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#### Abstract

The $\mathrm{O}(N)$ vector model in the presence of a boundary has a non-trivial fixed point in $(4-\epsilon)$ dimensions and exhibits critical behaviors described by boundary conformal field theory. The spectrum of boundary operators is investigated at the leading order in the $\epsilon$-expansion by diagrammatic and axiomatic approaches. In the latter, we extend the framework of Rychkov and Tan for the bulk theory to the case with a boundary and calculate the conformal dimensions of boundary composite operators with attention to the analyticity of correlation functions. In both approaches, we obtain consistent results.
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## 1 Introduction

Quantum field theories (QFTs) with boundaries have been explored since the 1970s with the hope of discovering new phenomena which manifest themselves due to the boundary effects. (See [1, 2] for older works and [3] for more recent developments.) Introducing a boundary breaks a part of the symmetries in QFTs, such as translation and rotation. When the bulk theory is tuned to a critical point described by a conformal field theory (CFT), the conformal symmetry is broken to a subgroup by the boundary and there appear to be no
critical behaviors. Nevertheless, boundary critical phenomena are characterized by boundary CFTs (BCFTs) if the shape of the boundary is planar or spherical and a proper boundary condition is imposed. Depending on the choice of boundary conditions, there are several BCFTs associated with the same bulk CFT. To analyze boundary critical phenomena in $(1+1)$ dimensions, Cardy developed novel techniques in two-dimensional BCFTs $\left(\mathrm{BCFT}_{2}\right)$ [4] and classified admissible boundary conditions [5]. Furthermore, McAvity and Osborn generalized Cardy's discussion on $\mathrm{BCFT}_{2}$ to higher-dimensional BCFTs $\left(\mathrm{BCFT}_{d \geq 3}\right)[6,7]$, which provides us indispensable techniques to investigate the boundary effects in CFT as we will see below.

In $\mathrm{BCFT}_{d \geq 3}$, the boundary breaks the full conformal symmetry $\mathrm{SO}(1, d+1)$ down to its subgroup $\mathrm{SO}(1, d) .{ }^{1}$ Further, we distinguish operators in the bulk from those on the boundary. The former and latter are called bulk and boundary local operators respectively. Bulk local operators are characterized by the representation of $\mathrm{SO}(1, d+1)$ in the same way as in CFTs without boundaries and defects. On the other hand, boundary local operators represent dynamical degrees of freedom localized on the boundary and constitute conformal families of the subgroup $\mathrm{SO}(1, d)$. These two types of conformal families are not independent, and one can expand a bulk operator in terms of boundary ones, which is known as boundary operator expansion (BOE). For a bulk local operator $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}$ of conformal dimension $\Delta$, the BOE reads [7]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(x)=\sum_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}} C_{\Delta, \widehat{\Delta}}\left(x_{\perp}, \widehat{\partial}\right) \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widehat{\Delta}}(\hat{x}), \quad x=\left(\hat{x}^{a}, x_{\perp}\right), \quad a=1, \cdots, d-1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use coordinates $\hat{x} / x_{\perp}$ for the parallel/transverse directions to the boundary respectively. The sum is taken over all possible boundary primary operators $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widehat{\Delta}}$ and depends on the choices of the boundary conditions. The differential operator $C_{\Delta, \widehat{\Delta}}\left(x_{\perp}, \widehat{\partial}\right)$ is completely determined by the conformal symmetry modulo model-dependent coefficients.

In this paper, we will concentrate at the Wilson-Fisher fixed point of the $\mathrm{O}(N)$ vector model in $d=(4-\epsilon)$-dimensional half-spacetime $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{d} \equiv \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[6,7]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}} \mathrm{~d}^{d} x\left[\frac{1}{2(d-2) \Omega_{d-1}}\left|\partial \Phi_{1}\right|^{2}+\frac{\lambda \mu^{\epsilon}}{4!}\left|\Phi_{1}\right|^{4}\right], \quad\left|\Phi_{1}\right|^{4} \equiv\left(\Phi_{1}^{\alpha} \Phi_{1}^{\alpha}\right)^{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}$ is an $\mathrm{O}(N)$ vector field subject to either Neumann or Dirichlet boundary condition, and $\Omega_{d-1}$ is the volume of a ( $d-1$ )-dimensional sphere: $\Omega_{d-1}=2 \pi^{d / 2} / \Gamma(d / 2)$. The beta function $\beta_{\lambda}$ for the bulk coupling $\lambda$ is (see e.g., [8])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{\lambda}=\frac{\mathrm{d} \lambda}{\mathrm{~d} \log \mu}=-\epsilon \lambda+\frac{N+8}{3} \pi^{2} \lambda^{2}+O\left(\lambda^{3}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]|  | Bulk local op. | Boundary local op. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Free | $\Phi_{2 p}, \Phi_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}$ | $\widehat{\Phi}_{2 p}, \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}$ |
| Wilson-Fisher | $W_{2 p}, W_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}$ | $\widehat{W}_{2 p}, \widehat{W}_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}$ |

Table 1. List of symbols for bulk and boundary local operators. The lower indices of the operators denote the canonical dimensions in $d=4$.
where $\mu$ is a momentum scale. This $\mathrm{O}(N)$ model exhibits critical behaviors at the infrared fixed point with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{*} \equiv \frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \frac{3}{N+8} \epsilon+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conformal dimensions of bulk operators at the Wilson-Fisher fixed point can be derived in perturbation theory [8-13]. Rychkov and Tan reproduced the leading anomalous dimensions by the axiomatic method [14], which compare the free $\mathrm{O}(N)$ theory in four dimensions and the Wilson-Fisher fixed point in $(4-\epsilon)$ dimensions without resorting to diagrammatic calculations. The validity of their method was examined in the $\phi^{4}$-theory, and successive studies revealed that their framework can be applied to various models including not only bosonic but also fermionic fields [15-25]. Furthermore, this method was adapted to defect CFT with a monodromy defect $[26,27]$ in $(4-\epsilon)$ dimensions $[28,29]$, which precisely reproduced the anomalous dimensions of the defect operators. More recently, the Rychkov-Tan approach has been combined with the conformal bootstrap [30, 31] to derive new constraints on the dynamics of fermionic boundary and defect CFTs, such as Yukawa and Gross-Neveu model, etc. [32, 33]. ${ }^{2}$

A few comments on our notation of operators are in order. (See table 1.) We focus on the Neumann boundary condition in the main text and leave the Dirichlet case to appendix A. The subscripts of operators indicate the canonical dimensions in $d=4$. We use different symbols to distinguish bulk/boundary local operators in the free theory from those at the Wilson-Fisher fixed point. Two sets of operators $\left\{\Phi_{2 p} \equiv\left|\Phi_{1}\right|^{2 p}, \Phi_{2 p+1}^{\alpha} \equiv \Phi_{1}^{\alpha}\left|\Phi_{1}\right|^{2 p}\right\}$ and $\left\{\widehat{\Phi}_{2 p}, \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}\right\}$ stand for the bulk and boundary operators in the free theory, respectively. Under the Neumann boundary condition, $\left\{\widehat{\Phi}_{2 p}, \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}\right\}$ are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\Phi}_{2 p}(\hat{x})=\lim _{x_{\perp} \rightarrow 0}\left|\Phi_{1}\right|^{2 p}(x), \quad \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}(\hat{x})=\lim _{x_{\perp} \rightarrow 0} \Phi_{1}^{\alpha}\left|\Phi_{1}\right|^{2 p}(x) . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, $\left\{W_{2 p}^{\alpha}, W_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}\right\}$ and $\left\{\widehat{W}_{2 p}, \widehat{W}_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}\right\}$ are the renormalized bulk and boundary

[^1]operators at the Wilson-Fisher fixed point, which in the free limit tend to
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{W_{2 p}, W_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}, \widehat{W}_{2 p}, \widehat{W}_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}\right\} \quad \xrightarrow{\text { free }}\left\{\Phi_{2 p}, \Phi_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p}, \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}\right\} . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

By using the Rychkov-Tan method, the anomalous dimension of the lowest-lying boundary operator $\widehat{W}_{1}^{\alpha}$ has already been examined in [36, 42]. To our best knowledge, however, the anomalous dimensions of boundary composite operators have not yet been derived by the axiomatic approach.

Summary and discussion. In this paper, we reproduce the leading anomalous dimensions of boundary composite operators by the Rychkov-Tan method, ${ }^{3}$ and verify that the results are the same as the diagrammatic ones. We focus on Neumann and Dirichlet boundary conditions which correspond to special and ordinary transitions respectively [1]. ${ }^{4}$ We find it necessary to take into account the analyticity of correlation functions to calculate the anomalous dimensions of boundary composite operators. We believe that our method presented in this paper is also applicable to other boundary composite operators including derivatives. Furthermore, we expect that our argument is not limited to the $\mathrm{O}(N)$ vector model with a boundary but can also be applied to similar models with a line defect [45, 46] as demonstrated in the accompanying paper [47], and presumably to other models with boundaries or defects. We hope to investigate this direction in the future.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review the basics of BCFT. In section 3, we provide various aspects of the free $\mathrm{O}(N)$ model with the Neumann boundary condition. In section 4, we perform diagrammatic calculations to derive the anomalous dimensions of the boundary composite operators $\widehat{W}_{2 p}$ and $\widehat{W}_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}$. In section 5, we use the Rychkov-Tan method to reproduce the diagrammatic results obtained in section 4. The resulting conformal dimensions are summarized in table 2 . In appendix A, we derive the anomalous dimensions of composite operators subject to the Dirichlet boundary condition.

## 2 Review of boundary conformal field theory

Before going to the specific model, we record the consequences of conformal symmetry in the presence of a boundary [4, 7, 48, 49].

Notations. To set the stage, we first introduce our notations. Throughout this paper, we consider quantum field theories on the $d$-dimensional Euclidean flat manifold with a boundary: $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}=\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Throughout this paper, symbols with hat ( $\wedge$ ) such as $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\hat{y}$ notate the boundary quantities while those without hat are for the bulk ones.

[^2]Boundary
condition

Boundary
operators

Conformal dimension Free limit

$$
\widehat{W}_{2 p} \quad 2 p+\frac{6 p(2 p-3)}{N+8} \epsilon \quad \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p}
$$

Neumann

|  | $\widehat{W}_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}$ | $2 p+1-\frac{N+6 p(1-2 p)+5}{N+8} \epsilon$ | $\widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dirichlet | $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p}$ | $4 p-\frac{p(N-6 p+14)}{N+8} \epsilon$ | $\widehat{\Psi}_{4 p}$ |

Dirichlet

$$
\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p+2}^{\alpha} \quad 4 p+2-\frac{N-6 p^{2}+p(N+8)+5}{N+8} \epsilon \quad \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+2}^{\alpha}
$$

Table 2. The conformal dimensions of boundary local operators subject to the Neumann and Dirichlet boundary conditions. See appendix A for the notations for the Dirichlet case.

We use $x^{\mu}(\mu=1, \cdots, d)$ for the bulk coordinates, which can be split into the parallel $\left(\hat{x}^{a}\right)$ and transverse $\left(x_{\perp}\right)$ parts to the boundary such that $x^{\mu} \equiv\left(\hat{x}^{a}, x_{\perp}\right)$ with $a=1, \cdots, d-1$ and $x_{\perp} \geq 0$. Then, $\hat{y}^{\mu} \equiv\left(\hat{y}^{a}, 0\right)$ stands for the boundary coordinates. The distances between bulk-bulk, bulk-boundary and boundary-boundary points are written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{2} & \equiv\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{\mu}\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)_{\mu}, \\
|x-\hat{y}|^{2} & \equiv(\hat{x}-\hat{y})^{a}(\hat{x}-\hat{y})_{a}+x_{\perp}^{2},  \tag{2.1}\\
\left|\hat{y}_{1}-\hat{y}_{2}\right|^{2} & \equiv\left(\hat{y}_{1}-\hat{y}_{2}\right)^{a}\left(\hat{y}_{1}-\hat{y}_{2}\right)_{a} .
\end{align*}
$$

A bulk (boundary) scalar primary operator with conformal dimension $\Delta(\widehat{\Delta})$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widehat{\Delta}}\right)$. The following shorthanded notations are used frequently in this paper:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{y}_{i j} \equiv \hat{y}_{i}-\hat{y}_{j}, \quad \widehat{\Delta}_{i j}^{ \pm} \equiv \widehat{\Delta}_{i} \pm \widehat{\Delta}_{j} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Correlation functions and boundary operator expansions. The bulk-boundary and boundary two-point functions and the boundary three-point function can be fixed by boundary conformal symmetry:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(x) \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widehat{\Delta}}(\hat{y})\right\rangle & =\frac{b(\mathcal{O}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}})}{|x-\hat{y}|^{2 \widehat{\Delta}}\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{\Delta-\widehat{\Delta}}},  \tag{2.3}\\
\left\langle\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widehat{\Delta}}\left(\hat{y}_{1}\right) \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widehat{\Delta}}\left(\hat{y}_{2}\right)\right\rangle & =\frac{c(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}})}{\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{2 \widehat{\Delta}}},  \tag{2.4}\\
\left\langle\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widehat{\Delta}_{1}}\left(\hat{y}_{1}\right) \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widehat{\Delta}_{2}}\left(\hat{y}_{2}\right) \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widehat{\Delta}_{3}}\left(\hat{y}_{3}\right)\right\rangle & =\frac{c\left(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{1}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{2}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{2}\right)}{\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{\widehat{\Delta}_{12}^{+}-\widehat{\Delta}_{3}\left|\hat{y}_{23}\right|^{\widehat{\Delta}_{23}^{+}-\widehat{\Delta}_{1}}\left|\hat{y}_{13}\right|^{\widehat{\Delta}_{13}^{+}-\widehat{\Delta}_{2}}} .} . \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The BOE of a bulk scalar operator $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(x) \supset \sum_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}} \frac{b(\mathcal{O}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}) / c(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}})}{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{\Delta-\widehat{\Delta}}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widehat{\Delta}}(\hat{x}) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\supset$ stands for the BOE, and we do not explicitly write down descendant terms.
The bulk-boundary-boundary three-point functions are not completely fixed by conformal symmetry, and they admit the following conformal block expansion [47, 50]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(x) \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widehat{\Delta}_{1}}(0) \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widehat{\Delta}_{2}}(\infty)\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{\Delta}|x|^{\widehat{\Delta}_{12}^{-}}} \sum_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}} \frac{b(\mathcal{O}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}) c\left(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{1}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{2}\right)}{c(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}})} G_{\widehat{\Delta}}^{\widehat{\Lambda}_{12}^{-}}\left(\frac{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, we set $y_{1}=0, y_{2}=\infty$ by using the boundary conformal symmetry. ${ }^{5}$ The conformal block $G_{\widehat{\Delta}}^{\widehat{\Delta}_{12}^{-}}(v)$ can be written as [47]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\widehat{\Delta}}^{\widehat{\Delta}_{12}^{-}}(v)=v^{\widehat{\Delta} / 2}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{\widehat{\Delta}+\widehat{\Delta}_{12}^{-}}{2}, \frac{\widehat{\Delta}-\widehat{\Delta}_{12}^{-}}{2} ; \widehat{\Delta}-\frac{d-3}{2} ; v\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 The free $\mathrm{O}(N)$ model with Neumann boundary condition

We investigate the conformal structures of the free $\mathrm{O}(N)$ vector model with the Neumann boundary condition in $d$ dimensions with special attention to correlation functions and BOEs, which will play a central role in the rest of the paper.

### 3.1 Correlation functions in arbitrary dimensions

We start with the bulk two-point function of the fundamental scalar field satisfying the following differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square_{x_{1}}\left\langle\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(x_{1}\right) \Phi_{1}^{\beta}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{4 \pi^{d / 2}}{\Gamma(d / 2)} \delta^{\alpha \beta} \delta^{d}\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the Neumann boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x_{\perp} \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\perp}} \Phi_{1}^{\alpha}(x)=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the solution to the differential equation (3.1) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(x_{1}\right) \Phi_{1}^{\beta}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\rangle=\delta^{\alpha \beta}\left[\frac{1}{\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{d-2}}+\frac{1}{\left|x_{1}-\bar{x}_{2}\right|^{d-2}}\right], \quad \bar{x}^{\mu}=\left(\hat{x}^{a},-x_{\perp}\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this expression, we obtain the bulk one-point functions of the composite operators:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left\langle\Phi_{1}^{\alpha} \Phi_{1}^{\beta}(x)\right\rangle=\frac{\delta^{\alpha \beta}}{2^{d-2}\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{d-2}},\left.\quad\langle | \Phi_{1}\right|^{2}(x)\right\rangle=\frac{N}{2^{d-2}\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{d-2}} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]In addition, letting $x_{\perp} \rightarrow 0$ in the bulk two-point function (3.3), we find the following bulkboundary and boundary-boundary functions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\Phi}_{1}^{\beta}(\hat{y})\right\rangle=\frac{2 \delta^{\alpha \beta}}{|x-\hat{y}|^{d-2}}, \quad\left\langle\widehat{\Phi}_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\hat{y}_{1}\right) \widehat{\Phi}_{1}^{\beta}\left(\hat{y}_{2}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{2 \delta^{\alpha \beta}}{\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{d-2}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combined with the results obtained so far, we can calculate any correlators in the free theory by using Wick's theorem. For instance, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\Phi}_{1}^{\beta}(\hat{y})\right\rangle & =\frac{(N / 2+1) \delta^{\alpha \beta}}{2^{d-4}|x-\hat{y}|^{d-2}\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{d-2}} \\
\left\langle\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\Phi}_{3}^{\beta}(\hat{y})\right\rangle & =\frac{32(N / 2+1) \delta^{\alpha \beta}}{|x-\hat{y}|^{3(d-2)}}  \tag{3.6}\\
\left\langle\widehat{\Phi}_{3}^{\alpha}\left(\hat{y}_{1}\right) \widehat{\Phi}_{3}^{\beta}\left(\hat{y}_{2}\right)\right\rangle & =\frac{32(N / 2+1) \delta^{\alpha \beta}}{\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{3(d-2)}}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, the two-point functions of the boundary composite operators are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\widehat{\Phi}_{2 p}\left(\hat{y}_{1}\right) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p}\left(\hat{y}_{2}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{N g_{p-1}}{\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{2 p(d-2)}}, \quad\left\langle\widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}\left(\hat{y}_{1}\right) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}\left(\hat{y}_{2}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{f_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta}}{\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{(2 p+1)(d-2)}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we introduced two combinatorial factors $f_{p}$ and $g_{p}{ }^{6}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{p}=2^{4 p+1} p!(N / 2+1)_{p}, \quad g_{p}=2^{4 p+3}(p+1)!(N / 2+1)_{p} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows, we enumerate several three-point functions of our interest without derivation.

## Boundary three-point functions.

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\widehat{\Phi}_{1}^{\alpha}(\hat{x}) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p}\left(\hat{y}_{1}\right) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}\left(\hat{y}_{2}\right)\right\rangle & =\frac{f_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta}}{\left|\hat{x}-\hat{y}_{2}\right|^{d-2}\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{2 p(d-2)}}  \tag{3.9}\\
\left\langle\widehat{\Phi}_{1}^{\alpha}(\hat{x}) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}\left(\hat{y}_{1}\right) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+2}\left(\hat{y}_{2}\right)\right\rangle & =\frac{g_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta}}{\left|\hat{x}-\hat{y}_{2}\right|^{d-2}\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{(2 p+1)(d-2)}}  \tag{3.10}\\
\left\langle\widehat{\Phi}_{3}^{\alpha}(\hat{x}) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p}\left(\hat{y}_{1}\right) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}\left(\hat{y}_{2}\right)\right\rangle & =\frac{12 p f_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta}}{\left|\hat{x}-\hat{y}_{1}\right|^{d-2}\left|\hat{x}-\hat{y}_{2}\right|^{2(d-2)}\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{(2 p-1)(d-2)}}  \tag{3.11}\\
\left\langle\widehat{\Phi}_{3}^{\alpha}(\hat{x}) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}\left(\hat{y}_{1}\right) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+2}\left(\hat{y}_{2}\right)\right\rangle & =\frac{2(N+6 p+2) g_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta}}{\left|\hat{x}-\hat{y}_{1}\right|^{d-2}\left|x-\hat{y}_{2}\right|^{2(d-2)}\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{2 p(d-2)}} \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

## Bulk-boundary-boundary three-point functions.

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p}\left(\hat{y}_{1}\right) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}\left(\hat{y}_{2}\right)\right\rangle & =\frac{f_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta}}{\left|x-\hat{y}_{2}\right|^{d-2}\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{2 p(d-2)}}  \tag{3.13}\\
\left\langle\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}\left(\hat{y}_{1}\right) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+2}\left(\hat{y}_{2}\right)\right\rangle & =\frac{g_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta}}{\left|x-\hat{y}_{2}\right|^{d-2}\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{(2 p+1)(d-2)}} \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

[^4]\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p}\left(\hat{y}_{1}\right) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}\left(\hat{y}_{2}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\frac{N+2}{2^{d-2}} \frac{f_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta}}{\left|x-\hat{y}_{2}\right|^{\mid d-2}\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{2 p(d-2)}\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{d-2}}+\frac{12 p f_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta}}{\left|x-\hat{y}_{1}\right|^{d-2}\left|x-\hat{y}_{2}\right|^{2(d-2)}\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{(2 p-1)(d-2)}},  \tag{3.15}\\
& \left\langle\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}\left(\hat{y}_{1}\right) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+2}\left(\hat{y}_{2}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\frac{N+2}{2^{d-2}} \frac{g_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta}}{\left|x-\hat{y}_{2}\right|^{d-2}\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{(2 p+1)(d-2)}\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{d-2}}+\frac{2(N+6 p+2) g_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta}}{\left|x-\hat{y}_{1}\right|^{d-2}\left|x-\hat{y}_{2}\right|^{2(d-2)}\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{2 p(d-2)}} . \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

### 3.2 Boundary operator expansions in four dimensions

We set $d=4$ and examine the boundary operator expansions in the four-dimensional free $\mathrm{O}(N)$ model with the Neumann boundary condition. In particular, we focus on the two operators $\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}$ and $\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}$ which are relevant in section 5.

### 3.2.1 Boundary operator expansion of $\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}$

From the bulk-boundary two-point function (3.5), we can deduce that $\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}$ has the following BOE:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}(x) \supset \widehat{\Phi}_{1}^{\alpha}(\hat{x}) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see if the other operators contribute to the BOE, we rewrite the bulk-boundary-boundary three-point correlators (3.13) and (3.14) in terms of conformal blocks (2.7) using the relation $G_{1}^{-1}(v)=v^{1 / 2}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p}(0) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}(\infty)\right\rangle & =f_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta} \frac{|x|}{\left|x_{\perp}\right|} G_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right),  \tag{3.18}\\
\left\langle\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}(0) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+2}(\infty)\right\rangle & =g_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta} \frac{|x|}{\left|x_{\perp}\right|} G_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right) \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Compared with the three-point function (2.7) with a general structure of the BOE (2.6), there are no contributions to the BOE of $\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}$ other than a boundary operator of dimension $\widehat{\Delta}=1$, which may be identified with $\widehat{\Phi}_{1}^{\alpha}$ in the present case.

We can confirm the above statement in a different manner as follows. Suppose a boundary scalar primary operator $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widehat{\Delta}}(\hat{x})$ appears in the BOE of $\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}(x)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}(x) \supset \frac{A}{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{1-\widehat{\Delta}}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widehat{\Delta}}(\hat{x}) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is a non-zero constant. In the free theory, $\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}(x)$ satisfies the Klein-Gordon equation $\square_{x} \Phi_{1}^{\alpha}(x)=0$ which holds as an operator identity. Applying the Laplacian $\square_{x}$ to the both sides of (3.20) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\square_{x} \Phi_{1}^{\alpha}(x) \supset(\widehat{\Delta}-1)(\widehat{\Delta}-2) \frac{A}{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{3-\widehat{\Delta}}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widehat{\Delta}}(\hat{x}) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this operator identity to hold for a non-zero constant $A$, the conformal dimensions of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widehat{\Delta}}$ must be either one or two. The former corresponds to the Neumann boundary condition we are considering here, and the latter to the Dirichlet boundary condition.

We thus conclude that with the Neumann boundary condition only $\widehat{\Phi}_{1}^{\alpha}$-channel appears in the BOE of $\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}(x)=\widehat{\Phi}_{1}^{\alpha}(\hat{x})+(\text { descendants }) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2.2 Boundary operator expansion of $\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}$

The structure of the BOE of $\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}$ is much richer than that of $\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}$. From the two-point functions (3.6), we find $\widehat{\Phi}_{1}^{\alpha}$ and $\widehat{\Phi}_{3}^{\alpha}$ contribute to the BOE:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}(x) \supset \frac{N+2}{4\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{2}} \widehat{\Phi}_{1}^{\alpha}(\hat{x})+\widehat{\Phi}_{3}^{\alpha}(\hat{x}) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are also other (infinitely many) boundary operators that appear in the BOE of $\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}$. To see this, let us perform the conformal block expansion of the bulk-boundary-boundary three-point functions involving $\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}$. Using the boundary conformal symmetry, we can place the two boundary operators at $\hat{x}=0$ and $\hat{x}=\infty$. Then, (3.15) yields ${ }^{7}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p}(0) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}(\infty)\right\rangle \\
& \quad=f_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta} \frac{|x|}{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{3}}\left[\frac{N+2}{4} G_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right)+12 p \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}(n+1)!}{(n+3 / 2)_{n}} G_{2 n+3}^{-1}\left(\frac{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right)\right] \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Compared with the general form (2.7), the first term is seen as the contribution from $\widehat{\Phi}_{1}^{\alpha}$ while the second term indicates an infinite tower of boundary operators with odd integer conformal dimensions, which we denote as $\widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}(n=0,1, \cdots)$, appears in the BOE of $\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}: 8$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}(x) \supset \frac{b\left(\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}\right)}{c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}\right)}\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{2 n} \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}(\hat{x}) \quad(n=0,1, \cdots) \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ratio $b\left(\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}\right) / c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}\right)$ in the RHS of (3.25) cannot be fixed by the conformal block expansion while we find the following relation by comparing (3.24) with (2.7):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{b\left(\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}\right) c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p}, \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}\right)}{c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}\right)}=12 p f_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta} \frac{(-1)^{n}(n+1)!}{(n+3 / 2)_{n}} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^5]By repeating the similar discussion for (3.16), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}(0) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+2}(\infty)\right\rangle \\
& =g_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta} \frac{|x|}{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{3}}\left[\frac{N+2}{4} G_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right)+2(N+6 p+2) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}(n+1)!}{(n+3 / 2)_{n}} G_{2 n+3}^{-1}\left(\frac{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right)\right] . \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Compared with (2.7) we find the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{b\left(\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}\right) c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}, \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+2}\right)}{c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}\right)}=2(N+6 p+2) g_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta} \frac{(-1)^{n}(n+1)!}{(n+3 / 2)_{n}} . \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the following BOE of $\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}(x)=\frac{1}{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{2}} \widehat{\Phi}_{1}^{\alpha}(\hat{x})+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b\left(\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}\right)}{c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}\right)}\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{2 n} \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}(\hat{x})+(\text { descendants }) . \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ratio $b\left(\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}\right) / c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}\right)$ subject to (3.26) and (3.28) will appear again in section 5.2.2.

## 4 Diagrammatic approach

We perform diagrammatic calculations to derive the leading anomalous dimensions of the boundary composite operators $\widehat{W}_{2 p}$ and $\widehat{W}_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}$ in the $\mathrm{O}(N)$ vector model (1.2) at the WilsonFisher fixed point. ${ }^{9}$ We consider the theory with the Neumann boundary condition and defer the Dirichlet case to appendix A.2.

Let us consider the following two-point functions at the Wilson-Fisher fixed point in $d=(4-\epsilon)$ dimensions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2 p} \equiv\left\langle\widehat{\Phi}_{2 p}(\hat{y}) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p}(0)\right\rangle, \quad I_{2 p+1}^{\alpha \beta} \equiv\left\langle\widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}(\hat{y}) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}(0)\right\rangle \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widehat{\Phi}_{2 p}$ and $\widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}$ are bare boundary fields, and the VEV $\langle\cdots\rangle$ is taken in the interacting vacuum with a boundary. We can perturbatively calculate (4.1) as

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{2 p} & =I_{2 p, 0}+\delta I_{2 p}, & I_{2 p, 0} & \equiv\left\langle\widehat{\Phi}_{2 p}(\hat{y}) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p}(0)\right\rangle_{0},  \tag{4.2}\\
I_{2 p+1}^{\alpha \beta} & =I_{2 p+1,0}^{\alpha \beta}+\delta I_{2 p+1}^{\alpha \beta}, & I_{2 p+1,0}^{\alpha \beta} & \equiv\left\langle\widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}(\hat{y}) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}(0)\right\rangle_{0},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\langle\cdots\rangle_{0}$ stands for the VEV in the vacuum of the $(4-\epsilon)$-dimensional free theory with a boundary. $\delta I_{2 p}$ and $\delta I_{2 p+1}^{\alpha \beta}$ are the quantum corrections to the free propagators, whose diagram is shown in figure 1.
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Figure 1. One-loop diagrams contributing to the anomalous dimensions of the composite operators $\widehat{W}_{2 p}, \widehat{W}_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}$. Black circles $(\bullet)$ on the boundary denote boundary operators while black squares ( $(\mathbf{\bullet})$ is the bulk $\left|\Phi_{1}\right|^{4}$ interaction vertex.

These corrections diverge and we need to introduce the renormalized boundary fields by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{W}_{2 p}=Z_{2 p}^{-1} \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p}, \quad \widehat{W}_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}=Z_{2 p+1}^{-1} \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{2 p}$ and $Z_{2 p+1}$ are the wave-function renormalization factors. Note that the two-point function of $\widehat{W}$ differs from that of the free fields by order $O(\epsilon)$. We choose $Z_{2 p}$ and $Z_{2 p+1}$ so as to cancel the divergence appearing in $\delta I_{2 p}$ and $\delta I_{2 p+1}^{\alpha \beta}$. In the minimal subtraction scheme, $Z_{2 p}$ and $Z_{2 p+1}$ can be expanded as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{2 p}=1+\delta Z_{2 p}, \quad Z_{2 p+1}=1+\delta Z_{2 p+1} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\delta Z_{2 p}$ and $\delta Z_{2 p+1}$ are of order $O(\lambda)$ and related to the conformal dimensions of $\widehat{W}_{2 p}$ and $\widehat{W}_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\Delta}_{n}=n \frac{d-2}{2}+\widehat{\gamma}_{n},\left.\quad \widehat{\gamma}_{n} \equiv \beta_{\lambda} \frac{\mathrm{d} \ln Z_{n}}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}\right|_{\lambda=\lambda_{*}} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{\lambda}$ and $\lambda_{*}$ are given in (1.3) and (1.4), respectively. The anomalous dimension $\widehat{\gamma}_{n}$ has a power expansion in $\epsilon$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\gamma}_{n}=\widehat{\gamma}_{n, 1} \epsilon+\widehat{\gamma}_{n, 2} \epsilon^{2}+\cdots, \quad \widehat{\gamma}_{n, 1}=-\left.\lambda \frac{\mathrm{d} \delta Z_{n}}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}\right|_{\lambda=\lambda_{*}} . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We focus on the leading part $\widehat{\gamma}_{n, 1}$ and evaluate $I_{2 p}$ at one-loop level. By a standard pertur-
bative calculation [8, 10-13], $\delta I_{2 p}$ becomes $^{10}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta I_{2 p} & \left.=-\left.\frac{\lambda_{0}}{4!} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}} \mathrm{~d}^{d} x\langle | \Phi_{1}\right|^{4}(x) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p}(\hat{y}) \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p}(0)\right\rangle_{0}  \tag{4.7}\\
& =-\frac{N+8 p-6}{\epsilon} \pi^{2} \lambda_{0} I_{2 p, 0}+\frac{4 p}{|\hat{y}|^{2}} \delta I_{2 p-1}^{\alpha \alpha}+O\left(\lambda_{0}^{2}, \epsilon^{0}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where we used the correlation functions in the $(4-\epsilon)$-dimensional free theory in section 3.1. Furthermore, by using (4.3) and (4.4), (4.7) reduces to the following recursion relation between $\delta Z_{2 p}$ and $\delta Z_{2 p-1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta Z_{2 p}-\delta Z_{2 p-1}=-\frac{N+8 p-6}{2 \epsilon} \pi^{2} \lambda+O\left(\lambda^{2}, \epsilon^{0}\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way as $I_{2 p}, I_{2 p-1}^{\alpha \beta}$ can be calculated at one-loop level as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta I_{2 p-1}^{\alpha \beta}=-\frac{24 p-N-26}{3 \epsilon} \pi^{2} \lambda_{0} I_{2 p-1,0}^{\alpha \beta}+\frac{2(N+2 p-2) \delta^{\alpha \beta}}{N|\hat{y}|^{2}} \delta I_{2 p-2}+O\left(\lambda_{0}^{2}, \epsilon^{0}\right) . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (4.3) and (4.4) that (4.9) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta Z_{2 p-1}-\delta Z_{2 p-2}=-\frac{24 p-N-26}{6 \epsilon} \pi^{2} \lambda+O\left(\lambda^{2}, \epsilon^{0}\right) . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By solving the above two recursion relations (4.8) and (4.10) under the initial condition $\delta Z_{0}=0$, the wave-function renormalization factors are determined in the minimal subtraction scheme:

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta Z_{2 p} & =-\frac{p(N+12 p-10)}{3 \epsilon} \pi^{2} \lambda+O\left(\lambda^{2}\right),  \tag{4.11}\\
\delta Z_{2 p+1} & =-\frac{(2 p-1) N+2\left(12 p^{2}+2 p-1\right)}{6 \epsilon} \pi^{2} \lambda+O\left(\lambda^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By substituting (4.11) to (4.6), we obtain the leading anomalous dimensions of $\widehat{W}_{2 p}$ and $\widehat{W}_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\gamma}_{2 p, 1}=\frac{p(N+12 p-10)}{N+8}, \quad \widehat{\gamma}_{2 p+1,1}=\frac{(2 p-1) N+2\left(12 p^{2}+2 p-1\right)}{2(N+8)} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $\widehat{\gamma}_{1,1}=-(N+2) / 2(N+8)$ and $\widehat{\gamma}_{2,1}=(N+2) /(N+8)$ agree with the known results given in [7, section 3].
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## 5 Axiomatic approach

The goal of this section is to explore the critical behavior of the $\mathrm{O}(N)$ model with the Neumann boundary condition from the axiomatic method of [14]. We postulate the following three axioms under which we reproduce the diagrammatic results in the last section (4.12).

Axiom I. In the presence of a boundary, the theory at the Wilson-Fisher fixed point has the boundary conformal symmetry.

Axiom II. For a bulk/boundary local operator $\mathcal{O}_{\text {free }} / \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\text {free }}$ in the free theory with a boundary, there exists a local operator at the Wilson-Fisher fixed point denoted by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{WF}} / \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathrm{WF}}$, which tends to $\mathcal{O}_{\text {free }} / \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\text {free }}$ in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Axiom III. At the Wilson-Fisher fixed point, two bulk operators $W_{1}^{\alpha}$ and $W_{3}^{\alpha}$, which tend to $\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}$ and $\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, are related by the following equation of motion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square_{x} W_{1}^{\alpha}(x)=\kappa W_{3}^{\alpha}(x), \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\square_{x}$ is the Laplacian in $d=(4-\epsilon)$ dimensions.

We emphasize that the parameters $\kappa$ and $\Delta_{1}$ are fixed by the bulk criticality [14]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa=\frac{2}{N+8} \epsilon+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right), \quad \Delta_{1}=1-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

See [28, 47] for more details.

### 5.1 Lowest-lying boundary local operator

We begin with the lowest-lying boundary local operator $\widehat{W}_{1}^{\alpha}$ and derive its conformal dimension up to $\epsilon$ along the line of [28].

From the conformal symmetry, one has the following BOE of $W_{1}^{\alpha}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{1}^{\alpha}(x) \supset D \frac{1}{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{\Delta_{1}-\widehat{\Delta}_{1}}} \widehat{W}_{1}^{\alpha}(\hat{x}) . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this BOE to be compatible with (3.22) in the free theory, the coefficient $D$ and the conformal dimension $\widehat{\Delta}_{1}$ should be

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=1+O(\epsilon), \quad \widehat{\Delta}_{1}=1+O(\epsilon) . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We substitute the $\operatorname{BOE}$ (5.3) to the equation of motion (5.1) and end up with ${ }^{11}$

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{3}^{\alpha}(x) & =\frac{1}{\kappa} \square W_{1}^{\alpha}(x) \\
& \supset \frac{D}{\kappa} \frac{\left(\Delta_{1}-\widehat{\Delta}_{1}\right)\left(\Delta_{1}-\widehat{\Delta}_{1}+1\right)}{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{\Delta_{1}-\widehat{\Delta}_{1}+2}} \widehat{W}_{1}^{\alpha}(\hat{x}) . \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

This relation should reduce to (3.23) in the $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ limit, hence we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{N+2}{4}=\frac{\left(\Delta_{1}-\widehat{\Delta}_{1}\right)\left(\Delta_{1}-\widehat{\Delta}_{1}+1\right)}{\kappa}+O(\epsilon) . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By plugging $\kappa$ and $\Delta_{1}$ in (5.2) into the above relation, the dimension is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{\Delta}_{1} & =\frac{d-2}{2}-\frac{N+2}{2(N+8)} \epsilon+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) \\
& =1-\frac{N+5}{N+8} \epsilon+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right), \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

which agrees with the known result in literature [7, equation (3.10)].

### 5.2 Boundary composite operators

We proceed to derive the conformal dimensions $\widehat{\Delta}_{2 p}$ and $\widehat{\Delta}_{2 p+1}$ of the boundary local operators $\widehat{W}_{2 p}, \widehat{W}_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}$ which reduce to $\widehat{\Phi}_{2 p}, \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\alpha}$ in the free limit, respectively.

We will determine the leading anomalous dimensions of these composite operators $\widehat{\gamma}_{n, 1}$ (4.5). These composite operators do not appear in the BOE of the free bulk scalar $\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}$, hence we cannot employ the strategy used in the last section. Instead, we will take the following steps in this section:

- We implement the equation of motion (5.1) to calculate the BOE of $W_{1}$ at order $O(\epsilon)$. (section 5.2.1)
- By using the BOE, we evaluate the following bulk-boundary-boundary three-point functions at order $O(\epsilon)$ (section 5.2.2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle W_{1}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{W}_{2 p}(0) \widehat{W}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}(\infty)\right\rangle, \quad\left\langle W_{1}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{W}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}(0) \widehat{W}_{2 p+2}(\infty)\right\rangle . \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

- It turns out that these two correlators (5.9) have unphysical singularities at $|\hat{x}|=0$. Resolving these singularities imposes some constraints on the anomalous dimensions, whose solutions completely match with the diagrammatic results (4.12). (section 5.2.3)
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### 5.2.1 Boundary operator expansion of $W_{1}^{\alpha}$

In the free theory, $W_{1}^{\alpha}$ reduces to $\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}$ and its BOE only contains $\widehat{\Phi}_{1}^{\alpha}$ (see section 3.2.1). However, at order $O(\epsilon)$, the other operators start to contribute to the BOE. More specifically, $W_{1}^{\alpha}$ couples to a series of operators $\widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\prime \alpha}\left(n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)$ that has the conformal dimension $\widehat{\Delta}_{2 n+3}^{\prime}=2 n+3+O(\epsilon)$ and can be identified with $\widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}$ when $\epsilon=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\prime \alpha}(\hat{x})=\widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}(\hat{x}) . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Namely, the BOE takes the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{1}^{\alpha}(x)= & \frac{D}{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{\Delta_{1}-\widehat{\Delta}_{1}}} \widehat{W}_{1}^{\alpha}(\hat{x}) \\
& +\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b\left(W_{1}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\prime \alpha}\right) / c\left(\widehat{(\widehat{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\prime \alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\prime \alpha}\right)}{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{\Delta_{1}-\widehat{\Delta}_{2 n+3}^{\prime}}} \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\prime \alpha}(\hat{x})+(\text { descendants }), \tag{5.11}
\end{align*}
$$

with $D=1+O(\epsilon)$ being introduced in section 5.1. The $O(\epsilon)$ coefficients $b\left(W_{1}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\prime \alpha}\right) / c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\prime \alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\prime \alpha}\right)$ can be evaluated by using the equation of motion (5.1). Acting the Laplacian on the LHS of (5.11) leads

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{3}^{\alpha}(x) \supset \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b\left(W_{1}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\prime \alpha}\right)}{\kappa c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\prime \alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\prime \alpha}\right)} \frac{\left(\Delta_{1}-\widehat{\Delta}_{2 n+3}^{\prime}\right)\left(\Delta_{1}-\widehat{\Delta}_{2 n+3}^{\prime}+1\right)}{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{\Delta_{1}-\widehat{\Delta}_{2 n+3}^{\prime}+2}} \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\prime \alpha}(\hat{x}) . \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing this BOE with (3.29), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{b\left(W_{1}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\prime \alpha}\right)}{c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\prime \alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\prime \alpha}\right)}=\frac{\kappa}{2(n+1)(2 n+1)} \frac{b\left(\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}\right)}{c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}\right)}+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.2.2 Bulk-boundary-boundary three-point functions involving $W_{1}^{\alpha}$

We are now in a position to calculate the bulk-boundary-boundary three-point functions involving $W_{1}^{\alpha}$. Using (5.11), the conformal block expansion of $\left\langle W_{1}^{\alpha} \widehat{W}_{2 p} \widehat{W}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}\right\rangle$ becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle W_{1}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{W}_{2 p}(0) \widehat{W}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}(\infty)\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{\Delta_{1}}|x|^{\widehat{\Delta}_{2 p}-\widehat{\Delta}_{2 p+1}}} \\
& \quad \cdot\left[D \cdot c\left(\widehat{W}_{1}^{\alpha}, \widehat{W}_{2 p}, \widehat{W}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}\right) G_{\widehat{\Delta}_{1}}^{\widehat{\Delta}_{2 p}-\widehat{\Delta}_{2 p+1}}\left(\frac{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right)\right.  \tag{5.14}\\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b\left(W_{1}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\prime \alpha}\right) c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\prime \alpha}, \widehat{W}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}, \widehat{W}_{2 p+2}\right)}{c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\prime \alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\prime \alpha}\right)} G_{\widehat{\Delta}_{2 n+3}^{\prime}}^{\widehat{\Delta}_{2 p}-\widehat{\Delta}_{2 p+1}}\left(\frac{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

The first term in the parenthesis can be evaluated as

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{\widehat{\Delta}_{1}}^{\widehat{\Delta}_{2 p}-\widehat{\Delta}_{2 p+1}}(v) & =v^{\widehat{\Delta}_{1} / 2}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{\widehat{\gamma}_{1,1}+\widehat{\gamma}_{2 p, 1}-\widehat{\gamma}_{2 p+1,1}}{2} \epsilon, 1 ; 1 / 2 ; v\right)+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)  \tag{5.15}\\
& =v^{\widehat{\Delta}_{1}}+\left(\widehat{\gamma}_{1,1}+\widehat{\gamma}_{2 p, 1}-\widehat{\gamma}_{2 p+1,1}\right) \epsilon v^{3 / 2}{ }_{2} F_{1}(1,1 ; 3 / 2 ; v)+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, for the remaining terms, the coefficients are already of order $O(\epsilon)$ (5.13) and we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b\left(W_{1}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\prime \alpha}\right) c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\prime \alpha}, \widehat{W}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}, \widehat{W}_{2 p+2}\right)}{c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\prime \alpha} \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\prime \alpha}\right)} G_{\widehat{\Delta}_{2 n+3}^{\prime}-\widehat{\Delta}_{2 p+1}}^{\widehat{\Delta}_{\Delta_{2}}(v)}  \tag{5.16}\\
& \quad=6 \kappa p f_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta} v^{3 / 2}{ }_{2} F_{1}(1,1 ; 3 / 2 ; v)+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where we used (3.26) and the sum rule for hypergeometric functions that can be verified by expanding in powers of $z$ and comparing both sides order by order

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{2} F_{1}(1,1 ; 3 / 2 ; z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} n!}{(2 n+1)(n+3 / 2)_{n}} z^{n}{ }_{2} F_{1}(n+1, n+2 ; 2 n+5 / 2 ; z) . \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining all the calculations, the three-point function $\left\langle W_{1}^{\alpha} \widehat{W}_{2 p} \widehat{W}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}\right\rangle$ simplifies to ${ }^{12}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle W_{1}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{W}_{2 p}(0) \widehat{W}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}(\infty)\right\rangle \\
& =c\left(\widehat{W}_{1}^{\alpha}, \widehat{W}_{2 p}, \widehat{W}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}\right) \frac{D}{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{\Delta_{1}-\widehat{\Delta}_{1}}|x|^{\widehat{\Delta}_{1}+\widehat{\Delta}_{2 p}-\widehat{\Delta}_{2 p+1}}} \\
& \quad+f_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta}\left[\left(\widehat{\gamma}_{1,1}+\widehat{\gamma}_{2 p, 1}-\widehat{\gamma}_{2 p+1,1}\right) \epsilon+6 \kappa p\right] \frac{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(1,1 ; \frac{3}{2} ; \frac{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right)+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) . \tag{5.19}
\end{align*}
$$

The other correlator of our interest $\left\langle W_{1}^{\alpha} \widehat{W}_{2 p+1}^{\beta} \widehat{W}_{2 p+2}\right\rangle$ can be derived in a similar manner:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle W_{1}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{W}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}(0) \widehat{W}_{2 p+2}(\infty)\right\rangle \\
& =c\left(\widehat{W}_{1}^{\alpha}, \widehat{W}_{2 p}^{\beta}, \widehat{W}_{2 p+2}\right) \frac{D}{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{\Delta_{1}-\widehat{\Delta}_{1}}|x|^{\widehat{\Delta}_{1}+\widehat{\Delta}_{2 p+1}-\widehat{\Delta}_{2 p+2}}} \\
& \quad+g_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta}\left[\left(\widehat{\gamma}_{1,1}+\widehat{\gamma}_{2 p+1,1}-\widehat{\gamma}_{2 p+2,1}\right) \epsilon+\kappa(N+6 p+2)\right] \frac{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(1,1 ; \frac{3}{2} ; \frac{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right)+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) . \tag{5.20}
\end{align*}
$$

[^9]
### 5.2.3 Constraints from analyticity

From the asymptotic behavior of the hypergeometric function ${ }^{13}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(1,1 ; \frac{3}{2} ; \frac{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right) \underset{|\hat{x}| \sim 0}{ } \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \frac{\left|x_{\perp}\right|}{|\hat{x}|}+\text { (less singular terms) } \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find that both (5.19) and (5.20) are singular at $|\hat{x}|=0$, where the bulk operator has a finite separation from the boundary and any pair of the operators collide. Hence, for the correlators to be holomorphic for all non-coincident configurations, we must require coefficients in front of ${ }_{2} F_{1}\left(1,1 ; 3 / 2 ;\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{2} /|x|^{2}\right)$ to vanish:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\widehat{\gamma}_{1,1}+\widehat{\gamma}_{2 p, 1}-\widehat{\gamma}_{2 p+1,1}\right) \epsilon+6 \kappa p & =0+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right), \\
\left(\widehat{\gamma}_{1,1}+\widehat{\gamma}_{2 p+1,1}-\widehat{\gamma}_{2 p+2,1}\right) \epsilon+\kappa(N+6 p+2) & =0+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) . \tag{5.22}
\end{align*}
$$

These constraints lead to the recursion relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\gamma}_{2 p+1,1}=\widehat{\gamma}_{2 p, 1}-\frac{N-24 p+2}{2(N+8)}, \quad \widehat{\gamma}_{2 p+2,1}=\widehat{\gamma}_{2 p+1,1}+\frac{3(N+8 p+2)}{2(N+8)} . \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforward to see that the solutions to these recursion relations correctly reproduce the diagrammatic results (4.12).
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## A Dirichlet boundary condition

We deal with the model (1.2) with the Dirichlet boundary condition. We will perform a similar analysis to the Neumann case below.

[^10]
## A. 1 The free $\mathbf{O}(N)$ model with Dirichlet boundary condition

Under the Dirichlet boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}(x)\right|_{x_{\perp}=0}=0 \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the lowest-lying boundary local operator in free theory is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\Psi}_{2}^{\alpha}(\hat{x}) \equiv \lim _{x_{\perp} \rightarrow 0} x_{\perp}^{-1} \Phi_{1}^{\alpha}(x) . \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are also boundary composite operators with even integer conformal dimensions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\Psi}_{4 p}(\hat{x}) \equiv \lim _{x_{\perp} \rightarrow 0} x_{\perp}^{-2 p}\left|\Phi_{1}\right|^{2 p}(x), \quad \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+2}^{\alpha}(\hat{x}) \equiv \lim _{x_{\perp} \rightarrow 0} x_{\perp}^{-2 p-1} \Phi_{1}^{\alpha}\left|\Phi_{1}\right|^{2 p}(x) . \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## A.1.1 Correlation functions in arbitrary dimensions

We summarize the correlation functions for free scalar fields subject to the Dirichlet boundary condition in $d$ dimensions.

The bulk two-point function satisfies the same differential equation as the Neumann case (3.1). However, the solution is different:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(x_{1}\right) \Phi_{1}^{\beta}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\rangle=\delta^{\alpha \beta}\left[\frac{1}{\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{d-2}}-\frac{1}{\left|x_{1}-\bar{x}_{2}\right|^{d-2}}\right] . \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left\langle\Phi_{1}^{\alpha} \Phi_{1}^{\beta}(x)\right\rangle=-\frac{\delta^{\alpha \beta}}{2^{d-2}\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{d-2}},\left.\quad\langle | \Phi_{1}\right|^{2}(x)\right\rangle=-\frac{N}{2^{d-2}\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{d-2}} . \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two-point functions involving the lowest boundary local operator $\widehat{\Psi}_{2}^{\alpha}$ defined in (A.2) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\Psi}_{2}^{\beta}(\hat{y})\right\rangle=\frac{2(d-2) \delta^{\alpha \beta} x_{\perp}}{|x-\hat{y}|^{d}}, \quad\left\langle\widehat{\Psi}_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\hat{y}_{1}\right) \widehat{\Psi}_{2}^{\beta}\left(\hat{y}_{2}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{2(d-2) \delta^{\alpha \beta}}{\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{d}} . \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Any correlators can be calculated by applying Wick's theorem. For instance,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\Psi}_{2}^{\beta}(\hat{y})\right\rangle & =-\frac{(d-2)(N / 2+1) \delta^{\alpha \beta}}{2^{d-4}|x-\hat{y}|^{d}\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{d-3}}, \\
\left\langle\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\Psi}_{6}^{\beta}(\hat{y})\right\rangle & =\frac{32(d-2)^{3}(N / 2+1) \delta^{\alpha \beta} x_{\perp}^{3}}{|x-\hat{y}|^{3 d}},  \tag{A.7}\\
\left\langle\widehat{\Psi}_{6}^{\alpha}\left(\hat{y}_{1}\right) \widehat{\Psi}_{6}^{\beta}\left(\hat{y}_{2}\right)\right\rangle & =\frac{32(d-2)^{3}(N / 2+1) \delta^{\alpha \beta}}{\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{3 d}} .
\end{align*}
$$

We record other correlators which are necessary for the rest of this appendix.

## Boundary two-point functions.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\widehat{\Psi}_{4 p}\left(\hat{y}_{1}\right) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p}\left(\hat{y}_{2}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{N b_{p-1}}{\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{2 p d}}, \quad\left\langle\widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+2}^{\alpha}\left(\hat{y}_{1}\right) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+2}^{\beta}\left(\hat{y}_{2}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{a_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta}}{\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{(2 p+1) d}}, \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{p}$ and $b_{p}$ are defined by;

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{p} \equiv 2^{6 p+2} p!(N / 2+1)_{p}, \quad b_{p} \equiv 2^{6 p+5}(p+1)!(N / 2+1)_{p} . \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Boundary three-point functions.

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\widehat{\Psi}_{2}^{\alpha}(\hat{x}) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p}\left(\hat{y}_{1}\right) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+2}^{\beta}\left(\hat{y}_{2}\right)\right\rangle & =\frac{a_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta}}{\left|\hat{x}-\hat{y}_{2}\right|^{d}\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{2 p d}},  \tag{A.10}\\
\left\langle\widehat{\Psi}_{2}^{\alpha}(\hat{x}) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+2}^{\beta}\left(\hat{y}_{1}\right) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+4}\left(\hat{y}_{2}\right)\right\rangle & =\frac{b_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta}}{\left|\hat{x}-\hat{y}_{2}\right|^{d}\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{(2 p+1) d}},  \tag{A.11}\\
\left\langle\widehat{\Psi}_{6}^{\alpha}(\hat{x}) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+2}^{\beta}\left(\hat{y}_{1}\right) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+4}\left(\hat{y}_{2}\right)\right\rangle & =\frac{4(N+6 p+2) b_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta}}{\left|\hat{x}-\hat{y}_{1}\right|^{d}\left|\hat{x}-\hat{y}_{2}\right|^{2 d}\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{2 p d}},  \tag{A.12}\\
\left\langle\widehat{\Psi}_{6}^{\alpha}(\hat{x}) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p}\left(\hat{y}_{1}\right) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+2}^{\beta}\left(\hat{y}_{2}\right)\right\rangle & =\frac{24 p a_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta}}{\left|\hat{x}-\hat{y}_{1}\right|^{d}\left|\hat{x}-\hat{y}_{2}\right|^{2 d}\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{(2 p-1) d}} . \tag{A.13}
\end{align*}
$$

## Bulk-boundary-boundary three-point functions.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p}\left(\hat{y}_{1}\right) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+2}^{\beta}\left(\hat{y}_{2}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{d-2}{2} \frac{a_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta} x_{\perp}}{\left|x-\hat{y}_{2}\right|^{d}\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{2 p d}},  \tag{A.14}\\
\left\langle\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+2}^{\beta}\left(\hat{y}_{1}\right) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+4}\left(\hat{y}_{2}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{d-2}{2} \frac{b_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta} x_{\perp}}{\left|x-\hat{y}_{2}\right|^{d}\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{(2 p+1) d}},  \tag{A.15}\\
\left\langle\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p}\left(\hat{y}_{1}\right) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+2}^{\beta}\left(\hat{y}_{2}\right)\right\rangle \\
=-\frac{(N+2)(d-2)}{2^{d-1}} \frac{a_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta}}{\left|x-\hat{y}_{2}\right|^{d}\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{2 p d} x_{\perp}^{d-3}}+\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^{3} \frac{24 p a_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta} x_{\perp}^{3}}{\left|x-\hat{y}_{1}\right|^{d}\left|x-\hat{y}_{2}\right|^{2 d}\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{\mid 2 p-1) d}},  \tag{A.16}\\
\left\langle\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+2}^{\beta}\left(\hat{y}_{1}\right) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+4}\left(\hat{y}_{2}\right)\right\rangle \\
=-\frac{(d-2)(N+2)}{2^{d-1}} \frac{b_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta}}{\left|x-\hat{y}_{2}\right|^{d}\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{(2 p+1) d} x_{\perp}^{d-3}}+\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^{3} \frac{4(N+6 p+2) b_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta} x_{\perp}^{3}}{\left|x-\hat{y}_{1}\right|^{d}\left|x-\hat{y}_{2}\right|^{2 d}\left|\hat{y}_{12}\right|^{2 p d}} . \tag{A.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

## A.1.2 Boundary operator expansions in four dimensions

We now elucidate the structure of the BOE of $\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}$ and $\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}$ in the four-dimensional free $\mathrm{O}(N)$ model with the Dirichlet boundary condition.

Boundary operator expansion of $\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}$. As in the Neumann case in section 3.2.1, only $\widehat{\Psi}_{2}^{\alpha}$ contribute to the BOE of $\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}(x)=x_{\perp} \widehat{\Psi}_{2}^{\alpha}(\hat{x})+(\text { descendants }) . \tag{A.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is consistent with the two-point functions (A.6), and also with the conformal block decomposition of the bulk-boundary-boundary three-point functions: ${ }^{14}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p}(0) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+2}^{\beta}(\infty)\right\rangle & =a_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta} \frac{|x|^{2}}{x_{\perp}} G_{2}^{-2}\left(\frac{x_{\perp}^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right),  \tag{A.19}\\
\left\langle\Phi_{1}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+2}^{\beta}(0) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+4}(\infty)\right\rangle & =b_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta} \frac{|x|^{2}}{x_{\perp}} G_{2}^{-2}\left(\frac{x_{\perp}^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right) . \tag{A.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Boundary operator expansion of $\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}$. It follows from the correlation functions (appendix A.1) that the BOE of $\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}$ has the following operator contents:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}(x)=\frac{1}{x_{\perp}} \widehat{\Psi}_{2}^{\alpha}(\hat{x})+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b\left(\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\alpha}\right)}{c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\alpha}\right)} x_{\perp}^{2 n+3} \widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\alpha}(\hat{x})+(\text { descendants }) \tag{A.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{6}^{\alpha}$ can be identified with $\widehat{\Psi}_{6}^{\alpha}$ and the ratio $b\left(\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\alpha}\right) / c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\alpha}\right)$ is subject to the following relations: ${ }^{15}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{b\left(\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\alpha}\right) c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p}, \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+2}^{\beta}\right)}{c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\alpha}\right)}=24 p a_{p} \frac{(-1)^{n}(2)_{n}(4)_{n}}{(n+9 / 2)_{n} n!} \delta^{\alpha \beta} \\
\frac{b\left(\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\alpha}\right) c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+2}^{\beta}, \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+4}\right)}{c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\alpha}\right)}=4(N+6 p+2) b_{p} \frac{(-1)^{n}(2)_{n}(4)_{n}}{(n+9 / 2)_{n} n!} \delta^{\alpha \beta} \tag{A.22}
\end{gather*}
$$

We can convince ourselves of the validity of (A.21) and (A.22) by the following arguments.
By Wick's theorem or looking at the two-point functions (A.6) and (A.7), we infer the following BOE of $\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}(x) \supset-\frac{N+2}{4 x_{\perp}} \widehat{\Psi}_{2}^{\alpha}(\hat{x})+x_{\perp}^{3} \widehat{\Phi}_{6}^{\alpha}(\hat{x}) . \tag{A.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

To proceed, we focus on the bulk-boundary-boundary three-point functions involving $\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}$ (A.16) and (A.17):

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p}(0) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+2}^{\beta}(\infty)\right\rangle & =\frac{|x|^{2}}{x_{\perp}^{3}}\left[-\frac{N+2}{4} a_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta} \frac{x_{\perp}^{2}}{|x|^{2}}+24 p a_{p} \frac{x_{\perp}^{6}}{|x|^{6}}\right],  \tag{A.24}\\
\left\langle\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+2}^{\beta}(0) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+4}(\infty)\right\rangle & =\frac{|x|^{2}}{x_{\perp}^{3}}\left[-\frac{N+2}{4} b_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta} \frac{x_{\perp}^{2}}{|x|^{2}}+4(N+6 p+2) b_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta} \frac{x_{\perp}^{6}}{|x|^{6}}\right], \tag{A.25}
\end{align*}
$$

[^11]whose conformal block expansions are
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p}(0) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+2}^{\beta}(\infty)\right\rangle=-\frac{N+2}{4} a_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta} \frac{|x|^{2}}{x_{\perp}^{3}} G_{2}^{-2}\left(\frac{x_{\perp}^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right) \\
+\frac{|x|^{2}}{x_{\perp}^{3}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 24 p a_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta} \frac{(-1)^{n}(2)_{n}(4)_{n}}{(n+9 / 2)_{n} n!} G_{2 n+6}^{-2}\left(\frac{x_{\perp}^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right) \tag{A.26}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+2}^{\beta}(0)\right. & \left.\widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+4}(\infty)\right\rangle=-\frac{N+2}{4} b_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta} \frac{|x|^{2}}{x_{\perp}^{3}} G_{2}^{-2}\left(\frac{x_{\perp}^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right) \\
& +\frac{|x|^{2}}{x_{\perp}^{3}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 4(N+6 p+2) b_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta} \frac{(-1)^{n}(2)_{n}(4)_{n}}{(n+9 / 2)_{n} n!} G_{2 n+6}^{-2}\left(\frac{x_{\perp}^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right) . \tag{A.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing (A.26) and (A.27) with (2.7), we obtain (A.22).
In what follows, we calculate the anomalous dimensions of the composite operators $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p+2}^{\alpha}$ which reduce to $\widehat{\Psi}_{4 p}$ and $\widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+2}^{\alpha}$ in the free limit, via both the diagrammatic and axiomatic methods.

## A. 2 Diagrammatic approach

We define the renormalization factors $Z_{4 p}$ and $Z_{4 p+2}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p}=Z_{4 p}^{-1} \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p}, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p+2}^{\alpha}=Z_{4 p+2}^{-1} \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+2}^{\alpha} \tag{A.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conformal dimension of $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p+2}^{\alpha}$ are denoted by $\widehat{\Delta}_{4 p}$ and $\widehat{\Delta}_{4 p+2}$, respectively. We focus on the leading anomalous dimension $\widehat{\gamma}_{n, 1}$ defined through:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\Delta}_{n}=\frac{n d}{4}+\widehat{\gamma}_{n}, \quad \widehat{\gamma}_{n}=\widehat{\gamma}_{n, 1} \epsilon+\widehat{\gamma}_{n, 2} \epsilon^{2}+\cdots,\left.\quad \widehat{\gamma}_{n} \equiv \beta_{\lambda} \frac{\mathrm{d} \ln Z_{n}}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}\right|_{\lambda=\lambda_{*}} \tag{A.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

By evaluating the following correlation functions at one-loop level

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\widehat{\Psi}_{4 p}(\hat{y}) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p}(0)\right\rangle, \quad\left\langle\widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+2}^{\alpha}(\hat{y}) \widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+2}^{\beta}(0)\right\rangle \tag{A.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain two recursion relations similar to (4.8) and (4.10):

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta Z_{4 p}-\delta Z_{4 p-2} & =-\frac{N+12 p-10}{6 \epsilon} \pi^{2} \lambda+O\left(\lambda^{2}, \epsilon^{0}\right),  \tag{A.31}\\
\delta Z_{4 p-2}-\delta Z_{4 p-4} & =-\frac{12 p-N-14}{6 \epsilon} \pi^{2} \lambda+O\left(\lambda^{2}, \epsilon^{0}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, by solving them under $\delta Z_{0}=0$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\gamma}_{4 p, 1}=\frac{6 p(p-1)}{N+8}, \quad \widehat{\gamma}_{4 p+2,1}=\frac{12 p^{2}-N-2}{2(N+8)} . \tag{A.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

## A. 3 Axiomatic approach

Next, we use the axiomatic framework to derive the leading anomalous dimensions of the boundary local operators.

## A.3.1 Lowest-lying boundary local operator

We first focus on the lowest-lying boundary local operator $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{2}^{\alpha}$ that approaches $\widehat{\Psi}_{2}^{\alpha}$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. The derivation is completely parallel to the Neumann case. We start with the boundary OPE of $W_{1}^{\alpha}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{1}^{\alpha}(x) \supset D \frac{1}{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{\Delta_{1}-\widehat{\Delta}_{2}}} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{2}^{\alpha}(\hat{x}), \quad D=1+O(\epsilon) . \tag{A.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this to match with (A.18), we have;

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=1+O(\epsilon), \quad \widehat{\Delta}_{2}=2+O(\epsilon) . \tag{A.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation of motion (5.1) and the BOE lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{3}^{\alpha}(x) & =\frac{1}{\kappa} \square W_{1}^{\alpha}(x) \\
& \supset \frac{D}{\kappa} \frac{\left(\Delta_{1}-\widehat{\Delta}_{2}\right)\left(\Delta_{1}-\widehat{\Delta}_{2}+1\right)}{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{\Delta_{1}-\widehat{\Delta}_{2}+2}} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{2}^{\alpha}(\hat{x}) . \tag{A.35}
\end{align*}
$$

Since this should match with (A.23) in $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{N+2}{4} \kappa=\left(\widehat{\Delta}_{2}-\Delta_{1}\right)\left(\widehat{\Delta}_{2}-\Delta_{1}-1\right)+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) . \tag{A.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the solution compatible with (A.34) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{\Delta}_{2} & =\frac{d}{2}-\frac{N+2}{2(N+8)} \epsilon+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)  \tag{A.37}\\
& =2-\frac{N+5}{N+8} \epsilon+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

which reproduces the known diagrammatic result [7, equation (3.8)].

## A.3.2 Boundary composite operators

We proceed in a similar manner to section 5.2 to calculate the conformal dimensions of the boundary composite operators $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p+2}^{\alpha}$ that reduce to $\widehat{\Psi}_{4 p}$ and $\widehat{\Psi}_{4 p+2}^{\alpha}$ in $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.
Boundary operator expansion of $W_{1}^{\alpha}$. The boundary local operators appearing in the BOE of $W_{1}^{\alpha}$ with the Dirichlet boundary condition are different from those with the Neumann boundary case (5.11):

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{1}^{\alpha}(x)= & \frac{D}{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{\Delta_{1}-\widehat{\Delta}_{2}}} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{2}^{\alpha}(\hat{x}) \\
& +\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b\left(W_{1}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\prime \alpha}\right) / c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\prime \alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\prime \alpha}\right)}{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{\Delta_{1}-\widehat{\Delta}_{2 n+6}^{\prime}}} \widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\prime \alpha}(\hat{x})+(\text { descendants }), \tag{A.38}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\prime \alpha}(\hat{x})=\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\alpha}(\hat{x}), \quad \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \widehat{\Delta}_{2 n+6}^{\prime}=2 n+6 \tag{A.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{6}^{\prime \alpha}$ can be identified with $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{6}^{\alpha}$. In a similar manner to the Neumann case, the equation of motion (5.1) fixes the $O(\epsilon)$ coefficient of $b\left(W_{1}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\prime \alpha}\right) / c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\prime \alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\prime \alpha}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{b\left(W_{1}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\prime \alpha}\right)}{c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\prime \alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\prime \alpha}\right)}=\frac{\kappa}{(2 n+4)(2 n+5)} \frac{b\left(\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\alpha}\right)}{c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\alpha}\right)}+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) . \tag{A.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Bulk-boundary-boundary three-point functions involving $W_{1}^{\alpha}$. By exploiting the BOE of $W_{1}^{\alpha}$ derived in the last paragraph, one can evaluate the bulk-boundary-boundary three-point functions. First, consider $\left\langle W_{1}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p}(0) \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p+2}^{\beta}(\infty)\right\rangle$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle W_{1}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p}(0) \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p+2}^{\beta}(\infty)\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{\Delta_{1}}|x|^{\widehat{\Delta}_{4 p}-\widehat{\Delta}_{4 p+2}}} \\
& \quad \cdot\left[D \cdot c\left(\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{2}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p}, \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p+2}^{\beta}\right) G_{\widehat{\widehat{\Delta}}_{2}^{\widehat{\Delta}_{4 p}}-\widehat{\Delta}_{4 p+2}(v)}^{c(v)}\right.  \tag{A.41}\\
& \quad+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b\left(W_{1}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\prime \alpha}\right) c\left(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\prime \alpha}, \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p}, \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p+2}^{\beta}\right)}{c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\prime \alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\prime \prime}\right)} G_{\widehat{\Delta}_{2 n+6}^{\prime}}^{\left.\widehat{\Delta}_{4 p}-\widehat{\Delta}_{4 p+2}(v)\right]}
\end{align*}
$$

where $v$ is defined by $v \equiv\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{2} /|x|^{2}$. The first term in the RHS of (A.41) can be evaluated as

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{\widehat{\Delta}_{2}}^{\widehat{\Delta}_{4 p}-\widehat{\Delta}_{4 p+2}}(v) & =v^{\widehat{\Delta}_{2} / 2}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{\widehat{\gamma}_{2,1}+\widehat{\gamma}_{4 p, 1}-\widehat{\gamma}_{4 p+2,1}}{2} \epsilon, 2 ; 3 / 2 ; v\right)+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)  \tag{A.42}\\
& =v^{\widehat{\Delta}_{2} / 2}+\frac{\widehat{\gamma}_{2,1}+\widehat{\gamma}_{4 p, 1}-\widehat{\gamma}_{4 p+2,1}}{3} \epsilon v^{2} h(v)+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where we introduced

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(v)={ }_{2} F_{1}(1,2 ; 5 / 2 ; v)+{ }_{2} F_{1}(1,1 ; 5 / 2 ; v)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1)_{n}(n+2)}{(5 / 2)_{n}} v^{n} . \tag{A.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (A.22) and (A.40), the second term in RHS of (A.41) becomes ${ }^{16}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b\left(W_{1}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\prime \alpha}\right) c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\prime \alpha}, \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p}, \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p+2}^{\beta}\right)}{c\left(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\prime \alpha}, \widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\prime \alpha}\right)} G_{\widehat{\Delta}_{2 n+6}^{\prime}}^{\widehat{\Delta}_{4 p}-\widehat{\Delta}_{4 p+2}}(v)=\kappa p a_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta} v^{2}[-2+h(v)]+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) . \tag{A.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^12]Plugging these two into (A.41), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle W_{1}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p}(0) \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p+2}^{\beta}(\infty)\right\rangle \\
& =c\left(\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{2}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p}, \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p+2}^{\beta}\right) \frac{D}{x_{\perp}^{\Delta_{1}-\widehat{\Delta}_{2}}|x|^{\widehat{\Delta}_{2}+\widehat{\Delta}_{4 p}-\widehat{\Delta}_{4 p+2}}}  \tag{A.45}\\
& \quad+\frac{a_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta}\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{3}}{3|x|^{2}}\left\{-6 \kappa p+\left[\left(\widehat{\gamma}_{2,1}+\widehat{\gamma}_{4 p, 1}-\widehat{\gamma}_{4 p+2,1}\right) \epsilon+3 \kappa p\right] \cdot h(v)\right\}+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly for $\left\langle W_{1}^{\alpha} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p+2}^{\beta} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p+4}\right\rangle$, we end up with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle W_{1}^{\alpha}(x) \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p+2}^{\beta}(0) \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p+4}(\infty)\right\rangle \\
& =c\left(\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{2}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p+2}^{\beta}, \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{4 p+4}\right) \frac{D}{x_{\perp}^{\Delta_{1}} \widehat{\Delta}_{2}|x|^{\widehat{\Delta}_{2}+\widehat{\Delta}_{4 p+2}-\widehat{\Delta}_{4 p+4}}} \\
& \quad+\frac{b_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta}\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{3}}{3|x|^{2}}\left\{-(N+6 p+2) \kappa+\left[\left(\widehat{\gamma}_{2,1}+\widehat{\gamma}_{4 p+2,1}-\widehat{\gamma}_{4 p+4,1}\right) \epsilon+\frac{N+6 p+2}{2} \kappa\right] \cdot h(v)\right\}+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) . \tag{A.46}
\end{align*}
$$

Constraint from analyticity. We notice that (A.45) and (A.46) have the unphysical singularity coming from (A.43):

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(v) \underset{|\hat{x}| \sim 0}{\longrightarrow} \frac{3 \pi}{4} \cdot \frac{\left|x_{\perp}\right|}{|\hat{x}|}+\text { (less singular terms) } . \tag{А.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

The absence of the singularity leads us to the following relations between anomalous dimensions:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\widehat{\gamma}_{2,1}+\widehat{\gamma}_{4 p, 1}-\widehat{\gamma}_{4 p+2,1}\right) \epsilon+3 \kappa p & =0+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right),  \tag{A.48}\\
\left(\widehat{\gamma}_{2,1}+\widehat{\gamma}_{4 p+2,1}-\widehat{\gamma}_{4 p+4,1}\right) \epsilon+\kappa \frac{N+6 p+2}{2} & =0+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) . \tag{A.49}
\end{align*}
$$

By solving these recursion relations with the initial condition $\widehat{\gamma}_{2,1}=-(N+2) / 2(N+8)($ A.37 ), we reproduce the same results as those obtained by the diagrammatic approach (A.32).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Throughout this paper, we treat Euclidean spacetime.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ See e.g., [34-41] for the applications of conformal bootstrap to the $\mathrm{O}(N)$ vector models with boundaries or defects.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ It remains open how to extract the higher-order anomalous dimensions by the Rychkov-Tan method, even in the absence of a boundary.
    ${ }^{4}$ There is one more critical phase called the extraordinary transition [43, 44] which can be described by BCFT. In this phase, unlike the special and ordinary ones, there is no corresponding phase in four dimensions $(\epsilon=0)$ (see e.g., appendix B. 4 in [34]). Thus, we cannot apply our axiomatic method to examine the extraordinary phase.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ Note that $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widehat{\Delta}}(\infty)=\lim _{|y| \rightarrow \infty}|\hat{y}|^{2 \widehat{\Delta}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widehat{\Delta}}(\hat{y})$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ Here we use the Pochhammer symbol: $(u)_{n} \equiv \Gamma(u+n) / \Gamma(u)$.

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ We used $G_{1}^{-1}(v)=v^{1 / 2}$ and the following identity for hypergeometric functions [51, equation (9.1.32)]:

    $$
    1=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}(\alpha)_{n}(\beta)_{n}}{(n+\lambda)_{n} n!} z^{n}{ }_{2} F_{1}(\alpha+n, \beta+n ; \lambda+1+2 n ; z)
    $$

    with $\alpha=1, \beta=2, \lambda=3 / 2, z=\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{2} /|x|^{2}$ and $G_{2 n+3}^{-1}(v)=v^{n+3 / 2}{ }_{2} F_{1}(1+n, 2+n ; 5 / 2+2 n ; v)$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Among the tower of the operators, $\widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{3}^{\alpha}$ can be identified with $\widehat{\Phi}_{3}^{\alpha}$, but $\widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}$ are different from $\widehat{\Phi}_{2 n+3}^{\beta}$ for $n=1,2, \cdots$ since the bulk-boundary two-point function of $\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}$ and $\widehat{\Phi}_{2 n+3}^{\beta}$ vanishes $\left\langle\Phi_{3}^{\alpha} \widehat{\Phi}_{2 n+3}^{\beta}\right\rangle=0$ and the BOE of $\Phi_{3}^{\alpha}$ does not have $\widehat{\Phi}_{2 n+3}^{\beta}$. To be more specific, $\widehat{\mathrm{O}}_{2 n+3}^{\alpha}$ is composed of three $\widehat{\Phi}_{1}^{\alpha}$, s , and $2 n$-derivatives w.r.t. parallel directions $\partial / \partial \hat{x}^{a}$ with all parallel indices being contracted.

[^6]:    ${ }^{9}$ We employ the minimal subtraction scheme. If we use Pauli-Villars regularization, a mass term must be added to (1.2) to preserve the boundary conformal symmetry.

[^7]:    ${ }^{10}$ We use the following integral formula:

    $$
    \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}} \mathrm{~d}^{d} x \frac{1}{x_{\perp}^{2 \alpha}|x|^{2 \beta}|x-\hat{y}|^{2 \gamma}}=\frac{F_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}}{|\hat{y}|^{2 \alpha+2 \beta+2 \gamma-d}}
    $$

    where

    $$
    F_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha\right) \Gamma\left(\alpha+\beta+\gamma-\frac{d}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}-\alpha-\gamma\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}-\alpha-\beta\right) \pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{2 \Gamma(\beta) \Gamma(\gamma) \Gamma(d-2 \alpha-\beta-\gamma)} .
    $$

[^8]:    ${ }^{11}$ The $d$-dimensional Laplacian $\square$ in the presence of a $p$-dimensional planar defect acts as

    $$
    \begin{equation*}
    \square\left(|x|^{-a}\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{-b}\right)=a(a+2 b+2-d)|x|^{-a-2}\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{-b}+b(b+2+p-d)|x|^{-a}\left|x_{\perp}\right|^{-b-2} \tag{5.5}
    \end{equation*}
    $$

[^9]:    ${ }^{12}$ We used

    $$
    \begin{equation*}
    c\left(\widehat{W}_{1}^{\alpha}, \widehat{W}_{2 p}, \widehat{W}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}\right)=c\left(\widehat{\Phi}_{1}^{\alpha}, \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p}, \widehat{\Phi}_{2 p+1}^{\beta}\right)+O(\epsilon)=f_{p} \delta^{\alpha \beta}+O(\epsilon) . \tag{5.18}
    \end{equation*}
    $$

[^10]:    ${ }^{13}$ Recall Kummer's connection formula for hypergeometric functions;

    $$
    \begin{aligned}
    { }_{2} F_{1}(\alpha, \beta ; \gamma ; z)= & \frac{\Gamma(\gamma) \Gamma(\gamma-\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha) \Gamma(\gamma-\beta)}{ }_{2} F_{1}(\alpha, \beta ; \alpha+\beta-\gamma+1 ; 1-z) \\
    & \quad+\frac{\Gamma(\gamma) \Gamma(\alpha+\beta-\gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)}(1-z)^{\gamma-\alpha-\beta}{ }_{2} F_{1}(\gamma-\alpha, \gamma-\beta ; \gamma-\alpha-\beta+1 ; 1-z) .
    \end{aligned}
    $$

[^11]:    ${ }^{14} \mathrm{We}$ implicitly used $G_{2}^{-2}(v)=v$ and (A.9).
    ${ }^{15}$ Note that, for $n \geq 1, \widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{2 n+6}^{\alpha}$ is different from $\widehat{\Phi}_{2 n+6}^{\alpha}$.

[^12]:    ${ }^{16}$ In particular, we used the relation

    $$
    \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}(n+1)(4)_{n}}{(n+2)(2 n+5)(n+9 / 2)_{n}} v^{n+1}{ }_{2} F_{1}(n+2, n+4 ; 2 n+11 / 2 ; v)=\frac{1}{12}\left[-2+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1)_{n}(n+2)}{(5 / 2)_{n}} v^{n}\right] .
    $$

