{2}{z} \right) + \ln(1-z) \left( -3 + \frac{2}{z} - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \ln^3 z \left( -\frac{7}{3} + \frac{3}{2}z \right) \Big] \\
& + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^3 L^3 \left[ \left( \frac{193}{36} - \frac{62}{27z} - \frac{191}{72}z + \frac{8}{27}z^2 \right) - \frac{2}{3}\zeta_2 \frac{(1-z)^2+1}{z} + \frac{4}{3z} \text{Li}_2(1-z) \right. \\
& + \ln(1-z) \left( \frac{4}{9} + \frac{8}{9z} + \frac{1}{9}z \right) + \frac{2}{3} \ln^2(1-z) \frac{(1-z)^2+1}{z} + \ln z \left( -\frac{7}{18} - \frac{8}{9z} + \frac{10}{9}z \right) \\
& \left. + \ln z \ln(1-z) \left( \frac{4}{3} - \frac{2}{3}z \right) + \ln^2 z \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}z \right) \right], \tag{E.8}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[D_{e\bar{e}}^{(\text{III})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_S &= [D_{e\bar{e}}^{(\text{II})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_S + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^3 L^2 \left[ \frac{137}{36} + \frac{11}{3z} - \frac{65}{36}z - \frac{17}{3}z^2 \right. \\
& + \text{Li}_2(1+z) \left( \frac{52}{3(1+z)} - \frac{50}{3} + \frac{2}{3}z \right) + \zeta_3 \left( 8 - \frac{12}{1+z} - 8z \right) \\
& + \zeta_2 \left( 23 - \frac{8}{3z} - \frac{26}{1+z} + z + \frac{8}{3}z^2 \right) + (-10 + 6z) \text{S}_{1,2}(1-z) \\
& + \text{Li}_2(1-z) \left\{ -\frac{4}{3} + \frac{2}{3}z + \frac{4}{3}z^2 - 8 \ln(1+z) \frac{1+z^2}{1+z} + \ln(1-z) \left( -10 + \frac{16}{1+z} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + 6z \right) + (-14 + 2z) \ln z \right\} - 8 \left( \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1+z}{2} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1-z}{1+z} \right) \right) (\ln(1+z) \\
& - \ln(1-z)) \frac{1+z^2}{1+z} + \text{Li}_3(1-z) \left( -6 + \frac{16}{1+z} + 10z \right) + 16 \text{Li}_3(1+z) \frac{1+z^2}{1+z} \\
& - 4 \text{Li}_3(1-z^2) \frac{1+z^2}{1+z} + \text{Li}_3(z^2) \left( -1 - \frac{2}{1+z} + z \right) - 8 \text{Li}_3 \left( \frac{z}{1+z} \right) \frac{1+z^2}{1+z} \\
& + \ln(1+z) \left( -32\zeta_2 - 4 \ln^2(2) + 8 \text{Li}_2(1+z) \right) \frac{1+z^2}{1+z} + \frac{16}{3} \ln(1+z)^3 \frac{1+z^2}{1+z} \\
& + \ln(1-z) \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3z} + \frac{3}{2}z - \frac{4}{3}z^2 + (-8\zeta_2 + 4 \ln^2(2)) \frac{1+z^2}{1+z} \right) \\
& + 8 \ln(1-z) \ln(1+z) \ln(2) \frac{1+z^2}{1+z} - 12 \ln(1-z) \ln^2(1+z) \frac{1+z^2}{1+z} \\
& + \ln^2(1-z) \left( -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3z} + \frac{1}{2}z + \frac{2}{3}z^2 - 8 \ln(2) \frac{1+z^2}{1+z} \right) \\
& + 8 \ln^2(1-z) \ln(1+z) \frac{1+z^2}{1+z} + \ln z \left( \frac{433}{36} + \frac{151}{36}z + \frac{28}{9}z^2 + \left( -6 + \frac{24}{1+z} - 2z \right) \zeta_2 \right. \\
& \left. - 8 \frac{\text{Li}_2(1+z)}{1+z} \right) - 4 \ln z \ln^2(1+z) \frac{1+z^2}{1+z} + \ln z \ln(1-z) \\
& \times \left( -\frac{10}{3} - \frac{8}{3z} + \frac{8}{3}z + 4z^2 \right) + (-1-z) \ln z \ln^2(1-z) + \ln^2 z \left( -\frac{10}{3} + \frac{13}{3(1+z)} \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{9}{2}z - 4z^2 \right) + \ln^2 z \ln(1+z) \left( -6 + \frac{4}{1+z} + 6z \right) + \ln^2 z \ln(1-z) (-9-z)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \ln^3 z \left( \frac{13}{6} - \frac{4}{3(1+z)} + \frac{1}{6}z \right) \Big] + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^3 L^3 \left[ -\frac{19}{18} + \frac{8}{27} + \frac{19}{18}z - \frac{8}{27}z^2 \right. \\
& + \left( \frac{4}{3}z + \frac{4}{3} \right) \text{Li}_2(1-z) + \ln(1-z) \left( \frac{8}{9z} + \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2}{3}z - \frac{8}{9}z^2 \right) \\
& \left. + \ln z \left( \frac{4}{9} + \frac{10}{9}z + \frac{8}{9}z^2 \right) + \ln z \ln(1-z) \left( \frac{4}{3} + \frac{4}{3}z \right) + \left( -\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{3}z \right) \ln^2 z \right]. \quad (\text{E.9})
\end{aligned}$$

And for timelike functions we get

$$[D_{ee}^{(\text{I})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_T = [D_{ee}^{(\text{I})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_S, \quad (\text{E.10})$$

$$[D_{e\bar{e}}^{(\text{I})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_T = 0 = [D_{e\bar{e}}^{(\text{I})}]_S, \quad (\text{E.11})$$

$$[D_{\gamma e}^{(\text{I})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_T = [D_{\gamma e}^{(\text{I})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_S, \quad (\text{E.12})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[D_{ee}^{(\text{II})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_T &= [D_{ee}^{(\text{I})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_T + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^2 L \left[ -\frac{265}{18} - \frac{58}{3z} - \frac{11}{9(1-z)} + \frac{215}{18}z \right. \\
&+ \frac{94}{9}z^2 + 4\frac{1+z^2}{1-z}\zeta_2 + \text{Li}_2(1-z)(-2-2z) + \ln(1-z) \left( 11 - \frac{14}{1-z} + 3z \right) \\
&- 6\ln^2(1-z)\frac{1+z^2}{1-z} + \ln z \left( -\frac{55}{3} - \frac{8}{3z} + \frac{17}{3(1-z)} - \frac{49}{3}z - \frac{8}{3}z^2 \right) \\
&+ \ln z \ln(1-z) \left( -8 + \frac{12}{1-z} - 8z \right) + \ln^2 z \left( \frac{3}{2} - \frac{4}{1-z} + \frac{3}{2}z \right) \Big] + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^2 L^2 \left[ -\frac{7}{3} + \frac{2}{3z} \right. \\
&+ \frac{11}{3(1-z)} - \frac{4}{3}z - \frac{2}{3}z^2 + 2\ln(1-z)\frac{1+z^2}{1-z} + \ln z \left( \frac{5}{2} - \frac{2}{1-z} + \frac{5}{2}z \right) \Big], \quad (\text{E.13})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[D_{\gamma e}^{(\text{II})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_T &= [D_{\gamma e}^{(\text{I})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_T + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^2 L \left[ \frac{1}{6} - \frac{2}{3z} - \frac{2}{1-z} + \frac{25}{6}z + z^2 \right. \\
&- 8\zeta_2 \frac{(1-z)^2+1}{z} - 8\text{Li}_2(1-z)\frac{(1-z)^2+1}{z} + \ln(1-z) \left( 4 - \frac{4}{z} - \frac{4}{1-z} + 2z^2 \right) \\
&+ \ln^2(1-z)\frac{(1-z)^2+1}{z} + \ln z \left( -\frac{32}{3} + \frac{8}{3z} + \frac{11}{6}z \right) + 4\ln z \ln(1-z)\frac{(1-z)^2+1}{z} \\
&+ \ln^2 z \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2}z \right) \Big] + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^2 L^2 \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{4}z + \ln z \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2}z \right) + \ln(1-z)\frac{(1-z)^2+1}{z} \right] \quad (\text{E.14})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[D_{e\bar{e}}^{(\text{II})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_T &= \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^2 L \left[ 1 - \frac{2}{z} + 3z - 2z^2 - 6\zeta_2 \frac{1+z^2}{1+z} + 4\frac{1+z^2}{1+z}\text{Li}_2(1+z) \right. \\
&+ \ln z \left( -3 - \frac{8}{3z} + 3z + \frac{8}{3}z^2 \right) + \ln^2 z \left( -4 + \frac{2}{1+z} - 2z \right) \Big] \\
&+ \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^2 L^2 \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3z} - \frac{1}{2}z - \frac{2}{3}z^2 + (1+z)\ln z \right], \quad (\text{E.15})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[D_{ee}^{(\text{III})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_T &= [D_{ee}^{(\text{II})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_T + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^3 L^2 \left[ \frac{917}{27} - \frac{62}{27z} + \frac{37}{54(1-z)} \right. \\
&- \frac{1709}{54}z - \frac{19}{29}z^2 - 10\zeta_3 \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} + \zeta_2 \left( \frac{8}{3z} + \frac{18}{1-z} - 15 - 3z - \frac{8}{3}z^2 \right) \\
&+ \text{S}_{1,2}(1-z)(-51-51z) + \text{Li}_2(1-z) \left( -\frac{103}{3} - \frac{16}{z} - \frac{40}{3}z + 12z^2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& -(14 \ln(1-z) + 21 \ln z)(1+z)) + \text{Li}_3(1-z)(14+14z) \\
& + \ln(1-z) \left( -\frac{527}{36} - \frac{49}{9z} - \frac{397}{18(1-z)} + \frac{1213}{36}z + \frac{76}{9}z^2 \right. \\
& + 20\zeta_2 \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \Big) + \ln^2(1-z) \left( 27 - \frac{2}{z} - \frac{34}{1-z} + 7z + 2z^2 \right) - 8 \ln^3(1-z) \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \\
& + \ln z \left( \frac{31}{36} + \frac{205}{18(1-z)} - \frac{545}{36}z - \frac{20}{3}z^2 + 20\zeta_2 \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \right) \\
& + \ln z \ln(1-z) \left( -\frac{161}{3} + \frac{116}{3(1-z)} - \frac{98}{3}z - 4z^2 \right) \\
& + \ln z \ln^2(1-z) \left( -21 + \frac{28}{1-z} - 21z \right) + \ln^2 z \left( \frac{43}{2} - \frac{37}{3(1-z)} + \frac{37}{2}z + 8z^2 \right) \\
& + \ln^2 z \ln(1-z) \left( \frac{25}{2} - \frac{16}{1-z} + \frac{25}{2}z \right) + \ln^3 z \left( -3 + \frac{8}{3(1-z)} - 3z \right) \Big] \\
& + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^3 L^3 \left[ -\frac{971}{216} + \frac{8}{27z} + \frac{491}{108(1-z)} - \frac{11}{216}z - \frac{8}{27}z^2 - 2\zeta_2 \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \right. \\
& + \text{Li}_2(1-z) \left( \frac{7}{3} + \frac{7}{3}z \right) + \ln(1-z) \left( -\frac{17}{3} + \frac{8}{9z} + \frac{26}{3(1-z)} - 3z - \frac{8}{9}z^2 \right) \\
& + 2 \ln^2(1-z) \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} + \ln z \left( \frac{151}{36} - \frac{13}{3(1-z)} + \frac{103}{36}z + \frac{8}{9}z^2 \right) \\
& \left. + \ln z \ln(1-z) \left( \frac{13}{3} - \frac{4}{1-z} + \frac{13}{3}z \right) + \ln^2 z \left( -\frac{11}{12} + \frac{2}{3(1-z)} - \frac{11}{12}z \right) \right] \quad (\text{E.16})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& [D_{\gamma e}^{(\text{III})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_T = [D_{\gamma e}^{(\text{II})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_T \\
& + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^3 L^2 \left[ -\frac{557}{24} - \frac{19}{54z} + \frac{10}{3(1-z)} + \frac{233}{16}z + \frac{353}{108}z^2 \right. \\
& + \zeta_3 \left( 10 + \frac{4}{z} + 3z \right) + \zeta_2 \left( -\frac{70}{3z} - \frac{8}{1-z} + \frac{10}{3} - \frac{85}{6}z + 4z^2 \right) + \text{S}_{1,2}(1-z) \left( -4 - \frac{4}{z} - 2z \right) \\
& + \text{Li}_2(1+z) \left( \frac{6}{z} + 10 + 4z + \frac{4}{z} \ln z \right) + \text{Li}_2(1-z) \left( 7 - \frac{14}{3z} - \frac{8}{1-z} - 6z + 4z^2 \right) \\
& + \ln z \left( -6 + \frac{4}{z} - z \right) - \frac{12}{z} \ln(1-z) \Big) + \frac{12}{z} \text{Li}_3(1-z) + \text{Li}_3(1+z) \left( -8 - \frac{8}{z} - 4z \right) \\
& + \text{Li}_3(z^2) \left( -1 + \frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{2}z \right) + \ln(1+z) \zeta_2 \left( 12 + \frac{12}{z} + 6z \right) + \ln(1-z) \left( \frac{5}{6} - \frac{1}{2z} \right. \\
& + \frac{2}{3(1-z)} - \frac{23}{6}z - \frac{1}{3}z^2 + 4\zeta_2 \frac{(1-z)^2 + 1}{z} \Big) + \ln^2(1-z) \left( -\frac{31}{3} + \frac{13}{3z} + \frac{1}{1-z} \right. \\
& + \frac{11}{3}z - \frac{1}{2}z^2 \Big) - 2 \ln^3(1-z) \frac{(1-z)^2 + 1}{z} + \ln z \left( -\frac{35}{3} - \frac{16}{9z} - \frac{15}{2(1-z)} - \frac{13}{4}z \right. \\
& - \frac{73}{36}z^2 + \zeta_2 \left( 6 - \frac{8}{z} - z \right) \Big) + \ln z \ln(1-z) \left( \frac{13}{3} + \frac{4}{z} + \frac{4}{1-z} - \frac{19}{6}z - 2z^2 \right) \\
& + \ln z \ln^2(1-z) (-6 + 3z) + \ln^2 z \left( \frac{43}{12} + \frac{1}{2(1-z)} + \frac{17}{12}z + \frac{1}{4}z^2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \ln(1+z) \left( -2 + \frac{2}{z} - z \right) + \ln(1-z) \left( \frac{2}{z} - 2z \right) \\
& + \ln^3 z \left( -\frac{5}{6} + \frac{3}{4}z \right) \Big] + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^3 L^3 \left[ \frac{193}{36} - \frac{62}{27z} - \frac{191}{72}z + \frac{8}{27}z^2 - \frac{2}{3} \frac{(1-z)^2 + 1}{z} \zeta_2 \right. \\
& + \frac{4}{3z} \text{Li}_2(1-z) + \ln(1-z) \left( \frac{4}{9} + \frac{8}{9z} + \frac{1}{9}z \right) + \frac{2}{3} \ln^2(1-z) \frac{(1-z)^2 + 1}{z} \\
& \left. + \ln z \left( -\frac{7}{18} - \frac{8}{9z} + \frac{10}{9}z \right) + \frac{2}{3} \ln z \ln(1-z)(2-z) + \frac{1}{4} \ln^2 z (2-z) \right], \tag{E.17}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[D_{e\bar{e}}^{(\text{III})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_T &= [D_{e\bar{e}}^{(\text{II})}(z, \mu_F^2/\mu_R^2)]_T + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^3 L^2 \left[ \frac{109}{36} - \frac{2}{27z} - \frac{97}{36}z - \frac{7}{27}z^2 \right. \\
& + \zeta_3 \left( 8 - \frac{12}{1+z} - 8z \right) + \zeta_2 \left( 25 + \frac{8}{3z} - \frac{22}{1+z} - z - \frac{8}{3}z^2 \right) \\
& + S_{1,2}(1-z)(-62 - 46z) + \text{Li}_2(1+z) \left( -\frac{46}{3} + \frac{44}{3(1+z)} - \frac{2}{3}z \right) \\
& + \text{Li}_2(1-z) \left( -\frac{35}{3} - \frac{16}{z} + \frac{76}{3}z + \frac{68}{3}z^2 - 8 \ln(1+z) \frac{1+z^2}{1+z} + \ln(1-z) \left( -12 + \frac{16}{1+z} + 4z \right) \right. \\
& \left. + \ln z(-38 - 22z) \right) - 8 \left( \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{1+z}{2}\right) - \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right) \right) (\ln(1+z) - \ln(1-z)) \frac{1+z^2}{1+z} \\
& + \text{Li}_3(1-z)(-4 + \frac{16}{1+z} + 12z) + 16 \text{Li}_3(1+z) \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} - 4 \text{Li}_3(1-z^2) \frac{1+z^2}{1+z} \\
& + \text{Li}_3(z^2) \left( -1 - \frac{2}{1+z} + z \right) - 8 \text{Li}_3\left(\frac{z}{1+z}\right) \frac{1+z^2}{1+z} + \ln(1+z)(8 \text{Li}_2(1+z) - 32\zeta_2 \\
& - 4 \ln^2(2)) \frac{1+z^2}{1+z} + \frac{16}{3} \ln(1+z)^3 \frac{1+z^2}{1+z} + \ln(1-z) \left( \frac{11}{3} + \frac{31}{9z} + \frac{28}{3}z - \frac{148}{9}z^2 \right. \\
& \left. + (-8\zeta_2 + 4 \ln^2(2)) \frac{1+z^2}{1+z} \right) + 8 \ln(1-z) \ln(1+z) \ln(2) \frac{1+z^2}{1+z} \\
& - 12 \ln(1-z) \ln^2(1+z) \frac{1+z^2}{1+z} + \ln^2(1-z) \left( -\frac{2}{z} + 2z^2 - 8 \frac{1+z^2}{1+z} \ln(2) \right) \\
& + 8 \ln^2(1-z) \ln(1+z) \frac{1+z^2}{1+z} + \ln z \left( \frac{59}{12} + \frac{119}{12}z + \frac{188}{9}z^2 + \zeta_2 \left( 2 + \frac{24}{1+z} + 6z \right) \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{8 \text{Li}_2(1+z)}{1+z} \right) - 4 \ln z \ln^2(1+z) \frac{1+z^2}{1+z} + \ln z \ln(1-z) \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{40}{3}z + \frac{20}{3}z^2 \right) \\
& - 2(1+z) \ln z \ln^2(1-z) + \ln^2 z \left( 1 + \frac{11}{3}z + \frac{19}{2}z + \frac{8}{3}z^2 + \ln(1+z) \left( \frac{4}{1+z} + 6z - 6 \right) \right. \\
& \left. + \ln(1-z)(-7+z) \right) - \ln^3 z \left( \frac{5}{6} - \frac{4}{3(1+z)} + \frac{7}{6}z \right) \Big] \\
& + \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^3 L^3 \left[ -\frac{19}{18} + \frac{8}{27z} + \frac{19}{18}z - \frac{8}{27}z^2 + \frac{4}{3} \text{Li}_2(1-z)(1+z) + \ln(1-z) \left( \frac{2}{3} + \frac{8}{9z} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \frac{2}{3}z - \frac{8}{9}z^2 \right) + \ln z \left( \frac{4}{9} + \frac{10}{9}z + \frac{8}{9}z^2 \right) + \frac{4}{3}(1+z) \ln z \ln(1-z) - \frac{1}{3} \ln^2 z (1+z) \right]. \tag{E.18}
\end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] Abada A *et al.* (FCC) 2019 *Eur. Phys. J. ST* **228** 261–623
- [2] Dong M *et al.* (CEPC Study Group) 2018 (*Preprint* 1811.10545)
- [3] Jadach S and Skrzypek M 2019 *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** 756 (*Preprint* 1903.09895)
- [4] Kuraev E A and Fadin V S 1985 *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **41** 466–472
- [5] De Rujula A, Petronzio R and Savoy-Navarro A 1979 *Nucl. Phys. B* **154** 394–426
- [6] Gribov V N and Lipatov L N 1972 *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **15** 438–450
- [7] Altarelli G and Parisi G 1977 *Nucl. Phys. B* **126** 298–318
- [8] Dokshitzer Y L 1977 *Sov. Phys. JETP* **46** 641–653
- [9] Cacciari M, Deandrea A, Montagna G and Nicosini O 1992 *EPL* **17** 123–128
- [10] Yennie D R, Frautschi S C and Suura H 1961 *Annals Phys.* **13** 379–452
- [11] Przybycien M 1993 *Acta Phys. Polon. B* **24** 1105–1114 (*Preprint* hep-th/9511029)
- [12] Arbuzov A B 1999 *Phys. Lett. B* **470** 252–258 (*Preprint* hep-ph/9908361)
- [13] Blumlein J and Kawamura H 2005 *Nucl. Phys. B* **708** 467–510 (*Preprint* hep-ph/0409289)
- [14] Berends F A, van Neerven W L and Burgers G J H 1988 *Nucl. Phys. B* **297** 429 [Erratum: *Nucl.Phys.B* 304, 921 (1988)]
- [15] Arbuzov A and Melnikov K 2002 *Phys. Rev. D* **66** 093003 (*Preprint* hep-ph/0205172)
- [16] Blumlein J and Kawamura H 2003 *Phys. Lett. B* **553** 242–250 (*Preprint* hep-ph/0211191)
- [17] Blumlein J and Kawamura H 2007 *Eur. Phys. J. C* **51** 317–333 (*Preprint* hep-ph/0701019)
- [18] Blumlein J, De Freitas A and van Neerven W 2012 *Nucl. Phys. B* **855** 508–569 (*Preprint* 1107.4638)
- [19] Ablinger J, Blümlein J, De Freitas A and Schönwald K 2020 *Nucl. Phys. B* **955** 115045 (*Preprint* 2004.04287)
- [20] Blümlein J, De Freitas A and Schönwald K 2021 *Phys. Lett. B* **816** 136250 (*Preprint* 2102.12237)
- [21] Frixione S 2019 *JHEP* **11** 158 (*Preprint* 1909.03886)
- [22] Bertone V, Cacciari M, Frixione S and Stagnitto G 2020 *JHEP* **03** 135 [Erratum: *JHEP* 08, 108 (2022)] (*Preprint* 1911.12040)
- [23] Frixione S 2021 *JHEP* **07** 180 [Erratum: *JHEP* 12, 196 (2012)] (*Preprint* 2105.06688)
- [24] Bertone V, Cacciari M, Frixione S, Stagnitto G, Zaro M and Zhao X 2022 *JHEP* **10** 089 (*Preprint* 2207.03265)
- [25] Spiesberger H 1995 *Phys. Rev. D* **52** 4936–4940 (*Preprint* hep-ph/9412286)
- [26] Roth M and Weinzierl S 2004 *Phys. Lett. B* **590** 190–198 (*Preprint* hep-ph/0403200)
- [27] Martin A D, Roberts R G, Stirling W J and Thorne R S 2005 *Eur. Phys. J. C* **39** 155–161 (*Preprint* hep-ph/0411040)
- [28] Ball R D, Bertone V, Carrazza S, Del Debbio L, Forte S, Guffanti A, Hartland N P and Rojo J (NNPDF) 2013 *Nucl. Phys. B* **877** 290–320 (*Preprint* 1308.0598)
- [29] Bertone V, Carrazza S and Rojo J (APFEL) 2014 *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **185** 1647–1668 (*Preprint* 1310.1394)
- [30] Arbuzov A B and Scherbakova E S 2006 *JETP Lett.* **83** 427–432 (*Preprint* hep-ph/0602119)
- [31] Arbuzov A B 2019 *Phys. Part. Nucl.* **50** 721–825
- [32] Ellis R K and Vogelsang W 1996 (*Preprint* hep-ph/9602356)
- [33] Gribov V N and Lipatov L N 1972 *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **15** 675–684
- [34] Curci G, Furmanski W and Petronzio R 1980 *Nucl. Phys. B* **175** 27–92
- [35] Arbuzov A 2003 *JHEP* **03** 063 (*Preprint* hep-ph/0206036)
- [36] Furmanski W and Petronzio R 1980 *Phys. Lett. B* **97** 437–442
- [37] Baikov P A, Chetyrkin K G, Kuhn J H and Sturm C 2013 *Nucl. Phys. B* **867** 182–202 (*Preprint* 1207.2199)
- [38] Gorishnii S G, Kataev A L and Larin S A 1991 *Phys. Lett. B* **273** 141–144 [Erratum: *Phys.Lett.B* 275, 512 (1992), Erratum: *Phys.Lett.B* 341, 448 (1995)]