# Off-diagonal long-range order in the ground state of the Kitaev chain 

E. S. Ma and Z. Song*<br>School of Physics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China


#### Abstract

We study a one-dimensional Kitaev model with uniform phase gradient pairing term. We show that the gradient constant dramatically affects the phase diagram, which consists of topologically trivial and nontrivial phases, associated with Majorana edge modes. Based on the exact solution, a Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS)-pair order parameter is introduced to characterize the phase diagram by its value and nonanalytic behavior at phase boundaries. We find that this order parameter obtains its maxima at the triple critical points, at which the pairing phase gradient suppresses the single-particle scattering process due to the coherent destructive interference. In particular, we show that the ground state at such a point possesses exact off-diagonal long-range order (ODLRO), in the thermodynamic limit. Our result provides an example of a gapless $p$-wave superconducting ground state possessing ODLRO.


## I. INTRODUCTION

The one-dimensional (1D) Kitaev model [1] is a prototype minimal model of interacting spinless fermions, describing $p$-wave topological superconductors [2]. It hosts Majorana zero modes [3-5], which have attracted tremendous attention in condensed matter and materials physics communities due to the implications in topological quantum computation. This model consists of three terms: spin-less fermion hopping between lattice sites, chemical potential and pair creation (annihilation) on a dimer. The simplicity of the model permits the exact solution, which exhibits a rich variety of emergent quantum many-body phenomena such as superconductivity and Majorana zero modes. Unlike another spinful interacting fermionic model, the Hubbard model, the ground state of the Kitaev model can be a superconducting state. In parallel, although the Hubbard model also involves the terms of hopping and on-site pair interaction, the ground state is not a superconducting state. However, it permits a set of special exact eigenstates, $\eta$-pairing states, which describe the condensation of on-site fermion pairs with the identical momentum $\pi$. Remarkably, these states are shown exactly to possess off-diagonal long-range order (ODLRO) [6, 7]. Exact expression of a many-fermion state has a unique advantage to identify the features of ODLRO. A natural question arises from the existence of ODLRO in the ground state of the Kitaev model. Understanding the properties of the Kitaev model provides insights into how order can emerge from the interplay among the single-particle kinetic energy, chemical potential, and pairing amplitude.

In this work, we consider the 1D Kitaev model with an additional parameter, phase on the strength of the pairing term with a uniform gradient. We show that the gradient constant dramatically affects the phase diagram, which consists of topologically trivial and nontrivial phases, associated with Majorana edge modes as a demonstration of bulk-boundary correspondence (BBC).

[^0]Specifically, we examine the pairing mechanism by introducing a Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS)-pair order parameter. Based on the exact solution, we find that the phase diagram can be used to characterize the value of the parameter and its nonanalytic behavior at phase boundaries. This order parameter obtains its maxima at the triple critical points, at which the pairing phase gradient suppresses the single-particle scattering process due to the coherent destructive interference. Such a ground state is essentially the condensation of fermion pairs with zero momentum, which is also shown to be equivalent to that of spatially local fermion pairs. As expected, this condensation results in the existence of an exact ODLRO in the thermodynamic limit. Our result provides an example of a gapless $p$-wave superconducting ground state possessing ODLRO.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Sec. II discusses the Kitaev model, wherein the exact solution for periodic and open boundary conditions are obtained and the phase diagram is presented. Sec. III introduces the BCS-like parameter for the analytical understanding of the $p$-wave pairing ground state and the pair condensation. Sec. IV shows the existence of ODLRO for the condensation of zero-momentum fermion pairs. Sec. V concludes this paper. Some details of our calculations are placed in the Appendix.

## II. MODEL AND PHASE DIAGRAM

We begin with a Kitaev model with a phase gradient in the pairing terms by considering the following fermionic Hamiltonian on a lattice of length $N$

$$
\begin{align*}
H= & \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left[-J c_{j}^{\dagger} c_{j+1}-\Delta e^{i \theta_{j}} c_{j}^{\dagger} c_{j+1}^{\dagger}+\right.\text { H.c. } \\
& \left.+\mu\left(2 n_{j}-1\right)\right] \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{l}^{\dagger}\left(c_{l}\right)$ is a fermionic creation (annihilation) operator on site $l, n_{l}=c_{l}^{\dagger} c_{l}, J$ is the tunneling rate, $\mu$ is the chemical potential, and position-dependent complex


FIG. 1. Phase diagram of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (2) on the parameter $\phi-\mu$ plane. Different color regions represent different phases that are distinguished by winding number $\mathcal{N}$, which is defined in Eq. 18. The brown and green lines are the boundaries of different phases, from Eq. 17). This indicates that the $\phi$ factor shrinks the region of the nontrivial phase in the chemical potential, resulting in triple critical points at $( \pm \pi / 2,0)$.
strength $\Delta e^{i \theta_{j}}$ of the $p$-wave pair creation (annihilation). For a closed chain, we define $c_{N+1}=c_{1}$, and for an open chain, we set $c_{N+1}=0$. The Kitaev model is known to have a rich phase diagram in its simplest version, i.e., $\theta_{j}=0$. It has been shown that the nonzero $\theta_{j}$ affects the phase diagram and Majorana edge modes [812]. In this work, we only consider the Hamiltonian with a uniform phase gradient, $\theta_{j}=2 j \phi$ and $\Delta>0$. Mathematically, it can be reduced to a familiar form

$$
\begin{align*}
H= & \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left[-J e^{i \phi} c_{j}^{\dagger} c_{j+1}-\Delta c_{j}^{\dagger} c_{j+1}^{\dagger}+\right.\text { Н.c. } \\
& \left.+\mu\left(2 n_{j}-1\right)\right] . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

for the sake of convenience of discussion by taking the guage transformation $c_{j} \longrightarrow e^{i\left(j-\frac{1}{2}\right) \phi} c_{j}$. In the following, we focus on the above Hamiltonian, considering the solutions of $H$ under periodic and open boundary conditions. The obtained result can be mapped to that of the original Hamiltonian.

The Hamiltonian is exactly solvable due to the translational symmetry of the system, i.e., $[T, H]=0$, where $T$ is the translational operator, defined by $T c_{l} T^{-1}=c_{l+1}$. Taking the Fourier transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{j}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k} e^{i k j} c_{k} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $k=2 \pi l / N(l \in Z)$ for the Hamiltonian (2), we have
its Nambu representation $H=\sum_{\pi>k>0} H_{k}$ with

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{k}= & -2\left(\begin{array}{cc}
c_{k}^{\dagger} & c_{-k}
\end{array}\right) h_{k}\binom{c_{k}}{c_{-k}^{\dagger}} \\
& -2 \cos (-k+\phi) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where the matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{k}=\sum_{\alpha=0}^{3} B_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is expressed by the Pauli matrices

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{0} & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \sigma_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
\sigma_{2} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right), \sigma_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

and the field components are

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{0}=-\sin \phi \sin k, B_{1}=0 \\
& B_{2}=-\Delta \sin k, B_{3}=\cos \phi \cos k-\mu \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we take $J=1$ for the convenience of further analysis and the $\left(c_{k}, c_{k^{\prime}}^{\dagger}\right)$ operators are fermion operators satisfying commutation relations $\left\{c_{k}, c_{k^{\prime}}^{\dagger}\right\}=\delta_{k k^{\prime}}$ and $\left\{c_{k}, c_{k^{\prime}}\right\}=0$.

The eigenvectors of $h_{k}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\psi_{k}^{ \pm}\right\rangle=\binom{\cos \frac{\theta_{k}}{2}}{\sin \frac{\theta_{k}}{2} e^{i \varphi}},\binom{\sin \frac{\theta_{k}}{2}}{-\cos \frac{\theta_{k}}{2} e^{i \varphi}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with eigenvalues

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{k}= \pm \sqrt{B_{2}^{2}+B_{3}^{2}}+B_{0}= \pm r_{k}-\sin \phi \sin k \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the norm of Bloch vector $\mathbf{B}=\left(B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{k}=\sqrt{(\cos \phi \cos k-\mu)^{2}+(\Delta \sin k)^{2}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The angles read

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \varphi=-\operatorname{sign}(k), \theta_{k}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{\Delta|\sin k|}{\cos \phi \cos k-\mu} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The diagonalizable form of the Hamiltonian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\sum_{\pi>k>-\pi}\left[2\left(\sin \phi \sin k-r_{k}\right) \gamma_{k}^{\dagger} \gamma_{k}+r_{k}\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the fermion operator is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{k}=\cos \frac{\theta_{k}}{2} c_{k}+\sin \frac{\theta_{k}}{2} e^{-i \varphi} c_{-k}^{\dagger} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this work, we only focus on the case with $\Delta>J>0$, in which the ground state has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G(\mu, \phi)\rangle=\prod_{\pi>k>0}\left(\sin \frac{\theta_{k}}{2}-i \cos \frac{\theta_{k}}{2} c_{-k}^{\dagger} c_{k}^{\dagger}\right)|0\rangle_{k}|0\rangle_{-k} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the density of the ground state energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{g}}=-\frac{2}{N} \sum_{\pi>k>0} r_{k}+\frac{|\cos \phi-\mu|+|\cos \phi+\mu|}{N} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for even $N$.
The phase diagram can be determined by the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{k_{c}}=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which results in $k_{c}=0$ or $\pi$, and the phase boundary

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu= \pm \cos \phi \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The topological index, winding number or Zak phase can be extracted from the Bloch vector $\mathbf{B}=\left(B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}\right)$. The winding number of a closed curve in the auxiliary $B_{3} B_{2}$-plane around the origin is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \oint_{C}\left(\hat{B}_{3} \mathrm{~d} \hat{B}_{2}-\hat{B}_{2} \mathrm{~d} \hat{B}_{3}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{B}}(k)=\mathbf{B}(k) /|\mathbf{B}(k)| . \mathcal{N}$ is an integer representing the total number of times that a curve travels counterclockwise around the origin. Actually, the winding number is simply related to the loop described by equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(B_{3}+\mu\right)^{2}}{\cos ^{2} \phi}+\frac{\left(B_{2}\right)^{2}}{\Delta^{2}}=1 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which presents a normal ellipse in the $B_{3} B_{2}$-plane. In Fig. 1, the winding number $\mathcal{N}$ in each phase is given. In Fig. 2, the graphs of the Bloch vector in 19 with winding number $\mathcal{N}$ in each region is given in comparison with the spectrum of the Majorana lattice.

For a Kitaev chain, the translational symmetry is broken, and the above solution is invalid. To obtain the solution of $H$ with an open boundary condition, we introduce Majorana fermion operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{j}=\frac{a_{j}-i b_{j}}{2}, c_{j}^{\dagger}=\frac{a_{j}+i b_{j}}{2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which obey the commutation relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{a_{j}, a_{j^{\prime}}\right\}=2 \delta_{j j^{\prime}},\left\{b_{j}, b_{j^{\prime}}\right\}=2 \delta_{j j^{\prime}},\left\{a_{j}, b_{j^{\prime}}\right\}=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the Hamiltonian can be rewritten in the form

$$
H=i \varphi^{\mathrm{T}} h \varphi
$$



FIG. 2. Plots of the energy spectrum of the Majorana lattice in (23) under open boundary condition obtained from numerical diagonalization. The parameters are $\mu=0.5, J=1$, $\Delta=1.5$ and $N=30$. The graphs of Bloch vector $\mathbf{B}$ in each region of $\phi$ illustrate the corresponding winding numbers. Red filled circles indicate the origin. We see that the mid-gap zero modes appear when the winding number is nonzero, demonstrating the bulk-boundary correspondence.
where the operator vector is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\left(a_{1}, b_{1}, a_{2}, b_{2}, \cdots a_{N}, b_{N}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $h$ is a $2 N \times 2 N$ matrix

$$
\begin{align*}
& h=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left[(\cos \phi-\Delta)|j\rangle_{A B}\langle j+1|\right. \\
& \left.-(\cos \phi+\Delta)|j\rangle_{B A}\langle j+1|-2 \mu|j\rangle_{A B}\langle j|\right] \\
& -\sin \phi\left(|j\rangle_{A A}\langle j+1|+|j\rangle_{B B}\langle j+1|\right)-\text { H.c., } \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

satisfying $h=h^{*}=-h^{\mathrm{T}}$, where basis $\left\{|l\rangle_{A},|l\rangle_{B}, l \in[1, N]\right\} \quad$ is an orthonormal complete set, and ${ }_{A}\left\langle l \mid l^{\prime}\right\rangle_{B}=\delta_{l l^{\prime}} \delta_{A B}$. Matrix ih represents a single-particle representation of a Hermitian tightbinding ladder. Based on the eigenvectors of matrix $h$, the solution of the Kitaev model with both periodic and open boundary conditions can be obtained. For a ring system, the same winding number can be obtained from the eigenstates of $h$. Particularly, the edge modes of $h$ for an open chain can be obtained from numerical diagonalization and correspond to the winding number. In Fig. 2, the plots of the energy levels of $h$ for the finite system and the corresponding graphs of the Bloch vector in (19) demonstrate this bulk-boundary correspondence.

## III. ORDER PARAMETER

It is believed that a superconducting state is a condensation of pairs of fermions. For the present model, the particle number is not conservative, and condensation is a consequence of dynamic equilibrium between pair creation and annihilation. In this section, we introduce an observable to measure such a condensation.

The phase diagram indicates that there is a threshold value of chemical potential $|\mu|$ for topologically nontrivial phases, which depends on the factor $\phi$. The reason may be that a sufficiently large $|\mu|$ suppresses the pairing process. On the other hand, factor $\phi$ also affects this process. We note that the kinetic energy of a pair of fermions with opposite momenta is $-2 \cos (k+\phi)-2 \cos (-k+\phi)$, which is zero for all $k$ when taking $\phi=\pi / 2,3 \pi / 2$. Then, the scattering process of BCS-pair $c_{k}^{\dagger} c_{-k}^{\dagger} c_{-k^{\prime}} c_{k^{\prime}}$ is favorable. This analysis indicates that the transition rate of BCSpairs in the nontrivial phases is relatively larger than that in the trivial phases and depends on the factor $\phi$. To quantitatively characterize the pairing process, we introduce the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{O}_{k}=s_{k}^{y}=\frac{i}{2}\left(c_{k} c_{-k}-c_{-k}^{\dagger} c_{k}^{\dagger}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(s_{k}^{ \pm}, s_{k}^{y}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{k}^{-}=\left(s_{k}^{+}\right)^{\dagger}=c_{k} c_{-k} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

are pseudo spin operators. Obviously, for a given state $|\psi\rangle$, quantity $\left.\left|\langle\psi| \hat{O}_{k}\right| \psi\right\rangle \mid$ measures the rate of transition for a pair in the $k$ channel and the population of pairs. The corresponding order parameters are defined by the average magnitude over all channels, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.O=\frac{2}{N} \sum_{\pi>k>0}\left|\langle\psi| \hat{O}_{k}\right| \psi\right\rangle \mid \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, $O$ is believed to have different values for different given states. Nonzero $O$ means that state $|\psi\rangle$ is a superconducting state. In the following, we derive the analytical expressions of $O$ for the ground states of the system in different regions and study their behaviors at the phase boundaries.

For the ground state $|G(\mu, \phi)\rangle$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{\mathrm{g}}(\mu, \phi)=\frac{\Delta}{N} \sum_{k>0} \frac{\sin k}{r_{k}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the limit $N \longrightarrow \infty$, we have $O(\mu, \phi)=$ $(\Delta / 2 \pi) \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin k / r_{k} \mathrm{~d} k$, which can be expressed explicitly as

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{\mathrm{g}}=\frac{1}{\pi a}|\ln \Gamma| \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\Gamma=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{i a|\cos \phi| \Delta+2 \cos ^{2} \phi-\Delta^{2}}{2 \mid \Delta^{2}} & |\mu|<|\cos \phi|  \tag{29}\\
\frac{2 \mid+i \Delta a}{2|\mu|-i \Delta a} & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $a=2 \sqrt{\Delta^{2}-\cos ^{2} \phi} / \Delta$.
We note that $O_{\mathrm{g}}$ is independent of $\mu$ within the nontrivial phases. In general, a sudden change in the ground state occurs at the boundary. Obviously, $O_{\mathrm{g}}$ is nonanalytic at the phase boundary and can identify the phase diagram. Notably, $O_{\mathrm{g}}$ reaches its maxima at the triple critical points $(\mu, \phi)=(0, \pm \pi / 2)$. In Fig. 3, we plot the profiles of $O_{\mathrm{g}}$ in the $\mu-\phi$ plane. This clearly demonstrates the above points.

## IV. PAIR CONDENSATION WITH ODLRO

In this section, we explore the implication of the obtained result. First, we would like to point out that the BCS-pair correlation is intimately related to the pair in real space due to the following relation in a large $N$ limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{y}=-\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{l}\left(\zeta_{l}^{\dagger}+\zeta_{l}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we introduce a local pair operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{l}=\sum_{\text {odd } r>0} \frac{1}{r} c_{l} c_{l+r} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second, the maximum of $O_{\mathrm{g}}=1 / 2$ is also the maximum of the operator $\sum_{k>0} \hat{O}_{k}$. This indicates that the corresponding ground state is the eigenstate of $\sum_{k>0} \hat{O}_{k}$ and that the hopping term has no contribution to the energy. A similar case occurs in the $\eta$-pairing state in the Hubbard model, where the single-particle scattering is suppressed by coherent destructive interference for the states with ODLRO. We note that the operator $s^{+}$represents the local pairing creation, which is similar to the $\eta$-pair operator situation with $N \longrightarrow \infty$. These results motivate us to investigate the ODLRO in the ground state of the present model.

We start with the case with $\mu=0$ and $\phi=\pi / 2$, where the Hamiltonian becomes $H=H_{\mathrm{T}}+H_{\mathrm{P}}$, with

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{\mathrm{T}}=2 \sum_{\pi>k>0} \sin k\left(c_{k}^{\dagger} c_{k}-c_{-k}^{\dagger} c_{-k}\right)  \tag{32}\\
& H_{\mathrm{P}}=2 i \Delta \sum_{\pi>k>0} \sin k\left(c_{-k}^{\dagger} c_{k}^{\dagger}+c_{-k} c_{k}\right) \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to check that, the hopping term is decoupled from the pairing term, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[H_{\mathrm{T}}, H_{\mathrm{P}}\right]=0 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can divide the set of $k \in(0, \pi)$ into two subsets $\left\{k_{a}\right\}$ and $\left\{k_{b}\right\}$ arbitrarily, $\{k\}=\left\{k_{a}\right\} \oplus\left\{k_{b}\right\}$. A set of eigenstates can be constructed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Psi\rangle=\prod_{k \in\left\{k_{a}\right\}} c_{ \pm k}^{\dagger}|0\rangle_{k}|0\rangle_{-k} \prod_{k \in\left\{k_{b}\right\}} \frac{i \pm c_{-k}^{\dagger} c_{k}^{\dagger}}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle_{k}|0\rangle_{-k} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 3. (a) Color contour plots of numerical results of order parameter $O(\mu, \phi)$ defined in 27). (b) Plots of $O\left(\mu, \phi_{0}\right)$ for several representative values of $\phi_{0}$. (c) Plots of $O\left(\mu_{0}, \phi\right)$ for several different $\mu_{0}$. The parameters are $N=1000, J=1$ and $\Delta=1.5$. It is clear that $O$ is $\mu$ independent in the nontrivial region and reach its maxima at the triple critical points.
with the eigen energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
E= \pm 2 \sum_{k \in\left\{k_{a}\right\}} \sin k \mp 2 \Delta \sum_{k \in\left\{k_{b}\right\}} \sin k . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that under the condition $\Delta>J>0$, the ground state is

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G\rangle=\prod_{k} \frac{i+c_{-k}^{\dagger} c_{k}^{\dagger}}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle_{k}|0\rangle_{-k} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is also the eigenstate of the operator $\sum_{k>0} \hat{O}_{k}$.
Importantly, it can be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G\rangle=\sum_{n=0}^{N / 2} d_{n}\left|\psi_{n}\right\rangle \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficient

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{n}=\sqrt{C_{N / 2}^{n}} \sin ^{n}(\pi / 4)(i \cos (\pi / 4))^{N / 2-n} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the basis

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\psi_{n}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\Omega_{n}}\left(s^{+}\right)^{n}|0\rangle,|0\rangle=\prod_{k>0}|0\rangle_{k}|0\rangle_{-k} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the normalization factor $\Omega_{n}=(n!) \sqrt{C_{N / 2}^{n}}$. It is clear that state $|G\rangle$ is a coherent state of zero-momentum-pair condensation. In fact, direct derivation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle G| s^{+} s^{-}|G\rangle=\frac{N(N+2)}{16} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the limit $N \longrightarrow \infty,\langle G| s^{+} s^{-}|G\rangle$ is contributed by $N^{2}$ terms such as $(2 / \pi)^{2} \zeta_{l}^{\dagger} \zeta_{l^{\prime}}$. It is presumably that the correlator

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{l l^{\prime}}=\langle G| \zeta_{l}^{\dagger} \zeta_{l^{\prime}}|G\rangle \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

is finite when the distance $\left|l-l^{\prime}\right| \gg 1$. The direct derivation of $\zeta_{l}|G\rangle$ in the Appendix shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{l l^{\prime}}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{64}, \text { for }\left|l-l^{\prime}\right| \gg 1 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we conclude that the ground state at the triple critical point possesses the exact ODLRO.

## V. SUMMARY

We have presented a finding that the ground state of the Kitaev model can possess ODLRO in the presence of a uniform phase gradient on the pairing term. The phase gradient plays a different role from the chemical potential in influencing the phase diagram no matter what kind of boundary condition is considered. Accordingly, the Majorana edge modes can be controlled by the phase gradient, providing an alternative avenue for topological quantum computation. In addition, it has been shown that the BCS-pair order parameter is chemical potential $\mu$ independent but phase gradient $\phi$ dependent within the topologically nontrivial phase. At the triple critical point, the ground state is the condensation of zeromomentum fermion pairs with different pair densities. In this regard, it is an analog of the $\eta$-pairing state in the Hubbard model, replacing the on-site single pair with a local $p$-wave pair. This study provides insight into the long-range order that emerges from the interplay among the single-particle kinetic energy, chemical potential, and phase gradient in the 1D Kitaev model.
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## APPENDIX

In this Appendix, the derivation of the correlator in Eq. (43) is presented. By Fourier transformation, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{l} & =\sum_{\text {odd } r>0} \frac{1}{r} c_{l} c_{l+r} \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\text {odd } r>0} \sum_{k, k^{\prime}} \frac{1}{r} e^{i\left(k+k^{\prime}\right) l} e^{i k^{\prime} r} c_{k} c_{k^{\prime}} \tag{A1}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{l^{\prime}}^{\dagger}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\text {odd } r>0} \sum_{k, k^{\prime}} \frac{1}{r} e^{-i\left(k+k^{\prime}\right) l^{\prime}} e^{-i k^{\prime} r} c_{k^{\prime}}^{\dagger} c_{k}^{\dagger} \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The correlator has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{l^{\prime}}^{\dagger} \zeta_{l}= & \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}} \rho_{-k_{1}} \rho_{k_{4}} e^{-i\left(k_{2}+k_{1}\right) l^{\prime}} e^{i\left(k_{3}+k_{4}\right) l} \\
& \times c_{k_{1}}^{\dagger} c_{k_{2}}^{\dagger} c_{k_{3}} c_{k_{4}} \tag{A3}
\end{align*}
$$

where the coefficient

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{k}=\sum_{\text {odd } r>0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r} e^{i k r}=\frac{i \pi}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \ln \cot (k / 2), \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and specifically

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{k}-\rho_{-k}= \pm \frac{i \pi}{2} . \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on the expectation value of four operators

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle G| c_{k_{1}}^{\dagger} c_{k_{2}}^{\dagger} c_{k_{3}} c_{k_{4}}|G\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle G| \zeta_{l^{\prime}}^{\dagger} \zeta_{l}|G\rangle \\
= & \frac{1}{4 N^{2}} \sum_{\left|k_{2}\right| \neq\left|k_{1}\right|}\left(\rho_{-k_{1}} \rho_{k_{1}}-\rho_{-k_{1}} \rho_{k_{2}}\right) e^{i\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)\left(l-l^{\prime}\right)} \\
& +\frac{1}{2 N^{2}} \sum_{k_{1}}\left(\rho_{-k_{1}} \rho_{k_{1}}-\rho_{-k_{1}} \rho_{-k_{1}}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{4 N^{2}} \sum_{\left|k_{3}\right| \neq\left|k_{1}\right|} \operatorname{sign}\left(k_{1} k_{3}\right) \rho_{-k_{1}} \rho_{-k_{3}} . \tag{A7}
\end{align*}
$$

Three terms can be further expressed explicitly in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\left|k_{2}\right| \neq\left|k_{1}\right|}\left(\rho_{-k_{1}} \rho_{k_{1}}-\rho_{-k_{1}} \rho_{k_{2}}\right) e^{i\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)\left(l-l^{\prime}\right)} \\
= & \sum_{k_{1}>0, k_{2}>k_{1}} 2\left\{\left|\rho_{k_{1}}-\rho_{k_{2}}\right|^{2} \cos \left[\left(k_{2}+k_{1}\right)\left(l-l^{\prime}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\left|\rho_{k_{2}}-\rho_{-k_{1}}\right|^{2} \cos \left[\left(k_{2}-k_{1}\right)\left(l-l^{\prime}\right)\right]\right\}, \tag{A8}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k_{1}}\left(\rho_{-k_{1}} \rho_{k_{1}}-\rho_{-k_{1}} \rho_{-k_{1}}\right) \\
= & \sum_{k_{1}>0}\left(\rho_{k_{1}}-\rho_{-k_{1}}\right)\left(\rho_{-k_{1}}-\rho_{k_{1}}\right)=\sum_{k_{1}>0} \frac{\pi^{2}}{4}, \tag{A9}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\left|k_{3}\right| \neq\left|k_{1}\right|} \operatorname{sign}\left(k_{1} k_{3}\right) \rho_{-k_{1}} \rho_{-k_{3}} \\
= & \sum_{k_{1}, k_{3}>0, k_{3} \neq k_{1}}-\left(\rho_{k_{1}}-\rho_{-k_{1}}\right)\left(\rho_{-k_{3}}-\rho_{k_{3}}\right) \\
= & \sum_{k_{1}, k_{3}>0, k_{3} \neq k_{1}}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{4} . \tag{A10}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle G| \zeta_{l^{\prime}}^{\dagger} \zeta_{l}|G\rangle \\
= & \frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{k_{2}}\left\{\left|\rho_{k_{1}}-\rho_{k_{2}}\right|^{2} \cos \left[\left(k_{2}+k_{1}\right)\left(l-l^{\prime}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\left|\rho_{k_{2}}-\rho_{-k_{1}}\right|^{2} \cos \left[\left(k_{2}-k_{1}\right)\left(l-l^{\prime}\right)\right]\right\} \mathrm{d} k_{1} \mathrm{~d} k_{2} \\
& +\frac{\pi^{2}}{64} . \tag{A11}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that two terms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\rho_{k_{1}}-\rho_{k_{2}}\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left|\ln \frac{\cot \left(k_{1} / 2\right)}{\cot \left(k_{2} / 2\right)}\right|^{2} \tag{A12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\rho_{k_{2}}-\rho_{-k_{1}}\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left|i \pi+\ln \frac{\cot \left(k_{2} / 2\right)}{\cot \left(k_{1} / 2\right)}\right|^{2} \tag{A13}
\end{equation*}
$$

are finite except at the boundary, and cannot induce the divergence of the integral. In the case $\left|l-l^{\prime}\right| \gg 1$, the
high frequency oscillation of terms $\cos \left[\left(k_{2}+k_{1}\right)\left(l-l^{\prime}\right)\right]$ and $\cos \left[\left(k_{2}-k_{1}\right)\left(l-l^{\prime}\right)\right]$ result in the vanishing contribution. Therefore, we obtain the conclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle G| \zeta_{l^{\prime}}^{\dagger} \zeta_{l}|G\rangle=\frac{\pi^{2}}{64} \tag{A14}
\end{equation*}
$$
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