arXiv:2212.03723v7 [physics.atom-ph] 2 Apr 2023

Bound state properties and positron annihilation in the negatively charged Ps^- ion. On thermal sources of fast positrons and annihilation γ-quanta in our Galaxy

Alexei M. Frolov*

Department of Applied Mathematics,

University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario N6H 5B7, Canada

(Dated: April 4, 2023)

Abstract

The total energy and other bound state properties of the ground (bound) 1^1S-state in the Ps^- (or $e^-e^+e^-$) ion are determined to very high accuracy. Our best variational energy for the ground state in this ion equals E = -0.26200507023298010777040211998 *a.u.*, which is the lowest variational energy ever obtained for this ion. By using our highly wave functions we evaluated (to very high accuracy) a number of different expectation values (or properties) of the Ps^- ion which have never been determined in earlier studies. This includes a number of $\langle r_{ij}^k \rangle$ expectation values (where $5 \leq k \leq 11$), all independent quasi-singular Vinty-type expectation values $\langle \frac{\mathbf{r}_{ij}\mathbf{r}_{jk}}{r_{ij}^3} \rangle$, the two truly singular $\langle \frac{1}{r_{ij}^3} \rangle$ expectation values, etc. Our highly accurate expectation values of the electron-positron delta-function of the Ps^- ion we have evaluated (to very high accuracy) the rates of two-, three-, four- and five-photon annihilation. We also discuss thermal sources of the fast positrons and annihilation γ-quanta located in our Galaxy

PACS number(s): 36.10.Dr (positronium) ; 36.10.-k (exotic atoms, ions and molecules) and also 05.30.Fk (Fermion systems and electron gas)

*E–mail address: alex1975frol@gmail.com

I. INTRODUCTION

In this communication we report a number of recent results of highly accurate numerical computations which have been performed for the ground (bound) 1^1S-state in the three-body positronium Ps^- ion (or $e^-e^+e^-$ ion). Stability of this state in the Ps^- ion has been shown by Hylleraas in 1947 [1]. The ground 1^1S-state is the only one bound state in the three-body positronium ion. The negatively charged positronium ion Ps^- is a very interesting system for research in three-body physics, astrophysics, solid state physics, etc. This three-body ion includes only three leptons of equal masses and provides a large number of unique features. The inter-particle correlations and rules of Quantum Electrodynamics (or QED, for short) are crucially important to describe all known properties of the Ps^- ion, determine its life-time and allow one to evaluate probabilities of different decays which are possible in this ion. Formally, the positronium ion decays only by electron-positron annihilation into $\gamma-$rays, but it can also be involved in regular atomic collisions, processes and reactions, including photodetachment. In 1980's the three-body Ps^- ion has been produced, detected and studied in the laboratory [2], [3]. This stimulated extensive investigations of bound state properties of the Ps^- ion. Accurate calculations of these properties have been performed by Bhatia and Drachman [4], [5], Ho [6], [7] and others. In our earlier papers [8] and [9] we have also evaluated a number of bound state properties of this remarkable ion.

Apparently, by analyzing the data from these old calculations we have arrived to a conclusion that it is necessary to recalculate some of the bound state properties. There are a number of reasons for such a conclusion. First, quite a few of these properties are now defined differently, e.g., they may have different signs and include some additional factor(s). In reality, for almost all bound state property in arbitrary three-body system we always have a few additional relations with other properties, and these relations arise from the basic properties of triangles and triangular geometry. This means that all bound state properties of an arbitrary three-body system must obey the general 'rules of triangles'. 'Traditional' definitions of some bound state properties have to be corrected in order to respect these rules. Second, we have to correct some inaccuracies, misprints and numerical mistakes which have been made in these properties in previous papers. The third reason is most important, since our current numerical accuracy of bound state computations significantly exceed analogous accuracy known from earlier calculations. Recently, by combining the ideas of analytical so-

lutions of the Coulomb three-body problem [10] with highly efficient methods of numerical optimization of the non-linear parameters in trial wave functions [11], we have developed the new procedure which allows one to construct extremely accurate (or precise, for short) solutions of the Schrödinger equation for arbitrary, in principle, three-body systems. By using this procedure we have achieved a substantial progress in analytical and numerical studies of bound states in the Coulomb three-body systems. Now, we can determine the total energies and other bound state properties of an arbitrary, in principle, Coulomb three-body system to very high accuracy, or to 'essentially exact' values. Highly accurate wave functions of the Ps^- ion can now used to solve many long-standing problems which could not be determined accurately even a few years ago. In particular, in this study by using our highly accurate wave functions we want to re-calculate some bound state properties of the Ps^- ion which are of great interest in various applications. Similar properties include various annihilation rates, a number of geometrical properties, lowest-order relativistic and QED corrections, photodetachment cross-sections, etc. In this study we determine and analyze some of these properties.

This paper has the following structure. In the next Section we introduce three-body perimetric coordinates which play a central role our method to construct highly accurate variational wave functions. In Section III we define a number of bound state properties for the Ps^- ion and explain our approach which is used for analytical and numerical computations of some fundamental three-body integrals in perimetric coordinates. Section IV includes important details of our calculations of various quasi-singular and singular three-body integrals. In Section V we determine a number of different annihilation rates which describe positron annihilation in the three-body Ps^- ion. Discussion and concluding remarks can be found in the last Section. In Appendix A we discuss the high-temperature sources of fast positrons and annihilation $\gamma-$quanta which are known in our Galaxy.

II. HAMILTONIAN AND VARIATIONAL WAVE FUNCTIONS

Our main technical goal in this study is to solve the non-relativistic Schrödinger equation $\hat{H}\Psi = E\Psi$ for the ground (bound) 1^1S-state in the three-body Ps^- ion. Here and everywhere below the notation $\hat{H}$ designates the Hamiltonian of this three-body system, Ψ is the highly accurate wave function and $E(< 0)$ is the corresponding eigenvalue. The non-relativistic

Hamiltonian of three-body Ps^- ion is written in the form

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e}\Delta_1 - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e}\Delta_2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e}\Delta_3 + \frac{e^2}{r_{21}} - \frac{e^2}{r_{31}} - \frac{e^2}{r_{32}} \, , \tag{1}$$

where $\Delta_i = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_i^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i^2}$ is the Laplace operator of the particle i, while $\pm\frac{e^2}{r_{ij}} = \pm\frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_i|}$ is the Coulomb interaction between two point particles (i and j). Also in this equation $\hbar = \frac{h}{2\pi}$ is the reduced Planck constant, which is also called the Dirac constant, e and $-e$ are the electric charges of the positron and electron, respectively, and m_e is the rest mass of the electron/positron. Below, we shall always designate the positron e^+ as the particle 3 (or '+'), while the two negatively charged electrons will be denoted as the particles 1 (or '-') and 2 (or '-'), respectively. In atomic units, where $\hbar = 1, e = 1$ and $m_e = 1$, the same Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$, Eq.(1), takes the form

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_1 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_2 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_3 - \frac{1}{r_{32}} - \frac{1}{r_{31}} + \frac{1}{r_{21}} \, . \tag{2}$$

where $r_{ij} = \mid \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_i \mid = r_{ji}$ are the three interparticle distances, or relative coordinates r_{32}, r_{31} and r_{21} which play a crucial role in this study. These three scalar coordinates are usedbelow as the internal coordinates. In general, in any non-relativistic three-body system there are nine ($9 = 3 \times 3$) spatial coordinates. Three of these nine coordinates describe the motion of the center-of-mass of the three-body system, while three other coordinates describe orientation of this three-particle system, i.e., triangle of particles, in outer space. The three remaining scalar coordinates are the truly internal coordinates. It is very convenient to choose these internal coordinates as the three scalar interparticle distances r_{32}, r_{31} and r_{21}, which are also called the relative coordinates. The exact definition of these relative coordinates is $r_{ij} = \mid \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j \mid = r_{ji}$, where $\mathbf{r}_i$ and $\mathbf{r}_j$ are the Cartesian coordinates of the particles i and j. These three scalar coordinates r_{32}, r_{31} and r_{21} form the so-called natural set of internal coordinates which are translationally and rotationally invariant.

These three relative coordinates are convenient to describe different interparticle interactions in three-body systems. The only problem with these coordinates follows from the fact that they are not truly independent of each other. Indeed, for these three scalar coordinates the following triangle conditions (or constraints) are always hold: $\mid r_{ik} - r_{jk} \mid \leq r_{ij} \leq r_{ik} + r_{jk}$, where $(i, j, k) = (1, 2, 3)$. These constraints complicate (often significantly) the two crucially important steps of any highly accurate method: (1) derivation of analytical formulas for many three-body integrals, and (2) numerical optimization of the non-linear parameters in

variational three-body expansions. Therefore, the relative coordinates cannot be considered as optimal internal coordinates for three-body systems. However, there are three scalar perimetric coordinates u_1, u_2, u_3 which are simply (even linearly) related to the relative coordinates and vice versa:

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(r_{21} + r_{31} - r_{32}) \quad , \quad r_{32} = u_2 + u_3 \ , \\ u_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(r_{21} + r_{32} - r_{31}) \quad , \quad r_{31} = u_1 + u_3 \ , \\ u_3 &= \frac{1}{2}(r_{31} + r_{32} - r_{21}) \quad , \quad r_{21} = u_1 + u_2 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $r_{ij} = r_{ji}$ are the relative coordinates defined above. The properties of perimetric coordinates are unique. First, these three coordinates are independent of each other. Second, each of these three coordinates is always non-negative. Third, each of these coordinates varies between zero and infinity, i.e., $0 \leq u_i < +\infty$. These three properties mean that the original three-dimensional space of internal coordinates R_{123} splits into a direct product of three one-dimensional spaces, i.e., $R_{123} = U_1 \otimes U_2 \otimes U_3$, where $u_i \subset U_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Furthermore, in many cases the arising three-dimensional integrals in relative coordinates are represented as finite sums of products of three one-dimensional integrals in perimetric coordinates. Plus, many arising one-dimensional integrals are reduced to the well known analytical expressions which can be found, e.g., in [12], [13]. Briefly, we can say that the perimetric coordinates u_1, u_2 and u_3 are very convenient in applications to various three-body systems [14]. In fact, the perimetric three-body (or triangle) coordinates were known to Archimedes $\approx$ 2250 years ago and Hero of Alexandria $\approx$ 2000 years ago (see, the article **Archimed** published in [15]). A great advantage of these coordinates for the ancient Greeks was obvious, since these coordinates allow one to determine the area of triangle by using only the lengths of its sides and ignoring any angles. In modern few-body physics they have been introduced by C.L. Pekeris in [16] (more details can be found in [17], [18], [19] and references therein). Note that our definition of these perimetric coordinates differs from their definition used by Pekeris.

The perimetric coordinates u_1, u_2 and u_3 are explicitly used in some variational expansions developed for highly accurate, bound state calculations of three-body systems (see, e.g., [20], [21]). One of the most effective, flexible and accurate variational expansions for three-body systems is the exponential variational expansion in the perimetric coordinates.

This variational expansion is also very convenient in applications to various three-body systems, including adiabatic (or two-center) and quasi-adiabatic systems. It has been applied for highly accurate computations of hundreds of bound states in many dozens three-body systems, including many atoms, ions, exotic systems, weakly-bound and Rydberg states, nuclear systems, etc. In this study all highly accurate computations of the ground 1^1S-state in the Ps^- ion are also performed with the use of our exponential variational expansion in the three-body perimetric coordinates. For the singlet bound states with $L = 0$ in two electron three-body systems this variational expansion takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{LM} &= \frac{1}{2}(1+\kappa\hat{P}_{21})\sum_{i=1}^{N} C_i \exp(-\alpha_i u_1 - \beta_i u_2 - \gamma_i u_3) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} C_i\Big[\exp(-\alpha_i u_1 - \beta_i u_2 - \gamma_i u_3) + \exp(-\beta_i u_1 - \alpha_i u_2 - \gamma_i u_3)\Big] , \qquad (4)\end{aligned}$$

where u_1, u_2 and u_3 are the perimetric coordinates: $u_i = \frac{1}{2}(r_{ij} + r_{ik} - r_{jk})$, where r_{ij}, r_{ik} and r_{jk} are the three relative coordinates and (i, j, k) = (1,2,3). In Eq.(4) the real numbers $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i$, where $i = 1, \ldots, N$, are the non-linear parameters of the exponential expansions. In the exponential variational expansion Eqs.(4) these parameters can be chosen (and then optimized) as arbitrary positive, real numbers and this fact substantially simplifies their accurate and careful optimization (see below). The highly accurate variational wave functions are used to determine various bound state properties of the Ps^- ion in its ground (bound) $1^1S(L = 0)-$state. Many of these properties and their combinations are of great interest in a number of applications (see below). These problems are considered in the next Section.

III. BOUND STATE PROPERTIES

In general, for an arbitrary bound state in some quantum system the expectation value

$$X = \frac{\langle \Psi \mid \hat{X} \mid \Psi \rangle}{\langle \Psi \mid \Psi \rangle} = \langle \Psi_N \mid \hat{X} \mid \Psi_N \rangle , \qquad (5)$$

is called the bound state property X of this system in a given bound state [22] (see also [23]). This property is uniformly determined by the self-adjoint operator $\hat{X}$ and the bound state wave function Ψ. As is well known (see, e.g., [22]) the bound state wave functions always have the finite norms. Without loss of generality, in all formulas below we shall assume that the wave function Ψ has the unit norm, i.e., in Eq.(5) $\Psi = \Psi_N$. By choosing

different operators $\hat{X}$ we obtain different expectations values, or bound states properties. In this study we deal with the Ps^- ion which is the Coulomb three-body system. A number of bound state properties of this Ps^- ion can be found in Tables I - IV and all of them are expressed in atomic units. Physical meaning of the bound state properties follows from the notation used. For instance, the expectation value $\langle r_{ij} \rangle$ in Table II means the linear distance between particles i and j. Analogously, the expectation values $\langle r^k_{--} \rangle = \langle r^k_{21} \rangle$ and $\langle r^k_{+-} \rangle = \langle r^k_{31} \rangle$ (see Table II) designate the $k-$th powers of these interparticle distances. Note that in the Ps^- ion it is convenient to designate particles by using the symbol '+' (positron) and '-' (electron). For instance, in this notation the electron-electron distance in the Ps^- ion is $\langle r_{--} \rangle$, while the electron-positron distance is $\langle r_{+-} \rangle$, etc.

The notations $\delta_{+-} = \delta(\mathbf{r}_+ - \mathbf{r}_-) = \delta(\mathbf{r}_{31}), \delta_{--} = \delta(\mathbf{r}_{--}) = \delta_{21}$ and $\delta_{+--} = \delta_{321}$ designate the two and three-particle delta-functions, respectively. The expectation values of these delta-functions $\langle \delta_{+-} \rangle, \langle \delta_{--} \rangle$ and $\langle \delta_{321} \rangle$ have been determined to high accuracy and our results (in atomic units) are shown in Table III. In this Table we also show the convergence rate (or $N-$dependence) of these expectation values. The expectation value of the electron-positron delta-function $\langle \delta_{+-} \rangle$ determines a number of multi-photon annihilation rates in the ground state of the Ps^- ion (see below). The expectation value of three-body delta-function $\langle \delta_{321} \rangle$ determines the one-photon annihilation rate. Note also that some expectation values for the Ps^- ion are written in the form which include delta-functions, e.g., $\langle \delta(\mathbf{r}_{ij}) \hat{A} \rangle$, where $\hat{A}$ is an arbitrary operator written in the relative and/or perimetric coordinates. For instance, the *cusp*$-$value between particles j-th particles i and j is written in the form

$$\nu_{ij} = \frac{\langle \Psi \mid \delta(\mathbf{r}_{ij}) \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{ij}} \mid \Psi \rangle}{\langle \Psi \mid \delta(\mathbf{r}_{ij}) \mid \Psi \rangle} \approx \tilde{\nu}_{ij} = q_i q_j \frac{m_i m_j}{m_i + m_j} \ . \tag{6}$$

For the Coulomb few-body systems this expectation value must coincide (to very good accuracy) with the predicted cusp value $\tilde{\nu}_{ij}$ which in atomic units always equals to the $q_i q_j \frac{m_i m_j}{m_i + m_j}$ value [24], [25], [26]. Here q_i and q_j are the electric charges of the particles i and j, respectively, while m_i and m_j are their masses. All these values must be expressed in atomic units. For the Ps^- ion the expected electron-positron cusp equals -0.5, while similar electron-electron cusp equals 0.5. In general, the numerical coincidence between the predicted and computed cusp values is a good test for the overall quality of variational wave functions used in calculations.

Now, consider the basic geometrical properties of the negatively charged Ps^- ion. First

of all, we need to know all angles in the electron-positron triangle of particles $e^- - e^+ - e^-$. This means we have to determine the expectation values of all interparticle *cosine* functions which are designated below as τ_{ij} and defined by the equations:

$$\tau_{ij} = \langle \Psi \mid \cos\theta_{ij} \mid \Psi \rangle = \langle \cos\theta_{ij} \rangle = \langle \frac{\mathbf{r}_{ik} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{jk}}{r_{ik} r_{jk}} \rangle \ , \tag{7}$$

where $(i,j,k) = (1,2,3)$. For an arbitrary three-body system the sum of the three *cosine* functions slightly exceed unity and equals $1 + 4\,\langle f \rangle$, where the expectation value $\langle f \rangle$ is

$$\langle f \rangle = \langle \frac{u_1}{r_{32}} \frac{u_2}{r_{31}} \frac{u_3}{r_{21}} \rangle = 2 \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mid \psi(u_1,u_2,u_3) \mid^2 u_1 u_2 u_3 du_1 du_2 du_3 \ . \tag{8}$$

For an arbitrary three-body system the following equation holds: $\tau_{32}+\tau_{31}+\tau_{21} = 1+4\langle f \rangle$. For symmetric systems, including the Ps^- ion, this equation takes the form $2\tau_{32}+\tau_{21} = 1+4\langle f \rangle$. This relation is absolute, i.e., it holds for all kinds of variational wave functions, including precise, highly accurate, accurate, approximate, etc.

The next group of properties includes the expectation values of two scalar products $\langle \mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ik} \rangle$ and $\langle \mathbf{p}_i \cdot \mathbf{p}_j \rangle$. These expectation values can be computed either directly, or with the use of following identities:

$$\langle \mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ik} \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\langle r_{ij}^2 \rangle + \langle r_{ik}^2 \rangle - \langle r_{jk}^2 \rangle \Big) \ \text{ and } \ \langle \mathbf{p}_i \cdot \mathbf{p}_j \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\langle p_i^2 \rangle + \langle p_j^2 \rangle - \langle p_k^2 \rangle \Big) \ . \tag{9}$$

The first equation here follow from the basic triangle identity $\mathbf{r}_{32} + \mathbf{r}_{21} + \mathbf{r}_{13} = 0$, which always holds for the three interparticle vectors $\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_{ik} + \mathbf{r}_{kj}$. The second identity in Eq.(9) follows from the center-of-mass equation $\Big(\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{p}_3 \Big) \mid \Psi \rangle = 0$, which holds for any wave functions written in the relative and/or perimetric coordinates (our three internal scalar coordinates), since they are translationally and rotationally invariant. From Eq.(9) one can also derive the two following formulas

$$\langle f(r_{32},r_{31},r_{21})(\mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ik}) \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\langle f(r_{32},r_{31},r_{21}) r_{ij}^2 \rangle + \langle f(r_{32},r_{31},r_{21}) r_{ik}^2 \rangle - \langle f(r_{32},r_{31},r_{21}) r_{jk}^2 \rangle \Big)$$
$$\langle f(r_{32},r_{31},r_{21})(\mathbf{p}_i \cdot \mathbf{p}_j) \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\langle f(r_{32},r_{31},r_{21}) p_i^2 \rangle + \langle f(r_{32},r_{31},r_{21}) p_j^2 \rangle - \langle f(r_{32},r_{31},r_{21}) p_k^2 \rangle \Big),$$

where $f(r_{32},r_{31},r_{21})$ is an arbitrary smooth function of the three relative coordinates. More details about calculations of radial integral in the relative and perimetric coordinates are discussed below.

A. Three-body integrals in perimetric coordinates

Let us briefly explain our approach which is extensively used in this study to determine some important 'radial' three-body integrals in perimetric coordinates. As mentioned above three-body perimetric coordinates u_1, u_2 and u_3 have many advantages in applications to a large number of three- and few-body systems. Two main advantages follow from the facts that three perimetric coordinates u_1, u_2 and u_3 are independent from each other and each of them varies between 0 and $+\infty$. This explains why the perimetric coordinates are very convenient to determine various three-body integrals, including some very complex and singular integrals. To illustrate this fact let us consider the following three-body (or three-particle) integral

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{F}_{k;l;n}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) = \int_0^{+\infty}\int_0^{+\infty}\int_{|r_{32}-r_{31}|}^{r_{32}+r_{31}} \exp[-\alpha r_{32} - \beta r_{31} - \gamma r_{21}] r_{32}^k r_{31}^l r_{21}^n dr_{21} dr_{31} dr_{32} \\
&= 2\int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty} (u_3+u_2)^k (u_3+u_1)^l (u_2+u_1)^n \times \\
&\exp[-(\alpha+\beta)u_3 - (\alpha+\gamma)u_2 - (\beta+\gamma)u_1] du_1 du_2 du_3 \ , \qquad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

where all indexes k, l, n are integer and non-negative, while 2 is the Jacobian $(\frac{\partial r_{ij}}{\partial u_k})$ of the $r_{ij} \to u_k$ substitution. This integral is called the fundamental three-body integral, since the knowledge of the $\mathcal{F}_{k;l;n}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ function allows one to determine a large number of different three-body integrals, which are needed to solve the original Schrödinger equation $\hat{H}\Psi = E\Psi$ for a given three-body system. Applications and high efficiency of the three perimetric coordinates u_1, u_2 and u_3 can be demonstrated by derivation of the closed analytical formula for the integral, Eq.(11). Here we just present the final result. The explicit formula for the $\mathcal{F}_{k;l;n}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ integral is written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{k;l;n}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) \ = \ 2\sum_{k_1=0}^{k}\sum_{l_1=0}^{l}\sum_{n_1=0}^{n} C_k^{k_1} C_l^{l_1} C_n^{n_1} \frac{(l-l_1+k_1)!}{(\alpha+\beta)^{l-l_1+k_1+1}} \frac{(k-k_1+n_1)!}{(\alpha+\gamma)^{k-k_1+n_1+1}} \times \\
\frac{(n-n_1+l_1)!}{(\beta+\gamma)^{n-n_1+l_1+1}} \ , \qquad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

where C_M^m is the number of combinations from M by m (here m and M are integer non-negative numbers). The formula, Eq.(11), can also be written in a few different (but equivalent!) forms. For the first time this formula, Eq.(11), was derived by me in the middle of 1980's [27]. As mentioned above the $\mathcal{F}_{k;l;n}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ integrals play a central role in physics of three-body systems. Note that our three-body $\mathcal{F}_{k;l;n}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ integral defined in Eq.(11) exactly coincides with analogous $\Gamma_{k;l;n}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ integrals defined in [21] and in other our papers.

However, there is an obvious difference between our $\mathcal{F}_{k;l;n}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ integral and analogous integral $\Gamma_{k,l,n}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ introduced in [28] which are more appropriate for one-center atomic systems and not for the Ps^- ion. The relation between these two integrals takes the form: $\mathcal{F}_{k;l;n}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) = \Gamma_{l,k,n}(\beta,\alpha,\gamma)$.

The second fundamental integral is a direct generalization of the integral, Eq.(11), and it contains the both relative and perimetric coordinates. This 'mixed' integral is written in the form

$$\mathcal{H}^{p;q;t}_{k;l;n}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma;\lambda,\mu,\nu) = 2\int_0^{+\infty}\int_0^{+\infty}\int_{|r_{32}-r_{31}|}^{r_{32}+r_{31}} \exp[-\alpha r_{32} - \beta r_{31} - \gamma r_{21} - \nu u_3 - \mu u_2 - \lambda u_1] \times$$
$$r^k_{32} r^l_{31} r^n_{21} u^p_1 u^q_2 u^t_3 dr_{21} dr_{31} dr_{32} = 2\int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty} (u_3+u_2)^k u^p_1 (u_3+u_1)^l u^q_2 (u_2+u_1)^n u^t_3 \times$$
$$\exp[-(\alpha+\beta+\nu)u_3 - (\alpha+\gamma+\mu)u_2 - (\beta+\gamma+\lambda)u_1] du_1 du_2 du_3 \quad . \tag{12}$$

Analytical formula for this integral takes the form

$$\mathcal{H}^{p;q;t}_{k;l;n}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma;\lambda,\mu,\nu) = 2\sum_{k_1=0}^{k}\sum_{l_1=0}^{l}\sum_{n_1=0}^{n} C^{k_1}_k C^{l_1}_l C^{n_1}_n \tag{13}$$
$$\frac{(k+l-l_1+t)!(k-k_1+n_1+q)!(l_1+n-n_1+p)!}{(\alpha+\beta+\nu)^{k+l-l_1+t+1}(\alpha+\gamma+\mu)^{k-k_1+n_1+q+1}(\beta+\gamma+\lambda)^{l_1+n-n_1+p}}$$

and it is also clear that $\mathcal{H}^{0;0;0}_{k;l;n}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma;0,0,0) = \mathcal{F}_{k;l;n}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$. Again, we have to note that our formula, Eq.(13), can also be written in a number of different (but equivalent) forms. The analytical formulas, Eqs.(11) and (13), for the two fundamental integrals are relatively simple and they do not lead to any numerical instabilities which can restrict actual computations of matrix elements of the Hamiltonian and overlap matrices. In general, these two our formulas, Eqs(11) and (13), are very effective, and currently they are extensively used to determine the matrix elements and expectation values of a large number of regular properties. Based on these formulas one can develop a number of fast, numerically stable and relatively simple algorithms which work very well in bound state computations of many three-body systems.

IV. QUASI-SINGULAR AND SINGULAR BOUND STATE PROPERTIES

Note that all expectation values considered above are regular, i.e., they are determined for the regular operators, which which do not include any singular parts. However, in actual computations of bound state properties one has to determine a number of properties

which are either quasi-singular, or true singular. Any singular property, e.g., $\langle r_{ij}^{-k} \rangle = \langle \frac{1}{r_{ij}^{k}} \rangle$, where $k \geq 3$ is positive integer, always contains the both regular and non-zero singular parts. These singular parts are represented by the corresponding singular operators. If some operators are represented by some sums (or differences) of a number of singular operators and singular parts of these operators cancel each other during summation, then we deal with the so-called quasi-singular operators and expectation values. Another possible reason for cancellation of singular parts in quasi-singular expectation values follows from the actual permutation symmetry of the wave function. If such a cancellation of singular parts cannot be performed, or it is incomplete, then we deal with the true singular three-body integrals and expectation values. Fortunately, theory of singular three-body integrals in relative/perimetric coordinates is relatively well developed (see, e.g., [28] and [21]).

In this study we consider the two following quasi-singular properties, or expectation values: (a) $\langle r_{ij}^{-2} \rangle$, where $(ij) = (32)$, (31) and (21) (or (+ -) and (- -)), and (b) $\langle \frac{\mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ik}}{r_{ij}^{3}} \rangle$ expectation values. First, let us consider numerical calculations of the $\langle r_{ij}^{-2} \rangle$ expectation values. The corresponding three-body integrals, which are needed in computations of all matrix elements of the r_{32}^{-2}, r_{31}^{-2} and r_{21}^{-2} operators in the exponential basis function, are written in the following general form, e.g., for the r_{32}^{-2} operator:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{-1;1;1}(a,b,c) &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{|r_{32}-r_{31}|}^{r_{32}+r_{31}} \exp[-ar_{32} - br_{31} - cr_{21}] r_{32}^{-1} r_{31} r_{21} dr_{21} dr_{31} dr_{32} \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}_{-1;0;0}(a,b,c)}{\partial b \partial c} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial b \partial c} \Big\{ \frac{2[\ln(a+b) - \ln(a+c)]}{b^2 - c^2} \Big\} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

and analogous formulas for the r_{31}^{-2} and r_{21}^{-2} operators. The final formula for the $\mathcal{F}_{-1;1;1}(a,b,c)$ integral is

$$\mathcal{F}_{-1;1;1}(a,b,c) = \frac{4}{(b^2-c^2)^2} \Big(\frac{b}{a+c} + \frac{c}{a+b} \Big) - \frac{16bc[\ln(a+c) - \ln(a+b)]}{(b^2-c^2)^3} \ . \tag{15}$$

These three-body integrals are not singular. The formula, Eq.(15), can directly be used in numerical computations only in those cases when c is not close to b. However, if $c \to b$, then this formula becomes numerically unstable. In such cases, we have to introduce a small parameter $\tau = \frac{c-b}{a+b}$. Then, the right hand side of Eq.(15) is represented as a power series upon τ, and it contains only non-negative powers of τ. This power series are used in numerical calculations. In detail this method was described in [21]. There are two alternative methods which are successfully used to determine the three-body integral, Eq.(14), and other similar

three-body integrals. The first method was well described in [28]. Another (third) method, which is based on semi-perimetric coordinates, will be published elsewhere.

The second group of expectation values includes the $\langle \frac{\mathbf{r}_{31}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{32}}{r_{31}^3} \rangle$ and $\langle \frac{\mathbf{r}_{31}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{21}}{r_{31}^3} \rangle$ expectation values. All these expectation values can be written in one of the three following general forms: $\langle \frac{(\cos\theta_{32})^{n_1}}{r_{32}^2} f_a(r_{32}, r_{31}, r_{21}) \rangle$, $\langle \frac{(\cos\theta_{31})^{n_2}}{r_{31}^2} f_b(r_{32}, r_{31}, r_{21}) \rangle$, $\langle \frac{(\cos\theta_{21})^{n_3}}{r_{21}^2} f_c(r_{32}, r_{31}, r_{21}) \rangle$, where $f_a(x,y,z)$, $f_b(x,y,z)$, $f_c(x,y,z)$ are some non-singular functions of their arguments. As follows from these formulas none of these expectation values is singular. Moreover, numerical calculations of all these matrix elements does not present any troubles, if we are working in the anti-Hylleraas coordinates which explicitly include one $\cos\theta_{ij}$ coordinate. In general, one can write the following relations for an arbitrary expectation value of the operator $\hat{A}$ in the internal three-body coordinates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} \Big[\Psi(r_{ij}, r_{ik}, \cos\theta_{jk}) \hat{A}(r_{ij}, r_{ik}, \cos\theta_{jk}) \Psi(r_{ij}, r_{ik}, \cos\theta_{jk}) \Big] r_{ij}^2 r_{ik}^2 \sin\theta_{jk} dr_{ij} dr_{ik} d\theta_{jk} \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_{|r_{32}-r_{31}|}^{r_{32}+r_{31}} \Big[\Psi(r_{32}, r_{31}, r_{21}) \hat{A}(r_{32}, r_{31}, r_{21}) \Psi(r_{32}, r_{31}, r_{21}) \Big] r_{32} r_{31} r_{21} dr_{21} dr_{31} dr_{32} \\
&= 2 \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \Big[\Psi(u_1, u_2, u_3) \hat{A}(u_1, u_2, u_3) \Psi(u_1, u_2, u_3) \Big] u_1 u_2 u_3 du_1 du_2 du_3 \ , \qquad (16)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $(i,j,k) = (1,2,3)$ and $\cos\theta_{jk} = \frac{r_{ij}^2 + r_{ik}^2 - r_{jk}^2}{2 r_{ij} r_{ik}}$. These formulas are very useful to predict expectation values which are 'potentially' singular. For instance, the expectation value of the $r_{32}^{-2} r_{21}^{-2} \cos\theta_{31}$ operator is not singular, but the expectation value of the $r_{32}^{-2} r_{31}^{-2} r_{21}^{-1} \cos\theta_{21}$ operator is singular. Quite often 'singularity' of some expectation values simply means that the chosen (or given) internal coordinates are not appropriate to evaluate and investigate these particular three-body integrals and expectation values.

In reality, in our three-body perimetric coordinates as well as in the regular Hylleraas coordinates r_{32}, r_{31}, r_{21} analytical and/or numerical calculations of all expectation values, which include the $(\cos\theta_{32})^n$ functions, where $n \geq 2$, always generate a number of problems. Indeed, each of these integrals is represented as a sum of some singular integrals and we have to prove that each such a sum is a regular expression. The number of similar problems increases rapidly when the number n grows. Unfortunately, such integrals are needed in a large number of applications. For example, to determine the lowest order relativistic corrections, which are also known as Breit's corrections, we need to calculate quite a few matrix elements each of which contain integrals of the form $\langle (\cos\theta_{ij})^2 \ \ f(r_{32}, r_{31}, r_{21}) \rangle$.

Another example is from the history of atomic calculations. In 1940 Vinty [29] proposed

to determine the largest component of isotopic shifts in the two-electron atoms by using the $\langle\frac{\mathbf{r}_{31}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{32}}{r^3_{31}}\rangle = \langle\frac{1}{r^2_{31}}\cos\theta_{21}\rangle$ expectation value. From the very beginning his method generated a number of controversies. One of them was formulated as a statement that this expectation value is singular, and, therefore, Vinty just reduced an original complex problem to another form which makes this original problem 'absolutely unsolvable'. In fact, this expectation value is not singular (it has been shown explicitly in our paper [30]). Nevertheless, some questions about this and other similar expectation values for other three-body systems are still remain unsolved. Here we want to finish this very long discussion and answer all questions which currently exist for these expectation values. First, we need to know how many similar integrals do exist and how many of them are truly independent for an arbitrary three-body system. To answer these questions let us note that the following equations: $\langle\frac{\mathbf{r}_{ij}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{ik}}{r^3_{ij}}\rangle = -\langle\frac{\mathbf{r}_{ij}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{ki}}{r^3_{ij}}\rangle = \langle\frac{\mathbf{r}_{ji}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{ki}}{r^3_{ij}}\rangle$ and $\langle\frac{\mathbf{r}_{ij}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{ij}}{r^3_{ij}}\rangle = \langle\frac{1}{r_{ij}}\rangle$ are always obeyed for these nine expectation values. Also, by multiplying the vector-operator $\frac{\mathbf{r}_{ij}}{r^3_{ij}}$ by the sum $\mathbf{r}_{32}+\mathbf{r}_{21}+\mathbf{r}_{13}=0$ one finds three following equations for these expectation values:

$$\langle\frac{\mathbf{r}_{12}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{32}}{r^3_{21}}\rangle + \langle\frac{\mathbf{r}_{21}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{31}}{r^3_{21}}\rangle = \langle\frac{1}{r_{21}}\rangle \ , \tag{17}$$

$$\langle\frac{\mathbf{r}_{31}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{21}}{r^3_{31}}\rangle + \langle\frac{\mathbf{r}_{31}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{32}}{r^3_{31}}\rangle = \langle\frac{1}{r_{31}}\rangle \ , \tag{18}$$

$$\langle\frac{\mathbf{r}_{32}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{12}}{r^3_{32}}\rangle + \langle\frac{\mathbf{r}_{32}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{31}}{r^3_{32}}\rangle = \langle\frac{1}{r_{32}}\rangle \ . \tag{19}$$

As follows from these equations there are only three independent $\langle\frac{\mathbf{r}_{ij}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{ik}}{r^3_{ij}}\rangle$ expectation values in an arbitrary non-symmetric three-body systems. For instance, we can choose the three $\langle\frac{\mathbf{r}_{21}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{32}}{r^3_{21}}\rangle$, $\langle\frac{\mathbf{r}_{31}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{32}}{r^3_{31}}\rangle$ and $\langle\frac{\mathbf{r}_{32}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{21}}{r^3_{32}}\rangle$ expectation values as independent. For symmetric three-body systems only two (of three) expectation values are truly independent, since in this case Eq.(18) and Eq.(19) are transformed into each other when $1 \Leftrightarrow 2$.

To finish our discussion let us produce more useful relations between our expectation values $\langle\frac{\mathbf{r}_{ij}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{ik}}{r^3_{ij}}\rangle$ and some other bound state properties. First, we introduce the three following operators $\hat{A}_1 = \mathbf{r}_{32}\cdot\mathbf{p}_1, \hat{A}_2 = \mathbf{r}_{31}\cdot\mathbf{p}_2$ and $\hat{A}_3 = \mathbf{r}_{21}\cdot\mathbf{p}_3$. For an arbitrary stationary (e.g., bound) state in any three-body system we can write the following equations $\langle\frac{d\hat{A}_i}{dt}\rangle = -\imath\langle[\hat{A}_i,\hat{H}]\rangle = 0$, or $\langle[\hat{A}_i,\hat{H}]\rangle = 0$ [31]. From here one finds the following equations for the expectation values

$$\frac{1}{m_3}\langle\mathbf{p}_1\cdot\mathbf{p}_3\rangle - \frac{1}{m_2}\langle\mathbf{p}_1\cdot\mathbf{p}_2\rangle = q_1q_2\langle\frac{\mathbf{r}_{32}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{12}}{r^3_{21}}\rangle - q_1q_3\langle\frac{\mathbf{r}_{31}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{32}}{r^3_{31}}\rangle \ , \tag{20}$$

$$\frac{1}{m_1}\langle \mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2 \rangle - \frac{1}{m_3}\langle \mathbf{p}_2 \cdot \mathbf{p}_3 \rangle = q_2 q_3 \langle \frac{\mathbf{r}_{32} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{31}}{r_{32}^3} \rangle - q_1 q_2 \langle \frac{\mathbf{r}_{31} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{21}}{r_{21}^3} \rangle \ , \tag{21}$$

$$\frac{1}{m_2}\langle \mathbf{p}_2 \cdot \mathbf{p}_3 \rangle - \frac{1}{m_1}\langle \mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_3 \rangle = q_1 q_3 \langle \frac{\mathbf{r}_{31} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{21}}{r_{31}^3} \rangle - q_2 q_3 \langle \frac{\mathbf{r}_{32} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{12}}{r_{32}^3} \rangle \ , \tag{22}$$

where m_1, m_2 and m_3 are the masses of three particles, while q_1, q_2 and q_3 are their electrical charges. All these values must be expressed in atomic units. For the Ps^- ion one finds $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = 1$ and $q_1 = q_2 = -1$, while $q_3 = 1$. For symmetric systems $(1 \leftrightarrow 2)$ the last equation, Eq.(22), is reduced to the identity $0 = 0$ and we have only two independent equations Eq.(20) and Eq.(21) in this case. It is important to emphasize the fact that equations, Eqs.(17) - (19), are exact, while analogous equations for the same expectation values, Eqs.(20) - (22), are only approximate. The actual accuracy of these equations depends upon the overall accuracy of the trail wave functions used.

Finally, let us discuss calculations of the expectation values $\langle \frac{1}{r_{32}^3} \rangle (= \langle \frac{1}{r_{31}^3} \rangle)$ and $\langle \frac{1}{r_{21}^3} \rangle$ which are truly singular. Singularity of these integrals follows from the fact that the following three-body integral

$$\mathcal{F}_{-2;1;1}(a,b,c) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{|r_{32}-r_{31}|}^{r_{32}+r_{31}} \exp[-ar_{32} - br_{31} - cr_{21}] r_{32}^{-2} r_{31} r_{21} dr_{21} dr_{31} dr_{32} \tag{23}$$

does not exist as a finite expression, or in other words, this integral diverges. However, we can define the following integral

$$\mathcal{F}_{-2;1;1}(a,b,c;\epsilon) = \int_{\epsilon}^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{|r_{32}-r_{31}|}^{r_{32}+r_{31}} \exp[-ar_{32} - br_{31} - cr_{21}] r_{32}^{-2} r_{31} r_{21} dr_{21} dr_{31} dr_{32} \ , \tag{24}$$

which is a finite non-singular integral for $\epsilon > 0$, but diverges when $\epsilon \to 0$. For this regular $\mathcal{F}_{-2;1;1}(a,b,c;\epsilon)$ integral, where $\epsilon > 0$, we can apply the following formula

$$\mathcal{F}_{-2;1;1}(a,b,c;\epsilon) = \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}_{-2;0;0}(a,b,c;\epsilon)}{\partial b \, \partial c} \ , \tag{25}$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_{-2;0;0}(a,b,c;\epsilon) = \int_{\epsilon}^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{|r_{32}-r_{31}|}^{r_{32}+r_{31}} \exp[-ar_{32} - br_{31} - cr_{21}] r_{32}^{-2} dr_{21} dr_{31} dr_{32} \ . \tag{26}$$

This $\mathcal{F}_{-2;0;0}(a,b,c;\epsilon)$ integral is represented as the sum of its regular R and singular S parts: $\mathcal{F}_{-2;0;0}(a,b,c;\epsilon) = R_{-2;0;0}(a,b,c) + S_{-2;0;0}(a,b,c;\epsilon)$, where the regular part is

$$\begin{aligned} R_{-2;0;0}(a,b,c) &= 2\,\frac{(a+c)\ln(a+c) - (a+b)\ln(a+b))}{(b^2-c^2)} + \frac{2}{b+c} \\ &= R^{\ln}_{-2;0;0}(a,b,c) + R^{f}_{-2;0;0}(a,b,c) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

The second term in the right side of this equation $R^{f}_{-2;0;0}(a,b,c)=\frac{2}{b+c}$ is called the final term (or final contribution), while the first term is called the logarithmic term which is designated below as $R^{\ln}_{-2;0;0}(a,b,c)$. The singular part of the integral, Eq.(25) takes the form

$$S_{-2;0;0}(a,b,c;\epsilon)=\frac{2}{b+c}(\psi(1)-\ln\epsilon)=-\frac{2}{b+c}(\gamma_E+\ln\epsilon)\ \ , \tag{28}$$

where $\psi(x)$ is the *digamma*-function [13]. For positive integer n we have $\psi(n)=-\gamma_E+\sum_{m=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{m}$, where $\gamma_E=-\psi(1)\approx 0.5772156649\ldots$ is the Euler constant.

Now by using all these formulas one can say that the limit (at $\epsilon\to 0$) of the integral $\mathcal{F}_{-2;0;0}(a,b,c;\epsilon)$, Eq.(26), does not exist as a final expression, since its singular part, $S_{-2;0;0}(a,b,c;\epsilon)$ becomes infinite when ϵ approaches zero. However, the difference of this integral $\mathcal{F}_{-2;0;0}(a,b,c;\epsilon)$ and its singular part $S_{-2;0;0}(a,b,c;\epsilon)$ is a well defined expression which always finite, does not depend upon ϵ and equals to the regular part $R_{-2;0;0}(a,b,c)$ defined in Eq.(27). In other words, the numerical value of the regularized integral, Eq.(26), equals to this $R_{-2;0;0}(a,b,c)$ value which is regular and always finite. In our calculations we need to determine the $\mathcal{F}_{-2;1;1}(a,b,c;\epsilon)$ integral where ϵ is very small, positive and finite. The integral Eq.(24) is the second-order derivative of the integral Eq.(25). This leads to the following formulas

$$R_{-2;1;1}(a,b,c)=\frac{\partial^2 R^{\ln}_{-2;0;0}(a,b,c)}{\partial b\,\partial c}+\frac{4}{(b+c)^3}\ ;\ S_{-2;1;1}(a,b,c;\epsilon)=-\frac{4}{(b+c)^3}(\gamma_E+\ln\epsilon), \tag{29}$$

where the second-order partial derivative in the right-hand side of the last equation is written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2 R^{\ln}_{-2;0;0}(a,b,c)}{\partial b\,\partial c}\ &=\ 16\frac{[(a+c)\ln(a+c)-(a+b)\ln(a+b)]bc}{(c^2-b^2)^3}\\ &-\ 4\frac{[\ln(a+c)+1]b}{(c^2-b^2)^2}-4\frac{[\ln(a+b)+1]c}{(c^2-b^2)^2}\ \ .\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

The same logic, which we have applied above to the $\mathcal{F}_{-2;0;0}(a,b,c;\epsilon)$ integral, also works for the $\mathcal{F}_{-2;1;1}(a,b,c;\epsilon)$ integral. Indeed, the limit of the $\mathcal{F}_{-2;1;1}(a,b,c;\epsilon)$ integral when $\epsilon\to 0$ simply does not exist, but this limit does exist for the difference of this integral and its singular part, i.e., for the $\mathcal{F}_{-2;1;1}(a,b,c;\epsilon)-S_{-2;1;1}(a,b,c;\epsilon)$ value. In fact, such a limit is finite and its is a regular function of the three parameters a,b and c. As follows from our formulas presented above this limit equals to the $R_{-2;1;1}(a,b,c)$ value defined in he first equation from Eq.(29). This approach also works perfectly in the general case, i.e., for arbitrary three-body

integrals of the form $\mathcal{F}_{-k;m;n}(a,b,c;\epsilon)$ which contain singularities and diverge when $\epsilon \to 0$. Note also that for these three-body integrals we have predicted and found [28] all singularities, which can be classified as follows: the lowest-order singularity which is proportional to the factor $\simeq (\psi(1) - \ln\epsilon) = -(\gamma_E + \ln\epsilon)$, the first- and higher-order singularities which are proportional to the factors $\frac{1}{\epsilon^p}$, where $p = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$. Besides these singularities, there are no other singularities for the three-particle $\mathcal{F}_{-k;m;n}(a,b,c;\epsilon)$ integrals. The same statement is true for the different three-particle integrals such as $\mathcal{F}_{-p;-1;n}(a,b,c;\epsilon)$ [28]. Very likely, a similar conclusion is also true for the three-body $\mathcal{F}_{-p;-q;n}(a,b,c;\epsilon)$ integrals, where $min(p,q) \geq 1$. For such integrals one finds an additional complication which follows from the fact that to investigate singularities we need to apply the two infinitesimally small parameters ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 (not one ϵ which we have used in our analysis). Analogous singular three-body $\mathcal{F}_{-p;-q;-s}(a,b,c;\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2,\epsilon_3)$ integrals have never been investigated in earlier studies excluding perhaps the following exponential integral, which is known as the Demkov's three-body integral

$$\mathcal{F}_{-1;-1;-1}(a,b,c) = \int_0^{+\infty}\int_0^{+\infty}\int_{|r_{32}-r_{31}|}^{r_{32}+r_{31}} \exp[-ar_{32} - br_{31} - cr_{21}] r_{32}^{-1} r_{31}^{-1} r_{21}^{-1} dr_{21} dr_{31} dr_{32} \; . \quad (31)$$

This form is a pure formal, since it does include any of the infinitesimally small parameters ϵ_i (i = 1, 2, 3). This integral can be found in some applications to three-body systems, but here we cannot discuss similar three-body integrals in details.

Finally, by using our formulas derived above we can write for the expectation value of the $\frac{1}{r_{32}^3}$ operator

$$\langle \frac{1}{r_{32}^3} \rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \Big[\langle \Psi \mid \frac{1}{r_{32}^3} \mid \Psi \rangle_\epsilon + 4\pi \langle \delta(\mathbf{r}_{32}) \rangle (\gamma_E + \ln\epsilon) \Big] = \langle \Psi \mid \frac{1}{r_{32}^3} \mid \Psi \rangle_R + 4\pi \langle \delta(\mathbf{r}_{32}) \rangle \quad , \quad (32)$$

where $\langle \Psi \mid \frac{1}{r_{32}^3} \mid \Psi \rangle_R$ is the first (or logarithmic) term in the regular part of this expectation value which is determined by using Eq.(30). Here we used the following formula $\langle \frac{4}{(b+c)^3} \rangle = 4\pi \langle \delta(\mathbf{r}_{32}) \rangle$ which is obeyed in the basis of exponential functions of the relative and/or perimetric coordinates. The additional term in Eq.(33), i.e., the $4\pi \langle \delta(\mathbf{r}_{32}) \rangle$ term, represents the finite contribution into the $\langle \frac{1}{r_{32}^3} \rangle$ expectation value. Therefore, we cannot simply replace the singular $\langle \frac{1}{r_{32}^3} \rangle$ expectation value by its regular part, i.e., by the $\langle \frac{1}{r_{32}^3} \rangle_R$ expectation value, since it produces a wrong result. Note also that similar finite contributions always exist (and can be found) for the expectation values of singular operators.

Analogously, for the expectation value of the $\frac{1}{r_{21}^3}$ operator one finds the formula

$$\langle\frac{1}{r_{21}^3}\rangle = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\Big[\langle\Psi \mid \frac{1}{r_{21}^3} \mid \Psi\rangle_\epsilon + 4\pi\langle\delta(\mathbf{r}_{21})\rangle(\gamma_E + \ln\epsilon)\Big] = \langle\Psi \mid \frac{1}{r_{21}^3} \mid \Psi\rangle_R + 4\pi\langle\delta(\mathbf{r}_{21})\rangle \quad , \quad (33)$$

where all expectation values in the right hand side of this equation are determined by using a few obvious interchanges of parameters (a, b, c) and variables (1, 2, 3) in our formulas presented above for the $\langle\frac{1}{r_{21}^3}\rangle$ expectation value. For the two-electron atomic systems the last expectation value, Eq.(33), is called the Araki-Sucher term [32], [33]. This term is an important part of the lowest order QED correction in the two-electron atom(s) and ions and other similar systems. Analysis and calculations of the singular $\langle\frac{1}{r_{32}^4}\rangle, \langle\frac{1}{r_{32}^5}\rangle, \langle\frac{1}{r_{21}^4}\rangle$ and $\langle\frac{1}{r_{21}^5}\rangle$ integrals and corresponding expectation values for the Ps^- ion can be found in our earlier studies (see, e.g., [8] and references therein).

V. POSITRON ANNIHILATION

The most remarkable property of the negatively charged positronium Ps^- $(= e^-e^+e^-)$ ion is annihilation of the electron-positron pair which can proceed with the emission of different number of photons [34], [35]. In applications to different few-body systems, which contain positron(s), this process is also called the positron annihilation. The most important are the cases of two- and three-photon annihilation. The formulas for the rates of two-, three-, four- and five-photon annihilation of the electron-positron pair in the ground state of the Ps^- ion have been derived in a number of earlier studies (for more details and references, see, e.g., [41]). These formulas are

$$\Gamma_{2\gamma} = 2\,\pi\alpha^4 c a_0^{-1}\Big[1 - \frac{\alpha}{\pi}\Big(5 - \frac{\pi^2}{4}\Big)\Big]\,\langle\delta_{+-}\rangle \;\; sec^{-1}\,, \quad (34)$$

$$\Gamma_{3\gamma} = 2\,\frac{4(\pi^2-9)}{3\pi}\pi\alpha^5 c a_0^{-1}\langle\delta(\mathbf{r}_{+-})\rangle = \frac{8(\pi^2-9)}{3}\alpha^5 c a_0^{-1}\langle\delta_{+-}\rangle \;\; sec^{-1}\,, \quad (35)$$

$$\Gamma_{4\gamma} = 0.274\Big(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\Big)^2\Gamma_{2\gamma} \;\; sec^{-1}\,, \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{5\gamma} = 0.177\Big(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\Big)^2\Gamma_{3\gamma} \;\; sec^{-1}\,, \quad (36)$$

where $\alpha = \frac{e^2}{\hbar c} = 7.2973525693{\cdot}10^{-3}(\approx \frac{1}{137.04})$ is the dimensionless fine-structure constant, $c \approx 2.997294580{\cdot}10^{10}\; cm\cdot\mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ is the speed of light in vacuum, while $a_0 \approx 5.291772109031{\cdot}10^{-9}$ cm is the Bohr radius. In atomic units $c = \frac{1}{\alpha}$ and $a_0 = 1$. Our formula for the two-photon annihilation rate, Eq.(34), also includes the lowest order QED correction to that value [36]. In this paper to determine the $\Gamma_{2\gamma}$ value we have applied the formula, Eq.(34). The formula

for the three-photon annihilation rate was derived in 1949 [37] and re-derived later quite a few times in a number of papers and in some textbooks (see, e.g., [35] and [38]). This formula is usually derived as the non-relativistic limit of the general formula for the three-photon annihilation rate at arbitrary energies and momenta (see, e.g., [39]). The both formulas in Eq.(36) have been obtained for the Ps^- ion from the formulas presented in [40]. The total rate Γ of positron annihilation in the Ps^- ion is the sum of all partial annihilation rates, i.e., we can write $\Gamma = \Gamma_{2\gamma} + \Gamma_{3\gamma} + \Gamma_{4\gamma} + \Gamma_{5\gamma} + \Gamma_{1\gamma} + \Gamma_{6\gamma} + \ldots$. In reality, the total annihilation rate Γ is often approximated (to very good accuracy) by the sum of two its largest components $\Gamma \approx \Gamma_{2\gamma} + \Gamma_{3\gamma}$. This leads to the following formula

$$\Gamma \approx \Gamma_{2\gamma} + \Gamma_{3\gamma} = 2\,\pi\alpha^4 c a_0^{-1}\Big[1 - \alpha\Big(\frac{17}{\pi} - \frac{19\pi}{12}\Big)\Big]\,\langle\delta_{+-}\rangle \quad sec^{-1} \;\; , \tag{37}$$

These annihilation rates determined with the use of our expectation values of electron-positron delta-functions can be found in Table V.

Note that in the three-body Ps^- ion the positron annihilation can also proceed with the emission of a single photon. This process is called the one-photon annihilation [42], [43], [44]. In the lowest-order approximation the rate of one-photon annihilation is proportional to the expectation value of the triple delta-function $\langle\delta_{321}\rangle$. The exact formula for the one-photon annihilation rate in the Ps^- ion is written in the form [43], [44]:

$$\Gamma_{1\gamma} = \frac{64\pi^2}{27}\alpha^8 c a_0^{-1}\langle\delta_{321}\rangle \;\; sec^{-1} \;\; , \tag{38}$$

where the expectation value $\langle\delta_{321}\rangle$ must be taken in atomic units. In general, highly accurate computations of this expectation value are difficult, since it is very hard to stabilize a sufficient number of decimal digits in the $\langle\delta_{321}\rangle$ expectation value (see Table III). Results from this Table indicate clearly that even with our highly accurate wave functions we cannot stabilize even four decimal digits in the $\langle\delta_{321}\rangle$ expectation value. Finally, we have found that for $N = 3842$ the one-photon annihilation rate is $\Gamma_{1\gamma} \approx 3.2223 \cdot 10^{-2}\ sec^{-1}$ (see Table V). This numerical value of the one-photon annihilation rate $\Gamma_{1\gamma}$ is noticeably smaller than its value evaluated in earlier papers, e.g., $\Gamma_{1\gamma} \approx 3.8249 \cdot 10^{-2}\ sec^{-1}$ [41]. Here we have to note that our direct formula used for numerical computations of the expectation value of triple delta-function is not perfect, since it often leads to relatively large oscillations of the computed expectation values, even for highly accurate wave functions. In the future, we want to derive some alternative formulas for the $\langle\delta_{321}\rangle$ expectation value.

In strong electromagnetic fields the positron annihilation in the Ps^- ion can also proceed as zero-photon annihilation, i.e., annihilation of the (e^+, e^-)-pair when no single $\gamma-$quanta is emitted. Approximately, the rate of such a zero-photon annihilation $\Gamma_{0\gamma}$ in the Ps^- ion can be evaluated as $\Gamma_{0\gamma} \approx \alpha^2\Gamma_{1\gamma}$, but such a rate also quadratically depends upon the magnetic field $\mathbf{H}$ (or magnetic flux density $\mathbf{B}$). Zero-photon annihilation of the Ps^- ions may be important in strong magnetic fields which are always exist around very hot, rapidly rotating O-, B- and Be-stars. The Be-stars are the usual B-stars, but they also have a system of rapidly rotating rings of neutral hydrogen atoms. The equatorial velocities of hydrogen atoms in such rings often exceed 500 $km \cdot \sec^{-1}$.

VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Thus, we have studied the bound state properties of the ground $1^1S(L = 0)-$state of the three-body Ps^- ion which have been determined numerically to high numerical accuracy. In our calculations we have used highly accurate variational wave functions. The overall accuracy of our current results for most of the properties substantially exceeds the maximal accuracy known from previous calculations. In particular, the total non-relativistic energy of this (ground) bound state in the three-body ion E = -0.262005070232980107770402119 98 $a.u.$ which is the lowest variational energy ever obtained for this ion. This indicates clearly that the unique combination of our methods developed for analytical solution of the Coulomb three-body problems [10] and for highly accurate numerical computations of bound states in similar systems [11] works very well and provides high efficiency. We have also determined a large number of bound state properties of the Ps^- ion in its ground (bound) state. Many of these properties, e.g., all r_{21}^k and r_{31}^k expectation values, where $k \geq 5$, have never been determined (to very high accuracy) in earlier studies.

We also investigated some singular and quasi-singular properties of the Ps^- ion. In particular, in this study we have solved a 'mystery' of the Vinty-type $\langle \frac{\mathbf{r}_{ij}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{ik}}{r_{ik}^3} \rangle$ expectation values. All these expectation values for the Ps^- ion are now known to very good accuracy. The singular expectation values $\langle r_{31}^{-3} \rangle$ and $\langle r_{21}^{-3} \rangle$ have been determined to very high accuracy. Our highly accurate expectation values of the electron-positron delta-functions, i.e., $\langle \delta_{31} \rangle (= \langle \delta_{+-} \rangle)$, allowed us to determine a number of multi-photon annihilation rates in the Ps^- ion (see, Table V). Numerical values of these annihilation rates are now known to very high

numerical accuracy, and they will not be changed noticeably in future calculations. We also evaluated the numerical value of one-photon annihilation rate $\Gamma_{1\gamma}$ (see, Table V) and discussed a few problems which still remain in accurate computations of this rate. Recently, annihilation in the Ps^- ion and other properties of this ion have been discussed in [46] and [47]. Zero-photon annihilation of the Ps^- ion is possible only in strong $EM-$fields and must be evaluated in future studies.

As we have mentioned in the text the lowest-order relativistic and QED corrections for the ground $1^1S(L = 0)-$state of the three-body Ps^- ion will be determined in our next study. Numerical calculation of these corrections require the closed analytical formulas for some new three-body integrals which have not been considered in this study. Our earlier results [45] (see also [5]) for these values are outdated and must be corrected. Another paper will include our new formulas for the photodetachment cross section of the negatively charged Ps^- ion. In general, for the Ps^- ion one finds a large number of interesting problems none of which have ever been investigated.

In this study we also investigated a close problem of thermal sources of fast positron and annihilation γ-quanta in our Galaxy (see, Appendix A below). In this direction we have discovered a number of very interesting facts about the overall intensity of such overheated sources, evaluated the numbers of electron-positron pairs in them (at different temperatures), etc. We have also found that it is impossible to see (directly) any object, e.g., gas, or atomic plasma, heated to temperatures above 350 - 400 keV. An actual observer cannot see (directly) the shape of atomic plasma heated to extremely high temperatures, but instead will observe only an intense flow of fast positrons, electrons and huge amount of annihilation $\gamma-$quanta. This phenomenon is the *annihilation shielding* of overheated matter, and probably, it plays an important role in Astrophysics. The existence of such a high-temperature limit for photon optics has never been assumed either in classical, or quantum optics, where it was always believed that one could see all details of material objects heated to arbitrary high temperatures.

Appendix A: On thermal sources of annihilation γ-quanta in our Galaxy

Annihilation of the electron-positron pairs from the bound states of different light poly-electrons (e.g., the positronium Ps^- ion, positronium Ps_2 quasi-molecule, etc), positron-

containing light atoms, ions and quasi-molecules is of great interest in the both Stellar and Galactic astrophysics (see, e.g., [48] and references therein). In reality, all processes with positrons are still considered as 'exotic' (or 'rare') even in Stellar astrophysics. The main problem here is a short life-time of newly created positrons in regular stellar photospheres. However, in our Galaxy (Milky Way) there are quite a few known sources of fast positron and annihilation $\gamma-$quanta with energies $E_\gamma \approx 0.5110\ MeV$. Annihilation $\gamma-$quanta and positrons from these sources propagate into the rest of our Galaxy in relatively large quantities. There are a number of remarkable experimental facts about such sources of annihilation $\gamma-$quanta and fast positrons. First of all, they have unusually high intensities, which lasts for many dozens and even hundreds of years (without visible weakening). Second, all such sources of γ-radiation are either located in the immediate vicinity proximity to the known black holes, or in regions where such holes are currently being formed. One of such annihilation sources is located almost at the center of our Galaxy, where one also finds a number of known black holes one of which is extremely massive (Sagittarius A*). The evaluated mass of Sagittarius A* approximately equals 4.1 million times the mass of the Sun, while its spatial diameter has been estimated as 52 million kilometers. This diameter determines the so-called event horizon which is a distance from the center of the black hole within which nothing can escape. This black hole (Sagittarius A*) lies at the center of our Milky Way Galaxy at the distance $\approx$ 26750 light years from our Solar system. In spatial areas located outside of event horizon, but close to this massive black hole, some components of the gravitational field strengths $\frac{\partial g_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x^\gamma}$, or Cristoffel symbols $\Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$, reach very large values, which are in dozens of billions times greater than similar values of these quantities inside of our Sun. Similar field strengths can easily confine even a very hot plasma which is our interest in this study.

In general, the presence of intense sources of the fast positrons and annihilation $\gamma-$quanta, i.e., $\gamma-$quanta with energies $E_\gamma \approx 0.5110\ MeV$, means that there are extremely high temperatures in some small (local), or relatively large (extended) spatial areas. For instance, let us consider some relatively large $B-$, or $A-$star which has been captured by a supermassive black hole. In 99.9% of cases this is not a sudden event, but a process which takes quite some time, e.g., dozens of years. The motion and evolution of the captured star in the field of supermassive black hole is a very complex and interesting process.

If the local equilibrium temperature T in atomic plasma increases to very large values, e.g., $T \geq 150\ keV$, then positrons become more and more common particles in such an overheated region. To illustrate this let us consider one hydrogen atom which has its 'natural' volume $V_H = \frac{4\pi}{3} a_0^3$, where a_0 is the Bohr's radius. At normal conditions such an atom contains one positively charged hydrogen nucleus and one electron. Let us assume that by using some boundary conditions we can somehow hold these two and other newly created particles in the volume V_H. Now, the fundamental question is: what will we see in this volume V_H when the local temperature T rises? For relatively small temperatures it is easy to answer this question. Indeed, until the local temperature reaches some relatively large values, e.g., $T = 125\ keV$, we will not see any changes in this volume V_H, i.e., no new particles will arise in this heated volume V_H. However, already for $T = 130\ keV$ the same volume of hydrogen atom will also include ≈ 2.2 electron-positron pairs. For higher temperatures, e.g., for $T = 150\ keV$, in the volume V_H we will see 9.7 $(e^-, e^+)-$pairs, for $T = 170\ keV$ this number equals ≈ 31.4 $(e^-, e^+)-$pairs and for $T = 200\ keV$ there are ≈ 126 such pairs. If the temperature 170 keV, then we can ignore the original hydrogen nucleus and atomic electron in the volume V_H, since their overall contribution into thermodynamic functions becomes negligible. Briefly, at such conditions we have to deal with the dense electron-positron plasma and annihilation $\gamma-$quanta. The role of incident particles, e.g., atomic nuclei and electrons can be ignored.

These figures explain a significant contribution of annihilation gamma quanta and electron-positron pairs into the total energy release of nuclear and thermonuclear explosions. It was reported in [49] that up to 11% of all energy released in the standard 40 kt explosion of a nuclear charge assembled in a multi-shell configuration goes into the formation of electron-positron pairs. In standard devices a significant part of newly created positrons will annihilate inside of the nuclear charge. In turn, annihilation $\gamma-$quanta are either scattered by heavy elements inside this nuclear charge (or bomb), or absorbed and then re-emitted with some delay and different frequencies. However, some positrons may leave the central part of the bomb and annihilate outside the explosion area. This produces, in particular, disturbances and interruptions in the radio communications. During high-temperature thermonuclear explosions, when $T \geq 230\ keV$, the electron-positron pairs are formed in significantly larger numbers and consequences of similar explosions can be catastrophic for any communications based on electronic devices. However, if such high temperature explosions are produced at very high altitudes ($h \geq 100\ km$), or in space ($h \geq 200\ km$), then regular

tv- and radio-communications can be interrupted for a very long time.

For higher temperatures the total number of newly created positrons (and electrons) increases faster with the temperature. For instance, for $T = 250\ keV$ in the same volume V_H we have $N_{e^-e^+} = 684$, for $T = 300\ keV$ our evaluation gives $N_{e^-e^+} = 2336$ and for $T = 400\ keV$ this number equals 12978. These total numbers of newly created (e^-, e^+)-pairs have been evaluated by using the formulas presented below. Here we want to note that for extremely high temperatures the numbers of electron-positron pairs in the volume V_H become extremely large, e.g., for 500 keV we have $N_{e^-e^+} = 939305$, for $T = 0.5110\ MeV$ such a number surpasses one million, while for the 'fantastically' high, 'nuclear' temperature $T = 5$ MeV the total number of electron-positron pairs created in the volume of one hydrogen atom V_H exceeds one billion. In similar areas of stellar plasma heated to very high temperatures the methods of atomic physics stop working and we have to apply the methods of statistical physics [50]. Furthermore, we can neglect all incident particles, which were originally existed in these areas, and consider the newly created electron-positron plasma only. It is also clear that such an electron-positron plasma must be in thermal equilibrium with the gas of annihilation $\gamma-$quanta (or photon gas, for short).

Thus, we can write the following 'chemical' reaction: $e^- + e^+ = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \ldots$, which describes equilibrium between the hot electron-positron plasma and photon gas of annihilation $\gamma-$quanta. Just as in the case of chemical reactions, we write expressions for the chemical potentials of all three gases and obtain the following equation $\mu_e + \mu_p = \mu_\gamma = 0$, since the chemical potential of any photon gas equals zero identically. Here and everywhere below, the index e means electron(s), while the index p designates positron(s). For relatively small temperatures, e.g., for $T = 50 - 150\ keV$, we can write the following, explicit formula for the chemical potentials of electron and/or positron gases (they both are the gases of fermions)

$$\mu_e = T\ \ln\Big[\frac{N_e}{2V}\Big(\frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{mT}\Big)^{\frac{3}{2}}\Big] + m_e c^2\ \ , \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_p = T\ \ln\Big[\frac{N_p}{2V}\Big(\frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{mT}\Big)^{\frac{3}{2}}\Big] + m_e c^2\ \ , \qquad \text{(A1)}$$

respectively. In these equations $m = m_e$ is the electron/positron mass at rest, c is the speed of light in vacuum, $\hbar$ is the reduced Plank's constant (or Dirac constant), while N_e and/or N_p are the numbers of electrons and positrons, respectively, which are located in the volume V. Here it is better to introduce the corresponding (spatial) densities of particles: $n_e = \frac{N_e}{V}$ and $n_p = \frac{N_p}{V}$. Then from the equation of thermal equilibrium $\mu_e + \mu_p = 0$ mentioned above

one finds

$$n_e n_p = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{mT}{\pi\hbar^2}\Big)^3 \ \exp\Big(-\frac{2mc^2}{T}\Big) \ = \frac{1}{2\pi^3 a_0^3 \alpha^3} T^3 \ \exp\Big(-\frac{2}{T}\Big) \ , \tag{A2}$$

where the temperature T is expressed in the energy units of $0.5109989500 \ MeV$ and α is the dimensionless fine structure constant $\approx \frac{1}{137.04}$ (see above). Let us assume that initially, e.g., for $T = 50 \ keV$ we have N_0 free electrons in the volume V, then it is easy to obtain the exact values of the both electron n_e and positron n_p densities

$$n_p = n_e - n_0 = -\frac{n_0}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\Big[n_0^2 + 2\Big(\frac{mT}{\pi\hbar^2}\Big)^3 \ \exp\Big(-\frac{2mc^2}{T}\Big)\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{A3}$$

and

$$n_e = \frac{n_0}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\Big[n_0^2 + 2\Big(\frac{mT}{\pi\hbar^2}\Big)^3 \ \exp\Big(-\frac{2mc^2}{T}\Big)\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}} \ , \tag{A4}$$

where $n_0 = \frac{N_e(0)}{V}$ is the spatial density of initial electrons. As follows from this formula, if $T \ll mc^2$, then the total number of newly created electron-positron pairs is exponentially small (negligible), but it rapidly increases with the temperature.

At higher temperatures ($T \geq 170 \ keV$) the formulas presented above begin to lose their accuracy. As mentioned above in these cases the total numbers of newly created electrons and positrons substantially exceed the total number of initial particles, i.e., atomic electrons and atomic nuclei. Therefore, to very good accuracy we can assume that at these temperatures the total number of electrons in the heated area V equals to the total number of positrons. The accuracy of such an approximation rapidly increases with the temperature and it is already very good for $T \geq 170 \ keV$. Therefore, their chemical potentials equal to each other and from the equation $\mu_e + \mu_p = 0$ mentioned above, we find $\mu_e = \mu_p = 0$. This allows us to produce the following formula for the total number of electrons N_e and positrons N_p in the heated volume V:

$$N_p = N_e = \frac{(2s+1)V}{2\pi^2\hbar^3} \ \int_0^\infty \frac{p^2 dp}{\exp\Big(\frac{c\sqrt{p^2+m^2c^2}}{T}\Big) + 1} = \frac{V}{\pi^2\hbar^3} \ \int_0^\infty \frac{p^2 dp}{\exp\Big(\frac{c\sqrt{p^2+m^2c^2}}{T}\Big) + 1} \ , \tag{A5}$$

where we have used the facts that the both electrons and positrons are fermions and spin of each of this particles equals $\frac{1}{2}$. This equation can be re-written into a different form which is more convenient for the both theoretical analysis and numerical calculations. In particular, if we are dealing with the volume of a hydrogen atom, i.e., $V = V_H$, then the formula,

Eq.(A5), for $N_p(=N_e)$ takes the form

$$N_p = \frac{4}{3\pi}\alpha^{-3}\,\theta^3\int_0^\infty \frac{y^2dy}{\exp\sqrt{y^2+\frac{1}{\theta^2}}+1} \approx 1.0921766195\cdot 10^6\cdot\theta^3\int_0^\infty \frac{y^2dy}{\exp\sqrt{y^2+\frac{1}{\theta^2}}+1}, \quad \text{(A6)}$$

where $\theta = \frac{T}{mc^2}$ is the temperature expressed in the mc^2-energy unis and $N_e = N_p$. These formulas describe the distribution of the number(s) of positrons and/or electrons upon the temperature θ. Again, we have to note that this formula is correct, if (and only if) the chemical potential of each of these two gases equals zero. Analytical computations of integrals in Eqs.(A5) and (A6) is not a difficult problem. Our analytical expression for the integral in Eq.(A6) is

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty \frac{y^2dy}{\exp\sqrt{y^2+\frac{1}{\theta^2}}+1} \;&=\; \frac{1}{\theta^2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n}K_2\Big(\frac{n}{\theta}\Big) = \frac{1}{\theta^2}\Big[K_2\Big(\frac{1}{\theta}\Big) - \frac{1}{2}K_2\Big(\frac{2}{\theta}\Big) + \frac{1}{3}K_2\Big(\frac{3}{\theta}\Big)\\ &-\; \frac{1}{4}K_2\Big(\frac{4}{\theta}\Big) + \frac{1}{5}K_2\Big(\frac{5}{\theta}\Big) - \frac{1}{6}K_2\Big(\frac{6}{\theta}\Big) + \frac{1}{7}K_2\Big(\frac{7}{\theta}\Big) + \ldots\Big], \qquad \text{(A7)}\end{aligned}$$

where $K_2(x)$ is the modified Bessel function of the second order. The $K_p(x)$ functions are also called the Macdoanld's functions, since H.M. Macdonald studied and introduced these functions in 1899 [52] (see, also discussion and references in [53]).

Finally, the energy of electron/positron gas takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}E_e = E_p \;&=\; \frac{V}{\pi^2\hbar^3}\int_a^{+\infty}\frac{c\,\sqrt{p^2+m^2c^2}\;p^2dp}{\exp\sqrt{\Big(\frac{pc}{T}\Big)^2+\Big(\frac{mc^2}{T}\Big)^2}+1}\\ &=\; \frac{VT}{\pi^2}\Big(\frac{T}{\hbar c}\Big)^3\Big\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n-1}\Big[\frac{a^3}{2n}K_1(na)+\frac{a^2}{n^2}K_2(na)+\frac{a^3}{2n}K_3(na)\Big]\Big\}\;, \qquad \text{(A8)}\end{aligned}$$

where $a = \frac{mc^2}{T} = \frac{1}{\theta}$. Derivation of the formulas, Eqs.(A6) - (A8), will be published elsewhere [56]. Note that the formulas Eqs.(A6) - (A8) are not based on any approximation and describe the properties of an arbitrary, in principle, Fermi gas at high and very high temperatures. The chemical potential of such a gas equals zero identically, e.g., in this Fermi gas of particles is in thermal equilibrium with the photon gas of annihilation $\gamma-$quanta. For numerical approximations and evaluations the formula, Eq.(A6), works very well, if $\theta \geq 0.15$. Formally, it has no restrictions for extremely high temperatures θ, but our alternating series in Eqs.(A7) and (A8) can produce a few numerical troubles for extremely high temperatures. Detail investigation of thermodynamic properties of the electron-positron plasma at high and very high temperatures will be performed in our next study [56].

In those cases when $T \gg mc^2$, e.g., for $T \approx 5\ MeV$, we can assume (to very good accuracy) that in Eq.(A5) $c\sqrt{p^2+m^2c^2} = cp$. This allows one to derive a very simple analytical formula (directly from Eq.(A5)) for the total number of electrons and/or positrons in this volume $N_p = \frac{3\zeta(3)}{2\pi^2(\hbar c)^3}\ T^3V$, where V is the volume of the heated area, $\zeta(x)$ is the Riemann function and $\zeta(3) \approx 1.202056903159594285399...$ [54]. This number equals to the number of newly created electrons N_e and to the total number of electron-positron pairs $N_{e^-e^+}$. As follows from this formula, the total number of arising positrons (and electrons) in the volume V increases as the cubic function of temperature. In general, at these temperatures $T \geq 5\ MeV$ the number(s) of arising electron-positron pairs are extremely large. For instance, the volume of a single hydrogen atom $V_H = \frac{4\pi}{3}a_0^3$ contains (at $T = 5\ MeV$) more than one billion electron-positron pairs. The corresponding energy of this gas of electron-positron pairs (or electron-positron gas, for short) equals $E_{e^-e^+} = \frac{7\pi^2}{60(\hbar c)^3}T^4V$, and such an energy rapidly increases with the temperature. The total quadratic moment of all positrons/electrons enclosed in volume V is $\langle \mathbf{p}^2 \rangle = \frac{45\ \zeta(5)\ \hbar^2}{2\ \pi^2}V\Big(\frac{T}{\hbar c}\Big)^5$. Other properties of the electron-positron gas located in this volume V are determined analogously. Annihilation of such an electron-positron gas held in relatively large confined volumes is a separate, but very interesting problem, which has been considered in our earlier papers [39] and [55].

Analysis of thermal sources of annihilation γ-quanta in our Galaxy (and other Galaxies) indicates clearly that at certain thermal and gravitational conditions positrons and electrons become the two most common particles in some parts of the Universe. For instance, a volume (cube) of stellar matter with an edge of 1000 kilometers heated to a temperature of $T = 0.5110\ keV$ will contain approximately $6.7483345{\cdot}10^{54}$ electron-positron pairs. Certainly, from a distance of 27,000 light years, we cannot see such a very small volume. However, annihilation $\gamma-$quanta and fast positrons from this overheated volume can be registered and observed. From this point of view, our analysis of the annihilation of electron-positron pairs is of considerable interest (see, e.g., [57], [58] and references therein). Alternative explanations of the sources of annihilation $\gamma-$quanta are based on a number of unrealistic assumptions and they cannot explain extremely high intensities and relatively long lives of actual sources of annihilation $\gamma-$quanta which do exist in our Galaxy.

From this brief discussion of the thermal sources of annihilation $\gamma-$quanta in our Galaxy, we can derive an important conclusion for the photon optics. This conclusion can be expressed in the following words: *due to the electromagnetic instability of the vacuum,*

it is impossible to see (directly) any material object (i.e., plasma) heated and confined at the temperatures above 300 - 350 keV. In reality, instead of such a 'static' material object, heated to extremely high temperatures, an observer will see only an intense flow of annihilation $\gamma-$quanta mixed with fast electrons and positrons. Briefly, this phenomenon corresponds to the annihilation shielding (or annihilation cooling) of any overheated material objects. The main interest this phenomenon presents for the overheated atomic plasma which is confined by very strong gravitational field. Briefly, this means that at very high temperatures the traditional optics ends and from any overheated atomic plasma we will always see only extremely intensive streams of outgoing annihilation $\gamma-$quanta mixed with large number of fast electrons and positrons. The existence of such a high-temperature limit in photon optics has never been assumed either in classical, or quantum optics. Moreover, in traditional optics people always believed that we can see all details of material 'objects' heated to arbitrary high temperatures (see, e.g., [59], [60] and references therein). Probably, the existence of high-temperature limit in photon optics is the most important result of our analysis of thermal sources of annihilation γ-quanta in our Galaxy.

---

[1] E.A. Hylleraas, Phys. Rev. **71**, 491 (1947).

[2] A.P. Mills, Phys. Rev. Lett. **46**, 717 (1981).

[3] A.P. Mills, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 671 (1983).

[4] A.K. Bhatia and R.J. Drachman, Phys. Rev. A **28**, 2523 (1983).

[5] A.K. Bhatia and R.J. Drachman, Nucl. Instrum. & Methods in Phys. Res. **143**, 195 (1998).

[6] Y.K. Ho, J. Phys. B **16**, 1530 (1983).

[7] Y.K. Ho, Phys. Lett. A **144**, 237 (1990).

[8] A.M. Frolov, J. Phys. A **40**, 6175 (2007).

[9] A.M. Frolov, Chem. Phys. Lett. **626**, 49 (2015).

[10] A.M. Frolov, Rev. A **64**, 042503 (2001).

[11] A.M. Frolov, Phys. Rev. E **64**, 036704 (2001).

[12] I.S. Gradstein and I.M. Ryzhik, *Tables of Integrals, Series and Products* (6th revised ed., Academic Press, New York (2000)).

[13] *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun (Eds.), Dover Publ., New York, (1972)).

[14] For the four-body systems one can also introduce six perimetric coordinates (see, e.g., A.M. Frolov, Jour. Phys. A **64** 036704 (2007)). Unfortunately, these coordinates do not have the same properties as the three-body perimetric coordinates and this fact drastically complicates applications of the perimetric coordinates for highly accurate, bound state computations of four-body systems.

[15] *Great Soviet Encyclopedia* (in 30 volumes), (3rd ed., Sov. Encyc., Moscow (1969 - 1978)).

[16] C.L. Pekeris, Phys. Rev. **112**, 1649 (1958).

[17] H.A. Bethe, *Intermediate Quantum Mechanics* (W.A. Benjamin, Inc., New York (1964)), Chpt. 5.

[18] R. McWeeny and B.T. Sutcliffe, *Methods of Molecular Quantum Mechanics* (Acad. Press, New York (1969)), Chpt. 7.

[19] A.M. Frolov, J. Mol. Phys. **120**, e2019337 (2022).

[20] Y. Accad, C. L. Pekeris, and B. Schiff, Phys. Rev. A **11**, 1479 (1975).

[21] A.M. Frolov, J. Phys. B **38**, 2723 (2005); ibid, **38**, 3223 (2005).

[22] P.A.M. Dirac, *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics* (4th ed., Oxford at the Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK (1958)).

[23] S.T. Epstein, *The Variation Method in Quantum Chemistry* (Academic Press, New York (1974)).

[24] T. Kato, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **10**, 151 (1957).

[25] D.P. Chong and D.M. Schader, Mol. Phys. **16**, 137 (1969).

[26] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1966)).

[27] A.M. Frolov, Sov. Phys. JETP **92**, 1100 (1987) [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **92**, 1959 (1987)].

[28] F.E. Harris, A.M. Frolov and V.H. Smith, Jr., J. Chem. Phys. **121**, 6323 (2004).

[29] J.P. Vinti, Phys. Rev. **58**, 882 (1940).

[30] F.E. Harris, A.M. Frolov and V.H. Smith, Jr., Phys. Rev. A **72**, 012511 (2005).

[31] J.O. Hirschfelder, J. Chem. Phys. **33**, 1762 (1960).

[32] H. Araki, Prog. Theor. Phys. **17**, 619 (1957).

[33] J. Sucher, Phys. Rev. **109**, 1010 (1958).

[34] A. Akhiezer and V.B. Berestetskii, *Quantum Electrodynamics* (4th ed., Science, Moscow,

(1981), (in Russian)).

[35] V.B. Berestetskii, E.M. Lifshitz and L.P. Pitaevskii, *Relativistic Quantum Theory* (Pergamon Press, Oxford, (1971)).

[36] I. Harris and L.M. Brown, Phys. Rev. **105**, 1656 (1957).

[37] A. Ore and J.L. Powell, Phys. Rev. **75**, 1696 (1949).

[38] C. Itzykson and J.-B. Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory* (McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, (1980)).

[39] A.M. Frolov and F.A. Chistie, J. Phys. A **40**, 11923 (2007).

[40] G.P. Lepage, P.B. Mackenzie, K.H. Streng and P.M. Zewas, Phys. Rev. A **28**, 3090 (1983).

[41] A.M. Frolov, Phys. Rev. A **80**, 014502 (2009).

[42] M.-C. Chu and V. Pönish, Phys. Rev. C **75**, 1696 (1949).

[43] S.I. Kryuchkov, J. Phys. B **27**, L61 (1994).

[44] A.M. Frolov, S.I. Kryuchkov and V.H. Smith, Jr., Phys. Rev. A **51**, 4514 (1995).

[45] A.M. Frolov, Phys. Lett. A, **342**, 430 (2005).

[46] M. Emami-Razavi and J.W. Darywich, Eur. Phys. J. D **75**, 188 (2021).

[47] D. Bressanini, Phys. Rev. A **104**, 022819 (2021).

[48] R.J. Drachman, Can. J. Phys. **60**, 494 (1982).

[49] P.A. Yampolskii, *Neutrons of Atomic Explosions* (Energoatomizdat, Moscow (1960), (in Russian)).

[50] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics. Course of Theoretical Physics. Volume 5* (3rd edition, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, UK (1980)).

[51] J.E. Mayer and M.G. Mayer, *Statistical Mechanics.* (2nd edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York (1977)), Chpt. 11.

[52] H.M. Macdonald, Proc. London Math. Soc. **XXX**, 167 (1899).

[53] G.N. Watson, *a treatise on the THEORY OF BESSEL FUNCTIONS.* (2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, London (1944), reprinted in 1966).

[54] To determine different integrals which describe various properties of the electron-positron gases one needs to know the following approximate numerical values of Riemann $\zeta-$function: $\zeta(5) = 1.036927755 1433699263314$, $\zeta(7) = 1.008349277 3819228268398$, $\zeta(9) = 1.002008392 8260822144179$ and $\zeta(11) = 1.000494188 6041194645587$. For $n \geq 6$ we can assume (to very good accuracy) that $\zeta(2n+1) \approx 1$.

[55] A.M. Frolov, *Annihilation explosions in macroscopic poly-electrons. Photon detonation.*,

ArXiv: 0906.1154v2 (2009).

[56] A.M. Frolov, *Thermodynamics of the electron-positron plasma at very high temperatures*, ArXiv: 4684370 [physics.plasma-ph] (9th of January, 2023).

[57] F.H. Panther, R.M. Crocker, Y. Birnboim, I.R. Seitenzahl and A.J. Ruiter, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **474**, L17 (2018).

[58] F.H. Panther, Galaxies **6**, 39 (2018).

[59] M. Planck, *Theory of Heat Radiation.* (Dover, New York (1959)).

[60] M. Born and E. Wolf, *Principles of Optics.* (4th edition, Pergamon Press, New York (1968)).

TABLE I: Convergence of the total energies E (in $a.u.$) determined for the ground 1^1S-state of the Ps^- ion. The notation N is the total number of basis functions used.

N	E (variant $A^{(a)}$)	E (variant B)
3400	-0.26200507023298010777037030335	-0.26200507023298010777037189975
3500	-0.26200507023298010777038494512	-0.26200507023298010777038551005
3600	-0.26200507023298010777039244155	-0.26200507023298010777039258151
3700	-0.26200507023298010777039697369	-0.26200507023298010777039684716
3800	-0.26200507023298010777040096213	-0.26200507023298010777040100869
3840	-0.26200507023298010777040206466	-0.26200507023298010777040210806
3842	-0.26200507023298010777040206643	-0.26200507023298010777040211998

$^{(a)}$Variants A and B represent the two different optimization strategies.

TABLE II: The expectation values of a number of regular properties (in atomic units) of the ground (bound) 1^1S-state in the Ps^- ion. The notations + and − denote the positron and electron, respectively.

$\langle r_{+-}^{-1}\rangle$	0.33982102305922030648057	$\langle r_{--}^{-1}\rangle$	0.15563190565248039742034
$\langle r_{+-}\rangle$	5.4896332523594499332956	$\langle r_{--}\rangle$	8.5485806550991861114230
$\langle r_{+-}^{2}\rangle$	48.41893722623795540990	$\langle r_{--}^{2}\rangle$	93.17863384798132899897
$\langle r_{+-}^{3}\rangle$	$6.07295629623278442058\cdot10^{2}$	$\langle r_{--}^{3}\rangle$	$1.26558044787814412021\cdot10^{3}$
$\langle r_{+-}^{4}\rangle$	$9.9306386797960041295\cdot10^{3}$	$\langle r_{--}^{4}\rangle$	$21.054453389258358046\cdot10^{4}$
$\langle r_{+-}^{5}\rangle$	$2.002717783416503779\cdot10^{5}$	$\langle r_{--}^{5}\rangle$	$4.218459887428125939\cdot10^{5}$
$\langle r_{+-}^{6}\rangle$	$4.805681251065410643\cdot10^{6}$	$\langle r_{--}^{6}\rangle$	$9.999299519094784345\cdot10^{6}$
$\langle r_{+-}^{7}\rangle$	$1.33846111735368123\cdot10^{8}$	$\langle r_{--}^{7}\rangle$	$2.754141872101547523\cdot10^{8}$
$\langle r_{+-}^{8}\rangle$	$4.24772574985734547\cdot10^{9}$	$\langle r_{--}^{8}\rangle$	$8.66818739874051007\cdot10^{9}$
$\langle r_{+-}^{9}\rangle$	$1.514020468066805\cdot10^{11}$	$\langle r_{--}^{9}\rangle$	$3.072016630464095\cdot10^{11}$
$\langle r_{+-}^{10}\rangle$	$5.99044264125978\cdot10^{12}$	$\langle r_{--}^{10}\rangle$	$1.21087163677764\cdot10^{13}$
$\langle r_{+-}^{11}\rangle$	$2.6058671579139\cdot10^{14}$	$\langle r_{--}^{11}\rangle$	$5.2539948995694\cdot10^{14}$
τ_{31}	0.5919817011489022332573754	τ_{21}	0.019769632817132001756303 5
$\langle f\rangle$	0.0509332587787341170677527	$\langle\frac{1}{r_{32}r_{31}r_{21}}\rangle$	0.02203423801633579310
$\langle\frac{1}{r_{32}r_{31}}\rangle$	0.090935346529989403556662	$\langle\frac{1}{r_{31}r_{21}}\rangle$	0.060697690288581955139
$\nu_{+-}^{(a)}$	-0.4999999999743	ν_{--}	0.49999999156
$\langle\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}_1^2\rangle$	0.0666192945358900085250295	$\langle\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}_3^2\rangle$	0.1287664811612000907203387
$\langle\mathbf{p}_1\cdot\mathbf{p}_2\rangle$	$4.472107910579926329720450 3\cdot10^{-3}$	$\langle\mathbf{p}_1\cdot\mathbf{p}_3\rangle$	0.1287664811612000907203387 2
$\langle\mathbf{r}_{31}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{21}\rangle$	46.5893169239906645003	$\langle\mathbf{r}_{32}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{31}\rangle$	1.82962030224729090960

$^{(a)}$The predicted (or expected) electron-positron cusp equals -0.5 (exactly), while analogous electron-electron cusp equals 0.5 (exactly).

TABLE III: Convergence of the expectation values of delta-functions determined for the ground 1^1S-state of the Ps^- ion. The notation N is the total number of basis functions used.

N	$\langle\delta(\mathbf{r}_{+-})\rangle$	$\langle\delta(\mathbf{r}_{--})\rangle$	$\langle\delta(\mathbf{r}_{321})\rangle$
3400	$2.07331980051456\cdot10^{-2}$	$1.7099675635321\cdot10^{-4}$	$3.038854\cdot10^{-5}$
3500	$2.07331980051485\cdot10^{-2}$	$1.7099675635144\cdot10^{-4}$	$3.028396\cdot10^{-5}$
3600	$2.07331980051509\cdot10^{-2}$	$1.7099675634962\cdot10^{-4}$	$3.002512\cdot10^{-5}$
3700	$2.07331980051543\cdot10^{-2}$	$1.7099675634923\cdot10^{-4}$	$3.003051\cdot10^{-5}$
3800	$2.073319800515179\cdot10^{-2}$	$1.7099675634650\cdot10^{-4}$	$3.049167\cdot10^{-5}$
3840	$2.073319800515069\cdot10^{-2}$	$1.7099675634846\cdot10^{-4}$	$3.025447\cdot10^{-5}$
3842	$2.073319800515057\cdot10^{-2}$	$1.7099675634845\cdot10^{-4}$	$3.025341\cdot10^{-5}$

TABLE IV: The expectation values of a number of quasi-singular and singular properties (in atomic units) of the ground (bound) 1^1S-state in the Ps^- ion. The notations + and − denote the positron and electron, respectively.

$\langle r_{+-}^{-2}\rangle$	0.2793265422249508	$\langle r_{--}^{-2}\rangle$	0.0360220584545365
$\frac{1}{2}\left(\langle\frac{r_{32}^2}{r_{31}^3}\rangle-\langle\frac{r_{21}^2}{r_{31}^3}\rangle\right)$	-0.1234320911052344965	$\langle\frac{\mathbf{r}_{31}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{32}}{r_{31}^3}\rangle$	0.0464784204243756567
$\langle\frac{\mathbf{r}_{31}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{21}}{r_{31}^3}\rangle$	0.29334260263484465	$\langle\frac{\mathbf{r}_{32}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{12}}{r_{21}^3}\rangle$	0.07781595282624420
$\langle r_{+-}^{-3}\rangle_R$	-0.25348417470280099215	$\langle r_{--}^{-3}\rangle_R$	0.011310500731864678
$\langle r_{+-}^{-3}\rangle$	-0.17055138268219822395	$\langle r_{--}^{-3}\rangle$	0.011994487757258498

TABLE V: Annihilation rates $\Gamma_{n\gamma}$ in sec^{-1} determined for the ground 1^1S-state of the Ps^- ion. The notation n stands for the number of photons emitted during annihilation.

$\Gamma_{2\gamma}$	$\Gamma_{3\gamma}$	$\Gamma_{4\gamma}$	$\Gamma_{5\gamma}$
$2.08004810195\cdot10^{9}$	$5.63523069413\cdot10^{6}$	$3.0750689272\cdot10^{3}$	5.381655332
Γ	$\Gamma^{(a)}$	$\Gamma_{1\gamma}$	———
$2.08568641313\cdot10^{9}$	$2.08568333265\cdot10^{9}$	$3.2223\cdot10^{-2}$	———-

$^{(a)}$The total annihilation rate is determined from the formula, Eq.(37).