

The heat capacity of $^3\text{He-B}$ in silica aerogel

J. A. Sauls

Hearne Institute of Theoretical Physics, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

Abstract

The thermodynamic potential for superfluid $^3\text{He-B}$ embedded in a homogeneously distributed random impurity potential is calculated from a quasiclassical reduction of the Luttinger-Ward functional to leading order in $= k_B T_c / E_f$, i.e. the weak-coupling limit. Theoretical predictions for the heat capacity are shown to be in good agreement with experimental reports for superfluid $^3\text{He-B}$ infused into 98.2% porous silica aerogel over the pressure range $p = 11 - 29$ bar.

The Ginzburg-Landau free energy functional for superfluid $^3\text{He-B}$ was extended in Ref. [1] to all temperatures and pressures for ^3He embedded in a homogeneous disordered medium. In the weak-coupling limit for spatially uniform equilibrium states the functional reduces to

$$\Delta\bar{\Omega}[\mathbf{\Delta}, \mathbf{\Delta}^*] = N_f V \left\langle -\frac{1}{v_1} |\mathbf{\Delta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})|^2 + 2\pi T \sum_{\varepsilon_n}^{\varepsilon_c} \left[\left(|\tilde{\varepsilon}_n| - \sqrt{|\tilde{\varepsilon}_n|^2 + |\mathbf{\Delta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})|^2} \right) \times \left(1 + \frac{\hbar}{2\tau|\tilde{\varepsilon}_n|} \right) + \frac{\hbar}{2\tau} \ln \left(\frac{\sqrt{|\tilde{\varepsilon}_n|^2 + |\mathbf{\Delta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})|^2}}{|\tilde{\varepsilon}_n|} \right) \right] \right\rangle_{\hat{\mathbf{p}}}, \quad (1)$$

$$\text{where } \tilde{\varepsilon}_n = \varepsilon_n + \left\langle \frac{\hbar}{2\tau} \frac{\sqrt{|\tilde{\varepsilon}_n|^2 + |\mathbf{\Delta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})|^2}}{\tilde{\varepsilon}_n} \right\rangle_{\hat{\mathbf{p}}}, \quad (2)$$

$\varepsilon_n = (2n + 1)\pi k_B T$ are the Fermion Matsubara energies. The random potential is parametrized by the quasiparticle-impurity scattering rate $1/\tau$, or mean-free path, $\ell = v_f \tau$. The sum in Eq. (1) is cutoff for $|\varepsilon_n| \geq \varepsilon_c$, where $k_B T_c \ll \varepsilon_c \ll E_f$. The cutoff defining the bandwidth of attraction, ε_c , as well as the strength of the pairing

interaction, v_1 , in the p-wave channel can be eliminated in favor of the measured transition temperature of superfluid ^3He via the eigenvalue of the linearized gap equation, $k_B T_{c_0} = 1.13 \varepsilon_c e^{-1/v_1}$. The functional (Eq. (1)) reduces to the weak-coupling BCS free energy functional for pure ^3He (Eq. 5.16 of Ref. [2]) for $\tau \rightarrow \infty$.

Furthermore, the stationarity condition for the impurity-averaged functional, $\delta\Delta\bar{\Omega}/\delta\Delta(\hat{\mathbf{p}})^* = 0$, generates the impurity-renormalized gap equation,

$$\ln\left(\frac{T}{T_{c_0}}\right)\Delta(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) = \left\langle 3(\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}') 2\pi k_B T \sum_{n \geq 0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n^2 + |\Delta(\hat{\mathbf{p}}')|^2}} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} \right) \Delta(\hat{\mathbf{p}}') \right\rangle_{\hat{\mathbf{p}}}. \quad (3)$$

The renormalized Matsubara energy encodes the effect of de-pairing by the scattering of pair-correlated quasiparticles off the distribution of impurities. This is evident from the suppression of the transition temperature [3]

$$\ln\left(\frac{T_c}{T_{c_0}}\right) = \sum_{n \geq 0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha \frac{T_{c_0}}{T_c}} - \frac{1}{n + \frac{1}{2}} \right). \quad (4)$$

The dimensionless pair-breaking parameter α is the product of the mean scattering rate, $1/\tau$, and the Cooper pair formation time, $\hbar/2\pi k_B T_{c_0}$, i.e. $\alpha = \hbar/2\pi\tau k_B T_{c_0}$. The pair-breaking parameter can also be expressed as the ratio of the ballistic coherence length, $\xi_0 = \hbar v_f/2\pi k_B T_{c_0}$, of pure ^3He and the transport mean free path, $\ell = v_f \tau$, i.e. $\alpha = \xi_0(p)/\ell$. The range of disorder from weak to strong pair breaking can be explored by varying the pressure and/or aerogel density. The solution for the universal curve for T_c/T_{c_0} versus ξ_0/ℓ is shown in Fig. 6 of Ref. [4]. The disorder critical point where $T_c \rightarrow 0$ is $\alpha_c \simeq 0.281$. Since $\xi = \hbar v_f/2\pi k_B T_c \rightarrow \infty$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_c$ the homogeneous scattering model (HSM) prediction for the critical point is robust. Indeed the disorder driven quantum critical point has been observed for ^3He in 98.2% porous aerogels, [5] and provides an accurate result for the quasiparticle-impurity mean free path. However, away from the quantum critical point the HSM does not accurately account for T_c vs. pressure for ^3He -aerogel due to the correlated nature of the random potential for silica aerogels [3]. The effects of correlations on T_c and other observable properties has been studied in Refs. [6, 7]. In order to incorporate aerogel correlations and compare the weak-coupling predictions for the heat capacity, C/T , with experimental data reported in Ref. [8]. I fit the pairbreaking parameter, α , at each pressure to the measured transition temperature for ^3He -aerogel. Fermi liquid data, c.f. $N_f = 3n/4E_f$, $E_f = \frac{1}{2}v_f p_f$, v_f , $p_f = \hbar k_f$, $n = k_f^3/3\pi^2$, are obtained from the tabulated data of Ref. [9]. In addition, I used the published value for the volume of ^3He in the aerogel sample of Ref. [8], $V_a = 1.028 \text{ cm}^3$. The resulting normal-state value of C/T agrees nearly perfectly with the reported values in Ref. [8] except for a slight discrepancy at $p = 20.06$ bar as shown in Fig. 3.

One might expect reasonable agreement between theory and experiment for the heat capacity at low pressures since both strong-coupling effects and deviations from the HSM are relatively small. Indeed the theoretical calculations of C/T at $p = 11.31$, 14.11 and 20.06 bar are all in good agreement with the experimental data, although

there is broadening of the transition visible at all pressures which I expect is associated with large-scale inhomogeneity of the aerogel sample, At higher pressures, $p = 24.90$ bar and $p = 29.02$ bar, the slope of C/T is still reasonably well reproduced, but there is an increase in the theoretical value of C/T compared to the experimental data. This shift almost certainly reflects limitations of the HSM based on point impurities. The correlated nature of the aerogel structure, as well as the finite size of the silica strands and clusters, become more important at higher pressures where the Cooper pair correlation length is smallest and approaches the aerogel correlation length. Note that strong-coupling enhancement of the experimental heat capacity jump is expected to be largest at high pressures, but suppressed significantly relative to that of pure bulk ^3He at the same pressure.

Finally, note that the absolute value of $\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} C/T \sim N(0)$ (not $N(0)/N_f$) is predicted to be *independent of pressure* (see Fig. 6). This may be an accident of an incomplete theory, but it also seems plausible that the absolute value of $N(0)$ is determined by the surface area of aerogel strands that host surface bound states, and thus is insensitive to pressure. Note also the non-monotonic behavior of C/T . This is due to the finite bandwidth of the impurity-induced DOS centered at the Fermi energy [1].

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by National Science Foundation Grant DMR-1508730. I thank Bill Halperin and Hyoungsoon Choi for discussions on their experiments and for providing me with their published heat capacity data.

References

- [1] S. Ali, L. Zhang, J.A. Sauls, J. Low Temp. Phys. **162**(3-4), 233 (2011). doi: [10.1007/s10909-010-0310-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10909-010-0310-4).
- [2] J.W. Serene, D. Rainer, Phys. Rep. **101**, 221 (1983). doi: [10.1016/0370-1573\(83\)90051-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(83)90051-0).
- [3] E.V. Thuneberg, S.K. Yip, M. Fogelström, J.A. Sauls, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2861 (1998). doi: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.2861](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.2861).
- [4] W.P. Halperin, J.A. Sauls, [arXiv:cond-mat/0408593](https://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0408593) (2004).
- [5] K. Matsumoto, J.V. Porto, L. Pollack, E.N. Smith, T.L. Ho, J.M. Parpia, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 253 (1997). doi: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.253](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.253).
- [6] J.A. Sauls, P. Sharma, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 224502 (2003). doi: [10.1103/PhysRevB.68.224502](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.68.224502).
- [7] J. A. Sauls, Yu. M. Bunkov, E. Collin, H. Godfrin and P. Sharma, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 024507 (2005). doi: [10.1103/PhysRevB.72.024507](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.72.024507).
- [8] H. Choi, K. Yawata, T. Haard, J. Davis, G. Gervais, N. Mulders, P. Sharma, J. Sauls, W. Halperin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**(14), 145301 (2004). doi: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.145301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.145301).
- [9] W.P. Halperin, E. Varoquaux, *Order Parameter Collective Modes in Superfluid ^3He* , Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, 1990, p. 353.

Figures




Fig. 1 Heat Capacity of ³He-aerogel at $p = 11.31$ bar. Theoretical results for the weak-coupling BW phase are shown for pure (maroon) and dirty (blue) ³He-B. N.B. subtraction of the bulk heat capacity leaves a trace marking the bulk transition temperature, T_{c0} .




Fig. 2 Heat Capacity of ³He-aerogel at $p = 14.11$ bar. Theoretical results for the weak-coupling BW phase are shown for pure (maroon) and dirty (blue) ³He-B.




Fig. 3 Heat Capacity of ^3He -aerogel at $p = 20.06$ bar. Theoretical results for the weak-coupling BW phase are shown for pure (maroon) and dirty (blue) ^3He -B.




Fig. 4 Heat Capacity of ^3He -aerogel at $p = 24.90$ bar. Theoretical results for the weak-coupling BW phase are shown for pure (maroon) and dirty (blue) ^3He -B.




Fig. 5 Heat Capacity of ³He-aerogel at $p = 29.02$ bar. Theoretical results for the weak-coupling BW phase are shown for pure (maroon) and dirty (blue) ³He-B.




Fig. 6 Comparison of the Heat Capacity of ³He-aerogel for pressures: $p = 11.31$ bar, 14.11 bar, 20.06 bar, 24.90 bar, 29.02 bar. Note the pressure independent value for $\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} C/T \propto N(0)$, in contrast to the pressure dependence of C/T for $T > T_c$.