

Logarithmic negativity of the 1D antiferromagnetic spin-1 Heisenberg model with single-ion anisotropy

Vl. V. Papoyan*

*Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics,
Joint Institute for Nuclear Research,
141980 Dubna, Russian Federation and
Dubna State University, Dubna, Russian Federation*

G. Gori†

*Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Heidelberg, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany and
CNR-IOM DEMOCRITOS Simulation Center,
Via Bonomea 265, I-34136 Trieste, Italy*

V. V. Papoyan (Jr.)‡

*Meshcheryakov Laboratory of Information Technologies,
Joint Institute for Nuclear Research,
141980 Dubna, Russian Federation and
Dubna State University, Dubna, Russian Federation*

A. Trombettoni§

*Department of Physics, University of Trieste,
Strada Costiera 11, I-34151 Trieste, Italy and
CNR-IOM DEMOCRITOS Simulation Center, I-34136 Trieste, Italy*

N. Ananikian¶

*National Science Laboratory, Alikhanian Br. 2, 0036 Yerevan, Armenia and
CANDLE Synchrotron Research Institute,
Acharyan 31, 0040 Yerevan, Armenia*

Abstract

We study the 1D antiferromagnetic spin-1 Heisenberg XXX model with external magnetic field B and single-ion anisotropy D on finite chains. We determine the nearest and non-nearest neighbor logarithmic entanglement LN . Our main result is the disappearance of LN both for nearest and non-nearest neighbor (next-nearest and next-next-nearest) sites at zero temperature and for low-temperature states. Such disappearance occurs at a critical value of B and D . The resulting phase diagram for the behaviour of LN is discussed in the $B - D$ plane, including a separating line – ending in a triple point – where the energy density is independent on the size. Finally, results for LN at finite temperature as a function of B and D are presented and commented.

Keywords: Heisenberg model, quantum spin chains, antiferromagnetism, entanglement, logarithmic negativity

PACS numbers: 74.25.Ha, 03.67.Mn, 75.50.-Ee 75.10.-b

*Electronic address: vpap@theor.jinr.ru

†Electronic address: gori@thphys.uni-heidelberg.de

‡Electronic address: papoyan8@gmail.com

§Electronic address: atrombettoni@units.it

¶Electronic address: ananik@yerphi.am

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum fluctuations and competing interactions in many-body quantum systems play a crucial role because they contain important information on various physical observables, leading to unusual and exciting physical properties connected to the occurrence of strong correlations [1, 2].

Entanglement has been successfully expressed in terms of correlation functions [3], and it is related to magnetic susceptibility [4]. Thermal quantum correlations play an important role in antiferromagnetic systems that have rich phase diagrams, and in particular in low-temperature magnetism resulting in interesting phenomena such as magnetization plateaus.

Great interest in quantum frustrated systems has surrounded the experimental observation of the $1/3$ magnetization plateau in the diamond chain compound of natural material azurite $Cu_3(CO_3)_2(OH)_2$, with two broad peaks observed at around 20 and 5K in both magnetic susceptibility and specific heat [5]. High magnetic field Cu NMR spectra were used for determination of the local spin polarization in the $1/3$ magnetization plateau, specific heat, magnetic susceptibility, magnetocaloric properties, entanglement properties of azurite and anisotropic Heisenberg-Ising chains by applying a variety of methods such density functional theory, the density matrix renormalization group method, transfer matrix techniques, and the Gibbs-Bogoliubov inequality [6–10].

The entanglement entropy (EE) behavior for nearest and non-nearest neighbor sites was investigated in the Heisenberg antiferromagnetic chain [11], with entanglement that may exist between non-nearest neighbors at low temperatures. In the present manuscript, logarithmic negativity (LN) for nearest and non-nearest neighbors is defined in the 1D spin-1 antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain with single-ion anisotropy. The magnetic systems of 1D spin-1 antiferromagnets are very attractive and magnetization plateau, magnetic susceptibility, specific heat, Neel and Haldane phases, and large single-ion anisotropy (D) have been extensively studied both experimentally and theoretically [12–18]. In this manuscript we study the properties of a one-dimensional spin-1 Heisenberg antiferromagnet by considering the LN as a function of single-ion anisotropy D . We remind that the $S = 1$ Heisenberg chain provided the basis of the conjecture by Haldane [19] for a finite gap in antiferromagnetic chains with integer spin, which has to be compared to the gapless spectrum of half-odd-integer cases. The role of single-ion anisotropy have been addressed [20, 21], the Haldane

phase being in general detected using a non-local string order parameter [22, 23]. When D is varied there are in general three phases. with the Neel phase possessing a Z_2 symmetry and the Haldane phase an incomplete $Z_2 \times Z_2$ symmetry, and with a Gaussian transition occurring at a critical value of D [20].

The thermal negativity for small Heisenberg clusters of frustrated spin-1 anisotropic model with bilinear-biquadratic exchange, single-ion anisotropy and magnetic field has been studied in [24]. Moreover, the single-ion anisotropy properties effect on the magnetization plateaus, magnetic susceptibility, specific heat, and Schottky peaks of an octanuclear nickel phosphonate cage $Ni_8(\mu_3-OH)_4(OMe)_2(O_3PR_1)_2(O_2C^tBu)_6(HO_2C^tBu)_8$ has been theoretically discussed [25]. The results are in excellent agreement with experimental data [26, 27]. Magnetic behavior and susceptibility of homometallic molecular $[Ni_3(fum)_2-(\mu_3-OH)_2(H_2O)_4]_n(2H_2O)_n$ ferrimagnet on a diamond chain with alternating spin values $S = 2$ and $S = 1$ were measured at low temperatures [28]. The magnetization plateaus, specific heat, Schottky peaks, superstable points in a $2D$ mapping, and thermal entanglement (negativity) of the spin-1 Ising-Heisenberg with single-ion anisotropy on infinite diamond chain for homometallic molecular $[Ni_3(fum)_2-(\mu_3-OH)_2(H_2O)_4]_n(2H_2O)_n$ ferrimagnet have been studied using the transfer-matrix approach and dynamical system theory [29–32]. The magnetic, entropy and magnetocaloric properties, and the Grüneisen parameter of the mixed spin-(1/2, 1) two-leg model on an Ising-Heisenberg ladder in fully anisotropic case with different Landé g -factors have been examined using the transfer matrix technique [33].

The homodinuclear nickel complex (NAOC) [34, 35] serves as an experimental realization of the spin-1 Heisenberg dimer [36]. The bipartite thermal entanglement (negativity) of the quantum antiferromagnetic spin-1 has been discussed, and as well the negativity plateaus as a function of the magnetic field and the uniaxial single-ion anisotropy in the ground states for quantum antiferromagnetic and ferrimagnetic coupling are also shown for NAOC. Specific heat, susceptibility, and magnetization measurements have obtained in the spin-1 antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain $Ni[C_2H_8N_2]_2Ni[CN]_4$ with uniaxial single-ion anisotropy D was studied [37]. One can find the behavior of the entanglement entropy EE or logarithmic negativity LN for nearest and non-nearest neighbors for low temperatures, the external magnetic field B and the single-ion anisotropy D . The points B_c or D_c at which entanglement disappears in the ground state have been obtained for the antiferro-

magnetic Heisenberg chain $Ni[C_2H_8N_2]_2Ni[CN]_4$ and many efforts have also been devoted to the study the entanglement entropy EE and logarithmic negativity LN [38–54]. The logarithmic negativity LN is greater than the negativity. For this reason, it is important to determine where logarithmic negativity has large, non-vanishing values for nearest and non-nearest neighbors in the ground and low-temperature states, and to study at what values of the external magnetic field (B_c) and single-ion anisotropy (D_c) vanish.

The presentation is organized as follows. In Section II we consider the 1D antiferromagnetic spin-1 Heisenberg XXX model with external magnetic field B and single-ion anisotropy D and we give the definition of LN . The properties of entanglement states, LN , and the corresponding ground-state phase diagram are discussed in Section III. The LN for nearest and non-nearest neighbors on finite chains with sizes $N = 4 - 8$ at low temperatures for different values of external magnetic field B and single-ion anisotropy D are investigated in Section IV. Finally, concluding remarks are presented in Section V.

II. MODEL AND DEFINITIONS

The Hamiltonian for the 1D antiferromagnetic spin-1 Heisenberg XXX model with external magnetic field B and single-ion anisotropy D is given as

$$H = J \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1} + D \sum_{i=1}^N (S_i^z)^2 + B \sum_{i=1}^N S_i^z. \quad (1)$$

where $J = 1$ to fix the energy scale, D represents uniaxial single-ion anisotropy, B is the controllable parameter (periodic boundary conditions are assumed). The *local* spin vector $\vec{S}_i$ for each site has the components of the spin-1 operators:

$$S^x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S^y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S^z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

At thermal equilibrium, the state of the system is determined by the density matrix

$$\hat{\rho}(T) = \frac{e^{-\frac{H}{k_B T}}}{Z} = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{e^{-\frac{E_{\alpha}}{k_B T}}}{Z} |\psi_{\alpha}\rangle \langle \psi_{\alpha}|, \quad (2)$$

where E_{α} are the eigenvalue of the α -th quantum many body eigenstate and the partition function is $Z = \sum_{\alpha} e^{-\beta E_{\alpha}}$ with $\beta = 1/k_B T$ (from now on $k_B = 1$).

For spin-1 system the degree of pairwise entanglement, measured in terms of the negativity Ne , can be employed to evaluate the thermal state of concern [38, 39]. The negativity of a state ρ_{ab} is defined as

$$Ne = \sum_i |\mu_i|, \quad (3)$$

where the μ_i 's are negative eigenvalues of $\rho_{ab}^{T_1}$ and T_1 denotes the partial pairwise transpose with respect to the first system, i.e., for bipartite system in state ρ_{ab} it is defined as

$$\langle i_1, j_2 | \rho_{ab}^{T_1} | k_1, l_2 \rangle \equiv \langle k_1, j_2 | \rho_{ab} | i_1, l_2 \rangle, \quad (4)$$

for any orthonormal but fixed basis. Here ρ_{ab} is a partial trace of density matrix. Definition (3) is equivalent to

$$Ne = \frac{\|\rho_{ab}^{T_1}\|_1 - 1}{2}, \quad (5)$$

where $\|\rho_{ab}^{T_1}\|_1$ is trace norm of $\rho_{ab}^{T_1}$ ($\|*\|_1 = Tr\sqrt{*^\dagger*}$). For unentangled states negativity vanishes, while $Ne > 0$ gives a computable measure of thermal entanglement.

The logarithmic negativity LN is an entanglement measure defined as

$$LN = \log_2 (\|\rho_{ab}^{T_1}\|_1) = \log_2 \left(\sum_k |\lambda_k| \right) \quad (6)$$

where the λ_k 's are the eigenvalues of the partially transposed matrix $\rho_{ab}^{T_1}$. Since values of negativity for nearest and non-nearest neighbors are small we consider, as entanglement measure, the logarithmic negativity LN .

III. LOGARITHMIC NEGATIVITY OF GROUND-STATES

We first examine the ground state of 1D antiferromagnetic spin-1 Heisenberg XXX models with external magnetic field B and single-ion anisotropy D on the finite chain with sizes $N = 4$ and $N = 6$. Notice that in the following we are going to study mostly even values of N , even though we will comment later on the case of N odd. Most of the results are obtained for finite values of N , from $N = 2$ to $N = 8$; however we will comment on what properties are less or not all affected by N itself.

Before continuing, we pause to notice that of course, $N = 2$ corresponds to the case of the Heisenberg dimer [34, 35]. However, notice that the Heisenberg dimer $N = 2$ is studied

with open boundary conditions, as natural for systems having two sites, while the $N = 2$ limit of our results corresponds to the spin at the site 1 connected two times to the spin at the site 2. So, to retrieve exactly the same results of Refs. [34, 35] one has to use an appropriate value of J .

The behavior of logarithmic negativity LN as a function of B for nearest, next-nearest and next-next-nearest neighbors is displayed in Figs. 1, for $D = 1$. There is a point B_c in which the entanglement for $B \geq B_c$ disappears. B_c is the point of a quantum phase transition [2]. At the same time the critical point B_c will be different for fixed values of D : e.g., $B_c = 3$ at $D = -1$, $B_c = 4$ at $D = 0$, $B_c = 5$ at $D = 1$ in the ground state ($T = 0$).

In Fig. 2 we present the behavior of logarithmic negativity LN as a function of D for nearest, next-nearest and next-next-nearest neighbors. In the ground state the point of a quantum phase transition from which entanglement exists is exactly zero, $D_c = 0$, at $B = 4$ for all values of N .




FIG. 1: The logarithmic negativity LN of the ground state as a function of external magnetic field B at fixed $D = 1$ (here and in the figures $J = 1$). The upper curve shows the variation in LN the amount of entanglement of the nearest neighbors, the middle and lowest curves show the same for the next-nearest and next-next-nearest neighbors, respectively. Plot (a) corresponds to the finite chain of size $N = 4$, while plot (b) corresponds to $N = 6$.

Fig. 3 shows the plot of the logarithmic negativity LN as a function of in ground state for finite chains of sizes $N = 4$ and $N = 6$.

We also found out the $T = 0$ phase diagram in the $B - D$ plane at fixed value of J , see Fig. 4 (with $J = 1$). By inspection, for N even, one finds two curves separating the two phases having vanishing and non-vanishing logarithmic negativity, to which we will




FIG. 2: The logarithmic negativity LN of the ground state as a function of the single-ion anisotropy parameter D at fixed $B = 4$. The upper curve shows the variation of LN for nearest-neighbors, the middle and lowest curves show the same for the next-nearest and next-next-nearest neighbors, respectively. Plot (a) corresponds to $N = 4$, and plot (b) to $N = 6$.




FIG. 3: The logarithmic negativity LN as a function of external magnetic field B and single-ion anisotropy D in ground state for finite chain of size $N = 4$ (a) and $N = 6$ (b).

refer as the entanglement ($LN \neq 0$) and non entanglement ($LN = 0$) phases. The ground state of the system has a wave function corresponding to the state with all spins down ($\Psi_{GS} = |\downarrow\downarrow \dots \downarrow\rangle$) in the non entanglement region.

We have obtained the equations of these curves for $N = 2$ (see plot (a) of Fig. 4) :

$$B = 4 + D \quad \left(\text{for } D > -\frac{4}{3} \right), \quad (7)$$

$$B = \frac{1}{2} \left(3 + D + \sqrt{D^2 - 2D + 9} \right) \quad \left(\text{for } D < -\frac{4}{3} \right). \quad (8)$$

The line (7) is found to be valid for any even N : this can be seen by comparing the fully polarized state $|\downarrow\downarrow \dots \downarrow\rangle$ and 1-magnon state, see [55].

Eqs. (7)-(8) are in agreement with previous results for the spin-1 Heisenberg dimer, i.e. $N = 2$, having unity spatial anisotropy [34, 35].

While (7) is valid for any even N , Eq. (8) does depend on N , so that for $D < -\frac{4}{3}$ the separatrix between the entanglement and non entanglement phases will depend on N as well. It is important to observe that on the line (7) the energy density, i.e., the energy of the ground state divided by N , is also found to be independent on N . So the independence on N of the entanglement-non entanglement separatrix line is ultimately connected (and due) to the fact that the energy density is constant.

There is a third curve, starting from the corner point, and defined for $N = 2$ (plot (a) of Fig. 4) by

$$B = -1 + \sqrt{D^2 - 2D + 9}. \quad (9)$$

The area of entanglement between this curve and the straight line (9) corresponds to the first nonzero plateau shown on the Fig. 3, as well as the rightmost plateau on Fig. 1, and the leftmost one on Fig. 2. The region below the orange line, on the right of the triple point ($D > -4/3$), corresponds to ground states that are not writable in the form (13), see below.

Notice that the equation defining the orange line, given by (9) for $N = 2$, generally depends on N . Expressions have been obtained analytically for $N = 4$ (not reported here): see Fig. 4(b), showing that the region between the blue and the orange line shrinks. but the explicit determination becomes rapidly cumbersome, already for $N = 6$, showing the need for numerical results. However, certain features can be obtained for general N : in particular, the joint intersection of the three curves, given by $D = -\frac{4}{3}$ and $B = \frac{8}{3}$, is the same for all even values of N . Moreover, we expect that the phase diagram in plots (a) and (b) of Fig. 4 is qualitatively valid for any finite even N . It would be interesting to study the dependence of the region between the blue and the orange line as a function of N , for large N , to ascertain whether it eventually shrinks to zero.

The plot (c) of Fig. 4 illustrates the phase diagram for an odd value of the size of the chain: $N = 3$. We find two curves separating the two phases having vanishing and non-vanishing logarithmic negativity:

$$B = 3 + D \quad \left(\text{for } D > -\frac{3}{5} \right), \quad (10)$$

$$B = \frac{1}{4} \left(\sqrt{4D^2 - 12D + 25} + 2D + 5 \right) \quad \left(\text{for } D < -\frac{3}{5} \right). \quad (11)$$

The third curve, starting from the corner point, and defined for $N = 3$ (plot (c) of Fig. 4) by

$$B = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{4D^2 - 12D + 25} - 1 \right) \quad \left(\text{for } D > -\frac{3}{5} \right). \quad (12)$$

The difference between equations (7) and (10) describing straight lines shows the different behavior of chains with even and odd sizes.




FIG. 4: Ground-state phase diagram in the $B - D$ plane at fixed value $J = 1$. Plot (a) and (b) corresponds to the finite chain of even size $N = 2$ and $N = 4$, while plot (c) corresponds to odd size $N = 3$.

A very interesting behavior of logarithmic negativity occurs on the first non-zero plateau for even sizes of chain. At values of external magnetic field B and single-ion anisotropy D determining this plateau, the ground state wave function is defined follow:

$$\Psi_{GS} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{p=1}^N (-1)^p \left| \underbrace{\downarrow \dots \downarrow}_p 0 \downarrow \dots \downarrow \right\rangle, \quad (13)$$

where only one spin is zero ($|0\rangle$) on position p and all the other spins are down ($|\downarrow\rangle$). The logarithmic negativity LN on this plateau is determined by only single negative eigenvalue of $\rho_{ab}^{T_1}$ (4). This unique property is violated in other regions and also on the higher

plateaus shown in Fig. 1. At variance the structures of the ground state wave functions are more complex for odd N , although we may expect that they have the structure previously discussed on the first non-zero plateau.

IV. LOGARITHMIC NEGATIVITY AT LOW TEMPERATURES

We examine in this section the 1D antiferromagnetic spin-1 Heisenberg XXX model with external magnetic field B and fixed single-ion anisotropy D on the finite chain with sizes $N = 4, 5, 6, 7, 8$ at low temperatures T . The behavior of logarithmic negativity LN as a function of B for nearest, next-nearest and next-next-nearest neighbors is displayed in Fig. 5, 6, 7 at $T = 0.1$ and $J = 1$ for $D = -1, 0, 1$ respectively.

For finite low temperature T , the entanglement disappears in a special point B_s . In contrast of the ground state where the critical point B_c is the same for all values of N , the value B_s might depend on N . Moreover, B_s depends on temperature and in general we find that $B_s > B_c$.

On the other hand, Figs. 5, 6, and 7 show that non-nearest neighbors entanglement only appears after B is larger than the other special point B_e . For the Heisenberg model with spin-1/2, $B_e = B_E$, results for entanglement of next-nearest and next-next-nearest neighbors in the ground state are universal [11]. In our case for the model with spin-1, the values of B_e are different for entanglement of next-nearest and next-next-nearest neighbors (see Figs. 5, 6, 7). The comparison of Fig. 7 (a) and Fig. 8 (where $D = 1$ and $T = 0.5$, with $J = 1$ and $k_B = 1$) clearly shows that the value of B_s depends on temperature.

As we can see from Fig. 9, the behavior of logarithmic negativity LN is as expected qualitatively similar for chains of sizes $N = 5, 7$ and different for the chain of size $N = 6$ (see Fig. 10). We do not provide results here for other even sizes $N = 4$ and $N = 8$, but they are qualitatively similar to $N = 6$.

Now we will consider the behavior of the logarithmic negativity LN of the 1D antiferromagnetic spin-1 Heisenberg XXX model as a function of the single-ion anisotropy parameter D with fixed external magnetic B . The Fig. 11 shows the dependence of the entanglement LN of the nearest neighbors, next-nearest and next-next-nearest neighbors on D with $T = 0.1$ and $B = 4$ for finite chains of sizes $N = 4, 5, 6, 7, 8$. Again we can see from Fig. 11 the behavior of LN is qualitatively similar for chains of even sizes and different for




FIG. 5: The logarithmic negativity LN as a function of the external magnetic field B at $T = 0.1$ with fixed $D = -1$. The upper curve shows LN for nearest neighbors, the middle and lowest curves the same for the next-nearest and next-next-nearest neighbors, respectively. Plot (a) corresponds to the finite chain of size $N = 6$, plot (b) to $N = 8$.




FIG. 6: The same as Fig. 5, but with fixed $D = 0$.

chains of odd sizes.

There is another special point D_s starting from which entanglement exist. The point D_s is the same for the entanglement of the nearest neighbors and for the non nearest neighbors. The value of D_s is found to be weakly dependent on N (see Fig. 11). We have tested this property for various values of external magnetic fixed B at low temperatures.

Let discuss now the properties of logarithmic negativity LN as function of the temperature T for an external magnetic field slightly larger than B_s and at a fixed single-ion anisotropy, for the case of nearest neighbors. In Fig. 12 we plot the dependence of LN on T




FIG. 7: The same as Fig. 5, but with fixed $D = 1$.




FIG. 8: The logarithmic negativity LN as a function of the external magnetic field B for $N = 6$ at single-ion anisotropy $D = 1$ and $T = 0.5$ (with $J = 1$ and $k_B = 1$). Plot (a) shows LN for nearest neighbors plot, while (b) and (c) corresponds to the next-nearest and next-next-nearest neighbors, respectively.

for $N = 4 - 8$ and at fixed single-ion anisotropy ($D = -1, 0, 1$). One sees that the different curves tend to merge at the same value of T , which for the considered parameters, is order of ~ 1.3 . We do not show non nearest-neighbour logarithmic negativity LN , but a similar behaviour is observed. These results can be understood from the previous finding that the logarithmic negativity near B_S is qualitatively the same, so the results depicted in Fig. 12 confirm such a scenario when the field slightly larger than B_S . This is ultimately related to the fact that for B larger than B_S the system is entering a region without entanglement. The figure also shows that LN for even N decreases, while for odd N states increases with N . This is also expected and it takes place also for spin-1/2, see Fig.4 of [11], and it can




FIG. 9: The logarithmic negativity LN as a function of the external magnetic field B and single-ion anisotropy D at $T = 0.1$. Plot (a) corresponds to the finite chain of size $N = 5$, plot (b) to $N = 7$.




FIG. 10: The logarithmic negativity LN as a function of the external magnetic field B and single-ion anisotropy D at $T = 0.1$ for a finite chain of size $N = 6$.

be understood by observing that for large N the logarithmic negativity should tend to the same value irrespectively from the fact N is even or odd.




FIG. 11: The logarithmic negativity LN is plotted as a function of the single-ion anisotropy parameter D for $T = 0.1$ and $B = 4$. The upper curve shows LN for nearest neighbors, the middle and lowest curves show the same for the next-nearest and next-next-nearest neighbors, respectively. Plot (a) corresponds to the finite chain of size $N = 4$, (b) to $N = 6$, (c) to $N = 8$, (d) to $N = 5$, and plot (e) to $N = 7$.




FIG. 12: The nearest-neighbors logarithmic negativity LN as a function of T for various values of N (from top to bottom, $N = 4, N = 6, N = 8, N = 7$ and $N = 5$) at (a) $B = 3.2, D = -1$; (b) $B = 4.2, D = 0$; (c) $B = 5.2, D = 1$.

V. CONCLUSION

The study of logarithmic negativity LN behaviour for nearest and non-nearest neighbors in quantum spin systems is a rich and active field of research. We have investigated here the 1D antiferromagnetic spin-1 Heisenberg XXX model with external magnetic field B and single-ion anisotropy D . We first studied the logarithmic negativity for nearest and non-nearest neighbors on the finite chain with sizes $N = 4, 6$ in the ground state. Critical points B_c and D_c are also found, at which the entanglement measure disappears at zero temperature. The phase diagram is presented in the $B - D$ plane, where the entanglement and non-entanglement phases are separated and a specific triple point is demonstrated. In the ground state, plateaus of logarithmic negativity LN for sizes $N = 4, 6$ are plotted as a function of B and D . The wave function corresponding to first non-zero plateau for all even N is found. It would be interesting as future work to study the ground state wave function for odd N .

A discussion of the behavior of logarithmic negativity LN on finite chains with sizes $N = 4 - 8$ for nearest, next-nearest and next-next-nearest neighbors as a function of B and D is presented at low temperatures. The entanglement disappears at the special point B_s . The latter is larger than the critical point B_c in the ground state and might depend on N . We also discuss the properties of the logarithmic negativity LN as a function of temperature T at an external magnetic field close to B_s , and for a fixed single-ion anisotropy. The difference in behavior logarithmic negativity LN for even and odd chain sizes can be seen in Figs. 9, 10, 11, which is typical for antiferromagnetic interaction. The nearest-neighbor logarithmic negativity increases from zero temperature to $T = 1.4$ and reaches a maximum, which as an example is less than 0.035 for $B = 3.2$, $D = -1$; $B = 4.2$, $D = 0$; $B = 5.2$, $D = 1$ for sizes $N = 4, 6, 8, 7, 5$. For chains of even size, the logarithmic negativity LN as a function of temperature decreases with increasing size N and increases for odd sizes (see Fig. 12).

Our results are based on direct diagonalization of the Hamiltonian on finite chains for $N \leq 8$. We also have briefly mentioned experimental applications. The theoretical results obtained from studying the behavior of logarithmic negativity LN for nearest and non-nearest neighbors at low temperatures may allow, in the near future, the experimental confirmation of interesting effects like the entanglement disappearance in the special point for the external magnetic field B_s and single-ion anisotropy D_s for a spin-1 antiferromagnetic

polymer [37].

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

N.A. acknowledge the receipt of the grant in the frame of the research projects No. SCS 21AG-1C006 and No. SCS 23SC-CNR-1C006. N.A. and A.T. acknowledge support from the CNR/MESRA project "Statistical Physics of Classical and Quantum Non Local Hamiltonians: Phase Diagrams and Renormalization Group".

-
- [1] L. Amico, R. Fazio, A. Osterloh, and V. Vedral, Entanglement in Many-Body Systems, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80 (2008) 517, and references therein.
 - [2] S. Sachdev, Quantum Phase Transitions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
 - [3] U. Glaser, H. Büttner, and H. Fehske, Entanglement and correlation in anisotropic quantum spin systems, Phys. Rev. A 68 (2003) 032318.
 - [4] M. Wieśniak, V. Vedral and Č. Brukner, Magnetic susceptibility as a macroscopic entanglement witness, New J. Phys. 7 (2005) 258.
 - [5] H. Kikuchi, Y. Fujii, M. Chiba et al., Experimental Observation of the 1/3 Magnetization Plateau in the Diamond-Chain Compound $Cu_3(CO_3)_2(OH)_2$, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005) 227201.
 - [6] K. C. Rule, A. U. B. Wolter, S. Söllow et al., Nature of the Spin Dynamics and 1/3 Magnetization Plateau in Azurite, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 117202.
 - [7] F. Aimo, S. Krämer, M. Klanjšek et al., Spin Configuration in the 1/3 Magnetization Plateau of Azurite Determined by NMR, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (2009) 127205.
 - [8] H. Jeschke, I. Opahle, H. Kandpal et al., Multi-step approach to microscopic models for frustrated quantum magnets – the case of the natural mineral azurite, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (2011) 217201.
 - [9] O. Rojas, M. Rojas, N. S. Ananikian, and S. M. de Souza, Thermal entanglement in an exactly solvable Ising-XXZ diamond chain structure, Phys. Rev. A 86 (2012) 042330.
 - [10] N. Ananikian, H. Lazaryan, and M. Nalbandyan, Magnetic and quantum entanglement properties of the distorted diamond chain model for azurite, Eur. Phys. J. B 85 (2012) 223.

- [11] M. C. Arnesen, S. Bose, and V. Vedral, Natural Thermal and Magnetic Entanglement in the 1D Heisenberg Model, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 87 (2001) 017901.
- [12] X. Yan, W. Li, Y. Zhao, Sh.-J. Ran, and G. Su, Phase diagrams, distinct conformal anomalies, and thermodynamics of spin-1 bond-alternating Heisenberg antiferromagnetic chain in magnetic fields, *Phys. Rev. B* 85 (2012) 134425.
- [13] G.-H. Liu, W. Li, W.-L. You, G. Su, and G.-Sh. Tian, Magnetization process and updated phase diagram of one-dimensional $S = 1$ Ising model with single-ion anisotropy under magnetic field, *Solid State Communications* 166 (2013) 38.
- [14] T. Tonegawa, T. Nakao, and M. Kaburagi, Ground-State Phase Diagram and Magnetization Curves of the Spin-1 Antiferromagnetic Heisenberg Chain with Bond Alternation and Uniaxial Single-Ion-Type Anisotropy, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 65 (1996) 3317.
- [15] J. Ren, W.-L. You, and A. M. Oleś, Quantum phase transitions in a spin-1 antiferromagnetic chain with long-range interactions and modulated single-ion anisotropy, *Phys. Rev. B* 102 (2020) 024425.
- [16] Y. Narumi, M. Hagiwara, R. Sato, K. Kindo, H. Nakano, and M. Takahashi, High field magnetization in an $S = 1$ antiferromagnetic chain with bond alternation, *Physica B* 509 (1998) 246-247.
- [17] W. Chen, K. Hida, and B. C. Sanctuary, Ground-state phase diagram of $S = 1$ XXZ chains with uniaxial single-ion-type anisotropy, *Phys. Rev. B* 67 (2003) 104401.
- [18] S. Ejima, F. Lange, and H. Fehske, Quantum criticality in dimerised anisotropic spin-1 chains, *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* 230 (2021) 1009.
- [19] F. D. M. Haldane, Continuum dynamics of the $1 - D$ Heisenberg antiferromagnet: Identification with the $O(3)$ nonlinear sigma model, *Phys. Lett.* 93A (1983) 464.
- [20] S. Hu, B. Normand, X. Wang, and L. Yu, Accurate determination of the Gaussian transition in spin-1 chains with single-ion anisotropy, *Phys. Rev. B* 84 (2011) 220402(R).
- [21] S. C. Furuya, T. Suzuki, S. Takayoshi, Y. Maeda, and M. Oshikawa, Single-ion anisotropy in Haldane chains and the form factor of the $O(3)$ nonlinear sigma model, *Phys. Rev. B* 84 (2011) 180410(R).
- [22] M. den Nijs and K. Rommelse, Preroughening transitions in crystal surfaces and valence-bond phases in quantum spin chains, *Phys. Rev. B* 40 (1989) 4709.
- [23] L. Barbiero, L. Dell'Anna, A. Trombettoni, and V. E. Korepin, Haldane Topological Orders

- in Motzkin Spin Chains, *Phys. Rev. B* 96 (2017) 180404.
- [24] V. S. Abgaryan, N. S. Ananikian, L. N. Ananikyan, and A. N. Kocharian, Phase transitions and entanglement properties in spin-1 Heisenberg clusters with single ion anisotropy, *Phys. Scr.* 83 (2011) 055702.
 - [25] H. Arian Zad, R. Kenna, and N. Ananikian, Magnetic and thermodynamic properties of the octanuclear nickel phosphonate-based cage, *Physica A* 538 (2020) 122841.
 - [26] J. A. Sheikh, A. Adhikary, H. S. Jena, S. Biswas, and S. Konar, High Nuclearity (Octa, Dodeca, and Pentadecanuclear) Metal ($M = \text{CoII}, \text{NiII}$) Phosphonate Cages: Synthesis, Structure, and Magnetic Behavior, *Org. Chem.* 53 (2014) 1606.
 - [27] J. A. Sheikh, H. S. Jena, A. Clearfield, and S. Konar, Phosphonate Based High Nuclearity Magnetic Cages, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 49 (2016) 1093.
 - [28] S. Konar, P. S. Mukherjee, E. Zangrando, F. Lloret, and N. R. Chaudhuri, A Three-Dimensional Homometallic Molecular Ferrimagnet, *Angew. Chem.* 114 (2002) 1631.
 - [29] V.S. Abgaryan, N.S. Ananikian, L.N. Ananikyan, and V. Hovhannisyan, Quantum transitions, magnetization and thermal entanglement of the spin-1 Ising-Heisenberg diamond chain, *Solid State Commun.* 224 (2015) 15.
 - [30] V. Hovhannisyan, J. Strečka, and N. Ananikian, Exactly solvable spin-1 Ising-Heisenberg diamond chain with the second-neighbor interaction between nodal spins, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 28 (2016) 085401.
 - [31] N. Ananikian, R. Artuso, and H. Poghosyan, Superstable cycles and magnetization plateaus for antiferromagnetic spin-1 Ising and Ising–Heisenberg models on diamond chains, *Physica A* 503 (2018) 892.
 - [32] N. Ananikian, Č. Burdík, L. Ananikyan, and H. Poghosyan, Magnetization Plateaus and Thermal Entanglement of Spin Systems, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* 804 (2017) 012002.
 - [33] H. Arian Zad and N. Ananikian, Enhanced magnetocaloric effect in a mixed spin-(1/2, 1) Ising–Heisenberg two-leg ladder with strong–rung interaction, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* 136 (2021) 597.
 - [34] A. Ghannadan and J. Strečka, Magnetic-Field-Orientation Dependent Thermal Entanglement of a Spin-1 Heisenberg Dimer: The Case Study of Dinuclear Nickel Complex with an Uniaxial Single-Ion Anisotropy, *Molecules* 26 (2021) 3420.
 - [35] J. Strečka, M. Jaščur, M. Hagiwara, Y. Narumi, J. Kuchár, S. Kimura, K. J. Kindo, Magnetic

- behavior of a spin-1 dimer: Model system for homodinuclear nickel (II) complexes. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* 66 (2005) 1828.
- [36] A. Escuer, R. Vicente, J. Ribas, J. Jaud, and B. Raynaud, Octahedral μ -oxalato-nickel(II) dinuclear complexes with water and tridentate amines as blocking ligands: Magnetostructural correlations, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 216 (1994) 139.
 - [37] M. Orendáč, A. Orendáčová, J. Černák, A. Feher, P. J. C. Signore, M. W. Meisel, S. Merah, and M. Verdaguer, Thermodynamic and magnetic properties of the $S = 1$ Heisenberg chain $Ni[C_2H_8N_2]_2Ni[CN]_4$: Experiments and theory, *Phys. Rev. B* 52 (1995) 3435.
 - [38] G. Vidal and R. F. Werner, Computable measure of entanglement, *Phys. Rev. A* 65 (2002) 032314.
 - [39] X. Wang, H.-B. Li, Z. Sun, and Y.-Q. Li, Entanglement in spin-1 Heisenberg chains, *J. Phys. A* 38 (2005) 8703.
 - [40] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, and K. Horodecki, Quantum entanglement, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 81 (2009) 865.
 - [41] H. Wang and C. Xiang, The Scaling of Entanglement Entropy for One Spatial XXZ Spin Chain, *JAMP* 4 (2016) 48.
 - [42] G.-H. Liu, L. Qiang, and G.-S. Tian, Entanglement spectrum and magnetization plateaus in an $S = 1$ bond-alternative Heisenberg chain with single-ion anisotropy and magnetic field, *Eur. Phys. J. B* 86 (2013) 321.
 - [43] G.-H. Liu, W. Li, W.-L. You, G.-Sh. Tian, and G. Su, Matrix product state and quantum phase transitions in the one-dimensional extended quantum compass model, *Phys. Rev. B* 85 (2012) 184422.
 - [44] M. Srednicki, Entropy and area, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 71 (1993) 666.
 - [45] P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory, *J. Stat. Mech.* (2004) P06002.
 - [46] G. Gori, S. Paganelli, A. Sharma, P. Sodano, and A. Trombettoni, Explicit Hamiltonians inducing volume law for entanglement entropy in fermionic lattices, *Phys. Rev. B* 91 (2015) 245138 (pp 13).
 - [47] M. B. Plenio, Logarithmic negativity: A full entanglement monotone that is not convex, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 95 (2005) 090503.
 - [48] Y. Javanmard, D. Trapin, S. Bera, J. H. Bardarson, and M. Heyl, Sharp entanglement thresh-

- olds in the logarithmic negativity of disjoint blocks in the transverse-field Ising chain, *New J. Phys.* 20 (2018) 083032.
- [49] Y. Khedif and M. Daoud, Thermal quantum correlations in the two-qubit Heisenberg XYZ spin chain with Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya interaction, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* 36 (2021) 2150074.
- [50] P. Ruggiero, V. Alba, and P. Calabrese, Entanglement negativity in random spin chains, *Phys. Rev. B* 94 (2016) 035152.
- [51] F. Benabdahand and M. Daoud, Dynamics of quantum discord based on linear entropy and negativity of qutrit-qubit system under classical dephasing environments, *Eur. Phys. J. D* 75 (2021) 231.
- [52] K. Karlová, J. Strečka, J. Haniš and M. Hagiwara, Insights into Nature of Magnetization Plateaus of a Nickel Complex $[Ni_4(\mu - CO_3)_2(aetpy)_8](ClO_4)_4$ from a Spin-1 Heisenberg Diamond Cluster, *Magnetochemistry* 6, 4 (2020) 59.
- [53] A. Ghannadan, K. Karlová and J. Strečka, On the Concurrent Bipartite Entanglement of a Spin-1 Heisenberg Diamond Cluster Developed for Tetranuclear Nickel Complexes, *Magnetochemistry* 8, 11 (2022) 56.
- [54] K. Karlová, J. Strečka and M. Hagiwara, Effect of uniaxial single-ion anisotropy on a stability of intermediate magnetization plateaus of a spin-1 Heisenberg diamond cluster, *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials* 542 (2022) 168587.
- [55] C. N. Yang and C. P. Yang, Ground-State Energy of a Heisenberg-Ising Lattice, *Phys. Rev.* 147 (1966) 303.