

Exact solutions for a two-dimensional thermoelectric MHD flow with steady-state heat transfer on a vertical plate with two instantaneous infinite hot suction lines

This work is dedicated to the memory of our professor "Ibrahim H. El-Sirafy"

Emad Awad^{*1,3}, Sayed M. Abo-Dahab^{†2} and Mohamed A. Abdou^{‡1}

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Alexandria University, Souter St. El-Shatby, Alexandria P.O. box 21526, Egypt.

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, South Valley University, Qena 83523, Egypt

³Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed

December 6, 2022

Abstract

In the present work, the mathematical description of a two-dimensional unsteady magneto-hydrodynamics slow flow with thermoelectric properties (TEMHD) on an infinite vertical partially hot porous plate is presented. The Laplace-Fourier transform technique is employed to simplify the field equations. For the steady-state heat transfer assumption, exact expressions for the temperature and stream function are analytically obtained for TEMHD flow on a wall with two infinite instantaneous hot suction lines. The results obtained are displayed graphically.

Key Words and Phrases: Exact solution; Green's functions; Magneto-Hydrodynamics (MHD); Thermoelectric Magneto-Hydrodynamics (TEMHD)

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Model	2
2.1	Field equations	2
2.2	Slow TEMHD viscous flow on a wall	4
2.3	Steady-state heat flow	5
3	Exact Results	6
4	Discussion	8
5	Conclusions	11

*emadawad78@alexu.edu.eg

†sdahb@yahoo.com

‡abdella.777@yahoo.com

1 Introduction

Liquid metals have shown their efficiency in cooling the fusion machines when used as the inner surface material instead of solid materials (such as tungsten and graphite) which are conventionally used as plasma facing components (PFCs) [1]. It is believed that the thermoelectric currents arisen in a liquid metal in contact with a solid boundary of markedly distinct thermopower and interfacial temperature distribution are capable to dissipate the heat by transferring thermal energy from the hot spot to the cold region. The basics of TEMHD flow were presented by Shercliff [2], who also followed his work with a triple of investigations including TEMHD flow in closed containers [3], in contact with a wall [4], and the pipe end problem [5]. Recent experiments, Solid/Liquid Lithium Divertor Experiment (SLIDE), have been conducted at University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign [6, 7] have reported the direct observation of TEMHD driven flow, and developed the Lithium/Metal Infused Trenches (LiMITs) concept.

Because of the complexity of modeling such a type of problems, the numerical techniques, such as time series approximations using FORTRAN for the one-dimensional simulation [8, 9], the finite element method [10], or the finite volume method [11], are indispensable, to name a few. However, the analytical expressions provided in [2, 3, 4, 5] still show a full resolution even though the time variable is absent. Since additional dimensions in the space will further complicate the mathematics, finding analytical solutions requires certain restrictions on the fluid motion.

Prandtl number (p_r) is an intrinsic property of the fluid motion expressing the ratio of momentum diffusivity (kinematic viscosity) to the thermal diffusivity. The active materials in Shercliff studies, liquid metals, often have Prandtl numbers ranging from 0.001 to 0.01, (see, p. 1005 in Ref. [12]). On the other hand, the numerical work due to Thual [13] elucidates that the properties of low- p_r liquids are quite close to those with zero- p_r in the no-slip boundary condition, see also [14]. In the present study, we shall obtain explicit, calculable integral expressions for the solution of two-dimensional (2D), unsteady, slow TEMHD flow with Prandtl numbers close to zero such that the heat transfer can be considered in the steady state. We shall disregard the turbulent motion term and the natural convection process in the zero- p_r ($p_r = 0$).

The MHD flow past a vertical plate was the subject of various recent studies. For example, Veera Krishna with coworkers [15, 16, 17] have studied the effects of thermodiffusion, chemical reaction, Soret, Joule and Hall effects on the MHD flow past a porous vertical plate, see also [18]. Dadheech *et al.* [19] introduced a detailed analysis for MHD Williamson fluid slip-flow over a vertical plate with Cattaneo-Christov heat flux. In the recent case study [20], the authors have studied the chemical reaction effect on the MHD micropolar fluid flow past over a vertical Riga plate. Another set of studies reports the possibilities of obtaining exact formulas for the solution of slow flow problems, (see El-Sirafy and coworkers [21, 22, 23, 24, 25]).

In this article, we consider the 2D slow TEMHD flow of Liquid-Metal contiguous with a vertical infinite partially porous plate with a hot portion in the presence of a vertical uniform magnetic field. The induced electric current will be eliminated between the Fourier and Ohm laws, and the induced electric field will be neglected compared with the fluid motion so that the Maxwell's equations and the electrical boundary conditions will disappear. The Green's functions for the temperature and the stream function are obtained firstly, then, the exact solutions for 2D unsteady TEMHD slow flow on a wall with two infinite instantaneous hot suction lines are provided. The paper is organized as follows: the problem is formulated, and the field equations are simplified using the Laplace-Fourier transform in section 2. Exact solutions for 2D TEMHD, in the case of steady state heat flow, are derived in section 3. In section 4, graphical representations and discussions about the derived expressions for temperature, stream function and velocity are represented. Finally, we draw our conclusions in section 5.

2 Model

2.1 Field equations

The theory of thermoelectric MHD was firstly presented by Shercliff [2] wherein the classical Ohm and Fourier laws are replaced by the generalized constitutive laws, see also [8, 26, 27]:

$$J_i = \sigma_0 [E_i + (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B})_i - k_0 T_{,i}], \quad (1a)$$

$$Q_i = -\kappa T_{,i} + \pi_0 J_i, \quad (1b)$$

where J_i , E_i and B_i are respectively the i th component of the induced electric current \mathbf{J} , induced electric density \mathbf{E} and magnetic flux density \mathbf{B} , $\mathbf{v} = \langle u, v, \omega \rangle$ is the velocity vector with i th component v_i , T is the absolute temperature and Q_i is the component of the heat flux vector \mathbf{Q} in x_i direction. Moreover, σ_0 stands for the electric conductivity, k_0 is the Seebeck coefficient (thermoelectric power), π_0 is the Peltier coefficient, κ is the thermal conductivity. From now on we will consider constant material parameters, namely, σ_0 , k_0 , κ and π_0 are constants. The energy balance equation for incompressible flow is given as [28]:

$$\rho c_p \frac{DT}{Dt} = -Q_{i,i} + \Phi, \quad (2)$$

where c_p is the specific heat capacity at constant pressure, D/Dt is defined through

$$\frac{D}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla), \quad (3)$$

∇ is the Laplace operator, and Φ is known as the internal heat due to viscous stresses and given in terms of the cartesian coordinates as

$$\Phi = \mu \left[2 \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 + 2 \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right)^2 + 2 \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial z} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right], \quad (4)$$

where x, y and z are the Cartesian coordinates, and μ is the dynamic viscosity. In Eq. (2), ρ is the fluid density satisfying the continuity condition $\partial \rho / \partial t + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0$, which reduces to the form

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad (5)$$

in the case of constant density. Lastly, the equation of motion for MHD flow is given by

$$\rho \frac{Dv_i}{Dt} = (\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B})_i + \sigma_{ij,j}, \quad (6)$$

where σ_{ij} is the stress tensor determined through

$$\sigma_{ij} = -P \delta_{ij} + \mu (v_{i,j} + v_{j,i}), \quad (7)$$

where P is the pressure and δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta. The performance of thermoelectric Liquid depends on the characteristic material parameter, Z , known as the figure of merit and well-known through the relation

$$Z(T) = \frac{\sigma_0 k_0^2}{\kappa} = \frac{\sigma_0 \pi_0 k_0}{\kappa T}. \quad (8)$$

In (8), the relation between Seebeck and Peltier coefficients is utilized, i.e., $\pi_0 = k_0 T$. Generally speaking, the figure of merit is a temperature-dependent property, see e.g., in [27], however, in the presence of constant material parameters, all the material parameters are evaluated at the room temperature T_∞ , then we can define the figure of merit in the following form:

$$Z T_\infty = Z \times T_\infty = \frac{\sigma_0 \pi_0 k_0}{\kappa}. \quad (9)$$

The induced electromagnetic fields satisfy the Maxwell equations [26, 27] without induced electric charge:

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} + \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}, \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\mu_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{H} = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0, \quad (10)$$

where ε_0 is the vacuum permittivity and μ_0 is the magnetic permeability. In the case of slow motion, we replace the operator (3) by the direct temporal differential operator:

$$\frac{D}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad (11)$$

and the viscous term (4) is neglected.

2.2 Slow TEMHD viscous flow on a wall

We consider the laminar two-dimensional slow flow of a viscous incompressible fluid occupying the region $\Omega = \{y > 0, -\infty < x, z < \infty | t > 0\}$, in contact with an infinite vertical plate occupying the xz -plane where z -axis is taken vertically upwards, and y -axis is in the normal direction. A uniform magnetic field is applied in the vertical direction $\mathbf{H}_0 = \langle 0, 0, H_0 \rangle$. In order to make the problem more tractable, we assume that \mathbf{H}_0 will not be distorted, namely, $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_0$ and $\mathbf{E} = 0$, see [29]. A hot porous portion of the plate with an infinite length ($-\infty < z < \infty$), is distinguished from the rest of this plate, and is the cause for the two-dimensional slow flow (in our case, two vertical suction hot lines). Let T_w be the temperature of the hot porous portion, and T_∞ be the temperature of the other part of the vertical plate and the temperature at infinity. Therefore, the TEMHD flow will be induced by the contact with a different thermoelectric material, the interfacial temperature distribution, and the applied undistorted uniform magnetic field \mathbf{H}_0 . As described in Fig. 1, the induced electric current is perpendicular to the magnetic induction field, i.e., $J = \langle J_x(x, y, t), J_y(x, y, t), 0 \rangle$ with Lorentz force $\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}_0$ in xy -plane, where $\mathbf{B}_0 = \mu_0 \mathbf{H}_0 = \langle 0, 0, B_0 \rangle$ is the applied magnetic induction vector (or flux density). The fluid motion is described as $\mathbf{v} = \langle u(x, y, t), v(x, y, t), 0 \rangle$. Therefore, the governing equations of the two-dimensional slow MHD viscous flow with thermoelectric properties are given by

$$\partial_x u + \partial_y v = 0, \quad (12a)$$

$$(\nabla_p^2 - \partial_t - M) u = \partial_x P + k_1 \partial_y \theta, \quad (12b)$$

$$(\nabla_p^2 - \partial_t - M) v = \partial_y P - k_1 \partial_x \theta, \quad (12c)$$

$$(a \nabla_p^2 - p_r \partial_t) \theta = k_1 k_2 (\partial_x v - \partial_y u), \quad (12d)$$

where ∂_ζ denotes a differentiation with respect to the variable ζ , and $\nabla_p^2 = \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2$ is the Laplace operator defined on xy -plane,

$$M = \frac{R_H}{P_m}, \quad P_m = \frac{1}{\sigma_0 \mu_0 \nu}, \quad R_H = \frac{\mu_0 H_0^2}{\rho V_0^2}, \quad k_1 = \frac{\sigma_0 B_0 k_0 T_d}{\rho V_0^2}, \quad k_2 = \frac{\mu V_0^2 T_\infty}{\kappa T_d^2},$$

$$p_r = \frac{\mu C_p}{\kappa}, \quad a = 1 + ZT_\infty, \quad \pi_0 = k_0 T_\infty, \quad (13)$$

ZT_∞ is given by (9), P_m is the magnetic Prandtl number [30], and R_H is the magnetic pressure number [31]. The dimensionless stresses are given by

$$\sigma_{xx} = -P + 2\partial_x u, \quad \sigma_{yy} = -P + 2\partial_y v, \quad \sigma_{xy} = \partial_x v + \partial_y u. \quad (14)$$

It is noteworthy to mention that in adapting equations (12) and (14), we have employed the dimensionless transformations:

$$x \rightarrow \frac{\nu}{V_0} x, \quad y \rightarrow \frac{\nu}{V_0} y, \quad t \rightarrow \frac{\nu}{V_0^2} t, \quad u \rightarrow V_0 u, \quad v \rightarrow V_0 v, \quad T \rightarrow T_\infty + T_d \theta,$$

$$P \rightarrow \rho V_0^2 P, \quad \sigma_{ij} \rightarrow \rho V_0^2 \sigma_{ij}, \quad (15)$$

where V_0 is a characteristic velocity constant, $\nu = \mu/\rho$ is the kinematic viscosity, and $T_d = T_w - T_\infty$. Finally, we assume that the vertical plate (wall) is partially porous with suction velocity, and the surface temperature is prescribed on the wall, $y = 0$. As such, the following set of initial and boundary conditions will be appended to the above governing equations:

$$u(x, y, 0) = v(x, y, 0) = \theta(x, y, 0) = 0, \quad y > 0, \quad (16a)$$

$$\frac{\partial u(x, 0, t)}{\partial y} = 0, \quad v(x, 0, t) = U_0(x, t), \quad \theta(x, 0, t) = T_0(x, t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (16b)$$

$$u(x, y, t)|_{y \rightarrow +\infty} \rightarrow 0, \quad v(x, y, t)|_{y \rightarrow +\infty} \rightarrow 0, \quad \theta(x, y, t)|_{y \rightarrow +\infty} \rightarrow 0, \quad (16c)$$

where the first condition of (16b), representing the mathematical form of no-slip boundary condition, i.e., $u_{liquid} - u_{wall} = \beta \partial u / \partial y|_{wall}$, β is the slip length, was considered previously in viscous flow [32], the




Figure 1: Physical description of the problem.

conditions in Eq. (16c) lead to bounded solutions at infinity, and $U_0(x, t)$ and $T_0(x, t)$ are prescribed functions.

In accordance with the conservation of mass Eq. (12a), we can assume the potential representation $\mathbf{v} = \nabla \times \Psi$, where Ψ is a vector potential with the form $\Upsilon = \psi \mathbf{e}_3$ and \mathbf{e}_3 is the unit along z -axis, thereby we introduce the following velocity representation [28, 32, 33]

$$u(x, y, t) = \partial_y \psi(x, y, t), \quad v(x, y, t) = -\partial_x \psi(x, y, t). \quad (17)$$

The assumption (17) transforms the field equations (12) subject to (16), to the forms

$$(\nabla_p^2 - \partial_t - M) \nabla_p^2 \psi = k_1 \nabla_p^2 \theta, \quad (18)$$

$$(a \nabla_p^2 - p_r \partial_t) \theta = -k_1 k_2 \nabla_p^2 \psi, \quad (19)$$

subject to the initial and boundary conditions

$$\psi(x, y, 0) = \theta(x, y, 0) = 0, \quad y > 0, \quad (20)$$

$$\partial_y^2 \psi(x, 0, t) = 0, \quad \partial_x \psi(x, 0, t) = -U_0(x, t), \quad \theta(x, 0, t) = T_0(x, t). \quad (21a)$$

$$\psi(x, y, t)|_{y \rightarrow +\infty} \rightarrow 0, \quad \theta(x, y, t)|_{y \rightarrow +\infty} \rightarrow 0, \quad (21b)$$

2.3 Steady-state heat flow

In the liquid metal motion, the kinematic viscosity is much smaller than the thermal diffusivity [12], i.e., $\nu \ll \alpha_T$, and $\alpha_T = \kappa / (\rho c_p)$. In this section, we provide a trial solution for the case of steady-state heat transfer, or alternatively, the limit of low Prandtl number when compared to time, in which the energy balance equation (19) reduces to, [13]:

$$a \nabla_p^2 \theta = -k_1 k_2 \nabla_p^2 \psi. \quad (22)$$

In (22), we neglect the free convection term resulting from the vertical velocity component. It is noted that the presence of thermoelectric term in the right side of (22) was not considered by Thual, *cf.* relation (2.3) in [13].

Utilizing the Laplace-Fourier transform technique ¹, we find the following trial solution for zero-Prandtl number MHD flow governed by Eqs (18)-(19) and (22) subject to the initial and boundary conditions (20)-(21):

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\psi}(q, y, s) &= A_1(q, s) e^{-r_1 y} + A_2(q, s) e^{-r_2 y}, \\ \widehat{\theta}(q, y, s) &= B_1(q, s) e^{-r_1 y} + B_2(q, s) e^{-r_2 y},\end{aligned}\quad (23)$$

where A_1, A_2, B_1 and B_2 , are unknown functions of q and s to be determined, the boundary conditions (21b) are considered, and r_1 and r_2 are the roots of the characteristic equation:

$$(r^2 - q^2) \left[r^2 - \left(q^2 + s + M - \frac{k_1^2 k_2}{a} \right) \right] = 0.$$

Namely,

$$r_1 = |q|, \quad r_2 = \sqrt{q^2 + s + \frac{M}{a}}, \quad (24)$$

where we have utilized the relations $k_1^2 k_2 = M Z T_\infty$ and $a = 1 + Z T_\infty$. Moreover, use of the trial solution (23) and the energy balance equation (22) reduces the number of parameters, and then the trial solutions read

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\psi}(q, y, s) &= A_1(q, s) e^{-r_1 y} + A_2(q, s) e^{-r_2 y}, \\ \widehat{\theta}(q, y, s) &= B_1(q, s) e^{-r_1 y} - \frac{k_1 k_2}{a} A_2(q, s) e^{-r_2 y},\end{aligned}\quad (25)$$

where r_1 and r_2 are given by (24).

3 Exact Results

In this section, we derive the Green's functions for the temperature and stream function for TEMHD with steady-state heat flow, given in the Laplace-Fourier domain through equation (25), see similar illustrative examples in the mass diffusion on the infinite domain [34, 35, 36, 37] and in heat transfer problems [38]. With the help of boundary conditions on the wall, Eq. (21a), the trial solution (25) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\psi}(q, y, s) &= i q \frac{\widehat{U}_0(q, s)}{s + \frac{M}{a}} (e^{-r_1 y} - e^{-r_2 y}) + \frac{i}{q} \widehat{U}_0(q, s) e^{-r_1 y}, \\ \widehat{\theta}(q, y, s) &= i q \frac{k_1 k_2 \widehat{U}_0(q, s)}{a (s + \frac{M}{a})} (e^{-r_2 y} - e^{-r_1 y}) + \widehat{T}_0(q, s) e^{-r_1 y}.\end{aligned}\quad (26)$$

Inverting the Fourier and Laplace transforms, we get the integral representations:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x, y, t) &= \frac{2y}{\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{M}{a}t\right) \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(x-\xi) U_0(\xi, \tau) \exp\left(\frac{M}{a}\tau\right)}{\left[(x-\xi)^2 + y^2\right]^2} \left[\Gamma\left(2, \frac{(x-\xi)^2 + y^2}{4(t-\tau)}\right) - 1 \right] d\xi d\tau \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_0(\xi, \tau) \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{x-\xi}{y}\right) d\xi,\end{aligned}\quad (27)$$

¹The tildes refer to the Laplace transform defined for any generic function as $\tilde{f}(x, y, s) = \mathcal{L}\{f(x, y, t); t\}(x, y, s) = \int_0^\infty f(x, y, t) \exp(-st) dt$ and the hats refer to the Fourier transform defined as $\hat{f}(q, y, t) = \mathcal{F}\{f(x, y, t; x)\}(q, y, t) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(x, y, t) \exp(-iqx) dx$, where $s \in \mathbb{C}$ is the Laplace parameter and $q \in \mathbb{R}$ is the Fourier parameter.

$$\theta(x, y, t) = \frac{2k_1 k_2 y}{\pi a} \exp\left(-\frac{M}{a}t\right) \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(x-\xi) U_0(\xi, \tau) \exp\left(\frac{M}{a}\tau\right)}{\left[(x-\xi)^2 + y^2\right]^2} \times \\ \times \left[1 - \Gamma\left(2, \frac{(x-\xi)^2 + y^2}{4(t-\tau)}\right)\right] d\xi d\tau + \frac{y}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{T_0(\xi, t)}{(x-\xi)^2 + y^2} d\xi, \quad (28)$$

where $\Gamma(\nu, x)$ is the upper incomplete gamma function defined by $\Gamma(\nu, x) = \int_x^{\infty} t^{\nu-1} \exp(-t) dt$, and $\Gamma(\nu, 0) = \Gamma(\nu)$ is the gamma function.

Remark 3.1. In the derivation of integral representations (27)-(28), the following relations have been utilized [39]:

1. $\mathcal{F}^{-1} \{ \exp(-|q|y) \} (x, y) = \frac{y}{\pi(x^2+y^2)}$.
2. $\mathcal{F}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{i}{q} \exp(-|q|y) \right\} (x, y) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{x}{y} \right)$.
3. $\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{iq \exp(-|q|y)}{s + \frac{M}{a}} \right] \right\} (x, y, t) = -\frac{2xy \exp(-\frac{M}{a}t)}{\pi(x^2+y^2)^2}$.
4. $\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{iq \exp(-y\sqrt{q^2 + s + \frac{M}{a}})}{s + \frac{M}{a}} \right] \right\} (x, y, t) = -\frac{2xy \exp(-\frac{M}{a}t)}{\pi(x^2+y^2)^2} \Gamma\left(2, \frac{x^2+y^2}{4t}\right)$.

The Green's functions for the temperature and velocities in the semi-infinite domain can be obtained by setting [40]:

$$U_0(x, t) = -\delta(x) \delta(t), \quad T_0(x, t) = \delta(x) \exp(-rt), \quad (29)$$

where $\delta(x)$ is the Dirac delta function and r is a temporal heat dissipation coefficient. The first boundary condition, $U_0(x, t)$, stands for an instantaneous suction line along z -axis starts initially at $t = 0$ as an instantaneous suction thrust with velocity equals one. The presence of the exponential decay term in $T_0(x, t)$ is physically consistent and it means that the temperature resulting from the instantaneous suction decays exponentially and does not occur instantaneously. Therefore, the Green's functions for the stream function and the temperature can be then derived upon using (29) and (27)-(28), we obtain

$$\psi(x, y, t) = \frac{2xy \exp(-\frac{M}{a}t)}{\pi(x^2+y^2)^2} \left[1 - \Gamma\left(2, \frac{x^2+y^2}{4t}\right)\right] - \frac{1}{\pi} \delta(t) \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{x}{y} \right), \quad (30)$$

$$\theta(x, y, t) = \frac{2k_1 k_2 xy \exp(-\frac{M}{a}t)}{\pi a (x^2+y^2)^2} \left[\Gamma\left(2, \frac{x^2+y^2}{4t}\right) - 1 \right] + \frac{y \exp(-rt)}{\pi(x^2+y^2)}. \quad (31)$$

Instead, let us consider two instantaneous suction lines at $x = \pm b$ which requires replacing (29) with

$$U_0(x, t) = -\frac{1}{2} [\delta(x+b) + \delta(x-b)] \delta(t), \\ T_0(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} [\delta(x+b) + \delta(x-b)] \exp(-rt) \quad (32)$$

The boundary conditions (32) with the integral representations (27)-(28) lead to the exact formulas

$$\psi(x, y, t) = \frac{(x+b)y \exp(-\frac{M}{a}t)}{\pi \left[(x+b)^2 + y^2\right]^2} \left[1 - \Gamma\left(2, \frac{(x+b)^2 + y^2}{4t}\right)\right] - \frac{1}{2\pi} \delta(t) \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{x+b}{y} \right) \\ + \frac{(x-b)y \exp(-\frac{M}{a}t)}{\pi \left[(x-b)^2 + y^2\right]^2} \left[1 - \Gamma\left(2, \frac{(x-b)^2 + y^2}{4t}\right)\right] - \frac{1}{2\pi} \delta(t) \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{x-b}{y} \right), \quad (33)$$

$$\theta(x, y, t) = \frac{k_1 k_2 (x+b) y \exp(-\frac{M}{a} t)}{\pi a [(x+b)^2 + y^2]^2} \left[\Gamma\left(2, \frac{(x+b)^2 + y^2}{4t}\right) - 1 \right] + \frac{y \exp(-rt)}{2\pi [(x+b)^2 + y^2]} + \frac{k_1 k_2 (x-b) y \exp(-\frac{M}{a} t)}{\pi a [(x-b)^2 + y^2]^2} \left[\Gamma\left(2, \frac{(x-b)^2 + y^2}{4t}\right) - 1 \right] + \frac{y \exp(-rt)}{2\pi [(x-b)^2 + y^2]}. \quad (34)$$

The velocity components can be calculated from the stream function through the relations (17).

4 Discussion

This section is designated for discussing the exact formulas for the stream function (33), the temperature (34), and the resulting velocity components (17). The mercury is chosen as the liquid metal, and the wall is arbitrarily chosen as a metal that makes a thermoelectric junction with mercury, see e.g., [41]. The temperature difference between the two hot lines $x = \pm b$ on the metallic wall and the contiguous mercury (liquid metal) may generate an induced electromotive force, which known as ‘‘Seebeck emf’’. The induced electric currents may result a voltage difference on the boundary $y = 0$, which in turn changes the temperature difference through cooling or heating. The latter is named ‘‘Peltier effect’’. The thermophysical properties of mercury Hg are given at room temperature $T_\infty = 300^\circ \text{ K}$ as, see p. 1001 in [12],

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= 13529 \text{ Kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3} & c_p &= 139.3 \text{ J} \cdot \text{Kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} & \nu &= 0.11 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \\ \kappa &= 8.54 \text{ W} \cdot \text{m}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} & p_r &= 0.0248 & \sigma_0 &= 10^6 \text{ S} \cdot \text{m}^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Furthermore, we arbitrarily choose $r = 1$, $b = 0.5$, the Seebeck coefficient as $k_0 = 0.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ V} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$, therefore the Peltier coefficient reads $\pi_0 = 30 \times 10^{-3} \text{ V}$, the characteristic velocity $V_0 = 1 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, $T_w = 600^\circ \text{ K}$, and the magnetic induction $B_0 = 10 \text{ Tesla}$, hence the figure of merit and the other parameters of the problem can be set as

$$M = 8.13 \times 10^{-4} \quad ZT_\infty = 0.35 \quad k_1 = 22.17 \quad k_2 = 5.8 \times 10^{-7}. \quad (36)$$

We present a depiction of the liquid velocity vector, Eqs (17) and (33), at different values of time in Fig. 2, while the stream function is graphically represented in Fig. 3. The temperature of the liquid metal, Eq. (34), is shown in Fig. 4. One can easily note the slow variation of temperature with time. This feature is a natural consequence of the steady state heat transfer assumption. It is clear from equation (34) that the temperature of thermoelectric liquid comes from two main parts: the first is the exponentially decaying temperature imposed on the two instantaneous suction lines, $x = \pm b$, and the second part is the temperature produced from the Peltier effect. Therefore, the variation of temperature in Fig. 4 is mainly resulting from the thermal boundary condition, more precisely, $\exp(-rt)$. In the case $r = 0$, the liquid temperature of the two lines $x = \pm b$ on the wall is kept constant during motion, therefore, the only temporal variation for the temperature is due to the thermoelectric term characterized by the exponential $\exp(-Mt/a)$, refer to (31) and (34). In this case, the temporal variation of temperature due to thermoelectric currents is neglected when compared to the constant non-zero temperature at $x = \pm b$, namely, $y / [\pi(x^2 + y^2)]$. On the other hand, the case $r \rightarrow \infty$ in which the temperature resulting from instantaneous suction velocity is neglected, i.e., $\theta(x, 0, t) = 0$, $t \geq 0$, yields a thermal couple due solely to the thermoelectric currents, refer to the first term of (31). In this case the temporal variation of temperature is recovered, and two opposite regions are arisen, one has a negative temperature and the other has a positive temperature, see Fig. 5.

The thermoelectric effects on the velocity magnitude $|\mathbf{v}| = V = \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$ is shown in Table 1. Apparently, the thermoelectric effects increase slightly the velocity magnitudes at all positions.




Figure 2: Velocity vector in xy -plane at different values of time.

	$x = 0$		$x = 0.5$	
y	0.01	1	0.01	1
$k_1 = 22.17$	0.002808142983056	0.1366381519467	0.226849951203674	0.175568864493767
$k = 0$	0.002808083794266	0.1366352719485	0.226845169761204	0.175565163931254

Table 1: Velocity magnitudes at different positions and dimensional time $t = 0.1$ with and without thermo-electric effects.




Figure 3: Stream function at two instants $t = 0.1$ and $t = 0.5$.




Figure 4: Temperature distribution at different values of time.




Figure 5: Induced temperature for the case $r \rightarrow \infty$.

5 Conclusions

In a summary, we have presented a two-dimensional formulation for the thermoelectric liquids on a vertical plate with partial suction area. The heat transfer has been assumed to be steady. The steady-state heat flow assumption is reasonable in the case of liquid metal because its Prandtl number is very small. The slow motion has been assumed, as such, the problem is linearized and becoming more tractable from an analytical point of view. Lastly, we have neglected the magnetic field distortion. The Green's functions have been analytically derived for the temperature and the stream function. Exact forms for the temperature and the stream function have been derived for the case of two instantaneous hot suction lines on the vertical plate. Two opposite vortices are arisen inside the liquid and expanding with time. The temperature variation is slow with time because of the steady-state assumption. The main cause of temporal variation of temperature is the exponential decaying surface temperature with rate r . Two main cases have been discussed: First, $r = 0$, and second, $r \rightarrow \infty$. In the case $r = 0$, the temporal variation of temperature is neglected, while the case $r \rightarrow \infty$ yields temperature profile resulting from the thermoelectric currents. Finally, the effects of thermoelectricity on the velocity are studied and we find very small increments in the velocity magnitudes. In a future work, a numerical investigation is under preparation, where it will address the unsteady heat flow, in addition to the distorted magnetic field.

Acknowledgments

E.A. is grateful to Prof Magdy Ezzat for fruitful discussion about his works in TEMHD.

References

- [1] M.A. Abdou, et. al., On the exploration of innovative concepts for fusion chamber technology, *Fusion Engineering and Design*, 54 (2) (2001) 181-247. DOI: 10.1016/S0920-3796(00)00433-6
- [2] J.A. Shercliff, Thermoelectric Magnetohydrodynamics, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 91 (1979) 231-251.
- [3] J.A. Shercliff, Thermoelectric magnetohydrodynamics in closed containers, *Physics of Fluids* (1958-1988), 22 (4) (1979) 635-640. DOI: doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.862646
- [4] J.A. Shercliff, Thermoelectric MHD with walls parallel to the magnetic field, *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 23 (9) (1980) 1219-1228. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0017-9310(80)90052-6

- [5] J.A. Shercliff, The pipe end problem in thermoelectric MHD, *Zeitschrift für angewandte Mathematik und Physik ZAMP*, 31 (1) (1980) 94-112. DOI: 10.1007/BF01601707
- [6] M.A. Jaworski, T.K. Gray, M. Antonelli, J.J. Kim, C.Y. Lau, M.B. Lee, M.J. Neumann, W. Xu, and D.N. Ruzic, Thermoelectric Magnetohydrodynamic Stirring of Liquid Metals, *Physical Review Letters*, 104 (9) (2010) 094503.
- [7] W. Xu, D. Curreli, D. Andruczyk, T. Mui, R. Switts, and D.N. Ruzic, Heat transfer of TEMHD driven lithium flow in stainless steel trenches, *Journal of Nuclear Materials*, 438, Supplement (0) (2013) S422-S425. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2013.01.085>
- [8] M.A. Ezzat, Theory of fractional order in generalized thermoelectric MHD, *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 35 (10) (2011) 4965-4978.
- [9] F. Hamza, A. Abd El-Latif, and W. Khatan, Thermomechanical fractional model of TEMHD rotational flow, *PloS one*, 12 (1) (2017) e0168530.
- [10] N. Bernabeu, O. Budenkova, A. Gagnoud, S. Rukolaine, Y. Du Terrail Couvat, and Y. Fautrelle, MODELLING OF COUPLED CONVECTIVE AND MAGNETO-THERMOELECTRIC PHENOMENA FOR A SPHERE IMMERSSED IN LIQUID METAL USING FINITE ELEMENT METHOD AND ADAPTIVE MESHES, *Magnetohydrodynamics*, 53 (1) (2017)
- [11] L. Wang and W. Yan, Thermoelectric magnetohydrodynamic model for laser-based metal additive manufacturing, *Physical Review Applied*, 15 (6) (2021) 064051.
- [12] T.L. Bergman, A.S. Lavine, F.P. Incropera, and D.P. Dewitt, *Fundamentals of heat and mass transfer*. 7th ed. John Wiley & Sons, 2011.
- [13] O. Thual, Zero-Prandtl-number convection, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 240 (1992) 229-258. DOI: [doi:10.1017/S0022112092000089](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112092000089)
- [14] S. Paul, P. Pal, P. Wahi, and M.K. Verma, Dynamics of zero-Prandtl number convection near onset, *Chaos*, 21 (2) (2011) 023118. DOI: 10.1063/1.3591793
- [15] M.V. Krishna, B. Swarnalathamma, and A. Chamkha, Investigations of Soret, Joule and Hall effects on MHD rotating mixed convective flow past an infinite vertical porous plate, *Journal of Ocean Engineering Science*, 4 (3) (2019) 263-275.
- [16] M. Veera Krishna, Thermodiffusion, chemical reaction, and Hall and ion-slip impacts on MHD rotating flow past an infinite vertical porous plate, *Heat Transfer*, 50 (8) (2021) 8426-8452.
- [17] M.V. Krishna, Analytical study of chemical reaction, Soret, Hall and ion slip effects on MHD flow past an infinite rotating vertical porous plate, *Waves in Random & Complex Media*, (2022) 1-27.
- [18] A.A. Nandalur, Hall current impacts on unsteady MHD free convective flow past an infinite vertical porous surface, *Heat Transfer*, 50 (5) (2021) 4656-4668.
- [19] A. Dadheech, A. Parmar, K. Agrawal, Q. Al-Mdallal, and S. Sharma, Second law analysis for MHD slip flow for Williamson fluid over a vertical plate with Cattaneo-Christov heat flux, *Case Studies in Thermal Engineering*, 33 (2022) 101931.
- [20] B.S. Goud, Y.D. Reddy, N.A. Alshehri, W. Jamshed, R. Safdar, M.R. Eid, and M.L. Bouazizi, Numerical Case Study of Chemical Reaction Impact on MHD Micropolar Fluid Flow Past over a Vertical Riga Plate, *Materials*, 15 (12) (2022) 4060.
- [21] K. Chernous and I. El-Sirafy, Problems for linear nonstationary Navier–Stokes equations in the half-plane with a semi-free boundary, *Vycisl. Prikl. Mat. Vyp*, 32 (1977) 54-59.
- [22] I.H. El-Sirafy, Two-dimensional flow of a nonstationary micropolar fluid in the half-plane for which the shear stresses are given on the boundary, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 12 (1985) 271-276.

- [23] I.H. El-Sirafy and S. Abo-Dahab, On a problem of nonstationary two-dimensional motion of micropolar fluid when normal stress and tangential velocity are given on the boundary, *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 36 (3) (2012) 1034-1045.
- [24] I.H. El-Sirafy, S. Abo-Dahab, and A. Hatem, Exact Solution of Two-Dimensional Problem of Unsteady Micropolar Fluid in the Half-Plane Subject to a Uniform Magnetic Field When the Shear Stresses are Given on the Boundary, *Journal of Computational Theoretical Nanoscience*, 11 (5) (2014) 1304-1316.
- [25] I. El-Sirafy and A. Aboutahoun, On axisymmetrical boundary problem of unsteady motion of micropolar fluid in the half-space, *Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences: Technical Sciences*, (2016) 51-62-51-62.
- [26] M.A. Ezzat and E.S. Awad, Micropolar generalized magneto-thermoelasticity with modified Ohm's and Fourier's laws, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 353 (1) (2009) 99-113. DOI: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2008.11.058
- [27] M.A. Abdou, I.H. El-Sirafy, and E. Awad, Thermoelectric effects of metals within small-scale regimes: theoretical aspects, *Chinese Journal of Physics*, 51 (5) (2013) 1051-1066.
- [28] F.M. White and J. Majdalani, *Viscous fluid flow*. 4th ed. McGraw-Hill, New York, 2021.
- [29] M.A. Ezzat, Thermoelectric MHD non-Newtonian fluid with fractional derivative heat transfer, *Physica B: Condensed Matter*, 405 (19) (2010) 4188-4194.
- [30] T. Hayat, U. Ajaz, S.A. Khan, and B. Ahmad, Entropy optimized radiative flow of viscous nanomaterial subject to induced magnetic field, *Intern Comm Heat Mass Transfer*, 136 (2022) 106159.
- [31] F.A. Salama, Convective heat and mass transfer in a non-Newtonian-flow formation in Couette motion in magnetohydrodynamics with time-varying suction, *Thermal science*, 15 (3) (2011) 749-758.
- [32] J. Majdalani, C. Zhou, and C.A. Dawson, Two-dimensional viscous flow between slowly expanding or contracting walls with weak permeability, *Journal of Biomechanics*, 35 (10) (2002) 1399-1403.
- [33] M.A. Ezzat and E. Awad, Constitutive relations, uniqueness of solution, and thermal shock application in the linear theory of micropolar generalized thermoelasticity involving two temperatures, *Journal of Thermal Stresses*, 33 (3) (2010) 226-250. DOI: 10.1080/01495730903542829
- [34] E. Awad, On the time-fractional Cattaneo equation of distributed order, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 518 (2019) 210-233. DOI: 10.1016/j.physa.2018.12.005
- [35] E. Awad and R. Metzler, Crossover dynamics from superdiffusion to subdiffusion: Models and solutions, *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis*, 23 (1) (2020) 55-102. DOI: 10.1515/fca-2020-0003
- [36] E. Awad, Dual-Phase-Lag in the balance: Sufficiency bounds for the class of Jeffreys' equations to furnish physical solutions, *Int J Heat Mass Transf*, 158 (2020) 119742. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2020.119742
- [37] E. Awad, T. Sandev, R. Metzler, and A. Chechkin, From continuous-time random walks to the fractional Jeffreys equation: Solution and applications, *Int J Heat Mass Transf*, 181C (2021) 121839. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2021.121839
- [38] K.D. Cole, J.V. Beck, A. Haji-Sheikh, and B. Litkouhi, *Heat conduction using Green's functions*. 2nd ed. Computational Methods and Physical Processes in Mechanics and Thermal Sciences, ed. W.J. Minkowycz and E.M. Sparrow. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2011.
- [39] A. Erdelyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and F.G. Tricomi, *Tables of integral transforms: Based in part on notes left by Harry Bateman and compiled by the staff of the Bateman manuscript project. Tables of Integral Transforms. Vol. 1*. McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1954.

- [40] E. Awad, M. Fayik, and A. El Dhaba, A comparative numerical study of a semi-infinite heat conductor subject to double-strip heating under non-Fourier models, *EPJ Plus*, 137 (2022) 1303. DOI: 10.1140/epjp/s13360-022-03488-8
- [41] J. Liu, Y. Zhao, Z. Dai, J. Ni, and S. Meng, Low thermal conductivity and good thermoelectric performance in mercury chalcogenides, *Computational Materials Science*, 185 (2020) 109960.