

Dirac gauge theory for topological spinors in 3+1 dimensional networks

Ginestra Bianconi^{1,2}

¹ School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, E1 4NS
London, United Kingdom

² Alan Turing Institute, The British Library, London, United Kingdom

E-mail: ginestra.bianconi@gmail.com

Abstract. Gauge theories on graphs and networks are attracting increasing attention not only as approaches to quantum gravity but also as models for performing quantum computation. Here we propose a Dirac gauge theory for topological spinors in $3 + 1$ dimensional networks associated to an arbitrary metric. Topological spinors are the direct sum of 0-cochains and 1-cochains defined on a network and describe a matter field defined on both nodes and links of a network. Recently in Ref. [1] it has been shown that topological spinors obey the topological Dirac equation driven by the discrete Dirac operator. In this work we extend these results by formulating the Dirac equation on weighted and directed $3 + 1$ dimensional networks which allow for the treatment of a local theory. The commutators and anti-commutators of the Dirac operators are non vanishing and they define the curvature tensor and magnetic field of our theory respectively. This interpretation is confirmed by the non-relativistic limit of the proposed Dirac equation. In the non-relativistic limit of the proposed Dirac equation the sector of the spinor defined on links follows the Schrödinger equation with the correct gyromagnetic moment, while the sector of the spinor defined on nodes follows the Klein-Gordon equation and is not negligible. The action associated to the proposed field theory comprises of a Dirac action and a metric action. We describe the gauge invariance of the action under both Abelian and non-Abelian transformations and we propose the equation of motion of the field theory of both Dirac and metric fields. This theory can be interpreted as a limiting case of a more general gauge theory valid on any arbitrary network in the limit of almost flat spaces.

1. Introduction

In this work we formulate a Dirac gauge theory on networks in which the matter field is defined on both nodes and links of the network extending previous work on the topological Dirac equation [1]. Recently there is a growing attention on lattice gauge theories [2] and their implementation using quantum information frameworks [3–6]. While in lattice gauge theories gauge fields are often associated to the links of a network, matter fields are traditionally only associated to the nodes of the network [7]. Interestingly however, models in which quantum states are defined on links and on higher-dimensional simplices

or cells of simplicial or cell complexes are receiving growing interest and they include for instance the very influential Kitaev model [8]. Also in the context of classical dynamics on networks it is becoming clear that considering dynamical variables not only associated to the nodes but also to the links of networks and to the higher-dimensional simplices of simplicial complexes can reveal the important interplay between network topology and dynamics [9–12]. In this context it is emerging that the discrete Dirac operator [1, 13–17] is key to investigate the properties of coupled topological signals of different dimension defined on graphs and networks [18–20].

The discrete Dirac operator on networks is a direct extension of the Dirac operator used in the continuum and its numerical implementations [21, 22]. The Dirac operator plays an important role for example in supersymmetric theories [23] and in non-commutative geometry [24–29] where to our knowledge it has been first formulated [14] [Note however that that this *topological* definition of the Dirac operator is distinct from the one used in quantum graphs literature where links are considered linear one dimensional spaces on which a $d + 1 = 1 + 1$ Dirac equation is defined [30–34].] The Dirac operator can be defined on networks also without relying on non-commutative geometry [1, 13, 15–17, 35–39]. However most of the works that use the discrete Dirac operator outside the field of noncommutative geometry define the discrete Dirac operator on a network as the Hodge-Dirac operator (also called Gauss-Bonnet operator) given by the sum of the exterior derivative and its dual $\partial = d + d^*$, i.e. they do not associate an algebra to these operators.

The Dirac equation proposed in Ref. [1] is based on a topological description of the wave function formed by a topological spinor defined on nodes and links of a network. In particular Ref. [1] considers the topology of finite dimensional $1 + 1$, $2 + 1$ and $3 + 1$ square lattices and associates an algebra to the Dirac operators defined on space-like and time-like directions as well.

In this work we build on these results to formulate a gauge theory for a Dirac field defined on both nodes and links of a network and its associated metric degrees of freedom. The formulated gauge theory framework can be considered as a gauge theory which could be potentially explored for synthetic realization in condensed matter systems. Alternatively the proposed gauge theory can be considered in the framework of the current scientific debate on the topic in the context of quantum gravity [40–43].

From a mathematical perspective quantum gravity is about reconciling quantum mechanics, a theory that does not have a fundamental geometrical foundation but is instead characterized by its probabilistic interpretation with general relativity, that is a deeply rooted in a geometrical description of space-time. Many approaches [44–50] to quantum gravity aim at turning general relativity into a quantum discipline, however one different strategy is to try to turn quantum mechanics into a geometrical and topological theory leading to classic approaches and results including [23, 51]. In this respect, with the flourishing of results on graphs and networks, in the last years we have seen a growing interest in approaches combining graph and network theory to quantum gravity and viceversa combining quantum gravity approaches to networks [9, 52–57] and addressing

questions related to emergent geometry [58–63] and quantum information [64–71].

In this perspective of course it seems natural to rely on algebraic topology, exterior calculus, and discrete geometry [46, 72–77] and start by interpreting geometrically and in the discrete network setting, the relativistic Dirac equation [78] which historically has stimulated fundamental research in both physics and mathematics [79, 80]. Driven by this abstract line of thought, the topological Dirac equation [1] has been recently proposed. The Dirac equation defined in Ref. [1] acts on a topological spinor defined on nodes and links, in which the wave function is slightly non-local as on each link the wave function takes the same value. Here we show that the Dirac equation [1] can be also defined on a *local* wave function defined on the nodes and on each directed link departing from every node i of the network, thus acting as the fiber bundle at node i . Therefore the topological spinor can be interpreted as encoding both the component of the wave-function defined on each node of the network and a "flux" of the wave function from a given node toward any neighbour node. Therefore the wave-function has an interpretation that is similar to the description of a dynamical state of a classical particle requiring both position and velocity of the particle. Here not only we turn the topological Dirac equation into a local theory, but we also introduce metric matrices on nodes and links.

The metric matrices inducing deformations of the lattice are here treated as the geometric degrees of freedom of the network while the topology remains the $3 + 1$ dimensional one. The metric degree of freedoms are embodied in a metric field that evolves together with the topological spinor according to the equations of motion.

Building on the fact that the directional Dirac operators along different directions of the lattice do not commute or anticommute, we define a curvature tensor and the magnetic field of the network, from the commutators and anticommutators of the directional Dirac operators.

The non-relativistic limit of the proposed Dirac equation confirms our interpretation of the anti-commutators of the spatial Dirac operators as the magnetic field of the network. Therefore in this context the electromagnetic field of this theory is associated to the metric of the network. Moreover from the non-relativistic limit of the Dirac equation we learn the following results. First, the Schrödinger equation with the correct gyromagnetic factor is recovered for the sector of the spinor associated to the links (or the fiber bundle). Secondly, the node sector of the topological spinor follows the Klein-Gordon equation. Thirdly, the node sector of the topological spinor is non-negligible. It is interesting to consider whether these results can lead to testable predictions different from the standard Dirac equation.

Finally we define the Abelian and non-Abelian transformations acting simultaneously on the topological spinors and the network metric, and we derive the equation of motion from our action which relates the metric degree of freedom to the topological spinor.

The proposed gauge field theory on $3 + 1$ dimensional networks can be interpreted as a limiting case of a more general theory valid on an arbitrary networks which

applies when the discrete space-time is almost flat. The extension to arbitrary network topologies is not excluded but it would require changing the algebra adopted for the directional Dirac operators.

2. Dirac equation for topological spinors

We consider a lattice in $3 + 1$ dimensions with N nodes and $L = 8N$ directed links and periodic boundary conditions endowed with an Euclidean metric. The choice of an Euclidean metric is dictated by the assumption (already formulated in Ref. [1]) that the Lorentzian nature of space-time might be fully accounted by the operators (such as the Dirac operators) acting on this space rather than being an intrinsic property of the discrete network describing space-time. This allows us to avoid the challenge to define space-like and time-like links. In our approach the only difference between space-like and time-like links will depend on the choice of the Dirac operator that acts on them.

As a consequence of this, throughout the paper we will adopt the Einstein convention of repeated indices with $a_\mu b^\mu$ indicating $\sum_{\mu=1}^4 a_\mu b_\mu$.

As in classical dynamics the configuration space is formed by the positions and the velocities of the particles we assume that the topological wave function Ψ is the direct sum between a two 0-cochains and a two 1-cochains defined on each directed link of the network. Let us indicate with C_0 the set of 0-cochains and with C_1 the set of directed 1-cochains [75]. With this notation we have $\Psi \in C_0 \oplus C_0 \oplus C_1 \oplus C_1$. Hence $\Psi = \chi \oplus \psi$ or alternatively

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

The first component χ is formed by two 0-cochains $\chi \in C_0 \oplus C_0$ and the second component ψ is formed by two directed 1-cochains $\psi \in C_1 \oplus C_1$

$$\chi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_+ \\ \chi_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_+ \\ \psi_- \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

Therefore χ_\pm are 0-cochains formed by elements defined on the N nodes of the network, while ψ_\pm are directed 1-cochains formed by elements defined on the links L with any given direction

$$\chi_\pm = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{1,\pm} \\ \chi_{2,\pm} \\ \vdots \\ \chi_{N,\pm} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi_\pm = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{\ell_1,\pm} \\ \psi_{\ell_2,\pm} \\ \vdots \\ \psi_{\ell_L,\pm} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

Here we distinguish between $\psi_{[i,j],\pm}$ and $\psi_{[j,i],\pm}$, in order to interpret $\psi_{[i,j],\pm}$ as a “flux going from i to j ” thus indicating a variable localized on the node i while $\psi_{[j,i],\pm}$ indicates a “flux going from j to i ”, which is instead a variable localized on node j . Note that in our directed setting values of $\psi_{[i,j],\pm}$ with $\psi_{[i,j],\pm} \neq -\psi_{[j,i],\pm}$ are allowed.

This framework can treat a *local* field theory in which the topological spinor is defined on both nodes and links of the network. The part of the topological spinor localized on the node i is given by

$$\hat{\Psi}_i = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_i \\ \psi_i \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

where χ_i is formed by the elements of χ localized on node i and $\psi_i = (\dots \psi_{[i,j]} \dots)^\top$ is formed by the direct sum of vectors $\psi_{[i,j]} = (\psi_{[i,j],+}, \psi_{[i,j],-})^\top$ indicating the fluxes from node i to a generic neighbour j . Strictly speaking ψ_i is a field associated to the fiber bundle defined at node i (see for instance discussion of "vertex spaces" in Ref. [13]).

The scalar product between topological spinors is taken to be the L^2 norm

$$\langle \hat{\Psi}, \Psi \rangle = \hat{\Psi}^\dagger \Psi. \quad (5)$$

Similarly the scalar product between the 0-cochains and the scalar product between 1-cochain is taken to be the L^2 norm

$$\langle \hat{\chi}, \chi \rangle = \hat{\chi}^\dagger \chi, \quad \langle \hat{\psi}, \psi \rangle = \hat{\psi}^\dagger \psi. \quad (6)$$

In a $(3+1)$ -dimensional lattice we classify links in 4 classes: x -type, y -type, z -type and t -type links. We consider the $L \times N$ weighted coboundary matrix $\bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu$ of type $\mu \in \{t, x, y, z\}$ links which is given by

$$\bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu = \mathbf{G}_{[1]}^{-1/2} \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^{(U)} \mathbf{G}_{[0]}^{1/2} \quad (7)$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^{(U)}$ is the $L \times N$ unweighted coboundary matrix of elements

$$[\bar{B}_\mu^{(U)}]_{\ell i} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } \ell = [i, j] \text{ \& } \ell \text{ is a } \mu\text{-link} \\ 1 & \text{if } \ell = [j, i] \text{ \& } \ell \text{ is a } \mu\text{-link,} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

and where $\mathbf{G}_{[0]}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{[1]}$ are the $N \times N$ and $L \times L$ metric matrices defined among nodes and among links respectively. The metric matrices $\mathbf{G}_{[0]}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{[1]}$ describe the geometry of the network and will be interpreted as metric degree of freedom which are interacting with the matter field Ψ . In topology and exterior calculus [74] typically these metric matrices are taken to be diagonal, with the diagonal elements of $\mathbf{G}_{[1]}^{-1}$ indicating the weights of the links and the diagonal elements of $\mathbf{G}_{[0]}^{-1}$ indicating the weights associated to the nodes, here we allow the metric matrices to be non diagonal and we allow their matrix elements to be complex. In particular here and in the following we will take

$$\mathbf{G}_{[0]} = e^{-\mathbf{A}^{(0)}}, \quad \mathbf{G}_{[1]} = e^{-\mathbf{A}^{(1)}}, \quad (9)$$

where the $N \times N$ matrix $\mathbf{A}^{(0)}$ and the $L \times L$ matrix $\mathbf{A}^{(1)}$ describe the metric fields of our gauge theory. If the metric is flat then both $\mathbf{A}^{(0)}$ and $\mathbf{A}^{(1)}$ are proportional to the identity matrices of dimension $N \times N$ and $L \times L$ respectively.

The matrices $\bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^\star$ are the Hodge-star of the co-boundary matrices $\bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu$ with respect to the standard L^2 norm and they are given by [74–76, 81]

$$\bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^\star = \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^\dagger. \quad (10)$$

The weighted graph Laplacian operator [82] in the direction μ [9, 74–76] describing diffusion from nodes to nodes through links of type μ is given by $\mathbf{L}_\mu = \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^* \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu$.

In order to define the Dirac operators let us first introduce the tensor product to the Pauli matrices with the matrix \mathbf{F} , $\sigma_\mu \otimes \mathbf{F}$ with $\mu \in \{t, x, y, z\}$ as the matrices having block structure given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_t \otimes \mathbf{F} &= \sigma_0 \otimes \mathbf{F} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{F} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_x \otimes \mathbf{F} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{F} \\ \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \sigma_y \otimes \mathbf{F} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & -i\mathbf{F} \\ i\mathbf{F} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_z \otimes \mathbf{F} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -\mathbf{F} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The exterior derivative d_μ in the direction μ and its adjoint d_μ^* are given by

$$d_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \sigma_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad d_\mu^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

for $\mu \in \{t, x, y, z\}$ leading to the directional Hodge-Dirac operator (see Appendix A for further details)

$$d_\mu + d_\mu^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^* \\ \sigma_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

We define the directional Dirac operators ∂_μ as

$$\partial_\mu = \gamma_\mu (d_\mu^* + d_\mu), \quad (14)$$

(note that here the indices are not contracted), where the matrices γ_t and γ_μ with $\mu \in \{x, y, z\}$ are given by

$$\gamma_t = \gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_0 \otimes \mathbf{I}_N & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_0 \otimes \mathbf{I}_L \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_\mu = -i \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_\mu \otimes \mathbf{I}_N & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_\mu \otimes \mathbf{I}_L \end{pmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

with \mathbf{I}_X indicating the identity matrix of dimension $X \times X$. We observe that given the definition of d_μ and d_μ^* given by Eq.(12) and the definition of the matrices γ_μ given by Eq.(15) we obtain the anticommutator relations

$$\{\gamma_\mu, (d_\mu + d_\mu^*)\} = 0, \quad (16)$$

valid for $\mu \in \{t, x, y, z\}$. Finally we use ∂ to indicate

$$\partial = \gamma^\mu (d_\mu + d_\mu^*), \quad (17)$$

or alternatively $\partial = \sum_\mu \partial_\mu$. We observe that the anticommutator relation $\{\gamma_0, (d_\mu + d_\mu^*)\} = 0$, is related to the supersymmetric interpretation [23] of the topological spinor where 0-cochains are interpreted as bosonic components while 1-cochains are interpreted as fermionic components and $\gamma_0 = (-1)^F$ [13, 39] with the Hodge-Dirac operator mapping fermionic components to bosonic components and viceversa. Therefore the Hodge-Dirac operator is often interpreted as a supersymmetry operator. Note however that typically it is required [23] that the supersymmetry operators anticommute with each other, condition that in our setting does not hold as we will detail in the following.

From the above definition it follows that ∂_t and ∂_μ for $\mu \in \{x, y, z\}$ have the block structure

$$\partial_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_t \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_t^* \\ -\sigma_t \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_t & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \partial_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\sigma_\mu \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^* \\ i\sigma_\mu \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (18)$$

This definition is such that $i\gamma_0\partial_\mu$ is Hermitian for $\mu \in \{x, y, z\}$ and anti-Hermitian for $\mu = t$. In particular we obtain

$$i\gamma_0\partial_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_\mu \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^* \\ \sigma_\mu \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad i\gamma_0\partial_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\sigma_t \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_t^* \\ i\sigma_t \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_t & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

The Dirac action \mathcal{S}_D is defined as

$$\mathcal{S}_D = \bar{\Psi}(i\partial - m)\Psi. \quad (20)$$

where $\bar{\Psi} = \Psi^\dagger \gamma_0$. This notation implies contraction of all the indices in the topological spinor, indicating its values on all the nodes and all the directed links of the network. Moreover we observe that in this work we do not consider the second quantization of the fields, so the Dirac action \mathcal{S}_D is for us a c -number. The dynamical equations associated to this action is the Dirac equation and its adjoint. The Dirac equation is obtained by deriving the action with respect to each element $\bar{\Psi}_r$ of the topological spinor $\bar{\Psi}$ getting

$$(i\partial - m)\Psi = 0, \quad (21)$$

and its adjoint is obtained by deriving the action with respect to each element Ψ_r of the topological spinor Ψ is given

$$(-i\partial - m)\bar{\Psi} = 0. \quad (22)$$

The spectrum of the Dirac operator is

$$\mathcal{E}^2 = m^2 \quad (23)$$

where \mathcal{E}^2 is the eigenvalue of the D'Alabertian $\square = \mathbf{L}_t - \sum_{\mu \in \{x, y, z\}} \mathbf{L}_\mu$. If $[\mathbf{L}_t, \mathbf{L}_x + \mathbf{L}_y + \mathbf{L}_z] = 0$ we recover the relativistic dispersion

$$E^2 = m^2 + p^2 \quad (24)$$

where E^2 is the eigenvalue of the operator \mathbf{L}_t and p^2 is the eigenvalue of $\mathbf{L}_x + \mathbf{L}_y + \mathbf{L}_z$. In the case in which $[\mathbf{L}_\mu, \mathbf{L}_\nu] = 0$ for any $\mu \in \{t, x, y, z\}$ and $\nu \in \{t, x, y, z\}$ we have

$$E^2 = m^2 + |\mathbf{p}|^2 \quad (25)$$

where $\mathbf{p} = (p_x, p_y, p_z)$ with p_μ indicating the eigenvalue of the directional Dirac operator ∂_μ with $\mu \in \{x, y, z\}$.

3. Non-commutative Dirac operators

The square of the spatial Dirac operators ∂_μ with $\mu \in \{x, y, z\}$ are given by the Laplacian matrices \mathcal{L}_μ

$$\partial_\mu\partial_\mu = \mathcal{L}_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_0 \otimes (\bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^* \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_0 \otimes (\bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^*) \end{pmatrix} \quad (26)$$

while for the square of the temporal Dirac operator is given by $-\mathcal{L}_t$, i.e.

$$\partial_t \partial_t = -\mathcal{L}_t = - \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 \otimes (\bar{\mathbf{B}}_t^* \bar{\mathbf{B}}_t) & 0 \\ 0 & \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 \otimes (\bar{\mathbf{B}}_t \bar{\mathbf{B}}_t^*) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (27)$$

The anticommutators and the commutators of the Dirac operators are non zero in general, even for a flat metric. In particular for $\mu \in \{x, y, z\}$, $\nu \in \{x, y, z\}$ with $\mu \neq \nu$

$$\{\partial_\mu, \partial_\nu\} = - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_{\mu\nu\theta} [\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\theta \otimes [\mathbf{B}^{(M)}]^\theta] \end{pmatrix}. \quad (28)$$

where the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_\theta^{(M)}$ is given by the $L \times L$ matrix given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_x^{(M)} &= -i(\bar{\mathbf{B}}_y \bar{\mathbf{B}}_z^* - \bar{\mathbf{B}}_z \bar{\mathbf{B}}_y^*), \\ \mathbf{B}_y^{(M)} &= -i(\bar{\mathbf{B}}_z \bar{\mathbf{B}}_x^* - \bar{\mathbf{B}}_x \bar{\mathbf{B}}_z^*), \\ \mathbf{B}_z^{(M)} &= -i(\bar{\mathbf{B}}_x \bar{\mathbf{B}}_y^* - \bar{\mathbf{B}}_y \bar{\mathbf{B}}_x^*). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

It follows that the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_\theta^{(M)}$ is non vanishing in general, instead is given by anti-symmetric matrix.

For $\mu = t$ and $\mu \in \{x, y, z\}$ we have instead

$$\{\partial_t, \partial_\mu\} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\mu \otimes (\bar{\mathbf{B}}_t \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^* + \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu \bar{\mathbf{B}}_t^*) \end{pmatrix} \quad (30)$$

The commutator of the Dirac operators in the spatial directions $\mu, \nu \in \{x, y, z\}$ give

$$[\partial_\mu, \partial_\nu] = -i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_{\mu\nu\theta} [\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\theta \otimes (\bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\nu^* + \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\nu \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^*)] \end{pmatrix}. \quad (31)$$

The commutator of the Dirac operators in the temporal direction t and in the spatial directions $\mu \in \{x, y, z\}$ gives

$$[\partial_t, \partial_\mu] = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\mu \otimes (\bar{\mathbf{B}}_t \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^* - \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu \bar{\mathbf{B}}_t^*) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (32)$$

The commutators $[\partial_\mu, \partial_\nu]$ with $\mu, \nu \in \{t, x, y, z\}$ will be interpreted as the curvature tensor of our theory and their contraction will be used in Sec. 9 to formulate the action for the metric degrees of freedom. While the commutators of the Dirac operators do not vanish, we have however

$$\partial_\rho [\partial_\mu, \partial_\nu] = 0, \quad (33)$$

for $\rho \neq \mu, \rho \neq \nu$.

4. Non-relativistic limit of the Dirac equation

In this section our goal is to carry out the non-relativistic limit [83] for the Dirac equation for $m > 0$. The main difference with the textbook calculation is that the topological spinor has now a geometrical interpretation. As we will see this difference will carry notable consequences for the non-relativist limit of our equation. What we will see

is that in the non-relativistic limit the wave function defined on the spatial section of the fiber bundle obeys the Schrödinger equation while the wave function defined on the nodes obeys the Klein-Gordon equation and is not negligible. In order to discuss the non-relativistic limit of our Dirac equation, let us consider the case in which \mathbf{L}_t commutes with $\mathbf{L}_x + \mathbf{L}_y + \mathbf{L}_z$ but the spatial graph Laplacians do not commute with each other, due to the non-trivial metric matrices, i.e. $[\mathbf{L}_\mu, \mathbf{L}_\nu] \neq 0$ for $\mu, \nu \in \{x, y, z\}$. We consider therefore a Dirac wave-function associated with the eigenvalues E of the operator $i\partial_t$ with $E \sim m$ and we characterize the eigenvectors of the Dirac equation. The Dirac equation (21) can be expressed as an equation for χ and ψ with $\Psi = (\chi, \psi)^\top$ as is given by

$$\sum_{\mu \in \{x, y, z\}} (\sigma_\mu \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^*) \psi + i(\sigma_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_t^*) \psi - m\chi = 0, \quad (34)$$

$$-i(\sigma_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_t) \chi - m\psi_t = 0, \quad (35)$$

$$-(\sigma_\mu \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu) \chi - m\psi_\mu = 0, \quad (36)$$

where ψ_μ indicates the vector constructed from ψ by retaining only the elements defined on links of direction μ for any possible choice of $\mu \in \{t, x, y, z\}$. Following an argument similar to the one provided in [1], i.e. substituting Eq.(36) and Eq.(35) into Eq.(34) it is easy to show that χ satisfies the Klein-Gordon equation

$$(\sigma_0 \otimes \square) \chi = m^2 \chi. \quad (37)$$

where $\square = \mathbf{L}_t - \sum_{\mu \in \{x, y, z\}} \mathbf{L}_\mu$. Therefore χ is an eigenvector of $\sigma_0 \otimes \square$. Since the d'Alambertian commutes with \mathbf{L}_t , χ can also be chosen to be an eigenvector of $\sigma_0 \otimes \mathbf{L}_t$ with eigenvalue E^2 . If we now consider Eq.(35) we obtain

$$\psi_t = -\frac{1}{m} i(\sigma_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_t) \chi \quad (38)$$

Hence, using this relation we obtain

$$i(\sigma_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_t^*) \psi = \frac{1}{m} (\sigma_0 \otimes \mathbf{L}_t) \chi = \frac{E^2}{m} \chi. \quad (39)$$

Inserting this equation in Eq.(34) we obtain

$$-\sum_{\mu \in \{x, y, z\}} (\sigma_\mu \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^*) \psi = \frac{E^2 - m^2}{m} \chi, \quad (40)$$

This is the relation between the component χ and ψ and for $E \sim m$, $\chi = O(1/(E - m))$. For $E \sim m$ we have $E^2 - m^2 \simeq 2m(E - m)$ and hence

$$\chi \simeq -\frac{1}{2(E - m)} \sum_{\mu \in \{x, y, z\}} (\sigma_\mu \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^*) \psi. \quad (41)$$

It follows that inserting this expression into the Eq.(36) we obtain that the spatial sector on the wave function on the fiber bundle $\psi_s = \psi_x + \psi_y + \psi_z$ obeys

$$(E - m)\psi_s = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{\mu, \nu \in \{x, y, z\}} (\sigma_\mu \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu)(\sigma_\nu \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\nu^*) \psi_s. \quad (42)$$

Therefore we obtain that ψ follows the Schrödinger equation

$$(E - m)\psi_s = \frac{1}{2m} \left[\sum_{\mu} (\sigma_0 \otimes \mathbf{L}_{\mu}) - \sum_{\theta} (\sigma_{\theta} \otimes \mathbf{B}_{\theta}^{(M)}) \right] \psi_s \quad (43)$$

Therefore the in the non-relativistic limit the component on the fiber bundle follows Schrödinger equation with giromagnetic constant 2 while the component on the nodes obeys the Klein-Gordon equation. The node component χ and the component on the fiber bundle ψ are related by Eq.(40). Therefore χ is not negligible with respect to ψ . We believe that these results might be related to the supersymmetric interpretation of the Dirac operator and might guide the most suitable second quantization of this theory.

5. Weyl equation for topological spinors

The Weyl equation for topological spinors is obtained when the mass is zero, i.e. $m = 0$. In this case the topological Weyl equation reads

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mu \in \{x,y,z\}} (\sigma_{\mu} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_{\mu}^*) \psi + i(\sigma_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_t^*) \psi &= 0 \\ -(\sigma_{\mu} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_{\mu}) \chi &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

$$-i(\sigma_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_t) \chi = 0. \quad (45)$$

This equation implies that ψ satisfies Eq.(44) and that χ belongs to the intersection of the kernels of $\sigma_{\mu} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_{\mu}$, i.e.

$$\chi \in \cap_{\mu \in \{t,x,y,z\}} \ker(\sigma_{\mu} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_{\mu}) \quad (46)$$

6. Global transformations

We observe that the Dirac action \mathcal{S}_D is invariant under a global phase transformation

$$\Psi \rightarrow e^{-ie\Lambda \mathbf{I}_M} \Psi, \quad (47)$$

where Λ is taken to be a arbitrary real constant and $M = 2N + 2L$. In the first order approximation for $\Lambda \ll 1$ we have $\Psi \rightarrow \Psi + \delta\Psi$ with

$$\delta\Psi = -ie\Lambda \Psi \quad (48)$$

We have that the Dirac action \mathcal{S}_D is invariant under this transformation, i.e.

$$\delta\mathcal{S}_D = -ie\bar{\Psi}(i\partial - m)\Lambda\Psi + ie\bar{\Psi}\Lambda(i\partial - m)\Psi = 0 \quad (49)$$

Now $\partial = \gamma^{\mu}(d_{\mu} + d_{\mu}^*)$ with $d_{\mu} + d_{\mu}^*$ obeying the anti-commutator relations with the γ_{μ} matrix given by Eq. (16). Therefore we have

$$\delta\mathcal{S}_D = -e\Lambda [\langle \partial \bar{\Psi}^{\dagger}, \Psi \rangle + \langle \bar{\Psi}^{\dagger}, \partial \Psi \rangle] = 0, \quad (50)$$

where the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ between two topological spinors is taken to be the standard L^2 norm. Hence we obtain the following relation

$$\langle \partial \bar{\Psi}^{\dagger}, \Psi \rangle + \langle \bar{\Psi}^{\dagger}, \partial \Psi \rangle = 0. \quad (51)$$

In the next section we will consider gauge transformations which make the Dirac action also invariant under local transformation of the spinor.

7. Gauge transformations

In the this paragraph we will construct a topological (Abelian) transformation in order to guarantee that the action \mathcal{S}_D is invariant under the local $U(1)$ symmetry

$$\Psi \rightarrow e^{-ie\Lambda}\Psi. \quad (52)$$

where Λ is an arbitrary diagonal $M \times M$ matrix with $M = 2N + 2L$.

We will also consider non-Abelian transformations acting on each local spinor $\psi_{i,\pm}$. Let us indicate with $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_i^{\alpha\beta}$ the generator of the Lorentz group $SL(2, C)$ group acting on the axis (α, β) at node i which can be interpreted as a transformation of the fiber bundle defined at node i . We will consider the set of transformations in which the local spinors obey

$$\psi_{i\pm} \rightarrow e^{-ig\hat{\mathbf{J}}_i^{\alpha\beta}\Theta_{i\alpha\beta}}\psi_{i\pm} \quad (53)$$

where for each value of i , $\Theta_{i\alpha\beta}$ are a set of 6 0-cochains associated to the node i of the network. In this paragraph we will derive the gauge transformations on the metric matrices $\mathbf{G}_{[0]}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{[1]}$ that will ensure the invariance of the action \mathcal{S}_D under these transformations.

7.1. Abelian Transformations

Let us study the action \mathcal{S}_D under the Abelian transformation

$$\Psi \rightarrow e^{-ie\Lambda}\Psi, \quad (54)$$

where we assume that Λ is an arbitrary diagonal $M \times M$ matrix with block structure

$$e^{-ie\Lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-ie\Lambda_N} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-ie\Lambda_N} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-ie\Lambda_L} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-ie\Lambda_L} \end{pmatrix} \quad (55)$$

where Λ_N and Λ_L are diagonal matrices of size $N \times N$ and $L \times L$ respectively.

By considering the action \mathcal{S}_D defined in Eq.(20) we observe that the action is clearly invariant under the Abelian transformation, provided the Dirac operator transform according to

$$\not{D} \rightarrow e^{-ie\Lambda}\not{D}e^{ie\Lambda}, \quad (56)$$

which for small Λ implies the gauge transformation

$$\not{D} \rightarrow \not{D} - ie\mathcal{A}, \quad (57)$$

with

$$\mathcal{A} = [\Lambda, \not{D}]. \quad (58)$$

This implies that the coboundary operator and the metric matrices are transformed as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu &\rightarrow e^{-ie\Lambda_L}\bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu e^{ie\Lambda_N}, \\ \mathbf{G}_{[0]} &\rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{[0]}e^{2ie\Lambda_N}, \\ \mathbf{G}_{[1]} &\rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{[1]}e^{2ie\Lambda_L}, \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

while before and after the transformation we have

$$\bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^\star = \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^\dagger. \quad (60)$$

This invariance implies that the graph Laplacian matrices can be expressed as $\mathbf{L}_\mu = \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^\star \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu$ both before and after the transformation. Moreover the trace of the product of graph Laplacians (including also graph Laplacians of different direction) is invariant under these transformations.

7.2. Non-Abelian transformations

Let us study the action \mathcal{S}_D under the non-Abelian transformation

$$\Psi \rightarrow S(\{\Theta_{\alpha\beta}\})\Psi, \quad (61)$$

where we assume that the $M \times M$ matrix $S(\{\Theta_{\alpha\beta}\})$ has block structure

$$S(\{\Theta_{\alpha\beta}\}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-ig\mathbf{J}_i^{\alpha\beta}\Theta_{\alpha\beta}^i} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-ig\mathbf{J}_i^{\alpha\beta}\Theta_{\beta\alpha}^i} \end{pmatrix} \quad (62)$$

where for a given pair of $\alpha, \beta \in \{t, x, y, z\}$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$, $\mathbf{J}_i^{\alpha\beta}$ are $L \times L$ matrices that act on ψ_\pm . These matrices $\mathbf{J}_i^{\alpha\beta}$ are obtained from the 4×4 generators $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_i^{\alpha\beta}$ of the Lorentz transformations of $\psi_{i\pm}$ by acting trivially on all the other components of ψ_\pm that are not localized on node i , and $\Theta_{\alpha\beta} = (\Theta_{\alpha\beta}^1, \Theta_{\alpha\beta}^2, \dots, \Theta_{\alpha\beta}^N)$ are determining the gauge with $\Theta_{\alpha\beta}^i \in \mathbb{R}$. We observe that the action \mathcal{S}_D is clearly invariant under the non-Abelian transformations, provided the Dirac operator transforms according to

$$\not{D} \rightarrow S(\{\Theta_{\alpha\beta}\})\not{D}S^{-1}(\{\Theta_{\alpha\beta}\}), \quad (63)$$

which for small Θ implies the gauge transformation

$$\not{D} \rightarrow \not{D} - ig\not{B}, \quad (64)$$

with

$$\not{B} = [\mathcal{J}_i^{\alpha\beta}\Theta_{\alpha\beta}^i, \not{D}], \quad (65)$$

where $\mathcal{J}_i^{\alpha\beta}$ is a $M \times M$ matrix of block structure

$$\mathcal{J}_i^{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{J}_i^{\alpha\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{J}_i^{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (66)$$

This implies that the coboundary operator and the metric matrices are transformed as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu &\rightarrow e^{-ig\mathbf{J}_i^{\alpha\beta}\Theta_{\alpha\beta}^i} \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu, \\ \mathbf{G}_{[0]} &\rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{[0]}, \\ \mathbf{G}_{[1]} &\rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{[1]} e^{2ig\mathbf{J}_i^{\alpha\beta}\Theta_{\alpha\beta}^i}, \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

while we have as before the condition that the transformation does not modify Eq.(60) and hence the graph Laplacians are always expressed as $\mathbf{L}_\mu = \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^\star \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu$. Note that the trace of product of graph Laplacians is invariant under these transformations.

7.3. Combining Abelian and Non-Abelian transformations

Let us now consider the following combined transformation

$$\Psi \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\Lambda, \{\Theta_{\alpha\beta}\})\Psi, \quad (68)$$

where using the same notation as in the previous two paragraphs, we assume that the $M \times M$ matrix $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda, \{\Theta_{\alpha\beta}\})$ has block structure

$$\mathcal{C}(\Lambda, \{\Theta_{\alpha\beta}\}) = \begin{pmatrix} ce^{-ie\Lambda_N} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-ie\Lambda_N} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-i(e\Lambda_L + gJ_i^{\alpha\beta}\Theta_{\alpha\beta}^i)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-i(e\Lambda_L + gJ_i^{\alpha\beta}\Theta_{\beta\alpha}^i)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (69)$$

We observe that the action \mathcal{S}_D is invariant under the transformation given by Eq.(69), provided the Dirac operator transform according to

$$\not{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\Lambda, \{\Theta_{\alpha\beta}\}) \not{D} \mathcal{C}^{-1}(\Lambda, \{\Theta_{\alpha\beta}\}), \quad (70)$$

which for infinitesimal transformations implies the gauge transformation

$$\not{D} \rightarrow \not{D} - ie\mathcal{A} - ig\mathcal{B}, \quad (71)$$

with \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} defined above.

The invariance of the action \mathcal{S}_D under the gauge Eq.(70) implies that the coboundary operator and the metric matrices are transformed as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu &\rightarrow e^{-ie\Lambda_L - igJ_i^{\alpha\beta}\Theta_{\alpha\beta}^i} \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu e^{ie\Lambda_N}, \\ \mathbf{G}_{[0]} &\rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{[0]} e^{2ie\Lambda_N}, \\ \mathbf{G}_{[1]} &\rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{[1]} e^{i2(e\Lambda_L + gJ_i^{\alpha\beta}\Theta_{\alpha\beta}^i)}. \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

We observe however that in general $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda, \{\Theta_{\alpha\beta}\}) \neq \mathcal{K}(\Lambda, \{\Theta_{\alpha\beta}\}) = e^{i\Lambda}\mathcal{S}(\{\Theta_{\alpha\beta}\})$. Therefore ensuring invariance of the action under the transformation

$$\Psi \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\Lambda, \{\Theta_{\alpha\beta}\})\Psi \quad (73)$$

is not equivalent to ensuring invariance under the transformations

$$\Psi \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\Lambda, \{\Theta_{\alpha\beta}\})\Psi = e^{i\Lambda}\mathcal{S}(\{\Theta_{\alpha\beta}\})\Psi \quad (74)$$

since Λ and $\mathcal{J}_i^{\alpha\beta}$ do not commute in general.

8. Schwinger-Dyson equations

In this section we aim at deriving the Schwinger-Dyson equations [84] for our gauge theory. Hence we consider first the case of Abelian and then the case of non-Abelian transformations.

8.1. Abelian transformations

Let us consider the local Abelian transformation

$$\Psi \rightarrow e^{-ie\Lambda}\Psi. \quad (75)$$

In the first order approximations these transformations read

$$\Psi \rightarrow \Psi - ie\Lambda\Psi. \quad (76)$$

Under these transformations the Dirac action \mathcal{S}_D will change according to

$$\mathcal{S}_D \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_D + \delta\mathcal{S}_D \quad (77)$$

where $\delta\mathcal{S}_D$ is given by

$$\delta\mathcal{S}_D = -e \left[\langle \partial\bar{\Psi}^\dagger, \Lambda\Psi \rangle + \langle \Lambda\bar{\Psi}^\dagger, \partial\Psi \rangle \right]. \quad (78)$$

Now, indicating with s the generic simplex of the network (being either a node of a link), and taking into consideration that the matrix Λ is diagonal with diagonal elements $[\Lambda]_{ss} = \Lambda_s$, we obtain

$$\Lambda\Psi = \sum_s \Lambda_s \Psi_s, \quad (79)$$

where Ψ_s is the spinor obtained by Ψ in which only the element s is retained while all the other elements are zero. Using this expression for $\Lambda\Psi$ we can decompose $\delta\mathcal{S}_D$ as

$$\delta\mathcal{S}_D = -e \sum_s \Lambda_s \left[\langle \partial\bar{\Psi}^\dagger, \Psi_s \rangle + \langle \bar{\Psi}_s^\dagger, \partial\Psi \rangle \right]. \quad (80)$$

Indicating with r and r' two generic simplices of the network, and with $\Psi_r, \bar{\Psi}_r$ the r element of the spinors Ψ and $\bar{\Psi}$ respectively, we observe that the functional integral

$$\int \mathcal{D}\bar{\Psi} \mathcal{D}\Psi \mathcal{D}\mathbf{A}^{(1)} \mathcal{D}\mathbf{A}^{(0)} e^{i\mathcal{S}_D} \Psi_r \bar{\Psi}_{r'}^\top \quad (81)$$

is invariant under the transformation given by Eq.(76). Therefore we have

$$0 = \int \mathcal{D}\bar{\Psi} \mathcal{D}\Psi \mathcal{D}\mathbf{A}^{(1)} \mathcal{D}\mathbf{A}^{(0)} e^{i\mathcal{S}_D} \left\{ i\delta\mathcal{S}_D \Psi_r \bar{\Psi}_{r'} - ie\Lambda_r \Psi_r \bar{\Psi}_{r'} + ie\Psi_r \Lambda_{r'} \bar{\Psi}_{r'} \right\} \quad (82)$$

Since Λ is arbitrary, we have obtained the Schwinger-Dyson type equations

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \mathcal{D}\bar{\Psi} \mathcal{D}\Psi \mathcal{D}\mathbf{A}^{(1)} \mathcal{D}\mathbf{A}^{(0)} e^{i\mathcal{S}_D} \left[\langle \partial\bar{\Psi}^\dagger, \Psi_s \rangle + \langle \bar{\Psi}_s^\dagger, \partial\Psi \rangle \right] \bar{\Psi}_{r'} \Psi_r \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}\bar{\Psi} \mathcal{D}\Psi \mathcal{D}\mathbf{A}^{(1)} \mathcal{D}\mathbf{A}^{(0)} e^{i\mathcal{S}_D} [-\delta_{s,r} \bar{\Psi}_{r'} \Psi_r + \delta_{s,r'} \bar{\Psi}_{r'} \Psi_r]. \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

8.2. Non-Abelian transformations

Let us consider the local non-Abelian transformation

$$\Psi \rightarrow e^{-ig\mathcal{J}_i^{\alpha\beta}\Theta_{\alpha\beta}^i}\Psi. \quad (84)$$

In the first order approximations these transformations read

$$\Psi \rightarrow \Psi + \delta\Psi, \quad (85)$$

with

$$\delta\Psi = -ig\mathcal{J}_i^{\alpha\beta}\Theta_{\alpha\beta}^i\Psi. \quad (86)$$

Under these transformations the Dirac action \mathcal{S}_D transform according to

$$\mathcal{S}_D \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_D + \delta\mathcal{S}_D \quad (87)$$

where $\delta\mathcal{S}_D$ is given by

$$\delta\mathcal{S}_D = -g\Theta_{\alpha\beta}^i \left[\left\langle \not{\partial}\bar{\Psi}^\dagger, \mathcal{J}_i^{\alpha\beta}\Psi \right\rangle + \left\langle \mathcal{J}_i^{\alpha\beta}\bar{\Psi}^\dagger, \not{\partial}\Psi \right\rangle \right]. \quad (88)$$

Indicating with $r = [j, k]$ and $r' = [j', k']$ two generic links of the network, and by $\bar{\Psi}_{r'}$ and Ψ_r the corresponding elements of the spinors $\bar{\Psi}$ and Ψ we observe that the functional integral

$$\int \mathcal{D}\bar{\Psi}\mathcal{D}\Psi\mathcal{D}\mathbf{A}^{(1)}\mathcal{D}\mathbf{A}^{(0)} e^{i\mathcal{S}_D} \bar{\Psi}_{r'}\Psi_r \quad (89)$$

is invariant under the transformation given by Eq.(86), therefore we have

$$0 = \int \mathcal{D}\bar{\Psi}\mathcal{D}\Psi\mathcal{D}\mathbf{A}^{(1)}\mathcal{D}\mathbf{A}^{(0)} e^{i\mathcal{S}_D} \left\{ i\delta\mathcal{S}_D \bar{\Psi}_{r'}\Psi_r + \delta\bar{\Psi}_{r'}\Psi_r + \bar{\Psi}_{r'}\delta\Psi_r \right\}. \quad (90)$$

Since Θ is arbitrary we obtain the Swinger-Dyson type equations

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \mathcal{D}\bar{\Psi}\mathcal{D}\Psi\mathcal{D}\mathbf{A}^{(1)}\mathcal{D}\mathbf{A}^{(0)} e^{i\mathcal{S}_D} \left[\left\langle \not{\partial}\bar{\Psi}^\dagger, \mathcal{J}_i^{\alpha\beta}\Psi \right\rangle + \left\langle \mathcal{J}_i^{\alpha\beta}\bar{\Psi}^\dagger, \not{\partial}\Psi \right\rangle \right] \bar{\Psi}_{r'}\Psi_r = \\ & = \int \mathcal{D}\bar{\Psi}\mathcal{D}\Psi\mathcal{D}\mathbf{A}^{(1)}\mathcal{D}\mathbf{A}^{(0)} e^{i\mathcal{S}_D} [\delta_{ij'} [\bar{\Psi}\mathcal{J}_{j'}^{\alpha\beta}]_{r'=[j',k]} \Psi_r - \delta_{ij} \bar{\Psi}_{r'} [\mathcal{J}_j^{\alpha\beta}\Psi]_{r=[j,k]}]. \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

9. The action for the metric degree of freedom

9.1. General framework

We can interpret the Dirac operators ∂_μ as a topological version of a partial derivative in the direction μ coupled with a local rotation of the spinor. However there is a major difference between the Dirac operator and a partial derivative: mainly the difference is that the Dirac operators associated to a different directions do not commute or anticommute (see discussion in Sec. 3 and in Ref. [1]). Here we define the curvature tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$ and the tensor $G_{\mu\nu}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial_\mu, \partial_\nu] &= iF_{\mu\nu}, \\ \{\partial_\mu, \partial_\nu\} &= iG_{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

These tensors are dependent crucially on the metric matrices $\mathbf{G}_{[1]} = \exp(-\mathbf{A}^{(1)})$ and $\mathbf{G}_{[0]} = \exp(-\mathbf{A}^{(0)})$, i.e. it is determined by the metric fields $\mathbf{A}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{A}^{(0)}$ where for the moment we consider these two as independent fields (but actually the geometry might impose that they are related). The Bianchi identities are automatically satisfied for the curvature tensor,

$$\partial_\rho F_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu F_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu F_{\rho\mu} = 0. \quad (93)$$

Let us recall the matrix form of the commutators $[\partial_\mu, \partial_\nu]$ given by Eq.(31) and Eq.(32). From this expression it follows that the curvature tensor $F_{\mu\nu} = -i[\partial_\mu, \partial_\nu]$ is a $(2N+2L) \times (2N+2L)$ matrix that is non-zero only on the link-link sector. Therefore $F_{\mu\nu}$ is not only endowed with the two indices μ and ν but it has elements determined by other two additional indices whose only non trivial choices are the “link indices” $\rho = [\ell_\mu \pm]$ and $\sigma = [\ell_\nu \pm]$, where ℓ_μ and ℓ_ν indicate the links in direction μ and ν respectively. We can then construct an action by “contracting” the indices μ, ν with the indices ρ, σ , i.e. we can consider the *Ricci scalar* R given by

$$R = \sum_{\mu, \nu} \sum_{\ell_\mu, \ell_\nu} \sum_{n_\mu, n_\nu \in \pm} F_{\mu\nu}(\ell_\mu, n_\mu; \ell_\nu, n_\nu) \quad (94)$$

where we have indicated with $F_{\mu\nu}(\ell_\mu, n_\mu; \ell_\nu, n_\nu)$ the elements of the matrix $F_{\mu\nu}$ calculated on the “links elements” $\rho = [\ell_\mu, n_\mu]$ and elements $\sigma = [\ell_\nu, n_\nu]$. Therefore this Ricci scalar leads to the action \mathcal{S}_R given by

$$\mathcal{S}_R = R. \quad (95)$$

However this action is not invariant under the gauge transformations considered in the previous section. In order to restore invariance under the mentioned gauge transformations one option is to couple $F_{\mu\nu}$ with the matter fields obtaining

$$\mathcal{S}'_C = -\Gamma_C \sum_{\mu\nu} \bar{\Psi} F_{\mu\nu} \Psi, \quad (96)$$

where Γ_R is a constant. A similar argument can be made for the tensor $G_{\mu\nu}$, which might suggest considering the first term which is allowed by the considered transformations, i.e.

$$\mathcal{S}'_A = \Gamma_A \sum_{\mu\nu} \bar{\Psi} G_{\mu\nu} \Psi. \quad (97)$$

These considerations lead to the action $\mathcal{S}'_R = \mathcal{S}'_C + \mathcal{S}'_A$ which couple metric degrees of freedom with matter fields.

Alternatively we can contract the indices of the curvature tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$ by considering the product $F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$ and performing the trace. We can thus consider the action \mathcal{S}_G associated the metric field given by

$$\mathcal{S}_G = -\Gamma_G \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} (F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}) = -\Gamma_G \text{Tr} \left(\sum_{\mu \neq \nu} (-1)^{\delta_{\mu,t} + \delta_{\nu,t}} \mathbf{L}_\mu \mathbf{L}_\nu \right), \quad (98)$$

where Γ_G is a constant. We observe that all the transformations considered in the previous section leave invariant the action \mathcal{S}_G . Additionally is also possible to consider the action \mathcal{S}'_G including higher-order terms in the curvature

$$\mathcal{S}'_G = \frac{\Gamma'_G}{2} \text{Tr} \sum_{\mu, \nu} (F_{\mu\nu})^4 = \Gamma'_G \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} \text{Tr} (\mathbf{L}_\mu \mathbf{L}_\nu \mathbf{L}_\mu \mathbf{L}_\nu), \quad (99)$$

where Γ'_G is a constant. The action \mathcal{S}'_G is also invariant under all the gauge transformations considered in the previous sections and describes the contribution to the action given by the metrics fields around each of the squares of the lattice. Possibly one

could extend this construction to actions including even larger powers of the curvature F . However on a lattice the action \mathcal{S}'_G constitute the action involving the minimum power of F while allowing to take into consideration contributions along cyclic self-avoiding walks. Therefore we consider the action $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_D + \mathcal{S}'_R + \mathcal{S}_G + \mathcal{S}'_G$ given by

$$\mathcal{S} = \bar{\Psi}(i\partial - m)\Psi + \sum_{\mu,\nu} \bar{\Psi}[-\Gamma_C F_{\mu\nu} + \Gamma_A G_{\mu\nu}]\Psi - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} \text{Tr} [\Gamma_G (F_{\mu\nu})^2 - \Gamma'_G (F_{\mu\nu})^4] \quad (100)$$

The equations of motion are obtained by deriving \mathcal{S} with the respect $\mathbf{A}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{A}^{(0)}$, i.e. expressing with A_γ the generic element of either $\mathbf{A}^{(1)}$ or $\mathbf{A}^{(0)}$. Under the assumption that $\mathbf{A}^{(1)}$ or $\mathbf{A}^{(0)}$ are unconstrained, the equation of motion read,

$$\frac{\partial(\mathcal{S}_D + \mathcal{S}'_R)}{\partial A_\gamma} + \frac{\partial(\mathcal{S}_G + \mathcal{S}'_G)}{\partial A_\gamma} = 0. \quad (101)$$

Here the first term depends on both the geometric degree of freedom and the Dirac fields while the second term depends exclusively on the metric degree of freedom (the A_γ). These equations, together with Eq.(21) and the gauge transformations define the dynamics of this Dirac gauge theory of the network. Note that Eqs.(101) might be modified to take into account geometric constraints between the metric fields $\mathbf{A}^{(1)}$ or $\mathbf{A}^{(0)}$.

9.2. Simple example

The study of the consequences of the equation of motion given by Eq. (101) is beyond the scope of this paper, but in this section their explicit expression is derived in the simple setting in which the metric matrices are diagonal. To this end we assume that the metric matrix $\mathbf{G}_{[1]}^{-1}$ is diagonal and real valued with the diagonal element associated to link $[i, j]$ independent on the orientation of the link, i.e. the matrix element $[i, j], [i, j]$ is the same as the matrix element $[j, i], [j, i]$. If the link $[i, j]$ is in the direction μ indicate the matrix elements as

$$\mathbf{G}_{[1]}^{-1}([i, j], [i, j]) = \mathbf{G}_{[1]}^{-1}([j, i], [j, i]) = w_{[i,j]}^\mu = e^{A_{[i,j]}^\mu}. \quad (102)$$

where here for improving the clarity of the notation with $A_{[i,j]}^\mu$ we indicate the diagonal element $A^{(1)}([i, j][i, j])$ where $[i, j]$ is a link in the direction μ , i.e. we omit the label (1) and explicitly indicate the direction μ instead. Moreover we assume that $\mathbf{G}_{[0]}$ is diagonal with diagonal element associated to node i given by the real number

$$\mathbf{G}_{[0]}([i][i]) = \frac{1}{w_i^{(0)}} = e^{-A_i^{(0)}}. \quad (103)$$

For simplicity we assume that $\mathbf{G}_{[0]}$ is not constrained by $\mathbf{G}_{[1]}$ but it is expected that the geometry will constraint the choices of the possible matrices $\mathbf{G}_{[0]}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{[1]}$ inducing other constraints in the equations of motion (Eq. 101). In this setting $\sigma_\mu \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu$ acts on the elements of χ as

$$[(\sigma_\mu \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu)\chi]_{[i,j]} = \sqrt{w_{[i,j]}^\mu} \left(\frac{\sigma_\mu \chi_j}{\sqrt{w_j^{(0)}}} - \frac{\sigma_\mu \chi_i}{\sqrt{w_i^{(0)}}} \right). \quad (104)$$

while its adjoint acts on the elements of ψ as

$$[(\sigma_\mu \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^*)\psi]_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{w_i^0}} \sum_{j \in N_\mu(i)} \sqrt{w_{[i,j]}^\mu} (\sigma_\mu \psi_{[j,i]} - \sigma_\mu \psi_{[i,j]}), \quad (105)$$

where $N_\mu(i)$ indicates the set of nodes j connected to i via a μ -type link. The graph Laplacian \mathbf{L}_μ has diagonal elements given by

$$[\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{ii} = 2 \frac{w_{[i,j']}^\mu + w_{[j'',i]}^\mu}{w_i^{(0)}} \quad (106)$$

where if $\mu = x$ the node j' has x -coordinate $x_j = x_i + 1$ and all the other coordinates equal to the ones of node i . Similarly, node j'' has x -coordinate $x_j = x_i - 1$ and all the other coordinates equal to the ones of node i . Here we take periodic boundary conditions on the lattice. The generalization of the above expression for general direction μ is straightforward. The non-diagonal elements of \mathbf{L}_μ are given instead by

$$[\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{ij} = -2 \frac{w_{[i,j]}^\mu}{\sqrt{w_i^{(0)} w_j^{(0)}}}. \quad (107)$$

as long as node j is connected to node i by links of type μ .

In this setting we have that $\mathcal{S}_R = R = 0$ due to the symmetric choice of $\mathbf{G}_{[1]}^{-1}([i, j], [i, j]) = \mathbf{G}_{[1]}^{-1}([j, i], [j, i])$ however \mathcal{S}_G and \mathcal{S}'_G will be non-zero and determined by the graph Laplacians \mathbf{L}_μ . We are interested now in deriving the explicit expression for the terms present in the equation of motion (Eq.(101)). In the absence of matter fields, i.e. when $\Psi = \mathbf{0}$, the equation of motion only affects the metric degree of freedom and in this limiting case Eq. (101) reduce to

$$\frac{\partial(\mathcal{S}_G + \mathcal{S}'_G)}{\partial A_\gamma} = 0. \quad (108)$$

Let us then calculate in this scenario the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_G}{\partial A_\ell^t}$ and $\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_G}{\partial A_i^{(0)}}$. Let us consider the explicit expression of \mathcal{S}_G (98)) in terms of the elements of the graph Laplacians, i.e.

$$\mathcal{S}_G = -\Gamma_G \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} (-1)^{\delta_{\mu,t} + \delta_{\nu,t}} \text{Tr}(\mathbf{L}_\mu \mathbf{L}_\nu) = -\Gamma_G \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} (-1)^{\delta_{\mu,t} + \delta_{\nu,t}} \sum_{i=1}^N [\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{ii} [\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{ii}. \quad (109)$$

Using the explicit expression for the matrix elements $[\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{ii}$ given by Eq.(106) and considering the link $\ell = [i, j]$ in the direction μ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{4\Gamma_G} \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_G}{\partial A_\ell^t} = -[\square - \mathbf{L}_t]_{ii} e^{A_\ell^t - A_i^{(0)}} - [\square - \mathbf{L}_t]_{jj} e^{A_\ell^t - A_j^{(0)}}, \quad (110)$$

and for $\mu \in \{x, y, z\}$,

$$\frac{1}{4\Gamma_G} \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_G}{\partial A_\ell^\mu} = [\square + \mathbf{L}_\mu]_{ii} e^{A_\ell^\mu - A_i^{(0)}} + [\square + \mathbf{L}_\mu]_{jj} e^{A_\ell^\mu - A_j^{(0)}}. \quad (111)$$

Moreover the partial derivative of \mathcal{S}_G with respect to $A_i^{(0)}$ is instead given by

$$\frac{1}{2\Gamma_G} \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_G}{\partial A_i^{(0)}} = \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} (-1)^{\delta_{\mu,t} + \delta_{\nu,t}} [\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{ii} [\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{ii}. \quad (112)$$

If $\Gamma \neq 0$ we need to consider the also the action \mathcal{S}'_G given by Eq.(99) which involves terms of the type $\text{Tr}(\mathbf{L}_\mu \mathbf{L}_\nu \mathbf{L}_\mu \mathbf{L}_\nu)$. The explicit expression of this trace in terms of the elements of the graph Laplacian matrices is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\mathbf{L}_\mu \mathbf{L}_\nu \mathbf{L}_\mu \mathbf{L}_\nu) = & \sum_{i,j,i'j' \in SQ_i^{\mu\nu}} [\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{ij} [\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{ji'} [\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{i'j'} [\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{j'i} + \sum_{i,j|i \neq j} [\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{ij} [\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{jj} [\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{ji} [\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{ii} \\ & + \sum_{i,j|i \neq j} [\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{ii} [\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{ij} [\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{jj} [\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{ji} + \sum_i ([\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{ii} [\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{ii})^2, \end{aligned} \quad (113)$$

where the first contribution is a contribution around the squares $SQ_i^{\mu\nu}$ passing through node i and with links in direction μ and ν with $\mu \neq \nu$. From this equation and from the explicit expression of the matrix element of the graph Laplacian in terms of A_ℓ^μ and $A_i^{(0)}$ (Eq.(106 and Eq. (107)) we can calculate the expression for the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}'_G}{\partial A_\ell^\mu}$ and $\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}'_G}{\partial A_i^{(0)}}$. Considering the link $\ell = [i, j]$ in direction μ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Gamma'_G} \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}'_G}{\partial A_\ell^\mu} = & \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \left\{ 2 \sum_{i'j' \in SQ_{ij}^{\mu\nu}} [\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{ij} [\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{ji'} [\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{i'j'} [\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{j'i} + 2 [\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{ij} [\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{jj} [\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{ji} [\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{ii} \right. \\ & + 4 \sum_{j' \neq i} e^{A_{ij}^\mu - A_i^{(0)}} [\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{ij'} [\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{j'j'} [\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{j'i} + 4 \sum_{j' \neq j} e^{A_{ij}^\mu - A_j^{(0)}} [\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{jj'} [\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{j'j'} [\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{j'j} \\ & \left. + 4 [\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{ii} ([\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{ii})^2 e^{A_{[ij]}^\mu - A_i^{(0)}} + 4 [\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{jj} ([\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{jj})^2 e^{A_{[ij]}^\mu - A_j^{(0)}} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (114)$$

Furthermore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Gamma'_G} \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}'_G}{\partial A_i^{(0)}} = & - \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \left\{ 2 \sum_{j,i',j' \in SQ_i^{\mu\nu}} ([\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{ij} [\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{ji'} [\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{i'j'} [\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{j'i} + [\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{ij} [\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{ji'} [\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{i'j'} [\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{j'i}) \right. \\ & \left. 4 \sum_{j \neq i} [\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{ij} [\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{jj} [\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{ji} [\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{ii} + 4 \sum_{j \neq i} [\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{ii} [\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{ij} [\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{jj} [\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{ji} + 4 ([\mathbf{L}_\mu]_{ii} [\mathbf{L}_\nu]_{ii})^2 \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (115)$$

where $SQ_{ij}^{\mu\nu}$ indicates any of the two plaquettes of direction $\mu\nu$ having link $[i, j]$ as one of their links.

If the matter field Ψ is non-zero we will need to consider the equation of motion given by Eq. (101) which includes also the the partial derivatives of the Dirac action $\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_D}{\partial A_\ell^\mu}$ and $\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_D}{\partial A_i^{(0)}}$, and the partial derivatives of \mathcal{S}'_R , $\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}'_R}{\partial A_\ell^\mu}$ and $\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}'_R}{\partial A_i^{(0)}}$. Let us notice that the Dirac action \mathcal{S}_D can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_D = & \chi^\dagger \sum_{\mu \in \{x,y,z\}} \sigma_\mu \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^* \psi + \psi^\dagger \sum_{\mu \in \{x,y,z\}} \sigma_\mu \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu \chi + i \chi^\dagger \sigma_t \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_t^* \psi + i \psi^\dagger \sigma_t \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_t \chi \\ & - m(\chi^\dagger \chi - \psi^\dagger \psi). \end{aligned} \quad (116)$$

Therefore

$$2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_D}{\partial A_\ell^\mu} = [\chi^\dagger \sigma_\mu \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^* \psi_\ell + \psi_\ell^\dagger \sigma_\mu \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu \chi] [1 - (1 + i)\delta_{\mu,t}], \quad (117)$$

where ψ_ℓ is the $2L$ dimensional vector obtained by ψ only keeping the elements $\psi_{[i,j]\pm}$ and $\psi_{[j,i]\pm}$. Finally, the derivative of \mathcal{S}_D with respect to $A_i^{(0)}$ is given by

$$-2\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_D}{\partial A_i^{(0)}} = \chi_i^\dagger \left[\sum_{\mu \in \{x,y,z\}} \sigma_\mu \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^* + i\sigma_t \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_t^* \right] \psi + \psi^\dagger \left[\sum_{\mu \in \{x,y,z\}} \sigma_\mu \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu + i\sigma_t \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_t \right] \chi_i,$$

where χ_i is the $2N$ dimensional vector obtained from χ by considering only the elements corresponding to node i .

The action \mathcal{S}'_R can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{S}'_R = \bar{\Psi} \sum_{\mu,\nu} [-\Gamma_C F_{\mu\nu} + \Gamma_A G_{\mu\nu}] \Psi = \psi^\dagger \sum_{\mu,\nu} g_{\mu\nu} \psi. \quad (118)$$

where $g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\nu\mu}$ is given by

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma_C \epsilon_{\mu\nu\theta} [\sigma^\theta \otimes (\bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\nu^* + \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\nu \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^*)] \quad (119)$$

for $\mu, \nu \in \{x, y, z\}$ and $g_{t\mu}$ is given by

$$g_{t\mu} = \Gamma_A \sigma_\mu \otimes (\bar{\mathbf{B}}_t \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^* + \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu \bar{\mathbf{B}}_t^*). \quad (120)$$

The partial derivative of \mathcal{S}'_R with respect to the link metric A_ℓ^μ is given by

$$2\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}'_R}{\partial A_\ell^\mu} = \psi_\ell^\dagger \sum_{\mu,\nu} g_{\mu\nu} \psi + \psi^\dagger \sum_{\mu,\nu} g_{\mu\nu} \psi_\ell, \quad (121)$$

for $\mu \in \{t, x, y, z\}$. The partial derivative of \mathcal{S}'_R with respect to the link metric $\partial A_i^{(0)}$ is given by

$$-\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}'_R}{\partial A_i^{(0)}} = \psi^\dagger \sum_{\mu,\nu} g_{\mu\nu i} \psi, \quad (122)$$

where $g_{\mu\nu i}$ is given by

$$g_{\mu\nu i} = \Gamma_C \epsilon_{\mu\nu\theta} [\sigma^\theta \otimes (\bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_i^\top \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\nu^* + \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\nu \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_i^\top \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^*)] \quad (123)$$

for $\mu, \nu \in \{x, y, z\}$ and $g_{t\mu}$ is given by

$$g_{t\mu} = \Gamma_A \sigma_\mu \otimes (\bar{\mathbf{B}}_t \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_i^\top \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^* + \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_i^\top \bar{\mathbf{B}}_t^*), \quad (124)$$

with \mathbf{e}_i indicating the topological spinor whose only non-zero elements are the ones corresponding to node i .

We conclude this section noting that in the different limiting case in which \mathbf{G}_0 and \mathbf{G}_1 are diagonal but $\mathbf{G}_{[1]}^{-1}([i, j], [i, j]) = -\mathbf{G}_{[1]}^{-1}([j, i], [j, i])$ then we would have in general $\mathcal{S}_R = R \neq 0$ while $\mathcal{S}_G = \mathcal{S}'_G = 0$.

10. Conclusions

In conclusion in this work we are proposing a gauge theory where the matter fields are defined on both the nodes and the links of the network, similar to the classical situation in which the state of a particle is specified by both position and velocity. The matter field can be treated by an action making use of the discrete Dirac operator.

This operator, defined in Ref. [1] for $3 + 1$ dimensional lattices is here extended to treat directed and weighted links. In particular we consider the case in which the network is associated with metric matrices $\mathbf{G}_{[0]}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{[1]}$ as it is usual in algebraic topology. However while in applied topology these matrices are typically considered diagonal, here we assume that in general they are not diagonal and that their matrix elements constitute the geometrical degree of freedom associated to the network. Our work shows that these metric matrices are determining the electromagnetic field associated to this theory. Indeed the non-relativistic limit of the Dirac equation confirms our interpretation of the anticommutator of the spatial directional Dirac operators as the magnetic field. Moreover the non-relativistic limit of the Dirac equation allows us to draw the following important additional conclusions: in this limit, the wave function of the electron defined on the links (vector bundle) follows the Schrödinger equation of the electron, with the correct gyromagnetic moment; the wave function defined on the nodes, instead follows the Klein-Gordon equation and it is not negligible. These findings might lead to observable differences between the non-relativistic limit of the traditional Dirac equation and possibly might be related to super-symmetric models. We then consider the gauge transformation acting on the Dirac spinor and the metric matrices. We then consider the specific case in which the Dirac topological fields interacts with the underlying degrees of freedom of the network geometry. The action associated to the metric field can be constructed contracting the curvature tensor of our theory, which is defined as the non-vanishing commutator of the directional Dirac operators associated to different directions. The resulting gauge transformations of metric and matter fields are discussed and the equations of motion are derived in the specific example in which the metric fields are real and diagonal.

This work can be extended in different directions. First of all our approach has focused only on networks, i.e. the topological spinor considered in this work is only defined on nodes and links. However it would be interesting to explore in the future whether this framework can be extended also to topological spinors defined on the higher-order cells of the lattice such as the squares, and the cubes and if this extension will bring new physical results.

Secondly, the approach could be possibly generalized to treat invariance under diffeomorphisms.

Thirdly, we have restricted our analysis to an underlying network topology formed by a $3 + 1$ dimensional lattice but this framework can be applied easily also to lattices of dimension $1 + 1$ and $2 + 1$. The choice of a $3 + 1$ square lattice can be considered as a first approximation of a general network topology valid for almost flat spaces. The underlying simple lattice topology allows us to adopt the Dirac operator enriched by the Lie algebra of Pauli matrices for distinguishing between exterior derivatives in different directions as proposed in Ref. [1]. However on more general topologies other Lie algebras should be adopted.

Finally the approach here developed for the Dirac equation can be potentially extended to treat Majorana fermions [85, 86].

We hope that this work will stimulate further discussion on these open questions and more in general in the emergent field of gauge theories on networks which is both relevant for different approaches to quantum gravity and for realization of artificial gauge theories in condensed matter with applications in quantum computing.

After the submission of this work, the proposed operator has been adopted by Shahn Majid for formulating a non-commutative spectral triple in Ref. [87] providing further results that nicely comment our discussion.

Appendix A. Choice of the Hodge-Dirac operator $d_\mu + d_\mu^\star$

In this work we have defined the Hodge-Dirac operator $d_\mu + d_\mu^\star$ as the self-adjoint operator

$$d_\mu + d_\mu^\star = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^\star \\ \sigma_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^\star = \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^\dagger$ is adjoint to $\bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu$ according to the L^2 norm between 0-cochains and 1-cochains defined in Eq.(6). In this Appendix we point out that it is very straightforward to check that the considered Hodge-Dirac operator $d_\mu + d_\mu^\star$ is isospectral to the asymmetric Hodge-Dirac operator (see [81] for details) defined as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_0 \otimes [\bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^{(U)}]^\star \\ \sigma_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^{(U)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where

$$[\bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^{(U)}]^\star = \mathbf{G}_{[0]}[\bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^{(U)}]^\dagger \mathbf{G}_{[1]}^{-1} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

is the adjoint operator of the unweighted coboundary operator $\bar{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^{(U)}$ with respect to the (weighted norms) between 0-cochains $(\hat{\chi}, \chi)$ and 1-cochains $(\hat{\psi}, \psi)$ defined as

$$(\hat{\chi}, \chi) = \hat{\chi}^\dagger \mathbf{G}_{[0]}^{-1} \chi, \quad (\hat{\psi}, \psi) = \hat{\psi}^\dagger \mathbf{G}_{[1]}^{-1} \psi. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Acknowledgments

G. Bianconi acknowledges interesting discussions with Marcus Reitz, and Shahn Majid and 2021 conversations with Juergen Jost on a previous version of this manuscript.

References

- [1] Ginestra Bianconi. The topological Dirac equation of networks and simplicial complexes. *Journal of Physics: Complexity*, 2(3):035022, 2021.
- [2] Heinz J Rothe. *Lattice gauge theories: an introduction*. World Scientific Publishing Company, 2012.
- [3] Marcello Dalmonte and Simone Montangero. Lattice gauge theory simulations in the quantum information era. *Contemporary Physics*, 57(3):388–412, 2016.
- [4] Mari Carmen Banuls, Rainer Blatt, Jacopo Catani, Alessio Celi, Juan Ignacio Cirac, Marcello Dalmonte, Leonardo Fallani, Karl Jansen, Maciej Lewenstein, Simone Montangero, et al. Simulating lattice gauge theories within quantum technologies. *The European Physical Journal D*, 74(8):1–42, 2020.

- [5] Monika Aidelsburger, Sylvain Nascimbene, and Nathan Goldman. Artificial gauge fields in materials and engineered systems. *Comptes Rendus Physique*, 19(6):394–432, 2018.
- [6] Federica M Surace, Paolo P Mazza, Giuliano Giudici, Alessio Lerose, Andrea Gambassi, and Marcello Dalmonte. Lattice gauge theories and string dynamics in Rydberg atom quantum simulators. *Physical Review X*, 10(2):021041, 2020.
- [7] L Tagliacozzo, A Celi, P Orland, MW Mitchell, and M Lewenstein. Simulation of non-abelian gauge theories with optical lattices. *Nature Communications*, 4(1):1–8, 2013.
- [8] A Yu Kitaev. Fault-tolerant quantum computation by anyons. *Annals of Physics*, 303(1):2–30, 2003.
- [9] Ginestra Bianconi. *Higher-order networks: An introduction to simplicial complexes*. Cambridge University Press, 2021.
- [10] Ana P Millán, Joaquín J Torres, and Ginestra Bianconi. Explosive higher-order Kuramoto dynamics on simplicial complexes. *Physical Review Letters*, 124(21):218301, 2020.
- [11] Federico Battiston, Enrico Amico, Alain Barrat, Ginestra Bianconi, Guilherme Ferraz de Arruda, Benedetta Franceschiello, Iacopo Iacopini, Sonia Kéfi, Vito Latora, Yamir Moreno, et al. The physics of higher-order interactions in complex systems. *Nature Physics*, 17(10):1093–1098, 2021.
- [12] Timoteo Carletti, Lorenzo Giambagli, and Ginestra Bianconi. Global topological synchronization on simplicial and cell complexes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.14783*, 2022.
- [13] Olaf Post. First order approach and index theorems for discrete and metric graphs. In *Annales Henri Poincaré*, volume 10, pages 823–866. Springer, 2009.
- [14] EB Davies. Analysis on graphs and noncommutative geometry. *Journal of functional analysis*, 111(2):398–430, 1993.
- [15] Manfred Requardt. Dirac operators and the calculation of the Connes metric on arbitrary (infinite) graphs. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 35(3):759, 2002.
- [16] Michael Hinz and Alexander Teplyaev. Dirac and magnetic Schrödinger operators on fractals. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 265(11):2830–2854, 2013.
- [17] Seth Lloyd, Silvano Garnerone, and Paolo Zanardi. Quantum algorithms for topological and geometric analysis of data. *Nature Communications*, 7(1):1–7, 2016.
- [18] Lucille Calmon, Juan G Restrepo, Joaquín J Torres, and Ginestra Bianconi. Dirac synchronization is rhythmic and explosive. *Communications Physics*, 5:253, 2022.
- [19] Lorenzo Giambagli, Lucille Calmon, Riccardo Muolo, Timoteo Carletti, and Ginestra Bianconi. Diffusion-driven instability of topological signals coupled by the Dirac operator. *Physical Review E*, page (in press), 2022.
- [20] Lucille Calmon and Ginestra Bianconi. Local Dirac synchronization on networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.16124*, 2022.
- [21] F Fillion-Gourdeau, HJ Herrmann, M Mendoza, S Palpacelli, and S Succi. Formal analogy between the dirac equation in its majorana form and the discrete-velocity version of the boltzmann kinetic equation. *Physical review letters*, 111(16):160602, 2013.
- [22] Kyriakos Flouris, Miller Mendoza Jimenez, and Hans J Herrmann. Curvature-induced quantum spin-hall effect on a möbius strip. *Physical Review B*, 105(23):235122, 2022.
- [23] Edward Witten. Supersymmetry and Morse theory. *Journal of differential geometry*, 17(4):661–692, 1982.
- [24] Alain Connes and Matilde Marcolli. *Noncommutative geometry, quantum fields and motives*, volume 55. American Mathematical Soc., 2019.
- [25] Edwin J Beggs and Shahn Majid. *Quantum Riemannian Geometry*, volume 355. Springer.
- [26] Evelyn Lira-Torres and Shahn Majid. Geometric Dirac operator on the fuzzy sphere. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 112(1):1–22, 2022.
- [27] Fabio Cipriani, Daniele Guido, Tommaso Isola, and Jean-Luc Sauvageot. Spectral triples for the Sierpinski gasket. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 266(8):4809–4869, 2014.
- [28] Mario Paschke and Andrzej Sitarz. Discrete spectral triples and their symmetries. *Journal of*

- Mathematical Physics*, 39(11):6191–6205, 1998.
- [29] Thomas Krajewski. Classification of finite spectral triples. *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, 28(1-2):1–30, 1998.
 - [30] Jens Bolte and Jonathan Harrison. Spectral statistics for the dirac operator on graphs. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 36(11):2747, 2003.
 - [31] Jens Bolte and Jonathan Harrison. The spin contribution to the form factor of quantum graphs. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 36(27):L433, 2003.
 - [32] Marjeta Kramar Fijavž, Delio Mugnolo, and Serge Nicaise. Linear hyperbolic systems on networks: well-posedness and qualitative properties. *ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations*, 27:7, 2021.
 - [33] Marjeta Kramar Fijavž, Delio Mugnolo, and Serge Nicaise. Linear hyperbolic systems on networks. *arXiv e-prints*, pages arXiv–2003, 2020.
 - [34] Marjeta Kramar Fijavž, Delio Mugnolo, and Serge Nicaise. Dynamic transmission conditions for linear hyperbolic systems on networks. *Journal of Evolution Equations*, 21(3):3639–3673, 2021.
 - [35] Colette Anné and Nabila Torki-Hamza. The gauss-bonnet operator of an infinite graph. *Analysis and mathematical physics*, 5:137–159, 2015.
 - [36] Nassim Athmouni, Hatem Baloudi, Mondher Damak, and Marwa Ennaceur. The magnetic discrete laplacian inferred from the gauss–bonnet operator and application. *Annals of Functional Analysis*, 12(2):33, 2021.
 - [37] Pablo Miranda, Daniel Parra, and Georgi Raikov. Spectral asymptotics at thresholds for a dirac-type operator on \mathbb{Z}^2 . *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 284(2):109743, 2023.
 - [38] Daniel Parra. Spectral and scattering theory for gauss–bonnet operators on perturbed topological crystals. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 452(2):792–813, 2017.
 - [39] Pablo Miranda and Daniel Parra. Continuum limit for a discrete hodge–dirac operator on square lattices. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 113(2):45, 2023.
 - [40] Daniele Oriti. *Approaches to quantum gravity: Toward a new understanding of space, time and matter*. Cambridge University Press, 2009.
 - [41] John C Baez. Spin networks in gauge theory. *Advances in Mathematics*, 117(2):253–272, 1996.
 - [42] So Matsuura and Kazutoshi Ohta. Supersymmetric gauge theory on the graph. *Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics*, 2022(4):043B01, 2022.
 - [43] Shuhan Jiang. Gauge theory on graphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.17195*, 2022.
 - [44] Jan Ambjørn, Jerzy Jurkiewicz, and Renate Loll. Emergence of a 4D world from causal quantum gravity. *Physical Review Letters*, 93(13):131301, 2004.
 - [45] Carlo Rovelli and Francesca Vidotto. *Covariant loop quantum gravity: an elementary introduction to quantum gravity and spinfoam theory*. Cambridge University Press, 2015.
 - [46] Gianluca Calcagni, Daniele Oriti, and Johannes Thürigen. Laplacians on discrete and quantum geometries. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 30(12):125006, 2013.
 - [47] Luca Lionni. *Colored discrete spaces: higher dimensional combinatorial maps and quantum gravity*. Springer, 2018.
 - [48] Dario Benedetti. Fractal properties of quantum spacetime. *Physical Review Letters*, 102(11):111303, 2009.
 - [49] Pietro Donà, Astrid Eichhorn, and Roberto Percacci. Matter matters in asymptotically safe quantum gravity. *Physical Review D*, 89(8):084035, 2014.
 - [50] Alessandro Codello, Roberto Percacci, and Christoph Rahmede. Investigating the ultraviolet properties of gravity with a Wilsonian renormalization group equation. *Annals of Physics*, 324(2):414–469, 2009.
 - [51] Mikio Nakahara. *Geometry, topology and physics*. CRC press, 2003.
 - [52] Astrid Eichhorn and Martin Pauly. A sprinkling of hybrid-signature discrete spacetimes in real-world networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.07325*, 2021.
 - [53] Marcus Reitz and Ginestra Bianconi. The higher-order spectrum of simplicial complexes: a renormalization group approach. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*,

- 53(29):295001, 2020.
- [54] Tomasz Konopka, Fotini Markopoulou, and Simone Severini. Quantum graphity: a model of emergent locality. *Physical Review D*, 77(10):104029, 2008.
 - [55] Carlo A Trugenberger. Quantum gravity as an information network self-organization of a 4D universe. *Physical Review D*, 92(8):084014, 2015.
 - [56] Carlo A Trugenberger. Combinatorial quantum gravity: geometry from random bits. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2017(9):1–8, 2017.
 - [57] Ioannis Klefogiannis and Ilias Amanatidis. Physics in nonfixed spatial dimensions via random networks. *Physical Review E*, 105(2):024141, 2022.
 - [58] Ginestra Bianconi and Christoph Rahmede. Emergent hyperbolic network geometry. *Scientific reports*, 7(1):1–9, 2017.
 - [59] Ginestra Bianconi and Christoph Rahmede. Network geometry with flavor: from complexity to quantum geometry. *Physical Review E*, 93(3):032315, 2016.
 - [60] Zhihao Wu, Giulia Menichetti, Christoph Rahmede, and Ginestra Bianconi. Emergent complex network geometry. *Scientific reports*, 5(1):1–12, 2015.
 - [61] Si Chen and Steven S Plotkin. Statistical mechanics of graph models and their implications for emergent spacetime manifolds. *Physical Review D*, 87(8):084011, 2013.
 - [62] Pawat Akara-pipattana, Thiparat Chotibut, and Oleg Evnin. The birth of geometry in exponential random graphs. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 54(42):425001, 2021.
 - [63] Ioannis Klefogiannis and Ilias Amanatidis. Emergent spacetime from purely random structures. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.00963*, 2022.
 - [64] Kartik Anand, Ginestra Bianconi, and Simone Severini. Shannon and von neumann entropy of random networks with heterogeneous expected degree. *Physical Review E*, 83(3):036109, 2011.
 - [65] Jacob Biamonte, Mauro Faccin, and Manlio De Domenico. Complex networks from classical to quantum. *Communications Physics*, 2(1):1–10, 2019.
 - [66] Manlio De Domenico and Jacob Biamonte. Spectral entropies as information-theoretic tools for complex network comparison. *Physical Review X*, 6(4):041062, 2016.
 - [67] Lucas Böttcher and Mason A Porter. Complex networks with complex weights. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.06257*, 2022.
 - [68] Manlio De Domenico, Vincenzo Nicosia, Alexandre Arenas, and Vito Latora. Structural reducibility of multilayer networks. *Nature communications*, 6(1):1–9, 2015.
 - [69] Johannes Nokkala, Jyrki Piilo, and Ginestra Bianconi. Probing the spectral dimension of quantum network geometries. *Journal of Physics: Complexity*, 2(1):015001, 2020.
 - [70] Johannes Nokkala, Fernando Galve, Roberta Zambrini, Sabrina Maniscalco, and Jyrki Piilo. Complex quantum networks as structured environments: engineering and probing. *Scientific reports*, 6(1):1–7, 2016.
 - [71] Johannes Nokkala, Francesco Arzani, Fernando Galve, Roberta Zambrini, Sabrina Maniscalco, Jyrki Piilo, Nicolas Treps, and Valentina Parigi. Reconfigurable optical implementation of quantum complex networks. *New Journal of Physics*, 20(5):053024, 2018.
 - [72] Beno Eckmann. Harmonische funktionen und randwertaufgaben in einem komplex. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 17(1):240–255, 1944.
 - [73] Danijela Horak and Jürgen Jost. Spectra of combinatorial Laplace operators on simplicial complexes. *Advances in Mathematics*, 244:303–336, 2013.
 - [74] Mathieu Desbrun, Anil N Hirani, Melvin Leok, and Jerrold E Marsden. Discrete exterior calculus. *arXiv preprint math/0508341*, 2005.
 - [75] Leo J Grady and Jonathan R Polimeni. *Discrete calculus: Applied analysis on graphs for computational science*, volume 3. Springer, 2010.
 - [76] Lek-Heng Lim. Hodge Laplacians on graphs. *Siam Review*, 62(3):685–715, 2020.
 - [77] Philip Tee and CA Trugenberger. Enhanced Forman curvature and its relation to Ollivier curvature. *Europhysics Letters*, 133(6):60006, 2021.
 - [78] Paul Adrien Maurice Dirac. The quantum theory of the electron. *Proceedings of the Royal*

- Society of London. Series A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical and Physical Character*, 117(778):610–624, 1928.
- [79] Bernd Thaller. *The dirac equation*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
 - [80] Abraham Pais, Maurice Jacob, David I Olive, and Michael F Atiyah. *Paul Dirac: the man and his work*. Cambridge University Press, 2005.
 - [81] Federica Baccini, Filippo Geraci, and Ginestra Bianconi. Weighted simplicial complexes and their representation power of higher-order network data and topology. *Physical Review E*, 106(3):034319, 2022.
 - [82] Fan RK Chung. *Spectral graph theory*, volume 92. American Mathematical Soc., 1997.
 - [83] Lewis H Ryder. *Quantum field theory*. Cambridge University Press, 1996.
 - [84] Michael E Peskin. *An introduction to quantum field theory*. CRC Press, 2018.
 - [85] Ettore Majorana. Teoria simmetrica dell’elettrone e del positrone. *Il Nuovo Cimento (1924-1942)*, 14(4):171–184, 1937.
 - [86] Frank Wilczek. Majorana returns. *Nature Physics*, 5(9):614–618, 2009.
 - [87] Shahn Majid. Dirac operator associated to a quantum metric. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.05891*, 2023.