

Emergent generalized symmetry and maximal symmetry-topological-order

Arkya Chatterjee,¹ Wenjie Ji,² and Xiao-Gang Wen¹

¹*Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA*

²*Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA*

A characteristic property of a gapless liquid state is its emergent symmetry and dual symmetry, associated with the conservation laws of symmetry charges and symmetry defects respectively. These conservation laws, considered on an equal footing, can't be described simply by the representation theory of a group (or a higher group). They are best described in terms of a *topological order (TO)* with *gappable boundary in one higher dimension*; we call this the *symTO* of the gapless state. The symTO can thus be considered a fingerprint of the gapless state. We propose that a largely complete characterization of a gapless state, up to local-low-energy equivalence, can be obtained in terms of its *maximal* emergent symTO. In this paper, we review the symmetry/topological-order (Symm/TO) correspondence and propose a precise definition of maximal symTO. We discuss various examples to illustrate these ideas. We find that the 1+1D Ising critical point has a maximal symTO described by the 2+1D double-Ising topological order. We provide a derivation of this result using symmetry twists in an exactly solvable model of the Ising critical point. The critical point in the 3-state Potts model has a maximal symTO of double (6,5)-minimal-model topological order. As an example of a noninvertible symmetry in 1+1D, we study the possible gapless states of a Fibonacci anyon chain with emergent double-Fibonacci symTO. We find the Fibonacci-anyon chain without translation symmetry has a critical point with unbroken double-Fibonacci symTO. In fact, such a critical theory has a maximal symTO of double (5,4)-minimal-model topological order. We argue that, in the presence of translation symmetry, the above critical point becomes a stable gapless phase with no symmetric relevant operator.

CONTENTS

I. Introduction	1	1. Two 1+1D lattice models with group-like symmetry G and algebraic symmetry \tilde{G}	17
II. Symmetry/Topological-Order (Symm/TO) correspondence: a review	3	2. Critical points and their holographic picture	17
A. From holo-equivalence to homomorphism between quantum field theories	3	3. Maximal symTO	19
B. From isomorphic holographic decomposition to emergent symmetry	4	C. Gapless states with anomalous S_3 symmetry	20
C. SymTOs classify Holo-equivalence classes of symmetries	5	1. Anomalous $S_3^{(1)}$ symmetry	20
D. Local fusion higher categories classify anomaly-free noninvertible higher symmetries	6	2. Anomalous $S_3^{(2)}$ symmetry	21
E. Minimal fusion n -categories classify emergent generalized symmetries	7	3. Anomalous $S_3^{(3)}$ symmetry	22
F. Symmetry protected gaplessness	8	D. Gapless states with noninvertible $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ -symmetry	22
G. Lattice realization of any generalized symmetry as emergent symmetry	9	V. Computing symTO using symmetry twists: an example	25
H. Patch commutant operators in holographic picture of generalized symmetry	10	A. Symmetry, dual symmetry, and patch operators	25
I. Classification of 1+1D symTOs and generalized symmetries	10	B. Symmetry twists	27
III. Definition of maximal symTO	12	C. Multi-component partition function from symmetry twists of \mathbb{Z}_2^m and \mathbb{Z}_2^{em} symmetries	27
IV. Examples and constructions of maximal symTOs	13	D. Modular transformation properties of the multi-component partition function	31
A. 1+1D Ising critical point	13	VI. Conclusion	35
1. Modular invariant and modular covariant partition functions	13	A. Minimal models: fusion rules and \mathbb{Z}_2 grading	35
2. The decomposition in terms of partition functions	15	References	36
3. Maximal symTO	15		
B. 1+1D critical points for models with G symmetry or dual \tilde{G} symmetry	17		

I. INTRODUCTION

Systematic understanding of strongly correlated gapless states has been a long standing challenge in theoretical physics [1, 2]. An example of a strongly correlated gapless state is the $n+1$ D critical point of a spontaneous symmetry-breaking transition that completely breaks the symmetry described by a finite group G . It is well known

that the critical state has an unbroken symmetry G . It was pointed out that the critical state also has an (emergent) unbroken dual algebraic $(n-1)$ -symmetry $\tilde{G}^{(n-1)}$ [3–5] (which is a noninvertible higher symmetry). Symmetry and dual algebraic higher symmetry together form a more complete characterization of the critical point.

The combination of symmetry G and dual algebraic $(n-1)$ -symmetry $\tilde{G}^{(n-1)}$ was together referred to as “categorical symmetry” in Refs. 3 and 5. This *categorical symmetry* cannot be described by group or higher group, in general. One needs to use a topological order (TO) with gappable boundary in one higher dimension to describe it, which leads to Symmetry/Topological-Order (Symm/TO) correspondence. Such a topological order is a more precise characterization of *categorical symmetry*. We will refer to this *topological order with gappable boundary in one higher dimension* as *symTO*.¹ This symTO point of view amounts to

1. viewing a symmetry-breaking critical point in terms of both order parameter and disorder parameter on an equal footing [3];
2. viewing symmetry in terms of conservation (*i.e.* fusion rings) of both symmetry charges and symmetry defects on an equal footing [3];
3. viewing a symmetric system by restricting to its symmetric sub-Hilbert space $\mathcal{V}_{\text{symmetric}}$ (*i.e.* assuming all probes to the system also respect the symmetry) [3, 6].

Let us discuss the last point in more detail. For a lattice system, the total Hilbert space $\mathcal{V}_{\text{total}}$ has a tensor product decomposition

$$\mathcal{V}_{\text{total}} = \bigotimes_i \mathcal{V}_i \quad (1)$$

where \mathcal{V}_i is the small Hilbert space on site- i . The presence of tensor product decomposition implies the absence of noninvertible gravitational anomaly. (Noninvertible gravitational anomaly was discussed in Ref. 6–11). On the other hand, the symmetric sub-Hilbert space $\mathcal{V}_{\text{symmetric}}$ does not have the tensor product decomposition. Thus if we view $\mathcal{V}_{\text{symmetric}}$ as the total Hilbert space, the lack of tensor product decomposition will implies a noninvertible gravitational anomaly [12]. This led to the realization that [3, 6]

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{a generalized symmetry restricted to } \mathcal{V}_{\text{symmetric}} \\ &= \text{a noninvertible gravitational anomaly.} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

¹ Since the term “categorical symmetry” has been used by many to refer to noninvertible symmetry, here we will instead use the term *symTO* to refer to the concept that was named *categorical symmetry* in Refs. 3 and 5.

Since gravitational anomaly corresponds to topological order in one higher dimension [7], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{a generalized symmetry restricted to } \mathcal{V}_{\text{symmetric}} \\ &= \text{a topological order in one higher dimension.} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Ref. 5 introduced the notion of holo-equivalent symmetries: two symmetries are holo-equivalent if they are equivalent when restricted to their respected symmetric sub-Hilbert spaces. For example, 1+1D \mathbb{Z}_4 symmetry and $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry with a mixed anomaly are holo-equivalent [13, 14]. Thus [5]

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{holo-equivalence class of generalized symmetries} \\ &= \text{a topological order in one higher dimension} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where the topological order in one higher dimension is referred to as symTO (see Section IIC for details). This is the quickest way to see Symm/TO correspondence.

Symm/TO correspondence is closely related to *topological Wick rotation* introduced in Ref. 15–17, which summarizes a mathematical theory on how bulk can determine boundary. SymmTO (*i.e.* categorical symmetry in the sense of Refs. 3 and 5) has also been referred to as symmetry topological field theory (symTFT) in the literature [18]. However, in contrast with symTFT, the notion of symTO stresses and/or clarifies the following key features:

1. A symTO has a lattice UV completion.
2. The lattice model for a symTO does not need to be fine tuned and need not have any lattice symmetry, as long as it has an energy gap that approaches infinity.
3. A symTO does not depend on its field theory representation. The correlation length of the lattice model can be of the same order as the lattice scale, in which case the continuous coarse-grained fields cannot be defined.

For example, a 1+1D \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry is described by a symTFT – a $U(1) \times U(1)$ mutual Chern-Simons theory, that has a symmetry that exchanges the two $U(1)$ gauge fields. This might lead one to think that the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry also implies the e - m exchange symmetry. The notion of symTO stresses that there is no e - m exchange symmetry at the UV lattice level. Thus 1+1D \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry does not imply e - m exchange symmetry.

In addition to the symmetry G and the dual algebraic $(n-1)$ -symmetry $\tilde{G}^{(n-1)}$, the critical point associated with a symmetry-breaking transition may have additional emergent symmetries. Putting all the emergent symmetries together, we obtain a *maximal symTO*. The emergent symTO was proposed to be an essential feature of a critical point. In particular, it was proposed in Refs. 3 and 5 that the emergent maximal symTO may largely determine the local low energy properties of a strongly correlated gapless liquid phase.

A general classifying understanding of gapless liquid states and critical points is a long standing challenge in theoretical physics. It is well known that a gapless state can have emergent symmetry. We now realize that such an emergent symmetry can be a combination of ordinary symmetry (described by group), higher-form symmetry [19–22] (described by higher-group), anomalous ordinary symmetry [23–26], anomalous higher symmetry [21, 22, 27–37], noninvertible 0-symmetry (in 1+1D) [38–48], noninvertible higher symmetry (also called algebraic higher symmetry) [4, 5, 49, 50], and/or noninvertible gravitational anomaly [6–11], which include anomaly-free/anomalous noninvertible higher symmetry (described by fusion higher category) [3–5, 50].

The term “noninvertible higher symmetry” just means “symmetry beyond group and higher group”, but what symmetries does it include? How are they classified? There are two approaches to this classification. In the first approach, [3–5] one groups the $n + 1$ D noninvertible higher symmetries into holo-equivalence classes and classifies the holo-equivalence classes using topological orders in one higher dimension (*i.e.* using braided fusion n -categories with trivial center). This classification encompasses anomaly-free and anomalous symmetries (see below).

A second approach was used in Ref. 5 (see also Ref. 45 for 1+1D case) to classify all anomaly-free noninvertible higher symmetries, *i.e.* the symmetries that allow non-degenerate gapped ground state on any closed space. It was shown that anomaly-free noninvertible higher symmetries in n -dimensional space are classified by *local fusion n -categories* $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ [5]. Ref. 5 also defined and classified anomalous noninvertible higher symmetries with *invertible* anomalies, in terms of local fusion n -categories $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ and the automorphisms in their centers $\mathcal{Z}(\Sigma\tilde{\mathcal{R}})$. Ref. 50 used *fusion n -categories* without the *local* condition to classify generic noninvertible higher symmetries, that can be anomaly-free and anomalous, both invertibly (as mentioned above) and noninvertibly. In Section II E we will give a discussion about the suitability to use fusion n -categories to describe generalized symmetries. We propose to use the so-called *minimal fusion n -categories* to classify generalized symmetries.

Since emergent symmetries are so rich, it may be reasonable to conjecture that a gapless state is largely characterized by its maximal emergent symmetry insofar as *we may develop a general classifying theory of gapless liquid states via their maximal emergent symmetries*.

In the next section, we review the unified theory for these different emergent symmetries. In Section III, we propose a definition of maximal symTO, using the Symm/TO correspondence and the isomorphic holographic decomposition introduced in Ref. 10 (see Fig. 1). In section IV, we discuss some simple 1+1D strongly correlated gapless liquids, and their emergent maximal symTO. In particular, we compute the modular invariant partition functions for strongly correlated gapless liquids for systems with anomaly-free and anomalous S_3 sym-

metries, as well as Fibonacci symmetry. In section V, we present a way to compute the maximal symTO of the Ising critical point using symmetry twists.

II. SYMMETRY/TOPOLOGICAL-ORDER (Symm/TO) CORRESPONDENCE: A REVIEW

It was proposed in Refs. 3, 5, 6, 13, 51–53 that all the rich and seemingly very different emergent symmetries have a unified description in terms of noninvertible gravitational anomaly, or equivalently [7], in terms of topological orders \mathcal{M} in one higher dimension, [50] if the symmetries are finite. Similar ideas were discussed for 1+1D systems, for special models, or in different contexts in Refs. 12, 15–17, 40–42, 45, 54–58.

A. From holo-equivalence to homomorphism between quantum field theories

In fact, a holographic theory was already developed in Ref. 10 (see Fig. 1). However, at that time, it was formulated as a holographic description of topological orders (quantum liquid phases) and noninvertible gravitational anomalies. A few years later, we realized that noninvertible gravitational anomalies can be viewed as generalized symmetries [6, 56], and the theory developed in Ref. 10 is in fact a unified theory of generalized symmetries. Such a holographic point of view was used in Ref. 4 and 5 to classify topological phases and symmetry protected topological (SPT) phases [24, 59] of generalized symmetries.

We know that structure preserving map – homomorphism – is the single most important concept in mathematics. So to have a systematic understanding of quantum liquid phases (gapped or gapless), we introduce homomorphism between two quantum liquid phases (or two quantum field theories). Usually, a morphism between two quantum field theories is defined by a domain wall between them. However, such a morphism does not preserve the important structures that we care about in quantum field theories.

So, what structures do we want to preserve? Since we want to understand gapless phases, the structure that we want to preserve is the so-called *local low energy properties*, which are defined as long range correlations of local operators (or local symmetric operators for symmetric systems). To stress the importance of local low energy properties, Ref. 5 introduced *holo-equivalence* between two quantum field theories (or two quantum liquids):

Two quantum field theories are **holo-equivalent** if their corresponding local (symmetric) operators have the same long range correlations.

We see that two quantum field theories, QFT and QFT' , are holo-equivalent, if there exist gapped quantum field theories, *gapped* and *gapped'*, such that stacking with




FIG. 1. An isomorphism $f_{n-1}^{(1)}$ (i.e. a transparent domain wall in spacetime) between two anomalous $n + 1$ D (gapped or gapless) quantum field theories, \mathcal{D}_n and $\mathcal{C}_n \boxtimes_{Z(\mathcal{C}_n)} f_n^{(0)}$ (cf. eqn. (4.3) of Ref. 10), describes a local low energy equivalence (holo-equivalence) of the two quantum field theories. Here Z is the boundary to bulk function defined in Ref. 7 and 10. Such an equivalence exposes the emergent symmetry described by the symTO $Z(\mathcal{C}_n)$ in quantum field theory \mathcal{D}_n . Note that the anomaly is given by the topological order $Z(\mathcal{D}_n)$ in one higher dimension.

gapped and *gapped'* make *QFT* and *QFT'* identical

$$QFT \boxtimes \text{gapped} = QFT' \boxtimes \text{gapped}'. \quad (5)$$

For example $QFT \boxtimes \text{gapped}$ and $QFT' \boxtimes \text{gapped}'$ have identical partition function on any large spacetime M^{n+1} :

$$Z(QFT \boxtimes \text{gapped}, M^{n+1}) = Z(QFT' \boxtimes \text{gapped}', M^{n+1}). \quad (6)$$

Now we apply the above idea to define holo-equivalence between two anomalous $n + 1$ D quantum field theories, \mathcal{C}_n and \mathcal{D}_n . The (noninvertible) gravitational anomalies in \mathcal{C}_n and \mathcal{D}_n are described by bulk topological orders $Z(\mathcal{C}_n)$ and $Z(\mathcal{D}_n)$. This leads to the setup in Fig. 1, which says that \mathcal{C}_n and \mathcal{D}_n are holo-equivalent if they differ by a gapped domain wall $f_n^{(0)}$ between the two bulk topological orders $Z(\mathcal{C}_n)$ and $Z(\mathcal{D}_n)$. In other words, \mathcal{C}_n and \mathcal{D}_n are holo-equivalent if \mathcal{C}_n and $\mathcal{D}_n \boxtimes_{Z(\mathcal{D}_n)} f_n^{(0)}$ are isomorphic. The isomorphism is given by a transparent domain wall $f_{n-1}^{(1)}$:

$$f_{n-1}^{(1)} : \mathcal{D}_n \cong \mathcal{C}_n \boxtimes_{Z(\mathcal{C}_n)} f_n^{(0)}. \quad (7)$$

We will call such an isomorphism an *isomorphic holographic decomposition*. This decomposition defines a homomorphism described by a pair $(f_n^{(0)}, f_{n-1}^{(1)})$ [10]:

$$(f_n^{(0)}, f_{n-1}^{(1)}) : \mathcal{C}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n. \quad (8)$$

Such a homomorphism preserves the local low energy properties, and is the mathematical description of the holo-equivalence.

In this paper, we give a physical description of the isomorphic holographic decomposition, $f_{n-1}^{(1)} : \mathcal{D}_n \cong f_n^{(0)} \boxtimes_{Z(\mathcal{C}_n)} \mathcal{C}_n$: The original (gapped or gapless) theory

\mathcal{D}_n has the same partition function as the composite theory² $f_n^{(0)} \boxtimes_{Z(\mathcal{C}_n)} \mathcal{C}_n$

$$Z(\mathcal{D}_n) = Z(f_n^{(0)} \boxtimes_{Z(\mathcal{C}_n)} \mathcal{C}_n), \quad (9)$$

assuming the bulk $Z(\mathcal{C}_n)$ and the upper boundary $f_n^{(0)}$ to have infinite gap. The above relation between partition functions is the key, which essentially defines the isomorphic holographic decomposition.

One can see that, in the composite theory $f_n^{(0)} \boxtimes_{Z(\mathcal{C}_n)} \mathcal{C}_n$, the lower boundary \mathcal{C}_n captures the local low energy properties of the original theory \mathcal{D}_n , while the bulk topological orders $Z(\mathcal{C}_n)$, $Z(\mathcal{D}_n)$ and the domain wall $f_n^{(0)}$ captures the global low energy properties. In fact, they describe an emergent symmetry in the original theory \mathcal{D}_n (see next section).

When the two quantum field theories, \mathcal{C}_n and \mathcal{D}_n , are gapped, their excitations are described by fusion n -categories, also denoted as \mathcal{C}_n and \mathcal{D}_n respectively. In this case, the boundary to bulk functor Z becomes the generalized Drinfeld center that maps a fusion n -category to a braided fusion n -category, and the homomorphism (8) becomes a monoidal functor that preserves the fusion (see Fig. 1(right)). Ref. 10 and 11 used the homomorphism (8) to show the boundary to bulk map Z corresponds to the mathematical notion of *center* in a very general setting. This gives rise to the topological holographic principle: *boundary determines bulk* [7], but bulk does not determine boundary.

B. From isomorphic holographic decomposition to emergent symmetry

Later, in Refs. 3 and 6, it was realized that noninvertible gravitational anomalies can also be viewed as (generalized) symmetries. Thus, Fig. 1 actually is a holographic description of symmetry, which leads to the Symm/TO correspondence. In other words, the isomorphism (7) can be viewed as a decomposition of the anomalous theory \mathcal{D}_n which reveals the (generalized) symmetry in \mathcal{D}_n described by $f_n^{(0)}$ and $Z(\mathcal{C}_n)$. In fact, $Z(\mathcal{C}_n)$ is the symTO mentioned above. $f_n^{(0)}$ provides a more detailed description of symmetry than the symTO $Z(\mathcal{C}_n)$ description.

Ref. 5 used a special case of Fig. 1 with $Z(\mathcal{D}_n) = \text{trivial}$ (see Fig. 2) to describe emergent symmetry in $n + 1$ D

² The composite theory $f_n^{(0)} \boxtimes_{Z(\mathcal{C}_n)} \mathcal{C}_n$ is a slab of bulk topological order $Z(\mathcal{C}_n)$ with a lower (gapped or gapless) boundary described by an anomalous theory \mathcal{C}_n and an upper gapped domain wall between $Z(\mathcal{C}_n)$ and $Z(\mathcal{D}_n)$ described by $f_n^{(0)}$. The bulk topological orders, $Z(\mathcal{C}_n)$ and $Z(\mathcal{D}_n)$, and the domain wall $f_n^{(0)}$ are assumed to have a lattice UV completion with an infinite gap. The lattice UV completion does not need to be fine tuned and may not have any symmetry.




FIG. 2. A special case of Fig. 1, where $f_n^{(0)} = \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$, $\mathcal{D}_n = \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{C}$, and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_n) = \text{bulk}(\mathcal{C})$. $f_{n-1}^{(1)} = \varepsilon$ is an isomorphism, *i.e.* a transparent domain wall (cf. Fig. 24 and Fig. 29 in Ref. 5). Here, $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ is a fusion higher category describing the gapped excitations on a gapped boundary of the symTO. It describes the emergent symmetry in \mathcal{C} . We will refer to such a symmetry as $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -symmetry. Also, $\text{bulk}(\mathcal{C})$ is the symTO \mathcal{M} describing the holo-equivalence class of emergent symmetry $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$.




FIG. 3. Consider two systems, \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' , with holo-equivalent symmetries, $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}'$. After restricting to the respected symmetric sub-Hilbert spaces, the two systems become identical $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}'$ and are described by the same boundary of the symTO \mathcal{M} . However, \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' may have different global low energy properties from different charged sectors: $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \neq \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}'$.

systems with no gravitational anomaly. In this case, the isomorphism

$$\varepsilon : \underline{\mathcal{C}} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{C} \quad (10)$$

is viewed as a decomposition of the anomaly-free theory $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ which reveals the (generalized) symmetry in \mathcal{C} described by $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ and \mathcal{M} , where $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{Z}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}})$. Here $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ is a fusion n -category that describes the excitations on the upper boundary, and \mathcal{M} is a braided fusion n -category that describes the excitations in the bulk topological order (*i.e.* the symTO mentioned before). In this case, $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ describes a generalized symmetry, and \mathcal{M} is the symTO of the symmetry.

C. SymTOs classify Holo-equivalence classes of symmetries

As an application of the above holographic picture of symmetries, let us define the notion of holo-equivalence

of symmetries [5]:

Two $n + 1$ D generalized symmetries described by fusion n -categories \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}' are **holo-equivalent** if they have the same bulk: $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R}') = \mathcal{M}$, *i.e.* the same symTO.

We see that [5]

Holo-equivalent classes of symmetries are one-to-one classified by symTOs (*i.e.* topological order with gap-pable boundary in one higher dimension).

To understand the physical meaning of holo-equivalence of two symmetries, we note that there are many symmetric systems for each symmetry. The holo-equivalence means that there is a one-to-one correspondence between \mathcal{R} -symmetric systems and \mathcal{R}' -symmetric systems, such that the corresponding systems, \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' , have the same spectrum after restricting to the respected symmetric sub-Hilbert spaces, $\mathcal{V}_{\text{symmetric}}$ and $\mathcal{V}'_{\text{symmetric}}$. In other words, $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}'$ (see Fig. 3). In short, two systems with holo-equivalent symmetries are identical, after restricting to their corresponding symmetric sub-Hilbert spaces. On the other hand, the two systems may have different charged sectors. Since only local dynamics within $\mathcal{V}_{\text{symmetric}}$ is considered here, we may have some unexpected equivalence. For example, 1+1D \mathbb{Z}_4 symmetry is holo-equivalent to 1+1D $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry with mixed anomaly [13, 14].

It is surprising to see that symmetry is so closely related to topological order in one higher dimension – symTO. We know that symmetry constrains local low energy dynamics. Similarly, topological order also constrains boundary local low energy dynamics just as symmetry does. Thus “a symmetry is described by a symTO” has the following physical meaning [3, 5, 13]:

A **system** (described by a Hamiltonian) with a generalized finite symmetry is *exactly locally reproduced* by a **boundary** (described by a boundary Hamiltonian) of the corresponding symTO.

Here, *exactly locally reproduced* means that the local symmetric operators in the system have a one-to-one correspondence with the local operators on the boundary of the topological order. The corresponding local operators have identical correlations on the respective ground states, assuming the bulk topological order has an infinite gap.

Since the boundary of the symTO \mathcal{M} in Fig. 2 exactly simulates the symmetric system \mathcal{C} after the restriction to the symmetric sub-Hilbert space $\mathcal{V}_{\text{symmetric}}$, the decomposition $\underline{\mathcal{C}} \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\cong} \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{C}$ implies the following relation of partition functions

$$\begin{aligned} & Z(\underline{\mathcal{C}} \text{ restricted to } \mathcal{V}_{\text{symmetric}}) \\ &= Z(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}_{\text{symmetric}}} e^{-\beta H_{\mathcal{C}}} \\ &= Z(\mathcal{C} \text{ boundary of } \mathcal{M}), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where we have used the fact that the system \mathcal{C} is nothing but $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ restricting to $\mathcal{V}_{\text{symmetric}}$. The total partition function of the symmetric system $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ also contains contributions from charged excitations not in $\mathcal{V}_{\text{symmetric}}$. These charged excitations are not included in \mathcal{C} , but are included in the composition $\tilde{\mathcal{R}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{C}$ [50]. Thus the decomposition $\underline{\mathcal{C}} \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\cong} \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{C}$ also implies

$$Z(\underline{\mathcal{C}}) = \text{Tre}^{-\beta H_{\underline{\mathcal{C}}}} = Z(\tilde{\mathcal{R}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{C}), \quad (12)$$

which is the physical meaning of the isomorphic holographic decomposition ε . We will illustrate the above relation through some examples later. To summarize

The decomposition $\underline{\mathcal{C}} \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\cong} \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ means that the partition function of the system $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ (gapless or gapped) is the same as the partition function of the composite system $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ (see Fig. 2), assuming the bulk \mathcal{M} and the boundary $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ have infinite energy gap.

Under such a Symm/TO correspondence, using emergent symmetry to characterize a gapless state becomes equivalent to using symTO for that purpose. Ref. 5 concentrated on the pair $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C})$ in Fig. 2, and used the Symm/TO correspondence to classify SPT orders and symmetry enriched topological (SET) orders for generalized symmetries described by symTO \mathcal{M} . Symm/TO correspondence allows us to see some general results, such as symmetry protected gaplessness (see Section II F).

D. Local fusion higher categories classify anomaly-free noninvertible higher symmetries

Ref. 5 also used local fusion n -category $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ to classify anomaly-free generalized symmetries. To obtain this result, we first need to define anomaly-free condition for noninvertible higher symmetries. One definition was proposed in Refs. 5 and 45:

Definition: A symmetry is **anomaly-free** if it allows a gapped non-degenerate ground state for all closed spaces of any homotopy type.

We note that, according to a conjecture in Ref. 7, an $n + 1$ D gapped phase with non-degenerate ground state for all closed spaces of any homotopy types has a trivial topological order described by $n\mathcal{V}ec$. Then, from the above definition, we see that a symmetry described by a fusion n -category $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ is anomaly-free if there exists a fusion n -category \mathcal{R} (which is the \mathcal{C} in Fig. 2), such that $\tilde{\mathcal{R}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{R} \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\cong} n\mathcal{V}ec$ ($n\mathcal{V}ec$ is the \mathcal{C} in Fig. 2). This isomorphic holographic decomposition is described in Fig. 4, which is equivalent to a monoidal functor (*i.e.* a homomorphism)

$$(\mathcal{R}, \varepsilon) : \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow n\mathcal{V}ec. \quad (13)$$

Such a monoidal functor to $n\mathcal{V}ec$ is called a fiber functor.




FIG. 4. An $n + 1$ D $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -symmetry is anomaly-free if there exists a fusion n -category \mathcal{R} such that $\mathcal{R} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\cong} n\mathcal{V}ec$ where $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{Z}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}})$.

Definition: a fusion n -category with a monoidal functor to $n\mathcal{V}ec$ is a **local** fusion n -category.

Thus

the most general $n + 1$ D anomaly-free generalized symmetries (*i.e.* anomaly-free algebraic higher symmetries), are classified, with a one-to-one correspondence, by local fusion n -categories $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$. [5]

We remark that $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ in Fig. 2 is the fusion n -category describing the fusion of the symmetry defects for both anomaly-free and anomalous symmetry, while the \mathcal{R} introduced above is the fusion n -category describing the fusion of the symmetry charges (*i.e.* the excitations above the gapped non-degenerate ground state introduced above³) for anomaly-free symmetry (see Section II H) [3, 5]. Clearly, \mathcal{R} is also a local fusion n -category, satisfying (see Fig. 4)

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, \varepsilon) : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow n\mathcal{V}ec. \quad (14)$$

The above means that an anomaly-free symmetry is completely breakable by perturbations of local operators, *i.e.* by adding local operators as perturbations we can break the symmetry $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ to trivial symmetry $n\mathcal{V}ec$. This is because we can view the homomorphism $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow n\mathcal{V}ec$ as induced by adding the top morphisms which correspond to local operators.

For example, for a system with $SU(2)$ symmetry, \mathcal{R} contains spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ excitations, where the top morphisms in \mathcal{R} are $SU(2)$ symmetric operators. After we add generic local operators to top morphisms, the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ excitation becomes a direct sum of two trivial excitations $\mathbb{1}$

$$\text{spin-}\frac{1}{2} = \mathbb{1} \oplus \mathbb{1}. \quad (15)$$

³ The gapped non-degenerate ground state corresponds to symmetric product state




FIG. 5. (Left) A pair (ρ, σ) describes a “topological symmetry” in an anomaly-free field theory F (Fig. 1 in Ref. 60). (Right) A redrawing of (Left) to make the equivalence θ explicit.

Thus the fiber functor (14) for the symmetry-charge local fusion n -category \mathcal{R} describes a symmetry breaking process by perturbations of local operators, that breaks the symmetry completely. We note that the above discussion only applies to anomaly-free symmetry.

E. Minimal fusion n -categories classify emergent generalized symmetries

Recently, the notion of “topological symmetry” was introduced in Ref. 50. Topological symmetry corresponds to a pair (ρ, σ) (see Fig. 5(left)), where σ is the symTO discussed above, and ρ is a gapped boundary of the symTO, which is $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ discussed above. The pair (ρ, σ) describes a (generalized) symmetry in a quantum field theory F via the equivalence relation: $F \stackrel{\theta}{\cong} \rho \boxtimes_{\sigma} \tilde{F}$ where \tilde{F} is a boundary of σ , i.e. $\text{bulk}(\tilde{F}) = \sigma$. However, to describe the equivalence \cong explicitly, we need to connect the right part and the left part of Fig. 5(left) via an isomorphism (or an invertible domain wall), and redraw Fig. 5(left) as Fig. 5(right).

Since \mathcal{M} in Fig. 2 is determined by $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$: $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{Z}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}})$ (or σ is determined by ρ : $\sigma = \mathcal{Z}(\rho)$), we may say that the most general emergent symmetries in $n + 1$ D systems \mathcal{C} with no gravitational anomaly are described and classified by fusion n -categories $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$. The above emergent symmetries include anomalous symmetries.⁴

There is a subtlety in the use of fusion n -category $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ to describe generalized symmetries in higher than $n = 1$ spatial dimensions. We can freely change $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ by stacking




FIG. 6. A system with no symmetry $QFT_{\text{no-symm}}$, after stacking with a topological order $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{TO}}$ becomes a system with a symmetry QFT_{symm} .

a $n + 1$ D topological order $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{TO}}$ to the $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -boundary (see Fig. 2), where $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{TO}}$ satisfies $\mathcal{Z}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{TO}}) = n\text{Vec}$, without changing the symTO. In particular, the two fusion n -categories, $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}' = \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \boxtimes \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{TO}}$, have the same symTO $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{Z}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}) = \mathcal{Z}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}')$. We would like them to describe the same symmetry, since they impose the same constraints on the low energy boundary \mathcal{C} .

Moreover, for a system with no symmetry $QFT_{\text{no-symm}}$, stacking with a (gravitational anomaly-free) topological order $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{TO}}$ with $\mathcal{Z}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{TO}}) = n\text{Vec}$, we get a system with symmetry $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{TO}}$ (see Fig. 6)

$$QFT_{\text{symm}} = QFT_{\text{no-symm}} \boxtimes \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{TO}}. \quad (16)$$

However, we would like $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{TO}}$ to describe a trivial symmetry. Otherwise, a trivial symTO (in higher than 1 spatial dimensions) will correspond to infinitely many different symmetries that provide the same constraint to the low energy dynamics.

The notions of holo-equivalence of symmetry and symTO discussed before resolve this problem. However, symTO is a little too coarse of a concept; it regards some symmetries as the same even though we would like to distinguish those symmetries. On the other hand, the notion of fusion n -category is too refined; it regards some symmetries as different, even though we would like to consider them as the same. This motivates us to introduce the notion of minimal fusion n -category. We define a strict partial order between fusion n -categories:

Two fusion n -categories $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}'$ are related by $\tilde{\mathcal{R}} < \tilde{\mathcal{R}}'$ if there exists a non-trivial fusion n -category $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{TO}}$, such that $\mathcal{Z}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{TO}}) = n\text{Vec}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}' \cong \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \boxtimes \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{TO}}$.

Using the strict partial order $<$, we can define the minimal fusion n -categories among the holo-equivalent fusion n -categories. Certainly, the minimal fusion n -categories are not unique in general. We will say that

$n + 1$ D generalized symmetries for systems with no gravitational anomaly are classified by minimal fusion n -categories $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ among the holo-equivalent fusion n -categories. We will refer to the corresponding symmetry as $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -symmetry.

⁴ Here, we define anomalous symmetries as symmetries that are not anomaly-free, along the lines of Refs. 45, 61, and 62. Such a definition will include both invertible and noninvertible anomalies, just like gravitational anomalies referred to in this paper include both invertible and noninvertible anomalies [6–11]. Anomalous symmetries defined and classified via the boundary of non-trivial SPT orders are invertible anomalies[25]. Notably, Ref. 5 gave a definition and classification of invertible anomalies for noninvertible symmetries.

In this paper, when we refer to “ $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -symmetry”, we will assume $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ is a minimal fusion n -category.

F. Symmetry protected gaplessness

It is well known that perturbative anomalies for continuous symmetries [23] and perturbative gravitational anomalies [63, 64] imply gaplessness [65–75]. This can be regarded as *perturbative-anomaly protected gaplessness*. Even global anomalies for discrete symmetries may imply gaplessness [76–84], which can be regarded as *anomalous-symmetry protected gaplessness*. Symmetry fractionalization may also imply gaplessness [85–87], which can be regarded as *symmetry-fractionalization protected gaplessness*. Some noninvertible symmetry can imply gaplessness as well [88, 89], which can be regarded as *noninvertible symmetry protected gaplessness*. Symm/TO correspondence provides a unified point of view to understand these different kinds of protected gaplessness [90].

In fact there are three types of symmetry protected gaplessness. For the first type, we consider states that do not spontaneously break the symTO of the symmetry. In this case, we can show the following: [90]

A state with a non-trivial unbroken symTO must be gapless.

Such a gapless state corresponds to a 1-condensed boundary — which also must be gapless — of the corresponding topological order in one higher dimension (*i.e.* the unbroken symTO).⁵ The gaplessness of a 1-condensed boundary was shown in a general setting, and was referred to as *topological Wick rotation*, in Refs. 15–17.

For the second type of symmetry protected gaplessness, we consider the states of a system with an $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -symmetry that do not spontaneously break the symmetry. Such a symmetric state may be a gapped state and may be a gapless state, depending on the fusion n -category $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$. In the following, we will try to describe the conditions on $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$, such that an $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ symmetric state must be gapless.

In order to make the above statement clearer, we need to define the notion of spontaneous breaking of a generic $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -symmetry. In the holographic picture, (see Fig. 2), the gapped $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ boundary is obtained by condensing a maximal set of *elementary topological excitations*, called a Lagrangian condensable algebra, of the bulk \mathcal{M} corresponding to the charges of the $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -symmetry.

Definition: The $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -symmetry is **spontaneously broken** if one of the elementary topological excitations in the Lagrangian condensable algebra that produces the $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -boundary condenses on the lower boundary \mathcal{C} in Fig. 2.

⁵ For a proof of a special case of this statement, see Ref. 91.




FIG. 7. A slab of 3+1D topological order \mathcal{M} , with two gapped boundaries $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ and \mathcal{R} . The string l condenses on both the boundaries. The composite system is denoted as $\mathcal{R} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$.




FIG. 8. A slab of 3+1D topological order \mathcal{M} , with two gapped boundaries $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ and \mathcal{R} . The particle e condenses on both the boundaries. The composite system is denoted as $\mathcal{R} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$.

With this notion of spontaneous breaking of symmetry, we have the following result:

An anomaly-free $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -symmetry allows a gapped state that does not spontaneously break the $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -symmetry. In fact, the non-degenerate gapped state used to define anomaly-free symmetry is one of these symmetric gapped states.

To show this result, we note that there exists a fusion n -category \mathcal{R} such that $\mathcal{R} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{Z}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}})} \tilde{\mathcal{R}} = n\text{Vec}$, since $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ is anomaly-free. Such a state $\mathcal{R} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{Z}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}})} \tilde{\mathcal{R}} = n\text{Vec}$ is actually a state that does not spontaneously break the $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -symmetry, *i.e.* there is no elementary topological excitation⁶ that condenses on both the $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ - and \mathcal{R} -boundaries. Let us argue why this is the case.

⁶ The notion elementary topological excitation was introduced in Ref. 7. In general, the excitations in a topological order can be divided into two classes: elementary excitation and descendent excitation. All point-like excitations are elementary excitations. A descendent string-like excitation is a phase formed by

For concreteness, let us consider a symTO in 3 spatial dimensions, *i.e.* a symmetry of a 2+1D system. Assume there is a string-like excitation l in 3+1D topological order \mathcal{M} , that condenses on both boundaries (see Fig. 7). Then, there must be a point-like excitation e in \mathcal{M} that can remotely detect l via braiding. In the dimension-reduced state $\mathcal{R} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$, both l and e become point-like excitations which can remotely detect each other. Hence the dimension reduced state $\mathcal{R} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ has a non-trivial topological order, which leads to a contradiction.

Similarly, let us consider a particle-like excitation e that condenses on both boundaries. Then we can find a string excitation l in the 3+1D topological order \mathcal{M} that has nontrivial braiding with it, due to the remote detectability principle. Now consider a membrane operator that creates l at its boundary and take this boundary to infinity in the x and y directions (see Fig. 8). Then we take the limit of making the slab thin along z . This means that the above membrane operator now acts as an operator O_l with support on all of 2d space in the dimension reduced system. Now consider a state $|\psi\rangle$ in the ground state subspace of the dimension-reduced system, and without loss of generality assume it to be in an eigenstate of O_l . Since e is condensed on both boundaries of the original 3+1D system, we can locally create an e particle for vanishing energy cost. Let us call the new state $|\psi_e\rangle$; this state must also be in the ground state subspace. Now consider the action of the operator O_l on these two states. Since l and e braid nontrivially in \mathcal{M} , the action of O_l on $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\psi_e\rangle$ must differ by the braiding phase. This then tells us that $|\psi_e\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$ must be linearly independent, and hence the ground state subspace is degenerate. This tells us that the dimension reduced system is nontrivial and does not have the structure of $2\mathcal{Vec}$, which leads to a contradiction.

We see that an anomaly-free $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -symmetry does not have symmetry protected gaplessness of the second type. Can we conclude that anomalous $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -symmetry has symmetry protected gaplessness of the second type? The answer is no. Only some anomalous $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -symmetries have symmetry protected gaplessness of the second type.[62] In particular, if the symTO $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{Z}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}})$ has only one gapped boundary $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$, then any $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -symmetric state must be gapless, since the only gapped boundary is obtained by condensing the $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -symmetry charges and hence necessarily breaks the symmetry. In general, we have the following conclusion:

point-like excitations along a string. A descendent membrane-like excitation is a phase formed by point-like excitations and string-like excitations on a membrane. Thus, descendent excitations are excitations that can have a boundary. On the other hand, elementary excitations are excitations that cannot have a boundary. The elementary excitations satisfy the principle of remote detectability [7, 75]: a non-trivial elementary excitation can always be detected by excitations via remote operations.

$$\begin{array}{c} \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \\ \boxed{\mathcal{M}} \\ QFT_{ano} \end{array} \left\{ QFT_{af} = QFT_{ano} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \right.$$

FIG. 9. The composite system $QFT_{ano} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$, where the energy gaps of the bulk \mathcal{M} and the boundary $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ are assumed to be infinite. We also assume that the thickness of the slab is finite and is much larger than the correlation length of the bulk \mathcal{M} .

Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{Z}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}})$ be the symTO of a $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -symmetry and let all the gapped boundaries of \mathcal{M} be described by \mathcal{R}_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$. If all \mathcal{R}_i -boundaries share at least one common condensation of an elementary topological excitation with the $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -boundary, then any $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -symmetric state must be gapless.

In the third type of symmetry protected gaplessness, we assume the lattice system to have an exact symmetry, such as non-on-site $U(1)$ symmetry with perturbative t' Hooft anomaly. Then such a lattice system cannot have a gapless phase for any choice of lattice Hamiltonian, as long as the non-on-site lattice symmetry is not explicitly broken. An example of such a lattice non-on-site symmetry is given in Ref. 92. It seems that the third type of symmetry protected gaplessness only appears for non-finite symmetries.

G. Lattice realization of any generalized symmetry as emergent symmetry

We have mentioned that $n+1$ D generalized symmetries are classified by minimal fusion n -categories $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ [4, 5, 50]. The isomorphic holographic decomposition in Fig. 2 also provides a construction of a lattice model that realized a finite $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -symmetry as an emergent symmetry.

The construction is given as follows. Let \mathcal{M} be the braided fusion n -category that is the center of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$. We also use \mathcal{M} to denote the $n+2$ D topological order whose excitations are described by \mathcal{M} . Since \mathcal{M} has a gapped boundary, the topological order \mathcal{M} can be realized by a commuting projector lattice model (such as the Levin-Wen models [93] and Walker-Wang models [94]). Let us consider a slab of commuting projector lattice model realizing \mathcal{M} (see Fig. 9). The upper boundary is gapped with boundary excitations described by $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$. The lower boundary QFT_{ano} can be gapped or gapless. We assume that the bulk \mathcal{M} and the upper boundary $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ have a large energy gap. Below that energy gap, all the low energy excitations are on the lower boundary QFT_{ano} . The low energy effective theory of the slab is given by




FIG. 10. A 1-dimensional patch commutant operator corresponds to a topological string operator in the bulk that creates a pair of anyon-anti-anyon a, \bar{a} . If a has a quantum dimension $d_a > 1$ (i.e. if a is a non-Abelian anyon), the symmetry generated by the patch commutant operator will be a noninvertible symmetry. If a has non-trivial statistics, the symmetry generated by the patch commutant operator will be anomalous [37].

$QFT_{af} = QFT_{ano} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$. We see that the low energy effective theory QFT_{af} has an emergent $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -symmetry below the bulk energy gap.

H. Patch commutant operators in holographic picture of generalized symmetry

In its most general setting, a generalized symmetry is defined by the algebra of a collection of local operators. The local operators in such a collection, by definition, are called *local symmetric operators* (LSOs). The choice of such a collection defines the symmetry. Usually, we describe a symmetry not by the algebra of LSOs, but by the algebra of commutant operators, i.e. operators that commute with all the LSOs. However, these commutant operators are defined with a macroscopically large support. Since our systems of interest are local, it is desirable to describe symmetry using only the information in a local patch. This motivates us to introduce patch commutant operators (referred to as transparent patch operators in Ref. 13, and quantum currents in Ref. 95). If space is a manifold, then such a patch is a sub-manifold that may have a lower dimensionality and a boundary. A patch commutant operator is defined as an operator that is a sum of products of local symmetric operators on the patch. Such an operator commutes with all the local symmetric operators, as long as the operator commutes are far away from the boundary of the patch. It was shown, through some simple examples, that the algebra of the patch commutant operators encodes a braided fusion n -category \mathcal{M} , which gives rise to the symTO of the symmetry.

In our lattice realization of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -symmetry Fig. 9, what are the patch commutant operators that describe the $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -symmetry? It turns out that a k -dimensional patch commutant operator is given by a k -brane topological operator in the bulk [3, 13], that creates a $k - 1$ -dimensional topological excitation on its boundary (see Fig. 10). (A k -brane topological operator corresponds to a $k + 1$ -

dimensional topological defect in spacetime.)

After the upper boundary $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ is specified, the patch commutant operators can be divided into patch charge operators, patch symmetry operators, and their combinations. A patch commutant operator is a patch charge operator if the topological excitation created on the boundary condenses on the upper boundary $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$. The boundary of a patch charge operator corresponds to symmetry charges. A patch commutant operator is a patch symmetry operator (or a combination of a patch symmetry operator and a patch charge operator) if the topological excitation created on the boundary does not condense on the upper boundary $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$. The boundary of patch symmetry operator corresponds to symmetry defects, and the patch symmetry operator performs the symmetry transformation within the patch [3, 13]. This is why the excitations on the upper boundary $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ correspond to symmetry defects.

The fusion n -category $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ describes the fusion of symmetry defects of the $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -symmetry.

I. Classification of 1+1D symTOs and generalized symmetries

We have seen that the emergent symmetries from lattice systems can be generalized symmetries that go beyond the group and higher group descriptions. Such emergent generalized symmetries (up to holo-equivalence) are fully described and classified by symTOs. Recently, 2+1D topological orders (up to E_8 invertible topological orders) with 11 or less anyon types were classified (see Table I) [96]. The symTOs correspond to such topological orders with gappable boundary, which have also been classified (see Table I). This leads to a classification of 1+1D generalized symmetries.

For example, there are three symTOs describing three holo-equivalent classes of 1+1D symmetries with 4 types of symmetry charges/defects [96]:

1. \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order (i.e. \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge theory) in 2+1D, $\text{Gau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. Its holo-equivalent class of symmetries contains two symmetries: $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ -symmetry and $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ -symmetry, since the symTO $\text{Gau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ is the Drinfeld center of two fusion categories $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ and $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$:

$$\text{Gau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2} = \mathcal{Z}(\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}) = \mathcal{Z}(\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}). \quad (17)$$

When $\tilde{\mathcal{R}} = \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ in Fig. 2, the corresponding $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ -symmetry is nothing but the ordinary \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry, since the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry defects form the fusion category $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. When $\tilde{\mathcal{R}} = \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ in Fig. 2, the corresponding $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ -symmetry is $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_2$, the dual of \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry discussed in Ref. 3, since the $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_2$ symmetry defects form the fusion category $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$.

TABLE I. The classification of 2+1D topological orders (up to $E(8)$ invertible topological order) for bosonic systems with no symmetry, up to 11 types of anyons (the first row). This leads to a classification of 2+1D symTOs (the second row), which classify all the 1+1D generalized global symmetries up to holo-equivalence. Such a classification includes all finite-group symmetries with potential anomalies (the third row). It also includes beyond-group symmetries.

# of anyon (symmetry charges/defects) types	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
# of 2+1D topological orders	1	4	12	18	10	50	28	64	81	76	44
# of symTOs (TOs with gappable boundary)	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	6	6	3	0
# of finite-group symmetries (with anomaly ω)	1	0	0	$2\mathbb{Z}_2^\omega$	0	0	0	$6S_3^\omega$	$3\mathbb{Z}_3^\omega$	0	0

We remark that, for Abelian group G , the Vec_G -symmetry (*i.e.* the ordinary G -symmetry) and the Rep_G -symmetry (*i.e.* the dual of G -symmetry, \tilde{G}) are isomorphic. For non-Abelian group G , the Vec_G -symmetry and the Rep_G -symmetry are not isomorphic. The Vec_G -symmetry is the ordinary G -symmetry, while the Rep_G -symmetry is the dual of G -symmetry, which is a noninvertible algebraic symmetry [3, 5, 45]. We also remark that the Vec_G -symmetry and the Rep_G -symmetry (or more generally, all the symmetries in the same holo-equivalence class) are holo-equivalent [5, 13], in the sense that they provide the same constrain on the dynamics of the systems within the symmetric sub-Hilbert space $\mathcal{V}_{\text{symmetric}}$.

2. Double-semion topological order, denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dSem}}$. Its holo-equivalent class of symmetries contains just one symmetry: $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Sem}}$ -symmetry, since the symTO $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dSem}}$ is the Drinfeld center of just one fusion category $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Sem}}$:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{dSem}} = \mathcal{Z}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Sem}}). \quad (18)$$

Here, $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Sem}}$ is the fusion category formed by anyons in \mathcal{M}_{Sem} , and \mathcal{M}_{Sem} is the braided fusion category formed by a single semion. We denote such a relation as $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Sem}} \leftarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{Sem}}$. The $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Sem}}$ -symmetry is nothing but the anomalous \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry.

3. Double-Fibonacci topological order, denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}$. Its holo-equivalent class of symmetries contains just one symmetry: $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ -symmetry, since the symTO $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}$ is the Drinfeld center of just one fusion category $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}} = \mathcal{Z}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}). \quad (19)$$

Here, $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}} \leftarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{Fib}}$ is the fusion category formed by anyons in the braided fusion category \mathcal{M}_{Fib} of a single Fibonacci anyon. See Section IV D for a lattice realization and a discussion of gapless states with the $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ -symmetry.

The $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ -symmetry is a noninvertible symmetry. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ is not a local fusion category, $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ -

symmetry is an anomalous noninvertible symmetry. In fact, the anomaly of the noninvertible $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ -symmetry is itself not invertible, *i.e.* the symmetry of the system cannot be made anomaly-free by stacking it with other such anomalous $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ -symmetric systems. Also, $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ -symmetric state must be gapless.

There are six symTOs and holo-equivalent classes of 1+1D symmetries with 9 types of symmetry charges/defects [96]:

1. \mathbb{Z}_3 gauge theory $\text{Gau}_{\mathbb{Z}_3}$. Its holo-equivalence class contains two symmetries: \mathbb{Z}_3 symmetry and dual of \mathbb{Z}_3 symmetry, $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_3$.
2. Dijkgraaf-Witten \mathbb{Z}_3 gauge theory $\text{Gau}_{\mathbb{Z}_3}^{(1)}$. Its holo-equivalence class contains one symmetry: anomalous $\mathbb{Z}_3^{(1)}$ symmetry.
3. Another Dijkgraaf-Witten \mathbb{Z}_3 gauge theory $\text{Gau}_{\mathbb{Z}_3}^{(2)}$. Its holo-equivalence class contains one symmetry: another anomalous $\mathbb{Z}_3^{(2)}$ symmetry.
4. Double-Ising topological order \mathcal{M}_{dIs} . Its holo-equivalence class contains one symmetry: $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Is}}$ -symmetry, where $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Is}} \leftarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{Is}}$ and \mathcal{M}_{Is} is the rank-3 Ising topological order with central charge $c = \frac{1}{2}$. see Section IV A 3 for a realization of this symmetry.
5. Twisted-double-Ising topological order $\mathcal{M}_{\text{twdIs}}$. Its holo-equivalence class contains one symmetry: $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{twIs}}$ -symmetry, where $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{twIs}} \leftarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{twIs}}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\text{twIs}}$ is the rank-3 twisted Ising topological order with central charge $c = \frac{3}{2}$. Note that the Ising topological order \mathcal{M}_{Is} contains a fermion ψ . If ψ 's condense into a filling fraction $\nu = 1$ integer quantum Hall state, the Ising topological order \mathcal{M}_{Is} will change into the twisted Ising topological order $\mathcal{M}_{\text{twIs}}$.
6. $PSU(2)_5$ topological order $\mathcal{Z}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{PSU(2)_5})$. $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{PSU(2)_5}$ is a fusion category $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{PSU(2)_5} \leftarrow \mathcal{M}_{PSU(2)_5}$. $\mathcal{M}_{PSU(2)_5}$ is a factor of $\mathcal{M}_{SU(2)_5}$, the modular tensor category of $SU(2)_5$ Kac-Moody algebra

$$\mathcal{M}_{SU(2)_5} = \mathcal{M}_{PSU(2)_5} \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_{\text{Sem}}. \quad (20)$$




FIG. 11. Decompositions of an anomaly-free quantum field theory QFT_{af} expose the possible emergent symmetries in the quantum field theory. The bulk topological orders $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'$ are the revealed emergent symTOS. The gapped boundaries of $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'$, $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, \tilde{\mathcal{R}}'$, describe the revealed emergent symmetries $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, \tilde{\mathcal{R}}'$. The gapless anomalous field theories, QFT_{ano} and QFT'_{ano} , are 1-condensed boundaries of $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'$.

The holo-equivalence class of the symTO $\mathcal{Z}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{PSU(2)_5})$ contains one symmetry: $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{PSU(2)_5}$ -symmetry, which is an anomalous noninvertible symmetry.[5]

III. DEFINITION OF MAXIMAL symTO

We have mentioned that a state with a non-trivial unbroken symTO \mathcal{M} must be gapless [3, 5, 16, 17, 90, 91]. Such a state corresponds to a 1-condensed boundary of the corresponding symTO \mathcal{M} . [16, 17, 90] Thus the gaplessness of the state is directly associated with the non-triviality of unbroken symTO \mathcal{M} . This supports the idea that a gapless state is characterized by its symTO \mathcal{M} .

However, the correspondence between the gapless state and its symTO \mathcal{M} is not one-to-one. This is because the same topological order \mathcal{M} can have many different 1-condensed boundaries, which leads to many different gapless states with the same symTO. These gapless states have varying numbers of gapless excitations. A gapless state with more gapless excitations may have a large emergent symmetry, *i.e.* may be a 1-condensed boundary of a larger symTO. This leads to the notion of maximal symTO \mathcal{M}_{\max} for the gapless state: it is the largest topological order in one higher dimension which has a 1-condensed boundary that has the same number of gapless excitations as that of the gapless state.

In this section, we will define the notion of maximal symTO, using Symm/TO correspondence. Consider an $n + 1$ D anomaly-free gapless conformal field theory,⁷

QFT_{af} , which is described by a single-component partition function Z^{af} . If QFT_{af} has some (emergent) symmetry, then we can decompose it as a stacking in Figs. 9 and 11:[5, 50]

$$QFT_{af} = QFT_{ano} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}, \quad (21)$$

to expose the (emergent) symmetry. Here QFT_{ano} is an anomalous field theory described by a multi-component partition function Z_a^{ano} . [6, 56] \mathcal{M} is the exposed emergent symTO and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ is a gapped boundary of \mathcal{M} describing the exposed emergent symmetry. The above decomposition has a meaning that the invariant partition function Z^{af} can be constructed from the multi-component partition function Z_a^{ano} , and the data describing the gapped bulk \mathcal{M} and the gapped boundary $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$. See next section for a detailed construction for 1+1D field theory.

The above decomposition is not unique. For a given QFT_{af} , we may have several decompositions (see Fig. 11):

$$QFT_{af} = QFT_{ano} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}} = QFT'_{ano} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}'} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}'. \quad (22)$$

Such different decompositions reveal different parts of the (emergent) symmetry of QFT_{af} . Here we have assumed that the bulks $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'$ and the boundaries $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, \tilde{\mathcal{R}}'$ have infinite energy gap and all the excitations on the boundaries QFT_{ano} and QFT'_{ano} have zero or finite energy gaps. These excitations, along with the possible degenerate ground states (*i.e.* the global excitations) from $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}', \tilde{\mathcal{R}},$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}'$, give rise to the finite energy excitations of QFT_{af} . In other words, we have assumed that QFT_{ano} is a 1-condensed boundary of \mathcal{M} or a nearly 1-condensed boundary of \mathcal{M} . By “nearly 1-condensed”, we mean that if QFT_{ano} is induced by some non-trivial condensations, these condensations are assumed to be weak and only lead to small energy gaps. Similarly, we have assumed that QFT'_{ano} is a 1-condensed boundary of \mathcal{M}' or a nearly 1-condensed boundary of \mathcal{M}' . The decomposition that gives rise to the *largest* \mathcal{M} reveals the maximal symTO in the state QFT_{af} .

In making the above statement, we define a symTO \mathcal{M} to be *larger* than symTO \mathcal{M}' if \mathcal{M} has a larger total quantum dimension. Let us review the notion of quantum dimension of topological orders. A topological order \mathcal{M} can have two kinds of excitations: elementary excitations and descendent excitations.[7] The descendent excitations are formed by the condensation of elementary excitations. Let us label all the elementary excitations by a . Each elementary excitation has a quantum dimension d_a describing the number of its internal degrees of freedom. For example, a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particle has a quantum dimension $d = 2$. The total quantum dimension of \mathcal{M} is $D^2 = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{M}} d_a^2$. So the maximal symTO corresponds to the topological order \mathcal{M} with the largest total quantum dimension. This leads to a definition of maximal symTO for an anomaly-free gapless state.

Using Fig. 11, we can give another definition of “larger” symTO, which is consistent with the above def-

⁷ In this paper, “field theory” is defined as a ground state along with all its low energy excitations. A field theory is anomaly-free if it can be realized by a lattice model. A “conformal field theory” is gapless with a linear dispersion with a single velocity.

initiation based on total quantum dimension. Since the symTOS are all in trivial Witt class (*i.e.* have gappable boundaries), there is a gapped domain wall \mathcal{D} between the two symTOS, \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M}' . The gapped domain wall \mathcal{D} can be viewed as a gapped boundary of $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{M}'}$. In other words, \mathcal{D} corresponds to a Lagrangian condensable algebra $\mathcal{A} = \oplus_{a,\bar{b}} A_{a,\bar{b}} a \otimes \bar{b}$ in $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{M}'}$, where a are elementary excitations in \mathcal{M} and \bar{b} are elementary excitations in $\overline{\mathcal{M}'}$. Now we can define that

\mathcal{M}' is **larger** than \mathcal{M} if there exists a domain wall \mathcal{D} , such that $A_{a,\bar{b}} = \begin{cases} \delta_{a,1} & \bar{b}=1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$.

In other words, \mathcal{D} can be viewed as a $\mathbb{1}$ -condensed boundary of \mathcal{M} . This is equivalent to say that \mathcal{M} is induced from \mathcal{M}' by condensing excitations in a condensable algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}'} = \oplus_{\bar{b}} A_{a=1,\bar{b}} \bar{b}$ in \mathcal{M}' : [90, 97]

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}' /_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}'}}. \quad (23)$$

Being able to compare some topological orders may help us define maximal symTO. However, among all possible emergent symTOS of a given system, the limit of increasingly large symTOS may not be unique. So in general, we will define maximal symTO formally:

The **maximal symTO** of a given system is defined formally as the collection of all possible emergent symTOS of that system.

If the limit of increasingly large symTOS is unique, we can use that limit to represent the maximal symTO. Otherwise, we need to use the whole collection of all possible emergent symTOS to represent the maximal symTO.

We also note that the three gapless states QFT_{af} , QFT_{ano} , QFT'_{ano} , are *local low energy equivalent* since they only differ by stacking gapped states with large energy gaps. Here, we propose that

the local-low-energy-equivalence classes of gapless liquid states are largely characterized by their emergent maximal symTOS.

In Ref. 85, the notion of projective symmetry group (PSG) was introduced to characterize gapless states (as well as gapped states). The maximal symTO is a much more improved version of PSG and can characterize a gapless state much more completely.

IV. EXAMPLES AND CONSTRUCTIONS OF MAXIMAL symTOS

In this section, we give some 1+1D examples of the Symm/TO correspondence described above. Anomaly-free 1+1D gapless states (*i.e.* CFTs) are described by modular invariant partition functions:

$$Z^{af}(\tau + 1) = Z^{af}(-1/\tau) = Z^{af}(\tau). \quad (24)$$




FIG. 12. A decomposition of an anomaly-free Ising critical point Is_{af} exposes an emergent $Vec_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ -symmetry (which is the ordinary symmetry \mathbb{Z}_2), as well as the emergent symTO: $\mathcal{M} = Gau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. The gapless boundary $Is_{Gau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}}$ is a $\mathbb{1}$ -condensed boundary of $Gau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$.

It is well known that a 1+1D rational CFT is closely related to a 2+1D topological quantum field theory [1, 40–42, 98]. Here, we will consider a different problem: we note that a 1+1D rational CFT can be related to many different 2+1D topological orders (*i.e.* we can focus on different emergent symTOS for a 1+1D rational CFT). We want to identify the maximal emergent symTO of a given CFT, and propose that the maximal emergent symTO largely determines the CFT. We also consider a related problem: given a symTO, what is the minimal CFT that has the symTO unbroken?

A. 1+1D Ising critical point

1. Modular invariant and modular covariant partition functions

As an example, let's consider the 1+1D CFT describing the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry breaking transition, denoted as Is_{af} , which has the following modular invariant partition function on a ring-like space [99]:

$$Z_{Is}^{af}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) = |\chi_0^{Is}(\tau)|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{Is}(\tau)|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{Is}(\tau)|^2 \quad (25)$$

where $\chi_h^{Is}(\tau)$ are the conformal characters of Ising CFT (the (4,3) minimal model), and the subscript h is the scaling dimension of the corresponding primary fields.

To reveal the emergent symTO, following Ref. 3, we restrict to the sub-Hilbert space of \mathbb{Z}_2 invariant states. Restricting to the symmetric Hilbert space converts the symmetry to the noninvertible gravitational anomaly, since the symmetric sub-Hilbert space $\mathcal{V}_{\text{symmetric}}$ does not have the tensor product decomposition $\mathcal{V}_{\text{symmetric}} \neq \otimes \mathcal{V}_i$, where \mathcal{V}_i is local Hilbert space on a site i . The partition function in symmetric sub-Hilbert space is given by

$$Z(\tau, \bar{\tau}) = |\chi_0^{Is}(\tau)|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{Is}(\tau)|^2 \quad (26)$$

which is not modular invariant, but it is part of 4-

component partition function [6]:

$$\begin{pmatrix} Z_{\mathbb{1}\text{-cnd};\mathbb{1}}^{\text{Gau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \\ Z_{\mathbb{1}\text{-cnd};e}^{\text{Gau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \\ Z_{\mathbb{1}\text{-cnd};m}^{\text{Gau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \\ Z_{\mathbb{1}\text{-cnd};f}^{\text{Gau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} |\chi_0^{\text{Is}}(\tau)|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}(\tau)|^2 \\ |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}(\tau)|^2 \\ |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}(\tau)|^2 \\ \chi_0^{\text{Is}}(\tau)\bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}(\tau) + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}(\tau)\bar{\chi}_0^{\text{Is}}(\tau) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (27)$$

which is modular covariant [3, 6, 40]

$$Z_a(\tau + 1) = T_{ab}Z_b(\tau), \quad Z_a(-1/\tau) = S_{ab}Z_b(\tau), \quad (28)$$

with the S, T matrices are given by

$$T^{\text{Gau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S^{\text{Gau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (29)$$

The above four-component partition function is the partition function of an anomalous CFT, denoted as $Is_{\text{Gau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}}$, which can be viewed as a gapless boundary of a 2+1D \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order $\text{Gau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ (see Fig. 12). The \mathbb{Z}_2 bulk topological order $\text{Gau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ has 4 types of excitations $\mathbb{1}, e, m, f$, where $\mathbb{1}, e, m$ are bosons and f is a fermion. e, m, f have π mutual statistics between them. They have the following fusion rule

$$e \otimes e = m \otimes m = f \otimes f = \mathbb{1}, \quad e \otimes m = f. \quad (30)$$

The above fusion rule implies the mod-2 conservation of e -particles, m -particles, and f -particles, which correspond to the three \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetries: the \mathbb{Z}_2^m symmetry (*i.e.* the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry) where e, f carry its charge; the \mathbb{Z}_2^e symmetry (*i.e.* the dual $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_2$ symmetry) where m, f carry its charge; the \mathbb{Z}_2^f symmetry where e, m carry its charge.

The \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order $\text{Gau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$, the symTO of the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry, is characterized by the S, T matrices in eqn. (29). We see that the S, T matrices for the topological order in one higher dimension constrain the partition function of 1+1D CFT via the modular covariance condition (28). This is how a symTO largely determines a gapless state.

The above results can also be obtained within 1+1D CFT, if we consider the following four partition functions with \mathbb{Z}_2 twisted boundary conditions [44],

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{++} &= \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}, & Z_{+-} &= \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \hline \end{array}, \\ Z_{-+} &= \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \hline \end{array}, & Z_{--} &= \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \hline \hline \end{array}, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where the vertical direction is the time direction. We find

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{++}(\tau) &= |\chi_0^{\text{Is}}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}|^2 \\ Z_{+-}(\tau) &= |\chi_0^{\text{Is}}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}|^2 - |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}|^2 \\ Z_{-+}(\tau) &= |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}|^2 + \chi_0^{\text{Is}}\bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}\bar{\chi}_0^{\text{Is}} \\ Z_{--}(\tau) &= |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}|^2 - \chi_0^{\text{Is}}\bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} - \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}\bar{\chi}_0^{\text{Is}} \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

In the G -symmetry-twist basis of partition functions, the S and T matrix for modular transformation is

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{g',h'}(-1/\tau) &= S_{(g',h'),(g,h)}Z_{g,h}(\tau), \\ Z_{g',h'}(\tau+1) &= T_{(g',h'),(g,h)}Z_{g,h}(\tau), \\ Z_{g',h'}(\tau) &= R_{(g',h'),(g,h)}(u)Z_{g,h}(\tau), \\ S_{(g',h'),(g,h)} &= \delta_{(g',h'),(h^{-1},g)}, \\ T_{(g',h'),(g,h)} &= \delta_{(g',h'),(g,hg)}, \\ R_{(g',h'),(g,h)}(u) &= \delta_{(g',h'),(ugu^{-1},uhu^{-1})}, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where

$$g, h, g', h' \in G, \quad gh = hg, \quad g'h' = h'g', \quad (34)$$

describe the symmetry twists of the symmetry group G . For $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{+, -\}$, we find

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R = 1. \quad (35)$$

This way, we can obtain modular covariant multi-component partition functions for 1+1D CFTs with symmetry. Including the symmetry twist and considering modular covariant multi-component partition functions is a way to expose the symmetry in a CFT. The modular invariant single component partition function corresponds to a point of view of ignoring the symmetry.

We note that each component of the partition function is a polynomial of $q = e^{i2\pi\tau}$ and \bar{q} , times a factor $q^{-\frac{c}{24} + h}\bar{q}^{-\frac{\bar{c}}{24} + \bar{h}}$. Here c, \bar{c} are the central charges for the right movers and left movers, and h, \bar{h} are the right and left scaling dimensions of the primary fields of the corresponding sector. We can choose a different basis where the expansion coefficients are all non-negative integers. Such a basis is the so-called quasiparticle basis:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\mathbb{1}\text{-cnd};\mathbb{1}}^{\text{Gau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}} &= \frac{Z_{++} + Z_{+-}}{2}, & Z_{\mathbb{1}\text{-cnd};e}^{\text{Gau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}} &= \frac{Z_{++} - Z_{+-}}{2} \\ Z_{\mathbb{1}\text{-cnd};m}^{\text{Gau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}} &= \frac{Z_{-+} + Z_{--}}{2}, & Z_{\mathbb{1}\text{-cnd};f}^{\text{Gau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}} &= \frac{Z_{-+} - Z_{--}}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

The partition functions in the quasiparticle basis [6] are given by eqn. (27), and transform as eqn. (28), with S, T given by eqn. (29). Note that T is always diagonal in the quasiparticle basis.

This example demonstrates how to convert a symmetry to a noninvertible gravitational anomaly (characterized by the S, T matrices for the topological order in one higher dimension). We can view a global symmetry as a noninvertible gravitational anomaly, *i.e.* as a topological order in one higher dimension. Viewing the CFT at the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry breaking transition as the gapless boundary of 2+1D \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order, not only allows us to see the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry, it also allows us to see two additional symmetries, $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_2$ and \mathbb{Z}_2^f .

2. The decomposition in terms of partition functions

Using this explicit example, we can explain the decomposition (see Fig. 12)

$$Is_{af} = Is_{\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}} = Is_{\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}} \mathcal{V}ec_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \quad (37)$$

in more detail. The decomposition reveals an emergent \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry which is described by the fusion 1-category $\tilde{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{V}ec_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ (formed by the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry defect m) [3, 100].

A gapped boundary is described by τ independent multi-component partition function Z_a^{gapped} which is modular covariant

$$Z_a^{\text{gapped}} = T_{ab} Z_b^{\text{gapped}}, \quad Z_a^{\text{gapped}} = S_{ab} Z_b^{\text{gapped}}, \quad (38)$$

The gapped boundary $\tilde{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{V}ec_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ in Fig. 12 is an e -condensed boundary (so that the boundary excitations are given by m 's). Such a $\mathcal{V}ec_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ -boundary is described by the following constant multi-component partition function

$$\begin{pmatrix} Z_{e\text{-cnd};1}^{\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}} \\ Z_{e\text{-cnd};e}^{\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}} \\ Z_{e\text{-cnd};m}^{\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}} \\ Z_{e\text{-cnd};f}^{\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (39)$$

where $Z_{e\text{-cnd};e}^{\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}} = 1$ indicates the e -condensation, and $Z_{e\text{-cnd};1}^{\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}} = 1$ indicates the 1 -condensation on the boundary. In fact the trivial particle 1 always condenses on the boundary and $Z_{e\text{-cnd};1}^{\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}}$ is always a positive integer, describing the ground state degeneracy of the boundary. Now, the formal decomposition $Is_{af} = Is_{\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}} \mathcal{V}ec_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ have an explicit meaning

$$Z_{Is}^{af}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) = \sum_{a=\{1,e,m,f\}} Z_{1\text{-cnd};a}^{\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \left(Z_{e\text{-cnd};a}^{\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}} \right)^*. \quad (40)$$

The Ising critical point Is_{af} has another decomposition (see Fig. 13)

$$Is_{af} = Is_{\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}} = Is_{\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}} \mathcal{R}ep_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \quad (41)$$




FIG. 13. The second decomposition of an anomaly-free Ising critical point Is_{af} exposes an emergent $\mathcal{R}ep_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ -symmetry (which is the dual-symmetry $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_2$), as well as the emergent symTO: $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}$. The gapless boundary $Is_{\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}}$ is a 1 -condensed boundary of $\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}$.

This second decomposition reveals an emergent $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_2$ symmetry which is the dual of the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry and is described by the fusion 1-category $\tilde{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{R}ep_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ (formed by the \mathbb{Z}_2 charges e).

The gapped boundary $\tilde{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{R}ep_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ in Fig. 13 is an m -condensed boundary (so that the boundary excitations are given by e 's). Such a $\mathcal{R}ep_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ -boundary is described by the following constant multi-component partition function

$$\begin{pmatrix} Z_{m\text{-cnd};1}^{\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}} \\ Z_{m\text{-cnd};e}^{\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}} \\ Z_{m\text{-cnd};m}^{\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}} \\ Z_{m\text{-cnd};f}^{\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (42)$$

where $Z_{m\text{-cnd};m}^{\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}} = 1$ indicates the m -condensation on the boundary. The formal decomposition $Is_{af} = Is_{\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}} \mathcal{R}ep_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ implies the following relation between partition functions:

$$Z_{Is}^{af}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) = \sum_{a=\{1,e,m,f\}} Z_{1\text{-cnd};a}^{\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \left(Z_{m\text{-cnd};a}^{\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}} \right)^*. \quad (43)$$

From the above discussion, we see that for each gapped boundary, we can construct a modular invariant partition function. This relation between modular invariant partition functions and gapped boundaries (*i.e.* Lagrangian condensable algebras) was noticed before, in Refs. 101 and 102, but was very mysterious at the time. Now, within the framework of Symm/TO correspondence, it becomes very natural.

3. Maximal symTO

The above two decompositions only reveal emergent \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry or dual \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry. Their associated symTO is $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}$. However, $\mathcal{G}_{\text{auz}_2}$ is not the maximal symTO. The Ising critical point also has an \mathbb{Z}_2^{em} symmetry that exchange e and m , which is not included in the




FIG. 14. The third decomposition of an anomaly-free Ising critical point I_{af} exposes the maximal emergent symTO: $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{\text{dIs}}$, as well as the emergent $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Is}}$ -symmetry. The $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Is}}$ -symmetry is a noninvertible symmetry with noninvertible anomaly.

symTO $\text{Gau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. To reveal the emergent maximal symTO, we need to consider another decomposition [3, 54, 55]

$$I_{\text{af}} = I_{\text{dIs}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dIs}}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Is}}. \quad (44)$$

Here $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dIs}} = \mathcal{M}_{\text{Is}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Is}}$ is the 1+2D double-Ising topological order, which has 9 anyons labeled by (h, \bar{h}) , $h, \bar{h} = 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16}$. $h = 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16}$ correspond to the three anyons $\mathbb{1}, \psi, \sigma$ in the Ising topological order \mathcal{M}_{Is} :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{anyons : } & \mathbb{1} \quad \psi \quad \sigma \\ d_a : & \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad \sqrt{2} \\ h_a : & \quad 0 \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{16} \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where d_a is the quantum dimension and h_a is the topological spin of the corresponding anyon.

I_{dIs} is an anomalous CFT (a $\mathbb{1}$ -condensed boundary of \mathcal{M}_{dIs}) which is described by the following multi-component partition function, one component for each anyon (h, \bar{h}) :

$$Z_{\mathbb{1}\text{-cnd};(h,\bar{h})}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dIs}}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) = \chi_h^{\text{Is}}(\tau) \bar{\chi}_{\bar{h}}^{\text{Is}}(\bar{\tau}), \quad h, \bar{h} \in \left\{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16}\right\}. \quad (46)$$

$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Is}}$ is the gapped boundary of \mathcal{M}_{dIs} which is described by the following modular covariant multi-component constant partition function:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Is}};(0,0)}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dIs}}} &= Z_{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Is}};(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dIs}}} = Z_{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Is}};(\frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{16})}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dIs}}} = 1, \\ \text{others} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

In fact, $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Is}}$ is the fusion 1-category formed by $\mathbb{1}, \psi, \sigma$. The relation between partition functions

$$Z_{I_{\text{af}}}^{\text{af}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) = \sum_{h, \bar{h}} Z_{\mathbb{1}\text{-cnd};(h,\bar{h})}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dIs}}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \left(Z_{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Is}};(h,\bar{h})}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dIs}}} \right)^* \quad (48)$$

confirms the decomposition $I_{\text{af}} = I_{\text{dIs}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dIs}}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Is}}$.

Let us note that $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Is}}$ is not a local fusion 1-category, since there is no *dual* local fusion 1-category $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ that satisfies $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Is}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dIs}}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{V}\text{ec}$. σ in $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Is}}$ having a non-integral

quantum dimension $\sqrt{2}$ also implies that $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Is}}$ is not a local fusion 1-category.[45] Therefore, $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Is}}$ does not describe an anomaly-free noninvertible symmetry. Thus,

the decomposition $I_{\text{af}} = I_{\text{dIs}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dIs}}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Is}}$ reveals an emergence of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Is}}$ -symmetry and \mathcal{M}_{dIs} symTO, for the Ising critical point. However, there is no emergent anomaly-free noninvertible symmetry for this emergent symTO.

This is an interesting example, where anomaly-free symmetry can no longer properly describe the emergent symmetry, even after including those that are beyond group and higher group. One may use such an anomalous symmetry to properly describe the emergent symmetry. Note that the concept of anomaly for noninvertible symmetry is quite subtle. In Ref. 5, the authors provided a definition of an *invertible anomaly*, according to which the $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Is}}$ -symmetry above is beyond such invertible anomaly. This example demonstrates the richness of emergent symmetries, which can be noninvertible with noninvertible anomalies. On the other hand, symTO is a simple, unified, and systematic way to describe the most general emergent symmetry.

Even though the $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Is}}$ -symmetry has a noninvertible anomaly, one can still consistently describe its symmetry transformations. The associated symmetry defects are described by the fusion category $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Is}}$. Let us discuss these symmetry transformations in the slab model (see Fig. 14) discussed in Section II G, which realizes the emergent $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Is}}$ -symmetry. The symmetry transformations are given by string operators in the bulk that create a pair of anyon-anti-anyons. There are nine such string operators which are denoted as $O_{\text{str}}(a, a^*)$, corresponding to the nine types of anyons of the double-Ising topological order: $a = \mathbb{1}, \psi, \sigma, \bar{\psi}, \bar{\sigma}, \psi\bar{\psi}, \psi\bar{\sigma}, \sigma\bar{\psi}, \sigma\bar{\sigma}$.

However, the string operators $O_{\text{str}}(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})$, $O_{\text{str}}(\psi\bar{\psi}, \psi\bar{\psi})$, $O_{\text{str}}(\sigma\bar{\sigma}, \sigma\bar{\sigma})$, do not generate symmetry transformations; they correspond to patch charge operators (in the language of Ref. 13). This is because the anyons they create, $\mathbb{1}, \psi\bar{\psi}$, and $\sigma\bar{\sigma}$, condense on the $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Is}}$ boundary.

The non-trivial patch symmetry operators are given by $O_{\text{str}}(\psi, \psi)$ and $O_{\text{str}}(\sigma, \sigma)$, which correspond to the \mathbb{Z}_2^f symmetry and the \mathbb{Z}_2^{em} symmetry mentioned before. Since ψ and σ are not bosons, the symmetry generated by $O_{\text{str}}(\psi, \psi)$ and $O_{\text{str}}(\sigma, \sigma)$ are anomalous. The symmetry \mathbb{Z}_2^f generated by $O_{\text{str}}(\psi, \psi)$ is invertible since $\psi \otimes \psi = \mathbb{1}$. The symmetry \mathbb{Z}_2^{em} generated by $O_{\text{str}}(\sigma, \sigma)$ is noninvertible since $\sigma \otimes \sigma = \mathbb{1} \oplus \psi$.

Let us note that the Ising model at the critical coupling

$$H = - \sum_i (Z_i Z_{i+1} + X_i) \quad (49)$$

and the closely related Majorana fermion model (117) realize the \mathbb{Z}_2^f and \mathbb{Z}_2^{em} symmetries, but only in the low energy limit. The \mathbb{Z}_2^{em} is realized via lattice translation in the Majorana model (117). This is different from the

slab lattice model Fig. 14, where the \mathbb{Z}_2^{em} symmetry transformation does not involve translation. So the slab lattice model Fig. 14 is quite different from the model (49).

B. 1+1D critical points for models with G symmetry or dual \tilde{G} symmetry

1. Two 1+1D lattice models with group-like symmetry G and algebraic symmetry \tilde{G}

We consider two 1+1D lattice models on a ring, where lattice sites are labeled by i , the links labeled by ij . In the first model, the physical degrees of freedom live on the vertices and are labeled by group elements g of a finite group G . The many-body Hilbert space is spanned in the following local basis

$$|\{g_i\}\rangle, \quad g_i \in G. \quad (50)$$

The Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_G = -J \sum_i f(g_i g_{i+1}^{-1}) - \sum_i \sum_{h \in G} L_h(i), \quad (51)$$

where $f(g)$ is a positive function that is peaked at $g = \text{id}$. Also, the operator $L_h(i)$ is given by

$$L_h(i)|g_1, \dots, g_i, \dots, g_N\rangle = |g_1, \dots, h g_i, \dots, g_N\rangle. \quad (52)$$

The Hamiltonian H_G has an on-site G symmetry

$$U_h H_G = H_G U_h, \quad U_h = \prod_i L_h(i). \quad (53)$$

We see that when $J \gg 1$, H_G is in the symmetry breaking phase, and when $J \ll 1$, H_G is in the symmetric phase.

The second bosonic lattice model has degrees of freedom living on the links. On an oriented link ij pointing from i -site to j site, the degrees of freedom are labeled by $g_{ij} \in G$. The many-body Hilbert space has the following local basis

$$|\{g_{ij}\}\rangle, \quad g_{ij} \in G. \quad (54)$$

Here, g_{ij} 's on links with opposite orientations satisfy

$$g_{ij} = g_{ji}^{-1}. \quad (55)$$

The second model is related to the first model. A state $|g_1, \dots, g_i, \dots, g_N\rangle$ in the first model is mapped to a state $|\dots, g_{i,i+1}, \dots\rangle$ in the second model where $g_{i,i+1} = g_i g_{i+1}^{-1}$.

This connection allows us to design the Hamiltonian of the second model as

$$H_{\tilde{G}} = -J \sum_i f(g_{i,i+1}) - \sum_i \sum_{h \in G} Q_h(i), \quad (56)$$




FIG. 15. A decomposition of an anomaly-free critical point CFT_{af} of model H_G (51), exposes an emergent symmetry G , as well as the emergent symTO: $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{G}au_G$. The symmetry G is described by $\tilde{\mathcal{R}} = \text{Vec}_G$ for the fusion of the symmetry defects.

where the star term $Q_h(i)$ acts on the two links $(i, i+1)$ and $(i-1, i)$:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_h(i) |\dots, g_{i-1,i}, g_{i,i+1}, \dots\rangle \\ = |\dots, g_{i-1,i} h^{-1}, h g_{i,i+1}, \dots\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

The second model has an algebraic symmetry, denoted as \tilde{G} [5],

$$W_q H_{\tilde{G}} = H_{\tilde{G}} W_q, \quad W_q = \text{Tr} \prod_i R_q(g_{i,i+1}), \quad (58)$$

where R_q is an irreducible representation (irrep) of G . We see that the algebraic symmetry \tilde{G} is generated by the Wilson loop operators W_q , for all irrep q . We note that the algebraic symmetry \tilde{G} is different from the usual symmetry characterized by a group G , when G is non-Abelian. However, when G is Abelian, the dual symmetry \tilde{G} reduces to a group-like symmetry, and is isomorphic to G .

2. Critical points and their holographic picture

Let us assume that for an appropriately chosen function $f(g)$, the model H_G has a continuous spontaneous symmetry breaking transition at $J = J_c$. Due to the duality, the model $H_{\tilde{G}}$ also has a continuous transition at $J = J_c$. What are the partition functions for these two critical points?

Both the symmetry G and the dual algebraic symmetry \tilde{G} have the same symTO, given by 2+1D G -gauge theory $\mathcal{G}au_G$ (see Table II for $G = S_3$). [3, 5] Therefore the critical point in model H_G , denoted as CFT_{af} , is given by Fig. 15. This is because the symmetry G is the Vec_G -symmetry, which leads to Fig. 15. On the other hand, the critical point in model $H_{\tilde{G}}$, denoted as CFT'_{af} , is given by Fig. 16. This is because the algebraic symmetry \tilde{G} is the Rep_G -symmetry, which leads to Fig. 16.

The anomalous gapless boundary CFT_{ano} in Fig. 15 and 16 is a 1-condensed boundary of $\mathcal{G}au_G$. For $G = S_3$,

TABLE II. The point-like excitations and their fusion rules in 2+1D Gau_{S_3} topological order (*i.e.* S_3 gauge theory with charge excitations). The S_3 group is generated by $(1, 2)$ and $(1, 2, 3)$. Here $\mathbf{1}$ is the trivial excitation. a_1 and a_2 are pure S_3 charge excitations, where a_1 corresponds to the 1-dimensional sign irreducible representation (irrep), and a_2 the 2-dimensional irrep of S_3 . b and c are pure S_3 flux excitations, where b corresponds to the conjugacy class $\{(1, 2, 3), (1, 3, 2)\}$, and c conjugacy class $\{(1, 2), (2, 3), (1, 3)\}$. b_1, b_2 , and c_1 are charge-flux bound states. d, s are the quantum dimension and the topological spin of an excitation.

d, s	1, 0	1, 0	2, 0	2, 0	2, $\frac{1}{3}$	2, $-\frac{1}{3}$	3, 0	3, $\frac{1}{2}$
\otimes	$\mathbf{1}$	a_1	a_2	b	b_1	b_2	c	c_1
$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	a_1	a_2	b	b_1	b_2	c	c_1
a_1	a_1	$\mathbf{1}$	a_2	b	b_1	b_2	c_1	c
a_2	a_2	a_2	$\mathbf{1} \oplus a_1 \oplus a_2$	$b_1 \oplus b_2$	$b \oplus b_2$	$b \oplus b_1$	$c \oplus c_1$	$c \oplus c_1$
b	b	b	$b_1 \oplus b_2$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus a_1 \oplus b$	$b_2 \oplus a_2$	$b_1 \oplus a_2$	$c \oplus c_1$	$c \oplus c_1$
b_1	b_1	b_1	$b \oplus b_2$	$b_2 \oplus a_2$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus a_1 \oplus b_1$	$b \oplus a_2$	$c \oplus c_1$	$c \oplus c_1$
b_2	b_2	b_2	$b \oplus b_1$	$b_1 \oplus a_2$	$b \oplus a_2$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus a_1 \oplus b_2$	$c \oplus c_1$	$c \oplus c_1$
c	c	c_1	$c \oplus c_1$	$c \oplus c_1$	$c \oplus c_1$	$c \oplus c_1$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus a_2 \oplus b \oplus b_1 \oplus b_2$	$a_1 \oplus a_2 \oplus b \oplus b_1 \oplus b_2$
c_1	c_1	c	$c \oplus c_1$	$c \oplus c_1$	$c \oplus c_1$	$c \oplus c_1$	$a_1 \oplus a_2 \oplus b \oplus b_1 \oplus b_2$	$\mathbf{1} \oplus a_2 \oplus b \oplus b_1 \oplus b_2$




FIG. 16. A decomposition of an anomaly-free critical point CFT'_{af} of model $H_{\tilde{G}}$ (56), exposes an emergent algebraic symmetry \tilde{G} , as well as the emergent symTO: $\mathcal{M} = \text{Gau}_G$. The algebraic symmetry \tilde{G} is described by $\tilde{\mathcal{R}} = \text{Rep}_{\tilde{G}}$ for the fusion of the symmetry defects.

it is described by the following multi component partition function, labeled by the anyons in Gau_{S_3} :

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{\text{1-cnd}; \mathbf{1}}^{\text{Gau}_{S_3}} &= |\chi_0^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_3^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{2}{5}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6}|^2 \\
Z_{\text{1-cnd}; a_1}^{\text{Gau}_{S_3}} &= \chi_0^{m6} \bar{\chi}_3^{m6} + \chi_3^{m6} \bar{\chi}_0^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{2}{5}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{5}}^{m6} \\
Z_{\text{1-cnd}; a_2}^{\text{Gau}_{S_3}} &= |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6}|^2 \\
Z_{\text{1-cnd}; b}^{\text{Gau}_{S_3}} &= |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6}|^2 \\
Z_{\text{1-cnd}; b_1}^{\text{Gau}_{S_3}} &= \chi_0^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} + \chi_3^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{2}{5}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6} \\
Z_{\text{1-cnd}; b_2}^{\text{Gau}_{S_3}} &= \chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_0^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_3^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{5}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6} \\
Z_{\text{1-cnd}; c}^{\text{Gau}_{S_3}} &= |\chi_{\frac{1}{8}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{13}{8}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{40}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{21}{40}}^{m6}|^2 \\
Z_{\text{1-cnd}; c_1}^{\text{Gau}_{S_3}} &= \chi_{\frac{1}{8}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{13}{8}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{13}{8}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{8}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{1}{40}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{21}{40}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{21}{40}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{40}}^{m6}.
\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

where $\chi_h^{m6}(\tau)$ is the conformal character of $(6, 5)$ minimal model, and h is the scaling dimension of the corresponding primary field. The $(6, 5)$ minimal model has a central

charge $c = \frac{4}{5}$. We can see that the above boundary is $\mathbf{1}$ -condensed, because only the $\mathbf{1}$ -component of the partition function contains the conformal character $|\chi_0^{m6}|^2$ for the identity primary field.[90]

The gapped boundary Vec_{S_3} in Fig. 15 is induced by condensing the condensable algebra $\mathcal{A}_c = \mathbf{1} \oplus a_1 \oplus 2a_2$ formed by S_3 charges. It is described by the following multi component partition function:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{\text{Vec}_{S_3}; \mathbf{1}}^{\text{Gau}_{S_3}} &= 1, \\
Z_{\text{Vec}_{S_3}; a_1}^{\text{Gau}_{S_3}} &= 1, \\
Z_{\text{Vec}_{S_3}; a_2}^{\text{Gau}_{S_3}} &= 2, \text{ and} \\
Z_{\text{Vec}_{S_3}; \alpha}^{\text{Gau}_{S_3}} &= 0, \text{ for } \alpha = b, b_1, b_2, c, c_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

The gapped boundary Rep_{S_3} in Fig. 16 is induced by condensing the condensable algebra $\mathcal{A}_f = \mathbf{1} \oplus b \oplus c$ formed by S_3 flux. It is described by the following multi component partition function:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{\text{Rep}_{S_3}; \mathbf{1}}^{\text{Gau}_{S_3}} &= 1, \\
Z_{\text{Rep}_{S_3}; b}^{\text{Gau}_{S_3}} &= 1, \\
Z_{\text{Rep}_{S_3}; c}^{\text{Gau}_{S_3}} &= 1, \text{ and} \\
Z_{\text{Rep}_{S_3}; \alpha}^{\text{Gau}_{S_3}} &= 0, \text{ for } \alpha = a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

The critical point in the G -symmetric model H_G is given by CFT_{af} in Fig. 15 via the decomposition $CFT_{af} = CFT_{ano} \boxtimes_{\text{Gau}_{S_3}} \text{Vec}_{S_3}$. Thus the modular invariant partition function for CFT_{af} is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{af} &= \sum_{\alpha} Z_{\text{1-cnd}; \alpha}^{\text{Gau}_{S_3}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \left(Z_{\text{Vec}_{S_3}; \alpha}^{\text{Gau}_{S_3}} \right)^* \\
&= |\chi_0^{m6} + \chi_3^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{2}{5}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6}|^2 + 2|\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6}|^2 + 2|\chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6}|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

The critical point in the \tilde{G} -symmetric model $H_{\tilde{G}}$ is given by CFT'_{af} in Fig. 16 via the decomposition $CFT'_{af} = CFT_{ano} \boxtimes_{\text{Gau}_{S_3}} \text{Rep}_{S_3}$. Thus the modular invariant partition function for CFT'_{af} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z'_{af} &= \sum_{\alpha} Z_{\mathbf{1}\text{-cnd};\alpha}^{\text{Gau}_{S_3}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \left(Z_{\text{Rep}_{S_3};\alpha}^{\text{Gau}_{S_3}} \right)^* \\ &= |\chi_0^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_3^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{5}{2}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6}|^2 \\ &\quad + |\chi_{\frac{1}{8}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{13}{8}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{40}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{21}{40}}^{m6}|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

Through the above examples, we see that the holographic picture of emergent symmetry Fig. 2 can give rise to concrete partition functions for the critical point in the models H_G and $H_{\tilde{G}}$. The different choices of the gapped boundary $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ give rise to lattice models with different dual symmetries on the other boundary (cf. Fig. 10). Although the partition functions for the model H_G and model $H_{\tilde{G}}$ are different, the partition function for the G -symmetric sub-Hilbert space of model H_G and the partition function for the \tilde{G} -symmetric sub-Hilbert space of the model $H_{\tilde{G}}$ are the same, and both are given by the $\mathbf{1}$ -component of the multi-component partition function

$$Z_{\mathbf{1}\text{-cnd};\mathbf{1}}^{\text{Gau}_{S_3}} = |\chi_0^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_3^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{5}{2}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6}|^2. \quad (64)$$

This implies that the model H_G and the model $H_{\tilde{G}}$ are identical within the respective symmetric sub-Hilbert space. In other words, the model H_G and the model $H_{\tilde{G}}$ are holo-equivalent (*i.e.* local low energy equivalent).

In addition to $\mathcal{A}_c = \mathbf{1} \oplus a_1 \oplus 2a_2$, $\mathcal{A}_f = \mathbf{1} \oplus b \oplus c$, the S_3 -gauge theory Gau_{S_3} also has two other Lagrangian condensable algebras: $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_c = \mathbf{1} \oplus a_1 \oplus 2b$, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_f = \mathbf{1} \oplus a_2 \oplus c$. We note that the S_3 -gauge theory Gau_{S_3} has an automorphism that exchanges a_2 and b . The condensable algebras $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_c$, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_f$ are generated from \mathcal{A}_c , \mathcal{A}_f through the automorphism. Thus, we denote the boundary induced by $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_c$ -condensation as $\widetilde{\text{Vec}}_G$, and the boundary induced by $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_f$ -condensation as $\widetilde{\text{Rep}}_G$. Replacing the gapped boundaries Vec_G and Rep_G in Fig. 15 and 16 by $\widetilde{\text{Vec}}_G$ and $\widetilde{\text{Rep}}_G$ will give us two other lattice models, denoted as \tilde{H}_G and $\tilde{H}_{\tilde{G}}$. All the four lattice models H_G , $H_{\tilde{G}}$, \tilde{H}_G , and $\tilde{H}_{\tilde{G}}$ are local low energy equivalent.

Because the two boundaries Vec_G and $\widetilde{\text{Vec}}_G$ are related by an automorphism, we believe that we can choose a proper lattice regularization such that H_G and \tilde{H}_G have the same form, *i.e.* the lattice model is self-dual under the $a_2 \leftrightarrow b$ exchange. Similarly, we believe that we can choose a proper lattice regularization such that $H_{\tilde{G}}$ and $\tilde{H}_{\tilde{G}}$ have the same form. This is analogous to the Kramers-Wannier self-duality of the Ising model.

3. Maximal symTO

The emergent symTO Gau_{S_3} for the critical points of the four models, H_G , $H_{\tilde{G}}$, \tilde{H}_G and $\tilde{H}_{\tilde{G}}$, is not the maximal symTO. From the expression of partition function for the critical point, we see that the maximal symTO is given by the double (6,5)-minimal model: $\mathcal{M}_{dm6} = \mathcal{M}_{m6} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{m6}$, where \mathcal{M}_{m6} the topological order of single (6,5)-minimal model with the following set of anyons:

anyons $(s, r) :$	(1, 1)	(2, 1)	(3, 1)	(4, 1)	(5, 1)	(1, 2)	(2, 2)	(3, 2)	(4, 2)	(5, 2)
$d_{(s,r)} :$	1	$\sqrt{3}$	2	$\sqrt{3}$	1	$\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$	$\frac{\sqrt{15}+\sqrt{3}}{2}$	$1+\sqrt{5}$	$\frac{\sqrt{15}+\sqrt{3}}{2}$	$\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$
$h_{(s,r)} :$	0	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{13}{8}$	3	$\frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{1}{40}$	$\frac{1}{15}$	$\frac{21}{40}$	$\frac{7}{5}$

(65)

where we label anyons by (s, r) , $s = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $r = 1, 2$. In general, for the (p, q) -minimal model, the prime fields are labeled by (s, r) with $1 \leq s \leq p-1$, $1 \leq r \leq q-1$, and the identification $(s, r) = (q-r, p-s)$. The scaling dimensions of the corresponding primary fields are given by

$$h_{s,r} = \frac{(pr - qs)^2 - (p - q)^2}{4pq}. \quad (66)$$

The fusion rule is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &(s_1 + 1, r_1 + 1) \otimes (s_2 + 1, r_2 + 1) \\ &= \bigoplus_{r_3 \stackrel{2}{=} |r_1 - r_2|}^{\min(r_1 + r_2, 2q - r_1 - r_2 - 4)} \bigoplus_{s_3 \stackrel{2}{=} |s_1 - s_2|}^{\min(s_1 + s_2, 2p - s_1 - s_2 - 4)} (s_3 + 1, r_3 + 1) \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

We see that the fusion rule has a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ grading if both p and q are even, and a \mathbb{Z}_2 grading if one of p and q is even. In particular, the unitary minimal models all have a \mathbb{Z}_2 grading (see Appendix A).

Using the conformal characters of the (6,5)-minimal model, $\chi_{s,r}^{m6}(\tau) = \chi_{h_{s,r}}^{m6}(\tau)$, we can construct two modular

invariant partition functions

$$\begin{aligned} Z'_{af} &= \sum_{s,r} |\chi_{s,r}^{m6}(\tau)|^2 \\ &= |\chi_0^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{8}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{13}{8}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_3^{m6}|^2 \\ &\quad + |\chi_{\frac{5}{2}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{40}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{21}{40}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6}|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{af} &= \sum_{s=\text{odd}, r} |\chi_{s,r}^{m6}(\tau)|^2 + \sum_{s=\text{odd}, r} \chi_{s,r}^{m6}(\tau) \bar{\chi}_{6-s,r}^{m6}(\bar{\tau}) \\ &= |\chi_0^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_3^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{2}{5}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6}|^2 \\ &\quad + \chi_0^{m6} \bar{\chi}_3^{m6} + |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6}|^2 + \chi_3^{m6} \bar{\chi}_0^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{2}{5}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6} \\ &\quad + |\chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6}|^2 + \chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6} \bar{\chi}_{\frac{2}{5}}^{m6} \\ &= |\chi_0^{m6} + \chi_3^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{2}{5}}^{m6} + \chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6}|^2 + 2|\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6}|^2 + 2|\chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6}|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

These modular invariant partition functions happen to describe the critical points of the model $H_{\tilde{G}}$ and the model H_G , respectively.

The partition function Z'_{af} is obtained by choosing the gapped boundary $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ in Fig. 2 to be described by the following constant multi-component partition function

$$Z_{h,h'}^{\mathcal{M}_{dm6}} = \delta_{h,h'}, \quad h, h' \in \left\{ 0, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{13}{8}, 3, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{40}, \frac{1}{15}, \frac{21}{40}, \frac{7}{5} \right\} \quad (70)$$

The partition function Z_{af} is obtained by choosing the gapped boundary $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ to be described by

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{0,0}^{\mathcal{M}_{dm6}} &= Z_{3,3}^{\mathcal{M}_{dm6}} = Z_{0,3}^{\mathcal{M}_{dm6}} = Z_{3,0}^{\mathcal{M}_{dm6}} = Z_{\frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}}^{\mathcal{M}_{dm6}} \\ &= Z_{\frac{7}{5}, \frac{7}{5}}^{\mathcal{M}_{dm6}} = Z_{\frac{2}{5}, \frac{7}{5}}^{\mathcal{M}_{dm6}} = Z_{\frac{7}{5}, \frac{2}{5}}^{\mathcal{M}_{dm6}} = 1, \\ Z_{\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}}^{\mathcal{M}_{dm6}} &= Z_{\frac{1}{15}, \frac{1}{15}}^{\mathcal{M}_{dm6}} = 2, \quad \text{and other } Z_{h,h'}^{\mathcal{M}_{dm6}} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

The above two gapped boundaries are not described by local fusion 1-category. Thus, the critical points in the four models, H_G , $H_{\tilde{G}}$, \tilde{H}_G and $\tilde{H}_{\tilde{G}}$, have the same emergent maximal symTO $\mathcal{M}_{dm6} = \mathcal{M}_{m6} \boxtimes \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{m6}$, without the associated emergent anomaly-free symmetry.

C. Gapless states with anomalous S_3 symmetry

In this subsection, we consider 1+1D gapless states with anomalous S_3 symmetry. The 1+1D anomalous S_3 symmetries are classified by $H^3(S_3; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_6$. [24] We label these anomalies by $S_3^{(m)}$, $m \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. The symTO for an anomalous $S_3^{(m)}$ symmetry is given by a topological order $\text{Gau}_{S_3}^{(m)}$ that is described in the IR limit by the 2+1D Dijkgraaf-Witten gauge theory [103] coupled to gauge charges. Note that the time reversal conjugate of an anomalous symmetry

$S_3^{(m)}$ is another anomalous symmetry $S_3^{(-m \bmod 6)}$, so we only need to focus on half of these six possible anomalies.

In the following, we study the modular invariant partition function for the gapless states that have the above symmetries, along with the corresponding emergent maximal symTOs.

1. Anomalous $S_3^{(1)}$ symmetry

A gapless state for a lattice system with anomalous $S_3^{(1)}$ symmetry has the following decomposition

$$CFT_{af} = CFT_{ano} \boxtimes_{\text{Gau}_{S_3}^{(1)}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}, \quad (72)$$

where the symTO $\text{Gau}_{S_3}^{(1)}$ (i.e. the 2+1D $\text{Gau}_{S_3}^{(1)}$ topological order) has anyons given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{anyons : } & \mathbb{1} \quad a_1 \quad a_2 \quad b \quad b_1 \quad b_2 \quad c \quad c_1 \\ d_a : & \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 2 \quad 2 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 3 \\ s_a : & \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad \frac{1}{9} \quad \frac{4}{9} \quad \frac{7}{9} \quad \frac{1}{4} \quad \frac{3}{4} \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

where anyon a_1, a_2 carry the S_3 -charges. In fact a_1 carries the non-trivial 1-dimensional irrep of S_3 , and a_2 carries the 2-dimensional irrep of S_3 .

If the gapless state does not break the symTO $\text{Gau}_{S_3}^{(1)}$, then CFT_{ano} in the decomposition is given by a $\mathbb{1}$ -condensed boundary of $\text{Gau}_{S_3}^{(1)}$, as discussed in section VI.A of Ref. 90. This gapless state is described by a $so(9)_2 \times u(1)_2 \times u(1)_2 \times E(8)_1$ chiral CFT with central charge $(c, \bar{c}) = (9, 9)$. To describe the anomalous symmetry $S_3^{(1)}$, we need to choose $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ in the decomposition (72) as the gapped boundary of $\text{Gau}_{S_3}^{(1)}$ obtained from the condensation of all the S_3 -charges. In other words, $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ is a $\mathbb{1} \oplus a_1 \oplus 2a_2$ -condensed boundary of $\text{Gau}_{S_3}^{(1)}$, described by the following multi-component partition function: [90]

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\mathbb{1} \oplus a_1 \oplus 2a_2\text{-cnd}; \mathbb{1}}^{\text{Gau}_{S_3}^{(1)}} &= 1, \\ Z_{\mathbb{1} \oplus a_1 \oplus 2a_2\text{-cnd}; a_1}^{\text{Gau}_{S_3}^{(1)}} &= 1, \\ Z_{\mathbb{1} \oplus a_1 \oplus 2a_2\text{-cnd}; a_2}^{\text{Gau}_{S_3}^{(1)}} &= 2, \quad \text{and} \\ Z_{\mathbb{1} \oplus a_1 \oplus 2a_2\text{-cnd}; \alpha}^{\text{Gau}_{S_3}^{(1)}} &= 0, \quad \text{for } \alpha = b, b_1, b_2, c, c_1. \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

From the decomposition (72), we find the modular invariant partition function of the gapless state that does

not break the symTO $\mathcal{G}_{S_3}^{(1)}$:⁸

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{af} &= \sum_{\alpha} Z_{\mathbb{1}\text{-cnd};\alpha}^{\mathcal{G}_{S_3}^{(1)}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \left(Z_{\mathbb{1} \oplus a_1 \oplus 2a_2\text{-cnd};\alpha}^{\mathcal{G}_{S_3}^{(1)}} \right)^* \\ &= \chi_{1,0;1,0;1,0}^{so(9)_2 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{u(1)_2} \times \overline{E(8)_1}} + \chi_{2,1;2,\frac{1}{4};2,-\frac{1}{4}}^{so(9)_2 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{u(1)_2} \times \overline{E(8)_1}} \\ &\quad + \chi_{1,0;2,\frac{1}{4};2,-\frac{1}{4}}^{so(9)_2 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{u(1)_2} \times \overline{E(8)_1}} + \chi_{2,1;1,0;1,0}^{so(9)_2 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{u(1)_2} \times \overline{E(8)_1}} \\ &\quad + 2\chi_{6,1;1,0;1,0}^{so(9)_2 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{u(1)_2} \times \overline{E(8)_1}} + 2\chi_{6,1;2,\frac{1}{4};2,-\frac{1}{4}}^{so(9)_2 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{u(1)_2} \times \overline{E(8)_1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

where $Z_{\mathbb{1}\text{-cnd};\alpha}^{\mathcal{G}_{S_3}^{(1)}}(\tau, \bar{\tau})$ is given in section VI.A of Ref. 90. In the above, we have used an abbreviated notation, where $\chi_{a_1,h_1;a_2,h_2;\dots}^{CFT_1 \times CFT_2 \times \dots}$ is product of conformal characters of CFT_i for the primary fields labeled by a_i with scaling dimension h_i . For example,

$$\begin{aligned} &\chi_{2,1;2,\frac{1}{4};2,-\frac{1}{4}}^{so(9)_2 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{u(1)_2} \times \overline{E(8)_1}} \\ &= \chi_{2,1}^{so(9)_2}(\tau) \chi_{2,\frac{1}{4}}^{u(1)_2}(\tau) \chi_{2,-\frac{1}{4}}^{\overline{u(1)_2}}(\bar{\tau}) \chi^{\overline{E(8)_1}}(\bar{\tau}), \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

where $\chi_{2,1}^{so(9)_2}(\tau)$ is the conformal character of $so(9)_2$ CFT, for the second primary field with scaling dimension $h = 1$; $\chi_{2,\frac{1}{4}}^{u(1)_2}(\tau)$ is the conformal character of $u(1)_2$ CFT, for the second primary field with scaling dimension $h = \frac{1}{4}$; $\chi_{2,-\frac{1}{4}}^{\overline{u(1)_2}}(\bar{\tau})$ is the conformal character of $\overline{u(1)_2}$ CFT, for the second primary field with scaling dimension $h = \frac{1}{4}$; $\chi^{\overline{E(8)_1}}$ is the conformal character of $\overline{E(8)_1}$ CFT (the complex conjugate of $E(8)_1$ Kac-Moody algebra). The $\overline{E(8)_1}$ CFT has only one primary field (the identity), whose index is suppressed.

From the above result, we see that such a gapless state has a symTO larger than $\mathcal{G}_{S_3}^{(1)}$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{larger}} = \mathcal{M}_{so(9)_2} \times \mathcal{M}_{(2,-2,0)} \times \mathcal{M}_{\overline{E(8)_1}}, \quad (77)$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{so(9)_2}$ is the 2+1D topological order described by $so(9)_2$ Chern-Simons theory, $\mathcal{M}_{\overline{E(8)_1}}$ is the 2+1D topological order described by the time-reversal conjugate of $E(8)_1$ Chern-Simons theory, and $\mathcal{M}_{(2,-2,0)}$ is the 2+1D Abelian topological order described by the K -matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We notice that the conformal character $\chi_{2,\frac{1}{4}}^{u(1)_2}$ is also contained in the $u(1)_{2n^2}$ CFT, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, the gapless state (75) has an even larger symTO

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{so(9)_2} \times \mathcal{M}_{(2n^2,-2n^2,0)} \times \mathcal{M}_{\overline{E(8)_1}}, \quad (78)$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{(2n^2,-2n^2,0)}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, is the 2+1D Abelian topo-

logical order described by the K -matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 2n^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2n^2 \end{pmatrix}$.

When $n \rightarrow \infty$, the total quantum dimension of the symTO also approaches ∞ . Thus the maximal symTO for the gapless state (75) contains, at least, the symTO for a continuous $U(1)$ symmetry, denoted as $\mathcal{G}_{U(1)}$. Here, $\mathcal{G}_{U(1)}$ is an appropriately generalized braided fusion category with infinite objects. In other words, the gapless state (75) has an emergent $U(1)$ symmetry.

2. Anomalous $S_3^{(2)}$ symmetry

Similarly, a gapless state for a lattice system with anomalous $S_3^{(2)}$ symmetry has the decomposition

$$CFT_{af} = CFT_{ano} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{G}_{S_3}^{(2)}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}, \quad (79)$$

where the symTO is the 2+1D topological order $\mathcal{G}_{S_3}^{(2)}$, which has anyons given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{anyons : } & \mathbb{1} \quad a_1 \quad a_2 \quad b \quad b_1 \quad b_2 \quad c \quad c_1 \\ d_a : & \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 2 \quad 2 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 3. \\ s_a : & \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad \frac{2}{9} \quad \frac{5}{9} \quad \frac{8}{9} \quad 0 \quad \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

If the gapless state does not break the symTO $\mathcal{G}_{S_3}^{(2)}$, then CFT_{ano} in the decomposition is given by a 1-condensed boundary of $\mathcal{G}_{S_3}^{(2)}$, as discussed in section VI.B of Ref. 90. This gapless state is described by a $E(8)_1 \times \overline{so(9)_2}$ chiral CFT with central charge $(c, \bar{c}) = (8, 8)$. As in the previous example, to describe the anomalous symmetry $S_3^{(2)}$, we need to choose $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ in the decomposition (79) as the gapped boundary of $\mathcal{G}_{S_3}^{(2)}$ obtained from the condensation of all the S_3 -charges. This is given by the partition function in eqn. (74). From the decomposition $CFT_{af} = CFT_{ano} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{G}_{S_3}^{(2)}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$, we find the modular invariant partition function of the gapless state that leaves the symTO $\mathcal{G}_{S_3}^{(2)}$ unbroken:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{af} &= \sum_{\alpha} Z_{\mathbb{1}\text{-cnd};\alpha}^{\mathcal{G}_{S_3}^{(2)}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \left(Z_{\mathbb{1} \oplus a_1 \oplus 2a_2\text{-cnd};\alpha}^{\mathcal{G}_{S_3}^{(2)}} \right)^* \\ &= \chi_{1,0}^{E(8)_1 \times \overline{so(9)_2}} + \chi_{2,-1}^{E(8)_1 \times \overline{so(9)_2}} + 2\chi_{6,-1}^{E(8)_1 \times \overline{so(9)_2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

From this result, we see that such a gapless state has a symTO larger than $\mathcal{G}_{S_3}^{(2)}$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{larger}} = \mathcal{M}_{E(8)_1} \times \mathcal{M}_{\overline{so(9)_2}}. \quad (82)$$

This symTO is still not the maximal symTO since this gapless state contains many emergent $U(1)$ symmetries.

⁸ In the above, we have used an abbreviated notation where $\chi_{a_1,h_1;a_2,h_2;\dots}^{CFT_1 \times CFT_2 \times \dots}$ is the product of the conformal characters of CFT_i associated with the primary fields labeled by a_i whose scaling dimensions are h_i

3. Anomalous $S_3^{(3)}$ symmetry

Last, we consider a gapless state for a lattice system with anomalous $S_3^{(3)}$ symmetry, which has the decomposition

$$CFT_{af} = CFT_{ano} \boxtimes_{\text{Gau}_{S_3}^{(3)}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}, \quad (83)$$

where the symTO is the 2+1D topological order $\text{Gau}_{S_3}^{(3)}$, which has anyons given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{anyons : } & \mathbb{1} \quad a_1 \quad a_2 \quad b \quad b_1 \quad b_2 \quad c \quad c_1 \\ d_a : & \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 2 \quad 2 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 3 \cdot \\ s_a : & \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad \frac{1}{3} \quad \frac{2}{3} \quad \frac{1}{4} \quad \frac{3}{4} \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

If the gapless state does not break the symTO $\text{Gau}_{S_3}^{(3)}$, then CFT_{ano} in the decomposition is given by a 1-condensed boundary of $\text{Gau}_{S_3}^{(3)}$, as discussed in section VI.C of Ref. 90. This gapless state is described by a $m6 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{m6} \times u(1)_2$ CFT with central charge $(c, \bar{c}) = (\frac{9}{5}, \frac{9}{5})$. To describe the anomalous symmetry $S_3^{(3)}$, we choose $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ in the decomposition (83) as the gapped boundary of $\text{Gau}_{S_3}^{(3)}$ obtained from the condensation of all the S_3 -charges, described by eqn. (74). From the decomposition (74), we find the modular invariant partition function of the gapless state that does not break the symTO $\text{Gau}_{S_3}^{(3)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{af} &= \sum_{\alpha} Z_{\mathbb{1}\text{-cnd};\alpha}^{\text{Gau}_{S_3}^{(3)}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \left(Z_{\mathbb{1} \oplus a_1 \oplus 2a_2\text{-cnd};\alpha}^{\text{Gau}_{S_3}^{(3)}} \right)^* \\ &= \chi_{1,0;1,0;1,0;1,0}^{m6 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{m6} \times u(1)_2} + \chi_{1,0;2,\frac{1}{4};5,-3;2,-\frac{1}{4}}^{m6 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{m6} \times u(1)_2} \\ &\quad + \chi_{5,3;1,0;5,-3;1,0}^{m6 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{m6} \times u(1)_2} + \chi_{5,3;2,\frac{1}{4};1,0;2,-\frac{1}{4}}^{m6 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{m6} \times u(1)_2} \\ &\quad + \chi_{6,\frac{2}{5};1,0;6,-\frac{2}{5};1,0}^{m6 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{m6} \times u(1)_2} + \chi_{6,\frac{2}{5};2,\frac{1}{4};10,-\frac{7}{5};2,-\frac{1}{4}}^{m6 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{m6} \times u(1)_2} \\ &\quad + \chi_{10,\frac{7}{5};1,0;10,-\frac{7}{5};1,0}^{m6 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{m6} \times u(1)_2} + \chi_{10,\frac{7}{5};2,\frac{1}{4};6,-\frac{2}{5};2,-\frac{1}{4}}^{m6 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{m6} \times u(1)_2} \\ &\quad + \chi_{1,0;1,0;5,-3;1,0}^{m6 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{m6} \times u(1)_2} + \chi_{1,0;2,\frac{1}{4};1,0;2,-\frac{1}{4}}^{m6 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{m6} \times u(1)_2} \\ &\quad + \chi_{5,3;1,0;1,0;1,0}^{m6 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{m6} \times u(1)_2} + \chi_{5,3;2,\frac{1}{4};5,-3;2,-\frac{1}{4}}^{m6 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{m6} \times u(1)_2} \\ &\quad + \chi_{6,\frac{2}{5};1,0;10,-\frac{7}{5};1,0}^{m6 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{m6} \times u(1)_2} + \chi_{6,\frac{2}{5};2,\frac{1}{4};6,-\frac{2}{5};2,-\frac{1}{4}}^{m6 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{m6} \times u(1)_2} \\ &\quad + \chi_{10,\frac{7}{5};1,0;6,-\frac{2}{5};1,0}^{m6 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{m6} \times u(1)_2} + \chi_{10,\frac{7}{5};2,\frac{1}{4};10,-\frac{7}{5};2,-\frac{1}{4}}^{m6 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{m6} \times u(1)_2} \\ &\quad + 2\chi_{3,\frac{2}{3};1,0;3,-\frac{2}{3};1,0}^{m6 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{m6} \times u(1)_2} + 2\chi_{3,\frac{2}{3};2,\frac{1}{4};3,-\frac{2}{3};2,-\frac{1}{4}}^{m6 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{m6} \times u(1)_2} \\ &\quad + 2\chi_{8,\frac{1}{15};1,0;8,-\frac{1}{15};1,0}^{m6 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{m6} \times u(1)_2} + 2\chi_{8,\frac{1}{15};2,\frac{1}{4};8,-\frac{1}{15};2,-\frac{1}{4}}^{m6 \times u(1)_2 \times \overline{m6} \times u(1)_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

From this result, we see that this gapless state has a symTO larger than $\text{Gau}_{S_3}^{(3)}$

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{m6} \times \mathcal{M}_{(2n^2, -2n^2, 0)} \times \mathcal{M}_{\overline{m6}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (86)$$




FIG. 17. A 1+1D lattice model with emergent $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ -symmetry at low energies. The 1+1D lattice model is constructed from a slab of 2+1D lattice. In the bulk, we have a lattice Hamiltonian that realizes a double-Fibonacci topological order $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}$ [93] with a large energy gap. The top boundary $\tilde{\mathcal{R}} = \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ is a gapped boundary of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}$ with a large energy gap. The lower boundary is described by an anomalous low energy theory LET_{ano} . The low energy theory LET_{af} of the slab has an emergent $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ -symmetry below the energy gaps of the bulk and the top boundary.

where \mathcal{M}_{m6} is the 2+1D topological order that has a boundary given by the (6,5) minimal model. Again we see that the maximal symTO for the gapless state (85) contains, at least, the symTO $\text{Gau}_{U(1)}$ for a continuous $U(1)$ symmetry. In other words, the gapless state (85) has an emergent $U(1)$ symmetry.

D. Gapless states with noninvertible $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ -symmetry

Table I reveals that the simplest 1+1D noninvertible symmetry is the $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ -symmetry which has 4 types of symmetry charges/defects (see eqn. (19)). The symTO of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ -symmetry is the 2+1D double-Fibonacci topological order $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}$ given by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}} = \mathcal{M}_{\text{Fib}} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Fib}}, \quad (87)$$

where \mathcal{M}_{Fib} is the 2+1D Fibonacci topological order. \mathcal{M}_{Fib} has 2 types of anyons $\mathbb{1}, \phi$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}$ has 4 types of anyons:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{anyons : } & \mathbb{1} \quad \phi \quad \bar{\phi} \quad \phi\bar{\phi} \\ d_a : & \quad 1 \quad \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \quad \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \quad \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} \cdot \\ s_a : & \quad 0 \quad \frac{2}{5} \quad \frac{3}{5} \quad 0 \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

The $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ -symmetry can be a low energy emergent symmetry in a 1+1D lattice model. Such a 1+1D lattice model can be constructed from a slab of 2+1D lattice (see Fig. 17), where in the bulk, we have a commuting-projector Hamiltonian that realizes a double-Fibonacci topological order $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}$ [93] with a large energy gap. The double-Fibonacci topological order has only one type of gapped boundary obtained by condensing $\mathbb{1} \oplus \phi\bar{\phi}$. The top boundary of the slab in Fig. 17 is this gapped




FIG. 18. The phase diagram of Fibonacci-anyon chain adapted from Ref. 105 and 106, with pairwise fusion term $J_2 = \cos \theta$ and three-particle fusion term $J_3 = \sin \theta$. Here $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$. The shaded parts are gapped phases.

boundary, again with a large energy gap. This gapped boundary can be described by the vector-valued partition function $Z_{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}} = (1, 0, 0, 1)$ where the components are indexed by the set of anyons, $\{\mathbb{1}, \phi, \bar{\phi}, \phi\bar{\phi}\}$. The lower boundary is described by an anomalous low energy theory LET_{ano} . The low energy theory LET_{af} of the full slab has an emergent $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ -symmetry below the energy gaps of the bulk and the gapped top boundary.

The symmetry transformation of the $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ -symmetry in the slab model Fig. 17 is given by the patch symmetry operator $O_{\text{str}}(\phi, \phi)$ on local patches. This is the string operator that creates a pair of ϕ anyons in the bulk.⁹ This symmetry is noninvertible since the ϕ anyon is non-Abelian. The string operator $O_{\text{str}}(\phi\bar{\phi}, \phi\bar{\phi})$ is a patch charge operator, which creates a pair of charges $\phi\bar{\phi}$ of the $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ -symmetry and does not generate any symmetry transformation.

The emergent $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ -symmetry can also be realized by a Fibonacci-anyon chain in the 2+1D Fibonacci topological order \mathcal{M}_{Fib} ; this goes by the name of “golden chain” [104]. The topological symmetry of the Fibonacci-anyon chain discussed in Ref. 104 is nothing but the symmetry generated by $O_{\text{str}}(\phi, \phi)$, *i.e.* the topological symmetry is the $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ -symmetry.

Let us study the gapless states that have the unbroken double-Fibonacci symTO $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}$. Such gapless states correspond to $\mathbb{1}$ -condensed boundaries of symTO $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}$. One such boundary of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}$ is described by the following vector-valued partition function

$$Z_{\mathbb{1}\text{-cnd};\mathbb{1}}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}} = \chi_{1,0;1,0}^{m5 \times \bar{m}5} + \chi_{4,\frac{3}{2};4,-\frac{3}{2}}^{m5 \times \bar{m}5} + \chi_{5,\frac{7}{16};5,-\frac{7}{16}}^{m5 \times \bar{m}5}$$

⁹ Note that the string operator $O_{\text{str}}(\bar{\phi}, \bar{\phi})$ generates the same symmetry since $\phi\bar{\phi}$ condenses on the upper boundary $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$.




FIG. 19. The energy-momentum spectrum of the Fibonacci-anyon chain with L anyons at $\theta = 0$ from Ref. 104.

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\mathbb{1}\text{-cnd};\phi}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}} &= \chi_{1,0;3,-\frac{3}{5}}^{m5 \times \bar{m}5} + \chi_{4,\frac{3}{2};2,-\frac{1}{10}}^{m5 \times \bar{m}5} + \chi_{5,\frac{7}{16};6,-\frac{3}{80}}^{m5 \times \bar{m}5} \\ Z_{\mathbb{1}\text{-cnd};\bar{\phi}}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}} &= \chi_{2,\frac{1}{10};4,-\frac{3}{2}}^{m5 \times \bar{m}5} + \chi_{3,\frac{3}{5};1,0}^{m5 \times \bar{m}5} + \chi_{6,\frac{3}{80};5,-\frac{7}{16}}^{m5 \times \bar{m}5} \\ Z_{\mathbb{1}\text{-cnd};\phi\bar{\phi}}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}} &= \chi_{2,\frac{1}{10};2,-\frac{1}{10}}^{m5 \times \bar{m}5} + \chi_{3,\frac{3}{5};3,-\frac{3}{5}}^{m5 \times \bar{m}5} + \chi_{6,\frac{3}{80};6,-\frac{3}{80}}^{m5 \times \bar{m}5} \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

This gapless state is described by $(5, 4)$ -minimal model $m5 \times \bar{m}5$ for the right- and left-movers. Its modular invariant partition function is

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\text{af}} &= \sum_{\alpha} Z_{\mathbb{1}\text{-cnd};\alpha}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \left(Z_{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}};\alpha}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}} \right)^* \\ &= \chi_{1,0;1,0}^{m5 \times \bar{m}5} + \chi_{4,\frac{3}{2};4,-\frac{3}{2}}^{m5 \times \bar{m}5} + \chi_{5,\frac{7}{16};5,-\frac{7}{16}}^{m5 \times \bar{m}5} \\ &\quad + \chi_{2,\frac{1}{10};2,-\frac{1}{10}}^{m5 \times \bar{m}5} + \chi_{3,\frac{3}{5};3,-\frac{3}{5}}^{m5 \times \bar{m}5} + \chi_{6,\frac{3}{80};6,-\frac{3}{80}}^{m5 \times \bar{m}5}, \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

which is the partition function of the Ising tricritical point. So we will refer to this gapless state as the tricritical Ising CFT. It has a central charge $(c, \bar{c}) = (\frac{7}{10}, \frac{7}{10})$ and one symmetric relevant operator of dimension $(h, \bar{h}) = (\frac{7}{16}, \frac{7}{16})$, as one can see from $Z_{\mathbb{1}\text{-cnd};\mathbb{1}}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}}$ in eqn. (90).

From the form of the partition function eqn. (89), we see that the tricritical Ising gapless state has double-Fibonacci topological order $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}$ as its symTO. This gapless state also has an emergent maximal symTO of double- $(5, 4)$ -minimal-model.

The gapless states in Fibonacci-anyon chain were studied numerically in Ref. 104 and 105 (see Fig. 18). Indeed, a $(c, \bar{c}) = (\frac{7}{10}, \frac{7}{10})$ state was found, with the energy-momentum spectrum given in Fig. 19. We see that the states in the modular invariant partition function of tricritical Ising CFT (90) matches those read off from the numerically computed energy-momentum spectrum.

The low energy spectrum contains two sectors $\mathbb{1}$ and $\phi\bar{\phi}$, described by $Z_{\mathbb{1}\text{-cnd};\mathbb{1}}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}}$ and $Z_{\mathbb{1}\text{-cnd};\phi\bar{\phi}}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}}$. We see that the low energy states, $\frac{1}{5} = \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10}$ and $\frac{6}{5} = \frac{3}{5} + \frac{3}{5}$ at $k = 0$, come from $\chi_{2,\frac{1}{10};2,-\frac{1}{10}}^{m5 \times \bar{m}5}$ and $\chi_{3,\frac{3}{5};3,-\frac{3}{5}}^{m5 \times \bar{m}5}$ in the sector- $\phi\bar{\phi}$. In

other words, these low energy states carry a non-trivial charge of the $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ -symmetry. The operators associated with these low energy states (via the operator-state correspondence) have scaling dimensions $h + \bar{h} = \frac{1}{5}$ and $\frac{6}{5}$ which are less than 2, meaning that these operators are RG-relevant. However, they carry the charge $\phi\bar{\phi}$ under the $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ -symmetry, so they cannot be added to the Hamiltonian without breaking the $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ -symmetry.

On the other hand, the operator from the lowest energy state in $\chi_{5, \frac{7}{16}; 5, -\frac{7}{16}}^{m5 \times \overline{m5}}$ of the sector-1 has a scaling dimension $h + \bar{h} = \frac{7}{8} < 2$ and is a symmetric operator for the $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ -symmetry. The presence of such a symmetric relevant operator implies that the gapless state is an unstable critical point. This seemingly contradicts the numerical observation, which indicates that the gapless state is a stable phase.

This apparent contradiction can be resolved by noticing that the $h + \bar{h} = \frac{7}{8}$ state carries a large crystal momentum $k = \pi$ (see Fig. 19). Due to the translation symmetry of the Fibonacci-anyon chain, the low energy states carry an effective \mathbb{Z}_2 quantum number $k \approx 0$ or $k \approx \pi$. Such a \mathbb{Z}_2 quantum number corresponds to a \mathbb{Z}_2 grading of the tricritical Ising CFT $m5 \times \overline{m5}$ that describes the low energy states. We know that both right- and left-moving $(5, 4)$ -minimal models, $m5$ and $\overline{m5}$, have \mathbb{Z}_2 grading (see Appendix A). It turns out that the $k \approx 0, \pi$ crystal momenta correspond to the \mathbb{Z}_2 grading of the left-moving $(5, 4)$ -minimal model $\overline{m5}$. This allows us to conclude that the states described by the conformal characters $\chi_{1,0;1,0}^{m5 \times \overline{m5}}$ and $\chi_{4, \frac{3}{2}; 4, -\frac{3}{2}}^{m5 \times \overline{m5}}$ carry $k \approx 0$, while the states described by the conformal character $\chi_{5, \frac{7}{16}; 5, -\frac{7}{16}}^{m5 \times \overline{m5}}$ carry $k \approx \pi$. This exactly matches the numerical result in Fig. 19.

Thus we conclude that the $h + \bar{h} = \frac{7}{8}$ relevant operator cannot be added to the Hamiltonian if we preserve the translation symmetry of the Fibonacci-anyon chain. Ref. 104 mentioned that the stable gapless state is protected by the topological symmetry (*i.e.* the $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ -symmetry). From the above discussion, we see that, in fact, the $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ -symmetry alone is not enough. We also need the translation symmetry of the Fibonacci-anyon chain to have a stable gapless state.

The symTO $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}$ allows other gapless states, corresponding to other 1-condensed boundaries of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}$. One of them is given by the following vector-valued partition function

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{1\text{-cnd};1}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}} &= \chi_{1,0;1,0}^{m6 \times \overline{m6}} + \chi_{1,0;5,-3}^{m6 \times \overline{m6}} + 2\chi_{3, \frac{2}{3}; 3, -\frac{2}{3}}^{m6 \times \overline{m6}} \\ &\quad + \chi_{5,3;1,0}^{m6 \times \overline{m6}} + \chi_{5,3;5,-3}^{m6 \times \overline{m6}} \\ Z_{1\text{-cnd};\phi}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}} &= \chi_{1,0;1,-\frac{2}{5}}^{m6 \times \overline{m6}} + \chi_{1,0;10,-\frac{7}{5}}^{m6 \times \overline{m6}} + 2\chi_{3, \frac{2}{3}; 8, -\frac{1}{15}}^{m6 \times \overline{m6}} \\ &\quad + \chi_{5,3;6,-\frac{2}{5}}^{m6 \times \overline{m6}} + \chi_{5,3;10,-\frac{7}{5}}^{m6 \times \overline{m6}} \\ Z_{1\text{-cnd};\phi}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}} &= \chi_{6, \frac{2}{3}; 1,0}^{m6 \times \overline{m6}} + \chi_{6, \frac{2}{3}; 5,-3}^{m6 \times \overline{m6}} + 2\chi_{8, \frac{1}{15}; 3, -\frac{2}{3}}^{m6 \times \overline{m6}} \end{aligned}$$




FIG. 20. The energy-momentum spectrum of the Fibonacci-anyon chain with L anyons at $\tan \theta = 1/\varphi$ and $\cos \theta > 0$ from Ref. 105.

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \chi_{10, \frac{7}{5}; 1,0}^{m6 \times \overline{m6}} + \chi_{10, \frac{7}{5}; 5,-3}^{m6 \times \overline{m6}} \\ Z_{1\text{-cnd};\phi\bar{\phi}}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}} &= \chi_{6, \frac{2}{3}; 6, -\frac{2}{3}}^{m6 \times \overline{m6}} + \chi_{6, \frac{2}{3}; 10, -\frac{7}{5}}^{m6 \times \overline{m6}} + 2\chi_{8, \frac{1}{15}; 8, -\frac{1}{15}}^{m6 \times \overline{m6}} \\ &\quad + \chi_{10, \frac{7}{5}; 6, -\frac{2}{3}}^{m6 \times \overline{m6}} + \chi_{10, \frac{7}{5}; 10, -\frac{7}{5}}^{m6 \times \overline{m6}} \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

This gapless state is described by the $(6, 5)$ -minimal model $m6 \times \overline{m6}$ for the right- and left-movers. It has a central charge $(c, \bar{c}) = (\frac{4}{5}, \frac{4}{5})$. Its modular invariant partition function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{af} &= \sum_{\alpha} Z_{1\text{-cnd};\alpha}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \left(Z_{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}};\alpha}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}} \right)^* \\ &= |\chi_0^m + \chi_3^m|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{2}{5}}^m + \chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^m|^2 + 2|\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^m|^2 + 2|\chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^m|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

which is the partition function of 3-state Potts critical point; we will refer to this gapless state as the 3-state Potts CFT.

We note that the $(6, 5)$ -minimal model has a \mathbb{Z}_2 grading (see Appendix A). Only the \mathbb{Z}_2 trivial primary fields appear in the above partition functions. The Fibonacci-anyon chain has a critical point at $\tan \theta = 1/\varphi$, whose energy-momentum spectrum is given by Fig. 20 which matches that of eqn. (91) (*i.e.* only the \mathbb{Z}_2 trivial sectors are present).

From the trivial component of the partition function, $Z_{1\text{-cnd};1}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}}$, we see that the symmetric sub-Hilbert space $\mathcal{V}_{\text{symmetric}}$ contains states with energy-momentum $(E, (k \bmod \pi) \frac{L}{2\pi}) = (0, 0), (3, \pm 3), (\frac{4}{3}, 0), (6, 0), \text{etc.}$. These states all appear in the spectrum in Fig. 20 and correspond to primary fields. We notice that some of these states carry $k \approx 0$ while others carry $k \approx \pi$. To understand this effective \mathbb{Z}_2 quantum number within the $m6 \times \overline{m6}$ CFT, we note that the primary field $V_{h=3}$ in $\overline{m6}$ has a \mathbb{Z}_2 fusion $V_{h=3} V_{h=3} \sim V_{h=0}$ (see Appendix A). Thus we may regard $V_{h=3}$ as the operator that boosts the momentum by $\Delta k = \pi$. This assignment is compatible with the fusion rule of $\overline{m6}$ given in Appendix

A. From the fusion rule $V_{\bar{h}=3}V_{\bar{h}=\frac{2}{3}} \sim V_{\bar{h}=\frac{2}{3}}$, we see that the primary field $V_{\bar{h}=\frac{2}{3}}$ contains a part with $k \approx 0$ and another part with $k \approx \pi$. As a result, the states described by the character $2\chi_{3,\frac{2}{3};3,-\frac{2}{3}}^{m6 \times m6}$ include both $k \approx 0$ and $k \approx \pi$ states. This agrees with the calculated spectrum in Fig. 20, which includes states with $(E, k\frac{L}{2\pi}) = (\frac{4}{3}, 0)$ and $(\frac{4}{3}, \frac{L}{2})$.

The state with $(E, k\frac{L}{2\pi}) = (\frac{4}{3}, 0)$ corresponds to a symmetric operator that preserves the translation symmetry. Thus, the gapless state described by the 3-state Potts CFT eqn. (91) is a critical point with one relevant direction. It corresponds to the critical point of the Fibonacci-anyon chain at $\tan \theta = 1/\varphi$ in Fig. 20 [105].

The third 1-condensed boundary of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\text{1-cnd};1}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}} &= \chi_{1,0;1,0}^{m6 \times m6} + \chi_{2,\frac{1}{8};2,-\frac{1}{8}}^{m6 \times m6} + \chi_{3,\frac{2}{3};3,-\frac{2}{3}}^{m6 \times m6} \\ &\quad + \chi_{4,\frac{13}{8};4,-\frac{13}{8}}^{m6 \times m6} + \chi_{5,3;5,-3}^{m6 \times m6} \\ Z_{\text{1-cnd};\bar{\phi}}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}} &= \chi_{1,0;10,-\frac{7}{5}}^{m6 \times m6} + \chi_{2,\frac{1}{8};9,-\frac{21}{40}}^{m6 \times m6} + \chi_{3,\frac{2}{3};8,-\frac{1}{15}}^{m6 \times m6} \\ &\quad + \chi_{4,\frac{13}{8};7,-\frac{1}{40}}^{m6 \times m6} + \chi_{5,3;6,-\frac{2}{5}}^{m6 \times m6} \\ Z_{\text{1-cnd};\phi}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}} &= \chi_{6,\frac{2}{5};5,-3}^{m6 \times m6} + \chi_{7,\frac{1}{40};4,-\frac{13}{8}}^{m6 \times m6} + \chi_{8,\frac{1}{15};3,-\frac{2}{3}}^{m6 \times m6} \\ &\quad + \chi_{9,\frac{21}{40};2,-\frac{1}{8}}^{m6 \times m6} + \chi_{10,\frac{7}{5};1,0}^{m6 \times m6} \\ Z_{\text{1-cnd};\phi\bar{\phi}}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}} &= \chi_{6,\frac{2}{5};6,-\frac{2}{5}}^{m6 \times m6} + \chi_{7,\frac{1}{40};7,-\frac{1}{40}}^{m6 \times m6} + \chi_{8,\frac{1}{15};8,-\frac{1}{15}}^{m6 \times m6} \\ &\quad + \chi_{9,\frac{21}{40};9,-\frac{21}{40}}^{m6 \times m6} + \chi_{10,\frac{7}{5};10,-\frac{7}{5}}^{m6 \times m6} \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

The corresponding modular invariant partition function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{af} &= \sum_{\alpha} Z_{\text{1-cnd};\alpha}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \left(Z_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}};\alpha}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}} \right)^* \\ &= |\chi_0^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_3^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{2}{5}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{7}{5}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{2}{3}}^{m6}|^2 \\ &\quad + |\chi_{\frac{1}{15}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{8}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{13}{8}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{40}}^{m6}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{21}{40}}^{m6}|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

which is the partition function of Ising tetracritical point. So we will refer to this gapless state as tetracritical Ising CFT. If we regard the \mathbb{Z}_2 grading of $m6$ (see Appendix A) as the effective \mathbb{Z}_2 quantum number for critical momenta $k \approx 0$ and $k \approx \pi$, then only the primary field $V_{h,-\bar{h}} = V_{\frac{2}{3},-\frac{2}{3}}$ corresponds to symmetric relevant operator that preserves translation symmetry. The gapless state (93) describes the critical point of the Fibonacci-anyon chain at $\theta \approx 1.528\pi$ in Fig. 20 [105].

In fact, the 3-state Potts CFT (91) and the tetracritical Ising CFT (91) are the only two 1-condensed boundaries with central charge $(c, \bar{c}) = (\frac{4}{5}, \frac{4}{5})$ of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dFib}}$. Thus, the gapless state of the Fibonacci-anyon chain around $\theta = \pi$ in Fig. 18 is likely described by the 3-state Potts CFT (91). Without lattice symmetry, the 3-state Potts CFT has relevant operators of dimension $h + \bar{h} = \frac{4}{3}$ that respect the $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{Fib}}$ -symmetry. So we need to figure out how lattice translation and reflection symmetries are represented in the 3-state Potts CFT to decide if the gapless state around $\theta = \pi$ is stable or not.

V. COMPUTING symTO USING SYMMETRY TWISTS: AN EXAMPLE

It is often possible to identify emergent symmetries in a gapless theory. Once these symmetries are identified, it is possible to project down to symmetry charge sectors and into symmetry-twisted Hilbert spaces, to further resolve the theory into sub-sectors of the Hilbert space. This information is concisely captured by a symTO, *i.e.* a topological order in one higher dimension associated with the (emergent) symmetries of the gapless theory. In this section, we explore a calculation that uses symmetry charges and symmetry twists to identify the symTO of the gapless Ising critical point.

A. Symmetry, dual symmetry, and patch operators

We have mentioned that symmetry (or low energy emergent symmetry) is more fully described by a symTO. In this section, we will compute the symTO via multi-component partition functions associated with symmetry twists (*i.e.* topological defect lines). [3, 13] To understand symmetry twists, we first discuss patch operators. In Ref. 3, it was pointed out that the symmetries in a local system can be described by patch symmetry transformation operators. It turns out that the patch symmetry transformation operators carry more information about the symmetry than the global symmetry transformation operators and allow us to compute the symTO.

As an example, let us consider the 1+1D Ising model of size L with a periodic boundary condition:

$$H = - \sum_{I=1}^L (BX_I + JZ_I Z_{I+1}), \quad (95)$$

where X, Y, Z are the Pauli matrices. This theory has a \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry generated by

$$U_{\mathbb{Z}_2} = \prod_{I=1,2,\dots,L} X_I. \quad (96)$$

The patch operator associated with this \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry is

$$W^m(I, J) = \prod_{I+\frac{1}{2} < K < J+\frac{1}{2}} X_K. \quad (97)$$

We can use the patch operators to select the so-called *local symmetric operators* O_K via

$$W^m(I, J)O_K = O_K W^m(I, J), \quad \text{for } K \text{ far away from } I, J, \quad (98)$$

where O_K acts on sites near the site K . The Hamiltonian is a sum of local symmetric operators. This is how the patch operators $W^m(I, J)$ impose the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry. We also have a trivial operator $W^1(I, J)$ which is a product

of identity operators

$$W^1(I, J) = \prod_{I+\frac{1}{2} < K < J+\frac{1}{2}} \text{id}_K. \quad (99)$$

To see the dual symmetry $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_2$ explicitly, we make a Kramers-Wannier duality transformation

$$X_I \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{I-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{X}_{I+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad Z_I Z_{I+1} \rightarrow \tilde{Z}_{I+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (100)$$

which transforms the Ising model to the dual Ising model with dual spins living on the links labeled by $I + \frac{1}{2}$:

$$H = - \sum_I \left(B \tilde{X}_{I-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{X}_{I+\frac{1}{2}} + J \tilde{Z}_{I+\frac{1}{2}} \right). \quad (101)$$

We see a dual $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_2$ symmetry generated by

$$U_{\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_2} = \prod_I \tilde{Z}_{I+\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (102)$$

This gives us patch operators for the dual $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_2$ symmetry

$$W^e(I, J) = \prod_{I < K+\frac{1}{2} < J} \tilde{Z}_{K+\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (103)$$

In the original basis, the patch operators for $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_2$ are given by

$$W^e(I, J) = Z_I Z_J. \quad (104)$$

From the patch operators of the two symmetries, \mathbb{Z}_2 and $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_2$, we can construct the patch operators of a third symmetry \mathbb{Z}_2^f , given by

$$W^f(I, J) = W^m(I, J) W^e(I, J). \quad (105)$$

This allows us to find all three sets of patch operators, generating the \mathbb{Z}_2 , $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_2$, and \mathbb{Z}_2^f symmetries:

$$\begin{aligned} W^m(I, J) &= \prod_{I+\frac{1}{2} < K < J+\frac{1}{2}} X_K, \quad W^e(I, J) = Z_I Z_J, \\ W^f(I, J) &= Z_I \left(\prod_{I+\frac{1}{2} < K < J+\frac{1}{2}} X_K \right) Z_J. \end{aligned} \quad (106)$$

With these explicit formulas for the patch operators, we can compute the associated symTO as outlined in Ref. 13. Here we will treat these operators from a slightly different point of view. Since these operators implement their corresponding symmetry on a finite patch, their boundaries realize symmetry twists. From the form of the patch operators, we can identify how the symmetry twists can be implemented in concrete lattice models. In order to study the Ising critical point, as mentioned above, we would like to first transform the above discussion into the language of a Majorana fermion model. At

the same time, it is instructive to obtain the form of the patch operators in terms of the Majorana variables.

To achieve this, we use the Jordan-Wigner transformation. Our goal is to obtain a Majorana representation of the patch operators that create pairs of \mathbb{Z}_2 domain walls and \mathbb{Z}_2 charges. As a starting point for this transformation, we work with the *dual* Ising variables, as in eqn. (101). The JW transformation on these variables is implemented as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z}_{J+\frac{1}{2}} &= 1 - 2f_{J+\frac{1}{2}}^\dagger f_{J+\frac{1}{2}} \\ \tilde{\sigma}_{J+\frac{1}{2}}^+ &= f_{J+\frac{1}{2}}^\dagger \prod_{I < J} (1 - 2f_{I+\frac{1}{2}}^\dagger f_{I+\frac{1}{2}}) \\ \tilde{\sigma}_{J+\frac{1}{2}}^- &= f_{J+\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{I < J} (1 - 2f_{I+\frac{1}{2}}^\dagger f_{I+\frac{1}{2}}) \end{aligned} \quad (107)$$

where the f operators satisfy canonical fermionic anti-commutation relations $\{f_{I+\frac{1}{2}}, f_{J+\frac{1}{2}}^\dagger\} = \delta_{IJ}$, $\{f_{I+\frac{1}{2}}, f_{J+\frac{1}{2}}\} = 0 = \{f_{I+\frac{1}{2}}^\dagger, f_{J+\frac{1}{2}}^\dagger\}$. Let us define Majorana fermions,

$$\lambda_J = f_{J+\frac{1}{2}}^\dagger + f_{J+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \lambda_{J+\frac{1}{2}} = i(f_{J+\frac{1}{2}}^\dagger - f_{J+\frac{1}{2}}) \quad (108)$$

which satisfy $\{\lambda_i, \lambda_j\} = 2\delta_{ij}$, $\lambda_i^\dagger = \lambda_i$. In the Majorana representation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{J+\frac{1}{2}}^\dagger f_{J+\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_J - i\lambda_{J+\frac{1}{2}}) \cdot \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_J + i\lambda_{J+\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(1 + i\lambda_J \lambda_{J+\frac{1}{2}}) \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

Under the JW transformation (eqn. (107)), the Pauli operators \tilde{X}_I and \tilde{Z}_I transform as follows

$$\tilde{X}_{I+\frac{1}{2}} \equiv \tilde{\sigma}_{I+\frac{1}{2}}^+ + \tilde{\sigma}_{I+\frac{1}{2}}^- \xrightarrow{\text{JW}} \lambda_I \prod_{J < I} (-i\lambda_J \lambda_{J+\frac{1}{2}}) \quad (110)$$

$$\tilde{Z}_{I+\frac{1}{2}} \xrightarrow{\text{JW}} -i\lambda_I \lambda_{I+\frac{1}{2}} \quad (111)$$

We can now transform the patch symmetry operators to the Majorana representation. The patch operator corresponding to \mathbb{Z}_2^f -symmetry transforms as

$$\begin{aligned} W^f(I, J) &= \tilde{X}_{I+\frac{1}{2}} \left(\prod_{I < K+\frac{1}{2} < J} \tilde{Z}_{K+\frac{1}{2}} \right) \tilde{X}_{J+\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{JW}} \lambda_I \prod_{K < I} (-i\lambda_K \lambda_{K+\frac{1}{2}}) \prod_{I < K+\frac{1}{2} < J} (-i\lambda_K \lambda_{K+\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &\quad \prod_{K < J} (-i\lambda_K \lambda_{K+\frac{1}{2}}) \lambda_J = \lambda_I \lambda_J \end{aligned} \quad (112)$$

The patch operator for the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry transforms as

$$\begin{aligned} W^m(I, J) &= \tilde{X}_{I+\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{X}_{J+\frac{1}{2}} \xrightarrow{\text{JW}} \lambda_I \prod_{I < K+\frac{1}{2} < J} (-i\lambda_K \lambda_{K+\frac{1}{2}}) \lambda_J \\ &= \prod_{I < K-\frac{1}{2} < J} (-i\lambda_K \lambda_{K-\frac{1}{2}}) \end{aligned} \quad (113)$$

Lastly, the patch operator for the dual $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_2$ symmetry transforms as

$$\begin{aligned} W^e(I, J) &= \prod_{I < K + \frac{1}{2} < J} \tilde{Z}_{K + \frac{1}{2}} \xrightarrow{JW} \prod_{K=I+1}^J (-i\lambda_{2K-1}\lambda_{2K}) \\ &= \prod_{I < K + \frac{1}{2} < J} \left(-i\lambda_K\lambda_{K+\frac{1}{2}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (114)$$

For completeness, let us transform the Hamiltonian eqn. (101) to the Majorana representation as well,

$$H_{\text{Maj}} = \sum_I i(B\lambda_{I-\frac{1}{2}}\lambda_I + J\lambda_I\lambda_{I+\frac{1}{2}}) \quad (115)$$

which at the Ising critical point $B = J = 1$ becomes

$$H_{\text{Maj}} = \sum_{j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} i\lambda_j\lambda_{j+\frac{1}{2}} \quad (116)$$

This is the Majorana model describing a \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetry-breaking critical point, defined on an infinite chain. For convenience of notation, we may equivalently write this as

$$H_{\text{Maj}} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} i\lambda_j\lambda_{j+1} \quad (117)$$

With this concrete model of the \mathbb{Z}_2^m -symmetry-breaking critical point (a.k.a. Ising critical point) in hand, we now proceed with computing its partition function in the presence of various symmetry twists. Particularly, we want to uncover the maximal symTO of this theory. From eqn. (113) and eqn. (114), we see that the lattice translation $j \rightarrow j+1$ in eqn. (117) exchanges W^e and W^m . Thus the emergent e - m exchange symmetry at the critical point, \mathbb{Z}_2^{em} is realized by the translation $j \rightarrow j+1$. On the other hand, the \mathbb{Z}_2^m symmetry of the Ising model translates into the fermion parity of the Majorana model.

B. Symmetry twists

The patch operators discussed above are very closely related to the notion of a disorder operator.[107] When a symmetry transformation is restricted to a finite patch in 1 spatial dimension, each of the two endpoints represents a disorder operator, which implements a symmetry twist. The disorder operator has associated fusion rules, which are particularly simple when the symmetry involved is \mathbb{Z}_2 . In this case, two symmetry twists become equivalent to no twist. From this discussion, we see that the patch operators discussed in previous sections can tell us how to implement spatial symmetry twists on the Hilbert space. In particular, each endpoint of a patch symmetry operator describes a symmetry twist in the space direction. On the other hand, symmetry twists in the time direction are implemented by applying the global symmetry

transformation on the entire Hilbert space of states. In an operational sense, this is implemented by inserting the symmetry transformation operator in the partition function.

For the \mathbb{Z}_2^m symmetry, a non-trivial spatial symmetry twist amounts to introducing antiperiodic boundary conditions for the Majorana degrees of freedom,

$$\lambda_{j+N} = -\lambda_j$$

In the time direction, a non-trivial \mathbb{Z}_2^m twist corresponds to *periodic* temporal boundary conditions for the Majorana fermions. In other words, the untwisted case corresponds to antiperiodic boundary conditions along the time direction of the spacetime torus. Time antiperiodicity is automatic from the definition of fermion path integrals, which is why the non-trivial symmetry twist corresponds to periodic and not anti-periodic temporal boundary condition. This is in contrast to the bosonic \mathbb{Z}_2 boundary conditions discussed above in eqn. (31) (cf. Ref. 99, pp.346-347).

For the \mathbb{Z}_2^{em} symmetry, a non-trivial spatial symmetry twist corresponds to considering a Majorana chain with an odd number of sites. A non-trivial temporal symmetry twist is obtained by inserting into the partition function an operator that translates the system by a single lattice site. We will find it useful to represent this operator in terms of momentum space variables.

C. Multi-component partition function from symmetry twists of \mathbb{Z}_2^m and \mathbb{Z}_2^{em} symmetries

In this section, we compute the partition functions of the 1+1D critical Ising theory in the presence of various symmetry twists of \mathbb{Z}_2^m and \mathbb{Z}_2^{em} . Since there are 4 possible combinations along the space and the time directions each, we should expect a total of 16 possible symmetry twist combinations.

Recall the Majorana representation of the critical Ising Hamiltonian, defined on a lattice of size N ,

$$H_{\text{Maj}} = \sum_{j=1}^N i\lambda_j\lambda_{j+1} \quad (117)$$

where we have left the boundary conditions unspecified for now. We can define Fourier-transformed Majorana operators as

$$\lambda_j = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}} \sum_k \tilde{\lambda}_k e^{2\pi i k j / N} \quad (118)$$

In terms of these momentum space variables, we have $k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ for periodic boundary conditions and $k \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_N$ for antiperiodic boundary conditions. The inverse Fourier transformation reads

$$\tilde{\lambda}_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N}} \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j e^{-2\pi i k j / N} \quad (119)$$

The k -space Majorana operators satisfy the following properties:

$$\tilde{\lambda}_k^\dagger = \tilde{\lambda}_{N-k}, \quad \{\tilde{\lambda}_k, \tilde{\lambda}_q^\dagger\} = \delta_{k,q} \quad (120)$$

where the Kronecker delta is to be understood in a modulo N sense. In terms of the k -space Majorana modes, the Hamiltonian becomes

$$H = \sum_{\omega_k > 0} \omega_k \tilde{\lambda}_k^\dagger \tilde{\lambda}_k + E_0 \quad (121)$$

where the “zero energy” is given by

$$E_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\omega_k < 0} \omega_k \quad (122)$$

and $\omega_k = -v \sin \frac{2\pi k}{N}$ and $v = 4$.

Even N with periodic boundary conditions

First, let us consider $N = \text{even}$ cases. We choose the set of independent k -states as $F_{E,P} = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} | -\frac{N}{4} \leq k \leq 0 \text{ or } \frac{N}{2} \leq k < \frac{3N}{4}\}$. The subscripts indicate E for *even* N and P for *periodic* b.c.

Non-zero k -modes are described by canonical fermion operators. In addition to these, we find two zero mode operators which do not appear in the Hamiltonian in eqn. (121), $\tilde{\lambda}_0$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_N$, which satisfy $\tilde{\lambda}_0^2 = \frac{1}{2} = \tilde{\lambda}_N^2$, $\tilde{\lambda}_0^\dagger = \tilde{\lambda}_0$, and $\tilde{\lambda}_N^\dagger = \tilde{\lambda}_N$.

Hilbert space— We can combine the above-mentioned zero modes into a single fermionic operator

$$c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\tilde{\lambda}_0 + i\tilde{\lambda}_N), \quad c^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\tilde{\lambda}_0 - i\tilde{\lambda}_N) \quad (123)$$

Then the ground state $|0\rangle$ is defined by

$$\tilde{\lambda}_k |0\rangle = 0 \quad \forall k \in F'_{E,P}, \text{ and } c |0\rangle = 0 \quad (124)$$

where $F'_{E,P} = F_{E,P} \setminus \{0, \frac{N}{2}\}$. Excited states are created by the action of $\tilde{\lambda}_k^\dagger$ ($\forall k \in F'_{E,P}$) and c^\dagger on the ground state.

Fermion number operator— We define

$$F = c^\dagger c + \sum_{k \in F'_{E,P}} \tilde{\lambda}_k^\dagger \tilde{\lambda}_k \quad (125)$$

which counts the non-zero Majorana modes as well as the fermion created from the two zero modes. Note that the Hilbert space states mentioned above are all eigenstates of the fermion number parity operator $(-1)^F$.

Translation operator— In real space, lattice translation is defined by

$$T \lambda_j T^\dagger = \lambda_{j+1} \quad (126)$$

which leads to the momentum space relation

$$T \tilde{\lambda}_k T^\dagger = e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{N}} \tilde{\lambda}_k \quad (127)$$

to be satisfied for all $k \in F_{E,P}$. It can be checked that the following definition works

$$T = i\sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}_0 \exp \left[iK_0 + \sum_{k \in F'_{E,P}} i \left(\frac{2\pi k}{N} + \pi \right) \tilde{\lambda}_k^\dagger \tilde{\lambda}_k \right] \quad (128)$$

where K_0 is a yet-undetermined real number which we interpret as “ground state momentum”. The translation operator T is related to the momentum operator K as $T = e^{iK}$.

Partition function— The partition function is defined as

$$Z(\beta) = \text{Tre}^{-\beta H} \quad (129)$$

We can introduce a \mathbb{Z}_2^{em} twist in the time direction by inserting the operator $T = e^{iK}$ in the partition function above. To that end, we define

$$Z(\beta, X) = \text{Tr} [e^{-\beta H + iXK}] \quad (130)$$

where setting X to be even or odd corresponds to trivial and non-trivial insertion of the \mathbb{Z}_2^{em} symmetry transformation respectively. In particular, odd X implements a non-trivial \mathbb{Z}_2^{em} twist in the time direction.

For odd X , we can see that $T^X \equiv e^{iXK}$ and $(-1)^F$ anticommute. This means that this choice of boundary conditions (odd X , even N , periodic) is not consistent with the notion of independent temporal and spatial symmetry twists, so this partition function is not allowed. Also because of this anticommutation, a brute force calculation of the partition function yields 0 anyway, so we can consistently drop it from consideration.

For even X , T^X and $(-1)^F$ commute, so the above subtlety disappears. By linearizing the Hamiltonian near $k = 0$ and $k = N/2$, and taking $N \rightarrow \infty$ we find the following partition function:

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\beta, X) &= 2e^{-\beta E_0 + iX(K_0 + \frac{\pi}{2})} \sum_{\{n_k\}} e^{\sum_k (-\beta \omega_k + iX \frac{2\pi k}{N}) n_k} \\ &\approx 2e^{\beta \frac{2N}{\pi} - \beta \frac{2\pi v}{12N}} \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left(1 + e^{-\beta v \frac{2\pi k}{N} - iX \frac{2\pi k}{N}} \right) \\ &\quad \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left(1 + e^{-\beta v \frac{2\pi k}{N} + iX \frac{2\pi}{N} (\frac{N}{2} + k)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (131)$$

where \mathbb{N} denotes the set of all positive integers. In the second expression above, we have used eqn. (140) and $E_0 \approx -\frac{2N}{\pi} + \frac{2\pi v}{12N} + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{N^3})$, with $v = 4$. This expression for E_0 is obtained by computing the sum in eqn. (122) in the limit of $N \rightarrow \infty$. We have also made the choice of $K_0 = -\frac{\pi}{2}$ which will ensure good modular transformation properties. After some algebra, we find

$$Z_{EP}^{++} = e^{\frac{2N\beta}{\pi}} \left| \frac{\theta_2(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)} \right| \quad (132)$$

where $\tau = \frac{X + i\beta v}{N}$ is the modular parameter. The first sign in the superscript indicates even X (untwisted)

\mathbb{Z}_2^{em}) and the second one stands for untwisted \mathbb{Z}_2^m (anti-periodic) temporal boundary conditions.

Due to state-operator correspondence, the total energy and the total momentum of the ground state on a ring is related to the total central charge $c + \bar{c}$ and total scaling dimension $h + \bar{h}$:

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &= \#N + \left(-\frac{c + \bar{c}}{24} + h + \bar{h} \right) v \frac{2\pi}{N} + o(N^{-1}), \\ K_0 &= \#N + \left(-\frac{c - \bar{c}}{24} + h - \bar{h} \right) \frac{2\pi}{N} + o(N^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (133)$$

where v is the velocity and N is the length of the ring, so that $2\pi/N$ is the momentum quantum. The sector with the lowest energy has $h = \bar{h} = 0$, whose E_0 and K_0 allow us to determine central charge c and \bar{c} . From the E_0 and K_0 of other sectors, we can determine the scaling dimensions h, \bar{h} of the operator that maps the ground state sector to the other sectors.

Fermion twisted sector— Inserting $(-1)^F$ into the partition functions above, we get their fermion parity twisted versions. Since Z_{EP}^{+-} is ill-defined as discussed above, its fermion parity twisted partner Z_{EP}^{--} is similarly afflicted. On the other hand, the fermion parity twisted partner of Z_{EP}^{++} is well-defined but evaluates to zero because of the presence of a zero mode, i.e. $Z_{EP}^{+-} = 0$.

Even N with antiperiodic boundary conditions

For antiperiodic b.c., we choose the set of independent k -states as $F_{E,A} = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2} \mid -\frac{N}{4} \leq k \leq 0 \text{ or } \frac{N}{2} \leq k < \frac{3N}{4}\}$. The subscripts indicate E for *even* N and A for *antiperiodic* b.c. Note that $F_{E,A}$ does not contain any zero modes; there are exactly $N/2$ dynamical modes.

Hilbert space— The ground state $|0\rangle$ is defined by

$$\tilde{\lambda}_k |0\rangle = 0 \quad \forall k \in F_{E,A} \quad (134)$$

Excited states are created by the action of $\tilde{\lambda}_k^\dagger$ ($\forall k \in F_{E,A}$) on the ground state.

Fermion number operator—

$$F = \sum_{k \in F_{E,A}} \tilde{\lambda}_k^\dagger \tilde{\lambda}_k \quad (135)$$

Unlike in the periodic case, here there is no zero mode contribution to the fermion number.

Translation operator— In real space, lattice translation is defined as in the periodic case by

$$T \lambda_j T^\dagger = \lambda_{j+1} \quad (136)$$

with the understanding that $\lambda_{N+1} = -\lambda_1$ due to the boundary condition. This leads to the momentum space relation as before:

$$T \tilde{\lambda}_k T^\dagger = e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{N}} \tilde{\lambda}_k \quad (137)$$

for all $k \in F_{E,A}$. It can be checked that the following definition works

$$T = \exp \left[i K_0 + \sum_{k \in F_{E,A}} i \frac{2\pi k}{N} \tilde{\lambda}_k^\dagger \tilde{\lambda}_k \right] \quad (138)$$

Partition function—

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\beta, X) &= e^{-\beta E_0 + i X K_0} \sum_{\{n_k\}} e^{\sum_k (-\beta \omega_k + i X \frac{2\pi k}{N}) n_k} \\ &\approx e^{\beta \frac{2N}{\pi} + \beta \frac{2\pi v}{24N}} \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N} - \frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + e^{-\beta v \frac{2\pi k}{N} - i X \frac{2\pi k}{N}} \right) \\ &\quad \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N} - \frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + e^{-\beta v \frac{2\pi k}{N} + i X \frac{2\pi}{N} (\frac{N}{2} + k)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (139)$$

where \mathbb{N} denotes the set of all positive integers. In the second expression above, we have used

$$\omega_k \approx \begin{cases} -\frac{2\pi v k}{N} & \text{for } k \lesssim 0 \\ \frac{2\pi v}{N} \left(k - \frac{N}{2} \right) & \text{for } k \gtrsim \frac{N}{2} \end{cases} \quad (140)$$

and $E_0 \approx -\frac{2N}{\pi} - \frac{2\pi v}{24N} + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{N^3})$, with $v = 4$. This expression for E_0 is obtained by computing the sum in eqn. (122) in the limit of $N \rightarrow \infty$. In eqn. (139), we also chose $K_0 = 0$. Simplifying this expression, we find

$$Z_{EA}^{++} = e^{\frac{2N\beta}{\pi}} \left| \frac{\theta_3(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)} \right| \quad (141)$$

$$Z_{EA}^{+-} = e^{\frac{2N\beta}{\pi}} \frac{\sqrt{\theta_3(\tau)\theta_4(\tau)}}{|\eta(\tau)|} \quad (142)$$

for even X and odd X respectively (reflected by the first sign in the superscript).

Fermion twisted sector— Inserting $(-1)^F$ in the partition functions above, we get the following

$$Z_{EA}^{+-} = e^{\frac{2N\beta}{\pi}} \left| \frac{\theta_4(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)} \right| \quad (143)$$

$$Z_{EA}^{--} = e^{\frac{2N\beta}{\pi}} \frac{\sqrt{\theta_4(\tau)\theta_3(\tau)}}{|\eta(\tau)|} \quad (144)$$

Odd N with periodic boundary conditions

Now, let us consider $N = \text{odd}$ cases. As before, we choose the set of independent k -states as $F_{O,P} = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid -\frac{N}{4} \leq k \leq 0 \text{ or } \frac{N}{2} \leq k < \frac{3N}{4}\}$, for periodic b.c. The subscripts indicate O for *odd* N and P for *periodic* b.c. $F_{O,P}$ contains one zero mode, corresponding to $\tilde{\lambda}_0$ which does not appear in the Hamiltonian (eqn. (121)). The remaining modes can be described by canonical fermion operators.

Hilbert space— An odd number of Majorana modes is unphysical in and of itself. To define the Hilbert space,

we need to introduce an extra “ghost” Majorana fermion $\tilde{\lambda}_{gh}$. This can be interpreted as the Majorana mode present in the bulk in a topologically non-trivial superselection sector of the 2+1D theory underlying this discussion of the gapless boundary theory. We define a new zero mode operator using this ghost mode and the zero mode $\tilde{\lambda}_0$,

$$c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\tilde{\lambda}_0 + i\tilde{\lambda}_{gh}), \quad c^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\tilde{\lambda}_0 - i\tilde{\lambda}_{gh}) \quad (145)$$

where $\tilde{\lambda}_{gh}$ satisfies $\{\tilde{\lambda}_{gh}, \tilde{\lambda}_k\} = 0 \quad \forall k \in F_{O,P}$, $\tilde{\lambda}_{gh}^\dagger = \tilde{\lambda}_{gh}$, and $\tilde{\lambda}_{gh}^2 = \frac{1}{2}$. Then c and c^\dagger behave like canonical fermion operators. The ground state $|0\rangle$ is defined by

$$\tilde{\lambda}_k |0\rangle = 0 \quad \forall k \in F'_{O,P}, \text{ and } c|0\rangle = 0 \quad (146)$$

where $F'_{O,P} = F_{O,P} \setminus \{0\}$. Excited states are created by the action of $\tilde{\lambda}_k^\dagger$ ($\forall k \in F'_{O,P}$) and c^\dagger on the ground state.

Fermion number operator— We define the fermion number operator to include the zero mode operator,

$$F = \sum_{k \in F'_{O,P}} \tilde{\lambda}_k^\dagger \tilde{\lambda}_k + c^\dagger c \quad (147)$$

Translation operator— Using the real space definition, lattice translation is defined in the momentum space by

$$T \tilde{\lambda}_k T^\dagger = e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{N}} \tilde{\lambda}_k \quad (148)$$

for all $k \in F_{O,P}$. Additionally, we postulate for the ghost Majorana,

$$T \tilde{\lambda}_{gh} T^\dagger = \tilde{\lambda}_{gh} \quad (149)$$

It can be checked that the following definition satisfies the above properties

$$T = \exp \left[iK_0 + \sum_{k \in F'_{O,P}} i \frac{2\pi k}{N} \tilde{\lambda}_k^\dagger \tilde{\lambda}_k \right] \quad (150)$$

Partition function—

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\beta, X) &= 2e^{-\beta E_0 + iXK_0} \sum_{\{n_k\}} e^{\sum_k (-\beta\omega_k + iX \frac{2\pi k}{N}) n_k} \\ &\approx 2e^{\beta \frac{2N}{\pi} - \beta \frac{2\pi v}{48N} + iXK_0} \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left(1 + e^{-\beta v \frac{2\pi k}{N} - iX \frac{2\pi k}{N}} \right) \\ &\quad \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N} - \frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + e^{-\beta v \frac{2\pi k}{N} + iX \frac{2\pi}{N} (\frac{N}{2} + k)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (151)$$

where the factor of 2 is due to the zero mode degeneracy. Setting $K_0 = -\frac{\pi}{8N}$ and using $E_0 \approx -\frac{2N}{\pi} + \frac{2\pi v}{48N} + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{N^3})$, we find

$$Z_{OP}^{++} = e^{\frac{2N\beta}{\pi}} \frac{\sqrt{2\theta_2(\tau)\theta_3(\tau)}}{|\eta(\tau)|} \quad (152)$$

$$Z_{OP}^{-+} = e^{\frac{2N\beta}{\pi}} \frac{\sqrt{2\theta_2(\tau)\theta_4(\tau)}}{|\eta(\tau)|} \quad (153)$$

for even X and odd X respectively.

Fermion twisted sector— Inserting $(-1)^F$ in the partition functions above, we get 0 because of the zero mode, i.e. $Z_{OP}^{+-} = Z_{OP}^{-+} = 0$.

Odd N with antiperiodic boundary conditions

In this case, we have the set of independent k -states given by $F_{O,A} = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2} \mid -\frac{N}{4} \leq k \leq 0 \text{ or } \frac{N}{2} \leq k < \frac{3N}{4}\}$. The subscripts indicate O for *odd* N and A for *antiperiodic* b.c. $F_{O,A}$ contains one zero mode, corresponding to $\tilde{\lambda}_{N/2}$ which does not appear in the Hamiltonian (eqn. (121)). The remaining modes can be described by canonical fermion operators.

Hilbert space— As in the periodic case, to define the Hilbert space, we need to introduce an extra “ghost” Majorana fermion $\tilde{\lambda}_{gh}$. We define a new zero mode operator using this ghost mode and the zero mode $\tilde{\lambda}_0$,

$$c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\tilde{\lambda}_{gh} + i\tilde{\lambda}_{N/2}), \quad c^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\tilde{\lambda}_{gh} - i\tilde{\lambda}_{N/2}) \quad (154)$$

where $\tilde{\lambda}_{gh}$ satisfies $\{\tilde{\lambda}_{gh}, \tilde{\lambda}_k\} = 0 \quad \forall k \in F_{O,P}$, $\tilde{\lambda}_{gh}^\dagger = \tilde{\lambda}_{gh}$, and $\tilde{\lambda}_{gh}^2 = \frac{1}{2}$. Then c and c^\dagger behave like canonical fermion operators. The ground state $|0\rangle$ is defined by

$$\tilde{\lambda}_k |0\rangle = 0 \quad \forall k \in F'_{O,A}, \text{ and } c|0\rangle = 0 \quad (155)$$

where $F'_{O,A} = F_{O,A} \setminus \{\frac{N}{2}\}$. Excited states are created by the action of $\tilde{\lambda}_k^\dagger$ ($\forall k \in F'_{O,A}$) and c^\dagger on the ground state.

Fermion number operator— Similar to the periodic case, we define

$$F = \sum_{k \in F'_{O,A}} \tilde{\lambda}_k^\dagger \tilde{\lambda}_k + c^\dagger c \quad (156)$$

Translation operator— We need to satisfy eqn. (148) for all $k \in F_{O,A}$. Additionally, we postulate for the ghost Majorana,

$$T \tilde{\lambda}_{gh} T^\dagger = -\tilde{\lambda}_{gh} \quad (157)$$

It can be checked that the following definition satisfies the above properties

$$\begin{aligned} T &= 2i\tilde{\lambda}_{N/2}\tilde{\lambda}_{gh} \exp \left[iK_0 + \sum_{k \in F'_{O,A}} i \frac{2\pi k}{N} \tilde{\lambda}_k^\dagger \tilde{\lambda}_k \right] \\ &= (-1)^{c^\dagger c} \exp \left[iK_0 + \sum_{k \in F'_{O,A}} i \frac{2\pi k}{N} \tilde{\lambda}_k^\dagger \tilde{\lambda}_k \right] \end{aligned} \quad (158)$$

Partition function— For odd X , due to the $(-1)^{c^\dagger c}$ factor in $T \equiv e^{iK}$, the partition function simply evaluates to 0.

For even X , the zero mode gives a factor of 2 instead of 0, and the partition function is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z(\beta, X) &= 2e^{-\beta E_0 + iXK_0} \sum_{\{n_k\}} e^{\sum_k (-\beta\omega_k + iX\frac{2\pi k}{N})n_k} \\
 &\approx 2e^{\beta\frac{2N}{\pi} - \beta\frac{2\pi v}{48N} + iXK_0} \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N} - \frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + e^{-\beta v\frac{2\pi k}{N} - iX\frac{2\pi k}{N}}\right) \\
 &\quad \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left(1 + e^{-\beta v\frac{2\pi k}{N} + iX\frac{2\pi}{N}(\frac{N}{2} + k)}\right) \quad (159)
 \end{aligned}$$

Setting $K_0 = \frac{\pi}{8N}$ and using $E_0 \approx -\frac{2N}{\pi} + \frac{2\pi v}{48N} + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{N^3})$, we find

$$Z_{OA}^{++} = e^{\frac{2N\beta}{\pi}} \frac{\sqrt{2\theta_3(\tau)\theta_2(\tau)}}{|\eta(\tau)|} \quad (160)$$

$$Z_{OA}^{-+} = 0 \quad (161)$$

for even X and odd X respectively.

Fermion twisted sector— Inserting $(-1)^F$ in the partition functions above compensates for the $(-1)^{c^\dagger c}$ factor for the odd X case, while it produces a factor of 0 in the even X case due to the new factor of -1 from the fermion twist operator. Therefore we have

$$Z_{OA}^{+-} = 0 \quad (162)$$

$$Z_{OA}^{--} = e^{\frac{2N\beta}{\pi}} \frac{\sqrt{2\theta_4(\tau)\theta_2(\tau)}}{|\eta(\tau)|} \quad (163)$$

for even and odd X respectively.

In the above calculation, we made some ad hoc choices for the way the translation operator acts on the momentum space Majorana modes and consequently for the values of K_0 (ground state momentum) in the various Hilbert space sectors. In a more systematic calculation, we would start with a real space translation operator and derive its form in momentum space. Our only explanation for these choices at the moment is post-hoc, *i.e.* these choices give us nice modular transformation properties of the multi-component partition function.

D. Modular transformation properties of the multi-component partition function

Symmetry Twist Basis

Let's summarize the 16-component partition function obtained above,

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z_{EP}^{++} &= 2|\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}|^2 \\
 Z_{EP}^{-+} &= \text{N/A} \\
 Z_{EP}^{+-} &= 0 \\
 Z_{EP}^{--} &= \text{N/A} \\
 Z_{EA}^{++} &= |\chi_0^{\text{Is}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}|^2 \\
 Z_{EA}^{-+} &= (\chi_0^{\text{Is}} - \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}})(\bar{\chi}_0^{\text{Is}} + \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}) \\
 Z_{EA}^{+-} &= |\chi_0^{\text{Is}} - \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}|^2 \\
 Z_{EA}^{--} &= (\chi_0^{\text{Is}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}})(\bar{\chi}_0^{\text{Is}} - \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}) \\
 Z_{OP}^{++} &= 2\bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}(\chi_0^{\text{Is}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}) \\
 Z_{OP}^{-+} &= 2\bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}(\chi_0^{\text{Is}} - \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}) \\
 Z_{OP}^{+-} &= 0 \\
 Z_{OP}^{--} &= 0 \\
 Z_{OA}^{++} &= 2(\bar{\chi}_0^{\text{Is}} + \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}})\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}} \\
 Z_{OA}^{-+} &= 0 \\
 Z_{OA}^{+-} &= 0 \\
 Z_{OA}^{--} &= 2(\bar{\chi}_0^{\text{Is}} - \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}})\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}
 \end{aligned} \quad (164)$$

The 16-component partition function is expressed in terms of Ising CFT characters. The subscripts include E and O for even and odd number of lattice sites, and A and P for antiperiodic and periodic boundary conditions respectively. The superscripts have two \pm signs, the first of which indicates whether X is even or odd by $+$ and $-$ respectively, while the second indicates periodic or antiperiodic temporal b.c. by $-$ and $+$ respectively. “N/A” stands for “not allowed”, indicating that the corresponding spatial and temporal boundary conditions are incompatible. In the following, we will sometimes also refer to even and odd X by E^X and O^X respectively. Similarly, we will also refer to \mathbb{Z}_2^m untwisted *i.e.* antiperiodic temporal b.c. by A^f and \mathbb{Z}_2^m twisted *i.e.* periodic temporal b.c. by P^f . In eqn. (164), we have dropped the $\mathcal{O}(e^N)$ factor from each of the partition function components.

Eqn. (164) describes the multi-component partition function of the Ising critical point in the so-called symmetry twist basis. Using the known modular transformation properties of the Ising characters, we find that the nine non-zero components transform into each other under modular transformations, but the S matrix is not unitary. To get a unitary S matrix in the symmetry twist basis, we need to strip off a factor of $\sqrt{2}$ from the partition function components corresponding to odd N . This can be interpreted as the quantum dimension of the ghost

Majorana degree of freedom; we put in the ghost fermion by hand so it only makes sense to take off the extra factor from the partition function. One can understand this in the same spirit as regulators used in quantum field theory calculations. This issue was also discussed in Ref. 108 where the authors found that an odd number of Majorana fermions do not admit a well-defined graded Hilbert space. We approach this issue differently — we add in a ghost Majorana fermion so that the Hilbert space may be well-defined, with the “ghost” being interpreted as an insertion of a quasiparticle in the bulk 2+1D topological order. We dub this basis, in which S and T matrices are unitary, the “unitary symmetry twist” (UST) basis. In this basis, the 9×9 modular S and T matrices are found to be given by

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (165)$$

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-i\frac{\pi}{8}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-i\frac{\pi}{8}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{i\frac{\pi}{8}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{i\frac{\pi}{8}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (166)$$

Quasiparticle Basis

The partition functions in eqn. (164), when expanded in terms of $q \equiv e^{2\pi i \tau}$ and $\bar{q} \equiv e^{-2\pi i \bar{\tau}}$, do not all have positive integer coefficients. In the so-called “quasiparticle basis”, however, these coefficients indicate the degeneracy of different excited states in the spectrum, and hence must be positive integer valued. In order to convert from the symmetry twist to the quasiparticle basis, we must

take suitable linear combinations of the different temporal boundary conditions so as to project to different symmetry charge sectors. Each of the partition function components in the symmetry-twist basis has the general form of $Z = Z_{00} - Z_{10} - Z_{01} + Z_{11}$ where $Z_{00}, Z_{01}, Z_{10}, Z_{11}$ are polynomials in q, \bar{q} with positive integer coefficients. Z_{00} collects the terms without \mathbb{Z}_2^m twist and $(-1)^F$ insertion, Z_{10} those with only \mathbb{Z}_2^m twist, Z_{01} those with a negative contribution due to only $(-1)^F$ insertion, and Z_{11} collects the remaining terms getting a negative sign from both (hence has a positive sign). The four new Z ’s can be interpreted as the components of the partition function in the quasiparticle basis. The general prescription to extract them is given by the following formulas (cf. excitation basis of \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order in Ref. 6)

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{00} &= \frac{Z^{++} + Z^{-+} + Z^{+-} + Z^{--}}{4} \\ Z^{10} &= \frac{Z^{++} - Z^{-+} + Z^{+-} - Z^{--}}{4} \\ Z^{01} &= \frac{Z^{++} + Z^{-+} - Z^{+-} - Z^{--}}{4} \\ Z^{11} &= \frac{Z^{++} - Z^{-+} - Z^{+-} + Z^{--}}{4} \end{aligned} \quad (167)$$

where the superscripts on the r.h.s. indicate the symmetry twist in the time direction for the \mathbb{Z}_2^m and \mathbb{Z}_2^n symmetries, as in eqn. (164). The subscript labels are suppressed since we apply this formula separately for each of the four spatial symmetry twists. There is, however, a subtlety with applying this definition to the EP sector of eqn. (164). Since two of the components in this sector, labeled “N/A”, correspond to disallowed boundary conditions, we define $Z^{00} = \frac{1}{2}(Z^{++} + Z^{+-})$ and $Z^{01} = \frac{1}{2}(Z^{++} - Z^{+-})$ for this column, while leaving “N/A” labels for Z_{10}, Z_{11} . The 16-component partition function in this new basis is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{EP}^{00} &= |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}|^2, \quad Z_{EP}^{10} = \text{N/A}, \quad Z_{EP}^{01} = |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}|^2, \quad Z_{EP}^{11} = \text{N/A} \\ Z_{EA}^{00} &= |\chi_0^{\text{Is}}|^2, \quad Z_{EA}^{10} = |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}|^2, \quad Z_{EA}^{01} = \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} \chi_0^{\text{Is}}, \quad Z_{EA}^{11} = \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} \bar{\chi}_0^{\text{Is}} \\ Z_{OP}^{00} &= \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}} \chi_0^{\text{Is}}, \quad Z_{OP}^{10} = \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}} \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}, \quad Z_{OP}^{01} = \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}} \chi_0^{\text{Is}}, \quad Z_{OP}^{11} = \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}} \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} \\ Z_{OA}^{00} &= \bar{\chi}_0^{\text{Is}} \chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}, \quad Z_{OA}^{10} = \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} \chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}, \quad Z_{OA}^{01} = \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}} \chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}, \quad Z_{OA}^{11} = \bar{\chi}_0^{\text{Is}} \chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}} \end{aligned} \quad (168)$$

We note that the nine distinct partition functions seen here can be interpreted as anomalous partition functions corresponding to the appropriate defect lines inserted into the bulk double Ising topological order corresponding to fusion of chiral $h = 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16}$ and anti-chiral $\bar{h} = 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16}$ excitations.[6]

Turns out, the modular S and T matrices in this basis are unitary if we *don’t* strip off the factor of $\sqrt{2}$, unlike in the UST basis above. We can interpret this peculiarity as follows. In the symmetry twist basis, we focused on the 1+1D CFT without considering the bulk topological order, hence the bulk/ghost Majorana should not be included in the partition function calculation. However, in

the quasiparticle basis, we are computing the partition function for the boundary along with the 2+1D bulk, *i.e.* with the insertion of defect lines in the bulk topological order. For consistency with that description, the bulk/ghost Majorana must not be factored out if we are to retain a unitary description of the noninvertible gravitational anomaly of the 1+1D CFT. The explicit expressions of the S and T matrices in the quasiparticle basis are given by

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$T = \text{diag} \left(1, 1, 1, -1, -1, e^{-\frac{i\pi}{8}}, -e^{-\frac{i\pi}{8}}, e^{\frac{i\pi}{8}}, -e^{\frac{i\pi}{8}} \right) \quad (169)$$

Here, we leave out the disallowed (labeled by N/A) components of eqn. (168) and average over the redundant ones, so that we have nine distinct components of the partition function,

$$\mathbf{Z}^{QP} = \left(\frac{Z_{EP}^{00} + Z_{EP}^{01}}{2}, Z_{EA}^{00}, Z_{EA}^{10}, Z_{EA}^{01}, Z_{EA}^{11}, \frac{Z_{OP}^{00} + Z_{OP}^{01}}{2}, \frac{Z_{OP}^{10} + Z_{OP}^{11}}{2}, \frac{Z_{OA}^{00} + Z_{OA}^{11}}{2}, \frac{Z_{OA}^{10} + Z_{OA}^{01}}{2} \right) \quad (170)$$

The T matrix is diagonal in the quasiparticle basis, with the diagonal elements indicating the topological spins of the corresponding excitations. We also note that both S and T matrices are unitary and symmetric, as expected from the properties of minimal models. In particular, eqn. (169) exactly matches the modular transformation matrices of a theory defined by the direct product of left and right moving Ising characters.

Relating the Different Bases

To make the above basis changes and projections more systematic, we look for linear transformations between the symmetry-twist (ST) basis, the unitary symmetry twist (UST) basis, and the quasiparticle (QP) basis. S

and T matrices in the symmetry-twist basis have the general form given in eqn. (33). For $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry twists, this gives us 16×16 S and T matrices. To connect these to the 9×9 matrices in the UST basis displayed in eqns. (165) and (166), we project onto the relevant subspace of non-zero components of the partition function. Moreover, the T matrix gets some complex phase factors, which can be interpreted as anomalies of the partition function. The 16×16 S and T matrices (with the appropriate complex phase factors plugged into the T matrix) can also be transformed into the quasiparticle basis directly by a change of basis combined with a projection. These two transformations, therefore, take us from the appropriately modified eqn. (33) to eqns. (165)-(166) and eqn. (169) directly.

In the Majorana model discussed here, the symmetry $\mathbb{Z}_2^m \times \mathbb{Z}_2^{em}$ can also be interpreted as a product of two fermion parity \mathbb{Z}_2 groups, one each for left and right movers. We denote this group as $\mathbb{Z}_2^L \times \mathbb{Z}_2^R = \{++, +-, -+, --\}$, where $+$ is for periodic and $-$ for antiperiodic. As explained below eqn. (132), the presence and absence of fermion parity operator in the partition function corresponds respectively to periodic and antiperiodic boundary conditions in the time direction. In the space direction, periodic and antiperiodic b.c. correspond to integer and half-integer momenta, as explained above. With this in mind, let's map the superscript and subscript labels on the l.h.s. of eqn. (164) to $\mathbb{Z}_2^L \times \mathbb{Z}_2^R$ elements:

$$\begin{aligned} EP &\rightarrow ++ & E^X A^f &\rightarrow -- \\ EA &\rightarrow -- & O^X A^f &\rightarrow -+ \\ OP &\rightarrow +- & E^X P^f &\rightarrow ++ \\ OA &\rightarrow -+ & O^X P^f &\rightarrow +- \end{aligned} \quad (171)$$

In light of these new symmetry labels, let us re-write eqn. (164) and also incorporate the division by $\sqrt{2}$ for the cases with an odd number of lattice sites, as explained above. The result of these operations is shown in eqn. (172).

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{++}^{--} &= 2|\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}|^2 \\ Z_{++}^{-+} &= N/A \\ Z_{++}^{+-} &= 0 \\ Z_{++}^{--} &= N/A \\ Z_{--}^{--} &= |\chi_0^{\text{Is}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}|^2 \\ Z_{--}^{-+} &= (\chi_0^{\text{Is}} - \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}})(\bar{\chi}_0^{\text{Is}} + \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}) \\ Z_{--}^{+-} &= |\chi_0^{\text{Is}} - \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}|^2 \\ Z_{--}^{--} &= (\chi_0^{\text{Is}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}})(\bar{\chi}_0^{\text{Is}} - \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}) \\ Z_{+-}^{--} &= 2\bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}(\chi_0^{\text{Is}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}) \\ Z_{+-}^{-+} &= 2\bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}(\chi_0^{\text{Is}} - \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}) \\ Z_{+-}^{+-} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (172)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{+-}^{+-} &= 0 \\
Z_{-+}^{--} &= 2(\bar{\chi}_0^{\text{Is}} + \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}})\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}} \\
Z_{-+}^{-+} &= 0 \\
Z_{-+}^{++} &= 0 \\
Z_{-+}^{+-} &= 2(\bar{\chi}_0^{\text{Is}} - \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}})\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}
\end{aligned}$$

The appropriately modified form of eqn. (33), incorporating the phase factors in the modular T transformation, is

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{g',h'}(-1/\tau) &= S_{(g',h'),(g,h)} Z_{g,h}(\tau), \\
Z_{g',h'}(\tau+1) &= T_{(g',h'),(g,h)} Z_{g,h}(\tau), \\
Z_{g',h'}(\tau) &= R_{(g',h'),(g,h)}(u) Z_{g,h}(\tau), \\
S_{(g',h'),(g,h)} &= \delta_{(g',h'),(h,g)}, \\
T_{(g',h'),(g,h)} &= \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{i\pi}{8}} \delta_{(g',h'),(g,hg)} & \text{for } g = +- \\ e^{\frac{i\pi}{8}} \delta_{(g',h'),(g,hg)} & \text{for } g = -+ , \\ \delta_{(g',h'),(g,hg)} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
R &= 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{173}$$

where $g, h \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. These S and T matrices seemingly also act on the disallowed components of the partition function. However, this is not really an issue because we are aiming to extract the physically meaningful components of the 16-component partition function by suitable projection and/or change of basis. In this spirit, the 9-component partition function in the UST basis can be obtained by a projection to the subspace of the 9 non-zero components of eqn. (172), described by the 9×16 matrix,

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{174}$$

which satisfies $MM^\dagger = I_{9 \times 9}$ (i.e. it is an isometry). Acting on the 16×16 S and T matrices described by eqn. (173) with M (by conjugation), we derive the 9×9 S and T matrices in UST basis given in eqns. (165) and (166),

$$S^{UST} = MS^{ST}M^\dagger, \quad T^{UST} = MT^{ST}M^\dagger \tag{175}$$

where the S, T matrices on the l.h.s. stand for those in eqns. (165) and (166) and those on the r.h.s. stands for the ones in eqn. (173).

The next task is to find a linear transformation to go from eqn. (173) to eqn. (169). We do this in two parts, first a change of basis going from the partition function in eqn. (172) to that in eqn. (168), and then an appropriate projection onto the 9 independent components of the quasiparticle basis. The change of basis is described by the following block-diagonal matrix,

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} A & O & O & O \\ O & \sqrt{2}B & O & O \\ O & O & \sqrt{2}B & O \\ O & O & O & B \end{pmatrix}, \tag{176}$$

where the 4×4 matrices A and B are

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{177}$$

and O is the 4×4 null matrix. This change of basis is essentially identical to eqn. (167), with the extra factors of $\sqrt{2}$ simply accounting for the fact that we removed a factor of the quantum dimension of the ghost Majorana mode in defining the partition function in the symmetry-twist basis which we must restore when we go to the quasiparticle basis (as argued above). Also, note that we have an identity matrix in the subspace of the two components that are not allowed because of the incompatible space and time direction symmetry twists (labeled “N/A” in eqns. (164) and (172)). The action of matrix N on the 16-component partition function in the symmetry-twist basis produces the 16-component partition function in eqn. (168),

$$\mathbf{Z}^{QP16} = N\mathbf{Z}^{ST}, \tag{178}$$

where \mathbf{Z}^{ST} is the 16-component partition function displayed in eqn. (172) and \mathbf{Z}^{QP16} is the 16-component partition function shown in eqn. (168). From \mathbf{Z}^{QP16} , we project out the independent components to form the 9-component partition function \mathbf{Z}^{QP} corresponding to the double Ising quasiparticle basis, i.e. labeled by $h, \bar{h} \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16}\}$. This is achieved by the action of the

9×16 matrix,

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (179)$$

where we have taken averages over the duplicate components in \mathbf{Z}^{QP16} (cf. eqn. (168)) so as to put them on an equal footing. In equations, we can express the above transformations from 16-component \mathbf{Z}^{ST} to 16-component \mathbf{Z}^{QP16} to 9-component \mathbf{Z}^{QP} is summarized as

$$\mathbf{Z}^{QP} = P\mathbf{Z}^{QP16} = PN\mathbf{Z}^{ST} \quad (180)$$

It turns out that $4(PN)^\dagger$ is the right inverse of the matrix PN , i.e. $4PN(PN)^\dagger = I_{9 \times 9}$. In terms of these matrices, we can define a transformation from the S, T matrices in eqn. (173) to those in eqn. (169),

$$S^{QP} = 4PN S^{ST} N^\dagger P^\dagger, \quad T^{QP} = 4PN T^{ST} N^\dagger P^\dagger \quad (181)$$

where the S, T matrices on the l.h.s. stand for those in eqn. (169) and those on the r.h.s. stand for the ones in eqn. (173). Eqn. (175) and eqn. (181) are thus the desired transformations that convert S and T matrices from the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry twist (ST) basis to the UST and QP bases respectively.

Therefore, we may view the critical point of \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetry breaking transition as a $\mathbb{1}$ -condensed boundary of the 2+1D double-Ising topological order \mathcal{M}_{dis} . It is described by the nine-component partition function labeled by a pair (h, \bar{h})

$$Z_{h, \bar{h}}^{QP}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) = \chi_h^{\text{Is}}(\tau) \bar{\chi}_{\bar{h}}^{\text{Is}}(\bar{\tau}), \quad h, \bar{h} = 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16}. \quad (182)$$

A modular invariant partition function is obtained by stacking on the \mathcal{M}_{dis} bulk and a gapped boundary obtained by condensing $\mathbb{1} \oplus \sigma \bar{\sigma} \oplus \psi \bar{\psi}$, as shown in Fig. 14.

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{Is}^{af}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) &= Z_{\mathbb{1}\text{-cnd};(\mathbb{1}, \bar{\mathbb{1}})}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dis}}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) + Z_{\mathbb{1}\text{-cnd};(\sigma, \bar{\sigma})}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dis}}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \\ &\quad + Z_{\mathbb{1}\text{-cnd};(\psi, \bar{\psi})}^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{dis}}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \\ &= |\chi_0^{\text{Is}}(\tau)|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Is}}(\tau)|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Is}}(\tau)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have used the isomorphic holographic decomposition [10] to reveal the emergent symmetry in a quantum field theory: $QFT_{af} = QFT_{ano} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ (see Figs. 1 and 9). This decomposition means that the partition function of gravitational anomaly-free QFT_{af} is reproduced by the composite system $QFT_{ano} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$, where the bulk \mathcal{M} and the boundary $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ are assumed to have infinite energy gap. The decomposition makes explicit the emergent symTO \mathcal{M} and the emergent symmetry $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ describes the fusion of symmetry defects.

Using such a decomposition picture, we define the notion of *maximal symTO*. We believe that the maximal symTO is a very detailed characterization of a gapless state. We argue that it largely characterizes and determines the gapless state (up to holo-equivalence). In other words, just knowing maximal symTO may allow us to determine local low energy dynamical properties, with just a few ambiguities. This may open up a new direction to study gapless states.

We acknowledge many helpful discussions with Michael DeMarco, Liang Kong, Ho Tat Lam, Ryan Lanzetta, Salvatore Pace, Shu-Heng Shao, and Carolyn Zhang. This work is partially supported by NSF DMR-2022428 and by the Simons Collaboration on Ultra-Quantum Matter, which is a grant from the Simons Foundation (651446, XGW).

Appendix A: Minimal models: fusion rules and \mathbb{Z}_2 grading

The topological order described by the $(5, 4)$ -minimal model has the following set of anyons, which correspond to the primary fields of the minimal model CFT:

anyon	$\mathbb{1}$	a	b	c	d	e
s :	0	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{7}{16}$	$\frac{3}{5}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{3}{80}$
d :	1	1	$\sqrt{2}$	$\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$	$\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$	$\frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{10}}$

These anyons have the following fusion rules.

\otimes	$\mathbb{1}$	a	b	c	d	e
$\mathbb{1}$	$\mathbb{1}$	a	b	c	d	e
a	a	$\mathbb{1}$	b	d	c	e
b	b	b	$\mathbb{1} \oplus a$	e	e	$c \oplus d$
c	c	d	e	$\mathbb{1} \oplus c$	$a \oplus d$	$b \oplus e$
d	d	c	e	$a \oplus d$	$\mathbb{1} \oplus c$	$b \oplus e$
e	e	e	$c \oplus d$	$b \oplus e$	$b \oplus e$	$\mathbb{1} \oplus a \oplus c \oplus d$

From the fusion rules, we see a \mathbb{Z}_2 grading, where the non-trivial \mathbb{Z}_2 sector is indicated by bold labels. The

fusion rules also allow us to regard the Abelian anyon a as carrying a \mathbb{Z}_2^a charge. From the fusion rule, we see that $\mathbb{1}$ and c carry no \mathbb{Z}_2^a charge, while a and d carry a non-trivial \mathbb{Z}_2^a charge. On the other hand b and e carry uncertain \mathbb{Z}_2^a charges, *i.e.* both trivial \mathbb{Z}_2^a charge and non-trivial \mathbb{Z}_2^a charge.

The (6,5)-minimal model has the following set of anyons, which correspond to the primary fields of the minimal model CFT:

anyon	$\mathbb{1}$	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i
s :	0	3	$\frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{7}{5}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{13}{8}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{40}$	$\frac{21}{40}$	$\frac{1}{15}$
d :	1	1	$\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$	$\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$	$\sqrt{3}$	$\sqrt{3}$	2	$\frac{15+3\sqrt{5}}{2\sqrt{15}}$	$\frac{15+3\sqrt{5}}{2\sqrt{15}}$	$1 + \sqrt{5}$

These anyons have the following fusion rules

\otimes	$\mathbb{1}$	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i
$\mathbb{1}$	$\mathbb{1}$	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i
a	a	$\mathbb{1}$	c	b	e	d	f	h	g	i
b	b	c	$\mathbb{1} \oplus c$	$a \oplus b$	g	h	i	$d \oplus h$	$e \oplus g$	$f \oplus i$
c	c	b	$a \oplus b$	$\mathbb{1} \oplus c$	h	g	i	$e \oplus g$	$d \oplus h$	$f \oplus i$
d	d	e	g	h	$\mathbb{1} \oplus f$	$a \oplus f$	$d \oplus e$	$b \oplus i$	$c \oplus i$	$g \oplus h$
e	e	d	h	g	$a \oplus f$	$\mathbb{1} \oplus f$	$d \oplus e$	$c \oplus i$	$b \oplus i$	$g \oplus h$
f	f	f	i	i	$d \oplus e$	$d \oplus e$	$\mathbb{1} \oplus a \oplus f$	$g \oplus h$	$g \oplus h$	$b \oplus c \oplus i$
g	g	h	$d \oplus h$	$e \oplus g$	$b \oplus i$	$c \oplus i$	$g \oplus h$	$\mathbb{1} \oplus c \oplus f \oplus i$	$a \oplus b \oplus f \oplus i$	$d \oplus e \oplus g \oplus h$
h	h	g	$e \oplus g$	$d \oplus h$	$c \oplus i$	$b \oplus i$	$g \oplus h$	$a \oplus b \oplus f \oplus i$	$\mathbb{1} \oplus c \oplus f \oplus i$	$d \oplus e \oplus g \oplus h$
i	i	i	$f \oplus i$	$f \oplus i$	$g \oplus h$	$g \oplus h$	$b \oplus c \oplus i$	$d \oplus e \oplus g \oplus h$	$d \oplus e \oplus g \oplus h$	$\mathbb{1} \oplus a \oplus b \oplus c \oplus f \oplus i$

Again, from the fusion rules, we see a \mathbb{Z}_2 grading, where the non-trivial \mathbb{Z}_2 sector is indicated by bold labels. Similarly, these fusion rules also allow us to regard the Abelian anyon a as carrying a \mathbb{Z}_2^a charge. From the fu-

sion rules, we see that $\mathbb{1}$ and c carry no \mathbb{Z}_2^a charge, while a and b carry a non-trivial \mathbb{Z}_2^a charge. On the other hand f , i , d , e , g , and h carry uncertain \mathbb{Z}_2^a charges, *i.e.* both trivial \mathbb{Z}_2^a charge and non-trivial \mathbb{Z}_2^a charge.

-
- [1] G. Moore and N. Seiberg, Classical and quantum conformal field theory, [Commun.Math. Phys.](#) **123**, 177 (1989).
 - [2] G. Moore and N. Seiberg, Naturality in conformal field theory, [Nuclear Physics B](#) **313**, 16 (1989).
 - [3] W. Ji and X.-G. Wen, Categorical symmetry and non-invertible anomaly in symmetry-breaking and topological phase transitions, [Phys. Rev. Res.](#) **2**, 033417 (2020), [arXiv:1912.13492](#).
 - [4] L. Kong, T. Lan, X.-G. Wen, Z.-H. Zhang, and

- H. Zheng, Classification of topological phases with finite internal symmetries in all dimensions, [J. High Energy Phys.](#) **2020** (9), 93, [arXiv:2003.08898](#).
- [5] L. Kong, T. Lan, X.-G. Wen, Z.-H. Zhang, and H. Zheng, Algebraic higher symmetry and categorical symmetry: A holographic and entanglement view of symmetry, [Phys. Rev. Res.](#) **2**, 043086 (2020), [arXiv:2005.14178](#).
- [6] W. Ji and X.-G. Wen, Non-invertible anomalies and

- mapping-class-group transformation of anomalous partition functions, *Phys. Rev. Research* **1**, 033054 (2019), [arXiv:1905.13279](#).
- [7] L. Kong and X.-G. Wen, Braided fusion categories, gravitational anomalies, and the mathematical framework for topological orders in any dimensions, (2014), [arXiv:1405.5858](#).
 - [8] D. Fiorenza and A. Valentino, Boundary conditions for topological quantum field theories, anomalies and projective modular functors, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **338**, 1043 (2015), [arXiv:1409.5723](#).
 - [9] S. Monnier, Hamiltonian anomalies from extended field theories, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **338**, 1327 (2015), [arXiv:1410.7442](#).
 - [10] L. Kong, X.-G. Wen, and H. Zheng, Boundary-bulk relation for topological orders as the functor mapping higher categories to their centers (2015), [arXiv:1502.01690](#).
 - [11] L. Kong, X.-G. Wen, and H. Zheng, Boundary-bulk relation in topological orders, *Nucl. Phys. B* **922**, 62 (2017), [arXiv:1702.00673](#).
 - [12] S. Yang, L. Lehman, D. Poilblanc, K. Van Acoleyen, F. Verstraete, J. I. Cirac, and N. Schuch, Edge theories in projected entangled pair state models, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 036402 (2014), [arXiv:1309.4596](#).
 - [13] A. Chatterjee and X.-G. Wen, Symmetry as a shadow of topological order and a derivation of topological holographic principle, *Phys. Rev. B* **107**, 155136 (2023), [arXiv:2203.03596](#).
 - [14] C. Zhang and M. Levin, Exactly Solvable Model for a Deconfined Quantum Critical Point in 1D, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 026801 (2023), [arXiv:2206.01222](#).
 - [15] L. Kong and H. Zheng, Gapless edges of 2d topological orders and enriched monoidal categories, *Nucl. Phys. B* **927**, 140 (2018), [arXiv:1705.01087](#).
 - [16] L. Kong and H. Zheng, A mathematical theory of gapless edges of 2d topological orders I, *J. High Energ. Phys.* **2020**, 150, [arXiv:1905.04924](#).
 - [17] L. Kong and H. Zheng, A mathematical theory of gapless edges of 2d topological orders. Part II, *Nuclear Physics B* **966**, 115384 (2021), [arXiv:1912.01760](#).
 - [18] F. Apruzzi, F. Bonetti, I. G. Etxebarria, S. S. Hosseini, and S. Schäfer-Nameki, Symmetry TFTs from string theory, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **402**, 895 (2023), [arXiv:2112.02092 \[hep-th\]](#).
 - [19] Z. Nussinov and G. Ortiz, Sufficient symmetry conditions for topological quantum order, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **106**, 16944 (2009), [arXiv:cond-mat/0605316](#).
 - [20] Z. Nussinov and G. Ortiz, A symmetry principle for topological quantum order, *Ann. Phys.* **324**, 977 (2009), [arXiv:cond-mat/0702377](#).
 - [21] A. Kapustin and R. Thorngren, Higher Symmetry and Gapped Phases of Gauge Theories, in *Algebra, Geometry, and Physics in the 21st Century: Kontsevich Festschrift*, edited by D. Auroux, L. Katzarkov, T. Pantev, Y. Soibelman, and Y. Tschinkel (Springer International Publishing, 2017) pp. 177–202, [arXiv:1309.4721](#).
 - [22] D. Gaiotto, A. Kapustin, N. Seiberg, and B. Willett, Generalized global symmetries, *J. High Energ. Phys.* **2015** (2), 172, [arXiv:1412.5148](#).
 - [23] G. 't Hooft, Naturalness, chiral symmetry, and spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking, in *Recent Developments in Gauge Theories. NATO Advanced Study Institutes Series (Series B. Physics)*, Vol. 59, edited by G. 't Hooft et al. (Springer, Boston, MA., 1980) pp. 135–157.
 - [24] X. Chen, Z.-C. Gu, Z.-X. Liu, and X.-G. Wen, Symmetry protected topological orders and the group cohomology of their symmetry group, *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 155114 (2013), [arXiv:1106.4772](#).
 - [25] X.-G. Wen, Classifying gauge anomalies through symmetry-protected trivial orders and classifying gravitational anomalies through topological orders, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 045013 (2013), [arXiv:1303.1803](#).
 - [26] A. Kapustin and R. Thorngren, Anomalous discrete symmetries in three dimensions and group cohomology, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 231602 (2014), [arXiv:1403.0617](#).
 - [27] R. Thorngren and C. von Keyserlingk, Higher SPT's and a generalization of anomaly in-flow (2015), [arXiv:1511.02929](#).
 - [28] Y. Tachikawa, On gauging finite subgroups, *SciPost Phys.* **8**, 015 (2020), [arXiv:1712.09542](#).
 - [29] A. J. Parzygnat, Two-dimensional algebra in lattice gauge theory, *J. Math. Phys.* **60**, 043506 (2019), [arXiv:1802.01139](#).
 - [30] C. Delcamp and A. Tiwari, From gauge to higher gauge models of topological phases, *J. High Energ. Phys.* **2018** (10), 49, [arXiv:1802.10104](#).
 - [31] F. Benini, C. Córdova, and P.-S. Hsin, On 2-group global symmetries and their anomalies, *J. High Energ. Phys.* **2019** (3), 118, [arXiv:1803.09336](#).
 - [32] C. Zhu, T. Lan, and X.-G. Wen, Topological nonlinear σ -model, higher gauge theory, and a systematic construction of 3+1D topological orders for boson systems, *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 045105 (2019), [arXiv:1808.09394](#).
 - [33] Z. Wan, J. Wang, and Y. Zheng, New Higher Anomalies, $SU(N)$ Yang-Mills Gauge Theory and \mathbb{CP}^{N-1} Sigma Model, *Annals of Physics* **414**, 168074 (2020), [arXiv:1812.11968](#).
 - [34] Z. Wan and J. Wang, Higher anomalies, higher symmetries, and cobordisms I: classification of higher-symmetry-protected topological states and their boundary fermionic/bosonic anomalies via a generalized cobordism theory, *Annals of Mathematical Sciences and Applications* **4**, 107 (2019), [arXiv:1812.11967](#).
 - [35] M. Guo, K. Ohmori, P. Putrov, Z. Wan, and J. Wang, Fermionic Finite-Group Gauge Theories and Interacting Symmetric/Crystalline Orders via Cobordisms, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **376**, 1073 (2020), [arXiv:1812.11959](#).
 - [36] Z. Wan and J. Wang, Adjoint QCD4, deconfined critical phenomena, symmetry-enriched topological quantum field theory, and higher symmetry extension, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 065013 (2019), [arXiv:1812.11955](#).
 - [37] X.-G. Wen, Emergent (anomalous) higher symmetries from topological order and from dynamical electromagnetic field in condensed matter systems, *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 205139 (2019), [arXiv:1812.02517](#).
 - [38] V. B. Petkova and J. B. Zuber, Generalised twisted partition functions, *Physics Letters B* **504**, 157 (2001), [arXiv:hep-th/0011021](#).
 - [39] R. Coquereaux and G. Schieber, Twisted partition functions for ADE boundary conformal field theories and Ocneanu algebras of quantum symmetries, *Journal of Geometry and Physics* **42**, 216 (2002), [arXiv:hep-th/0107001](#).
 - [40] J. Fuchs, I. Runkel, and C. Schweigert, TFT construction of RCFT correlators I: partition functions, *Nuclear*

- Physics B **646**, 353 (2002), [arXiv:hep-th/0204148](#).
- [41] J. Fröhlich, J. Fuchs, I. Runkel, and C. Schweigert, Duality and defects in rational conformal field theory, *Nucl. Phys. B* **763**, 354 (2007), [arXiv:hep-th/0607247](#).
 - [42] J. Fröhlich, J. Fuchs, I. Runkel, and C. Schweigert, Defect Lines, Dualities and Generalised Orbifolds, in *XVITH INTERNATIONAL CONGRESS ON MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS. Held 3-8 August 2009 in Prague* (2010) pp. 608–613, [arXiv:0909.5013](#).
 - [43] L. Bhardwaj and Y. Tachikawa, On finite symmetries and their gauging in two dimensions, *J. High Energ. Phys.* **2018** (3), 189, [arXiv:1704.02330](#).
 - [44] C.-M. Chang, Y.-H. Lin, S.-H. Shao, Y. Wang, and X. Yin, Topological defect lines and renormalization group flows in two dimensions, *J. High Energ. Phys.* **2019** (1), 26, [arXiv:1802.04445](#).
 - [45] R. Thorngren and Y. Wang, Fusion Category Symmetry I: Anomaly In-Flow and Gapped Phases (2019), [arXiv:1912.02817](#).
 - [46] L. Kong, W. Yuan, and H. Zheng, Pointed Drinfeld Center Functor, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **381**, 1409 (2021), [arXiv:1912.13168](#).
 - [47] K. Inamura, Topological field theories and symmetry protected topological phases with fusion category symmetries, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2021**, 204 (2021), [arXiv:2103.15588](#).
 - [48] T. Quella, Symmetry-protected topological phases beyond groups: The q-deformed Affleck-Kennedy-Lieb-Tasaki model, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 081120 (2020), [arXiv:2005.09072](#).
 - [49] A. Davydov, L. Kong, and I. Runkel, Field theories with defects and the centre functor, Mathematical foundations of quantum field theory and perturbative string theory **83**, 71 (2011), [arXiv:1107.0495](#).
 - [50] D. S. Freed, G. W. Moore, and C. Teleman, Topological symmetry in quantum field theory (2022), [arXiv:2209.07471](#).
 - [51] L. Kong and H. Zheng, Categories of quantum liquids I, *J. High Energ. Phys.* **2022** (8), 1, [arXiv:2011.02859](#).
 - [52] L. Kong and H. Zheng, Categories of quantum liquids II, (2021), [arXiv:2107.03858](#).
 - [53] L. Kong and H. Zheng, Categories of quantum liquids III, (2022), [arXiv:2201.05726](#).
 - [54] W. W. Ho, L. Cincio, H. Moradi, D. Gaiotto, and G. Vidal, Edge-entanglement spectrum correspondence in a nonchiral topological phase and Kramers-Wannier duality, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 125119 (2015), [arXiv:1411.6932](#).
 - [55] W.-Q. Chen, C.-M. Jian, L. Kong, Y.-Z. You, and H. Zheng, Topological phase transition on the edge of two-dimensional z_2 topological order, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 045139 (2020), [arXiv:1903.12334](#).
 - [56] W. Ji and X.-G. Wen, Metallic states beyond the tomonaga-luttinger liquid in one dimension, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 10.1103/physrevb.102.195107 (2020), [arXiv:1912.09391](#).
 - [57] T. Lichtman, R. Thorngren, N. H. Lindner, A. Stern, and E. Berg, Bulk anyons as edge symmetries: Boundary phase diagrams of topologically ordered states, *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 075141 (2021), [arXiv:2003.04328](#).
 - [58] D. Gaiotto and J. Kulp, Orbifold groupoids, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2021**, 10.1007/jhep02(2021)132 (2021), [arXiv:2008.05960 \[hep-th\]](#).
 - [59] X. Chen, Z.-C. Gu, Z.-X. Liu, and X.-G. Wen, Symmetry-protected topological orders in interacting bosonic systems, *Science* **338**, 1604 (2012), [arXiv:1301.0861](#).
 - [60] D. S. Freed, Introduction to topological symmetry in qft (2023), [arXiv:2212.00195 \[hep-th\]](#).
 - [61] J. Kaidi, E. Nardoni, G. Zafrir, and Y. Zheng, Symmetry tfts and anomalies of non-invertible symmetries, (2023), [arXiv:2301.07112 \[hep-th\]](#).
 - [62] C. Zhang and C. Córdova, Anomalies of $(1+1)d$ categorical symmetries, (2023), [arXiv:2304.01262 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](#).
 - [63] L. Alvarez-Gaumé and E. Witten, Gravitational anomalies, *Nuclear Physics B* **234**, 269 (1984).
 - [64] E. Witten, Global gravitational anomalies, *Commun.Math. Phys.* **100**, 197 (1985).
 - [65] E. Lieb, T. Schultz, and D. Mattis, Two soluble models of an antiferromagnetic chain, *Annals of Physics* **16**, 407 (1961).
 - [66] S. R. Coleman and B. Grossman, 't Hooft's consistency condition as a consequence of analyticity and unitarity, *Nucl. Phys. B* **203**, 205 (1982).
 - [67] E. Witten, Global aspects of current algebra, *Nucl. Phys. B* **223**, 422 (1983).
 - [68] F. D. M. Haldane, Nonlinear field theory of large-spin Heisenberg antiferromagnets: Semiclassically quantized solitons of the one-dimensional easy-axis néel state, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**, 1153 (1983).
 - [69] X.-G. Wen, Gapless boundary excitations in the quantum hall states and in the chiral spin states, *Phys. Rev. B* **43**, 11025 (1991).
 - [70] M. Oshikawa, Commensurability, Excitation Gap, and Topology in Quantum Many-Particle Systems on a Periodic Lattice, *Physical Review Letter* **84**, 1535 (2000), [arXiv:cond-mat/9911137](#).
 - [71] M. Oshikawa, Topological Approach to Luttinger's Theorem and the Fermi Surface of a Kondo Lattice, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 3370 (2000), [arXiv:cond-mat/0002392](#).
 - [72] M. B. Hastings, Lieb-Schultz-Mattis in higher dimensions, *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 104431 (2004), [arXiv:cond-mat/0305505](#).
 - [73] M. Giannotti and E. Mottola, Trace anomaly and massless scalar degrees of freedom in gravity, *Physical Review D* **79**, 10.1103/physrevd.79.045014 (2009), [arXiv:0812.0351](#).
 - [74] X. Chen, Z.-C. Gu, and X.-G. Wen, Classification of gapped symmetric phases in 1D spin systems, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 035107 (2011), [arXiv:1008.3745](#).
 - [75] M. Levin, Protected edge modes without symmetry, *Phys. Rev. X* **3**, 021009 (2013), [arXiv:1301.7355](#).
 - [76] X. Chen, Z.-X. Liu, and X.-G. Wen, Two-dimensional symmetry-protected topological orders and their protected gapless edge excitations, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 235141 (2011), [arXiv:1106.4752](#).
 - [77] C. Wang and T. Senthil, Interacting fermionic topological insulators/superconductors in three dimensions, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 195124 (2014), [arXiv:1401.1142](#).
 - [78] S. C. Furuya and M. Oshikawa, Symmetry protection of critical phases and a global anomaly in $1+1$ dimensions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 021601 (2017), [arXiv:1503.07292](#).
 - [79] C. Wang and T. Senthil, Composite fermi liquids in the lowest landau level, *Physical Review B* **94**, 10.1103/physrevb.94.245107 (2016), [arXiv:1604.06807](#).
 - [80] T. Scaffidi, D. E. Parker, and R. Vasseur, Gapless Symmetry-Protected Topological Order, *Physical Review X* **7**, 041048 (2017), [arXiv:1705.01557](#).

- [81] R. Verresen, R. Thorngren, N. G. Jones, and F. Pollmann, Gapless Topological Phases and Symmetry-Enriched Quantum Criticality, *Physical Review X* **11**, 041059 (2021), [arXiv:1905.06969](#).
- [82] D. V. Else and R. Thorngren, Topological theory of Lieb-Schultz-Mattis theorems in quantum spin systems, *Physical Review B* **101**, 224437 (2020), [arXiv:1907.08204](#).
- [83] R. Thorngren, A. Vishwanath, and R. Verresen, Intrinsically gapless topological phases, *Physical Review B* **104**, 075132 (2021), [arXiv:2008.06638](#).
- [84] L. Li, M. Oshikawa, and Y. Zheng, Symmetry Protected Topological Criticality: Decorated Defect Construction, Signatures and Stability, (2022), [arXiv:2204.03131](#).
- [85] X.-G. Wen, Quantum orders and symmetric spin liquids, *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 165113 (2002), [arXiv:cond-mat/0107071](#).
- [86] Y.-M. Lu, Symmetry-protected gapless Z_2 spin liquids, *Physical Review B* **97**, 094422 (2018), [arXiv:1606.05652](#).
- [87] J. Wang and Z.-X. Liu, Symmetry-protected gapless spin liquids on the strained honeycomb lattice, *Physical Review B* **102**, 094416 (2020), [arXiv:1912.06167](#).
- [88] A. Apte, C. Córdova, and H. T. Lam, Obstructions to gapped phases from noninvertible symmetries, *Phys. Rev. B* **108**, 10.1103/PhysRevB.108.045134 (2023), [arXiv:2212.14605](#).
- [89] L. Li, M. Oshikawa, and Y. Zheng, Intrinsically/Purely Gapless-SPT from Non-Invertible Duality Transformations [10.48550/arXiv.2307.04788](#) (2023), [arXiv:2307.04788](#).
- [90] A. Chatterjee and X.-G. Wen, Holographic theory for continuous phase transitions: Emergence and symmetry protection of gaplessness, *Phys. Rev. B* **108**, 075105 (2023), [arXiv:2205.06244](#).
- [91] M. Levin, Constraints on order and disorder parameters in quantum spin chains, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **378**, 1081 (2020), [arXiv:1903.09028](#).
- [92] M. DeMarco, E. Lake, and X.-G. Wen, A Lattice Chiral Boson Theory in $1+1$ d [10.48550/arXiv.2305.03024](#) (2023), [arXiv:2305.03024](#).
- [93] M. A. Levin and X.-G. Wen, String-net condensation: A physical mechanism for topological phases, *Phys. Rev. B* **71**, 045110 (2005), [arXiv:cond-mat/0404617](#).
- [94] K. Walker and Z. Wang, $(3+1)$ -TQFTs and topological insulators, *Front. Phys.* **7**, 150 (2011), [arXiv:1104.2632](#).
- [95] T. Lan and J.-R. Zhou, Quantum Current and Holographic Categorical Symmetry [10.48550/arXiv.2305.12917](#) (2023), [arXiv:2305.12917](#).
- [96] S.-H. Ng, E. C. Rowell, and X.-G. Wen, Classification of modular data up to rank 11 [10.48550/arXiv.2308.09670](#) (2023), [arXiv:2308.09670](#).
- [97] L. Kong, Anyon condensation and tensor categories, *Nucl. Phys. B* **886**, 436 (2014), [arXiv:1307.8244](#).
- [98] E. Witten, Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **121**, 351 (1989).
- [99] P. Francesco, P. Mathieu, and D. Sénéchal, *Conformal Field Theory* (Springer New York, 1997).
- [100] D. S. Freed and C. Teleman, Topological dualities in the Ising model, *Geom. Topol.* **26**, 1907 (2022), [arXiv:1806.00008](#).
- [101] L. Kong and I. Runkel, Cardy algebras and sewing constraints, I, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **292**, 871 (2009), [arXiv:0807.3356](#).
- [102] M. Müger, On Superselection Theory of Quantum Fields in Low Dimensions, in *XVITH INTERNATIONAL CONGRESS ON MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS. Held 3-8 August 2009 in Prague* (2010) pp. 496–503, [arXiv:0909.2537](#).
- [103] R. Dijkgraaf and E. Witten, Topological gauge theories and group cohomology, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **129**, 393 (1990).
- [104] A. Feiguin, S. Trebst, A. W. W. Ludwig, M. Troyer, A. Kitaev, Z. Wang, and M. H. Freedman, Interacting Anyons in Topological Quantum Liquids: The Golden Chain, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 160409 (2007), [arXiv:cond-mat/0612341](#).
- [105] S. Trebst, E. Ardonne, A. Feiguin, D. A. Huse, A. W. W. Ludwig, and M. Troyer, Collective States of Interacting Fibonacci Anyons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 050401 (2008), [arXiv:0801.4602](#).
- [106] P. Kakashvili and E. Ardonne, Integrability in anyonic quantum spin chains via a composite height model, *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 115116 (2012), [arXiv:1110.0719](#).
- [107] L. P. Kadanoff and H. Ceva, Determination of an operator algebra for the two-dimensional ising model, *Physical Review B* **3**, 3918 (1971).
- [108] D. Delmastro, D. Gaiotto, and J. Gomis, Global Anomalies on the Hilbert Space, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2021**, 1 (2021), [arXiv:2101.02218](#).