

NESTED PERFECT TOROIDAL ARRAYS

VERÓNICA BECHER AND OLIVIER CARTON

ABSTRACT. We introduce two-dimensional toroidal arrays that are a variant of the de Bruijn tori. We call them nested perfect toroidal arrays. Instead of asking that every array of a given size has exactly one occurrence, we partition the positions in congruence classes and we ask exactly one occurrence in each congruence class. We also ask that this property applies recursively to each of the subarrays. We give a method to construct nested perfect toroidal arrays based on Pascal triangle matrix modulo 2. For the two-symbol alphabet, and for n being a power of 2, our method yields 2^{n^2+n-1} different nested perfect toroidal arrays allocating all the different $n \times n$ arrays in each congruence class that arises from taking the line number modulo n and the column number modulo n .

Keywords: de Bruijn tori, nested perfect necklaces, Pascal triangle

Mathematics Subject Classification: 05B05, 11C20

CCS: Mathematics of computing, Discrete mathematics, Combinatorial problems

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and statement of results	1
2. Proof of Theorem 1	4
3. Proof of Theorem 2	9
Acknowledgements.	17
References	17

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

A toroidal array of size $n \times n$ is an array where the line numbers are considered modulo n and the column numbers are considered modulo n . In this note we consider toroidal arrays of symbols in a finite alphabet. The problem of constructing toroidal arrays of minimal size that allocate a given family of smaller arrays goes back to the 1960s, see for instance [8, 15]. The constructions of toroidal arrays where each member of the family occurs exactly once generalize the classical unidimensional de Bruijn sequences to two dimensional arrays, and they are known as de Bruijn tori or perfect maps. There has been significant effort in solving the problem of determining the existence of these toroidal arrays for the different subarrays sizes, and in giving construction methods, for instance the work of [12, 6, 16, 10, 5, 14, 4]. The first achievements focus on arrays of 0s and 1s. Subsequent work solves the existence problem and the construction problem for arbitrary alphabets. The ability to recover efficiently any given subarray receives special attention [13]. There is also work on constructions for three dimensional arrays [9].

Date: January 3, 2023.

In this note we introduce a variant of the de Bruijn tori. We call them nested perfect toroidal arrays. Instead of asking that every array of a given size has exactly one occurrence, we partition the positions in congruence classes and we ask exactly one occurrence in each congruence class. We also ask that this property applies recursively to the subarrays.

Nested perfect toroidal arrays are the two-dimensional version of the work done by the authors in the unidimensional case, that they called *nested perfect necklaces* [3]. Nested perfect necklaces are a special case of the *perfect necklaces* [1].

Along the sequel we number the lines and columns starting at 0 (instead of starting at 1). For any two-dimensional array toroidal A the subarray of size $n \times n$ at position (k, ℓ) is the array made of the lines from k to $k + n - 1$ and the columns from ℓ to $\ell + n - 1$ where all these indices are taken modulo the number of lines and columns of A . Note that $(0, 0)$ is the position of the upper left corner of each array because lines and columns are numbered starting from 0.

A *modulo* is a pair of positive integers written (p, q) . The positions of any given array are partitioned into pq residue classes according to their respective residue classes modulo p and modulo q : Thus, the modulo $(1, 1)$ yields a single class containing all the positions, and the modulo $(2, 2)$ partitions the positions in four classes.

An array of size $n \times n$ *occurs* at position (k, ℓ) in an array if it is equal to the subarray of size $n \times n$ at position (k, ℓ) .

Definition 1 (Perfect toroidal array). *A toroidal array A is perfect for window size $s \times t$ and modulo (p, q) , abbreviated (s, t, p, q) -perfect, if each $s \times t$ array has exactly one occurrence in A in each residue class modulo (p, q) .*

Then, in an $(s, t, 1, 1)$ -perfect toroidal array each $s \times t$ array has exactly one occurrence. Figure 1 gives an example of a $(2, 2, 1, 1)$ -perfect toroidal array and two $(2, 2, 2, 2)$ -perfect toroidal arrays. In the leftmost, each 2×2 array occurs exactly once. In the other two, each 2×2 array occurs exactly four times, having exactly one occurrence in each residue class modulo $(2, 2)$.

Definition 2 (Aligned subarray). *Given an array A , a subarray of size $k \times \ell$ is aligned if its position (i, j) in A satisfies that k divides i and ℓ divides j .*

Definition 3 (Subdivision). *If both k and ℓ divides n , a $k \times \ell$ -subdivision of an array of size $n \times n$ yields $k\ell$ aligned subarrays, each of size $n/k \times n/\ell$.*

Definition 4 (Nested perfect toroidal array). *Assume a b -symbol alphabet. A perfect toroidal array A is nested for window size $s \times t$ and modulo (p, q) , abbreviated nested (s, t, p, q) -perfect, if for each $k = 0, \dots, s - 1$, each aligned subarray of the $b^{kt/2} \times b^{kt/2}$ subdivision of A is $(s - k, t, p, q)$ -perfect.*

Notice that (f, g, p, q) -perfect implies (f', g', p, q) -perfect for $1 \leq f' \leq f$ and $1 \leq g' \leq g$. The reverse implication may not be true. Definition 4 asks that the subdivisions yields $(s - k, t, p, q)$ -perfect subarrays instead of $(s - k, t - k, p, q)$ -perfect, as it could be expected. Our motivation is that we have a construction method that ensures the stronger property.

There are other natural options for the definition of a nested perfect array. For non square arrays such definitions are not equivalent to each other, but they all coincide for square arrays. In this work we are interested in the square case.

Consider a (n, n, n, n) -perfect toroidal array in the b -symbol alphabet. Its size is $nb^{n^2/2} \times nb^{n^2/2}$ for n even. For $k = 0, \dots, n-1$, each part of its $b^{kn/2} \times b^{kn/2}$ subdivision has size $nb^{n(n-k)/2} \times nb^{n(n-k)/2}$.

For square arrays the definition of nested perfect toroidal arrays can be rephrased as follows.

Definition 5 (Square nested perfect toroidal array). *Assume a b -symbol alphabet. An (n, n, n, n) -perfect toroidal array is nested if, for $k = 1, \dots, n$, each aligned subarray of size $nb^{nk/2} \times nb^{nk/2}$ is (k, n, n, n) -perfect.*

For $b = 2$ and $n = 4$: an array A of size 1024×1024 is a nested $(4, 4, 4, 4)$ -perfect toroidal array if

- A is a $(4, 4, 4, 4)$ -perfect toroidal array;
- each 256×256 aligned subarray is a $(3, 4, 4, 4)$ -perfect toroidal array;
- each 64×64 aligned subarray is a $(2, 4, 4, 4)$ -perfect toroidal array;
- each 16×16 aligned subarray is a $(1, 4, 4, 4)$ -perfect toroidal array.




FIGURE 1. Examples of perfect toroidal arrays

Array size for nested (n, n, n, n) -perfect, $n = 2^d$	Window size	Modulo
$(b^{n \times n/2} \times n) \times (b^{n \times n/2} \times n)$	$n \times n$	(n, n)
$(b^{n/2 \times n/2} \times n) \times (b^{n/2 \times n/2} \times n)$	$(n/2) \times n$	(n, n)
$(b^{n/2^2 \times n/2} \times n) \times (b^{n/2^2 \times n/2} \times n)$	$(n/2^2) \times n$	(n, n)
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
$(b^{1 \times n/2} \times n) \times (b^{1 \times n/2} \times n)$	$1 \times n$	(n, n)

FIGURE 2. Subdivisions sizes and window sizes

The leftmost two toroidal arrays in Figure 1 above are not nested perfect: The leftmost one is not nested because its left upper 2×2 subarray has 2 occurrences of the 1×2 array $[0, 1]$ but no occurrence of the 1×2 array $[0, 0]$. The middle array in Figure 1 is not a nested $(2, 2, 2, 2)$ -perfect array because its left upper 4×4 subarray contains more 0s than 1s. The rightmost toroidal array is a nested $(2, 2, 2, 2)$ -perfect toroidal array.

The following is the main result in the present note. It states the existence of nested perfect toroidal arrays of 0s and 1s when all parameters are equal to the same power of 2.

Theorem 1. *For every integer $n \geq 2$ that is a power of 2, there exist nested (n, n, n, n) -perfect toroidal arrays of 0 and 1s.*

Our construction method does not yield just one instance, but many. The next result, Theorem 2, gives the exact number of different instances obtainable with our method.

Theorem 2. *There is a construction method that, for each integer n that is a power of 2, yields 2^{n^2+n-1} different nested (n, n, n, n) -perfect toroidal arrays of 0 and 1s.*

We do not know if there are more.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We assume that the alphabet is the two element field $\mathbb{F}_2 = \{0, 1\}$. We shall use matrices of elements in $\mathbb{F}_2 = \{0, 1\}$, do matrix multiplication and matrix summation. The component-wise sum of elements in \mathbb{F}_2 is denoted by the symbol \oplus . Matrices are named with the letters M, N, P, Q with sub-indices and super-indices. When we depict a matrix we draw the surrounding black parenthesis outside. The outcome of the construction in each case is a toroidal array of 0s and 1s obtained by tiling with the above mentioned matrices. We name the arrays with letters A, B, C and when we draw them we do not put the surrounding black parenthesis outside.

We start by defining the following family of matrices.

Definition 6. *We give an inductive definition of the matrix M_d of elements in \mathbb{F}_2 , of size $2^d \times 2^d$, for each $d \geq 0$ by*

$$M_0 = (1) \quad \text{and} \quad M_{d+1} = \begin{pmatrix} M_d & M_d \\ 0 & M_d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, the matrices M_1 and M_2 are

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For every d , the matrix M_d is upper triangular, that is $(M_d)_{i,j} = 0$ for $0 \leq j < i < 2^d$. The following lemma states that the upper part of the matrix M_d is the beginning of the Pascal triangle modulo 2 also known as the Sierpiński triangle. The proof is a simple induction on d and can be found in [3, Lemma 3].

The matrix M_d is almost the one used by M. Levin in [11, Theorem 2] because we have reversed the order of the columns. The Pascal triangle matrix has been previously used by H. Fauré [7] for the construction of uniformly distributed sequences of real numbers.

Lemma 1 ([3, Lemma 3]). *For all integers d, i, j such that $d \geq 0$ and $0 < i < 2^d$ and $0 \leq j < 2^d - 1$, $(M_d)_{i,j} = (M_d)_{i-1,j} \oplus (M_d)_{i,j+1}$.*

Proof. To facilitate the review we include the proof already given in [3, Lemma 3]. The proof is carried out by induction on d . For $d = 0$, the result is trivially true because there are no such i and j . For $d = 1$, the result trivially holds. Suppose that the result holds for M_d and let i, j be integers such that $0 < i < 2^{d+1}$ and $0 \leq j < 2^{d+1} - 1$. If $i \neq 2^d$ and $j \neq 2^d - 1$, the three entries $(M_{d+1})_{i,j}$, $(M_{d+1})_{i-1,j}$ and $(M_{d+1})_{i,j+1}$ lie in the same quarter of the matrix M_{d+1} and the result follows from the inductive hypothesis. Otherwise, the result is a consequences the following facts. For each integer $d \geq 1$, the entry $(M_d)_{i,j}$ is equal to 1 if either $i = 0$ or $j = 2^d - 1$ (first row and last column) and it is equal to 0 if $i = 2^d - 1$ or $j = 0$ (last row and first column) and $(M_d)_{0,0} = (M_d)_{2^d-1,2^d-1} = 1$ (intersection of the two previous cases). These facts are easily proved by induction on d . \square

Bacher and Chapman [2, Theorems 1 and 3] proved that for every non negative integer d and every integer k such that $1 \leq k \leq 2^d$, every $k \times k$ submatrix of M_d given by k consecutive rows and the last k columns, or by the top k consecutive rows and any consecutive k columns, is invertible. In case $k = 2^d$ this says that the whole matrix M_d is invertible. A proof of this result in more general form appears in Lemmas 2 and 3 in the next section.

Now we define an enumeration of all $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{F}_2 . Suppose that the integer n is fixed. Let $N_0, \dots, N_{2^{n^2}-1}$ be the enumeration of these matrices defined as follows. Informally, for $0 \leq k < 2^{n^2}$, the matrix N_k is filled by the digits of the binary expansion of k : the most n significant binary digits are put in the first line, the following n digits are put in the second line and so on, until the last line.


Definition 7 (Matrices enumeration). *Fix a positive integer n . We define an enumeration $N_0, \dots, N_{2^{n^2}-1}$ of all the $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{F}_2 . Let k be a non-negative integer and let $a_{n^2-1} \dots a_0$ be its binary expansion (the least significant digit is a_0). For each i, j such that $i, j = 0, \dots, n-1$ the (i, j) -entry of the matrix N_k is $a_{n^2-1-in-j}$. The $(0, 0)$ -entry of N_k is thus the first digit a_{n^2-1} and the $(n-1, n-1)$ -entry is the last digit a_0 .*

For example the enumeration N_0, \dots, N_{15} of the 16 matrices over \mathbb{F}_2 of size 2×2 is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

For any given non negative integer k we consider its binary representation as a sequence of bits $a_n \dots a_0$. We denote with $\text{even}(k)$ the integer whose binary representation is the subsequence $a_m \dots a_2 a_0$, made of the bits at even positions in the representation of k , so where $m = n$ in case n is even, otherwise $m = n - 1$. Similarly, $\text{odd}(k)$ is the integer defined from the subsequence determined by the odd indexes.

Definition 8 (Pascal toroidal array). *Let d be a positive integer and let $n = 2^d$. We define a toroidal array A_d of size $n2^{n^2/2} \times n2^{n^2/2}$ over \mathbb{F}_2 by tiling it with all the $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{F}_2 . Since there are 2^{n^2} such matrices, the total number of placed cells is exactly the size of A_d . For each k such that $0 \leq k < 2^{n^2}$ the matrix $M_d N_k$ is placed in A_d at position $(\text{odd}(k)n, \text{even}(k)n)$.*

FIGURE 3. An occurrence of array B in A_d , $n = 2^d$.

Since each matrix M_d is upper triangular with 1s on the diagonal then it is invertible. Since N_0, \dots, N_{n^2-1} is an enumeration of all $n \times n$ matrices, then $M_d N_0, \dots, M_d N_{n^2-1}$ is also an enumeration of all the $n \times n$ matrices, but in a different order. This implies that each $n \times n$ matrix is used exactly once to tile the array A_d .

We illustrate the construction of A_d for $d = 1$, $n = 2$. The 2×2 matrices N_0, \dots, N_{15} are listed above. The matrices $M_1 N_k$ for $k = 0, \dots, 15$ are placed as follows in the array A_1 :

$$\begin{array}{cccc} M_1 N_0 & M_1 N_1 & M_1 N_4 & M_1 N_5 \\ M_1 N_2 & M_1 N_3 & M_1 N_6 & M_1 N_7 \\ M_1 N_8 & M_1 N_9 & M_1 N_{12} & M_1 N_{13} \\ M_1 N_{10} & M_1 N_{11} & M_1 N_{14} & M_1 N_{15} \end{array}$$



Since each matrix $M_1 N_k$ has size 2×2 , the array A_1 has size 8×8 . The nested $(2, 2, 2, 2)$ -perfect array given in the right of Figure 1 is actually the array A_1 .

Proposition 1. *Let d be a non-negative integer and let $n = 2^d$. Let k be an integer such that $1 \leq k \leq n$. Each $n2^{kn/2} \times n2^{kn/2}$ aligned subarray of A_d is a nested (k, n, n, n) -perfect toroidal array.*



Proof. Suppose that k is fixed, $1 \leq k \leq n$, and let B be an aligned subarray of A_d of sizes $n2^{kn/2} \times n2^{kn/2}$. Since B is aligned, the coordinates of its upper left corner are of the form $pn2^{kn/2}$ and $qn2^{kn/2}$ for two integers p and q such that $0 \leq p, q < 2^{(n-k)n/2}$. This means that the subarray B is tiled by the matrices $M_d N_{\ell \vee m}$ for integers ℓ and m satisfying

$$p2^{kn/2} \leq \ell < (p+1)2^{kn/2} \text{ and } q2^{kn/2} \leq m < (q+1)2^{kn/2}.$$

Note that the factor n disappeared since it accounts for the sizes of each of the matrices $M_d N_{\ell \vee m}$. The binary expansions of all integers ℓ satisfying $p2^{kn/2} \leq \ell < (p+1)2^{kn/2}$ start with the same $(n-k)n/2$ binary digits and the same hold for all integers m satisfying $q2^{kn/2} \leq m < (q+1)2^{kn/2}$. This implies that the binary expansion of $\ell \vee m$ starts with the same $(n-k)n$ binary digits. Since the first binary digits of $\ell \vee m$ are put in the first rows of the matrix $N_{\ell \vee m}$ which have length n , all matrices $N_{\ell \vee m}$ for ℓ and m in their respective intervals have the same first $n-k$ rows.


 FIGURE 4. Proof of Proposition 1, using P_1

 FIGURE 5. Proof of Proposition 1, using P_2

Let (i, j) be a pair of integers such that $0 \leq i, j < n$ and let P be an array of size $k \times n$. We claim that P has exactly one occurrence in B which is congruent to (i, j) modulo (n, n) . To prove it, we show that P has a single such occurrence exactly when a certain system of linear equations has a solution. Furthermore, this solution of the system provides the matrix $N_{\ell \vee m}$ and thus the integers ℓ and m which, in turn, give the position of the occurrence of P in the subarray B .

FIGURE 6. Proof of Proposition 1, using P_3 FIGURE 7. Proof of Proposition 1, using P_4

An occurrence P can overlap at most four matrices tiling the subarray B . Suppose that the upper left corner of the occurrence of P lies in some matrix $M_d N_{\ell \vee m}$ where the integers ℓ and m such that $p2^{kn/2} \leq \ell < (p+1)2^{kn/2}$ and $q2^{kn/2} \leq m < (q+1)2^{kn/2}$. The matrix on the right of $M_d N_{\ell \vee m}$ and the matrix below it are respectively $M_d N_{(\ell+1) \vee m}$ and $M_d N_{\ell \vee (m+1)}$ where $\ell+1$ and $m+1$ must be understood modulo $2^{kn/2}$ in order to remain in the right intervals. Let P_1 , P_2 , P_3 and P_4 be the parts of P that overlap respectively the matrices $M_d N_{\ell \vee m}$, $M_d N_{\ell \vee (m+1)}$, $M_d N_{(\ell+1) \vee m}$ and $M_d N_{(\ell+1) \vee (m+1)}$. They are pictured in Figure 10.

If $j = 0$, the parts P_2 and P_4 of the occurrence are empty. If $i + k \leq n$, the parts P_3 and P_4 of the occurrence are also empty. The simplest case is the system of equations $M_d N_{\ell \vee m} = P$ when $i = j = 0$ and $k = n$. We now treat the general case where none are empty, the other cases are similar and easier.

We state now the system of equations. The unknowns are the entries in the matrix $N_{\ell \vee m}$. We claim that they can be found from the matrix A_d the pair (i, j) and the arrays P_1, P_2, P_3 and P_4 . As explained before, since $k = \ell \vee m$, the first $n - k$ rows of the matrix $N_{\ell \vee m}$ are fixed by the subarray B . This part is marked in dark grey in Figure 4.

The height and width of P_1 are respectively $n - i$ and $n - j$. The part P_1 is obtained by the multiplication of the last $n - i$ rows of the matrix M_d and the last $n - j$ columns of the matrix $N_{\ell \vee m}$ (see grey parts in Figure 4a). Now we use the fact that the matrix M_d is upper triangular. This reduces the parts of M_d and $N_{\ell \vee m}$ used to compute P_1 (see grey parts in Figure 4b). Furthermore, the part used in M_d is upper triangular matrix with 1 on the diagonal. This matrix is therefore invertible. This means that the grey part in $N_{\ell \vee m}$ can be obtained by multiplying the inverse of this triangular matrix with P_1 .

The height and width of P_2 are respectively $n - i$ and j . The part P_2 is obtained by the multiplication of the last $n - i$ rows of the matrix M_d and the first j columns of the matrix $N_{\ell \vee (m+1)}$ (see grey parts in Figure 5a). As for P_1 , the fact that the matrix M_d is upper triangular reduces the parts of M_d and $N_{\ell \vee (m+1)}$ used to compute P_2 (see grey parts in Figure 5b). Furthermore, the part used in M_d is again invertible. This means that the grey part in $N_{\ell \vee (m+1)}$ can be obtained by multiplying the inverse of this triangular matrix with P_2 .

We claim that $n - i$ rows of $N_{\ell \vee m}$ are determined by part known in $N_{\ell \vee m}$ and $N_{\ell \vee (m+1)}$. This is because, for integers r and s , the last r binary digits of s determine the last r binary digits of $s + 1$ and that conversely the last r binary digits of $s + 1$ determine the last r binary digits of s . It follows that the last i rows of the four matrices $N_{\ell \vee m}$, $N_{\ell \vee (m+1)}$, $N_{(\ell+1) \vee m}$ and $N_{(\ell+1) \vee (m+1)}$ are known. These parts are marked in dark grey in the Figure 5.

The height and width of P_3 are respectively $i + k - n$ and $n - j$. The part P_3 is obtained by the multiplication of the first $i + k - n$ rows of the matrix M_d and the last $n - j$ columns of the matrix $N_{(\ell+1) \vee m}$ (see grey parts in Figure 6a). Now we use the fact that the first $n - k$ and the last i rows of $N_{(\ell+1) \vee m}$ are known. The elements of these rows can be considered as constants in the system of equations. Combining this latter result and the fact that the square $(i + k - n) \times (i + k - n)$ submatrix of M_d in grey in Figure 6b) is invertible the still unknown entries in the last $n - j$ columns of $N_{(\ell+1) \vee m}$ can be found.

The height and width of P_4 are respectively $i + k - n$ and j . By a reasoning very similar used with P_3 , the remaining entries of the matrix $N_{(\ell+1) \vee (m+1)}$ can be found, see Figures 7a and 7b. \square

In Proposition 1 the case $k = n$ states that the Pascal toroidal array for $n = 2^d$ is a nested (n, n, n, n) -perfect toroidal array. This proves Theorem 1.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

We consider a family of matrices that were first defined in [3]. These matrices are obtained by applying some rotations to columns of the matrices M_d given in Definition 6.

Let σ be the function which maps each word $a_1 \cdots a_n$ to $a_n a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1}$ obtained by moving the last symbol to the front. Since words over \mathbb{F}_2 are identified with column vectors, the function σ can also be applied to a column vector.

Definition 9 (Pascal-like matrices). *Let d be a non negative integer and let $n = 2^d$. Let m_0, \dots, m_{n-1} be integers such that $m_{n-1} = 0$ and $m_i \leq m_{i+1} \leq m_i + 1$ for each integer $0 \leq i < n$. Let C_0, \dots, C_{n-1} be the columns of M_d , that is, $M_d = (C_0, \dots, C_{n-1})$. Define*

$$M_d^{m_0, \dots, m_{n-1}} = (\sigma^{m_0}(C_0), \dots, \sigma^{m_{n-1}}(C_{n-1})).$$

The following are the eight possible matrices $M_d^{m_0, \dots, m_{n-1}}$ for $d = 2$ and $n = 2^2$.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} M_2^{0,0,0,0} & M_2^{1,0,0,0} & M_2^{1,1,0,0} & M_2^{2,1,0,0} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ M_2^{1,1,1,0} & M_2^{2,1,1,0} & M_2^{2,2,1,0} & M_2^{3,2,1,0} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

The matrix $M_d^{0, \dots, 0}$ is exactly the matrix M_d of Definition 6. Not only $M_d^{0, \dots, 0}$ but all the matrices $M_d^{m_0, \dots, m_{n-1}}$ of Definition 9 have the property that all the square submatrices on the top and on the right are invertible.

A proof of this result appears in [3, Lemmas 4 and 5]. We include them below.

Lemma 2 ([3, Lemma 4]). *Let d be a non negative integer and let $n = 2^d$. Let matrix M be a one of $M_d^{m_0, \dots, m_{n-1}}$. Let ℓ and k be two integers such that $0 \leq \ell < \ell + k \leq n$. The submatrix given by the k rows $\ell, \ell + 1, \dots, \ell + k - 1$ and the last k columns $n - k, \dots, n - 1$ of M is invertible.*

Proof. Note that for $k = n$ and $\ell = 0$, the submatrix in the statement of the lemma, is the whole matrix $M_d^{m_0, \dots, m_{n-1}}$, which is clearly invertible. By Lemma 1, each entry $M_{i,j}$ for $0 < i < n$ and $0 \leq j < n - 1$ of the matrix M satisfies either $M_{i,j} = M_{i-1,j} \oplus M_{i,j+1}$ if $m_j = m_{j+1}$ (the column C_j has been rotated as much as the column C_{j+1}) or $M_{i,j} = M_{i-1,j} \oplus M_{i-1,j+1}$ if $m_j = m_{j+1} + 1$ (the column C_j has been rotated once more than the column C_{j+1}).

Let P be the submatrix in the statement of the lemma:

$$M = \left(\begin{array}{c} \ell \updownarrow \\ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline P \\ \hline \end{array} \\ k \downarrow \\ \hline \begin{array}{cc} \leftarrow n-k & k \rightarrow \\ \hline \end{array} \\ n \end{array} \right) \updownarrow n$$

To prove that P is invertible we apply transformations to make it triangular. Note that all entries of the last column are 1. The first transformation applied to P is as follows. The row L_0 is left unchanged and the row L_i for $1 \leq i < k$ is replaced by $L_i \oplus L_{i-1}$. All entries of the last column but its top most one become zero. Furthermore, each entry $P_{i,j}$ is replaced by either $P_{i,j+1}$ or $P_{i-1,j+1}$ depending on the value $m_j - m_{j+1}$. Note also that the new values of the entries still satisfy either $P_{i,j} = P_{i-1,j} \oplus P_{i,j+1}$ or $P_{i,j} = P_{i-1,j} \oplus P_{i-1,j+1}$ depending on the value $m_j - m_{j+1}$.

The second transformation applied to P is as follows. The rows L_0 and L_1 are left unchanged and each row L_i for $2 \leq i < k$ is replaced by $L_i \oplus L_{i-1}$. All entries of the second to last columns but its two topmost ones are now zero. At step t for $0 \leq t < k$, rows L_0, \dots, L_t are left unchanged and each row L_i for $t+1 \leq i < k$ is replaced by $L_i \oplus L_{i-1}$. After applying all these transformations for $0 \leq t < k$, each entry $P_{i,j}$ for $i+j = k-1$ satisfies $P_{i,j} = 1$ and each entry $P_{i,j}$ for $i+j > k-1$ satisfies $P_{i,j} = 0$. It follows that the determinant of P is 1 and that the matrix P is invertible. \square

Let $n = 2^d$ for some $d \geq 1$ and let M be one matrix $M_d^{m_0, \dots, m_{n-1}}$. We introduce the notions of *upper* and *lower borders* of such a matrix $M_d^{m_0, \dots, m_{n-1}}$. An entry $M_{i,j}$ for $0 \leq i, j < n$ is said to be in the *upper border* (respectively *lower border*) of M if $M_{i,j} = 1$ and $M_{k,j} = 0$ for all $k = 0, \dots, i-1$ (respectively for all $k = i+1, \dots, n-1$). For example, the upper border of the matrix $M_d^{0, \dots, 0} = M_d$ is the first row and its lower border is the main diagonal. The upper and lower borders in column i lie in lines m_i and $m_i + i$ respectively.

$$M_3^{3,3,2,1,1,1,0,0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \underline{1} & \mathbf{1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \underline{1} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \underline{1} & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \underline{1} & \mathbf{1} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \underline{1} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \underline{1} & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \underline{1} & \mathbf{1} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \underline{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

FIGURE 8. Upper and lower borders of $M_3^{3,3,2,1,1,1,0,0}$ are shown in boldface.

Column i	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Upper border m_i	3	3	2	1	1	1	0	0
Lower border $m_i + i$	3	4	4	4	5	6	6	7

Both borders of matrix $M_d^{m_0, \dots, m_{n-1}}$ start in the unique entry 1 of the first column. The upper border ends in the top most entry of the last column and the lower border ends in the bottom most entry of the last column. The upper border is only made of either East or North-East steps and the lower border is only made of either East or South-East steps. The upper border contains an East step from column C_j to column C_{j+1} if $m_j = m_{j+1}$ and contains a North-East step if $m_j = m_{j+1} + 1$. Furthermore, whenever the upper border uses an East (respectively North-East) step to go from one columns to its right neighbour, the lower border uses a South-East (respectively East) step. This is because the distance from the upper border to the lower border in column i is exactly i . This allows us to define a function τ from $\{0, \dots, n-1\}$ to $\{0, \dots, n-1\}$ as follows.

$$\tau(i) = \begin{cases} m_i & \text{if either } i = 0 \text{ or } m_{i-1} = m_i + 1 \\ m_i + i & \text{otherwise, that is, } i > 0 \text{ and } m_{i-1} = m_i \end{cases}$$

The value of $\tau(i)$ is the line index of either the upper or the lower border in column i . It follows from the definition of the function τ that $M_{\tau(i),i} = 1$ and $M_{\tau(i),j} = 0$ for each $0 \leq j < i$.

We define the *affine toroidal arrays* by considering the family of Pascal-like matrices.

Definition 10 (Affine toroidal array). *Let d be a non-negative integer and let $n = 2^d$. Let M be any Pascal-like matrix of size $n \times n$ (Definition 9). Let $N_0, \dots, N_{2^{n^2}-1}$ be the enumeration of all $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{F}_2 (Definition 7) and let Z be any $n \times n$ matrix over \mathbb{F}_2 .*

An array A of size $n2^{n^2/2} \times n2^{n^2/2}$ is (n, n, n, n) -affine if for each integer k such that $0 \leq k < 2^{n^2}$, the matrix $MN_k \oplus Z$ is placed in A with its upper left corner cell at position $(\text{odd}(k)n, \text{even}(k)n)$.

Since each matrix $M = M_d^{m_0, \dots, m_{n-1}}$ is invertible, every matrix Z is equal to MZ' for some matrix Z' .

For an array Z we write $(Z)^{(n \times n)}$ to denote the array given by n^2 copies of Z , n rows and n columns. The next result states that any nested perfect array can be transformed into another one of the same size but having the matrix 0 in the upper corner.

In what follows we use the operation \oplus on subarrays denoting the usual sum on matrices of elements in \mathbb{F}_2 .

Lemma 4. *Let d be a non-negative integer. Fix $n = 2^d$. Let A be an array of size $n2^{n^2/2} \times n2^{n^2/2}$ and let Z be an array of size $n \times n$. Then A is a nested (n, n, n, n) -perfect toroidal array if and only if $A \oplus (Z)^{2^{n^2/2} \times 2^{n^2/2}}$ is a nested (n, n, n, n) -perfect toroidal array.*

Proof. Let $A' = A \oplus (Z)^{2^{n^2/2} \times 2^{n^2/2}}$. Let ℓ be an integer such that $1 \leq \ell \leq n$ and let L be an aligned subarray of A of size $n2^\ell \times n2^\ell$ starting at a position congruent to $(0, 0)$. The corresponding subarray L' of A' at the same position is $L' = L \oplus (Z)^{(2^\ell \times 2^\ell)}$.

First suppose A is nested (n, n, n, n) -perfect. Then, L is a nested (ℓ, ℓ, n, n) -perfect array. Let i, j be such that $0 \leq i, j < n$ and T be the subarray of $(Z)^{(2 \times 2)}$ of size $\ell \times \ell$ starting at position (i, j) . Let U' be any array of size $\ell \times \ell$. Then, the array $U = U' \oplus T$ has exactly one occurrence in the array L at some position (i', j') congruent to (i, j) modulo (n, n) . It follows that $U' = U \oplus T$ has an occurrence at the same position (i', j') in L' . Since each matrix U has such an occurrence for each possible (i, j) , since L' has size $n2^\ell \times n2^\ell$, L' is a nested (ℓ, ℓ, n, n) -perfect array.

If A is not nested (n, n, n, n) -perfect, there is a witness ℓ , $1 \leq \ell \leq n$ and a subarray L of size $n2^\ell \times n2^\ell$ that occurs twice at positions in the same congruence class. With a similar argument as in the previous case it is easy to check that there is a subarray L' of A' with two occurrences in A' in the same congruence class. \square

We can now prove that the affine arrays are nested perfect arrays.

Proposition 2. *Let d be a non negative integer and let $n = 2^d$. Every (n, n, n, n) -affine array is a nested (n, n, n, n) -perfect array.*

Proof. By Lemma 4, it may be assumed that the array Z in the definition of affine array is the zero matrix. Let M be one of the matrices $M_d^{m_0, \dots, m_{n-1}}$. Suppose A is the (n, n, n, n) -affine array defined by M and the zero matrix. The proof of the Proposition follows that of Proposition 1, but now considering the matrix $M = M_d^{m_0, \dots, m_{n-1}}$ instead of the Pascal matrix $M_d^{0, \dots, 0}$. Let k be an integer such that $1 \leq k \leq n$. Let B be an aligned subarray of A of sizes $n2^{kn/2} \times n2^{kn/2}$. The coordinates of the upper left corner of B are of the form $pn2^{kn/2}$ and $qn2^{kn/2}$ for two integers p and q such that $0 \leq p, q < 2^{(n-k)n/2}$. This means that the

subarray B is tiled by the matrices $MN_{\ell \vee m}$ for ℓ and t satisfying

$$p2^{kn/2} \leq \ell < (p+1)2^{kn/2} \text{ and } q2^{kn/2} \leq t < (q+1)2^{kn/2}.$$

The binary expansions of all integers ℓ satisfying

$$p2^{kn/2} \leq \ell < (p+1)2^{kn/2}$$

start with the same $2^{n(n-k)/2}$ binary digits and the same hold for all integers t satisfying

$$q2^{kn/2} \leq t < (q+1)2^{kn/2}.$$

This implies that $\ell \vee m$ start with the same $n(k-n)$ digits. Since the first digits of $\ell \vee m$ are put in the first rows of $N_{\ell \vee m}$ which have length n , all matrices $N_{\ell \vee m}$ for ℓ and t in their respective intervals have the same first $n-k$ rows.

Let (i, j) be a pair of integers such that $0 \leq i, j < n$ and let P be an array of sizes $k \times n$. We claim that P has exactly one occurrence in B which is congruent to (i, j) modulo (n, n) . In order to prove it, we show that P has a single such occurrence exactly when a certain system of linear equations has a solution. Furthermore, this solution of the system provides the matrix $N_{\ell \vee m}$ and thus the integers ℓ and m which, in turn, give the position of the occurrence of P in the subarray B . An occurrence P can overlap at most four matrices tiling the subarray B .

Suppose that the upper left corner of the occurrence of P lies in some matrix $MN_{\ell \vee m}$ where the integers ℓ and m such that $p2^{kn/2} \leq \ell < (p+1)2^{kn/2}$ and $q2^{kn/2} \leq m < (q+1)2^{kn/2}$. The matrix on the right of $MN_{\ell \vee m}$ and the matrix below it are respectively $MN_{(\ell+1) \vee m}$ and $MN_{\ell \vee (m+1)}$ where $\ell+1$ and $m+1$ must be understood modulo $2^{kn/2}$ in order to remain in the right intervals. Let P_1, P_2, P_3 and P_4 be the parts of P that overlap respectively the matrices $MN_{\ell \vee m}, MN_{\ell \vee (m+1)}, MN_{(\ell+1) \vee m}$ and $MN_{(\ell+1) \vee (m+1)}$. They are pictured in Figure 10.

If $j = 0$, the parts P_2 and P_4 of the occurrence are empty. This is a degenerate case, so we only treat the case $j \geq 1$. Consider two main cases depending on whether $i+k \leq n$ or not.




FIGURE 9. An occurrence of array B in A with $i+k \leq n$.

Suppose that $i+k \leq n$. Then, the parts P_3 and P_4 do not exist and the occurrence of P is reduced to P_1 and P_2 . Consider a column of the occurrence in P_1 , that is, a column of the matrix $MN_{\ell \vee m}$ with index s greater than j from line $i+1$ to line $i+k$. This column is obtained by multiplying the lines from $i+1$ to $i+k$ of M with the column of index s of $N_{\ell \vee m}$.

Note that the first $n - k$ entries of this latter are known and can be considered as constant. The k remaining entries of the column s of $N_{\ell \vee m}$ are thus the solution of the system $y = Mx$ where y is the column of P_1 , M is the $k \times k$ matrix made of lines from i to $i + k - 1$ and columns $n - k$ to $n - 1$ of M and x are the k entries of $N_{\ell \vee m}$. By Lemma 2, the matrix M is invertible and there is then a unique solution to the system. This means that the k entries of the column of index s of $N_{\ell \vee m}$ can be found. An similar reasoning with a column of P_2 allows us to find a column s with $s \leq j$ of $N_{\ell \vee (m+1)}$ and thus of $N_{\ell \vee m}$.




FIGURE 10. An occurrence of array B in A with $i + k > n$.

Now we suppose that $i + k > n$ and we make more explicit how the matrix $N_{\ell \vee m}$ can be computed from the occurrence of P modulo (i, j) . Let us recall that the $n - k$ top lines of $N_{\ell \vee m}$, that is, lines $0, \dots, n - k - 1$ are fixed by the subarray B . Let $n - k, \dots, n - 1$ the indices of the lines of $N_{\ell \vee m}$ which are still unknown. The computation of these k remaining lines is carried out in two phases. The second and first phases respectively compute the lines $n - k, \dots, r - 1$ and $r, \dots, n - 1$ where the cutting index r satisfying $n - k \leq r \leq n - 1$ is defined as follows. The integer r is the least integer such that all the integers $\tau(r), \dots, \tau(n - 1)$ belong to $\{0, \dots, i + k - n - 1\} \cup \{i, \dots, n - 1\}$. Note that $\{0, \dots, i + k - n - 1\}$ are the indices of the lines crossing P_3P_4 while $\{i, \dots, n - 1\}$ are the indices of the lines crossing P_1P_2 . If $r = n - k$, all k lines $n - k, \dots, n - 1$ are computed by the first phase and the second phase is void.

We describe more precisely the first phase. Let s be an integer satisfying $r \leq s \leq n - 1$ and let us suppose that lines $s + 1, \dots, n - 1$ are already known and that line s is still unknown. The entries of line s are computed from the rightmost one of index $n - 1$ to the leftmost one of index 0 . Let us consider the line $\tau(s)$ in the matrix M . By definition of τ , the entry $M_{\tau(s), s}$ is equal to 1 and entries $M_{\tau(s), s'}$ for $s' < s$ are equal to 0. We consider two cases depending on whether $\tau(s)$ belongs to $\{0, \dots, i + k - n - 1\}$ or to $\{i, \dots, n - 1\}$.

Assume $\tau(s)$ belongs to $\{i, \dots, n - 1\}$. Let t be an integer such that $j \leq t \leq n - 1$. The multiplication of the line $\tau(s)$ in M and the column t of $N_{\ell \vee m}$ gives the entry $(\tau(s), t)$ in P_1 , that is, the entry $(\tau(s) - i, t - j)$ in P . The properties of the line $\tau(s)$ in M and the fact that lines below line r in $N_{\ell \vee m}$ are already known allow us to compute the entry (s, t) in $N_{\ell \vee m}$. Let t be an integer such that $0 \leq t < j$. The multiplication of the line $\tau(s)$ in M and the column t of $N_{\ell \vee (m+1)}$ gives the entry $(\tau(s), t)$ in P_2 , that is, $(\tau(s) - i, t + n - i)$ in P .

The properties of the line $\tau(s)$ in M and the fact that lines below line s in $N_{\ell \vee m}$ are already known allow us to find the entry (s, t) in $N_{\ell \vee (m+1)}$. Since all entries (s, t) for $j \leq t \leq n-1$ of $N_{\ell \vee m}$ and all entries (s, t) for $0 \leq t < j$ of $N_{\ell \vee (m+1)}$ and all lines below line s in $N_{\ell \vee m}$ are known, line s of $N_{\ell \vee m}$ is known.

Now assume $\tau(s)$ belongs to $\{0, \dots, i+k-n-1\}$. The same reasoning with matrices $N_{(\ell+1) \vee m}$ and $N_{(\ell+1) \vee (m+1)}$ and parts P_3 and P_4 of P allows us to compute line s of $N_{(\ell+1) \vee m}$ and thus line s of $N_{\ell \vee m}$.

We finally describe the second phase. Lines $0, \dots, n-k-1$ are fixed by the subarray B and lines $r, \dots, n-1$ have been computed by the first phase. Lines $n-k, \dots, r-1$ are still unknown. We assume that $n-k < r$ since otherwise no line is unknown. It follows from the definition of r that the integer $\tau(r-1)$ is then either $i+k-n$ or $i-1$. Suppose $\tau(r-1) = i-1$, the other case is similar. Consider the $(k+r-n) \times (k+r-n)$ matrix M' obtained by selecting lines $i-r, \dots, i+k-n-1$ and columns $n-k, \dots, r-1$ from the matrix M . The upper right entry of M' is the entry $(i-r, r-1)$ of M . Since $\tau(r-1) = i-1$ and the distance between the upper and the lower borders in column $r-1$, is $r-1$, the entry $(i-r, r-1)$ lies on the upper border of M . By Lemma 3, the matrix M' is invertible. Note that selected lines of M' are still unused lines of P and that selected columns correspond to still unknown lines of $N_{\ell \vee m}$. Invertibility of M' allows us to compute lines $n-k, \dots, r-1$ of $N_{\ell \vee m}$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

The next lemma computes the number of (n, n, n, n) -affine arrays.

Proposition 3. *Let d be a non-negative integer and let $n = 2^d$. Then, there are 2^{n^2+n-1} (n, n, n, n) -affine arrays.*

Proof. There are exactly 2^{n-1} matrices $M_d^{m_0, \dots, m_{n-1}}$. Indeed, the sequence m_0, \dots, m_{n-1} is fully determined by the sequence $m_0 - m_1, \dots, m_{n-2} - m_{n-1}$ of $n-1$ differences which take their value in $\{0, 1\}$. There are also 2^{n^2} possible values for the matrix Z in $\mathbb{F}_2^{n \times n}$. This proves that the number of (n, n, n, n) -affine arrays is at most 2^{n^2+n-1} .

It remains to show that two (n, n, n, n) -affine array obtained for two different pairs (M, Z) and (M', Z') are indeed different. Let N_0, \dots, N_{2^n-1} be the enumeration of all $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{F}_2 . Let M and M' be two matrices of the form $M_d^{m_0, \dots, m_{n-1}}$. Let Z and Z' be two $n \times n$ matrices \mathbb{F}_2 . Let $U_i = N_i \oplus Z$ and $U'_i = N_i \oplus Z'$ for $i = 0, \dots, 2^n - 1$. Let W and W' be the two placements is defined as follows: for each integer i such that $0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$, the matrix MU_i is placed in W in such a way that its upper left corner cell is at position $(\text{odd}(i)n, \text{even}(i)n)$. Similarly for W' using U'_i instead of U_i . We claim that if $W = W'$, then $M = M'$ and $Z = Z'$. We suppose that $W = W'$. Since both matrices M and M' are invertible by Lemma 2, MU_i (respectively $M'U'_i$) is the zero vector if and only if U_i (respectively U'_i) is the zero vector, that is, $Z = W_i$ (respectively $Z' = W_i$). This implies that $Z = Z'$ and thus $U_i = U'_i$ for $i = 0, \dots, 2^n - 1$. Note that the matrix U_i ranges over all possible $n \times n$ matrices. If $MU_i = M'U_i$ for all $i = 0, \dots, 2^n - 1$, then $M = M'$. \square

For d a non negative integer and $n = 2^d$ Definition 10 gives a construction method of (n, n, n, n) -affine arrays. Proposition 3 counts how many can be constructed and proves that they are all different. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

Both authors are members of SINFIN Laboratory Université de Paris/CNRS-Universidad de Buenos Aires/CONICET. This research is supported by grant PICT 2018-2315, Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica de Argentina.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Alvarez, V. Becher, P. A. Ferrari, and S. Yuhjtman. Perfect necklaces. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 80:48–61, 2016.
- [2] Roland Bacher and Robin Chapman. Symmetric Pascal matrices modulo p . *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 25(4):459–473, 2004. Arithmetique et Combinatoire.
- [3] V. Becher and O. Carton. Normal numbers and perfect necklaces. *Journal of Complexity*, 54, 2019.
- [4] F.R.K Chung, P Diaconis, and R.L Graham. Universal cycles for combinatorial structures. *Discrete math.*, 110:43–59, 1992.
- [5] T. Etzion. Constructions for perfect maps and pseudorandom arrays. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 34(5, part 2):1308–1316, 1988.
- [6] C. T. Fan, S. M. Fan, S. L. Ma, and M. K. Siu. On de Bruijn arrays. *Ars Combin.*, 19(A):205–213, 1985.
- [7] H. Faure. Discrépance de suites associées à un système de numération (en dimension s). *Acta Arithmetica*, 41, 1982.
- [8] B. Gordon. On the existence of perfect maps (corresp.). *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 12(4):486–487, 1966.
- [9] M. Horváth and A. Iványi. Growing perfect cubes. *Discrete Math.*, 308(19):4378–4388, 2008.
- [10] G. Hurlbert and G. Isaak. On the de Bruijn torus problem. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A*, 64(1):50–62, 1993.
- [11] M. B. Levin. On the discrepancy estimate of normal numbers. *Acta Arithmetica*, 88(2):99–111, 1999.
- [12] S. L. Ma. A note on binary arrays with a certain window property. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 30(5):774–775, 1984.
- [13] D. A. Makarov and A. D. Yashunskii. On a construction of easily decodable sub-de Bruijn arrays. *Diskretn. Anal. Issled. Oper.*, 26(2):98–114, 2019.
- [14] K. Paterson. Perfect maps. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 40(3):743–753, 1994.
- [15] I. S. Reed and R. M. Stewart. Note on the existence of perfect maps. *IRE Trans. on Information Theory*, IT-8:10–12, 1962.
- [16] J. H. van Lint, F. J. MacWilliams, and N. J. A. Sloane. On pseudo-random arrays. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 36(1):62–72, 1979.

Verónica Becher

Departamento de Computación, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales & ICC
 Universidad de Buenos Aires & CONICET, Argentina
 vbecher@dc.uba.ar

Olivier Carton

Institut de Recherche en Informatique Fondamentale
 Université Paris Cité, France
 Olivier.Carton@irif.fr