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#### Abstract

For a number $\ell \geq 2$, let $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}$ denote the family of graphs which have girth $2 \ell+1$ and have no odd hole with length greater than $2 \ell+1$. Plummer and Zha conjectured that every 3 -connected and internally 4 -connected graph in $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ is 3 -colorable. $\mathrm{Wu}, \mathrm{Xu}$, and Xu conjectured that every graph in $\bigcup_{\ell \geq 2} \mathcal{G}_{\ell}$ is 3 -colorable. Chudnovsky et al. and Wu et al., respectively, proved that every graph in $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{3}$ is 3 -colorable. In this paper, we prove that every graph in $\bigcup_{\ell \geq 5} \mathcal{G}_{\ell}$ is 3-colorable.


Key Words: chromatic number; odd holes

## 1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are finite, simple, and undirected. A proper coloring of a graph $G$ is an assignment of colors to the vertices of $G$ such that no two adjacent vertices receive the same color. A graph is $k$-colorable if it has a proper coloring using at most $k$ colors. The chromatic number of $G$, denoted by $\chi(G)$, is the minimum number $k$ such that $G$ is $k$-colorable.

The girth of a graph $G$, denoted by $g(G)$, is the minimum length of a cycle in $G$. A hole in a graph is an induced cycle of length at least four. An odd hole means a hole of odd length. For any integer $\ell \geq 2$, let $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}$ be the family of graphs that have girth $2 \ell+1$ and have no odd holes of length at least $2 \ell+3$. Robertson conjectured in [3] that the Petersen graph is the only graph in $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ that is 3 -connected and internally 4-connected. Plummer and Zha [4] disproved Robertson's conjecture

[^0]and proposed the conjecture that all 3-connected and internally 4-connected graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ have bounded chromatic number, and the strong conjecture that such graphs are 3 -colorable. The first was proved by $\mathrm{Xu}, \mathrm{Yu}$, and Zha [7], who proved that all graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ is 4 -colorable. Chudnovsky and Seymour [2] proved that every graph in $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ is 3 -colorable. In the same paper, Chudnovsky and Seymour also gave a short and pretty proof of the result in [7]. Wu, Xu , and Xu [5] showed that graphs in $\bigcup_{\ell \geq 2} \mathcal{G}_{\ell}$ are 4 -colorable and proposed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. ([5], Conjecture 6.1.) Every graph in $\bigcup_{\ell \geq 2} \mathcal{G}_{\ell}$ is 3 -colorable.
We say that a graph $G$ has an odd $K_{4}$-subdivision if some subgraph $H$ of $G$ is isomorphic to a $K_{4}$-subdivision and whose face cycles are all odd holes of $G$. The subgraph $H$ is also induced by (1], Lemma 2.7). In the same paper, the author and Zhou proved

Theorem 1.2. ([1], Theorem 1.1.) For each graph $G$ in $\bigcup_{\ell \geq 5} \mathcal{G}_{\ell}$, if $G$ has an odd $K_{4}$-subdivision, then $\chi(G)=3$.

Based on Theorem 1.2, in this paper we prove that Conjecture 1.1 holds for $\ell \geq 5$. That is,

Theorem 1.3. All graphs in $\bigcup_{\ell \geq 5} \mathcal{G}_{\ell}$ are 3 -colorable.
We conjecture
Conjecture 1.4. For a graph $G$ without triangles, if all odd holes of $G$ have the same length, then $G$ is 3 -colorable.

Conjecture 1.5. For an integer $\ell \geq 2$ and a graph $G$ with $g(G)=2 \ell+1$, if the set of lengths of odd holes of $G$ have $k$ members, then $G$ is $(k+2)$-colorable.

## 2 Preliminary

A cycle is a connected 2-regular graph. Let $G$ be a graph. For any subset $U \subseteq V(G)$, let $G[U]$ be the induced subgraph of $G$ defined on $U$. For subgraphs $H$ and $H^{\prime}$ of $G$, set $|H|:=|E(H)|$ and $H \Delta H^{\prime}:=E(H) \Delta E\left(H^{\prime}\right)$. Let $H \cup H^{\prime}$ denote the subgraph of $G$ with vertex set $V(H) \cup V\left(H^{\prime}\right)$ and edge set $E(H) \cup E\left(H^{\prime}\right)$. Let $H \cap H^{\prime}$ denote the subgraph of $G$ with edge set $E(H) \cap E\left(H^{\prime}\right)$ and without isolated vertex. Let $N(H)$ be the set of vertices in $V(G)-V(H)$ that have a neighbour in $V(H)$. Set $N[H]:=N(H) \cup V(H)$.

Let $P$ be an $(x, y)$-path and $Q$ a $(y, z)$-path. When $P$ and $Q$ are internally disjoint paths, let $P Q$ denote the $(x, z)$-path $P \cup Q$. Evidently, $P Q$ is a path when $x \neq z$, and $P Q$ is a cycle when $x=z$. Let $P^{*}$ denote the set of internal vertices
of $P$. When $u, v \in V(P)$, let $P(u, v)$ denote the subpath of $P$ with ends $u, v$. For simplicity, we will let $P^{*}(u, v)$ denote $(P(u, v))^{*}$.

For a number $k \geq 2$, a graph $G$ is $k$-vertex-critical if $\chi(G)=k$ and $\chi(G-v) \leq$ $k-1$ for each vertex $v$ of $G$.

Lemma 2.1. ([1], Lemma 2.2.) For any number $k \geq 4$, each $k$-vertex-critical graph has no 2 -edge-cut.

For an $i$-vertex path $P$ of a connected graph $G$, if $G-V(P)$ is disconnected, then we say that $P$ is a $P_{i}$-cut. Usually, a $P_{2}$-cut is also called a $K_{2}$-cut. Evidently, every $k$-vertex-critical graph has no $K_{2}$-cut. Chudnovsky and Seymour in [2] proved that every 4 -vertex-critical graph $G$ in $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ has no $P_{3}$-cut. In fact, their methods can also be used to prove the following result.

Lemma 2.2. ([2]) For any number $\ell \geq 2$, every 4 -vertex-critical graph in $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}$ has neither $K_{2}$-cut nor $P_{3}$-cut.

A theta graph is a graph that consists of a pair of distinct vertices joined by three internally disjoint paths. Let $C$ be a hole of a graph $G$. A path $P$ of $G$ is a chordal path of $C$ if $C \cup P$ is an induced theta-subgraph of $G$. Since $g(G)=2 \ell+1$ and each odd hole of $G$ has length $2 \ell+1$, by simple computation we have the following result, which will be frequently used.

Lemma 2.3. ([1], Lemma 2.3.) Let $C$ be an odd hole of a graph $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\ell}$. Let $P$ be a chordal path of $C$, and $P_{1}, P_{2}$ be the internally disjoint paths of $C$ that have the same ends as $P$. Assume that $|P|$ and $\left|P_{1}\right|$ have the same parity. Then

$$
\left|P_{1}\right|=1 \text { or } \ell \geq\left|P_{2}\right|<\left|P_{1}\right|=|P| \geq \ell+1 .
$$

In particular, when $\left|P_{1}\right| \geq 2$, all chordal paths of $C$ with the same ends as $P_{1}$ have length $\left|P_{1}\right|$.

Let $C_{1}, C_{2}$ be odd holes of a graph $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\ell}$ such that $C_{1} \cup C_{2}$ is a theta subgraph $G$. When $C_{1} \cup C_{2}$ is not induced, since $\left|C_{1} \Delta C_{2}\right| \leq 4 \ell$ and $g(G)=2 \ell+1$, we have that $\left|C_{1} \Delta C_{2}\right|=4 \ell$ and $G\left[V\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right)\right]$ is an odd $K_{4}$-subdivision. For the similar reason, if $C$ is an even hole of length $4 \ell$ of $G$, then $C$ has at most two chords, and when $C$ has two chords, $G[V(C)]$ is an odd $K_{4}$-subdivision.

## 3 Graphs has a subgraph isomorphic to a $K_{4}$-subdivision

Let $H$ be a graph that is isomorphic to a $K_{4}$-subdivision. For a path $P$ of $H$ whose ends are degree-3 vertices of $H$, if $P$ contains exactly two degree-3 vertices of $H$, then we say it is an arris of $H$. Evidently, $H$ has exactly six arrises. We say that a graph


## H

Figure 1: $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}$ are the degree- 3 vertices of $H$. The face cycles $C_{1}, C_{2}$ are odd holes, and $C_{3}, C_{4}$ are even holes. $\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}\right\},\left\{Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right\},\left\{L_{1}, L_{2}\right\}$ are the pairs of vertex disjoint arrises of $H$.
$G$ contins a balanced $K_{4}$-subdivision if $G$ has an induced subgraph $H$ isomorphic to a $K_{4}$-subdivision and exactly two face cycles of $H$ are odd holes of $G$.

Lemma 3.1. If a graph $G$ in $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}$ contains a balanced $K_{4}$-subdivision $H$ that is pictured as the graph in Figure 1, then the following hold.
(1) $\left|P_{1}\right| \leq \ell$ and $1 \in\left\{\left|Q_{1}\right|,\left|Q_{2}\right|,\left|L_{1}\right|,\left|L_{2}\right|\right\}$.
(2) $\left|P_{2}\right| \geq \ell$.
(3) Assume that $G$ has no odd $K_{4}$-subdivision. If $\left|Q_{1}\right|=1$ and $\left|L_{2}\right| \geq 2$, then no vertex $v \in V(G)-V(H)$ has two neighbours in $V(H)$.

Proof. Since $C_{1}, C_{2}$ are odd holes and $g(G)=2 \ell+1$, we have $\left|P_{1}\right| \leq \ell$. Assume that $1 \notin\left\{\left|Q_{1}\right|,\left|Q_{2}\right|,\left|L_{1}\right|,\left|L_{2}\right|\right\}$. Since $P_{2} Q_{2}$ is a chordal path of $C_{1}$ and $C_{4}$ is an even hole, we have $\left|P_{2}\right|+\left|Q_{2}\right|=\left|L_{1}\right|$ by Lemma 2.3. Similarly, we have $\left|P_{2}\right|+\left|L_{1}\right|=\left|Q_{2}\right|$, which is a contradiction. So (1) holds.

Now we consider (2). By (1) and symmetry we may assume that $\left|Q_{1}\right|=1$. Since $\left|P_{1}\right| \leq \ell$ by (1), we have $\left|L_{1}\right| \geq \ell$, so $Q_{1} P_{2}$ is a chordal path of $C_{2}$. Then $\left|Q_{1} P_{2}\right| \geq \ell+1$ by Lemma 2.3. So $\left|P_{2}\right| \geq \ell$. This proves (2).

Next, we prove that (3) is true. Assume not. Let $v \in V(G)-V(H)$ have at least two neighbours in $V(H)$. Since $\left|L_{2}\right|>1$ and $C_{3}$ is an even hole, $C_{2} \Delta C_{3}$ is an odd hole and $\left|L_{2}\right| \geq \ell+1$ by Lemma 2.3. Moreover, since a vertex not in an odd hole has at most one neighbour in the odd hole, each arris of $H$ has at most one vertex adjacent to $v$.
3.1.1. The vertex $v$ has exactly one neighbour in $V\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right)$ and exactly one neighbour in $V\left(P_{2}^{*}\right)$.

Subproof. Since $v$ has at most one neighbour in $V\left(P_{2}\right)$, it suffices to show that $v$ has at most one neighbour in $V\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right)$. Assume not. Then $v$ has exactly two neighbours in $V\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right)$ with one in $V\left(C_{1}\right)-V\left(P_{1}\right)$ and the other in $V\left(C_{2}\right)$ $V\left(P_{2}\right)$. Then $G\left[V\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right) \cup\{v\}\right]$ is a balanced $K_{4}$-subdivision as $G$ has no odd $K_{4}$-subdivision, which is not possible by (2).

Note that $C_{2} \Delta C_{3}$ is an odd hole. Since $v$ can not have two neighbours in an odd hole of $H$, the vertex $v$ has no neighbour in $V\left(P_{1}\right)$. For the same reason, if $v$ has a neighbour in $V\left(Q_{1} \cup Q_{2}\right)$, the neighbours of $v$ in $V(H)$ must be in $V\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right)$, which is not possible by 3.1.1. Hence, $N(v) \cap V\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right) \subseteq V\left(L_{1}^{*} \cup L_{2}^{*}\right)$. Assume that $v$ has a neighbour in $V\left(L_{1}^{*}\right)$. Since $\left|C_{4}\right| \leq 4 \ell-2$ and $g(G)=2 \ell+1$, by 3.1.1, the two cycles in $G\left[V\left(C_{4}\right) \cup\{v\}\right]$ containing $v$ are odd holes. Moreover, since $\left|L_{2}\right| \geq \ell+1$, the subgraph $G\left[V\left(C_{4}\right) \cup\{v\}\right] \cup P_{1} \cup Q_{1}$ is an odd $K_{4}$-subdivision, which is not possible. Similarly we can show that $v$ can not have a neighbour in $V\left(L_{2}^{*}\right)$. This proves (3).

Let $H$ be an induced subgraph of $G$ pictured as the graph in Figure 1. When $\left|Q_{1}\right|=1$, we say that $H$ is a balanced $K_{4}$-subdivision of type $(1,2)$ if $\left|L_{2}\right|>1$. Since $\left|Q_{1}\right|=1$ implies that $\left|L_{1}\right|>1$, this definition is well defined.

Let $H_{1}, H_{2}$ be vertex disjoint induced subgraphs of a graph $G$. An induced $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$-path $P$ is a direct connection linking $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ if $v_{1}$ is the only vertex in $V(P)$ having a neighbour in $H_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ is the only vertex in $V(P)$ having a neighbour in $H_{2}$. Evidently, the set of internal vertices of each shortest induced path joining $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ induces a direct connection linking $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\ell \geq 5$ be an integer and $G$ be a graph in $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}$. If $G$ is 4 -vertex critical, then $G$ does not contain a balanced $K_{4}$-subdivision of type $(1,2)$.

Proof. By Theorem 1.2, $G$ does not have an odd $K_{4}$-subdivision. Assume to the contrary that $G$ has a balanced $K_{4}$-subdivision $H$ of type $(1,2)$ that is pictured as the graph in Figure 1. Without loss of generality we may assume that $H$ is chosen with $|H|$ as small as possible.By Lemma 3.1 (1) and symmetry we may assume that $\left|Q_{1}\right|=1$. By Lemmas 3.1 (2) and 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|Q_{1}\right|=1,\left|P_{2}\right| \geq \ell,\left|L_{1}\right|,\left|L_{2}\right| \geq \ell+1, \text { and }\left|P_{1}\right|<\ell . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $e, f$ be the edges in $P_{2}$ incident with $u_{3}, u_{4}$, respectively. Let $P$ be a direct connection in $G \backslash\{e, f\}$ linking $P_{2}^{*}$ and $H-V\left(P_{2}^{*}\right)$. Lemma 2.1 implies that such $P$ exists. Let $v_{1}, v_{2}$ be the ends of $P$ with $v_{2}$ having a neighbour in $V\left(P_{2}^{*}\right)$ and $v_{1}$ having a neighbour in $V(H)-V\left(P_{2}^{*}\right)$. By Lemma 3.1 (3), both $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ have a unique neighbour in $V(H)$. Let $x$ be the neighbour of $v_{1}$ in $V(H)$ and $y$ the
neighbour of $v_{2}$ in $V(H)$. Set $P^{\prime}:=x v_{1} P v_{2} y$. Then $H \cup P^{\prime}$ is an induced subgraph of $G$. By Lemma 3.1 (3) again, we have $\left|P^{\prime}\right| \geq 3$.
3.2.1. $x \neq u_{2}$.

Subproof. Assume that $x=u_{2}$. Set $C_{3}^{\prime}:=L_{2} P_{2}\left(u_{4}, y\right) P^{\prime}$. Since $\left|L_{2}\right| \geq \ell+1$, we have that $C_{3}^{\prime}$ is an even hole by Lemma 2.3. Since $\left|P^{\prime}\right| \geq 3$ and $C_{2} \Delta C_{3}^{\prime}$ is an odd hole, $\left(H \cup P^{\prime}\right)-V\left(L_{2}^{*}\right)$ is a balanced $K_{4}$-subdivision of type $(1,2)$ whose edge number is less than $|H|$, which is a contradiction to the choice of $H$.
3.2.2. $x \notin P_{1}$.

Subproof. Assume not. By 3.2.1, we have $x \in V\left(P_{1}\right)-\left\{u_{2}\right\}$. Set $C_{1}^{\prime}:=P_{1}\left(x, u_{2}\right) Q_{1} P_{2}\left(u_{3}, y\right) P^{\prime}$.
Since $\left|P_{1}\right|<\ell$ by (4.1), it follows from Lemma 2.3 that $C_{1}^{\prime}$ is an odd hole. Then $P_{1}\left(x, u_{1}\right) Q_{2} P_{2}\left(u_{4}, y\right) P^{\prime}$ is an even hole. Moreover, since $\left|L_{2}\right| \geq \ell+1$, we have $x=u_{1}$ and $\left|Q_{2}\right|=1$ by Lemma 2.3. Since $C_{1}$ and $C_{1}^{\prime}$ are odd holes, we have $\left|L_{1}\right|>\left|P^{\prime}\right|$. Moreover, since $\left|P^{\prime}\right| \geq 3$, the subgraph $C_{1}^{\prime} \cup C_{2} \cup P_{2}$ is a balanced $K_{4}$-subdivision of type $(1,2)$ whose edge number is less than $|H|$, which is a contradiction.
3.2.3. When $x=u_{3}$, we have $\left|P_{2}\left(u_{3}, y\right)\right|=1$ or $\left|P_{2}\left(u_{3}, y\right)\right| \geq \ell+1$.

Subproof. Assume that $\left|P_{2}\left(u_{3}, y\right)\right| \neq 1$. Since $Q_{1} P_{2}$ and $Q_{1} P^{\prime} P_{2}\left(y, u_{4}\right)$ are chordal paths of $C_{2}$ that have the same ends as $L_{2}$, they have length $\left|L_{2}\right|$ by Lemma 2.3 . So $\left|P_{2}\left(u_{3}, y\right) P^{\prime}\right|=2\left|P_{2}\left(u_{3}, y\right)\right|$, implying that $\left|P_{2}\left(u_{3}, y\right)\right| \geq \ell+1$.
3.2.4. If $x \in V\left(L_{1}^{*}\right)$, then $x u_{3}, y u_{3} \in E(G)$, and $\left|Q_{2}\right|=1$.

Subproof. Set $C_{3}^{\prime}:=L_{1}\left(x, u_{3}\right) P_{2}\left(u_{3}, y\right) P^{\prime}$. When $C_{3}^{\prime}$ is an odd hole, since $C_{3}^{\prime} \Delta C_{4}$ is an odd hole, $C_{1} \cup C_{3}^{\prime} \cup P_{2} \cup Q_{2}$ is an odd $K_{4}$-subdivision, which is not possible. So $C_{3}^{\prime}$ is an even hole. Assume that $x u_{3} \notin E(G)$. Then $\left|C_{3}^{\prime}\right|=2\left|L_{1}\left(x, u_{3}\right)\right|$ by Lemma 2.3. Moreover, since $C_{1} \Delta C_{3}^{\prime}$ is an odd hole, $\left(H \cup P^{\prime}\right)-V\left(L_{1}^{*}\left(x, u_{3}\right)\right)$ is a balanced $K_{4}$-subdivision of type $(1,2)$ whose edge number is less than $|H|$, which is a contradiction to the choice of $H$. So $x u_{3} \in E(G)$.

Assume that $y u_{3} \notin E\left(P_{2}\right)$. Since $Q_{1} P_{2}$ and $Q_{1} L_{1}\left(u_{3}, x\right) P^{\prime} P_{2}\left(y, u_{4}\right)$ are chordal paths of $C_{2}$ that have the same ends as $L_{2}$, they have length $\left|L_{2}\right|$ by Lemma 2.3 . Moreover, since $x u_{3} \in E(G)$ and $\left|L_{1}\right| \geq \ell+1$ imply $\left|L_{1}\left(x, u_{1}\right)\right| \geq 2$, the subgraph $\left(H \cup P^{\prime}\right)-V\left(P_{2}^{*}\left(y, u_{3}\right)\right)$ is a balanced $K_{4}$-subdivision of type (1,2) whose edge number is less than $|H|$, which is a contradiction. So $y u_{3} \in E\left(P_{2}\right)$, implying that $\left|P^{\prime}\right| \geq 2 \ell$. Since $C_{3}^{\prime} \Delta C_{3} \Delta C_{1}$ is an odd cycle of length at least $2 \ell+3$, we have $\left|Q_{2}\right|=1$. This proves 3.2.4.
3.2.5. $x \notin V\left(Q_{2}^{*}\right)$.

Subproof. Assume not. Set $C_{3}^{\prime}:=Q_{2}\left(x, u_{4}\right) P_{2}\left(u_{4}, y\right) P^{\prime}$. Assume that $C_{3}^{\prime}$ is an odd hole. Since $C_{1} \cup C_{2} \cup\left(C_{3} \Delta C_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ is an odd $K_{4}$-subdivision when $y u_{4} \notin E\left(P_{2}\right)$, we have $y u_{4} \in E\left(P_{2}\right)$. Since $\left|Q_{2}\right|<\ell$ as $\left|L_{2}\right| \geq \ell+1$, the graph $C_{4} \Delta C_{3}^{\prime}$ is an odd hole with length larger than $3 \ell$ by (4.1), which is not possible. So $C_{3}^{\prime}$ is an even hole. Since $\left|Q_{2}\right|<\ell$, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that $x u_{4} \in E\left(Q_{2}\right)$. Moreover, since $P^{\prime}$ is a chordal path of the odd hole $C_{2} \Delta C_{3}$ and $C_{3}^{\prime}$ is an even hole, $\ell+1 \leq$ $\left|P^{\prime}\right|=\left|P_{2}\left(y, u_{4}\right)\right|+1 \leq\left|P_{2}\right|<\left|L_{1}\right|$ by Lemma 2.3. Hence, $C_{2} \cup C_{3} \cup P^{\prime}$ is a balanced $K_{4}$-subdivision of type $(1,2)$ whose edge number is less than $|H|$, which is a contradiction.

Let $u_{4}^{\prime}$ be the neighbour of $u_{4}$ in $L_{2}$.
3.2.6. If $x \in V\left(L_{2}\right)$, then $x \in\left\{u_{4}, u_{4}^{\prime}\right\}$. In particular, when $x=u_{4}^{\prime}$, we have $y u_{4} \in E\left(P_{2}\right)$; and when $x=u_{4}$, we have $y u_{4} \in E\left(P_{2}\right)$ or $\left|P_{2}\left(y, u_{4}\right)\right| \geq \ell+1$.

Subproof. Set $C_{3}^{\prime}:=L_{2}\left(x, u_{4}\right) P_{2}\left(u_{4}, y\right) P^{\prime}$. Assume that $x=u_{4}$ and $y u_{4} \notin E\left(P_{2}\right)$. Since $Q_{1} P_{2}$ and $Q_{1} P_{2}\left(u_{3}, y\right) P^{\prime}$ are chordal paths of $C_{2}$ with the same ends, $\left|C_{3}^{\prime}\right|=$ ${ }_{2}\left|P_{2}\left(y, u_{4}\right)\right|$ by Lemma 2.3, so $\left|P_{2}\left(y, u_{4}\right)\right| \geq \ell+1$. That is, when $x=u_{4}, 3.2 .6$ holds. So we may assume that $x \neq u_{4}$.

By 3.2.2, we may assume that $x \in V\left(L_{2}^{*}\right)$. When $C_{3}^{\prime}$ is an odd hole, since $x \notin\left\{u_{2}, u_{4}\right\}$, the graph $C_{2} \cup C_{3} \cup P^{\prime}$ is an odd $K_{4}$-subdivision. So $C_{3}^{\prime}$ is an even hole. When $x \neq u_{4}^{\prime}$, by Lemma 2.3, we have that $x u_{2} \in E(H),\left|C_{3}^{\prime}\right|=2\left|L_{2}\left(x, u_{4}\right)\right|$ and $C_{3} \Delta C_{3}^{\prime}$ is an odd hole, so $\left(H \cup P^{\prime}\right)-V\left(L_{2}^{*}\left(x, u_{4}\right)\right)$ is a balanced $K_{4}$-subdivision of type $(1,2)$ whose edge number is less than $|H|$, which is not possible. Hence, $x=u_{4}^{\prime}$. When $y u_{4} \notin E\left(P_{2}\right)$, since $Q_{1} P_{2}\left(u_{3}, y\right) P^{\prime}$ is a chordal path of $C_{2}$, we have $\left|C_{3}^{\prime}\right|=2\left|P_{2}\left(y, u_{4}\right)\right|$ by Lemma 2.3. So $\left(H \cup P^{\prime}\right)-V\left(P_{2}^{*}\left(y, u_{4}\right)\right)$ is a balanced $K_{4^{-}}$ subdivision of type $(1,2)$ whose edge number is less than $|H|$, which is not possible. So $y u_{4} \in E(G)$.

Let $v$ be a vertex in $V\left(P_{2}^{*}\right)$. When $\left|P_{2}\right| \geq \ell+1$, let $\left|P_{2}\left(u_{3}, v\right)\right|=\ell-1$, otherwise let $\left|P_{2}\left(u_{3}, v\right)\right|=\ell-2 \geq 3$. The vertex $v$ is well defined as $\left|P_{2}\right| \geq \ell$. Since $\left|P_{2}\right| \leq 2 \ell-2$, we have $2 \leq\left|P_{2}\left(u_{4}, v\right)\right| \leq \ell-1$. Let $u, w \in N(v) \cap V\left(P_{2}^{*}\right)$ with $u$ closer to $u_{4}$ and $w$ closer to $u_{3}$ along $P_{2}$. Since $\{u v, v w\}$ is not an edge cut of $G$ by Lemma 2.1 , there is a shortest induced path $Q$ in $G \backslash\{u v, v w\}$ linking $v$ and $H-v$. By Lemma 3.1 (3), $H \cup Q$ is an induced subgraph of $G$. Let $v^{\prime}$ be the other end of $Q$ that is not equal to $v$. When $v^{\prime} \in V\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right)$, since $Q^{*}$ is a direct connection in $G \backslash\{e, f\}$ linking $P_{2}^{*}$ and $H-V\left(P_{2}^{*}\right)$, we get a contradiction to 3.2.2 3.2.6. So $v^{\prime} \in V\left(P_{2}^{*}\right)-\{v\}$. Assume that $v^{\prime} \in V\left(P_{2}^{*}\left(u_{3}, v\right)\right)$. When $v^{\prime} \neq w$, either $Q_{2} P_{1} Q_{1} P_{2}\left(u_{3}, v^{\prime}\right) Q P_{2}\left(v, u_{4}\right)$ is an odd hole of length at least $2 \ell+3$ or $Q P_{2}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$ is a cycle of length $2\left|P_{2}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)\right|$ that is at most $2 \ell-2$ by the choice of $v$, which is not possible. So $v^{\prime}=w$. By symmetry, we have $v^{\prime} \in\{u, w\}$.

Now we show that $\left\{v, v^{\prime}\right\}$ is a $K_{2}$-cut of $G$, implying that Theorem 3.2 holds from Lemma 2.2. Assume not. Let $R^{\prime}$ be a direct connection in $G-\left\{v, v^{\prime}\right\}$ linking $Q^{*}$ and $H-\left\{v, v^{\prime}\right\}$. Let $s_{1}, s_{2}$ be the ends of $R^{\prime}$ with $s_{1}$ having a neighbour in $V(H)-\left\{v, v^{\prime}\right\}$ and $s_{2}$ having a neighbour in $V\left(Q^{*}\right)$. By Lemma 3.1 (3), $s_{1}$ has a unique neighbour, say $t_{1}$, in $V(H)-\left\{v, v^{\prime}\right\}$. Since $Q$ is chosen with $|Q|$ as small as possible, $s_{2}$ has a unique neighbour, say $t_{2}$, in $V\left(Q^{*}\right)$. Set $R:=t_{1} s_{1} R^{\prime} s_{2} t_{2}$. Then $H \cup Q \cup R$ is an induced subgraph of $G$ or some vertex in $\left\{v, v^{\prime}\right\}$ has a neighbour in $V\left(R^{\prime}\right)$. When $t_{1} \in V\left(P_{2}\right)$, let $P_{2}^{\prime}$ be an induced ( $u_{3}, u_{4}$ )-path in $P_{2} \cup Q \cup R$ that is not equal to $P_{2}$. Since $Q_{1} P_{2}^{\prime}$ is a chordal path of $C_{2}$ with length $\left|L_{2}\right|$ by Lemma 2.3 , there is a cycle in $P_{2} \cup P_{2}^{\prime}$ with length at most $2 \ell$ by the choice of $v$, which is not possible. So $t_{1} \in V(H)-V\left(P_{2}\right)$. Then $R \cup Q^{*}$ contains a direct connection in $G \backslash\{e, f\}$ linking $P_{2}^{*}$ and $H-V\left(P_{2}^{*}\right)$. Since $\left|P_{2}\left(u_{3}, v\right)\right| \geq \ell-2 \geq 3$ and $2 \leq\left|P_{2}\left(u_{4}, v\right)\right| \leq \ell-1$, by 3.2.2 3.2.6. we have that $v^{\prime}=u, t_{1}=u_{4}^{\prime}$, and $u u_{4} \in E\left(P_{2}\right)$. Let $P_{2}^{\prime}$ be an induced $\left(u_{3}, u_{4}^{\prime}\right)$-path in $\left(P_{2}-\left\{u_{4}\right\}\right) \cup Q \cup R$. Since $Q_{1} P_{2}^{\prime}$ and $Q_{1} P_{2}$ are chordal paths of $C_{2}$, by Lemma 2.3, we have $\left|P_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{4}^{\prime}, v\right)\right|=\left|P_{2}\left(u_{4}, v\right)\right|-1$, so $u_{4}^{\prime} v \in E(G)$, which is not possible.

## 4 Jumps over an odd hole

Let $C$ be an odd hole of a graph $G$ and $s, t \in V(C)$ nonadjacent. Let $P$ be an induced $(s, t)$-path. If $V(C) \cap V\left(P^{*}\right)=\emptyset$, we call $P$ a jump or an $(s, t)$-jump over $C$. Let $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ be the internally disjoint $(s, t)$-paths of $C$. If some vertex in $V\left(Q_{1}^{*}\right)$ has a neighbour in $V\left(P^{*}\right)$ and no vertex in $V\left(Q_{2}^{*}\right)$ has a neighbour in $V\left(P^{*}\right)$, we say that $P$ is a local jump over $C$ across $Q_{1}^{*}$. When there is no need to strengthen $Q_{1}^{*}$, we will also say that $P$ is a local jump over $C$. In particular, when $\left|V\left(Q_{1}^{*}\right)\right|=1$, we say that $P$ is a local jump over $C$ across one vertex. When no vertex in $V\left(Q_{1}^{*} \cup Q_{2}^{*}\right)$ has a neighbour in $V\left(P^{*}\right)$, we say that $P$ is a short jump over $C$. Hence, short jumps over $C$ are chordal paths of $C$. But chordal paths over $C$ maybe not short jumps over $C$ as the ends of a chordal path maybe adjacent. When $P$ is a short jump over $C$, if $P Q_{1}$ is an odd hole, we say that $P Q_{1}$ is a jump hole over $C$ and $P$ is a short jump over $C$ across $Q_{1}^{*}$. Note that our definition of local jumps over $C$ is a little different from the definition given in [2]. By our definitions, no short jump over $C$ is local.

Lemma 4.1. Let $C$ be an odd hole of a graph $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\ell}$. Let $P, Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ be defined as the last paragraph. If $P$ is a local or short jump over $C$ across $Q_{1}^{*}$, then $|P|,\left|Q_{2}\right|$ have the same parity, that is, $P Q_{2}$ is an even hole and $P Q_{1}$ is an odd cycle.

Proof. When $P$ is short, the lemma holds from its definition. So we may assume that $P$ is local. Since some vertex in $V\left(Q_{1}^{*}\right)$ has a neighbour in $V\left(P^{*}\right)$ and $g(G)=2 \ell+1$, we have $|P| \geq 2 \ell$. So $P Q_{2}$ is an even hole. This proves Lemma 4.1.

Let $C$ be a cycle of a graph $G$ and $e, f$ be chords of $C$. If no cycle in $C \cup e$ containing $e$ contains the two ends of $f$, we say $e, f$ are crossing; otherwise they are uncrossing. Note that by our definition, $e, f$ are uncrossing when they share an end. When $C$ is an odd hole and $P_{i}$ is an $\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right)$-jump over $C$ for each integer $1 \leq i \leq 2$, if $u_{1} v_{1}$ and $u_{2} v_{2}$ are uncrossing chords of $C$ after we replace $P_{1}$ by the edge $u_{1} v_{1}$ and $P_{1}$ by the edge $u_{2} v_{2}$, we say that $P_{1}, P_{2}$ are uncrossing; otherwise, they are crossing.

Lemma 4.2. Let $C$ be an odd hole of a graph $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\ell}$. If a jump $P$ over $C$ is not local, then $G[V(C \cup P)]$ contains a short jump over $C$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $P$ is not a short jump over $C$. Let $s, t$ be the ends of $P$ and $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ be the internally disjoint $(s, t)$-paths of $C$. Since $P$ is neither local nor short, there are $u_{1}, u_{2} \in V\left(P^{*}\right)$ such that $u_{1}$ has a neighbour in $V\left(Q_{1}^{*}\right)$ and $u_{2}$ has a neighbour in $V\left(Q_{2}^{*}\right)$, and such that no vertex in $V\left(P^{*}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right)$ has a neighbour in $V(C)$. Since no vertex outside an odd hole has two neighbours in the odd hole, $u_{1} \neq u_{2}$ and $u_{i}$ has a unique neighbour, say $w_{i}$, in $V(C)$ for each integer $1 \leq i \leq 2$. Then $w_{1} u_{1} P\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) u_{2} w_{2}$ is a short jump over $C$. This proves Lemma 4.2.

Lemma 4.3. Let $C$ be an odd hole of a graph $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\ell}$. If $P$ is a local $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$-jump over $C$, then $G[V(C \cup P)]$ contains a local jump over $C$ across one vertex or a short jump over $C$.

Proof. Assume not. Among all local jumps over $C$ not satisfying Lemma 4.3, let $P$ be chosen with $|P|$ as small as possible. Let $u_{1}, u_{2} \in V\left(P^{*}\right)$ have a neighbour in $V(C)-\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$ that are closest to $v_{1}, v_{2}$, respectively. Let $w_{1}, w_{2}$ be the unique neighbour of $u_{1}, u_{2}$ in $V(C)$, respectively. Since $w_{1} u_{1} P\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ is a short jump over $C$ when $w_{1} v_{1} \notin E(G)$, we have $w_{1} v_{1} \in E(G)$. Similarly, we have $w_{2} v_{2} \in E(G)$. Hence, when $u_{1}=u_{2}, w_{1}=w_{2}$ and $w_{1}$ is adjacent to $v_{1}, v_{2}$, which is a contradiction. So $u_{1} \neq u_{2}$. Since $P$ is not a local jump over $C$ across one vertex, we have $w_{1} \neq w_{2}$. Let $u_{3}$ be the neighbour of $w_{1}$ in $V\left(P^{*}\right)$ closest to $v_{2}$. Note that $u_{3}$ maybe equal to $u_{1}$. By the choice of $u_{2}$, we have $u_{2} \in V\left(P\left(u_{3}, v_{2}\right)\right)$. Set $P^{\prime}:=w_{1} u_{3} P\left(u_{3}, v_{2}\right)$. Since $P^{\prime}$ is a local jump over $C$ with $\left|P^{\prime}\right|<|P|$, by the choice of $P$, the subgraph $G\left[V\left(C \cup P^{\prime}\right)\right]$ contains a local jump over $C$ across one vertex or a short jump over $C$, so is $G[V(C \cup P)]$, which is a contradiction. This proves Lemma 4.3 .

Let $C$ be a cycle and suppose that $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in V(C)$ occur on $C$ in this cyclic order with $n \geq 3$. For any two distinct $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$, the cycle $C$ contains two ( $x_{i}, x_{j}$ )-paths. Let $C\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{j}\right)$ denote the ( $x_{i}, x_{j}$ )-path in $C$ containing $x_{i}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{j}$ (and not containing $x_{j+1}$ if $i \neq j+1$ ), where subscripts are modulo $n$. Such path is uniquely determined as $n \geq 3$.

Lemma 4.4. Let $C$ be an odd hole of a graph $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\ell}$, and $P_{i}$ be a short $\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right)$-jump over $C$ for each integer $1 \leq i \leq 2$. If $P_{1}, P_{2}$ are crossing, then $G$ contains an odd $K_{4}$-subdivision or a balanced $K_{4}$-subdivision of type $(1,2)$.

Proof. Since $P_{1}, P_{2}$ are short jumps over $C$, either $P_{1} C\left(v_{1}, u_{2}\right) P_{2} C\left(v_{2}, u_{1}\right)$ or $P_{1} C\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) P_{2} C\left(v_{2}, v_{1}\right)$ has length at most $4 \ell$ by Lemma 2.3 . By symmetry we may assume that the first cycle is shorter than the last one. Set $C^{\prime}:=P_{1} C\left(v_{1}, u_{2}\right) P_{2} C\left(v_{2}, u_{1}\right)$. Since $g(G)=2 \ell+1$, either $C^{\prime}$ is a hole or $\left|C^{\prime}\right|=4 \ell$ and $C^{\prime}$ has exactly one or two chords. When $C^{\prime}$ is a hole, implying that $\left|C\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right|,\left|C\left(v_{2}, v_{1}\right)\right| \geq 2$, the subgraph $C \cup P_{1} \cup P_{2}$ is induced and isomorphic to a balanced $K_{4}$-subdivision of type $(1,2)$. So we may assume that $\left|C^{\prime}\right|=4 \ell$ and $C^{\prime}$ has exactly one or two chords. Since $\left|C^{\prime}\right|=4 \ell$ and $g(G)=2 \ell+1$, all cycles in $G\left[V\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right]$ using exactly one chord of $C^{\prime}$ are odd holes. Hence, when $C^{\prime}$ has exactly two chords, the two chords of $C^{\prime}$ are crossing and $G\left[V\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right]$ is isomorphic to an odd $K_{4}$-subdivision; and when $C^{\prime}$ has exactly one chord, $G$ contains a balanced $K_{4}$-subdivision of type $(1,2)$.

The proofs of Lemma 4.5 and Theorem 4.6 use some ideas in [2].
Lemma 4.5. Let $\ell \geq 4$ be an integer and $C$ be an odd hole of a graph $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\ell}$. For any integer $1 \leq i \leq 2$, let $P_{i}$ be a short or local $\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right)$-jump over $C$ such that $\left\{u_{1}, v_{1}\right\} \neq\left\{u_{2}, v_{2}\right\}$ and $u_{1}, u_{2}, v_{2}, v_{1}$ appear on $C$ in this order. Assume that $P_{i}$ is across $C^{*}\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right)$ and if $P_{i}$ is local, we have $\left|V\left(C^{*}\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right)\right)\right|=1$ for any integer $1 \leq i \leq 2$. Then the following hold.
(1) When $P_{1}, P_{2}$ are short, $G$ has an odd $K_{4}$-subdivision.
(2) At most two vertices in $V\left(C\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right) \cup C\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)\right)$ are not in a jump hole over $C$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $P_{1}, P_{2}$ are chosen with $P_{1}^{*} \cup P_{2}^{*}$ minimal. Set $H:=P_{1} \cup P_{2} \cup C\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \cup C\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$. By symmetry we may assume that $\left|C\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right| \leq\left|C\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)\right| \geq 1$. Note that $u_{1}$ maybe equal to $u_{2}$.
4.5.1. (1) is true.

Subproof. Since $P_{1}, P_{2}$ are short jumps over $C$, by Lemmas 2.3 and 4.1, $\left|P_{1} \cup P_{2}\right| \leq$ $4 \ell-2$ and $|H|$ is odd and at most $6 \ell-5$. Then $P_{1} \cup P_{2}$ contains at most one cycle. By the choice of $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, the subgraph $P_{1} \cup P_{2}$ contains no cycle. So $H$ has at most two cycles. When $H$ has exactly two cycles, since $|H| \leq 6 \ell-5$, one cycle in $H$ is a hole, so we can find a new short jump over $C$ to replace one of $P_{1}, P_{2}$ and get a contradiction to the choice of $P_{1}, P_{2}$. Hence, $H$ contains a unique cycle $C^{\prime}$. Since $g(G)=2 \ell+1$, the cycle $C^{\prime}$ contains at most two chords, and the two chords are uncrossing when $C^{\prime}$ has two chords. No matter which case happens, $G\left[V\left(P_{1} \cup P_{2}\right)\right]$
contains two short jumps $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ over $C$ such that $C \cup Q_{1} \cup Q_{2}$ is isomorphic to an odd $K_{4}$-subdivision. So (1) holds.

By 4.5.1, we may assume that some $P_{i}$ is local. For any integer $1 \leq i \leq 2$, let $a_{i}, b_{i}$ be the vertices in $V\left(P_{i}\right)$ adjacent to $u_{i}, v_{i}$, respectively, and set $D_{i}:=P_{i}^{*}-\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}$. Since $\ell \geq 3$, both $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are nonempty by Lemma 2.3. Since $v_{1} \neq v_{2}$ and $P_{1}, P_{2}$ are jumps over $C$ across $C^{*}\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ and $C^{*}\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$ respectively, we have that $b_{1} \neq b_{2}$ and they are nonadjacent.

We say two vertex disjoint subgraphs of a graph are anticomplete if there are no edges between them.
4.5.2. Either (2) is true or $D_{1} \cup\left\{b_{1}\right\}$ is disjoint and anticomplete to $D_{2} \cup\left\{b_{2}\right\}$.

Subproof. Assume not. Since $b_{1} \neq b_{2}$ and they are nonadjacent, by symmetry we may assume that $G\left[V\left(D_{1} \cup D_{2}\right) \cup\left\{b_{1}\right\}\right]$ is connected. We claim that $P_{2}$ is short. Assume not. Let $t$ be the vertex in $V(C)$ adjacent to $u_{2}, v_{2}$. Since $D_{2} \neq \emptyset$, there is a minimal path $Q$ linking $V\left(C\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)\right)-\left\{u_{1}\right\}$ and $t$ with interior in $V\left(D_{1} \cup D_{2}\right) \cup\left\{b_{1}\right\}$. Let $s$ be the other end of $Q$. Since (2) holds when $s \neq v_{1}$, we have $s=v_{1}$. When $Q$ is across $V\left(C^{*}\left(v_{1}, u_{1}, u_{2}, t\right)\right)$, (2) holds; when $Q$ is across $V\left(C^{*}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, t\right)\right)$, replacing $P_{2}$ by $Q$, we get a contradiction to the choice of $P_{1}, P_{2}$. Hence, $P_{2}$ is short, implying that $P_{1}$ is local and $u_{1} \neq u_{2}$, for otherwise (2) holds.

Let $Q$ be a minimal $(s, t)$-path linking $V\left(C\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)\right)-\left\{u_{1}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{2}, v_{2}\right\}$ with interior in $V\left(D_{1} \cup P_{2}^{*}\right) \cup\left\{b_{1}\right\}$, with $s \in V\left(C\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)\right)-\left\{u_{1}\right\}$ and $t \in\left\{u_{2}, v_{2}\right\}$. Since no vertex in $V(C)-\{s, t\}$ has a neighbour in $V\left(Q^{*}\right)$, either $Q$ is a short $(s, t)$-jump over $C$ or $s t \in E(C)$. Assume that st $\in E(C)$. Since $1 \leq\left|C\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right| \leq\left|C\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)\right|$, we have $s=v_{1}, t=v_{2}$, and $\left|C\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right|=1$. Since $P_{2}$ is short and $P_{1}$ is across one vertex, by Lemmas 2.3 and 4.1, we have $\left|P_{2}\right|=\left|C\left(u_{2}, u_{1}, v_{1}, v_{2}\right)\right|=4 \geq \ell+1$, so $\ell \leq 3$, which contradicts to the fact that $\ell \geq 4$. Hence, $Q$ is a short ( $s, t$ )-jump over $C$.

When $t=u_{2}$, since $P_{1}$ is local and $1 \leq\left|C\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right| \leq\left|C\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)\right|$, the short jump $Q$ is across $V\left(C^{*}\left(s, u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right)$, so (2) holds as $P_{1}$ is short. When $t=v_{2}$, either (2) holds or we can replace $P_{1}$ by $Q$ to get a contradiction to the choice of $P_{1}, P_{2}$. This proves 4.5 .2 as $t \in\left\{u_{2}, v_{2}\right\}$.

By 4.5.2, we may assume that $D_{1} \cup\left\{b_{1}\right\}$ is disjoint and anticomplete to $D_{2} \cup\left\{b_{2}\right\}$. By Lemma 4.1, $|H|$ is odd and at least $2 \ell+3$. By symmetry and 4.5.2, we may assume that $a_{1}$ has a neighbour in $V\left(D_{2}\right) \cup\left\{b_{2}\right\}$. Let $w$ be the vertex in $N\left(a_{1}\right) \cap\left(V\left(D_{2}\right) \cup\left\{b_{2}\right\}\right)$ closest to $v_{2}$ along $P_{2}$. Set $Q:=u_{1} a_{1} w P_{2}\left(w, v_{2}\right)$. Then $Q$ is a jump of $C$. Since (2) holds when $Q$ is short, we may assume that $Q$ is not short, implying that $P_{2}$ is local and the vertex adjacent to $v_{2}, u_{2}$ has a neighbour in $V\left(P_{2}\left(w, v_{2}\right)\right)$. Then $|Q| \geq 3 \ell-1$, implying that $P_{1}\left(a_{1}, v_{1}\right) C\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) Q\left(v_{2}, a_{1}\right)$ is an even hole by 4.5.2.

Hence, by Lemma 4.1. $C\left(u_{1}, v_{1}, v_{2}\right) Q$ is an odd hole of length at least $3 \ell+2$, which is not possible.

Theorem 4.6. Let $\ell \geq 5$ be an integer and $C$ be an odd hole of a graph $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\ell}$. Then one of the following holds.
(1) $G$ has an odd $K_{4}$-subdivision.
(2) $G$ contains a balanced $K_{4}$-subdivision of type $(1,2)$.
(3) G has a $P_{3}$-cut.
(4) G has a degree-2 vertex.

Proof. Assume that neither (1) nor (2) is true. Set $C:=v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{2 \ell+1} v_{1}$. Let $P$ be a short jump over $C$ with $|P|$ as small as possible. By symmetry we may assume that the ends of $P$ are $v_{2}, v_{k}$ with $k \leq \ell+2$. By Lemmas 4.5 (1), 4.4 and 2.3 , we may assume that all short jumps over $C$ have ends in $\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$. That is, (i) no jump hole over $C$ contains a vertex in $V(C)-\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$.

For each integer $1 \leq i \leq 2$, let $P_{i}$ be a local $\left(s_{i}, t_{i}\right)$-jump over $C$ across one vertex and $Q_{i}$ be the $\left(s_{i}, t_{i}\right)$-path on $C$ of length three. When $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are uncrossing, by (i) and Lemma $4.5(2),\left|V\left(Q_{1} \cup Q_{2}\right)-\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}\right| \leq 2$. When $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are crossing, $\left|Q_{1} \cup Q_{2}\right|=4$. Since there is no short jump over $C$ or at least one vertex in $\left\{s_{i}, t_{i}\right\}$ is in $\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$ by Lemma 4.5 (2), by possibly reordering we may assume that all local jumps over $C$ across one vertex have ends in $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}, v_{k+1}\right\}$ with $4 \leq k \leq \ell+2$. For any integer $1 \leq i \leq k+1$, let $X_{i}$ be the set of vertices adjacent to $v_{i}$ that are in a local jump over $C$ across one vertex with one end $v_{i}$ or a short jump over $C$ with one end $v_{i}$. Set $X:=X_{1} \cup X_{2} \cup \cdots \cup X_{k+1}$. Then $X$ intersects all short jumps over $C$ and all local jumps over $C$ across one vertex in at least two vertices.

Since $\ell \geq 5$ and $k \leq \ell+2$, we have $k+3 \leq 2 \ell$. Since no vertex in $V(G)-V(C)$ has two neighbours in $V(C)$, the vertex $v_{k+3}$ has no neighbour in $X$. Assume that $v_{k+3}$ has degree at least three, for otherwise (4) holds. There is a connected induced subgraph $D$ such that $v_{k+3}$ has a neighbour in $V(D)$ and $V(D) \cap(V(C) \cup X)=\emptyset$, and $D$ is maximal with these properties. Let $N$ be the set of vertices in $V(C) \cup X$ that have a neighbour in $V(D)$. Evidently, $v_{k+3} \in N$.
4.6.1. $N \cap(X \cup V(C)) \subseteq\left\{v_{k+2}, v_{k+3}, v_{k+4}\right\}$.

Subproof. Assume not. When $1 \leq i \leq k+1$, set $W_{i}:=X_{i} \cup\left\{v_{i}\right\}$; and when $k+5 \leq i \leq 2 \ell+1$, set $W_{i}:=\left\{v_{i}\right\}$. Assume that $N \cap W_{i} \neq \emptyset$ for some integer $i \notin\{k+2, k+3, k+4\}$. Let $Q$ be a minimal $\left(v_{i}, v_{k+3}\right)$-path with interior in $V(D) \cup$ $\left(W_{i}-\left\{v_{i}\right\}\right)$. Then $Q$ is a jump over $C$ and $|Q \cap X| \leq 1$. Since $X$ intersects each
local jumps over $C$ across one vertex and each short jump over $C$ in at least two vertices, $G[V(C \cup Q)]$ does not contain a local jump over $C$ across one vertex or a short jump over $C$, which is not possible by Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3

By 4.6.1, the set $\left\{v_{k+2}, v_{k+3}, v_{k+4}\right\}$ is a $P_{3}$-cut of $G$. So (3) holds.
Now, we can prove Theorem 1.3 , which is restated here for convenience.
Theorem 4.7. For any integer $\ell \geq 5$, each graph in $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}$ is 3 -colorable.
Proof. Assume not. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\ell}$ be a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1.3 , Then $G$ is 4-vertex-critical. So $G$ has no degree- 2 vertex. By Theorems 1.2 and 3.2 , $G$ contains neither odd $K_{4}$-subdivision nor balanced $K_{4}$-subdivision of type $(1,2)$. Hence, $G$ has a $P_{3}$-cut by Theorem 4.6, which is a contradiction to Lemma 2.2.
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