Dilute neutron star matter from neural-network quantum states

Bryce Fore,[1] Jane M. Kim,[2] Giuseppe Carleo,[3] Morten Hjorth-Jensen,[2,4] and Alessandro Lovato[5,6,7]

[1] *Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439, USA*
[2] *Department of Physics and Astronomy and Facility for Rare Isotope Beams, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA*
[3] *Institute of Physics, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland*
[4] *Department of Physics and Center for Computing in Science Education, University of Oslo, N-0316 Oslo, Norway*
[5] *Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439*
[6] *Computational Science Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439*
[7] *INFN-TIFPA Trento Institute of Fundamental Physics and Applications, 38123 Trento, Italy*

(Dated: December 9, 2022)

Low-density neutron matter is characterized by fascinating emergent quantum phenomena, such as the formation of Cooper pairs and the onset of superfluidity. We model this density regime by capitalizing on the expressivity of the hidden-nucleon neural-network quantum states combined with variational Monte Carlo and stochastic reconfiguration techniques. Our approach is competitive with the auxiliary-field diffusion Monte Carlo method at a fraction of the computational cost. Using a leading-order pionless effective field theory Hamiltonian, we compute the energy per particle of infinite neutron matter and compare it with those obtained from highly realistic interactions. In addition, a comparison between the spin-singlet and triplet two-body distribution functions indicates the emergence pairing in the $^1S_0$ channel.

arXiv:2212.04436v1 [nucl-th] 8 Dec 2022

Introduction. Multi-messenger astronomy has opened new windows into the state of matter at densities and isospin asymmetries that cannot be directly probed by terrestrial experiments [1–4]. Concurrently, nuclear many-body theory has made considerable progress in computing the nucleonic-matter equation of state at densities corresponding to the inner core of neutron stars starting from realistic Hamiltonians [5–10]. Comparisons between theoretical predictions and astrophysical observation pose stringent constraints on models of nuclear dynamics, particularly three-nucleon forces [11].

In this work, we focus on lower densities, $\rho \lesssim 0.04$ fm^{-3}, which are relevant to the phenomenology of the stellar inner crust and outer core. In this region, both conditions for superfluidity — strong Fermi degeneracy and an attractive interaction between neutron pairs in the $^1S_0$ channel — are believed to be met [12–14]. In addition to lowering the system's energy, the formation of Cooper pairs plays a critical role in neutrino emission [15, 16], and the phenomenology of glitches [17]. Pairing is also relevant in modeling neutron-rich nuclei, which are the subject of intense experimental activities [18].

Quantum Monte Carlo approaches [19], and in particular the auxiliary-field diffusion Monte Carlo (AFDMC) method [20] have been extensively applied to accurately compute neutron-matter properties [6, 7, 21]. In the low-density regime, AFDMC calculations have convincingly shown a depletion of the superfluid gap with respect to the Bardeen–Cooper–Schrieffer theory [22, 23]. However, because of the fermion sign problem, AFDMC predictions depend upon the starting variational wave function. For instance, the superfluid phase must be assumed *a priori* by using pfaffian wave functions [24].

Neural-network quantum states [25] (NQS) have gained popularity in solving the Schrödinger equation of atomic nuclei both in real space [26–30] and in the occupation-number formalism [31]. In this work, we introduce a periodic NQS suitable to model both the normal and superfluid phases of neutron matter. The ansatz is based on the "hidden-nucleon" architecture, which can model the ground-state wave functions of nuclei up to ^{16}O with high accuracy [29]. Inspired by chemistry applications [32, 33], we further improve the expressivity of the hidden-nucleon NQS using generalized backflow correlations, which generalize both the pfaffian and the spin-dependent backflow of Ref. [34].

Our model of nuclear dynamics is the leading-order pionless effective field theory ($\not\pi$EFT) Hamiltonian of Ref. [35], which qualitatively reproduces the binding energies of nuclei with up to $A = 90$ nucleons. Arguments based on the expansion around the unitary limit [36], and Brueckner-Hartree-Fock calculations of infinite nuclear matter [37], indicate that $\not\pi$EFT should provide accurate energies of dilute neutron matter. We test this hypothesis by comparing the $\not\pi$EFT energy per particle against the sophisticated Argonne v_{18} [38] plus Urbana IX [39] (AV18+UIX) Hamiltonian used in the Akmal-Pandharipande-Ravenhall (APR) [40] equation of state.

To better quantify the role of dynamical correlations, we evaluate the two-body spatial distribution functions, separating the spin-triplet and spin-singlet channels. We analyze the self-emergence of pairing correlations, not explicitly included in the NQS ansatz, as a function of neutron-matter density.

Method. We model the interactions among neutrons through the leading-order $\not\pi$EFT Hamiltonian "o" of Ref. [35]. The two-body contact potential is designed to reproduce the *np* scattering lengths and effective ranges in the $S/T = 0/1$ and $1/0$ channels. Thus, it yields a neutron-neutron scattering length of $a_{nn} = -22.5$ fm,

slightly larger than the experimental value of $-18.9(4)$ fm, see [41] and references therein, while the effective range is well reproduced. The Hamiltonian also contains a repulsive three-body force that ensures the stability of nuclei.

We approximate the ground-state solution of the nuclear many-body problem with an NQS ansatz that belongs to the hidden-fermion family [42], recently generalized to continuum Hilbert spaces and applied to atomic nuclei in Ref. [29]. In addition to the visible spatial and spin coordinates of the A neutrons, $R = \{\mathbf{r}_1 \dots \mathbf{r}_A\}$ and $S = \{s_1^z \dots s_A^z\}$, the Hilbert space contains fictitious A_h hidden-nucleon degrees of freedom. In this work we use $A_h = A = 14$ so that the system is as flexible as possible, but in practice we have also found using as few as 8 hidden nucleons gives very similar results. The wave function can be conveniently expressed in a block matrix form as

$$\Psi_{HN}(R,S) \equiv \det \begin{bmatrix} \phi_v(R,S) & \phi_v(R_h,S_h) \\ \chi_h(R,S) & \chi_h(R_h,S_h) \end{bmatrix} . \quad (1)$$

As in Ref. [29], $\phi_v(R,S)$ is the $A \times A$ matrix representing visible single-particle orbitals computed on the visible coordinates while the $A_h \times A_h$ matrix $\chi_h(R_h,S_h)$ yields the amplitudes of hidden orbitals evaluated on the coordinates of the A_h hidden nucleons. Finally, $\chi_h(R,S)$ and $\phi_v(R_h,S_h)$ are $A_h \times A$ and $A \times A_h$ matrices giving the amplitudes of hidden orbitals on visible coordinates and visible orbitals on hidden coordinates, respectively. All the above matrices are expressed in terms of deep neural networks with differentiable activation functions — see Ref. [29] for additional details. To respect the Pauli principle, the coordinates of the hidden nucleons must be permutation-invariant functions of the visible ones. We enforce this symmetry by using a Deep-Sets architecture [43, 44] with *logsumexp* pooling.

Inspired by the success of quantum-chemistry NQS [32, 33], we augment the flexibility of the ansatz by performing a generalized backflow transformation to the visible coordinates entering the upper-left block of the hidden-nucleon matrix: $\phi_v(R,S) \to \phi_v(\tilde{R},\tilde{S})$. We use the Deep-Sets architecture again to enforce fermion anti-symmetry

$$(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_i, \tilde{s}_i^z) = \rho_{\rm bf}\Big(\mathbf{r}_i, s_i^z, \log\Big(\sum_j \exp(\phi_{\rm bf}(\mathbf{r}_j, s_j^z))\Big)\Big) . \quad (2)$$

To further augment the expressivity, each visible single-particle orbital uses its own $\rho_{\rm bf}$ and $\phi_{\rm bf}$ neural networks.

We simulate infinite neutron matter using 14 particles in a box with periodic boundary conditions. Following Ref. [45], the latter are imposed by mapping the spatial coordinates onto periodic functions by

$$\boldsymbol{r}_i \to \left(\sin\left(\frac{2\pi \boldsymbol{r}_i}{L}\right), \cos\left(\frac{2\pi \boldsymbol{r}_i}{L}\right)\right) \quad (3)$$

which ensures the wave function is continuous and differentiable at the box boundary. Here L is the size of the simulation periodic box, and the sin and cos functions are applied element-wise to $\boldsymbol{r}_i$. Finite-size effects due to the tail corrections of two- and three-body potentials are accounted for by summing the contributions given by neighboring cells to the simulation box [46].

Evaluating the expectation values of quantum mechanical operators, including the Hamiltonian, requires carrying out multi-dimensional integration over the spatial and spin coordinates of the neutrons. To this aim, we exploit Monte Carlo quadrature and sample R and S from $|\Psi_{HN}(R,S)|^2$ using the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm [47] — additional details can be found in the supplemental material of Ref. [27]. The best variational parameters defining the NQS are found by minimizing the system's energy, which we carry out using the R(oot)M(ean)S(quared)Prop(agation)-enhanced version of the stochastic-reconfiguration optimization method introduced in Ref. [29].

Results and discussion. We first benchmark the expressivity of the hidden-nucleon NQS for periodic systems by comparing the energy per particle of infinite neutron matter against "conventional" variational Monte Carlo (VMC), and both constrained-path and AFDMC results. The variational wave function used in state-of-the-art neutron-matter studies, see for example [7, 21], contains a spin-independent Jastrow factor that multiplies a Slater determinant augmented by spin-dependent backflow correlations. The constrained-path approximation, commonly employed to alleviate the AFDMC fermion-sign problem [19], brings about a bias in the ground-state energy estimate [6, 21]. Exact results can be obtained by performing unconstrained propagations, but the statistical error grows exponentially with the imaginary time.




FIG. 1. NQS training data in neutron matter at $\rho = 0.04$ fm^{-3} (data points) compared with Hartree-Fock (dotted line), conventional VMC (dashed line), constrained-path ADMC (dash-dotted line) and unconstrained-path ADMC results (solid line).




FIG. 2. Low-density neutron-matter ≠EFT equation of state as obtained with the hidden-nucleon NQS (solid green circles) compared with FHNC/SOC calculations with the AV18+UIX Hamiltonian (red triangles) and the non-interacting Fermi Gas (solid blue line).

As shown in Fig. 1 for $\rho = 0.04$ fm^{-3}, after $\simeq 2000$ stochastic-reconfiguration steps, the NQS ansatz converges to the *virtually exact* unconstrained AFDMC energy, using a fraction of its computing time: about 100 hours on NVIDIA-A100 GPUs vs approximately 1.2 million hours on Intel-KNL CPUs. Notice that the constrained-path approximation violates the variational principle. In contrast, variational Monte Carlo calculations based on the NQS never yield energies below that of the Hamiltonian's ground state. Comparing with the Hartree-Fock approximation, it appears that the hidden-nucleon ansatz captures the overwhelming majority of the correlation energy.

In Fig. 2, we compare the ≠EFT energies obtained with the NQS ansatz against Fermi hypernetted chain/single-operator chain calculations that take as input the sophisticated AV18+UIX Hamiltonian, consistent with the celebrated APR equation of state [40]. For all densities considered, ≠EFT and AV18+UIX are in excellent agreement, the maximum difference being always below 0.30 MeV per particle — both of them provide energies much below the non-interacting Fermi gas. These minor differences are likely because model "o" yields a slightly larger nn scattering length than the experimental value and, therefore, more attraction in neutron matter. The latter is not compensated for by the three-body force, whose repulsive contribution is at most 0.25 MeV per neutron.

Once trained on the systems' energy, the NQS can be used to accurately evaluate a variety of quantum-mechanical observables, such as the spin-singlet and triplet two-body distribution functions defined in Ref. [48]. Figure 3 shows these distributions at $\rho = 0.01$ fm^{-3} (panel a) and $\rho = 0.04$ fm^{-3} (panel b). The significant increase in the spin-singlet channel compared to the non-interacting Fermi Gas indicates that the NQS wave function can capture the emergence of the $^1S_0$ neutron pairing, despite not being explicitly encoded in the ansatz. Consistent with the behavior of the pairing gap [13, 22], the enhancement is more prominent at $\rho = 0.01$ fm^{-3} than $\rho = 0.04$ fm^{-3}. On the other hand, at these densities, no pairing correlations are present in the spin-triplet channel.

Conclusions – In this work, we have put forward an NQS suitable to model the normal and superfluid phases of infinite neutron matter in a unified fashion. We improve the expressivity of the hidden-nucleon ansatz of Ref. [29] by adding state-dependent generalized backflow correlations, whose inclusion has proven beneficial in condensed-matter applications [32, 33]. Periodic-box boundary conditions are imposed by mapping the spatial coordinates of the neutrons onto periodic functions.

Combined with Monte Carlo techniques to sample the Hilbert space and the stochastic-reconfiguration algorithm to optimize the variational parameters, the NQS




FIG. 3. Spin-singlet and triplet two-body distribution functions at $\rho = 0.01$ fm^{-3} (panel a) and $\rho = 0.04$ fm^{-3} (panel b). The NQS calculations (solid symbols) are compared with non-interacting Fermi Gas results.

yields energies per particle of low-density neutron matter that are in excellent agreement with unconstrained AFDMC calculations at a fraction of the computational cost. In contrast, the computationally-inexpensive AFDMC constrained-path approximation brings about appreciable violations of the variational principle.

We have shown that $\not\pi$EFT yields a low-density neutron matter equation of state that is remarkably close to the APR one [40]. This finding paves the way for more systematic comparisons between dilute neutron matter and Fermi gas around the unitary limit. In addition, it enables studies of phenomena relevant to understand the inner crust and the outer core of neutron stars, such as pairing and superfluidity, using relatively simple models of nuclear dynamics.

Finally, we have analyzed the possible onset of Cooper pairing in the neutron medium. Specifically, the NQS two-body distribution functions corresponding to pairs of neutrons in the spin-singlet $^1S_0$ channel exhibit a clear enhancement at small inter-particle distances with respect to the non-interacting case, which is absent in the spin-triplet channel. Consistent with pairing-gap calculations [13, 22, 23], this behavior is more prominent at smaller densities. Note that this feature has not been encoded in the NQS; rather, it is a self-emerging quantum mechanical phenomenon.

As a future development, we plan on including more sophisticated interactions, including highly-realistic phenomenological ones such as AV18 + UIX and the local, chiral-EFT potentials of Ref. [6, 21, 49]. The flexibility of the NQS ansatz will also be tested in isospin-asymmetric nucleonic matter at low densities, where strong clustering is expected to occur [50].

Acknowledgments. We thank R. Wiringa for providing us the AV18+UIX FHNC/SOC energies. We are also grateful to O. Benhar, S. Gandolfi, A. Kievsky, and M. Piarulli for many illuminating discussions. A. L. and B.F. are supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics, under contracts DE-AC02-06CH11357, the DOE Early Career Award program, the NUCLEI SciDAC program, and Argonne LDRD awards. J.M.K. and M.H.-J. are supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation Grants No. PHY-1404159 and PHY-2013047. Numerical calculations were performed using resources of the Laboratory Computing Resource Center at Argonne National Laboratory, and the computers of the Argonne Leadership Computing Facility via the ALCC grant "Short Range Correlations from a Quantum Monte Carlo perspective."

[1] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), "GW170817: Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Neutron Star Inspiral," Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 161101 (2017), arXiv:1710.05832 [gr-qc].

[2] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), "Multi-messenger Observations of a Binary Neutron Star Merger," Astrophys. J. **848**, L12 (2017), arXiv:1710.05833 [astro-ph.HE].

[3] Andrea Sabatucci and Omar Benhar, "Tidal Deformation of Neutron Stars from Microscopic Models of Nuclear Dynamics," Phys. Rev. C **101**, 045807 (2020), arXiv:2001.06294 [nucl-th].

[4] Peter Senger, "Probing dense nuclear matter in the laboratory: Experiments at fair and nica," Universe **7** (2021), 10.3390/universe7060171.

[5] C. Drischler, A. Carbone, K. Hebeler, and A. Schwenk, "Neutron matter from chiral two- and three-nucleon calculations up to N^{3}LO," Phys. Rev. C **94**, 054307 (2016), arXiv:1608.05615 [nucl-th].

[6] M. Piarulli, I. Bombaci, D. Logoteta, A. Lovato, and R. B. Wiringa, "Benchmark calculations of pure neutron matter with realistic nucleon-nucleon interactions," Phys. Rev. C **101**, 045801 (2020), arXiv:1908.04426 [nucl-th].

[7] D. Lonardoni, I. Tews, S. Gandolfi, and J. Carlson, "Nuclear and neutron-star matter from local chiral interactions," Phys. Rev. Res. **2**, 022033 (2020), arXiv:1912.09411 [nucl-th].

[8] W. G. Jiang, A. Ekström, C. Forssén, G. Hagen, G. R. Jansen, and T. Papenbrock, "Accurate bulk properties of nuclei from $A = 2$ to ∞ from potentials with Δ isobars," Phys. Rev. C **102**, 054301 (2020), arXiv:2006.16774 [nucl-th].

[9] Francesca Sammarruca and Randy Millerson, "Overview of symmetric nuclear matter properties from chiral interactions up to fourth order of the chiral expansion," Phys. Rev. C **104**, 064312 (2021), arXiv:2109.01985 [nucl-th].

[10] H. Heiselberg and M. Hjorth-Jensen, "Phases of dense matter in neutron stars," Phys. Rep. **328**, 237 (2000).

[11] Andrea Sabatucci, Omar Benhar, Andrea Maselli, and Costantino Pacilio, "Sensitivity of neutron star observations to three-nucleon forces," Phys. Rev. D **106**, 083010 (2022), arXiv:2206.11286 [astro-ph.HE].

[12] Armen Sedrakian, John W Clark, and Mark Alford, Pairing in Fermionic Systems (WORLD SCIENTIFIC, 2006) https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/pdf/10.1142/6194.

[13] Omar Benhar and Giulia De Rosi, "Superfluid Gap in Neutron Matter from a Microscopic Effective Interaction," J. Low Temp. Phys. **189**, 250–261 (2017), arXiv:1705.06607 [nucl-th].

[14] D. J. Dean and M. Hjorth-Jensen, "Pairing in nuclear systems: from neutron stars to finite nuclei," Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 607 (2003).

[15] Dima G. Yakovlev and C. J. Pethick, "Neutron star cooling," Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. **42**, 169–210 (2004), arXiv:astro-ph/0402143.

[16] Dany Page, Madappa Prakash, James M. Lattimer, and Andrew W. Steiner, "Rapid Cooling of the Neutron Star in Cassiopeia A Triggered by Neutron Superfluidity in Dense Matter," Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 081101 (2011), arXiv:1011.6142 [astro-ph.HE].

[17] C. Monrozeau, J. Margueron, and N. Sandulescu, "Nuclear superfluidity and cooling time of neutron-star crust," Phys. Rev. C **75**, 065807 (2007), arXiv:nucl-th/0703064.

[18] Frédéric Nowacki, Alexandre Obertelli, and Alfredo Poves, "The neutron-rich edge of the nuclear landscape: Experiment and theory." Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **120**, 103866 (2021), arXiv:2104.06238 [nucl-th].

[19] J. Carlson, S. Gandolfi, F. Pederiva, Steven C. Pieper, R. Schiavilla, K. E. Schmidt, and R. B. Wiringa, "Quantum Monte Carlo methods for nuclear physics," Rev. Mod. Phys. **87**, 1067 (2015), arXiv:1412.3081 [nucl-th].

[20] K. E. Schmidt and S. Fantoni, "A quantum Monte Carlo method for nucleon systems," Phys. Lett. B **446**, 99–103 (1999).

[21] A. Lovato, I. Bombaci, D. Logoteta, M. Piarulli, and R. B. Wiringa, "Benchmark calculations of infinite neutron matter with realistic two- and three-nucleon potentials," Phys. Rev. C **105**, 055808 (2022), arXiv:2202.10293 [nucl-th].

[22] S. Gandolfi, A. Yu. Illarionov, S. Fantoni, F. Pederiva, and K. E. Schmidt, "Equation of state of superfluid neutron matter and the calculation of S(0)-1 pairing gap," Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 132501 (2008), arXiv:0805.2513 [nucl-th].

[23] Stefano Gandolfi, Georgios Palkanoglou, Joseph Carlson, Alexandros Gezerlis, and Kevin E. Schmidt, "The 1S0 Pairing Gap in Neutron Matter," Condens. Mat. **7**, 19 (2022), arXiv:2201.01308 [nucl-th].

[24] M. Bajdich, L. Mitas, G. Drobny, L. K. Wagner, and K. E. Schmidt, "Pfaffian pairing wave functions in electronic structure quantum Monte Carlo," Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 130201 (2006), arXiv:cond-mat/0512327.

[25] Giuseppe Carleo and Matthias Troyer, "Solving the quantum many-body problem with artificial neural networks," Science **355**, 602–606 (2017).

[26] J. W. T. Keeble and A. Rios, "Machine learning the deuteron," Phys. Lett. B **809**, 135743 (2020), arXiv:1911.13092 [nucl-th].

[27] Corey Adams, Giuseppe Carleo, Alessandro Lovato, and Noemi Rocco, "Variational Monte Carlo Calculations of A$\leq$4 Nuclei with an Artificial Neural-Network Correlator Ansatz," Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 022502 (2021), arXiv:2007.14282 [nucl-th].

[28] Alex Gnech, Corey Adams, Nicholas Brawand, Giuseppe Carleo, Alessandro Lovato, and Noemi Rocco, "Nuclei with up to $\boldsymbol{A = 6}$ nucleons with artificial neural network wave functions," Few Body Syst. **63**, 7 (2022), arXiv:2108.06836 [nucl-th].

[29] A. Lovato, C. Adams, G. Carleo, and N. Rocco, "Hidden-nucleons neural-network quantum states for the nuclear many-body problem," (2022), arXiv:2206.10021 [nucl-th].

[30] Y. L. Yang and P. W. Zhao, "A consistent description of the relativistic effects and three-body interactions in atomic nuclei," Phys. Lett. B **835**, 137587 (2022), arXiv:2206.13208 [nucl-th].

[31] Mauro Rigo, Benjamin Hall, Morten Hjorth-Jensen, Alessandro Lovato, and Francesco Pederiva, "Solving the nuclear pairing model with neural network quantum states," (2022), arXiv:2211.04614 [nucl-th].

[32] Jan Hermann, Zeno Schätzle, and Frank Noé, "Deep-neural-network solution of the electronic Schrödinger equation," Nature Chemistry **12**, 891–897 (2020).

[33] David Pfau, James S. Spencer, Alexander G. D. G. Matthews, and W. M. C. Foulkes, "Ab initio solution of the many-electron Schrödinger equation with deep neural networks," Physical Review Research **2**, 033429 (2020), arXiv:1909.02487 [physics.chem-ph].

[34] L. Brualla, S. Fantoni, A. Sarsa, K. E Schmidt, and S. A. Vitiello, "Spin orbit induced backflow in neutron matter with auxiliary field diffusion Monte Carlo," Phys. Rev. C **67**, 065806 (2003), arXiv:nucl-th/0304042.

[35] R. Schiavilla, L. Girlanda, A. Gnech, A. Kievsky, A. Lovato, L. E. Marcucci, M. Piarulli, and M. Viviani, "Two- and three-nucleon contact interactions and ground-state energies of light- and medium-mass nuclei," Phys. Rev. C **103**, 054003 (2021), arXiv:2102.02327 [nucl-th].

[36] Sebastian König, Harald W. Grießhammer, H. W. Hammer, and U. van Kolck, "Nuclear Physics Around the Unitarity Limit," Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 202501 (2017), arXiv:1607.04623 [nucl-th].

[37] A. Kievsky, M. Viviani, D. Logoteta, I. Bombaci, and L. Girlanda, "Correlations imposed by the unitary limit between few-nucleon systems, nuclear matter and neutron stars," Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 072701 (2018), arXiv:1806.02636 [nucl-th].

[38] Robert B. Wiringa, V. G. J. Stoks, and R. Schiavilla, "An Accurate nucleon-nucleon potential with charge independence breaking," Phys. Rev. C **51**, 38–51 (1995), arXiv:nucl-th/9408016.

[39] B. S. Pudliner, V. R. Pandharipande, J. Carlson, and Robert B. Wiringa, "Quantum Monte Carlo calculations of A <= 6 nuclei," Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 4396–4399 (1995), arXiv:nucl-th/9502031.

[40] A. Akmal, V. R. Pandharipande, and D. G. Ravenhall, "The Equation of state of nucleon matter and neutron star structure," Phys. Rev. C **58**, 1804–1828 (1998), arXiv:nucl-th/9804027.

[41] R. Machleidt and D.R. Entem, "Chiral effective field theory and nuclear forces," Phys. Rep. **503**, 1 (2011).

[42] Javier Robledo Moreno, Giuseppe Carleo, Antoine Georges, and James Stokes, "Fermionic wave functions from neural-network constrained hidden states," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **119**, e2122059119 (2022).

[43] Manzil Zaheer, Satwik Kottur, Siamak Ravanbakhsh, Barnabas Poczos, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, and Alexander Smola, "Deep Sets," arXiv e-prints , arXiv:1703.06114 (2017), arXiv:1703.06114 [cs.LG].

[44] Edward Wagstaff, Fabian B. Fuchs, Martin Engelcke, Ingmar Posner, and Michael Osborne, "On the Limitations of Representing Functions on Sets," arXiv e-prints , arXiv:1901.09006 (2019), arXiv:1901.09006 [cs.LG].

[45] Gabriel Pescia, Jiequn Han, Alessandro Lovato, Jianfeng Lu, and Giuseppe Carleo, "Neural-network quantum states for periodic systems in continuous space," Phys. Rev. Res. **4**, 023138 (2022), arXiv:2112.11957 [quant-ph].

[46] A. Sarsa, S. Fantoni, K. E. Schmidt, and F. Pederiva, "Neutron matter at zero temperature with auxiliary field diffusion Monte Carlo," Phys. Rev. **C68**, 024308 (2003), arXiv:nucl-th/0303035 [nucl-th].

[47] Nicholas Metropolis, Arianna W. Rosenbluth, Marshall N. Rosenbluth, Augusta H. Teller, and Edward Teller, "Equation of State Calculations by Fast Computing Machines," J. Chem. Phys. **21**, 1087–1092 (1953).

[48] S. Gandolfi, A. Yu Illarionov, F. Pederiva, K. E. Schmidt, and S. Fantoni, "Equation of state of low-density neutron matter, and the 1s0 pairing gap," Physical Review C - Nuclear Physics **80**, 045802 (2009).

[49] M. Piarulli et al., "Light-nuclei spectra from chiral dynamics," Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 052503 (2018),

arXiv:1707.02883 [nucl-th].

[50] John W. Negele and D. Vautherin, "Neutron star matter at subnuclear densities," Nucl. Phys. A **207**, 298–320 (1973).