Running and running of the running of the scalar spectral index in warm inflation

Suratna Das[1,*] and Rudnei O. Ramos[2,3,†]

[1] *Department of Physics, Ashoka University, Rajiv Gandhi Education City, Rai, Sonipat: 131029, Haryana, India*
[2] *Departamento de Fisica Teorica, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, 20550-013 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil*
[3] *Physics Department, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, H3A 2T8, Canada*

Next generation of cosmological observations are expected to improve the measurements of several quantities connected to the primordial inflation in the early Universe. These quantities include for example improved measurements for the spectral index of the scalar curvature of the primordial power spectrum and to also bring a better understanding on the scaling dependence of the primordial spectrum. This includes the running of the tilt and, possibly, also the running of the running. In this paper, we investigate the possibility of generating large runnings in the context of warm inflation. Useful analytical expressions for the runnings are derived in the context of warm inflation in the large dissipation regime. The results are compared to and discussed for some well motivated primordial inflaton potentials that have recently been of interest in the literature.

Keywords: Inflation; Warm Inflation; Cosmic Microwave Background

arXiv:2212.13914v2 [astro-ph.CO] 31 Jan 2023

I. INTRODUCTION

The final release of the *Planck* Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) data [1] has severely constrained many primordial observables, such as the scalar spectral index, n_s, the tensor-to-scalar ratio, r, the primordial non-Gaussianities and the primordial isocurvature spectrum, leaving us with the simplest vanilla inflationary models as the most preferred ones. However, the presence of the running, α_s, and the running of running, β_s, of the scalar spectral index that were inferred by the *Planck* data may hint otherwise. For the ΛCDM model, the *Planck* 2018 TT(TT,TE,EE)+lowE+lensing data constrains the scalar spectral index, its running and the running of its running as [1]

$$\begin{aligned} n_s &= 0.9587 \pm 0.0056 \ (0.9625 \pm 0.0048) \\ \alpha_s &= 0.013 \pm 0.012 \ (0.002 \pm 0.010) \\ \beta_s &= 0.022 \pm 0.012 \ (0.010 \pm 0.013), \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

all at 68% CL. According to *Planck*, such values for the running and running of running of the scalar spectral index yield a better fit to the low-ℓ deficit of the TT spectrum. It is interesting to note that in these constraints there is a slight preference for a positive and rather large $\beta_s \sim 10^{-2}$, which is also larger than the running of the spectral index ($\beta_s > \alpha_s$). This is unexpected, when compared, for instance, to the values derived from standard inflationary models [2], where it is expected an hierarchy like $n_s \gg \alpha_s \gg \beta_s$. It has been shown in Refs. [3, 4] that if we consider the empirical relation $n_s - 1 \propto 1/N$, where N is the number of $e-$foldings, then in these vanilla models one expects

$$|\alpha_s| \sim \frac{1}{N^2} \lesssim 10^{-4}, \qquad |\beta_s| \sim \frac{1}{N^3} \lesssim 10^{-5}. \tag{1.2}$$

The *Planck*–preferred vanilla inflationary models in general also produce negative α_s and β_s. Therefore, such inflation models have been considered to be in tension with the current observations by *Planck* [4, 5]. Going beyond these vanilla models does not seem to improve the scenario either. It was shown in Ref. [5] that single field inflation models and non-interacting two-field models are incapable of producing a β_s larger than α_s. Slow-roll violating models and those with non-trivial evolution of sound speed could be able to provide exception to this trend, but with a considerable amount of fine-tuning [5].

The *Planck*–preferred vanilla inflaton potential models in general consider the traditional cold inflation (CI) scenario, where the dynamics of the inflaton field ϕ is assumed to be independent of its couplings with other fields during inflation. However, such interaction terms play a major role at the end of inflation, when the inflaton field needs to release its energy density in the form of radiation through decay processes to reheat the Universe and, hence, leading to a radiation dominated Universe as required by the big bang cosmology. On the other hand, in the warm inflation (WI) scenario [6], the couplings between the inflaton and other fields are strong enough such that their effects on the inflaton dynamics cannot be ignored. In WI (for reviews on WI, see, e.g., Refs. [7, 8]), the inflaton field is able to keep dissipating its energy such that a non-negligible radiation bath throughout inflation can be produced, while preserving the flatness of the inflaton potential required for slow-rolling of the inflaton field. The presence of such a quasi-equilibrium thermal radiation bath during WI helps transiting smoothly from the inflationary accelerated phase to the radiation dominated phase after inflation ends, avoiding a phase of reheating in between. In WI, the inflaton dynamics are modified with respect to the one in CI due to the presence of an extra friction term, $\Upsilon\dot{\phi}$, that accounts for the energy transfer between the inflaton field and the radiation bath present during inflation. Due to such modified dynamics, the inflationary observables, like r, n_s and the non-Gaussianity parameter $f_{\rm NL}$,

* suratna.das@ashoka.edu.in
† rudnei@uerj.br

are also modified with respect to those obtained in CI. These changes have certain advantages. For example, some of the inflaton potentials excluded by data in the context of CI, can be made in tune with the observations in the WI context. One such example being the quartic chaotic potential $\lambda\phi^4$ [9]. Besides, it has recently been shown that, while CI fails to comply with the recently proposed Swampland Conjectures in String Theory [10–12], WI can easily accommodate those criteria [13–18]. Hence, WI provides a way to construct inflationary models that can be consistent as effective models that could descent from an ultraviolet complete quantum gravity, despite the Swampland Conjectures barring them from constructing de Sitter vacua in String Landscapes.

The running and the running of the running of the scalar spectral index in the context of WI were first studied in Ref. [19], where several inflationary potentials were analyzed with two different forms of dissipative terms considered in WI, $\Upsilon_{\rm cubic} \propto T^3/\phi^2$ and $\Upsilon_{\rm linear} \propto T$ (T being the temperature of the thermal bath). The WI models studied in Ref. [19] were, however, treated in the weak dissipative regime ($Q \ll 1$, where Q is the ratio of the thermal friction term $\Upsilon\dot{\phi}$ and the Hubble expansion friction term $3H\dot{\phi}$ present in the inflaton equation of motion in WI). This was because the models studied in that reference could only lead to consistent observables, e.g, values for r and n_s, in that specific dissipation regime of WI. In particular, it was shown in Ref. [19] that in all the models studied there, in the weak dissipative regime, there was still a large hierarchy between the values of α_s and β_s.

The aim of this paper is to study the running and the running of the running of the scalar spectral index in the context of WI, where WI is realized in a strong dissipative regime ($Q \gg 1$). This is motivated by the recent results in WI in the context of the swampland conjectures [13–16] and also on the solution of the so-called η-problem [20], which exactly favors WI being realized in the strong dissipative regime. For this purpose, we will focus mostly in a certain WI model, dubbed the Minimal Warm Inflation (MWI) [21], where WI has been shown to be possible to be realized in the strong dissipative regime and in which the dissipative term is proportional to the cubic power of the temperature of the thermal bath ($\Upsilon \propto T^3$). However, our results will be kept as general as possible, such that they can be extended to other models. In the original paper of MWI [21], a hybrid potential was used to produce a red-tilted scalar power spectrum. Later, MWI was studied with generalized exponential potentials in Ref. [22], and it was shown that this model is not only in accordance with the current observations (yielding the appropriate values for n_s and r), but also are in tune with the Swampland Conjectures. We will analyze both the original MWI model, with the hybrid potential, and also the one with the generalized exponential potential, to derive α_s and β_s that are produced in these two scenarios. It is to be noticed that although the running and the running of the running of the scalar spectral index in the context of WI were first studied in Ref. [19], they were estimated numerically. Here, however, we aim to produce explicit analytical expressions for these quantities in WI. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that such analytical analysis and derivation of α_s and β_s in the context of WI are presented. Given the advent of new generations of cosmological observatories probing both the cosmic microwave background (CMB) measurements [23, 24], the distribution of matter at low-redshift from optical, near-infrared, and 21cm intensity surveys [25], it is expected that theories of cosmic inflation will be further constrained with the more precise cosmological data. Thus, it is important to have such analytical expressions to help in our ability in finding possible models of interest and also in model building in WI.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we briefly review WI and present the general expressions for n_s, α_s and β_s. In Sec. III, we analyze the case of MWI with a hybrid potential. In Sec. IV, we turn our attention to the determination of n_s, α_s and β_s in the recently studied generalized exponential potentials for the inflaton in WI. Then, in Sec. V, we discuss our findings and conclude.

II. RUNNING AND RUNNING OF THE RUNNING OF SCALAR SPECTRAL INDEX IN WI - GENERAL EXPRESSIONS

The equation of motion of the inflaton field ϕ in WI is

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H(1+Q)\dot{\phi} + V_{,\phi} = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where Q is the ratio of the two frictional terms, the friction due thermal bath and the friction due to Hubble expansion, present in the theory,

$$Q \equiv \frac{\Upsilon(T,\phi)}{3H}, \tag{2.2}$$

where the dissipation coefficient $\Upsilon \equiv \Upsilon(T,\phi)$ is in general a function of the temperature of the thermal radiation bath generated and can also depend on the inflaton amplitude (for examples of different forms of dissipation coefficients derived in quantum field theory and used in WI, see, e.g., Ref. [26]). In Eq. (2.1), $V_{,\phi} \equiv dV/d\phi$, where V is the potential of the inflaton field. As the inflaton dissipates part of its energy density, it can sustain a radiation bath, with energy density ρ_r and whose evolution equation is given by

$$\dot{\rho}_r + 4H\rho_r = 3HQ\dot{\phi}^2. \tag{2.3}$$

We define the slow-roll parameters ϵ_V and η_V in the usual way,

$$\epsilon_V = \frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2}\left(\frac{V_{,\phi}}{V}\right)^2, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\eta_V = M_{\rm Pl}^2\frac{V_{,\phi\phi}}{V}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $M_{\rm Pl} \equiv 1/\sqrt{8\pi G} \simeq 2.4 \times 10^{18}$GeV is the reduced Planck mass. In WI, another set of slow-roll parameters are also defined,

$$\epsilon_{\rm WI} = \frac{\epsilon_V}{1+Q}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\eta_{\rm WI} = \frac{\eta_V}{1+Q}, \tag{2.7}$$

due to the fact that WI actually ends when $\epsilon_{\rm WI} \sim 1$, or, similarly, when $\epsilon_V \sim 1+Q$, while in CI the usual condition for the end of the accelerated inflationary regime is simply $\epsilon_V \sim 1$.

Besides ϵ_V and η_V, it is also useful to define the higher order slow-roll coefficients ξ_V^2 and ω_V^3, as [27]

$$\xi_V^2 \equiv M_{\rm Pl}^4 \frac{V_{,\phi}\, V_{,\phi\phi\phi}}{V^2}, \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\omega_V^3 = M_{\rm Pl}^6 \frac{V_{,\phi}^2\, V_{,\phi\phi\phi\phi}}{V^3}, \tag{2.9}$$

which will appear in the equations for the running and for the running of the running to be derived later on.

The primordial scalar curvature power spectrum of a typical WI model can be written as [19, 28]

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}(k/k_*) = \left(\frac{H_*^2}{2\pi\dot{\phi}_*}\right)^2 \mathcal{F}(k/k_*), \tag{2.10}$$

where the subindex $*$ means that the quantities are evaluated at the Hubble radius crossing of the pivot scale k_*. Here, the function $\mathcal{F}(k/k_*)$ is given as

$$\mathcal{F}(k/k_*) \equiv \left(1 + 2n_* + \frac{2\sqrt{3}\pi Q_*}{\sqrt{3+4\pi Q_*}}\frac{T_*}{H_*}\right) G(Q_*), \tag{2.11}$$

where n_* is the thermal distribution of the inflaton field due to the presence of the radiation bath and $G(Q_*)$ accounts for the effect of the coupling of the inflaton and radiation fluctuations [29–31].

The primordial scalar power spectrum $\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}(k/k_*)$ can be expanded in terms of the small scale-dependence as

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}(k/k_*) \simeq A_s \left(\frac{k}{k_*}\right)^{n_s - 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{2}\ln(k/k_*) + \frac{\beta_s}{6}\ln^2(k/k_*)} \tag{2.12}$$

where A_s is the scalar amplitude, n_s is the scalar tilt, α_s is the running and β_s is the running of the running (or second running).

From Eq. (2.12), the scalar spectral index n_s can be calculated at the horizon crossing ($k = k_*$) as

$$n_s - 1 = \frac{d\ln\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}(k/k_*)}{d\ln(k/k_*)}\Big|_{k\to k_*}. \tag{2.13}$$

Likewise, the expressions for α_s and β_s are determined, respectively, by

$$\alpha_s = \frac{dn_s(k/k_*)}{d\ln(k/k_*)}\Big|_{k\to k_*}, \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$\beta_s = \frac{d^2 n_s(k/k_*)}{d\ln(k/k_*)^2}\Big|_{k\to k_*} = \frac{d\alpha_s(k/k_*)}{d\ln(k/k_*)}\Big|_{k\to k_*}. \tag{2.15}$$

Using that at Hubble radius crossing $k_* = aH$, we can write $d\ln k = (d\ln k/dN)dN$, with $N = \ln a$ being the number of e-folds and $\epsilon_H = -\dot{H}/H^2$, then

$$\frac{d\ln k}{dN} = 1 - \epsilon_H \approx 1 - \epsilon_V/(1+Q). \tag{2.16}$$

The definitions given by Eqs. (2.13), (2.14) and (2.15) can now be applied directly to the scalar curvature in WI, Eq. (2.10). Once an appropriate functional form for the dissipation coefficient is given, explicit expressions for n_s, α_s and β_s can be derived. Here we work with the well-motivated functional form for the dissipation coefficient[1]

$$\Upsilon(\phi, T) = C_\Upsilon\, T^p \phi^c \mathcal{M}^{1-p-c}, \tag{2.17}$$

where C_Υ is a constant, T is the temperature and $\mathcal{M}$ is some appropriate mass scale. For specific examples of microscopic quantum field theory derivations of such dissipation coefficients in WI, see, e.g., Refs. [7, 34–37].

When taking derivatives of Eq. (2.10) such as to obtain n_s, α_s and β_s, we are naturally faced with accounting for derivatives on Q and T/H with respect to N. These expressions depend on the specific form of the dissipation coefficient used. For completeness, let us quote them here for the generic dissipation term Eq. (2.17). We use the slow-roll approximation for the background dynamical equations for the inflaton field and for the radiation energy density in WI, e.g.,

$$3H(1+Q)\dot{\phi} \approx -V_{,\phi}\,, \tag{2.18}$$

$$\rho_R \equiv C_R T^4 \approx \frac{3Q}{4}\dot{\phi}^2, \tag{2.19}$$

$$H^2 \approx \frac{V}{3M_{\rm Pl}^2}. \tag{2.20}$$

From these set of equations, one can easily deduce for instance that

$$Q^7 \propto \frac{V_{,\phi}^6}{V^5}, \qquad T^7 \propto \frac{V_{,\phi}^2}{V^{1/2}}. \tag{2.21}$$

Thus, from Eqs. (2.18), (2.19) and (2.20), together with Eq. (2.17), we obtain that

$$\frac{d\ln Q}{dN} = \frac{2Q\left[(2+p)\epsilon_V - p\eta_V - 2c\kappa_V\right]}{4 - p + (4+p)Q}, \tag{2.22}$$

and

$$\frac{d\ln\left(\frac{T}{H}\right)}{dN} = \frac{\left[7 - (1-Q)p + 5Q\right]\epsilon_V}{(1+Q)\left[4 - p + (4+p)Q\right]} - \frac{2(1+Q)\eta_V + (1-Q)c\kappa_V}{(1+Q)\left[4 - p + (4+p)Q\right]}, \tag{2.23}$$

[1] For earlier studies considering this functional form for the dissipation coefficient in WI, see, e.g., Refs. [32, 33].

where we have also introduced the quantity κ_V, defined as $\kappa_V = M_{\rm Pl}^2 V_{,\phi}/(\phi\, V)$. From Eqs. (2.22) and (2.23), we find in particular that

$$n_s = 1 + \frac{(1+Q)}{1+Q-\epsilon_V}\left[\frac{d\ln\left(\frac{T}{H}\right)}{dN}\right. \\ + \left.\frac{\frac{d\ln Q}{dN}\left(-3+Q\left\{3+2\pi\left[-1+Q\left(3+\sqrt{9+12Q\pi}\right)\right]\right\}\right)}{(1+Q)\left[3+Q\pi\left(4+\sqrt{9+12Q\pi}\right)\right]}\right. \\ + \left.\frac{d\ln Q}{dN}\mathcal{A}(Q) + \frac{-6\epsilon_V+2\eta_V}{1+Q}\right], \qquad (2.24)$$

where we have defined $\mathcal{A}(Q)$ as

$$\mathcal{A}(Q) = \frac{3+2\pi Q}{3+4\pi Q} + Q\frac{d\ln G(Q)}{dQ}. \qquad (2.25)$$

The expressions for α_s and β_s are obtained by taking further derivatives of Eq. (2.24) using Eq. (2.16). Though this is a lengthy but straightforward exercise, the expressions are, however, too long to quote here in full. But since we are mostly interested in models of WI in the strong dissipative regime, we can expand Eq. (2.24) for $Q \gg 1$ and obtain, at least for n_s, a relatively shorter expression. Hence, expanding Eq. (2.24) for $Q \gg 1$, we obtain that

$$n_s \simeq 1 + \frac{-7c\kappa_V - 11\epsilon_V - p\epsilon_V + 6\eta_V - 2p\eta_V}{Q(4+p)} + \frac{2\mathcal{A}(Q)\left(-2c\kappa_V + (2+p)\,\epsilon_V - p\eta_V\right)}{Q(4+p)} + \mathcal{O}(1/Q^{\frac{3}{2}}), \qquad (2.26)$$

where we have used also Eqs. (2.22) and (2.23). Approximate expressions for α_s and β_s can be obtained analogously. For instance, from Eq. (2.26) we obtain for α_s that

$$\alpha_s \simeq \frac{1}{(4+p)^3Q^2}\left\{-4(4+p)\mathcal{A}(Q)\left(2c\kappa_V - (2+p)\epsilon_V + p\eta_V\right)^2 + 2(4+p)^2\mathcal{A}(Q)\left(4(2+p)\epsilon_V^2 - 4(1+p)\epsilon_V\eta_V + p\xi_V^2\right)\right. \\ + (4+p)\left(-2(6+p)(11+p)\epsilon_V^2 + 2\epsilon_V\left(c(-8+5p)\kappa_V + 56\eta_V\right) - 2\left(7c\kappa_V + 2(-3+p)\eta_V\right)\left(2c\kappa_V + p\eta_V\right)\right. \\ \left.\left. - 24\xi_V^2 + 2p(1+p)\xi_V^2\right) + 4\left(4\left(-1+Q+\epsilon_V\right) + p\left(1+Q+\epsilon_V\right)\right)\left(2c\kappa_V - (2+p)\epsilon_V + p\eta_V\right)^2\mathcal{A}'(Q)\right\} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{Q^3}\right), \qquad (2.27)$$

while for β_s we find

$$\beta_s \simeq \frac{2}{(4+p)^3Q^3}\left\{8\mathcal{A}(Q)\left(-2c\kappa_V + (2+p)\epsilon_V - p\eta_V\right)^3 - 8(4+p)\mathcal{A}(Q)\left(-2c\kappa_V + (2+p)\epsilon_V - p\eta_V\right)\left(4(2+p)\epsilon_V^2\right.\right. \\ - 4(1+p)\epsilon_V\eta_V + p\xi_V^2\Big) - 2\left(-2c\kappa_V + (2+p)\epsilon_V - p\eta_V\right)\left(-2(6+p)(11+p)\epsilon_V^2 + 2\epsilon_V\left(c(-8+5p)\kappa_V + 56\eta_V\right)\right. \\ - 2\left(7c\kappa_V + 2(-3+p)\eta_V\right)\left(2c\kappa_V + p\eta_V\right) - 24\xi_V^2 + 2p(1+p)\xi_V^2\Big) + (-4-p)\left(8(6+p)(11+p)\epsilon_V^3\right. \\ + 8\epsilon_V\eta_V\left(c(-5+4p)\kappa_V + (14+(-3+p)p)\eta_V\right) - 4\epsilon_V^2\left(c(-8+5p)\kappa_V + (150+p(17+p))\eta_V\right) \\ + c(12-11p)\kappa_V\xi_V^2 - 4\left(-26+p+p^2\right)\epsilon_V\xi_V^2 - (-3+p)(-4+3p)\eta_V\xi_V^2 + (-3+p)(4+p)\omega_V^3\Big) \\ + (4+p)^2\mathcal{A}(Q)\left(32(2+p)\epsilon_V^3 - 8(7+5p)\,\epsilon_V^2\eta_V + 4\epsilon_V\left(2(1+p)\eta_V^2 + \xi_V^2 + 2p\xi_V^2\right) - p\left(\eta_V\xi_V^2 + \omega_V^3\right)\right) \\ - 4Q\left(-2c\kappa_V + (2+p)\epsilon_V - p\eta_V\right)^3\mathcal{A}'(Q) \\ + 2Q(4+p)\left(-2c\kappa_V + (2+p)\epsilon_V - p\eta_V\right)\left(4(2+p)\epsilon_V^2 - 4(1+p)\epsilon_V\eta_V + p\xi_V^2\right)\mathcal{A}'(Q) \\ + 4Q\left(-2c\kappa_V + (2+p)\epsilon_V - p\eta_V\right)\left(-\left(2c\kappa_V - (2+p)\epsilon_V + p\eta_V\right)^2 + (4+p)\left(4(2+p)\epsilon_V^2 - 4(1+p)\epsilon_V\eta_V + p\xi_V^2\right)\right)\mathcal{A}'(Q) \\ \left. + 4Q^2\left(-2c\kappa_V + (2+p)\epsilon_V - p\eta_V\right)^3\mathcal{A}''(Q)\right\} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{Q^4}\right). \qquad (2.28)$$

In the above expressions, $\mathcal{A}'(Q) \equiv d\mathcal{A}/dQ$ and $\mathcal{A}''(Q) \equiv d^2\mathcal{A}/dQ^2$. The above approximate expressions for n_s, α_s and β_s applies to any WI model with a generic dissipation coefficient of the form of Eq. (2.17) and once the primordial potential for the inflaton is specified.

Below, as an example, we will work with two explicit models in WI, which have been shown to be of interest recently. Then, specific expressions for n_s, α_s and β_s will be derived for those models, along with their respective analysis.

III. RUNNING AND RUNNING OF THE RUNNING OF THE SCALAR SPECTRAL INDEX IN MWI

The minimal WI (MWI) model proposed in Ref. [21] (see also Ref. [38]) successfully realizes WI in the strong dissipative regime. In such a model, the inflaton is being treated as an axionic field with coupling to the non-Abelian gauge fields. Due to such gauge couplings, sphaleron transitions between gauge vacua at high temperatures lead to a friction term of the form

$$\Upsilon(T) = \frac{\Gamma_{sp}(T)}{2f^2T} = \kappa(\alpha_g, N_c, N_f)\alpha_g^5\frac{T^3}{f^2}, \tag{3.1}$$

where Γ_{sp} is the sphaleron rate, f is the axion decay rate, T is the temperature, $\alpha_g = g^2/(4\pi)$, with g being the Yang-Mills gauge coupling, and κ is a dimensionless quantity depending on the dimension of the gauge group (N_c), the representation of the fermions (N_f) and on the gauge coupling g through α_g. For instance, for a quantum chromodynamics (QCD) type of axion and using typical values of parameters for QCD, we have that $\kappa(\alpha_g, N_c, N_f)\alpha_g^5 \sim 10^{-3}$.

This model is particularly attractive in the context of WI. Because of the axionic shift symmetry, the inflaton is protected from any perturbative backreaction and, hence, from acquiring a large thermal mass. Similar symmetry properties allowing the inflaton to be coupled directly to the radiation fields have also been studied in Refs. [20, 37]. Models based on pseudo-Goldstone bosons for the inflaton [39] are quite reminiscent of these ideas and have gained increased attention recently in the context of WI [40].

The scalar power spectrum in the MWI model that was considered in Ref. [21] was given by

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{R}} \approx \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}}\frac{H^3T}{\dot{\phi}^2}\left(\frac{Q}{Q_3}\right)^9 Q^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{3.2}$$

where $Q_3 \approx 7.3$. At the end of this section and in the next one, we will discuss a more accurate form for the scalar spectrum in this model, for a dissipation coefficient of the form $\Upsilon \propto T^3$ as it is been considered here. But for now, let us use the above exression Eq. (3.2) as considered by the authors in Ref. [21].

Since we are also deriving expressions in the high dissipative regime, $Q \gg 1$, let us note that in this case, using $p = 3$ and $c = 0$ in Eq. (2.17), that

$$\frac{d\ln H}{dN} \approx -\frac{\epsilon_V}{Q}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\frac{d\ln Q}{dN} \approx \frac{10\epsilon_V - 6\eta_V}{7Q}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\frac{d\ln T}{dN} \approx \frac{\epsilon_V - 2\eta_V}{7Q}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\frac{d\ln \dot{\phi}}{dN} \approx -\frac{3\epsilon_V + \eta_V}{7Q}. \tag{3.6}$$

Hence, the scalar spectral index, in the strong dissipative regime can be calculated as

$$n_s - 1 = \frac{3}{7Q}(27\epsilon_V - 19\eta_V). \tag{3.7}$$

For a detailed derivation of the scalar spectral index see, e.g., Ref. [22].

It is evident from Eq. (3.7) that to obtain the observed red tilt of the scalar spectral index ($n_s < 1$) in MWI, one requires a potential which yields $\epsilon_V < \eta_V$. In Ref. [21], for this objective it was considered a potential of a hybrid inflation model. The effective potential of hybrid inflation contains the inflaton field ϕ along with a waterfall field σ, which can be written as[2]

$$V(\phi,\sigma) = \frac{1}{4\lambda}(M^2 - \lambda\sigma)^2 + \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}g^2\phi^2\sigma^2. \tag{3.8}$$

The σ field has a squared mass term as $-M^2 + g^2\phi^2$ in this potential. When $\phi > M/g$, which is the region where inflation takes place, σ has only one minimum at $\sigma = 0$, and the effective potential becomes[3]

$$V_{\rm eff}(\phi) = \frac{M^4}{4\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2. \tag{3.9}$$

During this stage, the constant term $\frac{M^4}{4\lambda}$ ($\gg \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2$) drives the expansion. Below the threshold $\phi = M/g$, the waterfall field σ quickly rolls down to its minimum $\sigma(\phi) = M_\sigma(\phi)/\sqrt{\lambda}$ and puts an end to inflation. The effective potential, given in Eq. (3.9), yields the slow-roll parameters as[4]

$$\epsilon_V \approx 8\lambda^2\frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2m^4\phi^2}{M^8},$$
$$\eta_V \approx 4\lambda\frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2m^2}{M^4}, \tag{3.10}$$

which shows that

$$\frac{\epsilon_V}{\eta_V} \sim \frac{\frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2}{\frac{M^4}{4\lambda}} \ll 1. \tag{3.11}$$

Therefore, such a potential is suitable to produce a red-tilted scalar spectral index in MWI. Hence, with the hybrid inflation potential given in Eq. (3.9), the scalar spectral index in Eq. (3.7) can be approximated as

$$n_s - 1 \sim -\frac{57\eta_V}{7Q}. \tag{3.12}$$

---

[2] Note that there are many variations of the hybrid inflation model, including the effect of possible quantum correction terms (see, e.g., Ref. [41]). In the present work, we only consider the potential for the hybrid model in its traditional form, given by Eq. (3.8), since our intention is to compared our results with those from Ref. [21].

[3] In this work we are not concerned with possible issues related to formation of topological defects that might result in hybrid inflation associated with the symmetry breaking of the σ field and which are related to the post-inflationary dynamics regime. For a discussion about topological defects in the context of hybrid inflation, see, e.g., Ref. [42].

[4] Note that in Ref. [21], ϵ_V was defined as $\epsilon_{\rm WI}$.

Above all, as we can see from Eq. (3.10) that η_V is effectively constant in this model, we denote $\eta_V = k$, where $k \equiv 4\lambda\frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2 m^2}{M^4}$ is a constant. Hence, to yield the observed value of the red-tilt, $1 - n_s \sim 0.04$, we require

$$\frac{k}{Q} \sim 0.005. \tag{3.13}$$

We now estimate the running (α_s) and the running of the running (β_s) of the scalar spectral index in this model. The running of the scalar spectral index, α_s, can be calculated as

$$\alpha_s \simeq \frac{dn_s}{dN} = \frac{57}{7}\frac{k}{Q}\frac{d\ln Q}{dN}, \tag{3.14}$$

where derivative has been taken with respect to the number of $e-$foldings N, and in MWI we have [22]

$$\frac{d\ln Q}{dN} = \frac{10\epsilon_V - 6\eta_V}{7Q}. \tag{3.15}$$

However, as the effective hybrid potential given in Eq. (3.9) yields $\epsilon_V \ll \eta_V$, we can approximate the above equation as

$$\frac{d\ln Q}{dN} \approx -\frac{6k}{7Q}. \tag{3.16}$$

This yields the running of the scalar spectral index in MWI as

$$\alpha_s \approx -\frac{342}{49}\frac{k^2}{Q^2}. \tag{3.17}$$

Now, the value of k/Q, which yields the observed red-tilt of the scalar spectral index given in Eq.(3.13), produces a running of the scalar spectral index as

$$\alpha_s \sim -1.7 \times 10^{-4}. \tag{3.18}$$

The running of the running of the scalar spectral index then turns out to be

$$\beta_s \simeq \frac{d\alpha_s}{dN} = \frac{684}{49}\frac{k^2}{Q^2}\frac{d\ln Q}{dN} \approx -\frac{4104}{441}\frac{k^3}{Q^3}. \tag{3.19}$$

Again, the value of k/Q given in Eq. (3.13), which yields the observed red-tilt of the scalar spectral index, gives rise to a running of the running of the scalar spectral index as

$$\beta_s \approx -1.16 \times 10^{-6}. \tag{3.20}$$

Therefore, we note that MWI model with hybrid-type potential generates negative α_s and β_s and the running of the running is two orders of magnitude smaller than the running of the scalar spectral index. These results come from the simplified analysis made in particular with the approximated scalar power spectrum given by Eq. (3.2).

Let us now check whether an improved form for the scalar power spectrum might somehow improve on the estimates made above for n_s, α_s and β_s. Using the previously derived Eqs. (2.26), (2.27) and (2.28), we obtain for instance that

$$n_s \simeq 1 + \frac{2\mathcal{A}(Q)\left(\frac{5m^4\phi^2 M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2V_{\rm eff}^2} - \frac{3m^2 M_{\rm Pl}^2}{V_{\rm eff}}\right)}{7Q} - \frac{m^4\phi^2 M_{\rm Pl}^2}{QV_{\rm eff}^2}, \tag{3.21}$$

$$\alpha_s \simeq \frac{1}{686Q^2V_{\rm eff}^6}\left\{7\mathcal{A}'(Q)m^8M_{\rm Pl}^6\left(5m^2\phi^3 - 6\phi V_{\rm eff}\right)^2 + 2m^4M_{\rm Pl}^4V_{\rm eff}^2\left(m^4(-441 + 315\mathcal{A}(Q) + 25\mathcal{A}'(Q)(-1+7Q))\phi^4\right.\right.$$
$$\left.\left. + \ 4V_{\rm eff}\left(m^2(98 - 91\mathcal{A}(Q) + 15\mathcal{A}'(Q)(1-7Q))\ \phi^2 - 9(7\mathcal{A}(Q) + \mathcal{A}'(Q) - 7\mathcal{A}'(Q)Q)V_{\rm eff}\right)\right)\right\}, \tag{3.22}$$

and

$$\beta_s \simeq \frac{m^6M_{\rm Pl}^6}{343Q^3V_{\rm eff}^6}\left\{m^6(-1134 + 810\mathcal{A}(Q) + 25Q\left[32\mathcal{A}'(Q) + 5\mathcal{A}''(Q)Q\right])\phi^6\right.$$
$$- \ 2m^4(-812 + 646\mathcal{A}(Q) + 15Q(68\mathcal{A}'(Q) + 15\mathcal{A}''(Q)Q))\phi^4V_{\rm eff}$$
$$\left. + \ 4m^2(-28 - 10\mathcal{A}(Q) + 9Q(26\mathcal{A}'(Q) + 15\mathcal{A}''(Q)Q))\phi^2V_{\rm eff}^2 - 216(2\mathcal{A}(Q) + Q(-2\mathcal{A}'(Q) + \mathcal{A}''(Q)Q))V_{\rm eff}^3\right\}, \tag{3.23}$$

where $V_{\rm eff}$ is given by Eq. (3.9) and the function $\mathcal{A}(Q)$ is derived using the growth function $G(Q)$ as defined in the next section and given by Eq. (4.3).

We solve the complete system of dynamical equations for the hybrid inflation model and compare the numerical results with the analytical ones coming from the Eqs. (3.21), (3.22) and (3.23). As in Ref. [21], we consider the case of the model consisting of a pure $SU(3)$, with relativistic degrees of freedom $g_* = 17$, consisting of two polarizations per eight gauge bosons plus one for the axion. The gauge coupling is assumed to be $\alpha_g = 0.1$ and $\kappa(\alpha_g, N_c, N_f)\alpha_g^5 = 10^{-3}$ for the coefficient in the dissipation coefficient in Eq. (3.1). As also shown in Ref. [21], the strong dissipative regime ($Q \gg 1$) in the

model with the hybrid type of potential Eq. (3.8) is favored when the parameters M, g and axion term f satisfies $gf/M \lesssim 10^{-8}$. We also recall that when assuming a QCD axion, astrophysical constraints [43] put a lower bound $f \gtrsim 10^9$ GeV on the QCD axion decay constant. In our numerical experiments we have checked that both the strong dissipative regime and acceptable values for f satisfying the astrophysical bounds can be achieved by taking for example $M \gtrsim 2.5 \times 10^{17}\, g$ GeV and choosing appropriate values for g and for the other constant, λ, appearing in the potential Eq. (3.8).

We have studied two representative cases and in the Tab. I we summarize the relevant parameters and quantities obtained from the numerical analysis. Note that for the parameters considered, we have the temperature at the end of the WI slightly smaller than the axion decay constant, $f > T_{\rm end}$, which indicates an axion symmetry breaking still in the inflationary regime and, hence, prior to the end of WI. As an aside regarding the hybrid inflation model studied here, we note that the inflaton field excursions for examples shown in Tab. I are all sub-Planckian, $|\Delta\phi| \sim 0.04 M_{\rm Pl}$. Hence, the hybrid WI model studied here satisfies the swampland distance conjecture, while it marginally satisfies the (refined) de Sitter conjecture [10, 11], by having $\epsilon_{V_*} \ll 1$, but $\eta_V \gtrsim 1$.

g	Q_*	$m/M_{\rm Pl}$	ϵ_{V_*}	η_{V_*}	$\phi_*/M_{\rm Pl}$	$T_{\rm end}$ [GeV]	f [GeV]
5×10^{-8}	200	1.41×10^{-16}	0.01	1.13	0.15	1.25×10^9	4.75×10^9
10^{-8}	350	7.25×10^{-18}	0.03	1.86	0.14	2.77×10^8	1.85×10^9

TABLE I. Model parameters and relevant quantities obtained from the MWI model with the hybrid inflaton potential. In all cases we have considered $M \gtrsim 2.5 \times 10^{17}\, g$ GeV and $\lambda = 10^{-2}$. The number of e-folds N_* at Hubble radius crossing is found from Eq. (3.24) and it is $N_* \simeq 49$ for the cases shown here.

The number of e-folds N_* at Hubble radius crossing is found from the relation obtained in WI (see, e.g., Ref. [22] for details)

$$\frac{k_*}{a_0 H_0} = e^{-N_*} \left[\frac{43}{11 g_s(T_{\rm end})}\right]^{1/3} \frac{T_0}{T_{\rm end}} \frac{H_*}{H_0}, \qquad (3.24)$$

where $T_{\rm end}$ is the temperature at the end of WI, H_0 is the Hubble parameter today and for which we assume the Planck result, $H_0 = 67.66\,{\rm km\,s^{-1}Mpc^{-1}}$ [from the Planck Collaboration [44], TT,TE,EE-lowE+lensing+BAO 68% limits, $H_0 = (67.66 \pm 0.42)\,{\rm km\,s^{-1}Mpc^{-1}}$], T_0 is the CMB temperature today, $T_0 = 2.725\,{\rm K} = 2.349 \times 10^{-13}\,{\rm GeV}$, while the for the pivot scale k_* we take the Planck value $k_* = 0.05/{\rm Mpc}$ and we also use the convention $a_0 = 1$. For $g_s(T_{\rm end})$ we assume the MWI value, $g_s(T_{\rm end}) = 17$.

Q_*	$n_{s_*,\rm analytical}$	$n_{s_*,\rm numerical}$	$\alpha_{s_*,\rm analytical}$	$\alpha_{s_*,\rm numerical}$	$\beta_{s_*,\rm analytical}$	$\beta_{s_*,\rm numerical}$
200	0.9611	0.9612	-2.0×10^{-4}	-1.9×10^{-4}	-1.8×10^{-6}	-2.0×10^{-6}
350	0.9642	0.9643	-1.9×10^{-4}	-1.9×10^{-4}	-1.5×10^{-6}	-1.1×10^{-6}

TABLE II. Analytical estimation of n_s, α_s and β_s, along also with their full numerical determination, for the 2 cases considered in Tab. I.

The numerical and analytical results obtained for n_s, α_s and β_s are given in Tab. II. We note from the results

from Tab. II that the analytical and numerical results for n_s and α_s agrees quite well. There are discrepancies between the values for β_s, specially for the lower dissipative case studied, $Q_* = 200$, but that can be attributed to the lack of numerical precision in the determination of β_s in that case, recalling that to obtain β_s numerically, it requires three derivatives of the scalar power spectrum with respect to the number of e-folds. In any case, these results also corroborate the previous ones using the approximated form of the power spectrum, with α_s and β_s having the same order of magnitudes as obtained previously, Eqs. (3.18) and (3.20), respectively. We have not quoted the results for the tensor-to-scalar ratio r, but as typical for WI in the strong dissipative regime, r is too small to be accessible by any future observation. For the dissipation values quoted in Tab. I, $r \lesssim 10^{-25}$.

We now study another type of WI models that can allow for large dissipation and are still consistent with the Planck observations. The objective is to check whether with these models we can break the large hierarchy between α_s and β_s.

IV. RUNNING AND RUNNING OF THE RUNNING OF THE SCALAR SPECTRAL INDEX IN MWI WITH EXPONENTIAL POTENTIALS

In CI, steep exponential potentials of the form

$$V(\phi) = V_0 e^{-\alpha\phi/M_{\rm Pl}}, \tag{4.1}$$

leads to power-law type inflation [45], where inflation does not exit gracefully in standard general relativity. However, it was shown in Ref. [46] that WI with dissipative coefficient of the form $\Upsilon(T) \propto T^p$, with $p > 2$, can gracefully exit inflation with such an exponential potential. The MWI model [21] is, thus, such a model where the dissipative coefficient scales with the cubic power of the temperature of the thermal bath, $\Upsilon(T) \propto T^3$.

A MWI model with such an exponential potential was studied in Ref. [47].[5] Though inflation ends gracefully in such a model, it was shown there that such a combination yields way too large red-tilt in the scalar spectral index to be compliant with the current observation. Therefore, such models are not viable models of inflation.

A generalized form of the exponential potential, given as

$$V(\phi) = V_0 e^{-\alpha(\phi/M_{\rm Pl})^n}, \tag{4.2}$$

with $n > 1$, was then studied in Ref. [22], which shows that this model not only exits inflation gracefully, but also fully satisfies all the observational constraints (comply with the observed values of scalar spectral index n_s and the tensor-to-scalar ratio r) as well as to be in tune with the Swampland conjectures (see, e.g., Table 1 of Ref. [22]). This same model was also considered in the context of WI for quintessential inflation [49], displaying interesting features as far as the late Universe physics is concerned. Therefore, here we will further analyze such a model to determine the running and running of the running of the scalar spectral index that are yielded by this model.

In the model that we are presently considering, the explicit form for the function $G(Q_*)$ appearing in the scalar of curvature power spectrum in WI, Eq. (2.10), has been derived in Ref. [22] and it is given as[6]

$$G(Q_*) = \frac{1 + 6.12 Q_*^{2.73}}{(1 + 6.96 Q_*^{0.78})^{0.72}} + \frac{0.01 Q_*^{4.61}(1 + 4.82 \times 10^{-6} Q_*^{3.12})}{(1 + 6.83 \times 10^{-13} Q_*^{4.12})^2}. \tag{4.3}$$

We will first derive the scalar spectral index n_s, its running α_s and running of its running β_s analytically in this model. Note that, although the values of n_s in this model has been reported previously in Table 1 of Ref. [22], they have been obtained numerically. To the best of our knowledge, this is for the first time that we aim to determine both n_s, α_s and β_s in any WI model analytically.

If we ignore the thermal distribution factor $(1 + 2n_*)$ of the inflaton field in Eq. (2.11), which can be justified when working in the strong dissipative regime $Q \gg 1$ (see, e.g., Ref. [28]), then the scalar power spectrum simplifies to the form

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}(k) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4\pi} \frac{H^3 T}{\dot\phi^2} \frac{Q}{\sqrt{3 + 4\pi Q}} G(Q). \tag{4.4}$$

This is the form of the power spectrum that we will use to calculate the spectral index and its running and running of its running analytically. Such an approximation of the power spectrum will later be justified by obtaining n_s, α_s and β_s numerically and matching them with the analytically obtained results.

First, we calculate the scalar spectral index n_s. Using the scalar spectrum given in Eq. (4.4) we get

$$n_s - 1 = 3\frac{d\ln H}{dN} + \frac{d\ln T}{dN} - 2\frac{d\ln\dot\phi}{dN} + \left(\frac{3 + 2\pi Q}{3 + 4\pi Q} + Q\frac{d\ln G(Q)}{dQ}\right)\frac{d\ln Q}{dN}. \tag{4.5}$$

From the equations in Eq. (3.6), after some straightforward algebra, we get the scalar spectral index as

$$n_s \approx 1 - \frac{(14 - 10\mathcal{A}(Q))\epsilon_V + 6\mathcal{A}(Q)\eta_V}{7Q}, \tag{4.6}$$

[5] Recently such exponential potential is also studied in the context of power-law warm inflation [48].

[6] See Appendix B of Ref. [22] for the full derivation of the scalar power spectrum in this model.

where $\mathcal{A}(Q)$ has been defined in Eq. (2.25).

We now analytically determine α_s. Using the form of n_s from Eq. (4.6), we obtain

$$\alpha_s = \frac{1}{7Q}\Bigg\{-(14-10\mathcal{A}(Q))\frac{d\epsilon_V}{dN} - 6\mathcal{A}(Q)\frac{d\eta_V}{dN} + [(14-10\mathcal{A}(Q))\epsilon_V + 6\mathcal{A}(Q)\eta_V + (10\epsilon_V - 6\eta_V)Q\mathcal{A}'(Q)]\frac{d\ln Q}{dN}\Bigg\}. \tag{4.7}$$

Using now that

$$\frac{d\epsilon_V}{dN} = \frac{4\epsilon_V^2 - 2\epsilon_V\eta_V}{Q}, \tag{4.8}$$

$$\frac{d\eta_V}{dN} = \frac{2\epsilon_V\eta_V - \xi_V^2}{Q}, \tag{4.9}$$

the expression for the running of the scalar index can be computed to be

$$\alpha_s = \frac{1}{49Q^2}\left[4\left(-63+45\mathcal{A}(Q)+25Q\mathcal{A}'(Q)\right)\epsilon_V^2 - 8\left(-14+13\mathcal{A}(Q)+15Q\mathcal{A}'(Q)\right)\epsilon_V\eta_V + 36\left(-\mathcal{A}(Q)+Q\mathcal{A}'(Q)\right)\eta_V^2 + 42\mathcal{A}(Q)\xi_V^2\right]. \tag{4.10}$$

To determine β_s, one requires

$$\frac{d\xi_V^2}{dN} = \frac{1}{Q}[(4\epsilon_V - \eta_V)\xi_V^2 - \omega_V^3]. \tag{4.11}$$

Using the form of α_s from Eq. (4.10), β_s can be written as

$$\beta_s = \frac{1}{343Q^2}\left[8\left(-1764+1260\mathcal{A}(Q)+1050Q\mathcal{A}'(Q)+125Q^2\mathcal{A}''(Q)\right)\epsilon_V^3 - 24\left(-490+392\mathcal{A}(Q)+490Q\mathcal{A}'(Q)+75Q^2\mathcal{A}''(Q)\right)\epsilon_V^2\eta_V + 8\left(-196+56\mathcal{A}(Q)+504Q\mathcal{A}'(Q)+135Q^2\mathcal{A}''(Q)\right)\epsilon_V\eta_V^2 + 28\left(-28+68\mathcal{A}(Q)+45Q\mathcal{A}'(Q)\right)\epsilon_V\xi_V^2 - 216Q^2\mathcal{A}''(Q)\eta_V^3 + 42\left(5\mathcal{A}(Q)-18Q\mathcal{A}'(Q)\right)\eta_V\xi_V^2 - 294\mathcal{A}(Q)\omega_V^3\right] - \frac{2(10\epsilon_V - 6\eta_V)}{7Q}\alpha_s. \tag{4.12}$$

The equations for n_s, α_s and β_s can also be expressed explicitly in terms of the inflaton field ϕ using the potential Eq. (4.2), but that only complicates more the form of the expressions and we do not require to perform that explicitly here. In the Tab. III, we will furnish explicitly the numerical values for the required slow-roll parameters.

Model	V_0 (GeV^4)	$V_*^{1/4}/M_{\rm Pl}$	ϵ_{V_*}	η_{V_*}	$\xi^2_{V_*}$	$\omega^3_{V_*}$	$T_{\rm end}$ [GeV]	f [GeV]
$n=2$ $\alpha=9.6$ $Q_*=850.96$	3.07×10^{38}	1.14×10^{-9}	31.7	44.2	367.72	-1.38×10^5	2.1×10^7	7.3×10^9
$n=3$ $\alpha=2.5$ $Q_*=740.15$	1.82×10^{39}	9.55×10^{-10}	25.9	37.1	507.73	-4.16×10^4	3.4×10^7	8.8×10^9
$n=4$ $\alpha=0.45$ $Q_*=719.68$	3.59×10^{39}	1.34×10^{-9}	25.0	36.56	603.87	-2.6×10^4	3.9×10^7	9.2×10^9
$n=5$ $\alpha=0.06$ $Q_*=699.53$	6.01×10^{39}	1.69×10^{-9}	24.1	35.5	612.63	-1.89×10^4	4.3×10^7	9.6×10^9

TABLE III. Values for the parameters considered in the analytical estimation of n_s, α_s and β_s for 4 sets of the chosen model parameters. The number of e-folds N_* is obtained from Eq. (3.24) and it is $N_* \simeq 48$ for all the cases shown here [22].

We now estimate the values of n_s, α_s and β_s using the analytical forms of these quantities that we derived above. To obtain these values, we need to fix n, α and Q_*, the values of which have been taken from Table 1 of

Ref. [22] which produce n_s and r that are in tune with the observations[7]. In the table we have also quoted the temperature at the end of WI, $T_{\rm end}$ and the value obtained for the axion decay constant. To obtain f, we made the same considerations regarding the gauge sector coupled to the scalar field as in the previous section for the MWI model with the hybrid potential. We have considered QCD like values for the parameters, with relativistic degrees of freedom $g_* = 17$, the gauge coupling is assumed to be $\alpha_g = 0.1$ and $\kappa(\alpha_g, N_c, N_f)\alpha_g^5 = 10^{-3}$ for the coefficient in the dissipation coefficient in Eq. (3.1). Note that in all the cases considered here, we have that $T_{\rm end} < f$, pointing to cases that the axion is already in a symmetry broken phase at the end of WI.

In the Table III, we give the values of the required slow-roll parameters required in the derivation of n_s, α_s and β_s. We give also the normalization V_0 of the potential for each of the models and the scale of the inflaton potential at Hubble radius crossing, V_*. The analytically estimated values for n_s, α_s and β_s that are obtained for each of those models are then shown in Table IV. In the same table it is also given the numerically obtained vales for n_s, α_s and β_s, where the full scalar power spectrum, given in Eq. (2.10), have been used to determine them.

Model	$n_{s_*,\rm analytical}$	$n_{s_*,\rm numerical}$	$\alpha_{s_*,\rm analytical}$	$\alpha_{s_*,\rm numerical}$	$\beta_{s_*,\rm analytical}$	$\beta_{s_*,\rm numerical}$
$n = 2$ $\alpha = 9.6$ $Q_* = 850.96$	0.9651	0.9648	-5.9×10^{-3}	-6.1×10^{-3}	-8.6×10^{-6}	-2.7×10^{-5}
$n = 3$ $\alpha = 2.5$ $Q_* = 740.15$	0.9697	0.9689	-4.2×10^{-3}	-4.4×10^{-3}	-1.2×10^{-4}	-1.3×10^{-4}
$n = 4$ $\alpha = 0.45$ $Q_* = 719.68$	0.9662	0.9655	-3.7×10^{-3}	-3.8×10^{-3}	-1.3×10^{-4}	-1.4×10^{-4}
$n = 5$ $\alpha = 0.06$ $Q_* = 699.53$	0.9654	0.9645	-3.4×10^{-3}	-3.5×10^{-3}	-1.3×10^{-4}	-1.4×10^{-4}

TABLE IV. Analytical estimation of n_s, α_s and β_s, along also with their full numerical determination, for 4 sets of chosen model.

From the results shown in Table IV, we notice that the analytical results match well with the numerical results. Therefore, the approximation we made to the scalar power spectrum in Eq. (4.4) is justified. We also note that MWI model with generalized exponential potentials also produces negative α_s and β_s. However, in comparison to the MWI model with hybrid potential, it produces one order higher α_s and two-order higher β_s. These results are promising in the sense that indicates that the large hierarchy observed between α_s and β_s, which appears in the vanilla CI models, can be broken in the context of WI.

[7] Note that the values of $V_*^{1/4}/M_{\rm Pl}$ have been wrongly quoted in Table 1 of [22]. Furthermore, in the same reference we have incorrectly quoted the estimate for the axion decay constant for this model, due to the use of an underestimated value for the gauge field coupling constant α_g used in the numerical code in that work. We have now corrected both of these values in the present work.

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

There is a hint in the final data released by *Planck* that the running and the running of the running of the scalar spectral index might be larger than predicted in standard cold inflation models, with α_s of the order of 10^{-2}, and the running of the running might be larger than the running itself [1]. Vanilla cold inflationary models preferred by *Planck* data fails to produce such large (and also positive) running and running of the running [4, 5]. Thus, it is of importance to seek for inflationary models which can follow these trends for the runnings hinted by the *Planck* data.

In this paper, we analyzed a variant inflationary scenario, namely warm inflation, in a strong dissipative regime ($Q \gg 1$). Previously, the running and the running of the running of the scalar spectral index was studied in Ref. [19], where WI was realized in weak dissipative regime ($Q \ll 1$). To analyze WI in the strong dissipative regime, we chose a particular model of WI, namely the minimal warm inflation model first studied in Ref. [21]. In this model, the dissipative term is proportional to the cubic power of the temperature of the

thermal bath ($\Upsilon \propto T^3$). MWI was first studied with a hybrid potential in Ref. [21] to obtain a red-tilted scalar spectrum. However, later in Ref. [22], MWI was studied with generalized forms of the exponential potential and it was shown in that reference that not only this model is in accordance with the current observations, but can also accommodate the Swampland conjectures. We determine α_s and β_s in both of these MWI models. Explicit analytical expressions for the running and for the running of the running were derived in the present paper. To the best of our knowledge, this is for the first time that the running and the running of the running of scalar spectral index in the context of WI have been analyzed analytically, in special in the strong dissipative regime of WI. We believe that this work will motivate further exploration of other WI models along the same line to see whether WI can produce the *Planck*–hinted running and running of the running. This is of particular importance, given that the next generation of cosmological observations might further improve on the determination for the values for these cosmological parameters.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

R.O.R. acknowledges financial support of the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) - Finance Code 001 and by research grants from Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Grant No. 307286/2021-5, and from Fundação Carlos Chagas Filho de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ), Grant No. E-26/201.150/2021.

[1] Y. Akrami *et al.* [Planck], Planck 2018 results. X. Constraints on inflation, Astron. Astrophys. **641**, A10 (2020) doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201833887 [arXiv:1807.06211 [astro-ph.CO]].

[2] J. B. Muñoz, E. D. Kovetz, A. Raccanelli, M. Kamionkowski and J. Silk, Towards a measurement of the spectral runnings, JCAP **05**, 032 (2017) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2017/05/032 [arXiv:1611.05883 [astro-ph.CO]].

[3] J. Garcia-Bellido and D. Roest, Large-N running of the spectral index of inflation, Phys. Rev. D **89**, no.10, 103527 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.103527 [arXiv:1402.2059 [astro-ph.CO]].

[4] M. Escudero, H. Ramírez, L. Boubekeur, E. Giusarma and O. Mena, The present and future of the most favoured inflationary models after *Planck* 2015, JCAP **02**, 020 (2016) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2016/02/020 [arXiv:1509.05419 [astro-ph.CO]].

[5] C. van de Bruck and C. Longden, Running of the Running and Entropy Perturbations During Inflation, Phys. Rev. D **94**, no.2, 021301 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.021301 [arXiv:1606.02176 [astro-ph.CO]].

[6] A. Berera, Warm inflation, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3218-3221 (1995) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.3218 [arXiv:astro-ph/9509049 [astro-ph]].

[7] A. Berera, I. G. Moss and R. O. Ramos, Warm Inflation and its Microphysical Basis, Rept. Prog. Phys. **72**, 026901 (2009) doi:10.1088/0034-4885/72/2/026901 [arXiv:0808.1855 [hep-ph]].

[8] M. Bastero-Gil and A. Berera, Warm inflation model building, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **24**, 2207-2240 (2009) doi:10.1142/S0217751X09044206 [arXiv:0902.0521 [hep-ph]].

[9] S. Bartrum, M. Bastero-Gil, A. Berera, R. Cerezo, R. O. Ramos and J. G. Rosa, The importance of being warm (during inflation), Phys. Lett. B **732**, 116-121 (2014) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2014.03.029 [arXiv:1307.5868 [hep-ph]].

[10] H. Ooguri, E. Palti, G. Shiu and C. Vafa, Distance and de Sitter Conjectures on the Swampland, Phys. Lett. B **788**, 180-184 (2019) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2018.11.018 [arXiv:1810.05506 [hep-th]].

[11] S. K. Garg and C. Krishnan, Bounds on Slow Roll and the de Sitter Swampland, JHEP **11**, 075 (2019) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2019)075 [arXiv:1807.05193 [hep-th]].

[12] W. H. Kinney, S. Vagnozzi and L. Visinelli, The zoo plot meets the swampland: mutual (in)consistency of single-field inflation, string conjectures, and cosmological data, Class. Quant. Grav. **36**, no.11, 117001 (2019) doi:10.1088/1361-6382/ab1d87 [arXiv:1808.06424 [astro-ph.CO]].

[13] S. Das, Note on single-field inflation and the swampland criteria, Phys. Rev. D **99**, no.8, 083510 (2019) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.99.083510 [arXiv:1809.03962 [hep-th]].

[14] M. Motaharfar, V. Kamali and R. O. Ramos, Warm inflation as a way out of the swampland, Phys. Rev. D **99**, no.6, 063513 (2019) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.99.063513 [arXiv:1810.02816 [astro-ph.CO]].

[15] S. Das, Warm Inflation in the light of Swampland Criteria, Phys. Rev. D **99**, no.6, 063514 (2019) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.99.063514 [arXiv:1810.05038 [hep-th]].

[16] S. Das, Distance, de Sitter and Trans-Planckian Censorship conjectures: the status quo of Warm Inflation, Phys. Dark Univ. **27**, 100432 (2020) doi:10.1016/j.dark.2019.100432 [arXiv:1910.02147 [hep-th]].

[17] A. Berera and J. R. Calderón, Trans-Planckian censorship and other swampland bothers addressed in warm inflation, Phys. Rev. D **100**, no.12, 123530 (2019) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.123530 [arXiv:1910.10516 [hep-ph]].

[18] A. Berera, S. Brahma and J. R. Calderón, Role of trans-Planckian modes in cosmology, JHEP **08**, 071 (2020) doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2020)071 [arXiv:2003.07184 [hep-th]].

[19] M. Benetti and R. O. Ramos, Warm inflation dissipative effects: predictions and constraints from the Planck data, Phys. Rev. D **95**, no.2, 023517 (2017)

doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.95.023517 [arXiv:1610.08758 [astro-ph.CO]].

[20] M. Bastero-Gil, A. Berera, R. O. Ramos and J. G. Rosa, Towards a reliable effective field theory of inflation, Phys. Lett. B **813**, 136055 (2021) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2020.136055 [arXiv:1907.13410 [hep-ph]].

[21] K. V. Berghaus, P. W. Graham and D. E. Kaplan, Minimal Warm Inflation, JCAP **03**, 034 (2020) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2020/03/034 [arXiv:1910.07525 [hep-ph]].

[22] S. Das and R. O. Ramos, Runaway potentials in warm inflation satisfying the swampland conjectures, Phys. Rev. D **102**, no.10, 103522 (2020) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.102.103522 [arXiv:2007.15268 [hep-th]].

[23] K. Abazajian *et al.* [CMB-S4], Snowmass 2021 CMB-S4 White Paper, [arXiv:2203.08024 [astro-ph.CO]].

[24] C. L. Chang, K. M. Huffenberger, B. A. Benson, F. Bianchini, J. Chluba, J. Delabrouille, R. Flauger, S. Hanany, W. C. Jones and A. J. Kogut, *et al.* Snowmass2021 Cosmic Frontier: Cosmic Microwave Background Measurements White Paper, [arXiv:2203.07638 [astro-ph.CO]].

[25] J. R. Pritchard and A. Loeb, 21-cm cosmology, Rept. Prog. Phys. **75**, 086901 (2012) doi:10.1088/0034-4885/75/8/086901 [arXiv:1109.6012 [astro-ph.CO]].

[26] M. Bastero-Gil, A. Berera and R. O. Ramos, Dissipation coefficients from scalar and fermion quantum field interactions, JCAP **09**, 033 (2011) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2011/09/033 [arXiv:1008.1929 [hep-ph]].

[27] M. Zarei, On the running of the spectral index to all orders: a new model dependent approach to constrain inflationary models, Class. Quant. Grav. **33**, no.11, 115008 (2016) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/33/11/115008 [arXiv:1408.6467 [astro-ph.CO]].

[28] R. O. Ramos and L. A. da Silva, Power spectrum for inflation models with quantum and thermal noises, JCAP **03**, 032 (2013) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2013/03/032 [arXiv:1302.3544 [astro-ph.CO]].

[29] C. Graham and I. G. Moss, Density fluctuations from warm inflation, JCAP **07**, 013 (2009) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2009/07/013 [arXiv:0905.3500 [astro-ph.CO]].

[30] M. Bastero-Gil, A. Berera and R. O. Ramos, Shear viscous effects on the primordial power spectrum from warm inflation, JCAP **07**, 030 (2011) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2011/07/030 [arXiv:1106.0701 [astro-ph.CO]].

[31] M. Bastero-Gil, A. Berera, I. G. Moss and R. O. Ramos, Cosmological fluctuations of a random field and radiation fluid, JCAP **05**, 004 (2014) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2014/05/004 [arXiv:1401.1149 [astro-ph.CO]].

[32] Y. Zhang, Warm Inflation With A General Form Of The Dissipative Coefficient, JCAP **03**, 023 (2009) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2009/03/023 [arXiv:0903.0685 [hep-ph]].

[33] L. Visinelli, Observational Constraints on Monomial Warm Inflation, JCAP **07**, 054 (2016) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2016/07/054 [arXiv:1605.06449 [astro-ph.CO]].

[34] M. Gleiser and R. O. Ramos, Microphysical approach to nonequilibrium dynamics of quantum fields, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 2441-2455 (1994) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.50.2441 [arXiv:hep-ph/9311278 [hep-ph]].

[35] A. Berera, M. Gleiser and R. O. Ramos, Strong dissipative behavior in quantum field theory, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 123508 (1998) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.58.123508 [arXiv:hep-ph/9803394 [hep-ph]].

[36] M. Bastero-Gil, A. Berera, R. O. Ramos and J. G. Rosa, General dissipation coefficient in low-temperature warm inflation, JCAP **01**, 016 (2013) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2013/01/016 [arXiv:1207.0445 [hep-ph]].

[37] M. Bastero-Gil, A. Berera, R. O. Ramos and J. G. Rosa, Warm Little Inflaton, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, no.15, 151301 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.151301 [arXiv:1604.08838 [hep-ph]].

[38] M. Laine and S. Procacci, Minimal warm inflation with complete medium response, JCAP **06**, 031 (2021) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2021/06/031 [arXiv:2102.09913 [hep-ph]].

[39] K. Freese, J. A. Frieman and A. V. Olinto, Natural inflation with pseudo - Nambu-Goldstone bosons, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 3233-3236 (1990) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.65.3233

[40] G. Montefalcone, V. Aragam, L. Visinelli and K. Freese, Observational Constraints on Warm Natural Inflation, [arXiv:2212.04482 [gr-qc]].

[41] M. Bastero-Gil and A. Berera, Determining the regimes of cold and warm inflation in the SUSY hybrid model, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 063515 (2005) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.71.063515 [arXiv:hep-ph/0411144 [hep-ph]].

[42] D. H. Lyth and A. Riotto, Particle physics models of inflation and the cosmological density perturbation, Phys. Rept. **314**, 1-146 (1999) doi:10.1016/S0370-1573(98)00128-8 [arXiv:hep-ph/9807278 [hep-ph]].

[43] P. Sikivie, Invisible Axion Search Methods, Rev. Mod. Phys. **93**, no.1, 015004 (2021) doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.93.015004 [arXiv:2003.02206 [hep-ph]].

[44] N. Aghanim *et al.* [Planck], Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters, Astron. Astrophys. **641**, A6 (2020) [erratum: Astron. Astrophys. **652**, C4 (2021)] doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201833910 [arXiv:1807.06209 [astro-ph.CO]].

[45] A. R. Liddle, Power Law Inflation With Exponential Potentials, Phys. Lett. B **220**, 502-508 (1989) doi:10.1016/0370-2693(89)90776-4

[46] S. Das and R. O. Ramos, Graceful exit problem in warm inflation, Phys. Rev. D **103**, no.12, 12 (2021) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.123520 [arXiv:2005.01122 [gr-qc]].

[47] S. Das, G. Goswami and C. Krishnan, Swampland, axions, and minimal warm inflation, Phys. Rev. D **101**, no.10, 103529 (2020) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.101.103529 [arXiv:1911.00323 [hep-th]].

[48] M. Alhallak, N. Chamoun and M. S. Eldaher, [arXiv:2212.04935 [astro-ph.CO]].

[49] G. B. F. Lima and R. O. Ramos, Unified early and late Universe cosmology through dissipative effects in steep quintessential inflation potential models, Phys. Rev. D **100**, no.12, 123529 (2019) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.123529 [arXiv:1910.05185 [astro-ph.CO]].