

# Extended relativistic kinetic model composed of the scalar and two vector distribution functions: Application to the spin-electron-acoustic waves

Pavel A. Andreev\*

*Department of General Physics, Faculty of physics,  
Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russian Federation, 119991.*

(Dated: December 29, 2022)

Detailed deterministic derivation of the kinetic equations for the relativistic plasmas is given. Focus is made on the dynamic of one-coordinate distribution functions of various tensor dimensions, but the closed set of kinetic equations is constructed of three functions: the scalar distribution function, the vector distribution function of dipole moment, and the vector distribution function of velocity (or the dipole moment in the momentum space). All two-coordinate distributions functions are discussed as well. They are presented together with their limits existing in the self-consistent field approximation. The dynamics of the small amplitude spin-electron-acoustic waves in the dense degenerate plasmas is studied within the kinetic model. This work presents the deterministic approach to the derivation and interpretation of the kinetic equations. So, no probability is introduced during the transition from the level of individual particles to the collective functions. Problem of thermalization is not considered, but we can see that the structure of kinetic equations is kept for the system before and after thermalization. Hence, the kinetic equations can be used to approach this item.

Keywords: spin-electron-acoustic waves, microscopic model, kinetic theory, mean-field approximation, relativistic plasmas

## I. INTRODUCTION

Kinetic theory is one of fundamental theories of the macroscopic phenomena in various physical systems [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8]. Particularly, it plays essential role in the plasma physics [9]. Kinetic theory requires proper microscopic derivation, where the evolution of the distribution function is traced from the evolution of individual particles. The distribution function shows the relative positions of all particles in the six dimensional phase space and it can be consistently defined in the deterministic way. So, no probability theory is applied for the derivation or interpretation of the kinetic equations or the distribution function.

Such view on the kinetic theory is the extension of the classical hydrodynamics based on the tracing of the microscopic dynamics of individual particles [10], [11].

Classical mechanics gives us dynamics of particles in the 3N-dimensional configurational space. The model of motion of particles is composed of the individual trajectories. Each of them, formally, takes place in its own three dimensional space. This picture of motion is not obvious if we consider the Newtonian form of mechanics, where we can use our own imagination to place all particles in the single three-dimensional space. However, the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian forms of mechanics show the multidimensional structure of the theory more clearly.

Moreover, the construction of the mathematical model out of trajectories (which are one dimensional mathematical objects) distinguish the classical mechanics from the hydrodynamics or the electrodynamics, which are the

field theories.

The derivation of the hydrodynamics from the mechanics requires to represent the mechanics in the form of the field theory. So, the mechanics would have the same mathematical structure as the electrodynamics, which is essentially important for the dynamics of charged particles.

Refs. [10] and [11] basically present the field form of the classical mechanics, where the dynamics of particles takes form of the dynamics of material fields. Inevitably, the field form of classical mechanics appears in the form of hydrodynamic equations. These are equations of nonequilibrium hydrodynamics which requires further reduction for the particular forms of the collective motion.

Particularly, it leads to the some generalized form of nonequilibrium thermodynamics. And choosing regime of thermal equilibrium allows to get the first law of thermodynamics from the energy evolution equation. The possibility to consider different regimes, like regimes close to the thermal equilibrium, and regimes out of equilibrium, shows that this approach can be used for the study of the thermalization process in the physical systems considering the dynamics of the systems in terms of the collective variables (material fields). It would require proper truncation of the hydrodynamics out of equilibrium considering higher rank material fields to include the mechanism of relaxation in the model. However, it is an open problem which can be addressed within this formulation.

Formulation of the mechanics in terms of the three-dimensional material fields leads to the hydrodynamics form of equations. While we consider the relative positions and relative momentums of all particles we go to the distribution of particles in the six dimensional space (the phase space of coordinate and momentum). This form of

---

\*Electronic address: andreevpa@physics.msu.ru

the classical mechanics is considered in this paper.

If we consider the microscopic evolution of point-like particles we get the following distribution of the particle number in the coordinate space [12]

$$n_m(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{i=1}^N \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)), \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{r}_i(t)$  is the coordinate of  $i$ -th particle. This approach is suggested by Yu.L. Klimontovich [1], [12]. While we use notation  $n_m(\mathbf{r}, t)$  on the left-hand side of equation (1) we should write  $n_m(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_1(t), \dots, \mathbf{r}_N(t))$ . So, the time dependence of function  $n_m$  is directly constructed out of the time evolution of coordinates of particles. The parametrization of the physical space is introduced here  $\mathbf{r}$ . So this form gives us an intermediate step from the mechanics of trajectories to the field form of classical mechanics.

Considering the microscopic distribution (1) different authors applied some form of averaging (see for instance [1], [13]). Sometimes, authors use some unspecified distributions  $\langle n_m \rangle$  (see for instance [1]). Otherwise authors use specific form of averaging via some distribution function (see for instance [13], [14]). Anyway, authors try to impose probabilistic interpretation of the procedure of averaging. Let us mention that the aim of averaging is to make the transition to the macroscopic scale by averaging on the physically infinitesimal volume, but usually it is being replaced by the averaging on some distribution function.

Systematic, nonstatistical, definition of the averaging on the physically infinitesimal volume is suggested by L.S. Kuz'menkov [10] (see also [15], [16])

$$n_e(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)). \quad (2)$$

Equation (2) contains vector  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  which scanning the physically infinitesimal volume (it is illustrated in equation 1). While the physically infinitesimal volume appears as the  $\Delta$ -vicinity of each point of space. It is assumed that  $N$  is the full number of particles in the system. It is composed of two species: electrons with numbers  $i \in [1, N/2]$  and protons  $i \in [N/2 + 1, N]$ . We need to trace the evolution of each species in the system. With no restrictions, we illustrate our derivation on the subsystem of electrons, so subindex  $e$  is dropped for all functions describing electrons in equations below  $n_e \equiv n$ .

Similar background is suggested for the kinetic theory. So, the microscopic distribution function is composed of delta functions in the physical coordinate space and the momentum space

$$f_m(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \sum_{i=1}^N \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_i(t)). \quad (3)$$

Transition to the macroscopic level is made similarly to equation (2). We introduce the physically infinitesimal




FIG. 1: The illustration of the  $\Delta$ -vicinity in the coordinate space.

volume in six-dimensional phase space

$$f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta_p} \times \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\boldsymbol{\xi} d\boldsymbol{\eta} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \delta(\mathbf{p} + \boldsymbol{\eta} - \mathbf{p}_i(t)), \quad (4)$$

where  $d\boldsymbol{\eta}$  is the element of volume in the momentum space, with  $\int_{\Delta_p} d\boldsymbol{\eta}$  integral over  $\Delta_p$ -vicinity in the momentum space,  $\Delta \equiv \Delta_r$  is the delta vicinity in the coordinate space. Recent development of this approach can be found in Refs. [17], [18], [19], [20].

This discussion is about the field form of the classic mechanics. However, similar insight is imposed on the quantum mechanics. So, the formulation of the many-particle quantum mechanics in the form of evolution of the material fields is developed [21], [22], [23], [24], [25], [26], [27], [28].

The discussion presented above is focused on the fundamental background of the hydrodynamics and kinetics, since the theoretical part of this paper is based on the development of the kinetic theory. However, the application of the kinetic theory to the high-density magnetized spin polarized degenerate electron gas is also considered.

Particularly, we focus on the spin-electron-acoustic waves (SEAWs). Existence of the SEAWs in the partially spin-polarized degenerate plasmas was theoretically suggested in 2015 [29]. They appear as the longitudinal waves of density and electric field, which are propagate parallel to the anisotropy direction in the magnetized electron gas [29], [30].

If we have no spin polarization we find single longitudinal wave in the electron gas under assumption of the motionless ions. It is the Langmuir wave, which corresponds to the collective oscillations of electrons relatively motionless ions. If we include the spin-polarization we also find the SEAWs. The physical picture of dynamics is following. It corresponds to relative motion of elec-

trons with different spin projections. The spin polarization manifests itself in different partial concentrations of electrons with the particular spin polarization. Hence, the relative oscillations of electrons with different amplitudes of concentration give the oscillation of small proportion of electrons relatively motionless ions as well [29], [30]. The SEAWs show similarity to the spin-plasmons considered in two-dimensional structures [31], [32], [33], [34], [35], [36], [37], [38], [39], [40]. Recently, the SEAWs have been considered in the degenerate electron gas [41], while the background of applied model is given in Refs. [16], [42], [43].

Increase of the concentration of electrons  $n_{0e}$  up to values, where the Fermi energy is proportional to the rest energy of electron  $\varepsilon_{Fe} = (3\pi^2 n_{0e})^{2/3} \hbar^2 / 2m_e \sim m_e c^2$ , gives noticeable change in the dispersion dependence of the SEAWs. It also corresponds to the change of the dispersion dependence of the Langmuir waves. It shows the decrease of the relative frequency of the Langmuir wave and SEAW. Moreover, the relativistic regime shows the growth of the amplitude of the concentration of the SEAWs relatively the amplitude of the Langmuir waves for the chosen value of the electric field in these waves.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II the method of derivation of the kinetic equation from the microscopic motion is demonstrated and the general structure of the kinetic equation is derived. In Sec. III we present an approximate kinetic model, where the contribution of physically infinitesimal volume is considered in minimal regime called the monopole regime. In Sec. IV the selfconsistent field approximation of monopole regime is considered. In Sec. V the multipole approximation in the relativistic kinetic equation is presented as the generalization of the monopole regime considered in Sec. III. In Sec. VI the selfconsistent field approximation of the multipole approximation is presented. In Sec. VII the kinetic equation for the evolution of the dipole moment vector distribution function is obtained. In Sec. VIII the kinetic equation for the distribution function of velocity is derived. In Sec. IX closed set of three kinetic equations is discussed. In Sec. X the spin-electron-acoustic waves in the spin polarized electron gas of high density are considered. In Sec. XI a brief summary of obtained results is presented.

## II. DERIVATION OF THE VLASOV EQUATION TRACING THE MICROSCOPIC MOTION OF PARTICLES

We need to consider the evolution of the distribution function. It requires to present equation of motion of each particle in full details

$$\dot{\mathbf{p}}_i(t) = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t), \quad (5)$$

where  $\mathbf{p}_i(t) = m_i \mathbf{v}_i(t) / \sqrt{1 - \mathbf{v}_i^2(t)/c^2}$  is the relativistic momentum of  $i$ -th particle, and  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t)$  is the force acting on  $i$ -th particle from the electromagnetic field created by other particles in the system. The equation of motion for each particle appears as the evolution of the momentum under action of the Lorentz force

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t) = \left( q_i \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t) + \frac{1}{c} q_i [\mathbf{v}_i(t), \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t)] \right), \quad (6)$$

where  $\mathbf{E}_i = \mathbf{E}_{i,ext} + \mathbf{E}_{i,int}$ ,  $\mathbf{B}_i = \mathbf{B}_{i,ext} + \mathbf{B}_{i,int}$ ,  $\mathbf{E}_i = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t)$ , and  $\mathbf{B}_i = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t)$ , while the external fields are included along with the field of interaction of particles. The electric  $\mathbf{E}_{i,int}$  and magnetic  $\mathbf{B}_{i,int}$  fields caused by particles surrounding the  $i$ -th particle are  $\mathbf{E}_{i,int} = -\nabla_i \varphi(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t) - \frac{1}{c} \partial_t \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t)$  and  $\mathbf{B}_{i,int} = \nabla_i \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t)$  with [44]

$$\varphi(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t) = \sum_{j \neq i} q_j \int \frac{\delta(t - t' - \frac{1}{c} |\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_j(t')|)}{|\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_j(t')|} dt', \quad (7)$$

and

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t) = \sum_{j \neq i} q_j \int \frac{\delta(t - t' - \frac{1}{c} |\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_j(t')|)}{|\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_j(t')|} \frac{\mathbf{v}_j(t')}{c} dt'. \quad (8)$$

Equations (7) and (8) allow to introduce the Green function of the retarding electromagnetic interaction [44]

$$\tilde{G}_{ij} = \frac{\delta(t - t' - \frac{1}{c} |\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_j(t')|)}{|\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_j(t')|}. \quad (9)$$

We consider the high-temperature plasmas, where electrons (and may be other species) have relativistic temperatures comparable with the rest energy of the electron  $m_e c^2$  (of the particle of corresponding species). Obtaining such huge temperatures of the species would lead to high degree of ionization of the atomic objects. Moreover, the two-particle interactions (collision-like processes) of the high-energy electrons with the ions would change the electron configurations of ions or provide additional ionization. These dynamical processes should contribute in the model. To avoid these complexifications we consider the hydrogen plasmas, where we have electrons and protons only.

To find the equation for evolution of the distribution function (4) we consider the time derivative of this function to obtain the following equation

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + \nabla \cdot \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta_p} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i(t) \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \delta(\mathbf{p} + \boldsymbol{\eta} - \mathbf{p}_i(t)) \\
& + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{p} \cdot \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta_p} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \dot{\mathbf{p}}_i(t) \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \delta(\mathbf{p} + \boldsymbol{\eta} - \mathbf{p}_i(t)) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The second (third) term in this equation appears as the result of action of the time derivative on the delta-function containing the coordinate (the momentum).

The second term in equation (10) contains the following function

$$\langle \mathbf{v}_i(t) \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta_p} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \mathbf{v}_i(t) \delta_{\mathbf{r}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}_i}, \tag{11}$$

where  $\mathbf{v}_i(t) = d\mathbf{r}_i(t)/dt$ ,  $\delta_{\mathbf{r}_i} \equiv \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t))$ , and  $\delta_{\mathbf{p}_i} \equiv \delta(\mathbf{p} + \boldsymbol{\eta} - \mathbf{p}_i(t))$ . For the further representation of this function we apply relativistic expression for the velocity of particle via its momentum  $\mathbf{v}_i(t) = \mathbf{p}_i(t)c/\sqrt{\mathbf{p}_i^2(t) + m_i^2 c^2}$ , where additional replacement of the momentum  $\mathbf{p}_i(t)$  on  $\mathbf{p} + \boldsymbol{\eta}$  can be applied. It gives the representation of function  $\langle \mathbf{v}_i(t) \rangle$ :

$$\langle \mathbf{v}_i(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta_p} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \frac{(\mathbf{p} + \boldsymbol{\eta})c}{\sqrt{(\mathbf{p} + \boldsymbol{\eta})^2 + m_i^2 c^2}} \delta_{\mathbf{r}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}_i}. \tag{12}$$

Here, our goal is the extraction of traditional for the physical kinetics terms  $\mathbf{v} \cdot f$ . Hence, expression (12) is

represented in required form

$$\langle \mathbf{v}_i(t) \rangle = \mathbf{v} \cdot f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t), \tag{13}$$

where

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \equiv \langle \Delta \mathbf{v}_i(t) \rangle \tag{14}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Delta \mathbf{v}_i \rangle \equiv & \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta_p} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \left( \frac{\boldsymbol{\eta} c}{\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m_i^2 c^2}} \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta})c}{(\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m_i^2 c^2})^3} \right) \delta_{\mathbf{r}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}_i},
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

with  $\mathbf{p} = m_s \mathbf{v} / \sqrt{1 - \mathbf{v}^2/c^2}$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{p}c / \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m_s^2 c^2}$ .

We include representation (13) in equation (10). We also include equations of motion of the individual particles (5)-(8) in the last term in equation (10):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + \frac{q_s}{m_s} \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta_p} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \left[ \mathbf{E}_{ext}(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, t) \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{c} [(\mathbf{v} + \Delta \mathbf{v}_i) \times \mathbf{B}_{ext}(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, t)] + q_{s'} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \int dt' \left( -\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\mathbf{v}_j(t')}{c} \partial_t + \frac{1}{c^2} [\mathbf{v}_i(t) \times [\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{v}_j(t')]] \right) G(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_j(t)) \right] \delta_{\mathbf{r}_i} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \delta_{\mathbf{p}_i} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where we use symbol  $\hat{\partial}_t$  instead of the time derivative due to the change of the structure of argument of the Green function  $G$ . We replaced  $\mathbf{r}_i(t)$  by  $\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}$  in the arguments of the external electric field, the external magnetic field, and the Green function using the delta-function  $\delta_{\mathbf{r}_i}$ . Initially the action of the time derivative has the following form

$$\partial_t G(t, t', \mathbf{r}_i(t), \mathbf{r}_j(t')) = \frac{\delta'}{|\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_j(t')|}, \tag{17}$$

where  $\delta'$  is the derivative of the delta function on its argument. It is also can be rewritten in the following form

$$\partial_t G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \frac{\delta'}{|\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}' - \boldsymbol{\xi}'|}, \tag{18}$$

For the introduction of  $\xi'$  see the equations below.

Next, we use representation (13) in terms describing the interaction:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} + \frac{q_s}{m_s} \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta_p} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \left( \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r} + \xi, t) + \frac{1}{c} [(\mathbf{v} + \Delta \mathbf{v}_i) \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r} + \xi, t)] \right) \delta_{\mathbf{r}i} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \delta_{\mathbf{p}i} \\ + \frac{q_s}{m_s} q_{s'} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} \frac{d\xi d\eta d\xi' d\eta'}{\Delta^2 \Delta_p^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \int dt' \left( -\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{(\mathbf{v}' + \Delta \mathbf{v}'_j)}{c} \partial_t \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{c^2} [(\mathbf{v} + \Delta \mathbf{v}_i) \times [(\mathbf{v}' + \Delta \mathbf{v}'_j) \times \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}]] \right) G(\mathbf{r} + \xi - \mathbf{r}' - \xi') \delta_{\mathbf{r}i} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \delta_{\mathbf{p}i} \delta_{\mathbf{r}'j} \delta_{\mathbf{p}'j} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where  $\delta_{\mathbf{r}'j} \equiv \delta(\mathbf{r}' + \xi' - \mathbf{r}_j(t))$ , and  $\delta_{\mathbf{p}'j} \equiv \delta(\mathbf{p}' + \eta' - \mathbf{p}_j(t))$ .

Presented kinetic equation (19) contains the deviation of coordinates  $\xi$  from the center of the  $\Delta$ -vicinity  $\mathbf{r}$ . It also contain the deviation of the velocity  $\Delta \mathbf{v}_i$  from the value corresponding to the center of the  $\Delta_{\mathbf{p}}$ -vicinity in the momentum space  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{p}c/\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m_s^2 c^2}$ . This is the intermediate general representation of the kinetic equation which is considered below under some additional assumptions.

### III. MONOPOLE APPROXIMATION OF THE KINETIC EQUATION FOR THE SCALAR DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION

To consider the monopole approximation of the kinetic equation we need to neglect contribution of  $\xi$ ,  $\xi'$ ,  $\eta$  (including  $\Delta \mathbf{v}_i$ ), and  $\eta'$  (including  $\Delta \mathbf{v}'_j$ ) in dynamical functions. However, on this step, we present the kinetic equation, where the monopole approximation is considered for the space variables only. Hence, we neglect contribution of  $\xi$ , and  $\xi'$ , but we keep the contribution of  $\eta$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} + \frac{q_s}{m_s} \left( \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \frac{1}{c} [\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t)] \right) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} f \\ + \frac{q_s}{m_s c} (\nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot [\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t)]) \\ + \frac{q_s}{m_s} q_{s'} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} \frac{d\xi d\eta d\xi' d\eta'}{\Delta^2 \Delta_p^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \int dt' \left( -\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c^2} \partial_t + \frac{1}{c^2} [\mathbf{v} \times [\nabla \times \mathbf{v}']] \right) G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \delta_{\mathbf{r}i} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \delta_{\mathbf{p}i} \delta_{\mathbf{r}'j} \delta_{\mathbf{p}'j} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Transition from equation (19) to equation (20) includes the transformation like  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r} + \xi, t) \approx \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  and

$$\frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta_p} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) \delta_{\mathbf{r}i} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \delta_{\mathbf{p}i} = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} f, \quad (21)$$

where the electric field  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  is placed outside of the integral, while the rest is the derivative of the distribution function on the momentum.

Equation (20) allows to introduce the two-particle (or in other terms two-coordinate) distribution functions. To the best of my knowledge, majority of papers and books, including my own works, use notion "two-particle distribution functions". It can be confusing to some extent since the model describes the many-particle systems. Hence, the distribution function and the two-particle distribution function actually describe the dynamics of whole system. Sometimes, the two-particle distribution functions are called "two-coordinate distribution functions". It looks more logical since it contains two coordinates  $\mathbf{r}$  and  $\mathbf{r}'$  (or more exactly two coordinates in the phase space  $\{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}\}$  and  $\{\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}'\}$ ).

Let us present the following kinetic equation in the monopole approximation both on coordinate and momentum (we do it due to the technical reasons, since we do not want to introduce several two-coordinate distribution functions, but we show the complete picture below):

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} + \frac{q_s}{m_s} \left( \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} [\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}] \right) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} f \\ + \frac{q_s}{m_s c} (\nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot [\mathbf{F} \times \mathbf{B}]) + \frac{q_s}{m_s} q_{s'} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' \left( -\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c^2} \partial_t + \frac{1}{c^2} [\mathbf{v} \times [\nabla \times \mathbf{v}']] \right) G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} f_2 = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where  $q_{s'} f_2 = q_e f_{2,ee} + q_i f_{2,ei}$  and

$$f_{2,ee}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', t, t') = \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} \frac{d\xi d\eta d\xi' d\eta'}{\Delta^2 \Delta_p^2} \times$$

$$\times \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N/2} \delta_{\mathbf{r}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{r}'_j} \delta_{\mathbf{p}'_j} \equiv \langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle \quad (23)$$

is the two-coordinate distribution function. The compact notation is also introduced for the two-coordinate distribution function constructed of double brackets  $\langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle$ . We use this notation below for other two-coordinate distribution functions.

#### IV. THE SELFCONSISTENT FIELD APPROXIMATION IN CLASSIC MONOPOLE KINETICS FOR THE EQUATION OF EVOLUTION OF SCALAR DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION

In order to consider the selfconsistent field (the mean-field) approximation we need to split the two-coordinate distribution function  $f_{2,ee}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', t, t')$  into the product of two one-coordinate distribution functions  $f_{2,ee}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', t, t') = f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \cdot f(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t')$ . Hence, the term containing interaction in equation (22) reappears in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{q_s}{m_s} \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \cdot \left( -\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') f(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t') \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{c^2} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' \mathbf{v}' \partial_t G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') f(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t') \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{c^2} [\mathbf{v} \times [\nabla \times \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' \mathbf{v}'] G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') f(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t')] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

It allows to introduce the scalar and vector potentials of the self-consistent electromagnetic field

$$\varphi_{int}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') f(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t') \quad (25)$$

and

$$\mathbf{A}_{int}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{c} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' \mathbf{v}' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') f(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'). \quad (26)$$

Some discussion on the selfconsistent field approximation for the kinetics based on the deterministic microscopic motion of particles is gives in Ref. [45] for the nonrelativistic kinetics and hydrodynamics.

So, we find the well-known form of the Vlasov kinetic equation for the system of relativistic particles [46]

$$\partial_t f + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f + q_s \left( \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{p}} = 0, \quad (27)$$

where  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_{ext} + \mathbf{E}_{int}$ ,  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_{ext} + \mathbf{B}_{int}$ , the electric field is caused by the distribution of charges in the coordinate

space:  $\mathbf{E}_{int} = -\nabla \varphi - \partial_t \mathbf{A}_{int}/c$ ,  $\mathbf{B}_{int} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}_{int}$ . Equation (27) appears to be coupled with the electromagnetic field:  $\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_{int} = -\partial_t \mathbf{B}_{int}/c$ ,  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_{int} = 0$ ,

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_{int} = \partial_t \mathbf{E}_{int}/c + (4\pi/c) \sum_s q_s \int \mathbf{v} f_s(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p}, \quad (28)$$

and

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_{int} = 4\pi \sum_s q_s \int f_s(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p}. \quad (29)$$

#### V. MULTIPOLE APPROXIMATION IN THE RELATIVISTIC KINETIC EQUATION FOR THE SCALAR DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION (THE VLASOV EQUATION)

##### A. The interaction of particles with the external electromagnetic field

The multipole expansion of the electric field  $\mathbf{E}_{ext}(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, t)$ , the magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}_{ext}(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, t)$ , and the Green function  $G(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}' + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi}', t - t')$  leads to appearance of new distribution functions of different tensor ranks. Let us introduce these functions before we find they appearance from the expansion of equation (19) on  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\xi}'$  up to the second order on these vectors.

Firstly, we introduce the vector distribution function of the electric dipole moment (divided by the charge  $q_s$ ) or the displacement of particles relatively the center of the  $\Delta$ -vicinity:

$$d^a(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \langle \xi^a \rangle = \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} \frac{d\boldsymbol{\xi} d\boldsymbol{\eta}}{\Delta \Delta_p} \sum_{i=1}^N \xi^a \delta_{\mathbf{r}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}_i}. \quad (30)$$

We also include in our analysis the distribution function of the electric quadrupole moment (divided by the charge  $q_s$ )

$$Q^{ab}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \langle \xi^a \xi^b \rangle = \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} \frac{d\boldsymbol{\xi} d\boldsymbol{\eta}}{\Delta \Delta_p} \sum_{i=1}^N \xi^a \xi^b \delta_{\mathbf{r}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}_i}. \quad (31)$$

Presented functions contain vector  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  scanning the  $\Delta$ -vicinity. Functions (30) and (31) appear as two examples of the infinite set of distribution functions containing different degrees of vector  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  (the product of different number of projections to construct an element of tensor of corresponding rank).

As it is demonstrated by equations (11)-(14) we can find the velocity of particle under integral defining the distribution function. We can also find the product of several projections of the velocity (of the same particle, in order to get one-coordinate distribution function) or the product of the projection of the velocity on the projections of vector  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ .

One of such distribution functions appearing in our derivation is the distribution function of flux of the electric dipole moment (divided by the charge  $q_s$ )

$$J_D^{ab}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \langle v_i^a(t) \xi^b \rangle = \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \frac{1}{\Delta_p^2} \times \\ \times \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta d\xi' d\eta' \sum_{i,j=1, j \neq i}^N v_i^a(t) \xi^b \delta_{\mathbf{r}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{r}'_j} \delta_{\mathbf{p}'_j}. \quad (32)$$

It is more useful to extract the velocity corresponding to the center of  $\Delta_{\mathbf{p}}$ -vicinity in the momentum space, like we make it for  $\langle v_i^a(t) \rangle$  in equations (11)-(14). Therefore, function (32) leads to the following distribution function:

$$j_D^{ab}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \langle \Delta v_i^a(t) \xi^b \rangle = \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \frac{1}{\Delta_p^2} \times \\ \times \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta d\xi' d\eta' \sum_{i,j=1, j \neq i}^N (\Delta v_i^a(t)) \xi^b \delta_{\mathbf{r}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{r}'_j} \delta_{\mathbf{p}'_j}. \quad (33)$$

Let us introduce one more distribution function, which is the third rank tensor. It is the distribution function of flux of the electric quadrupole moment (divided by the charge  $q_s$ )

$$J_Q^{abc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \langle v_i^a(t) \xi^b \xi^c \rangle = \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \frac{1}{\Delta_p^2} \times \\ \times \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta d\xi' d\eta' \sum_{i,j=1, j \neq i}^N v_i^a(t) \xi^b \xi^c \delta_{\mathbf{r}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{r}'_j} \delta_{\mathbf{p}'_j}. \quad (34)$$

For this distribution function, we can also introduce the reduced flux of the electric quadrupole moment on the velocities in the local frame  $\Delta v_i^a(t)$ :  $j_Q^{abc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \langle \Delta v_i^a(t) \xi^b \xi^c \rangle$ .

Functions (30)-(34) appear in the Vlasov-like kinetic equation for the scalar distribution function. Firstly, these functions appear at the analysis of the action of the external electromagnetic field on the system of charged particles. Here, we need to consider the fourth term in equation (19). We apply the expansion of the external electromagnetic field on the deviations of coordinate  $\xi$  from the center of the  $\Delta$ -vicinity of point  $\mathbf{r}$ . This expansion requires slow change of the fields  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  over the diameter  $\sqrt[3]{\Delta}$  of the  $\Delta$ -vicinity. Particularly, if we consider the electromagnetic wave we assume that the wavelength  $\lambda$  is larger than the diameter  $\sqrt[3]{\Delta}$ :  $\lambda \geq \sqrt[3]{\Delta}$ .

For instance, if we consider diameter  $\sqrt[3]{\Delta} \approx 0.1 \mu\text{m}$  we get estimation on the minimal value of the frequency of the electromagnetic wave of order of  $\omega_{max} \sim 10^{16} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . So, we can consider electromagnetic waves with frequencies up to the frequencies of the visible light, but the ultraviolet radiation is out of the range of applicability

of the model. If we deal with the dense medium we can choose the delta-vicinity of the smaller value. So, the range of the ultraviolet radiation can be included in our analysis.

During derivation of the kinetic equations we consider the expansion up to the second order on  $\xi^a$ :  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r} + \xi, t) = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \xi_a \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^a \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) + (1/2) \xi_a \xi_b \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^a \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \dots$ , and  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r} + \xi, t) = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \xi_a \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^a \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) + (1/2) \xi_a \xi_b \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^a \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \dots$ . Hence, the fourth term in equation (19) can be rewritten as

$$\Omega_0 = \frac{q_s}{m_s} \langle (\mathbf{E}_{ext}(\mathbf{r} + \xi, t)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{c} [\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}_{ext}(\mathbf{r} + \xi, t)] + \frac{1}{c} [\Delta \mathbf{v}_i \times \mathbf{B}_{ext}(\mathbf{r} + \xi, t)] \rangle \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle \quad (35)$$

before the expansion. After described expansion we obtain

$$\Omega_0 = \frac{q_s}{m_s} \left\{ \mathbf{E}_{ext}(\mathbf{r}, t) \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + (\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^a \mathbf{E}_{ext}) \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} d^a(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \right. \\ + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^a \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b \mathbf{E}_{ext}) \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} Q^{ab}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + \frac{1}{c} [\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}_{ext}(\mathbf{r}, t)] \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \\ + \frac{1}{c} [\mathbf{v} \times (\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^a \mathbf{B}_{ext})] \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} d^a(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \\ + \frac{1}{c} [\mathbf{v} \times (\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^a \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b \mathbf{B}_{ext})] \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} Q^{ab}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \\ + \frac{1}{c} \varepsilon^{abc} \left[ B_{ext}^b \nabla_{\mathbf{p}}^c F^a(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + (\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^d B_{ext}^b) \nabla_{\mathbf{p}}^c j^{ad}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \right. \\ \left. + (\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^d \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^f B_{ext}^b) \nabla_{\mathbf{p}}^c j^{adf}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \right] \left. \right\}. \quad (36)$$

## B. The interparticle interaction

Let us introduce function  $\Omega$  which presents a term in the kinetic equation describing the interparticle interaction (the last term in equation (19)). We split this term on three parts  $\Omega = \Omega_1 + \Omega_2 + \Omega_3$  which are  $\Omega_1 = -(q_s/m_s) \langle \nabla_i \varphi_{i,int} \cdot \nabla_{p,i} \rangle$ ,  $\Omega_2 = -(q_s/m_s c) \langle \partial_t \mathbf{A}_{i,int} \cdot \nabla_{p,i} \rangle$ ,  $\Omega_3 = (q_s/m_s c) \varepsilon^{abc} \varepsilon^{cfg} \langle v_i^b(t) \partial_i^f A_{i,int}^g \cdot \nabla_{p,i} \rangle$ , where we use short notation for the average on the physically infinitesimal volume, like

$$\langle \nabla_i \varphi_{i,int} \cdot \nabla_{p,i} \rangle = \int_{\Delta_{\mathbf{r}} \Delta_{\mathbf{p}}} \frac{d\xi d\eta}{\Delta_{\mathbf{r}} \Delta_{\mathbf{p}}} \sum_i \nabla_i \varphi_{i,int} \delta_{\mathbf{r}_i} \cdot \nabla_{p,i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}_i}. \quad (37)$$

Here, functions  $\varphi_{i,int}$  and  $\mathbf{A}_{i,int}$  are given by equations (7) and (8).

Let us consider the multipole expansion for each of function  $\Omega_j$  ( $j=1,2,3$ ). We start this presentation with  $\Omega_1$  which can be represented in the following form

$$\Omega_1 = - \int \frac{d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}'}{(\Delta\mathbf{r}\Delta\mathbf{p})^2} \int dt' \int_{\Delta\mathbf{r}\Delta\mathbf{p}} d\boldsymbol{\xi} d\boldsymbol{\eta} \int_{\Delta\mathbf{r}'\Delta\mathbf{p}'} d\boldsymbol{\xi}' d\boldsymbol{\eta}' \times \\ \times \sum_{i,j,j \neq i} \frac{q_i q_j}{m_s} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \left( G(t, t', \mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{r}' + \boldsymbol{\xi}') \right) \delta_{\mathbf{r}i} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p},i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}i} \delta_{\mathbf{r}'j} \delta_{\mathbf{p}'j}. \quad (38)$$

We make expansion of the Green functions on  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\xi}'$ . We also expand the velocity of particle  $v_i^b$  on the deviation from the average velocity  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ . For the Green function  $G(t, t', \mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{r}' + \boldsymbol{\xi}')$  we have  $G = G_0 + \xi^b G_{1,b} + (1/2)\xi^b \xi^c G_{2,bc}$ , where  $G_0 = \delta(t - t' - |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|/c)/|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|$ ,  $G_{1,b} = \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b G_0$ , and  $G_{2,bc} = \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b \partial_{\mathbf{r}'}^c G_0$ .

Function  $\Omega_1$  can be represented in terms of two-coordinate distribution functions:

$$\Omega_1 = - \frac{q_s q_{s'}}{m_s} \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' \left[ (\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} G_0) f_{2,ss'}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', t, t') \right. \\ \left. + (\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} G_1^b) \left( d_{2,ss'}^b(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', t, t') - d_{2,ss'}^b(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', t, t') \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} G_2^{bc}) \left( q_{2,ss'}^{bc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', t, t') + q_{2,ss'}^{bc}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', t, t') \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - D_{2,ss'}^{bc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', t, t') - D_{2,ss'}^{bc}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', t, t') \right) \right], \quad (39)$$

where we use the following notations for the two-coordinate distribution functions

$$d_{2,ss'}^b(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', t, t') \equiv \langle \langle \xi^b \rangle \rangle \rightarrow d_s^b(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \cdot f_{s'}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'), \quad (40)$$

$$q_{2,ss'}^{bc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', t, t') \equiv \langle \langle \xi^b \xi^c \rangle \rangle \rightarrow q_s^{bc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \cdot f_{s'}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'), \quad (41)$$

and

$$D_{2,ss'}^{bc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', t, t') \equiv \langle \langle \xi^b \xi'^c \rangle \rangle \rightarrow d_s^b(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \cdot d_{s'}^c(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'). \quad (42)$$

Potential part of the electric force  $q_s \mathbf{E}$  of the interparticle interaction is presented in terms of the two-coordinate distribution function. Next, we make same representation for the part of the electric force  $q_s \mathbf{E}$  expressed via the vector potential. Therefore, let us demonstrate the explicit form of  $\Omega_2$ :

$$\Omega_2 = - \int \frac{d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}'}{(\Delta\mathbf{r}\Delta\mathbf{p})^2} \int dt' \int_{\Delta\mathbf{r}\Delta\mathbf{p}} d\boldsymbol{\xi} d\boldsymbol{\eta} \int_{\Delta\mathbf{r}'\Delta\mathbf{p}'} d\boldsymbol{\xi}' d\boldsymbol{\eta}' \times \\ \times \sum_{i,j,j \neq i} \frac{q_i q_j}{m_s c^2} \partial_t \left( \mathbf{v}_j(t') G(t, t', \mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{r}' + \boldsymbol{\xi}') \right) \delta_{\mathbf{r}i} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p},i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}i} \delta_{\mathbf{r}'j} \delta_{\mathbf{p}'j}. \quad (43)$$

Function  $\Omega_2$  can be approximately represented in terms of two-coordinate distribution functions after expansion on  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\xi}'$ :

$$\Omega_2 = - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{q_s q_{s'}}{m_s} \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^a \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' \left[ (\partial_t G_0) \langle \langle v_i^a(t) \rangle \rangle \right. \\ \left. + (\partial_t G_1^b) \left( \langle \langle \xi^b v_j^a(t') \rangle \rangle - \langle \langle \xi'^b v_j^a(t') \rangle \rangle \right) + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_t G_2^{bc}) \times \right. \\ \left. \times \left( \langle \langle \xi^b \xi^c v_j^a(t') \rangle \rangle + \langle \langle \xi'^b \xi'^c v_j^a(t') \rangle \rangle - 2 \langle \langle \xi^b \xi'^c v_j^a(t') \rangle \rangle \right) \right], \quad (44)$$

where we use the following notations for the two-coordinate distribution functions

$$\langle \langle v_i^a(t) \rangle \rangle \rightarrow j_s^a(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \cdot f_{s'}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'), \quad (45)$$

$$\langle \langle v_j^a(t') \rangle \rangle \rightarrow f_s(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \cdot j_{s'}^a(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'), \quad (46)$$

$$\langle \langle \xi^b v_i^a(t) \rangle \rangle \rightarrow J_{D,s}^{ab}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \cdot f_{s'}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'), \quad (47)$$

$$\langle \langle \xi^b v_j^a(t') \rangle \rangle \rightarrow d_s^b(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \cdot j_{s'}^a(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'), \quad (48)$$

$$\langle \langle \xi'^b v_j^a(t') \rangle \rangle \rightarrow f_s(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \cdot J_{D,s'}^{ab}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'), \quad (49)$$

$$\langle \langle \xi^b \xi^c v_j^a(t') \rangle \rangle \rightarrow q_s^{bc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \cdot j_{s'}^a(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'), \quad (50)$$

$$\langle \langle \xi'^b \xi'^c v_j^a(t') \rangle \rangle \rightarrow f_s(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \cdot J_{Q,s'}^{abc}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'), \quad (51)$$

and

$$\langle \langle \xi^b \xi'^c v_j^a(t') \rangle \rangle \rightarrow d_s^b(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \cdot J_{D,s'}^{ac}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'). \quad (52)$$

Finally, we present the explicit form of the magnetic part of the interparticle interaction:

$$\Omega_3 = \frac{1}{c^2} \varepsilon^{abc} \varepsilon^{cfg} \frac{1}{(\Delta\mathbf{r}\Delta\mathbf{p})^2} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' \\ \int_{\Delta\mathbf{r}\Delta\mathbf{p}} d\boldsymbol{\xi} d\boldsymbol{\eta} \int_{\Delta\mathbf{r}'\Delta\mathbf{p}'} d\boldsymbol{\xi}' d\boldsymbol{\eta}' \sum_{i,j,j \neq i} \frac{q_i q_j}{m_s} v_i^b(t) v_j^g(t') \times \\ \times \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^f \left( G(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{r}' + \boldsymbol{\xi}') \right) \delta_{\mathbf{r}i} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{p},i}^a \delta_{\mathbf{p}i} \delta_{\mathbf{r}'j} \delta_{\mathbf{p}'j} \quad (53)$$

Here, we make expansion on  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\xi}'$  and make interpretation of found terms via the two-coordinate distribution functions:

$$\Omega_3 = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{q_s q_{s'}}{m_s} \varepsilon^{abc} \varepsilon^{cfg} \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^a \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' \left[ (\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^f G_0) \langle \langle v_i^b(t) v_j^g(t') \rangle \rangle \right]$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& +(\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^f G_1^k) \left( \langle \langle \xi^k v_i^b(t) v_j^g(t') \rangle \rangle - \langle \langle \xi'^k v_i^b(t) v_j^g(t') \rangle \rangle \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^f G_2^{kl}) \left( \langle \langle \xi^k \xi^l v_i^b(t) v_j^g(t') \rangle \rangle \right. \\
& \left. + \langle \langle \xi'^k \xi'^l v_i^b(t) v_j^g(t') \rangle \rangle - 2 \langle \langle \xi^k \xi'^l v_i^b(t) v_j^g(t') \rangle \rangle \right), \quad (54)
\end{aligned}$$

where we use no specific notations for the two-coordinate distribution functions since we have two many two-coordinate distribution functions. We have no reason to use special symbol for each of them. We also have following limits for the self-consistent field approximation:

$$\langle \langle v_i^b(t) v_j^g(t') \rangle \rangle \rightarrow j^b(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \cdot j^g(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'), \quad (55)$$

$$\langle \langle \xi^a v_i^b(t) v_j^g(t') \rangle \rangle \rightarrow J_D^{ba}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \cdot j^g(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'), \quad (56)$$

$$\langle \langle \xi'^a v_i^b(t) v_j^g(t') \rangle \rangle \rightarrow j^b(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \cdot J_D^{ga}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'), \quad (57)$$

$$\langle \langle \xi^s \xi^l v_i^b(t) v_j^g(t') \rangle \rangle \rightarrow J_Q^{sl}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \cdot j^g(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'), \quad (58)$$

$$\langle \langle \xi'^s \xi'^l v_i^b(t) v_j^g(t') \rangle \rangle \rightarrow j^b(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \cdot J_Q^{sl}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'), \quad (59)$$

and

$$\langle \langle \xi^s \xi'^l v_i^b(t) v_j^g(t') \rangle \rangle \rightarrow J_D^{bs}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \cdot J_D^{gl}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'). \quad (60)$$

To conclude this section, we point out that we made the generalization of equation (22) up to the account of the quadrupole moment distribution function in the multipole expansion while equation (22) includes the distribution of charge (and currents as well) in the monopole approximation. Presented generalization is demonstrated by four terms labeled as  $\Omega_0$ ,  $\Omega_1$ ,  $\Omega_2$ , and  $\Omega_3$ .

## VI. SELFCONSISTENT FIELD APPROXIMATION IN THE MULTIPOLE DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION EVOLUTION EQUATION

We consider the dynamics of charged particles. Therefore, the mean-field or the self-consistent field approximation gives the major contribution in the dynamics of system. Hence, we consider all two-coordinate distribution functions as the product of the corresponding one-coordinate distribution functions. Therefore, we combine equations (36), (39), (44), (54) represented in the selfconsistent field approximation and find the following equation for the scalar distribution function:

$$\partial_t f_s + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f_s + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}_s + q_s \left( \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial f_s}{\partial \mathbf{p}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + q_s \left( \partial^b \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \partial^b \mathbf{B} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial d_s^b}{\partial \mathbf{p}} + q_s \left( \partial^b \partial^c \mathbf{E} \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{q_s}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \partial^b \partial^c \mathbf{B} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial Q_s}{\partial \mathbf{p}} + \frac{q_s}{c} \varepsilon^{abc} \left( B^c \cdot \partial_a \mathbf{p} F_s^b \right. \\
& \left. + \partial^d B^c \cdot \partial_a \mathbf{p} j_{D,s}^{bd} + \partial^d \partial^f B^c \cdot \partial_a \mathbf{p} j_{Q,s}^{bdf} \right) = 0, \quad (61)
\end{aligned}$$

where the velocity is extracted from functions  $j_s^a$ ,  $j_{D,s}^{ab}$ , and  $j_{Q,s}^{abc}$ . We also have  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_{ext} + \mathbf{E}_{int}$ , and  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_{ext} + \mathbf{B}_{int}$ .

The integral terms presenting the sources of the electromagnetic field are written as  $\mathbf{E}_{int}$  and  $\mathbf{B}_{int}$ , while these functions obey the Maxwell equations in accordance with the explicitly found integral expressions for the electromagnetic field  $\{\mathbf{E}_{int}, \mathbf{B}_{int}\}$  via the one-coordinate distribution functions:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_{int} = 0, \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_{int} = -\frac{1}{c} \partial_t \mathbf{B}_{int}, \quad (62)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_{int})^a = \frac{1}{c} \partial_t E_{int}^a + \frac{4\pi}{c} \sum_s q_s \left( \int j_s^a(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p} \right. \\
& \left. + \partial^b \int J_{s,D}^{ab}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p} + \frac{1}{2} \partial^b \partial^c \int J_{s,Q}^{abc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p} + \dots \right), \quad (63)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_{int} = 4\pi \sum_s q_s \left( \int f_s(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p} \right. \\
& \left. + \partial^b \int d_s^b(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p} + \frac{1}{2} \partial^b \partial^c \int Q_s^{bc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p} + \dots \right). \quad (64)
\end{aligned}$$

The selfconsistent field approximation shows that all introduced distribution functions appear as the sources of the electromagnetic field in the Maxwell equations.

## VII. EQUATION FOR THE EVOLUTION OF THE DIPOLE MOMENT DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION

Let us repeat the definition of the distribution function of dipole moment

$$d^a(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta_p} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta \sum_{i=1}^N \xi^a \delta_{\mathbf{r}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}_i} \quad (65)$$

If we want to consider the evolution of the distribution function of dipole moment we can consider the time derivative of function  $\langle \xi^a \rangle$  (65). We can consider alternative function  $\langle r^a(t) \rangle = r^a f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + \langle \xi^a \rangle$ .

The time derivative of function (65) has the following structure

$$\partial_t d^a + \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b \langle \xi^a v_{i,b}(t) \rangle + \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b \langle \xi^a \dot{p}_{i,b}(t) \rangle = 0. \quad (66)$$

The second term in this kinetic equation can be represented via functions (32) or (33). While the last term

in this equation requires longer discussion similar to the analysis of interaction in the Vlasov equation presented above.

Substituting the time derivative of the momentum  $\dot{p}_i^b$  into equation (66) (equation of motion of each particle is given by equation (5))-(8) we obtain

---


$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t d^a + \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b J_D^{ba} + q_s [\langle \xi^a E_{ext}^b(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, t) \partial_{b,\mathbf{p}} \rangle + \frac{1}{c} \varepsilon^{bcd} \langle \xi^a v_i^c(t) B_{ext}^d(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, t) \partial_{b,\mathbf{p}} \rangle] \\ & - q_s q_{s'} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' \langle \langle \xi^a (\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b G(t, t', \mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{r}' + \boldsymbol{\xi}')) \partial_{b,\mathbf{p}} \rangle \rangle - \frac{q_s q_{s'}}{c^2} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' \langle \langle \xi^a v_j^b(t') (\partial_t G(t, t', \mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{r}' + \boldsymbol{\xi}')) \partial_{b,\mathbf{p}} \rangle \rangle \\ & + \frac{q_s q_{s'}}{c^2} \varepsilon^{bcd} \varepsilon^{dfg} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' \langle \langle \xi^a v_i^c(t) v_j^g(t') (\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^f G(t, t', \mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{r}' + \boldsymbol{\xi}')) \partial_{b,\mathbf{p}} \rangle \rangle = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

Next, we make expansion on  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\xi}'$  in order to get the multipole expansion. We keep terms up to the electric quadrupole moment and find:

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t d^a + \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b J_D^{ba} + q_s \left[ E_{ext}^b(\mathbf{r}, t) \partial_{b,\mathbf{p}} \langle \xi^a \rangle + (\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^c E_{ext}^b(\mathbf{r}, t)) \partial_{b,\mathbf{p}} \langle \xi^a \xi^c \rangle + \frac{1}{c} \varepsilon^{bcd} B_{ext}^d(\mathbf{r}, t) \partial_{b,\mathbf{p}} \langle \xi^a v_i^c(t) \rangle \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{c} \varepsilon^{bcd} (\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^f B_{ext}^d(\mathbf{r}, t)) \partial_{b,\mathbf{p}} \langle \xi^a \xi^f v_i^c(t) \rangle \right] - q_s q_{s'} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' (\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')) \partial_{b,\mathbf{p}} \langle \langle \xi^a \rangle \rangle \\ & - q_s q_{s'} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' (\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^c G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')) \partial_{b,\mathbf{p}} \langle \langle \xi^a \xi^c \rangle \rangle + q_s q_{s'} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' (\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^c G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')) \partial_{b,\mathbf{p}} \langle \langle \xi^a \xi'^c \rangle \rangle \\ & - \frac{q_s q_{s'}}{c^2} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' (\partial_t G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')) \partial_{b,\mathbf{p}} \langle \langle \xi^a v_j^b(t') \rangle \rangle - \frac{q_s q_{s'}}{c^2} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' (\partial_t \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^c G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')) \partial_{b,\mathbf{p}} \langle \langle \xi^a \xi^c v_j^b(t') \rangle \rangle \\ & + \frac{q_s q_{s'}}{c^2} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' (\partial_t \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^c G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')) \partial_{b,\mathbf{p}} \langle \langle \xi^a \xi'^c v_j^b(t') \rangle \rangle + \frac{q_s q_{s'}}{c^2} \varepsilon^{bcd} \varepsilon^{dfg} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' (\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^f G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')) \times \\ & \times \partial_{b,\mathbf{p}} \langle \langle \xi^a v_i^c(t) v_j^g(t') \rangle \rangle + \frac{q_s q_{s'}}{c^2} \varepsilon^{bcd} \varepsilon^{dfg} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' (\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^f \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^l G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')) \partial_{b,\mathbf{p}} \langle \langle \xi^a \xi^l v_i^c(t) v_j^g(t') \rangle \rangle \\ & - \frac{q_s q_{s'}}{c^2} \varepsilon^{bcd} \varepsilon^{dfg} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' (\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^f \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^l G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')) \partial_{b,\mathbf{p}} \langle \langle \xi^a \xi'^l v_i^c(t) v_j^g(t') \rangle \rangle = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

The two-coordinate distribution functions are presented as the double brackets of the corresponding values with no usage of specific notations since part of them are introduced above, while part of them get no specific notation. Here, we make transition to the self-consistent field approximation. To this end, we split the two-coordinate distribution functions on the product of one-coordinate distribution functions (we do it in the same way as it is done above for the equation of evolution of the scalar distribution function)

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t d^a + \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b J_D^{ba} + q_s (\partial_{b,\mathbf{p}} d^a) \left[ E_{ext}^b(\mathbf{r}, t) - q_s \left( \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') f(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t') \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{c^2} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' \partial_t G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \langle v_j^b(t') \rangle (\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}') \right] + q_s \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^c \left( \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') d^c(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t') \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{c^2} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' \partial_t G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') J_D^{bc}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t') \Big) \Big] + q_s (\partial_b \mathbf{p} q^{ac}) \Big[ \partial_{c, \mathbf{r}} E_{ext}^b(\mathbf{r}, t) \\
& - q_s \partial_{c, \mathbf{r}} \Big( \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') f(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t') + \frac{1}{c^2} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' \partial_t G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \langle v_j^b(t') \rangle(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}') \Big) \Big] + \frac{q_s}{c} \varepsilon^{bcd} (\partial_b \mathbf{p} J_D^{ca}) \times \\
& \times \Big[ B_{ext}^d + \frac{q_{s'}}{c} \varepsilon^{dfg} \partial_{f, \mathbf{r}} \Big( \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \langle v_j^g(t') \rangle(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}') - \partial_{l, \mathbf{r}} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') J_D^{gl}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t') \Big) \Big] \\
& + \frac{q_s}{c} \varepsilon^{bcd} (\partial_b \mathbf{p} J_Q^{caf}) \Big[ \partial_{f, \mathbf{r}} B_{ext}^d + \frac{q_{s'}}{c} \varepsilon^{dfg} \partial_{f, \mathbf{r}} \partial_{l, \mathbf{r}} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \langle v_j^g(t') \rangle(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}') \Big] = 0. \quad (69)
\end{aligned}$$

On this stage we can introduce the averaged scalar and vector potentials of the electromagnetic field and corresponding representation of the integral terms within  $\mathbf{E}_{int}$  and  $\mathbf{B}_{int}$ . This electromagnetic field obeys the Maxwell equations (62), (63), (64).

Finally, equation (69) is represented in terms of  $\mathbf{E}_{int}$  and  $\mathbf{B}_{int}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t d^a + \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b J_D^{ba} + q_s (\partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b d^a) E^b + q_s (\partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b q^{ac}) \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^c E^b \\
& + \frac{q_s}{c} \varepsilon^{bcd} (\partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b J_D^{ca}) B^d + \frac{q_s}{c} \varepsilon^{bcd} (\partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b J_Q^{caf}) \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^f B^d = 0. \quad (70)
\end{aligned}$$

### VIII. EQUATION FOR THE DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION OF VELOCITY

Let us repeat the definition of the considering vector distribution function (11)

$$\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \equiv \langle \mathbf{v}_i(t) \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta_p} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\boldsymbol{\xi} d\boldsymbol{\eta} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \mathbf{v}_i(t) \delta_{\mathbf{r}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}_i}, \quad (71)$$

If we consider the evolution of function (71) we would have four terms in the initial form of the kinetic equation

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \partial_t \langle \mathbf{v}_i(t) \rangle \\
& = \langle \dot{\mathbf{v}}_i(t) \rangle - \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b \langle \mathbf{v}_i(t) v_i^b(t) \rangle - \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b \langle \mathbf{v}_i(t) p_i^b(t) \rangle. \quad (72)
\end{aligned}$$

However, we can represent the velocity of each particle  $\mathbf{v}_i(t)$  via parameters  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$  which do not depend on time (see equation (12))

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \partial_t \langle \mathbf{v} + \Delta \mathbf{v} \rangle \\
& = -\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b \langle \mathbf{v}_i(t) v_i^b(t) \rangle - \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b \langle \mathbf{v}_i(t) p_i^b(t) \rangle. \quad (73)
\end{aligned}$$

Equation (72) can be simplified to equation (72) using the kinetic equation for the scalar distribution function  $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$ .

We consider equation (73) in more details

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t j^a(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b \langle v_i^a(t) v_i^b(t) \rangle \\
& + q_s \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b \langle v_i^a E_i^b(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}) + \varepsilon^{bcd} v_i^a v_i^c B_i^d(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}) \rangle \\
& - q_s q_{s'} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' \langle \langle v_i^a(t) \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b G(t, t', \mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{r}' + \boldsymbol{\xi}') \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b \rangle \rangle \\
& - \frac{q_s q_{s'}}{c^2} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' \langle \langle v_i^a(t) v_j^b(t') \partial_t G(t, t', \mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{r}' + \boldsymbol{\xi}') \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b \rangle \rangle \\
& + \frac{q_s q_{s'}}{c^2} \varepsilon^{bcd} \varepsilon^{dfg} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' \times \\
& \times \langle \langle v_i^a(t) v_i^c(t) v_j^g(t') \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^f G(t, t', \mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{r}' + \boldsymbol{\xi}') \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b \rangle \rangle = 0. \quad (74)
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we represent equation (74) via the multipole expansion

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t j^a(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b \langle v_i^a(t) v_i^b(t) \rangle + q_s \Big( E^b(\mathbf{r}, t) \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b \langle v_i^a \rangle \\
& + (\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^c E^b) \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b \langle v_i^a \xi^c \rangle + \varepsilon^{bcd} B^d \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b \langle v_i^a v_i^c \rangle + \varepsilon^{bcd} (\partial^f B^d) \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b \langle v_i^a v_i^c \xi^f \rangle \Big) \\
& - q_s q_{s'} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b \langle \langle v_i^a(t) \rangle \rangle \\
& - q_s q_{s'} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^c G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b \langle \langle \xi^c v_i^a(t) \rangle \rangle \\
& + q_s q_{s'} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^c G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b \langle \langle \xi'^c v_i^a(t) \rangle \rangle \\
& - \frac{q_s q_{s'}}{c^2} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' \partial_t G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b \langle \langle v_i^a(t) v_j^b(t') \rangle \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{q_s q_{s'}}{c^2} \varepsilon^{bcd} \varepsilon^{dfg} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' \times \\
& \times \partial_r^f G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \partial_p^b \langle \langle v_i^a(t) v_i^c(t) v_j^g(t') \rangle \rangle \\
& + \frac{q_s q_{s'}}{c^2} \varepsilon^{bcd} \varepsilon^{dfg} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' \times \\
& \times \partial_r^f \partial_r^h G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \partial_p^b \langle \langle v_i^a(t) v_i^c(t) v_j^g(t') \xi^h \rangle \rangle = 0. \quad (75)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we present equation (75) in the self-consistent field approximation

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t j^a(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + \partial_r^b \langle v_i^a(t) v_i^b(t) \rangle + q_s \left( E_{ext}^b(\mathbf{r}, t) \partial_p^b \langle v_i^a \rangle \right. \\
& + (\partial_r^c E_{ext}^b) \partial_p^b \langle v_i^a \xi^c \rangle + \varepsilon^{bcd} (B_{ext}^d \partial_p^b \langle v_i^a v_i^c \rangle + (\partial^f B^d) \partial_p^b \langle v_i^a v_i^c \xi^f \rangle) \Big) \\
& - q_s q_{s'} (\partial_p^b j^a) \partial_r^b \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') f(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t') \\
& - q_s q_{s'} (\partial_p^b J_D^{ac}) \partial_r^b \partial_r^c \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') f(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t') \\
& + q_s q_{s'} (\partial_p^b j^a) \partial_r^b \partial_r^c \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') d^c(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t') \\
& - \frac{q_s q_{s'}}{c^2} (\partial_p^b j^a) \partial_t \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') j^c(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t') \\
& + \frac{q_s q_{s'}}{c^2} \varepsilon^{bcd} \varepsilon^{dfg} (\partial_p^b \langle v_i^a(t) v_i^c(t) \rangle) \times \\
& \times \partial_r^f \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') j^g(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t') \\
& + \frac{q_s q_{s'}}{c^2} \varepsilon^{bcd} \varepsilon^{dfg} (\partial_p^b \langle v_i^a(t) v_i^c(t) \xi^h \rangle) \times \\
& \times \partial_r^f \partial_r^h \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') j^g(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t') = 0, \quad (76)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\langle \langle v_i^a(t) \rangle \rangle(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', t, t') = j^a(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \cdot f(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'), \quad (77)$$

$$\langle \langle \xi^c v_i^a(t) \rangle \rangle = J_D^{ac}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \cdot f(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'), \quad (78)$$

$$\langle \langle \xi^{lc} v_i^a(t) \rangle \rangle = j^a(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \cdot d^c(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'), \quad (79)$$

$$\langle \langle v_i^a(t) v_j^b(t') \rangle \rangle = j^a(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \cdot j^b(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'), \quad (80)$$

$$\langle \langle v_i^a(t) v_i^c(t) v_j^g(t') \rangle \rangle = \langle v_i^a(t) v_i^c(t) \rangle \cdot j^g(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'), \quad (81)$$

and

$$\langle \langle v_i^a(t) v_i^c(t) v_j^g(t') \xi^h \rangle \rangle = \langle v_i^a(t) v_i^c(t) \xi^h \rangle \cdot j^g(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'). \quad (82)$$

Finally, we introduce electric  $\mathbf{E}_{int}$  and magnetic  $\mathbf{B}_{int}$  fields caused by the charges of the system and present the kinetic equation for the velocity distribution function in compact form:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t j^a + \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b \langle v_i^a(t) v_i^b(t) \rangle + q_s E^b \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b j^a + q_s (\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^c E^b) \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b J_D^{ac} \\
& + \frac{q_s}{c} \varepsilon^{bcd} [B^d \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b \langle v_i^a(t) v_i^c(t) \rangle + (\partial^f B^d) \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b \langle v_i^a(t) v_i^c(t) \xi^f \rangle] = 0. \quad (83)
\end{aligned}$$

## IX. ON THE EXTENDED CLOSED SET OF KINETIC EQUATIONS CONTAINING SCALAR AND VECTOR DISTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS

Equations for evolution of  $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$ ,  $d^a(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$  and  $j^a(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$  (see equations (61), (70), (83)) give incomplete set of equations. This set requires additional assumptions to make final truncation.

Let us repeat equations (61), (70), (83) together to make additional analysis of these equations

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t f + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} + q_s \left( \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \\
& + q_s \left( \partial^b \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \partial^b \mathbf{B} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial d_s^b}{\partial \mathbf{p}} + q_s \left( \partial^b \partial^c \mathbf{E} \right. \\
& + \frac{q_s}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \partial^b \partial^c \mathbf{B} \Big) \cdot \frac{\partial Q^{bc}}{\partial \mathbf{p}} + \frac{q_s}{c} \varepsilon^{abc} \left( B^c \cdot \partial_{a, \mathbf{p}} F^b \right. \\
& + \partial^d B^c \cdot \partial_{a, \mathbf{p}} J_D^{bd} + \partial^d \partial^f B^c \cdot \partial_{a, \mathbf{p}} J_Q^{bdf} \Big) = 0, \quad (84)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t d^a + \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b J_D^{ba} + q_s (\partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b d^a) E^b + q_s (\partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b Q^{ac}) \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^c E^b \\
& + \frac{q_s}{c} \varepsilon^{bcd} (\partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b J_D^{ca}) B^d + \frac{q_s}{c} \varepsilon^{bcd} (\partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b J_Q^{caf}) \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^f B^d = 0, \quad (85)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t j^a + \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b \langle v_i^a(t) v_i^b(t) \rangle + q_s E^b \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b j^a + q_s (\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^c E^b) \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b J_D^{ac} \\
& + \frac{q_s}{c} \varepsilon^{bcd} [B^d \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b \langle v_i^a(t) v_i^c(t) \rangle + (\partial^f B^d) \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b \langle v_i^a(t) v_i^c(t) \xi^f \rangle] = 0. \quad (86)
\end{aligned}$$




FIG. 2: The illustration of the  $\Delta\mathbf{p}$ -vicinity in the momentum space with few numbered particles being in the vicinity. Here,  $\mathbf{p}$  is the center of the vicinity,  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$  is the vector scanning the vicinity,  $\mathbf{p}_i(t)$  are the momentums of particles,  $\bar{\mathbf{p}}$  is the average value of the momentum of particles being in the vicinity, while vector  $\bar{\mathbf{p}}$  differs from the center of the vicinity  $\mathbf{p}$ .

Here we have equations for three distribution functions  $f$ ,  $d^a$ , and  $j^a = v^a f + F^a$ , but we have a number of other distribution functions like  $Q^{bc}$ ,  $J_D^{bc}$ ,  $J_Q^{bcd}$ ,  $\langle v_i^a(t) v_i^c(t) \rangle$ , and  $\langle v_i^a(t) v_i^c(t) \xi^f \rangle$ . We need to obtain the closed set of equations at this step. Before we make this truncation, we need to provide discussion of physical picture hidden in these functions.

### A. Kinetic theory of fluctuations

Considering transition from the kinetic theory (the field form of the classical mechanics in the six dimensional space) to the hydrodynamic theory (the field form of the classical mechanics in the three dimensional space) we get the concentration of particles  $n(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p}$ , the current of particles  $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t) = n(\mathbf{r}, t) \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int \mathbf{v} f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p}$ . Difference  $\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  is the measure of chaotic motion, which can be associated with the thermal motion. This interpretation getting closer to the thermodynamic temperature if the system get though the process of thermalization. For the quantum systems we also need to include other than thermal mechanisms for the symmetric distribution of particles over the quantum states in the momentum space. Major contribution is given by the Pauli blocking existing in systems of fermions.

The average velocity  $\int \mathbf{v} f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p}$  gives the velocity field. The deviation from the average velocity  $\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  leads to other hydrodynamic functions, like the temperature scalar field, the pressure tensor field, etc. These functions are also smooth functions which are defined on certain scale. It leaves as the question: how fluctuations can appear in our model? Since the fluctuations is the

natural phenomenon following from the individual motion of particles governed be the microscopic equations.

Basically, the chaotic motion of particles gives small variation of macroscopic functions due to the continuous unequal exchange of particles between nearest vicinities. Hence, the concentration  $n(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p}$  (2), the distribution function  $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$  (4), etc show small variation over time and coordinate. These fluctuations can be considered via the correlations like space correlations  $\overline{n(\mathbf{r}, t) n(\mathbf{r}', t)}$  or the time correlations  $\overline{n(\mathbf{r}, t) \widetilde{n(\mathbf{r}, t')}}$ , where we introduce additional average on the macroscopic space scale  $\bar{A} = (1/\Delta V) \int_{\Delta V} A dV$ , or the average over the interval of time  $\Delta T$ :  $\bar{A} = (1/\Delta T) \int_{\Delta T} A dt$ .

The distribution function is constructed on a certain scale in the coordinate and momentum space. If we want to trace the deviation from average (particularly in the momentum space) we need to focus our attention on the deviation of momentum of all particles in  $\Delta$ -vicinity from the middle point of the vicinity  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ . Parameter  $\Delta\mathbf{v}_i(t)$  is also associated with  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ . If we imagine a  $\Delta$ -vicinity with 5 particles (it is an imaginary example, for the illustration, see Fig. 2, real vicinity contain a large number of particles) we can count the average momentum  $\bar{\mathbf{p}} = (1/5) \sum_{i=1}^5 \mathbf{p}_i(t) \neq \mathbf{p}$  and we get a deviation from  $\mathbf{p}$  (the moment of the center of the vicinity). However, the distribution function  $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$  count all these particles as the particles with momentum  $\mathbf{p}$ . In other words  $\sum_{i=1}^5 \boldsymbol{\eta}_i \neq 0$  (in general  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$  is a parameter scanning the vicinity, but here we introduce particular  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_i$  as  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_i(t) = \mathbf{p}_i(t) - \mathbf{p}$  the deviation of momentum of particle being in the vicinity from the momentum of the center of vicinity). This example shows that physical nature of fluctuations can be presented via functions  $\langle \eta^a \rangle$  and  $\langle \eta^a \eta^b \rangle$  (or  $\langle \Delta v_i^a(t) \rangle$  and  $\langle \Delta v_i^a(t) \Delta v_i^b(t) \rangle$ ). It explains necessity of the account of these functions in our model. We consider equation for evolution of function  $\langle \Delta v_i^a(t) \rangle$ , while function  $\langle \Delta v_i^a(t) \Delta v_i^b(t) \rangle$  is assumed to be approximately expressed via functions  $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$  and  $\langle \Delta v_i^a(t) \rangle$ . Here, we introduce equation of state in order to make truncation of the set of kinetic equations (in addition to the self-consistent field approximation).

In the chosen moment of time  $t$  we have  $\langle \Delta\mathbf{v}_i \rangle \neq 0$ . However, the fluctuations happen on some time scale  $\tau$ , which can be associated with the time of propagation of average particle via the  $\Delta$ -vicinity:  $\tau = \sqrt[3]{\Delta}/v_0$ , where the average velocity of the  $\Delta_p$ -vicinity is  $v_0 = pc/\sqrt{p^2 + m_s^2 c^2}$ . We can go further and make averaging of the kinetic equation over this time interval  $\tilde{a} = (1/\tau) \int_0^\tau a dt$ . Here, we find  $\langle \widetilde{\Delta\mathbf{v}_i} \rangle = 0$ , but  $\langle \Delta v_i^a \Delta v_i^b \rangle \neq 0$ . As an estimation we can choose  $\Delta\mathbf{v}_{i, max} = \sqrt[3]{\Delta v} = \sqrt[3]{\Delta_p c / \sqrt{3\Delta_v^2 + m_s^2 c^2}}$ .

### B. Truncation method

Let us consider simplified form of the kinetic equation for the vector distribution function of velocity (76).

Function  $j^a(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$  can be represented via two other functions introduced above  $j^a(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) = v^a \cdot f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + F^a(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$ . Equation (76) includes function  $\langle v_i^a(t) v_i^b(t) \rangle = \langle (v_i^a + \Delta v_i^a)(v_i^b + \Delta v_i^b) \rangle = v^a v^b \langle 1 \rangle + v^a \langle \Delta v_i^b \rangle + v^b \langle \Delta v_i^a \rangle + \langle \Delta v_i^a \Delta v_i^b \rangle = v^a v^b f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + v^a F^b(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + v^b F^a(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + \langle \Delta v_i^a \Delta v_i^b \rangle$ . Here we extract the velocity from functions  $j_s^a$ ,  $j_{D,s}^b$ ,  $\langle v_i^a(t) v_i^b(t) \rangle$ , and  $j_{Q,s}^{abc}$  to show the Lorentz force in more familiar way. It helps us to make the truncation as well. Finally, we represent  $\langle v_i^a(t) v_i^b(t) \xi^c \rangle = v^a v^b \langle \xi^c \rangle + v^a \langle \Delta v_i^b \xi^c \rangle + v^b \langle \Delta v_i^a \xi^c \rangle + \langle \Delta v_i^a \Delta v_i^b \xi^c \rangle$ .

Our model includes the multipole moments in the coordinate and momentum space, like  $d^a$  and  $F^a$ . The multipole moments are defined on the scale of the  $\Delta$ -vicinity. Therefore, they appear to be small. On the macroscopic scale this value tends to zero. Hence, we can introduce the small parameter  $\varepsilon$  to indicate the relative value of these functions. We find  $d^a \sim \varepsilon f$ ,  $F^a \sim \varepsilon f$ ,  $Q^{ab} \sim \varepsilon^2 f$ ,  $j^{ab} \sim \varepsilon^2 f$ , etc. To make the truncation we need to estimate the high-rank tensor distribution functions included in the model  $Q^{ab} = \langle \xi^a \xi^b \rangle = \delta^{ab} \sqrt[3]{\Delta^2} f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$ ,  $\langle \Delta v^a \Delta v^b \rangle = \delta^{ab} \sqrt[3]{\Delta^2} f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$ , and  $j_D^{ab} = \delta^{ab} \sqrt[3]{\Delta \Delta_v} f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$ . The third rank tensors are neglected. Function  $\langle \Delta v^a \Delta v^b \rangle$  is symmetric, so it is reasonable to be proportional to  $\delta^{ab}$ . However, function  $j_D^{ab}$  is not symmetric, but we assume that deviations of same projections of the coordinate and momentum are correlated. This correlation is included in the model via nonzero value of  $j_D^{ab}$ , which can be traced in calculations of any specific problem. Further comparison with experiment can show validity of our assumption. If no correlation is found this function can be considered equal to zero in the future modification of the model.

We start the discussion of equations (84), (85), (86) with the first of them (84). We can extract terms proportional to the zero degree of the small parameter  $\varepsilon$ :

$$\partial_t f + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f + q_s \left( \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{p}}, \quad (87)$$

which correspond to the well-known Vlasov equation. Next, we present terms proportional to the first degree of the small parameter  $\varepsilon$ :

$$q_s \left( \partial^b \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \partial^b \mathbf{B} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial d_s^b}{\partial \mathbf{p}} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} + \frac{q_s}{c} \varepsilon^{abc} B^c \cdot \partial_{a,\mathbf{p}} F^b. \quad (88)$$

Other terms in equation (84) correspond to the second and third degree of the small parameter  $\varepsilon$ . They are neglected since we include the first correction to the Vlasov equation.

Next we consider equation (85). Lowest order on parameter  $\varepsilon$  is equal to one in this equation. We consider the lowest order and one correction to it. Let us show term existing in the lowest order:

$$\partial_t d^a + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) d^a + q_s (\partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b d^a) E^b + \frac{q_s}{c} \varepsilon^{bcd} v^c (\partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b d^a) B^d, \quad (89)$$

and in the next order

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b j_D^{ba} + \frac{q_s}{c} \varepsilon^{bcd} (\partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b j_D^{ca}) B^d \\ & + q_s (\partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b Q^{ac}) \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^c E^b + \frac{q_s}{c} \varepsilon^{bcd} v^c (\partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b Q^{af}) \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^f B^d. \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

Let us consider equation (86). Function  $j^a$  splits on two terms  $v^a f + F^a$ . Obviously, equation (86) contains contribution of terms (87) and (88) multiplied by  $v^a$ . Hence, all these terms are equal to zero in accordance with the equation of evolution of the scalar distribution function. After this simplification we find that the lowest order of terms in equation (86) on the parameter  $\varepsilon$  is equal to one. It contains the following terms:

$$\partial_t F^a + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) F^a + q_s E^b \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b F^a + \frac{q_s}{c} \varepsilon^{bcd} v^c B^d \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b F^a \quad (91)$$

In the next order on  $\varepsilon$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b \langle \Delta v^a \Delta v^b \rangle + q_s (\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^c E^b) \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b j_D^{ac} \\ & + \frac{q_s}{c} \varepsilon^{bcd} B^d \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b \langle \Delta v^a \Delta v^c \rangle + \frac{q_s}{c} \varepsilon^{bcd} v^c (\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^f B^d) \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b j_D^{af}. \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

### C. Final set of kinetic equations

Let us combine together final parts of equations (84), (85), (86) with the equations of state

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t f + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f + q_s \left( \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \\ & + q_s \left( \partial^b \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \partial^b \mathbf{B} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial d_s^b}{\partial \mathbf{p}} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} + \frac{q_s}{c} \varepsilon^{abc} B^c \cdot \partial_{a,\mathbf{p}} F^b = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

$$\partial_t d^a + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) d^a + q_s (\partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b d^a) E^b + \frac{q_s}{c} \varepsilon^{bcd} v^c (\partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b d^a) B^d$$

$$+ \sqrt[3]{\Delta \Delta_v} \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^a f - \frac{q_s}{c} \sqrt[3]{\Delta \Delta_v} \varepsilon^{abd} (\partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b f) B^d$$

$$+ q_s \sqrt[3]{\Delta^2} (\partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b f) \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^a E^b + \frac{q_s}{c} \sqrt[3]{\Delta^2} \varepsilon^{bcd} v^c (\partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b f) \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^a B^d = 0, \quad (94)$$

and

$$\partial_t F^a + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) F^a + q_s E^b \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b F^a + \frac{q_s}{c} \varepsilon^{bcd} v^c B^d \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b F^a$$

$$+ \sqrt[3]{\Delta^2} \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^a f + q_s \sqrt[3]{\Delta \Delta_v} (\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^a E^b) \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b f$$

$$- \frac{q_s}{c} \sqrt[3]{\Delta^2} \varepsilon^{abd} B^d \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b f + \frac{q_s}{c} \sqrt[3]{\Delta \Delta_v} \varepsilon^{bcd} v^c (\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^a B^d) \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^b f = 0. \quad (95)$$

The electromagnetic field in equations (93), (94), (95) obeys the following Maxwell equations

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_{int} = 0, \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_{int} = -\frac{1}{c} \partial_t \mathbf{B}_{int}, \quad (96)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_{int})^a &= \frac{1}{c} \partial_t E_{int}^a + \\ &+ \frac{4\pi}{c} \sum_s q_s \left( \int v^a f_s(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p} + \partial^b \int v^a d_s^b(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p} \right. \\ &\left. + \int F_s^a(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p} + \sqrt[3]{\Delta \Delta_v} \partial^a \int f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p} \right), \quad (97) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_{int} &= 4\pi \sum_s q_s \left( \int f_s(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p} \right. \\ &\left. + \partial^b \int d_s^b(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt[3]{\Delta^2} \partial^b \partial^b \int f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p} + \dots \right). \quad (98) \end{aligned}$$

which appear as corresponding modification of equations (64), (62), and (63).

## X. THE SPIN-ELECTRON-ACOUSTIC WAVES PROPAGATION IN THE SPIN POLARIZED ELECTRON GAS OF HIGH DENSITY

The degenerate macroscopically motionless (being in the equilibrium state) electron gas are described via the Vlasov kinetic equation for each spin projection of electrons [30]

$$\partial_t f_s + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f_s + q_s \left( \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial f_s}{\partial \mathbf{p}} = 0. \quad (99)$$

Subindex  $s$  corresponds to the electrons with the spin-up  $s = \uparrow$  or spin-down  $s = \downarrow$ . We consider small perturbations of the equilibrium state  $f_s = f_{0s} + \delta f_s$ , where  $f_{0s}$  is the equilibrium distribution function, and  $\delta f_s$  is the perturbation of the distribution function, which is chosen as the plane wave in the coordinate space  $\delta f_s = F_s e^{-i\omega t + ik_z z}$ , with the constant amplitude  $F_s$ . It lead to the linearized kinetic equation

$$-i(\omega - k_z v_z) \delta f_s + q_s \delta \mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\partial f_{0s}}{\partial \mathbf{p}} = 0, \quad (100)$$

where  $\delta \mathbf{B} = 0$  for the longitudinal waves.

Equilibrium distribution functions for each subspecies of electrons is chosen as the Fermi step  $f_{0s} = \theta(p_{Fs} - p)/(2\pi\hbar)^3$ , where  $p_{Fs} = (6\pi n_{0s})^{1/3} \hbar$  is the radius of the Fermi sphere in the momentum space for species  $s$ .

We consider the longitudinal waves, hence the perturbation of the electric field is parallel to the direction of the wave propagation  $\mathbf{k} \parallel \delta \mathbf{E}$ .

Equation (100) give expression for the perturbation of the distribution function of electrons

$$\delta f_s = -iq_s (\mathbf{v} \cdot \delta \mathbf{E}) \frac{\partial f_{0s}}{\partial \varepsilon} \frac{1}{\omega - k_z v_z}, \quad (101)$$

where

$$\frac{\partial f_{0s}}{\partial \varepsilon} = \frac{\partial f_{0s}}{\partial p} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \varepsilon} = -\frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \delta(p - p_{Fs}) \frac{pc^2}{\varepsilon}. \quad (102)$$

Expression (101) allows us to calculate the perturbations of concentration of the degenerate electrons

$$\begin{aligned} \delta n_s &= \int \delta f_s(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p} \\ &= -\frac{2\pi i q_s p_{Fs}^2 \delta E_z}{(2\pi\hbar)^3 k_z v_{Fs}} \left[ 2 + \frac{\omega}{k_z v_{Fs}} \ln \left( \frac{\omega - k_z v_{Fs}}{\omega + k_z v_{Fs}} \right) \right]. \quad (103) \end{aligned}$$

It leads to the dispersion equation

$$1 + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\omega_{Le}^2}{k_z^2 c^2} \sum_{s=u,d} \frac{n_{0s}}{n_{0e}} \gamma_{Fs} \frac{m_s^2 c^2}{p_{Fs}^2} \left[ 2 + \frac{\omega}{k_z v_{Fs}} \ln \left( \frac{\omega - k_z v_{Fs}}{\omega + k_z v_{Fs}} \right) \right] = 0. \quad (104)$$

Presented dispersion equation is found for the motionless ions, but we have two species of particles: spin-up electrons and spin-down electrons. Both species of electrons are degenerate, but they have different equilibrium concentrations. So we have nonzero equilibrium spin polarization. The spin polarization is caused by the external magnetic field. However, it is not included in equation (100), since it does not affect the final result (101) for the waves propagating parallel to the magnetic field.

Equation (104) gives two solutions: the Langmuir wave and the SEAW. The SEAW has been studied in different regimes and using different method. It is considered within nonrelativistic hydrodynamics [29], nonrelativistic kinetics [30], and relativistic hydrodynamics [41]. Here, we present relativistic kinetic theory of the SEAW. Numerical analysis of equation (104) shows that the dispersion dependencies of the Langmuir wave and the SEAW getting close to each other at the large wave vectors, which leads to an instability in the short-wavelength limit. However, it can be subject of another work specified on the instabilities in degenerate plasmas related to the SEAWs, while this paper is focused on derivation of the extended kinetic model.

## XI. CONCLUSION

Detailed derivation of kinetic equations for the relativistic plasmas has been presented. It concludes in the set of three kinetic equations (for each species of particles): the Vlasov kinetic equation for the scalar distribution function, the equation of evolution of the vector distribution function of the electric dipole moment (the fluctuation of local center of mass of the particles being in

the physically infinitesimal volume), and the equation of evolution of the vector distribution function of the velocity (the fluctuation of average momentum of the particles being in the physically infinitesimal volume). This closed set of equations appears as the result of truncation of the quasi-infinite set of kinetic equations (number of degrees of freedom is restricted due to the finite number of particles  $N$ ), which extends via the account of two-, three- and more coordinate distribution functions, and higher multipole moments of one-coordinate (along with two-, three- and more coordinate) distribution functions.

The method of derivation shows the representation of the microscopic motion of the individual particles in terms of the macroscopic distribution functions. It gives representation of deterministic classical mechanics in terms of evolution collective functions. No probabilistic approaches are used for the derivation or interpretation of presented equations and found functions.

Equations have been found for the relativistic plasmas meaning both the large temperatures of the system (large velocities of the chaotic motion) and large velocities of the ordered motion. The motion of particles with the velocities close to the speed of light requires the account of full retarding potentials for the electromagnetic field created by particles. It reflects in the full Maxwell equations obtained in the self-consistent field approximation. The Maxwell equations also include the distribution function of the electric dipole moment, distribution function of the velocity, etc as the sources of the electromagnetic field.

The model is found for the hot plasmas, but it can be used for the degenerate electron gas with the Fermi velocity close to the speed of light. Hence, it is applied to the spin-electron acoustic waves in the extremely dense plasmas. The SEAWs are considered in the regime of account of the scalar distribution function governed by the Vlasov equation.

## XII. DATA AVAILABILITY

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study, which is a purely theoretical one.

### Appendix A: Potentials of the electromagnetic field in the multipole approximation

The application of the full retarding potentials for the description of the field created by particles (7) and (8)

reveals in the full set of Maxwell equations found in the self-consistent field approximation (64), (62), and (63). However, firstly it appears (in the self-consistent field approximation) in the kinetic equation as the integral terms simplifies after splitting of the two-coordinate distribution functions on the products of the corresponding one-coordinate distribution functions. These integral terms are shown in the text, but we want to specify the structure of the scalar and vector potentials of the electromagnetic field caused by different distribution functions since the Maxwell equations (64), (62), and (63) show the combined contribution of all terms.

Therefore, the partial scalar potentials are:

$$\varphi_0 = \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') f(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'), \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$\varphi_D = -\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^c \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') d^c(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'), \quad (\text{A2})$$

and

$$\varphi_Q = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^c \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') q^{bc}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'). \quad (\text{A3})$$

The partial vector potentials are

$$A_0^a = \frac{1}{c} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') j^a(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'), \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$A_D^a = -\frac{1}{c} \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') J_D^{ab}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'), \quad (\text{A5})$$

and

$$A_Q^a = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{c} \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^c \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int dt' G(t, t', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') J_Q^{abc}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t'). \quad (\text{A6})$$

These potentials correspond to the Maxwell equations presented in the text (62), (64), (63).

- 
- [1] Yu. L. Klimontovich, *Statistical Physics* Harwood, New York (1986).
  - [2] W. Israel, J. M. Stewart, Transient relativistic thermodynamics and kinetic theory, *Annals Phys.* **118**, 341 (1979).
  - [3] P. Romatschke, "New developments in relativistic viscous

- hydrodynamics", *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E* **19**, 1 (2010).
- [4] P. Kovtun, "Lectures on hydrodynamic fluctuations in relativistic theories" *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **45**, 473001 (2012).
- [5] G. S. Denicol, H. Niemi, E. Molnar, D. H. Rischke,



- "Derivation of transient relativistic fluid dynamics from the Boltzmann equation" *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 114047 (2012).
- [6] U. Heinz, R. Snellings, "Collective Flow and Viscosity in Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collisions", *Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **63**, 123 (2013).
- [7] W. Florkowski, M. P. Heller, M. Spalinski, "New theories of relativistic hydrodynamics in the LHC era", *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **81**, 046001 (2018).
- [8] G. S. Denicol, E. Molnar, H. Niemi, D. H. Rischke, "Resistive dissipative magnetohydrodynamics from the Boltzmann-Vlasov equation", *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 056017 (2019).
- [9] Remi Hakim, *Introduction to Relativistic Statistical Mechanics Classical and Quantum*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 2011.
- [10] M. A. Drofa, L. S. Kuz'menkov, "Continual approach to multiparticle systems with long-range interaction. Hierarchy of macroscopic fields and physical consequences", *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* **108**, 849 (1996).
- [11] L. S. Kuzmenkov, *Theoretical Physics: Classical Mechanics* (Nauka, Moscow, 2015) [in Russian].
- [12] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology* (John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1972).
- [13] S.R. de Groot, L.G. Suttorp, "Foundations of Electrodynamics" (North-Holland 1972).
- [14] M. V. Kuzelez, A. A. Rukhadze, "On the quantum description of the linear kinetics of a collisionless plasma", *Phys. Usp.* **42**, 603–605 (1999).
- [15] L. S. Kuz'menkov and P. A. Andreev, "Microscopic Classic Hydrodynamic and Methods of Averaging", presented in PIERS Proceedings, p. 158, August 19-23, Moscow, Russia 2012.
- [16] P. A. Andreev, "On the structure of relativistic hydrodynamics for hot plasmas", *Phys. Scr.* **97**, 085602 (2022).
- [17] P. A. Andreev, "Quantum kinetics of spinning neutral particles: General theory and Spin wave dispersion" *Physica A* **432**, 108 (2015).
- [18] V. V. Zubkov, "Distribution functions for continuous medium without probability hypotheses", *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **1352**, 012067 (2019).
- [19] V.V. Zubkov, A.V. Zubkova, "Irreversibility in classical kinetic theory: retardation of interaction and distribution functions", arXiv:2006.11565.
- [20] V. V. Zubkov, D. A. Mayfat, Yashkin K.Yu. Tensor field method in the linear response theory // *Vestnik NovSU. Issue: Engineering Sciences*. 2022. № 3(128). P.21–25. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.34680/2076-8052.2022.3\(128\).21-25](https://doi.org/10.34680/2076-8052.2022.3(128).21-25)
- [21] L. S. Kuz'menkov, S. G. Maksimov, "Quantum hydrodynamics of particle systems with Coulomb interaction and quantum Bohm potential," *Theor. Math. Phys.* **118**, 227 (1999).
- [22] L. S. Kuz'menkov, S. G. Maksimov, V. V. Fedoseev, "Microscopic quantum hydrodynamics of systems of fermions: part I", *Theor. Math. Phys.* **126**, 110 (2001).
- [23] P. A. Andreev, Hydrodynamic model of a Bose–Einstein condensate with anisotropic short-range interaction and bright solitons in a repulsive Bose–Einstein condensate, *Laser Phys.* **29**, 035502 (2019).
- [24] P. A. Andreev, "Extended hydrodynamics of degenerate partially spin polarized fermions with short-range interaction up to the third order by interaction radius approximation", *Laser Phys.* **31**, 045501 (2021).
- [25] P. A. Andreev, "Hydrodynamics of the atomic Bose–Einstein condensate beyond the mean-field approximation", *Laser Phys. Lett.* **18**, 055501 (2021).
- [26] P. A. Andreev, "Hydrodynamics of quantum corrections to the Coulomb interaction via the third rank tensor evolution equation: application to Langmuir waves and spin-electron acoustic waves", *J. Plasma Phys.* **87**, 905870511 (2021).
- [27] P. A. Andreev, "Quantum hydrodynamic theory of quantum fluctuations in dipolar Bose–Einstein condensate", *Chaos* **31**, 023120 (2021).
- [28] P. A. Andreev, I. N. Mosaki, and M. I. Trukhanova, "Quantum hydrodynamics of the spinor Bose–Einstein condensate at non-zero temperatures", *Phys. Fluids* **33**, 067108 (2021).
- [29] P. A. Andreev, "Separated spin-up and spin-down quantum hydrodynamics of degenerated electrons", *Phys. Rev. E* **91**, 033111 (2015).
- [30] P. A. Andreev, "Spin-electron acoustic waves: The Landau damping and ion contribution in the spectrum", *Phys. Plasmas* **23**, 062103 (2016).
- [31] J. C. Ryan, "Collective excitations in a spin-polarized quasi-two-dimensional electron gas", *Phys. Rev. B* **43**, 4499 (1991).
- [32] A. Agarwal, M. Polini, R. Fazio, G. Vignale, "Persistent Spin Oscillations in a Spin-Orbit-Coupled Superconductor", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 077004 (2011).
- [33] A. Agarwal, M. Polini, G. Vignale, M. E. Flatte, "Long-lived spin plasmons in a spin-polarized two-dimensional electron gas", *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 155409 (2014).
- [34] M. Schober, D. Kreil, and H. M. Bohm, "Dynamic response of partially spin- and valley-polarised two-dimensional electron liquids", *EPL* **129**, 17001 (2020).
- [35] I. D'Amico, F. Perez, and C. A. Ullrich, "Chirality and intrinsic dissipation of spin modes in two-dimensional electron liquids", *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **52**, 203001 (2019).
- [36] C. Yang, Z.-C. Wang, G. Su, "Spin-polarized plasmon in ferromagnetic metals", *Physica A* **575**, 126043 (2021).
- [37] D. Kreil, C. Staudinger, K. Astleithner, H. M. Bohm, "Resonant and anti-resonant modes of the dilute, spin-imbalanced, two-dimensional electron liquid including correlations", *Contrib. Plasma Phys.* **58**, 179 (2018).
- [38] D. Kreil, R. Hobbiger, J. T. Drachta, and H. M. Bohm, "Excitations in a spin-polarized two-dimensional electron gas", *Physical Review B* **92**, 205426 (2015).
- [39] C. Huang, Y. D. Chong, G. Vignale, and M. A. Cazalilla, "Graphene electrodynamics in the presence of the extrinsic spin Hall effect", *Physical Review B* **93**, 165429 (2016).
- [40] A. N. Afanasiev, "Acoustic plasmons and isotropic short-range interaction in two-component electron liquids", *Physical Review B* **106**, 224301 (2022).
- [41] P. A. Andreev, "Spin-electron-acoustic waves and solitons in high-density degenerate relativistic plasmas", *Phys. Plasmas* **29**, 122102 (2022).
- [42] P. A. Andreev, "Microscopic model for relativistic hydrodynamics of ideal plasmas", arXiv:2109.14050.
- [43] P. A. Andreev, "Relativistic hydrodynamic model with the average reverse gamma factor evolution for the degenerate plasmas: high-density ion-acoustic solitons", *Phys. Plasmas* **29**, 062109 (2022).
- [44] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields* (Butterworth-Heinemann, 1975). L. D. Landau, E.M. Lifshitz, "Field theory", Vol. 2 of *Course of Theoretical Physics*.

retical Physics.

- [45] P. A. Andreev, "Hydrodynamic and kinetic representation of the microscopic dynamics as the transitions on the macroscopic scale of description and meaning of the self-consistent field approximation in these models", arXiv:2204.11141.
- [46] A. A. Vlasov, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **8**, 291 (1938); A. A. Vlasov Sov. Phys. Usp. **10**, 721 (1968).