

# Effects of the hyperon interaction on the properties of hybrid stars and their nonradial oscillation modes.

R. M. Aguirre

*Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas,  
Universidad Nacional de La Plata,  
and IFLP, UNLP-CONICET, C.C. 67 (1900) La Plata, Argentina.*

## Abstract

The properties of neutron stars are studied in a composite model of the strong interaction. In the regime of low to medium baryonic densities a covariant hadronic model is adopted which includes an effective hyperon-hyperon vertex. The presence of free quarks in the core of the star is considered by using the Nambu-Jona Lasinio model supplemented with a vector interaction. The deconfinement process is described by a continuous coexistence of phases. Several structure parameters of neutron stars, such as mass-radius relation, moment of inertia, tidal deformability, and the propagation of nonradial f and g-modes within the relativistic Cowling approximation are studied. The predictions of the model are in good agreement with recent observational data.

## 1 Introduction

The astronomical observation of compact stars has become the most promising source of evidence for the study of matter at extreme densities and low temperatures. It is expected that the different manifestations of the interacting matter will leave its imprint in specific aspects of the structure and dynamics of these stars. From the theoretical point of view, the study of neutron stars is an excellent opportunity to try a unified theoretical description covering the many facets of the strong interaction in combination with gravitation, and to contrast predictions with empirical results.

The field has been a subject of permanent growing and interest, and the accelerated advances in the observational techniques has concentrated multiplied efforts in recent years. Thus a large amount of data has been acquired and is able for analysis. With the prospect of new and more precise measurements

under an improved technology, it is expected that this trend will continue in the near future.

Some properties of the stellar structure are more transparent for understanding the microscopic behavior of the matter that compose it, and are taken as true guidelines to extract local information from the global experimental evidence. A few of them are highlighted in the following paragraphs.

The lowest upper bound for the inertial mass of a neutron star has been established around  $M_{\max} \simeq 2 M_{\odot}$  according to recent evidence [1–3]. Whereas it is not clear yet if the report [4] of a compact object with a mass  $M/M_{\odot} = 2.5 - 2.67$  corresponds to a black hole or to a neutron star. In the last case a serious questioning is posed against most of the descriptions of the strong interacting matter used at present [5]. In fact only a few microscopic models are able to reach such large value for the inertial mass. They commonly use the conventional nuclear degrees of freedom from the crust to the core of the star. The equation of state (EoS) of the dense matter becomes softer as other energetically favorable configurations are considered. The rise of the hyperon population [6–8], the emergence of resonances such as the  $\Delta$  isobar [9–14], the meson condensates [15–17], the deconfinement phase transition [18], or the mixing with dark matter [19–21], are examples where the maximum mass is reduced due to the softening of the EoS.

The mass-radius relation has been inferred for the object PSR J0030+0451 [22] obtaining  $M = 1.34^{+0.15}_{-0.16} M_{\odot}$ ,  $R = 12.71^{+1.14}_{-1.19}$  km. For the case PSR J0740+6620 the results are  $M = 2.072^{+0.067}_{-0.066} M_{\odot}$ , and  $R = 12.39^{+1.30}_{-0.98}$  km [23]. Combining these and other experimental evidence the radius of the star with  $M/M_{\odot} = 1.4$  has been estimated within the range  $11.4 \text{ km} < R < 13.1 \text{ km}$  [78]. This result rules out some commonly used models, such as MS0 [24] and NL3 [25], which employ exclusively the low density nuclear degrees of freedom to reach the condition  $M_{\max} \geq 2.5 M_{\odot}$ . Although they would be admissible when combined with dark matter models to describe homogeneously admixed stars [26, 27].

The moment of inertia encodes valuable information about the organization of matter inside the star and can be related to the formation and evolution of double systems of compact objects [28]. There is great expectation for the measurement of the moment of inertia of one of the components of the pulsar binary system PSR J0737-3039 [29], which is particularly favorable for this purpose. Different procedures has been proposed to distinguish the underlying EoS, such as comparison with the prediction of specific models [30–33], use of empirical universal relations [34], and statistical analysis [35].

Closely related to the measurement of the star masses and moments of inertia is the mutual deformation of a double star system due to gravitation. It has been proposed that tidal deformability, i.e. the quotient of the quadrupole deformation to the perturbing tidal field, is the relevant quantity to describe the gravitational wave phase emitted in the early steps of the collapse of a binary system [36]. Thus in the event GW170817 the masses of the pair has been determined either as  $1.36 < m_1/M_{\odot} < 1.60$  and  $1.16 < m_2/M_{\odot} < 1.37$  for the low spin regime, or  $1.36 < m_1/M_{\odot} < 2.26$  and  $0.86 < m_2/M_{\odot} < 1.36$  for higher

angular momentum [37]. In addition an upper bound for the tidal deformability  $\Lambda_{1.4} \leq 970$  (800) of a neutron star with mass  $1.4M_{\odot}$  was established for each of the regimes just mentioned. Further refinements [38] obtained the preferable values  $1.36 < m_1/M_{\odot} < 1.62$ ,  $1.15 < m_2/M_{\odot} < 1.36$ , and  $\Lambda_{1.4} = 190_{-120}^{+390}$ . The propagation of nonradial oscillations inside a compact star is a longstanding issue [39,40], which has gained renewed interest because of its relation with the gravitational waves and the recent first detection of such waves coming from the collapse of a binary system of neutron stars [37,38,41]. Intensive work has been devoted to establish explicit relations between the frequency of different modes of pulsation and other properties of the star [42–46] or even with the EoS of the dense matter [44,47–55].

The search for such kind of relations have been extended to other observables, with the aim of obtaining model independent interpretations of the observational data. The relations between the moment of inertia and the quadrupole moment in terms of the tidal Love number for slowly rotating stars are paradigmatic [34,56,57].

The description of the neutron stars based on microscopic models of the strong interaction still suffers from important uncertainties. The contrast between the recently obtained observational data and the predictions of a high variety of hadronic models [58–79] is a source for fix missing information. Many of these studies have assumed that matter is composed only by protons, neutrons and leptons. Hence the crucial requisite of neutron stars masses of at least  $M \simeq 2M_{\odot}$  is guaranteed [61]. A smaller number of investigations include effects of the hyperons [62–68,71,75,79]. Furthermore, the possibility of a deconfinement phase transition taking place through different realizations has been studied [64,65,74]. First order transitions with discontinuous EoS have received special attention [59,71,72,75] because their effects are more evident and would be detectable by the post-merger gravitational wave [71]. However the study of the observational data obtained so far is not conclusive. For instance, the analysis of the GW170817 event in [68] varies according to the amount of a priori information deposited on the sampling of equations of state. The probability for a free quark phase is 56% against 44% for a pure hadronic phase in one case, but an inverted 36% against 64% corresponds to the less informed sample. The analysis made in [74] including data from GW170817 and GW190425 events, does not find evidence of a strong phase transition.

The present work is mainly devoted to study the hyperon effects on the structure of neutron stars and on the pulsation modes propagating in their interiors. So it can be considered a continuation of a study presented in [79].

It is well known that neutron stars can support a stable hyperon population at densities well above the normal nuclear density, producing an energetically favorable state. At such densities the use of nuclear potentials which instantaneously propagates the strong interaction is not suitable. For this reason a covariant model of the field theory of hadrons is used in the mean field approach. The hyperons persist until extremely large densities, where the hadronic matter eventually undergoes a transition to a deconfined quark phase. The rise of the hyperon population as well as the deconfining transition significantly change

the composition of the core of the star, producing a softer EoS. This, in turn, reduce the maximum mass achievable by the star. Thus, most of the models considering hyperons do not reach the measured value  $M/M_\odot \simeq 2$ . This situation is known in the literature as the hyperon puzzle.

In order to examine the ability of models containing hyperons to adjust recent astrophysical data, a composite description is proposed here. For extreme densities one can expect a deconfined quark phase which is treated within the Nambu-Jona Lasinio model (NJL) with vector interaction. For the hadronic phase, at medium densities, the hyperon-hyperon interaction is introduced together with polynomial meson-meson vertices. A continuous transition between such phases is assumed.

This work is organized as follows, in the next section the general theoretical description is presented. Section 3 is devoted to describe the evaluation of some properties of a neutron star. Specific results are shown and discussed in Sec. 4 and finally the conclusions are drawn in Sec. 5.

## 2 Theoretical description

In the low and medium density regime the strong interaction is represented by a model with baryons coupled linearly to mesons, and the latter exhibit polynomial vertices. The lagrangian density can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_H = & \sum_b \bar{\psi}_b (i \not{\partial} - M_b + g_{\sigma b} \sigma + g_{\xi b} \xi + g_{\delta b} \tau \cdot \delta - g_{\omega b} \not{\omega} - g_{\phi b} \not{\phi} - g_{\rho b} \tau \cdot \rho) \psi_b \\
& + \frac{1}{2} (\partial^\mu \sigma \partial_\mu \sigma - m_\sigma^2 \sigma^2) - \frac{A}{3} \sigma^3 - \frac{B}{4} \sigma^4 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial^\mu \delta \cdot \partial_\mu \delta - m_\delta^2 \delta^2) + G_{\sigma\delta} \sigma^2 \delta^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{2} (\partial^\mu \xi \partial_\mu \xi - m_\xi^2 \xi^2) + G_{\sigma\xi} \sigma^2 \xi^2 + G_{\xi\delta} \xi^2 \delta^2 - \frac{1}{4} W^{\mu\nu} W_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m_\omega^2 \omega^2 \\
& - \frac{1}{4} R^{\mu\nu} \cdot R_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m_\rho^2 \rho^2 + G_{\omega\rho} \rho^2 \omega^2 - \frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m_\phi^2 \phi^2 \quad (1)
\end{aligned}$$

where the sum runs over the octet of lightest baryons. In addition to the commonly used  $\sigma, \omega, \rho$  mesons, here the scalar iso-vector field  $\delta^a$ , with  $a = 1 - 3$ , as well as the hidden strangeness  $\xi, \phi$  mesons are also included. The  $\delta$  and  $\xi$  particles can be identified with the  $a_0$  (980) and  $f_0$ (980) states, respectively. The  $\xi$  and  $\phi$  states are assumed as mainly composed by a  $s\bar{s}$  pair and therefore they couple only to the hyperons. Furthermore the values  $m_\phi = 1020$  MeV,  $m_\xi = 975$  MeV are adopted.

The coupling constants  $g_{mb}$ ,  $m = \sigma, \xi, \delta, \omega, \rho, \phi$  and  $A, B, G_{\sigma\delta}, G_{\sigma\xi}, G_{\xi\delta}, G_{\omega\rho}$  are fixed to reproduce a set of selected empirical data. The equations of motion corresponding to this Lagrangian are solved in the mean field approximation for uniform dense matter, in a reference frame where the mean value of the spatial component of the baryon currents are zero. Furthermore, all the degrees of freedom are considered as stable states of the strong interaction. Under such conditions the equations are greatly simplified, since the meson mean values

do not vary spatially, and only the third component of the iso-multiplets are non-zero

$$(i \not{\partial} - M_b^* - g_{\omega b} \omega_0 - g_{\phi b} \phi_0 - g_{\rho b} I_b \rho_0) \psi_b = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} (m_\sigma^2 - 2 G_{\sigma\delta} \delta^2 - 2 G_{\sigma\xi} \xi^2) \sigma + A\sigma^2 + B\sigma^3 &= \sum_b g_{\sigma b} n_{sb}, \\ (m_\delta^2 - 2 G_{\sigma\delta} \sigma^2 - 2 G_{\xi\delta} \xi^2) \delta &= \sum_b g_{\delta b} n_{sb}, \\ (m_\xi^2 - 2 G_{\xi\delta} \delta^2) \xi &= \sum_b g_{\xi b} n_{sb}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (m_\omega^2 + 2 G_{\omega\rho} \rho_0^2) \omega_0 &= \sum_b g_{\omega b} n_b \\ (m_\rho^2 + 2 G_{\omega\rho} \omega_0^2) \rho_0 &= \sum_b g_{\rho b} I_b n_b, \\ m_\phi^2 \phi_0 &= \sum_b g_{\phi b} n_b \end{aligned}$$

where  $I_b$  is the 3 isospin component,  $M_b^* = M_b - g_{\sigma b} \sigma - g_{\xi b} \xi - g_{\delta b} I_b \delta$  is the effective mass of the baryon  $b$ , and the source of the meson equations are the baryon densities

$$n_b = \frac{p_b^3}{3\pi^2}, \quad n_{sb} = \frac{M_b^*}{2\pi^2} \left[ p_b E_b - M_b^{*2} \ln \left( \frac{p_b + E_b}{M_b^*} \right) \right]$$

The left side equation introduces the Fermi momentum, and  $E_b = \sqrt{p_b^2 + M_b^{*2}}$  is used. Within the approach, the energy density of the system is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_H &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_b (n_{sb} M_b^* + 3n_b E_b) + \frac{1}{2} (m_\sigma^2 \sigma^2 + m_\delta^2 \delta^2 + m_\xi^2 \xi^2 + m_\omega^2 \omega_0^2 + m_\rho^2 \rho_0^2 + m_\phi^2 \phi_0^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{A}{3} \sigma^3 + \frac{B}{4} \sigma^4 - G_{\sigma\delta} \sigma^2 \delta^2 - G_{\sigma\xi} \sigma^2 \xi^2 - G_{\xi\delta} \xi^2 \delta^2 + 3G_{\omega\rho} \omega_0^2 \rho_0^2 \end{aligned}$$

The pressure is obtained by the canonical relation

$$P = \sum_b \mu_b n_b - \mathcal{E}_H,$$

and the chemical potentials are given by  $\mu_b = E_b + g_{\omega b} \omega_0 + g_{\phi b} \phi_0 + g_{\rho b} I_b \rho_0$ .

The bi-quadratic coupling between scalar mesons has been considered in [80] with the aim of studying how the properties of the neutron stars are affected by the mixing of the  $\sigma - \delta$  scalar mesons. The model was studied in [81], where the reference state for nuclear matter is fixed at the baryonic density  $n_0 = 0.16 \text{ fm}^{-3}$  and zero temperature. At such point the following empirical values  $E_{\text{bind}} = -16 \text{ MeV}$ ,  $E_{\text{sym}} = 32 \text{ MeV}$ ,  $M_N^*/M_N = 0.65$ ,  $K = 230 \text{ MeV}$ ,

and  $L = 50$  MeV are adopted for the binding energy, the symmetry energy, the effective nucleon mass, the nuclear compressibility and the slope parameter of the symmetry energy respectively. They serve as constraints to determine the constants  $g_{\sigma N}, g_{\omega N}, A$  and  $B$ , and to give a reasonable range of variation for  $g_{\delta N}, g_{\rho N}, G_{\omega\rho}$  and  $G_{\sigma\delta}$ . An examination of the predictions for the tidal deformability  $\Lambda_{1.4}$  has shown that larger values of  $G_{\sigma\delta}$  improves the agreement with the constraints provided by the GW170817 event [81].

A further extension was presented in [79] introducing hyperons as well as the  $\phi$  vector meson. The couplings between hyperons and vector mesons were fixed according to the SU(6) symmetry of the quark model

$$g_{\omega\Lambda} = g_{\omega\Sigma} = 2g_{\omega\Xi} = \frac{2}{3}g_{\omega N}; \quad g_{\rho\Lambda} = 0, \quad \frac{1}{2}g_{\rho\Sigma} = g_{\rho\Xi} = g_{\rho N};$$

$$g_{\phi\Lambda} = g_{\phi\Sigma} = \frac{1}{2}g_{\phi\Xi} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}g_{\phi N}.$$

The following three parameters  $g_{\sigma b}$ ,  $b = \Lambda, \Sigma, \Xi$  are determined by adjusting the energy  $U_b = g_{\omega b}\omega - g_{\sigma b}\sigma - M_b$  of an isolated hyperon at rest, immersed in isospin symmetric nuclear matter at the normal density. Although their empirical values are not well known, they are usually taken as

$$U_\Lambda = -30 \text{ MeV}, \quad U_\Sigma = 30 \text{ MeV}, \quad U_\Xi = -18 \text{ MeV}. \quad (2)$$

The values  $g_{\sigma\Lambda} = 5.616$ ,  $g_{\sigma\Sigma} = 3.989$ , and  $g_{\sigma\Xi} = 2.920$  are thus obtained. In contrast to the simplifications made in [79], the coupling between the hyperons and the scalar mesons  $\xi$  and  $\delta$  are fully considered here. The simplifying assumption that  $\delta$  meson does not couple to strange components together with the convention on iso-multiplets extended to hyperons lead to  $2g_{\delta N}/3 = g_{\delta\Sigma^+} = g_{\delta\Sigma^0} = -g_{\delta\Sigma^-} = -g_{\delta\Xi^-}$ ,  $g_{\delta\Lambda} = g_{\delta\Sigma^0} = 0$ . The vertices involving the  $\xi$  meson are exclusive for the hyperons, hence they could be deduced from the scarce information on hyperon matter [82, 83]. As a phenomenological guide one can consider the criterium that relates the excess binding energy  $\Delta B(A)$  of a double  $\Lambda$  hypernucleus of atomic number  $A$  to the single particle potential  $U_\Lambda^{(\Lambda)}(n)$  in  $\Lambda$  matter at density  $n$ , i. e.

$$\Delta B(A) \simeq U_\Lambda^{(\Lambda)}(n) - 2U_\Lambda^{(\Lambda)}(2n) \simeq -U_\Lambda^{(\Lambda)}(n)$$

where  $n \simeq n_0/A$  [83], and  $\Delta B(6) = 0.67$  MeV is identified as the experimental value of the  ${}^6_{\Lambda\Lambda}\text{He}$  hypernucleus, see for instance [84]. Thus the constraint  $U_\Lambda^{(\Lambda)}(n_0/5) = -0.67$  MeV is adopted here in agreement with other investigations on compact stars [85–87].

Following the arguments of [82], the single particle potentials of the  $\Xi$  and  $\Lambda$  hyperons are related by

$$U_\Xi^{(\Xi)}(n_0) = 2U_\Lambda^{(\Lambda)}(n_0/2)$$

The strategy to fix the remaining constants of the model is to explore the bidimensional space  $G_{\sigma\xi} G_{\xi\delta}$  with the aim of accommodating neutron stars with

mass  $M \simeq 2M_\odot$ . In the process it was found that the value of  $G_{\xi\delta}$  is irrelevant due to the smallness of the product  $\xi^2 \delta^2$ , therefore  $G_{\xi\delta} = 0$  is taken from here on. The numerical values of the remaining parameters are as follows:  $m_\sigma = 500$  MeV,  $m_\delta = 983$  MeV,  $m_\omega = 783$  MeV,  $m_\rho = 770$  MeV,  $A=13.08$  fm $^{-1}$ ,  $B=-31.6$ ,  $g_{\sigma N} = 9.22$ ,  $g_{\omega N} = 11.35$ ,  $g_{\delta N} = \sqrt{5.2\pi}$ ,  $g_{\rho N} = 2\sqrt{11.08\pi}$ ,  $G_{\sigma\delta} = 50$ ,  $G_{\sigma\xi} = -100$ ,  $G_{\omega\rho} = 263.92$ ,  $g_{\xi\Lambda} = 2.117$ , and  $g_{\xi\Xi} = 10.077$ .

The hypothesis of homogeneous matter which leads to the equations of motion shown above, is appropriate for a range of densities above several tenths of the normal nuclear value  $n_0$ . The electromagnetic interaction, not included in (1), gives rise to non-homogeneous structures. For this reason the equation of state evaluated in [88] is adopted for the low density regime and assembled to the results of the interaction (1) by imposing continuity at the matching point  $n = 0.35n_0$ .

For very dense matter it is expected that hadrons are not longer the most stable configuration of bound quarks and a transition to deconfined quarks happens. To take account of this state of homogeneous quark matter, the NJL model is implemented with inclusion of a vector interaction [89]. The NJL presents interacting quarks which generate their own constituent masses. This effective mass depends on the properties of the medium and are expected to decrease with increasing baryonic density.

The energy density is given by

$$\mathcal{E}_Q = \sum_q \left[ \frac{N_c}{\pi^2} \int_\Lambda^{p_q} dp p^2 \sqrt{p^2 + M_q^2} + 2G n_{sq} + 18G_v n_q^2 \right] - 4K n_{su} n_{sd} n_{ss} + \mathcal{E}_0 \quad (3)$$

where  $q = u, d, s$ ,  $p_q$  is the Fermi momentum which is related to the baryonic number density by  $n_q = p_q^3/3\pi^2$ .

The effective masses are given by

$$M_i = m_i - 4G n_{si} + 2K n_{sj} n_{sk}, \quad j \neq i \neq k.$$

where  $m_q$  is the current quark mass, and the quark condensates  $n_{sq}$  can be expressed as

$$n_{sq} = \frac{N_c}{\pi^2} M_q \int_\Lambda^{p_q} \frac{dp p^2}{\sqrt{p^2 + M_q^2}}$$

A cutoff  $\Lambda$  is used to renormalize ultraviolet divergences in the momentum integration,  $\mathcal{E}_0$  is a constant introduced to obtain zero vacuum energy,  $G$  and  $K$  are the couplings for four and six quark interactions, and  $G_v$  is the strength of the vector current-current interaction. The chemical potential for each flavor is simply  $\mu_q = \sqrt{p_q^2 + M_q^2} + 12G_v n_q$ .

For the numerical calculations the set of constants specified in [90] are used. The vector coupling  $G_v$  has not been determined with precision and it is usually taken as a parameter within the range  $0 \leq G_v \leq G$ . Therefore the relatively low value  $G_v = 0.08G$  is chosen here in order to obtain a threshold density  $n \sim 4n_0$  for the deconfinement process.

The transition between the hadronic and deconfined phases has been described within different dynamical schemes. In this work the picture of a continuous and monotonous equation of state, with an intermediate state of coexisting phases is adopted. It is commonly denominated as the Gibbs construction. If  $\chi$  is the spatial fraction occupied by the deconfined phase, then the total energy and the baryonic number densities of the system are given by

$$\mathcal{E} = \chi \mathcal{E}_Q + (1 - \chi) \mathcal{E}_H, \quad (4)$$

$$n = \chi n_Q + (1 - \chi) n_H \quad (5)$$

Furthermore, for thermodynamical equilibrium of the coexisting phases the partial pressures of each phase must coincide

$$P_B = \sum_b \mu_b n_b - \mathcal{E}_H = \sum_q \mu_q n_q - \mathcal{E}_Q. \quad (6)$$

To describe neutron star matter the complementary requirement of electrical neutrality is imposed. To reach this condition a fluid of non-interacting leptons (electrons and muons) is considered, which freely distributes among the hadron and quark phases so that the condition

$$0 = 3 \chi \sum_q C_q n_q + (1 - \chi) \sum_b C_b n_b - \sum_l n_l, \quad (7)$$

is satisfied. In this expression  $C_k$  stands for the electric charge in units of the positron charge.

These leptons also contribute to the total energy by

$$\mathcal{E}_L = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \sum_l \int_0^{p_l} dp p^2 \sqrt{p^2 + m_l^2}$$

where  $n_l = p_l^3/3\pi^2$ , their chemical potentials can be written as  $\mu_l = \sqrt{p_l^2 + m_l^2}$ , and the partial lepton contribution to the pressure is  $P_L = \sum_l \mu_l n_l - \mathcal{E}_L$ . Hence, the complete expressions for the energy and the pressure in the mixed phase are

$$\mathcal{E} = \chi \mathcal{E}_Q + (1 - \chi) \mathcal{E}_H + \mathcal{E}_L, \quad (8)$$

$$P = \mu_B n - \mathcal{E} = P_B + P_L. \quad (9)$$

The coefficient  $\chi$  is obtained by using the conditions of conservation of the baryonic number, the electric charge, and thermodynamical equilibrium, Eqs. (5), (7) and (6) respectively. Thus, it is uniquely determined for each density of neutral matter in equilibrium at zero temperature, and it is a dynamical property of the combination of models used.

There are two conserved charges which characterize the global state of the system, the baryonic number and the electric charge with associated chemical potentials  $\mu_B$  and  $\mu_C$  respectively. It must be noted that the last one does not enter in the intermediate expression of Eq. (9) because the total electric charge is zero. Both chemical potentials can be combined to give the chemical potentials of all baryons, quarks and leptons circumstantially present. Therefore they are linearly dependent through the relations of equilibrium against beta decay.



### 3 Properties of the neutron star

The structure of an isolated neutron star can be solved using the Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkov equations for the spherically symmetric case

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dP}{dr} &= -[\mathcal{E}(r) + P(r)] [\mathcal{M}(r) + 4\pi r^3 P(r)] \frac{e^{2\lambda(r)}}{r^2}, \\ \mathcal{M}(r) &= \int_0^r 4\pi r'^2 \mathcal{E}(r') dr' .\end{aligned}$$

Units for which  $c = 1$ ,  $G = 1$ ,  $\hbar = 1$  has been used. The relation  $\mathcal{E}(P)$  is provided by the EoS described in the previous section and the definition

$$e^{\lambda(r)} = [1 - 2\mathcal{M}(r)/r]^{-1/2}$$

is used.

Starting from given values of the central pressure and energy, these equations are integrated outward until a radius  $R$  is reached for which  $P(R) = 0$ , and the total mass is defined as  $M = \mathcal{M}(R)$ .

Once the mass  $\mathcal{M}(r)$  and pressure  $P(r)$  distributions inside the star have been determined one can evaluate its moment of inertia  $I$  assuming slow and homogeneous rotation with angular velocity  $\Omega$ . By solving the differential equation for  $\varphi(r) = 1 - \omega(r)/\Omega$ , where  $\omega(r)$  is the angular velocity distribution of a fluid element inside the star [91]

$$\frac{d}{dr} [r^4 j(r) \varphi'(r)] + 4r^3 j'(r) \varphi(r) = 0, \quad r < R \quad (10)$$

with the definition  $j(r) = \exp - [\lambda(r) + \nu(r)]$ , and the metric function  $\nu(r)$  satisfies the equation

$$\frac{d\nu}{dr} = -\frac{dP/dr}{\mathcal{E}(r) + P(r)}$$

and the auxiliary condition  $e^{\nu(R)} = e^{-\lambda(R)}$ .

The solution outside the star is

$$\varphi(r) = 1 - \frac{2I}{r^3}, \quad r > R.$$

Thus, Eq. (10) is complemented with the boundary conditions  $\varphi'(0) = 0$ ,  $\varphi(R) = 1 - 2I/R^3$ .

The tidal deformability of a compact star can be written in terms of the second Love number  $k_2$  as  $\Lambda = 2k_2/3x^5$ , where the compactness parameter is  $x = M/R$ . To evaluate the Love number the radial function  $y(r)$ , related to the tidal field, must be found by solving the differential equation

$$y'(r) + y^2(r) + f(r) y(r) + q(r) r^2 = 0$$

subject to the condition  $y(0) = 2$ . The following definitions has been used

$$\begin{aligned} f(r) &= [1 + 4\pi r^2 (P - \mathcal{E})] e^{2\lambda(r)} \\ q(r) &= \left[ 4\pi \left( 5\mathcal{E} + 9P + \frac{P + \mathcal{E}}{v_e^2} \right) - \frac{6}{r^2} - \frac{4}{r^4} \frac{(\mathcal{M} + 4\pi r^3 P)^2}{1 - 2\mathcal{M}/r} \right] e^{2\lambda(r)} \end{aligned}$$

The relativistic speed of sound  $v_e$  has been introduced, which is defined by  $v_e^2 = c^2 dP/d\mathcal{E}$ .

Finally, the Love number is given by

$$\begin{aligned} k_2 &= \frac{8}{5} x^5 (1 - 2x)^2 [2 - y_R + 2x(y_r - 1)] / \left\{ 6x[2 - y_R + x(5y_R - 8)] + 4x^3[13 - 11y_R + x(3y_R - 2)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2x^2(1 + y_R) + 3(1 - 2x)^2 [2 - y_R + 2x(y_R - 1)] \ln(1 - 2x) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $y_R = y(R)$ .

To study the propagation of oscillatory motion inside the star an approximation for small gravitational field will be used. It consists in neglecting the variation of the metric functions of the space-time supporting the vibrations and is known as the relativistic Cowling approximation [40]. The frequencies obtained within this approach and in full calculations has been compared and differences around 10 – 30% has been found.

Assuming spherical symmetry for the equilibrium state, the displacement of a fluid element located at point  $r, \theta, \phi$  at time  $t$  can be decomposed in a multipolar expansion as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta r &= \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{r^2} W(r) Y_l^m(\theta, \phi) e^{i\omega t}, \\ \delta \theta &= -\frac{V(r)}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} Y_l^m(\theta, \phi) e^{i\omega t}, \\ \delta \phi &= -\frac{V(r)}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} Y_l^m(\theta, \phi) e^{i\omega t} \end{aligned}$$

In this approach the unknown functions  $W(r), V(r)$  satisfy the differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dV}{dr} - 2\frac{d\nu}{dr} V + \frac{e^\lambda}{r^2} W - \left( \frac{1}{v_e^2} - \frac{1}{v_a^2} \right) \left( V + e^{2\nu-\lambda} \frac{d\nu}{dr} \frac{W}{(r\omega)^2} \right) \frac{d\nu}{dr} &= 0, \\ \frac{dW}{dr} + l(l+1) e^\lambda V - \frac{1}{v_a^2} \left( r^2 \omega^2 e^{\lambda-2\nu} V + \frac{d\nu}{dr} W \right) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and they are subject to the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} W(r) + l r V(r) &\rightarrow 0, \text{ as } r \rightarrow 0, \\ R^2 \omega^2 V(R) + \nu'(R) e^{3\nu(R)} W(R) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

The equations above contain the adiabatic speed of sound  $v_a^2 = \partial P / \partial \mathcal{E}$  where the partial derivative is evaluated by keeping constant the relative population

of each fermionic species, even if  $\beta$  equilibrium is not verified. All the physical constraints are imposed after evaluation. It is related to the adiabatic index by the relation  $\gamma P = (P + \mathcal{E}) v_a^2$ .

In our approach the relation between  $P$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  is monotonous and continuous, however its first derivative, i. e. the speed of sound  $v_e$ , presents finite discontinuities at the threshold of the phase transition. Explicit expressions for  $v_e$  and  $v_a$  can be found in [79].

The quantity

$$A_+ = -\frac{d\nu}{dr} (v_e^{-2} - v_a^{-2}) e^{-\lambda}$$

discriminates convective instability by the condition  $A_+ > 0$ . Furthermore, it is related to the Brunt-Väisälä frequency  $N$  by  $N^2 = -e^\nu A_+ d\nu/dr$ .

## 4 Results and discussion

In this section an analysis of the EoS of the model proposed and its ability to adjust the relevant information provided by the observational evidence about compact stars is made. It must be mentioned that the model tries to cover a wide range of phenomenological results but keeping simple. The classical description given in [88] takes account of the emergence of atomic nuclei at low densities. The covariant field theory of hadrons is appropriate for medium densities where relativistic effects becomes important and the homogeneity of matter is a plausible assumption. Furthermore new hadronic and leptonic degrees of freedom, relevant for the composition of a neutron star, are easily included. Finally for the highest densities achievable in the core of a compact star, it is expected that hadrons are replaced by deconfined quarks. The NJL framework is adopted for this stage, since it effectively represents the strong interaction for the energy regime involved.

The determination of the parameters of the model follows this staggered scheme, the masses and couplings of the conventional degrees of nuclear physics are fixed using the well established phenomenology at the normal density  $n_0$ . More uncertain are the parameters of the hyperonic interaction due to the lack of precise experimental data. For instance, the hyperon-nucleon interaction mediated by the scalar  $\sigma$  meson is normalized by the single hyperon potentials in nuclear matter. The coupling of the hidden strangeness  $\xi$  meson, responsible of the hyperon-hyperon interaction, is deduced using single hyperon potentials in hyperonic matter. Other constants are chosen to improve the agreement with astrophysical data. This is the case of the constant  $G_{\sigma\delta}$ , whose preferable value for adjusting the tidal deformability  $\Lambda_{1.4}$  was discussed in [81]. A similar case is found for the vector current-current coupling of the NJL. Since an increase of  $G_v$  has the effect of enlarging the deconfinement density as well as the central density while decreasing the radius of the maximum mass star, the value  $G_v = 0.08 G$  was chosen in order to optimize the coincidence with the result  $M_{\max} \simeq 2 M_\odot$ .

The EoS obtained in this model is shown in Fig.1. For the sake of completeness the full treatment result (F) is compared with the cases that only includes nucleons (N) or nucleons with a deconfinement phase transition (NQ). In the last case the strange flavor does not participate of the Fermi sea. A low energy regime, consisting of pure nuclear matter and leptons, is distinguished by the coincidence of all the cases. The  $\Lambda$  hyperon becomes stable at a density  $n = 2.3 n_0$ , corresponding to the split of the F and N curves. The  $\Xi^-$  also appears before the coexistence with deconfined quarks takes place at  $n = 5.25 n_0$ . This fact is marked by a sudden change in the tangential direction of the curve F. No new hyperon species appear during the coexistence, on the contrary the preexisting hyperon population uniformly decreases as the free quark phase grows.

On the other hand, pure nuclear matter becomes unstable earlier, at  $n = 3.4 n_0$ , in a point where the N and NQ curves separate. The upper part of the NQ curve shows the end of the coexistence regime, where matter is composed only of  $u - d$  quarks. As expected, the inclusion of configurations that minimize the energy of the system leads to a softening of the EoS.

The pressure at the density  $n/n_0 = 2$  has been estimated in [38] as  $P = 3.5_{-1.7}^{+2.7} \times 10^{34}$  dyn/cm<sup>2</sup> in order to be consistent with the observational data of the GW170817 event. In the present calculations the result  $P = 4.2 \times 10^{34}$  dyn/cm<sup>2</sup> has been obtained, which is comprised by the confidence band.

An interesting fact can be appreciated in Fig. 2, which shows the neutron and proton masses as functions of the density. The presence of the hyperons makes the decrease of the nucleon masses more pronounced. In particular the neutron mass is almost collapsed when the deconfinement starts. In the mixed phase, instead, it increases slightly so that the mass difference of the duplet is considerably reduced. The same feature is repeated in the case of only nucleons coexisting with unbound quarks.

The behavior of the masses is a consequence of the variance of the amplitude of the scalar fields, as shown in Fig. 3. The increase of the  $\sigma$  meson has an additional source in the  $\sigma^2 \xi^2$  vertex which becomes active at the onset of the  $\Lambda$  hyperon (F case). However the  $\xi$  mean field decreases in the mixed phase as the hyperon population diminishes. This effect is reinforced by the simultaneous decrease of the amplitude of the  $\delta$  meson.

The EoS just described are used as input to solve the macroscopic properties of a non rotating neutron star, as for instance the mass-radius relation shown in Fig. 4. The maximum masses are  $M/M_\odot = 2.01, 2.45,$  and  $2.26$ , whereas the corresponding radius are  $R = 11.7, 11.7,$  and  $12.5$  in the F, N, and NQ treatments, respectively. The central density of such stars are  $n/n_0 = 6.35, 5.33,$  and  $4.89$ , so that the core of the star does not support pure quark matter. However, in the F and NQ cases it is composed of an admixture of hadrons and deconfined quarks.

The radius of a neutron star could bring important information on the underlying EoS [92], therefore it is interesting to make a comparison with the Bayesian analysis presented in [22] for the massive pulsar PSR J0740+6620. It obtains the radius  $R = 12.39_{-0.98}^{+1.30}$  for the star with  $M/M_\odot = 2.072_{-0.066}^{+0.067}$ . The main result of this work, i.e. F case, is compatible with these constraints and therefore

the presence of hyperons as well a deconfinement transition are not ruled out by them.

In regard of the neutron star with the standard  $M/M_\odot \simeq 1.4$  mass, in the present work it is found mainly composed by nucleons and a tiny 1% of  $\Lambda$  hyperons in the core of the star. The corresponding radius  $R_{1.4} = 12.66$  km can be contrasted with the estimates  $12.33_{-0.81}^{+0.76}$  km and  $12.18_{-0.79}^{+0.56}$  km obtained by different approaches in [78], or the result  $R_{1.4} = 12.45 \pm 0.65$  km obtained in [93]. The moment of inertia of a slowly rotating star is presented in Fig. 5 as a function of the inertial mass. The presence of hyperons is discernible only for stars with  $M \simeq 2 M_\odot$  due to the fact that the moment of inertia takes a maximum value before  $M_{\text{max}}$  is reached. The candidate for an imminent precision measurement PSR J0737-3039A has a mass  $M/M_\odot = 1.338$ , for which the analysis made in [16] suggest  $I = 1.36_{-0.32}^{+0.15} \times 10^{45}$  g cm<sup>2</sup>. In the present calculations the result is  $I = 1.48 \times 10^{45}$  g cm<sup>2</sup>, which is slightly greater than the most probable value given there, but within the confidence band. The same conclusion holds respect to the prediction  $I = 1.15_{-0.24}^{+0.38} \times 10^{45}$  g cm<sup>2</sup> given in [34]. It must be mentioned that within the model used here, such star would have a central density  $n \simeq 2.3n_0$  and therefore would be composed only by nucleons and leptons. Calculations of the adimensional moment  $\tilde{I} = I/MR^2$  in terms of the compactness  $x = M/R$  can be contrasted with the phenomenological relation  $\tilde{I}(x)$  found in [31]. Considering the cases of the stars with  $M = 1.338M_\odot$ , and  $2M_\odot$  discrepancies of only 1% and 3% have been found respectively. Similar results are obtained by comparing with the formula presented in [16].

In Fig. 6 the rich structure of the speed of sound is shown in terms of the baryonic density. As already noted in [51], the adiabatic velocity  $v_a$  is continuous but  $v_e$  presents finite discontinuities at the borders of the coexistence domain. Both definitions are almost coincident for low densities. In fact, if the homogenous matter assumption is extended for  $n \rightarrow 0$  then pure neutron matter is found for  $n < 0.02 n_0$  and consequently is  $v_e = v_a$  there. A noticeable deviation happens at the onset of the  $\Lambda$  hyperon where  $v_e$  drops suddenly, followed by a continuous increase after the rise of the heavier  $\Xi^-$ . The same kind of structure associated with the presence of the hyperons has been observed in [79,94]. The conformal limit  $v_{\text{lim}} = c/\sqrt{3}$  is clearly exceeded near the  $\Lambda$  onset, the maximum value obtained for the speed of sound are slightly greater than the proposed upper limit  $v_{\text{max}}/c \geq 0.63$  [95]. Before the deconfinement density is  $v_e/c \simeq 0.69$  and a noticeable fall of roughly 60% is registered after this particular point.

These observations seems to corroborate the relation between the magnitude of the speed of sound and the number  $N$  of effective degrees of freedom. In agreement with the general belief, an increase of  $N$  with the density is locally reflected by a sudden drop in  $v_e$ , which is realized through a finite discontinuity in the case of the phase transition. The growth of  $v_e$  observed between these particular points is consistent with the monotonously increasing trend found in [96], where a variety of nuclear matter equations of state are analyzed.

Closely related to the sound speed is the relativistic Brunt-Väisälä frequency  $N$ , which is shown in Fig. 7 in terms of the radial coordinate for the stars

with  $M/M_\odot = 1.4$  (a) and 2.0 (b). For the sake of simplicity the assumption of homogeneity is extended to all the range, which leads to  $N = 0$  at the crust of these stars. The quantity  $N$  is a registry of the bulk properties inside the star, for instance the onset of the muons at the inner crust causes the small irregularity mounted on the left side of the peak at  $r \simeq R$ . At a deeper point one finds a crest corresponding to the onset of the hyperons. It takes place at the core of the star in Fig. 7a, while in Fig. 7b it has a complex structure due to the greater abundance of  $\Lambda$  and  $\Xi^-$  for the heavier star. As already mentioned only a scarce population of  $\Lambda$  appears in the case of  $M/M_\odot = 1.4$ . Finally, a second crest marks the deconfinement and the coexistence of phases at the center of the star shown in Fig. 7b, but it does not occur in Fig. 7a.

The possible value for the tidal deformability of a neutron star with  $M/M_\odot = 1.4$  is within the range  $\Lambda_{1.4} = 190_{-120}^{+390}$ , according to the analysis of [38]. In the present calculations the result  $\Lambda_{1.4} = 527.08$  has been obtained, hence it is compatible with that constraint. It must be pointed out that the star with the canonical mass has, in the present analysis, only a 1% of  $\Lambda$  hyperons in its core. Therefore  $\Lambda_{1.4}$  would not provide information about exotic degrees of freedom. Another parameter of interest is the combined tidal deformability

$$\tilde{\Lambda} = \frac{16}{13} \frac{\Lambda_1 (M_1 + 12 M_2) M_1^4 + \Lambda_2 (M_2 + 12 M_1) M_2^4}{(M_1 + M_2)^5}$$

where  $M_i$ ,  $\Lambda_i$  are the mass and the tidal deformability of the individual components of a binary system. Furthermore the chirp mass, given by the relation

$$\mathcal{M}^5 = \frac{M_1^3 M_2^3}{M_1 + M_2},$$

has been determined with accuracy for the event GW170817 [38], while the possible values for  $M_1$  are expected to range within  $1.3 < M_1/M_\odot < 1.6$ , assuming  $M_2 < M_1$ . Under this constraint I have evaluated  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  in terms of  $M_1$ , as shown in Fig. 8. The result  $560 < \tilde{\Lambda} < 610$  is compatible with the expectations for the low spin prior  $\tilde{\Lambda} \in (70, 800)$  as well for the high spin prior  $\tilde{\Lambda} \in (0, 630)$  [41].

The particular cases of  $\Lambda_{1.4} = 527.05$  and  $\Lambda_{2.01} = 22.2$  have been compared with the predictions of the universal relation proposed in [56] for  $\ln(I/M^3)$  in terms of the tidal deformability. In the first case the discrepancy is negligible and for the more massive case an agreement within 0.1% is found.

The general compatibility with the main phenomenological data on the tidal deformability distinguishes the present treatment in comparison with other models of hyperonic stars [97].

It has been argued that the nonradial oscillation modes of a neutron star can be used to infer structure parameters, such as mass and radius [42], or even they can reveal the high density hadronic EoS [98] and the presence of exotic degrees of freedom [99]. For this reason the fundamental frequencies  $\nu_f$  and  $\nu_g$  of the f and g modes are examined in the following. They are characterized by the fact that the corresponding radial function  $W(r)$  does not have nodes inside the star. The results for the frequencies  $\nu$  in terms of the inertial mass

are shown in Fig. 9. Both instances, F and N treatments, are considered but only stars within the range of masses allowed by the first case are included. For low  $M$  there is no appreciable difference because such stars have a relatively low central density  $n_c$  and the EoS are practically the same in both cases. But as  $n_c > 2.5n_0$  the presence of hyperons modifies significantly the composition of the core in the F case. Thus the difference becomes apparent for  $M/M_\odot > 1.5$ . The behavior of  $\nu_g$  resembles that found in [51,55], although in that work the steep growth of the frequency is driven by the deconfinement transition which is effective even for low mass neutron stars. In contrast, I found that only stars with a mass around  $M_{\max}$  have an admixture of hadrons and free quarks in their cores. The common feature with [51,55] is a sudden rise associated to the Brunt-Väisälä frequency, see Fig. 7, although it has different physical origin. Recent analysis has estimated the disagreement between the Cowling approximation and the solution of linearized general relativity for  $\nu_g$  is within 10% [55]. In regard of  $\nu_f$ , the comparison between the F and N results is similar to the behavior found in [50] for the  $m^*/m = 0.55$  case. The fitting function given in [50] for the quadrupolar  $l = 2$  component describes our results within the 5% accuracy. Contrary to the expectations the relation for the real angular frequency  $2\pi\nu_f$  in terms of the parameter  $\eta = \sqrt{M^3/I}$  presented in [43], does not describe appropriately our calculations. The cause is twofold: the sampling of models taken in [43] does not include hyperons, therefore a significant discrepancy is expected for high  $M$ . But such cases are precisely the more relevant for the f-mode, since they include the high density regime. And secondly, the use of the relativistic Cowling approximation which induces an error estimated within 30% [54].

There is a general belief that hadronic matter at sufficiently high density undergoes a transition to deconfined quark matter. However, the characteristics of such transition are uncertain yet. In this work it is assumed that a preliminary coexistence of phases takes place, which has been interpreted as the consequence of a vanishing interface tension  $\sigma_T$ . At the opposite extreme, for very large  $\sigma_T$ , it is expected a discontinuous transition described by the Maxwell construction. While for intermediate values a non-homogeneous phase would be plausible. These effects have been analyzed in [100] within a specific model, concluding that all of them, the maximum mass, the radius, and the combined tidal deformability monotonously increase with  $\sigma_T$ . An estimation of the maximum variation due to finite tension is given there as  $\Delta M_{\max}/M_\odot = 0.02$ ,  $\Delta R = 0.6$  km, and  $\Delta \tilde{\Lambda}/\tilde{\Lambda} = 0.5$  [100]. Thus a scarce increase in the maximum mass can be obtained at the cost of a small growth of the radius and a considerable increment of the tidal deformability.

The details of the composition of extreme density matter are still speculative, although they can be a determining factor for the structure of compact stars. Different hypothesis has been explored, as for instance superconducting quark matter, giving rise to a variety of effective theoretical models. This uncertainty is evidenced by the amplitude of values assigned to certain model parameters such as the quark-quark coupling constant or the energy gap between normal and paired states. A large number of studies have discussed the effects of su-

perconducting quark matter have on the properties of compact stars [101–105]. For instance in [101] an effective nuclear model is used in combination with a bag model including a color-flavor locked superconducting phase. For the latter model the parameters are taken as  $B = 137 \text{ MeV/fm}^3$ ,  $m_s = 200 \text{ MeV}$  and  $\Delta = 100 \text{ MeV}$  for the energy gap. The mass-radius relation for the neutron star shows the significant fact that a sharp quark-hadron phase transition leads to an unstable star structure. In contrast, the continuous phase transition allows the existence of stable configurations with unbound quarks. In any case the maximum mass is slightly reduced as compared with the unpaired case. This behavior is qualitatively confirmed in [102] where the dynamics of the deconfined quarks is determined by the NJL within two different parametrizations. Since the quark-quark interaction is unknown, the authors assume the same coupling constant as in the four fields quark-antiquark interaction  $G_D = G$ . They only consider a sharp hadron-quark phase transition and also include the possibility of light quark superconducting phase (2SC), with unpaired strange flavor, in addition to the just mentioned color-flavor locked arrangement. In this case, the presence of the intermediate two-flavor pairing introduces a narrow window of stability before the color-flavor locked phase becomes preferable.

These type of instabilities have been related to the lack of confinement of the NJL model [106], and attributed to the zero energy point  $\mathcal{E}_0$ . This argument has been examined in [104], where a different procedure to fix the additive constant has been proposed. With this modified constant  $\mathcal{E}_0^*$ , an intermediate stable 2SC phase was found, as in [102]. A further increase of the pairing coupling constant to  $G_D = 1.2G$ , in combination with  $\mathcal{E}_0^*$ , extends the range of stability to embrace the color-flavor locked phase. At the same time the allowed maximum mass for neutron stars is reduced [104].

Based on this results one can conclude that the inclusion of a superconducting quark phase, if stable, will lead to a decrease of  $M_{\text{max}}$ .

## 5 Summary and Conclusions

This work is devoted to the study of dense matter at zero temperature, as can be found in the interior of neutron stars. For this purpose a composite model of the strong interaction is used. In the low density limit a nonhomogeneous phase including light atomic nuclei is considered through the standard results of [88]. For higher densities a model of the field theory including hyperons is used to describe a homogeneous hadronic phase. For the extreme densities feasible in the core of a compact star, a phase of deconfined quarks is taken into account through the NJL model with vector current-current coupling. In between a coexistence of hadronic and free quark phases is assumed, which allows a continuous variation of the thermodynamic potential.

The role of hyperons is particularly analyzed in the context of the “hyperon puzzle”. Thus an extension of a previously defined hadronic model [79, 81] is made by including hyperon-hyperon interaction mediated by the  $a_0(980)$  and hidden strangeness  $f_0(980)$  mesons. In order to emphasize the hyperonic effects



a complementary scheme, which only considers nucleons and leptons, is introduced.

Several properties of a static or slowly rotating neutron star has been evaluated, such as maximum mass, moment of inertia, tidal deformability, etc., and contrasted with recent observational data or with different universal relations. Since the nature of the compact object with mass  $M/M_\odot \simeq 2.6$  detected by [4] is still uncertain, it is not actively considered here. The confirmation that it is a neutron star would put most of the present models of the strong interaction satisfying explicit relativistic covariance and agreement with other observational data in conflict.

Within this approach only stars with masses  $M/M_\odot \geq 1.45$  show clear evidence of the presence of hyperons, and only those with  $M/M_\odot \simeq 2$  have a trace of deconfined quarks in their cores.

The pressure at a density twice the normal nuclear density is coherent with the estimations based on the data obtained in the GW170817 event [38]. The mass-radius relation for the maximum mass predicted in the present treatment  $M/M_\odot = 2.01$ ,  $R = 11.7$  km is within the confidence range proposed for the PSR J0740+6620 [23].

The imminent high precision measurement of the moment of inertia of the PSR J0737-3039A has motivated intense work. Focusing on a star with the same mass, our result for the moment of inertia is compatible with different predictions [16, 34].

Focusing on a star with the canonical mass  $M/M_\odot = 1.4$ , I found its radius is in agreement with the analysis in [78, 93]. Furthermore, its tidal deformability  $\Lambda_{1.4}$  verifies the constraint  $\Lambda_{1.4} < 580$  established in [38]. Considering a binary system with chirp mass  $\mathcal{M}/M_\odot = 1.186$  the result for the combined tidal deformability verifies  $566 < \bar{\Lambda} < 608$  which is compatible with the observational evidence [41].

The calculations for the frequencies  $\nu$  of the nonradial f and g-modes for a neutron star are qualitatively similar to previous results for either including hyperons (F) or considering only nucleons and leptons (N). The Brunt-Väisälä frequency have peaks associated with the onset of the hyperons similar to those found for the deconfinement transition [51]. They are the cause of important deviations of the frequencies found in the F case as compared with the N treatment. This is a confirmation of previous findings for  $\nu_g$  [51] as well as for  $\nu_f$  [50]. In addition, positive confirmations of our results are obtained when contrasting with the universal relations proposed for the moment of inertia in terms of the compactness [16, 31], the tidal deformability as a function of the moment of inertia [56], and the f-mode frequency in terms of  $M/R^3$  [50].

In summary, the predictions of the model proposed here agrees with the examined phenomenology of neutron stars. Hence one can conclude that the presence of hyperons and a deconfinement transition are compatible with the present knowledge on compact stars.

## Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by the CONICET, Argentina under grant PIP-616.

## References

- [1] P. B. Demorest, T. Pennucci, S. M. Ransom, M. S. E. Roberts, J. W. T. Hessels, *Nature* **467** (2010) 1081.
- [2] R. W. Romani, D. Kandel, A. V. Filippenko, T. G. Brink, W. K. Zheng, *Astroph. J. Lett.* **908** (2021) L46; *Astroph. J. Lett.* **934** (2022) L18.
- [3] E. Fonseca et al., *Astroph. J. Lett.* **915** (2021) L12.
- [4] R. Abbott et al., *Astroph. J. Lett.* **896** (2020) L44.
- [5] F. J. Fattoyev, C.J. Horowitz, J. Piekarewicz, B. T. Reed, *Phys. Rev. C* **102** (2020) 065805.
- [6] I. Bednarek, P. Haensel, J. L. Zdunik, M. Beiger, R. Manka, *Astron. Astroph.* **543** (2012) 157
- [7] Y. Lim, C.-H. Lee, Y. Oh, *Phys. Rev. D* **97** (2018) 023010.
- [8] G. F. Burgio, H.-J. Schulze, I. Vidaña, J.-B. Wei; *Prog. Part. Nuc. Phys.* **120** (2021) 103879.
- [9] B.-J. Cai, F. J. Fattoyev, B.-A. Li, W. G. Newton, *Phys. Rev. C* **92** (2015) 015802.
- [10] H. S. Sahoo, G. Mitra, R. Mishra, P. K. Panda, B.-A. Li, *Phys. Rev. C* **98** (2018) 045801.
- [11] T.-T. Sun, S.-S. Zhang, Q.-L. Zhang, C.-J. Xia, *Phys. Rev. D* **99** (2019) 023004.
- [12] P. Ribes, A. Ramos, L. Tolos, C. Gonzalez-Boquera, M. Centelles, *Astroph. J.* **883** (2019) 168.
- [13] D. Sen, *Phys. Rev. C* **103** (2021) 045804.
- [14] M. Marczenko, K. Redlich, C. Sasaki, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 103009.
- [15] G.-y. Shao, Y.-x. Liu, *Phys. Rev. C* **82** (2010) 055801.
- [16] Y. Lim, K. Kwak, C. H. Hyun, C.-H. Lee, *Phys. Rev. C* **89** (2014) 055804.
- [17] V. B. Thapa, M. Sinha, *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) 123007.
- [18] M. G. Paoli, D. P. Menezes, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **46** (2010) 413.

- [19] J. Ellis, K. Kannike, L. Marzola, M. Raidal, V. Vaskonen, Phys. Rev. D **97** (2018) 123007.
- [20] H. C. Das, A. Kumar, S. K. Patra, Phys. Rev. D **104** (2021) 063028.
- [21] , K.-L. Leung, M.-c. Chu, L.-M. Lin, Phys. Rev. D **105** (2022) 123010.
- [22] T. E. Riley et al, Astroph. J. Lett. **887** (2017) L21.
- [23] T. E. Riley et al, Astroph. J. Lett. **918** (2021) L27.
- [24] H. Müller, B. D. Serot, Nuc. Phys. A **606** (1996) 508.
- [25] G. A. Lalazissis, J. König, P. Ring, Phys. Rev. C **55** (1997) 540.
- [26] H. Das, T. Malik, A. C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. D. **99** (2019) 063028.
- [27] H. C. Das, A. Kumar, S. K. Patra, Phys. Rev. D. **104** (2021) 063028.
- [28] W. G. Newton, A. W. Steiner, K. Yagi, Astroph. J. **856** (2018) 19.
- [29] A. G. Lyne et al., Science 303, 1153 (2004).
- [30] I. A. Morrison, T. W. Baumgarte, S. L. Shapiro, V. R. Pandharipande, Astroph. J. **617** (2004) L135.
- [31] J. M. Lattimer, B. F. Schutz, Astroph. J. **629** (2005) 979.
- [32] C. A. Raithel, F. Özel, D. Psaltis, Phys. Rev. C. **93** (2016) 032801(R).
- [33] S. K. Greif, K. Hebeler, J. M. Lattimer, C. J. Pethick, A. Schwenk, Astroph. J. **901** (2020) 155.
- [34] P. Landry, B. Kumar, Astroph. J. L. **868** (2018) L22.
- [35] Y. Lim, J. W. Holt, R. J. Stahulak, Phys. Rev. C. **100** (2019) 035802.
- [36] T. Hinderer, Astroph. J. **677** (2008) 1216.
- [37] B. P. Abbott, et. al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119** (2017) 161101.
- [38] B. P. Abbott, et. al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121** (2018) 161101.
- [39] K. S. Thorne, A. Campolattaro, Astroph. J. **149** (1967) 591.
- [40] P. N. McDermott, H. M. Van Horn, J. F. Scholl, Astroph. J. **268** (1983) 837.
- [41] B. P. Abbott, et. al, Phys. Rev. X **9** (2019) 011001.
- [42] N. Andersson, K. D. Kokkotas, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **299** (1998) 1059.
- [43] H. K. Lau, P. T. Leung, L. M. Lin, Astroph. J. **714** (2010) 1234.

- [44] D.-H. Wen, B.-A. Li, H.-Y. Chen, N.-B. Zhang, Phys. Rev. C **99** (2019) 045806.
- [45] H. Sotani, B. Kumar, Phys. Rev. D **104** (2021) 123002.
- [46] C. Chirenti, G. H. de Souza, W. Kastaun, Phys. Rev. D **91** (2015) 044034.
- [47] H. Sotani, N. Yasutake, T. Maruyama, T. Tatsumi, , Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 024014.
- [48] J. L. Blazquez-Salcedo, L. M. Gonzalez-Romero, F. Navarro-Lerida, Phys. Rev. D **89** (2014) 044006.
- [49] W. Wei, M. Salinas, T. Klahn, P. Jaikumar, M. Barry, Astroph. J. **904** (2020) 187.
- [50] B. K. Pradhan, D. Chatterjee, Phys. Rev. D **103** (2021) 035810.
- [51] P. Jaikumar, A. Semposki, M. Prakash, C. Constantinou, , Phys. Rev. D **103** (2021) 123009.
- [52] C. Constantinou, S. Han, P. Jaikumar, M. Prakash, , Phys. Rev. D **104** (2021) 123032.
- [53] H. C. Das, A. Kumar, S. K. Biswal, S. K. Patra, , Phys. Rev. D **104** (2021) 123006.
- [54] A. Kunjipurayil, T. Zhao, B. Kumar, B. K. Agrawal, M. Prakash, Phys. Rev. D **106** (2022) 063005.
- [55] T. Zhao, C. Constantinou, P. Jaikumar, M. Prakash, Phys. Rev. D **105** (2022) 103025.
- [56] K. Yagi, N. Yunes, Phys. Rev. D **88** (2013) 023009.
- [57] B. Kumar, P. Landry, Phys. Rev. D **99** (2019) 123026.
- [58] K. Takami, L. Rezzolla, L. Baiotti, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113** (2014) 091104.
- [59] E. R. Most, L. R. Weih, L. Rezzolla, J. Schaffner-Bielich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120** (2018) 261103.
- [60] R. Nandi, P. Char, S. Pal, Phys. Rev. C **99** (2019) 052802(R).
- [61] O. Lourenco, M. Dutra, C. H. Lenzi, C. V. Flores, D. P. Menezes, Phys. Rev. C **99** (2019) 045202.
- [62] J. J. Li, A. Sedrakian, Astroph. J. lett. **874** (2019) l22.
- [63] S. Traversi, P. Char, G. Pagliara, Astroph. J. **897** (2020) 165.
- [64] R. O. Gomes, P. Char, S. Schramm, Astroph. J. **877** (2019) 139.

- [65] S. Han, M. A. A. Mamun, S. Lalit, C. Constantinou, M. Prakash, *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) 103022.
- [66] V. Dexheimer, R. O. Gomes, S. Schramm, H. Pais, *J. Phys. G* **46** (2019) 034002.
- [67] P. Landry, R. Essick, K. Chatziioannou, *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020) 123007.
- [68] R. Essick, P. Landry, D. E. Holz, *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020) 063007.
- [69] R. Essick, I. Tews, P. Landry, S. Reddy, D. E. Holz, *Phys. Rev. C* **102** (2020) 055803.  
R. Essick, I. Tews, P. Landry, A. Schwenk, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127** (2021) 192701.  
R. Essick, P. Landry, A. Schwenk, I. Tews, *Phys. Rev. C* **104** (2021) 065804.
- [70] B. T. Reed, F. J. Fattoyev, C.J. Horowitz, J. Piekarewicz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126** (2021) 172503.
- [71] S. Blacker, N. U. F. Bastian, A. Bauswein, D. B. Blaschke, T. Fischer, M. Oertel, T. Soutanis, S. Typel, *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) 123023.
- [72] S. Y. Lau, K. Yagi, *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021) 063015.
- [73] I. Legred, K. Chatziioannou, R. Essick, S. Han, P. Landry, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) 063003.
- [74] P. T. H. Pang, et al., *Astroph. J.* **922** (2021) 14.
- [75] E. R. Most, L. J. Papenfort, V. Dexheimer, M. Hanauske, S. Schramm, H. Stocker, L. Rezzolla, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122** (2019) 061101.  
E. R. Most, L. J. Papenfort, V. Dexheimer, M. Hanauske, H. Stoecker, L. Rezzolla, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **56** (2020) 59.
- [76] M. Ferreira, C. Providencia, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) 063006.
- [77] W. Z. Shangguan, Z. Q. Huang, S. N. Wei, W. Z. Jiang, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) 063035.
- [78] G. Raijmakers et al., *Astroph. J. L.* **918** (2021) L29.
- [79] R. M. Aguirre, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 116023.
- [80] S. Kubis, W. Wojcik, N. Zabari, *Phys. Rev. C* **102** (2020) 065803.
- [81] T. Miyatsu, M.-K. Cheoun, K. Saito, *Astrophys. J.* **929**, 82 (2022).
- [82] J. Schaffner, C. B. Dover, A. Gal, C. Greiner, D. J. Millener, H. Stocker, *Ann. Phys.* **235**, 35 (1994).
- [83] I. Vidaña, A. Polls, A. Ramos, H.-J. Schulze, *Phys. Rev. C* **64** (2001) 044301.

- [84] A. Gal, E. V. Hungerford, D. j. Millener, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **88**, (2016) 035004.
- [85] M. Oertel, C. Providencia, F. Gulminelli, Ad. R. Raduta, *J. Phys. G* **42** (2015) 075202.
- [86] J. R. Torres, F. Gulminelli, D. Menezes, *Phys. Rev. C* **95** (2017) 025201.
- [87] J. J. Li, W. H. Long, A. Sedrakian, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **54** (2018) 133.
- [88] G. Baym, C. Pethick, P. Sutherland, *Astroph. J.* **170** (1971) 299.
- [89] S. P. Klevansky, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **64** (1999) 649.
- [90] P. Rehberg, S. P. Klevansky, J. Hufner, *Phys. Rev. C* **53** (1995) 410.
- [91] J. B. Hartle, *Astroph. J.* **150** (1967) 1005.
- [92] N.-B. Zhang, B.-A. Li, *Astroph. J.* **921** (2021) 111.
- [93] M. C. Miller et al, *Astroph. J. Lett.* **918** (2021) L28.
- [94] T. F. Motta, P. A. M. Guichon, A. W. Thomas, *Nucl. Phys. A* **1009** (2021) 122157.
- [95] J. Alsing, H. O. Silva, E. Berti, *Mont. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **478** (2018) 1377.
- [96] Ch. C. Moustakidis, T. Gaitanos, Ch. Margaritis, G. A. Lalazissis, *Phys. Rev. C* **95** (2017) 045801.
- [97] M. Fortin, A. R. Raduta, S. Avancini, C. Providencia, *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020) 034017.
- [98] K. D. Kokkotas, T. A. Apostolatos, N. Andersson, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **320** (2001) 307.
- [99] H. Sotani, K. Tominaga, K. Maedas, *Phys. Rev. D* **65** (2001) 024010.
- [100] C. J. Xia, T. Maruyama, N. Yasutake, T. Tatsumi, *Phys. Rev. D* **99** (2019) 103017.
- [101] M. Alford and S. Reddy, *Phys. Rev. D* **67** 074024 (2003).
- [102] M. Buballa, F. Neumann, M. Oertel, I. Shovkovy, *Phys. Lett. B* **595** (2004) 36.
- [103] S. Lawley, W. Bentz, A. W. Thomas, *J. Phys. G* **32** (2006) 667.
- [104] G. Pagliara, J. Schaffner-Bielich, *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 063004.
- [105] I. Paulucci, E. J. Ferrer, J. E. Horvath, V. de la Incera, *J. Phys. G* **40** (2013) 125202.
- [106] M. Baldo, G. F. Burgio, P. Castorina, S. Plumari, D. Zappala, *Phys. Rev. C* **75** (2007) 035804.




Figure 1: The equation of state for the composite model, the approaches F, N, and NQ have been distinguished according to the line convention shown.




Figure 2: The mass of the proton-neutron duplet as functions of the baryonic density, the approaches F, N, and NQ have been distinguished according to the line convention shown.






Figure 3: The amplitude of the mean field solutions for the scalar mesons  $\sigma$ ,  $\delta$ , and  $\xi$  as functions of the baryonic density, the approaches F, N, and NQ have been distinguished according to the line convention shown.




Figure 4: The mass-radius relation for neutron stars, the approaches F, N, and NQ have been distinguished according to the line convention shown.




Figure 5: The moment of inertia of a slowly rotating neutron star in terms of the star mass, the approaches F, N, and NQ have been distinguished according to the line convention shown.




Figure 6: The equilibrium  $v_e$  and adiabatic  $v_a$  speeds of sound as functions of the baryonic density in the F case. The horizontal line corresponds to the conformal limit.




Figure 7: The Brunt-Väisälä frequency in terms of the radial coordinate for a star with  $M/M_\odot = 1.4$  (a) and  $M/M_\odot = 2$  (b), obtained within the F case.




Figure 8: The combined tidal deformability as a function of the mass of the heavier component of a binary system obtained within the F case.

Figure 9: The fundamental frequency of non-radial oscillations for the g-mode (a), and the f-mode (b) as functions of the star mass. The approaches F and N have been distinguished according to the line convention shown.