

# DESCENT OF TAUTOLOGICAL SHEAVES FROM HILBERT SCHEMES TO ENRIQUES MANIFOLDS

FABIAN REEDE

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $X$  be a K3 surface which doubly covers an Enriques surface  $S$ . If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is an odd number, then the Hilbert scheme of  $n$ -points  $X^{[n]}$  admits a natural quotient  $S_{[n]}$ . This quotient is an Enriques manifold in the sense of Oguiso and Schröer. In this paper we construct slope stable sheaves on  $S_{[n]}$  and study some of their properties.

## INTRODUCTION

In 1896 Federico Enriques gave examples of smooth projective surfaces with irregularity  $q = 0$  and geometric genus  $p_g = 0$  which are not rational. Therefore these surfaces were counterexamples to a conjecture by Max Noether, which stated that surfaces with  $q = p_g = 0$  are rational. Nowadays such a surface is called an Enriques surface.

The canonical bundle  $\omega_S$  of an Enriques surface  $S$  has order two in the Picard group of  $S$ . The induced double cover turns out to be a K3 surface (a two dimensional hyperkähler manifold), hence it is the universal cover of  $S$ . On the other hand, every K3 surface  $X$  which admits a fixed point free involution doubly covers an Enriques surface  $S$ .

Mimicking this correspondence Oguiso and Schröer defined higher dimensional analogues of Enriques surfaces, the so called Enriques manifolds in [19]. To be precise a connected complex manifold that is not simply connected and whose universal cover is a hyperkähler manifold is called an Enriques manifold.

The following class of examples is of interest to us in this work: take an odd natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and an Enriques surface  $S$ . We have the induced K3 surface  $X$  with a fixed point free involution  $\iota$  such that  $S = X/\iota$ . Since  $n$  is odd we get an induced fixed point free involution  $\iota^{[n]}$  on the Hilbert scheme of  $n$ -points  $X^{[n]}$ . The quotient of  $X^{[n]}$  by the involution  $\iota^{[n]}$  is an Enriques manifold  $S_{[n]}$  of dimension  $2n$ . We have an étale Galois cover  $\rho : X^{[n]} \rightarrow S_{[n]}$ .

In this article we construct and study stable sheaves on Enriques manifolds of type  $S_{[n]}$ . The main idea is to start with slope stable sheaves on  $X^{[n]}$  and check if they descend to  $S_{[n]}$ . Known examples of stable sheaves on  $X^{[n]}$  are given by the tautological bundles  $E^{[n]}$  associated to slope stable locally free sheaves  $E$  on  $X$ .

For example, we prove that  $E^{[n]}$  descends to  $S_{[n]}$  if and only if  $E$  descends to  $S$ . If  $E^{[n]}$  descends we have  $E^{[n]} \cong \rho^* F_{[n]}$  for some locally free sheaf  $F_{[n]}$  on  $S_{[n]}$ . We then show that it is possible to find an ample divisor  $D \in \text{Amp}(S_{[n]})$  such that  $F_{[n]}$  is slope stable with respect to  $D$ . Finally using results from Kim [10] and Yoshioka [25], we are able to prove that, given certain conditions are satisfied, we have in fact a morphism

$$(-)_{[n]} : \mathcal{M}_{S,d}(v, L) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{S_{[n]},D}(v_{[n]}), \quad F \mapsto F_{[n]}$$

between a moduli spaces of stable sheaves on  $S$  and moduli space of stable sheaves on  $S_{[n]}$ . This morphism identifies the former moduli space as a smooth connected component in the latter.

This paper consists of four sections. In Section 1 we generalize some results concerning tautological bundles on Hilbert schemes of points. Section 2 contains results about the descent of tautological sheaves from  $X^{[n]}$  to  $S_{[n]}$ . We compute certain Ext-spaces in Section 3. In the final Section 4 we study the stability of sheaves on Enriques manifolds of type  $S_{[n]}$ .

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14F06, 14F08, 14J28, 14D20.

*Key words and phrases.* Enriques manifolds, stable sheaves, moduli spaces, derived categories.

## 1. STABILITY OF TAUTOLOGICAL SHEAVES ON HILBERT SCHEMES OF POINTS

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective surface. The Hilbert scheme  $X^{[n]} := \text{Hilb}^n(X)$  classifies length  $n$  subschemes in  $X$ , that is

$$X^{[n]} = \{[Z] \mid Z \subset X, \dim(Z) = 0 \text{ and } \dim(H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)) = n\}.$$

In fact  $X^{[n]}$  is smooth itself and has dimension  $2n$ , see [6, Theorem 2.4]. Moreover  $X^{[n]}$  is a fine moduli space for the classification of length  $n$  subschemes and comes with the universal length  $n$  subscheme

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{(x, [Z]) \in X \times X^{[n]} \mid x \in \text{supp}(Z)\} \subset X \times X^{[n]}.$$

The universal subscheme  $\mathcal{Z}$  comes with two projections  $p : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow X^{[n]}$  and  $q : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow X$ . Note that the morphism  $p$  is finite and flat of degree  $n$ .

To any locally free sheaf  $E$  of rank  $r$  on  $X$  one can associate the so called tautological vector bundle  $E^{[n]}$  on  $X^{[n]}$  via

$$E^{[n]} := p_* q^* E.$$

As  $p$  is finite and flat of degree  $n$  the sheaf  $E^{[n]}$  is indeed locally free and has rank  $nr$ . The fiber at  $[Z] \in X^{[n]}$  can be computed to be

$$E^{[n]} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{[Z]} \cong H^0(Z, E|_Z).$$

**Remark 1.1.** Note that the definition of  $E^{[n]}$  makes sense for  $E$  a coherent sheaf on  $X$  or even a complex  $E \in D^b(X)$  in the derived category of  $X$ , see [12, Definition 2.4].

In [23, Theorem 1.4, Theorem 4.9] Stapleton proves that if  $h \in \text{Amp}(X)$  is an ample divisor on  $X$  and  $E \not\cong \mathcal{O}_X$  is a slope stable (with respect to  $h$ ) locally free sheaf, then there is  $H \in \text{Amp}(X^{[n]})$  such that the associated tautological bundle  $E^{[n]}$  is slope stable with respect to  $H$  on  $X^{[n]}$ .

In fact Stapleton's result remains true, if we drop the locally free condition and allow for torsion free sheaves, see for example [24, Proposition 2.4] for a first step toward the following observation:

**Lemma 1.2.** *Assume  $E$  is torsion free and slope stable with respect to  $h \in \text{Amp}(X)$  such that its double dual satisfies  $E^{**} \neq \mathcal{O}_X$ , then the associated tautological sheaf  $E^{[n]}$  is slope stable with respect to some  $H \in \text{Amp}(X^{[n]})$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is a smooth projective surface and  $E$  is torsion free we can canonically embed  $E$  into its double dual. This gives an exact sequence

$$(1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E^{**} \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here  $E^{**}$  is locally free and also slope stable with respect to  $h$ . Furthermore  $Q$  has support of codimension two.

By [22, Corollary 6] the functor  $(-)^{[n]} : \text{Coh}(X) \rightarrow \text{Coh}(X^{[n]})$  is exact. So we get an exact sequence on  $X^{[n]}$

$$0 \longrightarrow E^{[n]} \longrightarrow (E^{**})^{[n]} \longrightarrow Q^{[n]} \longrightarrow 0.$$

By our assumptions  $(E^{**})^{[n]}$  is slope stable with respect to some  $H \in \text{Amp}(X^{[n]})$ . But  $Q^{[n]}$  has support of codimension two in  $X^{[n]}$  so that  $E^{[n]}$  is isomorphic to  $(E^{**})^{[n]}$  in codimension one and thus must be also be slope stable with respect to  $H$ .  $\square$

The previous lemma shows that for every slope stable  $E$  with  $E^{**} \not\cong \mathcal{O}_X$  there is  $H \in \text{Amp}(X^{[n]})$  such that the tautological sheaf  $E^{[n]}$  is slope stable with respect to  $H$ . Since  $E$  belongs to some moduli space  $M_{X,h}(r, c_1, c_2)$ , one may ask how  $H$  varies if  $E$  varies in its moduli. We can answer this question in the case that all sheaves classified by  $M_{X,h}(r, c_1, c_2)$  are locally free.

**Proposition 1.3.** *If  $(r, c_1, c_2) \neq (1, 0, 0)$  is chosen such that for every  $[E] \in M_{X,h}(r, c_1, c_2)$  the sheaf  $E$  is slope stable and locally free, then there is  $H \in \text{Amp}(X^{[n]})$  such that  $E^{[n]}$  is slope stable with respect to  $H$  for all  $[E] \in M_{X,h}(r, c_1, c_2)$ .*

*Proof.* By a result of Stapleton, see [23, Theorem 1.4], we know that for  $[E] \in M_{X,h}(r, c_1, c_2)$  the locally free sheaf  $E^{[n]}$  is slope stable with respect to the induced nef divisor  $h_n \in \text{NS}(X^{[n]})$ . It is also well known that the Hilbert - Chow morphism  $\text{HC} : X^{[n]} \rightarrow X^{(n)}$  is semi-small and that  $q : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow X$  is flat, see [14, Theorem 2.1].

The proof is now exactly the same as for tautological bundles on the generalized Kummer variety  $\text{Kum}_n(A)$  associated to an abelian surface  $A$ , see [21, Proposition 2.9].  $\square$

**Remark 1.4.** The condition that all sheaves in  $M_{X,h}(r, c_1, c_2)$  are slope stable can be achieved (for example) in the following two different ways: the first is by a special choice of the numerical invariants, see [9, Lemma 1.2.14]. The second way is by choosing a special ample class  $h$ , see [9, Theorem 4.C.3].

To find a moduli space such that all sheaves are locally free, one can do the following: if the tuple  $(r, c_1)$  is fixed, then by Bogomolov's inequality the second Chern class is bounded from below, see [9, Theorem 3.4.1]. Choose the minimal  $c_2$ , then every sheaf in  $M_{X,h}(r, c_1, c_2)$  is locally free. Indeed, if such an  $E$  is not locally free, then  $E^{**}$  is locally free, stable with respect to  $h$  and has the same tuple  $(r, c_1)$ , but it has smaller  $c_2$  by exact sequence (1) as  $c_2(Q) < 0$ , contradicting minimality. See also [9, Remark 6.1.9] for a similar argument.

Now let  $X$  be a K3 surface. Denote the Mukai vectors of  $E$  and  $E^{[n]}$  by  $v$  respectively  $v^{[n]} \in H^*(X^{[n]}, \mathbb{Q})$ . If  $E^{[n]}$  is slope stable, then it belongs to the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{X^{[n]},H}(v^{[n]})$  of semistable sheaves on  $X^{[n]}$  with Mukai vector  $v^{[n]}$ . In fact we can generalize [24, Corollary 4.6] to get the following

**Theorem 1.5.** *If  $v \neq v(\mathcal{O}_X)$  is a Mukai vector such that for every  $[E] \in M_{X,h}(v)$  the sheaf  $E$  is slope stable, locally free and  $h^i(X, E) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , then the functor  $(-)^{[n]}$  induces a morphism*

$$(-)^{[n]} : M_{X,h}(v) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{X^{[n]},H}(v^{[n]}), \quad [E] \mapsto [E^{[n]}]$$

*which identifies  $M_{X,h}(v)$  with a smooth connected component of  $\mathcal{M}_{X^{[n]},H}(v^{[n]})$ .*

*Proof.* First note that the map  $[E] \mapsto [E^{[n]}]$  is indeed a regular morphism, see for example [13, Proposition 2.1]. Furthermore this morphism is injective on closed points, which follows immediately from [1, Theorem 1.1] (see also [14, Theorem 1.2] for a generalization).

By [12, Corollary 4.2 (11)] we find

$$\text{Ext}_{X^{[n]}}^1(E^{[n]}, F^{[n]}) \cong \text{Ext}_X^1(E, F)$$

since  $h^0(X, E^\vee) = h^2(X, E) = 0$  as well as  $h^1(X, E^\vee) = h^1(X, E) = 0$ . For  $E = F$  this isomorphism translates to

$$\dim(T_{[E^{[n]]}]\mathcal{M}_{X^{[n]},H}(v^{[n]})) = \dim(T_{[E]}M_{X,h}(v)).$$

These two facts imply that we can identify  $M_{X,h}(v)$  with a smooth connected component in  $\mathcal{M}_{X^{[n]},H}(v^{[n]})$ .  $\square$

## 2. DESCENT OF TAUTOLOGICAL SHEAVES TO ENRIQUES MANIFOLDS

Let  $G$  be a finite group. Consider an étale Galois cover  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow Z$  with Galois group  $G$ , that is there is a free  $G$ -action on  $Y$  such that  $Z = Y/G$  and  $\varphi$  is the quotient map. In this situation there is an equivalence between the categories  $\text{Coh}(Z)$  of coherent sheaves on  $Z$  and  $\text{Coh}^G(Y)$  of  $G$ -equivariant coherent sheaves on  $Y$  given by the functors

$$\varphi^* : \text{Coh}(Z) \rightarrow \text{Coh}^G(Y), \quad E \mapsto \varphi^* E \text{ and}$$

$$\varphi_*^G : \text{Coh}^G(Y) \rightarrow \text{Coh}(Z), \quad F \mapsto (\varphi_*(F))^G$$

We say that a coherent sheaf  $E$  on  $Y$  descends to  $Z$  if  $E$  is in the image of  $\varphi^*$ , that is there is a coherent sheaf  $F$  on  $Z$  together with an isomorphism  $E \cong \varphi^*(F)$ .

A coherent sheaf  $E$  on  $X$  is said to be  $G$ -invariant, if there are isomorphisms  $E \cong g^*E$  for every  $g \in G$ . A  $G$ -equivariant coherent sheaf is  $G$ -invariant, but the converse is not true. For our purposes the following will suffice, see [20, Lemma 1]:

**Proposition 2.1.** *Assume that  $G$  is a cyclic group and  $E$  is a simple  $G$ -invariant coherent sheaf on  $Y$ , then  $E$  descends to  $Z$ .*

**Remark 2.2.** Recall that if  $(X, \iota)$  is a pair consisting of a K3 surface and a fixed point free involution, then  $G = \langle \iota \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  acts freely on  $X$  and the quotient  $S$  is an Enriques surface. The morphism  $\pi : X \rightarrow S$  is an étale  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -Galois cover.

On the other hand if  $S$  is an Enriques surface, then its canonical bundle  $\omega_S$  is 2-torsion. One can consider the induced canonical cover  $\phi : \tilde{S} := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_S \oplus \omega_S) \rightarrow S$ . The morphism  $\phi$  is an étale  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -Galois cover and  $\tilde{S}$  is a K3 surface with fixed point free involution, the covering involution of  $\phi$ . Furthermore  $\phi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}} \cong \mathcal{O}_S \oplus \omega_S$ .

In [19] Oguiso and Schröer generalized the notion of an Enriques surface to that of an Enriques manifold by mimicking the above constructions:

**Definition 2.3.** A manifold  $Y$  is called an Enriques manifold if it is a connected complex manifold that is not simply connected and whose universal cover is a hyperkähler manifold.

**Remark 2.4.** In [2] the authors also gave a definition of higher dimensional Enriques varieties, which slightly differs from the one of Enriques manifolds in [19].

**Remark 2.5.** An Enriques manifold is of even dimension, say  $\dim(Y) = 2n$ . The fundamental group  $\pi_1(Y)$  is finite of order  $d$  with  $d \mid n + 1$ . This number  $d$  is called the index of  $Y$ . In addition  $Y$  is projective and the canonical bundle  $\omega_Y$  has finite order  $d$  and generates the torsion group of  $\text{Pic}(Y)$ , see [19, Section 2].

We will work with the following class of Enriques manifolds, see [19, Proposition 4.1]:

**Example 2.6.** Let  $(X, \iota)$  be a pair consisting of a K3 surface together with a fixed point free involution  $\iota$  on  $X$ . Then  $X$  covers the Enriques surface  $S = X/\iota$ . If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is odd, then  $(X, \iota)$  induces the pair  $(X^{[n]}, \iota^{[n]})$  of the Hilbert scheme of  $n$ -points on  $X$  and the induced fixed point free involution  $\iota^{[n]}$  on  $X^{[n]}$ . Thus  $G = \langle \iota^{[n]} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  acts freely on  $X^{[n]}$  and the quotient  $S_{[n]}$  is an Enriques manifold with index  $d = 2$  coming with an étale  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -cover  $\rho : X^{[n]} \rightarrow S_{[n]}$ .

We want to study the descent of sheaves from  $X$  to  $S$  respectively from  $X^{[n]}$  to  $S_{[n]}$ . To do this we need the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.7.** *There is an isomorphism of functors from  $\text{Coh}(X)$  to  $\text{Coh}(X^{[n]})$ :*

$$(\iota^{[n]})^* \left( (-)^{[n]} \right) \cong (\iota^*(-))^{[n]}.$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $(-)^{[n]} = \text{FM}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}}(-)$  can be written as the Fourier – Mukai transform with kernel the structure sheaf of universal family  $\mathcal{Z}$  in  $X \times X^{[n]}$ , see for example [14, Section 2.3]. Define a group isomorphism

$$\mu : \langle \iota \rangle \rightarrow \langle \iota^{[n]} \rangle, \quad \iota \mapsto \iota^{[n]}$$

and note that this is a so-called  $c$ -isomorphism, see [15, Definitions 3.1 and 3.3]. By the definition of the universal family we see that there is an isomorphism

$$(\iota \times \mu(\iota))^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}} = (\iota \times \iota^{[n]})^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}$  is  $\mu$ -invariant, see [15, Definition 3.4], which implies

$$(\iota^{[n]})^* (\text{FM}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}}(-)) \cong \text{FM}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}}(\iota^*(-))$$

by [15, Lemma 3.6 (iii)]. □

We can now prove the main result of this section:

**Theorem 2.8.** *Assume  $(X, \iota)$  is a K3 surface together with a fixed point free involution and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be an odd number. If a torsion free sheaf  $E$  on  $X$  is simple, then the associated tautological sheaf  $E^{[n]}$  on  $X^{[n]}$  descends to  $S_{[n]}$  if and only if  $E$  descends to  $S$ .*

*Proof.* First we note that if  $E$  is simple then  $E^{[n]}$  is also simple. Indeed by [12, Corollary 4.2 (11)] there is an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{End}_{X^{[n]}}(E^{[n]}) \cong \mathrm{End}_X(E) \oplus H^0(X, E^*) \otimes H^0(X, E).$$

Since  $E$  is simple the second summand must vanish, since otherwise  $E$  would have an endomorphism, which has image of rank one and thus is no homothety.

Proposition 2.1 shows

$$E^{[n]} \text{ descends to } S_{[n]} \Leftrightarrow (\iota^{[n]})^* E^{[n]} \cong E^{[n]}.$$

By Lemma 2.7 we get

$$(\iota^{[n]})^* E^{[n]} \cong E^{[n]} \Leftrightarrow (\iota^* E)^{[n]} \cong E^{[n]}.$$

But [14, Theorem 1.2] shows

$$(\iota^* E)^{[n]} \cong E^{[n]} \Leftrightarrow \iota^* E \cong E.$$

Thus  $E^{[n]}$  descends to  $S_{[n]}$  if and only if  $E$  descends to  $S$ .  $\square$

The theorem shows that given a simple  $\iota$ -invariant torsion free sheaf  $E$  on  $X$  then there is  $F \in \mathrm{Coh}(S)$  and  $G \in \mathrm{Coh}(S_{[n]})$  such that

$$E \cong \pi^* F \text{ as well as } E^{[n]} \cong \rho^* G.$$

In fact, there is a close relationship between the sheaves  $F$  and  $G$ : as  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}$  is  $\mu$ -invariant on  $X \times X^{[n]}$ , the structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}$  is naturally  $\mu$ -linearizable on  $\mathcal{Z}$ , hence so is  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}$  as a sheaf on  $X \times X^{[n]}$ .

Therefore by [15, Proposition 4.2] the functor  $(-)^{[n]}$  descends to a functor

$$(-)_{[n]} : D^b(S) \rightarrow D^b(S_{[n]})$$

together with a commutative diagram

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \pi^* & & \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\ D^b(S) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\pi^*} & D^b_{\iota}(X) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{For}} & D^b(X) \\ & \downarrow (-)_{[n]} & \downarrow (-)_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}^{[n]} & & \downarrow (-)_{[n]} \\ D^b(S_{[n]}) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\rho^*} & D^b_{\iota^{[n]}}(X^{[n]}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{For}} & D^b(X^{[n]}) \\ & \searrow & \rho^* & \nearrow & \end{array}$$

Here  $\mathrm{For}$  is the functor forgetting the linearizations.

That is if we start with a simple sheaf  $E$  on  $X$ , which descends to  $S$  i.e.  $E \cong \pi^* F$ , then  $E^{[n]}$  descends to  $S_{[n]}$  with  $E^{[n]} \cong \rho^* F_{[n]}$ .

**Remark 2.9.** As  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}$  has two choices of a  $\mu$ -linearization (differing by the non-trivial character), there are actually two choices of the descent  $(-)_{[n]} : D^b(S) \rightarrow D^b(S_{[n]})$  (differing by tensor product by  $\omega_{S_{[n]}}$ ).

We end this section by giving a more explicit description of  $(-)_{[n]}$  similar to  $(-)^{[n]}$ . For this recall that by [13, 2.4] we have

$$(-)^{[n]} = \mathrm{FM}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}}(-) = p_{X^{[n]}\ast}(p_X^*(-)),$$

where  $p_X : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow X$  and  $p_{X^{[n]}} : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow X^{[n]}$  are the projections.

The group  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  acts freely on  $X$  via  $\iota$  with quotient  $S$ , freely on  $X^{[n]}$  via  $\iota^{[n]}$  with quotient  $S_{[n]}$  and thus also freely on  $X \times X^{[n]}$  via  $\iota \times \iota^{[n]}$ . As the universal family  $\mathcal{Z} \hookrightarrow X \times X^{[n]}$  is  $G$ -invariant, we get a closed subvariety  $\mathcal{Z}/G \hookrightarrow (X \times X^{[n]})/G$ . Furthermore the projections  $p_X$  and  $p_{X^{[n]}}$  are  $G$ -equivariant. By [8, Lemma 2.3.3] we get cartesian squares

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xleftarrow{p_X} & \mathcal{Z} & \xrightarrow{p_{X^{[n]}}} & X^{[n]} \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \rho \\ S & \xleftarrow{p_S} & \mathcal{Z}/G & \xrightarrow{p_{S_{[n]}}} & S_{[n]} \end{array}$$

**Theorem 2.10.** *The functor  $(-)[n] : D^b(S) \rightarrow D^b(S_{[n]})$  has the following description:*

$$(-)[n] = p_{S_{[n]}*}(p_S^*(-)).$$

*Proof.* From diagram (2) we see that  $\rho^*((-)[n]) = (\pi^*(-))^{[n]}$ . Since  $\rho_*(\rho^*(-))^G = \text{id}$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} (-)[n] &= \rho_*((\pi^*(-))^{[n]})^G = \rho_*(p_{X^{[n]}*}(p_X^*(\pi^*(-))))^G \\ &= p_{S_{[n]}*}(\alpha_*(\alpha^*(p_S^*(-))))^G = p_{S_{[n]}*}(\alpha_*(\alpha^*(p_S^*(-))))^G \\ &= p_{S_{[n]}*}(p_S^*(-)). \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the commutativity of diagram (3), the fact that  $G$  acts trivially on  $\mathcal{Z}/G$  and  $S_{[n]}$  hence by [12, Equation (5)] we have  $(-)^G p_{S_{[n]}*} = p_{S_{[n]}*}(-)^G$  and the  $G$ -equivariant projection formula.  $\square$

### 3. COMPUTATION OF CERTAIN EXTENSION SPACES

In [11, Theorem 3.17] Krug gave explicit formulas for homological invariants of tautological objects in  $D^b(X^{[n]})$  in terms of those in  $D^b(X)$ , for example for  $E, F \in D^b(X)$  there is an isomorphism of graded vector spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{X^{[n]}}^*(E^{[n]}, F^{[n]}) &\cong \text{Ext}_X^*(E, F) \otimes S^{n-1}H^*(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \\ &\oplus \text{Ext}_X^*(E, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \text{Ext}_X^*(\mathcal{O}_X, F) \otimes S^{n-2}H^*(X, \mathcal{O}_X). \end{aligned}$$

See also [12, Section 4] for a considerably simplified proof of this formula.

In this section we want to find homological invariants of sheaves of the form  $G_{[n]}$  on  $S_{[n]}$  in terms of the sheaf  $G$  on  $S$ . It is certainly possible to find a general formula similar to Krug's result, but to keep formulas and proofs short and readable and since it is enough for our purposes, we will restrict our attention to Hom- and Ext<sup>1</sup>-spaces as well as sheaves without higher cohomology. We will use the notations and results from [12].

We start by studying how Krug's result behaves with respect to the group actions by  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  on  $X^{[n]}$  via  $\iota^{[n]}$  and on  $X$  via  $\iota$ . We will denote the various versions of the group  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  in the following by their nontrivial element, that is by  $\iota$  or  $\iota^{[n]}$  etc.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Assume  $(X, \iota)$  is a K3 surface together with a fixed point free involution. For  $\iota$ -equivariant coherent sheaves  $E, F \in \text{Coh}_\iota(X)$  there is an isomorphism of graded vector spaces:*

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \text{Ext}_{X^{[n]}}^*(E^{[n]}, F^{[n]}) \right)^{\iota^{[n]}} &\cong \left( \text{Ext}_X^*(E, F) \otimes S^{n-1}H^*(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \right)^\iota \\ &\oplus \left( \text{Ext}_X^*(E, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \text{Ext}_X^*(\mathcal{O}_X, F) \otimes S^{n-2}H^*(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \right)^\iota. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Note that on the right hand side of the formula we take invariants with respect to the actions induced by the linearizations of  $E$ ,  $F$  and  $\mathcal{O}_X$ . On the left hand side we take invariants with respect to the *induced* linearizations on  $E^{[n]}$  and  $F^{[n]}$ . The existence of the induced linearizations follows from the right-hand side of diagram (2).

By [12, Theorem 3.6] there is an isomorphism of functors

$$(4) \quad (-)^{[n]} \cong \Psi \circ \mathbb{C},$$

where  $\mathbb{C} : \text{Coh}(X) \rightarrow \text{Coh}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(X^n)$  is the exact functor with

$$\mathbb{C}(E) := \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}}^{\mathfrak{S}_n} \text{pr}_1^* E \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \text{pr}_i^* E.$$

Furthermore  $\Psi : D_{\mathfrak{S}_n}^b(X^n) \rightarrow D^b(X^{[n]})$  is the Fourier - Mukai transform with kernel the structure sheaf of the isospectral Hilbert scheme  $I^n X$ . Here the isospectral Hilbert scheme is the reduced fiber product  $I^n X := (X^{[n]} \times_{S^n X} X^n)_{\text{red}}$  of the quotient map  $\nu : X^n \rightarrow S^n X$  to the symmetric power and the Hilbert - Chow morphism  $\mu : X^{[n]} \rightarrow S^n X$ . This Fourier - Mukai transform is an equivalence, see [12, Proposition 2.8] and satisfies

$$(5) \quad (\iota^{[n]})^* \circ \Psi = \Psi \circ (\iota^{\times n})^*$$

see for example [15, Section 5.6]. Here  $\iota^{\times n}$  is the induced involution on  $X^n$ .

We have the following chain of isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \text{Ext}_{X^{[n]}}^*(E^{[n]}, F^{[n]}) \right)^{\iota^{[n]}} &\cong \left( \text{Ext}_{X^{[n]}}^*(\Psi(\mathcal{C}(E)), \Psi(\mathcal{C}(F))) \right)^{\iota^{[n]}} \\ &\cong \left( \text{Ext}_{X^n, \mathfrak{S}_n}^*(\mathcal{C}(E), \mathcal{C}(F)) \right)^{\iota^{\times n}} \\ &\cong \left( \text{Ext}_{X^n, \mathfrak{S}_{n-1}}^*(\text{pr}_1^* E, \text{pr}_1^* F) \right)^{\iota^{\times n}} \oplus \left( \text{Ext}_{X^n, \mathfrak{S}_{n-2}}^*(\text{pr}_1^* E, \text{pr}_2^* F) \right)^{\iota^{\times n}} \end{aligned}$$

Here the first isomorphism is (4). The second isomorphism uses that  $\Psi$  is an equivalence and (5). The last isomorphism can be extracted from [12, Proposition 4.1].

We look at the first summand, the second working similarly. First note that

$$\text{pr}_1^* E = E \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_X \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_X.$$

Applying the Künneth formula shows

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{X^n, \mathfrak{S}_{n-1}}^*(\text{pr}_1^* E, \text{pr}_1^* F) &= \text{Ext}_{X^n, \mathfrak{S}_{n-1}}^*(E \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_X \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_X, F \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_X \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_X) \\ &\cong \left( \text{Ext}_X^*(E, F) \otimes H^*(X, \mathcal{O}_X)^{\otimes n-1} \right)^{\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}} \end{aligned}$$

But the group  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  acts on sheaves of the form  $\text{pr}_1^* E$  by definition of  $\iota^{\times n}$  as

$$(\iota^{\times n})^* \text{pr}_1^* E = \iota^* E \boxtimes \iota^* \mathcal{O}_X \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \iota^* \mathcal{O}_X$$

that is simply by the pullback via  $\iota$  on each factor in the box product. Since the action of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  via  $\iota^{\times n}$  and the  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  action commute we finally see that:

$$\left( \text{Ext}_{X^n, \mathfrak{S}_{n-1}}^*(\text{pr}_1^* E, \text{pr}_1^* F) \right)^{\iota^{\times n}} \cong \left( \text{Ext}_X^*(E, F) \otimes S^{n-1} H^*(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \right)^\iota. \quad \square$$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $(X, \iota)$  be a K3 surface together with a fixed point free involution and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be an odd number. If  $G, H \in \text{Coh}(S)$  are such that  $\pi^* G$  and  $\pi^* H$  have no higher cohomology (here  $S = X/\iota$  is the associated Enriques surface), then*

$$\text{Hom}_{S^{[n]}}(G_{[n]}, H_{[n]}) \cong \text{Hom}_S(G, H) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ext}_{S^{[n]}}^1(G_{[n]}, H_{[n]}) \cong \text{Ext}_S^1(G, H).$$

*Proof.* Define  $E := \pi^* G$  and  $F := \pi^* H$ . It follows from diagram (2) that  $E^{[n]} \cong \rho^* G_{[n]}$  and  $F^{[n]} \cong \rho^* H_{[n]}$ . We therefore have an isomorphism

$$\text{Ext}_{S^{[n]}}^*(G_{[n]}, H_{[n]}) \cong \left( \text{Ext}_{X^{[n]}}^*(\rho^* G_{[n]}, \rho^* H_{[n]}) \right)^{\iota^{[n]}} \cong \left( \text{Ext}_{X^{[n]}}^*(E^{[n]}, F^{[n]}) \right)^{\iota^{[n]}}.$$

By Lemma 3.1 the last space is isomorphic to

$$(6) \quad \left( \text{Ext}_X^*(E, F) \otimes S^{n-1} H^*(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \right)^\iota \oplus \left( \text{Ext}_X^*(E, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes H^*(X, F) \otimes S^{n-2} H^*(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \right)^\iota.$$

We begin investigating the first summand. The natural  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -linearization of  $\mathcal{O}_X$  induces an  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -linearization on  $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X \cong \mathcal{O}_S \oplus \omega_S$  given by the generator of  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  acting by  $+1$  on  $\mathcal{O}_S$  and by  $-1$  on  $\omega_S$ , see for example [16, Remarks on p.72]. Hence  $\iota$  acts as  $+1$  on  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$  and by  $-1$  on  $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong H^2(S, \omega_S)$ . Furthermore, by the adjunction between  $\pi^*$  and  $\pi_*$  together with the projection formula, we get a splitting

$$\text{Ext}_X^*(E, F) \cong \text{Ext}_S^*(G, H) \oplus \text{Ext}_S^*(G, H \otimes \omega_S).$$

where  $\iota$  acts as  $+1$  on the first summand and by  $-1$  on the second summand.

Thus writing  $H^*(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbb{C}[t]/(t^2)$  with  $\deg(t) = 2$  we get

$$S^{n-1} H^*(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbb{C}[t]/(t^n), \quad \deg(t) = 2$$

and  $\iota$  acts as  $+1$  on the constants and as  $-1$  on  $t$ .

We can now compute the invariants and find

$$(\text{Ext}_S^*(G, H) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]/(t^n))^\iota = \text{Ext}_S^*(G, H) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^2]/(t^n), \quad \deg(t) = 2$$

as well as

$$(\text{Ext}_S^*(G, H \otimes \omega_S) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]/(t^n))^\iota = \text{Ext}_S^*(G, H \otimes \omega_S) \otimes t\mathbb{C}[t^2]/(t^n), \quad \deg(t) = 2.$$

Looking at the components in degree zero and one sees

$$\begin{aligned} ((\mathrm{Ext}_X^*(E, F) \otimes S^{n-1}H^*(X, \mathcal{O}_X))^\iota)_0 &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_S(G, H) \text{ as well as} \\ ((\mathrm{Ext}_X^*(E, F) \otimes S^{n-1}H^*(X, \mathcal{O}_X))^\iota)_1 &\cong \mathrm{Ext}_S^1(G, H). \end{aligned}$$

Next we study the second summand in (6): since  $E$  and  $F$  have no higher cohomology we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}_X^*(E, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes H^*(X, F) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_X^2(E, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes H^0(X, F)$$

which already lives in degree two. As we also have

$$S^{n-2}H^*(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbb{C}[t]/(t^{n-1}), \quad \deg(t) = 2,$$

we see that the second summand in (6) can possibly have nontrivial components starting in degrees at least two. Especially for  $k \in \{0, 1\}$  we find

$$((\mathrm{Ext}_X^*(E, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes H^*(X, E) \otimes S^{n-2}H^*(X, \mathcal{O}_X))^\iota)_k = 0.$$

Therefore we must have the desired isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{S[n]}(G[n], H[n]) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_S(G, H) \text{ and } \mathrm{Ext}_{S[n]}^1(G[n], H[n]) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_S^1(G, H). \quad \square$$

#### 4. STABLE SHEAVES ON ENRIQUES MANIFOLDS

In this section we want to study the slope stability of sheaves of the form  $F[n]$  on  $S[n]$ . For this we first recall the following fact: let  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow Z$  be an étale Galois cover with finite Galois group  $G$  then there is the following relationship between slopes with respect to  $h \in \mathrm{Amp}(Z)$ :

$$(7) \quad \mu_{\varphi^*h}(\varphi^*F) = |G| \mu_h(F).$$

Using this fact we can prove the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $E$  be a torsion free coherent sheaf on  $Y$ , slope stable with respect to  $\varphi^*h$  for some  $h \in \mathrm{Amp}(Z)$ . If  $E$  descends to  $Z$ , that is  $E \cong \varphi^*F$ , then  $F$  is slope stable with respect to  $h$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $H \subset F$  be a subsheaf of  $F$ . Then  $\varphi^*H$  is a subsheaf of  $\varphi^*F \cong E$ . Since  $E$  is slope stable with respect to  $\varphi^*h$  we have

$$\mu_{\varphi^*h}(\varphi^*H) < \mu_{\varphi^*h}(E) = \mu_{\varphi^*h}(\varphi^*F)$$

which by (7) implies

$$\mu_h(H) < \mu_h(F).$$

Hence  $F$  is slope stable with respect to  $h$ .  $\square$

For the rest of this section we let  $(X, \iota)$  be a K3 surface together with a fixed point free involution  $\iota$ . We denote the associated Enriques surface by  $S$ .

To prove the main theorem in this section we need the following isomorphism:

$$\mathrm{NS}(X^{[n]}) \cong \mathrm{NS}(X)_n \oplus \mathbb{Z}\delta.$$

**Remark 4.2.** The summand  $\mathrm{NS}(X)_n$  is constructed as follows: take  $d \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$  and consider the element

$$D^n := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathrm{pr}_i^* d \in \mathrm{NS}(X^n).$$

This element is  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -invariant and thus descends to the symmetric product  $S^n X$  by [5, Lemma 6.1]. More exactly, there is an element  $D_n \in \mathrm{NS}(S^n X)$  such that  $\nu^* D_n = D^n$  for the quotient map  $\nu : X^n \rightarrow S^n X$ . Then we define  $d_n := \mu^* D_n$ , where  $\mu : X^{[n]} \rightarrow S^n X$  is the Hilbert - Chow morphism.

By [3, Section 3] the involution  $\iota^{[n]}$  acts on  $\mathrm{NS}(X)_n$  via:

$$(8) \quad (\iota^{[n]})^*(d_n) = (\iota^* d)_n.$$

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section:



**Theorem 4.3.** *Assume  $E \in \text{Coh}(X)$  satisfies  $E^{**} \not\cong \mathcal{O}_X$ , is torsion free and slope stable with respect to  $h = \pi^*d$  for some  $d \in \text{Amp}(S)$ . If  $E$  descends to  $S$ , that is  $E \cong \pi^*F$  for some  $F \in \text{Coh}(S)$ , then the induced torsion free sheaf  $F_{[n]}$  is slope stable with respect to some ample divisor  $D$  on  $S_{[n]}$ .*

*Proof.* By the results of Stapleton in [23] and in Section 1 we know that for a given slope stable torsion free sheaf  $E$  on  $X$  with  $E^{**} \neq \mathcal{O}_X$ , the associated tautological sheaf  $E^{[n]}$  is slope stable on  $X^{[n]}$ .

By Theorem 2.8 the sheaf  $E^{[n]}$  descends to  $S_{[n]}$  if and only if  $E$  descends to  $S$ . In this case  $E^{[n]} \cong \rho^*F_{[n]}$ . Now by Theorem 4.1 the sheaf  $F_{[n]}$  is slope stable with respect to some  $D \in \text{Amp}(S_{[n]})$  if  $E^{[n]}$  is slope stable with respect to  $H \in \text{Amp}(X^{[n]})$  of the form  $H = \rho^*D$  for some  $D \in \text{Amp}(S_{[n]})$ .

To see that we find such a  $D \in \text{Amp}(S_{[n]})$ , we note that the divisor  $H$  is described quite explicitly in [23, Proposition 4.8]: it is of the form

$$H = h_n + \epsilon A$$

for an *arbitrary* ample divisor  $A$  on  $X^{[n]}$  and  $\epsilon$  sufficiently small. We choose  $A$  of the form  $A = \rho^*C$  for some  $C \in \text{Amp}(S_{[n]})$ . By (8) we also have

$$\left(\iota^{[n]}\right)^*(h_n) = (\iota^*h)_n = (\iota^*\pi^*d)_n = (\pi^*d)_n = h_n$$

which implies that we must have that  $h_n = \rho^*B$  for some divisor  $B$  on  $S_{[n]}$ . Putting both facts together shows

$$H = \rho^*D \text{ for } D = B + \epsilon C.$$

It remains to see that  $D$  is ample. But since  $\rho$  is finite and surjective  $D$  is ample if and only if  $\rho^*D = H$  is ample, see [7, Proposition I.4.4].  $\square$

In the rest of this section we want to study the moduli spaces containing the slope stable sheaves  $F$  on  $S$  and  $F_{[n]}$  on  $S_{[n]}$ . For this we let  $v \in H_{\text{alg}}^*(S, \mathbb{Z})$  be a Mukai vector on  $S$ , that is  $v = \text{ch}(F)\sqrt{\text{td}(S)}$  for some  $F \in \text{Coh}(S)$ . Here

$$H_{\text{alg}}^*(S, \mathbb{Z}) = H^0(S, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \text{Num}(S) \oplus \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}\xi_S.$$

where  $\xi_S$  denotes the fundamental class of  $S$ .

We begin with the following result:

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $F$  be a torsion free coherent sheaf with  $F \not\cong F \otimes \omega_S$ . If  $F$  is slope stable with respect to  $d \in \text{Amp}(S)$ ,  $F^{**} \not\cong \mathcal{O}_S$  and  $F^{**} \not\cong \omega_S$ , then  $F_{[n]}$  is a slope stable torsion free coherent sheaf on  $S_{[n]}$ .*

*Proof.* The assumptions imply that  $F$  is simple and that  $\text{Hom}_S(F, F \otimes \omega_S) = 0$ . Hence  $E := \pi^*F$  is simple due to the formula

$$\text{Hom}_X(E, E) \cong \text{Hom}_S(F, F) \oplus \text{Hom}_S(F, F \otimes \omega_S).$$

By [9, Lemma 3.2.3], the sheaf  $E$  is polystable with respect to  $h = \pi^*d$ . Being simple and polystable,  $E$  is stable.

Since  $E^{**} \not\cong \mathcal{O}_X$  the sheaf  $E^{[n]}$  is slope stable with respect to some  $H \in \text{Amp}(X^{[n]})$  and descends to  $S_{[n]}$  via  $E^{[n]} \cong \rho^*F_{[n]}$ . Now Theorem 4.3 implies that  $F_{[n]}$  is slope stable with respect to some  $D \in \text{Amp}(S_{[n]})$  satisfying  $\rho^*D = H$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.5.** Every torsion free coherent sheaf  $F$  of odd rank satisfies the condition  $F \not\cong F \otimes \omega_S$ .

Assume from now on, that  $S$  is an unnodal Enriques surface, that is  $S$  contains no smooth rational curves (that is no  $(-2)$ -curves). Note that in the moduli space of Enriques surfaces, a very general element will be unnodal by [17, Corollary 5.7].

Denote the moduli space of slope semistable sheaves (with respect to  $d \in \text{Amp}(S)$ ) with Mukai vector  $v$  on  $S$  by  $M_{S,d}(v)$ . Assume that  $v$  is primitive and chosen such that every slope semistable sheaf is slope stable and the rank of  $v$  is odd. Then for a generic choice

of  $d \in \text{Amp}(S)$  the moduli space  $M_{S,d}(v)$  is smooth of dimension  $v^2 + 1$  and  $M_{S,d}(v) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $v^2 \geq -1$ , see [25, Proposition 4.2, Theorem 4.6 (i)].

Furthermore in this situation there is a decomposition

$$(9) \quad M_{S,d}(v) = M_{S,d}(v, L_1) \coprod M_{S,d}(v, L_2)$$

where  $M_{S,d}(v, L_i)$  contains those  $[E] \in M_{S,d}(v)$  with  $\det(E) = L_i$  where  $L_2 = L_1 \otimes \omega_S$ , that is  $c_1 = c_1(L_1) = c_1(L_2) \in \text{Num}(S)$ . By [25, Theorem 4.6.(ii)] for a general choice of  $d \in \text{Amp}(S)$  the moduli space  $M_{S,d}(v, L)$  is irreducible, that is a smooth projective variety.

We also assume that the Mukai vector is chosen such that for all  $[F] \in M_{S,d}(v, L)$  the sheaf  $F$  is locally free on  $S$  and does not have higher cohomology. Denote the Mukai vector of the associated sheaf  $F_{[n]}$  on  $S_{[n]}$  by  $v_{[n]}$ . If  $F_{[n]}$  is slope stable with respect to some  $D \in \text{Amp}(S_{[n]})$ , denote its moduli space by  $\mathcal{M}_{S_{[n]},D}(v_{[n]})$ .

**Proposition 4.6.** *If  $v \neq v(\mathcal{O}_S) = v(\omega_S)$  then there is a class  $D \in \text{Amp}(S_{[n]})$  such that  $F_{[n]}$  is slope stable with respect to  $D$  for all  $[F] \in M_{S,d}(v, L)$ .*

*Proof.* Since all sheaves classified by  $M_{S,d}(v, L)$  are locally free on  $S$ , so are all the  $E = \pi^* F$  on  $X$ . Proposition 1.3 shows that there is one  $H \in \text{Amp}(X^{[n]})$  such that all  $E^{[n]}$  are slope stable with respect to  $H$  since  $E \not\cong \mathcal{O}_X$ . But then by the construction of  $D \in \text{Amp}(S_{[n]})$  with  $H = \rho^* D$  in Theorem 4.3, it follows that there is one such desired  $D$ .  $\square$

We have the following corollary:

**Corollary 4.7.** *If  $v \neq v(\mathcal{O}_S) = v(\omega_S)$ , then functor  $(-)_n$  induces a morphism*

$$(-)_n : M_{S,d}(v, L) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{S_{[n]},D}(v_{[n]}), [F] \mapsto [F_{[n]}]$$

*which identifies  $M_{S,d}(v, L)$  with a smooth connected component of  $\mathcal{M}_{S_{[n]},D}(v_{[n]})$ .*

*Proof.* We use the explicit description  $(-)_n = p_{S_{[n]}}^*(p_S^*(-))$  given by Theorem 2.10. Since  $p_X$  and  $p_{X^{[n]}}$  are flat we know by faithfully flat descent for  $\pi$  resp.  $\rho$  that the induced projections  $p_S$  and  $p_{S_{[n]}}$  are flat. Similarly since  $p_{X^{[n]}}$  is a finite morphism so is  $p_{S_{[n]}}$ .

Using these facts together with Theorem 4.4 and Proposition 4.6 shows that Krug's argument in the proof of [13, Proposition 2.1] also works in this case. Hence  $[F] \mapsto [F_{[n]}]$  is a regular morphism.

Similar to Theorem 1.5 it follows from Theorem 3.2 that  $(-)_n$  is injective on closed points as  $\text{Hom}_{S_{[n]}}(F_{[n]}, G_{[n]}) \cong \text{Hom}_S(F, G)$ . By Theorem 3.2 we also have

$$\dim(\text{Ext}_{S_{[n]}}^1(F_{[n]}, F_{[n]})) = \dim(\text{Ext}_S^1(F, F)).$$

Both facts together imply that  $(-)_n$  identifies  $M_{S,d}(v, L)$  with a smooth connected component of  $\mathcal{M}_{S_{[n]},D}(v_{[n]})$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.8.** There is a decomposition

$$\mathcal{M}_{S_{[n]},D}(v_{[n]}) = \mathcal{M}_{S_{[n]},D}(v_{[n]}, \mathcal{L}_1) \coprod \mathcal{M}_{S_{[n]},D}(v_{[n]}, \mathcal{L}_2)$$

analogous to (9) and, depending on the choice of  $(-)_n$  (see Remark 2.9),  $M_{S,d}(v, L)$  is mapped to a component of  $\mathcal{M}_{S_{[n]},D}(v_{[n]}, \mathcal{L}_1)$  or a component of  $\mathcal{M}_{S_{[n]},D}(v_{[n]}, \mathcal{L}_2)$ .

Denote the Mukai vector of  $E = \pi^* F$  on  $X$  by  $w$ , that is  $w = \pi^* v$ . In the rest of this section we want to study the fixed loci of  $\iota^*$  in  $M_{X,h}(w)$  and  $(\iota^{[n]})^*$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{X^{[n]},H}(w^{[n]})$ . In our situation we have a well defined morphism

$$\pi^* : M_{S,d}(v) \rightarrow M_{X,h}(w), \quad F \mapsto \pi^* F$$

which has image in  $\text{Fix}(\iota^*)$ . More exactly the image of  $\pi^*$  is the fixed locus of  $\iota^*$  and the morphism restricts to an étale 2:1-morphism

$$\pi^* : M_{S,d}(v) \rightarrow \text{Fix}(\iota^*).$$

Furthermore  $\text{Fix}(\iota^*)$  is a Lagrangian subscheme in  $M_{X,h}(w)$ , see for example [10, Theorem (1)] or [18, Theorem 2.3 (c)].

As the morphism  $\pi^* : M_{S,d}(v) \rightarrow \text{Fix}(\iota^*)$  is an étale 2:1-morphism, the decomposition (9) shows that  $\pi^*$  induces an isomorphism  $M_{S,d}(v, L) \cong \text{Fix}(\iota^*)$ . As  $M_{S,d}(v, L)$  is irreducible, so is  $\text{Fix}(\iota^*)$ .

**Theorem 4.9.** *The fixed locus  $\text{Fix}(\iota^*)$  is a smooth projective variety. The morphism  $(-)^{[n]}$  in Theorem 1.5 restricts to a morphism*

$$(-)^{[n]} : \text{Fix}(\iota^*) \rightarrow \text{Fix}(\iota^{[n]})^*$$

which identifies  $\text{Fix}(\iota^*)$  with a smooth connected component of  $\text{Fix}(\iota^{[n]})^*$ .

*Proof.* The fixed locus  $\text{Fix}(\iota^*)$  is smooth and projective since  $M_{X,h}(w)$  is smooth and projective. Since it is also irreducible, it is a smooth projective variety.

By Lemma 2.7 the morphism  $(-)^{[n]}$  restricts to a morphism between the fixed loci. Since  $(-)^{[n]}$  is injective on closed points, so is its restriction to  $\text{Fix}(\iota^*)$ .

To identify  $\text{Fix}(\iota^*)$  as a smooth connected component it is therefore enough to prove

$$\dim(T_{[E]} \text{Fix}(\iota^*)) = \dim(T_{[E^{[n]}]} \text{Fix}(\iota^{[n]})^*)$$

But a general fact says that the tangent space of the fixed locus satisfies

$$T_y(Y^G) \cong (T_y Y)^G,$$

see for example [4, Proposition 3.2]. As we have  $E \cong \pi^* F$  for some sheaf  $F$  on  $S$ , this shows

$$T_{[E]} \text{Fix}(\iota^*) \cong (T_{[E]} M_{X,h}(w))^\iota \cong (\text{Ext}_X^1(E, E))^\iota \cong \text{Ext}_S^1(F, F).$$

A similar computation shows

$$T_{[E^{[n]}]} \text{Fix}(\iota^{[n]})^* \cong (\text{Ext}_{X^{[n]}}^1(E^{[n]}, E^{[n]}))^{\iota^{[n]}} \cong \text{Ext}_{S^{[n]}}^1(F_{[n]}, F_{[n]}) \cong \text{Ext}_S^1(F, F)$$

by Theorem 3.2 since  $E^{[n]} \cong \rho^* F_{[n]}$ . □

**Corollary 4.10.** *The diagram (2) induces the commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fix}(\iota^*) & \xrightarrow{(-)^{[n]}} & \text{Fix}(\iota^{[n]})^* \\ \pi^* \uparrow & & \uparrow \rho^* \\ M_{S,d}(v, L) & \xrightarrow{(-)^{[n]}} & \mathcal{M}_{S^{[n]}, D}(v_{[n]}) \end{array}.$$

## 5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I thank Andreas Krug for answering my (many) questions regarding [12]. I also thank the referee for a very detailed report which improved the paper greatly.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Indranil Biswas and D. S. Nagaraj. Fourier-Mukai transform of vector bundles on surfaces to Hilbert scheme. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, 32(1):43–50, 2017.
- [2] Samuel Boissière, Marc Nieper-Wißkirchen, and Alessandra Sarti. Higher dimensional Enriques varieties and automorphisms of generalized Kummer varieties. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 95(5):553–563, 2011.
- [3] Samuel Boissière and Alessandra Sarti. A note on automorphisms and birational transformations of holomorphic symplectic manifolds. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 140(12):4053–4062, 2012.
- [4] Bas Edixhoven. Néron models and tame ramification. *Compositio Math.*, 81(3):291–306, 1992.
- [5] J. Fogarty. Algebraic families on an algebraic surface. II. The Picard scheme of the punctual Hilbert scheme. *Amer. J. Math.*, 95:660–687, 1973.
- [6] John Fogarty. Algebraic families on an algebraic surface. *Amer. J. Math.*, 90:511–521, 1968.
- [7] Robin Hartshorne. *Ample subvarieties of algebraic varieties*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 156, (1970). Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York. Notes written in collaboration with C. Musili.
- [8] Olivier Haution. Fixed point theorems involving numerical invariants. *Compos. Math.*, 155(2):260–288, 2019.

- [9] Daniel Huybrechts and Manfred Lehn. *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2010), second edition.
- [10] Hoil Kim. Moduli spaces of stable vector bundles on Enriques surfaces. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 150:85–94, 1998.
- [11] Andreas Krug. Extension groups of tautological sheaves on Hilbert schemes. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 23(3):571–598, 2014.
- [12] Andreas Krug. Remarks on the derived McKay correspondence for Hilbert schemes of points and tautological bundles. *Math. Ann.*, 371(1-2):461–486, 2018.
- [13] Andreas Krug. Extension groups of tautological bundles on symmetric products of curves. *Beitr. Algebra Geom.*, 64(2):493–530, 2023.
- [14] Andreas Krug and Jørgen Vold Rennemo. Some ways to reconstruct a sheaf from its tautological image on a Hilbert scheme of points. *Math. Nachr.*, 295(1):158–174, 2022.
- [15] Andreas Krug and Pawel Sosna. Equivalences of equivariant derived categories. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 92(1):19–40, 2015.
- [16] David Mumford. *Abelian varieties*, volume 5 of *Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics*. Published for the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay by Oxford University Press, London, (1970).
- [17] Yukihiro Namikawa. Periods of Enriques surfaces. *Math. Ann.*, 270(2):201–222, 1985.
- [18] Howard Nuer. A note on the existence of stable vector bundles on Enriques surfaces. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 22(3):1117–1156, 2016.
- [19] Keiji Oguiso and Stefan Schröer. Enriques manifolds. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 661:215–235, 2011.
- [20] David Ploog. Equivariant autoequivalences for finite group actions. *Adv. Math.*, 216(1):62–74, 2007.
- [21] Fabian Reede and Ziyu Zhang. Stable vector bundles on generalized Kummer varieties. *Forum Math.*, 34(4):1015–1031, 2022.
- [22] Luca Scala. Some remarks on tautological sheaves on Hilbert schemes of points on a surface. *Geom. Dedicata*, 139:313–329, 2009.
- [23] David Stapleton. Geometry and stability of tautological bundles on Hilbert schemes of points. *Algebra Number Theory*, 10(6):1173–1190, 2016.
- [24] Malte Wandel. Tautological sheaves: stability, moduli spaces and restrictions to generalised Kummer varieties. *Osaka J. Math.*, 53(4):889–910, 2016.
- [25] Kōta Yoshioka. Twisted stability and Fourier-Mukai transform. I. *Compositio Math.*, 138(3):261–288, 2003.

INSTITUT FÜR ALGEBRAISCHE GEOMETRIE, LEIBNIZ UNIVERSITÄT HANNOVER, WELFENGARTEN 1,  
30167 HANNOVER, GERMANY

Email address: reede@math.uni-hannover.de