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#### Abstract

We first obtain eigenvalue estimates for the Hodge Laplacian on Fano manifolds, which follow from the Bochner-Kodaira formula. Then we apply it to study the geometry of the Kuranishi family of deformations of Fano manifolds. We show that the original Kähler form remains to be a Kähler form for other members of the Kuranishi family, and give an explicit formula of the Ricci potential. We also show that our set-up gives another account for the Donaldson-Fujiki picture.


## 1. Introduction

Deformation of complex structures was initially studied by Riemann in his work of studying the Abelian functions. Max Noether considered the complex deformation of algebraic surfaces ([17], Preface). The deformation of higher dimensional compact complex manifolds has been developed intensively by a series work of Kodaira, Spencer, Nirenberg and Kuranishi in 1950's - 1960'. The deformation problem plays an important role in understanding the local moduli theory of complex manifolds. On the other hand, the existence of canonical metrics on complex manifolds is an important component in understanding the structure of the moduli spaces and metrics on them. The study of Weil-Petersson metric on moduli spaces of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces and Kähler-Einstein manifolds involves the further development of the Kodaira-Spencer-Kuranishi theory on such manifolds. In this direction, one of the basic questions is whether the desired canonical metrics on the original manifold is compatible with the complex deformation. This enables us to study the local geometry of the parameter spaces.

In this paper we study the geometry of the Kuranishi family of deformations of Fano manifolds. For this purpose we first obtain eigenvalue estimates for the Hodge Laplacian using the formula (2.11), which we call the Bochner-Kodaira formula, used in the proof of the Kodaira vanishing theorem [16]. Let $M$ be a Fano manifold of dimension $m, \omega$ a Kähler form in $2 \pi c_{1}(M)$ and Ric the Ricci form of $\omega$. Since both $\omega$ and Ric represent $2 \pi c_{1}(M)$ there exists a real smooth function $f$, called the Ricci potential, such that

$$
\operatorname{Ric}-\omega=\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} f
$$

Consider the weighted Hodge Laplacian $\Delta_{f}=\bar{\partial}_{f}^{*} \bar{\partial}+\bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}_{f}^{*}$ acting on differential forms of type $(0, q)$ where $\bar{\partial}_{f}^{*}$ is the formal adjoint of $\bar{\partial}$ with respect to the weighted $L^{2}$-inner product $\int_{M}(\cdot, \cdot) e^{f} \omega^{m}$.

[^0]Theorem 1.1. Let $M$ be a Fano manifold and $\Delta_{f}$ be the weighted Hodge Laplacian as above.
(1) If $\Delta_{f} \eta=\lambda \eta$ and $\eta \neq 0$ for $a(0, q)$-form $\eta$ then $\lambda \geq q$.
(2) If, in (1), $\lambda=q$ and $\eta \neq 0$ then $\nabla^{\prime \prime} \eta=0$. In particular $\eta$ is closed, and for $q \geq 1, \eta$ is exact, and indeed, it is expressed as $\eta=\frac{1}{q} \bar{\partial}\left(\bar{\partial}_{f}^{*} \eta\right)$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $M$ be a Fano manifold and $\Delta_{f}$ be the weighted Hodge Laplacian as above.
(1) If $\Delta_{f} \eta=\lambda \eta$ for $a(0, q)$-form $\eta$ and $\bar{\partial} \eta \neq 0$ then $\lambda \geq q+1$.
(2) If, in (1), $\lambda=q+1$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\bar{\partial} \eta)^{\sharp}:=g^{i \bar{j}} g^{i_{1} \bar{j}_{1}} \cdots g^{i_{q} \bar{j}_{q}} \nabla_{\bar{j}} \eta_{\bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i}} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i_{1}}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i_{q}}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a holomorphic section of $\wedge^{q+1} T^{\prime} M$.
Corollary 1.3 (Theorem 2.4.3 in [7). Let $M$ be a Fano manifold.
(1) If $\Delta_{f} u=\lambda u$ for a non-constant complex-valued smooth function $u$ then $\lambda \geq 1$.
(2) If, in (1), $\lambda=1$ then $(\bar{\partial} u)^{\sharp}$ is a holomorphic vector field.

This observation was the starting point to find the obstruction to the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics in [5] inspired by [15, [14, see also [6], 9]. Similar results for coupled Kähler metrics are given in section 6, see Theorem 6.1 Theorem 6.2 and Corollary 6.3 .

We apply Theorem 1.1 to the study of the geometry of the Kuranishi family. We consider the Kuranishi family described by a family of vector valued 1-forms

$$
\varphi(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} t^{i} \varphi_{i}+\sum_{|I| \geq 2} t^{I} \varphi_{I} \in A^{0,1}\left(T^{\prime} M\right)
$$

such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{\partial} \varphi(t)=\frac{1}{2}[\varphi(t), \varphi(t)]  \tag{1.2}\\
\bar{\partial}_{f}^{*} \varphi(t)=0 \\
\text { for all } i, \varphi_{i} \text { is } \Delta_{f} \text {-harmonic. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

See section 3 for more detail about this description.
Theorem 1.4. Let $M$ be a Fano manifold, $\omega$ a Kähler form in $2 \pi c_{1}(M)$, and $\left\{M_{t}\right\}$ be the Kuranishi family of the deformation of complex structures described by (1.2). Then $\omega$ is a Kähler form on $M_{t}$ for any $t$.

Theorem 1.5. In the situation of Theorem 1.4, the Ricci potential of $\left(M_{t}, \omega\right)$ is given by $f+\log \operatorname{det}(1-\varphi(t) \bar{\varphi}(t))$ up to an additive constant, more precisely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}\left(M_{t}, \omega\right)=\omega+\sqrt{-1} \partial_{t} \bar{\partial}_{t}(f+\log \operatorname{det}(1-\varphi(t) \bar{\varphi}(t))) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Ric}\left(M_{t}, \omega\right)$ denotes the Ricci form with respect to the complex structure $J_{t}$ on $M_{t}$.

Remark 1.6. In the case when $M_{0}$ is a Kähler-Einstein manifold, Theorem 1.5 has been obtained in [1], Corollary 2.1, where this was used to give a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics on small deformations of a Fano Kähler-Einstein manifolds.

After this introduction, this paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review the proof of Kodaira vanishing theorem, and see that the same formula as used for it can be used to prove Theorem [1.1. Theorem 1.2 follows from Theorem 1.1 We also prove Lemma 2.2 which is used in the proof of Theorem 1.4 in section 3. In section 3, we review the deformation theory of Kodaira, Spencer and Kuranishi, and prove Theorem [1.4. We need to show that the Kähler form $\omega$ is invariant under the complex structure $J_{t}$ of $M_{t}$. This is equivalent to the vanishing of the ( 0,2 )-form $\varphi\lrcorner \omega$. Using Lemma 2.2 we can show that $\varphi\lrcorner \omega$ is an eigenform with eigenvalue $\frac{1}{2}$. Then the vanishing of $\varphi\lrcorner \omega$ follows from Theorem 1.1. In section 4, we compute the Ricci potential $\omega$ on $M_{t}$, and prove Theorem 1.5. The preliminary computations for the proof of Theorem 1.5 can be used for general Kähler manifolds. In section 5 we give an alternate account of the moment map picture of Donaldson-Fujiki using the preliminary computations in section 4 . We also give an account of another moment map picture by Donaldson [4]. In section 6, we treat the case of coupled Kähler metrics, and show Theorem 6.1, Theorem 6.2 and Corollary 6.3

## 2. Bochner-Kodaira formula and eigenvalue estimates for Hodge LAPLACIAN

We first recall the proof of Kodaira vanishing theorem along [16, [22]. Let ( $M, g$ ) be a compact Kähler manifold, $(L, h)$ be an Hermitian line bundle over $M$. We use the conventions of [7] for the curvatures of $(M, g)$. The curvature tensor is given for local holomorphic coordinates $z^{1}, \cdots, z^{m}$ by

$$
R_{i \bar{j} k \bar{\ell}}=\frac{\partial^{2} g_{k \bar{\ell}}}{\partial z^{i} \partial z^{\bar{j}}}-g^{p \bar{q}} \frac{\partial g_{k \bar{q}}}{\partial z^{i}} \frac{\partial g_{p \bar{\ell}}}{\partial z^{\bar{j}}}
$$

and the Ricci tensor is

$$
R_{i \bar{j}}=R_{i \bar{j}}{ }^{k}{ }_{k}=-g^{k \bar{\ell}} R_{i \bar{j} k \bar{\ell}}=-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{i} \partial z^{\bar{j}}} \log \operatorname{det}\left(g_{k \bar{\ell}}\right) .
$$

The Ricci identity, which is equivalent to the definition of the curvature tensor, is expressed for a holomorphic vector field $X$ as

$$
\left[\nabla_{i}, \nabla_{\bar{j}}\right] X^{k}=R_{i \bar{j}}^{k}{ }_{\ell} X^{\ell}
$$

and dually for a $(0,1)$-form $\eta$ as

$$
\left[\nabla_{i}, \nabla_{\bar{j}}\right] \eta_{\bar{k}}=-R_{i \bar{j}}{ }^{\bar{\ell}}{ }_{\bar{k}} \eta_{\bar{\ell}}
$$

The curvature $\psi$ of $h$ is expressed as

$$
\psi_{i \bar{j}}=-\partial_{i} \partial_{\bar{j}} \log h_{U}
$$

where $h_{U}=h\left(s_{U}, \overline{s_{U}}\right)$ for a local non-vanishing holomorphic section $s_{U}$ on an open set $U$.

Let $\eta \in \Gamma\left(\wedge^{p, q}(L)\right)$ be an $L$-valued smooth $(p, q)$-form. We express $\eta$ locally on $U$ as

$$
\eta=\eta_{I \bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}} s_{U} \otimes d z^{I} \wedge d z_{1}^{\bar{j}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d z_{q}^{\bar{j}}
$$

where $I$ denotes the multi-index with $p$ holomorphic indices and $\eta_{I \bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}}$ is alternating with respect to the indices in $I$ as well as the anti-holomorphic indices $\bar{j}_{1} \ldots \bar{j}_{q}$.

Then using the symmetry of the Christoffel symbols we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(\bar{\partial} \eta)_{I \bar{j}_{0} \bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}} & =(-1)^{p} \sum_{\beta=0}^{q}(-1)^{\beta} \nabla_{\bar{j}_{\beta}} \eta_{I \bar{j}_{0} \bar{j}_{1} \cdots \hat{j}_{\beta} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}},  \tag{2.1}\\
\left(\bar{\partial}_{L}^{*} \eta\right)_{I \bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}} & =-(-1)^{p} g^{i \bar{j}} \nabla_{i}^{L} \eta_{I \overline{j j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}}  \tag{2.2}\\
& =-(-1)^{p} h_{U}^{-1} g^{i \bar{j}} \nabla_{i}\left(h_{U} \eta_{I \overline{j j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Taking $j=j_{0}$ in (2.1) and (2.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\bar{\partial}_{L}^{*} \bar{\partial} \eta\right)_{I \bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}}= & -g^{i \bar{j}} \nabla_{i}^{L} \nabla_{\bar{j}} \eta_{I \bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}}  \tag{2.3}\\
& +\sum_{\beta=1}^{q}(-1)^{\beta+1} g^{i \bar{j}} \nabla_{i}^{L} \nabla_{\bar{j}_{\beta}} \eta_{I \overline{j j}_{1} \cdots \hat{\bar{j}}_{\beta} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\overline{\partial \partial}_{L}^{*} \eta\right)_{I \bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}}=-\sum_{\beta=1}^{q}(-1)^{\beta+1} g^{i \bar{j}} \nabla_{\bar{j}_{\beta}} \nabla_{i}^{L} \eta_{I \overline{j j}}^{1} \ldots \hat{j}_{\beta} \cdots \bar{j}_{q} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, for the $\bar{\partial}$-Laplacian $\Delta \frac{L}{\partial}=\bar{\partial}_{L}^{*} \bar{\partial}+\overline{\partial \bar{\partial}}_{L}^{*}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\Delta \frac{L}{\partial} \eta\right)_{I \bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}}= & -g^{i \bar{j}} \nabla_{i}^{L} \nabla_{\bar{j}} \eta_{I \bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}}  \tag{2.5}\\
& -\sum_{\beta=1}^{q}(-1)^{\beta} g^{i \bar{j}}\left[\nabla_{i}^{L}, \nabla_{\bar{j}_{\beta}}\right] \eta_{I \overline{j j_{1}} \cdots \hat{j}_{\beta} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}} .
\end{align*}
$$

In the second term on the right hand side we apply the Ricci identity to the $I$-indices and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\alpha=1}^{p} R_{i_{\alpha} \bar{j}_{\beta}} \bar{\ell}_{i_{1} \cdots k \cdots i_{p} \bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{\ell} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ appears at the $\alpha$-th place in $i_{1} \cdots k \cdots i_{p}$ and $\bar{\ell}$ appears in the $\beta$-th place in $\bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{\ell} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}$. (Later we will treat only $(0, q)$-forms and $I$ will be empty so that this term will not be considered.)

Secondly, we apply the Ricci identity to $\overline{j j}_{1} \cdots \hat{\bar{j}}_{\beta} \ldots \bar{j}_{q}$, and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\beta=1}^{q}(-1)^{\beta} g^{i \bar{j}} R_{i \bar{j}_{\beta}} \bar{k}_{\bar{j}} \eta_{I \bar{k} \bar{j}_{1} \ldots \hat{\bar{j}}_{\beta} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}}+\sum_{\beta=1}^{q}(-1)^{\beta} g^{i \bar{j}} \sum_{\gamma \neq \beta} R_{i \bar{j}_{\beta}}{ }^{\bar{k}} \bar{j}_{\gamma} \eta_{I \overline{j j}_{1} \ldots \bar{k} \cdots \hat{j}_{\beta} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ appears in the $\gamma$-th place in $I \overline{j j}_{1} \cdots \bar{k} \cdots \hat{\bar{j}}_{\beta} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}$. The second term of (2.7) vanishes because

$$
g^{i \bar{j}} R_{i \bar{j}_{\beta}} \overline{\bar{j}}_{\bar{j}_{\gamma}}=R^{\bar{j}} \bar{j}_{\beta}{ }^{\bar{k}} \bar{j}_{\gamma}
$$

is symmetric in $\bar{j}$ and $\bar{k}$, which can be checked using the Bianchi identity, while $\eta_{I \overline{j j}_{1} \cdots \bar{k} \cdots \hat{\bar{j}}_{\beta} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}}$ is alternating with respect to $\bar{j}$ and $\bar{k}$. In the first term of (2.7), using the Bianchi identity we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{i \bar{j}} R_{i \bar{j}_{\beta}}{ }^{\bar{k}} \overline{\bar{j}}=-R^{\bar{j}_{\beta}}=-g^{\ell \bar{k}} R_{\ell \bar{j}_{\beta}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{i \bar{j}}$ denotes the $i \bar{j}$-component of the Ricci curvature. Hence, changing $\ell$ in the last term of (2.8) to $i$, (2.7) is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{q} g^{i \bar{k}} R_{i \bar{j}_{\beta}} \eta_{I \bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{\beta-1} \overline{k j}_{\beta+1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lastly we apply the Ricci identity to $L$-component and have the curvature term $\psi$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\beta=1}^{q} g^{i \bar{j}} \psi_{i \bar{j}_{\beta}} \eta_{I \bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{\beta-1} \bar{j}_{\beta+1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.6), (2.9) and (2.10) that the $\bar{\partial}$-Laplacian (2.5) of $\eta$ is given by the following formula which we call the Bochner-Kodaira formula

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\Delta \frac{L}{\partial} \eta\right)_{I \bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}}= & -g^{i \bar{j}} \nabla_{i}^{L} \nabla_{\bar{j}} \eta_{I \bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}}  \tag{2.11}\\
& +\sum_{\alpha=1}^{p} R_{i_{\alpha} \bar{j}_{\beta}}^{k} \eta_{i_{1} \cdots i_{\alpha-1} k i_{\alpha+1} \cdots i_{p} \bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{\beta-1} \overline{\ell_{j}}}^{\beta+1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q} \\
& +\sum_{\beta=1}^{q} g^{i \bar{j}}\left(R_{i \bar{j}_{\beta}}+\psi_{i \bar{j}_{\beta}}\right) \eta_{I \bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{\beta-1}} \overline{j j}_{\beta+1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose that $K_{M}^{-1} \otimes L$ is ample so that there are a Kähler metric $g$ and an Hermitian metric $h$ such that $\left(R_{i \bar{j}}+\psi_{i \bar{j}}\right)$ is positive definite. Then if $\eta$ is a harmonic $(0, q)$-form (so that $I$ is empty and the second term of the right hand side of (2.11) vanish) then taking the $L^{2}$-inner product of $\eta$ and the both sides of (2.11) we see that $\eta$ must vanish, i.e.

$$
H^{q}\left(M,-K_{M}+L\right)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad q>0
$$

This is the proof of Kodaira vanishing theorem. Next we apply (2.11) in the following situation.

Let $M$ be a Fano manifold of dimension $m$, and regard the first Chern class $2 \pi c_{1}(M)$ as the Kähler class. Let $\omega$ be a Kähler form in $2 \pi c_{1}(M)$ and express it as

$$
\omega=\sqrt{-1} \sum_{i, j=1}^{m} g_{i \bar{j}} d z^{i} \wedge d z^{\bar{j}}
$$

where $z^{1}, \cdots, z^{m}$ are local holomorphic coordinates. Since the Ricci form

$$
\operatorname{Ric}=\sqrt{-1} \sum_{i, j=1}^{m} R_{i \bar{j}} d z^{i} \wedge d z^{\bar{j}}
$$

also represents $2 \pi c_{1}(M)$ there exists a real smooth function $f$, called the Ricci potential, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}-\omega=\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} f, \quad \text { i.e. } \quad R_{i \bar{j}}-f_{i \bar{j}}=g_{i \bar{j}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $L=\mathcal{O}$ be the trivial line bundle endowed with the Hermitian metric $e^{f}$. We write $\Delta_{f}:=\Delta \frac{L}{\partial}$ for our choice of the Hermitian metric $e^{f}$ on $L$. Note that this is the same as considering the weighted volume form $e^{f} \omega^{m}$ for $(0, q)$-forms. Instead
of $\eta \in A^{0, q}(L)$, we regard $\eta \in A^{0, q}(M)$, and then, by using (2.12), the BochnerKodaira formula (2.11) reads

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\Delta_{f} \eta\right)_{\bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}}= & -g^{i \bar{j}} \nabla_{i, f} \nabla \bar{j}_{\bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}}  \tag{2.13}\\
& +\sum_{\beta=1}^{q} g^{i \bar{j}}\left(R_{i \bar{j}_{\beta}}-f_{i \bar{j}_{\beta}}\right) \eta_{\bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{\beta-1} \overline{j j}_{\beta+1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}} \\
= & -g^{i \bar{j}} \nabla_{i, f} \nabla \bar{j}_{\bar{j}_{\bar{j}_{1}} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}}+q \eta_{\bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i, f}=\nabla_{i}+f_{i} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $(\cdot, \cdot)_{f}$ denote the weighted $L^{2}$-inner product with respect to the weighted volume form $e^{f} \omega^{m}$. The part (1) follows from

$$
\lambda(\eta, \eta)_{f}=\left(\Delta_{f} \eta, \eta\right)_{f}=\left(\nabla^{\prime \prime} \eta, \nabla^{\prime \prime} \eta\right)_{f}+q(\eta, \eta)_{f}
$$

If $\lambda=q$ then $\nabla^{\prime \prime} \eta=0$. Since $\bar{\partial} \eta$ is the skew-symmetrization of $\nabla^{\prime \prime} \eta$ it follows that $\bar{\partial} \eta=0$. Moreover, since $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0, q}(M)=0$ for $q \geq 1$ on the Fano manifold $M, \eta$ is exact. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since $\bar{\partial} \Delta_{f}=\Delta_{f} \bar{\partial}$ we have $\Delta_{f} \bar{\partial} \eta=\lambda \bar{\partial} \eta$. Apply (1) of Theorem 1.1 to $\bar{\partial} \eta$ which is non-zero by our assumption. Then $\lambda \geq q+1$, which proves (1) of Theorem 1.2 .

If $\lambda=q+1$ then by (2) of Theorem 1.1 we have $\nabla^{\prime \prime} \bar{\partial} \eta=0$. This implies that $(\bar{\partial} \eta)^{\sharp}$ is holomorphic. This proves (2) of Theorem 1.2
Remark 2.1. Using the arguments of the proof of Theorem 1.2 one can show the following. Let $M$ be a compact Kähler manifold, and $\lambda_{1}^{(q)}$ be the first non zero eigenvalue of the Hodge Laplacian on $(0, q)$-forms. Then we have

$$
\lambda_{1}^{(q)} \leq \lambda_{1}^{(q-1)} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{1}^{(0)}
$$

This is even true for the real Hodge Laplacian for $q$-forms on a compact Riemannian manifold, see e.g. 25].

Now we turn to a lemma which is proved with similar arguments as (2.11) and will be used in the next section. Let $M$ be a Fano manifold and the Kähler form $\omega$ and the Ricci form Ric satisfy (2.12) as before. Let $\varphi=\varphi^{i} \frac{\partial}{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i}} \otimes d z^{\bar{k}}$ be a smooth section of $T^{\prime} M \otimes T^{\prime \prime *} M$, and write

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi\lrcorner \omega & :=\varphi^{i}{ }_{\bar{k}} d z^{\bar{k}} \wedge \sqrt{-1} g_{i \bar{j}} d z^{\bar{j}}+\varphi^{i} \bar{j} d z^{\bar{j}} \wedge \sqrt{-1} g_{i \bar{k}} d z^{\bar{k}}  \tag{2.15}\\
& =-\sqrt{-1}\left(\varphi_{\bar{j} \bar{k}}-\varphi_{\overline{k \bar{j}}}\right) d z^{\bar{j}} \wedge d z^{\bar{k}} \\
& =-\sqrt{-1} \psi_{\bar{j} \bar{k}} d z^{\bar{j}} \wedge d z^{\bar{k}}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have put

$$
\psi_{\bar{j} \bar{k}}=\varphi_{\bar{j} \bar{k}}-\varphi_{\bar{k} \bar{j}}
$$

which is of course the skew-symmetrization of $\varphi_{\bar{j} \bar{k}}$ and to which we apply the Bochner-Kodaira formula in the next section. We denote by $\bar{\partial}_{f}^{*}$ the formal adjoint of $\bar{\partial}$ with respect to the weighted volume form $e^{f} \omega^{m}$, i.e. for a $(0,1)$-form $\alpha$,

$$
\bar{\partial}_{f}^{*} \alpha=-g^{i \bar{j}}\left(\nabla_{i}+f_{i}\right) \alpha_{\bar{j}}=-\nabla_{f}^{\bar{j}} \alpha_{\bar{j}} .
$$

Here we have put $\nabla_{f}^{\bar{j}}:=g^{i \bar{j}}\left(\nabla_{i}+f_{i}\right)$, and we will keep this notation below. We also denote by $\operatorname{div}_{f}(X)$ the divergence

$$
d i(X)\left(e^{f} \omega^{m}\right)=\operatorname{div}_{f}(X)\left(e^{f} \omega^{m}\right)
$$

for a type $(1,0)$-vector field $X$, i.e. $\operatorname{div}_{f}(X)=\left(\nabla_{i}+f_{i}\right) X^{i}$.
Lemma 2.2. Suppose $\bar{\partial}_{f}^{*} \varphi=0$, i.e. $\nabla_{f}^{\bar{k}} \varphi^{i} \bar{k}=0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\overline{\partial \bar{\partial}}_{f}^{*}(\varphi\lrcorner \omega\right)=\sqrt{-1} \operatorname{div}_{f}(\bar{\partial} \varphi)+\frac{1}{2} \varphi\right\lrcorner \omega \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By the assumption $\bar{\partial}_{f}^{*} \varphi=0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\bar{\partial}_{f}^{*}(\varphi\lrcorner \omega\right) & =-\sqrt{-1} \nabla_{f}^{\bar{k}}\left(\varphi_{\bar{j} \bar{k}}-\varphi_{\bar{k} \bar{j}}\right) d z^{\bar{j}} \\
& =\sqrt{-1} \nabla_{f}^{\bar{k}} \varphi_{\bar{k} \bar{j}} d z^{\bar{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from the Ricci identity that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\overline{\partial \partial}_{f}^{*}(\varphi\lrcorner \omega\right)= & \sqrt{-1} \nabla_{\bar{\ell}} \nabla_{f}^{\bar{k}} \varphi_{\bar{k} \bar{j}} d z^{\bar{\ell}} \wedge d z^{\bar{j}} \\
= & \sqrt{-1}\left(\nabla^{\bar{k}} \nabla_{\bar{\ell}} \varphi_{\bar{k} \bar{j}}-R_{\bar{\ell}}{ }^{\bar{k} \bar{p}} \bar{k} \varphi_{\bar{p} \bar{j}}-R_{\bar{\ell}}{ }^{\bar{k} \bar{p}}{ }_{\bar{j}} \varphi_{\bar{k} \bar{p}}\right) d z^{\bar{\ell}} \wedge d z^{\bar{j}}  \tag{2.17}\\
& +\sqrt{-1} \nabla_{\bar{\ell}}\left(f^{\bar{k}} \varphi_{\bar{k} \bar{j}}\right) d z^{\bar{\ell}} \wedge d z^{\bar{j}}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $R_{\bar{\ell}}{ }^{\bar{k}} \overline{\bar{p}}=R^{\bar{p}} \overline{\bar{\ell}}$. Note also that $R_{\bar{\ell}}{ }^{\bar{k} \bar{p}_{\bar{j}}}$ is symmetric in $\bar{\ell}$ and $\bar{j}$ while $d z^{\bar{\ell}} \wedge d z^{\bar{j}}$ skew-Symmetric in $\bar{\ell}$ and $\bar{j}$, and thus the term

$$
R_{\bar{\ell}}{ }^{\bar{k} \bar{p}_{\bar{j}}} \varphi_{\bar{k} \bar{p}} d z^{\bar{\ell}} \wedge d z^{\bar{j}}
$$

in (2.17) vanishes. Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&{\left.\overline{\partial \partial_{f}}(\varphi\lrcorner \omega\right)}_{*}=\sqrt{-1}\left(\nabla_{i}+f_{i}\right) \nabla_{\bar{\ell}} \varphi_{\bar{j}}^{i} d z^{\bar{\ell}} \wedge d z^{\bar{j}}-\sqrt{-1}\left(R^{\bar{k}} \bar{\ell}-f^{\bar{k}} \bar{\ell}\right) \varphi_{\bar{k} \bar{j}} d z^{\bar{\ell}} \wedge d z^{\bar{j}} \\
&\left.=\sqrt{-1} \operatorname{div}_{f}(\bar{\partial} \varphi)+\frac{1}{2} \varphi\right\lrcorner \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used $\varphi_{\overline{\ell j}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\varphi_{\overline{\ell j}}+\varphi_{\bar{j} \ell}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\varphi_{\overline{\ell j}}-\varphi_{\bar{j} \ell}\right)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2.

Remark 2.3. Similar formulae for the Kähler-Einstein manifold with $c_{1}<0$ and $c_{1}=0$ as in (2.16) have been obtained in [23], 24]. Later in [26], it has been shown

$$
\left.\left.\Delta_{f}(\varphi\lrcorner \omega\right)=\sqrt{-1} \operatorname{div}_{f}(\bar{\partial} \varphi)+\frac{1}{2} \varphi\right\lrcorner(\operatorname{Ric}-\nabla \bar{\nabla} f)
$$

under the additional assumption $\bar{\partial}(\varphi\lrcorner \omega)=0$. It was later used in [1]. The full proof given here and the the following observation would help to get better understanding of the arguments in the next section. That is, the Ricci identity is used twice for (2.13) with $q=2$ against the skew-symmetric indices $j_{1}$ and $j_{2}$ while the Ricci identity used just once for (2.16) because of the assumption $\bar{\partial}_{f}^{*} \varphi=0$.

## 3. KÄHLER FORMS of Kuranishi family

First we briefly recall the deformation theory of complex structures by Kodaira, Spencer, Nirenberg and Kuranishi [19, [18, [20], see also the monographs [22], [17]. Let $\varpi: \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow B$ be a complex analytic family of compact complex manifolds where $B$ is an open set in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ containing the origin 0 . Take a small neighborhood $N$ of the origin 0 in $B$ with the coordinates $\left(t^{1}, \cdots, t^{n}\right)$, and suppose that $\varpi^{-1}(N)$ is covered by coordinate neighborhoods as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varpi^{-1}(N) & =\cup_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha} \\
U_{\alpha} & =\left\{\left(z_{\alpha}, t\right)\left|z_{\alpha}=\left(z_{\alpha}^{1}, \cdots, z_{\alpha}^{m}\right),\left|z_{\alpha}^{i}\right| \leq 1, t \in N\right\}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Over $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$, if $\left(z_{\alpha}, t\right) \in U_{\alpha}$ and $\left(z_{\beta}, t\right) \in U_{\beta}$ are the same points then they are related by

$$
z_{\alpha}^{i}=f_{\alpha \beta}^{i}\left(z_{\beta}, t\right), \quad i=1, \cdots, m
$$

for some holomorphic function $f_{\alpha \beta}^{i}$. The change of complex structures as $t$ varies is considered as the change of $f_{\alpha \beta}\left(z_{\beta}, t\right)$ in $t$. We put

$$
\theta_{\alpha \beta}(t)=\sum_{i} \frac{\partial f_{\alpha \beta}^{i}\left(z_{\beta}, t\right)}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}^{i}}
$$

where

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} c_{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{\nu}}
$$

is a tangent vector of $N$ at the origin. Then $\left\{\theta_{\alpha \beta}(t)\right\}$ is a 1-cocycle of the tangent sheaf $\Theta_{t}=\mathcal{O}\left(T^{\prime} M_{t}\right)$ of $M_{t}:=\varpi^{-1}(t)$. A different choice of coordinates gives a cohomologous 1-cocyle, and the cohomology class $\left[\theta_{\alpha \beta}(t)\right] \in H^{1}\left(M_{t}, \Theta_{t}\right)$ is called the infinitesimal deformation of $M_{t}$ and denoted by $\frac{\partial M_{t}}{\partial t}$. Further, by the Dolbeault isomorphism $H^{1}\left(M_{t}, \Theta_{t}\right) \cong H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,1}\left(M_{t}, T^{\prime} M_{t}\right),\left\{\theta_{\alpha \beta}\right\}$ corresponds to a $\bar{\partial}_{t^{-}}$-closed $T^{\prime} M_{t^{-}}$ valued $(0,1)$-form $\eta$. This correspondence is described using the proof of Dolbeault theorem as follows. By the map $H^{1}\left(M_{t}, \Theta_{t}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(M_{t}, \mathcal{A}^{0}\left(T^{\prime} M_{t}\right)\right)=0$, there is a 1-cochain $\left\{\xi_{\alpha}\right\}$ of $\mathcal{A}^{0}\left(T^{\prime} M_{t}\right)$ such that $\xi_{\beta}-\xi_{\alpha}=\theta_{\alpha \beta}$. Then $\eta=\bar{\partial}_{t} \xi_{\alpha}$, which is independent of $\alpha$.

On the other hand, all $M_{t}=\varpi^{-1}(t)$ are diffeomorphic, and the complex structures on $M_{t}$ can be considered on the same differentiable manifold $M$. Considering at $t$, we have a decomposition

$$
T^{*} M \otimes \mathbf{C}=T^{\prime *} M_{t} \oplus T^{\prime \prime *} M_{t} \quad \text { with } \quad T^{\prime \prime *} M_{t}=\overline{T^{\prime *} M_{t}}
$$

Considering at $t=0$, for $t$ small, $T^{\prime *} M_{t}$ and $T^{\prime \prime *} M_{t}$ are close to $T^{\prime *} M_{0}$ and $T^{\prime \prime *} M_{0}$. In particular, $T^{\prime *} M_{t}$ is expressed as a graph over $T^{* *} M_{0}$ in the form that for all $\mathbf{x}_{t} \in T^{* *} M_{t}$

$$
\mathbf{x}_{t}=\mathbf{x}_{0}+\varphi(t)\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{0} \in T^{\prime *} M_{0}$ and $\varphi(t)\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}\right) \in T^{\prime \prime *} M_{0}$. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(t) & \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{\prime *} M_{0}, T^{\prime *} M_{0}\right)=T^{\prime} M_{0} \otimes T^{\prime \prime *} M_{0} \\
\varphi(t) & =\varphi^{i} \bar{j}(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i}} \otimes d z^{j} \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $z^{i}=z_{0}^{i}$ are local holomorphic coordinates of $M_{0}$, and we keep this notation below. Thus $T^{* *} M_{t}$ is spanned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d z^{i}+\varphi_{\bar{j}}^{i}(t) d z^{\bar{j}}=: e^{i}, \quad i=1, \cdots, m \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently, $T^{\prime \prime} M_{t}$ is spanned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\bar{j}}}-\varphi_{\bar{j}}^{i}(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i}}=: T_{\bar{j}}, \quad i=1, \cdots, m . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it can be shown as in [22, page 152, Theorem 1.1, that
(1) $\varphi(0)=0$;
(2) $\bar{\partial} \varphi(t)-\frac{1}{2}[\varphi(t), \varphi(t)]=0$;
(3) $\eta=-\left.\frac{\partial \varphi(t)}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}$.

Here, for $T^{\prime}$-valued forms $\varphi$ and $\psi,[\varphi, \psi]$ is defined by the bracket with respect to $T^{\prime}$-components and the wedge product with respect to the differential forms. Note that (2) is equivalent to the integrability condition of Newlander-Nirenberg. Given $\bar{\partial}$-closed $T^{\prime}$-valued 1-form $\eta$ we wish to construct $\varphi(t)$ satisfying (1), (2) and (3). We may choose an Hermitian metric on $M_{0}$ and take $\eta$ to be harmonic. Thus

$$
\bar{\partial} \eta=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{\partial}^{*} \eta=0
$$

It is shown (c.f. [22], Chapter 4, section 2) that when $H^{2}(M, \Theta)=0$ the power series expansion

$$
\varphi(t)=\sum_{|I|=1} t^{I} \varphi_{I}+\sum_{|I| \geq 2} t^{I} \varphi_{I}
$$

has a unique solution under
(4) $\bar{\partial} \varphi(t)=\frac{1}{2}[\varphi(t), \varphi(t)]$;
(5) $\bar{\partial}^{*} \varphi(t)=0$;
(6) For $|I|=1, \varphi_{I}$ is harmonic.

But we apply this deformation theory for Fano manifolds, and

$$
H^{2}\left(M_{0}, \Theta\right) \cong H^{m-2}\left(M_{0}, \Omega^{1}\left(K_{M_{0}}\right)\right)=0
$$

by Serre duality and Kodaira-Nakano vanishing. Note that the condition (5) is equivalent to requiring

$$
\varphi(t)=\sum_{|I|=1} t^{I} \varphi_{I}+\frac{1}{2} \bar{\partial}^{*} G[\varphi(t), \varphi(t)]
$$

Following [23], [1], we call the condition (5) the Kuranishi gauge.
Now let $M$ be a Fano manifold with a Kähler form $\omega$ in $2 \pi c_{1}(M)$ with the Ricci potential $f$ as in (2.12). In this case, one can argue as in [26, Proposition 6 , using a diffeomorphism, or instead of employing the Hodge theory using an Hermitian metric of the complex manifold $M_{0}$, we can employ the Hodge theory using the Kähler metric $\omega$ with weighted volume form $e^{f} \omega^{m}$, or equivalently using the Kähler metric $\omega$ and the bundle metric $e^{f}$ of the trivial line bundle $\mathcal{O}$ to apply the same arguments as in [22, Chapter 4, section 2, and we obtain the Kuranishi family described by
(4) $\bar{\partial} \varphi(t)=\frac{1}{2}[\varphi(t), \varphi(t)]$.
(7) $\bar{\partial}_{f}^{*} \varphi(t)=0$.
(8) For $|I|=1, \varphi_{I}$ is $\Delta_{f}$-harmonic.

We call the condition (8) the $f$-Kuranishi gauge. This Kuranishi family was also considered in [2]. Then we can argue as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [23] to obtain the following lemma.
Lemma 3.1. For the Kuranishi family satisifying (4), (7), (8), we have $\left.\Delta_{f}(\varphi\lrcorner \omega\right)=$ $\varphi\lrcorner \omega$. Combining this with Theorem 1.1, we obtain $\varphi\lrcorner \omega=0$.

Proof. We prove this by induction. First we consider the case $|I|=1$. By the condition (8), we know $\bar{\partial} \varphi_{I}=0$ and $\bar{\partial}_{f}^{*} \varphi_{I}=0$. Then $\bar{\partial} \varphi_{I}=0$ implies $\left.\bar{\partial}\left(\varphi_{I}\right\lrcorner \omega\right)=0$. It then follows from Lemma 2.2 that $\left.\left.\Delta_{f}\left(\varphi_{I}\right\lrcorner \omega\right)=\frac{1}{2} \varphi_{I}\right\lrcorner \omega$. Combining this with Theorem 1.1] we obtain $\left.\varphi_{I}\right\lrcorner \omega=0$. This completes the proof of the case $|I|=1$. Note further that $\left.\varphi_{I}\right\lrcorner \omega=0$ implies $\varphi_{I \overline{i j}}$ is symmetric in $\bar{i}$ and $\bar{j}$. Thus $\bar{\partial}_{f}^{*} \varphi_{I}=0$ implies $\operatorname{div}_{f} \varphi_{I}=0$.

Next we consider the case $|I|=k$ assuming $\left.\varphi_{J}\right\lrcorner \omega=0$ (and thus $\operatorname{div}_{f} \varphi_{J}=0$ ) for $|J| \leq k-1$. By Lemma 2.2 and (4) we have

$$
\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.\bar{\partial}\left(\varphi_{I}\right\lrcorner \omega\right)=\left(\bar{\partial} \varphi_{I}\right)\right\lrcorner \omega=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{J+K=I}\left[\varphi_{J}, \varphi_{K}\right]\right\lrcorner \omega=\sum_{J+K=I} \varphi_{J}\right\lrcorner \partial\left(\varphi_{K}\right\lrcorner \omega\right)=0
$$

since $\left.\varphi_{K}\right\lrcorner \omega=0$ for $|K|<k$. It follows from Lemma 2.2, (7) and the above equality that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\Delta_{f}\left(\varphi_{I}\right\lrcorner \omega\right) & \left.=\sqrt{-1} \operatorname{div}_{f}\left(\bar{\partial} \varphi_{I}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \varphi_{I}\right\lrcorner \omega \\
& \left.=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \operatorname{div}_{f}\left(\sum_{J+K=I}\left[\varphi_{J}, \varphi_{K}\right]\right)+\frac{1}{2} \varphi_{I}\right\lrcorner \omega \\
& \left.\left.=\sqrt{-1} \sum_{J+K=I} \varphi_{J}\right\lrcorner \partial\left(\operatorname{div}_{f} \varphi_{K}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \varphi_{I}\right\lrcorner \omega \\
& \left.=\frac{1}{2} \varphi_{I}\right\lrcorner \omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with Theorem 1.1. we obtain $\left.\varphi_{I}\right\lrcorner \omega=0$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $J_{t}$ be the complex structure of $M_{t}$. It is sufficient to show that $\omega$ is $J_{t}$-invariant. But this is equivalent to showing that $\omega$ vanishes on $T^{\prime} M_{t}$ or equivalently that $\omega$ vanishes on $T^{\prime \prime} M_{t}$. Since $\omega$ is a Kähler form for $J_{0}$ by the assumption and thus vanishes on $T^{\prime} M_{0}$ and $T^{\prime \prime} M_{0}$, the description (3.3) of $T^{\prime \prime} M_{t}$ shows that $\omega$ is $J_{t}$-invariant if and only if $\left.\varphi(t)\right\lrcorner \omega=0$ (c.f. the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [8] for some more detail). But this is indeed the case by Lemma 3.1 This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4

## 4. Ricci potential of the Kuranishi family

In the previous section we showed that the Kähler form $\omega$ in $2 \pi c_{1}\left(M_{0}\right)$ of a Fano manifold $M_{0}$ remains to be a Kähler form on any member $M_{t}$ of the Kuranishi family of the deformations of the complex structure of $M_{0}$. In this section we compute the Ricci potential of $\left(M_{t}, \omega\right)$. The result is Theorem 1.5 in the Introduction.

To prove Theorem 1.5 we need preliminary calculations. In this paragraph (until the beginning of the proof of Theorem 1.5), we consider general deformations, not restricting to Fano manifolds, as we wish to re-use the equations in this paragraph in the next section. But in Proposition4.1, we assume that the Kähler form $\omega$ remains
to be a Kähler form for the deformations $M_{t}$ (or equivalently that $\omega$ is $J_{t}$-invariant for the complex structures $J_{t}$ of $M_{t}$ ), which we proved for a Fano manifold $M_{0}$ in Theorem 1.4 and we also assume in the next section since it is a basic assumption in Donaldson-Fujiki picture. Recall from (3.2) and (3.3) that $T^{* *} M_{t}$ and $T^{\prime \prime} M_{t}$ are respectively spanned by

$$
e^{i}=d z^{i}+\varphi^{i} \bar{j}^{\prime}(t) d z^{\bar{j}} \quad \text { and } \quad T_{\bar{j}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\bar{j}}}-\varphi_{\bar{j}}^{i}(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i}}, \quad i, j=1, \cdots, m
$$

where $z^{1}, \cdots, z^{m}$ are local holomorphic coordinates for $M_{0}$. We also use the notations $e_{\bar{i}}:=\overline{e_{i}}$ and $T_{i}:=\overline{T_{\bar{i}}}$. Let $w^{1}, \cdots, w^{m}$ be local holomorphic coordinates for $M_{t}$ on the same coordinate neighborhood as $z^{i}$ 's. Note that these two coordinates are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial w^{\beta}}{\partial z^{\bar{j}}}=\varphi^{i} \bar{j} \frac{\partial w^{\beta}}{\partial z^{i}} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial z^{i}}{\partial w^{\bar{\beta}}}=-\varphi^{i} \bar{j} \frac{\partial z^{\bar{j}}}{\partial w^{\bar{\beta}}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we further obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
d w^{\alpha}=\frac{\partial w^{\alpha}}{\partial z^{i}} e^{i} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial w^{\beta}}=\frac{\partial z^{j}}{\partial w^{\beta}} T_{j} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We put $\varphi:=\left(\varphi^{i} \bar{j}\right)$ and $\bar{\varphi}:=\left(\overline{\varphi^{i} \bar{j}}\right)$. By computing $\frac{\partial w^{\alpha}}{\partial w^{\beta}}=\delta^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}$ in terms of $z$ coordinates using (4.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial w^{\alpha}}{\partial z^{i}}\left(\delta^{i}{ }_{j}-(\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{i}{ }_{j}\right) \frac{\partial z^{j}}{\partial w^{\beta}}=\delta^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We put

$$
A=\left(a^{\alpha}{ }_{i}\right), \quad a^{\alpha}{ }_{i}=\frac{\partial w^{\alpha}}{\partial z^{i}}, \quad A^{-1}=\left(b^{i}{ }_{\alpha}\right)
$$

so that $b^{i}{ }_{\alpha} a^{\alpha}{ }_{j}=\delta^{i}{ }_{j}$. Then (4.3) reads for sufficiently small $t$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(I-\varphi \bar{\varphi}) \frac{\partial z}{\partial w}=I, \quad \frac{\partial z^{i}}{\partial w^{\alpha}}=\left((I-\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{-1}\right)^{i}{ }_{j} b^{j}{ }_{\alpha} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.1) we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial z^{i}}{\partial w^{\bar{\beta}}}=-\varphi^{i}{ }_{j} \overline{\left((I-\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{-1}\right)^{j} \ell} \overline{b^{\ell}}{ }_{\beta} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressing $\frac{\partial}{\partial w^{\alpha}}$ in terms of $z$ coordinates using (4.2) and (4.4) we also obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial w^{\alpha}}=\left((I-\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{-1}\right)^{i}{ }_{j} b^{j}{ }_{\alpha} T_{i} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}$ denote the Kähler metric of $\left(M_{t}, \omega\right)$ with respect to the local holomorphic coordinates $w^{1}, \cdots, w^{m}$, namely,

$$
\omega=\sqrt{-1} g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} d w^{\alpha} \wedge d w^{\bar{\beta}}
$$

We also retain the notation $g_{i \bar{j}}$ to express the Kähler metric of $\left(M_{0}, \omega\right)$ with respect to the local holomorphic coordinates $z^{1}, \cdots, z^{m}$ for $M_{0}$. We also use the notation $g_{t}=\left(g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}\right)$ and $g_{0}=\left(g_{i \bar{j}}\right)$.
Proposition 4.1. If $\omega$ is a Kähler form for $M_{t}$, that is $\left.\varphi\right\lrcorner \omega=0$ then $g_{t}$ and $g_{0}$ are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}=\left((I-\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{-1}\right)^{i}{ }_{k} b^{k}{ }_{\alpha} \overline{b^{j}}{ }_{\beta} g_{i \bar{j}} . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using the $z$ coordinates one compute

$$
-\sqrt{-1} \omega\left(T_{i}, T_{\bar{j}}\right)=g_{i \bar{j}}-\overline{\varphi^{p} \bar{i}} \varphi^{q} \bar{j} g_{\bar{p} q} .
$$

Using the assumption $\varphi\lrcorner \omega=0$, i.e. $\varphi_{\overline{i j}}=\varphi_{\overline{j i}}$, we have

$$
\overline{\varphi^{p} \bar{i}} \varphi^{q} g_{\bar{j} q}=\overline{\varphi_{\bar{q} \bar{i}}} \varphi_{\bar{j}}^{q}=\overline{\varphi_{\bar{i} \bar{q}}} \varphi^{q}{ }_{\bar{j}}=g_{i \bar{\ell}} \overline{\varphi^{\ell} \bar{q}} \varphi_{\bar{j}}^{q},
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sqrt{-1} \omega\left(T_{i}, T_{\bar{j}}\right)=g_{i \bar{\ell}}(I-\bar{\varphi} \varphi)^{\bar{\ell}} \bar{j} . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.6) and (4.8) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} & =\left((I-\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{-1}\right)^{i}{ }_{j} b^{j}{ }_{\alpha}\left((I-\bar{\varphi} \varphi)^{-1}\right)^{\bar{k}} \bar{p} \overline{b^{p}{ }_{\beta}} g_{i \bar{\ell}}(I-\bar{\varphi} \varphi)^{\bar{\ell}}{ }_{k} \\
& =\left((I-\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{-1}\right)^{i}{ }_{j} b^{j}{ }_{\alpha} \overline{b^{\ell}}{ }_{\beta} g_{i \bar{\ell}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is equal to (4.7). This completes the proof of Proposition 4.1
The following computations will be useful later. First, using (4.2) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial w^{\bar{\beta}}} \log \operatorname{det} A & =\frac{\partial z^{\bar{j}}}{\partial w^{\bar{\beta}}} T_{\bar{j}} \log \operatorname{det} A=\frac{\partial z^{\bar{j}}}{\partial w^{\bar{\beta}}} b^{i}{ }_{\gamma} T_{\bar{j}} a^{\gamma}{ }_{i}  \tag{4.9}\\
& =\frac{\partial z^{\bar{j}}}{\partial w^{\bar{\beta}}} b^{i}{ }_{\gamma}\left(\partial_{\bar{j}} a^{\gamma}{ }_{i}-\varphi^{k}{ }_{j} \partial_{k} a^{\gamma}{ }_{i}\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial z^{\bar{j}}}{\partial w^{\bar{\beta}}} b^{i}{ }_{\gamma}\left(\partial_{i}\left(\varphi^{\ell}{ }_{\bar{j}} a^{\gamma}{ }_{\ell}\right)-\varphi^{k}{ }_{\bar{j}} \partial_{k} a^{\gamma}{ }_{i}\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial z^{\bar{j}}}{\partial w^{\bar{\beta}}} \partial_{i} \varphi^{i}{ }_{\bar{j}}
\end{align*}
$$

and using (4.2) and (4.4) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial w^{\bar{\beta}}} \log \operatorname{det} \bar{A} & =\frac{\partial z^{\bar{j}}}{\partial w^{\bar{\beta}}} T_{\bar{j}} \log \operatorname{det} \bar{A}=\frac{\partial z^{\bar{j}}}{\partial w^{\bar{\beta}}} \overline{b^{i}{ }_{\gamma}} T_{\bar{j}} \overline{a^{\gamma}{ }_{i}}  \tag{4.10}\\
& =\frac{\partial z^{\bar{j}}}{\partial w^{\bar{\beta}}} \overline{b_{\gamma}^{i}}\left(\partial_{\bar{j}} \overline{a^{\gamma}{ }_{i}}-\varphi^{k}{ }_{\bar{j}} \partial_{k} \overline{a^{\gamma}{ }_{i}}\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial z^{\bar{j}}}{\partial w^{\bar{\beta}}} \overline{b_{\gamma}^{i}}\left(\partial_{\bar{j}} \overline{a^{\gamma}{ }_{i}}-\varphi^{k} \bar{j} \overline{\partial_{i}\left(\varphi^{\ell}{ }_{k} a^{\gamma}{ }_{\ell}\right)}\right. \\
& =\overline{b^{j}{ }_{\beta}} \overline{b_{\gamma}{ }_{\gamma}} \partial_{\bar{j}} \overline{a^{\gamma}{ }_{i}}-\frac{\partial z^{\bar{j}}}{\partial w^{\bar{\beta}}} \varphi^{k} \overline{{ }_{j}} \overline{\partial_{i} \varphi^{i}{ }_{k}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we can show

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i} \overline{b^{j}{ }_{\beta}}=-\overline{b^{k}{ }_{\beta}} \overline{\partial_{k} \varphi^{j}} \bar{i} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i} \overline{b^{\ell}{ }_{\beta} b^{j}{ }_{\gamma} \partial_{\ell} a^{\gamma}{ }_{j}}=-\overline{b^{\ell}{ }_{\beta} \partial_{\ell} \partial_{j} \varphi^{j}{ }_{\bar{i}}} . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.5 .
Proof of Theorem 1.5. By Proposition 4.1

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ric}\left(M_{t}, \omega\right) & \left.=-\partial_{t} \bar{\partial}_{t} \log \operatorname{det}\left((A \bar{A})^{-1}(I-\varphi \bar{\varphi})\right)^{-1} g_{0}\right) \\
& =-\partial_{t} \bar{\partial}_{t} \log \left(\left(\operatorname{det}\left((A \bar{A})^{-1} g_{0}\right)\right) e^{f}\right)+\partial_{t} \bar{\partial}_{t}(f+\log \operatorname{det}(I-\varphi \bar{\varphi}))
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, it is sufficient to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \bar{\partial}_{t}\left(\log (\operatorname{det} A \operatorname{det} \bar{A})-\log \left(e^{f} \operatorname{det} g_{0}\right)\right)=\omega \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

First of all

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial w^{\alpha} \partial w^{\bar{\beta}}} \log \left(e^{f} \operatorname{det} g_{0}\right)= & \frac{\partial z^{i}}{\partial w^{\alpha}} T_{i}\left(\frac{\partial z^{\bar{j}}}{\partial w^{\bar{\beta}}}\right) T_{\bar{j}} \log \left(e^{f} \operatorname{det} g_{0}\right)  \tag{4.14}\\
& +\frac{\partial z^{i}}{\partial w^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial z^{\bar{j}}}{\partial w^{\bar{\beta}}} T_{i} T_{\bar{j}} \log \left(e^{f} \operatorname{det} g_{0}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Next, recall that the compatibility condition $\varphi\lrcorner \omega=0$ and the $f$-Kuranishi gauge condition $\bar{\partial}_{f}^{*} \varphi=0 \operatorname{implies}^{\operatorname{div}}{ }_{f} \varphi=0$. It follows from this that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{i} \varphi_{\bar{j}}^{i}=-\varphi_{\bar{j}}^{i} \partial_{i} \log \left(e^{f} \operatorname{det} g_{0}\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.9) and (4.15) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial w^{\alpha} \partial w^{\bar{\beta}}} \log \operatorname{det} A  \tag{4.16}\\
= & -\frac{\partial z^{i}}{\partial w^{\alpha}}\left(T_{i} \frac{\partial z^{\bar{j}}}{\partial w^{\bar{\beta}}}\right) \varphi^{k} \bar{j} \partial_{k} \log \left(e^{f} \operatorname{det} g_{0}\right)-\frac{\partial z^{i}}{\partial w^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial z^{\bar{j}}}{\partial w^{\bar{\beta}}} T_{i}\left(\varphi^{k}{ }_{\bar{j}} \partial_{k} \log \left(e^{f} \operatorname{det} g_{0}\right)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and using (4.10), (4.15) and (4.11) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (4.17) } \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial w^{\alpha} \partial w^{\bar{\beta}}} \log \operatorname{det} \bar{A} \\
& \left.=\frac{\partial z^{i}}{\partial w^{\alpha}} T_{i}\left(\left(\frac{\partial z^{\bar{j}}}{\partial w^{\bar{\beta}}}-\overline{b^{j}{ }_{\beta}}\right) \partial_{\bar{j}} \log \left(e^{f} \operatorname{det} g_{0}\right)\right)-\frac{\partial z^{i}}{\partial w^{\alpha}} \overline{b^{\ell}}{ }_{\beta} \partial_{\bar{\ell}}\left(\left(\partial_{\bar{k}} \log \left(e^{f} \operatorname{det} g_{0}\right)\right) \overline{\varphi^{k} \bar{i}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (4.14), (4.16), (4.17) and also (4.11) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial w^{\alpha} \partial w^{\bar{\beta}}}\left(\log (\operatorname{det} A \operatorname{det} \bar{A})-\log \left(e^{f} \operatorname{det} g_{0}\right)\right) & =\frac{\partial z^{i}}{\partial w^{\alpha}} \overline{b^{j}{ }_{\beta}}\left(R_{i \bar{j}}-f_{i \bar{j}}\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial z^{i}}{\partial w^{\alpha}} \overline{b^{j}}{ }_{\beta} g_{i \bar{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains to show

$$
\sqrt{-1} \frac{\partial z^{i}}{\partial w^{\alpha}} \overline{b^{j}{ }_{\beta}} g_{i \bar{j}} d w^{\alpha} \wedge d w^{\bar{\beta}}=\sqrt{-1} g_{i \bar{j}} d z^{i} \wedge d z^{\bar{j}}=\omega
$$

But

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{-1} \frac{\partial z^{i}}{\partial w^{\alpha}} \overline{b^{j}}{ }_{\beta} g_{i \bar{j}} d w^{\alpha} \wedge d w^{\bar{\beta}}=\sqrt{-1} \overline{b^{j}}{ }_{\beta} g_{i \bar{j}}\left(d z^{i}-\frac{\partial z^{i}}{\partial w^{\bar{\alpha}}} d w^{\bar{\alpha}}\right) \wedge d w^{\bar{\beta}} . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the compatibility condition $\varphi\lrcorner \omega=0$ implies $\varphi_{\bar{k} \bar{j}}=\varphi_{\bar{j} \bar{k}}$, using (4.2), the first term of the right hand side of (4.18) is equal to

$$
\sqrt{-1} \overline{b^{j}}{ }_{\beta} g_{i \bar{j}} d z^{i} \wedge d w^{\bar{\beta}}=\sqrt{-1} g_{i \bar{j}} d z^{i} \wedge\left(d z^{\bar{j}}+\overline{\varphi^{j} \bar{k}} d z^{\bar{k}}\right)=\omega .
$$

The second term of the right hand side of (4.18) vanishes because, using (4.4) and (4.1), its coefficient is equal to

$$
\sqrt{-1(1-\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{j} \ell \frac{\partial z^{\ell}}{\partial w^{\beta}}} g_{i \bar{j}} \frac{\partial z^{i}}{\partial w^{\bar{\alpha}}}=\sqrt{-1}\left(\varphi_{\bar{p} \bar{j}} \bar{\varphi}^{\bar{j} \bar{q}} \varphi_{\bar{q} \bar{\ell}}-\varphi_{\bar{p} \bar{\ell} \overline{)}} \overline{\frac{\partial z^{\ell}}{\partial w^{\beta}} \frac{\partial z^{p}}{\partial w^{\alpha}}}\right.
$$

which is symmetric in $\alpha$ and $\beta$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5

## 5. Scalar curvature as a moment map

Let $(M, \omega)$ be a compact symplectic manifold, and suppose that $M_{0}=\left(M, J_{0}, \omega\right)$ is a Kähler manifold with respect to an integrable complex structure $J_{0}$ where the Kähler metric $g_{0}$ is given by

$$
g_{0}(X, Y)=\omega\left(X, J_{0} Y\right)
$$

In this section, we consider as in [3] the space $\mathcal{J}_{\text {int }}(\omega)$ consisting of all $\omega$-compatible integrable complex structures $J$ so that $(M, J, \omega)$ is a Kähler manifold. For those complex structures $J$ obtained by deforming $J_{0}$ as in the Kodaira-Spencer theory, this space can be described as an underlying real manifold of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left\{\varphi \in A^{0,1}\left(M_{0}, T^{\prime} M_{0}\right) \left\lvert\, \bar{\partial}_{0} \varphi=\frac{1}{2}[\varphi, \varphi]\right., \varphi\right\lrcorner \omega=0\right\} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tangent space at $J_{0}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.T_{J_{0}} \mathcal{J}_{\text {int }}(\omega)=\left\{\psi \in A^{0,1}\left(M_{0}, T^{\prime} M_{0}\right) \mid \bar{\partial}_{0} \psi=0, \psi\right\lrcorner \omega=0\right\} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the Kähler structure on $\mathcal{J}_{\text {int }}(\omega)$ induced from the Kähler structure on $A^{0,1}\left(M_{0}, T^{\prime} M_{0}\right)$, that is, the standard $L^{2}$ Hermitian inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\psi, \tau)_{L^{2}}=\int_{M_{0}}(\psi, \tau)_{g_{0}} \omega^{m} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\psi, \tau \in T_{J_{0}} \mathcal{J}_{\text {int }}(\omega)$ where, in local holomorphic coordinates $\left(z^{1}, \ldots, z^{n}\right)$ on $M_{0}$, if we write $g_{0}=\left(g_{i \bar{j}}\right)$ and let $\psi=\psi^{i}{ }_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i}} \otimes d \bar{z}^{j}$ and $\tau=\tau^{i}{ }_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i}} \otimes d \bar{z}^{j}$, then

$$
(\psi, \tau)_{g_{0}}=\psi^{i} \overline{\bar{j}} \overline{\tau^{k} \bar{\ell}} g^{\ell \bar{j}} g_{i \bar{k}}=\psi^{i} \bar{j} \overline{\bar{i} \bar{\ell}} g^{\ell \bar{j}}=\psi^{i} \bar{j} \overline{\bar{\ell} \bar{i}} g^{\ell \bar{j}}=\psi^{i} \overline{\bar{j}} \overline{\tau^{j} \bar{i}}=\operatorname{tr}(\psi \bar{\tau})
$$

Twice the imaginary part $\Omega$ of $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^{2}}$ gives a symplectic form on $\mathcal{J}_{\text {int }}(\omega)$, which is expressed as

$$
\Omega(\psi, \tau)=2 \Im \int_{M_{0}} \operatorname{tr}(\psi \bar{\tau}) \omega^{m}
$$

For a tangent vector, i.e. an infinitesimal deformation, $\tau \in T_{J_{0}} \mathcal{J}_{\text {int }}(\omega)$, we consider a differentiable family $M_{t}$ with a real parameter $t$ in the direction of $\tau$ at $t=$ 0 . Let $w^{1}, \cdots, w^{m}$ be local holomorphic coordinates for $M_{t}$ on the same coordinate neighborthood as $z^{i}$ 's. Then $w^{\alpha}$ 's are smooth functions of $z^{1}, \overline{z^{1}}, \cdots, z^{m}, \overline{z^{m}}$ and $t$, and the equations (4.1) through (4.12) still hold true as was emphasized when the preliminary calculations were started in section 4. In particular, using (4.2) and (4.6) we can express the complex structure $J_{t}$ of $M_{t}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{t}= & \sqrt{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial w^{\alpha}} \otimes d w^{\alpha}-\sqrt{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial w^{\bar{\alpha}}} \otimes d w^{\bar{\alpha}}  \tag{5.4}\\
= & \sqrt{-1}\left(\left((I-\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{-1}\right)^{i}{ }_{j}+\varphi^{i} \overline{\bar{k}} \overline{\left((I-\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{-1}\right)^{k} \ell} \overline{\varphi^{\ell}} \bar{j}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i}} \otimes d z^{j} \\
& +\sqrt{-1}\left(\left((I-\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{-1}\right)^{i}{ }_{\ell} \varphi^{\ell}{ }_{\bar{j}}+\sqrt{-1} \varphi^{i}{ }_{k}\left((I-\bar{\varphi} \varphi)^{-1}\right)^{\bar{k}} \bar{j}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i}} \otimes d z^{\bar{j}} \\
& -\sqrt{-1}\left(\left((I-\bar{\varphi} \varphi)^{-1}\right)^{\bar{i}} \bar{\ell} \overline{\varphi^{\ell}}{ }_{j}\right. \\
& \left.\sqrt{-1} \overline{\varphi^{i} \bar{k}}\left((I-\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{-1}\right)^{k}{ }_{j}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\bar{i}}} \otimes d z^{j} \\
& -\sqrt{-1}\left(\left((I-\bar{\varphi} \varphi)^{-1}\right)^{\bar{i}} \bar{j}+\overline{\varphi^{i} \bar{k}}\left((I-\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{-1}\right)^{k}{ }_{\ell} \varphi^{\ell}{ }_{j}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\bar{i}}} \otimes d z^{\bar{j}} .
\end{align*}
$$

The derivative of $J_{t}$ in (5.4) is computed using infinitesimal deformation $\tau$ in the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} J_{t}=2 \sqrt{-1} \tau^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i}} \otimes d z^{\bar{j}}-2 \sqrt{-1} \overline{\tau^{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i}} \otimes d z^{j} . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the action of the Hamiltonian group $\operatorname{Ham}(\omega)$ of $(M, \omega)$ on $\mathcal{J}_{\text {int }}(\omega)$. For a smooth function $u$ we denote by $X_{u}$ its Hamiltonian vector field. Then the infinitesimal action of $X_{u}$ on $\mathcal{J}_{\text {int }}(\omega)$ at $J_{0}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{X_{u}} J_{0}=2 \sqrt{-1} \nabla_{J_{0}}^{\prime \prime} X_{u}^{\prime}-2 \sqrt{-1} \nabla_{J_{0}}^{\prime} X_{u}^{\prime \prime} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

see e.g. Lemma 2.3 in [8]. It follows from (5.6) and (5.5) that the infinitesimal action of the Hamiltonian flow of generated by $X_{u}$ is expressed as an infinitesimal deformation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\nabla_{J_{0}}^{\prime \prime} X_{u}^{\prime} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Lie algebra of $\operatorname{Ham}(\omega)$ is the space $C^{\infty}(M) / \mathbf{R}$ of smooth functions modulo constant functions, and its dual space is

$$
C_{0}^{\infty}(M):=\left\{u \in C^{\infty}(M) \mid \int_{M} u \omega^{m}=0\right\}
$$

The Hamiltonian vector field $X_{u}$ of a smooth function $u$ is given by

$$
i\left(X_{u}\right) \omega=d u
$$

This shows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{-1} g_{i \bar{j}} X_{u}^{\prime i} d z^{\bar{j}}=\bar{\partial} u \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\tau_{\bar{j}}^{i}=-\sqrt{-1} \nabla_{\bar{j}} \nabla^{i} u
$$

It follows that the symplectic form $\Omega$ for the infinitesimal deformation $\tau$ induced by the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{u}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(\psi, \tau)=2 \Re \int_{M_{0}} \psi^{i}{ }_{j} \nabla^{\bar{j}} \nabla_{i} u \omega^{m}=2 \Re \int_{M_{0}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\psi \cdot \overline{\bar{\partial} \nabla^{\prime} u}\right) \omega^{m} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the infinite dimensional GIT picture, Donaldson has shown that
Theorem 5.1 (Donaldson [3]). The moment map of $\operatorname{Ham}(\omega)$-action on $\mathcal{J}_{\text {int }}(\omega)$ is

$$
s-\bar{s}: \mathcal{J}_{\text {int }}(\omega) \rightarrow C_{0}^{\infty}(M)\left(\cong \operatorname{Lie}(\operatorname{Ham}(\omega))^{*}\right)
$$

where $s$ is the scalar curvature of $\omega_{J}$, and $\bar{s}$ is the average of $s$ on $M$.
In the following, we will provide a proof of this theorem by using the KodairaSpencer theory.

Proof. Since $\bar{s}$ is a topological constant, we only need to check that for any $u \in$ $C_{0}^{\infty}(M)$, it is sufficient to show for any $\psi \in T_{J_{0}} \mathcal{J}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\int_{M_{0}} \frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} s\left(J_{t}\right) u \omega^{m}=2 \Re \int_{M_{0}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\psi \cdot \overline{\bar{\partial}_{0} \nabla^{\prime} u}\right) \omega^{m} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{t}$ is the complex structure obtained by deforming $J_{0}$ along $\psi$-direction. We let $\varphi(t)$ be the corresponding Beltrami differential with $\left.\frac{d \varphi}{d t}\right|_{t=0}=\psi \in A^{0,1}\left(M_{0}, T^{\prime} M_{0}\right)$.
Recall (4.7), from which we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}\right)=|\operatorname{det} A|^{-2} \operatorname{det}(I-\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{-1} g_{0} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A=\operatorname{det}\left(a^{\alpha}{ }_{i}\right), g_{0}=\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i \bar{j}}\right)$. From now on, we write $g$ instead of $g_{0}$ for the notational convenience. Recall also (4.7) in Proposition 4.1, from which we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\alpha \bar{\beta}}=a^{\alpha}{ }_{i}(I-\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{i}{ }_{k} g^{k \bar{j}} \overline{a^{\beta}{ }_{j}} . \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the scalar curvature on $\left(X_{t}, \omega_{t}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
s(t) & =-\Delta_{t} \log \operatorname{det}\left(g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}\right)  \tag{5.13}\\
& =\Delta_{t} \log A+\Delta_{t} \log \bar{A}+\Delta_{t} \log \operatorname{det}(I-\varphi \bar{\varphi})-\Delta_{t} \log g
\end{align*}
$$

where using (4.6), (5.12) and (4.9)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{t}=g^{\alpha \bar{\beta}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial w^{\alpha} \partial \bar{w}^{\beta}} \\
& =a^{\alpha}{ }_{i}(I-\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{i}{ }_{k} g^{k \bar{j}} \overline{a^{\beta}{ }_{j}}\left((I-\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{-1}\right)^{\ell}{ }_{p} b^{p}{ }_{\alpha} T_{\ell}\left(\overline{\left((I-\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{-1}\right)^{p}{ }_{q} b^{q}{ }_{\beta}} T_{\bar{p}}\right. \\
& =-g^{i \bar{j}}\left(T_{i} \overline{a^{\beta}}{ }_{j}\right) \overline{\left((I-\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{-1}\right)^{p}{ }_{q} b^{q}{ }_{\beta}} T_{\bar{p}}+g^{i \bar{j}}\left(T_{i} \overline{\left((I-\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{-1}\right)^{p}{ }_{j}}\right) T_{\bar{p}} \\
& \left.+g^{i \bar{j}} \overline{\left((I-\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{-1}\right)^{p}}{ }_{j} T_{i} \overline{T_{\bar{p}}}\right) \\
& =-g^{i \bar{j}} \overline{\partial_{j} \varphi^{q}} \bar{i} \overline{\left((I-\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{-1}\right)^{p}{ }_{q}} T_{\bar{p}}+g^{i \bar{j}}\left(T_{i} \overline{\left((I-\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{-1}\right)^{p}{ }_{j}}\right) T_{\bar{p}} \\
& \left.+g^{i \bar{j}} \overline{\left((I-\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{-1}\right)^{p}{ }_{j}} T_{i} \overline{T_{\bar{p}}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\psi=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \varphi$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \Delta_{t}=-g^{i \bar{j}} \psi^{k} \partial_{j} \partial_{k}-g^{i \bar{j}}\left(\partial_{i} \psi^{k} \bar{j}_{\bar{j}}\right) \partial_{k}-g^{i \bar{j}} \overline{\psi^{k}} \partial_{\bar{j}} \partial_{\bar{k}}-g^{i \bar{j}}\left(\overline{\partial_{j} \psi^{k} \bar{i}}\right) \partial_{\bar{k}} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.1), we compute

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0}\left(\frac{\partial w^{\alpha}}{\partial \bar{z}^{j}}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{j}}\left(\left.\frac{d w^{\alpha}}{d t}\right|_{t=0}\right)=\delta_{i}^{\alpha} \psi^{i} \bar{j}^{\prime} \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also compute

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \log \operatorname{det} A=\delta_{\alpha}^{k} \partial_{k}\left(\left.\frac{d w^{\alpha}}{d t}\right|_{t=0}\right) \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (5.15) and (5.16) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{0}\left(\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \log \operatorname{det} A\right)=g^{i \bar{j}} \partial_{i} \partial_{k} \psi_{\bar{j}}^{k} \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence from, the linearization of the RHS of (5.13) is

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} s\left(J_{t}\right) & =\left.\Delta_{0} \frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0}(\log \operatorname{det} A+\log \operatorname{det} \bar{A})-\left(\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \Delta_{t}\right) \operatorname{det} g  \tag{5.18}\\
& =\bar{\partial}_{0}^{*} d i v_{0} \psi+\partial_{0}^{*} \overline{\operatorname{div}_{0} \psi} \tag{5.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\int_{M_{0}} \frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} s\left(J_{t}\right) u \omega^{m} & =\int_{M_{0}}\left(\bar{\partial}_{0}^{*} \operatorname{div}_{0} \psi+\partial_{0}^{*} \overline{\operatorname{div}_{0} \psi}\right) u \omega^{m} \\
& =2 \Re \int_{M_{0}} \operatorname{tr} \psi \bar{\partial}_{0} \nabla^{\prime} u \omega^{m} \\
& =\Omega\left(\psi, \bar{\partial}_{0} \nabla^{\prime} u\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 5.2. Let $P=\bar{\partial}_{0} \nabla^{\prime}: C_{0}^{\infty}\left(X_{0}\right)(\cong \operatorname{Lie}(G)) \rightarrow T_{J_{0}} \mathcal{J}$. It was observed by Donaldson in [3] that if $\omega_{J_{0}}$ has constant scalar curvature, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{J_{0}} \mathcal{J}_{\text {int }}(\omega) \cong \operatorname{ker} P^{*}, \quad P^{*}=\bar{\partial}_{0}^{*} \text { div } v_{0} \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{J_{0}} \mathcal{J}_{\text {int }}(\omega) & \left.=\left\{\psi \in A^{0,1}\left(M_{0}, T^{\prime} M_{0}\right) \mid \bar{\partial}_{0} \psi=0, \psi\right\lrcorner \omega_{c s c k}=0\right\} \\
& =\left\{\psi \in A^{0,1}\left(M_{0}, T^{\prime} M_{0}\right) \mid \bar{\partial}_{0} \psi=0, \bar{\partial}_{0}^{*} \operatorname{div} v_{0} \psi=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{\partial}_{0}^{*}$ and divo are with respect to the csck metric.
On the other hand, if $\omega_{0}$ is Kähler-Einstein form, It has been shown in [1] [23] [24] that if $\bar{\partial}_{0} \varphi=\frac{1}{2}[\varphi, \varphi]$, then $\bar{\partial}_{0}^{*} \varphi=0$ if and only if div $0 \varphi=0$. Furthermore, $\varphi\lrcorner \omega_{K E}=0$ automatically holds. In particular this implies that the tangent space of the Kuranishi slice in the Kodaira-Spencer theory is a subspace of $T_{J_{0}} \mathcal{J}_{\text {int }}(\omega)$.

Next, we consider another moment map picture introduced by Donaldson (4) (see also [21]) on Fano manifolds, and describe it using our theory of the geometry of Kuranishi family developed above. Namely, for a Fano manifold $M$, another Hermitian metric and a symplectic form on $\mathcal{J}:=\mathcal{J}_{\text {int }}(\omega)$ are introduced as an application of Theorem [1.4, and consider the moment map for the Hamiltonian group action of $(M, \omega)$ where $\omega$ is a symplectic form on $M$ such that $[\omega]=2 \pi c_{1}\left(M_{J}\right)$ with respect to some complex structure $J \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $M_{J}$ is a Fano manifold.

Consider the Kuranishi family satsifying (4), (7) and (8). By Theorem [1.4. $\omega$ is a Kähler form for any member of the family. We let $V_{0}=\int_{M}\left(2 \pi c_{1}(M)\right)^{m}$ and for each $J \in \mathcal{J}$ of the Kuranishi family we let $M_{J}$ be the corresponding Fano manifold. We also let $\Omega_{J}$ be the unique volume form on $M_{J}$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{Ric}_{J}\left(\Omega_{J}\right)=\omega \\
\int_{M_{J}} \Omega_{J}=V_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $f_{J}=\log \frac{\Omega_{J}}{\omega^{m}}$ be the normalized Ricci potential so that $\Omega_{J}=e^{f_{J}} \omega^{m}$.
For any $J_{0} \in \mathcal{J}$ let $M_{0}:=M_{J_{0}}$ with local holomorphic coordinates $z^{1}, \cdots, z^{m}$, and let $\omega=\sqrt{-1} g_{i \bar{j}} d z^{i} \wedge d \bar{z}^{j}$ and $g=\left(g_{i \bar{j}}\right)$. But when we want to emphasize that $\omega$ and $g$ were expressed in terms of local holomorphic coordinates with respect to $J_{0}$ we denote $\omega$ and $g$ by $\omega_{0}$ and $g_{0}$. We also write $f_{0}:=f_{J_{0}}$. A simple computation shows that $\bar{\partial}_{0} \operatorname{div}_{f_{0}} \psi=0$ for each

$$
\left.\psi \in T_{J_{0}} \mathcal{J}=\left\{\psi \in A^{0,1}\left(M_{0}, T^{\prime} M_{0}\right) \mid \bar{\partial}_{0} \psi=0, \psi\right\lrcorner \omega=0\right\}
$$

(c.f. (5.2)) and there exists a unique complex valued smooth function $\xi_{\psi}$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{\partial}_{0} \xi_{\psi}=\operatorname{div}_{f_{0}} \psi  \tag{5.21}\\
\int_{M_{0}} \xi_{\psi} \Omega_{0}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

We define a Hermitian metric on $\mathcal{J}$ in the following way. For any $\psi, \tau \in T_{J_{0}} \mathcal{J}$ let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\psi, \tau\rangle=\int_{M_{0}}\left(\operatorname{tr}(\psi \bar{\tau})-\xi_{\psi} \bar{\xi}_{\tau}\right) \Omega_{0} \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5.3. The Hermitian form (5.22) is positive definite.

Proof. For the notational convenience we suppress 0 and write $J, M, \bar{\partial}$ and $f$ instead of $M_{0}, J_{0}, \bar{\partial}_{0}$ and $f_{0}$. We need to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\psi, \psi\rangle=\int_{M}\left(|\psi|^{2}-\left|\xi_{\psi}\right|^{2}\right) e^{f} \omega^{m} \geq 0 \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that the equality holds if and only if $\psi=0$.
Since $\bar{\partial} \psi=0$, by the Hodge theory, we have $\psi=\mu+\bar{\partial} \tau$ where $\bar{\partial}_{f}^{*} \mu=0$ and $\tau \in A^{0}\left(T^{\prime} M\right)$. Then by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$
\left.\left.\Delta_{f}(\mu\lrcorner \omega\right)=\frac{1}{2} \mu\right\lrcorner \omega
$$

Then by Theorem 1.1 with $q=2$ we obtain $\mu\lrcorner \omega=0$. It follows from this and $\bar{\partial}_{f}^{*} \mu=0$ we have $\operatorname{div}_{f} \mu=0$. Hence we can assume $\psi=\bar{\partial} \tau$. Put $\tau_{b}:=g_{i \bar{j}} \tau^{i} d z^{\bar{j}}$. Then $\psi\lrcorner \omega=0$ implies $\bar{\partial} \tau_{b}=0$. Since $M$ is Fano and $H^{0,1}(M)=0$, there exists a complex valued smooth function $h$ such that $\tau_{b}=\bar{\partial} h$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}_{f} \tau=-\Delta_{f} h \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by a straightforward computation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\operatorname{div}_{f} \psi\right)_{\bar{j}}=\left(\operatorname{div}_{f}(\bar{\partial} \tau)\right)_{\bar{j}}=\nabla_{\bar{j}}\left(\operatorname{div}_{f} \tau\right)+\tau_{\bar{j}}=\nabla_{\bar{j}}\left(\operatorname{div}_{f} \tau+h\right) \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (5.21), (5.24) and (5.25) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{\psi}=-\Delta_{f} h+h . \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (5.23) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left(-\Delta_{f} h+h\right)^{2} e^{f} \omega^{m} \leq \int_{M}\left|\nabla^{\prime \prime} \nabla^{\prime \prime} h\right|^{2} e^{f} \omega^{m} \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the equality

$$
\int_{M}\left(\Delta_{f} h\right)^{2} e^{f} \omega^{m}=\int_{M}\left(\left|\nabla^{\prime \prime} \nabla^{\prime \prime} h\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla^{\prime \prime} h\right|^{2}\right) e^{f} \omega^{m}
$$

one sees that (5.27) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} h^{2} e^{f} \omega^{m} \leq \int_{M}\left|\nabla^{\prime \prime} h\right|^{2} e^{f} \omega^{m} \tag{5.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note $\int_{M} h e^{f} \omega^{m}=0$ as follows from (5.21). But (5.28) holds by Corollary 1.3, and the equality occurs when $\Delta_{f} h=h$, that is, $\tau$ is a holomorphic vector field. Thus $\psi=\bar{\partial} \tau=0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.3.

As above we denote by $G=\operatorname{Ham}(M, \omega)$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \cong C^{\infty}(M, \mathbf{R}) / \mathbf{R}$. We can identify the dual $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$ with the space of real 2 m -forms

$$
\left\{\Omega-\omega^{m} \mid \int_{M}\left(\Omega-\omega^{m}\right)=0\right\}
$$

and the pairing $(\cdot, \cdot): \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by $\left(h, \Omega-\omega^{m}\right)=\int_{M} h\left(\Omega-\omega^{m}\right)$ for any $h \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\Omega-\omega^{m} \in \mathfrak{g}^{*}$. The group $G$ acts on $\mathcal{J}$. Minus of the twice the imaginary part of the Hermitian metric (5.22) defines a symplectic form, and using (5.7) and (5.8) the symplectic form is expressed by

$$
-2 \Re \int_{M_{0}}\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\psi \cdot \overline{\bar{\partial} \nabla^{\prime} u}\right)-\xi_{\psi}\left(u-\Delta_{f_{0}} u\right)\right) \Omega_{0}
$$

Donaldson showed the following. The original version of Donaldson's construction is different. It is easier to use this version to do local computations.
Proposition 5.4. The map $\mu: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{*}$

$$
\mu(J)=\Omega_{J}-\omega^{m}=e^{f_{J}} \omega^{m}-\omega^{m}
$$

is the moment map for the action of $\operatorname{Ham}(\omega)$, and a zero of $\mu$ gives a KählerEinstein Fano manifold.

Proof. For any $\psi \in T_{J_{0}} \mathcal{J}$, let us consider a differentiable family of deformations $\varphi(t) \in A^{0,1}\left(M_{0}, T^{\prime} M_{0}\right)$ with a real parameter $t$ such that
(a) $\bar{\partial}_{0} \varphi(t)=\frac{1}{2}[\varphi(t), \varphi(t)]$.
(b) $\varphi(0)=0$ and $\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \varphi(t)=\psi$.

Then we claim

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \mu\left(J_{t}\right)=2 \Re \xi_{\psi} \Omega_{0} \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove this, first recall $\operatorname{Ric}\left(\Omega_{t}\right)=\omega_{t}$. Here $\omega_{t}$ denotes $\omega$ expressed in terms of local holomorphic coordinates $w^{1}, \cdots, w^{m}$ of the complex structure $J_{t}$. Thus,

$$
-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial w^{\alpha} \partial \overline{w^{\beta}}} \log \operatorname{det}\left(e^{f_{t}} g_{t}\right)=g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} .
$$

Then using (4.2), (4.7) and (4.3) we obtain

$$
T_{i}\left(\overline{\frac{\partial z^{j}}{\partial w^{\beta}}} T_{\bar{j}} \log \operatorname{det}\left(e^{f_{t}} g_{t}\right)\right)=-\overline{b^{j}}{ }_{\beta} g_{i \bar{j}} .
$$

Further using (4.3) and (4.11) we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\overline{\left((I-\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{-1}\right)^{j}{ }_{k}} T_{i} T_{\bar{j}} \log \operatorname{det}\left(e^{f_{t}} g_{t}\right)+T_{i} \overline{\left((I-\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{-1}\right)^{j}{ }_{k}} T_{\bar{j}} \log \operatorname{det}\left(e^{f_{t}} g_{t}\right) \\
-\overline{\partial_{k} \varphi^{\ell}} \overline{\bar{i}} \overline{\left((I-\varphi \bar{\varphi})^{-1}\right)^{j}}{ }_{\ell} T_{\bar{j}} \log \operatorname{det}\left(e^{f_{t}} g_{t}\right)=-g_{i \bar{k}} . \tag{5.30}
\end{gather*}
$$

Taking the derivative of (5.30) with respect to $t$ at $t=0$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{i} \partial z^{\bar{k}}}\left(f^{\prime}(0)+\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \log \operatorname{det} g_{t}\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i}}\left(\psi^{p} \bar{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{p}}\left(\log \operatorname{det} e^{f_{0}} g_{0}\right)\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\bar{k}}}\left(\overline{\psi^{q} \bar{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\bar{q}}}\left(\log \operatorname{det} e^{f_{0}} g_{0}\right)\right) . \tag{5.31}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (4.7) we have

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \log \operatorname{det} g_{t}=-\delta^{j}{ }_{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{j}}\left(\left.\frac{\partial w^{\alpha}}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}\right)-\overline{\delta^{j}{ }_{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{j}}\left(\left.\frac{\partial w^{\alpha}}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}\right)},
$$

and further using (4.1) (or (5.15)) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{i} \partial z^{\bar{k}}}\left(\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \log \operatorname{det} g_{t}\right)=-\partial_{i} \partial_{\ell} \psi^{\ell}{ }_{\bar{k}}-\partial_{\bar{k}} \partial_{\bar{\ell}} \overline{\psi^{\ell}} \bar{i} \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (5.31) and (5.32) imply that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{i} \partial z^{\bar{k}}} f^{\prime}(0)=\partial_{i}\left(\operatorname{div}_{f_{0}} \psi\right)_{\bar{k}}+\partial_{\bar{k}} \overline{\left(\operatorname{div}_{f_{0}} \psi\right)_{\bar{\imath}}} \\
&=2 \partial_{i} \partial_{\bar{k}} \Re \xi_{\psi} .  \tag{5.33}\\
& 19
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\int_{M_{0}} f^{\prime}(0) \Omega_{0}=0$ and $\int_{M_{0}} \xi_{\psi} \Omega_{0}=0$ we obtain from (5.33)

$$
f^{\prime}(0)=2 \Re \xi_{\psi}
$$

This completes the claim (5.29). It follows that, the map $\mu$ being the moment map is equivalent to

$$
\int_{M_{0}} \xi_{\psi} u \Omega_{0}=-\int_{M_{0}}\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\psi \cdot \overline{\bar{\partial} \nabla^{\prime} u}\right)-\xi_{\psi}\left(u-\Delta_{f_{0}} u\right)\right) \Omega_{0}
$$

The right side of the above equation is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int_{M_{0}}\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\psi \cdot \overline{\bar{\partial}} \nabla^{\prime} u\right)-\xi_{\psi}\left(u-\bar{\Delta}_{f_{0}} u\right)\right) \Omega_{0} \\
= & -\int_{M_{0}}\left(\psi_{\bar{j}}^{i} \partial_{i}\left(g^{k \bar{j}} \partial_{k} u\right)\right) \Omega_{0}+\int_{M_{0}}\left(\xi_{\psi}\left(u-\bar{\Delta}_{f_{0}} u\right)\right) \Omega_{0} \\
= & \int_{M_{0}}\left(\operatorname{div}_{f_{0}} \psi\right)_{\bar{j}} g^{k \bar{j}} \partial_{k} u \Omega_{0}+\int_{M_{0}} \xi_{\psi} u \Omega_{0}-\int_{M_{0}} \xi_{\psi} \bar{\Delta}_{f_{0}} u \Omega_{0} \\
= & \int_{M_{0}} \partial_{\bar{j}} \xi_{\psi} g^{k \bar{j}} \partial_{k} u \Omega_{0}+\int_{M_{0}} \xi_{\psi} u \Omega_{0}-\int_{M_{0}} \xi_{\psi} \bar{\Delta}_{f_{0}} u \Omega_{0} \\
= & \int_{M_{0}} \xi_{\psi} \bar{\Delta}_{f_{0}} u \Omega_{0}+\int_{M_{0}} \xi_{\psi} u \Omega_{0}-\int_{M_{0}} \xi_{\psi} \bar{\Delta}_{f_{0}} u \Omega_{0} \\
= & \int_{M_{0}} \xi_{\psi} u \Omega_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 5.4

## 6. Coupled KÄHler metrics

Let $M$ be a Fano manifold of complex dimension $m$. Suppose that we are given a decomposition of the anti-canonical bundle

$$
K_{M}^{-1}=L_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{k}
$$

into ample line bundles $L_{\alpha}$ 's. Let

$$
\omega_{\alpha}=\sqrt{-1} g_{\alpha i \bar{j}} d z^{i} \wedge d z^{\bar{j}}
$$

be a Kähler form representing $2 \pi c_{1}\left(L_{\alpha}\right)$. We denote by $\Delta_{\alpha}, \nabla_{\alpha}$ and $\operatorname{Ric}\left(g_{\alpha}\right)$ the Laplacian, the covariant derivative and the Ricci curvature of $g_{\alpha}$ respectively. Let $f_{\alpha}$ be the smooth function on $M$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Ric}\left(g_{\alpha}\right)=\omega_{1}+\cdots+\omega_{k}+\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} f_{\alpha}
$$

with the normalization

$$
e^{f_{1}} \omega_{1}^{m}=\cdots=e^{f_{k}} \omega_{k}^{m}=: d V
$$

We call $\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{k}$ coupled Kähler metrics, and in this section we extend Theorem 1.1. Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 in this coupled setting.

As in (2.13), the Bochner-Kodaira formula reads in this setting

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\Delta_{\alpha, f_{\alpha}} \eta\right)_{\bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}}= & -g_{\alpha}^{i \bar{j}}\left(\nabla_{\alpha, f_{\alpha}}\right)_{i}\left(\nabla_{\alpha}\right)_{\bar{j}} \eta_{\bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}}  \tag{6.1}\\
& +\sum_{\beta=1}^{q}\left(R_{i \bar{j}_{\beta}}\left(g_{\alpha}\right)-f_{\alpha} i \bar{j}_{\beta}\right) g_{\alpha}^{i \bar{\ell}} \eta_{\bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{\beta-1} \bar{\ell} \bar{j}_{\beta+1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}} \\
= & -g_{\alpha}^{i \bar{j}}\left(\nabla_{\alpha, f_{\alpha}}\right)_{i}\left(\nabla_{\alpha}\right)_{\bar{j}} \eta_{\bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}} \\
& +\sum_{\beta=1}^{q}\left(\sum_{\gamma=1}^{k} g_{\gamma i \bar{j}_{\beta}}\right) g_{\alpha}^{i \bar{\ell}} \eta_{\bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{\beta-1} \overline{\ell j}_{\beta+1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{\alpha, f}\right)_{i}=\nabla_{\alpha i}+f_{\alpha i} . \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $(0, q)$-forms

$$
\eta_{\alpha}=\eta_{\alpha \bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}} d z^{\bar{j}_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d z^{\bar{j}_{q}}, \quad \alpha=1, \cdots, k
$$

we put

$$
\eta_{\alpha}^{\sharp}:=g_{\alpha}^{i \bar{j}_{1}} \eta_{\alpha \bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i}} \otimes d z^{\bar{j}_{2}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d z^{\bar{j}_{q}} .
$$

If we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{1}^{\sharp}=\cdots=\eta_{k}^{\sharp}:=\psi, \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then from (6.1) we have

$$
\left(\Delta_{\alpha, f_{\alpha}} \eta_{\alpha}\right)_{\bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}}=-g_{\alpha}^{i \bar{j}}\left(\nabla_{\alpha, f_{\alpha}}\right)_{i}\left(\nabla_{\alpha}\right)_{\bar{j}} \eta_{\alpha \bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}}+q \sum_{\gamma=1}^{k} \eta_{\gamma} \bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q} .
$$

From this we obtain the following Theorem 6.1. Theorem 6.2 and Corollary 6.3 by similar proofs to Theorem 1.1 Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3

Theorem 6.1. (1) Suppose that (6.3) holds. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\alpha f_{\alpha}} \eta_{\alpha}=\lambda \sum_{\gamma=1}^{k} \eta_{\gamma} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha$ and $\eta_{\alpha} \neq 0$ then $\lambda \geq q$.
(2) If, in (1), $\lambda=q$ then $\nabla_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime} \eta_{\alpha}=0$. In particular $\bar{\partial} \eta_{\alpha}=0$, and also

$$
\eta_{\alpha \bar{j}_{1} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}} g_{\alpha}^{i_{1} \bar{j}_{1}} \cdots g_{\alpha}^{i_{q} \bar{j}_{q}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i_{1}}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i_{q}}}
$$

is a holomorphic section of $\wedge^{q} T^{\prime} M$.

Theorem 6.2. (1) Suppose that

$$
\left(\bar{\partial} \eta_{1}\right)^{\sharp}=\cdots=\left(\bar{\partial} \eta_{k}\right)^{\sharp}
$$

holds where

$$
\left(\bar{\partial} \eta_{\alpha}\right)^{\sharp}:=g_{\alpha}^{i \bar{j}_{0}}(\bar{\partial} \eta)_{\alpha \bar{j}_{0} \cdots \bar{j}_{q}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i}} \otimes d z^{\bar{j}_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d z^{\bar{j}_{q}} .
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\alpha f_{\alpha}} \eta_{\alpha}=\lambda \sum_{\gamma=1}^{k} \eta_{\gamma} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha$ and $\bar{\partial} \eta_{\alpha} \neq 0$ then $\lambda \geq q+1$.
(2) If, in (1), $\lambda=q+1$ then $\nabla_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime} \overline{\bar{\partial}} \eta_{\alpha}=0$. In particular also

$$
\left(\bar{\partial} \eta_{\alpha}\right)_{\bar{j}_{0} \ldots \bar{j}_{q}} g_{\alpha}^{i_{0} \bar{J}_{0}} \ldots g_{\alpha}^{i_{q} \bar{j}_{q}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{0}^{i_{0}}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i_{q}}}
$$

is a holomorphic section of $\wedge^{q} T^{\prime} M$.
Corollary 6.3 (Theorem 1.2 in [11). If non-constant complex valued smooth functions $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}$ satisfy
(a) $\operatorname{grad}_{\alpha}^{\prime} u_{\alpha}=\operatorname{grad}_{\beta}^{\prime} u_{\beta}, \quad \alpha, \beta=1,2, \ldots, k$ where

$$
\operatorname{grad}_{\alpha}^{\prime} u_{\alpha}=g_{\alpha}^{i \bar{j}} \nabla_{\bar{j}} u_{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i}} ;
$$

(b) $\Delta_{\alpha, f_{\alpha}} u_{\alpha}=\lambda \sum_{\beta=1}^{k} u_{\beta}$, for $\alpha=1,2, \ldots, k$,
then $\lambda \geq 1$. Moreover if $\lambda=1$, the complex vector field $V=\operatorname{grad}_{\alpha}^{\prime} u_{\alpha}=\operatorname{grad}_{\beta}^{\prime} u_{\beta}$ is a holomorphic vector field.

Of course Corollary 6.3 is the case of $q=0$ of Theorem 6.2. It may be tempting to extend the result of [1] for coupled Kähler-Einstein metrics introduced by [13]. We may use the Kuranishi gauge for one of the Kähler metrics, say $g_{1}$, among $g_{1}, \cdots, g_{k}$, and it is possible to show that $\omega_{1}+\cdots+\omega_{k}$ remains to be a Kähler form for the members of the Kuranishi family using the case of $q=2$ of Theorem 6.1 However, it is not clear whether the individual $\omega_{\alpha}$ remains to be a Kähler form. Just as in the case of the volume minimization for transverse coupled Kähler-Einstein metrics on Sasaki manifolds (c.f. [10]), straightforward extensions to the coupled case are not always possible.
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