

# The Exact Susceptibility of the Spin- $S$ Transverse Ising Chain with Next-nearest-neighbor Interactions.

Kazuhiko Minami

*Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University,  
Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi, 464-8602, Japan*

The zero-field susceptibility of the spin- $S$  transverse Ising chain with next-nearest-neighbor interactions is obtained exactly. The susceptibility is given in an explicit form for  $S = 1/2$ , and expressed in terms of the eigenvectors of the transfer matrix for general spin  $S$ . It is found that the low-temperature limit is independent of spin  $S$ , and is divergent at the transition point.

## 1. Introduction

The transverse Ising model is a simple and basic spin model with non-trivial quantum effects. Specifically the spin  $1/2$  transverse Ising chain has been investigated by many authors. Fisher<sup>1)2)</sup> derived the exact zero-field transverse susceptibility, Katsura<sup>3)</sup> obtained the free energy, and Pfeuty<sup>4)</sup> also obtained the free energy through the Jordan-Wigner transformation. The one-dimensional spin  $1/2$  transverse Ising model is known to be equivalent to the two-dimensional rectangular Ising model.<sup>5)</sup> The Jordan-Wigner transformation was generalized,<sup>6)</sup> and an infinite number of systems which can be regarded as kind of generalizations of the transverse Ising chain were solved exactly.<sup>6)7)8)</sup> Applications of the Jordan-Wigner transformation to higher dimensions have also been considered by many authors.<sup>9)10)11)12)13)14)</sup>

The Ising chain with general spin  $S$  have also been investigated.<sup>15)16)17)</sup> The zero-field susceptibility of spin  $S$  transverse Ising chain was exactly derived.<sup>18)19)20)</sup> The transverse susceptibility and the specific heat were shown up to  $S = 60$  and the crossover from the  $S = 1/2$  case to the classical case was exactly observed in Ref.21. The transverse susceptibility with a non-zero longitudinal external field, and that of Ising models with alternate or random structures were also obtained.<sup>21)22)</sup> The continuous spin chain which can be regarded as the infinite spin limit of the Ising chain was also investigated.<sup>23)24)25)</sup>

The Ising chain with next-nearest-neighbor interactions have also been investigated by many authors. The free energy and correlation functions were, with the use of a dual transformation, derived by Stephenson<sup>26)</sup> and Hornreich et al.<sup>27)</sup> The zero-field transverse susceptibil-

ity for the spin  $1/2$  case was calculated by Harada.<sup>28)</sup> Oguchi introduced<sup>29)</sup> a transfer matrix for the Ising chain with nearest- and next-nearest-neighbor interactions, and the free energy was re-derived by Kassar-Ogly.<sup>30)</sup>

In this paper, the exact zero-field susceptibility of the transverse Ising chain with the next-nearest-neighbor interactions is derived for general spin  $S$ . In section 2.1, the transverse susceptibility is expressed as an infinite sum of correlation functions. In section 2.2, the transfer matrix  $V$  is introduced for the Ising chain with the next-nearest-neighbor interactions. The transfer matrix for the  $S = 1/2$  case is explicitly considered in detail as an example. In section 2.3, the susceptibility is expressed as a finite sum using the eigenvectors of  $V$  for general spin  $S$ , and written down explicitly specifically for  $S = 1/2$ . In section 3, the low-temperature limit is considered, and it is derived that the  $T \rightarrow 0$  limit is independent of  $S$ , and is divergent at the point where the ground state shows phase transition.

## 2. Formulation

### 2.1 Model and Formulation

Let us consider the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = (\mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}_1) - HQ, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = -J_0 \sum_{j=1}^N s_j^z s_{j+1}^z, \quad \mathcal{H}_1 = -J_1 \sum_{j=1}^N s_j^z s_{j+2}^z, \quad Q = g\mu_B \sum_{j=1}^N s_j^x, \quad (2)$$

and the periodic boundary condition is assumed. Here  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{Is}} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}_1$  is the Ising interactions, and  $Q$  is the transverse term which does not commute with  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{Is}}$ .

The transverse susceptibility  $\chi$  at zero-field is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \chi &= \frac{\partial}{\partial H} \langle Q \rangle_{H=0} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial H} \frac{\text{Tr } Q \exp(-\beta \mathcal{H})}{\text{Tr } \exp(-\beta \mathcal{H})} \Big|_{H=0}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The expectation  $\langle \rangle$  is taken by  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $\beta = 1/k_B T$  where  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant and  $T$  is the temperature. For the purpose to calculate (3), let us introduce the formula

$$\frac{d}{dH} \exp(-\beta \mathcal{H}) = \exp(-\beta \mathcal{H}) \int_0^\beta \exp(\lambda \mathcal{H}) Q \exp(-\lambda \mathcal{H}) d\lambda, \quad (4)$$

which can be derived by multiplying  $\exp(\beta \mathcal{H})$  from the left-hand side and differentiating in

terms of  $\beta$ . Using (4),  $\langle Q \rangle$  can be expanded in terms of  $H$ , and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\chi &= \frac{\partial}{\partial H} \left[ \frac{\langle Q \rangle_0 + H \int_0^\beta \langle \exp(\lambda \mathcal{H}_{\text{Is}}) Q \exp(-\lambda \mathcal{H}_{\text{Is}}) Q \rangle_0 d\lambda}{1 + H \int_0^\beta \langle Q \rangle_0 d\lambda} + O(H^2) \right] \Big|_{H=0} \\ &= \int_0^\beta \langle \exp(\lambda \mathcal{H}_{\text{Is}}) Q \exp(-\lambda \mathcal{H}_{\text{Is}}) Q \rangle_0 d\lambda,\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

where  $\langle \rangle_0$  is the expectation taken at zero external field  $H = 0$ .

Next let us introduce inner derivatives  $\delta_0$  and  $\delta_1$  through the relations

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_0^0 Q &= Q, & \delta_0^{n+1} Q &= [\mathcal{H}_0, \delta_0^n Q], \\ \delta_1^0 Q &= Q, & \delta_1^{n+1} Q &= [\mathcal{H}_1, \delta_1^n Q],\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

and introduce  $\delta = \delta_0 + \delta_1$ . The expectation in (5) can be expanded using

$$\exp(\lambda \mathcal{H}_{\text{Is}}) Q \exp(-\lambda \mathcal{H}_{\text{Is}}) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^p}{p!} \delta^p Q, \quad (7)$$

and (5) is expressed as

$$\chi = \int_0^\beta \left\langle \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^p}{p!} \delta^p Q Q \right\rangle_0 d\lambda. \quad (8)$$

It can be seen that (8) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\chi &= \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} \int_0^\beta \lambda^p d\lambda \langle \delta^p Q Q \rangle_0 \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{p+1}}{(p+1)!} \langle \delta^p Q Q \rangle_0.\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

This formula was already derived in Ref.20. What we have to do next is to evaluate the correlation functions  $\langle \delta^p Q Q \rangle_0$  with non-zero next-nearest-neighbor interaction, and to perform the infinite sum in (9).

Then let us consider  $\langle \delta^p Q Q \rangle_0$ . Because of the commutativity  $\mathcal{H}_1 \mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}_0 \mathcal{H}_1$ , we generally find  $\delta_1 \delta_0 = \delta_0 \delta_1$ , and then  $\delta^p Q$  is written as

$$\delta^p Q = (\delta_0 + \delta_1)^p Q = g\mu_B \sum_{l=0}^p \binom{p}{l} \delta_0^{p-l} \delta_1^l \sum_{j=1}^N s_j^x, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\binom{p}{l} = \frac{p!}{(p-l)!l!}. \quad (11)$$

It is straightforward to show inductively that

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_0^{p_0} \delta_1^{p_1} \sum_{j=1}^N s_j^x \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \left( (-J_0)^{p_0} \sum_{l_0=0}^{p_0} \binom{p_0}{l_0} (s_{j-1}^z)^{p_0-l_0} (s_{j+1}^z)^{l_0} \right) \left( (-J_1)^{p_1} \sum_{l_1=0}^{p_1} \binom{p_1}{l_1} (s_{j-2}^z)^{p_1-l_1} (s_{j+2}^z)^{l_1} \right) \tau_{p_0+p_1} s_j^{k(p_0+p_1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where

$$\tau_p = \begin{cases} 1 & p = \text{even} \\ i & p = \text{odd} \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

and  $k(p) = x$  for even  $p$ , and  $k(p) = y$  for odd  $p$ . Then from (10) and (12), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta^p Q Q \rangle_0 &= (g\mu_B)^2 \sum_{l=0}^p \binom{p}{l} \langle \delta_0^{p-l} \delta_1^l \sum_{j=1}^N s_j^x, \sum_{j'=1}^N s_{j'}^x \rangle_0 \\ &= (g\mu_B)^2 \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{l=0}^p \binom{p}{l} (-J_0)^{p-l} (-J_1)^l \\ &\quad \times \sum_{l_0=0}^{p_0} \binom{p_0}{l_0} \sum_{l_1=0}^{p_1} \binom{p_1}{l_1} \langle (s_{j-2}^z)^{p_1-l_1} (s_{j-1}^z)^{p_0-l_0} (\tau_p s_j^{k(p)} s_j^x) (s_{j+1}^z)^{l_0} (s_{j+2}^z)^{l_1} \rangle_0, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where  $p_0 = p-l$ ,  $p_1 = l$  and thus  $p_0 + p_1 = p$ . Here we used the fact that eigenstates of  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{Is}}$  are direct products of eigenstates of each  $s_j^z$ , and hence  $\langle (s_{j-2}^z)^{p_1-l_1} (s_{j-1}^z)^{p_0-l_0} \tau_p s_j^{k(p)} (s_{j+1}^z)^{l_0} (s_{j+2}^z)^{l_1} \cdot s_{j'}^x \rangle_0 = 0$  when  $j' \neq j$ . The correlation function in (14) can be obtained through the transfer matrix method.

## 2.2 Transfer Matrix

The transfer matrix is defined as the matrix composed of the Boltzmann factors between two sites as its elements:

$$V = \sum_{s_j, s_{j+1}} |s_{j+1}\rangle e^{K s_j s_{j+1}} \langle s_j|, \quad (15)$$

and thus

$$\langle s_{j+1}|V|s_j\rangle = e^{K s_j s_{j+1}}. \quad (16)$$

Here we consider the state  $|s_j\rangle_j$  at site  $j$  with the eigenvalue  $s_j$  ( $s_j = S, S-1, \dots, -S$ ):  $s_j^z |s_j\rangle_j = s_j |s_j\rangle_j$ , and  $|s_j\rangle_j$  is written  $|s_j\rangle$  for abbreviation. If one operates  $V$  from the left-hand

side, then one additional bond is introduced to the chain; for example  $V|s_j = s\rangle$  provides all the  $(j + 1)$ -th states  $|s_{j+1}\rangle$  multiplied by the Boltzmann factor obtained under the condition that the site  $j$  has fixed eigenvalue  $s_j = s$ . In our case, we consider the configurations of adjacent two sites to introduce the next-nearest-neighbor interactions. The transfer matrix, in our notation, is defined as

$$V = \sum_{s_{j-1}, s_j, s'_j, s'_{j+1}} |s'_j\rangle\langle s'_{j+1}| e^{K_0 s_{j-1} s'_j} e^{K_1 s_{j-1} s'_{j+1}} \delta_{s_j s'_j} \langle s_{j-1} | \langle s_j |, \quad (17)$$

and thus

$$\langle s'_j | \langle s'_{j+1} | V | s_{j-1} \rangle | s_j \rangle = e^{K_0 s_{j-1} s'_j} e^{K_1 s_{j-1} s'_{j+1}} \delta_{s_j s'_j}, \quad (18)$$

where  $K_0 = \beta J_0$ ,  $K_1 = \beta J_1$ , and  $s_{j-1}, s_j, s'_j, s'_{j+1} = S, S - 1, \dots, -S$ .

For example in the case of  $S = 1/2$ , we find

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} xy & 0 & 1/xy & 0 \\ x/y & 0 & y/x & 0 \\ 0 & y/x & 0 & x/y \\ 0 & 1/xy & 0 & xy \end{pmatrix} \quad (19)$$

where  $x = e^{\frac{1}{4}K_0}$  and  $y = e^{\frac{1}{4}K_1}$ . The configurations  $\{|s_{j-1}\rangle|s_j\rangle\}$  and  $\{|s'_j\rangle|s'_{j+1}\rangle\}$  are both arranged by binary rules as  $|\frac{1}{2}\rangle|\frac{1}{2}\rangle, |\frac{1}{2}\rangle|-\frac{1}{2}\rangle, |-\frac{1}{2}\rangle|\frac{1}{2}\rangle, |-\frac{1}{2}\rangle|-\frac{1}{2}\rangle$ , in which each configuration corresponds to the  $\rho = 1, 2, 3, 4$ -th element of the matrix, respectively. When one operate the transfer matrix from the left-hand side, then the Boltzmann weights coming from an additional site are introduced. Configurations with the inconsistency  $s_j \neq s'_j$  are eliminated multiplying 0 element. Then we find the matrix elements  $V_{\rho\rho'}$  for example  $(V)_{11} = \langle \frac{1}{2} | \langle \frac{1}{2} | V | \frac{1}{2} \rangle | \frac{1}{2} \rangle = xy$ ,  $(V)_{21} = \langle \frac{1}{2} | \langle -\frac{1}{2} | V | \frac{1}{2} \rangle | \frac{1}{2} \rangle = x/y$ , and  $(V)_{12} = \langle \frac{1}{2} | \langle \frac{1}{2} | V | \frac{1}{2} \rangle | -\frac{1}{2} \rangle = 0$ . The eigenequation is

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \det(\lambda E - V) \\ &= \lambda^4 - 2xy\lambda^3 + y^2(x^2 - \frac{1}{x^2})\lambda^2 + 2\frac{y}{x}(y^2 - \frac{1}{y^2})\lambda - (y^2 - \frac{1}{y^2})^2 \\ &= \left[\lambda^2 - y(x + \frac{1}{x})\lambda + (y^2 - \frac{1}{y^2})\right] \left[\lambda^2 - y(x - \frac{1}{x})\lambda - (y^2 - \frac{1}{y^2})\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The eigenvalues are obtained as

$$\lambda_1^\pm = \frac{1}{2} \left[ y(x + \frac{1}{x}) \pm \sqrt{y^2(x + \frac{1}{x})^2 - 4(y^2 - \frac{1}{y^2})} \right], \quad (21)$$

and

$$\lambda_2^\pm = \frac{1}{2} \left[ y(x - \frac{1}{x}) \pm \sqrt{y^2(x - \frac{1}{x})^2 + 4(y^2 - \frac{1}{y^2})} \right]. \quad (22)$$

When  $J_1 = 0$ , then  $y = 1$ , and we find  $\lambda_1^+ = x + \frac{1}{x}$ ,  $\lambda_1^- = 0$ ,  $\lambda_2^+ = x - \frac{1}{x}$ , and  $\lambda_2^- = 0$ . When  $J_0 = 0$ , then  $x = 1$ , and we find  $\lambda_1^+ = y + \frac{1}{y}$ ,  $\lambda_1^- = y - \frac{1}{y}$ , and  $\lambda_2^\pm = \pm \sqrt{y^2 - \frac{1}{y^2}}$ . The eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda_1^+$  is obtained as

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1/xy \\ u_- \\ u_- \\ 1/xy \end{pmatrix}, \quad (23)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} u_\pm &= \frac{1}{2} \left( R_- \pm y \left( x - \frac{1}{x} \right) \right), \\ R_- &= \sqrt{y^2 \left( x + \frac{1}{x} \right)^2 - 4 \left( y^2 - \frac{1}{y^2} \right)}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

The elements of  $V$  are all non-negative, and  $V$  is irreducible. Hence from the Perron-Frobenius theorem, the maximum eigenvalue of  $V$  is unique. Together with the fact that  $\lambda_1^+$  is the maximum eigenvalue for  $J_1 = 0$  and for  $J_0 = 0$ ,  $\lambda_1^+$  is also the maximum for all  $J_0$  and  $J_1$ . Let  $U$  be a matrix whose column vectors are the eigenvectors of  $V$ , the first column being the eigenvector  $\mathbf{u}_1$ . Then the first row vector  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_1$  of the matrix  $U^{-1}$  is obtained as

$$\bar{\mathbf{u}}_1 = \frac{xy}{2R_-} \left( u_+, \frac{1}{xy}, \frac{1}{xy}, u_+ \right). \quad (25)$$

It is easy to confirm that  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_1 = 1$ .

### 2.3 The Transverse Susceptibility

Let us then consider the expectation value in (14) for general spin  $S$ . The quantum expectation of this type can be calculated using the transfer matrix method.<sup>20)</sup> Let  $\{|s_1, \dots, s_N\rangle\}$  be a complete set of the eigenstates of  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{Is}}$ , in which each  $|s_1, \dots, s_N\rangle = |s_1\rangle|s_2\rangle \cdots |s_N\rangle$  is a direct product of eigenstates of  $s_j^z$  ( $j = 1, \dots, N$ ). Then, the expectation value  $\langle s_j^k s_j^x \rangle_0$ , for example, can be calculated using the transfer matrix  $V$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle s_j^k s_j^x \rangle_0 &= \frac{\text{Tr } s_j^k s_j^x \exp(-\beta \mathcal{H}_{\text{Is}})}{\text{Tr } \exp(-\beta \mathcal{H}_{\text{Is}})} = \frac{\sum_{\{s_j\}} \langle s_1, \dots, s_N | s_j^k s_j^x \exp(-\beta \mathcal{H}_{\text{Is}}) | s_1, \dots, s_N \rangle}{\sum_{\{s_j\}} \langle s_1, \dots, s_N | \exp(-\beta \mathcal{H}_{\text{Is}}) | s_1, \dots, s_N \rangle} \\ &= \frac{\text{Tr } D_j^{(p)} V^N}{\text{Tr } V^N}, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where  $D_j^{(p)}$  is an operator defined by

$$D_j^{(p)} = \sum_{s'_j, s'_{j+1}} |s'_j\rangle |s'_{j+1}\rangle \Delta_\rho^{(p)} \langle s'_j| \langle s'_{j+1}|, \quad (27)$$

and represented by a diagonal matrix  $D^{(p)}$  with the elements

$$\begin{aligned} (D^{(p)})_{\rho\rho'} &= \Delta_\rho^{(p)} \delta_{\rho\rho'}, \\ \Delta_\rho^{(p)} &= \begin{cases} (s^x s^x)_{ll} = (S(S+1) - (S-l+1)^2)/2 & p = \text{even} \\ (s^y s^x)_{ll} = (S-l+1)/2i & p = \text{odd}, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

and  $\rho$  is the index which corresponds to the spin configuration  $(s'_j, s'_{j+1})$  of the adjacent two sites  $j$  and  $j+1$  (see for example below (19)), and  $l$  is defined by  $s'_j = S - l + 1$ , i.e.  $\rho$  denotes a configuration  $(s'_j, s'_{j+1})$ , and  $l$  is determined from  $s'_j$ . In the case of  $S = 1/2$ , for example,  $D^{(p)}$  is a diagonal matrix  $D^{(p)} = \text{diag}(1/4, 1/4, 1/4, 1/4)$  when  $p$  is even, and  $iD^{(p)} = \text{diag}(1/4, 1/4, -1/4, -1/4)$  when  $p$  is odd. Let us introduce a parameter  $m_\rho = s'_j = S - l + 1$  which is the eigenvalue satisfying  $s_j^z |s'_j\rangle = m_\rho |s'_j\rangle$ . The parameter  $m_\rho$  will be used below.

Similarly the correlation function in (14) for general spin  $S$  is then expressed, using the transfer matrix (17) and the operator

$$M_j = \sum_{s'_j, s'_{j+1}} |s'_j\rangle |s'_{j+1}\rangle s'_j \langle s'_j| \langle s'_{j+1}|, \quad (29)$$

which is represented by a diagonal matrix  $M = \text{diag}(m_1, \dots, m_n)$ , as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (s_{j-2}^z)^{p_1-l_1} (s_{j-1}^z)^{p_0-l_0} (\tau_p s_j^{k(p)} s_j^x) (s_{j+1}^z)^{l_0} (s_{j+2}^z)^{l_1} \rangle_0 &= \frac{\text{Tr } M^{p_1-l_1} V M^{p_0-l_0} V \tau_p D^{(p)} V M^{l_0} V M^{l_1} V^{N-4}}{\text{Tr } V^N} \\ &= \frac{\text{Tr } M^{p_1-l_1} V M^{p_0-l_0} V \tau_p D^{(p)} V M^{l_0} V M^{l_1} U \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & (\lambda_2/\lambda_1)^{N-4} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & (\lambda_n/\lambda_1)^{N-4} \end{pmatrix} U^{-1}}{\text{Tr } V^4 U \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & (\lambda_2/\lambda_1)^{N-4} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & (\lambda_n/\lambda_1)^{N-4} \end{pmatrix} U^{-1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where  $U$  is a matrix whose column vectors are the eigenvectors of  $V$ , the first column being the eigenvector that corresponds to the unique maximum eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$ , and  $n = (2S + 1)^2$ . Matrix  $U^{-1} V U = \Lambda$  is a diagonal matrix whose diagonal elements are the eigenvalues of  $V$ . Here let us consider the thermodynamic limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , then we find  $(\lambda_\rho/\lambda_1)^{N-4} \rightarrow 0$  for  $\rho \neq 1$ ,

and (30) is expressed as

$$\rightarrow \frac{1}{c} \sum_{\iota, \kappa=1}^n \sum_{\mu, \rho, \nu=1}^n \bar{u}_{1\iota} m_{\iota}^{p_1-l_1} V_{\iota\mu} m_{\mu}^{p_0-l_0} V_{\mu\rho} \tau_p \Delta_{\rho}^{(p)} V_{\rho\nu} m_{\nu}^{l_0} V_{\nu\kappa} m_{\kappa}^{l_1} u_{\kappa 1} \quad (N \rightarrow \infty), \quad (31)$$

where

$$c = \sum_{\iota, \kappa=1}^n \sum_{\mu, \rho, \nu=1}^n \bar{u}_{1\iota} V_{\iota\mu} V_{\mu\rho} V_{\rho\nu} V_{\nu\kappa} u_{\kappa 1} = \lambda_1^4, \quad (32)$$

and  $\bar{u}_{1\iota} = (U^{-1})_{1\iota}$ ,  $u_{\kappa 1} = (U)_{\kappa 1}$ . The factor  $\bar{u}_{1\iota} u_{\kappa 1}$  is the exactly renormalized Boltzmann weight with fixed configurations  $\iota$  and  $\kappa$ . When the transfer matrix  $V$  cannot be diagonalized, which occur for example when  $y^2(x + 1/x)/2 = 1$  with  $x \neq 1$  in the case of spin 1/2, we can consider the Jordan normal form, and obtain an identical result. The susceptibility in the thermodynamic limit is obtained, from (9), (14) and (31), as

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_0 &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\chi}{N} \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{p+1}}{(p+1)!} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \langle \delta^p Q Q \rangle_0 \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{p+1}}{(p+1)!} (g\mu_B)^2 \sum_{l=0}^p \binom{p}{l} (-J_0)^{p-l} (-J_1)^l \\ &\quad \times \sum_{l_0=0}^{p_0} \binom{p_0}{l_0} \sum_{l_1=0}^{p_1} \binom{p_1}{l_1} \frac{1}{c} \sum_{\iota, \kappa=1}^n \sum_{\mu, \rho, \nu=1}^n \bar{u}_{1\iota} m_{\iota}^{p_1-l_1} V_{\iota\mu} m_{\mu}^{p_0-l_0} V_{\mu\rho} \tau_p \Delta_{\rho}^{(p)} V_{\rho\nu} m_{\nu}^{l_0} V_{\nu\kappa} m_{\kappa}^{l_1} u_{\kappa 1} \\ &= \frac{(g\mu_B)^2}{c} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{p+1}}{(p+1)!} \sum_{l=0}^p \binom{p}{l} (-J_0)^{p-l} (-J_1)^l \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\iota, \kappa=1}^n \sum_{\mu, \rho, \nu=1}^n \bar{u}_{1\iota} V_{\iota\mu} V_{\mu\rho} \tau_p \Delta_{\rho}^{(p)} V_{\rho\nu} V_{\nu\kappa} u_{\kappa 1} (m_{\mu} + m_{\nu})^{p-l} (m_{\iota} + m_{\kappa})^l \\ &= \frac{(g\mu_B)^2}{c} \sum_{\iota, \kappa=1}^n \sum_{\mu, \rho, \nu=1}^n \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{p+1}}{(p+1)!} \left( (-J_0)(m_{\mu} + m_{\nu}) + (-J_1)(m_{\iota} + m_{\kappa}) \right)^p \bar{u}_{1\iota} V_{\iota\mu} V_{\mu\rho} \tau_p \Delta_{\rho}^{(p)} V_{\rho\nu} V_{\nu\kappa} u_{\kappa 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

The sum should be classified according to  $(-J_0)(m_{\mu} + m_{\nu}) + (-J_1)(m_{\iota} + m_{\kappa}) = J(\iota, \mu, \nu, \kappa)$  and  $p$ . Note that  $J(\iota, \mu, \nu, \kappa)^0 = 1$  even if  $J(\iota, \mu, \nu, \kappa) = 0$ . Then we find

$$\chi_0 = \frac{(g\mu_B)^2}{c} \sum_{\substack{\iota, \kappa, \mu, \nu, \rho=1 \\ (J(\iota, \mu, \nu, \kappa)=0)}}^n \frac{\beta^1}{1!} \bar{u}_{1\iota} V_{\iota\mu} V_{\mu\rho} \tau_0 \Delta_{\rho}^{(0)} V_{\rho\nu} V_{\nu\kappa} u_{\kappa 1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{(g\mu_B)^2}{c} \sum_{\substack{\iota, \kappa, \mu, \nu, \rho=1 \\ (J(\iota, \mu, \nu, \kappa) \neq 0)}}^n \sum_{\substack{p=0 \\ (p=\text{even})}}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{p+1}}{(p+1)!} J(\iota, \mu, \nu, \kappa)^p \bar{u}_{1\iota} V_{\iota\mu} V_{\mu\rho} \Delta_\rho^{(\text{even})} V_{\rho\nu} V_{\nu\kappa} u_{\kappa 1} \\
& + \frac{(g\mu_B)^2}{c} \sum_{\substack{\iota, \kappa, \mu, \nu, \rho=1 \\ (J(\iota, \mu, \nu, \kappa) \neq 0)}}^n \sum_{\substack{p=1 \\ (p=\text{odd})}}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{p+1}}{(p+1)!} J(\iota, \mu, \nu, \kappa)^p \bar{u}_{1\iota} V_{\iota\mu} V_{\mu\rho} i\Delta_\rho^{(\text{odd})} V_{\rho\nu} V_{\nu\kappa} u_{\kappa 1}, \quad (34)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta_\rho^{(\text{even})} = \Delta_\rho^{(0)}$  and  $\Delta_\rho^{(\text{odd})} = \Delta_\rho^{(1)}$ . Finally we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\chi_0}{(g\mu_B)^2} &= \frac{\beta}{c} \sum_{\substack{\iota, \kappa, \mu, \nu, \rho=1 \\ (J(\iota, \mu, \nu, \kappa)=0)}}^n \bar{u}_{1\iota} V_{\iota\mu} V_{\mu\rho} \Delta_\rho^{(0)} V_{\rho\nu} V_{\nu\kappa} u_{\kappa 1} \\
&+ \frac{1}{c} \sum_{\substack{\iota, \kappa, \mu, \nu, \rho=1 \\ (J(\iota, \mu, \nu, \kappa) \neq 0)}}^n \frac{\sinh \beta J(\iota, \mu, \nu, \kappa)}{J(\iota, \mu, \nu, \kappa)} \bar{u}_{1\iota} V_{\iota\mu} V_{\mu\rho} \Delta_\rho^{(\text{even})} V_{\rho\nu} V_{\nu\kappa} u_{\kappa 1} \\
&+ \frac{1}{c} \sum_{\substack{\iota, \kappa, \mu, \nu, \rho=1 \\ (J(\iota, \mu, \nu, \kappa) \neq 0)}}^n \frac{\cosh \beta J(\iota, \mu, \nu, \kappa) - 1}{J(\iota, \mu, \nu, \kappa)} \bar{u}_{1\iota} V_{\iota\mu} V_{\mu\rho} i\Delta_\rho^{(\text{odd})} V_{\rho\nu} V_{\nu\kappa} u_{\kappa 1}. \quad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

Equation (35) provides the exact zero-field transverse susceptibility of the spin- $S$  transverse Ising model (1). The result is written in terms of finite summations.

In the case of  $S = 1/2$ , long and straightforward calculations yield that the susceptibility is

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\chi_0}{(g\mu_B)^2} &= \frac{\beta}{4c} \left[ \left( x^2 + \frac{1}{x^2} \right) + \frac{y}{R_-} \left( x + \frac{1}{x} \right) \left( \left( x - \frac{1}{x} \right)^2 + \frac{2}{y^4} \right) \right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{J_0} \frac{1}{2c} \frac{xy}{R_-} \left( x^2 - \frac{1}{x^2} \right) \left[ \frac{R_-}{xy} + \frac{1}{x} \left( x + \frac{1}{x} \right) \right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{J_1} \frac{1}{2c} \frac{xy}{R_-} \frac{1}{y^2} \left( y^2 - \frac{1}{y^2} \right) \left[ \frac{R_-}{xy} + \frac{1}{x} \left( x + \frac{1}{x} \right) \right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{J_0 - J_1} \frac{1}{2c} \frac{xy}{R_-} \frac{1}{x^2} \left( x^2 \frac{1}{y^2} - \frac{1}{x^2} y^2 \right) \left[ \frac{y}{x} u_- + \frac{1}{y^2} \right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{J_0 + J_1} \frac{1}{2c} \frac{xy}{R_-} \left( x^2 y^2 - \frac{1}{x^2 y^2} \right) \left[ xy u_+ + \frac{1}{y^2} \right], \quad (36)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
c &= \frac{1}{2} \left( y^4 \left( x^4 + \frac{1}{x^4} \right) + 4 \left( x^2 + \frac{1}{x^2} \right) + 4 + \frac{2}{y^4} \right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{y}{R_-} \left( x + \frac{1}{x} \right) \left( \left( x^2 + \frac{1}{x^2} \right) + \frac{2}{y^4} \right) \left( \left( x - \frac{1}{x} \right)^2 y^4 + 4 \right) \\
&= (\lambda_1^+)^4, \quad (37)
\end{aligned}$$

and  $x = e^{K_0/4}$ ,  $y = e^{K_1/4}$ , and  $u_\pm$  and  $R_-$  are defined in (24). Generally in the case of spin  $S$ , we have to find the eigenvectors of  $n \times n = (2S + 1)^2 \times (2S + 1)^2$  matrix  $V$ .

The first term in (36) corresponds to the case  $m_\mu + m_\nu = 0$  and  $m_l + m_k = 0$  which yields  $J(\iota, \mu, \nu, \kappa) = 0$  for all  $J_0$  and  $J_1$ . Other  $m_\rho$ 's sometimes yield  $J(\iota, \mu, \nu, \kappa) = 0$  when  $J_0$  and  $J_1$  take some special values (for example,  $J_1 = -J_0$ ,  $m_\mu + m_\nu = 2S$ ,  $m_l + m_k = 2S$  result in  $J(\iota, \mu, \nu, \kappa) = 0$ ). Contributions from these latter cases, if exist, can be obtained by taking limit  $J(\iota, \mu, \nu, \kappa) \rightarrow 0$  in the remaining four terms (thus the susceptibility for  $J_1 = -J_0$ , for example, is simply obtained by taking limit  $J_1 \rightarrow -J_0$  in (36)).

When  $J_1 = 0$  or  $J_0 = 0$ , the system reduces to the nearest-neighbor transverse Ising chain. For example in (36), let  $J_1 \rightarrow 0$  then  $y \rightarrow 1$  and we obtain

$$\frac{J_0 \chi_0}{(g\mu_B)^2} = \frac{K_0/2}{(x + \frac{1}{x})^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{x - \frac{1}{x}}{x + \frac{1}{x}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{K_0/4}{\cosh^2 \frac{1}{4} K_0} + \tanh \frac{1}{4} K_0 \right), \quad (38)$$

which is the transverse susceptibility of the nearest-neighbor Ising chain.<sup>1)2)</sup> When  $J_0 \rightarrow 0$  then  $x \rightarrow 1$  and we again obtain (38) in which  $x$  is replaced by  $y$ , and  $J_0$  is replaced by  $J_1$ .

The susceptibility is invariant under the change of sign  $J_0 \mapsto -J_0$ . For example (36) and (37) is invariant under  $x \mapsto 1/x$ . This invariance comes from the symmetry of the system under transformations  $J_0 \mapsto -J_0$  and  $(\sigma_j^x, \sigma_j^y, \sigma_j^z) \mapsto (\sigma_j^x, -\sigma_j^y, -\sigma_j^z)$  for odd  $j$ .

The transverse susceptibility of the spin  $S$  Ising chain is shown in Fig.1-Fig.3 for various values of the nearest-neighbor interaction  $J_0$  and the next-nearest-neighbor interaction  $J_1$ . The susceptibility is calculated using the analytic result (36) for  $S = 1/2$ , and calculated from numerically obtained  $\bar{u}_{1\iota}$  and  $u_{\kappa 1}$  for  $S \geq 1$ .

### 3. Low-temperature Limit

Next we physically consider the low-temperature behavior of the susceptibility. Let us follow the ground-state property of the system. Because (35) is a finite sum, the leading terms in (35) dominate the susceptibility at  $T \rightarrow 0$ . The phase diagram was investigated in Refs.31-33, and we know the ground state configurations in each phase. Let us consider the case  $J_0 > 0$ , because the susceptibility is invariant under the change  $J_0 \mapsto -J_0$  and the result for  $J_0 < 0$  and  $J_1$  is identical with that for  $|J_0|$  and  $J_1$ .

When  $J_1 > -J_0/2$ , the ground state is ferromagnetic:  $s_j = S$  for all  $j$ , or  $s_j = -S$  for all  $j$ . The leading terms in (32) are

$$\bar{u}_{11}(e^{S^2 K_0 + S^2 K_1})^4 u_{11} + \bar{u}_{1n}(e^{(-S)^2 K_0 + (-S)^2 K_1})^4 u_{n1}. \quad (39)$$

Note that  $\bar{u}_{1\iota} u_{\rho 1}$  is the exactly renormalized Boltzmann weight with fixed spin configurations  $\iota$  and  $\kappa$ , and satisfies  $\bar{u}_{1\iota} u_{\rho 1} > 0$ . Because  $U^{-1}U = E$ , we find that  $\sum_{\iota=1}^n \bar{u}_{1\iota} u_{\iota 1} = 1$ , and thus

all the  $\bar{u}_{1l}u_{k1}$  are finite when  $\iota = \kappa$ . The leading terms in (35) are found in  $(1, 1)$  and  $(n, n)$ -elements of  $V^2\tau_p D^{(p)}V^2$ , with the condition  $m_\iota + m_\kappa = m_\mu + m_\nu = 2S$  and  $m_\iota + m_\kappa = m_\mu + m_\nu = -2S$ , respectively. They come from the ground-state configurations together with the first excitations:

$$\begin{aligned} &|S\rangle_{j-2}|S\rangle_{j-1}|S\rangle_j|S\rangle_{j+1}|S\rangle_{j+2}|S\rangle_{j+3}, \\ &|S\rangle_{j-2}|S\rangle_{j-1}|S-1\rangle_j|S\rangle_{j+1}|S\rangle_{j+2}|S\rangle_{j+3} \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &|-S\rangle_{j-2}|-S\rangle_{j-1}|-S\rangle_j|-S\rangle_{j+1}|-S\rangle_{j+2}|-S\rangle_{j+3}, \\ &|-S\rangle_{j-2}|-S\rangle_{j-1}|-S+1\rangle_j|-S\rangle_{j+1}|-S\rangle_{j+2}|-S\rangle_{j+3}, \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

i.e. obtained from the terms

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c} \quad & \left[ \frac{\sinh(-2S\beta(J_0 + J_1))}{-2S(J_0 + J_1)} \right. \\ & \times \left( \Delta_1^{(\text{even})}(e^{S^2K_0+S^2K_1})^4 + \Delta_2^{(\text{even})}(e^{S^2K_0+S^2K_1})^2(e^{S(S-1)K_0+S(S-1)K_1})^2 \right) \bar{u}_{11}u_{11} \\ & + \frac{\sinh(2S\beta(J_0 + J_1))}{2S(J_0 + J_1)} \\ & \times \left( \Delta_n^{(\text{even})}(e^{(-S)^2K_0+(-S)^2K_1})^4 + \Delta_{n-1}^{(\text{even})}(e^{(-S)^2K_0+(-S)^2K_1})^2(e^{(-S)(-S+1)K_0+(-S)(-S+1)K_1})^2 \right) \bar{u}_{1n}u_{n1} \\ & + \frac{\cosh(-2S\beta(J_0 + J_1)) - 1}{-2S(J_0 + J_1)} \\ & \times \left( i\Delta_1^{(\text{odd})}(e^{S^2K_0+S^2K_1})^4 + i\Delta_2^{(\text{odd})}(e^{S^2K_0+S^2K_1})^2(e^{S(S-1)K_0+S(S-1)K_1})^2 \right) \bar{u}_{11}u_{11} \\ & + \frac{\cosh(2S\beta(J_0 + J_1)) - 1}{2S(J_0 + J_1)} \\ & \times \left( i\Delta_n^{(\text{odd})}(e^{(-S)^2K_0+(-S)^2K_1})^4 + i\Delta_{n-1}^{(\text{odd})}(e^{(-S)^2K_0+(-S)^2K_1})^2(e^{(-S)(-S+1)K_0+(-S)(-S+1)K_1})^2 \right) \bar{u}_{1n}u_{n1} \Big], \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where  $\Delta_1^{(\text{even})} = \Delta_n^{(\text{even})} = \frac{1}{2}(S(S+1) - S^2) = \frac{1}{2}S$ ,  $\Delta_2^{(\text{even})} = \Delta_{n-1}^{(\text{even})} = \frac{1}{2}(S(S+1) - (S-1)^2) = \frac{1}{2}(3S-1)$ ,  $i\Delta_1^{(\text{odd})} = \frac{1}{2}S$ ,  $i\Delta_2^{(\text{odd})} = \frac{1}{2}(S-1)$ ,  $i\Delta_{n-1}^{(\text{odd})} = -\frac{1}{2}(S-1)$ , and  $i\Delta_n^{(\text{odd})} = -\frac{1}{2}S$ . Contributions from the first sum in (35) vanish at  $T \rightarrow 0$ . Short calculations yield that the susceptibility at low temperatures behaves

$$\frac{\chi_0}{(g\mu_B)^2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{J_0 + J_1} \quad (T \rightarrow 0), \quad (43)$$

which is independent of  $S$ .

When  $J_1 < -J_0/2$ , the ground state configurations are obtained by iterations of  $|S\rangle|S\rangle| -$

$|S\rangle| - S\rangle$ .<sup>33)32)</sup> Specifically from the configurations

$$\begin{aligned} &|S\rangle_{j-2}|S\rangle_{j-1}| - S\rangle_j| - S\rangle_{j+1}|S\rangle_{j+2}|S\rangle_{j+3}, \quad |S\rangle_{j-2}| - S\rangle_{j-1}| - S\rangle_j|S\rangle_{j+1}|S\rangle_{j+2}| - S\rangle_{j+3}, \\ &| - S\rangle_{j-2}| - S\rangle_{j-1}|S\rangle_j|S\rangle_{j+1}| - S\rangle_{j+2}| - S\rangle_{j+3}, \quad | - S\rangle_{j-2}|S\rangle_{j-1}|S\rangle_j| - S\rangle_{j+1}| - S\rangle_{j+2}|S\rangle_{j+3}, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

and their excitations, the leading terms of (35) are generated in the diagonal elements of  $V^2\tau_p D^{(p)}V^2$ , and again straightforward calculations yield that the low-temperature limit of the susceptibility is

$$\frac{\chi_0}{(g\mu_B)^2} \rightarrow \frac{-1}{2} \frac{1}{J_1} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{|J_1|} \quad (T \rightarrow 0). \quad (45)$$

Note that the nearest-neighbor interactions cancel with each other and  $J_0$  does not appear in the ground state configurations.





When  $J_1 = -J_0/2$ , configurations obtained by arbitrary iterations of  $|S\rangle|S\rangle$  and  $| - S\rangle| - S\rangle$  belong to the ground state, e.g. configurations  $|S\rangle|S\rangle|S\rangle|S\rangle|S\rangle|S\rangle \cdots$ , and  $|S\rangle|S\rangle| - S\rangle| - S\rangle|S\rangle|S\rangle \cdots$ , and  $|S\rangle|S\rangle|S\rangle|S\rangle| - S\rangle| - S\rangle \cdots$  provide the ground state energy. The ground state is therefore highly degenerate, and for example the configurations


$$|S\rangle_{j-2}|S\rangle_{j-1}| - S\rangle_j| - S\rangle_{j+1}| - S\rangle_{j+2}| - S\rangle_{j+3}, \quad |S\rangle_{j-2}|S\rangle_{j-1}|S\rangle_j| - S\rangle_{j+1}| - S\rangle_{j+2}| - S\rangle_{j+3}, \quad (46)$$

generate some of the leading terms. They satisfy  $m_l + m_k = 0$  and  $m_\mu + m_\nu = 0$ , and hence the first term in (35) remains even when  $T \rightarrow 0$ , and we find the divergence coming from  $\beta$  at low temperatures.


## Acknowledgment

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. JP19K03668.


(a)  $S = 1/2$   $J_0 = 1.0$ (b)  $S = 1$   $J_0 = 1.0$ 



**Fig. 1.** The transverse susceptibility of the spin  $S$  Ising chain with the nearest-neighbor-interaction  $J_0 = 1.0$ , and with various values of the next-nearest-neighbor interaction  $J_1$ , where (a)  $S = 1/2$ , (b)  $S = 1$ , (c)  $S = 3/2$ , and (d)  $S = 2$ .



**Fig. 2.** The transverse susceptibility of the spin  $S$  Ising chain with the next-nearest-neighbor-interaction  $J_1 = 1.0$ , and with various values of the nearest-neighbor interaction  $J_0 > 0$ , where (a)  $S = 1/2$ , (b)  $S = 1$ , (c)  $S = 3/2$ , and (d)  $S = 2$ .



**Fig. 3.** The transverse susceptibility of the spin  $S$  Ising chain with the next-nearest-neighbor-interaction  $J_1 = -1.0$ , and with various values of the nearest-neighbor interaction  $J_0 > 0$ , where (a)  $S = 1/2$ , (b)  $S = 1$ , (c)  $S = 3/2$ , and (d)  $S = 2$ . The curves for  $J_0 = 0.0$  and for  $J_0 = 0.2$  are very close to each other. The curve for  $J_0 = 1.0$  is larger than the other four at low temperatures, and is smaller than the other four at high temperatures.

## References

- 1) M. E. Fisher, *Physica* 26, 618 (1960).
- 2) M. E. Fisher, *J. Math. Phys.* 4, 124 (1963).
- 3) S. Katsura, *Phys. Rev.* 127, 1508 (1962).
- 4) P. Pfeuty, *Ann. Phys.* 57, 79 (1970).
- 5) M. Suzuki, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 46, 1337 (1971), see also K. Minami, *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* 108.3, 30001 (2014).
- 6) K. Minami, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 85, 024003 (2016).
- 7) K. Minami, *Nucl. Phys. B* 925, 144 (2017).
- 8) Y. Yanagihara and K. Minami, *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* 2020.11, 113A01 (2020).
- 9) X-Y. Feng, G-M. Zhang, and T. Xiang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 98, 087204 (2007).
- 10) H-D. Chen, and J. Hu, *Phys. Rev. B* 76, 193101 (2007).
- 11) H-D. Chen, and Z. Nussinov, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 41, 075001 (2008).
- 12) K. Minami, *Nucl. Phys. B* 939, 465 (2019).
- 13) W. Cao, M. Yamazaki, and Y. Zheng *Phys. Rev. B* 106, 075150 (2022).
- 14) K. Li and H. C. Po *Phys. Rev. B* 106, 115109 (2022).
- 15) M. Suzuki, B. Tsujiyama and S. Katsura *J. Math. Phys.* 8, 124 (1967)
- 16) T. Obokata and T. Oguchi *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn* 25, 322 (1968)
- 17) J. F. Dobson *J. Math. Phys.* 10, 40 (1969)
- 18) M. F. Thorpe and M. Thomsen, *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* 16, L237 (1983)
- 19) I. Chatterjee, *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* 18, L1097 (1985)
- 20) K. Minami, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 29, 6395 (1996).
- 21) K. Minami, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 67, 2255 (1998).
- 22) K. Minami, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 46, 505005 (2013).
- 23) G. S. Joyce: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 19, 581 (1967)
- 24) C. J. Thompson: *J. Math. Phys.* 9, 241 (1968)
- 25) T. Horiguchi: *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 59, 3142 (1990)
- 26) J. Stephenson: *Can. J. Phys.* 48, 1724 (1970)
- 27) R. M. Hornreich, R. Liebmann, H. G. Schuster and W. Selke, *Z. Physik B* 35, 91 (1979)

- 28) I. Harada: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 52, 4099 (1983)
- 29) T. Oguchi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 20, 2236 (1965)
- 30) F. A. Kassan-Ogly, Phase Transitions 74, 353 (2001)
- 31) S. Katsura and M. Ohminami, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 5, 95 (1972)
- 32) T. Morita and T. Horiguchi, Phys. Lett. 38A, 223 (1972)
- 33) Y. Muraoka, K. Oda, J. W. Tucker and T. Idogaki, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 29, 949 (1996)