

Appearance of Odd-Frequency Superconductivity in a Relativistic Scenario

Patrick J. Wong and Alexander V. Balatsky

Department of Physics, University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut 06269, USA and Nordita, Stockholm University and KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Hannes Alfvéns väg 12, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

(Dated: December 6, 2022)

Odd-frequency superconductivity is an exotic superconducting state in which the symmetry of the gap function is odd in frequency. Here we show that an inherent odd-frequency mode emerges dynamically under application of a Lorentz transformation of the anomalous Green function with the general frequency-dependent gap function. To see this, we consider a Dirac model with quartic potential and perform a mean-field analysis to obtain a relativistic Bogoliubov–de Gennes system. Solving the resulting Gor’kov equations yields expressions for relativistic normal and anomalous Green functions. The form of the relativistically invariant pairing term is chosen such that it reduces to BCS form in the non-relativistic limit. We choose an ansatz for the gap function in a particular frame which is even-frequency and analyze the effects on the anomalous Green function under a boost into a relativistic frame. The odd-frequency pairing emerges dynamically as a result of the boost. In the boosted frame the order parameter contains terms which are both even and odd in frequency. The relativistic correction to the anomalous Green function to first order in the boost parameter is completely odd in frequency. This work provides evidence that odd-frequency pairing may form intrinsically within relativistic superconductors.

I. INTRODUCTION

Berezinskii odd-frequency pairing [1–5] is a class of pairing in Fermi systems in which the paired condensate is odd in relative time between the paired particles.

The parity of a paired state of fermions may be classified according to the discrete permutation symmetries of spin permutation S , relative coordinate permutation P^* , orbital permutation O , time permutation T^* . In order to satisfy the Pauli principle the symmetries of a Fermi pair must obey the condition of $SP^*OT^* = -1$ [3]. With respect to these permutation symmetries, odd-frequency pairing possesses $T^* = -1$. The time permutation and coordinate permutation symmetry operations T^*, P^* are swap operations where relative indices are permuted yet no global inversion (for coordinates) nor the global time reversal is invoked: these permutations are distinct from time reversal and spatial inversion operations, hence we use $*$ to mark the difference. Hereafter we consider the single band pairing states and hence drop O . We thus focus on the $SP^*T^* = -1$ product. Table I shows the allowed symmetry states.

S	-	-	+	+
P^*	+	-	-	+
T^*	+	-	+	-




TABLE I. Permutation parities of spin singlet and spin triplet pairing states are listed. The induction of the odd-frequency state is possible once we allow the conversions of $P^* = +1$ into $P^* = -1$, as shown by the arrow. Lorentz boost mixes up space and time indices and induces finite amplitude of $P^* = -1$ states. Similar considerations can be done for spin triplet states, $S = +1$, which we list here for completeness.

Much of the recent literature on odd-frequency superconductivity has focused on its manifestation as the process of scattering of conventional BCS pairs into odd-frequency pairs at the interface between superconductors and other media. Examples include superconductor–ferromagnet interfaces [6–9], Josephson junctions [10], topological insulators [11, 12], and other heterostructures. For a broader list see [3].

Odd-frequency superconductivity is a dynamic order with the inherent time dependence associated with the state. At equal times the order parameter must vanish. The odd-frequency symmetry of $\Delta(t_1, t_2) = -\Delta(t_2, t_1)$ implies that $\Delta(t_1, t_2) = 0$ for $t_1 = t_2$, and therefore only makes an appearance over a finite time interval. We see now the growing list of proposals where Berezinskii state can be realized in driven systems, such as superconductors coupled to an external driving potential [13, 14] and Floquet engineered states [15].

We now consider the effects of a relativistic boost. Boost of electronic states in superconductors can be viewed as a dynamic process. Hence one can ask a similar question: Will an odd-frequency state appear in the boosted superconducting state?

We start with the Galilean boost. For a homogeneous order parameter under a Galilean boost there is no induction of odd-frequency components. A Galilean boost of an electron liquid when all electrons are in the superconducting state can be realized as a steady DC supercurrent [12]. Assuming a fully homogeneous system with a Galilean boosted electronic liquid, the system transforms according to $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}$ and $\epsilon(\mathbf{p}) \rightarrow \epsilon(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q})$, and the gap function of the condensate as $\Delta \rightarrow \Delta \exp(i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r})$. We therefore find no induction of an odd-frequency state. In the inhomogeneous case on the other hand, an odd-frequency component can be induced by a Galilean boost. Additionally, as will be shown below, in contrast to the

Galilean boost a Lorentz boost of a homogeneous order parameter does induce an odd-frequency component.

The purpose of the present work is to demonstrate that odd-frequency pairing can arise intrinsically in a dynamical manner within superconducting systems from a relativistic perspective [16].

Odd-frequency states are allowed by the symmetry classification and appear on equal footing with their even-frequency counterparts ("BCS"), so they therefore should in principle appear as ubiquitously as even-frequency states: The possible pairing channels are illustrated in Table I. We emphasize that the $T^* = -1$ states appear with equal weight as the $T^* = +1$ states in the table. On the other hand strong coupling, needed to produce odd-frequency states, often makes the odd-frequency state a subleading pairing channel. Dynamic induction of an odd-frequency channel as a result of Lorentz boost is another opportunity to induce the odd-frequency correlations.

To illustrate the role of the Lorentz boost on a superconducting state consider a p -wave equal spin superconductor with the pairing of the form $\Delta(k) = \Delta_0 \sin(k)$. Under a Lorentz transformation, $\sin(k)$ transforms as

$$\begin{aligned} \sin(k) &\mapsto \sin(\gamma k + \beta\gamma\omega) \\ &= \underbrace{\sin(\gamma k) \cos(\beta\gamma\omega)}_{\text{even-}\omega} + \underbrace{\sin(\beta\gamma\omega) \cos(\gamma k)}_{\text{odd-}\omega}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

which we see manifestly generates an odd- ω term.




FIG. 1. A Cooper pair in frame \mathcal{S}' (red) as viewed from frame \mathcal{S} (black). The points denote the constituent fermions of the Cooper pair. Planes of equal time in \mathcal{S}' are those parallel to the x' axis. The time coordinates of the Cooper pair are coincident in the \mathcal{S}' frame, $t'_1 = t'_2$, but are displaced when viewed in frame \mathcal{S} , $t_1 \neq t_2$. The parity of the Cooper pair under P^* in the \mathcal{S}' frame is inherited by T^* in the \mathcal{S} frame. This illustrates that a temporal ordering can appear due to a relativistic change of reference frame. Since such a temporal displacement is necessary for odd- ω pairing, this illustration implies that an odd- ω pairing may emerge from a relativistic boost.

This transformation of order parameter may also be understood graphically from the following thought experiment. Consider a Cooper pair (we use the term Cooper

pair as a general term for pairing, not necessarily indicative of BCS-like state) in a reference frame \mathcal{S}' which is moving at a relativistic velocity with respect to frame \mathcal{S} , as shown in Fig. 1. The spatial displacement of the constituent electrons comprising the Cooper pair in frame \mathcal{S}' induces a temporal displacement between them in frame \mathcal{S} . This concept of a dynamically induced temporal parity in a Cooper pair by means of a boosted reference frame described by this illustration will be explored more quantitatively in §III.

An additional interconnection between relativity and the theory of odd-frequency superconductivity is the relation between boosts and the T^* permutation operator. The time permutation operator T^* interchanges the two time coordinates of the Cooper pair. The time permutation operation T^* can manifest in the form of a relativistic frame transformation: For space-like separated spacetime events A and B which occur at times t_A and t_B respectively and in order $t_A < t_B$ in reference frame \mathcal{S} , there exists a boosted reference frame \mathcal{S}' such that the events occur in the order $t'_A > t'_B$. This configuration of events and frames is shown in Fig. 2. We see that for such




FIG. 2. Interchange in temporal order of spacetime events by relativistic change of reference frame. Consider space-like separated events A and B with respect to a reference frame \mathcal{S} (black) and frame \mathcal{S}' (red), which is boosted with respect to \mathcal{S} . The lines parallel to the x (x') axis are planes of equal time in reference frame \mathcal{S} (\mathcal{S}'). In frame \mathcal{S} event A occurs before event B , $t_A < t_B$. However in the boosted frame \mathcal{S}' , the ordering is reversed, $t'_B < t'_A$. This shows that a relativistic change of reference frame is a manifestation of the time permutation operator T^* .

a configuration of spacetime events A and B , changing to a boosted reference frame is a physical manifestation of the time permutation operator T^* .

The preceding illustrations imply that there exists some relation between relativistic transformations and odd-frequency pairing. As described above, odd-frequency pairing can be interpreted as dynamic state. In this work the relevant dynamics is that of a relativistic change in reference frame.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In §II we construct the theory of relativistic fermions with a pairing condensate. In §III we perform a Lorentz boost of an even- ω anisotropic anomalous Green function and observe the generation of odd- ω modes. We close in §IV with some concluding remarks.

II. RELATIVISTIC SUPERCONDUCTOR

To form a baseline for our discussion, we first must construct a theory of superconductivity which is compatible with special relativity. The general formalism of the framework for discussing superconductivity in the relativistic regime was developed in [17–20] and we follow their construction in our presentation below.

The starting point of our analysis is an effective field theory defined by the action

$$S[A, \psi] = \int d^4x \left[\bar{\psi} \left(i\not{D} - m + \frac{g_0}{2} \psi \bar{\psi} \right) \psi - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right] \quad (2)$$

consisting of a single flavor of relativistic Dirac fermions ψ coupled to a $U(1)$ gauge field A with field strength $F = dA$ and $D = d - ieA$. The term quartic in the fermion field is given an attractive coupling $g_0 > 0$. Units are chosen such that $\hbar = 1 = c$. We take the standard notation $\bar{\psi}(x) := \psi^\dagger(x)\gamma^0$ and use the Dirac representation of the Clifford algebra with the convention $\gamma_5 := i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$ such that $(\gamma_5)^2 = +1$. Further details on conventions are found in Appendix A. Varying the action (2) yields the equation of motion for the fermion field as

$$(i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m)\psi(x) + g_0(\bar{\psi}(x)^\top \psi(x)^\top)\psi(x) = 0 \quad (3)$$

where we rewrite $\psi(x)\bar{\psi}(x) = \bar{\psi}(x)^\top \psi(x)^\top$. The equation of motion for the adjoint field $\bar{\psi}$ can be obtained similarly, however for the following discussion it is useful to use its transpose,

$$-(i\gamma^{\mu\top} D_\mu^\dagger + m)\bar{\psi}(x)^\top + g_0(\bar{\psi}(x)\psi(x))\bar{\psi}(x)^\top = 0. \quad (4)$$

We proceed by analyzing this system with a mean-field approximation of the interaction term. The pairing potential obeys the self-consistency relation

$$\Delta(x) = g_0 \langle \psi(x)^\top \psi(x) \rangle, \quad (5)$$

$$\bar{\Delta}(x) = g_0 \langle \bar{\psi}(x)\bar{\psi}(x)^\top \rangle. \quad (6)$$

The pairing considered in this model is that of a generic pairing between Dirac spinors. The mechanism behind the pairing is not specified. The initial starting action defined by Eq. (2) should be considered as defining an effective field theory and not a complete theory specifying the microscopic relativistic dynamics.

A. Structure of the pairing term

In order for the action (2) to be Lorentz invariant, the pairing terms must be in terms of Lorentz invariant bilinears. The general expression for the pairing potential is

$$\Delta = g_0 \langle \psi^\top \mathbf{M} \psi \rangle \quad (7)$$

where \mathbf{M} is a Lorentz invariant matrix which forms various combinations of pairs of spinor components. There exist five possible bilinear classes of pairing matrices \mathbf{M} with a total of 16 linearly independent components. These bilinears are classified by their transformation properties under the Lorentz group as scalar, vector, bivector, pseudovector, and pseudoscalar [17, 19, 21].

In the ordinary non-relativistic theory of superconductivity, Cooper pairs are classified according to the symmetry of the constituent fermions' spin projections, either spin singlet or spin triplet. In the relativistic regime the spin projection is generally not a good quantum number, so it is less straightforward to classify paired states according to spin singlet or triplet as done in the standard non-relativistic theory of superconductivity. The pairing between the fermions is instead expressed through their global symmetries with respect to Lorentz transformation.

To this end we express the pairing states in a configuration space in terms of time reversal \hat{T} and parity \hat{P} operations (not to be confused with T^* , P^*). In the fully relativistic scenario, we must also take into account the charge conjugation symmetry \hat{C} . The charge conjugation operator comes into play to describe pairing terms involving anti-particles. In terms of the Clifford algebra basis these operators take the form of [22]

$$\hat{T} = i\gamma^1\gamma^3, \quad (8a)$$

$$\hat{P} = \gamma^0, \quad (8b)$$

$$\hat{C} = -i\gamma^2\gamma^0. \quad (8c)$$

For a state of spatial momentum \mathbf{k} and spin σ , $|\mathbf{k}, \sigma\rangle$, the action of the time reversal and parity operators are

$$|\hat{T}\mathbf{k}, \sigma\rangle = |-\mathbf{k}, -\sigma\rangle, \quad (9a)$$

$$|\hat{P}\mathbf{k}, \sigma\rangle = |-\mathbf{k}, \sigma\rangle. \quad (9b)$$

A conventional BCS s -wave spin singlet pairing state is expressed as

$$|s\rangle = |\mathbf{k}, \uparrow\rangle |-\mathbf{k}, \downarrow\rangle - |\mathbf{k}, \downarrow\rangle |-\mathbf{k}, \uparrow\rangle. \quad (10)$$

In terms of the symmetry operators this state may instead be expressed as

$$|s\rangle = |\mathbf{k}, \sigma\rangle |\hat{T}\mathbf{k}, \sigma\rangle - |\hat{T}\hat{P}\mathbf{k}, \sigma\rangle |\hat{P}\mathbf{k}, \sigma\rangle. \quad (10')$$

For a general fermion state $|\psi\rangle$, the singlet pairing is then expressed in configuration space as

$$|s\rangle = |\psi\rangle |\hat{T}\psi\rangle - |\hat{T}\hat{P}\psi\rangle |\hat{P}\psi\rangle. \quad (11)$$

This is the basis in which the superconducting pairing bilinears are to be constructed. The pairing matrix \mathbf{M} is then expressed in terms of gamma matrices corresponding to the appropriate combination of symmetries \hat{T} , \hat{P} , and \hat{C} . Pairing terms utilizing the charge conjugation operator are pairs involving antimatter particles, either particle–anti-particle pairs or anti-particle–anti-particle pairs. These pairs will not appear in our discussion, however we note that they are needed for a complete set of relativistically invariant pairing terms.

The spin symmetry of the pairing can also be deduced from the form of the bilinears. Bilinears satisfying $\mathbf{M}^T = +\mathbf{M}$ correspond to triplet pairing in the non-relativistic limit and $\mathbf{M}^T = -\mathbf{M}$ correspond to singlet pairing. The parity of \mathbf{M} under transposition reflects the parity of the Cooper pair under the S operation in the SP^*T^* classification.

A reasonable prescription for choosing the form of a pairing term in the relativistic theory is one which reduces to the standard BCS pairing term in the non-relativistic regime. With respect to the non-relativistic limit it is useful to consider that the four component Dirac bispinor may be decomposed into two spinors ϕ and χ

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} \quad (12)$$

which correspond to positive and negative energy eigenstates of the Dirac equation.

The negative energy spinors χ are order v/c compared to the positive energy spinors $\phi \sim v/c\phi$ [23]. Thus in the non-relativistic limit the negative energy state spinors are negligible. In the non-relativistic limit, the 8×8 matrix Gor'kov system then reduces to the standard 4×4 matrix system.

In the non-relativistic limit, the pairing term $\psi^T\psi$ becomes $\phi^T\phi$. The spinor ϕ has components

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1,\uparrow} \\ \phi_{2,\downarrow} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

Therefore the terms of the pairing function Δ which persist in the non-relativistic limit are those in the upper left block. In order for the relativistic model to reduce to BCS s -wave singlet pairing in the non-relativistic limit, it is necessary to choose a term which is off-diagonal and antisymmetric in the upper left block of the matrix, *i.e.*, a matrix whose upper left block is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pm 1 \\ \mp 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

The representation of a pairing between a state and its time reversed counterpart in the Clifford algebra basis is $i\gamma_5\gamma^0\gamma^2$, which is a Lorentz scalar. The explicit matrix form of this term in the Dirac basis is

$$-i\gamma_5\gamma^2\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

Not all possible Lorentz invariant pairing terms lead to composites which can be identified as Cooper pairs. Some of the pairing terms are pairs between positive and negative energy states, which have the interpretation of excitons in condensed matter. These pairing terms are block-off-diagonal in their matrix structure.

B. The Nambu-Gor'kov system

Analogously to the non-relativistic formalism of superconductivity, we construct bispinor doublets in Nambu space [24]. Here in the relativistic case the Nambu-Dirac spinors are eight component spinors which we write as

$$\Psi(x) := \begin{pmatrix} \psi(x) \\ \bar{\psi}^\top(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{\Psi}(x) := \overline{\psi(x) \ \psi^\top(x)}. \quad (15)$$

From these eight-component Nambu-Dirac spinors we can construct a relativistic Gor'kov Green function [25]

$$\mathcal{G}(x, y) = -i\langle \mathcal{T}\Psi(x)\bar{\Psi}(y) \rangle \quad (16)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -i\langle \mathcal{T}\psi(x)\bar{\psi}(y) \rangle & -i\langle \mathcal{T}\psi(x)\psi^\top(y) \rangle \\ -i\langle \mathcal{T}\bar{\psi}^\top(x)\bar{\psi}(y) \rangle & -i\langle \mathcal{T}\bar{\psi}^\top(x)\psi^\top(y) \rangle \end{pmatrix} \quad (17)$$

$$=: \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{G}(x, y) & -i\mathbf{F}(x, y) \\ -i\bar{\mathbf{F}}(x, y) & -\mathbf{G}(y, x)^\top \end{pmatrix}, \quad (18)$$

where x and y are position four-vectors and \mathcal{T} is the time ordering operator. $\mathbf{G}(x, y)$ and $\mathbf{F}(x, y)$ are the relativistic normal and anomalous Green functions respectively. The Gor'kov Green function is an 8×8 matrix with the normal and anomalous Green functions $\mathbf{G}(x, y)$ and $\mathbf{F}(x, y)$ each being 4×4 matrices.

In the following analysis we assume the absence of external fields, $A = 0$, such that $\mathcal{D} = \not{\partial}$.

The Gor'kov Green function obeys the equation of motion

$$\begin{pmatrix} i\not{\partial} - m & \Delta(x) \\ \bar{\Delta}(x) & i\not{\partial}^\top + m \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}(x, y) = \mathbf{1}\delta(x - y). \quad (19)$$

The Green function equations of motion are obtained from multiplying (3) and (4) by $\bar{\psi}$ and taking the time ordered expectation value as well as utilizing the mean-field self-consistency relations (5) and (6). Upon Fourier transform to momentum space the Gor'kov equation (19) becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} \not{k} - m & \Delta \\ \bar{\Delta} & \not{k}^\top + m \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}(k) = \mathbf{1} \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \not{k} - m & \Delta \\ \bar{\Delta} & \not{k}^\top + m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{G}(k) & -i\mathbf{F}(k) \\ -i\bar{\mathbf{F}}(k) & -\mathbf{G}(-k)^\top \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{1}$$

where $\not{k}^\top = (\gamma^\mu)^\top k_\mu$.

This expression yields the set of coupled equations

$$(\not{k} - m)\mathbf{G}(k) - \Delta i\bar{\mathbf{F}}(k) = \mathbf{1}, \quad (21a)$$

$$-(\not{k}^\top + m)\mathbf{G}(-k)^\top - \bar{\Delta} i\mathbf{F}(k) = \mathbf{1}, \quad (21b)$$

$$-(\not{k} - m)i\mathbf{F}(k) - \Delta \mathbf{G}(-k)^\top = 0, \quad (21c)$$

$$-(\not{k}^\top + m)i\bar{\mathbf{F}}(k) + \bar{\Delta} \mathbf{G}(k) = 0. \quad (21d)$$

The second pair of equations, (21c) and (21d), can be rewritten to provide closed form solutions for the first pair:

$$-(\not{k}^\top + m)i\bar{\mathbf{F}}(k) + \bar{\Delta} \mathbf{G}(k) = 0 \quad (21d)$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{F}}(k) = i \frac{1}{\not{k}^\top + m} \bar{\Delta} \mathbf{G}(k), \quad (21d')$$

$$(\not{k} - m)i\mathbf{F}(k) + \Delta \mathbf{G}(-k)^\top = 0 \quad (21c)$$

$$\mathbf{G}(-k)^\top = -\Delta^{-1}(\not{k} - m)i\mathbf{F}(k). \quad (21c')$$

Inserting these expressions into the first pair of the Gor'kov equations (21a), (21b) yields solutions for the normal and anomalous Green functions:

$$\mathbf{G}(k) = \left[\not{k} - m + \Delta \frac{1}{\not{k}^\top + m} \bar{\Delta} \right]^{-1}, \quad (22)$$

$$i\mathbf{F}(k) = \left[(\not{k}^\top + m)\Delta^{-1}(\not{k} - m) - \bar{\Delta} \right]^{-1}. \quad (23)$$

It is difficult to obtain explicit analytic forms for the Green functions with general pairing due to their matrix structure. However, solutions may be obtained in a straightforward manner for a given form of the pairing function Δ . A comprehensive more detailed analysis of the relativistic Gor'kov system can be found in [19, 20].

For gap function which transforms as the Lorentz scalar, $\Delta = i\Delta\gamma_5\gamma^0\gamma^2$, the anomalous Green function (23) takes the form of

$$\mathbf{F}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f(k) & 0 & 0 \\ -f^*(k) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & f(k) \\ 0 & 0 & -f^*(k) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (24)$$

where

$$if(k) = \frac{\Delta}{-k_0^2 + \mathbf{k}^2 + m^2 + |\Delta|^2}. \quad (25)$$

$f(k)$ is a scalar function and $\mathbf{F}(k)$ is the full matrix function. Here we write k_0 for the 0th component of the four-momentum k , and write \mathbf{k} for the spatial components.

In the non-relativistic limit the anomalous Green function reduces to the familiar 2×2 form of

$$\mathbf{F}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f(k) \\ -f^*(k) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (26)$$

which stems from the upper left block of the full relativistic function (24).

III. DYNAMIC INDUCTION OF ODD-FREQUENCY BY LORENTZ TRANSFORMATION

We now wish to consider the situation where the gap function is anisotropic. Since Lorentz boost mixes spatial and temporal degrees of freedom, an anisotropy is need in order for the Lorentz transformation to have an effect. To demonstrate our concept of dynamically induced odd-frequency pairing, we construct an ansatz for the gap function which is valid in a particular reference frame. We choose a frame in which the gap function takes the form of

$$\Delta(k) = \frac{\Delta_0}{1 + \alpha k_0^2} \quad (27)$$

where Δ_0 is a constant and α parameterizes the degree of anisotropy. This ansatz for the pairing function is even in the frequency k_0 . At high frequency the gap function decays to zero. In general the gap function Δ is a complex function which contains real and imaginary components. We work in the regime where $\Re\Delta_0(0, \mathbf{k}) \approx \text{const.}$ and $\Im\Delta_0(0, \mathbf{k}) \approx 0$. The majority of literature on the analysis of pairing states stays within these assumptions. Relaxing these assumptions will not change the general conclusion about induction of odd-frequency states, but will make analysis of the main effect of Lorentz boost more tedious.

For clarity, the following discussion considers only the real part of the anomalous Green function. The imaginary part is analyzed in Appendix B for completeness.

The anomalous Green function in the frame where Δ is given by (27) takes the form of

$$if(k) = \frac{\Delta_0}{1 + \alpha k_0^2} \frac{1}{-k_0^2 + \mathbf{k}^2 + m^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta_0}{1 + \alpha k_0^2}\right)^2}. \quad (28)$$

We now wish to see the transformation of this function under a Lorentz boost. Applying a Lorentz transformation results in a shift in the momentum four-vector by

$$\begin{pmatrix} k_0 \\ k_{\parallel} \\ k_{\perp} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & -\beta\gamma & 0 \\ -\beta\gamma & \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} k_0 \\ k_{\parallel} \\ k_{\perp} \end{pmatrix} \quad (29)$$

where k_{\parallel} is comprised of the components of the momentum which are colinear in the direction of β and k_{\perp} consists of the remaining orthogonal components. The boost is parameterized by the Lorentz factor $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}$ with normalized velocity $\beta = v/c$.

Under this transformation the gap function Eq. (27) transforms as

$$\Delta'(k) = \frac{\Delta_0(\gamma^2 k_0^2 + \beta^2 \gamma^2 k_{\parallel}^2 + 2\beta\gamma^2 k_{\parallel} k_0)}{1 + \alpha(\gamma^2 k_0^2 - \beta^2 \gamma^2 k_{\parallel}^2)}. \quad (30)$$

The denominator is even in both T^* and P^* . The first two terms of $\Delta'(k)$ have $T^* = +1$ and $P^* = +1$. The last term has $T^* = -1$ and $P^* = -1$ on account of being linear in both k_0 and k_{\parallel} , meaning that it is odd in frequency as well as parity. Overall the SP^*T^* condition

holds as $S = -1$ for spin-singlet is retained after the boost.

Applying this transformation to Eq. (28) results in the anomalous Green function taking the form of

$$if'(k) = \frac{\Delta_0(1 - \beta^2)}{\left(1 - (1 - \alpha k_{\parallel}^2)\beta^2 - 2\alpha k_{\parallel}k_0\beta + \alpha k_0^2\right) \left(k_0^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 - m^2 - \frac{(1 - \beta^2)^2 \Delta_0^2}{(1 - (1 - \alpha k_{\parallel}^2)\beta^2 - 2\alpha k_{\parallel}k_0\beta + \alpha k_0^2)^2}\right)}. \quad (31)$$

This procedure undertaken here for our analysis is analogous to a standard method of finding solutions to the Dirac equation where the solutions are first obtained in the frame where $\mathbf{k} = 0$ and then general finite- \mathbf{k} solutions are obtained by boosting the rest-frame solution to an arbitrary frame where the momentum is finite [21].

The characteristic properties of this transformed Green

function in the boosted frame can be understood from examining the weak (low velocity) and ultra-relativistic limits. For low boost velocity, $0 < \beta \ll 1$, we expand the transformed anomalous Green function in orders of β . To first order in the boost velocity β , the anomalous Green function is

$$if'(k) = \frac{\Delta_0}{1 + \alpha k_0^2} \frac{2\alpha \Delta_0 \left((-k_0^2 + \mathbf{k}^2 + m^2)(1 + \alpha k_0^2)^2 - \Delta_0^2\right)}{\left(-k_0^2 + \mathbf{k}^2 + m^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta_0}{1 + \alpha k_0^2}\right)^2\right)^2 + k_0 k_{\parallel} \frac{2\alpha \Delta_0 \left((-k_0^2 + \mathbf{k}^2 + m^2)(1 + \alpha k_0^2)^2 - \Delta_0^2\right)}{\left((-k_0^2 + \mathbf{k}^2 + m^2)(1 + \alpha k_0^2) + \Delta_0^2\right)^2}} \beta + \mathcal{O}(\beta^2). \quad (32)$$

In the boosted frame we now analyze the system in imaginary time $\tau = -it$ in terms of the Matsubara frequency $k_0 \rightarrow i\omega_n = \pi k_B T(2n+1)$. The anomalous Green function in Matsubara frequency is defined as $\mathbf{F}(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = \langle \mathcal{T}_{\tau} \psi(\tau, \mathbf{x}) \psi^{\dagger}(0, 0) \rangle$ where \mathcal{T}_{τ} is time ordering operator in imaginary time τ . In Matsubara frequency, the boosted anomalous Green function is

$$f'(i\omega_n, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{\Delta_0}{1 - \alpha \omega_n^2} \frac{2\alpha \Delta_0 \left((\omega_n^2 + \mathbf{k}^2 + m^2)(1 - \alpha \omega_n^2)^2 - \Delta_0^2\right)}{\left(\omega_n^2 + \mathbf{k}^2 + m^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta_0}{1 - \alpha \omega_n^2}\right)^2\right)^2 + i\omega_n k_{\parallel} \frac{2\alpha \Delta_0 \left((\omega_n^2 + \mathbf{k}^2 + m^2)(1 - \alpha \omega_n^2)^2 - \Delta_0^2\right)}{\left((\omega_n^2 + \mathbf{k}^2 + m^2)(1 - \alpha \omega_n^2) + \Delta_0^2\right)^2}} \beta + \mathcal{O}(\beta^2). \quad (33)$$

The transition to Matsubara frequency is introduced only at this stage after the relativistic change of reference frame in order to avoid ambiguities associated with defining the transformation properties of temperature, and hence Matsubara frequency, under Lorentz transformations [26–30].

In the transformed function Eq. (33) we see that the first order relativistic correction under a Lorentz boost is exclusively odd in Matsubara frequency: The first order correction term contains terms of order ω_n , ω_n^3 , and ω_n^5 . This shows that for any finite boost $\beta > 0$, an odd-frequency component is induced dynamically in the anomalous Green function. The appearance of odd-frequency already at $\mathcal{O}(\beta^1)$ reinforces the notion that odd-frequency pairing is a ubiquitous state. For comparison, spin-orbit coupling, which is known to occur in a wide variety of materials, is an effect which occurs at $\mathcal{O}(\beta^2)$ [23, 31].

Next we wish to examine the behavior of the anomalous Green function under a boost in the ultra-relativistic limit where $\beta \sim 1^-$. Performing an expansion of $f'(k)$ around $(1 - \beta)$ we find that

$$f(i\omega_n, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{2\Delta_0(1 - \beta)}{\alpha(i\omega_n - k_{\parallel})^2(-\omega_n^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 - m^2)} - \frac{\Delta_0(4 - 3\alpha k_{\parallel}^2 + 2\alpha k_{\parallel}i\omega_n - \alpha \omega_n^2)(1 - \beta)^2}{\alpha^2(i\omega_n - k_{\parallel})^4(-\omega_n^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 - m^2)} + \mathcal{O}((1 - \beta)^3). \quad (34)$$

The first order term in ultra-relativistic limit (34) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{2\Delta_0(1 - \beta)}{\alpha(i\omega_n - k_{\parallel})^2(-\omega_n^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 - m^2)} = \frac{2\Delta_0(-\omega_n^2 + k_{\parallel}^2 + 2k_{\parallel}i\omega_n)(1 - \beta)}{\alpha(-\omega_n^2 + k_{\parallel}^2)^2(-\omega_n^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 - m^2)}. \quad (35)$$

Here we see that this term contains terms which are both

even- and odd-powers of Matsubara frequency. There-

fore, in the ultra-relativistic limit the superconducting order parameter is neither exclusively even- or odd-frequency for a given order of $(1 - \beta)$. Terms of both symmetries must be present. The second order term in the ultra-relativistic expansion shows the same characteristic, indicating that this feature is generic in the ultra-relativistic limit. In the extreme limit of $\beta = 1$, the anomalous Green function of the spin singlet sector vanishes.

Note that terms which are odd under T^* are always also odd under P^* with the combination $T^*P^* = +1$. This reflects that a Lorentz transformation does not violate the $SP^*T^* = -1$ restriction.

As shown from the above, the anomalous Green function contains both even- ω and odd- ω components for any finite boost. The odd- ω components appear on equal footing with the even- ω terms.

IV. CONCLUSION

We note that the notion of a relativistically boosted Cooper pair has previously been studied with respect to the entanglement structure of the constituent fermions [32, 33]. These studies concluded that an isotropic s -wave spin singlet Cooper pair would acquire spin triplet components under a Lorentz boost due to Wigner rotation [34]. It is not obvious how this result compares to the relativistic theory of superconductivity constructed above based on [17–20], as for example the spin singlet pairing correlation function (24) is invariant under Lorentz transformations and does not acquire triplet components (recall that spin triplet pairing manifests as even parity under matrix transposition). With respect to the conclusions of [32, 33] where a boosted spin singlet transforms into a pair with singlet and triplet components, our work presented above can be said to oc-

cur in the spin singlet projection of the transformed pair, and thus our conclusions regarding the emergence of an odd-frequency channel are valid regardless.

The role of relativity with respect to odd-frequency pairing has ramifications to other systems beyond the simple condensed matter model here. It is suspected that there exists a region of the quantum chromodynamic (QCD) phase diagram in which quarks form pairs in a condensate, which is known as color superconductivity [35]. As we showed in this work, there exists a relationship between relativity and odd-frequency pairing, which implies that odd-frequency color Cooper pairs in principle should appear in the color superconducting state.

In summary, we presented a calculation demonstrating the dynamical emergence of an odd- ω superconductivity channel as a result of a Lorentzian change in reference frame. We first constructed a theory of superconductivity which is relativistically invariant for constant gap function Δ . We then supposed an anisotropic form of the gap function even in frequency in a particular reference frame. The anomalous Green function for this anisotropic gap function was then boosted into a new frame, where we found that an odd- ω channel appears. The result of this calculation is that it provides an existence proof for the notion that odd- ω superconductivity is a valid channel inherent to superconductors which appears ubiquitously.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to A. Black-Schaffer and M. Geilhufe for useful discussions. We acknowledge support from the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation KAW 2019.0068, European Research Council under the European Union Seventh Framework ERS-2018-SYG 810451 HERO and the University of Connecticut.

-
- [1] V. L. Berezinskii, “New model of the anisotropic phase of superfluid He³,” *JETP Lett.* **20**, 287 (1974).
 - [2] Alexander Balatsky and Elihu Abrahams, “New class of singlet superconductors which break time reversal and parity,” *Phys. Rev. B* **45**, 13125(R) (1992).
 - [3] Jacob Linder and Alexander V. Balatsky, “Odd-frequency superconductivity,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **91**, 045005 (2019).
 - [4] Yukio Tanaka and Shun Tamura, “Theory of surface Andreev bound states and odd-frequency pairing in superconductor junctions,” *J. Supercond. Nov. Magn.* **34**, 1677 (2021).
 - [5] Jorge Cayao, Christopher Triola, and Annica M. Black-Schaffer, “Odd-frequency superconductivity pairing in one-dimensional systems,” *Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics* **229**, 545 (2020).
 - [6] A. F. Volkov, F. S. Bergeret, and K. B. Efetov, “Odd triplet superconductivity in superconductor-ferromagnet multilayered structures,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 117006 (2003).
 - [7] F. S. Bergeret, A. F. Volkov, and K. B. Efetov, “Odd triplet superconductivity and related phenomena in superconductor-ferromagnet structures,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **77**, 1321 (2005).
 - [8] J. Linder and J. W. A. Robinson, “Superconducting spintronics,” *Nat. Phys.* **11**, 307 (2015).
 - [9] A. S. Di Bernardo, S. Diesch, Y. Gu, J. Linder, G. Divitini, C. Ducati, E. Scheer, M. G. Blamire, and J. W. A. Robinson, “Signature of magnetic-dependent gapless odd frequency states at superconductor/ferromagnet interfaces,” *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 8053 (2015).
 - [10] Alexander V. Balatsky, Sergey S. Pershoguba, and Christopher Triola, “Odd-frequency pairing in conventional Josephson junctions,” [arXiv:1804.07244](https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.07244) (2018).
 - [11] Annica M. Black-Schaffer and Alexander V. Balatsky, “Proximity-induced unconventional superconductivity in topological insulators,” *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 220506 (2013).
 - [12] Annica M. Black-Schaffer and Alexander V. Balatsky,

- “Odd-frequency superconductivity pairing in topological insulators,” *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 144506 (2012).
- [13] Christopher Triola and Alexander V. Balatsky, “Odd-frequency superconductivity in driven systems,” *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 094518 (2016).
- [14] Christopher Triola and Alexander V. Balatsky, “Pair symmetry conversion in driven multiband superconductors,” *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 224518 (2017).
- [15] Jorge Cayao, Christopher Triola, and Annica M. Black-Schaffer, “Floquet engineering bulk odd-frequency superconducting pairs,” *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 104505 (2021).
- [16] Throughout the remainder of this paper we use the term “relativistic” to refer to Einsteinian relativity, and “non-relativistic” to refer to its $v \ll c$ limit, as well as to Galilean relativity (which forms the basis of conventional condensed matter theory).
- [17] K. Capelle and E. K. U. Gross, “Relativistic framework for microscopic theories of superconductivity. I. The Dirac equation for superconductors,” *Phys. Rev. B* **59**, 7140 (1999).
- [18] K. Capelle and E. K. U. Gross, “Relativistic framework for microscopic theories of superconductivity. II. The Pauli equation for superconductors,” *Phys. Rev. B* **59**, 7155 (1999).
- [19] Tadafumi Ohsaku, “BCS and generalized BCS superconductivity in relativistic quantum field theory: Formulation,” *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 024512 (2001).
- [20] Tadafumi Ohsaku, “BCS and generalized BCS superconductivity in relativistic quantum field theory. II. Numerical calculations,” *Phys. Rev. B* **66**, 054518 (2002).
- [21] Michael E. Peskin and Daniel V. Schroeder, *Introduction to Quantum Field Theory* (CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1995).
- [22] Claude Itzykson and Jean-Bernard Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1980).
- [23] R. P. Feynman, *Quantum Electrodynamics* (Westview Press, Boulder, 1998).
- [24] Yoichiro Nambu, “Quasi-Particles and Gauge Invariance in the Theory of Superconductivity,” *Phys. Rev.* **117**, 648 (1960).
- [25] L. P. Gor’kov, “Microscopic derivation of the Ginzburg-Landau equations in the theory of superconductivity,” *Sov. Phys. JETP* **9**, 1364 (1959).
- [26] Cristian Fariás, Victor A. Pinto, and Pablo S. Moya, “What is the temperature of a moving body?” *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 17657 (2017).
- [27] Jacques Bros and Detlev Buchholz, “Towards a relativistic KMS-condition,” *Nuc. Phys. B* **429**, 291 (1994).
- [28] Geoffrey L. Sewell, “Statistical thermodynamics of moving bodies,” *Rep. Math. Phys.* **64**, 285 (2009).
- [29] Geoffrey L. Sewell, “On the question of temperature transformations under Lorentz and Galilei boosts,” *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41**, 382003 (2008).
- [30] José A. Heras and María G. Osorno, “A note on the relativistic temperature,” *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **137**, 423 (2022).
- [31] A. Manchon, H. C. Koo, J. Nitta, S. M. Frolov, and R. A. Duine, “New perspectives for Rashba spin-orbit coupling,” *Nat. Mater.* **14**, 871 (2015).
- [32] Jacob Dunningham, Veiko Palge, and Vlatko Vedral, “Entanglement and nonlocality of a single relativistic particle,” *Phys. Rev. A* **80**, 044302 (2009).
- [33] Veiko Palge, Vlatko Vedral, and Jacob A. Dunningham, “Behavior and entanglement of cooper pairs under relativistic boosts,” *Phys. Rev. A* **84**, 044303 (2011).
- [34] E. Wigner, “On unitary representations of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group,” *Ann. Math.* **40**, 149 (1939).
- [35] Mark G. Alford, Andreas Schmitt, Krishna Rajagopal, and Tomas Schäfer, “Color superconductivity in dense quark matter,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 1455 (2008).

Appendix A: Conventions

In this appendix are some conventions of the gamma matrices used in the main text.

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -\mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \gamma^j = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{\sigma}^j \\ -\boldsymbol{\sigma}^j & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$\gamma_5 := i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu} \quad \boldsymbol{\eta} = \text{diag}[+, -, -, -] \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$(\gamma^0)^\dagger = \gamma^0 \quad (\gamma^j)^\dagger = -\gamma^j \quad (\text{A4})$$

Appendix B: Imaginary part of $f(k)$

In this appendix we analyze the imaginary part of the anomalous Green function (28) for the form of the gap function (27) under application of the boost (29). For an even- ω gap function, it holds that $\Re\Delta(\omega)$ is even in ω and $\Im\Delta(\omega)$ is odd in ω . Likewise, for an odd- ω gap function, $\Re\Delta(\omega)$ is odd in ω and $\Im\Delta(\omega)$ is even in ω . For completeness, it is necessary to check that the Lorentz transformation of the anomalous Green function as performed in the main text satisfies these conditions.

Taking the anomalous Green function (28), we apply the prescription of $k_0 \mapsto k_0 + i\delta$ for $0 < \delta \ll 1$

$$f(k) = \frac{\Delta_0}{1 + \alpha(k_0 + i\delta)^2} \frac{1}{-(k_0 + i\delta)^2 + \mathbf{k}^2 + m^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta_0}{1 + \alpha(k_0 + i\delta)^2}\right)^2} \quad (\text{B1})$$

We obtain the imaginary part by expanding the expression to first order in δ .

To first order in δ , $\mathcal{O}(\delta)$, the imaginary part of the anomalous Green function is

$$\Im f(k) \Big|_{\mathcal{O}(\delta)} = -2k_0\Delta_0 \frac{(1 - \alpha(-2k_0^2 + \mathbf{k}^2 + m^2))(1 + \alpha k_0^2)^2 + \alpha\Delta_0^2}{((-k_0^2 + \mathbf{k}^2 + m^2)(1 + \alpha k_0^2)^2 + \Delta_0^2)^2} \quad (\text{B2})$$

To this function we apply the Lorentz boost (29). The weak asymptotic limit of $0 < \beta \ll 1$ takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Im f'(k) \Big|_{\mathcal{O}(\delta)} = & 2k_{\parallel} \Delta_0 \frac{((-k_0^2 + \mathbf{k}^2 + m^2)(1 + \alpha k_0^2)^3(1 - \alpha(\mathbf{k}^2 + m^2) + \alpha(1 + 3\alpha(\mathbf{k}^2 + m^2))k_0^2 - 4\alpha^2 k_0^4)\beta}{((-k_0^2 + \mathbf{k}^2 + m^2)(1 + \alpha k_0^2)^2 + \Delta_0^2)^3} \\ & - 2k_{\parallel} \Delta_0 \frac{(1 + \alpha k_0^2)(1 + \alpha k_0^2(8 - 12\alpha(\mathbf{k}^2 + m^2) + 19\alpha k_0^2))\Delta_0^2 - \alpha \Delta_0^4}{((-k_0^2 + \mathbf{k}^2 + m^2)(1 + \alpha k_0^2)^2 + \Delta_0^2)^3} \beta + \mathcal{O}(\beta^2). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B3})$$

By inspection this function is even in k_0 and odd in k_{\parallel} , which is the opposite parity of the first order term in the real part (32) as expected.

In the ultra-relativistic asymptotic limit $\beta \sim 1^-$, the imaginary part to first order in $(1 - \beta)$ reads as

$$\begin{aligned} \Im f'(k) \Big|_{\mathcal{O}(\delta)} = & \frac{2\sqrt{2}\Delta_0(k_0 + k_{\parallel})\sqrt{1 - \beta}}{\alpha(-k_0^2 + k_{\parallel}^2)(-k_0^2 + \mathbf{k}^2 + m^2)^2} \\ & + \mathcal{O}((1 - \beta)^{3/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B4})$$

As in the real part (34), this function contains pieces which are both even and odd in k_0 . This matches the result that the even- and odd-frequency components get mixed together to same order in the boost parameter in the ultra-relativistic limit.

For odd-frequency pairing, the anomalous spectrum is even-frequency. The anomalous spectral function is obtained from the imaginary part of the retarded anomalous Green function as $\mathcal{B}(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \Im \int d^3\mathbf{k} f(\omega + i0^+, \mathbf{k})$. This function can be decomposed into even and odd parts as $\mathcal{B}^{\text{even}}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{B}(\omega) + \mathcal{B}(-\omega)]$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\text{odd}}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{B}(\omega) - \mathcal{B}(-\omega)]$. In the absence of a relativistic boost,




FIG. 3. The anomalous spectrum $\mathcal{B}(\omega)$ in arbitrary units for finite boost, $\beta = 0.1$. Under a finite boost, $\mathcal{B}(\omega)$ is a function which contains both even- and odd- ω contributions. The even- ω contribution is small in magnitude compared to the odd- ω contribution, cf. Fig. 4.

there is no even- ω contribution to $\mathcal{B}(\omega)$. For a finite boost, $\mathcal{B}(\omega)$ acquires an even-frequency contribution. The anomalous spectral function for a finite boost is plotted in Fig. 3. The even contribution $\mathcal{B}^{\text{even}}(\omega)$ is plotted in Fig. 4. While the overall spectrum appears odd, there is a slight even component which emerges. As shown by Fig. 4, the even contribution is an order of magnitude smaller than the total function. Graphically we see that $\mathcal{B}^{\text{even}}(\omega) \neq 0$ for $\beta > 0$, indicating that a finite boost induces an even-frequency component in the imaginary part of the anomalous Green function, as would be expected in the case of odd-frequency pairing.




FIG. 4. The even- ω part $\mathcal{B}^{\text{even}}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{B}(\omega) + \mathcal{B}(-\omega)]$ of the anomalous spectrum for finite boost, $\beta = 0.1$, plotted in arbitrary units. In the unboosted case ($\beta = 0$) $\mathcal{B}^{\text{even}}(\omega) = 0 \forall \omega$. Note the much smaller magnitude of the even contribution of the anomalous spectral function plotted here in comparison to the total anomalous spectral function plotted in Fig. 3.

These computations show that the imaginary part of the anomalous Green function behaves as expected for the generation of odd-frequency components in the real part.