

# MONOTONICITY OF THE PERIOD MAP FOR THE EQUATION

$$-\varphi'' + \varphi - \varphi^k = 0.$$

**Giovana Alves**

Centro de Ciências Exatas Naturais e Tecnológicas  
Universidade Estadual da Região Tocantina do Maranhão  
Imperatriz, Maranhão, 65900-000, Brazil.  
a\_giovanaalves@yahoo.com.br

**Fábio Natali\***

Departamento de Matemática  
Universidade Estadual de Maringá  
Maringá, Paraná, CEP 87020-900, Brazil.  
fmanatali@uem.br

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we establish the monotonicity of the period map in terms of the energy levels for some periodic solutions of the equation  $-\varphi'' + \varphi - \varphi^k = 0$ , where  $k > 1$  is a real number. We present a different approach to show this property by using the spectral information of the corresponding linearized operator around the periodic solution and tools about the Floquet theory.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a periodic solution of the equation

$$-\varphi'' + \varphi - \varphi^k = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $k > 1$  is a real number and  $\varphi = \varphi(x)$  is a function depending on  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . As far as we know, periodic solutions can be determined by the level curves of the energy

$$\mathcal{E}(\varphi, \xi) = \frac{\xi^2}{2} - \frac{\varphi^2}{2} + \frac{\varphi^{k+1}}{k+1}, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\xi = \varphi'$ . In other words, this means that the pair  $(\varphi, \xi)$  satisfies  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi, \xi) = B$  for convenient values  $B \in \mathbb{R}$ . When  $k > 1$  and  $B \in \left(\frac{1-k}{2(k+1)}, 0\right)$ , we obtain periodic orbits that spinning around the center point  $(1, 0)$ . We also obtain periodic sign changed solutions when  $k$  is in particular odd and they are located outside the separatrix.

The period-map  $L = L(B)$  of the periodic solution  $\varphi$  depends on  $B$  and it can be expressed by

$$L = 2 \int_{b_1}^{b_2} \frac{dh}{\sqrt{-\frac{2h^{k+1}}{k+1} + h^2 + 2B}}, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $b_1 = \min_{x \in [0, L]} \varphi(x)$  and  $b_2 = \max_{x \in [0, L]} \varphi(x)$ . This expression is not good to obtain the smoothness of the period function  $L$  in terms of  $B$  since  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are zeroes of the function  $G(\varphi) = -\frac{2\varphi^{k+1}}{k+1} + \varphi^2 + 2B$ . However, by standard theory of ODE, we see

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B10, 35J61, 47A75.

*Key words and phrases.* Periodicity of the period map, Floquet theory, Periodic solutions.

\*Corresponding author.

that the solution  $\varphi$  of (1.1) depends smoothly on the initial conditions  $\varphi(t_0) = \varphi_0$  and  $\varphi'(t_0) = \varphi_1$ ,  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and in particular,  $\varphi$  and  $L$  also depend smoothly on  $B$  in a convenient set of parameters.

With the smoothness of the period map in hands, it is important to know the behaviour of this function in terms of the energy levels  $B$  or even in terms of the initial data. In fact, questions related to the behaviour of the period function have attracted a considerable attention in the last decades. Loud [9] and Urabe [16] have proved the isochronicity<sup>1</sup> of the period function for specific families of planar vector fields. Using tools of ODE, Schaaf [15] has established a general set of sufficient conditions for the monotonicity of the period function for a class of Hamiltonian systems of the form

$$\begin{cases} \varphi' = -f(\psi) \\ \psi' = g(\varphi). \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

By assuming suitable assumptions on the smooth functions  $f$  and  $g$ , it is possible to prove that the period function is strictly increasing for periodic solutions which turning around the center point  $(0, 0)$  (see also Rothe [14] for an extension of the results in [15]).

Cima, Gasull and Mañosas [5] (see also Coppel and Gavrilov [6]) studied the same system in (1.4) and they characterized the limiting behaviour of the period  $L$  at infinity when the origin is a global center. In addition, they apply this result to prove that there are no nonlinear polynomial isochronous centers in this family, showing the monotonicity of the period in terms of the energy levels  $B$ . Rothe [13] and Waldvogel [18] showed that all Lotka-Volterra systems of the form

$$\varphi' = \varphi(a - b\psi), \quad \psi' = -\psi(c - d\varphi),$$

for  $a$  and  $b$  in a suitable set of conditions, have monotonic period functions. Chow and Wang [4] gave some characterization of the first and second derivatives for the period function in terms of  $B$  for the equation

$$\varphi'' + g(\varphi) = 0,$$

where  $g$  satisfies a suitable set of conditions. As an application, they determine the monotonicity of the period function when  $g(s) = e^s - 1$ . Using a different approach, Chicone [2] also obtained part of the results in [4] and gave a general condition for the monotonicity of period functions for a class of planar Hamiltonian systems. In addition, [2] was the inspiration for the study in Yagasaki [17] to prove the monotonicity of the period function for the equation (1.1). In this work, the authors also considered  $k > 1$  a real number and the periodic solutions around a center point  $(1, 0)$ . A revisited proof of [17] has been established by Benguria, Depassier and Loss in [1].

In our approach, we use a different method to establish the monotonicity of the period map for positive solutions as determined in [17] and we realize that when  $k > 1$  is in particular an odd number, we can use the same method to determine the same behaviour for the sign changed periodic solutions associated to the equation

---

<sup>1</sup>Just to make clear the comprehension of the reader, a center point is said to be *isochronous* when all periodic solutions which turning around the center point have the same period

(1.1). As far we know, this last question never been treated in the current literature and your intention is to give a new perspective to solve this kind of problems since our approach can be used in other models. Without further ado, we describe our methods. Indeed, let us consider linearized operator around  $\varphi$  given by

$$\mathcal{L}(y) = -y'' + y - k\varphi^{k-1}y. \quad (1.5)$$

Deriving equation (1.1) with respect to  $x$ , we obtain that  $\varphi'$  satisfies  $\mathcal{L}\varphi' = 0$ , so that  $\varphi'$  is a periodic element which solves the equation

$$-y'' + y - k\varphi^{k-1}y = 0. \quad (1.6)$$

Since (1.6) is a second order linear equation, there exists another solution  $\bar{y}$  for the equation  $\mathcal{L}\bar{y} = 0$  and  $\{\varphi', \bar{y}\}$  is a fundamental set of solutions for the equation (1.6). Using tools of the Floquet theory (see [10]), we see that function  $\bar{y}$  can be periodic or not and it is related with  $\varphi'$  through the equality (see [10])

$$\bar{y}(x + L) = \bar{y}(x) + \theta\varphi'(x). \quad (1.7)$$

Constant  $\theta$  is a real parameter and it determines if  $\bar{y}$  is periodic or not (in fact,  $\bar{y}$  is periodic if and only if  $\theta = 0$ ). In addition, by the smoothness of the period function with respect to  $B$ , it is possible to prove  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial B} = -\theta$  (see Lemma 3.2) and thus, the monotonicity of  $L$  in terms of  $B$  can be determined according to the periodicity of the element  $\bar{y}$  present in the fundamental set  $\{\varphi', \bar{y}\}$ . In fact, if  $\bar{y}$  is not periodic for all values of  $B$  in the interval of existence of periodic solutions  $\varphi$ , we can conclude that  $L$  is strictly monotonic in terms of  $B$ .

Our main result can be summarized as follows:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $L = L(B)$  be the period function associated to the periodic solution  $\varphi$  of the equation (1.1).*

*i) If  $k > 1$  and  $\varphi$  is a positive solution, then  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial B} > 0$ .*

*ii) If  $k > 1$  is odd and  $\varphi$  is a sign changed solution, then  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial B} < 0$ .*

Our paper is organized as follows. Section 2 we present a brief explanation concerning the existence of positive and sign changed solutions. Section 3 is devoted to prove Theorem 1.1.

## 2. EXISTENCE OF PERIODIC SOLUTIONS VIA PLANAR ANALYSIS.

Our purpose in this section is to present some facts concerning the existence of periodic solutions for the nonlinear ODE given by

$$-\varphi'' + \varphi - \varphi^k = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $k > 1$  is a real number.

It is well known that equation (2.1) is conservative, and thus its solutions are contained on the level curves of the energy

$$\mathcal{E}(\varphi, \xi) = \frac{\xi^2}{2} - \frac{\varphi^2}{2} + \frac{\varphi^{k+1}}{k+1}, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\xi = \varphi'$ .

According to the classical ODE theory (see [3], [8] and [11] for further details),  $\varphi$

is a periodic solution of the equation (2.1) if and only if  $(\varphi, \varphi')$  is a periodic orbit of the planar differential system

$$\begin{cases} \varphi' = \xi, \\ \xi' = \varphi - \varphi^k. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

The periodic orbits for the equation (2.3) can be determined by considering the energy levels of the function  $\mathcal{E}$  defined in (2.2). This means that the pair  $(\varphi, \xi)$  satisfies the equation  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi, \xi) = B$ . If  $k > 1$  and  $B \in \left(\frac{1-k}{2(k+1)}, 0\right)$ , we obtain periodic orbits which turn round at the equilibrium points  $(1, 0)$ . In our specific case, we see that (2.3) has at least two critical points, being one saddle point at  $(\varphi, \xi) = (0, 0)$  and one center points at  $(\varphi, \xi) = (1, 0)$ . According to the standard ODE theory, the periodic orbits emanate from the center points to the separatrix curve which is represented by a smooth solution  $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of (2.1) satisfying  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \tilde{\varphi}^{(n)}(x) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . When  $k$  is in particular odd, we see that the presence of two symmetric center points  $(\pm 1, 0)$  allows to conclude that the periodic orbits which turn around these points can be negative and positive. Outside the separatrix, we have the existence of sign changed periodic solutions. Indeed, if  $B > 0$  we also have periodic orbits and the corresponding periodic solutions  $\varphi$  with the zero mean property, that is, periodic solutions satisfying  $\int_0^L \varphi(x) dx = 0$ . Independently of the type of periodic solutions which we are working on, the period  $L = L(B)$  of the solution  $\varphi$  can be expressed (formally) by

$$L = 2 \int_{b_1}^{b_2} \frac{dh}{\sqrt{-\frac{2h^{k+1}}{k+1} + h^2 + 2B}}, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $b_1 = \min_{x \in [0, L]} \varphi(x)$  and  $b_2 = \max_{x \in [0, L]} \varphi(x)$ .

On the other hand, the energy levels of the first integral  $\mathcal{E}$  in (2.2) parametrize the unbounded set of periodic orbits  $\{\Gamma_B\}_B$  which emanate from the separatrix curve. Thus, we can conclude that the set of smooth periodic solutions of (2.1) can be expressed by a smooth family  $\varphi = \varphi_B$  which is parametrized by the value  $B$ . Moreover, when  $B \in \left(\frac{1-k}{2(k+1)}, 0\right)$ , we see that if  $B \rightarrow \frac{1-k}{2(k+1)}$ , we have  $L \rightarrow 2\pi$ , and if  $B \rightarrow 0$ , one has  $L \rightarrow +\infty$ . This suggests that the period map is increasing. On the other hand, when  $B \in (0, +\infty)$ , we see that if  $B \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $L \rightarrow +\infty$ , and if  $B \rightarrow +\infty$ , we obtain  $L \rightarrow 0$ . In this case, the suggestion is that the period map is decreasing.

### 3. THE MONOTONICITY OF THE PERIOD MAP - PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1.

First, we need to recall some basic facts concerning Floquet's theory (see [7] and [10]). Let  $Q$  be a smooth  $L$ -periodic function. Consider  $\mathcal{P}$  the Hill operator defined in  $L^2_{per}([0, L])$ , with domain  $D(\mathcal{P}) = H^2_{per}([0, L])$ , given by

$$\mathcal{P} = -\partial_x^2 + Q(x). \quad (3.1)$$

The spectrum of  $\mathcal{P}$  is formed by an unbounded sequence of real eigenvalues

$$\lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \lambda_4 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{2n-1} \leq \lambda_{2n} \cdots, \quad (3.2)$$

where equality means that  $\lambda_{2n-1} = \lambda_{2n}$  is a double eigenvalue. Moreover, according with the Oscillation theorem, the spectrum is characterized by the number of zeros of the eigenfunctions as: if  $p$  is an eigenfunction associated to either  $\lambda_{2n-1}$  or  $\lambda_{2n}$ , then  $p$  has exactly  $2n$  zeros in the half-open interval  $[0, L)$ . In particular, the even eigenfunction associated to the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_0$  has no zeros in  $[0, L]$ .

Let  $p$  be a nontrivial  $L$ -periodic solution of the equation

$$\mathcal{P}p = -p'' + Q(x)p = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Consider  $\bar{y}$  the another solution of (3.3) linearly independent of  $p$ . There exists a constant  $\theta$  (depending on  $\bar{y}$  and  $p$ ) such that (see [10, page 5])

$$y(x + L) = y(x) + \theta p(x). \quad (3.4)$$

Consequently,  $\theta = 0$  is a necessary and sufficient condition to all solutions of (3.3) be  $L$ -periodic. This criterion is very useful to establish if the kernel of  $\mathcal{P}$  is one-dimensional.

Next, let  $\varphi = \varphi_B$  be any periodic solution of (2.1). Consider  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_B : H_{per}^2([0, L]) \subset L_{per}^2([0, L]) \rightarrow L_{per}^2([0, L])$  the linearized operator arising from the linearization of (2.1) at  $\varphi$ , that is,

$$\mathcal{L}(y) = -y'' + y - k\varphi^{k-1}y. \quad (3.5)$$

Here, the notation  $H_{per}^2([0, L])$  indicates the standard Sobolev space of second order in the periodic context and  $L_{per}^2([0, L])$  denotes the square integrable functions in the periodic context. We see that  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{L}$ , when  $Q(x)y(x) = y(x) - k\varphi^{k-1}(x)y(x)$ . By taking the derivative with respect to  $x$  is (2.1), we see that  $p := \varphi'$  belongs to the kernel of the operator  $\mathcal{L}$ . In addition, from our construction,  $\varphi'$  has exactly two zeros in the half-open interval  $[0, L)$ , which implies that zero is the second or the third eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{L}$  (see Oscillation's theorem in [10]).

Next, let  $\bar{y} = \bar{y}_B$  be the unique even solution of the initial-value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\bar{y}'' + \bar{y} - k\varphi^{k-1}\bar{y} = 0, \\ \bar{y}(0) = -\frac{1}{\varphi''(0)}, \\ \bar{y}'(0) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

Since  $\varphi'$  is an  $L$ -periodic solution for the equation in (3.6) and the corresponding Wronskian of  $\varphi'$  and  $\bar{y}$  is 1, there is a constant  $\theta = \theta_{\bar{y}}$  such that

$$\bar{y}(x + L) = \bar{y}(x) + \theta\varphi'(x). \quad (3.7)$$

By taking the derivative in this last expression and evaluating at  $x = 0$ , we obtain

$$\theta = \frac{\bar{y}'(L)}{\varphi''(0)}. \quad (3.8)$$

The next result gives that it is possible to decide the exact position of the zero eigenvalue by knowing the precise sign of  $\theta$  in (3.4).

**Lemma 3.1.** *i) If  $\theta > 0$ , operator  $\mathcal{L}$ , defined in  $L^2_{per}([0, L])$ , with domain  $H^2_{per}([0, L])$ , has exactly two negative eigenvalue which are simple, a simple eigenvalue at zero and the rest of the spectrum is positive and bounded away from zero.*

*ii) If  $\theta < 0$ , the same operator  $\mathcal{L}$  has exactly one negative eigenvalue which is simple, a simple eigenvalue at zero and the rest of the spectrum is positive and bounded away from zero.*

*Proof.* See Theorem 3.1 in [12]. □

Now we can give a relation between  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial B}$  and  $\theta$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *We have,  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial B} = -\theta$ , where  $\theta$  is the constant in (3.8).*

*Proof.* Consider  $\bar{y}$  and  $\varphi'$  as above. Since  $\varphi$  is even and periodic one has  $\varphi'(0) = \varphi'(L) = 0$ . Thus, the smoothness of  $\varphi$  in terms of the parameter  $B$  enables us to take the derivative of  $\varphi'(L) = 0$  with respect to  $B$  to obtain

$$\varphi''(L) \frac{\partial L}{\partial B} + \frac{\partial \varphi'(L)}{\partial B} = 0, \quad (3.9)$$

Next, we turn back to equation (2.1) and multiply it by  $\varphi'$  to deduce, after integration, the quadrature form

$$\frac{\varphi'^2(x)}{2} - \frac{\varphi(x)^2}{2} + \frac{\varphi(x)^{k+1}}{k+1} - B = 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in [0, L]. \quad (3.10)$$

Deriving equation (3.10) with respect to  $B$  and taking  $x = 0$  in the final result, we obtain from (2.1) that  $\frac{\partial \varphi(0)}{\partial B} = \frac{1}{\varphi''(0)}$ . In addition, since  $\varphi$  is even one has that  $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial B}$  is also even and thus  $\frac{\partial \varphi'(0)}{\partial B} = 0$ . On the other hand, deriving equation (2.1) with respect to  $B$ , we obtain that  $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial B}$  satisfies the initial-value problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\frac{\partial \varphi''}{\partial B} + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial B} - k\varphi^{k-1} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial B} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \varphi(0)}{\partial B} = \frac{1}{\varphi''(0)} \\ \frac{\partial \varphi'(0)}{\partial B} = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad (3.11)$$

The existence and uniqueness theorem for ordinary differential equations applied to the problem (3.6) enables us to deduce that  $\bar{y} = -\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial B}$ . Therefore, we can combine (3.8) with (3.9) to obtain that  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial B} = -\theta$ . □

Next lemma is basic for our purposes.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the linearized operator defined in (3.5). Thus,  $1 \in \text{range}(\mathcal{L})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\psi = \varphi(\cdot - L/4)$  be a translated periodic solution for the equation (2.1). By the translate invariance of the equation (2.1), one has that  $\varphi'$  is an element of  $\ker(\mathcal{L})$ , so that  $\psi'$  also is and it results to be even (since  $\varphi$  is even and  $\varphi'$  is odd). Consider then,  $\chi = \bar{y}(\cdot - L/4)$  the corresponding element of the formal equation

$\mathcal{L}\bar{y} = 0$  associated to the translation solution  $\psi$ . Since  $\chi$  results to be odd, one has  $\int_0^L \chi(x)dx = 0$  and thus, it is well defined the function  $h$  given by

$$h(x) = \left( \int_0^x \chi(s)ds \right) \psi'(x) - \left( \int_0^x \psi'(s)ds \right) \chi(x). \quad (3.12)$$

We see formally that  $\mathcal{L}h = 1$  and it remains to prove that  $h$  is periodic. In fact, it is clear  $h(0) = 0$  and since  $\int_0^L \chi(x)dx = 0$ , one has from (3.12) and the fact  $\psi'$  is periodic that  $h(L) = 0$ . Deriving (3.12) with respect to  $x$ , we obtain after some computations  $h'(x) = \left( \int_0^x \chi(s)ds \right) \psi''(x) - \left( \int_0^x \psi'(s)ds \right) \chi'(x)$ . Thus,  $h'(0) = 0$  and since  $\psi''$  is odd and periodic, we deduce  $h'(L) = 0$ . Therefore,  $h$  is periodic and  $1 \in \text{range}(\mathcal{L})$  as requested.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *We have that  $\{\varphi^{k-1}, \varphi^k\} \subset \text{range}(\mathcal{L})$ .*

*Proof.* By the ode (2.1), we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi = -\varphi'' + \varphi - k\varphi^k = -\varphi'' + \varphi - k\varphi^k + \varphi^k - \varphi^k = (1 - k)\varphi^k.$$

Thus,  $\varphi^k \in \text{range}(\mathcal{L})$ .

To prove that  $\varphi^{k-1} \in \text{range}(\mathcal{L})$ , we need to use the fact that  $\mathcal{L}1 = 1 - k\varphi^{k-1}$ . Indeed, by Lemma 3.3 one has  $1 \in \text{range}(\mathcal{L})$ , so that  $\varphi^{k-1} \in \text{range}(\mathcal{L})$ .  $\square$

Next lemma establishes item i) of Theorem 1.1. In what follows  $n(\mathcal{A})$  and  $z(\mathcal{A})$  are respectively the number of negative eigenvalues (counting multiplicities) and the dimension of the kernel of a certain linear operator  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a positive periodic solution for the equation (2.1). Thus,  $n(\mathcal{L}) = z(\mathcal{L}) = 1$ . In particular, we have that  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial B} > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $k > 1$  be fixed. For  $L > 2\pi$ , we have that all positive,  $L$ -periodic and non-constant solutions are minimizers of the minimization problem

$$\nu = \inf \left\{ D(u); u \in H_{per}^1([0, L]), \int_0^L u^{k+1}dx = 1 \right\}, \quad (3.13)$$

where  $D : H_{per}^1([0, L]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the functional defined as

$$D(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L u'^2 + u^2 dx. \quad (3.14)$$

In (3.13), the notation  $H_{per}^1([0, L])$  indicates the standard Sobolev space of first order in the periodic context.

Next, let  $k > 1$  be odd. The zero mean periodic solutions exists and they are characterized as periodic minimizers of the problem

$$\mu = \inf \left\{ D(u); u \in H_{per, odd}^1([0, L]), \int_0^L u^{k+1}dx = 1 \right\}, \quad (3.15)$$

where  $H_{per, odd}^1([0, L])$  is the Sobolev space  $H_{per}^1([0, L])$  constituted by odd periodic functions. The solution  $\phi$  obtained in the minimization problem (3.15) is odd, but we can consider  $\varphi = \phi(\cdot - L/4)$  to transform the odd periodic solution  $\phi$  into the

even periodic solution  $\varphi$  for the equation (2.1). It is clear that  $\varphi$  has the zero mean property as requested.

The fact that all positive and non-constant periodic solutions can be characterized by the problem (3.13) give us automatically that  $n(\mathcal{L}) = 1$  since the minimization problem has only one constraint and the restriction operator satisfies  $\mathcal{L}|_{\{\varphi^k\}^\perp} \geq 0$ . For the minimization problem (3.15) we also have  $n(\mathcal{L}_{odd}) = 1$ , so that  $n(\mathcal{L}) = 2$  as mentioned in Lemma 3.6.

Since  $n(\mathcal{L}) = 1$ , we obtain by Oscillation's theorem in [10] that the solution  $\bar{y}$  of the Cauchy problem (3.6) has exactly two zeroes in the interval  $[0, L)$  and by periodicity, also in the interval  $[-L/2, L/2)$ . Let us assume that  $z(\mathcal{L}) = 2$  for some  $B_0 \in \left(\frac{1-k}{2(k+1)}, 0\right)$ . Since  $\varphi' \in \ker(\mathcal{L})$  is odd, we see that function  $\bar{y} \in \ker(\mathcal{L})$  is even and it has exactly two symmetric zeroes in the interval  $[-L/2, L/2)$ . Hence, there exists  $x_0 \in (-L/2, L/2)$  such that  $\bar{y}(\pm x_0) = 0$ . Without loss of generality, we can still suppose that

$$\bar{y}(x) > 0, x \in (-x_0, x_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{y}(x) < 0, x \in [-L/2, x_0) \cup (x_0, L/2). \quad (3.16)$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 3.4 we have  $\varphi^{k-1}, \varphi^k \in \ker(\mathcal{L})^\perp = \text{range}(\mathcal{L})$ , so that

$$(\bar{y}, \varphi^{k-1})_{L_{per}^2} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\bar{y}, \varphi^k)_{L_{per}^2} = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

Since  $\varphi > 0$ , we obtain that  $\varphi(x)^{k-1}(\varphi(x) - \varphi(x_0))$  is positive over  $(-x_0, x_0)$  and negative over  $[-L/2, x_0) \cup (x_0, L/2)$  and it has the same behaviour as  $\bar{y}$  in (3.16). Thus,  $(\varphi^{k-1}(\varphi - \varphi(x_0)), h)_{L_{per}^2} \neq 0$  which leads a contradiction with (3.17). Consequently, we have  $\ker(\mathcal{L}) = [\varphi']$ .  $\square$

We now prove the second part of Theorem 1.1.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $k$  be a positive odd integer. If  $\varphi$  is the zero mean periodic solution of (2.1), thus  $n(\mathcal{L}) = 2$  and  $z(\mathcal{L}) = 1$ . In particular, we have that  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial B} < 0$ .*

*Proof.* First, we see that  $\varphi'$  is an odd eigenfunction of  $\mathcal{L}$  associated to the eigenvalue 0 having two zeroes in the interval  $[0, L)$ . From the Oscillation theorem (see [10]), we obtain that 0 needs to be the second or the third eigenvalue in the sequence of real numbers in (3.2).

On the other hand, since  $\varphi$  is even and  $\int_0^L \varphi(x) dx = 0$ , one has  $\psi = \varphi(\cdot - L/4)$  is odd and it has the zero mean property. Consider  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = -\partial_x^2 + 1 - k\psi^{k-1}$  the translated operator obtained from  $\mathcal{L}$  by considering the linearization at  $\psi$  instead of  $\varphi$  and let  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{odd}$  be the restriction of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  in the odd sector  $L_{per, odd}^2([0, L])$ . Notice that such restriction is possible because  $\psi^{k-1}$  is even since  $k-1$  is an even number. Since  $k+1 > 2$  is also even, we have  $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{odd}\psi, \psi)_{L_{per}^2} = (1-k) \int_0^L \psi(x)^{k+1} dx < 0$ , so that by min-max theorem, we obtain  $n(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{odd}) \geq 1$ . The fact  $n(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}) = n(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{odd}) + n(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{even})$  and Krein-Rutman's theorem enable us to conclude that the first eigenvalue of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  is simple and it is associated to a positive (negative) eigenfunction which needs to be even. Thus, we obtain since 0 is the second or third eigenvalue of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  that  $n(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}) = n(\mathcal{L}) = 2$  as requested.

We prove that  $z(\mathcal{L}) = 1$ . Indeed, since  $n(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{odd}) = 1$ , we see that the corresponding

eigenfunction  $p$  associated to the first eigenvalue of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{odd}$  is odd and consequently,  $q = p(\cdot - L/4)$  is even and a sign changed function. Again, by Krein-Rutman's theorem we have that the first eigenfunction  $\lambda_1$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  is simple and it is associated to a positive (negative) even periodic function, so that 0 can not be an eigenvalue associated to  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{odd}$ . Since  $z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}) = z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{odd}) + z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{even})$ , we obtain from the fact  $\psi'$  is even that  $z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}) = z(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{even}) = 1$ . Therefore, using the translation transformation  $f = g(\cdot - L/4)$ , we obtain  $z(\mathcal{L}) = z(\mathcal{L}_{odd}) = 1$  as requested.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

F. Natali is partially supported by CNPq/Brazil (grant 303907/2021-5) and CAPES MathAmSud (grant 88881.520205/2020-01).

#### DATA AVAILABILITY

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R.D. Benguria, M.C. Depassier and M. Loss, Monotonicity of the period of a non linear oscillator, *Non. Anal.*, 140 (2016), 61-68
- [2] C. Chicone, The monotonicity of the period function for planar Hamiltonian vector fields, *J. Diff. Equat.*, 69 (1987), 310–321.
- [3] C. Chicone, *Ordinary Differential Equations with Applications*, Springer, New York, (2006).
- [4] S. Chow and D. Wang, On the monotonicity of the period function of some second order equations, *Časopis pro pěstování matematiky*, 111 (1986), 14–25.
- [5] A. Cima, A. Gasull and F. Manñosas, Periodic function for a class of Hamiltonian systems, *J. Diff. Equat.*, 168 (2000), 180-199.
- [6] W. A. Coppel and L. Gavrilov, The period function of a Hamiltonian quadratic system, *Diff. Int. Eqs.*, 6 (1993), 1337–1365.
- [7] M.S.P Eastham, *The Spectral of Differential Equations*. Scottish Academic Press, Edinburgh, (1973).
- [8] J.K. Hale, *Ordinary Differential Equations*, Dover, New York, 1980.
- [9] W. S. Loud, Behavior of the period of solutions of certain plane autonomous systems near centers, *Contrib. Diff. Equat.*, 3 (1964), 21–36.
- [10] W. Magnus and S. Winkler, *Hill's Equation*, Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley, New York, (1966).
- [11] F. Natali and A. Neves, Orbital stability of solitary waves, *IMA J. Appl. Math.*, 79 (2014), 1161-1179.
- [12] A. Neves, Floquet's theorem and stability of periodic solitary waves, *J. Dyn. Diff. Equat.*, 21 (2009), 555-565.
- [13] F. Rothe, The periods of the Volterra-Lotka-system, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 355 (1985), 129-138.
- [14] F. Rothe, Remarks on periods of planar Hamiltonian systems, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 24 (1993), 129–154.

- [15] R. Schaaf, A class of Hamiltonian systems with increasing periods, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 363 (1985), 96–109.
- [16] M. Urabe, Potential forces which yield periodic motions of a fixed period, *J. Math. Mech.*, 10 (1961), 569–578.
- [17] K. Yagasaki, Monotonicity of the period function for  $u'' - u + u^p = 0$  with  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $p > 1$ , *J. Diff. Equat.*, 255 (2013), 1988–2001.
- [18] J. Waldvogel, The period of the Volterra-Lokta system is monotonic, *J. Math Anal. Appl.*, 114 (1986), 178–184.