# Coherent Spin Dynamics of Electrons in Two-Dimensional (PEA)<sub>2</sub>PbI<sub>4</sub> Perovskites

Erik Kirstein ,\*\*,\*,\* Evgeny A. Zhukov ,\*\*,\*,\* Dmitri R. Yakovlev ,\*\*,\*,\* Nataliia E. Kopteva ,\* Carolin Harkort ,\* Dennis Kudlacik ,\* Oleh Hordiichuk ,\*,\*, Maksym V. Kovalenko ,\*, and Manfred Bayer \*\*

 $\dagger Authors\ contributed\ equally$ 

‡Experimental Physics 2, Department of Physics, TU Dortmund, 44227 Dortmund, Germany

¶Ioffe Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, 194021 St. Petersburg, Russia §Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences, ETH Zürich, Zürich CH-8093, Switzerland

|| EMPA-Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology, Dübendorf CH-8600, Switzerland

E-mail: erik.kirstein@tu-dortmund.de; dmitri.yakovlev@tu-dortmund.de

#### Abstract

The versatile potential of lead halide perovskites and two-dimensional materials is merged in the Ruddlesen-Popper perovskites having outstanding optical properties. Here, the coherent spin dynamics in Ruddlesen-Popper (PEA)<sub>2</sub>PbI<sub>4</sub> perovskites are investigated by picosecond pump-probe Kerr rotation in an external magnetic field. The Larmor spin precession of resident electrons with a spin dephasing time of 190 ps is identified. The longitudinal spin relaxation time in weak magnetic fields measured by the spin inertia method is as long as 25  $\mu$ s. A significant anisotropy of the electron q-factor with the in-plane value of +2.45 and out-of-plane value of +2.05 is found. The exciton out-of-plane g-factor is measured to be of +1.6 by magneto-reflectivity. This work contributes to the understanding of the spin-dependent properties of two-dimensional perovskites and their spin dynamics.

**Keywords:** Ruddlesden-Popper, lead halide perovskite, spin dynamics, Landé factor

The first reports on optical studies of excitons in Ruddlesden-Popper type perovskite structures  $APbI_4$ , where A is an organic anion, date back to 1989. 1-3 These crystals contain monolayers of the [PbI<sub>6</sub>] octahedra, which form quantum wells, separated by organic barriers. In these two-dimensional (2D) structures the exciton binding energy is greatly increased up to 200 - 500 meV due to the reduction of dimensionality and the dielectric confinement.<sup>4</sup> In this respect, they are similar to 2D materials like the transition metal dichalcogenides. <sup>5,6</sup> Recently perovskite materials have regained strong interest due to the great progress of lead halide perovskites, and have advanced as versatile platform for optoelectronic applications <sup>7-11</sup> and beyond. 12 In photovoltaics a power conversion efficiency above 17\%\frac{13,14}{} was reached and long term stability was reported. 15

A variety of optical methods, like four-wave mixing, <sup>16</sup> spatially resolved spectroscopy, <sup>14</sup> second harmonic generation, <sup>17</sup> strong coupling in cavities, 8 etc. were used to address the optical properties of exciton complexes in 2D perovskites. The application of high magnetic fields up to 60 T delivered information about the exciton binding energy, effective mass, fine structure, and q-factor. <sup>18–22</sup> Timeresolved transmission was used to study the exciton spin dynamics at picosecond and subpicosecond time scales. <sup>23–26</sup> However, in these reports, carried out at temperatures above liquid nitrogen temperature up to ambient conditions, the spin dynamics were significantly limited, and spin relaxation times exceeding a few ps have not been reported so far.

The experimental techniques established in spin physics, like time-resolved Faraday/Kerr rotation were successfully used for investigation of lead halide perovskites bulk crystals,  $^{27-30}$  polycrystalline films,  $^{31-33}$  and nanocrystals.  $^{34-36}$  These techniques provide comprehensive information on the coherent and incoherent spin dynamics of electrons and holes, on their Landé factors (g-factors) and on the charge carrier interaction with the nuclear spin system. We are not aware of such experiments performed on the 2D perovskites. Note that the g-factor values and their anisotropy give access

to the band structure parameters through comparison with the results of modeling accounting also for the reduced dimensionality.

In this paper, we use time-resolved Kerr rotation (TRKR) to study the coherent spin dynamics of electrons in films composed of the two-dimensional (PEA)<sub>2</sub>PbI<sub>4</sub> perovskite. The spin dephasing and longitudinal spin relaxation times are measured. The electron g-factor shows a considerable anisotropy, with a larger value for the in-plane component. The exciton out-of-plane g-factor component is measured in magneto-reflectivity, which allows us to evaluate the hole g-factor in this direction.

#### Optical properties

The (PEA)<sub>2</sub>PbI<sub>4</sub> Ruddlesden-Popper type perovskite structure consists of a stack of corner shared PbI<sub>6</sub> octahedra monolayers separated by van der Waals-bonded pairs of PEA [phenethylammonium  $(C_6H_5)CH_2CH_2NH_3$  molecules. In contrast to the APbI<sub>3</sub> lead iodine archetype bulk crystal, with the A cation being {Cs, methylammonium (MA), or formamidinium (FA), with the band gap energy in the near infrared spectral range around 1.7, 1.6, and 1.5 eV, respectively,<sup>37</sup> in the 2D (PEA)<sub>2</sub>PbI<sub>4</sub> (n = 1) the band gap is increased to about 2.6 eV by the quantum confinement. 18,19 The exciton binding energy also strongly increases from about 15 meV<sup>38</sup> in bulk up to 260 meV. <sup>19</sup> This increase is provided by the reduction of dimensionality and largely also by the dielectric confinement effect caused by the contrast in dielectric constants of the perovskite monolayers and the organic environment. 18,39–42

The studied (PEA)<sub>2</sub>PbI<sub>4</sub> sample shows a pronounced exciton resonance in the reflectivity spectrum, measured at the temperature of T = 1.6 K, Figure 1a. Its minimum is located at 2.341 eV and has a full width at half maximum of 6.6 meV. The photoluminescence spectrum (PL) shows a strong emission line with a similar width, and the maximum at 2.342 eV. It can be assigned to exciton emission of both free and weakly localized excitons. The weaker PL line at 2.330 eV can be assigned to dark exciton emission,  $^{43}$  and the line at 2.303 eV was associ-

ated in literature with bound excitons, <sup>43</sup> biexcitons, <sup>44</sup> and a Rashba split ground state. <sup>45,46</sup>

The exciton and charge carrier population dynamics were measured by means of timeresolved differential reflection (TRDR) at the energy of 2.341 eV, Figure 1b. The dynamics are given by a decay with two times,  $\tau_{\text{Ref},1} =$ 20 ps and  $\tau_{\text{Ref},2} = 340 \,\text{ps}$ . Additionally, a longliving component, which lasts beyond the 1 ns time window, was identified in accordance with literature reports. 47 We attribute the short dynamics of 20 ps to the lifetime of bright excitons, which have a large oscillator strength in 2D perovskites. The lifetime is limited by their radiative recombination and relaxation into dark exciton states. This interpretation is in agreement with literature data on the low temperature recombination dynamics in (PEA)<sub>2</sub>PbI<sub>4</sub>. <sup>23,44,48</sup> The longer dynamics o 340 ps can be attributed to nongeminate recombination of charge carriers, and the times exceeding 1 ns are associated with trappingdetrapping processes of charge carriers.

### Coherent spin dynamics of electrons

We use the time-resolved Kerr rotation (TRKR) technique<sup>28,49</sup> to study the coherent spin dynamics in the 2D (PEA)<sub>2</sub>PbI<sub>4</sub> perovskites. Most experiments are performed at the low temperature of T = 1.6 K, where the spin relaxation mechanisms have reduced efficiency. A typical example of the KR dynamics measured in the magnetic field of  $B_{\rm V}=0.5~{\rm T}$ applied in the Voigt geometry is shown in Figure 1c. The laser photon energy is set to the maximum of the KR amplitude at 2.353 eV, which is slightly shifted to higher energy relative to the exciton resonance. The spectral dependence of the KR amplitude is given in the Supporting Information, Figure S4. The spin dynamics in Figure 1c show an oscillating behavior, the KR amplitude,  $A_{KR}(t)$ , decays within 500 ps. It can be well fitted with

$$A_{\rm KR}(t) = S\cos\left(\omega_{\rm L}t\right)\exp\left(-t/T_2^*\right). \tag{1}$$

Here S is the spin polarization at zero time delay,  $\omega_{\rm L} = |g|\mu_{\rm B}B/\hbar$  the Larmor precession frequency, g the Landé factor (g-factor),  $\mu_{\rm B}$  the Bohr magneton,  $T_2^*$  the spin dephasing time, t the time delay between the pump and probe pulses. The fit, shown by the red line in Figure 1c, is done with the parameters  $\omega_{\rm L} = 107 \pm 0.2$  rad ns<sup>-1</sup> and  $T_2^* = 170\,\mathrm{ps}$ . The Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) spectrum of the KR dynamics is shown in the inset of Figure 1c. It has only one strong maximum at  $\omega_{\rm L} = 109.3\pm8\,\mathrm{rad}\,\mathrm{ns}^{-1}$ , confirming that the coherent spin dynamics is dominated by one type of carriers.

With increasing magnetic field strength the Larmor spin precession and the spin dephasing both accelerate. A set of KR dynamics traces for magnetic fields ranging from 0.1 up to 1.0 T is shown in Figure 2a. Through fits with Eq. (1), the Larmor precession frequencies and spin dephasing times were evaluated. Figure 2b shows the magnetic field dependence of the Zeeman splitting,  $E_{\rm Z} = \hbar \omega_{\rm L}$ , which can be well described by a linear function without a notable offset at zero magnetic field. The slope of this dependence gives the value of the in-plane, spanned by a & b axes, g-factor  $|g_{(a,b)}| = 2.45$ . We will show below that it can be safely attributed to the electron g-factor, and therefore its sign should be positive.<sup>29</sup>

The magnetic field dependence of the spin dephasing time  $T_2^*$  is shown in Figure 2c, shortening with increasing magnetic field. This is a well known behavior for spin ensembles, having a g-factor dispersion  $\Delta g$ .<sup>49</sup> The dephasing time reads

$$\frac{1}{T_2^*(B)} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{T_{2,0}^*}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta g_{(a,b)}\mu_{\rm B}B}{\hbar}\right)^2}, \quad (2)$$

with  $T_{2,0}^*$  being the extrapolated zero magnetic field saturation time.<sup>50</sup> The fit gives  $T_{2,0}^* = 190 \,\mathrm{ps}$  and  $\Delta g_{(a,b)} = 0.07$ . The latter corresponds to a relative g-factor variation,  $\Delta g_{(a,b)}/g_{(a,b)}$ , of 2.9%. See further details in the Supporting Information, S8.

Note that in bulk lead halide perovskite crystals, like MAPbI<sub>3</sub>, FA<sub>0.9</sub>Cs<sub>0.1</sub>PbI<sub>2.8</sub>Br<sub>0.2</sub>, FAPbBr<sub>3</sub>, CsPbBr<sub>3</sub>, typically two spin coherent signals with different Larmor frequencies are su-




Figure 1: (a) Reflectivity (blue) and photoluminescence (red) spectra of  $(PEA)_2PbI_4$  measured at  $T=1.6\,\mathrm{K}$ . (b) Time-resolved differential reflection dynamics (dots) measured with degenerate pump and probe energies at  $E_L=2.341\,\mathrm{eV}$  for  $T=1.6\,\mathrm{K}$ . Red line is a biexponential fit with the decay time constants  $\tau_{\mathrm{Ref},1}$  and  $\tau_{\mathrm{Ref},2}$ . (c) Time-resolved Kerr rotation dynamics (dots) measured in Voigt geometry at  $B_V=0.5\,\mathrm{T}$  for a laser energy of  $E_L=2.353\,\mathrm{eV}$ ,  $P=1.5\,\mathrm{mW}$ .  $T=1.6\,\mathrm{K}$ . Line is a fit with Eq. (1). The arrow marks the KR amplitude S at zero time delay. Inset gives the Fast Fourier Transform of the dynamics from the main panel.

perimposed, leading to the observation of spin beats.<sup>29</sup> They were identified as the spin precession signals of resident electrons and holes, which are localized at different crystal sites. The amplitudes of these TRKR signals were comparable evidencing that the concentration of resident electrons and holes are also close to each other.

We attribute the observed spin precession in 2D (PEA)<sub>2</sub>PbI<sub>4</sub> perovskite to the resident electrons. The spin dephasing time  $T_2^* > 190 \,\mathrm{ps}$  exceeds greatly the exciton lifetime  $\tau_{\text{Ref},1} = 20 \,\text{ps}$ , which allows us to disregard the exciton spin precession or the electron spin precession within an exciton. There are several strong arguments, which allow us to favor the resident electrons over the resident holes. Among them are: (i) the q-factor value ranging close to the values obtained for electrons in bulk structures, (ii) its decreasing trend in (PEA)<sub>2</sub>PbBr<sub>4</sub> structures (see SI) with increasing band gap, <sup>29</sup> and (iii) the absence of dynamic nuclear polarization (DNP), while a pronounced DNP occurs for holes in lead halide perovskites. 28 More details are presented in the discussion.

The evolution of the KR dynamics with increasing temperature is shown in Figure 2d.

The signal amplitude decreases strongly and vanishes at temperatures exceeding 50 K. Interestingly, the spin dephasing time (Figure 2f) is only weakly affected by the temperature. Note that the insensitivity of the g-factor (Figure 2e) shows the maintenance of the character of the excited state.

The KR amplitude decrease with rising temperature (Figure 2g) can be fitted with an Arrhenius-type of dependence,

$$\frac{1}{S(T)} = \frac{1}{S(0)} + w \exp\left(-\frac{E_A}{k_B T}\right), \quad (3)$$

with the KR amplitude S(T) evaluated at time equals zero, the Kerr amplitude S(0) at zero temperature corresponding to a frozen phonon environment, the parameter w characterizing the phonon interaction, and the Boltzmann constant  $k_{\rm B}$ . From a corresponding fit we get  $E_A = 7.5$  meV as activation energy. This activation energy can be associated to several factors. Among them, 7.5 meV  $(60.5 \, {\rm cm}^{-1})$  corresponds to phonon modes, which are associated with the Pb-I bond and the inorganic cage - organic molecule bond motion.  $^{45,51}$  To remind, the valence and conduction bands in  $({\rm PEA})_2{\rm PbI}_4$  are




Figure 2: Coherent spin dynamics of electrons in (PEA)<sub>2</sub>PbI<sub>4</sub>. (a) Time-resolved KR dynamics measured in various magnetic fields applied in Voigt geometry.  $E_{\rm L}=2.3458\,{\rm eV},\,P=5\,{\rm mW},$  and  $T=1.6\,{\rm K}.$  (b) Zeeman splitting vs magnetic field, calculated from the Larmor frequency extracted from the signals in panel (a), (dots) together with a linear fit (line).  $g_{(a,b)}$  denotes the in plane g-factor. (c) Spin dephasing time  $T_2^*$  as function of the magnetic field (dots). Dashed line is a fit with Eq. (2) giving the fit parameters  $T_{2,0}^*=190\,{\rm ps}$  and  $\Delta g_{(a,b)}=0.06$ . (d) Time-resolved KR dynamics measured at various temperatures at  $B_{\rm V}=0.5\,{\rm T}.\,E_{\rm L}=2.345\,{\rm eV},\,P=3\,{\rm mW}.$  (e) Temperature dependence of the g-factor. (f) Temperature dependence of the spin dephasing time  $T_2^*$ . The average value (dashed line) is  $T_2^*=180\,{\rm ps}.$  (g) Temperature dependence of the KR amplitude at zero time delay (dots) and the corresponding fit (dashed line) with Eq. (3). The parameters given in the panels (e-g) are results of fits to the signals shown in panel (d), using Eq. (1).

mainly formed by the antibonding orbital of Pb 6s - I 5p and Pb 6p, respectively.

As additional aspect, the decrease of the electron spin signal is quite similar to that observed in perovskite lead halide bulk crystals, <sup>28,52</sup> for which carrier delocalization plays a major role in the temperature dependence.

## Longitudinal spin relaxation measured by spin inertia

In order to get information on the longitudinal spin relaxation dynamics, we perform experiments in a magnetic field applied in the Faraday geometry. No spin precession is expected in the longitudinal magnetic field  $(B_{\rm F})$  so that a clear separation between the longitudinal spin relaxation time,  $T_1$ , and the spin




Figure 3: (a) Set of polarization recovery curves (PRC) for various frequencies of the pump beam helicity modulation  $f_{\rm mod}$ . The magnetic field is applied in the Faraday geometry.  $E_{\rm L}=2.3594\,{\rm eV}$ ,  $P=6\,{\rm mW}$ , and  $T=1.6\,{\rm K}$ . Note that the curves are not shifted vertically. (b) Dependence of the KR amplitude at  $B_{\rm F}=180\,{\rm mT}$  on  $f_{\rm mod}$  (dots). The red dashed line is a fit with Eq. (4), containing two longitudinal spin relaxation times. The individual contributions are shown by the colored areas. (c,d) Power dependence of the spin relaxation times  $\tau_{\rm SI,1}$  and  $\tau_{\rm SI,2}$ .

dephasing time,  $T_2^*$ , can be made. We detect the spin polarization at a negative time delay of -10 ps to get rid of any shorter spin dynamics that decay within the 13.2 ns after each laser pulse excitation. At zero magnetic field the TRKR signal is close to zero at this negative delay time, but it increases with  $B_{\rm F}$  rising to 180 mT, Figure 3a. The field dependence has a characteristic Lorentzian shape, known as polarization recovery curve (PRC). 53,54 Results for different modulation frequencies of the laser helicity,  $f_{\rm mod}$ , are shown. At the frequency of 1 kHz, the PRC curve has the full width at half maximum of 100 mT. This width is nearly independent of  $f_{\text{mod}}$ . The increase of the spin polarization with rising magnetic field clearly indicates an increase of the spin lifetime with magnetic field which then exceeds  $T_{\rm R} = 13.2$  ns. For  $S(t = -10 \,\mathrm{ps}) = S_0 \exp(-t/T_1(B_{\mathrm{F}}))$ . One can see from this equation that for a constant spin pumping  $S_0$  to observe the increased PRC amplitude  $T_1$  need to rise with the magnetic field.

The faster modulation of the laser helicity, i.e. the increase of  $f_{\text{mod}}$  up to 3 MHz, results in a considerable decrease of the KR amplitude (Figure 3a). This shows that the modulation period has become shorter than the spin relax-

ation time. The results give access to the longitudinal spin relaxation time  $T_1$  through the spin inertia method. Details of the spin inertia method are given in the Supporting Information, S2C. For that, we plot in Figure 3b the KR amplitude measured at  $B_{\rm F}=180$  mT as a function of  $f_{\rm mod}$ . The character of its decrease with increasing frequency evidences that two relaxation times are involved. We fit this dependence with

$$A_{KR} = \sum_{n=\{1,2\}} \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{1 + (2\pi f_{\text{mod}} \tau_{SI,n})^2}}, \quad (4)$$

with the amplitude  $S_n$  and the longitudinal spin relaxation times  $\tau_{\rm SI,1}$  and  $\tau_{\rm SI,2}$ . For the pump power of 6 mW the fit yields  $\tau_{\rm SI,1}=1.1\,\mu{\rm s}$  and  $\tau_{\rm SI,2}=21\,\mu{\rm s}$ .

By measuring the pump power dependencies of  $\tau_{\text{SI},1}$  and  $\tau_{\text{SI},2}$  times, we extract the  $T_1$  times in the limit of small excitation powers by using the equation  $\tau_{\text{SI},n}^{-1} = T_{1,n}^{-1} + G_n P$ , with the spin generation rate G and the pump power P. Thereby,  $T_{1,1} = 1.7 \,\mu\text{s}$  and  $T_{1,2} = 25 \,\mu\text{s}$  are extracted, see Figures 3c,d. The observation of two distinct spin relaxation times evidences that the ensemble of resident electrons is not uniform and may be contributed by electrons subject to different

localization conditions.

#### g-factor anisotropy

In bulk lead halide perovskites, the crystal symmetry can be reduced from cubic to tetragonal or orthorhombic due to a structural phase transition at low temperatures. This results in an anisotropy of the carrier g-factors. <sup>29</sup> In 2D materials a symmetry reduction is caused by the spatial confinement, as the crystal axis perpendicular to the structural plane differs from the in-plane axes. Hence, analogously to the bulk case with low symmetry an anisotropic g-factor is expected in the present 2D structure. The g-factor is then described by  $g_i$ , where the i = a, b, c index the respective crystallographic axes.

In the studied sample (PEA)<sub>2</sub>PbI<sub>4</sub>, the monolayers are well oriented parallel to the substrate, i.e. the c-axis is oriented perpendicular to them, as confirmed by the XRD analysis given in the Supporting Information, S3. However, the sample shows domains of about 200 nm size, see the Supporting Information, S4 and S5. Thus, the in-plane orientation may vary from domain to domain. Within the probed laser spot of  $200 \,\mu\text{m}$  the a- and b-axis orientations are assumed to be averaged out, therefore, we label them  $g_{(a,b)}$ .

For measurements of the q-factor anisotropy, the magnetic field direction was rotated, while the sample and light orientations were kept fixed. In Figure 4 a set of KR dynamics is shown for rotation of the magnetic field from the Voigt geometry ( $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ ) towards the Faraday geometry ( $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ ). When approaching the Faraday geometry, the spin precession amplitude successively decreases, and shows, as long as resolvable, despite of its weakness a clear exponential decay. Also measurements for an inplane magnetic field rotation in the Voigt geometry were performed. The measured electron qfactors for different orientation angles are summarized in Figure 5b. A pronounced g-factor anisotropy with  $g_{\rm e,c} = +2.05 \pm 0.05$  rising to  $g_{e,(a,b)} = +2.45 \pm 0.05$  is found for tilting the field direction from the out-of-plane towards the in-plane. The in-plane g-factor is almost con-




Figure 4: Time-resolved KR dynamics measured in a magnetic field of  $B=0.5\,\mathrm{T}$  applied at different angles  $\theta$ .  $\theta=90^{\circ}$  corresponds to the Voigt geometry ( $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{V}}\perp\mathbf{k}$ ), while  $\theta=0^{\circ}$  corresponds to the Faraday geometry ( $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{F}}\parallel\mathbf{k}$ ), see Figure 5(a). Lines show fits to the experimental data with Eq. (1).  $E_{\mathrm{L}}=2.3536\,\mathrm{eV}$ ,  $P=1.5\,\mathrm{mW}$ , and  $T=1.6\,\mathrm{K}$ .

stant, in line with the assumed domain averaging. The g-factor anisotropy is visualized as three-dimensional contour plot in Figure 5a.

It is instructive to compare the electron and exciton q-factors. We measured the Zeeman splitting of the bright exciton, observed as splitting of its resonance dip in reflectivity spectra in a magnetic field applied in the Faraday geometry, see the Supporting Information, S9. Its field dependence is plotted in Figure 5c, and its slope gives  $g_{X,c} = +1.6 \pm 0.1$ . It is worth to note that in this experiment also the sign of the exciton g-factor can be identified, and it is positive. In the lead halide perovskites, for the bright exciton  $g_{\rm X} = g_{\rm e} + g_{\rm h}$ , which allows us to estimate  $g_{\rm h,c} = -0.45 \pm 0.1$ . Note, that in literature values of  $g_{\rm X,c}=1.2\pm0.1^{19,55}$  and  $g_{X,(a,b)} = 1.9 \pm 0.5^{20}$  were reported for the bright exciton in (PEA)<sub>2</sub>PbI<sub>4</sub> monolayers (n = 1). For convenience, we have collected the electron spin parameters measured for our sample in Table 1.

#### Discussion

Let us discuss the origin of the single frequency Larmor precession found in 2D (PEA)<sub>2</sub>PbI<sub>4</sub>. As we have argued above, the spin dephasing time




Figure 5: Anisotropy of the electron g-factor in (PEA)<sub>2</sub>PbI<sub>4</sub>. (a) Visualization of the g-factor tensor. The color code gives the effective g-factor value  $g = \sqrt{g_a^2 + g_b^2 + g_c^2}$ . A single plane of the perovskite corner shared octahedra is sketched as reference of the sample orientation. The axis cross gives the crystal planes and k denotes the light propagation vector in the laboratory frame. (b) Angular dependence of the electron g-factor for the magnetic field rotation from the Faraday to the Voigt geometry (green dots) and for the in-plane rotation in the Voigt geometry (blue dots). Red line is a fit,  $g(\theta) = \sqrt{(g_{e,(a,b)}\sin\theta)^2 + (g_{e,c}\cos\theta)^2}$ , with g-factor values  $g_{e,c} = +2.05$  and  $g_{e,(a,b)} = +2.45$ . (c) Zeeman splitting of the bright exciton as function of the applied magnetic field (dots), evaluated from the reflectivity spectra presented in the Supporting Information, S9. Orange line is a linear fit to the dependence with the exciton g-factor  $g_{X,c} = +1.6 \pm 0.1$ . Red dashed line gives the electron Zeeman splitting with the measured g-factor for the Faraday geometry (g-caxis). Green line gives the hole Zeeman splitting calculated with g-factor g-fact

Table 1: Electron spin parameters measured for 2D (PEA)<sub>2</sub>PbI<sub>4</sub> perovskites.

	electron
$g_{\mathrm{e},c}$	$+2.05 \pm 0.05$
$g_{\mathrm{e},(a,b)}$	$+2.45 \pm 0.05$
$\Delta g_{(a,b)}$	0.06
$T_1$	$1.7 - 25 \mu s$
$T_2^*$	$190\mathrm{ps}$

is considerably longer than the exciton lifetime, which allows us to exclude the possibility of carrier spin precession within an exciton. The exciton itself can be also excluded, as its g-factor, which we measured directly, is considerably smaller than the value that we get from the TRKR experiments. Therefore, the spin coherent signal has to be provided by resident carriers, either the electrons or the holes.

The first approach to distinguish electrons from holes is to use their different hyperfine interaction with the nuclear spins. In the lead halide perovskites, the hole spins interact about five to ten times more strongly with the nuclear spins than the electron spins. <sup>28</sup> As a result, a considerable shift of the Larmor precession frequency of the holes can be achieved when the nuclear spins become dynamically polarized by the spin-oriented holes. We have performed such experiments for the studied (PEA)<sub>2</sub>PbI<sub>4</sub> sample and did not found a measurable dynamic nuclear polarization. This is a strong argument in favor of the electron origin of spin precession.

The second approach is to refer to the universal dependence of the electron and hole g-factors on the band gap energy, found recently experimentally and theoretically for bulk lead halide perovskites. <sup>29</sup> We do not expect that this dependence will exactly match the results for 2D perovskites, as the electronic bands and the spin-orbit splitting are modified. <sup>56</sup> Still the

main trends should hold. In bulk, for the band gap exceeding 1.8 eV both the electron and the hole q-factors are positive, but the electrons show a decrease with growing gap, while the holes show an increase. We performed TRKR experiments on a 2D (PEA)<sub>2</sub>PbBr<sub>4</sub> reference sample (n = 1), which has a larger band gap than the  $(PEA)_2PbI_4$  sample. In this sample the exciton resonance has its energy at 3.050 eV for  $T = 1.6 \,\mathrm{K}$ . Again in TRKR only one precession frequency is found, here corresponding a smaller in-plane q-factor of 2.11, see the Supporting Information, S10. Compared to the gfactor  $g_{e,(a,b)} = +2.45$  in the (PEA)<sub>2</sub>PbI<sub>4</sub> sample, this supports the identification as electron spin as source of the precession.

The measured values of the electron g-factors in 2D perovskites are somewhat larger than the one predicted by the universal dependence for bulk crystals. Detailed model calculation are required here to clarify the details of the underlying band modifications. Note that also the dielectric environment can play a considerable role here via the chosen A cation. The influence of the A cation can be seen, for instance, from the finding that the exciton qfactor differs significantly within the class of the organics-based lead iodine monolayer perovskites: for  $(BA)_2PbI_4$  it is  $g_{X,c} = 0.74 + 0.08$ and for (PEA)<sub>2</sub>PbI<sub>4</sub>,  $g_{\rm X,c}=1.2\pm0.1$  , with the respective 1s exciton energies of 2.52 eV and 2.35 eV, both obtained in high magnetic field studies. 18,19 Note that in the present study we measured for (PEA)<sub>2</sub>PbI<sub>4</sub>  $g_{X,c} = +1.6 \pm 0.1$ .

In conclusion, the spin properties and spin dynamics of charge carriers and excitons have been studied for films composed of the two-dimensional perovskite (PEA)<sub>2</sub>PbI<sub>4</sub> with n=1. The coherent spin dynamics measured at low temperatures and in magnetic fields are contributed exclusively by the electron Larmor spin precession. A significant anisotropy of the electron g-factor with the in-plane value of +2.45 and the out-of-plane value of +2.05 is found. By comparison with model calculations, these values will allow one to refine the values of the band parameters for the 2D perovskites. The electron spin dephasing time is 190 ps, while the longitudinal spin relaxation time in weak

magnetic fields is as long as 25  $\mu$ s. We envision an extension of these studies to 2D perovskites with a larger thickness of the perovskite layers, i.e. with n=2,3,..., and various organic barriers. In that way one could study the role of the quantum confinement and enhanced Coulomb interaction on the spin parameters of layered Ruddlesen-Popper perovskites and highlight their potential for spintronics applications.

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

The Supporting Information is available available free of charge at  $\dots$ .

A detailed description of the methods, including the experimental apparatus, and the sample synthesis are provided. Further, the sample structure is analyzed via XRD, profilometry, and AFM. Additional experimental data such as the Kerr spectral dependence, TRKR pump power dependence, magneto reflectivity as well as data for a (PEA)<sub>2</sub>PbBr<sub>4</sub> sample are presented (**PDF**).

#### **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

Corresponding Authors:

Email: erik.kirstein@tu-dortmund.de Email: dmitri.yakovlev@tu-dortmund.de

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the financial support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft in the frame of the Priority Programme SPP 2196 (Project YA 65/26-1) and the International Collaboration Research Center TRR160 (project A1). The work at ETH Zürich (O.H. and M.V.K.) was financially supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant agreement 186406, funded in conjunction with SPP2196 through DFG-SNSF bilateral program) and by the ETH Zürich through the ETH+ Project SynMatLab. We are thankful to Y. Shynkarenko, R. John and S. Böhme for providing us results of the profilometry and AFM measurements. We acknowledge M. A. Akmaev for assistance in the reflectivity measurements.

#### References

- (1) Ishihara, T.; Takahashi, J.; Goto, T. Exciton state in two-dimensional perovskite semiconductor  $(C_{10}H_{21}NH_3)_2PbI_4$ . Solid State Commun. 1989, 69, 933–936, DOI: 10.1016/0038-1098(89)90935-6.
- (2) Ishihara, T.; Takahashi, J.; Goto, T. Optical properties due to electronic transitions in two-dimensional semiconductors  $(C_nH_{(2n+1)}NH_3)PbI_4$ . Phys. Rev. B **1990**, 42, 11099–11107, DOI: 10.1103/Phys-RevB.42.11099.
- (3) Kataoka, T.; Kondo, T.; Ito, R.; Sasaki, S.; Uchida, K.; Miura, N. Magneto-optical study on excitonic spectra in  $(C_6H_{13}NH_3)_2PbI_4$ . *Phys. Rev. B* **1993**, 47, 2010–2018, DOI: 10.1103/Phys-RevB.47.2010.
- (4) Muljarov, E. A.; Tikhodeev, S. G.; Gippius, N. A.; Ishihara, T. Excitons in self-organized semiconductor/insulator superlattices: PbI-based perovskite compounds. *Phys. Rev. B* 1995, *51*, 14370– 14378, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.51.14370.
- (5) Blancon, J.-C.; Even, J.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Kanatzidis, M. G.; Mohite, A. D. Semiconductor physics of organic-inorganic 2D halide perovskites. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 2020, 15, 969–985, DOI: 10.1038/s41565-020-00811-1.
- (6) Wang, G.; Chernikov, A.; Glazov, M. M.; Heinz, T. F.; Marie, X.; Amand, T.; Urbaszek, B. Colloquium: Excitons in atomically thin transition metal dichalcogenides. RMP 2018, 90, 021001, DOI: 10.1103/RevModPhys.90.021001.
- (7) Mao, L.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Kanatzidis, M. G. Two-dimensional hybrid halide perovskites: principles and promises. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 141, DOI: 10.1021/jacs.8b10851.
- (8) Łempicka-Mirek, K.; Krol, M.; Sigurdsson, H.; Wincukiewicz, A.; Morawiak,

- P.; Mazur, R.; Muszynski, M.; Piecek, W.; Kula, P.; Stefaniuk, T.; Kaminska, M.; De Marco, L.; Lagoudakis, P.G.; Ballarini, D.; Sanvitto, D.; Szczytko, J.; Pietka, B. Electrically tunable Berry curvature and strong light-matter coupling in birefringent perovskite microcavities at room temperature. arXiv [cond-mat, physics:physics] 2022, DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2203.05289.
- (9) Hoye, R. L. Z.; Hidalgo, J.; Jagt, R. A.; Correa-Baena, J.-P.; Fix, T.; MacManus-Driscoll, J. L. The role of dimensionality on the optoelectronic properties of oxide and halide perovskites, and their halide derivatives. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2022**, *12*, 2100499, DOI: 10.1002/aenm.202100499.
- (10) Vardeny, Z. V.; Beard, M. C.; Vardeny, Z. V.; Beard, M. C. Hybrid Organic Inorganic Perovskites: Physical Properties and Applications Volume 3: Spin Response of Hybrid Organic Inorganic Perovskites; World Scientific, 2022; Vol. 18; DOI: 10.1142/12387-vol3.
- (11) Vardeny, Z. V.; Beard, M. C.; Vardeny, Z. V.; Beard, M. C. Hybrid Organic Inorganic Perovskites: Physical Properties and Applications Volume 4: Hybrid Organic Inorganic Perovskite Applications; World Scientific, 2022; Vol. 18; DOI: 10.1142/12387-vol4.
- (12) Ricciardulli, A. G.; Yang, S.; Smet, J. H.; Saliba, M. Emerging perovskite monolayers. Nat. Mater. 2021, 20, 1325–1336, DOI: 10.1038/s41563-021-01029-9.
- (13) Sidhik, S. et al. High-phase purity twodimensional perovskites with 17.3% efficiency enabled by interface engineering of hole transport layer. *Cell Rep. Phys. Sci.* **2021**, 2, 100601, DOI: 10.1016/j.xcrp.2021.100601.
- (14) Seitz, M.; Magdaleno, A. J.; Alcázar-Cano, N.; Meléndez, M.; Lubbers, T. J.; Walraven, S. W.; Pakdel, S.; Prada, E.;

- Delgado-Buscalioni, R.; Prins, F. Exciton diffusion in two-dimensional metalhalide perovskites. *Nat. Commun.* **2020**, *11*, 2035, DOI: 10.1038/s41467-020-15882-w.
- (15) Grancini, G.; Roldán-Carmona, C.; Zimmermann, I.; Mosconi, E.; Lee, X.; Martineau, D.; Narbey, S.; Oswald, F.; De Angelis, F.; Graetzel, M.; Nazeeruddin, M. K. One-year stable perovskite solar cells by 2D/3D interface engineering. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 15684, DOI: 10.1038/ncomms15684.
- (16) Thouin, F.; Neutzner, S.; Cortecchia, D.; Dragomir, V. A.; Soci, C.; Salim, T.; Lam, Y. M.; Leonelli, R.; Petrozza, A.; Kandada, A. R. S.; Silva, C. Stable biexcitons in two-dimensional metalhalide perovskites with strong dynamic lattice disorder. *Phys. Rev. Mater.* **2018**, 2, 034001, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.2.034001.
- (17) Qin, Y. et al. Dangling octahedra enable edge states in 2D lead halide perovskites. Adv. Mater. 2022, 34, 2201666, DOI: 10.1002/adma.202201666.
- (18) Blancon, J.-C. et al. Scaling law for excitons in 2D perovskite quantum wells. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 2254, DOI: 10.1038/s41467-018-04659-x.
- (19) Dyksik, M.; Duim, H.; Zhu, X.; Yang, Z.; Gen, M.; Kohama, Y.; Adjokatse, S.; Maude, D. K.; Loi, M. A.; Egger, D. A.; Baranowski, M.; Plochocka, P. Broad tunability of carrier effective masses in two-dimensional halide perovskites. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2020**, *5*, 3609–3616, DOI: 10.1021/acsenergylett.0c01758.
- (20) Dyksik, M.; Duim, H.; Maude, D. K.; Baranowski, M.; Loi, M. A.; Plochocka, P. Brightening of dark excitons in 2D perovskites. Sci. Adv. 2021, 7, eabk0904, DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.abk0904.

- (21) Do, T. T. H.; Granados del Águila, A.; Zhang, D.; Xing, J.; Liu, S.; Prosnikov, M. A.; Gao, W.; Chang, K.; Christianen, P. C. M.; Xiong, Q. Bright exciton fine-structure in two-dimensional lead halide perovskites. *Nano Lett.* **2020**, *20*, 5141–5148, DOI: 10.1021/acs.nanolett.0c01364.
- (22) Surrente, A.; Baranowski, M.; Plochocka, P. Perspective on the physics of two-dimensional perovskites in high magnetic field. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2021**, *118*, 170501, DOI: 10.1063/5.0048490.
- (23) Giovanni, D.; Chong, W. K.; Liu, Y. Y. F.; Dewi, H. A.; Yin, T.; Lekina, Y.; Shen, Z. X.; Mathews, N.; Gan, C. K.; Sum, T. C. Coherent spin and quasiparticle dynamics in solution-processed layered 2D lead halide perovskites. Adv. Sci. 2018, 5, 1800664, DOI: 10.1002/advs.201800664.
- (24) Chen, X.; Lu, H.; Wang, K.; Zhai, Y.; Lunin, V.; Sercel, P. C.; Beard, M. C. Tuning spin-polarized lifetime in two-dimensional metal-halide perovskite through exciton binding energy. *J. ACS* **2021**, *143*, 19438–19445, DOI: 10.1021/jacs.1c08514.
- (25) Bourelle, S. A.; Camargo, F. V. A.; Ghosh, S.; Neumann, T.; van de Goor, T. W. J.; Shivanna, R.; Winkler, T.; Cerullo, G.; Deschler, F. Optical control of exciton spin dynamics in layered metal halide perovskites via polaronic state formation. Nat. Commun. 2022, 13, 3320, DOI: 10.1038/s41467-022-30953-w.
- (26) Bourelle, S. A.; Shivanna, R.; Camargo, F. V. A.; Ghosh, S.; Gillett, A. J.; Senanayak, S. P.; Feldmann, S.; Eyre, L.; Ashoka, A.; van de Goor, T. W. J.; Abolins, H.; Winkler, T.; Cerullo, G.; Friend, R. H.; Deschler, F. How exciton interactions control spin-depolarization in layered hybrid perovskites. Nano2020. 5678-5685, Lett. 20, DOI: 10.1021/acs.nanolett.0c00867.

- (27) Belykh, V. V.; Yakovlev, D. R.; Glazov, M. M.; Grigoryev, P. S.; Hussain, M.; Rautert, J.; Dirin, D. N.; Kovalenko, M. V.; Bayer, M. Coherent spin dynamics of electrons and holes in CsPbBr<sub>3</sub> perovskite crystals. *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10*, 673, DOI: 10.1038/s41467-019-08625-z.
- (28) Kirstein, E.; Yakovlev, D. R.; Glazov, M. M.; Evers, E.; Zhukov, E. A.; Belykh, V. V.; Kopteva, N. E.; Kudlacik, D.; Nazarenko, O.; Dirin, D. N.; Kovalenko, M. V.; Bayer, M. Leaddominated hyperfine interaction impacting the carrier spin dynamics in halide perovskites. Adv. Mater. 2022, 34, 2105263, DOI: 10.1002/adma.202105263.
- (29) Kirstein, E. et al. The Landé factors of electrons and holes in lead halide perovskites: universal dependence on the band gap. *Nat. Commun.* **2022**, *13*, 3062, DOI: 10.1038/s41467-022-30701-0.
- (30) Kirstein, E.; Yakovlev, D. R.; Zhukov, E. A.; Höcker, J.; Dyakonov, V.; Bayer, M. Spin dynamics of electrons and holes interacting with nuclei in MAPbI<sub>3</sub> perovskite single crystals. *ACS Photonics* **2022**, *9*, 1375–1384, DOI: 10.1021/acsphotonics.2c00096.
- (31) Odenthal, P.; Talmadge, W.; Gundlach, N.; Wang, R.; Zhang, C.; Sun, D.; Yu, Z.-G.; Valy Vardeny, Z.; Li, Y. S. Spin-polarized exciton quantum beating in hybrid organic–inorganic perovskites. *Nature Physics* **2017**, *13*, 894–899, DOI: 10.1038/nphys4145.
- (32) Garcia-Arellano, G.; Trippé-Allard, G.; Legrand, L.; Barisien, T.; Garrot, D.; Deleporte, E.; Bernardot, F.; Testelin, C.; Chamarro, M. Energy tuning of electronic spin coherent evolution in methylammonium lead iodide perovskites. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2021**, *12*, 8272–8279, DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpclett.1c01790.

- (33) Garcia-Arellano, G.; Trippé-Allard, G.; Campos, T.; Bernardot, F.; Legrand, L.; Garrot, D.; Deleporte, E.; Testelin, C.; Chamarro, M. Unexpected anisotropy of the electron and hole Landé g-factors in perovskite CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>PbI<sub>3</sub> polycrystalline films. Nanomaterials **2022**, 12, 1399, DOI: 10.3390/nano12091399.
- (34) Crane, M. J.; Jacoby, L. M.; Cohen, T. A.; Huang, Y.; Luscombe, C. K.; Gamelin, D. R. Coherent spin precession and lifetime-limited spin dephasing in CsPbBr<sub>3</sub> perovskite nanocrystals. *Nano Lett.* **2020**, *20*, 8626–8633, DOI: 10.1021/acs.nanolett.0c03329.
- (35) Grigoryev, P. S.; Belykh, V. V.; Yakovlev, D. R.; Lhuillier, E.; Bayer, M. Coherent spin dynamics of electrons and holes in CsPbBr<sub>3</sub> colloidal nanocrystals. *Nano Lett.* **2021**, *21*, 8481–8487, DOI: 10.1021/acs.nanolett.1c03292.
- (36) Kirstein, E.; Kopteva, Ν. E.; R.; Yakovlev, D. Zhukov, Ε. A.; Kolobkova, E. V.; Kuznetsova, M. S.; Belykh, V. V.; Yugova, I. A.; Glazov, M. M.; Bayer, M.: Greilich, A. Mode locking of hole spin coherences in CsPb(Cl,Br)<sub>3</sub> nanocrystals. 2022; perovskite http://arxiv.org/abs/2206.13323, arXiv:2206.13323 [cond-mat].
- (37) Tao, S.; Schmidt, I.; Brocks, G.; Jiang, J.; Tranca, I.; Meerholz, K.; Olthof, S. Absolute energy level positions in tin- and lead-based halide perovskites. *Nat. Commun.* 2019, 10, 2560, DOI: 10.1038/s41467-019-10468-7.
- (38) Baranowski, M.; Plochocka, P.; Plochocka, P.; Su, R.; Legrand, L.; Barisien, T.; Bernardot, F.; Xiong, Q.; Testelin, C.; Chamarro, M. Exciton binding energy and effective mass of CsPbCl<sub>3</sub>: a magneto-optical study. *Photonics Res.* **2020**, 8, A50–A55, DOI: 10.1364/PRJ.401872.

- (39) Straus, D. B.; Kagan, C. R. Electrons, excitons, and phonons in two-dimensional hybrid perovskites: connecting structural, optical, and electronic properties. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2018**, *9*, 1434–1447, DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpclett.8b00201.
- (40) Stier, A. V.; Wilson, N. P.; Clark, G.; Xu, X.; Crooker, S. A. Probing the influence of dielectric environment excitons monolayer  $WSe_2$ : in on insight from high magnetic fields. Nano Lett. **2016**, 16, 7054–7060, DOI: 10.1021/acs.nanolett.6b03276.
- (41) Baranowski, M.; Plochocka, P. Excitons in metal-halide perovskites. *Adv. Energ. Mater.* **2020**, *10*, 1903659, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201903659.
- (42) Shornikova, E. V.; Yakovlev, D. R.; Gippius, N. A.; Qiang, G.; Dubertret, B.; Khan, A. H.; Di Giacomo, A.; Moreels, I.; Bayer, M. Exciton binding energy in CdSe nanoplatelets measured by one- and two-photon absorption. *Nano Lett.* **2021**, *21*, 10525–10531, DOI: 10.1021/acs.nanolett.1c04159.
- (43) Neumann, T.; Feldmann, S.; Moser, P.; Delhomme, A.; Zerhoch, J.; van de Goor, T.; Wang, S.; Dyksik, M.; Winkler, T.; Finley, J. J.; Plochocka, P.; Brandt, M. S.; Faugeras, C.; Stier, A. V.; Deschler, F. Manganese doping for enhanced magnetic brightening and circular polarization control of dark excitons in paramagnetic layered hybrid metalhalide perovskites. *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, *12*, 3489, DOI: 10.1038/s41467-021-23602-1.
- (44) Fang, H.-H.; Yang, J.; Adjokatse, S.; Tekelenburg, E.; Kamminga, M. E.; Duim, H.; Ye, J.; Blake, G. R.; Even, J.; Loi, M. A. Band-edge exciton fine structure and exciton recombination dynamics in single crystals of layered hybrid perovskites. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2020**, *30*, 1907979, DOI: 10.1002/adfm.201907979.

- (45) Do, T. T. H.; Granados del Águila, A.; Xing, J.; Liu, S.; Xiong, Q. Direct and indirect exciton transitions in twodimensional lead halide perovskite semiconductors. J. Chem. Phys. 2020, 153, 064705, DOI: 10.1063/5.0012307.
- (46) Zhai, Y.; Baniya, S.; Zhang, C.; Li, J.; Haney, P.; Sheng, C.-X.; Ehrenfreund, E.; Vardeny, Z. V. Giant Rashba splitting in 2D organic-inorganic halide perovskites measured by transient spectroscopies. *Sci. Adv.* **2017**, *3*, e170070 DOI: 10.1126/sci-adv.1700704.
- (47) Kahmann, S.; Duim, H.; Fang, H.-H.; Dyksik, M.; Adjokatse, S.; Rivera Medina, M.; Pitaro, M.; Plochocka, P.; Loi, M. A. Photophysics of two-dimensional perovskites learning from metal halide substitution. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2021**, *31*, 2103778, DOI: 10.1002/adfm.202103778.
- (48) Liu, S.; Sun, S.; Gan, C. K.; del Águila, A. G.; Fang, Y.; Xing, J.; Do, T. T. H.; White, T. J.; Li, H.; Huang, W.; Xiong, Q. Manipulating efficient light emission in two-dimensional perovskite crystals by pressure-induced anisotropic deformation. Sci. Adv. 2019, 5, eaav9445, DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.aav9445.
- (49) Yakovlev, D. R.; Bayer, M. Chapter 6 on Coherent Spin Dynamics of Carriers. In Spin Physics in Semiconductors; Dyakonov, M. I., Ed.; Springer International Publishing: Cham, 2017; pp 155–206, DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-65436-2\_6.
- (50) Evers, E.; Kopteva, N. E.; Yugova, I. A.; Yakovlev, D. R.; Reuter, D.; Wieck, A. D.; Bayer, M.; Greilich, A. Suppression of nuclear spin fluctuations in an InGaAs quantum dot ensemble by GHz-pulsed optical excitation. npj Quantum Inf. 2021, 7, 1–7, DOI: 10.1038/s41534-021-00395-1.
- (51) Dhanabalan, B.; Leng, Y.-C.; Biffi, G.; Lin, M.-L.; Tan, P.-H.; Infante, I.; Manna, L.; Arciniegas, M. P.; Krahne, R.

- Directional anisotropy of the vibrational modes in 2D-layered perovskites. *ACS Nano* **2020**, *14*, 4689–4697, DOI: 10.1021/acsnano.0c00435.
- (52) Jacoby, L. M.; Crane, M. J.; Gamelin, D. R. Coherent spin dynamics in vapor-deposited CsPbBr<sub>3</sub> perovskite thin films. *Chem. Mater.* **2022**, *34*, 1937–1945, DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemmater.1c04382.
- (53) Heisterkamp, F.; Zhukov, E. A.; Greilich, A.; Yakovlev, D. R.; Korenev, V. L.; Pawlis, A.; Bayer, M. Longitudinal and transverse spin dynamics of donor-bound electrons in fluorine-doped ZnSe: Spin inertia versus Hanle effect. *Phys. Rev. B* **2015**, *91*, 235432, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.91.235432.
- (54) Smirnov, D. S.; Zhukov, E. A.; Yakovlev, D. R.; Kirstein, E.; Bayer, M.; Greilich, A. Spin polarization recovery and Hanle effect for charge carriers interacting with nuclear spins in semiconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* **2020**, *102*, 235413, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.102.235413.
- (55) Posmyk, K.; Zawadzka, N.; Dyksik, M.; Surrente, A.; Maude, D. K.; Kazimierczuk, T.; Babiński, A.; Molas, M. R.; Paritmongkol, W.; Mączka, M.; Tisdale, W. A.; Płochocka, P.; Baranowski, M. Quantification of exciton fine structure splitting in a two-dimensional perovskite compound. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2022**, *13*, 4463–4469, DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpclett.2c00942.
- (56) Quarti, C.; Katan, C.; Even, J. Physical properties of bulk, defective, 2D and 0D metal halide perovskite semiconductors from a symmetry perspective. *J. Phys. Materials* **2020**, *3*, 042001, DOI: 10.1088/2515-7639/aba6f6.

### Graphical TOC Entry

