

ON SEMI-AMPLENESS OF THE MODULI PART

STEFANO FILIPAZZI AND CALUM SPICER

ABSTRACT. We discuss a conjecture of Shokurov on the semi-ampleness of the moduli part of a general fibration.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
3. Property (*) pairs and locally stable families	12
4. On semi-ampleness of the moduli part	16
5. 1-dimensional bases	22
References	26

1. INTRODUCTION

In [Sho21], the notion of the moduli and discriminant parts of a generically log canonical (GLC) fibration $(X/Z, B)$ is defined. By a GLC fibration we mean the datum of a log pair (X, B) and a contraction $f: X \rightarrow Z$, i.e., a projective surjective morphism with connected fibres, between normal quasi-projective varieties, such that (X, B) is log canonical above the generic point of Z . We may define a discriminant divisor B_Z on Z which (roughly) measures the singularities of the fibres of (X, B) over Z , and the moduli part is then defined as $M_X := (K_X + B) - (K_Z + B_Z)$. These definitions are straightforward extensions of the corresponding notions for LC trivial fibrations.

For GLC fibrations, it is known that, after passing to a sufficiently high model, the moduli part of the fibration is compatible with pull-backs. Moreover, in the case of an LC trivial fibration, it is expected that the moduli part becomes semi-ample on such a model. This conjecture is known as the b-semi-ampleness conjecture, see [PS09]. Analogously, one might hope that a similar statement holds for a general GLC fibration, namely, after replacing $(X/Z, B)$ by a birationally equivalent pair $(X'/Z', B')$, the moduli part $M_{X'}$ of $(X'/Z', B')$ becomes semi-ample. However, as examples of Keel show, see [ACSS21, §5.4], this is not in general possible.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14E30, 37F75.

SF and CS were partially supported by ERC starting grant #804334.

In light of these examples Shokurov conjectured ([Sho21, Conjecture 1]) after replacing $(X/Z, B)$ by an appropriate model, that M_X becomes semi-ample after any small perturbation by an ample divisor coming from the moduli space of fibres. We consider here a variant on Shokurov's conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. *Suppose that (X, B) is log canonical, $B \geq 0$, $(X/Z, B)$ has maximal moduli (see [ACSS21, Definition 2.20]), M_X is f -nef and is BP stable. Then the following hold*

- (1) *for all $m \gg 0$ and any $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, $M_X + \epsilon f^* \det f_* \mathcal{O}(mM_X)$ is semi-ample;*
- (2) *$\kappa(M_X) \geq \kappa(X/Z, B) + \text{var}(X/Z, B)$.*

We note that if $B = 0$, X has canonical singularities and $f: X \rightarrow B$ is a family of good minimal models then item (2) was proven in [Kaw85]. In [KP17], Kovács and Patakfalvi settled item (2) when $K_X + B$ is f -big and the generic fiber has klt singularities. In the same work, en route to proving the projectivity of the moduli space of stable pairs, they settled item (1) when f is a family of stable varieties.

In the case of an lc trivial fibration item (1) reduces to the b-semi-ampleness conjecture. Our first theorem shows that in fact the general case of item (1) of Conjecture 1.1 is implied by the b-semi-ampleness conjecture.

Theorem 1.2 (= Theorem 4.7). *Assume the b-semi-ampleness conjecture holds. Let $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Z$ be a GLC fibration between projective varieties with $B \geq 0$ and $\dim X = n$. Suppose that a general fibre of f admits a good minimal model.*

Then we may find a birationally equivalent GLC fibration $f': (X', B') \rightarrow Z'$ fitting into the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X', B') & \dashrightarrow^{\alpha} & (X, B) \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Z' & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Z \end{array}$$

such that the following hold, where M' is the moduli part of $(X'/Z', B')$

- (1) *$(X'/Z', B')$ is BP stable and has maximal moduli;*
- (2) *$M' + \epsilon f'^* \lambda'_m$ is semi-ample for $m \gg 0$ and any $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, where $\lambda'_m = \det f'_* \mathcal{O}(mM')$; and*
- (3) *M' and λ'_m are compatible with base change in an appropriate sense.*

We refer to Theorem 4.7 for a precise statement.

Over a curve base, by using ideas from foliation theory, from [CH19] and the Simpson correspondence, we are able to remove our assumption on the b-semi-ampleness conjecture and are therefore able to prove Conjecture 1.1. The following is proven in Theorem 5.6.

Theorem 1.3 (Conjecture 1.1 holds if $\dim Z = 1$). *Let $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Z$ be a GLC fibration between projective varieties with $B \geq 0$, $\dim X = n$ and $\dim Z = 1$.*

Suppose that (X, B) is klt over the generic point of Z and the generic fibre of f admits a good minimal model.

Then we may find a birationally equivalent GLC fibration $f': (X', B') \rightarrow Z$ fitting into the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X', B') & \dashrightarrow^{\alpha} & (X, B) \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Z & \xlongequal{\quad} & Z. \end{array}$$

such that $K_{X'} + B'$ is nef over Z and

- (1) $(X'/Z', B')$ is BP stable and has maximal moduli;
- (2) $M' + \epsilon f'^* \lambda'_m$ is semi-ample for $m \gg 0$ and any $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ where $\lambda'_m = \det f'_* \mathcal{O}(mM')$; and
- (3) $\kappa(M') \geq \kappa(X'/Z, B') + \text{var}(X'/Z, B')$.

Lastly, as immediate consequence of Theorem 1.2, we have the following statement.

Corollary 1.4. *Let $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Z$ be a GLC fibration between projective varieties with $B \geq 0$, $\dim X = n$, and $\dim Z = m$. Assume that a general fibre (X_z, B_z) of f satisfies $\kappa(X_z, B_z) \geq n - m - 2$ and that (X, B) is klt over the generic point of Z . Then, Theorem 1.2 holds for f .*

Corollary 1.4 holds unconditionally, since good minimal models exist for klt pairs with Kodaira dimension in the given range (see, e.g., [Fil20b, Theorem 1.5]), and the b-semi-ampleness conjecture is known in relative dimension at most 2, see [PS09, Fuj03, Fil20a, ABB⁺22].

Acknowledgements. We thank Zsolt Patakfalvi for many insightful discussions. We also thank Paolo Cascini, Giulio Codogni, Christopher D. Hacon, Giovanni Inchiostro, Jihao Liu, Roberto Svaldi and Luca Tasin for many useful discussions. We thank Jihao Liu for feedback on a draft version of this work.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We work over \mathbb{C} . We refer to [KM98] for the standard terminology in birational geometry. For the language of generalised pairs and b-divisors, we refer to [FS20].

Our varieties are connected and quasi-projective unless otherwise stated. Given a normal variety X and an open subset $U \subset X$, we say that U is big if $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus U) \geq 2$.

All divisors have coefficients in \mathbb{Q} . Unless otherwise stated, a divisor means a Weil \mathbb{Q} -divisor. Given a dominant morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and a divisor $D = \sum a_i P_i$,

we define its horizontal part D^h and its vertical part D^v as

$$D^h := \sum_{i|P_i \text{ dominates } Y} a_i P_i, \quad D^v := D - D^h.$$

A log pair (X, B) consists in a normal variety X and a divisor B such that $K_X + B$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier.

Given a coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} of rank r on a normal variety we define $\det \mathcal{F} := (\bigwedge^r \mathcal{F})^{**}$.

2.1. (Locally) stable families. We recall the definition of locally stable family of pairs over a reduced base as in [Kol22, Definition-Theorem 4.7].

Definition 2.1. Let S be a reduced scheme, $f: X \rightarrow S$ a flat morphism of finite type and $f: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow S$ a well defined family of pairs (see [Kol22, Theorem-Definition 4.7]). Assume that (X_s, Δ_s) is slc for every $s \in S$. Then $f: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow S$ is locally stable if the following equivalent conditions holds.

- (1) $K_{X/S} + \Delta$ is \mathbb{R} -Cartier.
- (2) $f_T: (X_T, \Delta_T) \rightarrow T$ is locally stable whenever T is the spectrum of a DVR and $q: T \rightarrow S$ is a morphism (see [Kol22, Theorem-Definition 2.3] for the notion of a locally stable family over a DVR).
- (3) There is a closed subset $Z \subset X$ such that $\text{codim}(Z \cap X_s, X_s) \geq 3$ for all $s \in S$ and $f_{X \setminus Z}: (X \setminus Z) \rightarrow S$ satisfies the above (1-2).

Such a family is called stable if, in addition, $K_{X/S} + \Delta$ is f -ample.

By [Kol22, Theorem 4.8] if $f: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow S$ is a (locally) stable family over a reduced base S and $\phi: W \rightarrow S$ is a morphism with W reduced, then the family over W obtained by fibre product is again a (locally) stable family.

We recall the following criterion for local stability.

Proposition 2.2. *Let S be a smooth variety and $p: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow S$ a projective morphism with $\Delta \geq 0$. Then $p: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow S$ is locally stable if and only if the pair $(X, \Delta + p^*D)$ is semi-log canonical for every reduced simple normal crossing divisor $D \subset S$.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of [ACSS21, Lemma 2.12] and [Kol22, Corollary 4.55]. \square

2.2. Property (*), BP stable and all that. We recall some definitions from [ACSS21].

Let $f: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism between normal varieties and let (X, B) be a log pair. We say that $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Z$ (or $(X/Z, B)$ when the morphism f is understood) is **generically log canonical** or GLC, if Z is irreducible and (X, B) is log canonical over the generic point of Z . In this case, we say that $(X/Z, B)$ is a GLC pair induced by the morphism f . When $f: X \rightarrow Z$ is a contraction, i.e.,

$f_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Z$, we will refer to f as a GLC contraction. When f is a GLC contraction and $\dim X > \dim Z$, we will refer to f as a GLC fibration.

Definition 2.3. Let $(X/Z, B)$ be a GLC pair induced by a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Z$. The pair $(X/Z, B)$ is said to be **BP stable** (resp. **BP semi-stable**) over Z if $K_Z + B_Z$ is \mathbb{R} -Cartier and for any contraction $f': X' \rightarrow Z'$ which is birationally equivalent to f and such that the induced maps $\alpha: Z' \rightarrow Z$ and $\beta: X' \rightarrow X$ are projective birational morphisms, if $B'_{Z'}$ is the discriminant of the induced pair $(X'/Z', B')$ and if we write

$$K_{Z'} + B'_{Z'} = \alpha^*(K_Z + B_Z)$$

then $B'_Z = B'_{Z'}$ (resp. $B'_Z \geq B'_{Z'}$).

We say that a GLC pair $(X/Z, B)$ induced by a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Z$ satisfies the **boundary property** if there exist a surjective projective morphism $f': X' \rightarrow Z'$ between normal varieties, projective birational morphisms $\alpha: Z' \rightarrow Z$ and $\beta: X' \rightarrow X$, and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\beta} & X \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Z' & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & Z \end{array}$$

such that the induced pair $(X'/Z', B')$ is BP stable over Z' . Here, we define B' via the identity $K_{X'} + B' = \beta^*(K_X + B)$.

Definition 2.4. Let $(X/Z, B)$ be a GLC pair induced by a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Z$. We say that $(X/Z, B)$ satisfies **Property (*)** if f is a projective contraction and the following hold:

- (1) there exists a reduced divisor Σ_Z on Z such that (Z, Σ_Z) is log smooth and the vertical part of B is a reduced divisor that coincides with $f^{-1}(\Sigma_Z)$; and
- (2) for any closed point $z \in Z$ and for any $\Sigma \geq \Sigma_Z$ reduced divisor on Z such that (Z, Σ) is log smooth around z , we have that $(X, B + f^*(\Sigma - \Sigma_Z))$ is log canonical around $f^{-1}(z)$.

Given a generalised pair (X, B, \mathbf{M}) and a contraction $f: X \rightarrow Z$ such that (X, B, \mathbf{M}) is generalised log canonical above the generic point of Z we say that $(X/Z, B, \mathbf{M})$ is a **generalised GLC pair**. If a generalised GLC pair satisfies the conditions of Definition 2.4 for a generalised pair, mutatis mutandis, we will say that $(X/Z, B, \mathbf{M})$ satisfies **generalised Property (*)**.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $f: (X, B, \mathbf{M}) \rightarrow Z$ be a generalised GLC contraction which satisfies generalised Property (*) and let (Z, Σ_Z) be the associated log smooth pair. Let W a generalised log canonical centre of (X, B, \mathbf{M}) . Then $f(W)$ is a log canonical centre of (Z, Σ_Z) .*

Proof. Follows directly from the definition of generalised Property (*). \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Z$ be a GLC contraction where $B \geq 0$. Assume that (X, B) is log canonical and that every log canonical centre of (X, B) dominates Z . Then, if a general fibre of f has a good minimal model, then (X, B) has a good minimal model over Z .*

Proof. By [LT22, Theorem 2.9], we may show the claim up to extracting some log canonical places of (X, B) . Furthermore, since all log canonical places are horizontal over Z , by [HX13, Theorem 1.1], it suffices to show the claim over a non-empty open subset of Z . Therefore, we may assume that (X, B) is dlt, and throughout the proof we are free to shrink Z as needed.

Let $\pi: X' \rightarrow X$ be a log resolution of (X, B) that only extracts valuations with positive log discrepancy, which exists by [KM98, Theorem 2.44]. We denote the strict transform of B by B' , and we let E' be the reduced π -exceptional divisor. Up to shrinking Z , we may assume that $(X', B' + E') \rightarrow Z$ is log smooth. By construction, a good minimal model for (X, B) over Z is also a good minimal model for $(X', B' + E')$ over Z , and vice versa.

By assumption, for $z \in Z$ general, (X_z, B_z) has a good minimal model. Since π is a fibrewise log resolution that only extracts valuations of positive log discrepancy, this good minimal model is also a good minimal model for $(X'_z, B'_z + E'_z)$. Then, by [HMX18, Theorem 1.2], $(X', B' + E')$ has a good minimal over Z . In turn, this is a good minimal model for (X, B) over Z , and the claim follows. \square

Lemma 2.7. *Let $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Z$ be a GLC contraction where $B \geq 0$ and which satisfies Property (*). Suppose that a general fibre of $(X, B) \rightarrow Z$ admits a good minimal model. Then (X, B) has a good minimal model over Z .*

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, we see that for $0 < t \ll 1$ every log canonical centre of $(X, B - tf^*\Sigma)$ dominates Z and $B - tf^*\Sigma \geq 0$. Then, by Lemma 2.6, $(X, B - tf^*\Sigma)$ admits a good minimal model over Z . Since $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_X + B - tf^*\Sigma$ we see that this is a good minimal model for (X, B) over Z as well. \square

Lemma 2.8. *Let $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Z$ be a GLC contraction which satisfies Property (*) and such that $B = B^h \geq 0$. Then $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Z$ is a locally stable family. Conversely, any locally stable family over a smooth base satisfies Property (*).*

Proof. From the equality $B = B^h$ we see that if Σ_Z is the reduced divisor associated to $(X/Z, B)$ then $\Sigma_Z = 0$. The definition of Property (*) together with Proposition 2.2 immediately implies our claim. \square

2.3. Preliminaries on foliations. We will need some ideas from the theory of foliations during our analysis of the variation of a family of varieties. For completeness, we provide a brief summary of some relevant definitions and results from the study of foliations on algebraic varieties.

Given a normal variety X , we define a foliation \mathcal{F} to be the datum of a saturated subsheaf $T_{\mathcal{F}} \subset T_X$, called the tangent sheaf of the foliation, such that $T_{\mathcal{F}}$ is closed

under Lie bracket. The rank of \mathcal{F} is defined to be the rank of $T_{\mathcal{F}}$ as a sheaf. We define the normal sheaf to be $N_{\mathcal{F}} := (T_X/T_{\mathcal{F}})^{**}$ and the cotangent sheaf to be $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^1 := T_{\mathcal{F}}^*$.

We refer to [Bru00] for basic notions and definitions regarding foliations. We recall that given a smooth foliation \mathcal{F} on a smooth variety X , at any point $x \in X$ there is an analytic neighbourhood U and a holomorphic submersion $F: U \rightarrow V$ such that $T_{\mathcal{F}}|_U = T_{U/V}$. The leaves of \mathcal{F} are locally given by the fibres of this submersion.

We refer to [CS21, §2.3] for the notion of the transform of a foliation under a birational map.

Given a variety, a foliation \mathcal{F} and a sheaf E , we say that E admits a partial \mathcal{F} -connection provided there exists a \mathbb{C} -linear map $\nabla: E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^1$ which satisfies the Leibniz rule. We recall that the normal sheaf of a foliation $N_{\mathcal{F}}$ is always equipped with a partial \mathcal{F} -connection, called the Bott connection.

Lemma 2.9. *Let X be a normal variety, let \mathcal{F} be a foliation on X and let L be a line bundle equipped with a partial \mathcal{F} -connection, $\nabla: L \rightarrow L \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^1$. Let $s \in H^0(X, L)$ and suppose that $\nabla(s) = 0$. Then $D = (s = 0)$ is \mathcal{F} -invariant.*

Proof. The invariance of a divisor may be checked on a big open subset and so we may freely assume that X and \mathcal{F} are smooth.

Let $\{U_i\}$ be a trivialising open cover for L and let t_i be local generators for L . Write $s = f_i t_i$, where $f_i = 0$ is a local equation for D . We may compute

$$0 = \nabla(s) = \nabla(f_i t_i) = df_i \otimes t_i + f_i \nabla(t_i) \mod L \otimes N_{\mathcal{F}}^*.$$

Since $\nabla(t_i) = \omega \otimes t_i$ for a holomorphic one form ω , we see that $df_i + f_i \omega = 0 \mod N_{\mathcal{F}}^*$, from which we may conclude that $df_i = 0 \mod N_{\mathcal{F}}^*$. This implies that $\{f_i = 0\}$ is \mathcal{F} -invariant as required. \square

Let X be a smooth variety, let $X_0 \subset X$ be a local complete intersection subvariety and let \mathcal{F} be a smooth foliation on X . We say that X_0 is everywhere transverse to \mathcal{F} provided the natural map $T_{\mathcal{F}}|_{X_0} \rightarrow N_{X_0/X}$ is an isomorphism.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $f: P \rightarrow Z$ be a proper fibration between complex manifolds, let \mathcal{F} be a smooth foliation on P with $\text{rk } \mathcal{F} = \dim Z$ and let Y be a \mathcal{F} -invariant subvariety. Let $z \in Z$ be a closed point and let P_z and Y_z be the fibre over z of P and Y respectively.*

If P_z is everywhere transverse to \mathcal{F} then there exists an open Euclidean neighbourhood $U \subset Z$ of z such that $(f^{-1}(U), Y \cap f^{-1}(U)) \cong (P_z, Y_z) \times U$ as analytic spaces and $\mathcal{F}|_{f^{-1}(U)}$ is given by projection onto the first coordinate.

Proof. Since P_z is everywhere transverse to \mathcal{F} , by definition, the natural map $T_P|_{P_z} \rightarrow N_{P_z/P}$ is surjective, in particular, P_z is smooth.

We recall the graphic neighbourhood construction of [BM16, §2.1]. We remark that [BM16] only considers the graphical neighbourhood in the case where P_z is a curve, but the construction works for general smooth varieties just as well. Let $\delta: P_z \rightarrow P_z \times P$ be the diagonal embedding. We may find a germ Γ of an analytic

variety containing $\delta(P_z)$ so that for all $t \in P_z$ we have $q(p^{-1}(t)) \subset P$ is a germ of the leaf of \mathcal{F} through t , where $p: \Gamma \rightarrow P_z$ and $q: \Gamma \rightarrow P$ are the projections.

Observe $\dim \Gamma = \dim P$ and so in fact q gives an isomorphism between an open neighbourhood V of P_z and Γ . By the properness of the fibration, perhaps shrinking this neighbourhood, we may assume that $V = f^{-1}(U)$ for some open Euclidean neighbourhood of z and so we get a morphism $(p \circ q^{-1}, f): V \rightarrow P_z \times U$ which is easily seen to be an isomorphism.

Since the fibres of $p \circ q^{-1}$ are exactly the leaves of \mathcal{F} we see that if Y is an invariant subvariety, then $(p \circ q^{-1})(Y) = Y_z$, and we may conclude. \square

We also recall some well known properties relating holomorphic connections and foliations.

Lemma 2.11. *Let X be a smooth variety and let E be a vector bundle on X which admits a flat holomorphic connection $\nabla: E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega_X^1$. Let $p: P := \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow X$ be the associated projective space bundle. Then*

- (1) ∇ gives a splitting $p^*T_X \rightarrow T_P$ whose image defines a smooth foliation \mathcal{F} on P ;
- (2) $\mathcal{O}_P(1)$ admits a partial \mathcal{F} -connection; and
- (3) if E' is a vector bundle on P and admits a partial \mathcal{F} -connection, then p_*E' admits a holomorphic connection.

Proof. Item (1) is a standard fact and we omit the proof.

To prove item (2) let $U \subset X$ be a small open subset such that T_X is generated by $\partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n$ and let s_i be a basis of flat sections of E . Let ∂_i be the lift of $\partial/\partial x_i$ to a vector field on P given by ∇ .

Write $p^{-1}(U) = \cup_i U_i$ where $U_i = \{\bar{s}_i \neq 0\}$, where we denote by \bar{s}_i the section of $\mathcal{O}_P(1)$ corresponding to s_i .

We define a partial connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on $\mathcal{O}_P(1)$ by requiring $\bar{\nabla}(\bar{s}_i) = 0$ for all i . This is well defined because $dg_{ij} = 0 \pmod{N_{\mathcal{F}}^*}$ where $g_{ij} = \bar{s}_i/\bar{s}_j$.

Item (3) is straightforward consequence of the fact that $p_*\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^1 = \Omega_X^1$. \square

We remark that under the correspondence in item (1) of Lemma 2.11 the leaves of \mathcal{F} are exactly the flat sections of ∇ .

Given a normal variety X we say a resolution of singularities $p: X' \rightarrow X$ is equivariant provided $p_*T_{X'} = T_X$. Such resolutions exist by [Kol07, Theorem 3.26]. We remark that if \mathcal{F} is a foliation on X such that $T_{\mathcal{F}}$ is locally free, then there exists a foliation on X' such that $p_*T_{\mathcal{F}'} = T_{\mathcal{F}}$.

2.4. Numerical flatness to isotriviality. We recall that a vector bundle E on a smooth variety is said to be numerically flat provided E is nef and $-c_1(E)$ is nef.

Lemma 2.12. *Let $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Z$ be a projective fibration over a smooth projective variety Z , where $B \geq 0$, (X, B) is klt and $K_X + B$ is f -semi-ample.*

Suppose that for all $m \gg 0$ sufficiently divisible we have that the sheaf $E_m := f_* \mathcal{O}_X(m(K_{X/Z} + B))$ is locally free and numerically flat.

Let $h: X \rightarrow Y$ be the relatively ample model of (X, B) over Z , and let (Y, B_Y, \mathbf{M}) be the generalized pair induced by the LC trivial fibration h .

- (1) There exists a foliation \mathcal{F}_Y on Y everywhere transverse to the fibres of $Y \rightarrow Z$, such that if $0 \leq D$ is any divisor such that $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbf{M}_Y$ then \mathcal{F}_Y -leaves $B_Y + D$ invariant.
- (2) In particular, $(Y, B_Y + D) \rightarrow Y$ is a locally trivial family.
- (3) If $(Y_0, (B_Y + D)_0)$ denotes a general fibre of $(Y, B_Y + D) \rightarrow Z$, then there exists a finite étale cover $Z' \rightarrow Z$ such that $(Y, B_Y + D) \times_Z Z' \cong (Y_0, (B_Y + D)_0) \times Z'$.

Proof. Step 1. In this step we construct a foliation.

For $m \gg 0$ and sufficiently divisible, we have an embedding $Y \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{p} Z$, where $P := \mathbb{P}(E_m)$. Set $L = \mathcal{O}_P(1)|_Y$. The connection ∇_m on E_m guaranteed to exist by [Sim92, §3] is in fact a holomorphic connection (see [Den21] or [Ou21, Theorem 3.5]) and therefore by Lemma 2.11 gives a smooth foliation \mathcal{H} on P such that $T_{\mathcal{H}} \cong p^*T_Z$, in particular $T_{\mathcal{H}}$ is locally free. Observe that $K_{\mathcal{H}} \cong p^*K_Z$. Moreover, \mathcal{H} is everywhere transverse to the fibres of $P \rightarrow Z$.

It follows from [Ou21, Corollary 3.9] that for all $k > 0$ the kernel of $\text{Sym}^k E_m \rightarrow L^{\otimes k} \rightarrow 0$ is a $\text{Sym}^k \nabla_m$ -invariant subbundle, and therefore Y is invariant by \mathcal{H} . We may then restrict \mathcal{H} to Y to get a foliation \mathcal{F}_Y on Y .

Step 2. In this step we show local triviality of $Y \rightarrow Z$.

Lemma 2.10 implies that $Y \rightarrow Z$ is locally trivial. It remains to show that $(Y, B_Y + D)$ is locally trivial. This will follow by another application of Lemma 2.10 if we can show $\text{Supp}(B_Y + D)$ is \mathcal{F}_Y -invariant.

Step 3. In this step we show local triviality of $(Y, B_Y + D) \rightarrow Z$.

Let $s: (Y', B'_Y + D') \rightarrow (Y, B_Y + D)$ be a small \mathbb{Q} -factorialisation, which exists by [BCHM10, Corollary 1.4.4], and let $\sigma: (\bar{Y}, \bar{B}_Y + \bar{D}) \rightarrow (Y, B_Y + D)$ be the ample model of $K_Y + (1 + \eta)(B'_Y + D')$ over Y for $0 < \eta \ll 1$, which exists by [BCHM10, Theorem 1.2]. Note that $\bar{B}_Y + \bar{D}$ is σ -ample by construction.

Let $\bar{p}: \bar{Y} \rightarrow Z$ be the composition of p with σ . We claim that \mathcal{F}_Y lifts to a foliation $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_Y$ on \bar{Y} . Indeed, let $W \rightarrow Y$ be an equivariant resolution of singularities, and let \mathcal{F}_W be the lift of \mathcal{F}_Y to W . By construction of a small \mathbb{Q} -factorialisation, there exists a birational contraction $\rho: W \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$. We may take $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_Y = \rho_* \mathcal{F}_W$ to be of the transform of a foliation under ρ . Note that $K_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_Y} = \sigma^* K_{\mathcal{F}_Y}$. By Lemma 2.11 $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}}(m(K_{\bar{Y}/Z} + \bar{B}_Y + \bar{D}))$ admits a partial $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_Y$ -connection.

By the Bott partial connection we know that $\det N_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_Y}^* \cong K_{\bar{Y}} - K_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_Y} = K_{\bar{Y}/Z}$ also admits a partial connection. It follows that $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}}(m(\bar{B}_Y + \bar{D}))$ admits a partial $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_Y$ -connection, and so $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}}(m(K_{\bar{Y}/Z} + \bar{B}_Y + \bar{D}) + k(\bar{B}_Y + \bar{D}))$ admits a partial $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_Y$ -connection for all $m \gg k \gg 0$.

By Lemma 2.11, $\tilde{E}_m := p_*\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}}(m(K_{\bar{Y}/Z} + \bar{B}_Y + D) + k(\bar{B}_Y + \bar{D}))$ is a vector bundle which admits a holomorphic connection, and is therefore numerically flat. Let $\tilde{\nabla}_m$ be the connection guaranteed to exist by [Sim92, §3]. Let $s \in H^0(\bar{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}}(k(\bar{B}_Y + \bar{D})))$ be a global section such that $\{s = 0\} = k(\bar{B}_Y + \bar{D})$, and let $\varphi: E_m \rightarrow \tilde{E}_m$ be the morphism induced by multiplication by s . By the proof of [Ou21, Corollary 3.9] we see that φ is a morphism of vector bundles equipped with connections, i.e., if t is any flat local section of E_m , we see that $t \otimes s$ is a flat local section of \tilde{E}_m .

Without loss of generality we may assume that $m \gg k \gg 0$ are sufficiently large so that $m(K_{\bar{Y}/Z} + \bar{B}_Y + D) + k(\bar{B}_Y + \bar{D})$ is \bar{p} -very ample. Let $\tilde{P} := \mathbb{P}(\tilde{E}_m)$ and by repeating the construction of Step 1, we produce a foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ on \bar{Y} which is everywhere transverse to the fibres of $\bar{Y} \rightarrow Z$. Let us continue to denote by $\tilde{\nabla}_m$ the $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ -partial connection on $m(K_{\bar{Y}/Z} + \bar{B}_Y + D) + k(\bar{B}_Y + \bar{D})$ guaranteed by Lemma 2.11. As noted, if t is any flat local section of E_m , then $\tilde{\nabla}_m(t \otimes s) = 0$, and so by Lemma 2.9 it follows that $\{t \otimes s = 0\}$ is invariant, from which we may conclude that $\text{Supp}(\bar{B}_Y + \bar{D}) = \text{Supp}(\{s = 0\})$ is invariant.

Since φ was a morphism of vector bundles equipped with connections it follows that $\mathcal{F}_Y = \sigma_*\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$, and we so \mathcal{F}_Y leaves $B_Y + D$ invariant.

Our final claim follows by noting that the automorphism group of a variety of log general type is finite, and so our locally trivial family is in fact trivial after a finite étale base change. \square

We remark that the use of [Sim92] in the above proof is closely related to a similar application in [CH19]. The use of [Sim92] to produce a foliation transverse to a fibration was also considered in [Ou21].

Proposition 2.13. *Let $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Z$ be a projective fibration over a smooth projective variety Z , where $B \geq 0$, (X, B) is klt and $K_X + B$ is f -semi-ample.*

Suppose that for all $m \gg 0$ sufficiently divisible we have that $E_m := f_\mathcal{O}(m(K_{X/Z} + B))$ is locally free and numerically flat.*

Let notation be as in Lemma 2.12.

- (1) *There exists a resolution of singularities $(\bar{X}, \bar{B}) \rightarrow (X, B)$ such that the foliation \mathcal{F}_Y lifts to a foliation $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{X}}$ on \bar{X} . Moreover, there is a Zariski open subset $U \subset Y$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{X}}$ is everywhere transverse to the fibres of $V := \bar{X} \times_Y U \rightarrow U$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{X}}$ leaves $\bar{B} \cap V$ invariant.*
- (2) *For general $t, t' \in Z$, there exists an isomorphism $\psi: Y_t \rightarrow Y_{t'}$ such that if (\bar{X}_s, \bar{B}_s) denotes the fibre of $(\bar{X}, \bar{B}) \rightarrow Y$ over s , then for general $s \in Y_t$, $(\bar{X}_s, \bar{B}_s) \cong (\bar{X}_{\psi(s)}, \bar{B}_{\psi(s)})$.*
- (3) *For general $t, t' \in Z$ the geometric generic fibres of $(X_t, B_t) \rightarrow Y_0$ and $(X_{t'}, B_{t'}) \rightarrow Y_0$ are isomorphic, i.e. there exists a finite cover $\tilde{Y}_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ (perhaps depending on t and t') such that $(X_t, B_t) \times_{Y_0} \tilde{Y}_0$ and $(X_{t'}, B_{t'}) \times_{Y_0} \tilde{Y}_0$ are birational.*

- (4) If $y \in Y$ is a general point and L is the leaf of \mathcal{F}_Y passing through y , then the family $(X, B) \times_Y L \rightarrow L$ is isotrivial.

Proof. Following [Amb05, §2], we may find a generically finite morphism $\sigma: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$, a morphism $\tau: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y^!$ and a big and nef divisor $\mathbf{M}_{Y^!}$ such that $\tau^*\mathbf{M}_{Y^!} = \sigma^*\mathbf{M}$ as equality of b-divisors. Notice that we use b-divisors to express this identity, since \mathbf{M} may not descend onto Y , and so \mathbf{M} may not agree with the Cartier closure of \mathbf{M}_Y . It follows that for some $k \gg 0$ some sublinear system of $|k\mathbf{M}_Y|$ defines a rational map $\phi: Y \dashrightarrow W$ such that at the generic point η of Y $\text{Ker } d\phi$ agrees with $\text{Ker } \kappa$, where $\kappa: T_{Y,\eta} \rightarrow H^1(T_{\overline{X}_\eta}(-\log \overline{B}))$ is the Kodaira–Spencer map, see [Amb05, Theorem 2.2], and $(\overline{X}, \overline{B}) \rightarrow (X, B)$ is a log smooth model as guaranteed by [Amb05, Lemma 1.1]. Observe that we may not take the full linear series $|k\mathbf{M}_Y|$, since \mathbf{M} may not descend onto Y . Let $\bar{h}: \overline{X} \rightarrow Y$ be the natural morphism.

The general fibre of $Y \dashrightarrow W$ is generically an intersection of divisors $D \sim k\mathbf{M}_Y$. By Lemma 2.12, these divisors are all \mathcal{F}_Y invariant, and so the general fibre is \mathcal{F}_Y invariant. It follows that at the generic point of Y , $T_{\mathcal{F}_Y}$ is contained in the kernel of the Kodaira–Spencer morphism $T_Y \rightarrow R^1\bar{h}_*T_{\overline{X}/Y}(-\log \overline{B})$, as the latter generically coincides with $\text{Ker } d\phi$. Thus, at the generic point of Y we have a lift $\rho: h^*T_{\mathcal{F}_Y} \rightarrow T_{\overline{X}}(-\log \overline{B})$, which induces a foliation $\mathcal{F}_{\overline{X}}$ on \overline{X} . Let U be the largest open subset over which we have a lift $h^*T_{\mathcal{F}_Y} \rightarrow T_{\overline{X}}(-\log \overline{B})$.

By construction $\mathcal{F}_{\overline{X}}$ is everywhere transverse to the fibres of $\overline{X} \rightarrow Y$ over U , and since ρ takes values in $T_{\overline{X}}(-\log \overline{B})$ we see that $\mathcal{F}_{\overline{X}}$ leaves the support of \overline{B} invariant. This proves item (1).

We now prove item (2). Fix a general point $t \in Z$, and let $t' \in Z$ be a nearby point. By Lemma 2.12, we have isomorphisms $\psi: Y_t \rightarrow Y_{t'}$ given by the flows of the foliation \mathcal{F}_Y . Perhaps shrinking U , we may assume this gives an isomorphism $\psi: U_t \rightarrow U_{t'}$.

For any closed point $s \in U_t$, let L denote a germ of a leaf of \mathcal{F}_Y through s , which up to shrinking, we may assume is contained in U . By construction, we have $L \cap U_{t'} = \psi(s)$. Consider the family $(\overline{X}_L, \overline{B}_L) := (\overline{X}, \overline{B}) \times_Y L$. By construction, \overline{X}_L is $\mathcal{F}_{\overline{X}}$ -invariant, and so we have a restricted foliation $\mathcal{F}_{\overline{X}_L}$ which is everywhere transverse to the fibres of $\overline{X} \rightarrow L$ and leaves B invariant. Applying Lemma 2.10 to $\mathcal{F}_{\overline{X}_L}$ and $(\overline{X}_L, \overline{B}_L) \rightarrow L$, it follows that $(\overline{X}_s, \overline{B}_s) \cong (\overline{X}_{\psi(s)}, \overline{B}_{\psi(s)})$, as required.

By considering the relative Isom functor $\text{Isom}_{Y_0}((X_t, B_t), (X_{t'}, B_{t'}))$ for general t, t' , we see that standard arguments imply the geometric generic fibres $(X_t, B_t) \rightarrow Y_0$ and $(X_{t'}, B_{t'}) \rightarrow Y_0$ are isomorphic, from which we may conclude item (3).

Item (4) is a direct consequence of the previous arguments. We remark that by the last point in Lemma 2.12 the leaves of \mathcal{F}_Y are all algebraic. \square

2.5. Harder–Narasimhan filtrations. Given a vector bundle E on a smooth projective curve we define its slope to be $\mu(E) := \frac{\deg E}{\text{rk } E}$. We define $\mu_{\max}(E)$ to be

the largest slope $\mu(F)$ among all non-zero subbundles $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow E$, and we define $\mu_{\min}(E)$ to be the smallest slope $\mu(Q)$ among non-zero quotient bundles $E \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$.

Definition 2.14. Let $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Z$ be a projective fibration to a smooth projective curve, where $B \geq 0$, (X, B) is log canonical. Let l be a positive integer such that $l(K_{X/Z} + B)$ is Cartier. Let $P \in Z$ be a closed point. We define

$$\lambda_-(X/Z, B) := \sup\{t : l(K_{X/Z} + B) - tf^*P \text{ is nef}\}$$

and

$$\lambda_+(X/Z, B) := \sup\{t : l(K_{X/Z} + B) - tf^*P \text{ is pseudo-effective}\}.$$

When clear from context we will write $\lambda_- = \lambda_-(X/Z, B)$ and $\lambda_+ = \lambda_+(X/Z, B)$.

We remark that λ_- and λ_+ depend on the choice of l . We feel this is unlikely to cause confusion and so do not include the choice of l in our notation.

Proposition 2.15. *Let $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Z$ be a GLC fibration where $B \geq 0$, (X, B) is log canonical and klt over the generic point of Z , Z is a projective curve and $B \geq 0$. Let $l > 0$ be a sufficiently divisible integer such that $l(K_{X/Z} + B) =: L$ is Cartier and suppose that for all $m \gg 0$ we have that $E_m := f_*\mathcal{O}(ml(K_{X/Z} + B))$ is locally free. Suppose in addition that $K_{X/Z} + B$ is relatively semi-ample. Then, for $m \gg 0$, we have*

$$\lambda_- \leq \frac{1}{m}\mu_{\min}(E_m) \leq \frac{1}{m}\mu_{\max}(E_m) \leq \lambda_+.$$

Proof. Suppose first that $K_{X/Z} + B$ is relatively ample. In this case the result follows from [XZ20, Lemma-Definition 2.26] (see also [CP21, Proposition 5.4] and [CP21, Proposition 6.4]) by taking $L = l(K_{X/Z} + B)$.

In general, let $g: X \rightarrow Y$ be the ample model of $K_{X/Z} + B$ over Z , and, perhaps replacing l by a multiple we may find a Cartier divisor L' be such that $g^*L' \sim L$. By [Amb05, Theorem 0.2], we may write $L' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{Y/Z} + B_Y$ where (Y, B_Y) is klt over the generic point of Z . Perhaps replacing l by $2l$ we may assume that $L' - (K_{Y/Z} + B_Y)$ is f -ample. We have equalities $\lambda_+(X/Z, B) = \lambda_+(Y/Z, B_Y)$ and $\lambda_-(X/Z, B) = \lambda_-(Y/Z, B_Y)$ and so we may freely reduce to the previous case in order to conclude. \square

3. PROPERTY (*) PAIRS AND LOCALLY STABLE FAMILIES

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Z$ be a GLC contraction which is also a locally stable family of log varieties. The moduli part of $(X/Z, B)$ is $K_{X/Z} + B$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.2 it follows that the discriminant B_Z of $(X/Z, B)$ is zero, and we may conclude. \square

The following openness property of Property (*) morphisms is implicit in [ACSS21].

Proposition 3.2. *Let $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Z$ be a GLC contraction where $B \geq 0$. Suppose that there exists a reduced divisor $\Sigma \subset Z$ such that (Z, Σ) is log smooth and such that the vertical part of B coincides with $f^{-1}(\Sigma)$. Suppose moreover for all closed points $z \in Z$ there is a reduced divisor $D \subset Z$ such that $(Z, \Sigma + D)$ is log smooth, z is a log canonical centre of $(Z, \Sigma + D)$, and $(X, B + f^*D)$ is log canonical in a neighbourhood of $f^{-1}(z)$. Then $(X/Z, B)$ satisfies Property (*).*

Proof. The problem is local on Z , so by replacing Z by an open neighbourhood of an arbitrary point we may assume that Z is quasi-projective. Next, we reduce to the case where Z is projective. Indeed, we may first take a log smooth compactification $(\overline{Z}, \overline{\Sigma} + \overline{D})$ of $(Z, \Sigma + D)$. Then, we may take a log canonical closure of $(X, B + f^*D)$ over \overline{Z} , call it $(\overline{X}, \overline{B} + f^*\overline{D})$, see [HX13]. By replacing X (resp. Z) by \overline{X} (resp. \overline{Z}) we may freely assume that X and Z are projective.

The proof of [ACSS21, Proposition 2.19] implies the following fact. Let $z' \in Z$ be a point and $D_{z'}$ a divisor such that

- (1) $(Z, \Sigma + D_{z'})$ is log smooth;
- (2) z' is a log canonical centre of $(Z, \Sigma + D_{z'})$; and
- (3) $(X, B + f^*D_{z'})$ is log canonical.

Then, if $D'_{z'}$ is any other divisor such that $(Z, \Sigma + D'_{z'})$ is log smooth and z' is a log canonical centre of $(Z, \Sigma + D'_{z'})$, it follows that $(X, B + f^*D'_{z'})$ is log canonical. This in turn immediately implies the proposition. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let $f: (X, B, \mathbf{M}) \rightarrow Z$ be a generalised GLC contraction. Consider a generalised dlt modification $p: (X', B', \mathbf{M}) \rightarrow (X, B, \mathbf{M})$.*

If $(X/Z, B, \mathbf{M})$ satisfies generalised Property (), then $(X'/Z, B', \mathbf{M})$ satisfies generalised Property (*).*

Proof. Let $\Sigma \subset Z$ be the reduced divisor associated to $(X/Z, B, \mathbf{M})$.

Note that (X, B, \mathbf{M}) is generalised log canonical. From the equality $K_{X'} + B' + \mathbf{M}_{X'} = p^*(K_X + B + \mathbf{M}_X)$, it is immediate that $(X, B + f^*D, \mathbf{M})$ is generalised log canonical if and only if $(X', B' + p^*f^*D, \mathbf{M})$ is generalised log canonical.

Thus to conclude it suffices to show that if E is a vertical component of $\lfloor B' \rfloor$, then $p(E)$ is contained in a vertical component of $\lfloor B \rfloor$. Generalised Property (*) implies that, if $W \subset X$ is any generalised log canonical centre of (X, B, \mathbf{M}) , then by Lemma 2.5 $f(W)$ is a log canonical centre of (Z, Σ) and so W is contained in $\lfloor B \rfloor$. Since $p(E)$ is a log canonical centre, we may therefore conclude. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Z$ be a GLC contraction satisfying Property (*) with $B \geq 0$. Suppose in addition that*

- (1) $f: X \rightarrow Z$ is equidimensional; and
- (2) if $D \subset Z$ is any reduced divisor, then f^*D is reduced.

Then (X, B^h) satisfies Property ().*

Proof. We first claim that $K_X + B^h$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier. Observe that Property $(*)$ implies that, if D is a vertical component of B , then in fact D is a component of $\lfloor B \rfloor$. This together with item (2) implies that $B^v = f^*G$ where G is a reduced smooth normal crossings divisor on Z .

For any $z \in Z$, let $G' \geq 0$ be reduced divisor such that $(Z, G + G')$ is log smooth and z is a log canonical centre of $(Z, G + G')$. Since (X, B) satisfies Property $(*)$, we see that $(X, B^h + f^*(G + G'))$ is log canonical. Since $z \in Z$ is arbitrary, we may apply Proposition 3.2 to conclude (X, B^h) satisfies Property $(*)$. \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Z$ be an equidimensional GLC contraction which satisfies Property $(*)$ and where $B \geq 0$.*

Then, there exists a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{s} & X \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow f \\ W & \xrightarrow{r} & Z \end{array}$$

where

- (1) $r: W \rightarrow Z$ is a finite Galois morphism;
- (2) (Y, C) is the normalisation of $(X, B^h) \times_Z W$; and
- (3) $g: (Y, C) \rightarrow W$ is a locally stable family.

Moreover, if M (resp. N) is the moduli part of $(X/Z, B)$ (resp. $(Y/W, C)$), then $s^*M \sim N$, where $s: Y \rightarrow X$ is the natural projection, and for all $k > 0$ sufficiently divisible, $r^*f_*\mathcal{O}(kM) \cong g_*\mathcal{O}(kN)$.

Proof. Let $\Sigma_Z \subset Z$ be the reduced divisor associated to the Property $(*)$ pair $(X/Z, B)$.

Because $X \rightarrow Z$ is equidimensional, then away from subsets of codimension ≥ 2 in X and Z we have that $f: X \rightarrow Z$ is smooth, hence toroidal. Therefore, by [AK00, Proposition 5.1], we may find a Kawamata cover $r: W \rightarrow Z$ which is finite and Galois with Galois group G , see [KMM87, Theorem 1-1-1], such that if Y is the normalisation of $X \times_W Z$ then for any reduced divisor $D \subset W$ we have g^*D is reduced, where $g: Y \rightarrow W$ is the natural projection.

Let $\Sigma \subset Z$ denote the branch locus of $r: W \rightarrow Z$. If $C \subset Z$ is any divisor such that f^*C is not reduced, then $C \subset \Sigma_Z$ and $C \subset \Sigma$. Therefore, the prime divisors in Z over which f does not have reduced fibres form a simple normal crossing divisor. By the construction of a Kawamata cover, we may therefore choose $r: W \rightarrow Z$ so that $\Sigma_Z \subset \Sigma$ and so that (Z, Σ) is log smooth. Replacing B by $B + f^*(\Sigma - \Sigma_Z)$ we may assume that the branch locus of $s: Y \rightarrow X$ is contained in $\lfloor B \rfloor$.

Define \overline{C} by the identity $K_Y + \overline{C} = s^*(K_X + B)$. By the Riemann–Hurwitz formula, we have that $\overline{C} \geq 0$. We claim that $(Y/W, \overline{C})$ satisfies Property $(*)$. Indeed, let $\Sigma_W = r^{-1}\Sigma_Z$ and let $w \in W$ be arbitrary. Let H be a divisor on Z such that $(Z, \Sigma_Z + H)$ is log smooth and $r(w)$ is a log canonical centre of $(Z, \Sigma_Z + H)$.

Then $(W, \Sigma_W + r^*H)$ is log smooth and w is a log canonical centre of $(W, \Sigma_W + r^*H)$. By the construction of Kawamata covers, (W, Σ_W) is log smooth, and the log smoothness of $(W, \Sigma_W + r^*H)$ immediately follows from the fact that $\Sigma + H$ is a simple normal crossing divisor. The claim regarding log canonical centres follows from [KM98, Proposition 5.20]. Moreover, $K_Y + \overline{C} + g^*r^*H = s^*(K_X + B + f^*H)$ and so $(Y, \overline{C} + g^*r^*H)$ is log canonical. Letting w vary over all points of W we may apply Proposition 3.2 to see that (Y, \overline{C}) has Property (*).

By Lemma 3.4, $(Y, C := \overline{C}^h)$ has Property (*) and so we may apply Lemma 2.8 to see that (Y, C) is locally stable.

We have an equality $s^*M = N$ because $K_Y + \overline{C} = s^*(K_X + B)$ and $K_W + \Sigma_W = r^*(K_Z + \Sigma_Z)$. The final claim is a consequence of the flatness of r and [Har77, Proposition III.9.3]. \square

Lemma 3.6. *Let $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Z$ and $f': (X', B') \rightarrow Z'$ be birationally equivalent equidimensional GLC fibrations with $B, B' \geq 0$ fitting into the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X', B') & \dashrightarrow^{\alpha} & (X, B) \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Z' & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Z. \end{array}$$

Suppose moreover that the following hold

- (1) (X, B) and (X', B') are crepant birational over the generic point of Z ;
- (2) the moduli part M (resp. M') of $(X/Z, B)$ (resp. $(X'/Z', B')$) is f -nef (resp. f' -nef);
- (3) $(X/Z, B)$ and $(X'/Z', B')$ satisfy Property (*) and are BP stable;
- (4) $f_*\mathcal{O}(nM)$ is locally free for $n \gg 0$ sufficiently divisible; and
- (5) $\det f'_*\mathcal{O}(nM')$ is nef for $n \gg 0$ sufficiently divisible.

Then for all $n \gg 0$ sufficiently divisible and $k > 0$ we have isomorphisms $\beta^ \det f_*\mathcal{O}(nM) \cong \det f'_*\mathcal{O}(nM')$. Moreover, if $p: W \rightarrow X$ and $q: W \rightarrow X'$ resolves the rational map $X' \dashrightarrow X$ we have $q^*(kM' + (f')^* \det f'_*\mathcal{O}(nM')) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} p^*(kM + f^* \det f_*\mathcal{O}(nM))$.*

Proof. For ease of notation set $E = f_*\mathcal{O}(nM)$ and $E' = f'_*\mathcal{O}(nM')$. Let $q: R \rightarrow X$ and $p: R \rightarrow X'$ resolve the birational map $\alpha: X' \dashrightarrow X$. Let $g: R \rightarrow Z'$ be the composition of f' and p . By [ACSS21, Proposition 2.21], we know that $q^*M \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} p^*M'$.

By the projection formula, it follows that for $n \gg 0$ sufficiently divisible we have $\beta_*E' = E$. This gives us a morphism $\beta^*E \rightarrow \beta^*\beta_*E' \rightarrow E'$, which is an isomorphism away from $\text{exc}(\beta)$. Taking determinants we get a non-zero morphism $\beta^* \det E \rightarrow \det E'$, which is an isomorphism away from $\text{Exc}(\beta)$ and so we can deduce that $\det E' - \beta^* \det E \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{O}(F)$ where $F \geq 0$ is β -exceptional.

By assumption $\det E'$ is β -nef and so the same is true of F , and so the negativity lemma, [KM98, Lemma 3.39], implies that $F = 0$. We deduce that $\beta^* \det E \cong \det E'$ and $q^*(kM' + (f')^* \det E') \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} p^*(kM + f^* \det E)$, from which we may conclude. \square

4. ON SEMI-AMPLENESS OF THE MODULI PART

4.1. Stable pairs.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Z$ be a family of stable log varieties where Z is normal and projective. Let M be the moduli part of $(X/Z, B)$.*

- (1) *For $m \gg 0$ sufficiently divisible, $f_* \mathcal{O}(mM)$ is a vector bundle whose formation commutes with base change.*
- (2) *For $m \gg 0$ sufficiently divisible, $\lambda_m := \det f_* \mathcal{O}(mM)$ is semi-ample.*
- (3) *For $m \gg 0$ sufficiently divisible and all $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, $M + \epsilon f^* \lambda_m$ is semi-ample.*

Proof. Part (1) is a direct consequence of [KP17, Lemma 7.7] (and its proof) and the fact that $M = K_{X/Z} + B$.

To show (2) and (3) consider the following commutative diagram, which is guaranteed to exist by [KP17, Corollary 6.20]:

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} (X_0, B_0) & \xleftarrow{s} & (\overline{X}, \overline{B}) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & (X, B) \\ \downarrow f_0 & & \downarrow \overline{f} & & \downarrow f \\ Z_0 & \xleftarrow{t} & \overline{Z} & \xrightarrow{\tau} & Z \end{array}$$

where all three of our vertical morphisms are families of stable log varieties, τ is finite, and the moduli map associated to $(X_0, B_0) \rightarrow Z_0$ is finite.

By [KP17, Corollary 7.3] and its proof for $m \gg 0$ sufficiently divisible, $\lambda_m^0 := \det f_{0*} \mathcal{O}(mM_0)$ is ample, where M_0 is the moduli part of $(X_0/Z_0, B_0)$. This implies that $M_0 + \epsilon f_0^* \lambda_m^0$ is ample for all $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, since M_0 is globally nef and relatively ample, see [KP17, Lemma 7.7 and proof of Theorem 7.1.1]. Next, note that we have $\sigma^* M = s^* M_0$ and by (1) we know $\tau^* \lambda_m = t^* \lambda_m^0$, which implies both (2) and (3). \square

4.2. Intermediate Kodaira dimension.

Lemma 4.2. *Let (X, Δ) be a log canonical pair with $\Delta \geq 0$, and let $f: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow Z$ be a fibration with $K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/Z$. Let (Z, B_Z, \mathbf{M}) be the generalized pair induced on Z by the canonical bundle formula. Then, any union of irreducible components of $\text{Nklt}(Z, B_Z, \mathbf{M})$ is semi-normal.*

Proof. It follows immediately from [LX22, Theorem 1.6] and the fact that Du Bois singularities are semi-normal. \square

Lemma 4.3 ([FI22, Lemma 2.3]). *Let $p: X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper surjective morphism with connected fibres, with Y semi-normal and X reduced. Then $p_* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y$.*

Proposition 4.4. *Let $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Z$ be a GLC fibration which is also a locally stable family, where Z is quasi-projective and smooth. Assume that $K_{X/Z} + B$ is relatively semi-ample over Z . Let $c: X \rightarrow Y/Z$ denote the relative Iitaka fibration of (X, B) over Z and let (Y, B_Y, \mathbf{M}) be the generalised pair induced on Y .*

Assume that the b-semi-ampleness conjecture holds.

Then, for every $z \in Z$, we may find $0 \leq \Delta_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} B_Y + \mathbf{M}_Y$ such that $g: (Y, \Delta_Y) \rightarrow Z$ is a stable family of pairs over a neighbourhood of $z \in Z$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.8, $(X, B) \rightarrow Z$ satisfies Property (*), and so by [Amb04, Theorem 3.1] we see that $(Y, B_Y, \mathbf{M}) \rightarrow Z$ satisfies generalised Property (*). Observe also that if $D \subset Z$ is any reduced divisor, then g^*D is reduced as well.

Let $p: \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be a generalised dlt modification, and let $(\bar{Y}, \bar{B}_Y, \mathbf{M})$ be the transformed pair. By Lemma 3.3, we see that $\bar{g}: (\bar{Y}, \bar{B}_Y, \mathbf{M}) \rightarrow Z$ satisfies generalised Property (*), and so it follows that $(\bar{Y}/Z, \bar{B}_Y)$ satisfies Property (*), and let $\Sigma \subset Z$ be the associated boundary. Since $(X, B) \rightarrow Z$ is locally stable we see that $\bar{B}_Y^h = \bar{B}_Y$, and so by Lemma 2.8 $(Y, \bar{B}_Y) \rightarrow Z$ is locally stable.

Since we are assuming the b-semi-ampleness conjecture, for a general choice of $0 \leq H \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbf{M}_{\bar{Y}}$, by Bertini's theorem (applied on a log resolution where \mathbf{M} descends) we may guarantee that $(\bar{Y}_z, \bar{B}_{Y,z} + H_z)$ is slc. By [Kol22, Corollary 4.45] there is an open neighbourhood of z such that $(\bar{Y}/Z, \bar{B}_Y + H)$ is locally stable as required. \square

Theorem 4.5. *Let $f: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow Z$ be a GLC fibration which is also a locally stable family, where Z is quasi-projective and normal.*

Assume that the geometric generic fibers over the generic points of the irreducible components of Z are normal. Assume that, for every $z \in Z$, $K_{X_z} + \Delta_z$ is semi-ample. Then, we may find $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on the family f such that the following holds:

- (1) *for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $h^0(X_z, \mathcal{O}_{X_z}(km_0(K_{X_z} + \Delta_z)))$ is independent of $z \in Z$;*
- (2) *for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_*\mathcal{O}_X(km_0(K_{X/Z} + \Delta))$ is a vector bundle, whose formation commutes with base change;*
- (3) *the relative Iitaka fibration $c: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow Y$ of f is a morphism and computes the ample model of each fibre; and*
- (4) *the formation of the relative Iitaka fibration commutes with base change by reduced schemes.*

Furthermore, $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ is flat. In particular, the scheme theoretic fibres of g are pure-dimensional reduced and semi-normal.

Proof. Item (2) immediately follows from item (1) by Grauert's theorem and cohomology and base change, see [Har77, Corollary III.12.9 and Theorem III.12.11]. Similarly, item (4) follows from item (3). Thus, we are left with showing items (1) and (3).

Let us first suppose that $\dim Z = 1$. Let $c: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow Y$ be the relative Iitaka fibration of f , which exists by the assumptions and [HX13]. Since X is normal, so

is Y . Let $(Y/Z, B_Y, \mathbf{M})$ be the generalized pair induced by the canonical bundle formula. Since Z is a curve, $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ is flat, see [Har77, Proposition III.9.7]. By semi-continuity [Har77, Theorem III.12.8], it suffices to show that, for a special closed point $0 \in Z$, we have $Y_0 = \text{Proj}(R(X_0, \Delta_0))$, where we set $R(X_0, \Delta_0) = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}(m(K_{X_0} + \Delta_0)))$. Since f is a locally stable family, $(X, \Delta + X_0)$ is log canonical. Then, by inversion of adjunction for LC-trivial fibrations [Amb04, Theorem 3.1], the induced generalized pair $(Y/Z, B_Y + g^*0, \mathbf{M})$ is generalized log canonical. In particular, we have $Y_0 = g^*0$ as divisors. In particular, the scheme theoretic fibre Y_0 is R_0 . Since Y is normal and g^*0 is Cartier, it follows that \mathcal{O}_Y and $\mathcal{O}_Y(-g^*0)$ are S_2 . Then, by [Kol13, Corollary 2.61], Y_0 is S_1 . But then, by [Sta22, Tag 0344], Y_0 is reduced. Furthermore, by Lemma 4.2, Y_0 is semi-normal.

Now, we have a tower of morphisms as follows

$$X_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Proj}(R(X_0, \Delta_0)) \xrightarrow{\beta} Y_0.$$

For m_0 sufficiently large and divisible, we have the following:

- the morphism α is given by the full linear series $|\Gamma(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}(m_0(K_{X_0} + \Delta_0)))|$; and
- the morphism $c_0: X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ is given by the restricted linear series corresponding to

$$(2) \quad \text{Im}(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m_0(K_{X/Z} + \Delta))) \rightarrow \Gamma(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}(m(K_{X_0} + \Delta_0)))).$$

Since c_0 is given by a sub-series of the one giving α , and since c_0 contracts exactly the same curves as α , the induced morphism β is finite. By construction, we have $\alpha_* \mathcal{O}_{X_0} = \mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj}(R(X_0, \Delta_0))}$. Similarly, we have $c_* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y$ and so $X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ has connected fibers. Then, by Lemma 4.3, we have $(c_0)_* \mathcal{O}_{X_0} = \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}$. In turn, this gives $\beta_* \mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj}(R(X_0, \Delta_0))} = \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}$. But then, as β is finite, we have $R(X_0, \Delta_0) = Y_0$. Since g is flat, its fibres are pure-dimensional. This settles items (1) and (3) when $\dim Z = 1$.

We now handle the general case. Let l_0 denote the Cartier index of $K_{X/Z} + \Delta$. By generic flatness applied to $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ and relative Serre vanishing, we may find a non-empty open subset $U \subset Z$ and $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ divisible by l_0 such that (1) holds over U . Then, for every closed point $z' \in Z$, by restricting to a general curve passing through z' and which meets U , we may find $m_{z'} \in \mathbb{N}$ divisible by m_0 such that, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $h^0(X_{z'}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{z'}}(km_{z'}(K_{X_{z'}} + \Delta_{z'})))$ attains the generic value achieved over U . Then, by semi-continuity and Grauert's theorem [Har77, Theorem III.12.8 and Corollary III.12.9], z' is in the open subset where $f_* \mathcal{O}_X(km_{z'}(K_X + \Delta))$ is a vector bundle, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, by replacing m_0 with a multiple, we may assume that any fixed closed point z' belongs to the open set U . Since the \mathcal{O}_Z -algebra $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} f_* \mathcal{O}_X(kl_0(K_{X/Z} + \Delta))$ is finitely generated, after finitely many iterations, the above procedure extends the open subset U to the whole Z .

Since (1) and (2) are settled and (3) is known over a curve, item (3) now follows immediately. Similarly, the additional properties of the fibres of g from (3) hold

since they may be checked in the case the base is a curve. Lastly, the flatness of g follows from [Kol22, Theorem 3.20]. \square

Theorem 4.6. *Let $f: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow Z$ be a GLC fibration which is also locally stable family, where Z is quasi-projective and normal. Assume $K_{X/Z} + \Delta$ is f -nef and that a general fibre is a good minimal model. Further assume that the b -semi-ampleness conjecture holds.*

Then, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently divisible,

- (1) $\lambda_m := \det f_* \mathcal{O}_X(m(K_{X/Z} + \Delta))$ is basepoint-free; and
- (2) for all $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, $K_{X/Z} + \Delta + \epsilon f^* \lambda_m$ is semi-ample.

Proof. Since $(X, \Delta) \rightarrow Z$ is locally stable, we know that every log canonical centre of (X, Δ) dominates Z . By applying [HX13, Theorem 1.1] to a dlt modification of (X, Δ) , it follows that $K_{X/Z} + \Delta$ is relatively semi-ample over Z .

By Theorem 4.5, the coherent sheaf $f_* \mathcal{O}_X(m(K_{X/Z} + \Delta))$ is a vector bundle and its formation commutes with base change. In particular, it follows that the line bundle $\lambda_m := \det f_* \mathcal{O}_X(m(K_{X/Z} + \Delta))$ is compatible with base change. Lastly, we recall that, given a line bundle \mathcal{L} on a normal variety U and a surjective projective morphism $\phi: V \rightarrow U$ where V is normal, then \mathcal{L} is semi-ample if and only if so is $\phi^* \mathcal{L}$. Thus, when needed, we are free to replace Z with a generically finite cover and all the relevant varieties and morphisms by base change. In particular, we may assume that Z is smooth.

Let $c: X \rightarrow Y$ denote the relative Iitaka fibration of (X, Δ) , and let (Y, B_Y, \mathbf{M}) be the generalized pair induced on Y . By Noetherianity and Proposition 4.4, we may find finitely many effective divisors $D_1, \dots, D_k \in |\mathbf{M}_Y|_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and open sets $U_i \subset Z$ such that $Z = \cup_{i=1}^k U_i$ and $g: (Y, B_Y + D_i) \rightarrow Z$ is a stable family of pairs over U_i .

Now, fix $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. We will show that for integers $m_i \gg 0$ and $\ell > 0$ and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, the base locus of $\lambda_{\ell m_i}$ (resp. $\mathbf{M}_Y + \epsilon f^* \lambda_{\ell m_i}$) is contained in $Z \setminus U_i$ (resp. $f^{-1}(Z \setminus U_i)$). Supposing this, we see that by taking $m = m_1 m_2 \dots m_k$ the claims of the theorem hold.

For ease of notation set $D := D_i$ and $U := U_i$.

By [KP17, Corollary 6.18], we may find a generically finite cover $\sigma: \overline{U} \rightarrow U$ such that $g: (Y, B_Y + D) \times_U \overline{U} \rightarrow \overline{U}$ can be recompactified to a family of stable pairs over a normal projective variety $\overline{Z} \supset \overline{U}$. Call this compactified family $(\overline{Y}, \overline{B}_Y + \overline{D}) \rightarrow \overline{Z}$. Perhaps replacing \overline{Z} by a higher model, we may assume that σ extends to a morphism $\sigma: \overline{Z} \rightarrow Z$.

Let $\tau: \overline{Z} \rightarrow \tilde{Z}$ be the Stein factorisation of σ , and set $\tilde{Y} := Y \times_Z \tilde{Z}$.

Let m_0 be the integer guaranteed to exist by Theorem 4.5. Up to replacing m_0 with a multiple, we may further assume that $f_* \mathcal{O}_X(\ell m_0(K_{X/Z} + \Delta))$ is a vector bundle that commutes with base change. Note that $\det h_* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{Y}}(k m_0(K_{\overline{Y}/Z} + \overline{B} + \overline{D}))|_{\overline{U}}$ coincides with $\sigma^* \det f_* \mathcal{O}_X(k m_0(K_{X/Z} + \Delta))|_U$.

Now, we claim that we have an inclusion of coherent sheaves

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow h_* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{Y}}(km_0(K_{\overline{Y}/\overline{Z}} + \overline{B} + \overline{D})) \rightarrow \sigma^* f_* \mathcal{O}_X(km_0(K_{X/Z} + \Delta))$$

that is an isomorphism over \overline{U} . The latter claim is immediate by the previous observations. Furthermore, as the two sheaves are torsion free, to conclude it suffices to show there is a non-zero morphism between the two.

Now, let \hat{Y} be the normalisation of the closure of the graph of $Y \dashrightarrow \overline{Y}$ in $Y \times_Z \overline{Y}$, and let $p: \hat{Y} \rightarrow Y$ and $q: \hat{Y} \rightarrow \overline{Y}$ be the corresponding morphisms. As Y and \overline{Y} are normal and isomorphic over the generic point of Z , p and q are isomorphisms over the generic point of Z . To conclude, it is then sufficient to show that

$$(4) \quad q^*(K_{\overline{Y}} + \overline{B} + \overline{D}) + \Theta = p^*(K_Y + B_Y + D),$$

where $\Theta \geq 0$. Note that, by construction, $p_*\Theta$ is contained in $f^{-1}(Z \setminus U)$.

To this end, we follow the proof of [Kol22, Theorem 11.40]. Then, we may write

$$p^*(K_Y + B_Y + D) = K_{\hat{Y}} + \hat{B} + \hat{D} + A - B,$$

where \hat{B} and \hat{D} denote the strict transforms of B_Y and D , respectively, and A and B are effective divisors with no common components. Since $h: (\overline{Y}, \overline{B} + \overline{D}) \rightarrow \overline{Z}$ is a stable family, we may write

$$q^*(K_{\overline{Y}} + \overline{B} + \overline{D}) = K_{\hat{Y}} + \hat{B} + \hat{D} - E,$$

where E is an effective divisor, cf. [Kol22, Proposition 2.15]. Then, it follows that $-(E + A - B)$ is p -nef and $p_*(E + A - B) = p_*E \geq 0$. Thus, by the negativity lemma [KM98, Lemma 3.39], we have $E + A - B \geq 0$. Thus, in particular, $A - B \geq -E$, and (4) follows.

By taking determinants of (3), we get a morphism

$$(5) \quad \bar{\lambda}_{\ell m_0} := \det h_* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{Y}}(\ell m_0(K_{\overline{Y}/\overline{Z}} + \overline{B} + \overline{D})) \rightarrow \sigma^* \det f_* \mathcal{O}_X(\ell m_0(K_{X/Z} + \Delta))$$

that is an isomorphism over \overline{U} , and so $\sigma^* \lambda_{\ell m_0} = \bar{\lambda}_{\ell m_0} + G$ where $G \geq 0$ is supported on $\overline{Z} \setminus \overline{U}$. Likewise, we see that $q^*(\overline{M} + \epsilon \bar{f}^* \bar{\lambda}_{\ell m_0}) + \Theta + \epsilon q^* \bar{f}^* G = p^*(M + \epsilon f^* \lambda_{\ell m_0})$.

By Lemma 4.1, we know that $\bar{\lambda}_{\ell m_0}$ (resp. $(\overline{M} + \epsilon \bar{f}^* \bar{\lambda}_{\ell m_0})$) is semi-ample, which from our above equalities implies that the base locus of $\lambda_{\ell m_0}$ (resp. $M + \epsilon f^* \lambda_{\ell m_0}$) is contained in G (resp. $p_*(\Theta + \epsilon q^* \bar{f}^* G)$) as required. \square

Theorem 4.7. *Assume that the b -semi-ampleness conjecture holds.*

Let $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Z$ be a GLC fibration with $B \geq 0$ and $\dim X = n$. Suppose that a general fibre of f admits a good minimal model.

Then we may find a birationally equivalent GLC fibration $f': (X', B') \rightarrow Z'$ fitting into the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X', B') & \dashrightarrow^{\alpha} & (X, B) \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Z' & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Z, \end{array}$$

where α and β are birational, such that the following holds

- (1) (X, B) and (X', B') are crepant birational over the generic point of Z ;
- (2) $(X'/Z', B')$ satisfies Property (*), is BP stable and has maximal moduli;
- (3) $f: X' \rightarrow Z'$ is equidimensional;
- (4) the moduli part, M' , of $(X'/Z', B')$ is nef;
- (5) $f_*\mathcal{O}(mM')$ is locally free and $\lambda'_m := \det f_*\mathcal{O}(mM')$ is nef and semi-ample for $m \gg 0$ sufficiently divisible; and
- (6) $M' + \epsilon f'^*\lambda'_m$ is semi-ample for any $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$.

Moreover, λ'_m is compatible with base change in the following sense. Consider another birationally equivalent GLC fibration $f'': (X'', B'') \rightarrow Z''$, where $\gamma: Z'' \rightarrow Z'$ is a birational morphism, such that $(X''/Z'', B'')$ satisfies Property (*) and is BP stable, f'' is equidimensional and such that the moduli part of $(X''/Z'', B'')$ is nef. Then, we have $\lambda''_m \sim \gamma^*\lambda'_m$.

Proof. By [ACSS21, Theorem 1.1] we may find a model $(X', B') \rightarrow Z'$ which satisfies (1)-(4). By Lemma 2.7 we see that the assumption on termination of flips in [ACSS21, Theorem 1.1] is unneeded.

Let $(Y, C) \rightarrow W$ be the cover of X guaranteed to exist by Proposition 3.5. By Proposition 3.5, (5) and (6) hold by the corresponding statement for $(Y/W, C)$, which follows from Theorem 4.6.

To prove our final claim, observe that $(X'', B'') \rightarrow Z''$ also satisfies (1)-(6) and so our final claim is a consequence of Lemma 3.6. \square

Remark 4.8. In the case where the moduli part is f -big, it is not necessary to assume the b-semi-ampleness conjecture.

Corollary 4.9. Assume that the b-semi-ampleness conjecture holds.

Let $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Z$ be an equidimensional GLC fibration with $B \geq 0$ and $\dim X = n$ which satisfies Property (*). Suppose that a general fibre of f admits a good minimal model.

For $m \gg 0$ sufficiently divisible, set $\lambda_m := \det f_*\mathcal{O}(mM)$. Then, for any $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, there exists a birational model $b: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ (resp. $a: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$) and a semi-ample line bundle L on Z' (resp. a semi-ample line bundle N on X') such that $b_*c_1(L) = c_1(\lambda_m)$ (resp. $a_*N = M + \epsilon f^*\lambda_m$).

Proof. Consider the model $(X'/Z', B')$ with moduli part M' guaranteed to exist by Theorem 4.7, and let $p: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ and $q: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X'$ resolve the rational map $\alpha: X' \dashrightarrow X$.

By [ACSS21, Proposition 2.21], we see that $p^*M = q^*M'$. It follows that we have $\beta_* f'_* \mathcal{O}(mM') = f_* \mathcal{O}(mM)$, from which we may conclude that $\beta^* \lambda_m = \lambda' + F$ where $\lambda' = \det f'_* \mathcal{O}(mM')$ and F is β -exceptional. Since λ' is nef [KM98, Lemma 3.39] implies $F \geq 0$. Take $L = \lambda'$ to conclude. The claim for $M + \epsilon f^* \lambda_m$ follows similarly. \square

5. 1-DIMENSIONAL BASES

Proposition 5.1. *Let $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Z$ be a locally stable family of good minimal models over a smooth curve Z and let M be the moduli part associated to $(X/Z, B)$. Further assume that $\lambda_+ > 0$.*

Then

- (1) $M + \epsilon f^* \lambda_m$ is semi-ample for $m \gg 0$ any $\epsilon > 0$ where $\lambda_m = \det f_* \mathcal{O}(mM)$; and
- (2) $\kappa(M) \geq \kappa(X/Z, B) + \text{var}(X/Z, B)$.

Proof. Let (Y, B_Y, \mathbf{M}_Y) denote the relatively ample model of (X, B) over Z , with morphisms $c: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Z$. Then, we have that $\kappa(M_X) = \kappa(K_{Y/Z} + B_Y + \mathbf{M}_Y)$, as we have $M_X = K_{X/Z} + B$.

Item (1) is a direct consequence of the fact that λ_m is ample and $K_{X/Z} + B$ is nef and f -semi-ample.

By dimension reasons, item (2) is implied by the bigness of $K_{Y/Z} + B_Y + \mathbf{M}_Y$. By construction, $K_{Y/Z} + B_Y + \mathbf{M}_Y$ is ample over Z . Furthermore, since $\lambda_+ > 0$, we may find an ample divisor H on Z such that $K_{Y/Z} + B_Y + \mathbf{M}_Y - g^*H$ is pseudo-effective. Now, since H is ample and $K_{Y/Z} + B_Y + \mathbf{M}_Y$ is g -ample, for $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, we have that $\epsilon(K_{Y/Z} + B_Y + \mathbf{M}_Y) + g^*H$ is ample. And so we have we have that

$$\epsilon(K_{Y/Z} + B_Y + \mathbf{M}_Y) + g^*H + (K_{Y/Z} + B_Y + \mathbf{M}_Y - g^*H) = (1 + \epsilon)(K_{Y/Z} + B_Y + \mathbf{M}_Y)$$

is big as required. \square

Lemma 5.2. *Let X be a normal projective variety, let Z be normal quasi-projective variety and let Y be a normal variety which is projective over Z .*

- (1) *Suppose that we have a surjective contraction $\phi: X \times Z \rightarrow Y$ over Z . Then there is a morphism $\varphi: X \rightarrow X'$ and an isomorphism $Y \cong X' \times Z$.*
- (2) *Let D be a divisor on $Y = X \times Z$ and suppose that the D -flip (resp. D -flop) over Z, Y^+ , exists. Then there exists a divisor D_0 on X such that the D_0 -flip (resp. D_0 -flop), X^+ , exists and $Y^+ \cong X^+ \times Z$.*

Proof. To see (1) take $X' = \phi(X \times \{z\})$ for any $z \in Z$ and set $\varphi = \phi|_{X \times \{z\}}$. Let A' be an ample divisor on X' and let A_Y be an ample divisor on Y . We observe that $(\phi^* A_Y)^\perp \cap \overline{NE}(X \times Z) = (\phi^* A_Y)^\perp \cap \overline{NE}(X \times Z/Z) \cong (\varphi^* A')^\perp \cap \overline{NE}(X)$, where the

first equality holds because ϕ is a morphism over Z . It follows that the morphisms over Z defined by ϕ^*A_Y and φ^*A' contract the same curves, and so by the rigidity lemma, [Deb01, Lemma 1.15], they are the same morphism.

To prove (2), let W be the base of the D -flipping (resp. D -flopping) contraction. Arguing as in the previous case if $D_0 = D|_{X \times \{z\}}$ for a general point $z \in Z$ then $D_0 \times Z \equiv D/W$. It follows that the D -flip (resp. D -flop) is isomorphic to the $D_0 \times Z$ -flip (resp. $D_0 \times Z$ -flop), which is easily seen to be $X^+ \times Z$, as required. \square

Lemma 5.3. *Let C be a smooth projective variety, and let (X_0, Δ_0) be a projective klt pair with $\Delta_0 \geq 0$ and such that $K_{X_0} + \Delta_0$ is nef. Let (X, Δ) denote the product $(X_0, \Delta_0) \times C$, and let $f: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow C$ denote the induced morphism. Let $f': (X', \Delta') \rightarrow C$ be a birational model of (X, Δ) , where $\Delta' \geq 0$, (X', Δ') is klt and $K_{X'} + \Delta'$ is nef. Then, there exists a crepant model (X'_0, Δ'_0) of (X_0, Δ_0) such that $(X', \Delta') = (X'_0, \Delta'_0) \times C$.*

Proof. By taking a \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminalisation of (X_0, Δ_0) , which exists by [BCHM10, Corollary 1.4.3], we may further assume that $(X, \Delta) \dashrightarrow (X', \Delta')$ is a rational contraction and X and X_0 are \mathbb{Q} -factorial. Taking a \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminalisation $(X'', \Delta'') \rightarrow (X', \Delta')$, which exists by [BCHM10, Corollary 1.4.3], we may assume $X \dashrightarrow X''$ is an isomorphism in codimension 1.

By [Kaw08] $X \dashrightarrow X''$ is a sequence of $(K_X + \Delta)$ -flops. By Lemma 5.2 we see that if $X_0 \dashrightarrow X''_0$ is the induced birational contraction on a general fibre, then in fact $X'' \cong X''_0 \times C$. We conclude by another application of Lemma 5.2 to $(X'', \Delta'') \rightarrow (X', \Delta')$. \square

Definition 5.4. Given a GLC fibration $(X, B) \rightarrow Z$ with $B \geq 0$ and $\dim Z = 1$ we define $\text{var}(X/Z, B)$ to be 0 if there exists a pair (X_0, B_0) and a generically finite morphism $Z' \rightarrow Z$ such that $(X_0, B_0) \times Z'$ is birational to $(X, B) \times_Z Z'$. Otherwise we define $\text{var}(X/Z, B)$ to be 1.

Remark 5.5. (1) Note that $\text{var}(X/Z, B)$ is invariant by crepant birational transformations of (X, B) .
 (2) If X has canonical singularities and $B = 0$ this agrees with the definition of variation found in [Kaw85].
 (3) A family of terminal minimal models with $B = 0$ and $\text{var} = 0$ is always (up to base change) crepant birational to a product, and so by Lemma 5.3 is in fact a product.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $f: (X, B) \rightarrow Z$ be a GLC fibration between projective varieties with $B \geq 0$, $\dim X = n$ and $\dim Z = 1$. Suppose that (X, B) is klt over the generic point of Z and the generic fibre of f admits a good minimal model.*

Then we may find a birationally equivalent GLC fibration $f': (X', B') \rightarrow Z$ fitting into the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X', B') & \dashrightarrow^{\alpha} & (X, B) \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Z & \xlongequal{\quad} & Z. \end{array}$$

such that $K_{X'} + B'$ is nef over Z and

- (1) $(X'/Z', B')$ is BP stable and has maximal moduli;
- (2) $M' + \epsilon f'^* \lambda'_m$ is semi-ample for $m \gg 0$ and any $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ where $\lambda'_m = \det f'_* \mathcal{O}(mM')$; and
- (3) $\kappa(M') \geq \kappa(X'/Z, B') + \text{var}(X'/Z, B')$.

Remark 5.7. We remark that M' and λ'_m are compatible with any further base change, as in the statement of Theorem 4.7.

Proof. Take (X', B') to be the model of (X, B) guaranteed by [ACSS21, Theorem 1.1]. By Lemma 2.7 we see that the assumption on termination of flips in [ACSS21, Theorem 1.1] is unneeded.

Taking a base change along the finite morphism guaranteed by Proposition 3.5 does not alter $\kappa(M')$, $\kappa(X/Z, B)$, $\text{var}(X/Z, B)$ or the semi-ampleness of $M' + \epsilon f'^* \lambda'_m$, so we may freely replace (X', B') by this cover, and therefore may assume that (X', B') is a locally stable family. For ease of notation we replace (X, B) by (X', B') . By [HX13, Theorem 1.1], we see that $K_X + B$ is semi-ample over Z . Next, observe that since (X, B) is locally stable and klt over the generic point of Z that (X, B) is in fact klt, see Lemma 2.5.

Let $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{B}) \rightarrow (X, B)$ be a \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminalisation of (X, B) , which exists by [BCHM10, Corollary 1.4.3]. By Proposition 2.2, (\tilde{X}, \tilde{B}) is locally stable. So we may freely replace (X, B) by (\tilde{X}, \tilde{B}) , and so we may assume that X is \mathbb{Q} -factorial with canonical singularities.

By Proposition 5.1, we may assume that $\lambda_+ = 0$. By [ACSS21, Proposition 4.1] we see that $K_{X/Z} + B$ is nef and so $\lambda_- \geq 0$. For $m \gg 0$ Theorem 4.5 implies that $E_m := f'_* \mathcal{O}(m(K_{X/Z} + B))$ is a vector bundle and by Proposition 2.15 $\mu_{\min}(E) = \mu_{\max}(E) = 0$ and so E_m is numerically flat.

Semi-ampleness of M is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.12, and so item (1) is proven.

To prove item (2), let Y be the relatively ample model of (X, B) over Z and let (Y, B_Y, \mathbf{M}_Y) be the generalised pair given by the canonical bundle formula. By Lemma 2.12 after replacing Z by an étale cover we may assume $(Y, B_Y + \mathbf{M}_Y) = Z \times (Y_0, B_{Y_0} + \mathbf{M}_{Y_0})$. As we are assuming that $\lambda_+ = 0$ it suffices to show that $\text{var}(X/Z, B) = 0$ to conclude. So we will show that after a generically finite base change, $(X, B) \rightarrow Z$ becomes isomorphic to a product $(X_0, B_0) \times Z$. We will argue by induction on $\dim(X/Z)$. The case where $\dim(X/Z) = 0$ is obvious.

We proceed with a case by case analysis.

Case 1: In this case we assume that $\text{Supp}(B)$ is vertical over Y .

First, we run a K_X -MMP with scaling relatively over Y . By [HX13, Theorem 1.1] and the assumption that B is vertical over Y , the MMP terminates with a good minimal model. We denote by X' the final model of this MMP and Y' the relatively ample model, which is a birational model of Y . Since $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/Y$, $(X', B') \rightarrow Z$ is still a family of good minimal models, where B' denotes the push-forward of B along the MMP. Note that with respect to $(X', B') \rightarrow Z$ we still have $\lambda_+ = 0$, and so we may freely replace (X, B) by (X', B') and therefore may assume in addition that $K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/Y$.

Now, if $B = 0$, we may conclude by [Kaw85, Theorem 1.1], see also Remark 5.5. Thus, we may assume that $B \neq 0$, and we wish to reduce to [Kaw85]. Now, consider a general ample divisor $H_0 \subset Y_0$, and let H denote the divisor $H_0 \times Z \subset Y$. Furthermore, we let D denote the pull-back of H to X . For some $m \gg 0$ sufficiently large, and for general enough H , we may find cyclic a Galois cover $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ and $\tilde{X} \rightarrow W$ of degree $= m$, which are ramified along H and D , respectively.

Since $K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/Y$ and H_0 is sufficiently ample, $\tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ is the relative Iitaka fibration of \tilde{X} over Z . Furthermore, by [Kol22, Proposition 2.13], $\tilde{X} \rightarrow Z$ is a locally stable family. By construction, the variation of the relatively ample model of \tilde{X} is 0, since both $(Y, B_Y + M_Y)$ and H have no variation. Then, by [Kaw85, Theorem 1.1], it follows that $\tilde{X} \rightarrow Z$ is isotrivial, and hence (up to a base change) a product.

Write $\tilde{X} = \tilde{X}_0 \times Z$, and $\tilde{Y} = \tilde{Y}_0 \times Z$. Observe that by construction if g generates the cyclic Galois action on \tilde{X} , then g is of the form $g' \times 1_Z$ where $g' \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{X}_0)$, in fact, g' is contained in $\text{Aut}(\tilde{Y}_0) \subset \text{Aut}(\tilde{X}_0)$. It follows that we have an isomorphism $\tilde{X}/\langle g \rangle \cong \tilde{X}_0/\langle g' \rangle \times Z$, and so X splits as a product. We then have $(X, B) \rightarrow Z$ is a product, since we reduced to the case when B is the pull-back of a divisor supported on B_Y .

Case 2: In this case we assume that B is big over Y .

Suppose for sake of contradiction that $\text{var}(X/Z, B) = 1$.

Let $(X', (1 + \epsilon)B')$ denote the log canonical model of $(X, (1 + \epsilon)B)$ over T for $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. Note that $(X', (1 + \epsilon)B')$ is a stable family over some open subset $U \subset Z$. Let $(X'', (1 + \epsilon)B'')$ be a compactification of this stable family over U to a stable family over Z (perhaps after replacing Z by a finite cover). By [KP17, Corollary 8.3] and our assumption that $\text{var}(X/Z, B) = 1$ we see that $K_{X''/Z} + (1 + \epsilon)B''$ is big.

For general $y \in Y_0$, let Z_y denote the fibre of $Y_0 \times Z \rightarrow Y_0$, and let $(X''_y, (1 + \epsilon)B''_y)$ denote the restricted family over Z_y . We note that by [Kol22, Proposition 2.13] $(X''_y, (1 + \epsilon)B''_y)$ is locally stable over Z_y . By Proposition 2.13 we have that $(X''_y, (1 + \epsilon)B''_y) \rightarrow Z_y$ is isotrivial over U , hence isotrivial. This implies that $K_{X''_y} + (1 + \epsilon)B''_y$ is not big. Finally, observe that $(K_{X''/Z} + (1 + \epsilon)B'')|_{X''_y} \sim K_{X''_y/Z_y} + (1 + \epsilon)B''_y$, which contradicts the bigness of $K_{X''/Z} + (1 + \epsilon)B''$, giving our required contradiction.

The map from Z to the moduli space parametrising the fibres of $(X'', (1+\epsilon)B'') \rightarrow Z$ is therefore constant, and so $(X'', (1+\epsilon)B'')$ splits as a product after a generically finite base change, hence by Lemma 5.3 (X, B) itself splits as a product.

Case 3: In this case we assume that K_X is not pseudo-effective over Y and we reduce to Case 2.

We run a K_X -MMP with scaling of any ample divisor over Y . Since K_X is not pseudo-effective, this MMP terminates with a Mori fiber space $X' \rightarrow W$ over Y . In particular, we have $\dim W < \dim X$, and hence $X' \rightarrow W$ is not birational. Since $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/Y$, the final outcome $(X', B') \rightarrow Z$ is still a locally stable family of good minimal models, and it is crepant birational to (X, B) . Thus, up to replacing (X, B) with (X', B') , we may assume that $X \rightarrow W$ is a morphism. If $\dim W = \dim Y$, then $W \rightarrow Y$ is birational and B is big over Y and so we may reduce to Case 2. Therefore, we may assume that $\dim Y < \dim W < \dim X$.

Let (W, B_W, \mathbf{M}_W) denote the generalized pair induced by the canonical bundle formula for $(X, B) \rightarrow W$. By [Amb05], we may choose $0 \leq \Gamma \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbf{M}_W$ such that $(W, B_W + \Gamma)$ is klt. It follows that $(W, B_W + \Gamma) \rightarrow Z$ is generically a locally stable family of good minimal models, and so, up to base change of Z , we may assume that this family admits a compactification $(W', B_{W'} + \Gamma') \rightarrow Z$ that is everywhere locally stable and that agrees with $(W, B_W + \Gamma) \rightarrow Z$ over a non-empty open subset of Z . Let \tilde{W} denote the normalization of the graph of $W \rightarrow W'$, and let $p: \tilde{W} \rightarrow W$ and $q: \tilde{W} \rightarrow W'$ denote the corresponding morphisms. Following the proof of [Kol22, Theorem 11.40], it follows that

$$(6) \quad p^*(K_W + B_W + \Gamma) \geq q^*(K_{W'} + B_{W'} + \Gamma').$$

Since $(W, B_W + \Gamma)$ is crepant to (X, B) , it follows that $\lambda_- = \lambda_+ = 0$ for $(W, B_W + \Gamma) \rightarrow Z$. Then, by (6) and the fact that $(W', B_{W'} + \Gamma') \rightarrow Z$ is a locally stable family of good minimal models, it follows that $\lambda_- = \lambda_+ = 0$ for $(W', B_{W'} + \Gamma') \rightarrow Z$. By our induction hypothesis, up to a base change, $(W', B_{W'} + \Gamma') \rightarrow Z$ splits as a product.

Since $(Y, B_Y + \mathbf{M}_Y)$ and $(W', B_{W'} + \Gamma')$ are products, and since for a general z we have a morphism $g_z: W'_z \rightarrow Y_z$ with $g_z^*(K_{Y,z} + B_{Y,z} + \mathbf{M}_{Y,z}) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{W',z} + B_{W',z} + \Gamma'_z$ it follows that there exists a morphism $g: W' \rightarrow Y$ such that $g^*(K_Y + B_Y + \mathbf{M}_Y) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{W'} + B_{W'} + \Gamma'$. By construction we have a morphism $h: W \rightarrow Y$ such that $K_W + B_W + \Gamma \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} h^*(K_Y + B_Y + \mathbf{M}_Y)$ and so $(W, B_W + \Gamma)$, and $(W', B_{W'} + \Gamma')$ are crepant birational to each other. By Lemma 5.3 it follows that $(W, B_W + \Gamma)$ is a product.

We may then argue as in Case (2), applied to the morphism $(X, B) \rightarrow W$ to deduce that (X, B) is itself a product. \square

REFERENCES

- [ABB⁺22] K. Ascher, D. Bejleri, H. Blum, K. DeVleming, Y. Liu, G. Inchiostro, and X. Wang. Moduli of Fano varieties with complements, 2022.

- [ACSS21] F. Ambro, P. Cascini, V. V. Shokurov, and C. Spicer. Positivity of the moduli part, 2021.
- [AK00] D. Abramovich and K. Karu. Weak semistable reduction in characteristic 0. *Invent. Math.*, 139(2):241–273, 2000.
- [Amb04] F. Ambro. Shokurov’s boundary property. *J. Differential Geom.*, 67(2):229–255, 2004.
- [Amb05] F. Ambro. The moduli b -divisor of an lc-trivial fibration. *Compos. Math.*, 141(2):385–403, 2005.
- [BCHM10] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. D. Hacon, and J. McKernan. Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 23(2):405–468, 2010.
- [BM16] F. Bogomolov and M. McQuillan. Rational curves on foliated varieties. In *Foliation theory in algebraic geometry*, Simons Symp., pages 21–51. Springer, Cham, 2016.
- [Bru00] M. Brunella. *Birational geometry of foliations*. Monografías de Matemática. Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada (IMPA), Rio de Janeiro, 2000.
- [CH19] J. Cao and A. Höring. A decomposition theorem for projective manifolds with nef anticanonical bundle. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 28(3):567–597, 2019.
- [CP21] G. Codogni and Zs. Patakfalvi. Positivity of the CM line bundle for families of K -stable klt Fano varieties. *Invent. Math.*, 223(3):811–894, 2021.
- [CS21] P. Cascini and C. Spicer. MMP for co-rank one foliations on threefolds. *Invent. Math.*, 225(2):603–690, 2021.
- [Deb01] O. Debarre. *Higher-dimensional algebraic geometry*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [Den21] Y. Deng. A note on the Simpson correspondence for semistable Higgs bundles. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 17(5):1899–1911, 2021.
- [FI22] S. Filipazzi and G. Inchiostro. Moduli of \mathbb{Q} -gorenstein pairs and applications, 2022.
- [Fil20a] S. Filipazzi. On a generalized canonical bundle formula and generalized adjunction. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 21:1187–1221, 2020.
- [Fil20b] S. Filipazzi. On the boundedness of n -folds with $\kappa(x) = n - 1$, 2020.
- [FS20] S. Filipazzi and R. Svaldi. On the connectedness principle and dual complexes for generalized pairs, 2020.
- [Fuj03] O. Fujino. A canonical bundle formula for certain algebraic fiber spaces and its applications. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 172:129–171, 2003.
- [Har77] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry*. Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [HMX18] C. D. Hacon, J. McKernan, and C. Xu. Boundedness of moduli of varieties of general type. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 20(4):865–901, 2018.
- [HX13] C. D. Hacon and C. Xu. Existence of log canonical closures. *Invent. Math.*, 192(1):161–195, 2013.
- [Kaw85] Y. Kawamata. Minimal models and the Kodaira dimension of algebraic fiber spaces. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 363:1–46, 1985.
- [Kaw08] Y. Kawamata. Flops connect minimal models. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 44(2):419–423, 2008.
- [KM98] J. Kollár and S. Mori. *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, volume 134 of *Cambridge tracts in mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [KMM87] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda, and K. Matsuki. Introduction to the minimal model problem. In T. Oda, editor, *Algebraic Geometry, Sendai*, pages 283–360. Kinokuniya-North-Holland, 1987. Adv. Stud. Pure Math, vol 10.
- [Kol07] J. Kollár. *Lectures on resolution of singularities*, volume 166 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2007.

- [Kol13] J. Kollár. *Singularities of the minimal model program*, volume 200 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013. With a collaboration of Sándor Kovács.
- [Kol22] J. Kollár. Families of varieties of general type, 2022.
- [KP17] S. Kovács and Zs. Patakfalvi. Projectivity of the moduli space of stable log-varieties and subadditivity of log-Kodaira dimension. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 30(4):959–1021, 2017.
- [LT22] V. Lazić and N. Tsakanikas. On the existence of minimal models for log canonical pairs. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 58(2):311–339, 2022.
- [LX22] J. Liu and L. Xie. Semi-ampleness of generalized pairs, 2022.
- [Ou21] W. Ou. Foliations whose first class is nef, 2021.
- [PS09] Yu. G. Prokhorov and V. V. Shokurov. Towards the second main theorem on complements. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 18(1):151–199, 2009.
- [Sho21] V. V. Shokurov. Log adjunction: moduli part, 2021.
- [Sim92] C. T. Simpson. Higgs bundles and local systems. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (75):5–95, 1992.
- [Sta22] The Stacks project authors. The stacks project. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2022.
- [XZ20] C. Xu and Z. Zhuang. On positivity of the CM line bundle on K-moduli spaces. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 192(3):1005–1068, 2020.

EPFL SB MATH CAG MA C3 625 (BÂTIMENT MA) STATION 8 CH-1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND.

Email address: stefano.filipazzi@epfl.ch

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KING’S COLLEGE LONDON, STRAND, LONDON WC2R 2LS, UK

Email address: calum.spicer@kcl.ac.uk