#### High temperature equilibrium of 3D and 2D chalcogenide perovskites.

Prakriti Kayastha, Devendra Tiwari, Adam Holland, Oliver S. Hutter, Ken Durose, Lucy D. Whalley\* and Giulia Longo\*

Prakriti Kayastha, Devendra Tiwari, Oliver S. Hutter, Lucy Whalley, Giulia Longo Department of Mathematics, Physics and Electrical Engineering, Northumbria University, Ellison Place, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 8ST, United Kingdom

## Devendra Tiwari School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TS, United Kingdom

Adam Holland HORIBA UK Limited, Kyoto Close, Moulton Park, Northampton NN3 6FL, United Kingdom

Ken Durose

Department of Physics, Stephenson Institute for Renewable Energy, Chadwick Building, Peach St, Liverpool L23 9SD, United Kingdom

Keywords: Chalcogenide perovskites, Ruddlesden-Popper, BaZrS3, Ba4Zr3S10, Raman spectroscopy, high temperature synthesis, thermodynamic equilibria

#### Abstract

Chalcogenide perovskites have been recently under the researchers' spotlight as novel absorber materials for photovoltaic applications. BaZrS<sub>3</sub>, the most investigated compound of this family, shows a high absorption coefficient, a bandgap of around 1.8 eV, and excellent environmental and thermal stability. In addition to the 3D perovskite BaZrS<sub>3</sub>, the Ba-Zr-S compositional space contains various 2-D Ruddlesden-Popper phases  $Ba_{x+1}Zr_xS_{3x+1}$  (with x= 1, 2, 3) which have recently been reported. In this work it will be shown that at high temperature the Gibbs free energies of 3D and 2D perovskites are very close, suggesting that 2D phases can be easily formed at high temperatures. The analysis of the product of the BaS and ZrS<sub>2</sub> solid-state reaction, both in stoichiometric and Zr-rich conditions, present a mixture of BaZrS<sub>3</sub> and Ba<sub>4</sub>Zr<sub>3</sub>S<sub>10</sub>, supporting the thermodynamic calculations result. To carefully resolve the composition, XRD, SEM and EDS

analysis were complemented with Raman spectroscopy. For this purpose, the phonon modes, and the consequent Raman spectra, were calculated for the 3D and 2D chalcogenide perovskites, as well as for the binary precursors. This thorough characterization will demonstrate that the formation of 3D and 2D mixtures is thermodynamically possible, and that it is important to use of multiple techniques to soundly resolve the composition of these chalcogenide materials.

#### Introduction

Chalcogenide perovskites (CPVK) have recently been proposed as possible non-toxic alternatives to lead-based perovskites for photovoltaic applications thanks to their promising optoelectronic characteristics, including a bandgap that is suited for tandem solar cell applications.<sup>[1–4]</sup> Chalcogenide perovskites follow the formula ABX<sub>3</sub> with A, B and X representing an alkaline earth cation (2+), a transition metal cation (4+) and a chalcogenide anion (2-) respectively.<sup>[5–7]</sup> The most studied compound in this family is BaZrS<sub>3</sub>, but other compositions have been reported.<sup>[8,9]</sup>

Accordingly, chalcogenide perovskites have been under recent scrutiny to evaluate and validate a variety of optoelectronic parameters. Reports suggest the possibility of tunable bandgaps through compositional engineering with high absorption coefficients in the visible range,<sup>[10]</sup> low effective masses<sup>[11]</sup> and, resultantly, high carrier mobilities.<sup>[12]</sup> Moreover, these materials should present improved thermal and chemical stability compared with the hybrid halide perovskites, being resistant towards high temperatures and atmospheric conditions.<sup>[13]</sup>

Notwithstanding these promising features, the development of CPVK-based devices is still hindered by the synthetic procedure necessary to prepare these perovskites. In practice, these materials need very high temperatures to be crystallized in the desired phase. For example, BaZrS<sub>3</sub> is produced by the solid-state reaction of the elemental or binary precursors at 800-1100°C for several hours or days. This requirement for high temperatures is limiting as it does not easily allow for thin film processing and device integration, and can lead to chalcogen loss via volatile precursors.

Understanding reaction mechanisms can help design fabrication procedures potentially having lower temperatures, and recent research has started to explore which processes may allow for this. For example, it has been proposed that favoring the formation of BaS<sub>3</sub>, which has a lower melting point than BaS, can trigger the formation of BaZrS<sub>3</sub> at temperatures as low as 600°C.<sup>[14]</sup> Similarly,

it has been reported that an excess of sulphur in the reaction mixture can significantly reduce the reaction time and temperature.<sup>[15]</sup>

The tuning of the precursors' stoichiometry can provide a path to find milder synthetic conditions for BaZrS<sub>3</sub> and other chalcogenide perovskites, but it can also lead to the formation of other unwanted perovskite phases. The ideal perovskite structure is formed from a three-dimensional network of corner sharing octahedra. However, there are other closely related perovskite-like phases that can be formed. For example, low dimensional Ruddlesden-Popper (RP) phases are known for chalcogenide perovskites, similarly to the oxide and halide perovskites.  $Ba_{n+1}Zr_nS_{3n+1}$ for n = 1, 2, 3, (with the presence of low- and high-temperature polymorphs for Ba<sub>3</sub>Zr<sub>2</sub>S<sub>7</sub>) have been reported in addition to the 3D structure BaZrS<sub>3</sub> (in which  $n = \infty$ ).<sup>[6,13,16-18]</sup> For the corresponding perovskites with Hf ( $Ba_{n+1}Hf_nS_{3n+1}$ ), additional Ruddlesden Popper phases with n= 4, 5 have been reported,<sup>[19]</sup> while no evidence is present for n > 3 in the Zr series. In these low dimensional phases, layers of 3D CPVK are alternated with layers of BaS, as represented in Figure 1. Interestingly, the bandgaps of these chalcogenide RP perovskites decrease as n increases, contrarily to the oxide and halide counterparts, where the bandgap widens as n increases.<sup>[20-22]</sup> The different crystalline structure not only affects the bandgap of the perovskite, but can also affect other functional features, such as carrier transport and thermal or chemical stability. A deep understanding of the synthetic reaction, especially when non-stoichiometric conditions are explored, will be essential to control the formation of competing phases with distinct properties.

The most widely used technique for the assessment of different phases is X-ray diffraction (XRD). However, given the structural similarity of the 3D and the 2D perovskites, the diffractogram's peaks overlap, which make differentiating between species challenging. For example, in the case of samples formed by the mixture of  $BaZrS_3$  and  $Ba_3Zr_2S_7$ , long data collection times and rigorous refinement (supported by compositional techniques such as Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy, EDS) are necessary to give a quantitative estimation of their compositions. As such, even with diffractograms presenting good angular resolution, intensity, and angular range, ambiguous assignation can still occur. It follows that good practice, especially for this family of materials, would be to combine crystallographic analysis with other complementary techniques that may also provide a clearer distinction between species. Vibrational spectroscopy is a good candidate technique to carry out this role, as it probes the local structure of a material, in contrast to XRD which probes the bulk response. In this letter it will be shown that the 3D and 2D

perovskite structures each have a unique vibrational fingerprint that better distinguishes between materials in the Ba-Zr-S system and that Raman spectroscopy is therefore well suited for checking the phase purity of the compounds.

In this study XRD and Raman spectroscopy are combined to assess the main composition of the product resulting from the solid-state reaction of BaS and  $ZrS_2$ , both in stoichiometric and Zr-rich conditions. To aid in our analysis, a first-principles thermodynamic model is used to demonstrate that at high temperatures the Gibbs free energy of the 3D and 2D CPVK materials are only a few kJ/mol apart, indicating that both can be formed during high temperature synthesis (>1000K). In addition, the Raman spectra for all known binary and ternary compositions in the Ba-S, Zr-S and Ba-Zr-S phase systems are calculated from first-principles. From this it will be demonstrated how  $ZrS_2$  segregation under stoichiometric conditions, leads to the formation of an unusual Ruddlesden-Popper phase: Ba<sub>4</sub>Zr<sub>3</sub>S<sub>10</sub>. It will also be shown that peaks' assignation can be done more confidently when Raman and XRD are used simultaneously. All calculated Raman spectra and the thermodynamic analysis code are published in open-access repositories alongside this work, allowing the adoption of our approach to other studies of BaZrS<sub>3</sub> synthesis.

#### **Methods:**

#### **Experimental procedure:**

Synthesis of powders: BaS (99.7%. Alfa Aesar) and ZrS<sub>2</sub> (99.99%, Alfa Chemistry) were used without further purification. 300 mg of powder formed by 1:1, 1:1.05, 1:1.1 and 1:1.2 molar mixtures of BaS and ZrS<sub>2</sub> (called Zr\_0, Zr\_5, Zr\_10, Zr\_20 respectively) were finely ground with an agate pestle and mortar, loaded into carbon-coated quartz ampules, purged with argon 3 times and sealed under a vacuum of  $10^{-5}$  mbar. Each mixture was then placed in a single zone furnace at 500°C, and the temperature was increased to 900°C at a rate of 200°C/h. The powders were kept at 900°C for 5 days, and finally quenched in water.

#### **Computational details:**

Competing phases of BaZrS<sub>3</sub> were identified using the Materials Project database,<sup>[23]</sup> with all Ba-Zr-S compounds within 0.5 eV above the convex hull considered. This energy range above the minimum has previously been shown to cover the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of all metastable materials reported within Materials Project.<sup>[24,25]</sup> First principles density functional theory (DFT)

calculations were carried out with the all-electron numeric atom-centered code FHI-aims.<sup>[26]</sup> Minimum-energy crystal structures were found using parametrically constrained geometry relaxation.<sup>[27]</sup> The self-consistent field criteria was set to 10<sup>-7</sup> eV/Å and 10<sup>-6</sup> eV/Å for densities and forces respectively. The structures were relaxed until the maximum force component was below  $5x10^{-3}$  eV/Å. All other inputs were set to the default value within FHI-aims. All relaxations and phonon calculations were performed with the PBEsol<sup>[28]</sup> functional with a tight basis set. For electronic band structure analysis and thermodynamic potentials total energies were calculated using the HSE06<sup>[29]</sup> functional alongside inclusion of spin-orbit coupling. Phonon band structures were evaluated using the finite difference method with a 0.01 Å step size, as implemented in Phonopy.<sup>[30]</sup> Further information about the supercell size and k-point spacing used for phonon calculations are presented in the Supplementary Information. Raman intensities and peak positions were generated through Phonopy-Spectroscopy with a two-point finite difference along normalized displacements.<sup>[31]</sup> A Lorentzian peak width of 1 cm<sup>-1</sup> was set on the peak positions obtained from the analysis. Macroscopic dielectric tensors were evaluated using the real space density functional perturbation theory method implemented in FHI-aims<sup>[32]</sup> with the PBE functional.<sup>[33]</sup> Gibbs free energies were calculated using the ThermoPot package.<sup>[34]</sup> An online repository containing thermodynamics data, raw data for plotting Raman spectra and a Jupyter notebook for plotting Figure 1a is available at https://github.com/NU-CEM/2022 BaZrS3 vibrational spectroscopy.

#### **Results and discussion**

During BaZrS<sub>3</sub> synthesis competing phases can form from both the constituent elements (Ba, Zr, S) and/or from external impurities (e.g., O<sub>2</sub>). In order to focus our analysis efforts on the competing phases thermodynamically accessible, ab-initio thermodynamic calculations were performed. As in this study synthesis was carried out within a closed ampule under vacuum, phases in the Ba-Zr-S system only were considered. Competing phases were initially identified using the Materials Project database,<sup>[35]</sup> followed by re-calculation of the total energies using a higher level of theory (HSE06 functional with spin-orbit coupling) to reproduce lattice constants and bandgaps in agreement with published results from experimental studies: the energy above the hull, lattice constants, electronic band gap and a comparison to experimental data for all systems considered are provided in the Supplementary Information. Five competing ternary phases were identified:

 $Ba_4Zr_3S_{10}$  (I4/mmm),  $Ba_3Zr_2S_7$  (I4/mmm),  $Ba_3Zr_2S_7$  (P42/mnm),  $Ba_3Zr_2S_7$  (Cmmm) and  $Ba_2ZrS_4$  (I4/mmm). All compounds are in the Ruddlesden Popper perovskite analogue series,  $Ba_{n+1}Zr_nS_{3n+1}$ . It follows that there are three ternary-to-ternary decomposition mechanisms to consider:

$4BaZrS_3 \rightarrow Ba_4Zr_3S_{10} + ZrS_2$	[Reaction 1]
$3BaZrS_3 \rightarrow Ba_3Zr_2S_7 + ZrS_2$	[Reaction 2]
$2BaZrS_3 \rightarrow Ba_2ZrS_4 + ZrS_2$	[Reaction 3]

To predict the relative phase stabilities a previously published methodology was followed to calculate the change in Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta G$ ) of each process,<sup>[36,37]</sup> as this is the potential that is minimised in equilibrium. It is important to emphasize that this calculation does not consider the effects of lattice expansion or anharmonic vibrations. However despite these limitations, this methodology has been used to successfully predict the temperature-pressure stability window for Cu<sub>2</sub>ZnSnS<sub>4</sub>.<sup>[36]</sup>

The  $\Delta G$  for all processes, reported in Figure 1a, is endothermic (positive valued) across the full temperature range considered (100K - 1300K).  $\Delta G$  for [Reaction 3] ranges from 17kJ/mol to 15kJ/mol suggesting that BaZrS<sub>3</sub> is stable against decomposition into Ba<sub>2</sub>ZrS<sub>4</sub>.  $\Delta G$  for [Reaction 2] is calculated for the three polymorphs of Ba<sub>3</sub>Zr<sub>2</sub>S<sub>7</sub>. At 300K  $\Delta G$  is comparable for all three polymorphs, as expected given the structural similarity: 10kJ/mol (P42/mnm and Cmmm), 11kJ/mol (I4/mmm). At 1300K  $\Delta G$  is reduced to very small values: 7kJ/mol (P42/mnm), 6kJ/mol (I4/mmm) and 5kJ/mol (Cmmm).  $\Delta G$  for [Reaction 1] is 10kJ/mol at RT reducing to 2kJ/mol at 1300K. Given that chemical accuracy is ~4kJ/mol, these results indicate that i) metastable Ba<sub>3</sub>Zr<sub>2</sub>S<sub>7</sub> and Ba<sub>4</sub>Zr<sub>3</sub>S<sub>10</sub> are energetically accessible during synthesis, with Ba<sub>4</sub>Zr<sub>3</sub>S<sub>10</sub> being the most likely phase to form ii) formation of Ba<sub>3</sub>Zr<sub>2</sub>S<sub>7</sub> and Ba<sub>4</sub>Zr<sub>3</sub>S<sub>10</sub> formation during high-temperature synthesis of Ba<sub>3</sub>Zr<sub>2</sub>S<sub>7</sub>.<sup>[6]</sup> In addition, we note that the RP Ba<sub>n+1</sub>Zr<sub>n</sub>S<sub>3n+1</sub> family of materials are a ZrS-deficient analogue BaZrS<sub>3</sub> and so we expect the RP phases to be more readily formed in ZrS<sub>2</sub>-poor environments.

Crystal, electronic and vibrational structure information for  $BaZrS_3$  and for the lowest energy competing phase,  $Ba_4Zr_3S_{10}$ , are shown in Figure 1b-g. The electronic band structure is calculated with the HSE06 functional and includes spin-orbit effects, leading to an accurate value for the 3D

perovskite band gap of  $1.72 \text{eV}^{[38]}$  and a predicted value of 1.13 eV for the RP phase. This is the smallest predicted band gap for RP Ba<sub>n+1</sub>Zr<sub>n</sub>S<sub>3n+1</sub> materials considered, and is in line with previous reports of a decreasing band gap with increasing n.

It is found that  $BaZrS_3$  in the Pnma phase is dynamically stable with positive phonon modes across the Brillouin zone. In contrast,  $Ba_4Zr_3S_{10}$  is dynamically unstable with negative phonon modes at the zone boundaries, indicating the presence of a symmetry lowering transition to a more stable phase.  $Ba_4Zr_3S_{10}$  in the Fmmm phase has been previously reported in the literature.<sup>[18]</sup> However this corresponds to a small lattice expansion and increase in the c/a lattice parameter ratio, so will not result from distortions along phonon modes at the zone boundary of the I4/mmm phase phonon dispersion. In Figure S1 we confirm that this phonon dispersion shows the same `imaginary' (negative frequency) phonon modes.



Figure 1: a) Gibbs free energy  $\Delta G$  as a function of Temperature.  $\Delta G$  is calculated for decomposition of BaZrS<sub>3</sub> into: [1] Ba<sub>4</sub>Zr<sub>3</sub>S<sub>10</sub> and ZrS<sub>2</sub>; [2] Ba<sub>3</sub>Zr<sub>2</sub>S<sub>7</sub> and ZrS<sub>2</sub>; [3] Ba<sub>2</sub>ZrS<sub>4</sub> and ZrS<sub>2</sub>. For comparison, all materials are in the I4-mmm phase b) Crystal structure of BaZrS<sub>3</sub> c) Electronic band structure of BaZrS<sub>3</sub> calculated using the HSE06 exchange-correlation functional and with spin-orbit coupling (SOC) d) Phonon band structure of Ba<sub>4</sub>Zr<sub>3</sub>S<sub>10</sub> e) Crystal structure of Ba<sub>4</sub>Zr<sub>3</sub>S<sub>10</sub> showing negative phonon modes at the zone boundaries, indicating the presence of a symmetry lowering transition.

To characterise the bulk structure, XRD was performed on powder samples at room temperature and ambient atmosphere. The corresponding diffractograms are reported in Figure 2a. The names Zr\_0, Zr\_5, Zr\_10 and Zr\_20 are used in this work represent the product obtained by the reaction of 1:1, 1:1.05, 1:1.1, 1:1.2 BaS:ZrS<sub>2</sub> molar ratios respectively.

Observing the diffractograms it can be noted that the samples present high crystallinity and, except the Zr\_20, present complete conversion to the ternary phase, with no evidence of unreacted BaS (Figure S2 a). The presence of unreacted ZrS<sub>2</sub> is more challenging to assess, as its characteristics peak is located at  $32.2^{\circ}$  (Figure S2a), where the samples present numerous small peaks which could derive also from the 2D or 3D perovskite. As discussed later in the text, Raman analysis simplified the assignation, confirming the presence of  $ZrS_2$  in the  $Zr_0$  sample. The  $Zr_20$  sample presents an additional peak at low angles which origin has not been identified. Given the poor quality of the XRD pattern of this sample, both in terms of crystallinity and phase purity, it has been excluded from further characterization. It has to be noted that the presence of other Ba-S and Zr-S binary compositions have been excluded observing their XRD patterns and Raman spectra (Figure S2b).

The Zr\_0 sample, which was expected to provide stoichiometric conversion to BaZrS<sub>3</sub>,<sup>[39]</sup> shows the presence of multiple phases that were assigned to the 3D BaZrS<sub>3</sub> and to the RP phase Ba<sub>4</sub>Zr<sub>3</sub>S<sub>10</sub>. It is important to highlight the similarity of the angular position and intensity of the BaZrS<sub>3</sub>, Ba<sub>3</sub>Zr<sub>2</sub>S<sub>7</sub> and Ba<sub>4</sub>Zr<sub>3</sub>S<sub>10</sub> XRD patterns, which complicates the experimental diffractograms resolution (Figure S3). The Rietveld refinement presented in Figure S4 and Table 1-3 shows that the RP phase is the majority one, representing almost the 70% by mass. As the molar amount of ZrS<sub>2</sub> is increased by 5%, the diffractogram still reveals a mixture of phases, but with an overall predominance of the BaZrS<sub>3</sub> over the RP phase.



Figure 2 a) X-Ray diffractograms of the synthesized powders. Reference patterns for  $BaZrS_3$ ,  $Ba_4Zr_3S_{10}$  are taken from ICSD (Collection Code 23288 and 72656 respectively). Top left panel: focus on the low angle peak shown by  $Zr_20$ . Bottom left panel: focus of the main peak. b) Calculated (bottom) and measured (top) Raman spectra collected with 785 nm excitation wavelength

This can be concluded by the change in shape of the peak at  $25.1^{\circ}$  and by observing the high angle peaks (Figure S3), and is confirmed by the Rietveld refinement. For the sample with a 10% molar excess of  $ZrS_2$ ,  $Ba_4Zr_3Z_{10}$  returns to be the majority phase, surprisingly reaching 85% of the sample weight.

	BaZrS <sub>3</sub> (Pnma)	<b>Ba</b> <sub>4</sub> <b>Zr</b> <sub>3</sub> <b>S</b> <sub>10</sub> ( <i>Fmmm</i> )
	%wt	%wt
Zr_0	31	69
Zr_5	59	41
Zr_10	14	86

In Figure 2b and Table 2 the experimentally recorded Raman spectra of all the samples are reported together with the calculated spectra of BaZrS<sub>3</sub>, Ba<sub>4</sub>Zr<sub>3</sub>S<sub>10</sub> and ZrS<sub>2</sub>. First of all, it is worth stressing that the Raman spectra allows

clear distinction between the binary sulphides, the 2D RP phases and 3D CPVK, in contrast with the XRD pattern in Figure 2a. At a first look it can already be appreciated that in all the samples there is BaZrS<sub>3</sub>, as shown by the most intense peak located at 214 cm<sup>-1</sup>, deriving from the  $A_{g}^{6}$  and  $B_{2g}^{6}$  vibrational modes. Similarly, the peaks at lower angles (83 and 133 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and the peak at 380 cm<sup>-1</sup> are mainly assigned to the BaZrS<sub>3</sub> phase ( $A_{g}^{2}$ ,  $A_{g}^{4}$  and  $B_{1g}^{5}$  respectively), in agreement with previous works (Table 2).<sup>[5,40,41]</sup> It is worth stressing that the expected experimental spectra measured at room temperature should be red-shifted to lower frequencies compared to computational predictions, due to thermal expansion of the lattice which leads to a softening of the phonon modes. For the  $A_{g}^{3}$  and  $A_{g}^{4}$  modes in BaZrS<sub>3</sub> the frequency shift at room temperature is reported to be approximately 8 cm<sup>-1</sup>,<sup>[40]</sup> in agreement with what observed (a shift of 10.3 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 6.6 cm<sup>-1</sup> respectively). In contrast, the experimental spectra are blue-shifted for the  $A_{g}^{6}$  and  $B_{2g}^{6}$ modes, as has been reported previously.<sup>[40,42]</sup> Importantly, these modes relate to displacements of the sulphur species only. In the sample Zr\_0 a shoulder can be observed around 308 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which is assigned to both the  $A_{g}^{7}$  mode of the BaZrS<sub>3</sub> as well as the  $A_{1g}^{1}$  of the ZrS<sub>2</sub>. However previously reported Raman spectra of  $BaZrS_3$  show that the  $A_g^7$  mode is visible only at low temperature.<sup>[40]</sup> Consequently the 308 cm<sup>-1</sup> peak in the Zr 0 spectrum is likely to derive from the ZrS<sub>2</sub>, and further evidence in support of this hypothesis will be presented later in the text. This demonstrates that the presence of  $ZrS_2$  is easier to observe through Raman spectroscopy than through XRD.

Importantly, all the spectra present a peak at around 339 cm<sup>-1</sup> which does not derive from the 3D perovskite. Comparing the measured spectrum with the calculated one for Ba<sub>4</sub>Zr<sub>3</sub>S<sub>10</sub> it is possible *Table 2. Raman peak positions for the measured Zr 0 (v exp)* compared to the calculated value (*v pbesol*).

Material	Mode	<b>V</b> pbesol	V exp
	A <sup>3</sup> <sub>g</sub>	94.0	83.7
	$A^4_{g}$	139.8	133.3
BaZrS <sub>3</sub>	$A^6_{g}$	204.9	214.8
	${\rm B}^{6}_{2g}$	213.1	214.8
	${\rm B}^{5}_{1g}$	389.1	380.4
ZrS <sub>2</sub>	$A^{1}_{1g}$	313.4	307.8
Bay7raSee	Ag	350.1	339.3
<b>Da421</b> 3010	Ag	403.9	416.2

to assign it to the secondary RP phase, which is also corroborated by XRD. The Zr\_0 sample presents an additional peak at 416 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which can be assigned to the  $A_g$  mode of the Ba<sub>4</sub>Zr<sub>3</sub>S<sub>10</sub>. This phonon mode involves displacement of the sulphur species only, as in the  $A_g^6$  and  $B_{2g}^6$  modes of the BaZrS<sub>3</sub> phase, which can be used to rationalise the unexpected blue shift to higher frequencies for the experimental spectra.

Importantly, Raman spectra cannot be used for quantitative analysis unless calibration with phase pure materials is performed. The higher intensity of the BaZrS<sub>3</sub> Raman peaks compared to the RP ones does not indicate a higher content in the sample, as demonstrated by the Rietveld quantifications. However, it is interesting to note how the ratio between the areas of the 339 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 214 cm<sup>-1</sup> peak decreases as 5% molar excess of ZrS<sub>2</sub> is used, suggesting that in this sample the orthorhombic 3-dimensional phase is favoured over the 2D. This hypothesis is supported by the disappearance of the peak at 99 cm<sup>-1</sup> (which does not derive from BaZrS<sub>3</sub> and is attributed to the Ba4Zr<sub>3</sub>S<sub>10</sub> mode located at 92 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and to the increased intensity of the 380 cm<sup>-1</sup> peak. In the Zr\_10 sample, instead, the ratio between the two peaks returns in favour to the Ba4Zr<sub>3</sub>S<sub>10</sub>, with a reduction of the BaZrS<sub>3</sub> peaks, as confirmed by Rietveld analysis. It should be noted that the measured Raman spectra were compared against the spectra of the binary precursors (Figure S2) as well as against the oxide counterparts,<sup>[43–47]</sup> confirming their absence in the synthesised mixture.

So far it has been shown that, in these synthetic conditions, the reaction between stoichiometric amounts of binary precursors leads to the formation of a secondary 2D ternary phase. Excess  $ZrS_2$  reduces the presence of this low dimensional phase, suggesting that in the  $Zr_0$  sample the limiting precursor is  $ZrS_2$ , even if provided in stoichiometric amount with BaS. Interestingly, this is not due to accidental losses during sample handling; very similar XRD and Raman spectra were obtained for a second batch with the same  $Zr_0$  conditions (Figure S5). Moreover it is worth noting

how, both in the XRD diffractograms and Raman spectra, there is no evidence of increasing signal deriving from the excess of ZrS<sub>2</sub>.

A possible explanation can be given observing the elemental mapping presented in Figure 3. In the image, brighter zones correspond to areas of high atomic concentration, although it is recognised that shadowing effects give large dark areas (observable in the dark large areas in the mappings but not in the secondary electron images). Notwithstanding this, it may be observed that in all the samples Zr is less uniformly distributed than Ba and S, as evidenced by the particularly bright area in the Zr mapping. Among all the samples, the Zr\_0 shows the biggest agglomeration of zirconium. Brighter area can be observed also in the other samples, but the dimension and number of these agglomerates reduces in Zr\_5. The situation changes when 10% Zr excess is used: the Zr excess in the starting material becomes visible with the formation of smaller clusters more dispersed across the sample. Interestingly the excess of Zr seems not reflected in the elemental quantification that shows an average Zr atomic content of 19% in the Zr\_5 and Zr\_10 (when the expected should be 20% and 21%). Note that these values were obtained averaging both the quantification from large areas scan (values called "Area" in Figure S6) and small spots (called "points" in Figure S6). The EDS analysis confirmed the presence of Zr-rich clusters in the sample Zr\_0 (Figure S6-yellow, point 3 and 7), but in the others the distribution of Zr looks more homogeneous, even if some local deviations from the average are still present, as indicated by the mapping results.

Interestingly, Ba and S mapping show that the Zr-rich areas in the Zr\_0 sample correspond to S and Ba deficient zones. A possible explanation is that S losses happened during the synthetic process at 900°C, as demonstrated by the EDS analysis and by the presence of a yellowish patina in few areas of the ampule walls. The S losses could trigger the segregation of Zr and the consequent formation of low dimensional CVK phase. This could also explain why there is no evidence of increasing  $ZrS_2$  signals in the Raman spectra and XRD patterns.

The lack of uniformity in the Zr distribution just discussed is likely to be the reason why Zrdeficient species, such as  $Ba_4Zr_3S_{10}$  are formed even with stoichiometric concentration of the binary reactants. In solid state synthesis the intermixing of the precursors is fundamental as the reaction happens through direct contact of the reactants. Consequently, when the species are not uniformly distributed non-stoichiometric conditions are formed. The reduction in  $Ba_4Zr_3S_{10}$ content when an excess of  $ZrS_2$  is used can be ascribed to the compensation that the excess



Figure 3. Secondary electron images and elemental mapping of the  $Zr_0$ ,  $Zr_5$  and  $Zr_{10}$  (left, centre and right column respectively)

precursor provides in the Zr-poor regions, creating a more balanced reaction between the precursor

and the formation of the  $3D BaZrS_3$ .

The strong increase in the RP content when the amount of  $ZrS_2$  is increased to 10 % is more challenging to rationalize. The thermodynamic calculations presented above demonstrate how the transition between BaZrS<sub>3</sub> and Ba<sub>4</sub>Zr<sub>3</sub>S<sub>10</sub> is possible at high temperatures, and these results are clear evidence of that. However, this does not provide insight on the reaction kinetics, complicating the rationalization of the reaction mechanism and its possible variations through precursor stoichiometries alterations.

Finally, the morphology of the synthesised crystals has been analysed through scanning electron microscopy (SEM) in both secondary electron and the more compositionally sensitive backscattered electron (BSE) modes. Looking at the BSE image in Figure S7 it can be noted that, in the Zr\_0 sample, the crystals have a different appearance accordingly with the grain composition, as it could also be appreciated in Figure S6. The grains with a composition close to stoichiometry have a flat, uniform surface, while the grains presenting high concentrations of Zr present an irregular, rough, patchy microstructure within the grain. Additionally, it can be observed that the flat and regular grains present a laminar structure, further indication of the presence of layered 2D phases in the sample.

#### Conclusions

In this work experimental and computational techniques have been combined to identify structurally-similar products of the reaction between BaS and  $ZrS_2$ . Furthermore, varying the ratio of reactants has enabled an exploration of synthesis in a Zr-rich reaction environment. XRD and Raman spectroscopy have been used to show that when stoichiometric ratios of binary precursors are used, a mixture of 3D BaZrS<sub>3</sub> and 2D Ba<sub>4</sub>Zr<sub>3</sub>S<sub>10</sub> is created. When a small excess of ZrS<sub>2</sub> is added, the 2D Ruddlesden Popper phase is reduced in favour of the 3D phase, but when the excess is increased further, the 2D phase again dominates. As shown through elemental mapping, Zr-rich clusters are present in the powders synthesized from stoichiometric conditions, which create Zr-deficient areas and the consequent 2D phase formation. This effect seems compensated by the addition of a small excess of the ZrS<sub>2</sub>, probably due to the increased availability of the segregated precursor at the reaction interface, or through changes to the kinetic constant induced by the precursor addition.

The choice of binary phases in the Ba-S and Zr-S compositional space and ternary 3D and 2D perovskites has been guided by ab-initio thermodynamics, which identified the most thermodynamic accessible and stable phases for further analysis. Importantly these calculations have shown that chalcogenide RP phases are energetically close to BaZrS<sub>3</sub> and are thermodynamically accessible at the high temperatures often required for the CPVK synthesis, highlighting the high possibility of creation of mixed phases, and necessity of carefully control phase composition in CPVK. These results may explain why the reported band gap of BaZrS<sub>3</sub> varies significantly in different publications: it is possible that 3D and 2D mixtures were created and not identified due to the similarity of the XRD diffractograms of these species.

Raman spectra calculated from first principles have been published in an open-source database for binary Ba-S and Zr-S and ternary Ba-Zr-S compositions. This includes Raman data not yet present in the literature, specifically for phases  $Ba_4Zr_3S_{10}$ ,  $BaS_2$ ,  $BaS_3$ , ZrS and ZrS\_3.  $Ba_4Zr_3S_{10}$  has been rarely reported, and little characterization is available in literature on this RP perovskite. The DFT calculations presented here show that this 2D perovskite predict a bandgap of 1.13 eV, indicating that the 2D CPVK identified in this work has the smallest band gap of materials synthesised in the  $Ba_{n+1}Zr_nS_{3n+1}$  series.

This work has indeed shown the complexity of the ternary phase diagram of these perovskites, stressing the importance of using multi-experimental techniques to soundly resolve the reaction products of synthesis. Raman spectroscopy, here suggested as a technique complimentary to XRD, has been proven to provide a relatively easy and quick differentiator between CPVK phases, and even for several binary compositions of the Ba-Zr-S elements. In addition, the use of Raman spectroscopy in other CPVK synthesis environments (such as nanoparticle synthesis) may help to identify the reaction mechanisms where traditional techniques, such as XRD, cannot be used, or where the presence of organic materials complicates the analysis. Hopefully, the use of the database created in this work will support further progress in developing a low-temperature synthetic procedure for chalcogenide perovskites, promote increased control of the 2D-3D phase equilibrium during synthesis, and ultimately enable their thin film deposition and integration into optoelectronic devices.

#### Acknowledgements

PK and LDW thank Jonathan M Skelton for discussions on Phonopy-Spectroscopy. PK and GL acknowledges support from the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) CDT in Renewable Energy Northeast Universities (ReNU) for funding through EPSRC Grant EP/S023836/1. The authors thanks Horiba for the support with the Raman measurements. This work used the Oswald High Performance Computing facility operated by Northumbria University (UK). Via our membership of the UK's HEC Materials Chemistry Consortium, which is funded by EPSRC (EP/R029431), this work used the ARCHER2 UK National Supercomputing Service (http://archer2.ac.uk).

#### Data availability

Raman plots, peak tables and symmetry analysis of all mentioned phases are provided in the supplementary material. All raw data needed to reproduce the results have been uploaded to Zenodo.

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### **References:**

[1] J. W. Choi, B. Shin, P. Gorai, R. L. Z. Hoye, R. Palgrave, *ACS Energy Lett* **2022**, *7*, 1553.

[2] B. Saparov, *Chem Rev* **2022**, *122*, 10575.

[3] S. J. Adjogri, E. L. Meyer, *Materials* **2021**, *14*, 7857

[4] K. V. Sopiha, C. Comparotto, J. A. Márquez, J. J. S. Scragg, *Adv Opt Mater* **2022**, *10*, 2101704.

[5] S. Perera, H. Hui, C. Zhao, H. Xue, F. Sun, C. Deng, N. Gross, C. Milleville, X. Xu, D. F. Watson, B. Weinstein, Y. Y. Sun, S. Zhang, H. Zeng, *Nano Energy* **2016**, *22*, 129.

[6] S. Niu, B. Zhao, K. Ye, E. Bianco, J. Zhou, M. E. McConney, C. Settens, R. Haiges, R. Jaramillo, J. Ravichandran, *J Mater Res* **2019**, *34*, 3819.

[7] A. Swarnkar, W. J. Mir, R. Chakraborty, M. Jagadeeswararao, T. Sheikh, A. Nag, *Cham Mater* **2019**, *31*, 565.

- [8] Y. Y. Sun, M. L. Agiorgousis, P. Zhang, S. Zhang, *Nano Lett* 2015, 15, 581.
- [9] D. Tiwari, O. S. Hutter, G. Longo, *JPhys Energy* **2021**, *3*, 034010.

[10] J. Xu, Y. Fan, W. Tian, L. Ye, Y. Zhang, Y. Tian, Y. Han, Z. Shi, *J Solid State Chem* **2022**, *307*, 122872.

- [11] Z. Huo, S. H. Wei, W. J. Yin, J Phys D Appl Phys 2018, 51, 474003.
- [12] E. Osei-Agyemang, G. Balasubramanian, ACS Appl Energy Mater 2020, 3, 1139.

[13] S. Niu, J. Milam-Guerrero, Y. Zhou, K. Ye, B. Zhao, B. C. Melot, J. Ravichandran, J *Mater Res* **2018**, *33*, 4135.

[14] C. Comparotto, P. Ström, O. Donzel-Gargand, T. Kubart, J. J. S. Scragg, *ACS Appl Energy Mater* **2022**, *5*, 6335.

- [15] Y. Wang, N. Sato, T. Fujino, J Alloy Compd, 2001, 327, 104.
- [16] M. Saeki, Y. Yajima, M. Onoda, J Solid State Chem 1991, 92, 286.
- [17] Y. C. Hung, J. C. Fettinger, B. W. Eichhorn, Acta Crystallogr C 1997, 53, 827.
- [18] B.-H. Chen, W. Wong-Ng, B. W. Eichhorn, J Solid State Chem 1993, 103, 75.
- [19] B. Chen, B. W. Eichhorn, P. E. Fanwick, *Inorg Chem* **1992**, *31*, 1788.
- [20] W. Li, S. Niu, B. Zhao, R. Haiges, J. Ravichandran, A. Janotti, *Phys Rev Mater* 2019, *3*.
- [21] Y. Li, D. J. Singh, Eur Phys J B 2018, 91, 188.
- [22] C. Ming, K. Yang, H. Zeng, S. Zhang, Y.-Y. Sun, Mater. Horiz. 2020, 7, 2985.

[23] A. Jain, S. P. Ong, G. Hautier, W. Chen, W. D. Richards, S. Dacek, S. Cholia, D. Gunter, D. Skinner, G. Ceder, K. A. Persson, *APL Mater* **2013**, *1*, 11002.

[24] W. Sun, S. T. Dacek, S. P. Ong, G. Hautier, A. Jain, W. D. Richards, A. C. Gamst, K. A. Persson, G. Ceder, *Sci Adv* **2016**, *2*, 1600225.

[25] M. Aykol, S. S. Dwaraknath, W. Sun, K. A. Persson, *Sci Adv* 2018, 4, 1.

[26] V. Blum, R. Gehrke, F. Hanke, P. Havu, V. Havu, X. Ren, K. Reuter, M. Scheffler, *Comput Phys Commun* **2009**, *180*, 2175.

[27] M. O. Lenz, T. A. R. Purcell, D. Hicks, S. Curtarolo, M. Scheffler, C. Carbogno, *NPJ Comput Mater* **2019**, *5*.

[28] J. P. Perdew, A. Ruzsinszky, G. I. Csonka, O. A. Vydrov, G. E. Scuseria, L. A. Constantin, X. Zhou, K. Burke, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2008**, *100*, 136406.

[29] A. V Krukau, O. A. Vydrov, A. F. Izmaylov, G. E. Scuseria, *J Chem Phys* **2006**, *125*, 224106.

[30] A. Togo, I. Tanaka, Scr Mater 2015, 108, 1.

[31] J. M. Skelton, L. A. Burton, A. J. Jackson, F. Oba, S. C. Parker, A. Walsh, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *19*, 12452.

[32] H. Shang, N. Raimbault, P. Rinke, M. Scheffler, M. Rossi, C. Carbogno, *New J Phys* **2018**, *20*, 73040.

- [33] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 1996, 77, 3865.
- [34] P. Kayastha, A. Jackson, L. D. Whalley, *ThermoPot*, **2022**.
- [35] A. Jain, S. P. Ong, G. Hautier, W. Chen, W. D. Richards, S. Dacek, S. Cholia, D. Gunter, D. Skinner, G. Ceder, K. A. Persson, *APL Mater* **2013**, *1*.
- [36] A. J. Jackson, A. Walsh, J. Mater. Chem. A 2014, 2, 7829.
- [37] A. J. Jackson, A. Walsh, *Phys. Rev. B* 2013, 88, 165201.
- [38] Y. Nishigaki, T. Nagai, M. Nishiwaki, T. Aizawa, M. Kozawa, K. Hanzawa, Y. Kato, H. Sai, H. Hiramatsu, H. Hosono, H. Fujiwara, *Solar RRL* **2020**, *4*, 1.
- [39] T. Nitta, K. Nagase, S. Hayakawa, J Am Ceram Soc 1970, 53, 601.
- [40] N. Gross, Y.-Y. Sun, S. Perera, H. Hui, X. Wei, S. Zhang, H. Zeng, B. A. Weinstein, *Phys Rev Appl* **2017**, *8*, 044014.
- [41] M. Ishii, M. Saeki, M. Sekita, *Mat. Res. Bull.* 1993, 28, 493.
- [42] K. Ye, N. Z. Koocher, S. Filippone, S. Niu, B. Zhao, M. Yeung, S. Bone, A. J. Robinson, P. Vora, A. Schleife, L. Ju, A. Boubnov, J. M. Rondinelli, J. Ravichandran, R. Jaramillo, *Phys. Rev. B* **2022**, *105*, 195203.
- [43] C. Toulouse, D. Amoroso, C. Xin, P. Veber, M. C. Hatnean, G. Balakrishnan, M. Maglione, P. Ghosez, J. Kreisel, M. Guennou, *Phys. Rev. B* **2019**, *100*, 134102.
- [44] M. Ishigame, T. Sakurai, J Am Ceram Soc 1977, 60, 367.
- [45] V. G. Keramidas, W. B. White, J Am Ceram Soc 1974, 57, 22.
- [46] K. H. Rieder, B. A. Weinstein, M. Cardona, H. Bilz, *Phys. Rev. B* 1973, *8*, 4780.
- [47] D. De Waal, K. J. Range, M. Königstein, W. Kiefer, J Raman Spectrosc 1998, 29, 109.

Prakriti Kayastha, Devendra Tiwari, Adam Holland, Oliver S. Hutter, Ken Durose, Lucy D Whalley\* and Giulia Longo\*

### High temperature equilibrium of 3D and 2D chalcogenide perovskites.



At high temperatures the formation energy of 3D and 2D chalcogenide perovskites are very close. Mixture of phases is then formed in these conditions.

## **Supporting information**

#### High temperature equilibrium of 3D and 2D chalcogenide perovskites.

Prakriti Kayastha, Devendra Tiwari, Adam Holland, Oliver S. Hutter, Ken Durose, Lucy D. Whalley\* and Giulia Longo\*

#### **X-Ray Diffraction**

The powder X-ray diffraction patterns were acquired using Rigaku SmartLab SE, in Bragg Brentano geometry and with Cu K $\alpha$ 1 (1.54056 Å) radiation. The diffractograms were collected from 7 to 80°, with long acquisition time (0.2°/min) to have sufficient angular resolution for Rietveld refinement.

#### **Rietveld Analysis**

Full-profile refinement and quantitative phase analysis was performed using Rietveld method as implemented in FullProf suite.<sup>[1,2]</sup>

#### **Raman Spectroscopy**

Raman spectra were collected using Horiba LabRAM Soleil system, equipped with a 785 nm laser. The power at the sample was measured to be 4.7 mW. The used objective was a 50X with a 11mm working distance, the confocal hole was 200 mm and the grating 1200 g/mm.

### Scanning Electron Microscopy and Energy Dispersive X-Ray (SEM-EDS)

Scanning electron microscopy images were acquired using Tescan Mira 3 FEG-SEM, employing both secondary electron and back-scattered electron detectors. Energy dispersive Xray and elemental mapping were collected using a X-Max detector and Aztec software (Oxford Instruments), using 20KV electron acceleration and ZAF correction algorithm.

#### Predicted properties for materials in the Ba-Zr-S system

Competing phases of BaZrS<sub>3</sub> were identified using the Materials Project database, with all Ba-Zr-S compounds within 0.5 eV above the convex hull considered. This was followed by a recalculation of the total energies using the HSE06 functional with spin-orbit coupling. The energy above the hull, lattice constants and electronic band gap for all systems considered are provided, alongside comparison to experiment.

Material	Formation	Lattice constants (Å)		Calculated (this work)
(Space Group)	(this work)			electronic band gap (ev)
Group)	(eV/atom)	Calculated (this	Experiment	
	(c v/atom)	work)	c c c = [3]	
BaS (Fm-3m)	-2.374	a = 6.32,	$a = 6.387^{[3]}$	2.956 (indirect)
$BaS_2$ (C2/c)	-1.636	a = 4.832, b =	a = 4.736 , b	2.557 (indirect)
		9.3518, c= 9.5004,	= 8.993, c = 9.299 <sup>[4]</sup>	
$BaS_3$ (P-42 <sub>1</sub> m)	-1.231	a = 6.9836, c =	a = 6.871,	2.397 (direct)
		4.2418,	4.168 <sup>[5]</sup>	
ZrS <sub>2</sub> (P-3m1)	-1.734	a = 3.691, c = 6.611,	a = 3.663, c	1.595 (indirect)
			$= 5.821^{[6]}$	
$ZrS_3 (P2_1/m)$	-1.378	a = 3.6572, b =	a = 3.624, b	1.876 (indirect)
		5.2023, c = 9.504,	= 5.124, c =	
			8.98[7]	
ZrS (Fm-3m)	-1.459	a = 5.24814,	$a = 5.240^{[8]}$	0
ZrS (P4/nmm)	-1.592	a = 3.61935, c =	a = 3.55, c =	0
		5.54735,	6.31 <sup>[9]</sup>	
$Zr_3S_4$ (Fd-3m)	-1.371	a = 10.37,	$a = 10.25^{[8]}$	0
BaZrS <sub>3</sub> (Pnma)	-2.071	a = 6.922, b =	a = 7.025, b	1.724 (direct)
		7.0671, c= 9.9063,	= 7.06, c =	
			9.9813[10]	
$Ba_2ZrS_4$	-2.163	a = 5.011, c =	a = 4.785, c	1.289 (indirect)
(I4/mmm)		15.8994,	$= 15.964^{[11]}$	
$Ba_3Zr_2S_7$	-2.127	a = 7.1694, c =	a = 7.709 c	1.542 (indirect)
(P4 <sub>2</sub> /mnm)		25.5525,	$=25.437^{[12]}$	
$Ba_3Zr_2S_7$	-2.117	a = 5.043, c =	a = 4.998, c	1.164 (indirect)
(I4/mmm)		25.8576,	$= 25.502^{[13]}$	
$Ba_3Zr_2S_7$	-2.118	a = 7.1381, b =	a = 7.0697 b	1.171 (indirect)
(Cmmm)		25.8383, c = 7.1227,	= 25.4923 ,	
			c =	
			7.0269[11]	
$Ba_4Zr_3S_{10}$		a = 7.0314, $b =$	a = 7.031, b	
(Fmmm)		7.0552,	= 7.0552, c	
		c = 35.544,	$=35.544^{[14]}$	
$Ba_4Zr_3S_{10}$	-2.096	a = 5.0483, c = 3	35.9174	1.129 (indirect)
(l4/mmm)				

### Phonon calculation details

Supercells and k-grid used for finite difference phonon analysis using Phonopy for every material are collected below. For all structures, the k-density was chosen to be a minimum of 2 points per Å<sup>-1</sup>. Further calculation details are included in the main text.

Material (Space	Supercell size	k-grid
Group)		
BaS (Fm-3m)	2x2x2	8x8x8
$BaS_2$ (C2/c)	3x3x2	5x5x4
BaS <sub>3</sub> (P-42 <sub>1</sub> m)	3x3x3	4x4x5
ZrS <sub>2</sub> (P-3m1)	3x3x3	5x5x4
$ZrS_3 (P2_1/m)$	2x3x3	4x5x3
ZrS (Fm-3m)	3x3x3	5x5x5
ZrS (P4/nmm)	3x3x3	5x5x5
$Zr_3S_4$ (Fd-3m)	2x2x2	6x6x6
BaZrS <sub>3</sub> (Pnma)	2x2x2	6x4x6
Ba <sub>2</sub> ZrS <sub>4</sub> (I4/mmm)	2x2x1	8x8x6
$Ba_3Zr_2S_7$ (P42/mnm)	2x2x1	6x6x2
Ba <sub>3</sub> Zr <sub>2</sub> S <sub>7</sub> (I4/mmm)	4x4x1	4x4x6
Ba <sub>3</sub> Zr <sub>2</sub> S <sub>7</sub> (Cmmm)	2x1x2	6x2x6
Ba <sub>4</sub> Zr <sub>3</sub> S <sub>10</sub> (Fmmm)	2x2x1	6x6x4
$Ba_4Zr_3S_{10}$ (I4/mmm)	2x2x1	6x6x4

### First-principles predictions of Raman peak position and intensity

Calculation details are included in the main text.

### ZrS<sub>2</sub> (P-3m1)

v(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	I (Å <sup>4</sup> amu <sup>-1</sup> )	Symmetry
250.129723	97.526512	$E^1g$
250.129723	97.266348	$E^1g$
313.394668	2191.392129	$A^{1}_{1g}$

ZrS<sub>3</sub> (P2<sub>1</sub>/m)

v(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	I (Å <sup>4</sup> amu <sup>-1</sup> )	Symmetry
73.014879	6.905896	A <sup>1</sup> g
92.527391	1.166059	$B^1g$
110.387709	4.719362	A <sup>2</sup> g
140.243367	250.082279	A <sup>3</sup> g
141.667912	87.993663	B <sup>2</sup> g
217.777387	311.319123	B <sup>3</sup> g

239.793097	$B^4g$
46.823381	$A^4g$
981.725127	A <sup>5</sup> g
1356.801371	A <sup>6</sup> g
183.217121	A <sup>7</sup> g
10802.39804	A <sup>8</sup> g
	239.793097 46.823381 981.725127 1356.801371 183.217121 10802.39804

## ZrS (P4/nmm)

v(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	I (Å <sup>4</sup> amu <sup>-1</sup> )	Symmetry
35.001975	282918.7229	$E^1g$
35.001975	282918.674	$E^1g$
185.135088	12149.69593	$A^{1}_{1g}$
258.307259	523466.9858	$A^{2}_{1g}$
301.317526	34598.75679	$\overline{E}^{2}_{g}$
301.317526	34598.78312	$E^{2}_{g}$

## ZrS (Fm-3m)

Not Raman active

### Zr<sub>3</sub>S<sub>4</sub> (Fd-3m)

v(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	I (Å <sup>4</sup> amu <sup>-1</sup> )	Symmetry
111.859677	18277.38917	$T^{1}_{2g}$
111.859677	48563.4653	$T^{1}_{2g}$
111.859677	16221.79423	$T^{1}_{2g}$
209.735626	11.036357	$T^2_{1g}$
209.735626	10.938889	$T^2_{1g}$
209.735626	0.022322	$T^2_{1g}$
233.997049	228529.875	$E^{1}_{g}$
233.997049	12112.62315	$E^{1}_{g}$
242.657169	5155.011086	$T^{3}_{2g}$
242.657169	6326.453296	T <sup>3</sup> <sub>2g</sub>

242.657169	2002.857961	$T^{3}_{2g}$
384.674094	868.761597	$T^4_{2g}$
384.674094	654.553594	$T^4_{2g}$
384.674094	621.6211	$T^4_{2g}$
396.328116	55408.12694	$A^{1}_{1g}$

## BaS (Fm-3m)

Not Raman active

## BaS<sub>2</sub> (C2/c)

v(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	I (Å <sup>4</sup> amu <sup>-1</sup> )	Symmetry
68.229845	2.857842	$\mathbf{B}^{1}_{g}$
82.348163	3.31171	$A^{1}_{g}$
134.829312	2.210724	$B^2_{g}$
164.413337	115.845998	$A^2_{g}$
165.237729	67.569019	B <sup>3</sup> g
178.574775	116.502308	$\mathbf{B}^4_{\ g}$
188.72554	716.275971	$A^{3}_{g}$
446.184748	5377.260127	$B_{g}^{5}$
448.277011	11368.46861	$A^4_{g}$

### BaS<sub>3</sub> (P-42<sub>1</sub>m)

v(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	I (Å <sup>4</sup> amu <sup>-1</sup> )	Symmetry
79.228808	1.055844	<b>B</b> <sub>1</sub>
81.827263	5.553188	Е
81.827263	5.553188	Е
98.185375	0.351295	Е
98.185375	0.351295	Е
103.481236	79.06596	A <sub>1</sub>
124.753145	3.761728	<b>B</b> <sub>2</sub>

154.037718	23.005822	Е
154.037718	23.005822	E
164.530524	0.483868	Е
164.530524	0.483868	Е
194.410123	120.118113	$B_1$
206.577304	3.097366	Е
206.577304	3.097366	E
210.592472	0.000012	$A_2$
219.278783	213.329742	$B_2$
219.42517	491.262822	$A_1$
438.629548	1319.955708	$B_2$
444.395021	2483.759672	A <sub>1</sub>
458.725299	124.300156	Е
458.725299	124.296929	Е

### BaZrS<sub>3</sub> (Pnma)

v(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	I (Å <sup>4</sup> amu <sup>-1</sup> )	Symmetry
57.38246	31.294167	A <sup>1</sup> g
62.740697	74.397711	B <sup>1</sup> 2g
72.865691	20.712113	A <sup>2</sup> g
74.878717	0.428877	B <sup>1</sup> <sub>3g</sub>
79.451252	35.213175	$B^{2}_{2g}$
82.730798	4.047662	$B^{1}_{1g}$
89.396457	81.906317	B <sup>3</sup> <sub>2g</sub>
94.004782	202.648574	A <sup>3</sup> g
105.117071	8.151943	$B^{2}_{1g}$
139.776095	927.058612	A <sup>4</sup> g
157.205497	0.129784	B <sup>2</sup> 3g
162.91409	192.607928	$B^{4}_{2g}$
166.327512	3.336429	A <sup>5</sup> g
171.34857	2.338391	B <sup>3</sup> 1g
198.430549	98.18659	B <sup>5</sup> <sub>2g</sub>
200.803735	93.792521	B <sup>3</sup> 3g
204.900935	1063.364972	A <sup>6</sup> g
213.109461	766.788035	B <sup>6</sup> <sub>2g</sub>

289.681849	19.314493	$\mathbf{B}^{4}_{1g}$
289.943548	8.201392	B <sup>4</sup> 3g
304.087743	361.586611	$A^{7}_{g}$
389.08038	48.066782	$B^{5}_{1g}$
400.954212	7.889141	$\mathbf{B}^{7}_{2g}$
419.617256	0.634279	$B^{5}_{3g}$

### Ba<sub>2</sub>ZrS<sub>4</sub> (I4/mmm)

v(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	I (Å <sup>4</sup> amu <sup>-1</sup> )	Symmetry
52.406628	3.458753	$E^{1}_{g}$
52.40262	3.459939	$E^{1}{}_{g}$
114.218542	3.162731	$A^{1}_{1g}$
121.15813	0.087169	$E^2_{g}$
121.22848	0.08895	$\overline{E}^{2}_{g}$
314.503259	895.624663	$A^2_{1g}$

### Ba<sub>3</sub>Zr<sub>2</sub>S<sub>7</sub> (P4<sub>2</sub>/mnm)

v(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	I (Å <sup>4</sup> amu <sup>-1</sup> )	Symmetry
30.212846	2.697724	$E^{1}_{g}$
30.212846	2.697724	$E^{1}_{g}$
38.927635	17.093892	$E^2_{g}$
38.927635	17.093892	$E^2_{g}$
50.204777	5.139854	$E^{3}_{g}$
50.204777	5.139854	$E^{3}_{g}$
50.316629	3.236544	$\mathbf{B}^{1}_{1g}$
52.517914	0.000001	$A^{1}_{2g}$
61.681258	29.34648	$A^{1}_{1g}$
62.882698	34.818208	$\mathbf{B}^{1}_{2g}$
64.636587	0.088883	$B^{2}_{2g}$
68.110901	9.90813	B <sup>2</sup> <sub>1g</sub>
68.438659	2.357514	$E_{g}^{4}$
68.438659	2.357514	$E^4_{g}$

68.558261	0.000011	A <sup>2</sup> <sub>2g</sub>
71.395331	8.355441	B <sup>3</sup> 1g
71.439568	11.715276	$E_{g}^{5}$
71.439568	11.715276	E <sup>5</sup> g
73.772491	81.142077	A <sup>2</sup> 1g
79.402247	3.381258	E <sup>6</sup> g
79.402247	3.381258	$E^{6}_{g}$
80.183165	5.188376	B <sup>3</sup> <sub>2g</sub>
85.101877	0.000096	A <sup>3</sup> <sub>2g</sub>
86.888262	10.549098	E <sup>7</sup> g
86.888262	10.549098	E <sup>7</sup> g
91.231012	143.350971	A <sup>3</sup> 1g
103.480549	640.233835	A <sup>4</sup> <sub>1g</sub>
106.849569	71.574558	E <sup>8</sup> g
106.849569	71.574558	E <sup>8</sup> g
110.939157	151.224356	B <sup>4</sup> 2g
112.446944	10.2548	E <sup>9</sup> g
112.446944	10.2548	E <sup>9</sup> g
119.000374	221.437353	A <sup>5</sup> 1g
127.095916	12.141797	B <sup>5</sup> 2g
127.641234	0.478178	E <sup>10</sup> <sub>g</sub>
127.641234	0.478178	E <sup>10</sup> <sub>g</sub>
135.11567	25.034852	E <sup>11</sup> g
135.11567	25.034852	E <sup>11</sup> g
136.820878	65.920617	B <sup>4</sup> <sub>1g</sub>
140.631532	38.959964	A <sup>6</sup> 1g
140.891116	66.708777	E <sup>1</sup> 2g
140.891116	66.708777	E <sup>1</sup> 2g
146.007681	0	A <sup>4</sup> <sub>2g</sub>
146.279277	3.635712	B <sup>6</sup> 2g
156.046509	139.804471	A <sup>7</sup> 1g
159.535313	2.659249	B <sup>7</sup> 2g
190.33959	563.31957	A <sup>8</sup> 1g
191.77194	552.223312	B <sup>8</sup> 2g
199.204596	1.253092	B <sup>5</sup> 1g
199.741278	0.000034	A <sup>5</sup> <sub>2g</sub>

209.975536	18.081153	$E^{13}_{g}$
209.975536	18.081153	$E^{13}_{g}$
211.827231	1.408878	B <sup>9</sup> 2g
211.988927	246.081075	$A^{9}_{1g}$
229.9484	59.019295	$A^{10}_{1g}$
230.161149	5.776477	$\mathbf{B}^{10}_{2g}$
240.23487	13.410356	$\mathrm{B}^{6}_{1\mathrm{g}}$
240.397813	0.000001	$A^{6}_{2g}$
286.462652	3.595897	$E^{14}_{g}$
286.462652	3.595897	$E^{14}_{g}$
294.502698	63.08334	$E^{15}_{g}$
294.502698	63.08334	$E^{15}_{g}$
302.43342	6.091773	$E^{16}_{\ g}$
302.43342	6.091773	$E^{16}_{\ g}$
321.579069	10.229922	$E^{17}_{g}$
321.579069	10.229922	$E^{17}_{g}$
338.460731	9384.451005	$A^{11}_{1g}$
341.510689	1.836837	E <sup>18</sup> g
341.510689	1.836837	E <sup>18</sup> g
359.672158	0.00138	B <sup>11</sup> 2g
434.663829	2.302284	E <sup>19</sup> g
434.663829	2.302284	E <sup>19</sup> g

## Ba3Zr2S7 (I4/mmm)

v(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	I (Å <sup>4</sup> amu <sup>-1</sup> )	Symmetry
28.596154	4.245601	$E^{1}_{g}$
28.600797	3.724356	$E^{1}_{g}$
65.941815	17.660479	$E^2_g$
65.953727	19.651663	$E^2_{g}$
93.516505	76.443641	$E^{3}_{g}$
93.519081	76.982938	E <sup>3</sup> g
96.890024	47.707665	$A^{1}_{1g}$
105.537887	0.451137	$E^{4}{}_{g}$
105.567227	1.207343	$E^{4}$ g

129.915843	16.255944	$A^2_{1g}$
135.676242	2.664061	$\mathbf{B}^{1}_{1g}$
207.422076	54.634636	$A^{3}_{1g}$
344.859098	3083.281673	$A^4_{1g}$
358.176047	0.303150	$E^{5}_{g}$
358.177755	0.380385	$E^{5}{}_{g}$

### Ba<sub>3</sub>Zr<sub>2</sub>S<sub>7</sub> (Cmmm)

v(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	I (Å <sup>4</sup> amu <sup>-1</sup> )	Symmetry
-19.238772	0.000045	
10.580514	0.000034	
23.722276	8.115649	
28.358265	0.001798	
28.659876	8.079071	
66.060054	36.454634	B <sub>1g</sub>
70.453963	53.852095	B <sub>1g</sub>
80.524067	0.00001	
86.17592	0.000007	Ag
92.944235	153.091092	Ag
93.110809	134.476747	B <sub>1g</sub>
96.729933	94.646368	
102.537768	0.000021	Ag
105.453077	3.054152	
106.889221	4.282398	B <sub>2g</sub>
129.799904	32.426702	Ag
134.393598	4.806921	B <sub>1g</sub>
165.055096	0.000061	B <sub>1g</sub>
167.37705	0.000025	B <sub>1g</sub>
170.236148	0.000062	Ag
206.92542	0.000002	Ag
207.257653	109.413592	
241.027107	0.000003	B <sub>1g</sub>
241.915904	0.000058	
301.291395	0.000002	Ag
335.415703	0.00000	
344.597264	6167.55051	Ag
358.051261	0.548091	B <sub>3g</sub>

358.247058	0.674951	$\mathbf{B}_{1g}$
450.974406	0.000006	$B_{1g}$

## Ba4Zr3S10 (I4/mmm)

v(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	I (Å <sup>4</sup> amu <sup>-1</sup> )	Symmetr y
23.888283	6.202089	
24.136284	8.566226	
28.794929	0.422725	
28.913987	0.085075	
62.572685	23.143253	
63.943724	15.596888	
70.26505	97.731324	A <sub>1g</sub>
90.574107	156.564256	
92.529283	167.047616	
98.698999	31.244085	
101.757759	7.552974	
101.921106	8.699022	
110.352799	76.837932	A <sub>1g</sub>
125.791600	0.204991	
125.922965	0.085178	
126.140783	0.137591	
200.968021	57.552119	A <sub>1g</sub>
350.057467	4187.23342	A <sub>1g</sub>
350.789978	0.449881	
350.914053	0	
351.499144	7.675391	
403.926303	803.45614	A <sub>1g</sub>

### Ba4Zr3S10 (Fmmm)

v(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	I (Å <sup>4</sup> amu <sup>-1</sup> )	Symmetr y
23.320502	7.245854	B <sub>3g</sub>
23.539796	7.12991	B <sub>2g</sub>
28.634693	0.358348	B <sub>3g</sub>

28.780656	0.459457	B <sub>2g</sub>
62.221252	20.906022	B <sub>3g</sub>
62.323541	22.165325	B <sub>2g</sub>
70.376478	98.903215	Ag
89.466405	163.720452	$B_{2g}$
89.746283	162.866758	B <sub>3g</sub>
98.766874	31.336473	
100.446552	8.561731	
100.670285	7.43394	
110.474511	75.254317	Ag
126.317534	0.28124	
127.205923	0.003466	
127.337609	0.000294	B <sub>3g</sub>
201.055524	57.317053	Ag
350.345923	4221.70852	
350.800913	0.451014	B <sub>2g</sub>
350.896492	0.597932	
404.581634	805.655363	Ag



Figure S4. Phonon dispersion for Ba<sub>4</sub>Zr<sub>3</sub>S<sub>10</sub> in the Fmmm phase



b)

Figure S2. a) XRD of the binary Zr-S, Ba-S and Ba<sub>2</sub>ZrS<sub>4</sub> phases. b) Raman of the same species compared with the measured sample (the missing Ba-S and Zr-S compositions are not Raman active).



*Figure S3. Left: X-ray diffraction at (left) low angle and (right) high angle for Zr\_0, Zr\_5 and Zr\_10 and the reference diffractograms for BaZrS<sub>3</sub>, Ba<sub>4</sub>Zr<sub>3</sub>S<sub>10</sub> and Ba<sub>3</sub>Zr<sub>2</sub>S<sub>7</sub>.* 



### *Figure S4. Rietveld refinement of Zr\_0 (a), Zr\_5 (b), Zr\_10 (c).*

<u>Zr_0</u>		Ba				Ba	13.52Zr <sub>2.83</sub> S	8.84		
		I	<b>Pnma (62)</b>					F	Smmm (69	)
	Wt (%)		31						69	
	a (Å)		7.0747						7.0382	
	b (Å)		10.1537						7.0584	
	c (Å)	7.0446							35.4219	
Site		x	у	z		Site		x	у	z
4c	Ba	0.0358	0.2500	-0.0197		8i	Ba	0.5000	0.0000	0.0694
4b	Zr	0.0000	0.0000	0.5000		8i	Ba	0.5000	0.0000	0.2087
4c	S	0.0027	0.2500	0.5017		4a	Zr	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
8d	S	0.1874	0.0001	0.8270		8i	Zr	0.0000	0.0000	0.1447
						8i	S	0.0000	0.0000	0.0675
	Rwp	16.03 %				8i	S	0.0000	0.0000	0.2093
	Rexp	10.1%				8e	S	0.2500	0.2500	0.0000
						16j	S	0.2500	0.2500	0.1323

Table S2. Quantifications, lattice parameters and fractional coordinates derived from Rietveld refinement on Zr\_0

Table S3. Quantifications, lattice parameters and fractional coordinates derived from Rietveld refinement on  $Zr_5$ 

<u>Zr_5</u>		Ba			Ba	13.71Zr2.58S8	3.47			
		I			<b>Fmmm (69)</b>					
	Wt (%)		59					41		
	a (Å)		7.1119					7.0686		
	b (Å)		9.9736					7.1512		
	c (Å)		7.0345					34.9998		
Site		x	у	z	Site		x	у	z	
4c	Ba	0.0362	0.2500	0.0000	8i	Ba	0.5000	0.0000	0.0703	
4b	Zr	0.0000	0.0000	0.5000	8i	Ba	0.5000	0.0000	0.2226	
4c	S	0.0019	0.2500	0.5962	4a	Zr	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
8 <i>d</i>	S	0.1874	0.0042	0.7236	8i	Zr	0.0000	0.0000	0.1429	
					8i	S	0.0000	0.0000	0.0638	
	Rwp	14.13 %			8i	S	0.0000	0.0000	0.2029	
	Rexp	9.3%			8e	S	0.2500	0.2500	0.0000	
					16j	S	0.2500	0.2500	0.1421	

Table S4. Quantifications, lattice parameters and fractional coordinates derived from Rietveld refinement on Zr\_10

<u>Zr_10</u>		Ba				Ba	3.88Zr2.52S	8.52			
		]	<b>Pnma (62)</b>					F	'mmm (69	)	
	Wt (%)		14						86		
	a (Å)		7.0214						7.0392		
	b (Å)		10.0906						7.0674		
	c (Å)		7.041						35.5196		
Site		x	у	z		Site		x	у	z	
4c	Ba	0.0310	0.2500	-0.0097		8i	Ba	0.5000	0.0000	0.0694	
4b	Zr	0.0000	0.0000	0.5000		8i	Ba	0.5000	0.0000	0.2087	
4c	S	0.0002	0.2500	0.5475		4a	Zr	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
8d	S	0.1898	0.0092	0.7822		8i	Zr	0.0000	0.0000	0.1447	
						8i	S	0.0000	0.0000	0.0675	
	Rwp	12.87 %				8i	S	0.0000	0.0000	0.2093	
	Rexp	9.21%				8e	S	0.2500	0.2500	0.0000	
						16j	S	0.2500	0.2500	0.1323	



Figure S5. Left: XRD of the  $Zr_0$  and of another synthetic batch produced with the same  $Zr_0$  conditions. Right: Raman spectra of the  $Zr_0$  and of another synthetic batch produced with the same  $Zr_0$  conditions.



Zr_0	Area 1	Point 2	Point 3	Area 4	Point 5	Point 6	Point 7	Point 8	Mean
Ba	25.2	23.2	19.0	26.2	37.4	27	26.4	30.3	26.8 ± 0.7
Zr	22.2	19.5	48.2	21.5	19.4	21.8	48.6	21.9	27.9 ± 1.6
S	52.6	57.3	32.8	52.3	43.1	51.2	25.0	47.8	45.2 ± 1.4





Zr_5	Area 1	Point 2	Point 3	Point 4	Area 5	Point 6	Point 7	Mean
Ba	26.6	26.2	26.4	26.4	26.5	30.4	22.3	26.4 ± 0.3
Zr	22.2	19.3	18.2	18.6	18.6	18.3	17.9	19.0 ± 0.2
S	54.1	55.6	54.9	51.9	54.9	51.3	59.9	54.7 ± 0.4





Zr_10	Area 1	Point 2	Point 3	Point 4	Area 5	Point 6	Point 7	Point 8	Mean
Ba	27.4	26.8	27.0	28.3	27.6	26.4	26.8	26.8	27.1 ± 0.1
Zr	19.5	19.1	18.4	19.2	18.9	19.5	17.8	19.5	18.9 ± 0.1
S	53.0	54.1	54.5	52.2	53.6	54.1	55.3	53.7	53.8 ± 0.1

Figure S6. EDS compositions of the  $Zr_0$  (yellow),  $Zr_5$  (orange) and  $Zr_10$  (red), with the SEM secondary electron images of the areas analysed. In the tables, the labels "Area" indicate the atomic composition obtained by the scanning of the overall sample, the labels "point" indicate the composition from the specific zones in the pictures.



Figure S7. Sem image of the Zr\_0 sample. Left: Image obtained from the secondary electron detection. Right: Image obtained from the back-scattered electron detection

#### References

- [1] J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, *Physica B* **1993**, *192*, 55.
- [2] J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, FullProf Suite, **2022**.
- [3] H. D. Rad, R. Hoppe, *Z Anorg Allg Chem* **1981**, *483*, 7.
- [4] I. Kawada, K. Kato, S. Yamaoka, Acta Crystallogr B 1975, 31, 2905.
- [5] S. Yamaoka, J. T. Lemley, J. M. Jenks, H. Steinfink, *Inorg Chem* 1975, 14, 129.
- [6] H. Wiedemeier, H. Goldman, J Less-Common Met 1986, 116, 389.
- [7] S.Furuseth, L. Brattas, A.Kjekshus, *Acta Chem Scand* **1975**, *29*, 623.
- [8] H. Hahn, B. Harder, U. Mutschke, P. Ness, Z Anorg Allg Chem 1957, 292, 82.
- [9] G. Hagg, N. Schonberg, Ark Kemi, **1954**, 7, 4.
- [10] R. Lelieveld, D. J. W. Ijdo, Acta Crystallogr 1980, 2223.
- [11] M. Saeki, Y. Yajima, M. Onoda, J Solid State Chem 1991, 92, 286.

[12] S. Niu, D. Sarkar, K. Williams, Y. Zhou, Y. Li, E. Bianco, H. Huyan, S. B. Cronin, M. E. McConney, R. Haiges, R. Jaramillo, D. J. Singh, W. A. Tisdale, R. Kapadia, J. Ravichandran, *Chem Mater* **2018**, *30*, 4882.

- [13] B. H. Chen, B. Eichhorn, W. Wong-Ng, Acta Crystallogr C 1994, 50, 161.
- [14] B.-H. Chen, W. Wong-Ng, B. W. Eichhorn, J Solid State Chem 1993, 103, 75.