

Quantum Monte Carlo method describing supported metal catalysis: Ni(111)/alumina decomposing methane as a route to hydrogen.

Philip E. Hoggan*

Institut Pascal, UMR 6602 CNRS, BP 80026, 63178 Aubiere Cedex, France.

E-mail: philip.hoggan@uca.fr

Abstract

Hydrogen production as a clean, sustainable replacement for fossil fuels is gathering pace. Doubling the capacity of Paris-CDG airport has been halted, even with the upcoming Olympic Games, until hydrogen-powered planes can be used. It is thus timely to work on catalytic selective hydrogen production and optimise catalyst structure. Over 90 % of all chemical manufacture uses a solid catalyst. This work describes the dissociation of a C-H bond in methane, chemisorbed at Ni(111) that stabilises the ensuing Ni-H linkage. In a subsequent step, gaseous hydrogen is given off.

Many chemical reactions involve bond-dissociation. This process is often the key to rate-limiting reaction steps at solid surfaces. Since bond-breaking is poorly described by Hartree-Fock and DFT methods, Quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) methodology is used. Our embedded active site approach demonstrates novel QMC.

The rate-limiting reaction step of methane decomposition to hydrogen and carbon is the initial C-H bond stretch. The full dissociation energy is offset by Ni-H bond formation at the surface. Reactive methyl (CH_3) radicals also interact with a vicinal Ni. These adsorbed methyl radicals subsequently produce methylene and hydrogen,

with one atom dissociated from the methyl radical and the other desorbed from the Ni surface. The QMC activation barrier found is 85.4 ± 1.1 kJ/mol.⁴ Thus, QMC is shown to be encouraging for investigating similar catalytic systems.

Introduction

Powering planes on hydrogen goes back to projects in the 1960s. Experimental RAMJet tests on hydrogen were in the USSR (1970).¹ Recent work by Rolls Royce, (airline-funded) shows current limits to powering planes by H₂ are storage needs: 4 times kerosene-fuel space, and condensation.² There is also debate on how 'green' hydrogen production methods are,³ which is beyond the scope of this work on selective low-energy input hydrogen production.

To gain fundamental insight underpinning reactions selectively producing H₂, the author and his collaborators have consistently used Quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) methods to evaluate activation barriers of reactions at metal catalyst surfaces. The correlation energy contribution varies dramatically during adsorption and reaction, therefore it must be determined exactly. The accuracy of this term in QMC is uniquely high. A set of Density Functional (DFT) benchmarks by Thakkar²⁸ for evaluating electron correlation energy highlights the poor performance of 11 much used DFT functionals. A comparative study of Diffusion Monte Carlo (DMC) and DFT-MRCI (DFT-Multi-reference configuration interaction) methods for excitation energies tests similar cases to the present rate-limiting activation barriers (in system size and electron re-arrangement): percentage errors in the total excitation energies are 3 % for DFT-MRCI and only 0.4 % for DMC. (See Lester²⁹).

Since publishing test QMC benchmarks on hydrogen dissociation on Cu(111) in 2015, progress has been made in controlling error. Chemical accuracy (1 kcal/mol=4.2 kJ/mol) has been reached and even surpassed. For periodic systems QMC in Casino software was used successfully by K. Doblhoff-Dier and P. E. Hoggan.^{14,20}

Previously, our work was on water-gas shift hydrogen production.¹⁷ These studies describe water attack on pre-adsorbed CO at Pt(111). Our recent publication gives the associated

rate-limiting barrier to within 1kJ/mol.^{4,5,18} This benchmark uses a Multi-reference (MRCI) trial wave-function, suited to bond breaking and formation and an embedded active site approach to the catalyst, allowing high-level input to be used for molecular active sites, before the periodic QMC. Our PRACE allocation of 50Mh cpu was used.⁶

Other applications of QMC to catalysis and weak interactions of accuracy close to 1 kJ/mol are rare and often used to calibrate DFT functionals for cheaper investigations.^{7,8}

Recent reliable DFT results on catalytic hydrogen production are also noteworthy.^{11,12}

It clearly would be of interest to obtain valid information on the catalytic process with simpler wave-functions. We have since tested the use of single-determinant (ground state) wave-functions for the same system. We estimated systematic error due to imperfect nodes imposed by these simpler trial wave-functions to be 2.5 kJ/mol.⁹ In fact, the barrier is over-estimated since the transition state is strongly multi-reference.

This error can already be approximated by comparing a single-determinant to MRCI input for the molecular part of the system as determined *ab initio* using mainstream approaches, such as MOLPRO that provides trial wave-functions in this series of catalytic studies, on a molecular active site structure.³⁶

In this new work, a short configuration state function (CSF) was used to study a different avenue towards selective hydrogen production on a metal catalyst. It seems that, although this is dominated by neutral stretched C-H, the contributions from C⁺ and H⁻ at a similar distance as well as H⁻ linked to Ni⁺ at the shorter distance of 1.2 Å cannot be neglected from the active site pre-correlated wave-function. They contribute around 1 % , each and their inclusion brings the standard error down to 1.1 kJ/mol for a TS at an activation barrier estimated to 85.4 kJ/mol. These estimates are based on the DMC runs described below.

The alumina substrate is a default spinel structure γ -alumina at fixed geometry during optimisations. It has no significant effect on electronic structure at the Ni-thin film surface, however, it does provide a basic medium that is conducive to release of hydrogen gas, fixing hydrides and methanol or formaldehyde formation from carbon.

Nowadays, stochastic methods are increasingly useful in work on the challenges of real systems which require electron correlation accurately. One such growth area for application is heterogeneous catalysis, in particular adsorbed reactions on metals.

In this work, we introduce the highly accurate Quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) approach for polyatomic active sites embedded in a periodic solid.

This theoretical study of the initial C-H stretch step in methane decomposition to produce hydrogen gas on supported Ni(111) film catalyst follows several metal catalysis benchmarks by novel Quantum Monte Carlo methods, by the present authors.⁵ The support is alumina in its perfect crystal geometry.

The stages of our approach are as follows:

1-Define the active site with 2 Ni-atoms: one receives H, the other (with translation symmetry) describes the equilateral triangle motif in the (111) face and the hollow site where CH₃ is adsorbed at the triangle's centre of mass:

In Figure 1, see Ni₁, Ni₂, CH_a H_b H_c and H. Input and raw QMC output is at.¹⁵

The TS input geometry, from QMC force constants is:³³(molecular active site, in Bohr).




Figure 1: Molecular active site (TS)

2-Molpro uses this input to evaluate a three-term CSF wave-function, which is embedded in the Ni(111) film/alumina-lattice with a 2x2 atom face and 5 layers; ABABA. This defines a periodic slab. The 'active site' embedded in Ni-slab (without duplicating Ni-atoms) is expanded in plane waves, with periodic boundary conditions in k-space.

Embedding proceeds smoothly using a Green matrix addition of electronic potentials.^{10,38}

3-The Slater determinants obtained from this wave-function are expressed in Slater-Jastrow form (as products with a generic Jastrow function).

4-This trial wave function with arbitrary parameters (polynomial coefficients of inter-particle distances in the Jastrow factor and Slater determinant weights) are optimised using Variational Monte Carlo (VMC).

5-This wave-function is then used to generate a population of 'walkers' (configurations) that are propagated in imaginary time during the second, diffusion step (DMC). DMC is carried out in the fixed-node approximation, keeping nodes from the input trial wave-function. An updated overview of the VMC and DMC methods is given in.¹³

These trial wave-functions can be optimised with a complex Jastrow factor,²¹ because they potentially provide the input with exact nodes. This improves the single Slater determinant describing a ground-state from the DFT orbitals for heterogeneous systems. DFT nodes may well be poor. The nodes are improved by embedding the active site CSF.¹⁶

A simple model of the catalyst surface (planar, no steps, terraces or defects) is retained. This ultimately shows that nano-structuring of the real surface does not prevent an excellent agreement between the apparent activation energy and the QMC calculated value.⁴ The energy is referenced to that of adsorbed CH₄, in its optimised geometry. It is based on a transition state without complete dissociation. CASINO software¹³ is used but cannot be treated as a 'black box'. Many choices need user guidance.

A key step of an industrially important reaction is described in the present work: rate-limiting hydrogen abstraction from methane. This occurs on a Ni(111) film.

The hollow site adsorbing CH_4 dissociating to trigonal CH_3 is situated above the centroid of three Ni atoms in an equilateral triangle. It is represented as a single unique Ni, the other two being related by translational symmetry. This simplification allows a high-level wave function embedding for a relatively small active site containing only the two 'distinct' Ni-atoms. Ni_1 binds to the H-atom of the stretched C-H bond, defining the origin and Ni_2 defines the Ni-triangle.

Theoretical Method:

The method of choice must scale well with system size, be able to efficiently use modern supercomputer facilities that are massively parallel and, above all, produce quantitative, accurate physical properties that are difficult to obtain otherwise. QMC benchmarks of activation barriers for reactions adsorbed on solid catalyst surfaces fit this description well. Developing and applying QMC calculations on this scale require access to a supercomputer.

The Quantum Monte Carlo approach uses statistical physics over a large population, comprising sets of instantaneous particle positions in co-ordinate space.

They are often called 'walkers' (c.f. the one-dimensional random-walk). Random numbers actually serve to initialise the 'walkers' from the trial wave-function giving initial electron density/population.

The basic method takes a Slater-Jastrow trial wave-function, which is optimised during the variational (VMC) stage. The structure of this Jastrow factor is expressed using explicit correlation polynomials. The coefficients are treated as variational parameters and the arguments are the electron-electron distance for populations of instantaneous configuration in the first term. The second is the corresponding polynomial for electron-nuclear distance and the third for electron pair and nucleus (three body) nested sums over e-e and e-n distances.²⁷

These systems involve stretched bonds requiring almost all the electronic correlation. QMC has proven to be a reliable method for describing stretched bonds.³⁴

Generic Jastrow factors were used for this molecule-solid system, with just the half-axis $z < 0$ 'outside' the catalyst that includes the adsorbed molecules. Here, bulk alumina periodicity (beyond the 2nd atom-layer) with $z > 0$ perpendicular to the thin film Ni(111) surface is used. The x and y dimensions should be much longer than the maximum bond-length to limit finite-size effects and sufficiently thick to absorb the surface layer perturbation.

The surfaces in this model are planar, whereas it is known that metal close-packed faces re-arrange forming high Miller index ridges or meanders.³⁵

Our planar-surface model uses the transition state (TS) and the reference state with the same atoms as the TS, before the reaction (i.e. two geometries for the same atoms):

(1) The physisorbed asymptote for distant methane. The methane molecule is placed 8 Å from the slab with a Ni(111) monolayer on regular γ -alumina.

(2) The Transition-state (TS) geometry (see Figure 1).

This geometry 2 serves to initialize the QMC transition-state.

Finite-size effects are reduced on a large grid: a 3 3 1 grid defines the largest accessible real-space super-cell, much smaller than that converged in DFT/plane waves (RAM limit).

The DFT calculations converge only at 16 16 1 or higher.⁴⁰ In the DMC section, their use as control variate in twist-averaging is defined. This corrects for finite size error which cancels between asymptotic and Transition State geometries leaving below 1/10 of the error. Runs on a 2 2 1 grid reduced finite size-error by a factor 25 (127 for 3 3 1) compared to a gamma-point calculation.

Semi-core electrons are required but have much less influence on metallic systems in which the number of metal atoms is conserved and geometry very similar (almost bystanders). Such is the case when comparing asymptote and QMC optimised TS geometries for reaction barriers. Co-ordination of the TS to the metal surface occurs but the distance between atoms is about 40 % more than the equilibrium bond-length and so the role of semi-core electrons is minor. A Z=18 core for Ni is validated, accounting for a vast majority of correlation.^{19,37}

Variation Monte Carlo:

A preliminary Variational Monte Carlo (VMC) calculation is carried out in order to generate several thousand configurations (instantaneous points in electron co-ordinates). VMC is driven by minimisation. In this work, the so called *local energy* is minimised:

$$\frac{H\psi}{\psi}$$

The kinetic energy terms involved are smoother and have lower variance in exponentially decaying bases, as shown in our work on wave-function quality.^{25,26} A Jastrow factor including electron pair, electron-nucleus and three-body (two-electron and nucleus) is defined. This Jastrow factor^{23,24} is carefully optimised (essential work, taking up to 5 % of the total time). This factor uses a polynomial expansion in the variables of explicit correlation.

The product of a Jastrow factor with a Slater determinant gives the trial wave-function.

Generic Jastrow factor

Defining a Slater-Jastrow wave-function as the product of Ψ_S , a Slater determinant and the exponential with, as argument, an explicit correlation Jastrow factor $J(\mathbf{R})$:

$$\Psi(\mathbf{R}) = e^{J(\mathbf{R})}\Psi_S(\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{e}^{J(\mathbf{R})}\mathbf{D}_u(\mathbf{R}_u)\mathbf{D}_d(\mathbf{R}_d) \tag{1}$$

The determinants $D_u(R_u)$ and $D_d(R_d)$ treat 'up' and 'down' spin separately if necessary. This new approach²⁴ which generates a huge parameter set was applied to our catalyst slab: Ni(111) film supported on alumina, as presented above. The set can be reduced somewhat by translational using symmetry, otherwise the DMC steps become extremely time-consuming. The generic Jastrow factor used (in Common Algebraic Specification Language (CASL)), had e-e, e-n and e-e-n polynomials expanded to order 9 with cut off radius of order 10 au.

Truncation to a finite range involves a factor:

$$t(R) = (r - L)^C \Theta_H(r - L) \quad (2)$$

Take $C=3$, since the wave-function and at least two derivatives are continuous. Θ_H is the Heaviside step-function (Wigner Seitz cell diameter L).

$$J(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{i < j}^N u_{P_{ij}}(r_{ij}) + \sum_i^N \sum_I^{N_n} \chi_{S_{iI}}(r_{iI}) + \sum_{i < j}^N \sum_I^{N_n} f_{T_{ijI}}(r_{iI}, r_{jI}, r_{ij}) \quad (3)$$

u, χ and f are parameterised (polynomial) functions in the inter-particle distances. The symbols P, S, T denote the channel indices.

The u term caters for inter-electron contributions over r_{ij} and the χ term for electron-nuclear terms over r_{iI} . They are both constructed to obey Kato’s cusp conditions.

The f term is the three-particle electron pair and nucleus contribution (over r_{ij} and two r_{iI} values). This 3-body f term cannot be neglected. These f values are highly modified in Jastrow parameter-set optimisations compensating simpler active site wave-functions.

Previously the single-determinant ground state, based on Kohn-Sham spin-orbitals obtained by a rapid Density Functional (DFT) PBE GGA calculation over a 2x2 k-point grid in the first Brillouin zone led to 2.5 kJ/mol barrier error in similar cases.⁹ The present trial wave-function involves three Slater determinants, each with an optimised Jastrow factor. They are dominated by the ground-state determinant (over 98%) with around 1 % contributions of two excited states, involving the hydride ion H^- . They are the charged product of C-H bond dissociation, and hydride adsorption on Ni. The resulting optimised TS and asymptotic geometry generic Jastrow factors are given in Supporting Information. This use of a short CSF shows potential barrier error below 1 kJ/mol. These approximations are compensated by a relatively long Diffusion Monte Carlo (DMC) runs.

This Jastrow factor is fully optimised during the VMC step. The distribution is updated until the final stages of VMC where fine-tuning of the Jastrow factor is accomplished.

This optimal Slater-Jastrow wave-function is used to initialise the configurations for Diffusion Monte Carlo (DMC). This gives prohibitively long DMC cycles because three parameter-sets are obtained for each atom in the super-cell, treated individually.

In order to cut the DMC cycle duration, individual parameter-sets are maintained only for the atoms in molecular species (methane). The substrate atoms are grouped according to rules for surface atoms and bulk atoms (using translation symmetry). These rules allow us to use a single parameter set for each of the inter-particle terms for each group.

Therefore, use of a Jastrow will be optimal for a given parameter-set, reaching a minimum energy known to be somewhat above the variational limit. It is therefore of paramount importance to conserve the same rules for definition of the generic Jastrow-factor in each of the limiting geometries (TS and asymptote). The present application involves the same atoms, with some binding to a catalyst Ni atom in the (111) surface-film of the TS and just physisorption for the asymptote. Correlation-space is thereby conserved.

After generic Jastrow optimisation, a final VMC calculation generates the initial configurations required for the Diffusion Monte Carlo step (DMC); 10-20 per core. These VMC steps must generate at least as many configurations.

Diffusion Monte Carlo:

In the DMC method the ground-state component of the trial wave function is projected out by solving the Schrödinger equation (SWE) in imaginary time. DMC is carried out in the fixed-phase approximation, which uses the nodes from the input trial wave-function. An updated overview of the VMC and DMC methods is given in.¹³ These trial wave-functions can be optimised with a complex Jastrow factor,²¹ because they potentially provide the input with exact nodes. This improves the single Slater determinant describing a ground-state from the DFT orbitals for heterogeneous systems. DFT nodes may well be poor.

These nodes are improved by embedding the three-determinant CSF active site, then applying periodic boundary conditions to the complete supercell.

The imaginary-time SWE is transformed into a Diffusion equation in the 3N-dimensional space of electron coordinates (with the potential energy acting as a source/sink term) by replacing t by the pure-imaginary time it :

The time-dependent Schrödinger equation may be written as:

$$i \frac{d}{dt} \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) + V(\mathbf{r}) \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) \quad (4)$$

where ∇^2 is the scalar Laplacian of an instantaneous electron-position vector: \mathbf{r} . Defining the Hamiltonian (for stationary states):

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 + V(\mathbf{r}) \quad (5)$$

As outlined above eq 4, the time-dependent SWE (replacing it with τ), becomes the diffusion eq 6 below, where excited state contributions contain exponentially decreasing factors, fading out as the 'time' variable $\tau = it$ is increased in many small steps.

The best-estimate of the ground-state energy eigenvalue (E_0) is adjusted. Note that the exact value gives a zero derivative

$$-\frac{d}{d\tau} \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = (\mathcal{H} - E_0) \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) \quad (6)$$

The imaginary-time SWE can therefore be solved by combining diffusion and branching or dying processes. Introducing importance sampling, using the trial wave function transforms the problem into one involving drift as well as diffusion but greatly reduces the population fluctuations due to the branching/dying process. The Fermionic wave function antisymmetry is maintained by constraining the nodal surface to equal that of the trial wave function.

To resolve energy values, QMC statistical error in total values must be kept small.

Initially, a 0.01 au time-steps is used, extrapolated to the limit 0 to account for possible time-step bias. Assuming the equilibration of a QMC calculation is of negligible duration,

σ falls off as $1/\sqrt{T}$, where T is the cpu-time (h). Accuracy for a given time also depends on the quality (nodes) of the trial wave-function. Lower fixed-phase error reduces equilibration.

DMC intrinsically has quadratic convergence, with a possibility of becoming sub-variational amplified by non-local pseudo-potentials (PPs, NB 3d electrons).²⁰ Therefore, a variational algorithm proceeds using Casula T-moves²² and a time-step of 0.01 au was used. These run for 4 twists (the wave-function is complex since the structure has no inversion center).

A 16 16 1 converged periodic DFT/GGA/PBE control variate is used. After 135 000 statistics accumulation steps error in standard error was well below 1/10.

The standard error gives error-bars of these activation barriers that are much lower than in our QMC benchmarks for Cu(111).¹⁴ The copper surface has barriers for hydrogen dissociation with standard error estimates slightly above chemical accuracy (1 kcal/mol). This prevents mechanistic step resolution by barrier height differences. On Ni(111) which possesses similar non-local effects in its core-potential (PP), due to the 3d electrons, they are clearly distinguished using QMC calculations (like Pt³¹). This indicates possible improvements to work on copper, using CSFs.¹⁴ The QMC barrier (for hydrogen dissociation) is an upper bound on the actual value and, generally rather lower than accurate molecular beam measurements. This tendency explains why the measured value (which may be lower due to defect sites for reaction) agrees with the QMC value well. In fact, 95% confidence limits are within 1.6 kJ/mol and this shows a modest effect of random error. Errors beyond 4 kJ/mol include a systematic term due to non-locality and nodes, that we make small here using a multi-determinant trial wave-function.¹⁴

In this study a three-determinant trial wave-function with twist-averaging has reduced the standard error in the present work to below 1.1 kJ/mol. This result is close to the accuracy of MRCI benchmarks for Pt(111) and a factor 4 less than the chemical accuracy threshold, so difficult to reach for Cu(111) surface reactions.^{5,14}

Results

C-H dissociation barrier on alumina-supported Ni(111) film:

$$85.4 \pm 1.1 \text{ kJ/mol}$$

This compares well to the range of molecular beam measurements, on Pt(111), under high vacuum but with and without co-adsorbed oxygen: 63-120 kJ/mol⁴³

Conclusions and perspectives

Hence, QMC methods described here represent a further breakthrough in reliable information on bond-dissociation limitation of metal catalysed reactions.

QMC was bench-marked for hydrogen dissociation on copper, for which accurate molecular beam measurements are available.¹⁴

This work illustrates coming of age for complicated periodic systems. In the present case, a metal surface on a periodic substrate catalysing a bond-dissociation reaction that is specific. It is also among the largest heterogeneous systems studied by QMC.

Acknowledgements.

We are grateful the GENCI special allocation on Irene (2022) and to the University of Clermont Mesocentre for data preparation and tests.

The QMC calculations were made possible by a special session DARI project gen12921. Supercomputer resources of 40 Million core-hours on the Irene were awarded to us (CEA, Bruyères-le-Châtel), near Paris, France.

Figure 1 was prepared by A. Bagçi, using Gaussview 4, licensed to Université Clermont Auvergne.

Keywords: Quantum Monte Carlo calculation, heterogeneous catalysis, metal thin-film surface, alumina substrate, low activation barrier

References

- (1) Ogorodnikov, D. A.; Vinogradov, V. A.; Shikhman, Y. M.; Strokin, V. N. Russian Research on Experimental Hydrogen-Fueled Dual-Mode Scramjet: Conception and Pre-flight Tests. *J. Propulsion and Power* **2001** 17, 1041-1048.
- (2) BBC 28.11.2022.
- (3) Howarth, R. W.; Jacobson, M. Z. How green is blue hydrogen?, *Energy Science, Modelling and Analysis* **2021** 9 1676-1687.
- (4) Selective hydrogen production at Pt(111) investigated by Quantum Monte Carlo methods for metal catalysis. Sharma, R.O., Rantala, T.T. and Hoggan, P.E. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **2020** <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/qua.26198>
- (5) Sharma, R.O.; Rantala, T.T.; Hoggan, P.E. Quantum Monte Carlo approach for determining the activation barrier of water addition to carbon-monoxide adsorbed on Pt(111) within 1 kJ/mol. *J. Phys. Chem C.* **2020** 124, 26232-26240.
- (6) PRACE Promise allocation, PI; Hoggan, P.E. (2018) and (2020) <https://prace-ri.eu/new-findings-in-search-for-perfect-hydrogen-production/>
- (7) Powell, A.D.; Kroes, G.J.; Doblhoff-Dier, K. Quantum Monte Carlo calculations on dissociative chemisorption of H₂ + Al(110): minimum barrier heights and their comparison to DFT values. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2020** 153, 224701.
- (8) Krogel, J. T.; Yuk, S. F.; Kent, P. R.C.; Cooper, V. R. Perspectives on van der Waals density functionals: the case of TiS₂. *J. Phys. Chem A* **2020** 124, 47, 9867–9876.
- (9) Hoggan, P. E. Quantum Monte Carlo with ground-state input to investigate platinum doped aluminium catalyst: H₂ production from adsorbed CO. *Adv. Quantum Chem.* **2021** 83, 155-170.

- (10) Rinaldi, D; Cartier, A; Hoggan, P. E. GEOMOS: semi-empirical SCF system for dealing with solvent effects and solide surface adsorption. QCPE Bull, **1989** 9, 128.
- (11) Campbell, C. T., Energies of Adsorbed Catalytic Intermediates on Transition Metal Surfaces: Calorimetric Measurements and Benchmarks for Theory. Acc. Chem. Res.2019, 52, 984–993.
- (12) Fajin, J. L.C. ; Cordeiro, M. N. D.S., Probing the efficiency of platinum nanotubes for the H₂production by water gas shift reaction: A DFT study. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 263 (2020) 118301.
- (13) R. J. Needs, M. D. Towler, N. D. Drummond, P. Lopez Rios, J. R. Trail. Variational and Diffusion Quantum Monte Carlo Calculations with the CASINO Code. J. Chem. Phys. 152, 154106 (2020). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5144288>
- (14) "Quantum Monte Carlo calculations on a benchmark molecule - metal surface reaction: H₂ + Cu(111)", K. Doblhoff-Dier, J. Meyer, P. E. Hoggan and G-J Kroes. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2017, 13, 7, 3208-3219 DOI: 10.1021/acs.jctc.7b00344 (Published on-line 17 may 2017.
- (15) Figshare DOI for Raw QMC data corresponding to Table I here: 10.6084/m9.figshare.10293194
- (16) Grueneis, A.; Shepherd, J. J.; Alavi, A.; Tew, D. P.; Booth, G. H. Explicitly correlated plane waves: Accelerating convergence in periodic wave-function expansions. J. Chem. Phys. 2013, 139, 084112.
- (17) Hoggan, P. E.; Quantum Monte Carlo simulation of carbon monoxide reactivity when adsorbed at metal and oxide catalyst surfaces: Trial wave-functions with exponential type basis and quasi-exact three-body correlation. Int. J. Quantum Chem. 2013, 113, 277-285.

- (18) Kroes, G. J. Towards chemically accurate simulation of molecule–surface reactions. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2012, 14, 14966-14981.
- (19) Clementi E and Corongiu G., Note on the atomic correlation energy. *Int J Quant Chem* 62: 571-591, 1997
- (20) Diffusion Monte Carlo for accurate dissociation energies of 3d transition metal containing molecules K. Doblhoff-Dier, J. Meyer, P. E. Hoggan, G-J Kroes, and L. K Wagner. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 2016, 12, 2583-2597. Publication Date (Web): May 13, 2016 (Article) DOI: 10.1021/acs.jctc.6b00160
- (21) Changlani, H. J.; Kinder, J. M.; Umrigar, C. J.; Chan, G. K-L. Approximating strongly correlated wave functions with correlator product states. *Phys. Rev. B.* 2009, 80, 245116
- (22) Casula, M. Beyond the locality approximation in the standard diffusion Monte Carlo method. *Phys. Rev. B* **2006** 74, 161102 R
- (23) Drummond, N. D.; Needs, R. J.; Sorour, A.; Foulkes, W. M. C. Finite-size errors in continuum quantum Monte Carlo calculations. *Phys. Rev. B.* 2008, 78, 125106.
- (24) Needs, R. J.; Towler, M. D.; Drummond, N. D.; Lopez Rios, P, J. Continuum variational and diffusion quantum Monte Carlo calculations. *Phys. Condens. Matter.* 2010, 22, 023201.
- (25) Reinhardt, P.; Hoggan, P. E. Cusps and derivatives for H₂O wave-functions using Hartree-Fock Slater code: a density study. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* 2009, 109, 3191-3198.
- (26) Toulouse, J.; Hoggan, P. E.; Reinhardt, P.; Caffarel, M.; Umrigar, C. J. Quantum Monte Carlo Calculations of Electronic Excitation Energies: The Case of the Singlet $n\text{-}\pi(\text{CO})$ Transition in Acrolein. *Prog. Theo. Chem. Phys. B.* 2012, 22, 343-351.

- (27) Drummond, N. D.; Towler, M. D.; Needs, R. J. Jastrow correlation factor for atoms, molecules, and solids. *Phys. Rev. B.* 2004, 70, 235119
- (28) Thakkar, A. J.; McCarthy, S. P. Towards improved density functionals for correlation energy. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2009, 131, 134109.
- (29) Lester, Jr, W. A.; Mitas, L.; Hammond, B. Quantum Monte Carlo for atoms, molecules and solids. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 2009, 478, 1-10.
- (30) Alfè, D.; Gillan, M. J. Efficient localized basis set for quantum Monte Carlo calculations on condensed matter. *Phys. Rev. B.* 2004, 70, 161101.
- (31) N. Absi and P. E. Hoggan, Quantum Monte Carlo investigation of two catalytic reaction paths for hydrogen synthesis on Pt(111). In *Recent progress in Quantum Monte Carlo*, ed. S. Tanaka, L. Mitas, P-O Roy, ASC books, Symposium Series 2016, 1234 Chapter 5, 77-88.
- (32) A. A. Phatak, A. A.; Delgass, W. N.; Ribeiro, F. H.; Schneider, W. F., Density Functional Theory comparison of water dissociation steps on Cu, Au, Ni, Pd and Pt. *J. Phys Chem C.* 2009, 113 7269-7276.
- (33) O. Farkas and H. B. Schlegel, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2002, 4, 11-15.
- (34) P. E. Hoggan and A. Bouferguene, Quantum Monte Carlo for Activated Reactions at Solid Surfaces: Time Well Spent on Stretched Bonds, *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry*, 2014, 114 p1150-1156.
- (35) Ben Hadj Hamouda, A.; Absi, N.; Hoggan, P.E.; Pimpinelli, A. Growth instabilities and adsorbed impurities: a case study. *Phys Rev B* **2008** 77 245430.
- (36) MOLPRO package of ab initio programs. H.-J. Werner, P. J. Knowles, G. Knizia, F. R. Manby,

- (37) D. Figgen, K. A. Peterson, M. Dolg and H. Stoll, Energy-consistent pseudopotentials and correlation consistent basis sets for the 5d elements Hf-Pt. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2009 130 164108.
- (38) P.E. Hoggan, M. Bensitel and J.C. Lavalley, A new method of calculating interactions between adsorbates and metal oxide surfaces: application to the study of CO₂ insertion in hydroxyl or methoxy groups on Al₂O₃ and TiO₂. *J. Mol. Struct.*, 1994, 320, 49-56.
- (39) D. Alfè and M. Gillian, Efficient localized basis sets for quantum Monte Carlo calculations on condensed matter. *Phys. Rev. B.* 2004, 70, 161101.
- (40) www.abinit.org
- (41) Needs, R. J.; Towler, M. D.; Drummond, N. D.; Lopez Rios, P. Continuum variational and diffusion quantum Monte Carlo calculations. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 22, 023201 (2010); casino website: www.tcm.phy.cam.ac.uk/mdt26/casino2.html.
- (42) Fajin, J. L. C.; Cordeiro, M. N. D. S.; Gomes, J. R. B. Density Functional Theory Study of the Water Dissociation on Platinum Surfaces: General Trends, *J. Phys. Chem. A.* 2014, 118, 5832-5840.
- (43) Valden, M.; Xiang, N.; Pere, J.; Pessa, M. Dissociative chemisorption of methane on clean and oxygen precovered Pt(111). *App. Surface Science* **1996** 99, 83-89