# TESS light curves of cataclysmic variables – II – Superhumps in old novae and novalike variables

Albert Bruch

Laboratório Nacional de Astrofísica, Rua Estados Unidos, 154, CEP 37500-364, Itajubá, MG, Brazil

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

#### ABSTRACT

Superhumps are among the abundant variable phenomena observed in the light curves of cataclysmic variables (CVs). They come in two flavours as positive and negative superhumps, distinguished by periods slightly longer or shorter, respectively, than the orbital periods of these interacting binary systems. Positive superhumps are ubiquitous in superoutbursting short period dwarf novae of the SU UMa type but are less common in longer period systems with accretion disks in a permanent bright state such as novalike variables and most old novae. Negative superhumps do not seem not to have a preference for a particular type of CV. Here, I take advantage of the long high cadence light curves provided by TESS for huge number of stars, selecting all old novae and novalike variables with past reported superhumps for which TESS light curves are available and have not yet been analysed in previous publications in order to study their superhump behaviour. In combination with information taken from the literature the results enable to compile the most complete census of superhumps in these stars so far. As a corollary, for the eclipsing systems in the present sample of objects eclipse epochs derived from the TESS light curves and in some cases from archival light curves are listed and used to update orbital ephemeris and to discuss period changes.

Key words: stars: activity - (stars:) binaries: close - (stars:) novae, cataclysmic variables

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

Variability in cataclysmic variables (CVs) occurs in a multitude of different forms and on a wide range of time scales. Most of it is associated to mass transfer or aspect variations in these close binary systems composed of a white dwarf primary star and a Roche-lobe filling late type secondary component transferring matter to the primary which – in the absence of a strong magnetic field of the white dwarf – forms an accretion disk around the compact star before it is accreted onto its surface.

A good characterization of many of the variable phenomena requires extensive observations of the respective stars with a suitable time resolution over as long a time base as possible. In this respect the long continuous high cadence light curves provided by the Kepler mission have been extremely beneficial (e.g., Osaki & Kato 2013; Ramsay et al. 2016; Bruch 2022a, to cite only a few examples). However, Kepler observed but a few CVs. This changed considerably with the launch of the *Transit Exoplanet Survey Satellite* (TESS, Ricker et al. 2014) which – although equipped with much smaller telescopes and pointing at a given sector of the sky for less time – provided light curves of many more CVs with a time base and a temporal resolution well suited to address many issues concerning the variability in these stars.

A particular type of consistent modulations in numerous CVs are the so-called superhumps (SHs); i.e., variations with a period a few percent different from the orbital period of the binary. SHs come in two flavours: positive superhumps (pSHs) with periods slightly longer than the orbital period, and negative superhumps (nSHs) the periods of which are a bit shorter than the orbit.

pSHs were first observed in dwarf nova type CVs of the SU UMa subclass during superoutburst (Vogt 1974) and have since become the hallmark of this particular outburst stage of SU UMa stars (Kato et al. 2009, and other publications of this series). pSHs are thought to arise when the accretion disk expands such that the revolution period of matter at its outer rim reaches the 3:1 resonance radius with the binary orbit. This condition is most easily attained during large scale (super-) outbursts in short period dwarf novae, i.e., SU UMa stars. The tidal interaction of the disk with the secondary star then induces an elliptical deformation in the former (Whitehurst 1988; Whitehurst & King 1991). Whenever the secondary star passes close to the elongated part of the disk tidal, stresses cause an increase of the disk luminosity. This occurs on a period slightly longer than the orbital period because of a prograde precession of the deformed disk.

pSHs are not restricted to SU UMa stars in superoutburst but are also observed in increasing number in non-outbursting CVs such as novalike variables (NLs) and old novae (which have an accretion disk in a similar state as the NLs). Most of these have periods longer than the SU UMa stars. Since the secondary star mass in CVs increases systematically with the orbital period their mass ratio  $q = M_{\rm prim}/M_{\rm sec}$  is on average also higher and may reach (or even surpass, see Sect. 4) the theoretical limit for the condition required to generate SHs. This limit is contested but appears to lie somewhere in the range q = 0.22...0.39 (Whitehurst & King 1991; Pearson 2006; Smak 2020).

While the basic physics of pSHs are thus thought to be reasonably well understood, this is not the case for negative superhumps. Phenomenologically, they are explained to arise in a warped accretion disk or a disk inclined with respect to the orbital plane. In such a configuration, depending on the variable aspect between the disk and the stream of infalling matter from the secondary star, the latter penetrates more or less deeply into the gravitational well of the white dwarf before its hits the accretion disk and thus liberates a variable amount of energy, leading to a modulation of the disk luminosity. The inclined disk precesses retrogradely such that the same aspect between the disk and the infalling stream of matter repeats on a period slightly less than the orbital period. While this scenario is widely accepted to explain nSHs there is no consensus about the mechanism which causes a warp or an inclination of the accretion disk in the first place (Montgomery 2009; Thomas & Wood 2015). Thus, there are no theoretical constraints for the appearance of nSHs. Observationally, they are found in short period dwarf novae (Wood et al. 2011; Osaki & Kato 2014) as well as in long period NLs (Kimura et al. 2020).

The periods of both, pSHs and nSHs, are not strictly constant but exhibit small variations depending on details of the distribution of mass within the the accretion disk.

Recently, Bruch (2022b) (hereafter referred to as Paper I) investigated the TESS light curves of a sample of NLs and old novae and identified SHs in several systems which were hitherto not known to be superhumpers. In extension of that study I investigate here the TESS light curves of all NLs and old novae with SHs, either positive or negative, reported in the literature which have been observed by TESS, and the TESS data of which have not already been the subject of other publications. The data used and methods applied are briefly outlined in Sect. 2. Thereafter, the individual systems are discussed in Sect. 3. For some eclipsing CVs additional eclipse epochs, an update of the orbital period, and an assessment of period variations are also included as a corollary. In Sect. 4, I present a census of all superhumping NLs and old novae. A summary of the results concludes this study in Sect. 5.

### 2 DATA AND DATA HANDLING

The details of the data used in this study and their handling are largely the same as in Paper I and were described there. Therefore, I will only give a summary here. TESS SAP data with a time resolution of 2 min were downloaded from the Barbara A. Misulski Archive for Space Telescopes  $(MAST)^1$ . For one object (KIC 8751494) data from the Kepler mission, retrieved from the same source, are also used. Whenever observations from different TESS sectors were obtained in immediate succession they were combined into a single light curve. Different light curves of the same object are referred to as LC#1, LC#2, etc. The start and end epochs of the light curves are listed in Table 1.

Table 1	L.	Journal	of	observations.
---------	----	---------	----	---------------

Name	LC number	Start time BJD 24	End time $50000+$
PX And	1	8764.69	8788.92
UU Aqr	1	9447.70	9473.16
KR Aur	1	9474.17	9550.63
BZ Cam	1	8816.88	8868.83
	2	9010.26	9035.13
	3	9390.65	9418.85
V592 Cas	1	8764.69	8789.68
	2	8955.79	8982.27
RR Cha	1	9333.86	9389.72
V751 Cyg	1	8711.37	5737.41
V1974 Cyg	1	8738.65	8763.32
	2	9418.99	9446.58
BB Dor	1	8325.29	8682.36
	2	9030.28	9369.12
BH Lyn	1 2	8842.51 9579.82	8808.83 9606.94
BK Lyn	1	8870.44	8897.79
AH Men	1	8325 30	8353 17
	2	8410.90	8436.83
	3	8596.78	8682.36
	4	9036.28	9060.64
	5	9333.86	9389.72
RR Pic	1	8354.11	8595.68
	2	8624.97	8682.36
	3	9036.28	9060.64
	4 5	9361.29	9332.38 9389.72
AO Psc	1	9447.70	9473.16
AY Psc	1	9447.69	9498.81
V348 Pup	1	9201 74	9254 07
RW Tri	1	8790.66	8814.27
IIX IIMa	1	8711.36	8763 32
OX OMa	2	8899.32	8954.88
DW UMa	1	8870.46	8897 78
Dir olila	2	9607.94	9635.97
HS 1813+6122	1	8683.35	8841.14
	2	8870.17	9037.40
	3	9419.99	9456.58
	4	9579.81	9664.31
RX J2133.7+5107	1	8711.36	8763.32
KIC 8751494	1	8711.37	8737.41
	2	9390.66	9446.58
KIC 9406652	1	8683.36	8710.21
	2	8711.37 8085 66	8737.41
NGV 1007	ن ۱	0174.00	9440.00
NSV 1907	1	9174.23	9200,23

Frequency analysis of the data were performed with Fourier techniques applying the Lomb-Scargle algorithm (Lomb 1976; Scargle 1982) or following Deeming (1975). Unless variations on longer time scales were targeted these were removed by subtraction of a Savitzky & Golay (1964) filtered version of the light curve, using a cut-off time scale of 2 d and a 4<sup>th</sup> order

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://archive.stsci.edu

smoothing polynomial for the filter. The frequency errors of power spectrum signals were estimated using the prescription of Schwarzenberg-Czerny (1991) which due to flickering and window patterns of real variations may overestimate the true errors.

Comparing TESS light curves with terrestial data it should be kept in mind that the TESS passband encompasses a wide range between 6 000 and 10 000 Å, centred on the Cousins *I*-band.

For the deeply eclipsing CVs in the present sample eclipse epochs were measured to enable an update of the orbital ephemeris and for future reference. Instead of measuring individual eclipse timings the light curves were folded on the orbital period, choosing the epoch such that the centre of the primary eclipse coincides with phase 0. This was done individually for the data of each TESS sector, yielding more than one eclipse epoch for the light curves combined from several sectors. The corresponding results are listed in Table 2. For four CVs (UU Aqr, V348 Pup, RW Tri and UX UMa) additional eclipse epochs were measured in light curves downloaded from the American Association of Variable Star Observers (AAVSO) archives (Kafka 2021) and the data bank of the Observatório do Pico dos Dias<sup>2</sup> (LNA Data Bank) as the minima of polynomials of suitable degree fitted to the eclipse profiles. They are listed in Appendix A. The transformation from JD to BJD was performed using the on-line tool of Eastman et al. (2010).

# **3 RESULTS**

#### 3.1 PX And: no superhumps in the TESS light curve

PX And is an eclipsing novalike variable. Stanishev et al. (2002) derived the most accurate value for the orbital period: 0.146352739(11) d. The same authors also found a periodic variation at 0.1415 d which they attribute to a nSH, and another one at 0.207 d the origin of which remained unexplained. The only significant signals in the power spectrum of the single TESS light curve correspond to the orbital period and its overones. Fig. 1a shows the frequency range around the orbital signal (which is truncated in order to better visualize any faint signals in its vicinity). No trace of a SH, either positive or negative, or of the 0.207 d period appears. Their frequencies are marked by red arrows in the figure. A representative eclipse epoch derived from the TESS data is listed in Table 2.

#### 3.2 UU Aqr: The superhump vanished

UU Aqr is an eclipsing novalike variable. Although known as a variable star for almost a century (Beljawsky 1926) it was identified as a CV only in 1986 by Volkov et al. (1986). Superhumps were observed by Patterson et al. (2005) but were absent in extensive photometry of Bruch (2019a). Lima et al. (2021) make no mention of SHs but claim to see a photometric period of 54.4 min, and of 25.7 min in circular polarization.

TESS observed UU Aqr in a single sector. The power spectrum of the light curve, after masking eclipses and removing variations on time scale above 2 d, is shown in the upper

Table 2. Representative eclipse epochs.

Star	Light curve	Epoch (BJD)	Cycle number <sup>1</sup>
PX And	LC#1	2458779.1330	65187
UU Aqr	LC#1	2459462.1633	47111
RR Cha	LC#1	2459363.0160	0
AH Men	LC#1 LC#2 LC#3 (part 1) LC#3 (part 2) LC#3 (part 3) LC#4 LC#5 (part 1) LC#5 (part 2)	$\begin{array}{c} 2458340.0867\\ 2458425.0527\\ 2458611.0146\\ 2458646.1193\\ 2458671.0497\\ 2459051.1099\\ 2459348.1110\\ 2459373.0414 \end{array}$	0 668 2130 2406 2602 5590 7925 8121
BH Lyn	LC#1 LC#2	2458857.1359 2459591.1530	74911 79620
AY Psc	LC#1 (part 1) LC#1 (part 2)	$\begin{array}{c} 2459462.1062 \\ 2459488.1850 \end{array}$	$54476 \\ 54596$
V348 Pup	LC#1 (part 1) LC#1 (part 2)	2459211.0279 2459238.0154	$104276 \\ 104541$
RW Tri	LC#1	245880.0263	22112
UX UMa	LC#1 (part 1) LC#1 (part 2) LC#2 (part 1) LC#2 (part 2)	2458726.0252 2458746.0858 2458914.0431 2458944.1338	37658 37760 38614 38767
DW UMa	LC#1 LC#2	$\begin{array}{c} 2458885.0575\\ 2459622.0503\end{array}$	56178 61573
HS 1813-6122	$      LC\#1 (part 1) \\ LC\#1 (part 2) \\ LC\#1 (part 3) \\ LC\#1 (part 4) \\ LC\#1 (part 5) \\ LC\#1 (part 5) \\ LC\#2 (part 1) \\ LC\#2 (part 1) \\ LC\#2 (part 2) \\ LC\#2 (part 3) \\ LC\#2 (part 4) \\ LC\#2 (part 5) \\ LC\#2 (part 5) \\ LC\#2 (part 6) \\ LC\#3 \\ LC\#4 (part 1) \\ LC\#4 (part 3) $	$\begin{array}{r} 2458693.0878\\ 2458723.0351\\ 2458753.1285\\ 2458773.0430\\ 2458803.1372\\ 2458833.0826\\ 2458880.1437\\ 2458910.0900\\ 2458940.0367\\ 2458970.1298\\ 2459000.0758\\ 2459000.0758\\ 2459020.1399\\ 2459429.0664\\ 2459589.1243\\ 2459649.0186\end{array}$	0 203 407 542 746 949 1268 1471 1674 1878 2081 2217 4989 6074 6480
NSV 1907	LC#1	2459189.1635	7710

<sup>1</sup> cycle count convention according to: this work (UU Aqr, RR Cha, AH Men, RW Tri, UX UMa, HS 1813-6122);
Hellier & Robinson (1994) (PX And); Andronov et al. (1989) (BH Lyn); Diaz & Steiner (1990) (AY Psc); Dai et al. (2010) (V348 Pup); Boyd et al. (2017) (DW UMa); Hümmerich et al. (2017) (NSV 1907)

frame of Fig. 2. In the low (<20 d<sup>-1</sup>) frequency range the orbital signal and the first two overtones stand out moderately strong above a multitude of peaks with decreasing power towards higher frequencies which can be attributed to non-coherent fluctuations in the brightness of UU Aqr on time scales of hours. An increase of power between 10 and 11 d<sup>-1</sup> may be significant. No outstanding signal is present near 5.711 d<sup>-1</sup> (marked by a red arrow in the figure), i.e., the frequency of the SH which is so prominent in the observations of Patterson et al. (2005) (see their figure 3). Thus,



Figure 1. Power spectra in the range around the orbital frequency of six CVs with superhumps reported in the past but with no indications for superhumps in the TESS light curves. The orbital signals are heavily truncated in order to better visualize any faint signals in their vicinity. The frequencies of previously identified periodic signals are marked with red arrows. Note that the broad base around the orbital frequency (in particular in the RR Pic, AO Psc and AY Psc power spectra) are not independent signals but the unavoidable sidelobes of the main peak



Figure 2. Top: Low frequency part of the power spectrum of the UU Aqr light curve. The insert shows the adjacent higher frequency part at on expanded power scale. The marks drawn into the figure are explained in the text. Bottom: O-C diagram of eclipse timings of UU Aqr with respect to linear ephemeris according to Eq. 1. The red graph represents the best fit second order polynomial.

the superhump was not active during the epoch of the TESS observations.

At higher frequencies (insert in Fig. 2) the fourth overtone of  $F_{\rm orb}$  is the only signal which can be attributed to orbital variations (blue arrow). A stronger signal at 25.55 d<sup>-1</sup> (P = 56.36 min; red arrow) is distinct from the third orbital overtone, but is possibly related to the 54.4 min photometric periodicity mentioned by Lima et al. (2021). Although the corresponding frequency (red bar) is somewhat higher, the power spectrum in figure 7 of Lima et al. (2021) contains numerous alias peaks reaching out until well beyond 56.36 min. I note, however, that the light curves of Bruch (2019a) do not contain an indication for variations in this period range. On the other hand, the power spectrum of those data has a marginally significant peak compatible with the 25.7 min polarimetric period which Lima et al. (2021) take as an indication for an intermediate polar nature of UU Aqr. But no such signal can be discerned in the TESS data (green bar in Fig. 2).

The orbital period of UU Aqr was last refined by Baptista et al. (1995) almost 30 yr ago. It is based on eclipse epoch measurements over a time base of about 7 yr. I am not aware of any published eclipse timings since then which would enable to enlarge the time base for period determination. A representative eclipse epoch derived from the TESS light curve is listed in Table 2. The AAVSO archives and the LNA Data Bank contain many more time resolved light curves of UU Aqr observed between 2000 and 2019 which can be used to measure additional eclipse timings. After rejecting a couple of eclipses because their timings led to excessive O-C values, I am left with 99 additional eclipse epochs which are listed in Table A1. These new data extend the time base for period determination by more than a factor of 5.

Combining the new eclipse timings with those listed by Baptista et al. (1994), (assigning weight 10 to the TESS eclipse epoch because it is based on many individual eclipses, and 1 to all others), neglecting the slight difference between BJD and HJD used in the earlier publications, and choosing an epoch close to the centre of all available eclipse epochs as zero point for cycle counts, the revised linear orbital ephemeris for UU Aqr are:

$$T_{\min} = BJD\,2451755.72717(8) + 0.163580440(2) \times E \tag{1}$$

The resulting O - C curve for the eclipse epochs are plotted in the lower frame of Fig. 2. There is a clear trend over time which can very well be described by a parabola, indicating that the orbital period of UU Aqr changes gradually over time. Thus, the eclipse epochs are better described by quadratic ephemeris:

$$T_{\min} = BJD 2451755.72834(4)$$
(2)  
+0.163580465(1) × E  
-1.84(4) 10<sup>-12</sup> × E<sup>2</sup>

The period decreases currently at a rate of  $dP/dt = -2.240(4) \times 10^{-11}$  and the relative period decrease is  $\dot{P}/P = 5.010(9) \times 10^{-8} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ .

#### 3.3 KR Aur: The superhumps subsided

KR Aur is a well known novalike variable of the VY Scl subtype. The long term behaviour has been extensively monitored in the literature (see, e.g., Honeycutt & Kafka 2004). For the early history of the system, see Kato et al. (2002). The orbital period was measured spectroscopically by Hutchings et al. (1983) and Shafter (1983) and more recently photometrically by Rodríguez-Gil et al. (2020) who provide the most accurate value of 0.162771641(49) d. Apart from the frequent low states which characterize KR Aur as a VY Scl star the system exhibits variability also on

short time scales, i.e., the usual flickering seen in all CVs, but stronger than in most NLs (Bruch 2021). Significant signals with unstable periods on the time scale of several hundred seconds have been seen by Singh et al. (1993) and Kato et al. (2002). Biryukov & Borisov (1990) claim the presence of 25 min variations, but these are quite unstable and can at most be classified as quasi-periodic oscillations (QPOs). In contrast, Kozhevnikov (2007) reports the presence in 2004, January and February, of a nSH at a period of 0.15713(2) d. Similar signals were, however, not detected in observations of Kato et al. (2002). In contrast, more recently, in 2021 January, Boeva et al. (2021) observed a nSH at a period of 0.1367(2) d, significantly shorter than that seen by Kozhevnikov (2007). All these observations were performed in high states.

Some months later, between September and November of the same year, again in a high state, TESS observed KR Aur in three sectors in subsequent time intervals. Apart from multiple signals at frequencies  $<4 d^{-1}$  due to random variations on longer time scales, only a strong signal at the orbital frequency is outstanding. No indications for superhumps can be detected (Fig. 1b). Thus, the variations seen by Boeva et al. (2021) had subsided. The appearance of superhumps in KR Aur is consequently not permanent but an intermittent phenomenon. Moreover, the high frequency part of the power spectrum does not contain power in excess of the usual red flickering noise on the times scales indicated by Singh et al. (1993), Kato et al. (2002) or Biryukov & Borisov (1990).

#### 3.4 BZ Cam: Lots of unstable signals

The long term photometric behaviour of BZ Cam, classified as a novalike variable, is somewhat unusual for its class. For many years it remained at a seemingly stable magnitude of  $\sim$ 13 after a low state at  $\sim$ 14 mag in 1928 (Garnavich & Szkody 1988). Another low state occurred in 1999 (Greiner et al. 2001; Kato & Uemura 2001). This would make BZ Cam a typical VY Scl star. But the AAVSO long term light curve, starting in late 2000, contains several excursions to a brighter state around 12 mag (apart from a short glitch to the low state level).

Combining spectroscopic and photometric data Patterson et al. (1996) derived an orbital period of 0.153693(7) d. They also saw very complicated structures in the power spectra of their light curves with a concentration of multiple signals in the frequency range below ~20 d<sup>-1</sup>. They tried to isolate specific signals and to discuss them in terms of positive and negative SHs, but admitted that their interpretation is not unique. Kato & Uemura (2001), in contrast, claim the presence of a pSH at 0.15634(1) during the 1999 low state of BZ Cam.

The TESS observations of BZ Cam can be combined into three light curves. Their power spectra (upper frames of Fig. 3) are similar to those shown by Patterson et al. (1996) with a concentration of peaks in the range between 8 and 14 d<sup>-1</sup> (periods between 1.7 and 3 h). The orbital frequency is marked by red vertical bars in the figure. Orbital variations clearly manifest themselves in LC#1 and LC#3, but are absent in LC#2. No trace of the SH seen by Kato & Uemura (2001) is present in the power spectra.

In order to further investigate the occurrence of multiple



**Figure 3.** *Top:* Power spectra of the light curves of BZ Cam. the red vertical lines indicate the orbital frequency. *Bottom:* Part of the power spectrum of LC#1 in a conventional representation (left) together with a three dimensional plot of the power (colour coded) as a function of frequency and time (right). The double arrow above the plot indicates the width of the time intervals used to calculate the power spectrum and thus its time resolution.

apparently quite unstable periods of a few hours, time resolved power spectra were calculated using a sliding window with a widths of 4 d. The results for LC#1 are shown in the lower right frame of Fig. 3 (those for the other light curves are qualitatively similar). For comparison, the conventional power spectrum of the entire light curve is reproduced in the left frame. The time resolved spectrum is dominated by a profusion of signals which appear at random, vanish after a couple of days and can change their frequency during their life time. This is the typical behaviour of QPOs which are not uncommon in CVs but normally have shorter periods in the range of minutes to some tens of minutes. Their behaviour in BZ Cam is, however, somewhat reminiscent of CP Pup (see Paper I). These QPOs having been seen by Patterson et al. (1996) in 1994-95 and by TESS between 2019 and 2021 suggest that they are a permanent property of BZ Cam.

Another interesting feature in the time resolved power spectrum is the coming and going of the orbital signal which semi-periodically appears and vanishes on the time scale of several days.

# 3.5 V592 Cas: No nSH and drastically changed pSH waveform

V592 Cas was discovered by Greenstein et al. (1970) as LSI 55°-8. The orbital period was measured spectroscopically to be 0.115063(1) d by Taylor et al. (1998). The latter authors also found strong pSHs at a period of 0.12228(1) d. Additionally, in 1997-1998 they saw a weak signal at 0.11193(5) d which they interpreted as a nSH. This was not detected in the 1993 observing season.

The overall properties of the two available TESS light curves taken about 6 months apart are similar. The upper frame of Fig. 4 shows LC#2. It is characterized by regular but non periodic variations on the time scale of a day. This is reflected in the power spectra in the lower left frame of the



Figure 4. Top: Light curve LC#2 of V592 Cas. Bottom: Power spectra (left) and superhump waveforms (right) derived from light curves LC#1 (black) and LC#2 (red, shifted vertically for clarity).

figure which contains numerous peaks at frequencies below  $4 d^{-1}$ . A faint peak corresponding to a period of 0.1151(1) d in both power spectra can be identified with the orbital period of V592 Cas. I consider another signal at a slightly lower frequency, corresponding to a period of 0.1225(1) d, very similar to that reported by Taylor et al. (1998), as being caused by a positive superhump. However, its first overtone is vastly stronger. This is explained by the SH waveform shown in the lower right frame of Fig. 4 which consists of two maxima separated by minima of quite different depth. Except for a reversal of the slightly different heights of the two maxima, the waveform is the same in both lightcurves. It is drastically different from the simple saw-tooth shape observed by Taylor et al. (1998).

With one notable exception, apart from overtones and simple arithmetic combinations of the orbital and SH frequencies the power spectra contain no indications of other significant periodicities. In particular, even after applying the same technique as Taylor et al. (1998), i.e., subtracting the pSH variation from the light curve, the present data reveal no trace of a nSH. The exception is a peak at low frequencies (period: 0.53 d) seen in LC#2 which is about as strong as the dominant first overtone of the superhump. It is not present in LC#1. One might therefore suspect it to be due to an accidental alignment of the random low frequency variations of V592 Cas. But this seems not to be the case because it is equally present at very nearly the same frequency in the first and in the second half of the light curve and thus persists at least over its total time base. This periodicity has no obvious relationship to the orbital or the SH period. Its nature remains unclear.

Finally, there is a broad enhancement of power between 65 and 170 d<sup>-1</sup> (8.5 – 22 min) which may explain the 22 min oscillation observed by Kato & Starkey (2002).



Figure 5. Top Left: Power spectrum of RR Cha. On the scale of the plot the orbital and SH signals are only marginally resolved. Therefore, the insert shows the corresponding frequency range on an expanded scale. To Right: Average waveform of the orbital variations of RR Cha. Bottom: Time resolved power spectrum of the range around the superhump frequency.

# 3.6 RR Cha: Superhumps and a revision of the WD spin period

Few detailed studies of the quiescent phase of Nova Chamaeleontis 1953 (RR Cha) have been published. Most relevant in the present context is the paper of Woudt & Warner (2002) who discovered eclipses in RR Cha, recurring at a period of 0.1401 d. Moreover, they detected positive as well as negative SHs at periods of 0.14442 d and 0.13529 d, respectively. They also identify a signal in the power spectra of their data corresponding to a period of 32.5 min and suspect RR Cha to be an intermediate polar. Further evidence for this is provided by Rodríguez-Gil & Potter (2003) who observed circular polarization in RR Cha which "appears to be modulated on the spin period of the primary and harmonics of the positive superhump period".

Due to the faintness of RR Cha, the TESS light curve presents itself to the eye as almost featureless. However, a closer look reveals some interesting properties. The power spectrum of the original data is dominated by a signal at the orbital frequency. The period measured by Woudt & Warner (2002) is based on observations over a time interval of just above 2 d. The TESS light curve with a time base of almost 56 d should therefore permit to increase the accuracy of the orbital period by more than an order of magnitude. Folding the data on the inverse of the orbital frequency derived from the power spectrum yields a representative epoch for the eclipse minimum (Table 2) and the ephemeris

$$T_{\rm ecl} = BJD\,2459363.0160(14) + 0.14006(1) \times E \tag{3}$$

where the error of the epoch is arbitrarily taken to be 1% of the period. The orbital waveform is shown in the upper right frame of Fig. 5. Out of eclipse it is characterized by a symmetrical double hump.

The power spectrum of RR Cha, after masking the eclipses, is reproduced in the upper left frame of Fig. 5. It is dominated by a signal at  $F_{\rm SH} = 7.346(1) \ d^{-1}$  ( $P_{\rm SH} = 0.13613(2) \ d$ ), just above the orbital frequency. The period is very close to that of the nSH seen by Woudt & Warner (2002). A time resolved power spectrum reveals a significant evolution of the strength

of this signal. It starts rather weakly, gains strength in the third quarter of the light curve, and then looses power again, as can be seen in the time resolved power spectrum shown in the lower frame of Fig. 5. The TESS light curve does not contain the pSH detected by Woudt & Warner (2002).

Other signals in the power spectrum can be identified as the first overtone of the orbital frequency and arithmetic combinations of the orbital and the superhump frequencies. However, a peak at 22.215(3) d<sup>-1</sup> cannot be explained in this way. The corresponding period of 64.821(9) min is almost exactly twice the 32.5 min period seen by Woudt & Warner (2002) (with no error margin attached to it) and which they interpret as either the white dwarf spin period or its beat with the orbital period. This can hardly be a coincidence. Depending on the detailed conditions in an individual system the interplay between orbital motion and the white dwarf spin can lead to many different periodic modulations in the light curves (Warner 1986; Norton et al. 1996). Signals at twice the spin frequency are, for instance, seen in the intermedidate polar AO Psc (see Sects. 3.14). It is therefore conceivable that the period seen by Woudt & Warner (2002) is the first overtone of the spin period (which is not seen in the present TESS data) and that a change in the system configuration leads to a signal at the fundamental period seen now.

Two more apparently significant signals can be detected in the power spectrum, one identified in Fig. 5 as  $F_{\rm lf}$  = 1.622(2) d<sup>-1</sup> ( $P_{\rm lf}$  = 0.6164(7) d), the other as  $F_{\rm hf}$  = 27.381(3) d<sup>-1</sup> ( $P_{\rm hf}$  = 0.036522(4) d). Neither  $P_{\rm lf}$  = 14.8 h nor  $P_{\rm hf}$  = 52.6 min has an obvious relationship to other periods in RR Cha. Thus, their origin remains unexplained.

#### 3.7 V751 Cyg: Nothing new

Patterson et al. (2001) measured a spectroscopic orbital period of 0.1445(1) d for V751 Cvg and found a nSH at 0.13948(7) d. The latter was also seen by Papadaki et al. (2009). The single TESS light curve confirms the continued presence of the superhump at a period of 0.13930(2) d with a very nearly sinusoidal waveform. Fig. 6 shows the light curve, the power spectrum and the superhump waveform. The orbital frequency is marked by a red arrow in the power spectrum, indicating that an orbital signal is notably absent in the light curve. Instead, a clear signal at 1.87 d, i.e., the beat between orbit and superhump, is clearly seen (marked by a red bar in the insert of the lower left frame of Fig. 6). It also appears in the power spectrum of Patterson et al. (2001) The power spectrum does not contain other coherent signals but an enhancement of power between 60 and 75 d<sup>-1</sup> (20 – 24 min), possibly due to QPOs.

#### 3.8 V1974 Cyg: Superhumps and a 1.3 d variation

V1974 Cyg (Nova Cygni 1992) exhibits two distinct photometric periodicities. The first one, at 0.0812585(5) d (DeYoung & Schmidt 1993; Retter et al. 1997), is considered to be orbital. A second slightly variable period close to 0.0850 d (Semeniuk et al. 1994, 1995; Retter et al. 1997) can be interpreted as a positive superhump. A third periodicity of 0.08304 d was seen in 1994 by Retter et al. (1997) but did not show up in 1995.

The two available TESS light curves, separated by



**Figure 6.** Top: Light curve of V751 Cyg. Bottom left: Power spectrum of V751 Cyg. The red arrow indicates the frequency of the orbital period which is not detectable in the light curve. The insert shows the low frequency part the power spectrum where the beat



Figure 7. Power spectra of the two TESS light curves of V1974 Cyg.

two years, confirm the presence of the orbital signal at 0.08127(2) d while the superhump signal yields slightly different periods of 0.08504(2) and 0.08525(2) d in LC#1 and LC#2 (Fig. 7). Both signals are of comparable strength in the power spectra. Additionally, the power spectrum of LC#2 contains another peak, marked with a question mark in the figure, which is almost as strong as the superhump signal. It corresponds to a period of 1.281(7) d. Note that this is *not* the beat period between the orbital and superhump modulations. Its origin remains unclear but is reminiscent of the 0.53 d period seen in LC#2 of V592 Cas (Sect. 3.5). The 0.083 d period reported by Retter et al. (1997) cannot be deteced in the TESS light curves.

It is noteworthy, however, that in addition to the superhump Semeniuk et al. (1994) found a period of 3.75 d in their data. Although they do not quote error limits, their fig. 7 suggests that this period is compatible with twice the beat between the orbital and the superhump periods (1.84 d) (see also Semeniuk et al. 1995), similar to what has been seen by Bruch & Cook (2018) in V603 Aql.



Figure 8. Top: Light curve LC#1 of BB Dor. Middle: Light curve of TESS sector 12. The red dots above the light curves mark predicted times of maxima of variations with a period/quasi-period of 32.15 d (top) and 1.35 d (middle). Bottom left: Power spectrum of LC#1. The insert contains an expanded view of the low frequency range. Bottom right: LC#1 folded on the four independent periods identified in the light curves (after subracting variations on longer time scales).

### 3.9 BB Dor: Four periods, but not the orbital one

BB Dor (= EC 05287-5847) was identified as a cataclysmic variable by Chen et al. (2001). Their tentative classification as a VY Scl type star was confirmed by Rodríguez-Gil et al. (2012). The latter authors observed long term variations with a period of 36.43 d as well as a spectroscopic orbital period of 0.154095(30) d. A period of 0.14923(7) d – observed and thought to be orbital by Patterson et al. (2005) – would then be due to a nSH, while a weaker signal at 0.1633 d indicates a pSH. Another still much weaker signal in their power spectrum has a frequency of 12.833 d<sup>-1</sup>, very nearly the sum of the two superhump frequencies.

TESS observed BB Dor in no less than 20 sectors. The star remained in a stable high state all the time. The individual light curves can be combined into two long ones, both with baselines of almost a year (there is a gap of 27 d in the second light curve). LC#1 is shown in the upper frame of Fig. 8. The middle frame contains the light curve of sector 12. Periodic or semi-periodic variations are obvious on three different time scales: some tens of days, just over one day, and a fraction of a day. Predicted times of maxima for the first two of these, based on a formal period measurement (see below) are marked by red dots on top of the light curves in the figure.

The power spectrum LC#1 is reproduced in the lower left frame of Fig. 8. That of LC#2 is practically identical. Four independent signals can be identified. The corresponding frequencies  $F_1 - F_4$  are marked in the figures and listed together with the corresponding periods in Table 3. On a low power level (not resolved in the figure) several more significant peaks appear, but they all occur at frequencies equal to

**Table 3.** Independent frequencies and their corresponding periods identified in the light curves of BB Dor.

	LC	Frequency $(d^{-1})$	Period (d)
$F_1$	LC#1 LC#2	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0311 \ (2) \\ 0.0267 \ (2) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 32.1 \ (2) \\ 37.5 \ (3) \end{array}$
$F_2$	LC#1 LC#2	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2225 \ (2) \\ 0.2231 \ (1) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.495 \ (3) \\ 4.483 \ (3) \end{array}$
$F_3$	LC#1 LC#2	$\begin{array}{c} 0.7549 \ (2) \\ 0.7533 \ (2) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.3247 \ (3) \\ 1.3227 \ (4) \end{array}$
$F_4$	LC#1 LC#2	$\begin{array}{c} 6.71402 \ (2) \\ 6.71454 \ (3) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1489422 \ (4) \\ 0.1489305 \ (6) \end{array}$

simple arithmetic combinations of the main signals and are therefore not independent.  $P_1$  varies significantly,  $P_2 - P_4$ only slightly between LC#1 and LC#2. In fact, in the power spectrum of the combined data the corresponding peaks split up into two. Therefore, values derived from both light curves are listed in the table.

The bottom right frame of Fig. 8, finally, shows LC#1 folded on the four periods, after variations on appropriate longer time scales have been subtracted in the case of  $P_2 - P_4$ . The waveforms derived from LC#2 are not significantly different.

The slight differences in time between the brightness peaks and the red dots in the upper frame of Fig. 8 and the significantly different periods found in LC#1 and LC#2 indicate that the  $P_1$  variations are not strictly periodic. Nevertheless, they can clearly be identified with the quasi-periodic brightenings observed by Rodríguez-Gil et al. (2012). The different periods in the two TESS light curves [embracing the period of Rodríguez-Gil et al. (2012), separated by a year, indicates that they are not caused by a stable clock in BB Dor. However, their persistence over more than 14 yr tells us that this is not just a transient phenomenon. Rodríguez-Gil et al. (2012) speculate that these variations are due to mass transfer variation caused by migrating star spots on the secondary star or stunted outbursts. But it is then not obvious why the brightenings occur with a reasonable well defined periodicity. The convex shape of the light between maxima suggests a gradual build-up and subsequent decay of the (so far unknown) process responsible for the modulations.

 $P_4$  is very close to the main photometric period seen by Patterson et al. (2005) and which is interpreted by Rodríguez-Gil et al. (2012) as due to a nSH. One of the fainter power spectrum peaks mentioned above (better defined in LC#2 than in LC#1) has a frequency of 6.4918 (5) d<sup>-1</sup>, which on the one hand is within the error margin of the spectroscopic orbital period and on the other hand is almost identical to  $F_4 - F_2$ . Thus,  $P_4$  can indeed be identified with a nSH period, and  $P_2$  is correspondingly the nodal precession period of a warped accretion disk.

What about  $P_3$ ? Could it be the apsidal precession period of an excentric disk? In that case the expected frequency of a pSH would be  $F_3 + F_{orb} = 7.245$  (1) d<sup>-1</sup>. The closest marginally significant peak in the power spectrum of LC#1 is at 7.2558(6) d<sup>-1</sup>. The period difference between the orbital and the superhump periods would then be three times as large for the positive than for the negative superhump. While not impossible, this is significantly more than the canonical dif-



Figure 9. Top: Light curve LC#2 of BB Dor. Middle: Time resolved power spectrum of the light curve in a small range around the nSH frequency. Bottom: The same for a frequency range around  $F_3$ .

ference of a factor of two. It may also be questioned why the superhump signal is then so much fainter than that due to the apsidal disk motion. An alternative, but equally unsatisfactory hypothesis is an interplay between  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ . Is it a coincidence that in both light curves  $3(F_1 + F_2) = F_3$  within the formal  $1\sigma$  error margin? As long as the origin of  $P_1$  remains unknown it is difficult even to speculate about a reason for such an interplay.

The long light curves permit a closer look at the temporal development of the periodic signals. The time resolved power spectrum of LC#2, in the frequency range of the nSH and of  $F_3$ , constructed using a sliding window with a width of 10 d, is shown in the middle and lower frames, respectively, of Fig. 9, with the light curve shown in the upper frame. The corresponding power spectrum of LC#1 is very similar. The superhump signal itself does not vary significantly with time. But it is flanked symmetrically on both sides (stronger at frequencies lower than the SH frequency) by structures modulated with the long period  $(P_1)$  variations. Their frequency difference with respect to the SH frequency is equal to the frequency of the  $F_3$  signal in the lower frame of the figure.  $F_3$ is approximate constant during "quiescent" phases, subsides at the onset of the brightenings and reappears at a lower frequency during their maxima.

As a final remark on BB Dor, I note that the power spectra of the TESS light curves contain an excess of power between 30 and 100  $d^{-1}$ , encompassing the range in which Chen et al. (2001) observed QPOs.

The complex variability of BB Dra disclosed by the long TESS light curves certainly deserves a more detailed investigation and interpretation. But this is beyond the scope of the present paper and must await a specific study.

#### 3.10 BH Lyn: Positive Superhumps and QPOs

BH Lyn was discovered as PG 0818+513 in the Palomar-Green survey (Green et al. 1986). The system is eclipsing and thus makes it easy to determine a reliable orbital period which has been derived many times in the past. The



Figure 10. Left: Power spectra of LC#1 of BH Lyn in four narrow frequency ranges of  $\pm 2.5 \text{ d}^{-1}$  around the orbital frequency and its first, second and third overtone (highlighted in red). Right: Time resolved power spectra of the same frequency range, using a sliding window with a width of four days.

most precise value of 0.155875577(14) d was measured by Stanishev et al. (2006). They also noted the presence of variations at a slightly smaller period of 0.1450(65) d which they interpret as a nSH. A similar variation at 0.1490(011) d was also seen by Patterson (1999). Additionally, Stanishev et al. (2006) observe the presence of a signal close to  $32 \text{ d}^{-1}$  in the power spectra of most of their light curves which they attribute to QPOs.

The two available TESS light curves of BH Lyn exhibit irregular variations on time scales of a few days. Apart from the primary eclipse the orbital waveform exhibits a clear secondary eclipse. More interesting, however, are the power spectra (after masking the primary eclipses). On the left side of Fig. 10 the power spectrum of LC#1 is reproduced, concentrating on a frequency range of  $\pm 2.5 \text{ d}^{-1}$  around the orbital frequency and its first, second and third overtone. The peak caused by the orbital variations is highlighted in red. On the right side of the figure the time resolved power spectra in the same frequency range are shown, based on a sliding window with a widths of four days (thus, structures separated by less than four days are not independent). The general apparence of the power spectra of LC#2 is very similar.

While in none of the power spectra a significant peak is detected at a frequency close to that corresponding to the nSHs seen by Patterson (1999) and Stanishev et al. (2006), the dominant signal in the upper frames of the figure has a frequency just below the orbital frequency. The time resolved power spectrum shows that, albeit exhibiting some modulation in its strength, this signal is persistent over the whole extend of the light curve. It indicates thus the presence of a pSH with a period of 0.17059(5) d in LC#1. A similar persistent pSH is also seen in LC#2, but at a significantly shorter period of 0.16484(4) d. The period excess  $\epsilon = (P_{\rm SH} - P_{\rm orb})/P_{\rm orb}$  thus drops from 0.094 in LC#1 to 0.058 in LC#2. While it is known that superhump periods can change over time, such a large difference of  $\epsilon$  at different epochs is unusual.

Apart from the orbital and SH signals the power spectra contain a multitude of peaks in narrow frequency ranges around the orbital frequency and its overtones. They appear less clearly also at higher overtones than shown in Fig. 10 [note that the QPOs claimed by Stanishev et al. (2006) at  $32 d^{-1}$  are very close to the forth overtone of the orbital frequency]. No simple relation between them is apparent, meaning that they are independent from each other. The time resolved power spectra reveal that they can appear for considerable time intervals with varying strength and frequency changes. These are characteristics of QPOs. Their concentration around the orbital frequency and its overtones is noteworthy. While not as extreme, this behaviour is reminiscent of similar properties observed in the old nova CP Pup (see Paper I) and BZ Cam (Sect. 3.4).

Finally, representative eclipse epochs of BH Lyn are listed in Table 2 for future reference.

#### 3.11 BK Lyn: Positive superhump, yes, but no negative one

BK Lvn (=PG 0917+342) is a novalike variable with some curious peculiarities. At an orbital period of 0.07498(4) d (Ringwald et al. 1996) it is one of very few (non-magnetic) novalike variables below the CV period gap. In a never before seen transition, in 2005 BK Lyn morphed into a ER UMa star (Patterson et al. 2013), i.e., an SU UMa star with many normal outbursts in quick succession and a very short supercycle. However, in 2014 the system returned to the more stable high brightness state of a NL, as is evident from the AAVSO long term light curve. Superhumps were first seen in BK Lyn by Howell et al. (1991) but misinterpreted as orbital variations. Skillman & Patterson (1993) then correctly identified a 113.1 min modulation with a slightly varying period as a pSH which was later confirmed by Misselt & Shafter (1995). In a more extensive photometric study Patterson et al. (2013), in addition to pSHs, also detected their negative counterparts in some observing seasons. Finally, Yang et al. (2017) claim the presence of a long term period of 42.05(1) d in BK Lyn.

The only TESS light curve available (upper frame of Fig. 11) shows a clear modulation on the time scale of about 1.5 d superposed on a longer period variation. The latter is well fit by a sine wave with a period of 17.29(3) d (the red line in the figure). But since the light curve covers only just about 1.5 cycles it is by no means clear that this modulation is, in fact, periodic and persistent. The origin of the more rapid variations becomes immediately clear looking at the power spectrum in the lower left frame of Fig. 11 which is dominated by a strong signal at  $F_{\rm SH} = 12.7096(3) \ {\rm d}^{-1}$  $(P_{\rm SH} = 0.078681(2) \text{ d})$ . It is evidently due to the pSH observed on previous occasions and now has a slightly longer period. A much fainter signal is present at a higher frequency of  $F_{\rm orb} = 13.344(2) \ d^{-1} \ (P_{\rm orb} = 0.074942(9) \ d)$ . Within the error quoted by Ringwald et al. (1996) this period is identical to the spectroscopic orbital period but has a higher precision. Another signal appears at a low frequency of  $F_{\rm b} = 0.633(1) \ {\rm d}^{-1}$  ( $P_{\rm b} = 1.579(3) \ {\rm d}$ ). Within the error margins this is the difference between  $F_{\rm orb}$  and  $F_{\rm SH}$  and thus the period of the apsidal motion of an eccentric disk in the canonical interpretation of positive superhumps. It explains



Figure 11. *Top:* Light curve of BK Lyn. The red graph represents a least squares sine fit with a period of 17.29 d. *Bottom:* Power spectrum of BK Lyn (left) and waveforms of the superhump and orbital modulations (right).

the shorter time scale modulations seen in the light curve. At higher frequencies signals at simple arithmetic combinations of  $F_{\rm orb}$  and  $F_{\rm SH}$  appear, but the data contain no trace of the nSH seen by Patterson et al. (2013).

The waveform of the superhump variations (in black, together with the unconspicuous quasi-sinusoidal orbital waveform in red) is shown in the lower right frame of Fig. 11. Over the years the superhump shape varies. In the TESS data it comprises only the first have of the cycle. The second half is characterized by an only slighly declining level in the phase range 0.55 - 0.85 before dropping to the minimum at phase 0. In Patterson et al. (2013) (their figure 3) the waveform is clearly double humped, while Skillman & Patterson (1993) observed an almost sinusoidal superhump (their figure 6).

# 3.12 AH Men: Shallow eclipses and a strong negative superhump

Buckley et al. (1993) published the first encompassing photometric study of AH Men (1H05551-819). Apart from QPOlike variations in the range of 600 - 2400 s they detected the presence of a quasi-sinusoidal modulation at 0.1392202(9) d. Radial velocities measurements confirm that this variation "occurs at, or very near to, the orbital period". This, however, is at odds with later observations of Patterson (1995) who noted the continuous presence of a signal at 0.1229934 (6)d. While in 1995 AH Men did not exhibit other coherent variations, between December 1993 and February 1994 Patterson saw strong variations at 0.127208 d (which he considers orbital), 0.12300 d, 0.062517 d and 3.7 d, as well as oscillations in the range of 17 - 22 min. In a later paper Patterson (1998) mentions a superhump period of 0.1385(2) d. Should this [and the period seen by Buckley et al. (1993)] be due to a pSH, while the 0.12300 d period points at a nSH?

The upper frame of Fig. 12 shows one of the five available TESS light curves (LC#5). It is dominated by regular varia-



Figure 12. Top: Part of LC#5 of AH Men. Bottom left: Power spectrum of the light curve. The inserts contain small frequency intervals of this power spectrum on an expanded vertical scale. Here, "b" stands for the beat frequency between the orbital and superhump signals. Bottom right: Orbital waveform of AH Men (average of all light curves).

tions which, as we will see, are due to the beat between the orbital and a nSH period. While this signal shows up in the power spectra of all light curves it is obvious to the eye only in LC#3 and later. Apart from this periodicity the power spectrum of LC#5 (lower left frame of the figure) just as that of all other light curves is dominated by a strong peak close to  $8.09 \text{ d}^{-1}$  and some much fainter satellite lines, as shown in the lower left frame of the figure and on an expanded scale in the left insert. I interpret the strong  $F_{\rm SH} = 8.09 \ d^{-1}$  signal as being due to a negative superhump and a smaller peak at  $F_{\rm orb} = 7.86 \ {\rm d}^{-1}$  as orbital. Other signals are related to the beat between them. At higher frequencies another group of signals appears (right insert), the strongest occurring at  $2F_{\rm orb} = 15.72361(8)$ . At still higher frequencies additional peaks are seen which can all be interpreted as linear combinations of  $F_{\rm orb}$  and  $F_{\rm SH}$ .

Why do I consider  $F_{\rm orb}$  to be orbital in nature? This becomes obvious when folding the light curves on the corresponding period. The average of all folded light curves is shown in the lower right frame of Fig. 12. It clearly reveals a double-humped structure and a rather shallow eclipse. This waveform explains why the first overtone of  $F_{\rm orb}$  in the power spectra is so much stronger than the fundamental frequency. On the other hand, folding the light curves on  $1/F_{\rm SH}$  yield a nearly sinusoidal waveform, albeit with a much larger amplitude. Based on all light curves (eclipse timings are listed in Table 2), the following ephemeris for the eclipse minimum is derived:

# $T_{\min} = BJD2458340.0869(2) + 0.12719550(5) \times E$

Although rather stable, the period of the superhump varies slightly. The corresponding values measured in the individual light curves are: 0.12364(3) (LC#1), 0.12383(3) (LC#2), 0.123485(5) (LC#3), 0.12337(2) (LC#4) and 0.123446(6) (LC#5).

# 3.13 RR Pic: Only transient superhumps

RR Pic is a well studied old nova. The orbital period reveals itself in the form of a clear and persistent hump first seen by van Houten (1966) and ever since. The most precise value of 0.145025959 d was derived by Fuentes-Morales et al. (2018). They also saw pSHs with a period of 0.1577 in 2007. Schmidtobreick et al. (2008) report another superhump instance in 2005 with the same period and which went along with a signal at the beat between the SH and orbital periods.

The numerous sectorial data sets of RR Pic observed by TESS can be combined into 5 contiguous light curves, two of which encompass about 8 months. The orbital signal is outstanding in the respective power spectra, but no trace of a superhump is visible, even after carefully subtracting the average orbital waveform from the data (Fig. 1c). It is, however, remarkable that the waveform is extremely stable [and quite similar to the one shown in fig. 3 of Schmidtobreick et al. (2008)] over the almost 3 years spanned by the data. Even small details are faithfully repeated in the waveforms derived from the individual light curves.

Schmidtobreick et al. (2008) and Fuentes-Morales et al. (2018) analyzed light curves of RR Pic from 11 observing seasons and saw superhumps only twice. Adding to this the TESS data without SHs (over a total time base of  $\approx 3$  yr) makes it clear that superhumps in this system are only rare and transient events.

#### 3.14 AO Psc: No confirmation of superhumps

The optical light curve of the well known intermediate polar AO Psc is dominated by the orbital period at 0.1495 d and the orbital side band of the white dwarf spin period at 14.31 min (Patterson & Price 1981; Motch & Pakull 1981). SHs in AO Psc with a period of 0.149627 d are only mentioned briefly by Patterson (2001) who referred details to a publication in preparation which, however, never appeared.

The power spectrum of the single TESS light curves contains strong signals at the orbital frequency  $F_{\rm orb}$ , the orbital sideband of the white dwarf spin frequency  $F_{\rm spin} - F_{\rm orb}$ , and weaker signals at  $2F_{\rm orb}$ ,  $F_{\rm spin}$ ,  $F_{\rm spin} - 2F_{\rm orb}$ ,  $2F_{\rm spin}$  and  $2F_{\rm spin} - 2F_{\rm orb}$ . But there are no indications of superhumps (Fig. 1d).

# 3.15 AY Psc: No superhumps, but an increasing orbital period

In contrast to the other objects in this study, AY Psc is a dwarf nova. It belongs to the Z Cam stars (Mercado & Honeycutt 2002), i.e., those dwarf novae which occasionally remain in a standstill. The TESS light curve, observed in subsequent time intervals in two sectors and covering 51 days, is rather stable and does not contain the usual alternations between outbursts and quiescent phases with a quasi-period of 18.3 d (Han et al. 2017). It may therefore be concluded that the system was in a standstill during the entire observing period. During such phases the accretion disk is expected to be be in a hot state similar to those of NLs and old novae. Therefore, I include AY Psc in this study.

AY Psc is an eclipsing system as first noticed by Szkody et al. (1989). Orbital ephemeris were provided by Diaz & Steiner (1990) and later refined by Gülsecen et al.



**Figure 13.** Left: O - C diagram of eclipse timings of AY Psc with respect to linear ephemeris (Eq. 4). The red graph is a least squares fit of a 2<sup>nd</sup> order polynomial to the data. Right: Orbital waveform of AY Psc during standstill.

(2009) and Han et al. (2017). Gülsecen et al. (2009) reported the presence of nSHs with a slightly changing period between 0.2057 and 0.2073 d during three observing missions in 2003, 2004 and 2005. The total amplitude of the light variations of AY Psc in each of these missions was about 2.25 mag which is similar to its average outburst amplitude (Han et al. 2017). Thus, the system was observed during a period of normal dwarf nova activity. Gülsecen et al. (2009) did not investigate a dependence of the superhump properties on the phase of the outburst cycle.

The power spectrum of the TESS light curve (after masking the eclipses) does not confirm the presence of SHs Fig 1e). The only significant signals are at the orbital frequency and its overtones. Thus, if nSHs occur during the normal activity cycle of AY Psc, they subsided at least during the prolonged standstill covered by TESS.

The many eclipses in the TESS light curve permit, together with data taken from the literature, an improvement of the orbital period of AY Psc. Representative eclipse timings for the light curves observed in the two sectors covered by TESS are listed in Table 2. These, together with the eclipse timings listed by Diaz & Steiner (1990) and Han et al. (2017), including also the eclipse epoch taken from the ephemeris of Gülsecen et al. (2009) (unfortunately, they do not list individual eclipse timings), assigning a weight of 1 to the literature timings and 10 to the representative TESS eclipse epochs, yield the linear ephemeris:

$$T_{\min} = BJD \,2447623.3460(2) + 0.217320654(4) \times E \tag{4}$$

Here, the errors are the formal fits error of the linear fit to the cycle number – eclipse epoch relation.

The O - C diagram with respect to the linear ephemeris is shown in the left frame of Fig. 13 where the red graph is a fit of a 2<sup>nd</sup> order polynomial to the data. It suggests that quadratic ephemeris provide a better description of the eclipse timings:

$$T_{\min} = BJD \, 2447623.34640(6) \qquad (5) +0.217320452(1) \times E +3.69(2) \, 10^{-12} \times E^2$$

The period increases thus currently at a rate of  $\dot{P} = 3.40(3) \times 10^{-11}$ . The relative period increase is  $\dot{P}/P = 5.71(4) \times 10^{-8} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ .

The light curve, folded on the orbital period, yields the waveform shown in the right frame of Fig. 13. Apart from

the primay eclipse a secondary eclipse occurs at phase 0.5. It is preceded by a hump. No hump is apparent at the phases before the primary eclipse, in contrast to what is often seen in other eclipsing CVs and is attributed to enhanced emission from a bright spot. The waveform is thus different from that observed by Gülsecen et al. (2009) in white light (see their fig. 3), but this may at least in part be due to the different passband of TESS.

#### 3.16 V348 Pup: Superhumps confirmed

At an orbital period of 0.101838931(14) d (Rolfe et al. 2000), V348 Pup is an eclipsing novalike variable right at the centre of the CV period gap. Photometric variations with a period slightly different from the orbital one made Tuohy et al. (1990) suspect the star to be what would nowadays be considered an asynchronous polar; a notion which could neither be confirmed no rejected in pointed X-ray observations by Rosen et al. (1994), while Froning et al. (2003) found no evidence for a magnetic nature. Instead, Rolfe et al. (2000) reported SHs with a slightly variable period in V348 Pup in 1991, 1993 and 1995 which, however, were not seen when Saito & Baptista (2016) observed the star at a later epoch.

The light curve of V348 Pup, combining data from two TESS sectors, is shown in the upper frame of Fig. 14. The strong out-of-eclipse variations have a period of 1.797(1) d and immediately suggest to be due to the beat between the orbital and a SH period and thus the precession period of an accretion disk. This is confirmed by the power spectrum (lower left frame of the figure) which, apart from the dominating signal at the beat frequency, contains a peak at the orbital frequency  $F_{\rm orb}$  and a strong signal at  $F_{\rm SH} = 9.2626(8) \, d^{-1}$  $(P_{\rm SH} = 0.107961(9) \text{ d})$ . The period of the latter lies within the range of SH periods observed by Rolfe et al. (2000), leaving no doubt that it is due to a positive superhump. Other signals and a multitude of peaks at frequencies beyond the range shown in the figure can all be expressed as simple arithmetic combinations of  $F_{\rm orb}$  and  $F_{\rm SH}$ . The waveform of the superhump is significantly structured as seen in the lower right frame of Fig. 14.

Investigating all available eclipse timings available at the time, Dai et al. (2010) concluded that the orbital period of V348 Pup is currently increasing. Average eclipse epochs derived from the two TESS sector data (Table 2) and 9 additional epochs measured in archival light curves retrieved from the LNA Data Bank (Table A2) permit to extend the total time base. I confirm the period increase and derive quadratic ephemeris for V348 Pup which are very similar to those quoted by Dai et al. (2010):

$$T_{\min} = BJD \, 2448591.668(1) \qquad (6) \\ +0.1018389(2) \times E \\ +2.6(1.7) \, 10^{-13} \times E^2$$

### 3.17 RW Tri: no superhumps in TESS data

RW Tri is a deeply eclipsing novalike variable of the UX UMa type. Low amplitude ( $\approx 0.5$  mag) oscillations on time scales of some tens of days have been observed by Honeycutt et al. (1994), Honeycutt (2001), and even more clearly by Bruch (2020). Apart from this the system is relatively stable as



Figure 14. Top: Light curve of V348 Pup. Bottom: Power spectrum (left) and superhump waveform (right).

is corroborated by the long term AAVSO light curve. Smak (2019) reports nSHs with a period of 0.2203 d in his observations obtained in 1984 and possibly in 1957. They were, however, not present in 2015 – 2016 (Bruch 2020). The more extensive continuous TESS data permit an additional verfication of Smak's claim of superhumps in RW Tri.

A single TESS light curve of the system is available (upper frame of Fig. 15). Over its 24 d time base a gradual rise and subsequent decline in brightness occurs which is roughly compatible with the oscillations mentioned above. The flux level at the bottom of the eclipses follows these variations. This means that the responsible light source is not eclipsed.

The power spectrum does not contain significant signals at frequencies other than the orbital frequency and its overtones (Fig. 1f). Thus, at least during the epoch of the TESS observations no superhumps were excited in RW Tri.

As in the case of UU Aqr the last update of the orbital period of RW Tri occurred decades ago (Robinson et al. 1991). I retrieved numerous light curves from the AAVSO archives observed between 2005 and 2018 and measured 95 additional eclipse epochs in the same way as was done for UU Aqr and V348 Pup. The results are listed in Table A3. They were used together with the representative eclipse epoch derived from the TESS light curve (see Table 2), which got 10 times the weight of the individual eclipses, and those listed by Mandel (1965), Africano et al. (1978) and Robinson et al. (1991) to recalculate orbital ephemeris for RW Tri:

$$T_{\min} = BJD \,2453672.6246(4) + 0.231883245(6) \times E \tag{7}$$

Not surprisingly, the orbital period is very close to the value quoted by Robinson et al. (1991). As in many other CVs the O - C curve, now covering over 80 yr (albeit only sparsely covered during the first ~15 yr), exhibits systematic variation on the time scale of years, indicating small fluctuations of the orbital period which cannot be attributed to the secular evolution of the system. These variations are, however, much



**Figure 15.** Top: TESS light curve of RW Tri. Bottom: O - C diagram of eclipse timings of RW Tri with respect to linear ephemeris (Eq. 7). The red graph is a least squares sine fit.

more gradual in RW Tri than the very rapid period changes in UX UMa (see Sect. 3.18). With some good will one might even suspect a cyclic variation with a period of 55 yr (red curve in the figure), but covering only just about one cycle I am reluctant to claim it to be persistent.

# 3.18 UX UMa: Superhumps remain to be isolated events

UX UMa is the prototype of novalike variables, in particular of those system which, in contrast to VY Scl stars, have never been observed to go into a low state. As the prototype of its class and the brightest eclipsing novalike variable, UX UMa has been extensively studied in the past (see Neustroev et al. 2011, for a summary of previous observations). The orbital period was last refined by Baptista et al. (1995). In extensive photometric observations during the 2015 observing season de Miguel et al. (2016) found a modulation with a period of 3.680 d in the light curve of UX UMa which they interpret as being due to a retrograde precession of the accretion disk. An associated nSH at the beat period of the precession and the orbit is also seen. Bruch (2020) confirmed this behaviour but also noted that it was restricted to that particular season and did not repeat itself in previous or following years.

Is there any trace of the unusual behaviour observed in 2015 to be found in the TESS observations taken in 2019, Aug-Oct and 2020, Feb-Apr? No, there is not. The power spectra of the two TESS light curves do not contain any significant signal other than the orbital one and its overtones (Fig. 1g). The orbital waveform (left frame of Fig. 16) is characterized – apart from the primary eclipse – by a single hump, interrupted by the secondary eclipse which gives the hump the structure of two separate "horns". The primary eclipse egress exhibits a clear change of gradient, i.e., the typical sign of a retarded egress of a hot spot. The flux level just after eclipse egress is considerably lower than just before ingress. This waveform is



Figure 16. Left: Orbital waveform of UX UMa (average of LC#1 and LC#2). Right: The same on an expanded vertical scale, restriced to out-of-eclipse phases and shown separately for LC#1 (black) and LC#2 (red).

different from that normally observed at shorter wavelengths (see fig. 20 of Bruch 2020). A comparison of the waveforms out of eclipse resulting from LC#1 (black) and LC#2 (red) is shown in the right frame of the figure. Only slight variations occur in the 6 months between the light curves.

Just as for UU Aqr and RW Tri, the orbital period of UX UMa was last refined almost 30 yr ago (Baptista et al. 1995). Again, I took advantage of light curves observed between 1999 and 2022 found in the AAVSO archives, as well as of some unpublished light curves taken between 1977 and 1992, provided by R.E. Nather and E.L.. Robinson (private communication). They yielded no less than 291 useful additional eclipse epochs (Table A4). Representative eclipse epochs derived from the TESS light curves are listed in Table 2.

Combining the new eclipse timings with those listed Africano & Wilson Nather & Robinson (1974),by (1976), Kukarkin (1977), Quigley & Africano (1978),Rubenstein et al. (1991),Rutten et al. (1992)and Baptista et al. (1995) (as usual assigning weight 10 to the TESS eclipse epochs and 1 to all others) yielded the following revised orbital ephemeris for UX UMa:

$$T_{\min} = BJD\,2451319.779(3) + 0.19667127(7) \times E \tag{8}$$

It turns out that within the  $1\sigma$  error the period is identical to the one derived by Baptista et al.  $(1995)^3$ . Indeed, in spite of the much longer time base the formal error increased. This is explained by the O - C diagram, reproduced in the left frame of Fig. 17, which reveals an increased non-random scatter of the data points in recent years.

In the early years, a 29 yr cyclic variation of the orbital period of UX UMa was first suspected by Mandel (1965) and further discussed by Nather & Robinson (1974) and Africano & Wilson (1976), but then called into question by Kukarkin (1977) and Quigley & Africano (1978). Regarding the O - C diagram in fig. 5 of Baptista et al. (1995) this hypothesis can clearly be rejected. This is impressively confirmed by the present results (Fig. 17) which extends the time base by about a factor of 2. The O - C diagram indicates nonperiodic but systematic variations of the the orbital period



**Figure 17.** Left: O - C diagram of the eclipses in UX UMa. The blue and red lines mark intervals of particularly strong gradients. Right: Detail of the O - C diagram corresponding to the interval marked by the red line in the left frame, together the least squares parabolic fit to the data.

on widely varying time scales. The most rapid O - C (and consequently period) changes are highlighted by blue and red lines in the figure which have very nearly the same gradient. The right frame of Fig. 17 contains an enlarged version of one of these O - C diagram sections. The red line represents a least squares  $2^{nd}$  order polynomial fit to the data which yields a period change of  $\dot{P} = -1.97 \times 10^{-10}$ . This corresponds to a time scale for the period decrease as short as  $P/\dot{P} = 2.73 \times 10^6$  yr.

On secular time scales the periods of cataclysmic variable are expected to decrease due to angular momentum loss of the system via magnetic breaking and gravitational radiation. Such variations are monotonic and occur on vastly longer time scales than observed here. Period variations on time scales of years and with changing sign are not uncommon in CVs. If they are cyclic they are often explained by the presence of a third body in the system (a hypothesis more often than not disproved by additional observations). Alternatively, the Applegate mechanism (Applegate 1992) is frequently invoked with mixed success. However, it appears fair to say that so far no generally excepted idea to explain the often erratic period variations has been put forward.

#### 3.19 DW UMa: A positive, yes, but no negative superhump

The eclipsing system DW UMa has been subjected to many photometric studies which revealed the presence of positive and negative superhumps. The most extensive investigation was performed by Boyd et al. (2017) who also cite references to other relevant papers. The orbital period is 0.1366065324(7) d. SHs were observed with slightly varying periods around 0.133 d (nSH) and 0.145 d (pSH). The beat period between the orbit and the superhumps is also clearly seen.

The latter feature is impressively confirmed in the two TESS light curves, the first of which is reproduced in the upper frame of Fig. 18. The power spectra (after masking the eclipses) basically confirm the earlier results with the noticeable exception that no trace of a nSH is present. Without assessing their formal significance I identified no less than 40 (LC#1) and 35 (LC#2) peaks up to the Nyquist frequency which appear to stand out above the surrounding "continuum". All except 3 (LC#1) and 5 (LC#2) can be explained as  $nF_{\rm orb} + mF_{\rm b}$  where  $F_{\rm b} = F_{\rm orb} - F_{\rm SH}$  and n and m are integer values in the range  $1 \le n \le 46$  and  $-4 \le m \le 2$ . The pSHs

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Using only the data available to Baptista et al. (1995) I could reproduce, of course, their period precisely, but the error is 10 times larger.



Figure 18. *Top:* Light curve LC#1 of DW UMa. *Bottom:* Orbital (left) and superhump waveforms (right) derived from light curves LC#1 (black) and LC#2 (red, shifted vertically for clarity).

have significantly different periods of 0.14387(2) d (LC#1) and 0.14479(3) d (LC#2) in the two light curves separated by  $\approx 2$  yr. The orbital (eclipses masked) and superhump waveforms are shown in the lower frames of Fig. 18. Large (orbital) and moderate (superhump) differences between LC#1 and LC#2 are evident. For future reference representative eclipse epochs for the time intervals covered by the light curves are listed in Table 2.

# 3.20 HS 1813+6122: Transient superhumps, transient outbursts, and partial eclipses

Almost all our limited knowledge about the configuration and physics of HS 1813+6122 (HS 1813 hereafter) comes from a single publication: Rodríguez-Gil et al. (2007). They performed photometric and spectroscopic observations on various occasions between 2000 and 2004 and derived an orbital period of 3.55 h. Their photometry also contained a modulation at 3.39 h which they interpreted as a nSH. In contrast to what is seen in the present TESS data (see below) Rodríguez-Gil et al. (2007) apparently did not observe outburst of HS 1813, nor could they identify eclipses. Based on the spectroscopic evidence they classify the system as a SW Sex type star.

TESS observed HS 1813 in many sectors, permitting to construct two almost half year long light curves (LC#1 and LC#2), separated by just one month, and two shorter light curves at later epochs (see Table 2). The flux level differs strongly and systematically from one sector to the next. This cannot be real and must be attributed to difficulties to define the zero point of flux for the TESS light curves. The discontinuous flux levels thus required to add or subtract constants when stitching together data from different sectors to form a continuous light curve. This introduces considerable uncertainties in the general trend of the resulting curves, but



Figure 19. Light curves LC#1 and LC#2 of HS 1813 and their power spectra. The right upper and lower frames show light curves (binned in intervals of 0.5 d) of a very different general aspect, but close in time. The left frames contain the respective power spectra in a small interval around the orbital (dominating signal) and superhump frequencies (only present in LC#1). The remaining frames show the time resolved power spectra of the same frequency range and aligned in time with the light curves.

should not affect relative variations within a given sector or high frequency variations.

The upper and lower right hand frames of Fig. 19 show LC#1 and LC#2, respectively, binned in intervals of 0.5 d. They contain quite unusual and surprising features. The single sector light curve LC#3 only contains low level variations, while the longer LC#4 is not unlike LC#1. Disregarding the long term trends which may well be artificial (see above), the light curve is characterized by some brightenings above an otherwise quiescent background (LC#1), and then rapidly morphs into a decidedly dwarf nova like light curve (LC#2). Due to the uncertainty of the flux zero point it is difficult to determine the amplitude of the outbursts. Assuming that the flux scale of LC#2 is at least approximately correct, the amplitude reached up to  $\approx 0.5$  mag and thus remained considerably below normal dwarf nova outburst amplitudes. The rapid change in behaviour between LC#1 and LC#2 which is also manifest in other than the outburst characteristics (see below) is rather unique. I am not aware of another CV which has been observed to behave similarly with the possible exception of the transient ER UMa-type behaviour of BK Lyn (Sect. 3.11). This certainly deserves a deeper investigation, but is not the topic of this study.

The power spectra of all light curves are dominated by signals at the orbital frequency and overtones. Their strengths, however, vary considerably over time as is evident in the time resolved power spectra in the two middle right hand frames of Fig. 19 (the frames on the left side contain the conventional



Figure 20. Orbital waveform of HS 1813 during epochs of low (LC1#1, left) and high (LC#2, right) outburst activity. Black symbols refer to outburst epochs, red ones to intervals between outbusts.

power spectra of the entire light curves in the same frequency range). In LC#1 the orbital signal is present during the first weeks, gets fainter thereafter, and then suddenly increases strongly in power at the end of the light curve. Similar fluctuations in strength are also present in LC#3 and LC#4 (not shown). In constrast, in LC#2, i.e., during the episode of dwarf nova-like outbursts, it remains on a constant high level.

The superhump reported by Rodríguez-Gil et al. (2007) is only present in the latter part of LC#1 and at the same frequency of  $F_{\rm SH} = 7.0890(5) d^{-1}$  ( $P_{\rm SH} = 0.141063(9) d$ ) observed by them. It cannot be detected in any of the other light curves and must therefore be considered transient.

Folding the TESS light curves on the orbital period derived from the peak frequency in the power spectra reveals the presence of shallow partial eclipses in HS 1813. Average eclipse epochs for the individual TESS sectors are listed in Table 2. They are used to determined the orbital ephemeris:

$$T_{\min} = BJD \,2458693.087 \,(2) + 0.1475202 \,(6) \times E \tag{9}$$

The period error adds up to a phase uncertainty of  $\sim 0.15$  at the epoch of the spectroscopic observations of Rodríguez-Gil et al. (2007). This makes it unfortunately impossible to obtain a reliable measure of a difference between the spectroscopic phase and the conjunction between the stellar components of HS 1813 as expected in SW Sex stars, and thus to verify this classification.

The orbital waveforms, as derived from LC#1 and LC#2are shown in Fig. 20, separately for bright (black) and quiescent (red) intervals. While differences between bright and quiescent intervals remain small, significant changes between LC#1 to LC#2 are evident. In both light curves the waveform is dominated by a broad hump, cut in by a shallow V-shaped (and thus partial) eclipse. In LC#1 the hump is somewhat more structured (possibly doubles) than in LC#2. Moreover, it shifts by 0.3 units to later phases and the minimum broadens in LC#2. This indicates an obvious change in the structure of the dominating light sources in HS 1813. It is close at hand to speculate that this change is somehow related to the onset of the dwarf nova-type brightenings. It is noteworthy, however, that in dwarf novae the waveform normally changes significantly between quiescence and outbursts while this is not the case here.



Figure 21. Power spectra of the RX J2133.7+5107 light curve including the superhump and orbital signals (left), the white dwarf spin signal and its orbital sideband (middle) and an unidentified high frequency signal (right). The vertical scale is the same in the left and middle frames, but greatly expanded in the right frame.

# 3.21 RX J2133.7+5107: Superhumps and an unidentified 325 s modulation

This system was detected in the ROSAT Galactic Plane Survey (Motch et al. 1998) and identified by Bonnet-Bidaud et al. (2006) as long period ( $P_{\rm orb} = 0.297431(5)$  d; Thorstensen et al. 2010) intermediate polar with a white dwarf spin period of 570.82 s. They also saw the orbital side band of the spin period in their power spectra. In a multi-year campaign de Miguel et al. (2017) encountered a nSH with a slightly varying period in all observing seasons between 2010 and 2016.

The TESS light curve confirms these findings. The strongest signal in the power spectrum (left hand frame of Fig. 21) corresponds to a period of 0.28916(3) d which is very close to the average nSH period observed by de Miguel et al. (2017). Just as their observations, the TESS data do not contain a signal at the beat between orbital and superhump period. But in contrast to the former the power spectrum of the latter (weakly) reveals orbital variations. The continued presence of the superhump in all appropriate observations since 2010 allows classifying it as permanent.

Not surprisingly, the power spectrum of the TESS light curves also contains a strong signal at the white dwarf spin period (measured to be 570.8914(5) s; middle panel of Fig. 21), the orbital side band and the first overtone (not shown). de Miguel et al. (2017) also mention signals at  $F_{\rm sp} - F_{\rm orb} + F_{\rm SH}$  and  $F_{\rm sp} - F_{\rm orb} - F_{\rm SH}$ . On close inspection, both of these are also weakly present in the TESS light curve. de Miguel et al. (2017) noted that the spin period is decreasing over the years. The TESS data permit to add an additional point which excellently confirms the linear trend. A least squared fit to all available data yields  $dP/dt = -3.8 \pm 0.2$  msec/yr.

While the above results just confirm previous knowledge, the TESS light curves reveals an additional modulation at a high frequency of  $F = 265.898(2) d^{-1}$  (P = 324.937(3) s; right hand frame of Fig. 21). It does not have any obvious relation to the other periodicities in the system. Time resolved power spectra reveal that its is persistent – with some variations in its strength – throughout the entire light curve.

The nature of this modulation remains thus unclear. Its coherence over at least two months makes the accretion disk unlikely as the place of origin. I am also not aware of any mechanism inherent in the secondary star which may lead to such short period variations. It may be permitted to speculate about white dwarf pulsations as their origin. The period is within the range observed in well established white dwarf pulsators in CVs such as GW Lib (van Zyl et al. 2004; Chote & Sullivan 2016, and others) and V455 And (Araujo-Betancor et al. 2005; Szkody et al. 2013; Bruch 2020). But then, in these stars more than one pulsation mode is excited leading to multiple power spectrum signals, differently from what is observed in RX J2133.7+5107. Moreover, they are short period CVs where the accretion disk is expected to be weak so as not to outshine the white dwarf. At the long period of RX J2133.7+5107 the (precessing) accretion disk and the accretion regions close to the magnetic poles of the WD in this intermediate polar will dominate the optical emission and are thus likely to mask any contribution from the white dwarf itself.

# 3.22 KIC 8751494: Strongly contaminated TESS light curves

KIC 8751494 was detected as a novalike variable, possibly of the SW Sex subtype, in Kepler data by Williams et al. (2010). They detected variations with a period of 0.1223(7) d. Later Kato & Maehara (2013), also using Kepler data, found this period to be slightly variable and interpreted it as a pSH, the orbital modulations – much fainter than the superhump – having a period of 0.114379(1) d.

The TESS light curves of KIC 8751494 are heavily contaminated by light from the variable star ATO J291.0335+44.9915 (Heinze et al. 2018) which is only 42 arcsec (i.e., twice the TESS pixel size) away. The power spectra (upper left frame of Fig. 22) are strongly dominated by a signal at  $F_{\rm ATO} = 5.5635(2) \ d^{-1} \ (P_{\rm ATO} = 0.179743(7) \ d)$  which is compatible with the period listed by Heinze et al. (2018)for ATO-J291.335+44.9915. Signals also appear at multiples of  $F_{\rm ATO}$  as well as  $F_{\rm ATO}/2$ . The latter must be considered as the fundamental frequency. None of them is present in the higher spatial resolution Kepler data. Folding the data on  $2P_{\rm ATO}$  (insert in the figure) yields a perfect light curve of a short period Algol system with a secondary eclipse slightly less deep than the primary eclipse. Again, this is compatible with the classification as close eclipsing binary of Heinze et al. (2018). Thus, I attribute these variations exclusively to ATO J291.0335+44.9915. For the record, I give ephemeris for the primary minimum, based on representative minimum epochs measured in the two light curves:

$$T_{\min} = BJD\,2458711.16(2) + 0.35948(1) \times E$$

Based on only two data points, formal errors are not defined. Therefore, I arbitrarily adopt a period error which would lead to an easily recognizable phase shift of 0.05 of the minimum over the time base of the observations.

In addition to the contamination from ATO J291.0335+44.9915 the TESS power spectra also contain signals coming from KIC 8751494 itself. The SH is clearly present at slightly different frequencies of  $F_{\rm SH} = 8.152(1) d^{-1} (P_{\rm SH} = 0.12267(2) d)$  in LC#1 and  $F_{\rm SH} = 8.1617(3) d^{-1} (P_{\rm SH} = 0.12252(5) d)$  in LC#2. However, the orbital signal prominently seen in the Kepler data (power spectrum in the lower frames of Fig. 22), is only very weaky present (see right frames of the figure). Instead, the power spectra of the TESS data contain a significant peak between the superhump and the orbital frequencies, marked with a question mark in the figure. The frequency is identical on the  $2\sigma$  level in both light curves. The average



Figure 22. Top left: Power spectrum of LC#1 of KIC 871494. The insert shows the data folded on the period corresponding to half of the frequency of the dominating signal. These variations can be attributed to contamination from the star ATO J291.0335+44.9915 located close to KIC 871494. Top right: Parts of the Power spectra of LC#1 (black) and LC#2 (red) on an expanded scale. Bottom: The same for the power spectrum of the Kepler data of KIC 871494.

is  $F = 8.335(5) d^{-1}$  (P = 0.11969(7) d). The nature of this additional periodicity is not immediately obvious and I leave this question open.

# 3.23 KIC 9406652: Alternating positive and negative superhumps

This object was identified as a variable  $\operatorname{star}$ by Debosscher et al. (2011) in Kepler data. The first detailed investigation, based on low cadence light curves taken during Kepler quarters 1 through 15, was performed by Gies et al. (2013) who pointed out its similarity to old novae and novalike variables. They found the orbital period [later refined by Kimura et al. (2020) to be 0.25451 d], a negative superhump with a period of 0.2397 d, and a supraorbital period of 4.131 d which is the beat between the orbital and SH periods. The light curve is puntuated by semi-regular brightenings which made Kimura et al. (2020) classify KIC 9406652 as an IW And-type star, i.e. an unusual type of Z Cam-type dwarf novae. KIC 9406652 may thus not be a genuine novalike variable. Considering that the TESS data were apparently taken during such a standstill when the accretion disk - just as in the case of AY Psc (Sect. 3.15) - is in a similar hot state as those of NLs and old novae I include KIC 9406652 in this study.

TESS observed the star in 4 sectors. The first and the last two of these, separated in time by about two years (taken in 2019 and 2021, respectively), are consecutive. The data of the latter can be combined into a single light curve. However, those of the former have drastically different flux levels (which may be an artifact considering the known uncertainties concerning the absolute flux scale of TESS light curves) and somewhat distinct properties (see below). Therefore, I prefer not to combine them.

The behaviour of KIC 9406652 is quite different during the two epochs. I first concentrate on the 2019 data [LC#1 and LC#2; Fig. 23: light curves (top), power spectra (middle), and orbital and SH waveforms (bottom)]. Both light



Figure 23. Properties of light curves LC#1 (left column) and LC#2 (right column) of KIC 9406652: light curves (top), low frequency part of the power spectra (middle), and orbital (black) and superhump (red) waveforms (bottom).

curves exhibit variations on time scales of several days. The power spectra reveal signals with periods of several hours. In LC#1, the strongest signal corresponds to a period exactly twice that of the second highest peak and must therefore be interpreted as the first overtone of a modulation with a period of P = 0.2864(1) d. This period is 12.5% longer than the orbital period  $P_{\rm orb}$  which is also manifest in the power spectrum together with its first overtone. It is thus close at hand to identify  $P = P_{\text{pSH}}$  with the first appearance of a pSH in KIC 9406652. The power spectrum also contains a fainter peak at  $F_{\text{pSH}} + F_{\text{orb}}$  (and still fainter signals at higher overtones of the principal signals), but the beat period  $1/F_{\rm pSH} - 1/F_{\rm orb}$  and thus the apsidal precession period of the implied eccentric accretion disk is notably absent. The waveform of the orbital modulations (black graph in the bottom frame of Fig. 23) is qualitatively explained by ellipsoidal variations of the secondary star, considering the long period of this system and the red TESS passband, where the secondary minimum is partially filled in by reflection of light from the primary component. In contrast to the easily explained orbital waveform, the shape of the superhump waveform (red graph) is quite peculiar with two peaks of different extension and decidedly pointed minima and maxima.

In LC#2 the strength of the fundamental superhump mode and its first overtone is inverted. The superhump amplitude is enhanced, and the waveform – still double humped but now superposed upon a single larger maximum – has a much more gentle (rounded) shape. The orbital waveform has not changed much with respect to LC#1. At  $P_{\rm PSH} = 0.28502(5)$  d the superhump period is slightly but significantly shorter than in LC#1.

In 2021 the light curve of KIC 9406652 was quite different (upper left frame of Fig. 24). It exhibits a clear periodicity



Figure 24. Properties of light curve LC#3 of KIC 9406652: light curve (upper left), low frequency part of the power spectrum (lower left), orbital waveform (upper right) and superhump light curve (lower right).

on the time scale of several days. The corresponding peak in the power spectrum (lower left frame of the figure) indicates a period of 3.998(9) d which is close to the beat between the orbital period and the period  $P_{\rm nSH} = 0.239197$  (8) d corresponding to the main signal in the power spectrum. The latter is within the range of nSH periods seen in the Kepler data (Kimura et al. 2020). Thus, the negative superhump is back and the positive one has gone. On the scale of the figure the orbital period is only manifest in the power spectrum by its first overtone. This is easily explained by the orbital waveform (upper right frame of the figure) which is somewhat different than in LC#1 and LC#2, having maxima of different height and a deeper secondary minimum. The superhump waveform (lower right) is dominated by a strong maximum with two small humps on top.

### 3.24 NSV 1907: Superhumps confirmed

Discovered as a variable star a long time ago (Hoffmeister 1963), NSV 1907 (= CRTS J051654.1+33252) remained largely unstudied until quite recently, when Hümmerich et al. (2017) identified it as a deeply eclipsing novalike cataclysmic variable, possibly of the RW Sex subclass. The eclipses permitted them to measured an accurate orbital period of 0.2761069(2) d, i.e., on the longer side of the CV period distribution. A secondary minimum at eclipse phase 0.5 in their white light, V and B light curves attests to a non-negligible contribution of the secondary star at this long period. Hümmerich et al. (2017) also observed a 4.2 d modulation which they interpret as the nodal precession period of 0.2591 d for a (negative) superhump.

The TESS light observations confirm the presence of the SH as well as the nodal precession period. The light curve is shown in the upper frame of Fig. 25. Apart from the eclipses it is characterized by a strong increase and subsequent decrease of the brightness of NSV 1907 in its second half. Nevertheless the flux at eclipse minimum remains almost the same, meaning that the light source responsible for these variations is largely eclipsed. The out-of-eclipse flux level exhibits wiggles on the time scale of a few days which grow much stronger dur-



Figure 25. Top: Light curve of NSV 1907. Bottom left: Power spectrum of the light curve in a small range around the orbital frequency. Bottom right: Average waveform of the orbital variations of NSV 1907 normalized such that the eclipse minimum has 50% of the average flux of the two maxima.

ing the phase of increased brightness in the latter part of the light curve. A power spectrum of the data after removal of the eclipses and the longer term variations contains a strong peak at a frequency of 0.232(2) d<sup>-1</sup>. The corresponding period of 4.30(5) d is very close to the period seen by Hümmerich et al. (2017) and thus confirms their results.

At higher frequencies the power spectrum is dominated by signals at the orbital frequency and its overtones even after removal of the eclipses from the light curves. The lower left frame of Fig. 25 shows a narrow range around  $F_{\rm orb}$ . Apart from the orbital signal is contains a weaker peak at  $F_{\rm SH} =$ 3.860(3) d<sup>-1</sup> ( $P_{\rm SH} = 0.2591(1)$  d), leaving no doubt that this is the superhump signal prediced by Hümmerich et al. (2017) but not seen directly in their data.

Finally, the orbital waveform, normalized in the same way as was done for AC Cnc in Paper I, is shown in the lower right frame of Fig. 25. It impressively confirms the presence of secondary eclipses which, not surprisingly, are better defined in the redder TESS passband than in the earlier observations. Before the secondary eclipse the hump in the waveform has a significantly smaller amplitude that afterwards and also appears to have some peculiar structure. I tested if the hump maxima are modulated on the beat period between orbital and SH variations as has been seen in AC Cnc (Paper I). This is indeed the case, but the effect is much smaller than in AC Cnc.

### 4 DISCUSSION: A CENSUS OF SUPERHUMPS

An assessment of superhumps and their implications for the understanding of the structure, dynamics and evolution of CVs has been made many times in the past (e.g., Patterson 1998, 2001, Patterson et al. 2005). A huge body of observational information on pSHs in SU UMa type dwarf nova has been collected by Kato et al. (2009) and in subsequent publications of this series. Much of this work deals with dwarf novae and with pSHs, while specific studies of nSHs and SH in non-outbursting CVs are considerably rarer. Among observational papers with some emphasis on SHs in NLs and old novae I cite Fuentes-Morales et al. (2018). For theoretical studies of nSHs I refer to Thomas & Wood (2015) and citations therein. Concerning our theoretical understanding of pSHs, I mention the classical papers of Whitehurst (1988), Whitehurst & King (1991) and Hirose et al. (1991).

Table 4 contains a census of the properties of positive and negative superhumps in all novae and novalike variables for which I could find reports on such variations in the literature. Apart from the orbital period it lists the SH period (which is many cases is the average of several slightly different values measured at different epochs) and the period excess defined as  $\epsilon = (P_{\rm SH} - P_{\rm orb}) / P_{\rm orb}$ . The table also provides information about the SH waveform, the detection or not of the apsidal or nodal precession period in the light curves, and the frequency of occurrence of SHs. I define three categories for the waveform: S indicates an approximately sinusoidal waveform which includes slight deviations from a pure sine such as a sawtooth shape, DH stands for a double humped waveform, and C is used for more complex shapes. Of course, these distinctions (in particular between DH and C) are sometimes subjective. The presence or absence of variations on the disk precession period is indicated by Y(es) or N(o) only in those cases where information in the literature permits a secure statement. The occurrence of superhumps is categorized as permanent (P) whenever it is seen in all available observations (but see below), and as transient (T) when it is seen in some observations but not in others.

Some of the stars listed in the table may have low credentials as superhumpers because of sparse of observations, weak indications for SHs, or alternative explications for the observed variations. They are included in the table anyway for the sake of completeness, but it should be kept in mind that they may not exhibit genuine superhumps. In particular, I mention: (1) CP Pup. Patterson & Warner (1998) observed an unstable period which they interpreted as being due to a SH. As I showed in Paper I the TESS light curves of CP Pup exhibit a multitude of transient QPO-like variations in the respective period range which cannot be considered to be superhumps and which in more limited terrestrial observations may mimick SHs. (2) BZ Cam. This case is similar to CP Pup. Kato & Uemura (2001) claim to have seen superhumps, but the multitude of power spectrum peaks in the data of Patterson et al. (1996) and the time resolved power spectrum in Fig. 3 suggest only the occurrence of QPO-like variations. (3) RZ Gru. This star was identified in Paper I as a system with pSHs, but the corresponding power spectrum peak may not be outstanding enough to provide convincing evidence. Moreover, at the long orbital period RZ Gru is not expected to develop a precessing accretion disk (however, I try to avoid a bias based on theoretical preconceptions). (4) RW Tri. This system is claimed to have exhibited nSHs restricted to the 1984 and possibly 1957 observing seasons (Smak 2019), but not in other years (Bruch 2020). While this alone does not discredit it as a transient SH system, I consider the peaks in Smak's power spectra, on which he bases his claim, not of sufficient strength to

Name	$P_{\rm orb}$	negative superhump				positive superhump					$\operatorname{Ref.}^{a}$	
		$P_{\rm nSH}$	$\epsilon^b_{\rm nSH}$	$\mathrm{WF}^c$	$\operatorname{Prec}^d$	$\mathrm{Occ}^e$	$P_{\rm pSH}$	$\epsilon^b_{\rm pSH}$	$\mathrm{WF}^c$	$\operatorname{Prec}^d$	$\mathrm{Occ}^e$	
CP Pup	0.06139						0.06250	0.0181	S	Ν	Т	3
BK Lyn	0.07494	0.07280	-0.0286	$\mathbf{S}$	Ν	Т	0.07848	0.0472	S/C	Υ	Р	$1,\!4,\!5,\!6$
V1974 Cyg	0.08126						0.08507	0.0469	$\mathbf{S}$	Υ	Р	1,7,8,9
V348 Pup	0.10184						0.10740	0.0546	S/C	Υ	Т	$1,\!10$
V795 Her	0.10825	0.10474	-0.0324	$\mathbf{C}$	Ν	Т	0.11619	0.0733	$\mathbf{S}$	Ν	Т	$2,\!11\text{-}18$
KIC 8751494	0.11438						0.12249	0.0709	$\mathbf{S}$	Ν	Р	$1,\!19,\!20$
V592 Cas	0.11506	0.11193	-0.0272	$\mathbf{S}$	Ν	Т	0.12239	0.0637	S/DH	Ν	Р	1,21
DM Gem	0.11570						0.12423	0.0737	$\mathbf{S}$	Ν	$\mathbf{P}$ ?	2,22
V630 Sgr	0.11793						0.12417	0.0529	?	Υ	Т	23,24
LQ Peg	0.11850						0.12480	0.0532	?	Υ	Р	25,26
V1084 Her	0.12056	0.11696	-0.0299	$\mathbf{S}$	Υ	?						27
V442 Oph	0.12443	0.12090	-0.0284	$\mathbf{S}$	Υ	$\mathbf{P}?$						27
V4633 Sgr	0.12557						0.12823	0.0212	S	Ν	Т	24,28
AH Men	0.12721	0.12355	-0.0288	$\mathbf{S}$	Υ	Т	0.13886	0.0916	$\mathbf{S}$	Ν	Т	1,29-31
MV Lyr	0.13290	0.12816	-0.0357	$\mathbf{S}$	Ν	Т	0.13790	0.0376	$\mathbf{S}$	Υ	Т	$2,\!32,\!33$
DW UMa	0.13661	0.13264	-0.0291	$\mathbf{S}$	Υ	Т	0.14478	0.0598	$\mathbf{S}$	Υ	Р	1,34
TT Ari	0.13755	0.13296	-0.0334	$\mathbf{S}$	Y	Р	0.14927	0.0852	$\mathbf{S}$	Ν	Т	2,35-50
V603 Aql	0.13822	0.13390	-0.0313	$\mathbf{S}$	Ν	Т	0.14548	0.0525	$\mathbf{S}$	Υ	Р	51-58
V378 Peg	0.13858	0.13476	-0.0276	$\mathbf{S}$		Р						59.60
RR Cha	0.14010	0.13621	-0.0278	$\mathbf{S}$		Р	0.14442	0.0308		Ν	Т	1,61
AQ Men	0.14147	0.13646	-0.0354	$\mathbf{S}$	Υ	Р	0.15047	0.0636	С	Υ	Т	2,62,63
LS Cam	0.14238	0.13753	-0.0341		Y	Р	0.15485	0.0876		Ν	Т	64
V751 Cyg	0.14458	0.13936	-0.0361	$\mathbf{S}$	Y	Р						1,65,66
RR Pic	0.14503						0.15770	0.0874	$\mathbf{S}$	Ν	Т	1,67,68
IM Eri	0.14563	0.13841	-0.0496	S	Υ	Р						62
PX And	0.14634	0.14150	-0.0331	$\mathbf{S}$	Υ	Т						$1,\!69$
V533 Her	0.14737	0.14289	-0.0304		Ν	Т	0.15706	0.0658	$\mathbf{S}$	Υ	Т	2,70
HS 1813+6122	0.14752	0.14095	-0.0445	$\mathbf{S}$	Ν	Т						1,71
V2574 Oph	0.14773	0.14164	-0.0412	$\mathbf{S}$		Т						72
BB Dor	0.14923	0.14093	-0.0556	$\mathbf{S}$	Υ	Р	0.16330	0.0943	$\mathbf{S}$		Т	1,73
AO Psc	0.14950						0.16580	0.1090			Т	1,74
BZ Cam	0.15369						0.15634	0.0172	DH		Т	1,75
V704 And	0.15424	0.14772	-0.0423	$\mathbf{S}$	Υ	Т						2
BH Lyn	0.15588	0.14700	-0.0570			Т	0.16772	0.0760	$\mathbf{S}$	Υ	Т	2,76,77
BG Tri	0.15844	0.15150	-0.0438	$\mathbf{S}$	Υ	Т	0.17270	0.09000	$\mathbf{S}$		Т	78
KR Aur	0.16274	0.15713	-0.0345	$\mathbf{S}$		Т						1,79
V1193 Ori	0.16500	0.15883	-0.0374	$\mathbf{S}$	Ν	Т	0.17622	0.0680	$\rm DH/C$	Ν	Т	2
UU Aqr	0.16580						0.17510	0.0561			Т	1,73
UX UMa	0.19667	0.18668	-0.0508	$\mathbf{S}$		Т						1,26,80
AY Psc	0.21732	0.20640	-0.0502	$\mathbf{S}$		Т						1,81
TV Col	0.22860	0.21611	-0.0546	$\mathbf{S}$	Υ	Т						2,82-85
RW Tri	0.23188	0.22190	-0.0430	$\mathbf{S}$		Т						2,26,86
KIC 9406652	0.25451	0.22945	-0.0985	$\mathbf{S}$	Υ	Т	0.29071	0.1422	$\mathbf{C}$	Ν	Т	$1,\!87,\!88$
NSV 1907	0.27611	0.25910	-0.0616	$\mathbf{S}$	Υ	$\mathbf{P}$ ?						1,89
RX 2133.7 $+5107$	0.29743	0.28132	-0.0542	$\mathbf{S}$	Ν	Р						1,90
RZ Gru	0.41750						0.52000	0.2455	S			2

Table 4. Summary of positive and negative superhump properties observed in novalike variables and old novae. All periods are expressed in days.

 $^{a}$  References (see Table 5)

<sup>b</sup> Period excess defined as  $\epsilon = (P_{\rm SH} - P_{\rm orb}) / P_{\rm orb}$ 

<sup>c</sup> Waveform: S = sinusoidal; DH = double humped; C = complex

<sup>d</sup> Precession period detected (Yes/No)

<sup>e</sup> Occurrence of superhump: P = permanent; T = transient

convincingly indicate a consistent periodicity. (5) V4633 Sgr. Fuentes-Morales et al. (2018) list this star as a superhumping old nova, but Lipkin & Leibowitz (2008) interpret the corresponding variations in an asynchronous polar scenario, where the rotation of a magnetic white dwarf got out of synchronization with the orbital period as a consequence of the recent nova outburst of V4633 Sgr in 1998. (6) LQ Peg. It is not clear if the consistent photometric variations in this star are due to the orbital motion or a superhump. For a thorough discussion of this issue, see Bruch (2020). (7) AO Psc.

Table 5. References to Table 4

As noticed in Sect. 3.14, AO Psc is only briefly mentioned as a superhumper by Patterson (2001), while the announced publication of details never occurred.

Including the systems with low credentials, Table 4 contains 46 stars. Of these, 30 exhibit positive and 33 negative superhumps. Thus, statistically among novae and novalike variable both species are about equally probable, unless there is an observational bias favouring the detection of one over the other. In nine stars both kinds of SHs have even been seen simultaneously (V603 Aql, TT Ari, LS Cam, V592 Cas, RR Cha, BB Dor, BK Lyn, AQ Men, DW UMa).

Stolz & Schoembs (1984) were the first to note a linear relationship between the period excess  $\epsilon$  and the superhump period  $P_{\rm SH}$  in SU UMa type dwarf novae; a relationship which – albeit less strictly – is also valid for pSHs in other CVs. It has been refined and discussed many times in subsequent years and sometimes replaced by a relation between  $P_{\rm orb}$  and  $P_{\rm SH}$  (e.g., Gänsicke et al. 2009; Fuentes-Morales et al. 2018). Both relations are largely equivalent, but if  $\epsilon$  vs  $P_{\rm SH}$  is linear,  $P_{\rm orb}$  vs  $P_{\rm SH}$  becomes notably nonlinear if a wider range of periods is regarded. Therefore, I use here the original notation of the Stolz-Schoembs relation.

For all positive superhump systems listed in Table 4,  $\epsilon$ is plotted as a function of  $P_{\text{pSH}}$  as large dots in the upper frame of Fig. 26. For comparison, the respective data taken from table 9 of Patterson et al. (2005) are drawn as smaller orange dots. The bulk of them refers to short period SU UMa type dwarf novae. Several lessons can be learned from this diagram. As is already obvious from the data of Patterson et al. (2005) and is also mentioned by Fuentes-Morales et al. (2018), the scatter of the points corresponding to nova and novalike variables (i.e., almost all points with  $P_{\rm pSH} > 0.1$  d) exhibit a much higher scatter than the dwarf novae below the CV period gap. Thus, the Stolz-Schoembs relation becomes less well defined for these systems. There are some outliers with low  $\epsilon$  values, two of which (V4633 Sgr and BZ Cam) can be identified with low credential superhump systems. These two are ignored subsequently. In contrast, other systems with low credentials –

CP Pup (green dot in the figure) and LQ Peg (blue dot) – follow the general trend well. For LQ Peg this may be an indication that the persistent light curve variability is indeed due to SHs and not the orbital motion. DM Gem (red dot) was found in Paper I to exhibit two periods. But it could not be decided which of these is due to the orbital motion and which to SHs. In Fig. 26 DM Gem follows the general trend; a good argument in favour of its interpretation of a positive (instead of negative) superhumper.

Two systems, not previously discussed in the context of the Stolz-Schoembs relation, extend this relation to much longer periods: KIC 9406652 and RZ Gru. While the credentials for superhumps in RZ Gru may not be totally convincing (see above) there is no doubt about the strong pSH in KIC 9406652 (see Sect. 3.23). Excluding these two stars, a linear least squares fit the to remaining points yields the dashed line in Fig. 26. In spite of their significantly longer periods the points corresponding to KIC 9406652 and RZ Gru lie only  $0.8\sigma$  and  $1.3\sigma$ , respectively above the extrapolated  $P_{\rm pSH} - \epsilon$ relation defined by the other systems, where  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation of their scatter around the dashed line. Thus, both of the long period systems follow well the Stolz-Schoembs relation for nova and novalike stars. The solid line is a least squares fit to all data, yielding  $\epsilon = 0.002(3) + 0.47(2) P_{\rm psh}$ .

KIC 9406652 and RZ Gru present a challenge to theoretical explanations of pSHs. As mentioned in the Introduction, these are thought to be caused by the extra tidal stresses in the outer regions of an asymmetric accretion disk when its elongated part extends towards the secondary star. The disk can become elliptical when the revolution period of particles in its outer part reaches the 3:1 resonance with the orbital period (Whitehurst 1988). This is expected to be possible only in systems with a small mass ratio  $q = M_{\rm WD}/M_{\rm sec}$ . Just how small q must be is a matter of debate. Limits cited in the literature range from 0.22 to 0.39 (Whitehurst & King 1991; Pearson 2006; Smak 2020). The mass ratio of RZ Gru is unknown. Assuming the secondary star to have a mass according to the semi-empirical mass-period relation of Knigge et al. (2011) and the white dwarf mass to be equal to the average



Figure 26. Top: Relation between the period excess and the superhump period for positive superhumps. The large dots represent data taken from Table 4. For comparison, data taken from table 9 of Patterson et al. (2005) are also plotted as smaller orange dots. The solid line is a linear least squares fit to all data from Table 4, excluding the low credential superhump systems V4633 Sgr and BZ Cam. The dashed line is the same, additionally excluding the long period systems KIC 9406652 and RZ Gru. The red, blue and green dots represent DM Gem, LQ Peg, and CP Pup, respectively. For further details, see text. *Bottom:* The same for negative superhumps. The red and blue dots represent DM Gem under the assumption that it is a negative superhumper, and the low credential system RW Tri, respectively. The solid line is a linear least squares fit to all points except DM Gem.

mass of the compact object in CVs (Zorotovic et al. 2011) the mass ratio is 0.41, higher than but still close to the upper limit of the theoretically permitted range. Based on radial velocity measurements of absorption and emission lines Gies et al. (2013) derived  $q = 0.83 \pm 0.07$  for KIC 9406652, way beyond this range.

As also outlined in the Introduction, the phenomenological understanding of nSHs as arising in a warped or tilted accretion disk is widely accepted. But their is no consensus on the mechanisms which cause the warp or inclination of the disk. In contrast to positive superhumps it is therefore not possible to specify any limits imposed by theory on the occurrence of negative superhumps and to confront such limits with observations. The  $\epsilon - P_{nSH}$  relation constructed from Table 4 is shown in the lower frame of Fig. 26. I also insert as a red dot the location of DM Gem under the assumption that it is a negative superhumper. It falls drastically below the general trend. This is thus a further argument in favor to a pSH nature of this system. The low credential system RW Tri (blue dot) follows the general trend reasonably well and may thus in fact exhibit superhumps sporadically. This leaves KIC 9406652 as the only true outlyer in the diagram with a much more negative period excess than expected at its period. There is, however, no reason to suspect anything to be wrong with its SH period. A linear least squares fit to the data points (including KIC 9406652 but, of course, not DM Gem) yields  $\epsilon = -0.006(2) - 0.22(1) P_{\rm nSH}$  and is shown as a solid line in the figure. I note that the ratio of the inclination of this relation to the corresponding relation for pSHs is -0.48, confirming the conventional wisdom that the period deficit in negative superhumps systems is about half of that of the period excess in their positive counterparts at a given period.

In most cases where the corresponding information is available the negative as well as the positive SHs have a roughly sinusoidal shape, consisting of a single hump extending over all phases. Sometimes, it is distorted into a saw tooth with the steeper side leading or trailing, or the maximum is broader or narrower than the minimum. Often the waveform changes somewhat from one epoch to another. More interesting are the rarer cases of double humped or complex waveforms which occur more frequently in positive than in negative superhump systems. Extreme examples are observed in AQ Men (Ilkiewicz et al. 2021), V348 Pup (Fig. 14) or KIC 9406652 (Fig. 23). Such waveforms constitute a valuable source of information about the mechanisms leading to superhumps and the struture of superhumping accretion disks which has not yet been tapped adequately.

Often superhumps are accompanied with variations on the beat period between orbital and SH periods, i.e., at the precession period of the accretion disk. Particularly impressive examples are V348 Pup (Fig. 14) and DW UMa (Fig. 18). But in about a third of all cases variations on the beat period have not been detected. Sometimes this may be explained by absent or only weak variations on the orbital period, but there are counterexamples. The orbital and SH signals are of comparable strength in the power spectrum of the first half of the TESS light curve of V795 Her (Paper I), yet no signal is seen at the beat frequency. In contrast, the orbital is much weaker than the superhump signal in BK Lyn, but the light curve prominently exhibits variations on the beat period (Fig. 11). Of especial interest are a few systems where supraorbital periods are not seen on the beat period but on multiples thereof. These are V603 Aql (Bruch & Cook 2018), RZ Gru (Paper I) and possibly V1974 Cyg (Sect. 3.8).

With a limited number of observations it is, of course, never possible to be certain that superhumps in any CV are really permanent. Here, I classify a system tentatively as a permanent superhumper if observations at different epochs are available and superhumps were always found when they have been searched for in data of suitable quality and quantity, and unless they are substituted by other long-term features in the light curve [such as the temporary substitution of negative by positive superhumps or the temporary transition into a low state in TT Ari; Bruch (2020)]. In this sense, the most convincing permanent SH systems are V603 Aql (pSH) and TT Ari (nSH; see the numerous references cited in Table 4). Classifying a system as a transient superhumper is much more straightforward: it is sufficient if superhumps are seen at one or several epochs but not at others. Adopting this criterium, transient superhumps are significantly more frequent than permanent ones.

### 5 SUMMARY

In this paper, I took advantage of the enormous richness of information of the month long (or many times even longer) almost continuous high cadence light curves provided by the TESS mission to explore the properties and the temporal behaviour (periods, occurrence or absence, waveforms, interplay with orbital periods) of superhumps, either negative of positive, in the majority of novalike variables and old novae where such phenomena were observed in the past and for which TESS data are available. The results of this study, in combination with Paper I and information collected from the literature enabled a more complete census of the superhump properties in these systems than was possible hitherto. This compilation of old and new observational attributes should serve to provide boundary conditions for physical models for superhumps. In this context I draw special attention to the sometimes vexing morphological complexity and temporal variability of SH waveforms which merit more attention than they received so far.

As a corollary, for the eclipsing CVs among the targets of this study, eclipse epochs were derived from the TESS data. In some cases these were used together with additional eclipse epochs measured in archival terrestrial light curves to update the sometimes decades old orbital ephemeries and to discuss systematic or erratic period variations.

It is common knowledge that pSHs are abundant – even a defining characteristic – in superoutbursts of short period dwarf novae (SU UMa stars). SHs – both, positive and negative ones – are not observed as routinely in the longer period NLs and old novae, but the fraction of such systems exhibiting SHs is by no means small. The identification of several more such systems in Paper I suggests that many more superhumpers lurk amoung those systems which have not yet been systematically investigated for SHs. TESS light curves of a significant number of such NLs and old novae are available. An effort to analyse these is currently underway and will hopefully lead to a third paper of this small series.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper is based on data collected by the TESS and Kepler missions and obtained from the MAST data archive at the Space Telescope Science Institute (STScI). Funding for the missions is provided by the NASA Explorer Program and the NASA Science Mission Directorate for TESS and Kepler, respectively. STScI is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS 5-26555. Supportive data were obtained from the data archives operated by the American Association of Variable Star Observers and the Laboratório Nacional de Astrofísica.

### DATA AVAILABILITY

All data used in the present study are publically available at the Barbara A. Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST:

https://mast.stsci.edu/portal/Mashub/clients/MAST/

Portal.html), the AAVSO web site (https://www.aavso.org) and the LNA Data Bank (http://databank.lna.br).

### REFERENCES

- Africano J.L., Nather R.E., Patterson J., Robinson E.L., Warner B., 1978, PASP, 90, 568
- Africano J.L., Wilson J., 1976, PASP, 88, 8
- Andronov I.L., Arai K., Chinorova L.L., et al., 1999, AJ, 117, 574
- Andronov I.L., Kimeridze G.N., Richter G.A., Symkov V.P., 1989, IBVS, 3388
- Andronov I.L., Kolosov D.E., Movchan A.I., Rudenko A.N., 1992, Soobshch. Spets. Astrofiz. Obs., 69, 79
- Applegate J.H., 1992, ApJ, 385, 621
- Araujo-Betancor S. Gänsicke B.T., Hagen, H.-J., et al., 2005, A&A, 430, 629
- Armstrong E., Patterson J., Michelsen R., et al., 2013, MNRAS, 435, 707
- Augusteijn T., Heemskerk M.H.M., Zwarthoed G.A.A., van Paradijs J., 1994, A&AS, 107, 219
- Baidak A.V., Lipunova N.A., Shugarov S.Yu., Moshkalev V.G., Volkov, L.M., 1985, IBVS, 2676
- Baptista R., Horne K., Hilditch R.W., Mason K.O., Drew J.E., 1995, ApJ, 448, 393
- Baptista R., Steiner J.E., Cieslinski D., 1994, ApJ, 433, 332
- Barrett P., O'Donoghue D., Warner B., 1988, MNRAS, 233, 759
- Beljawsky P.R., 1926, Beobachtungs-Zirkular der Astron. Nachr., 6, 38
- Belova A.L., Suleimanov V.F., Bikmaev I.F., Khamitov I.M., Zhukov G.V., Senio D.S., Belov I.Y., Sakhibullin N.A., 2013, Astron. Lett., 39, 111
- Biryukov W., Borisov G.V., 1990, Perem. Szvesdy, 1544, 1
- Boeva, S., Latev, G., Zamanov R., 2021, ATel, 14365
- Bonnet-Bidaud J.M., Mouchet M., de Martino D., Matt G., Motch C., 2006, A&A, 445, 1037
- Borisov G.V., 1992, A&A, 261, 154
- Boyd D.R.S., de Miguel E. Patterson J., et al., 2017, MNRAS, 466, 3417
- Bruch A., 1991, Acta Astron., 41,101
- Bruch A., 2019a, IBVS, 6257
- Bruch A., 2019b, MNRAS, 489, 2961
- Bruch A., 2020, New Astr., 78, 101369
- Bruch A., 2021, MNRAS, 503, 953
- Bruch A., 2022a, MNRAS, 509, 4669
- Bruch A., 2022b, MNRAS, 514, 4718
- Bruch A., Cook L.M., 2018, New Astr., 63, 1
- Buckley D.A.H., Remillard R.A., Tuohy I.R., Warner B., Sullivan D.J., 1993, MNRAS, 265, 926
- Chen A., O'Donogue D., Stobie R.S., Kilkenny D., Warner B., 2001 MNRAS, 325, 89
- Chote P., Sullivan D.J., 2016, MNRAS, 458, 1393
- Dai Z.B., Qian S.B., Fernández-Lajus E., Baume G.L., 2010, MN-RAS, 409, 1195
- Debosscher J., Blomme J., Aerts C., De Ridder J., 2011, A&A, 529, A89
- Deeming T.J., 1975, Ap&SS, 39, 137
- de Miguel E., Patterson J., Cejudo E., et al., 2016, MNRAS, 457, 1447
- de Miguel E., Patterson J., Jones J.L., et al., 2017, MNRAS, 467, 428
- DeYoung J.A., Schmidt R.E., 1993, IAU Circ., 5880
- Diaz M.P., Steiner J.E., 1990, A&A, 238, 170
- Eastman, J., Siverd, R., & Gaudi, B.S., 2010, PASP, 122, 935
- Froning C.S., Long K.S., Baptista R., 2003, AJ, 126, 964
- Fuentes-Morales I., Vogt, N., Tappert C., 2018, MNRAS 474, 2493
- Gänsicke B.T., Dillon M., Southworth J., 2009, MNRAS, 397, 2170
- Garnavich P., Szkody P., 1988, PASP, 100, 1522
- Gies D.R., Guo Z., Howell S.B., 2013, ApJ, 775, 64
- Green R.F., Schmidt M., Liebert J., 1986, ApJS, 61, 305
- Greenstein J.L., Sargent A.I., Haug U., 1970, A&A, 7, 1
- Greiner J., Tovmassian G., Orio M., et al., 2001, A&A, 376, 1031

- Gülsecen H., Retter A., Esenoğlu H., 2009, New Astr., 14, 330
- Haefner R., 1981, IBVS, 2045
- Haefner R., Metz K., 1985, A&A, 145, 311
- Han Z., Qian S., Voloshina I., Zhu L., 2017, Research A&A, 17, 56
- Heinze A.N., Tonry J.L., Denneau, L., 2018, AJ, 156, 241
- Hellier C., Robinson E.L., 1994, ApJ, 431, L107
- Hirose M., Osaki Y., Mineshige S., 1991, PASJ, 43, 809
- Hoffmeister C., 1963, Astron. Nachr., 287, 169
- Hollander A., Kraakman H., van Paradijs, J., 1997, A&AS, 101, 87
- Honeycutt R.K., 2001, PASP, 113, 473
- Honeycutt R.K., Kafka S., 2004, AJ, 128, 1279
- Honeycutt R.K., Robertson J.W., Turner G.W., Vesper D.N., 1994, in Shafter A.W., ed., ASP Conf. Ser. 56, Interacting Binary Stars, p. 277
- Howell S.B., Szkody P., Kreidl T.J., Dobrzycka D., 1991, PASP, 103, 300
- Hümmrich S., Gröbel R., Hambsch F.-J., et al., 2017 New Astr., 50, 30
- Hutchings J.B., Crampton D., Cowley A.P., Thorstensen J.R., Charles P.A., 1981, ApJ, 249, 680
- Hutchings J.B., Link R., Crampton D., 1983, PASP, 95, 265
- Iłkiewics K., Scaringi S., Court J.M.C., et al., 2021, MNRAS, 503, 4050
- Kafka S., 2021, Observations from the AAVSO International Data Base available at https://www.aavso.org
- Kałuzny J, 1989, Acta Astron., 39, 235
- Kang T.W., Retter A., Liu A., Richards M., 2006, AJ, 131, 1687
- Kato T., Imada A, Uemura M., et al., 2009, PASJ, 61, S395
- Kato T., Ishioka R., Uemura M., 2002, PASJ, 54, 1003
- Kato T., Maehara H., 2013, PASJ, 65, 76
- Kato T., Starkey D.R., 2002, IBVS, 5358  $\,$
- Kato T., Uemura M., 2001, IBVS, 5077
- Kim Y., Andronov I.L., Cha S.M., Chinarova L.L., Yoon J.N., 2009, A&A, 496,765
- Kimura M., Osaki Y., Kato T., 2020, PASJ, 72, 94
- Knigge C., Baraffe I., Patterson J., 2011, ApJS, 194, 28
- Kozhevnikov V.P., 2007, MNRAS, 378, 957
- Kozhevnikov V.P., 2012, New Astron., 17, 38
- Kraicheva Z., Stanishev V., Genkov V., Iliev V., 1999, A&A, 351, 607
- Kukarkin B.V., 1977, MNRAS, 180, 5p
- Lima I.J., Rodrigues C.V., Ferreira Lopes, C.E., et al., 2021, AJ, 161, 225
- Lipkin Y.M, Leibowitz E.M., 2008, MNRAS, 387, 289
- Lomb N.R., 1976, Ap&SS, 39, 447
- Mandel O.E., 1965, Perem. Szvesdy, 15, 475
- McQuillin R., Evans A, Wilson D., Maxtet P.F.L., Placco D., West R.G., Hounsell R.A., Bode M.F., 2012, MNRAS, 419, 330
- Mercado L., Honeycutt R.K., 2002, Bull. AAS, 34, 1162
- Mironov A.V., Moshkalev V.G., Shugarov S.Yu., 1983, IBVS, 2438
- Misselt K.A., Shafter A.W., 1995, AJ, 109, 1757
- Montgomery M.M., 2009, ApJ, 705, 603
- Motch C., 1981, A&A, 200, 277
- Motch C., Guillout P., Haberl F., et al., 1998, A&AS, 132,341
- Motch C., Pakull M.W., 1981, A&A, 101, L9
- Mróz P., Udalski A., Poleski R., et al., 2015, ApJS, 219, 26
- Nather R.E., Robinson E.L., 1974, ApJ, 190, 637
- Neustroev V.V., Suleimanov V.F., Borisov N.V., Belyakov K.V., Shearer A., 2011, MNRAS, 410, 963
- Norton A.J., Beardmore A.P., Taylor P., 1996, MNRAS, 280, 973
- Osaki Y., Kato T., 2013, PASJ, 65, 95
- Osaki Y., Kato T., 2014, PASJ, 66, 15
- Papadaki C., Boffin H.M.J., Stanishev V., Boumis P., Akras S., Sterken C., 2009, J. Astron. Data, 15, 1
- Papadaki C., Boffin H.M.J., Sterken C., Stanishev V., Cuypers J., Boumis P., Akras S., Alikakos J., 2006, A&A, 456, 599

Patterson J., 1995, PASP, 107, 657

- Patterson J., 1998, PASP, 110, 1132
- Patterson J., 1999, in: S. Mineshige & J.C. Wheeler (eds.) Disk instabilities in close binary systems, Tokyo Universal Academic Press, p. 61
- Patterson J., 2001, PASP, 113, 736
- Patterson J., Fenton W.H., Thorstensen J.R., et al., 2002, PASP, 114, 1364
- Patterson J., Kemp J., Harvey D.A., et al., 2005, PASP, 117, 1204
- Patterson J., Kemp, J., Saad J., Skillman D.R., Harvey D., Fried R., Thorstensen J.R., Ashley R., 1997, PASP, 109, 468
- Patterson J., Patino R., Thorstensen J.R., 1996, AJ, 111, 2422
- Patterson J., Price C.M., 1981, ApJ, 243, L83
- Patterson J., Richman H., 1991, PASP, 103, 735
- Patterson J., Thomas G., Skillman D.R., Diaz M., 1993, ApJS, 86, 235
- Patterson J., Thorstensen J.R., Fried R., 2001, PASP, 113, 72
- Patterson J., Uthas H., Kemp J., et al., 2013, MNRAS, 434, 1902
- Patterson J., Warner B., 1998, PASP, 110, 1026
- Pearson K.J., 2006, MNRAS, 371, 235
- Quigley R., Africano J., 1978, PASP, 90, 445
- Ramsay G., Hakala P., Wood M.A., 2016, MNRAS, 455, 2772
- Rawat N., Pandey J.C., Joshi A., Yadava U., 2022, MNRAS, 512, 6054
- Retter A., Leibowitz E.M., Ofek E.O., 1997, MNRAS, 286, 745
- Ricker G.R., Winn, J.N., Vanderspek R., et al., 2014, J. Astr. Tel. Instr. & Systems, 1, 014003
- Ringwald F.A., Thorstensen J.R., Honeycutt R.K., Robertson J.W., 1997, MNRAS, 278, 125
- Ringwald F.A., Velasco K., Roveto J.J., Meyers M.E., 2012, New Astron., 17,433
- Robinson E.L., Shretone M.D., Africano J.L., 1991, AJ, 102, 1176
- Rodríguez-Gil P., Gänsicke B.T., Hagen, H.J., et al., 2007, MN-RAS, 377, 1747
- Rodríguez-Gil P., Potter S.B., 2003, MNRAS, 342, L1
- Rodríguez-Gil P., Schmidtobreick, L., Long, K.S., Gänsicke B.T., Torres M.P.A., Rubio Díez M.M., Santander-Garcíia M., 2012 MNRAS, 422, 2332
- Rodríguez-Gil P., Shahbaz T., Torres M.P.A., Gänsicke B.T., Izquierdo P., Toloza O., Álvarez-Hernández A., Steeghs D., 2020, MNRAS, 494, 425
- Rodríguez-Gil P, Torres M.P.A., 2005, A&A, 431, 289
- Rössiger R., 1988, Sternwarte Sonneberg, Mitt. Veraenderliche Sterne, 11, 112
- Rolfe D.J., Haswell C.A., Patterson J., 2000, MNRAS, 317, 759
- Rosen S.A., Branduardi-Raymont G., Mason K.O., Murdin P.G., 1989, MNRAS, 237, 1037
- Rosen S.R., Clayton K.L., Osborne J.P., McGale P.A., 1994, MN-RAS, 269, 913
- Rubenstein E.P., Patterson J., Africano J.L., 1991, PASP, 103, 1258
- Rude G.D., Ringwald F.A., 2012, New Astr., 17, 453
- Rutten R.G.M., van Paradijs J., Tinbergen J., 1992, A&A, 260, 213
- Saito R., Baptista R., 2016, MNRAS, 457, 198
- Savitzky A., Golay M.J.E., 1964, Analytical Chemistry, 36, 1627
- Scargle J.D., 1982, ApJ, 263, 853 Schmidtobreick L., Papadaki C., Tappert C., Ederoclite A., 2008,
- MNRAS, 389, 1345
- Schwarzenberg-Czerny A., 1991, MNRAS, 253, 198
- Semeniuk I., de Young J.A., Pych W., Olech A., Ruszkowski M., Schmidt R.E., 1995, Acta Astr., 45, 365
- Semeniuk I., Pych W., Olech A., Ruszkowski M., 1994, Acta Astr., 44, 277
- Semeniuk I., Schwarzenberg-Czerny A., Duerbeck H., Hoffman M., Smak J., Stepien K., Tremko J., 1987, Ap&SS, 130, 167
- Shafter A.W., 1983, ApJ, 267, 222
- Shafter A.W., Robinson E.L., Crampton D., Warner B., Prestage R.M., 1990, ApJ 354, 708

- Šimon V., Polasek C., Strobl J., Hudec C., Blazek M., 2012, A&A, 540, A15
- Singh J., Rao P.V., Agrawal P.C., Apparao K.M.V., Manchanda R.K., Sanwal B.B., Sarma M.B.K., 1993, ApJ, 419, 337
- Skillman D.R., Harvey D.A., Patterson J., 1998, ApJ, 503, L67
- Skillman D.R., Patterson J., 1993, ApJ, 417, 298
- Skillman D.R., Patterson J., Thorstensen J.R., 1995, PASP, 107, 545
- Smak J., 2019, Acta Astr.,  $69,\,79$
- Smak J., 2020, Acta Astron., 70, 313
- Smak J., Stępień K., 1975, Acta Astron., 25, 379
- Stanishev V.S., Kraicheva Z., Boffin H.M.J., Genkov V., 2002, A&A, 394, 625
- Stanishev V., Kraicheva Z., Genkov V., 2006, A&A, 455, 223
- Stefanov S.Y., Latev G., Boeva S., Moyseev M., 2022, MNRAS, 516, 2775
- Stolz V., Schoembs R., 1984, A&A, 132, 187
- Szkody P., Howell S.B., Mateo M., Kreidl T.J., 1989, PASP, 101, 899
- Szkody P., Mukadam A.S., Gänsicke B.T., et al., 2013, ApJ, 775, 66
- Sztanjo M., 1979, IBVS, 1710
- Taylor C.J., Thorstenson J.R., Patterson J., et al., 1998, PASP, 110, 1148
- Thomas D.M., Wood M.A., 2015, ApJ, 803, 55
- Thorstensen J.R., Peters C.S., Skinner J.N., 2010, PASP, 122, 1285 ApJ, 359, 204
- Tremko J., Andronov I.L., Luthard R., Pajdosz G., Patkos, L. Rössiger S., Zola S., 1992, IBVS 3763
- Tuohy I.R., Remillard R.A., Brissenden R.J.V., Bradt H.V., 1990, ApJ, 359, 204
- Udalski A., 1988, Acta Astron., 38, 315
- van Houten C.J., 1966, Bull. Astron. Inst. Neth.,  $18,\,439$
- van Zyl L., Warner B., O'Donogue D., et al., 2004, MNRAS, 350, 307
- Vogt N., 1974, A&A, 36, 369
- Volkov I.M., Shugarov S.Yu., Seregina T.M., 1986, Astr. Tsirk., 1418, 3
- Volpi A., Natali G., D'Antona F., 1988, A&A 193, 87
- Warner B., 1986, MNRAS, 219, 347
- Weingrill J., Kleinschuster G., Kuschnik R., Matthews J.M., Moffat A., Rucinski S., Sasselov D., Weiss W.W., 2009, Comm. Astroseism. 159, 114
- Whitehurst R., 1988, MNRAS, 232, 35
- Whitehurst R., King, A., 1991, MNRAS, 249, 25
- Williams, K.A., de Martino D., Silvotti R., et al., 2010, AJ, 139, 2587
- Wood M.A., Still M.D., Howell S.B., Cannizzo J.K., Smale A.P., 2011, ApJ, 741, 105
- Woudt P., Warner B., 2001, MNRAS, 328, 159
- Woudt P., Warner B., 2002, MNRAS, 335, 44
- Wu X., Li Z., Ding Y., Zhang Z., Li Z., 2002, ApJ 569, 418
- Yang M.T., Chou Y., Ngeow C.-C., 2017, PASP, 129, 4202
- Zhang E., Robinson E.L., Ramseyer T.F., Shretone M.D., Stiening R.F., 1991, ApJ, 381, 534
- Zorotovic M., Schreiber M.R., Gänsicke B.T. 2011, A&A, 536, A42

# APPENDIX A: ADDITIONAL ECLIPSE TIMINGS

This paper has been typeset from a TeX/LATeX file prepared by the author.

Table A1. UU Aqr eclipse epochs (zero point for cycle counts as defined by Eq. 1).

Epoch (BJD) (2400000+)	Cycle No.								
51755.7281	0	55415.8404	22375	56215.4214	27263	57249.7401	33586	58368.6292	40426
51756.5464	5	55416.6578	22380	56507.5749	29049	57262.4991	33664	58369.4481	40431
51756.7100	6	55416.8217	22381	56507.7392	29050	57609.4530	35785	58369.6107	40432
53234.6594	9041	55417.6403	22386	56508.5574	29055	57617.4687	35834	58370.4295	40437
54322.4696	15691	55417.8032	22387	56508.7214	29056	57627.6106	35896	58370.5924	40438
54323.4510	15697	55418.6214	22392	56509.5399	29061	57627.7741	35897	58370.7575	40439
54325.4128	15709	55418.7846	22393	56509.7027	29062	57628.5922	35902	58371.5731	40444
54357.4749	15905	55469.4949	22703	56510.6839	29068	57628.7564	35903	58371.7378	40445
54365.4905	15954	55778.4979	24592	56510.6842	29068	57629.5739	35908	58372.5563	40450
54728.4749	18173	55795.5097	24696	56523.4439	29146	57629.7363	35909	58372.7188	40451
54731.4211	18191	55799.5995	24721	56563.5208	29391	57630.5556	35914	58373.5371	40456
54734.5267	18210	55799.7637	24722	56563.5209	29391	57630.7185	35915	58373.7004	40457
54734.6915	18211	55800.5812	24727	56563.6837	29392	57642.4966	35987	58374.5178	40462
54735.3454	18215	55800.7451	24728	56563.6837	29392	57991.7407	38122	58377.6263	40481
54736.3271	18221	55801.5633	24733	56872.6888	31281	57995.6656	38146	58378.4439	40486
54810.2672	18673	55801.7268	24734	56872.8515	31282	58349.6548	40310	58378.6062	40487
54830.2252	18795	55893.3309	25294	56874.6512	31293	58349.8171	40311	58726.5426	42614
55059.3979	20196	56157.6775	26910	56874.8140	31294	58350.4714	40315	58726.7062	42615
55106.3460	20483	56159.4761	26921	56893.4627	31408	58351.6177	40322	58733.5766	42657
55415.6770	22374	56160.4586	26927	57249.5761	33585	58351.7801	40323		

Table A2. V348 Pup eclipse epochs (zero point for cycle counts as defined by Dai et al. (2010)).

Epoch (BJD)	Cycle	Epoch (BJD)	Cycle						
(2400000+)	No.	(2400000+)	No.	(2400000+)	No.	(2400000+)	No.	(2400000+)	No.
56631.6506 56633.5858	78948 78967	56633.6873 56633.7889	78968 78969	57008.7600 57728.6603	82651 89720	57728.7619 57729.6783	89721 89730	57729.7803	89731

Table A3. RW Tri eclipse epochs (zero point for cycle counts as defined by Eq. 7).

Epoch (BJD) (2400000+)	Cycle No.	Epoch (BJD) (2400000+)	Cycle No.	Epoch (BJD) (2400000+)	Cycle No.	Epoch (BJD) (2400000+)	Cycle No.	Epoch (BJD) (2400000+)	Cycle No.
53672.6223	0	56228.4405	11022	57328.2638	15765	57337.5394	15805	57409.6550	16116
54392.3882 54419.5180	3104 3221	56619.4252 56619.3963	$12665 \\ 12708$	57329.4233 57329.6556	15770 15771	57339 3944 57339 3944	$15810 \\ 15813$	57410.5828 57415.6840	16120 16142
54447.3442	3341	56636.3240	12700 12781	57329.8875	15772	57340.3218	15817	57416.6113	16146
54835.2862	5014	56922.4676	14015	57330.5834	15775	57342.4087	15826	57419.3941	16158
55063.4584	5998	56933.3661	14062	57330.8145	15776	57343.3362	15830	57420.3215	16162
55106.3577	6183	56935.4533	14071	57331.2785	15778	57344.2639	15834	57421.4813	16167
55172.4441	6468	57314.3506	15705	57331.5104	15779	57345.4232	15839	57422.4087	16171
55487.3422	7826	57315.7423	15711	57332.6698	15784	57391.7998	16039	57423.3364	16175
55490.3565	7839	57317.5974	15719	57332.9016	15785	57397.8289	16065	57424.4957	16180
55533.4868	8025	57319.6843	15728	57333.3658	15787	57399.6844	16073	57424.7273	16181
55822.4132	9271	57320.3800	15731	57334.2930	15791	57400.3797	16076	57623.4522	17038
55828.4424	9297	57321.3071	15735	57334.5248	15792	57400.6118	16077	57642.4662	17120
55867.3985	9465	57321.5389	15736	57334.7571	15793	57401.7710	16082	57645.4807	17133
55881.3116	9525	57324.5538	15749	57335.4523	15796	57402.6986	16086	57684.9010	17303
55950.4122	9823	57326.4088	15757	57335.9160	15798	57403.3943	16089	57685.5961	17306
55953.4270	9836	57326.6406	15758	57336.3799	15800	57403.6260	16090	57685.8285	17307
55957.3700	9853	57327.3361	15761	57336.6115	15801	57407.5681	16107	57686.7559	17311
56200.3826	10901	57327.5684	15762	57336.8436	15802	57408.7277	16112	58431.3325	20522

Table A4. UX UMa eclipse epochs (zero point for cycle counts as defined by Eq. 8).

4:331.7185         -00718         56848         7000         27200         5711.64640         29450         571.44.8961         20013         5752.7212         31530           4300.8007         -37227         56727.4595         27486         571.11.4432         29480         571.14.4002         20014         57530.7520         31500           4379.770.1         0         56731.3532         2710.6130         29480         571.14.7832         20168         57530.6580         31580           5131.97821         0         56733.3540         2772.777         571.13.811         571.04.102         29400         571.44.5682         206.3         5783.4513         3297           52338.1610         1016         56734.3262         2753.1         571.12.5827         29400         571.45.856         286.8         5788.596         33401           54476.34221         10053         56738.2562         275.1         571.12.587         29400         571.45.856         296.4         5718.856         296.4         5718.8556         296.4         5718.8556         296.4         5718.8558         296.6         5715.34.852         296.6         5715.34.852         296.6         5715.34.852         296.6         5715.34.8558         296.6         5715.34.858	Epoch (BJD) (2400000+)	Cycle No.								
4300.8007         -48043         66728.2804         27485         5711.7.6483         29484         5714.4.050         20616         57529.0749         31575           4309.8027         -12015         66731.853         32716         57119.4182         29484         57144.7572         29618         5753.0583         32878           5233.35161         5007         66733.5434         2727         57119.8115         29494         5714.6526         29624         5780.5437         32978           5346.6455         10043         66734.5324         27322         57121.1587         29494         5714.6526         29635         5780.5831         32985           53476.5473         10063         66734.5242         2752         5712.1587         29000         5714.6526         29676         5780.7652         33375           54476.5723         10063         66734.063         27572         5712.2561         29104         5712.4583         29667         5780.7652         3411           5417.572         29644         5780.7652         2772         5712.2561         29104         5713.4289         29667         5817.3798         3448           5414.14017         14413         56774.4463         27772         5712.2561         2	43311.7185	-40718	56688.7090	27299	57116.6640	29475	57143.8064	29613	57525.7421	31555
43098.0925         -47223         56725.4859         27486         57119.4182         29484         57144.7982         29616         57520.0749         31581           51319.7821         0         56733.3230         27728         57119.6150         29490         57144.7732         29623         57753.0381         32974           52333.3101         5007         56733.3520         27721         57119.182         29490         57144.7734         29633         57781.4881         33010           53466.416.5         10016         56738.2562         27516         5712.2872         29600         57148.7682         29383         5781.4883         33411           53476.8755         10973         56739.2526         27516         5712.23661         29000         57132.4855         29649         5788.7066         34401           53476.8665         10973         56739.2526         27566         5712.2361         29000         57132.4852         29657         5738.3456         3453         34418           5446.7787         10943         56739.2526         27636         5712.2361         29004         5718.4829         29717         5738.4459         34518           54461         1443         56734.2436         2773         5	43660.8097	-38943	56725.2894	27485	57117.6483	29480	57144.0029	29614	57526.7250	31560
4579.7710         -12915         56731.3582         272.06         57119.4182         29489         5714.47893         29018         57733.06380         31589           52363.5161         5307         5673.3594         27227         57119.8115         29491         5714.57765         09223         57783.05783         32928           5366.6455         10916         56734.4384         27532         57121.5872         29490         5714.7394         99033         57885.7968         33305           53476.8723         10965         56738.4663         27552         57121.5872         29601         5718.9863         29644         5788.7966         33411           53485         10973         56739.4965         27572         5712.5512         29551         5712.4523         29644         5788.7966         33411           53485         10973         5673.4462         27567         5712.2551         29505         5712.45245         29664         5817.5.3769         34448           54187.4456         14581         56742.3969         27577         5712.3518         5712.4528         29667         5817.5.4278         3475.4448           54183.3014         1820         5674.3982         27577         5712.35712         5712.35712 <td>43998.6925</td> <td>-37225</td> <td>56725.4859</td> <td>27486</td> <td>57118.4352</td> <td>29484</td> <td>57144.3959</td> <td>29616</td> <td>57529.6749</td> <td>31575</td>	43998.6925	-37225	56725.4859	27486	57118.4352	29484	57144.3959	29616	57529.6749	31575
5139.7821         0         5673.3520         272.65         57119.6150         29490         5714.57726         29624         5778.9073         32974           5283.516.1         5007         5673.4532         275.31         5712.0420         29404         5714.5788         99224         5780.1373         33010           53466.4551         10916         5673.4532         5721.3525         5712.7572         99033         5711.98.353         33010           53476.8723         109068         5673.6632         5755         5712.7872         29500         5714.8525         99643         5788.6836         3401           53416.8772         10968         56730.4266         27567         57122.9561         29506         5715.8452         99647         57800.7622         34141           53416.7426         1481         56742.9468         27572         57122.9561         29507         5713.4229         29643         5817.5.662         34565           54132.4561         1481         56742.9468         27572         57122.9561         25116.4228         29667         5817.5.663         34815           51433.5227.9778         124.7377         5712.4.5612         25616         5716.42068         3817.5.763         34473 <tr< td=""><td>48779.7710</td><td>-12915</td><td>56731.3853</td><td>27516</td><td>57119.4182</td><td>29489</td><td>57144.7893</td><td>29618</td><td>57530.6580</td><td>31580</td></tr<>	48779.7710	-12915	56731.3853	27516	57119.4182	29489	57144.7893	29618	57530.6580	31580
b238.5161         5073.5494         2727         57119.8115         29491         5714.5688         29024         5704.8178         32998           53466.6455         10916         56734.6324         27532         57121.3857         29499         5714.7394         29033         5788.7068         33908           53476.9723         10953         56734.6324         27532         57121.5872         29501         5714.8256         29647         5788.7068         33401           53476.9723         10973         56739.526         57122.3061         29061         57162.4552         29649         5788.7068         33401           53476.9727         11064         56741.4102         27567         57122.7081         29616         57152.4562         29667         5814.3990         41734           51451.4297         14143         56742.3982         27573         57123.7312         29515         57154.4532         29667         58173.5789         34849           51412.2561         12820         56774.4383         27576         57124.7378         29151         57154.4529         29667         58173.5749         34849           51418.4077         14433         5674.4684         27633         57124.5819         2915         57164.5551 </td <td>51319.7821</td> <td>0</td> <td>56733.3520</td> <td>27526</td> <td>57119.6150</td> <td>29490</td> <td>57145.7726</td> <td>29623</td> <td>57785.9373</td> <td>32878</td>	51319.7821	0	56733.3520	27526	57119.6150	29490	57145.7726	29623	57785.9373	32878
b2513369         6202         56734.333         275.31         57120.402         29494         5714.7584         29628         57210.588         33900           53466.4557         10968         56734.3624         75121.5872         29500         5714.7584         9033         57318.3832         33910           53476.8575         10968         56734.4624         75761         57122.3686         29504         57150.6885         29649         57800.7622         33411           53481.7887         10968         56739.4452         27557         57122.561         29604         57152.4521         29664         58173.4632         34451           54154.4017         1164         56742.5088         27573         57124.7412         29511         57154.4522         29664         58173.5762         34848           54137.4164         14581         56742.5088         27573         57124.5712         29510         57154.5722         9068         58173.5663         34848           54193.4064         14583         56754.4964         27633         57124.565         29520         57164.5724         9088         58172.5663         38412.573         34946           55299.758         20135         56754.3964         27633         57124.5643 <td>52363.5161</td> <td>5307</td> <td>56733.5494</td> <td>27527</td> <td>57119.8115</td> <td>29491</td> <td>57145.9689</td> <td>29624</td> <td>57804.8179</td> <td>32974</td>	52363.5161	5307	56733.5494	27527	57119.8115	29491	57145.9689	29624	57804.8179	32974
53466.6455         10916         56738.2665         27522         57121.3857         29409         57147.7394         29633         57841.8981         33010           53477.8557         10973         56738.2665         27556         57121.5782         29501         57150.6887         29649         57898.7966         33401           53487.8557         10043         56739.2562         27556         57122.3887         29605         57152.4583         29667         57833.3411         33485           53495.5771         10044         56714.4163         27567         57122.0788         29667         58173.3412         29662         58154.8926         34754           541187.4456         14581         56742.3508         27577         57123.318         29509         57154.3425         29664         58173.5488         34883           54187.4456         11220         12420         56714.4503         27577         57124.5119         29515         57164.6222         29668         58175.3488         34883           55279.7682         20133         56754.4907         27633         57124.512         290630         58176.48183         34946           55289.5682         20231         56754.5922         27643         57124.4897         29	52551.3369	6262	56734.3363	27531	57120.4025	29494	57146.7558	29628	57809.5381	32998
54476.873.9221         10963         66738.4663         25751         57121.5827         29500         57148.5256         29648         5788.36842         33375           53476.8723         10968         66739.4463         25756         67122.3886         29504         67150.8855         29649         5789.3450         5789.4450         5787         57122.5561         29506         57150.4852         29662         58154.8952         29662         58154.8952         34154           54134.4047         14413         56741.46100         27575         57122.3513         29609         57133.4429         29662         58173.3788         34184           54132.4047         16233         56714.4630         27575         57124.5131         29516         57157.5722         29663         58173.3788         34184           54132.3404         10233         56751.4463         27618         57125.152         29650         57167.5729         29663         58175.5431         34859           54207.0784         20135         5675.4463         27763         57124.6432         29713         58212.5433         34891           55207.0784         20145         5675.4664         27743         57164.6421         29718         5818.8156         5818.8369	53466.6455	10916	56734.5324	27532	57121.3857	29499	57147.7394	29633	57811.8981	33010
53476.8723         10968         56738.6766         7212.17789         29501         571150.6887         29649         57888.7966         33401           53481.7887         10003         56739.2562         27555         57122.5631         29505         57152.4588         29669         57700.76760         33407           53495.7527         11064         56741.4160         27567         57122.5631         29505         57153.4852         29669         57033.3101         3568           54187.4456         14581         56742.5908         27575         57122.4728         29515         57154.422         29666         58173.5782         34484           54182.4469         16233         56743.4300         27577         57123.742         29515         57154.6222         29668         58175.3468         34858           544912.701         12809         56754.497         27618         57125.5152         29500         57116.4222         29668         58118.4867         34881           55279.7582         20135         56754.4307         27633         57126.4562         29716         58122.513         34041           55289.4685         20123         56754.4307         27643         57124.4802         29718         58192.6534         3	53473.9221	10953	56738.2695	27551	57121.5827	29500	57148.5256	29637	57883.6832	33375
53481,7855         10973         56739.4405         27565         57122.3686         29504         57150.8855         29647         57690.7682         33411           53481,7827         11064         56714.4100         27567         57122.555         29505         57152.4821         29662         58145.4024         33658           531750.8857         12412         56741.4130         25758         57122.4581         29506         57153.4352         29664         58173.3798         34448           54152.3409         16233         56742.5968         27577         57124.3421         29615         57154.4258         29664         58173.5762         34149           54132.3409         16233         56751.4463         27127.577         57124.5319         29515         57154.4258         29664         58173.5483         34859           55279.7582         2135         5675.3464         27633         57124.6982         29565         57164.4782         29748         58181.8367         34841           55297.7582         20135         5675.3464         27673         57124.4982         29708         58191.4387         34047           55299.4683         20234         5675.3465         27644         57124.4982         29778         581	53476.8723	10968	56738.4663	27552	57121.7789	29501	57150.6887	29648	57888.7966	33401
5348.7887         10093         5673.4405         27567         57122.2651         29505         57152.4552         29557         57097.4769         33495           53495.7327         11064         56741.4160         27567         57122.2081         29507         57153.4429         29662         581154.8902         34764           54154.4047         14413         56742.5905         27572         57123.7147         29511         57154.4252         29667         58173.3708         34848           54187.4406         16333         56743.4300         27668         5712.5157         257212         25151         57155.2021         29688         58175.4481         34859           54933.6164         18375         56751.4032         27633         57126.4985         20525         57162.4906         29708         58192.6533         34946           55279.3642         20133         56754.3902         27643         5712.4085         29550         57164.4542         29173         58242.573843         36648           55091.4122         21847         56775.4653         27648         5712.6772         292850         57166.4392         29738         5854.7224         36787           55090.4202         23763         56776.6731425         2	53477.8555	10973	56739.2526	27556	57122.3686	29504	57150.8855	29649	57890.7632	33411
5349.7627         11064         56741.6130         27568         57122.2081         29506         57132.8521         29692         55130.8652           53470.8655         12412         56741.6130         27575         57123.2311         29509         57153.8322         29664         58173.3780         34484           54187.4456         14581         56742.5968         27577         57124.5319         29515         57154.4252         29688         58175.5483         34858           54121.2460         16233         56751.4430         27618         57124.5319         29515         57164.4562         29688         58175.4484         34881           55277.5782         20135         56754.3364         27613         57127.6525         57163.4728         29713         58212.6173         35047           55297.5782         20135         56764.3664         27643         57127.6429         29536         57164.4564         29713         58212.6173         35047           55090.4582         20237         56763.4623         27643         57127.6712         29531         57164.4564         29713         58212.6173         35047           55090.4507         20763         56734.2724         27663         57127.6712.28531         57164.566123 <td>53481.7887</td> <td>10993</td> <td>56739.4495</td> <td>27557</td> <td>57122.5651</td> <td>29505</td> <td>57152.4585</td> <td>29657</td> <td>57907.6769</td> <td>33497</td>	53481.7887	10993	56739.4495	27557	57122.5651	29505	57152.4585	29657	57907.6769	33497
53700.8055         12412         5674.1309         27572         57123.318         29507         57153.4292         29664         58154.8926         34548           54184.4945         14581         56742.5968         27573         57123.7447         29511         57154.4258         29667         58173.5762         34849           54187.4456         14581         56743.4800         27608         57124.7287         29616         5715.5727         29088         58173.6568         34889           54933.6164         18375         56751.4463         27633         57125.515         29520         57160.4229         29988         58179.6688         34881           55279.7682         20135         56754.5929         27634         57127.4820         29530         57164.644         29713         58212.6173         35047           55617.422         21852         56757.4333         27649         5712.86623         29564         57166.43292         29738         5854.0221         36847         36464           55092.4060         23763         56758.6671         27702         5712.8662         29536         57166.4216         29738         5854.0221         36785           55094.2630         23760       5678.6671         27702	53495.7527	11064	56741.4160	27567	57122.7608	29506	57152.8521	29659	57939.3410	33658
54154.4047         14413         56742.3086         27573         57123.3762         25733         57153.8352         29664         58173.3762         24849           54157.4568         18295         56743.3880         27573         57124.5319         29515         57154.6228         29668         58175.3468         34858           54933.6164         18375         56771.4433         27618         57125.515         29519         57165.5611         29688         58179.3668         34881           55279.7582         20135         56764.3640         27633         57126.4955         29525         57162.4966         29708         58192.6534         34946           55299.7582         20287         56765.3337         27643         57126.4952         29550         57164.4728         29718         55246.7384         36649           56611.4987         21847         5675.4333         27649         57128.6623         29536         57164.6216         29728         5554.7254         3678         5693         5718.5512         5718.551.2513         36649           56994.2636         23769         56792.3540         2786         57131.482         29551         5716.5612         29738         55559.4463         36811           559994.60	53760.8655	12412	56741.6130	27568	57122.9581	29507	57153.4429	29662	58154.8926	34754
54187.4456         14581         56743.380         27577         57124.5319         29515         57114.6222         29667         58173.3762         34485           54012.7701         18209         56743.380         27618         57124.5319         29515         57115.4222         29663         58175.5481         34858           54033.6164         18375         56753.4429         27623         57126.0852         29520         57160.5220         29708         58129.6683         34811           55279.3648         20135         56753.4392         27634         57126.6951         29526         57164.3722         29718         58212.6173         35047           55616.4574         21872         56757.5433         27648         57126.6951         29516         57164.4564         29728         58527.5843         36649           55617.4223         21852         56757.5433         27649         57128.6862         29536         57166.4216         29728         58527.5843         36649           55094.2602         23763         56785.6671         2779         57128.6862         29545         57166.4216         29729         5854.7254         36785           55094.3678         65785.6671         27792         57128.6462         29	54154.4047	14413	56742.3995	27572	57123.3518	29509	57153.8352	29664	58173.3798	34848
5412.3409       10233       56743.4800       27608       57124.787       29515       57157.5729       29668       58175.3408       34859         54912.770       18269       56754.3400       27608       57124.787       29516       57175.7529       29668       58175.3434       34859         55279.3648       20133       56754.3964       27633       57126.4985       29525       57160.4906       29708       58192.6534       344946         55290.57582       20135       5675.3364       27643       57127.4620       29530       57164.4564       29713       58247.3741       35447         55616.4587       21847       56757.3465       27648       57127.6771       29536       57166.4216       29723       58527.3874       36649         55621.3754       21872       56763.4428       27679       57128.686       29537       57166.4216       29738       58554.7254       36787         55993.4773       21764       57128.686       29536       57166.54216       29738       58554.7254       36787         55993.4737       21767       27128.413       57136.3924       29738       58554.7254       36787         55993.4738       56789.7974       27132.8431       29545       5716	54187.4456	14581	56742.5968	27573	57123.7447	29511	57154.4258	29667	58173.5762	34849
54912.7701       18269       5674.44.800       27608       57124.7287       29516       5715.5729       29683       58175.5431       343851         55279.3648       20133       5675.4297       27623       57125.5152       29519       57156.5561       29668       58181.8367       34981         55279.3648       20135       5675.4392       27634       57126.6951       29526       57163.4728       29718       58212.5173       35047         55616.4587       21847       5675.3432       27643       5712.66621       29536       57164.4564       29718       58212.5173       35647       36547       36547       36547       36547       36547       36547       3644       36448       36549       36787       36764       36785       36718       37166.4216       29728       58554.72541       36787         55994.2605       23764       56789.6074       27712       77128.4564       29546       57169.5764       29739       58559.4150       36718       36713       36854       36715       5599.4569       29749       58627.6898       37158       5599.4569       29749       58627.6898       37158       5599.4569       29749       58627.6898       37158       55994.4005       5892.34899       38661	54512.3469	16233	56743.3830	27577	57124.5319	29515	57154.6222	29668	58175.3468	34858
5433.6164         18375         5675.1.4463         27618         57125.5162         29520         57185.5561         29688         58179.8698         34881           55273.7582         20135         56754.4904         27633         57126.5152         29520         57164.4764         29718         58121.5173         35047           55209.6385         20221         56756.337         27643         57127.66051         29530         57164.4764         29713         58212.5173         350471           55617.4423         21852         56775.3465         27644         57127.6771         29531         57166.4216         29728         58527.3874         36649           55621.3754         21872         56765.333         27648         57128.6623         29536         57166.4216         29728         58554.7254         36785           55993.4773         23764         56789.374         27813         57130.6284         29545         57168.5661         29739         58559.4450         36811           55993.473         23764         56799.374         27813         57130.6284         29546         57168.5661         29739         58559.4410         36812           55995.4489         23773         56774.3202         27886         571131	54912.7701	18269	56749.4800	27608	57124.7287	29516	57157.5729	29683	58175.5431	34859
55279.3648         20133         5676.363         27623         57126.5155         29520         57160.520         29098         58181.8367         344991           55279.7522         20135         56764.3064         27633         57126.4056         29525         57164.4064         29713         58212.5173         35047           55309.6520         20287         56765.6363         27643         57127.4712         29531         57164.4504         29718         58246.7381         35221           55617.4423         21852         56757.5433         27649         57128.6623         29537         57166.392         29729         58554.7254         36678           55993.473         23744         56789.679         27128.6623         29547         57168.3892         29739         58554.7254         36787           55993.4605         23769         56782.797         27133         58714.3062         29546         57169.5706         29744         58556.4126         29739         58554.4250         36811           55994.4605         23769         56792.3540         27826         57131.4158         29546         57169.5706         29744         58589.4410         38611         36811         35595.44204         58894.8991         38537.158	54933.6164	18375	56751.4463	27618	57125.3192	29519	57158.5561	29688	58179.8698	34881
55297.7582         20135         56764.5929         27634         57126.4985         29525         57162.4002         29703         58192.6534         34946           55296         50287         56756.3637         27643         57127.4820         29530         57164.4784         29131         5827.3873         36644           55616.41423         21847         56757.3462         27648         57127.6771         29531         57166.4216         29723         58527.3873         36648           55693.4773         21847         56765.4422         27679         57128.6622         29530         57166.4216         29723         5854.7254         36649           55994.4602         23763         56785.6671         27792         57129.6454         29541         57166.3892         29738         5854.921         6783           55994.4602         23768         56789.7974         27813         57130.6284         29540         57169.7664         29744         58520.6411         36812           55994.4602         23773         56794.3202         27836         57131.4158         29555         57171.5348         29745         58915.4192         38837           56012.7502         23862         56807.6043         27744         57132.9052 </td <td>55279.3648</td> <td>20133</td> <td>56752.4297</td> <td>27623</td> <td>57125.5155</td> <td>29520</td> <td>57160.5220</td> <td>29698</td> <td>58181.8367</td> <td>34891</td>	55279.3648	20133	56752.4297	27623	57125.5155	29520	57160.5220	29698	58181.8367	34891
55298.6385       20231       56754.5929       27634       57127.428       29713       58212.5173       35047         55309.6520       20287       56756.3637       27643       57127.420       29530       57164.4564       29118       58267.3874       36648         55611.7.423       21852       56757.5433       27649       57128.8628       29536       57166.4216       29729       55827.3874       36648         55621.375       23763       56785.6671       27792       57129.4854       29541       57168.4892       29739       58554.4221       36788         55993.4263       23764       56789.67974       27812       57129.4813       29542       57169.642       29744       55859.6411       36812         55994.4605       23769       56793.3540       27826       57131.4158       29550       5716.064       29745       58627.6898       37152         55995.2469       23773       5694.320       27866       57131.8085       29555       5717.0.534       2974       58827.8991       38637         56316.164       25585       5707.8774       29822       29555       5717.7.438       29754       58915.4194       38621         56333.348       25594       5708.1658	55279.7582	20135	56754.3964	27633	57126.4985	29525	57162.4906	29708	58192.6534	34946
55309.6520       20287       5675.3637       27643       57127.4820       29530       57165.4264       29718       58246.7381       35221         55616.4232       21852       56757.5433       27649       57128.6623       29536       57166.4216       29728       58527.5843       36649         55693.4774       21872       56763.428       27679       57128.6624       29541       57166.4216       29728       58554.9221       36788         55993.4773       23764       56789.6006       27812       57129.4644       29541       57168.5861       29739       58559.4410       36811         55994.2036       23768       56789.400       27813       57131.4158       29550       57169.766       29745       58620.6092       37122         55995.4469       23773       5679.4320       27886       57131.4158       29550       57170.5534       29745       58828.6991       38637         56012.7550       23862       56807.6764       27744       28555       57171.5348       29745       5882.4991       38621         5635.61616       25555       56811.6282       27924       57132.7923       29555       57171.0331       29764       58912.2938       38661         56353.5812	55298.6385	20231	56754.5929	27634	57126.6951	29526	57163.4728	29713	58212.5173	35047
55616.4587       21847       56757.3465       27648       57127.6771       29531       57166.4216       29728       58527.3873       36649         55617.4423       21852       56757.5433       27649       57128.6826       29537       57166.6203       29728       58527.3873       36649         55621.7374       21872       56763.4428       27679       57128.6856       29537       57166.6203       29729       58554.9221       36788         55993.2806       23764       56785.6671       27792       57129.8413       29546       57169.5706       29739       58559.4450       36811         55994.405       23769       56793.3540       27826       57131.4158       29550       57160.5764       29745       58620.6092       37122         55995.2469       23773       56794.3202       27836       57131.8085       29555       57170.5734       29750       58898.8991       38537         56012.7505       23862       56807.6043       27094       57132.3087       29555       57171.3481       29754       58915.4194       38621         56351.6146       25559       56811.6282       27924       57132.3087       29556       57117.3031       29764       58915.2223       38667	55309.6520	20287	56756.3637	27643	57127.4820	29530	57164.4564	29718	58246.7381	35221
55617.4423       21852       56757.5433       27649       57128.6623       29536       57166.6203       29729       58527.25843       36649         55693.2006       23763       56763.4428       27679       57129.6454       29537       57166.6203       29729       58554.7224       36788         55993.4773       23764       56789.6006       27712       57129.6454       29546       57169.5706       29744       58559.44150       36811         55995.2469       23773       56794.302       27836       57131.4158       29550       57169.7604       29745       58620.6092       37122         55995.4438       23774       56802.7776       27879       57132.0061       29553       57171.5344       29754       58915.4213       38620         56316.1616       25559       56811.6282       27924       57132.7952       29556       57177.3031       29764       5892.4499       38621         56335.1614       25555       5708.707.4       29282       57132.7962       29558       5719.4161       2955       5892.4499       38657         56335.812       25595       5708.1.658       29298       57133.782       29568       5719.4161       29850       58923.4825       38662	55616.4587	21847	56757.3465	27648	57127.6771	29531	57165.4392	29723	58527.3874	36648
55621.3754         21872         56763.4428         27679         57128.8586         29637         57168.3892         29738         58554.9221         36788           55993.2806         23763         56785.6671         27792         57129.8413         29541         57168.3892         29738         58559.4450         36811           55994.4605         23768         56789.774         27813         57130.6284         29546         57169.7664         29745         58620.6092         37122           55995.4469         23773         56792.3540         27866         57131.4158         29550         57170.7634         29745         58620.6092         37125           55995.4438         23774         56802.776         27879         57132.3087         29555         57171.5348         29754         58915.4223         38620           56343.61016         25585         57078.7074         29282         57132.3987         29556         57171.5348         29754         58915.4293         38621           56335.16146         25585         57078.7074         29282         57132.3985         29586         57191.4014         29850         5893.4282         8862           56337.84812         25595         57081.8589         29298         5713	55617.4423	21852	56757.5433	27649	57128.6623	29536	57166.4216	29728	58527.5843	36649
55993.2806       23763       56785.6671       27792       57129.6454       29541       57168.3802       29738       58559.4221       36788         55993.4773       23764       56789.6006       27812       57129.8413       29542       57168.3802       29738       58559.4450       36811         55994.4005       23769       56792.3540       27826       57131.4158       29550       57169.7664       29744       58559.4021       37135         55995.2469       23773       56074.3202       27836       57131.0628       29555       57170.5534       29749       58828.200.6092       37158         556012.7505       23862       56807.6943       27904       57132.0987       29555       57171.5134       29754       58915.4223       38620         56334.6106       25559       56811.6282       27924       57132.9895       29556       57171.5134       29764       58923.4825       38661         56333.5812       25595       57081.8539       29297       57133.7718       29560       57191.4004       29855       58923.4825       38662         56372.4621       25691       57081.8539       29297       57133.7718       29560       57191.4004       29856       58923.4825       38662 <td>55621.3754</td> <td>21872</td> <td>56763.4428</td> <td>27679</td> <td>57128.8586</td> <td>29537</td> <td>57166.6203</td> <td>29729</td> <td>58554.7254</td> <td>36787</td>	55621.3754	21872	56763.4428	27679	57128.8586	29537	57166.6203	29729	58554.7254	36787
55993.4773       23764       56789.6006       27812       57129.8413       29542       57168.5661       29739       58559.4450       36811         55994.4605       23769       56792.3540       27826       57131.4158       29550       57169.7664       29744       58559.4410       36811         55994.4605       23773       56792.3540       27826       57131.4158       29550       57169.7664       29749       5862.6092       37122         55995.4438       23774       56802.7776       27879       57132.0061       29555       5717.15.348       29750       58898.8991       38537         56012.7505       23862       56801.6282       27904       57132.3987       29555       57171.5134       29764       58915.4194       38621         5633.614       25585       57078.7074       29282       57133.2925       29556       57174.367       29784       58923.4825       38662         5633.5812       25595       57081.8539       2929       57133.3822       29560       57191.4004       29855       5891.4376       38754         5637.4621       25619       57083.8207       29308       57133.3822       29563       57194.546       29841.5776       38755         56378.3616 </td <td>55993.2806</td> <td>23763</td> <td>56785.6671</td> <td>27792</td> <td>57129.6454</td> <td>29541</td> <td>57168.3892</td> <td>29738</td> <td>58554.9221</td> <td>36788</td>	55993.2806	23763	56785.6671	27792	57129.6454	29541	57168.3892	29738	58554.9221	36788
55994.2636       23768       56789.794       27813       57130.6284       29546       57169.7664       29744       58559.6411       36812         55994.4605       23769       56794.3202       27836       57131.8085       29552       57170.5534       29745       58620.6092       37122         55995.4438       23774       56802.7776       27879       57132.0061       29553       57170.534       29749       58898.8991       38537         56012.7505       23862       56807.6943       27904       57132.3987       29556       57173.5314       29754       58915.2223       38620         5634.616       25555       57078.7074       29282       57132.7923       29556       57173.501       29764       58923.4825       38621         56353.812       25595       57081.6539       29297       57133.37748       29562       57191.4014       29850       58923.4825       38661         56357.3612       25691       57081.8539       29298       57133.7742       29562       57191.5776       37756       38755         56378.3616       25731       57099.3580       29383       57135.5457       29571       57194.5476       29871       59293.8150       40641         56383.15088 <td>55993.4773</td> <td>23764</td> <td>56789.6006</td> <td>27812</td> <td>57129.8413</td> <td>29542</td> <td>57168.5861</td> <td>29739</td> <td>58559.4450</td> <td>36811</td>	55993.4773	23764	56789.6006	27812	57129.8413	29542	57168.5861	29739	58559.4450	36811
55994.4005       23769       56792.3540       27826       5713.14158       29550       57169.7664       29745       58620.6092       37122         55995.2469       23773       56794.3202       27836       57131.2061       29553       57170.7543       29750       58898.8991       38537         56012.7505       23862       56801.6282       27924       57132.0961       29555       57177.3671       29784       58915.4194       38621         5631.6146       25559       56811.6282       27924       57132.7923       29557       57177.4367       29784       58923.4892       38621         56353.3848       25594       57081.6568       29297       57132.9895       29558       57190.4161       29850       58923.4825       38661         56353.3848       25591       57081.8539       29298       57133.7742       29562       57193.401       29855       58923.4825       38661         56372.4621       25691       57088.8209       29304       57133.7742       29562       57193.461       29856       58941.776       38753         56387.3616       25721       57098.581       29385       57135.7420       29571       57193.462       29896       59317.6125       40631	55994.2636	23768	56789.7974	27813	57130.6284	29546	57169.5706	29744	58559.6411	36812
55995.2469       23773       56794.3202       27836       57131.8085       29552       57170.5534       29749       58627.6898       37158         55995.4438       23774       56802.7776       27879       57132.0061       29553       57170.7493       29750       58898.8991       38537         56012.7505       23862       56807.6943       27924       57132.3987       29555       57171.5348       29754       58915.2223       38620         56351.6146       25585       57081.6568       29297       57132.7932       29556       571714.616       29850       58923.4899       38661         56353.3848       25594       57081.6568       29297       57133.7748       29563       57194.1004       29855       58923.4825       38662         56373.4621       25691       57081.8539       29298       57133.3721       29563       57194.5476       29871       59293.8150       40545         56375.3616       25721       57098.571       29383       57135.4547       29571       57194.5476       29871       59293.8150       40545         56381.508       25737       5709.551       29383       57135.5427       29571       57194.5476       29871       59293.8150       40545 <t< td=""><td>55994.4605</td><td>23769</td><td>56792.3540</td><td>27826</td><td>57131.4158</td><td>29550</td><td>57169.7664</td><td>29745</td><td>58620.6092</td><td>37122</td></t<>	55994.4605	23769	56792.3540	27826	57131.4158	29550	57169.7664	29745	58620.6092	37122
55995.4438       23774       56802.7776       27879       57132.0061       29555       57171.5348       29750       58898.8991       38537         56012.7505       23862       56807.6943       27904       57132.3987       29555       57171.5348       29754       58915.2223       38620         56345.616       25559       56811.6282       27924       57132.7923       29556       57173.5031       29764       58915.4194       38621         56353.5812       25595       5708.16568       29297       57133.7822       29556       57191.4004       29855       58923.4825       38661         56353.5812       25691       5708.8209       29308       57133.7748       29562       57192.5799       29861       58941.5776       38754         56373.8361       25711       57092.8677       29334       57133.4624       29865       57194.5476       29871       5923.8752       40494         56381.3120       25737       57099.551       29387       57135.7421       29567       57194.5476       29811       5923.8752       40494         56381.3120       25737       5709.9551       29388       57135.7457       25719.4766       29917       59317.6125       40666         56383.4753	55995.2469	23773	56794.3202	27836	57131.8085	29552	57170.5534	29749	58627.6898	37158
56012.7505       23862       56807.6943       27904       57132.3987       29555       57171.5348       29754       58915.2223       38620         56346.5016       25555       57078.7074       29282       57132.5952       29556       57173.5031       29764       58915.4194       38621         56353.3848       25594       57081.6568       29297       57132.7923       29557       57191.4004       29855       58923.2869       38661         56353.3842       25595       57081.8539       29298       57133.3822       29560       57191.4004       29855       58923.4825       38662         56372.4621       25691       57083.8209       29308       57133.7748       29562       57192.5799       29861       58941.5776       38754         56376.3951       25711       57098.5713       29383       57134.3648       29565       57194.5476       29871       59283.8150       40545         56381.5088       25737       57099.3580       29387       57135.5457       29571       57194.6492       29896       59310.722       40631         56383.2791       25746       57109.3512       29389       57135.5457       29571       57194.4629       29947       59317.803       40667	55995.4438	23774	56802.7776	27879	57132.0061	29553	57170.7493	29750	58898.8991	38537
56346.5016       25559       56811.6282       27924       57132.5952       29556       57173.5031       29764       58915.4194       38621         56353.6146       25585       5708.7074       29282       57132.7923       29557       57177.4367       29784       58922.4999       38657         56353.5812       25595       57081.8539       29297       57133.3822       29560       57191.4004       29855       58923.2869       38661         56353.5812       25591       57083.8209       29308       57133.7748       29562       57192.5799       29861       58941.7776       38754         56376.3951       25731       57092.8677       29333       57134.7581       29565       57193.5634       29881       5923.8150       40545         56381.508       25737       57099.5551       29388       57135.7420       29572       5720.4403       29891       5929.3150       40545         56383.2954       25741       57109.7514       29392       57135.7420       29572       5720.4135       29911       59317.6125       40666         56383.4753       25745       57100.3415       29393       57136.7528       29576       57208.5098       2942       59327.564       40743	56012.7505	23862	56807.6943	27904	57132.3987	29555	57171.5348	29754	58915.2223	38620
56551.0146       2585       57078.074       2982       57132.0923       2957       57171.4367       29784       58922.4999       38667         56353.3848       25594       57081.6568       29297       57132.9895       29585       57191.4004       29850       58923.2869       38661         56353.3812       25595       57081.8539       29298       57133.7748       29562       57191.4004       29855       58923.4825       38662         56372.4621       25691       57083.8209       29308       57133.7748       29562       57191.5799       29861       58941.5776       38755         56378.3616       25721       57099.5551       29383       57134.4548       29567       57198.4803       29891       5923.8150       40545         56381.308       25737       57099.5551       29388       57135.5457       29571       5719.4629       29896       59310.7292       40631         56383.2791       25746       57100.3415       29392       57135.7383       29573       57202.4135       29911       59317.803       40667         56383.4753       25747       57100.5382       29393       57136.7528       29576       57208.5098       29942       59332.6205       40844	56346.5016	25559	56811.6282	27924	57132.5952	29556	57173.5031	29764	58915.4194	38621
56353.3848       25594       57081.6568       29297       57132.9895       29588       57190.4161       29850       58923.2869       38661         56353.5812       25595       57081.8539       29298       57133.3822       29560       57191.4004       29855       58923.4825       38662         56372.4621       25601       57083.8209       29308       57133.7748       29563       57193.5634       29861       58941.5776       38754         56378.3616       25721       57098.5713       29383       57134.3648       29565       57194.5476       29871       59283.7852       40494         56381.508       25737       57099.5511       29388       57135.4577       29571       57199.4629       29896       59310.7292       40631         56383.2791       25746       57100.3415       29392       57135.3432       29573       57207.5266       29937       59317.803       40667         56383.4753       25747       57102.7016       29404       57136.728       29576       57208.5098       29942       59332.7564       40743         56385.4119       25757       57102.7016       29404       57136.7258       29576       57208.5098       29947       59322.6205       40844      <	56351.6146	25585	57078.7074	29282	57132.7923	29557	5/1//.436/	29784	58922.4999	38657
56353.5812       25595       57081.8359       29298       57133.3822       29600       57191.4004       29855       58923.4825       38662         56372.4621       25691       57083.8209       29308       57133.7721       29563       57192.5799       29861       58941.5776       38754         56376.3951       25711       57098.5713       29383       57134.3648       29565       57194.5476       29871       59283.7852       40494         56381.3120       25736       57099.3580       29387       57134.7581       29567       57198.4803       29891       59293.8150       40545         56381.5088       25737       57099.5551       29388       57135.7420       29572       57202.4135       29911       59317.6125       40666         56383.2791       25746       57100.3415       29392       57136.5285       29576       57208.4098       29942       59332.7564       40743         56385.419       25757       57102.7016       29404       57136.7288       29577       57208.4095       29947       59352.6205       40844         56386.4253       25787       57103.2916       29407       57138.4943       29586       57224.4738       30013       59605.5336       42130	56353.3848	25594	57081.6568	29297	57132.9895	29558	57190.4161	29850	58923.2869	38661
56372.4621         25691         57083.8209         29308         57133.7748         29562         57192.5799         29861         58941.5776         38754           56376.3951         25711         57092.8677         29354         57133.7748         29565         57194.5476         29871         59283.7852         40494           56383.3120         25736         57099.3580         29387         57134.3648         29565         57194.5476         29871         59283.7852         40494           56381.3120         25736         57099.5551         29388         57135.7420         29572         57194.452         29911         59317.6125         40666           56383.2791         25746         57100.3415         29392         57135.943         29573         57207.5266         29937         59317.8093         40667           56383.4753         25777         57100.5382         29393         57136.7288         29577         57208.5098         29942         59332.7564         40743           56385.4419         25757         57102.7016         29407         57138.4943         29586         57224.4738         30013         59364.6172         40905           56381.3420         25787         57104.4708         29413         57140	56353.5812	25595	57081.8539	29298	57133.3822	29560	57191.4004	29855	58923.4825	38662
56376.39512571157092.80772933457133.97212956557194.54762980159941.77363873556378.36162572157099.55712938357134.36482956557194.54762987159293.8524049456381.31202573657099.55512938857135.54572957157199.46292889659310.72924063156382.29542574157099.55512938857135.74202957257202.41352991159317.61254066656383.27912574657100.34152939257136.52852957657208.50982994259332.75644074356385.44192575757102.70162940457136.72582957757209.49562994759352.62054084456386.42532576257103.29162940757138.49432958657222.47383001359364.61724090556383.39212577557104.47082941357140.46302959657224.47393002359605.34234212956391.34202578757107.61972942857140.465942959757226.40813003359622.45314221656393.30892579757107.61972942957140.85482950857227.39073003859639.36674230256395.27522580757108.6972944057141.44532960157227.78483004059639.56444230356454.66962610957108.99582943657141.44532960157227.7848 <td< td=""><td>56372.4621</td><td>25691</td><td>57083.8209</td><td>29308</td><td>57133.7748</td><td>29562</td><td>57192.5799</td><td>29861</td><td>58941.5776</td><td>38754</td></td<>	56372.4621	25691	57083.8209	29308	57133.7748	29562	57192.5799	29861	58941.5776	38754
56383.3010       20721       57093.5113       23533       57134.7543       23503       57198.4803       29811       5923.8132       40394         56381.3120       25736       57099.3580       29387       57134.7543       29567       57198.4803       29891       59293.8150       40545         56381.5088       25737       57099.551       29388       57135.7420       29572       57202.4135       29911       59317.6125       40666         56383.2791       25746       57100.3415       29392       57135.7383       29573       57207.5266       29937       59317.8093       40667         56383.4753       25747       57102.7016       29404       57136.7258       29576       57208.5098       29942       59332.7564       40743         56386.4253       25762       57103.2916       29404       57136.7258       29577       57209.4956       29947       59352.6205       40844         56386.4253       25762       57103.2916       29407       57138.4943       29586       57222.4738       30013       59364.6172       40905         56391.3420       25787       57104.4708       29413       57140.6594       29597       57224.4399       30023       59605.5396       42130	56378 3616	20711	57008 5713	29504	57134 3648	29000 20565	57195.5054 57104.5476	29800	50283 7852	38733
56381.3120       25736       57099.3580       29387       57134.7581       29567       57198.4803       29891       59293.8150       40545         56381.5088       25737       57099.5551       29388       57135.7420       29571       57199.4629       29896       59310.7292       40631         56382.2954       25741       57099.7514       29389       57135.7420       29572       57202.4135       29911       59317.6125       406667         56383.2791       25746       57100.3415       29392       57136.5285       29576       57208.5098       29942       59332.7564       40743         56385.4419       25757       57102.7016       29404       57136.7258       29576       57209.4956       29947       59352.6205       40844         56386.4253       25762       57103.2916       29407       57138.4943       29586       57222.4738       30013       59364.6172       40005         56381.3120       25787       57104.4708       29413       57140.4630       29596       57224.4399       30023       59605.5396       42130         56391.3420       25787       57104.4708       29413       57140.4630       29597       57226.4081       30033       59639.3667       42302	50578.5010	20721	57058.5715	29303	57154.5046	29000	57154.5470	29071	59265.1652	40494
50381.50882573757099.53512938857135.44372957157199.40292989059310.72924003156382.29542574157099.75142938957135.74202957257202.41352991159317.61254066656383.27912574657100.34152939257135.93832957357207.52662993759317.80934066756383.47532574757100.53822939357136.52852957657208.50982994259332.75644074356385.44192575757102.70162940457136.72582957757209.49562994759352.62054084456386.42532576257103.29162940757138.49432958657222.47383001359605.34234212956381.34202577557104.47082941357140.65942959657224.43993002359605.53964213056391.34202578757107.42162942857140.6594295975726.40813003359622.45314221656393.30892579757107.61972942957140.85482959857227.39073003859639.6674230256454.66962610957108.9582943657141.4453296015727.78483004059639.66444230356686.34872728757100.78072944557142.62572960757467.33003125859675.75124248756688.31472729257111.74812945057142.62572960757471.656731	56381.3120	25736	57099.3580	29387	57134.7581	29567	57198.4803	29891	59293.8150	40545
50382.2994       25741       57099.7314       29392       57135.420       29572       57207.5266       29917       59317.6125       40000         56383.2791       25746       57100.3415       29392       57135.9383       29573       57207.5266       29937       59317.8093       40667         56383.4753       25747       57100.5382       29393       57136.5285       29576       57208.5098       29942       59332.7564       40743         56385.4419       25757       57102.7016       29404       57136.728       29577       57209.4956       29947       59352.6205       40844         56386.4253       25762       57103.2916       29407       57138.4943       29586       57224.4738       30013       59646.172       40905         56391.3420       25787       57104.4708       29413       57140.6594       29596       57224.4399       30023       59605.5396       42130         56391.3420       25787       57107.4216       29428       57140.6594       29597       57226.4081       30033       59622.4531       42216         56393.3089       25797       57107.6197       29429       57140.8548       29508       57227.7848       30040       59639.56644       42303	56381.5088	25737	57099.5551	29388	5/135.545/	29571	57199.4629	29896	59310.7292	40631
56383.27912574057100.53822939257136.52852957657208.50982994259332.7564400756383.47532574757100.53822939357136.52852957657208.50982994259332.75644074356385.44192575757102.70162940457136.72582957757209.49562994759352.62054084456386.42532576257103.29162940757138.49432958657222.47383001359364.61724090556388.39212577257104.47082941357140.46302959657224.43993002359605.53964213056391.53892578857107.42162942857140.65942959757226.40813003359622.45314221656393.30892579757107.61972942957140.85482959857227.39073003859639.36674230256395.27522580757108.79792943557141.44532960157227.78483004059639.56444230356454.66962610957109.78072944057141.83882960357467.52673125959703.67904262956686.44872728757109.78072944557142.42932960657470.67353127559703.87514263056687.33102729257111.74812945057142.62572960757471.65673128056688.31472729757113.71532946057143.41272961157520.62803152956688.511	56383.2701	25741	57100 3415	29309 20202	57135 0383	29072	57207 5266	29911 20037	50317.0120	40000 40667
5000000000000000000000000000000000000	56383 4753	25740 25747	57100.5415	29392	57136 5285	29575	57208 5098	29937 20042	59332 7564	40007
56385.4419       25757       57102.7016       29404       57136.7258       29577       57209.4956       29947       59352.6205       40844         56386.4253       25762       57103.2916       29407       57138.4943       29586       57222.4738       30013       59364.6172       40905         56388.3921       25772       57103.4888       29408       57139.6757       29592       57223.4576       30018       59605.3423       42129         56391.3420       25787       57104.4708       29413       57140.4630       29596       57224.4399       30023       59605.5396       42130         56391.5389       25788       57107.4216       29428       57140.6594       29597       57226.4081       30033       59639.3667       42302         56393.3089       25797       57107.6197       29429       57140.8548       29598       57227.3907       30038       59639.3667       42302         56395.2752       25807       57108.7979       29435       57141.4453       29601       57227.7848       30040       59639.5644       42303         56454.6696       26109       57108.9958       29436       57141.6419       29602       57467.3300       31258       59675.7512       42487	50505.4105	20141	57100.5562	23535	57150.5265	23510	57200.0050	20045	59552.1004	40745
56386.4253       25702       57103.2916       29407       57138.4943       29586       57222.4138       30013       59364.6172       40905         56388.3921       25772       57103.4888       29408       57139.6757       29592       57223.4576       30018       59605.3423       42129         56391.3420       25787       57104.4708       29413       57140.4630       29596       57224.4399       30023       59605.5396       42130         56391.5389       25788       57107.4216       29428       57140.6594       29597       57226.4081       30033       59622.4531       42216         56393.3089       25797       57107.6197       29429       57140.8548       29598       57227.3907       30038       59639.3667       42302         56395.2752       25807       57108.7979       29435       57141.4453       29601       57227.7848       30040       59639.5644       42303         56454.6696       26109       57108.9958       29436       57141.6419       29602       57467.3300       31258       59675.7512       42487         56686.3487       27287       57109.7807       29440       57142.4293       29606       57470.6735       31275       59703.8751       42630	56385.4419	25757	57102.7016	29404	57136.7258	29577	57209.4956	29947	59352.6205	40844
56383.3921       25772       57103.4888       29408       57139.0737       29392       57224.4309       30018       59005.342.3       42129         56391.3420       25787       57104.4708       29413       57140.4630       29596       57224.4399       30023       59605.5396       42130         56391.5389       25788       57107.4216       29428       57140.6594       29597       57226.4081       30033       59622.4531       42216         56393.3089       25797       57107.6197       29429       57140.8548       29598       57227.3907       30038       59639.3667       42302         56395.2752       25807       57108.7979       29435       57141.4453       29601       57227.7848       30040       59639.5644       42303         56454.6696       26109       57108.9958       29436       57141.6419       29602       57467.3300       31258       59675.7512       42487         56686.3487       27287       57109.7807       29440       57142.4293       29606       57470.6735       31275       59703.8751       42630         56687.3310       27292       57111.7481       29450       57142.6257       29607       57471.6567       31280         56687.5284       272	56299 2021	25702	57103.2910	29407	07100.4940 57120.6757	29080	57222.4130	20012	50605 2422	40900
56351.0420       25781       57107.4216       29428       57140.6594       29597       57226.4081       30033       59622.4531       42216         56393.3089       25797       57107.6197       29429       57140.8548       29598       57227.3907       30038       59639.3667       42302         56395.2752       25807       57108.7979       29435       57141.4453       29601       57227.7848       30040       59639.5644       42303         56454.6696       26109       57109.7807       29440       57141.6419       29602       57467.3300       31258       59675.7512       42487         56686.3487       27287       57109.7807       29440       57142.4293       29606       57467.5267       31259       59703.6790       42629         56686.5451       27288       57110.7647       29445       57142.6257       29606       57470.6735       31275       59703.8751       42630         56687.5284       27293       57111.7481       29450       57142.6257       29607       57471.6567       31280         56688.3147       27297       57113.7153       29460       57143.4127       29611       57520.6280       31529         56688.5115       27298       57114.8952       2946	56391 3420	25787	57104 4708	29408 29413	57140 4630	29592	57224 4399	30013	59605.5425	42129
56393.3089       25797       57107.6197       29429       57140.8548       29598       57227.3907       30038       59639.3667       42302         56395.2752       25807       57108.7979       29435       57141.4453       29601       57227.7848       30040       59639.5644       42303         56454.6696       26109       57108.9958       29436       57141.4453       29602       57467.3300       31258       59675.7512       42487         56686.3487       27287       57109.7807       29440       57141.8388       29603       57467.5267       31259       59703.6790       42629         56686.5451       27288       57110.7647       29445       57142.4293       29606       57470.6735       31275       59703.8751       42630         56687.3310       27292       57111.7481       29450       57142.6257       29607       57471.6567       31280         56687.5284       27293       57113.7153       29460       57143.4127       29611       57520.6280       31529         56688.5115       27298       57114.8952       29466       57143.6087       29612       57520.8249       31530	56391.5389	25788	57107.4216	29428	57140.6594	29597	57226.4081	30033	59622.4531	42216
50555.0055       20131       51101.0131       25425       51140.0346       29596       51221.5907       50036       59039.3007       42302         56395.2752       25807       57108.7979       29435       57141.4453       29601       57227.7848       30040       59639.5644       42303         56454.6696       26109       57108.9958       29436       57141.6419       29602       57467.3300       31258       59675.7512       42487         56686.3487       27287       57109.7807       29440       57141.8388       29603       57467.5267       31259       59703.6790       42629         56686.5451       27288       57110.7647       29445       57142.4293       29606       57470.6735       31275       59703.8751       42630         56687.3310       27292       57111.7481       29450       57142.6257       29607       57471.6567       31280         56688.3147       27297       57113.7153       29460       57143.4127       29611       57520.6280       31529         56688.5115       27298       57114.8952       29466       57143.6087       29612       57520.8249       31530	56202 2020	25707	57107 6107	20/20	571/0 95/9	20500	57997 2007	30030	50620 2667	10200
566561162       20001       5110011010       20100       5111114100       20001       5122111040       30040       59035.5044       42503         56454.6696       26109       57108.9958       29436       57141.6419       29602       57467.3300       31258       59675.7512       42487         56686.3487       27287       57109.7807       29440       57141.8388       29603       57467.5267       31259       59703.6790       42629         56686.5451       27288       57110.7647       29445       57142.4293       29606       57470.6735       31275       59703.8751       42630         56687.3310       27292       57111.7481       29450       57142.6257       29607       57471.6567       31280         56688.3147       27297       57113.7153       29460       57143.4127       29611       57520.6280       31529         56688.5115       27298       57114.8952       29466       57143.6087       29612       57520.8249       31530	56395-2752 56395-2752	25797 25807	57107.0197	29429 29435	57140.0048	29601 29601	57227 7848	30038	59639-5644	42302
56686.3487       27287       57109.7807       29440       57141.8388       29603       57467.5267       31259       59703.6790       42629         56686.5451       27288       57110.7647       29445       57142.4293       29606       57470.6735       31255       59703.8751       42630         56687.3310       27292       57111.7481       29450       57142.6257       29607       57471.6567       31280         56687.5284       27293       57111.9446       29451       57142.8231       29608       57494.6675       31397         56688.3147       27297       57113.7153       29460       57143.6087       29612       57520.8249       31530	56454 6696	26109	57108 9958	29436	57141 6419	29602	57467 3300	31258	596757512	42487
56686.5451       27288       57110.7647       29445       57142.4293       29606       57470.6735       31275       59703.8751       42630         56687.3310       27292       57111.7481       29450       57142.6257       29607       57471.6567       31280         56687.5284       27293       57111.9446       29451       57142.8231       29608       57494.6675       31397         56688.3147       27297       57113.7153       29460       57143.4127       29611       57520.6280       31529         56688.5115       27298       57114.8952       29466       57143.6087       29612       57520.8249       31530	56686.3487	27287	57109.7807	29440	57141.8388	29603	57467.5267	31259	59703.6790	42629
56687.33102729257111.74812945057142.62572960757471.65673128056687.52842729357111.94462945157142.82312960857494.66753139756688.31472729757113.71532946057143.41272961157520.62803152956688.51152729857114.89522946657143.60872961257520.824931530	56686.5451	27288	57110.7647	29445	57142.4293	29606	57470.6735	31275	59703.8751	42630
56687.5284         27293         57111.9446         29451         57142.8231         29608         57494.6675         31397           56688.3147         27297         57113.7153         29460         57143.4127         29611         57520.6280         31529           56688.5115         27298         57114.8952         29466         57143.6087         29612         57520.8249         31530	56687 3310	97909	57111 7/81	20/50	57149 6957	29607	57471 6567	31980		
56688.3147         27297         57113.7153         29460         57143.4127         29611         57520.6280         31529           56688.5115         27298         57114.8952         29466         57143.6087         29612         57520.8249         31530	56687 5984	27292	57111.7401	29451	57142.0207	29608	57494 6675	31200 31307		
56688.5115 27298 57114.8952 29466 57143.6087 29612 57520.8249 31530	56688.3147	27297	57113.7153	29460	57143.4127	29611	57520.6280	31529		
	56688.5115	27298	57114.8952	29466	57143.6087	29612	57520.8249	31530		