Quantum bounds on the generalized Lyapunov exponents

Silvia Pappalardi,[a] **Jorge Kurchan**[a]

[a] *Laboratoire de Physique de l'École Normale Supérieure, ENS, Université PSL, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Université de Paris, F-75005 Paris, France*

E-mail: silvia.pappalardi@phys.ens.fr

arXiv:2212.10123v1 [nlin.CD] 20 Dec 2022

Abstract: We discuss the generalized quantum Lyapunov exponents L_q, defined from the growth rate of the powers of the square commutator. They may be related to an appropriately defined thermodynamic limit of the spectrum of the commutator, which plays the role of a large deviation function, obtained from the exponents L_q via a Legendre transform. We show that such exponents obey a generalized bound to chaos due to the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, as already discussed in the literature. The bounds for larger q are actually stronger, placing a limit on the large deviations of chaotic properties. Our findings at infinite temperature are exemplified by a numerical study of the kicked top, a paradigmatic model of quantum chaos.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Classical generalized Lyapunov exponents **3**

3 Quantum generalized Lyapunov exponents at infinite temperature **4**
- 3.1 Properties of the infinite temperature quantum GLE 5
- 3.2 A semi-classical example: the quantum kicked top 6

4 Thermal quantum Generalized exponents **9**
- 4.1 From commutators to OTOCS 9
- 4.2 Product space, Fluctuation-Dissipation Theorem and bound 10
- 4.3 Distribution functions 12

5 Discussion and conclusions **13**

Contents

1 Introduction

Classical chaos is well understood from the sensitivity of the dynamics to respect small changes in the initial conditions, the so-called butterfly effect. This is quantified by the Lyapunov exponent, the rate at which nearby trajectories separate exponentially in time. In the past few years, there has been a lot of attention to quantum chaos and in particular, on the *quantum Lyapunov exponent* λ_L, defined from the intermediate-time exponential growth of the following square-commutator

$$\langle |[A(t), B]|^2 \rangle \sim \epsilon^2 e^{\lambda_L t} \ , \tag{1.1}$$

where ϵ is a small parameter [1]. The interest in this object comes from the fact that λ_L obeys a bound

$$\lambda_L \leq \frac{2\pi}{\beta\hbar} \ . \tag{1.2}$$

This result, now known as the *quantum bound to chaos*, was proved within the high energy community [2], driven to the topic because maximal chaos is attained by models of Black Holes, including the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model (SYK) [3–5]. The interest in these issues has later spread over different communities, from condensed matter to quantum information theory. Recently, the existence of the bound in Eq.(1.2) has been physically rationalized as a consequence of the fluctuation-dissipation theorem (FDT) [6, 7] since the out of time-order correlators (OTOC) appearing in Eq.(1.1) can be mapped into two-time correlation functions in a duplicated Hilbert space.

Actually, both classically and quantum mechanically, the Lyapunov exponent is a distributed quantity: different starting conditions - or different time intervals after the same starting condition - yield different exponents, they are peaked on a 'typical' value and have large (and rare) deviations around, for example when a classical trajectory grazes a regular region. If the distribution is not a delta function, it is referred to as 'multifractal'[8].

A possibility to study the full distribution of Lyapunov exponents is to introduce the generalized Lyapunov exponents (GLE) L_{2q}, defined from the moments of the distribution [1]. It turns out that the *quantum* generalized exponents also satisfy themselves a bound, stated in Ref.[6], that generalizes Eq.(1.2). These bounds are the subject of this paper. We have two motivations: Firstly, they put limitations on the chaotic properties of *rare* protocols, favouring high and low chaoticity, and also allow us to define a Lyapunov exponent for *typical* protocols, actually different from the usual one considered in quantum mechanics. Secondly, a system that approaches the bounds for all the L_{2q} will turn out to be *mono-fractal*, i.e, the large deviation function becomes peaked on a single value, a property we find intriguing.

The quantum generalized Lyapunov exponents are defined by considering the $2q$-th commutator between two operators at different times, and assuming that they scale exponentially with time as

$$G_{2q}(t) = \langle (i[A(t), B)])^{2q} \rangle_\beta \sim \epsilon^{2q}\, e^{L_{2q}^{(\beta)} t} \ , \tag{1.3}$$

where ϵ is a small parameter, two common examples are $\epsilon = \hbar$ (the semiclassical limit) or $\epsilon = N^{-1}$ (as in the SYK model), the latter more relevant here as we shall be interested in thermodynamic models. The thermal average $\langle \bullet \rangle_\beta$ at inverse temperature β may be defined in various ways, as we shall see below.

The rate $L_{2q}^{(\beta)}$ now defines the *quantum thermal* generalized Lyapunov exponent of order q. The usual (quenched) Lyapunov exponent thus is

$$\lambda_1^{(\beta)} = \lim_{q \to 0} \ \frac{L_{2q}^{(\beta)}}{2q} \tag{1.4}$$

On the other hand, the grow-rate of the square-commutator λ_L actually corresponds to the GLE with $q = 1$: $\lambda_L = L_2^{(\beta)}$.

In the quantum realm, the exponential regime holds only at intermediate times, up to the so-called *Ehrenfest time* $t_{\rm Ehr} \sim \ln \epsilon^{-1}$. In actual fact, only when $\lim t_{\rm Ehr} \to \infty$ is the Lyapunov regime unambiguously defined.

Different q-Lyapunovs are dominated by initial conditions having different expansion rates, with larger rates dominating the averages corresponding to larger q. Hence, one must allow for the dependence on the Ehrenfest time itself:

$$t_{\rm Ehr}^{(2q)} = \frac{2q}{L_{2q}} \ln \epsilon^{-1} \quad \text{such that} \quad G_{2q}(t) \sim e^{L_{2q}^{(\beta)} (t - t_{\rm Ehr}^{(2q)})} \ . \tag{1.5}$$

[1] This may lead to confusion. The Lyapunov exponents are classified as *first (maximal), second, ...* according to whether they measure linear, area, and in general k-form expansions [9]. Each is also generalized according to the moment q considered. Here we are considering expansions of linear lengths, and all moments q of the 'first, maximum, Lyapunov exponent', thus $L_{2q}^{(k)}$ for all q and $k = 1$

A crucial assumption we shall make here is that:

$$t_{\rm Ehr}^{(2q)} \leq t_{\rm Ehr}^{(2q')} \qquad \text{for} \qquad q > q' \tag{1.6}$$

Under these assumptions, we show that the following holds

$$\frac{L_{2q}^{(\beta)}}{2q} \leq \frac{\pi}{\beta\hbar} \ . \tag{1.7}$$

This bound was already stated in Ref.[6], without the identification of the rate $L_{2q}^{(\beta)}$ as a quantum GLE and the relation to large deviations. We will also clarify some assumptions on which the derivation [6].

Because we are assuming that $\frac{L_{2q}^{(\beta)}}{2q}$ does not decrease, the bounds for larger q (but always of order one with respect to N) are more stringent. The meaning of this, as the classical discussion below will make clear, is that even rarely expanding conditions are bounded.

2 Classical generalized Lyapunov exponents

Let us briefly review the classical case [9]. Consider the infinitesimal separation $|\mathbf{\Delta}(t)|$ between two trajectories at time t, starting at a point $\mathbf{x_0}$ and $\mathbf{x_0} + \mathbf{\Delta}$:

$$R(t) = \frac{|\mathbf{\Delta}(t)|}{|\mathbf{\Delta}(0)|} \sim e^{\lambda t} \ . \tag{2.1}$$

The rate λ is a function of the initial condition $\mathbf{x_0}$. This quantity grows in time according to an asymptotic exponential law λt with $(\lambda > 0)$ if the system is chaotic, where λ is a function of time which reaches a finite limit at long times. A very long chaotic trajectory will have explored most of the phase space, and the exponential expansion will be sampled from all regions: the value λ then becomes essentially the same for all initial conditions. This fact is encompassed in the Oseledec theorem [10].

The fact that $\ln R(t)$ is a cumulative process over stretches of time with uncorrelated properties leads to the usual argument for the introduction of a large deviation principle, in this case for the probability of a Lyapunov value given a random initial condition:

$$\ln P(\lambda, t) \sim -t \ S(\lambda) \ , \tag{2.2}$$

where S is the large-deviation (Cramér) function. If the system is ergodic, the ensemble of initial conditions may be substituted by the ensemble of initial times along the same trajectory.

The typical Lyapunov exponent λ_1 is given by

$$\lambda_1 \equiv \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \langle \ln R(t) \rangle_\tau \equiv \lim_{t\to\infty} \int d\lambda \ P(\lambda, t)\lambda \tag{2.3}$$

(note that $\lambda = \lambda(t)$).

One can study the $2q$-th moments which, for long enough times, shall grow exponentially as

$$\mathcal{R}_{2q}(t) = \langle R(t)^{2q} \rangle \sim e^{L_{2q}t}, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$L_{2q} = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln\langle R(\tau)^{2q}\rangle \tag{2.5}$$

are called the generalized Lyapunov exponents (GLE) of order $2q$, and characterize the fluctuations of the dynamical system [8], see also Ref.[11]. They are defined as *annealed averages*

$$L_{2q} \equiv \frac{1}{t} \ln \lim_{t\to\infty} \int d\lambda\, P(\lambda,t)\, e^{2q\lambda t} \sim \max_{\lambda} \{-S(\lambda) + 2q\lambda\} \ , \tag{2.6}$$

where we have evaluated the integral over large t using saddle point. The typical Lyapunov exponent is retrieved as the limit

$$\lambda_1 = \lim_{q\to 0} \frac{L_{2q}}{2q} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{dL_{2q}}{dq}\Big|_{q=0} \ . \tag{2.7}$$

The GLE L_{2q} is the Legendre transform of $S(\lambda)$, via Eq.(2.6). As such, also L_{2q} is a convex function of $2q$. Using the property of convex differentiable functions [2] one obtains that L_{2q} obeys two important properties:

1. $L_{2q}/2q$ are an increasing function of the order q

$$\frac{d}{dq}\left(\frac{L_{2q}}{2q}\right) \geq 0 \ ; \tag{2.8}$$

2. the GLEs are always bounded by the linear behaviour

$$L_{2q} \geq 2q\lambda_1 \ . \tag{2.9}$$

The equality $L_{2q} = 2q\lambda_1$ in Eq.(2.9) holds only if $P(\lambda) = \delta(\lambda - \lambda_1)$, namely if the Lyapunov exponent is the same and does not fluctuate, we have *mono-fractality*. Another interesting example is the one of random matrices of dimension D without any structure and with high connectivity, which satisfies $L_{2q} = 2q\lambda_1 + \mathcal{O}(1/D)$ [8]. Otherwise, the system is characterized by *multifractal behaviour*. The higher the moments, the more important the contributions coming from the tales of the distribution. In particular, in the case of a distribution $P(\lambda)$ with a finite support, the limits

$$\lambda_{max/min} = \lim_{q\to\pm\infty} \frac{L_{2q}}{2q} \tag{2.10}$$

select the maximal and minimal expanding rates.

3 Quantum generalized Lyapunov exponents at infinite temperature

Our goal is to extend the definition of generalized Lyapunov exponents to the quantum domain to discuss the bound in Eq.(1.7). Systems with a few degrees of freedom do not lend themselves to the implementation of bounds that depend on temperature, as

[2] A convex function $f(x)$ obeys $f(x) \geq f(y) + f'(y)(x-y)$. Using this property for $x = 2q$ and $y = 0$, one has Eq.(2.9). Using the property for $x = 0$ and $y = 2q$, one has Eq.(2.8).

the canonical ensemble is not particularly useful for them. However, we may understand some other features that are also valid in thermodynamic systems by studying infinite-temperature systems of this kind. In this Section, we define the quantum generalized Lyapunov exponents at infinite temperature and discuss their "convexity" properties. We will see that it is straightforward to interpret the quantum GLE as a probe of the spectral properties of the square-commutator operator.

3.1 Properties of the infinite temperature quantum GLE

Let us first analyze the infinite temperature $2q$-th commutator Eq.(1.3) at infinite temperature

$$G_{2q}^{(0)}(t) = \frac{(-1)^q}{Z} \operatorname{Tr}\left([A(t), B]^{2q}\right) , \tag{3.1}$$

where $Z = \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{1}) = \dim \mathcal{H}$ is given by the Hilbert space dimension. This object generalizes the infinite temperature square-commutator in Eq.(1.1), which has been discussed in a variety of models and it is particularly relevant for dynamical protocols where energy is not conserved (like with periodic driving or in the open system's scenario).

The infinite temperature quantum GLEs are then defined by the exponential growth at intermediate times

$$G_{2q}^{(0)}(t) \sim \epsilon^{2q} e^{L_{2q}^{(0)} t} . \tag{3.2}$$

We now show that $L_{2q}^{(0)}$ obey the same properties as the classical ones (e.g. Eq.(2.8)-(2.8)). Let us re-write Eq.(3.1) as

$$G_{2q}^{(0)}(t) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_i (g_i(t))^{2q} , \tag{3.3}$$

where we have defined $g_i(t)$ the eigenvalues of the square-commutator operator, i.e.

$$-[A(t), B]^2 = \sum_{i_t} (g_i(t))^2 |i_t\rangle\langle i_t| . \tag{3.4}$$

and we have made explicit a factor t so that λ_t may have a finite limit. Some properties of this operator have been studied on specific models, see e.g. Refs.[12, 13]. If the expectation value of the square-commutator grows exponentially (we consider only times before t_{Ehr}), then it is convenient to write each eigenvalue as

$$g_i(t) = e^{\lambda_t^i t} . \tag{3.5}$$

By using $1 = \int d\lambda \delta(\lambda - \lambda_t^i)$, we can re-write Eq.(3.1) as

$$G_{2q}^{(0)}(t) = \int d\lambda \, P(\lambda) e^{2q\lambda t} \tag{3.6}$$

where we have defined the *distribution of the quantum local Lyapunov exponents*

$$P(\lambda) = \sum_i \delta(\lambda_{i_t} - \lambda) . \tag{3.7}$$

Eq.(3.6)-(3.7) show that the $G^{(0)}_{2q}(t)$ are moments since they can be written as an integral of times the powers of a function times a positive function $P(\lambda)$. We can associate to the latter a convex Cramér function $tS(\lambda) \sim \ln P(\lambda)$ as in Eq.(2.2), which gives the Legendre transform of $L^{(0)}_{2q}$. These relations imply the convexity of the quantum GLE at infinite temperature, which results in:

1. $L^{(0)}_{2q}/2q$ is an increasing function of q;
2. the following inequality holds
$$L^{(0)}_{2q} \geq 2q\lambda^{(0)}_1 \ , \tag{3.8}$$
where $\lambda^{(0)}_1 = \lim_{q\to 0} \frac{L^{(0)}_{2q}}{2q}$.

The equality holds in the absence of fluctuations in the spectrum of the square-commutator operator. Such mono-fractal behaviour means that – for the appropriate time's range – the square-commutator operator is close to a constant times the identity matrix.

3.2 A semi-classical example: the quantum kicked top

As an illustrative example, we study a driven model: the quantum kicked top. Since the energy is not conserved, this model is equivalent to a system at infinite temperature. We thus show that $L^{(0)}_{2q}$ satisfies the properties of convexity and of large deviation theory. (In this section we denote $L^{(0)}_{2q} = L_{2q}$, for the sake of clarity.)

The model is described by the time-dependent Hamiltonian

$$H = \alpha S_x + \frac{J}{N} S_z^2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t - n\tau) \ , \tag{3.9}$$

where $S_{x,y,z} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma^i_{x,y,z}$ are collective spin operators. Due to the collective nature of the interactions, for large N the classical limit is approached. One can define an effective Planck constant

$$\hbar = \frac{1}{S} = \frac{2}{N} \tag{3.10}$$

that vanishes in the thermodynamic limit. The stroboscopic time-evolution operator (namely, the time-evolution operator over one period) reads

$$\hat{U} = \hat{U}_J \hat{U}_\alpha \text{ with } \quad U_\alpha = e^{-i\alpha S_x} \ , \ \hat{U}_J = e^{-i\frac{J}{N}S_z^2} \ . \tag{3.11}$$

We fix $\tau = 1$ and $\alpha = \pi/2$. Changing the value of the kicking strength J, this model undergoes a transition between a regular regime and a chaotic one [14, 15]. The dynamics of the square-commutator (1.1) have been extensively explored [16–20].

We consider the strongly chaotic limit by choosing $J = 3.5$ and we look at the infinite temperature state with $\rho = \mathbb{1}/\dim H$, with $\dim H = N + 1$. We study the dynamics of the $G_{2q}(t)$ via exact numerical calculations, specifically via exact diagonalization. We compute




Figure 1. Dynamics of $G_{2q}(t)$ in Eq.(1.3) for different values of $q = 1 \div 19$ as a function of time for $N = 1600$.

the stroboscopic time-evolution of he $2q$-th commutator (1.3) using $\hat{A} = \hat{B} = \hat{S}^z$ at times $t = n\tau = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$.

In Fig.1, we show the dynamics of the $G_{2q}(t)$ for different values of $q = 1 \div 19$. The correlators are rescaled by $\hbar^{2q}$ [with $\hbar = 2/N$] to emphasise the scaling of Eq.(1.3). Each commutator grows exponentially before the Ehrenfest times with a different rate, that corresponds to the quantum GLE L_{2q}. The value of L_{2q} is then fitted and plotted in Fig. 2a, where we display its behaviour as a function of q. It is a convex function of q that satisfies $L_{2q} > 2q\lambda_1$ [cf. Eq.(3.8)], being therefore multifractal. The typical Lyapunov exponent λ_1 is computed as in Fig. 2b, where $L_{2q}/2q$ is plotted as a function of q. The




Figure 2. Generalized Lyapunov exponents fitted from Fig.(1). (Left) L_{2q} as a function of q, contrasted with the actual Lyapunov exponent λ_1 and the maximal expanding rate λ_{max}, obtained by a fit of these data at large q. (Right) $L_{2q}/2q$ as a function of the moment q, from which we extract the maximal Lyapunov exponent λ_1.




Figure 3. Spectrum of the square-commutator $g_i^2(t)$, compared with $G_2(t)$ and the exponential growth with L_2, with the maximal expansion rate λ_{max} and with λ_1 for $N = 1600$.




Figure 4. Large deviation properties of the spectrum of the square-commutator for $N = 1600$. (Left) Numerical distributions of $\lambda_t^i = \ln(g_i(t))/2t$ with $g_i^2(t)$ the eigenvalues of Eq.(3.3) at different times $t = 3, 4, 5$. (Right) $-\ln P(\lambda)/t$ with $P(\lambda)$ the empirical distribution.

extrapolation to $q \to 0$ yields $\lambda_1 = 1.1(1)$, which corresponds to the maximum Lyapunov exponent of the classical model in the chaotic phase $\lambda_{class} = 1.12$, as computed via the Benettin et al. algorithm [21, 22], see e.g. the appendix of Ref.[20]. We also extract the maximal expanding rate $\lambda_{max} = 2.4(1)$ [cf. Eq.(2.10)] from the limit $q \to \infty$, signalling that the distribution of Lyapunov has finite support.

In Fig.3 (shaded lines) the spectrum of the square commutator in Eq.(3.4). Most of the eigenvalues grow exponentially in time before saturation and thus define some local Lyapunov exponents. We compare this behaviour with the standard square-commutator expectation $G_2(t)$ [cf. Eq.(1.1)] (blue dots) which grows exponentially a rate L_2 larger than the maximum Lyapunov λ_1. The figure also shows that the λ_{max} as fitted extracted

in Fig.2 (the dashed black line) corresponds to the maximal expanding rate of the local Lyapunov exponents.

In Fig.4, we show that the local Lyapunov exponents are a large deviation. We consider the coefficients $\lambda_t^i = \ln(g_i)/2t$ from Fig.3 (we divide everything by a constant factor). On the left, we plot their numerical distribution at different times $t = 3, 4, 5$, which shows that converges to a distribution at large t. On the right, we plot $-\ln P(\lambda)/t$ which shall correspond to the smooth convex "Cramer" function at large times, see Eq.(2.2).

4 Thermal quantum Generalized exponents

It is useful to consider the different *regularizations* of the $2q$-th commutator as

$$G_{2q}^{(\beta)}(t) = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\left(\rho^{\frac{1}{4q}} i[A(t), B]\rho^{\frac{1}{4q}}\right)^{2q}\right) , \tag{4.1a}$$

$$\overline{G}_{2q}^{(\beta)}(t) = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\left(i[\rho^{\frac{1}{8q}} A(t)\rho^{\frac{1}{8q}}, \rho^{\frac{1}{8q}} B\rho^{\frac{1}{8q}}]\right)^{2q}\right) . \tag{4.1b}$$

with

$$\rho = e^{-\beta H}/Z_\beta \qquad \text{and} \qquad Z_\beta = \mathrm{Tr} e^{-\beta H} \tag{4.2}$$

Considering $q = 1$ in Eqs.(4.1) we retrieve the standard regularized square-commutators for which the bounds have been proved in Ref.[7]. In Section 4.2 below, we show that the multi-time correlation functions appearing in Eq.(4.1) can be mapped as two-times functions in a replicated Hilbert space of $2q$ copies. This allows one to rationalize the use of such regularizations - that might seem an artificial construction - as fixing the temperature of the different replicas to be the same.

These regularizations define the thermal average introduced in Eq.(1.3), that defines the thermal GLE $L_\beta^{(2q)}$. We consider the situation in which both of the q-th commutators grow exponentially in time as

$$G_{2q}^{(\beta)}(t) \propto \epsilon^{2q} e^{L_{2q}^{(\beta)} t} , \tag{4.3}$$

$$\bar{G}_{2q}^{(\beta)}(t) \propto \epsilon^{2q} e^{L_{2q}^{(\beta)} t} , \tag{4.4}$$

which is valid only for an intermediate time regime

$$t_d \ll t \leq t_{\mathrm{Ehr}}^{(2q)} \equiv \frac{L_{2q}^{(\beta)}}{2q} \ln \epsilon^{-1} . \tag{4.5}$$

4.1 From commutators to OTOCS

Consider the quantities of Equation (4.1). Expanding the commutators, we get a series of OTOC terms containing exactly k times

$$G_{2q}^{(\beta)}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{2q} d_k \, [OTOC]_k \tag{4.6}$$

of the form

$$[OTOC]_k = \langle A_1(t)B_1(0)\dots A_k(t)B_k(0)\rangle_\beta + \text{h.c.}\ , \tag{4.7}$$

where $A_1, B_1, ...$ are powers of A and B and and d_k some coefficients. With these notations, $[OTOC]_1 = \langle A^q(t)B^q(0)\rangle_\beta + \text{h.c.}$ is a function of two times. Out-of-time-order correlators between k operators are sometimes referred to as k-OTOC, see e.g. Refs.[23–26]. We are here interested in understanding their structure in time for exponential growth. Following [2], we assume that there exists some dissipation time t_d, after which two-point functions factorize as $[OTOC]_1 \sim C_q$.

Each $[OTOC]_{2k}$ may encode at most as fast as the corresponding Lyapunov behaviour, during the corresponding Ehrenfest time

$$C_k - [OTOC]_{2k} \propto \epsilon^{2k} e^{L_{2k}t} = e^{L_{2k}(t-t^{(2k)}_{\text{Ehr}})}\ . \tag{4.8}$$

If we evaluate this term at times corresponding to a finite but small fraction of the corresponding Ehrenfest time $t^{(2q)}_{\text{Ehr}}$ we conclude that all the terms with $k < q$ are of lower or equal order, because of the ordering of Ehrenfest times [cf. Eq.(1.6)]. We thus conclude that

$$G^{(\beta)}_{2q}(t) = \text{Tr}\left(\left(\rho^{\frac{1}{4q}} i[A(t),B]\rho^{\frac{1}{4q}}\right)^{2q}\right) \sim C_q - \text{Re Tr}\left(\rho^{\frac{1}{2q}}A(t)B\,\rho^{\frac{1}{2q}}A(t)B\,\dots\rho^{\frac{1}{2q}}A(t)B\right)\ ,$$
$$\overline{G}^{(\beta)}_{2q}(t) = \text{Tr}\left(\left(i[\rho^{\frac{1}{8q}}A(t)\rho^{\frac{1}{8q}}, \rho^{\frac{1}{8q}}B\rho^{\frac{1}{8q}}]\right)^{2q}\right) \sim \overline{C}_q - \text{Tr}\left(\rho^{\frac{1}{4q}}A(t)\rho^{\frac{1}{4q}}B\,\rho^{\frac{1}{4q}}A(t)\rho^{\frac{1}{4q}}B\,\dots\rho^{\frac{1}{4q}}A(t)\rho^{\frac{1}{4q}}B\right)\ ,$$

where the constants $C_q, \bar{C}_q$ are different given the different regularizations.

4.2 Product space, Fluctuation-Dissipation Theorem and bound

In this section, we will show how the multi-time OTOC appearing in the generalized $2q$-th commutators have a simple interpretation as two-times correlation functions in a replicated space. This allows us to easily demonstrate that such OTOC obeys the fluctuation-dissipation theorem (FDT).

Let us consider the following $4q$ point out of time order correlator

$$S_{2q}(t) = \frac{1}{Z_\beta}\text{Tr}\left((\rho^{\frac{1}{2q}}A(t)B)^{2q}\right) = \text{Tr}\left(\rho^{\frac{1}{2q}}A(t)B\,\rho^{\frac{1}{2q}}A(t)B\,\dots\rho^{\frac{1}{2q}}A(t)B\right)\ . \tag{4.10}$$

Now, we re-write it in terms of the spectral representation of the Hamiltonian $H|n\rangle = E_n|n\rangle$ as

$$\begin{aligned} S_{2q}(t) &= \frac{1}{Z_\beta}\sum_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_{2q}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2q}(E_{n_1}+E_{n_2}+\dots E_{n_{2q}})} \quad \times \langle n_1|A(t)B|n_2\rangle\langle n_2|A(t)B|n_3\rangle\dots\langle n_{2q}|A(t)B|n_1\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{Z_\beta}\sum_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_{2q}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2q}(E_{n_1}+E_{n_2}+\dots E_{n_{2q}})} \quad \times \langle n_1 n_2 \dots n_{2q-1}n_{2q}|\mathbb{A}(t)\mathbb{B}|n_2 n_3 \dots n_{2q} n_1\rangle\ , \end{aligned}$$

where we introduced the operators that act in the $2q$-th replicated Hilbert space

$$\mathbb{A}(t) = A(t)\otimes A(t)\dots\otimes A(t)\ , \qquad \mathbb{B} = B\otimes B\dots\otimes B\ , \tag{4.11}$$

and the replicated Hamiltonian

$$\mathbb{H} = H \otimes 1 \cdots \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes H \cdots \otimes 1 + \cdots + 1 \otimes 1 \cdots \otimes H. \tag{4.12}$$

We also define the *cyclic shift operator* $\mathbb{P}$ that permutes cyclically states between the Hilbert spaces as

$$\mathbb{P}|n_1 n_2 \dots n_{2q-1} n_{2q}\rangle = |n_2 n_3 \dots n_q n_1\rangle \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbb{P}^{2q} = 1 \quad , \tag{4.13}$$

$$\mathbb{P}^\dagger|n_1 n_2 \dots n_{2q-1} n_{2q}\rangle = |n_{2q} n_1 n_2 \dots n_{2q-1}\rangle \ . \tag{4.14}$$

Notice that the operator $\mathbb{P}$ is non-hermitian, but we can define $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} = \frac{\mathbb{P}+\mathbb{P}^\dagger}{2}$ that is. $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ also commutes with $\mathbb{A}(t)$, $\mathbb{B}$ and $\mathbb{H}$, so that $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}\mathbb{B}$ is Hermitian.

Let us re-write Eq.(4.11) as

$$S_{2q}(t) = \frac{1}{Z}_\beta \sum_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_{2q}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2q}(E_{n_1}+E_{n_2}+\dots E_{n_{2q}})} \times \frac{1}{2}\Big(\langle n_1 n_2 \dots n_{2q-1} n_{2q}|\mathbb{A}(t)\mathbb{B}|n_2 n_3 \dots n_q n_1\rangle$$
$$+ \langle n_1 n_2 \dots n_{2q-1} n_{2q}|\mathbb{A}(t)\mathbb{B}|n_{2q} n_1 \dots n_{q-2} n_{2q-1}\rangle\Big) \ ,$$

where in the second line we have simply used a different resolution of the identity and a reshuffling of the matrix elements [3]. Therefore we can re-write Eq.(4.10) as

$$S_{2q}(t) = \frac{1}{Z}_\beta \mathrm{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta_{2q}\mathbb{H}}\,\mathbb{A}(t)\mathbb{B}\,\tilde{\mathbb{P}}\right) \tag{4.15}$$

which, besides a normalization, is a standard equilibrium expectation value of a two-time function at inverse temperature $\beta_{2q} = \beta/2q$. This result naturally generalizes the one for four times OTOC, for which $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^\dagger = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}$, as derived in Ref.[7].

Fluctuation-dissipation in the replicated space We may now write the extended KMS relations. We consider

$$\mathbb{C}_{2q}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{Z}\mathrm{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta_{2q}\mathbb{H}}\,\{\mathbb{A}(t),\,\mathbb{B}\,\tilde{\mathbb{P}}\}\right] \ , \tag{4.16a}$$

$$\mathbb{R}_{2q}(t) = \frac{i}{\hbar}\theta(t)\frac{1}{Z}\mathrm{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta_{2q}\mathbb{H}}\,[\mathbb{A}(t),\,\mathbb{B}\,\tilde{\mathbb{P}}]\right] \tag{4.16b}$$

$$\mathbb{F}_{2q}(t) = \frac{1}{Z}\mathrm{Tr}\left[e^{-\frac{\beta_{2q}}{2}\mathbb{H}}\,\mathbb{A}(t)e^{-\frac{\beta_{2q}}{2}\mathbb{H}}\,\mathbb{B}\,\tilde{\mathbb{P}}\right] \tag{4.16c}$$

where $\mathbb{C}_{2q}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{2q}$ are defined as usual from real and imaginary parts of $S_{2q}(t) = \mathbb{C}_{2q}(t) + \hbar(\mathbb{R}_{2q})''(t)$ and correspond to fluctuations and response functions respectively. Instead, the (Whiteman) correlation function $\mathbb{F}_{2q}$ in the original space is

$$\mathbb{F}_{2q}(t) = \mathrm{Tr}\left((\rho^{1/4q}A(t)\rho^{1/4q}B)^{2q}\right) \ . \tag{4.17}$$

[3] We use

$$\langle n_1|A(t)B|n_{2q}\rangle\langle n_{2q}|A(t)B|n_{2q-1}\rangle \dots \langle n_3|A(t)B|n_2\rangle\langle n_2|A(t)B|n_1\rangle$$
$$= \langle n_1|A(t)B|n_{2q}\rangle\langle n_2|A(t)B|n_1\rangle$$
$$\times f\langle n_3|A(t)B|n_2\rangle \dots \langle n_{2q}|A(t)B|n_{2q-1}\rangle \ .$$

We remark that the Fourier transforms of the connected parts of $\mathbb{F}_{2q}$, known as free cumulants, directly encode the energy shell correlations appearing in the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis [27, 28].

The correlation functions defined in Eq.(4.16) obey the FDT at a modified temperature β_{2q} [29]. In the frequency domain, the FDT reads

$$\mathbb{C}_{2q}(\omega) = \cosh(\beta_{2q}\hbar\omega/2)\mathbb{F}_{2q}(\omega) \ , \tag{4.18a}$$

$$\hbar(\mathbb{R}_{2q})''(\omega) = \sinh(\beta_{2q}\hbar\omega/2)\mathbb{F}_{2q}(\omega) \ , \tag{4.18b}$$

equivalent to the standard formulation $\hbar(\mathbb{R}_{2q})''(\omega) = \tanh(\beta_{2q}\hbar\omega/2)C_{2q}(\omega)$. We are interested in correlations in the time domain, hence at the fluctuation-dissipation theorem formulated in the time domain, the t-FDT [7]. In particular, we will use the following relations

$$\mathbb{C}_{2q}(t) = \cos\left(\frac{\beta_{2q}\hbar}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\right)\mathbb{F}_{2q}(t) \ , \tag{4.19a}$$

$$\hbar(\mathbb{R}_{2q})''(t) = \sin\left(\frac{\beta_{2q}\hbar}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\right)\mathbb{F}_{2q}(t) \ . \tag{4.19b}$$

The bound At times small but comparable with $t_{\rm Ehr}^{(2q)}$, the previous arguments showed that the $2q$-OTOC are dominated by the regularized commutators [cf. Eq.(4.9)]

$$C_q - \mathbb{C}_{2q}(t) \sim G_{2q}^{(\beta)}(t) \ , \qquad \bar{C}_q - \mathbb{F}_{2q}(t) \sim \bar{G}_{2q}^{(\beta)}(t)$$

when the behavior is exponential as $\sim \exp[L_{2q}^{(\beta)}(t - t_{\rm Ehr}^{(2q)})]$. The t-FDT in Eq.(4.19a) implies

$$\frac{C_q - \mathbb{C}_{2q}(t)}{\bar{C}_q - \mathbb{F}_{2q}(t)} = \cos\left(\frac{\beta_{2q}\hbar L_{2q}^{(\beta)}}{2}\right) \tag{4.20}$$

The positivity of these coefficients – that follows from the fact that the $2q$-th commutators are positive definite – requires that the GLE must be such that $\cos\left(\frac{\beta_{2q}\hbar}{2}L_{2q}^{(\beta)}\right) \geq 0$. We thus conclude

$$\frac{L_{2q}^{(\beta)}}{2q} \leq \frac{\pi}{\beta\hbar} \ . \tag{4.21}$$

In the models where the Lyapunov depends on temperature [30–32], the cosine above in Eq.(4.20) starts from zero at large temperature and is always in the first quadrant.

The bound on the $2q$-th OTOC rate was previously derived by Tsuji et al. in Ref.[6], by taking Eq.(4.9) as a working assumption. In the section 4.1 above, we have justified it using the ordering of the Ehrenfest times $t_{\rm Ehr}^{(2q)}$.

4.3 Distribution functions

The generalized Lyapunov exponents are the moments of a Lyapunov distribution function, as we have seen in the classical case and for the quantum GLE at infinite temperature. In the case of finite β, the structure is more complex. This is due to the presence of

$q-$dependent thermal matrices ρ in the definition of the regularized powers of commutators in Eqs.(4.1).

Nevertheless, one may define the Legendre transform of the thermal GLE as

$$S(\lambda,\beta) = \max_q (2\lambda q - L_{2q}^{(\beta)}) \ . \tag{4.22}$$

In analogy with the previous cases, we may interpret it as the Cramèr function of an associated large deviation function $P(\lambda,\beta) \sim \exp(S(\lambda,\beta)t)$. As such, it shall obey similar properties as discussed above. In particular, the convexity of $S(\lambda,\beta)$ and $L_{2q}^{(\beta)}$ corresponds with the ordering of the Ehrenfest times in Eq.(1.6) assumed at the beginning. The latter is equivalent to the conditions that

$$\frac{L_{2q}^{(\beta)}}{2q} \quad \text{increasing function of } q. \tag{4.23}$$

It is thus clear, that the quantum bound (4.21) constraints the larger q that are related with the rare large deviations.

5 Discussion and conclusions

In this work, we introduced the quantum generalized Lyapunov exponents that quantify the large deviations of the spectrum of an appropriate operator. First, we discussed their convexity properties at infinite temperatures, which we exemplified on the kicked top. At finite temperatures, the quantum fluctuation-dissipation-theorem (KMS) imposes a bound on their value, thus generalizing the celebrated bound to chaos to multipoint correlations. These bounds set a limit on the large deviations of chaotic properties.

A fascinating point is the interpretation of saturating the bound (1.7) at every q, which implies a form of mono-fractality

$$L_{2q}^{(\beta)} = \frac{\pi\hbar}{\beta} 2q \ . \tag{5.1}$$

Classical examples of mono-fractal behaviour, *i.e. models for which every trajectory has the same Lyapunov exponent*, are the backer map [33] and the free dynamics on the pseudosphere (the surface with constant negative curvature) [34]. What can we learn about the models that saturate the quantum bound (5.1)? A natural expectation is that the SYK model would lie in this class. In this case, it would be interesting to explore the meaning of such quantum mono-fractality in connection to the distinct properties of the model.

Acknowledgments

S. P. has received funding from the European Union's Horizon Europe program under the Marie Sklodowska Curie Action VERMOUTH (Grant No. 101059865).

References

[1] A. Larkin and Y.N. Ovchinnikov, *Quasiclassical method in the theory of superconductivity*, *Sov Phys JETP* **28** (1969) 1200.

[2] J. Maldacena, S.H. Shenker and D. Stanford, *A bound on chaos*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2016** (2016) .

[3] S. Sachdev and J. Ye, *Gapless spin-fluid ground state in a random quantum heisenberg magnet*, *Physical Review Letters* **70** (1993) 3339.

[4] A. Kitaev, *Talk given at the fundamental physics prize symposium*, Nov., 20145.

[5] D. Chowdhury, A. Georges, O. Parcollet and S. Sachdev, *Sachdev-ye-kitaev models and beyond: Window into non-fermi liquids*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **94** (2022) 035004.

[6] N. Tsuji, T. Shitara and M. Ueda, *Bound on the exponential growth rate of out-of-time-ordered correlators*, *Physical Review E* **98** (2018) .

[7] S. Pappalardi, L. Foini and J. Kurchan, *Quantum bounds and fluctuation-dissipation relations*, *SciPost Physics* **12** (2022) 130.

[8] A. Crisanti, G. Paladin and A. Vulpiani, *Generalized lyapunov exponents in high-dimensional chaotic dynamics and products of large random matrices*, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **53** (1988) 583.

[9] A. Vulpiani, F. Cecconi and M. Cencini, *Chaos: from simple models to complex systems*, vol. 17, World Scientific (2009), 10.1142/7351.

[10] V.I. Oledets, *A multiplicative ergodic theorem. characteristic ljapunov, exponents of dynamical systems*, *Trudy Moskovskogo Matematicheskogo Obshchestva* **19** (1968) 179.

[11] R. Benzi, G. Paladin, G. Parisi and A. Vulpiani, *On the multifractal nature of fully developed turbulence and chaotic systems*, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **17** (1984) 3521.

[12] E.B. Rozenbaum, S. Ganeshan and V. Galitski, *Universal level statistics of the out-of-time-ordered operator*, *Phys. Rev. B* **100** (2019) 035112.

[13] H. Gharibyan, M. Hanada, B. Swingle and M. Tezuka, *Quantum lyapunov spectrum*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2019** (2019) .

[14] F. Haake, *Quantum Signatures of Chaos*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg (2010), 10.1007/978-3-642-05428-0.

[15] F. Haake, M. Kuś and R. Scharf, *Classical and quantum chaos for a kicked top*, *Zeitschrift für Physik B Condensed Matter* **65** (1987) 381.

[16] S. Pappalardi, A. Russomanno, B. Žunkovič, F. Iemini, A. Silva and R. Fazio, *Scrambling and entanglement spreading in long-range spin chains*, *Physical Review B* **98** (2018) .

[17] A. Seshadri, V. Madhok and A. Lakshminarayan, *Tripartite mutual information, entanglement, and scrambling in permutation symmetric systems with an application to quantum chaos*, *Phys. Rev. E* **98** (2018) 052205.

[18] S. Pilatowsky-Cameo, J. Chávez-Carlos, M.A. Bastarrachea-Magnani, P. Stránský, S. Lerma-Hernández, L.F. Santos et al., *Positive quantum lyapunov exponents in experimental systems with a regular classical limit*, *Physical Review E* **101** (2020) .

[19] L.M. Sieberer, T. Olsacher, A. Elben, M. Heyl, P. Hauke, F. Haake et al., *Digital quantum simulation, trotter errors, and quantum chaos of the kicked top*, *npj Quantum Information* **5** (2019) .

[20] A. Lerose and S. Pappalardi, *Bridging entanglement dynamics and chaos in semiclassical systems*, *Phys. Rev. A* **102** (2020) 032404.

[21] G. Benettin, L. Galgani, A. Giorgilli and J.-M. Strelcyn, *Lyapunov characteristic exponents for smooth dynamical systems and for hamiltonian systems: a method for computing all of them. part 1: Theory*, *Meccanica* **15** (1980) 9.

[22] G. Benettin, L. Galgani, A. Giorgilli and J.-M. Strelcyn, *Lyapunov characteristic exponents for smooth dynamical systems and for hamiltonian systems: A method for computing all of them. part 2: Numerical application*, *Meccanica* **15** (1980) 21.

[23] D.A. Roberts and B. Yoshida, *Chaos and complexity by design*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2017** (2017) .

[24] F.M. Haehl and M. Rozali, *Effective field theory for chaotic CFTs*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2018** (2018) .

[25] F.M. Haehl and M. Rozali, *Fine-grained chaos in ads_2 gravity*, *Physical Review Letters* **120** (2018) .

[26] A. Bhattacharyya, W. Chemissany, S.S. Haque and B. Yan, *Towards the web of quantum chaos diagnostics*, *The European Physical Journal C* **82** (2022) .

[27] L. Foini and J. Kurchan, *Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis and out of time order correlators*, *Physical Review E* **99** (2019) .

[28] S. Pappalardi, L. Foini and J. Kurchan, *Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis and free probability*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129** (2022) 170603.

[29] N. Tsuji, T. Shitara and M. Ueda, *Out-of-time-order fluctuation-dissipation theorem*, *Phys. Rev. E* **97** (2018) 012101.

[30] J. Maldacena and D. Stanford, *Remarks on the sachdev-ye-kitaev model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **94** (2016) 106002.

[31] J. Kurchan, *Quantum bound to chaos and the semiclassical limit*, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **171** (2018) 965.

[32] S. Pappalardi and J. Kurchan, *Low temperature quantum bounds on simple models*, *SciPost Phys.* **13** (2022) 006.

[33] Y. Aizawa, *Global aspects of the dissipative dynamical systems. i: – statistical identification and fractal properties of the lorenz chaos –*, *Progress of Theoretical Physics* **68** (1982) 64.

[34] N. Balazs and A. Voros, *Chaos on the pseudosphere*, *Physics Reports* **143** (1986) 109.