

# Outstanding Improvement in Removing the Delocalization Error by Global Natural Orbital Functional

Juan Felipe Huan Lew-Yee,<sup>1</sup> Mario Piris,<sup>2, a)</sup> and Jorge M. del Campo<sup>1, b)</sup>

<sup>1)</sup>*Departamento de Física y Química Teórica, Facultad de Química, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México City, C.P. 04510, México*

<sup>2)</sup>*Donostia International Physics Center (DIPC), 20018 Donostia, Euskadi, Spain; Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea (UPV/EHU), PK 1072, 20080 Donostia, Euskadi, Spain; and Basque Foundation for Science (IKERBASQUE), 48009 Bilbao, Euskadi, Spain*

(Dated: 6 December 2022)

This work assesses the performance of the recently proposed global natural orbital functional (GNOF) against the charge delocalization error. GNOF provides a good balance between static and dynamic electronic correlation leading to accurate total energies while preserving spin, even for systems with a highly multi-configurational character. Several analyses were applied to the functional, namely i) how the charge is distributed in super-systems of two fragments, ii) the stability of ionization potentials while increasing the system size, and iii) potential energy curves of a neutral and charged diatomic system. GNOF was found to practically eliminate the charge delocalization error in many of the studied systems or greatly improves the results obtained previously with PNOF7.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The delocalization error is one of the biggest pending challenges of current electronic structure methods.<sup>1,2</sup> It appears in many density functional approximations (DFAs),<sup>3,4</sup> as well as wavefunction-based methods, such as unrestricted Hartree-Fock and its second-order perturbative corrections.<sup>5</sup> It has been studied from many perspectives considering that the energy of a system with a fractional number of electrons should be linearly related to the energy of the closest systems with integer electron numbers.<sup>6</sup> Accordingly, the delocalization error has been explained as a discontinuity in the derivative of the energy,<sup>6</sup> as a self-interaction consequence,<sup>7</sup> and more recently as a multi-electron self-interaction error.<sup>3</sup> This problem causes an energetic overstabilization of fractional charges<sup>8</sup>, and has important consequences in many chemical situations of interest as band gap predictions<sup>9</sup>, dissociation curves<sup>10</sup> of neutral and charged molecules,<sup>11</sup> and ionization potentials.<sup>12</sup> Due to its relevance to chemical predictions, many attempts have been made to overcome the problem of the delocalization error in DFAs.<sup>13</sup> Some of these ideas include functional tuning,<sup>12,14</sup> the design of explicitly corrected functionals<sup>8,15,16</sup>, and the use of machine learning approaches.<sup>17,18</sup> In fact, surpassing this error has become a desirable feature to be satisfied in the development of new methods.<sup>19</sup>

One-particle reduced density matrix (1RDM) functional theory emerged<sup>20–22</sup> in the 1970s as an alternative formalism to both density functional and wavefunction based methods. Advances in this area lead to approximate functionals of the 1RDM in its diagonal form, that is, the use of natural orbitals (NOs) and its occupation numbers (ONs), which define

a natural orbital functional (NOF).<sup>23,24</sup> It is more appropriate to speak of a NOF rather than a 1RDM functional when dealing with approximations, since a dependency of the two-particle RDM (2RDM) persists<sup>25</sup> leading to the functional N-representability problem.<sup>26,27</sup> Comprehensive reviews of approximate NOFs can be found elsewhere.<sup>28–30</sup> Nowadays, the NOF theory has become an active field of research,<sup>31–54</sup> and several advances have been achieved regarding to its efficient implementation.<sup>55–58</sup> Special emphasis should be made on the open source program DoNOF<sup>59</sup> that has been made available to the community ([github.com/DoNOF](https://github.com/DoNOF)) to perform NOF-based calculations.

The design of NOFs has been highly motivated by their ability to recover static correlation through fractional ONs, offering an intermediate cost between multireference methods and common DFAs. In fact, approximate NOFs have demonstrated to be more accurate than their electron density-dependent counterparts and to have better scaling with respect to the number of basis functions than wavefunction-type methods for systems with large amount of strong non-dynamic correlation. Particularly successful in describing static electron correlation have been Piris NOFs (PNOFs) based on electron-pairing,<sup>60</sup> namely PNOF5,<sup>61,62</sup> PNOF6,<sup>63</sup> and PNOF7.<sup>64,65</sup> These NOFs are the only ones that have hitherto been able to achieve the correct number of electrons for the resulting fragments in homolytic dissociations,<sup>66,67</sup> therefore, no delocalization problems have been observed in these processes.

Although some reports have considered the delocalization error in NOFs,<sup>68</sup> this issue has not received much attention as in other electronic structure methods. In a previous report<sup>69</sup>, it has been shown that PNOFs can simultaneously deal with static correlation and charge delocalization errors, becoming a promising option for studying charge-related problems. In particular, PNOF5 was shown to prefer localized solutions, whereas PNOF7 can suffer from charge delocalization error,

<sup>a)</sup>Electronic mail: [mario.piris@ehu.eus](mailto:mario.piris@ehu.eus)

<sup>b)</sup>Electronic mail: [jmdelc@unam.mx](mailto:jmdelc@unam.mx)

although it far outperforms common DFAs. Two years after PNOF5 was proposed<sup>61</sup>, it was realized<sup>70</sup> that an antisymmetrized product of strongly orthogonal geminals with the expansion coefficients explicitly expressed by the ONs leads to it, which confirms that PNOF5 is strictly N-representable, i.e., the functional can also be derived from a wavefunction that is antisymmetric in N-particles. This exceptional property of PNOF5 is responsible for the absence of delocalization error, as occurs with wavefunctions that do not violate inherent physical symmetries. Nevertheless, we must recall that PNOF5 is equivalent to an independent electron pair model, hence it only takes into account the intrapair electron correlation, whereas PNOF7 also includes essentially non-dynamic interpair correlation which allows it to perform better on challenging strong correlation problems<sup>38–40,71</sup> where PNOF5 fails.

Like in the other PNOFs, PNOF7 satisfies several analytic (2,2)-positivity conditions<sup>72</sup> as a consequence of imposing them on the reconstructed 2RDM. It is well known that these conditions are necessary but not sufficient for the ensemble N-representability, so there might be situations where PNOF7 violates the N-representability and the delocalization error appears. This small but consistent charge delocalization error shown by PNOF7 was related<sup>69</sup> to spurious contributions of static correlation due to the absence of dynamic interpair correlation terms in the functional. We therefore hope that a better balance between dynamic and static electron correlation will improve or even make the delocalization error disappear.

Recently,<sup>48</sup> a new NOF has been proposed for electronic systems with any spin value regardless of the external potential, i.e. a global NOF (GNOF), to precisely achieve a better balance of static and dynamic electronic correlation even for those systems with multi-configurational character, preserving total spin. The agreement obtained<sup>50</sup> by GNOF with accurate wavefunction-based methods is good for relative energies and for absolute energies, a fact that points out that good results come out for good reasons. Therefore, it invites us to test its performance in the delocalization error, and the best correlation balance is expected to provide improved results. The objective of this work is to show that the dynamic correlation provided by GNOF allows to greatly improve the performance in the delocalization problem.

The work is organized as follows. First, Section II presents a brief review of GNOF. This is followed by the computational details of the tests used to characterize the charge delocalization error in Section III. Section IV presents an analysis of the performance of GNOF over the charge delocalization error in dimers, ionization potentials of chains and the fractional charge that arises in the dissociation of diatomic molecules. Finally, conclusions are given in Section V.

## II. THEORY

In this section, we briefly describe GNOF, a more detailed description can be found in Ref.<sup>48</sup> The nonrelativistic Hamiltonian under consideration is spin coordinate free; therefore,

a state with total spin  $S$  is a multiplet, i.e., a mixed quantum state that allows all possible  $S_z$  values. We consider  $N_I$  single electrons which determine the spin  $S$  of the system, and the rest of electrons ( $N_{II} = N - N_I$ ) are spin-paired, so that all spins corresponding to  $N_{II}$  electrons altogether provide a zero spin. In the absence of single electrons ( $N_I = 0$ ), the energy reduces to a NOF that describes singlet states, as expected.

We focus on the mixed state of highest multiplicity:  $2S + 1 = N_I + 1$ ,  $S = N_I/2$ .<sup>31</sup> For an ensemble of pure states  $\{|SM_s\rangle\}$ , we note that the expected value of  $\hat{S}_z$  for the whole ensemble is zero. Consequently, the spin-restricted theory can be adopted even if the total spin of the system is not zero. We use a single set of orbitals for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  spins. All the spatial orbitals will be then doubly occupied in the ensemble, so that occupancies for particles with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  spins are equal:  $n_p^\alpha = n_p^\beta = n_p$ .

The orbital space  $\Omega$  is divided into two subspaces:  $\Omega = \Omega_I \oplus \Omega_{II}$ .  $\Omega_{II}$  is composed of  $N_{II}/2$  mutually disjoint subspaces  $\Omega_g$ . Each of which contains one orbital  $|g\rangle$  with  $g \leq N_{II}/2$ , and  $N_g$  orbitals  $|p\rangle$  with  $p > N_{II}/2$ , namely,

$$\Omega_g = \{|g\rangle, |p_1\rangle, |p_2\rangle, \dots, |p_{N_g}\rangle\}. \quad (1)$$

Taking into account the spin, the total occupancy for a given subspace  $\Omega_g$  is 2. In general,  $N_g$  can be different for each subspace as long as it describes the electron pair well. For convenience, in this work we take it equal for all subspaces  $\Omega_g \in \Omega_{II}$  to the maximum possible value determined by the basis set used in calculations.

Similarly,  $\Omega_I$  is composed of  $N_I$  mutually disjoint subspaces  $\Omega_g$ . In contrast to  $\Omega_{II}$ , each subspace  $\Omega_g \in \Omega_I$  contains only one orbital  $g$  with  $2n_g = 1$ . It is worth noting that each orbital is completely occupied individually, but we do not know whether the electron has  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  spin:  $n_g^\alpha = n_g^\beta = n_g = 1/2$ .

Reconstruction of 2RDM in terms of ONs leads to GNOF:

$$E = E^{intra} + E_{HF}^{inter} + E_{sta}^{inter} + E_{dyn}^{inter} \quad (2)$$

The intra-pair component is formed by the sum of the energies of the electron pairs with opposite spins and the single-electron energies of the unpaired electrons, namely

$$E^{intra} = \sum_{g=1}^{N_{II}/2} E_g + \sum_{g=N_{II}/2+1}^{N_\Omega} H_{gg} \quad (3)$$

$$E_g = \sum_{p \in \Omega_g} n_p (2H_{pp} + J_{pp}) + \sum_{q, p \in \Omega_g, p \neq q} \Pi(n_q, n_p) L_{pq} \quad (4)$$

$$\Pi(n_q, n_p) = \sqrt{n_q n_p} (\delta_{q\Omega^a} \delta_{p\Omega^a} - \delta_{qg} - \delta_{pg}) \quad (5)$$

$H_{pp}$  are the diagonal one-electron matrix elements of the kinetic energy and external potential operators, whereas  $J_{pq} = \langle pq|pq\rangle$  and  $L_{pq} = \langle pp|qq\rangle$  are the Coulomb and exchange-time-inversion integrals, respectively.  $N_\Omega = N_{II}/2 + N_I$  denotes the total number of subspaces in  $\Omega$ , as  $\Omega^a$  denotes the subspace composed of orbitals above the level  $N_\Omega$  ( $p > N_\Omega$ ).

The inter-subspace Hartree-Fock (HF) term is

$$E_{HF}^{inter} = \sum_{p,q=1}^{N_B} ' n_q n_p (2J_{pq} - K_{pq}) \quad (6)$$

where  $K_{pq} = \langle pq|qp \rangle$  are the exchange integrals. The prime in the summation indicates that only the inter-subspace terms are taken into account ( $p \in \Omega_f, q \in \Omega_g, f \neq g$ ).  $N_B$  represents the number of basis functions considered. The inter-subspace static component is written as

$$E_{sta}^{inter} = - \left( \sum_{p=1}^{N_Q} \sum_{q=N_Q+1}^{N_B} + \sum_{p=N_Q+1}^{N_B} \sum_{q=1}^{N_Q} + \sum_{p,q=N_Q+1}^{N_B} \right) ' \Phi_q \Phi_p L_{pq} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{p=1}^{N_{II}/2} \sum_{q=N_{II}/2+1}^{N_Q} + \sum_{p=N_{II}/2+1}^{N_Q} \sum_{q=1}^{N_{II}/2} \right) ' \Phi_q \Phi_p L_{pq} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{p,q=N_{II}/2+1}^{N_Q} K_{pq} \quad (7)$$

where  $\Phi_p = \sqrt{n_p h_p}$  with the hole  $h_p = 1 - n_p$ . Note that  $\Phi_p$  has significant values only when the  $n_p$  differs substantially from 1 and 0. Finally, the inter-subspace dynamic energy can be conveniently expressed as

$$E_{dyn}^{inter} = \sum_{p,q=1}^{N_B} ' \left[ n_q^d n_p^d + \Pi(n_q^d, n_p^d) \right] \left[ 1 - \delta_{q\Omega_{II}^b} \delta_{p\Omega_{II}^b} \right] L_{pq} \quad (8)$$

where  $\Omega_{II}^b$  denotes the subspace composed of orbitals below the level  $N_{II}/2$ , so interactions between orbitals belonging to  $\Omega_{II}^b$  are excluded from  $E_{dyn}^{inter}$ . The dynamic part of  $n_p$  is defined as

$$n_p^d = n_p \cdot e^{-\left(\frac{h_g}{h_c}\right)^2}, \quad p \in \Omega_g \quad (9)$$

with  $h_c = 0.02\sqrt{2}$ . The maximum value of  $n_p^d$  is around 0.012 in accordance with the Pulay's criterion that establishes an occupancy deviation of approximately 0.01 with respect to 1 or 0 for a NO to contribute to the dynamic correlation.

It is worth pointing out that GNOF preserves the total spin of a multiplet:  $\langle \hat{S}^2 \rangle = S(S+1)$ , and, Eq. (2) reduces to a PNOF7-like functional when the inter-pair dynamic term ( $E_{dyn}^{inter}$ ) is neglected, and to PNOF5 if the inter-subspace static term ( $E_{sta}^{inter}$ ) is also disregarded.

### III. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

Several tests related to the charge delocalization error will be applied to the functionals, some related to ionized supersystems of repeated well-separated fragments. To analyze the calculations performed, let us recall the charge localization metric (CLM) proposed in previous work,<sup>69</sup> defined as the

difference between the most charged fragment and the least charged fragment,

$$\text{CLM} = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Fragment} \\ \text{Charges} \end{array} \right\} - \min \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Fragment} \\ \text{Charges} \end{array} \right\}, \quad (10)$$

where the curly braces indicate the set of all fragment charges in a system. According to this metric, systems with the charge concentrated in a single fragment will present a value of 1. Conversely, systems with the charge fully delocalized will have a value of 0 since all fragments are equally charged. Values of CLM between these two limit cases indicate partial localization of the charge.

The CLM provides information on how the charge is distributed in a molecule, but it does not quantify whether the charge delocalization error is present. Because fragments in a given system will be well-separated, there should not be interactions between them. In the case of two fragments, the energy of the a supersystem should be the sum of the energy of the neutral fragment,  $E_0$ , and the energy of the positive charged fragment  $E_+$ . Consequently, the energy deviation of the supersystem from this expected value,

$$\Delta E = E_{system} - (E_0 + E_+), \quad (11)$$

together with the charge distribution, can be used to quantify the charge delocalization error in systems made up of two fragments, with a straightforward extension to more fragments when required.

On the other hand, the charge delocalization error makes the ionization potentials of such supersystems depend on the number of fragments; therefore, the deviation of the ionization potentials in relation to the number of fragments can also be used as a qualitative indicator of the charge delocalization error.

All calculations were performed in an in-house Julia version of the DoNOF code (<https://github.com/DoNOF>),<sup>59</sup> using the resolution of the identity implementation.<sup>55</sup> As the purpose of this work is to compare PNOF5, PNOF7 and GNOF at its maximum capacity, the extended pairing approach has been used, i.e.  $N_g$  is equal to the maximum possible value determined by the basis set used in the calculations, namely a cc-pVDZ/cc-pVDZ-jkfit basis set.<sup>73</sup>


### IV. RESULTS

#### Charge delocalization error in dimers

In a previous report,<sup>69</sup> PNOF5 and PNOF7 were shown to outperform common DFAs by exhibiting a much lower charge delocalization error. In fact, PNOF5 was shown to be free of the delocalization error, while PNOF7 exhibited a small but consistent error. To this end, studies of the relationship between charge distribution and energy stabilization were carried out for chains of well-separated repeated fragments. The 17 molecules with multireference character of the set W4-17-MR<sup>74</sup> were used as basic units of the chains. In this work, we

have adopted a similar approach, that is, we have built a supersystem consisting of two fragments separated by a distance of 10 Å using the same set of W4-17-MR molecules. Our intention is to directly compare the charge distribution and energy stabilization between two fragments obtained by GNOF with the results of PNOF7.

FIG. 1. Energy deviation of the supersystems with respect to the energy sum of the neutral and charged fragments,  $\Delta E = E_{\text{system}} - (E_0 + E_+)$ , as a function of the charge localization metric.




The results are presented in Fig. IV, where the vertical axis corresponds to the energy deviation of the supersystem with respect to the energy sum of the isolated charged and neutral fragments, while the horizontal axis corresponds to the CLM. The PNOF7 values are consistent with the previous report.<sup>69</sup> Recall that the orange downward triangles on the left (CLM=0) indicate that the charge is equally shared between the fragments, on the right (CLM=1) that the charge is on a single fragment, and those distributed along the horizontal axis indicate that the charge is delocalized between the fragments, increasing the energy deviation with this delocalization. In contrast, the green upward triangles of the GNOF values are located mostly to the right and above, indicating that the charge is located on a single fragment. Regrettably, there are still some systems where the charge delocalization error has persisted, namely OCIO (CLM = 0.50) and B<sub>2</sub> (CLM = 0.80) supersystems. Interestingly, in the case of OCIO, another solution was also found with the charge located in a single fragment but with a higher energy.

#### Ionization potentials of chains

A known effect of the charge delocalization error is the deviation of the ionization potentials as the number of fragments in the system increases.<sup>12</sup> Although it has been shown<sup>69</sup> that PNOF7 can provide stable results for helium atom chains and other weakly correlated systems, even with multireference

character, its performance deteriorates in cases of extreme static correlation. However, it should be noted that the observed error for PNOF7 is small compared to common DFA errors that can reach several electron volts.<sup>12</sup>

FIG. 2. Ionization potentials of chains of repeated and well-separated fragments of O<sub>3</sub>. Each mark correspond to the chain with the number of fragments indicated by the horizontal axis, and the charge localization metric (CLM) of the charged systems is shown near the coordinates.



To illustrate this effect, the ionization potentials of the O<sub>3</sub> chains were calculated, as presented in Fig. 2. It can be seen that GNOF, represented by green upward triangles, provides a stable ionization potential with the number of fragments in the supersystem, while the ionization potentials of PNOF7, represented by the orange downward triangles, become dependent on the size of the system. Furthermore, the ionization potential predicted by GNOF is in excellent agreement with the experimental value of 12.5 eV.<sup>75</sup>

#### Potential Energy Curves

Another known problem associated with the charge delocalization error is the fractional charge that arises in the dissociation of diatomic molecules. Take the case of LiH as an example, for which it was reported<sup>68</sup> that the charge delocalization error may lead to an incorrect electron distribution in the dissociation limit. The corresponding potential energy curves for neutral and positively charged lithium hydride are presented in Fig. 3.

The neutral LiH presented in Figure 3a is formed by four electrons, thus, the calculation consists of two electron pairs distributed in double occupied orbitals of the  $\Omega_{II}$  subspace. It can be seen that GNOF presents lower energies than PNOF7 and PNOF5 around the bonding region due to the improved interpair dynamic correlation. On the other hand, GNOF and PNOF7 achieve a similar dissociation energy since the contribution of the static correlation becomes more important in this






FIG. 3. Dissociation curve of Lithium hydride, neutral ( $\text{LiH}$ ) and charged ( $\text{LiH}^+$ ). The charge of the lithium atom, computed by a Löwdin population analysis applied to the GNOF calculation, is presented for selected points.

limit, this energy being lower than the energy of PNOF5 since the latter only takes into account the intrapair correlation. Remarkably, all functionals dissociate the system into a neutral lithium atom and a neutral hydrogen atom.

The charged  $\text{LiH}^+$  molecule presented in Figure 3b is a three electron system, so the calculation consists of only one electron pair distributed in double occupied orbitals of the  $\Omega_{II}$  subspace, and one single occupied orbital in the  $\Omega_I$  subspace. Note that the scale of the vertical axis has been increased to correctly accommodate the obtained energy values. Again, it is worth noting that all NOFs dissociate correctly  $\text{LiH}^+$  into a charged lithium atom and a neutral hydrogen atom. Besides, the values of PNOF7 and GNOF are almost the same for this system.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

The results provided in this work show that GNOF greatly improves the already promising performance of PNOF7 in the charge delocalization error. In particular, energetic predictions of quantities such as ionization potentials and potential energy curves benefit from an increase in stability. Therefore, the good performance in the charge delocalization error, together with an excellent balance of dynamic and static correlation, makes GNOF a valuable functional for electronic structure calculations of general interest.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Support comes from Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (Ref. PID2021-126714NB-I00). The authors thank for technical and human support provided by IZO-SGI SGIker of

UPV/EHU and European funding (ERDF and ESF). J. F. H. Lew-Yee with CVU Grant No. 867718 acknowledges CONA-CyT for the Ph.D. scholarship. J. M. del Campo acknowledges funding from projects Grant Nos. CB-2016-282791, PAPIIT-IN201822, and computing resources from the LANCAD-UNAM-DGTIC-270 project.

## Data Availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

- <sup>1</sup>Bryenton, K. R.; Adeleke, A. A.; Dale, S. G.; Johnson, E. R. Delocalization error: The greatest outstanding challenge in density-functional theory. *WIREs Comput Mol Sci.* **2022**, e1631.
- <sup>2</sup>Cohen, A. J.; Mori-Sánchez, P.; Yang, W. Insights into current limitations of density functional theory. *Science* **2008**, 321, 792–794.
- <sup>3</sup>Mori-Sánchez, P.; Cohen, A. J.; Yang, W. Many-electron self-interaction error in approximate density functionals. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, 125, 201102.
- <sup>4</sup>Hait, D.; Head-Gordon, M. Delocalization Errors in Density Functional Theory Are Essentially Quadratic in Fractional Occupation Number. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2018**, 9, 6280–6288.
- <sup>5</sup>Cohen, A. J.; Mori-Sánchez, P.; Yang, W. Second-Order Perturbation Theory with Fractional Charges and Fractional Spins. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2009**, 5, 786–792.
- <sup>6</sup>Perdew, J. P.; Parr, R. G.; Levy, M.; Balduz, J. L. Density-Functional Theory for Fractional Particle Number: Derivative Discontinuities of the Energy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1982**, 49, 1691–1694.
- <sup>7</sup>Zhang, Y.; Yang, W. A challenge for density functionals: Self-interaction error increases for systems with a noninteger number of electrons. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1998**, 109, 2604–2608.
- <sup>8</sup>Cohen, A. J.; Mori-Sánchez, P.; Yang, W. Development of exchange-correlation functionals with minimal many-electron self-interaction error. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2007**, 126, 191109.
- <sup>9</sup>Mori-Sánchez, P.; Cohen, A. J.; Yang, W. Localization and delocalization errors in density functional theory and implications for band-gap prediction. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2008**, 100, 146401.
- <sup>10</sup>Ruzsinszky, A.; Perdew, J. P.; Csonka, G. I.; Vydrov, O. A.; Scuseria, G. E. Spurious fractional charge on dissociated atoms: pervasive and resilient

- self-interaction error of common density functionals. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *125*, 194112.
- <sup>11</sup>Ruzsinszky, A.; Perdew, J. P.; Csonka, G. I. Binding energy curves from nonempirical density functionals. I. Covalent bonds in closed-shell and radical molecules. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2005**, *109*, 11006–11014.
  - <sup>12</sup>Whittleton, S. R.; Sosa Vazquez, X. A.; Isborn, C. M.; Johnson, E. R. Density-functional errors in ionization potential with increasing system size. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *142*, 184106.
  - <sup>13</sup>Komsa, D. N.; Staroverov, V. N. Elimination of Spurious Fractional Charges in Dissociating Molecules by Correcting the Shape of Approximate Kohn-Sham Potentials. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2016**, *12*, 5361–5366.
  - <sup>14</sup>Autschbach, J.; Srebro, M. Delocalization error and “functional tuning” in Kohn-Sham calculations of molecular properties. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2014**, *47*, 2592–2602.
  - <sup>15</sup>Becke, A. D.; Dale, S. G.; Johnson, E. R. Communication: Correct charge transfer in CT complexes from the Becke’05 density functional. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *148*, 211101.
  - <sup>16</sup>Proynov, E.; Kong, J. Correcting the Charge Delocalization Error of Density Functional Theory. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2021**, *17*, 4633–4638.
  - <sup>17</sup>Kirkpatrick, J.; McMorrow, B.; Turban, D. H. P.; Gaunt, A. L.; Spencer, J. S.; Matthews, A. G. D. G.; Obika, A.; Thiry, L.; Fortunato, M.; Pfau, D. et al. Pushing the frontiers of density functionals by solving the fractional electron problem. *Science* **2021**, *374*, 1385–1389.
  - <sup>18</sup>Perdew, J. P. Artificial intelligence “sees” split electrons. *Science* **2021**, *374*, 1322–1323.
  - <sup>19</sup>Bao, J. L.; Wang, Y.; He, X.; Gagliardi, L.; Truhlar, D. G. Multiconfiguration Pair-Density Functional Theory Is Free From Delocalization Error. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2017**, *8*, 5616–5620.
  - <sup>20</sup>Gilbert, T. L. Hohenberg-Kohn theorem for nonlocal external potentials. *Phys. Rev. B* **1975**, *12*, 2111–2120.
  - <sup>21</sup>Levy, M. Universal variational functionals of electron densities, first-order density matrices, and natural spin-orbitals and solution of the  $v$ -representability problem. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1979**, *76*, 6062–6065.
  - <sup>22</sup>Valone, S. M. Consequences of extending 1 matrix energy functionals pure-state representable to all ensemble representable 1 matrices. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1980**, *73*, 1344–1349.
  - <sup>23</sup>Goedecker, S.; Umrigar, C. J. In *Many-electron densities Reduc. density matrices*; Cioslowski, J., Ed.; Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers: New York, 2000; pp 165–181.
  - <sup>24</sup>Piris, M. In *Reduced-Density-Matrix Mech. with Appl. to many-electron atoms Mol.*; Mazzotti, D. A., Ed.; John Wiley and Sons: Hoboken, New Jersey, USA, 2007; Vol. 134; Chapter 14, pp 387–427.
  - <sup>25</sup>Donnelly, R. A. On fundamental difference between energy functionals based on first- and second-order density matrices. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1979**, *71*, 2874–2879.
  - <sup>26</sup>Ludeña, E. V.; Torres, F. J.; Costa, C. Functional N-Representability in 2-Matrix, 1-Matrix, and Density Functional Theories. *J. Mod. Phys.* **2013**, *04*, 391–400.
  - <sup>27</sup>Piris, M. In *Many-body approaches Differ. scales a Tribut. to N. H. March Occas. his 90th BIRTH.*; Angilella, G. G. N., Amovilli, C., Eds.; Springer: New York, 2018; Chapter 22, pp 261–278.
  - <sup>28</sup>Pernal, K.; Giesbertz, K. J. H. Reduced Density Matrix Functional Theory (RDMFT) and Linear Response Time-Dependent RDMFT (TD-RDMFT). *Top Curr Chem* **2016**, *368*, 125–184.
  - <sup>29</sup>Schade, R.; Kamil, E.; Blöchl, P. Reduced density-matrix functionals from many-particle theory. *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* **2017**, *226*, 2677–2692.
  - <sup>30</sup>Mitxelena, I.; Piris, M.; Ugalde, J. M. In *State Art Mol. Electron. Struct. Comput. Correl. Methods, Basis Sets More*; Hoggan, P., Ancarani, U., Eds.; Advances in Quantum Chemistry; Academic Press, 2019; Vol. 79; Chapter 7, pp 155–177.
  - <sup>31</sup>Piris, M. Natural orbital functional for multiplets. *Phys. Rev. A* **2019**, *100*, 32508.
  - <sup>32</sup>Benavides-Riveros, C. L.; Marques, M. A. On the time evolution of fermionic occupation numbers. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2019**, *151*, 044112.
  - <sup>33</sup>Cioslowski, J.; Mihalka, Z. E.; Szabados, A. Bilinear Constraints Upon the Correlation Contribution to the Electron-Electron Repulsion Energy as a Functional of the One-Electron Reduced Density Matrix. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2019**, *15*, 4862–4872.
  - <sup>34</sup>Giesbertz, K. J.; Ruggenthaler, M. One-body reduced density-matrix functional theory in finite basis sets at elevated temperatures. *Phys. Rep.* **2019**, *806*, 1–47.
  - <sup>35</sup>Gritsenko, O. V.; Pernal, K. Approximating one-matrix functionals without generalized Pauli constraints. *Phys. Rev. A* **2019**, *100*, 012509.
  - <sup>36</sup>Lopez, X.; Piris, M. Performance of the NOF-MP2 method in hydrogen abstraction reactions. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **2019**, *138*.
  - <sup>37</sup>Schilling, C.; Schilling, R. Diverging Exchange Force and Form of the Exact Density Matrix Functional. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2019**, *122*, 013001–7.
  - <sup>38</sup>Quintero-Monsebaiz, R.; Mitxelena, I.; Rodríguez-Mayorga, M.; Vela, A.; Piris, M. Natural orbital functional for spin-polarized periodic systems. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **2019**, *31*, 165501–8.
  - <sup>39</sup>Mitxelena, I.; Piris, M. An efficient method for strongly correlated electrons in one dimension. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **2020**, *32*, 17LT01.
  - <sup>40</sup>Mitxelena, I.; Piris, M. An efficient method for strongly correlated electrons in two-dimensions. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2020**, *152*, 064108.
  - <sup>41</sup>Giesbertz, K. J. Implications of the unitary invariance and symmetry restrictions on the development of proper approximate one-body reduced-density-matrix functionals. *Phys. Rev. A* **2020**, *102*, 052814.
  - <sup>42</sup>Cioslowski, J. The One-Electron Reduced Density Matrix Functional Theory of Spin-Polarized Systems. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2020**, *16*, 1578–1585.
  - <sup>43</sup>Mitxelena, I.; Piris, M. Analytic gradients for spin multiplets in natural orbital functional theory. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2020**, *153*, 044101.
  - <sup>44</sup>Mercero, J. M.; Ugalde, J. M.; Piris, M. Chemical reactivity studies by the natural orbital functional second-order Møller-Plesset method: water dehydrogenation by the scandium cation. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **2021**, *140*, 74.
  - <sup>45</sup>Quintero-Monsebaiz, R.; Perea-Ramírez, L.; Piris, M.; Vela, A. Spectroscopic properties of open shell diatomic molecules using Piris Natural Orbital Functionals. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2021**, *19*.
  - <sup>46</sup>Rodríguez-Mayorga, M.; Mitxelena, I.; Bruneval, F.; Piris, M. Coupling Natural Orbital Functional Theory and Many-Body Perturbation Theory by Using Nondynamically Correlated Canonical Orbitals. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2021**, *17*, 7562–7574.
  - <sup>47</sup>Schilling, C.; Pittalis, S. Ensemble Reduced Density Matrix Functional Theory for Excited States and Hierarchical Generalization of Pauli’s Exclusion Principle. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2021**, *127*, 023001.
  - <sup>48</sup>Piris, M. Global Natural Orbital Functional: Towards the Complete Description of the Electron Correlation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2021**, *127*, 233001.
  - <sup>49</sup>Di Sabatino, S.; Koskelo, J.; Berger, J. A.; Romaniello, P. Introducing screening in one-body density matrix functionals: impact on the Extended Koopmans’ Theorem’s charged excitations of model systems. *Phys. Rev. B* **2022**, *105*, 235123.
  - <sup>50</sup>Mitxelena, I.; Piris, M. Benchmarking GNOF against FCI in challenging systems in one, two, and three dimensions. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2022**, *156*, 214102.
  - <sup>51</sup>Liebert, J.; Castillo, F.; Labbé, J.-P.; Schilling, C. Foundation of one-particle reduced density matrix functional theory for excited states. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2022**, *18*, 124–140.
  - <sup>52</sup>Wang, J.; Baerends, E. J. Self-Consistent-Field Method for Correlated Many-Electron Systems with an Entropic Cumulant Energy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2022**, *128*, 013001.
  - <sup>53</sup>Rodríguez-Mayorga, M.; Giesbertz, K. J. H.; Visscher, L. Relativistic reduced density matrix functional theory. *SciPost Chem.* **2022**, *1*, 004.
  - <sup>54</sup>Senjean, B.; Yalouz, S.; Nakatani, N.; Fromager, E. Reduced density matrix functional theory from an ab initio seniority-zero wave function: Exact and approximate formulations along an adiabatic connection path. *Phys. Rev. A* **2022**, *106*, 032203.
  - <sup>55</sup>Lew-Yee, J. F. H.; Piris, M.; M. del Campo, J. Resolution of the identity approximation applied to PNOF correlation calculations. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2021**, *154*, 064102.
  - <sup>56</sup>Yao, Y.-F.; Fang, W.-H.; Su, N. Q. Handling Ensemble N-Representability Constraint in Explicit-by-Implicit Manner. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2021**, *12*, 6788–6793.
  - <sup>57</sup>Yao, Y.-F.; Zhang, Z.; Fang, W.-H.; Su, N. Q. Explicit-by-Implicit Treatment of Natural Orbital Occupations Using First- and Second-Order Optimization Algorithms: A Comparative Study. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2022**, *126*, 5654–5662.
  - <sup>58</sup>Lemke, Y.; Kussmann, J.; Ochsenfeld, C. Efficient Integral-Direct Methods for Self-Consistent Reduced Density Matrix Functional Theory Calculations on Central and Graphics Processing Units. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*

- 2022**, *18*, 4229–4244.
- <sup>59</sup>Piris, M.; Mitxelena, I. DoNOF: an open-source implementation of natural-orbital-functional-based methods for quantum chemistry. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **2021**, *259*, 107651–14.
- <sup>60</sup>Piris, M. In *Quantum Chem. Daw. 21st Century. Ser. Innov. Comput. Chem.*; Carbó-Dorca, R., Chakraborty, T., Eds.; Apple Academic Press, 2018; Chapter 22, pp 593–620.
- <sup>61</sup>Piris, M.; Lopez, X.; Ruipérez, F.; Matxain, J. M.; Ugalde, J. M. A natural orbital functional for multiconfigurational states. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *134*, 164102.
- <sup>62</sup>Piris, M.; Matxain, J. M.; Lopez, X. The intrapair electron correlation in natural orbital functional theory. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *139*, 234109–9.
- <sup>63</sup>Piris, M. Interacting pairs in natural orbital functional theory. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *141*, 044107.
- <sup>64</sup>Piris, M. Global Method for Electron Correlation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2017**, *119*, 063002–5.
- <sup>65</sup>Mitxelena, I.; Rodríguez-Mayorga, M.; Piris, M.; Rodríguez-Mayorga, M.; Piris, M. Phase Dilemma in Natural Orbital Functional Theory from the N-representability Perspective. *Eur. Phys. J. B* **2018**, *91*, 109.
- <sup>66</sup>Matxain, J. M.; Piris, M.; Ruipérez, F.; Lopez, X.; Ugalde, J. M. Homolytic molecular dissociation in natural orbital functional theory. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *13*, 20129–20135.
- <sup>67</sup>Ruipérez, F.; Piris, M.; Ugalde, J. M.; Matxain, J. M. The natural orbital functional theory of the bonding in Cr(2), Mo(2) and W(2). *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *15*, 2055–2062.
- <sup>68</sup>Hellgren, M.; Gould, T. Strong Correlation and Charge Localization in Kohn–Sham Theories with Fractional Orbital Occupations. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2019**, *15*, 4907–4914.
- <sup>69</sup>Lew-Yee, J. F. H.; M. del Campo, J. Charge delocalization error in Piris natural orbital functionals. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2022**, *157*, 104113.
- <sup>70</sup>Pernal, K. The equivalence of the Piris Natural Orbital Functional 5 (PNOF5) and the antisymmetrized product of strongly orthogonal geminal theory. *Comp.Theor. Chem.* **2013**, *1003*, 127–129.
- <sup>71</sup>Mitxelena, I.; Piris, M.; Rodríguez-Mayorga, M. On the performance of natural orbital functional approximations in the Hubbard model. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **2017**, *29*, 425602.
- <sup>72</sup>Mazziotti, D. a. Structure of Fermionic Density Matrices: Complete N-Representability Conditions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2012**, *108*, 263002.
- <sup>73</sup>Pritchard, B. P.; Altarawy, D.; Didier, B.; Gibson, T. D.; Windus, T. L. New Basis Set Exchange: An Open, Up-to-Date Resource for the Molecular Sciences Community. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* **2019**, *59*, 4814–4820.
- <sup>74</sup>Karton, A.; Sylvetsky, N.; Martin, J. M. L. W4-17: A diverse and high-confidence dataset of atomization energies for benchmarking high-level electronic structure methods. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2017**, *38*, 2063–2075.
- <sup>75</sup>Weiss, M.; Berkowitz, J.; Appelman, E. Photoionization of ozone: Formation of O4+ and O5+. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1977**, *66*, 2049.