# On a Cyclic Inequality Related to Chebyshev Polynomials

Mohammad Javaheri Harry Shen mjavaheri@siena.edu hx21shen@siena.edu 515 Loudon Road Siena College, School of Science Loudonville, NY 12211

#### Abstract

We show that any weighted geometric mean of Chebyshev polynomials is bounded from above by another Chebyshev polynomial. We also study a related homogeneous cyclic inequality

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{(a+b+1)/2}\right)^2 \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^a x_{i+1}^b,$$

where  $a, b, x_1, \ldots, x_n$  (with  $x_{n+1} = x_1$ ) are nonnegative. In particular, we prove that the inequality holds when a = b = 1 and  $n \le 8$  for all nonnegative numbers  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ .<sup>1</sup>

## 1 Introduction

Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind are defined by the recurrence relation:

$$T_{n+1}(x) = 2xT_n(x) - T_{n-1}(x), \tag{1}$$

where  $T_0(x) = 1$  and  $T_1(x) = x$ . The most well-known property of Chebyshev polynomials is that they express  $\cos(n\theta)$  in terms of  $\cos(\theta)$  via the equation  $\cos(n\theta) = T_n(\cos\theta)$ . Chebyshev polynomials are a special kind of Jacobi polynomials (also known as hypergeometric polynomials), a class of classical orthogonal polynomials. Chebyshev was the first mathematician to have

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 26D07.

Keywords: Chebyshev polynomials, cyclic homogeneous inequality.

noticed them in 1854, but their importance was not noticed until Hans Hahn rediscovered them and named them after Chebyshev. The polynomials  $T_n(x)$ are orthogonal with respect to the inner product

$$\langle f,g\rangle = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} f(x)g(x)\frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$$

In other words,  $\langle T_m, T_n \rangle = 0$  for all positive integers  $m \neq n$ , and  $\langle T_n, T_n \rangle = 1$  for all integers  $n \geq 0$ .

Chebyshev polynomials have the explicit expression

$$T_n(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left( x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1} \right)^n + \frac{1}{2} \left( x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1} \right)^n,$$
(2)

for  $x \geq 1$ . By replacing *n* with  $\alpha$  in Equation (2), one can generalize Chebyshev polynomials to functions  $T_{\alpha} : [1, \infty) \to [1, \infty)$  for all values of  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Equivalently,  $T_{\alpha}$  is defined by

$$T_{\alpha}\left(\frac{x+x^{-1}}{2}\right) = \frac{x^{\alpha}+x^{-\alpha}}{2},\tag{3}$$

for all x > 0. From Equation (1), we can see by induction that  $T_{n+1}(x) \ge T_n(x)$  for all  $x \ge 1$ . One sees that if  $|\alpha| \ge |\beta|$ , then  $T_{\alpha}(x) > T_{\beta}(x)$  for all x > 1 (see Lemma 3). More generally, any weighted geometric mean of functions of the form  $T_{\alpha}$  is bounded by another function of the same form:

**Theorem 1.** Let  $t_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , be nonnegative real numbers such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i = 1$ . If  $\alpha^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i \alpha_i^2$ , then we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} (T_{\alpha_i}(x))^{t_i} \le T_{\alpha}(x), \tag{4}$$

for all  $x \ge 1$ , where the equality occurs if and only if x = 1 or  $|\alpha| = |\alpha_i|$ for all  $1 \le i \le n$  with  $t_i \ne 0$ . Conversely, if the inequality (4) holds for all  $x \ge 1$ , then  $\alpha^2 \ge \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \alpha_i^2$ .

When n = 2, Theorem 1 can be used to show that if  $(c-1)^2 \leq 2ab$ , then

$$(x^{c} + y^{c})^{2} \ge (x + y)(x^{a}y^{b} + y^{a}x^{b}),$$

for all  $x, y \ge 0$ , where c = (a + b + 1)/2. This inequality is a homogeneous cyclic inequality on two variables. We are interested in a general form of this inequality on n arbitrary nonnegative variables:

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{c}\right)^{2} \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{a} x_{i+1}^{b}.$$
(5)

It turns out that, given fixed values of  $a, b \ge 0$ , the validity of the cyclic homogeneous inequality (5) depends on n. One can make the following observations regarding the inequality (5):

- i) If a + b = 1, then the inequality holds for all  $n \ge 1$  (by the Rearrangement inequality [3]).
- ii) Given  $a, b \ge 0$  with  $a + b \ne 1$ , there exists an integer N(a, b) such that the inequality (5) holds for all  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \ge 0$  if and only if  $n \le N(a, b)$ ; [4].
- iii) Given a positive integer n, the set  $\mathcal{O}_n$  of  $(a, b) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$  for which the inequality (5) holds for all  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \ge 0$  is a topologically closed and convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Theorem 5 shows that  $\mathcal{O}_2 = \{(a, b) : a, b \ge 0 \text{ and } (a+b-1)^2 \le 8ab\}$ . The problem of completely characterizing  $\mathcal{O}_n$  for n > 2 seems difficult, however, a necessary condition is that

$$(a+b-1)^2 \le 8ab\sin^2(\pi/n).$$

For n = 3, in Theorem 6, we will show that

 $\{(a,b): a, b \ge 0 \text{ and } 2a+1 \ge b \ge (a-1)/2 \ge -b/2\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_3.$ 

As an example, in section 4, we will consider the case of a = b = 1 and prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  be nonnegative real numbers. If  $n \leq 8$ , then

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^3\right)^2 \ge \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 x_{i+1}^2\right),\tag{6}$$

where the equality occurs if and only if  $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_n$ . Moreover, the inequality (6) does not hold in general if n > 8.

### 2 Inequalities on Chebyshev polynomials

In this section, we prove Theorem 1 which gives an upper bound for geometric means of Chebyshev polynomials. We first show that  $T_{\alpha}(x)$  is an increasing function of  $|\alpha|$  for a fixed x > 1.

**Lemma 3.** If  $|\alpha| \ge |\beta|$ , then  $T_{\alpha}(x) \ge T_{\beta}(x)$  for all  $x \ge 1$ . The equality occurs if and only if x = 1 or  $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, suppose that  $\alpha \geq \beta > 0$ . By virtue of Equation 3, we need to show that the function  $\alpha \mapsto x^{\alpha} + x^{-\alpha}$  is a strictly increasing function of  $\alpha > 0$  for a fixed positive  $x \neq 1$ . The claim then follows from

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha}(x^{\alpha} + x^{-\alpha}) = (x^{\alpha} - x^{-\alpha})\ln x > 0,$$

which holds for all positive  $x \neq 1$  and  $\alpha > 0$ .

Next, we prove Theorem 1 in the case of n = 2.

Lemma 4. If  $2\alpha^2 \ge \beta^2 + \gamma^2$ , then

$$(T_{\alpha}(x))^2 \ge T_{\beta}(x)T_{\gamma}(x), \tag{7}$$

for all  $x \ge 1$ . The equality occurs if and only if x = 1 or  $|\alpha| = |\beta| = |\gamma|$ . Conversely, if the inequality (7) holds for all  $x \ge 1$ , then  $2\alpha^2 \ge \beta^2 + \gamma^2$ .

*Proof.* We let

$$G(x) = (x^{\alpha} + x^{-\alpha})^2 - (x^{\beta} + x^{-\beta})(x^{\gamma} + x^{-\gamma}).$$

To prove the inequality (7), it is sufficient to show that  $G(x) \ge 0$  for all x > 0. For H(x) = xG'(x), one has H(1) = 0 and

$$\begin{aligned} xH'(x) &= 4\alpha^2 (x^{2\alpha} + x^{-2\alpha}) - (\beta + \gamma)^2 (x^{\beta + \gamma} + x^{-\beta - \gamma}) - (\beta - \gamma)^2 (x^{\beta - \gamma} + x^{-\beta + \gamma}) \\ &\geq 4\alpha^2 (x^{2\alpha} + x^{-2\alpha}) - (\beta + \gamma)^2 (x^{2\alpha} + x^{-2\alpha}) - (\beta - \gamma)^2 (x^{2\alpha} + x^{-2\alpha}) \\ &\geq 2(2\alpha^2 - \beta^2 - \gamma^2) (x^{2\alpha} + x^{-2\alpha}) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

since  $|2\alpha| \ge |\beta + \gamma|$  and  $|2\alpha| \ge |\beta - \gamma|$ . It follows that  $H'(x) \ge 0$  for all x > 0. Since H(1) = 0, we must have  $H(x) \ge 0$  for all  $x \ge 1$  and  $H(x) \le 0$  for all  $0 < x \le 1$ . Therefore,  $G'(x) \ge 0$  for all  $x \ge 1$  and  $G'(x) \le 0$  for all  $0 < x \le 1$ . Since G(1) = 0, it follows that  $G(x) \ge 0$  for all x > 0. The equality occurs if and only if x = 1 or  $|2\alpha| = |\beta + \gamma|$  or  $|2\alpha| = |\beta - \gamma|$ . Therefore, the equality occurs if and only if x = 1 or  $|\alpha| = |\beta| = |\gamma|$ .

For the converse, suppose that  $G(x) \ge 0$  for all x > 0. From the calculations above, we have G'(1) = 0 and  $G''(1) = 4(2\alpha^2 - \beta^2 - \gamma^2)$ . Since G attains a minimum at x = 1, we must have  $G''(1) \ge 0$ , which implies that  $2\alpha^2 \ge \beta^2 + \gamma^2$ .

A function f(x) is said to be *concave* on an interval [a, b], if

$$f(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2) \ge tf(x_1) + (1-t)f(x_2)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in [a, b]$ . A function f(x) is said to be *midpoint-concave* on an interval [a, b], if

$$f\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2}\right) \ge \frac{f(x_1)+f(x_2)}{2},$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in [a, b]$ . We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let  $f_x: (0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$f_x(\alpha) = \ln T_{\sqrt{\alpha}}(x).$$

We show that  $f_x$  is a midpoint-concave function of  $\alpha > 0$  for any fixed value of  $x \ge 1$ . It follows from Lemma 4 that

$$f_x(\alpha) + f_x(\beta) = \ln T_{\sqrt{\alpha}}(x) + \ln T_{\sqrt{\beta}}(x) = \ln T_{\sqrt{\alpha}}(x) T_{\sqrt{\beta}}(x) \le \ln T_{\sqrt{\gamma}}(x)^2,$$

where  $\gamma = (\alpha + \beta)/2$ . Therefore,  $f_x(\alpha) + f_x(\beta) \leq 2f_x((\alpha + \beta)/2)$ , which means that  $f_x$  is a midpoint-concave function on  $(0, \infty)$ . A theorem of Jensen states that if a function is continuous and midpoint-concave, then it is concave [5, 6]. It follows that  $f_x$  is concave. By Jensen's inequality [3], we conclude that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i f_x(\alpha_i^2) \le f_x\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i \alpha_i^2\right),$$

which implies the inequality (4).

For the converse, by replacing x with  $(x + x^{-1})/2$  in (4) and taking the natural logarithm of both sides, suppose that

$$G(x) = \ln(x^{\alpha} + x^{-\alpha}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i \ln(x^{\alpha_i} + x^{-\alpha_i}) \ge 0,$$

for all x > 0. It is straightforward to show that G(1) = G'(1) = 0 and

$$G''(1) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \alpha^2 - \sum_{i=1} t_i \alpha_i^2 \right).$$

Since G attains a minimum at x = 1, we conclude that  $G''(1) \ge 0$ , and the claim follows.

#### 3 A related cyclic homogeneous inequality

In this section, we study the cyclic homogeneous inequality (5). We first consider the case of n = 2.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $a, b \ge 0$  and c = (a + b + 1)/2. If  $(c - 1)^2 \le 2ab$ , then  $(x^c + y^c)^2 \ge (x + y)(x^a y^b + y^a x^b),$ (8)

for all  $x, y \ge 0$ , and the equality occurs if and only if x = y or  $\{a, b\} = \{0, 1\}$ . Proof. With  $\alpha = c/2$ ,  $\beta = 1/2$ , and  $\gamma = (a - b)/2$ , Lemma 4 implies that  $((x/y)^{c/2} + (x/y)^{-c/2})^2 \ge ((x/y)^{1/2} + (x/y)^{-1/2})((x/y)^{(a-b)/2} + (x/y)^{(b-a)/2}),$ 

for all  $x \ge 1$ , if  $2\alpha^2 \ge \beta^2 + \gamma^2$  or equivalently  $(c-1)^2 \le 2ab$ . The equality occurs if and only if x/y = 1 or  $|\alpha| = |\beta| = |\gamma|$ , or equivalently, if and only if x = y or  $\{a, b\} = \{0, 1\}$ .

Next, we consider the following general homogeneous cyclic inequality

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{c}\right)^{2} \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{a} x_{i+1}^{b}, \tag{9}$$

where c = (a + b + 1)/2 and  $a, b, x_1, \ldots, x_n \ge 0$ . One asks that under what conditions on a, b, c, the inequality (9) holds for all  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \ge 0$ . It is straightforward to see that if a + b = 1, then the inequality (9) for all  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \ge 0$ , follows from the Rearrangement inequality [2, Ch. 6]. However, if  $a + b \ne 1$ , then the inequality (9) fails to hold if n is large enough [4]. In other words, the validity of the inequality (9) for all  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \ge 0$ for fixed values of  $a, b \ge 0$  with  $a + b \ne 1$  depends on n. Similarly, given a fixed value of n, the inequality (9) holds for a specific subset  $\mathcal{O}_n$  of values  $(a, b) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$ . Theorem 5 describes  $\mathcal{O}_2$  completely. For n > 2, such a complete description seems to be difficult to find. However, in the following theorem, we derive a sufficient condition for the inequality (9) in the case of n = 3.

**Theorem 6.** If  $2a + 1 \ge b \ge (a - 1)/2 \ge -b/2$ , then

$$(x^{c} + y^{c} + z^{c})^{2} \ge (x + y + z)(x^{a}y^{b} + y^{a}z^{b} + z^{a}x^{b}),$$

for all  $x, y, z \ge 0$ . The equality occurs if and only if x = y = z.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we assume that  $a \ge b$ . If  $b \ge a - 1$ , then the claim follows from [4, Prop. 2.1]. Thus, suppose that  $a - b - 1 \ge 0$ . Let  $x, y, z \ge 0$ . By Jensen's inequality [2, Ch. 7]:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a+1-b}{2c}x^{2c} + \frac{b}{c}x^{c}y^{c} &\geq x^{a+1}y^{b}, \\ \frac{b}{2c}x^{2c} + \frac{2b-a+1}{2c}y^{2c} + \frac{a-b}{c}x^{c}y^{c} &\geq x^{a}y^{b+1}, \\ \frac{a-b-1}{2c}x^{2c} + \frac{1}{c}x^{c}z^{c} + \frac{b}{c}x^{c}y^{c} &\geq x^{a}y^{b}z. \end{aligned}$$

Adding these inequalities yields

$$\frac{2a-b}{2c}x^{2c} + \frac{2b-a+1}{2c}y^{2c} + \frac{a+b}{c}x^{c}y^{c} + \frac{1}{c}x^{c}z^{c} \ge (x+y+z)x^{a}y^{b}.$$
 (10)

Similarly

$$\frac{2a-b}{2c}y^{2c} + \frac{2b-a+1}{2c}z^{2c} + \frac{a+b}{c}y^{c}z^{c} + \frac{1}{c}x^{c}y^{c} \ge (x+y+z)y^{a}z^{b}, \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{2a-b}{2c}z^{2c} + \frac{2b-a+1}{2c}x^{2c} + \frac{a+b}{c}x^{c}z^{c} + \frac{1}{c}y^{c}z^{c} \ge (x+y+z)z^{a}x^{b}.$$
 (12)

The claim follows from adding inequalities (10)-(12).

# 4 The case of a = b = 1

In this section, we prove Theorem 2 which states that the inequality (5) holds in the case of a = b = 1 if and only if  $n \leq 8$ . To show that the inequality (5) does not hold for  $n \geq 9$ , it is sufficient to find a counterexample for the inequality with 9 variables, since if the inequality (5) holds for n variables, then it holds for n - 1 variables. Here is one such counterexample [4]:

$$x_1 = x_9 = 8.5, \ x_2 = x_8 = 9, \ x_3 = x_7 = 10, \ x_4 = x_6 = 11.5, \ x_5 = 12.$$

It is then left to prove that the inequality (5) holds with a = b = 1 for all  $x_1, \ldots, x_8 \ge 0$ . We first need a lemma.

**Lemma 7.** Let  $x_1, \ldots, x_8$  be nonnegative real numbers. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{8} x_i^3 \ge \frac{1}{8} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{8} x_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{8} x_i^2 \right).$$

*Proof.* By the Power Mean Inequality [1, Ch. III], one has

$$\left(\frac{1}{8}\sum_{i=1}^{8}x_{i}^{3}\right)^{1/3} \ge \frac{1}{8}\sum_{i=1}^{8}x_{i} \text{ and } \left(\frac{1}{8}\sum_{i=1}^{8}x_{i}^{3}\right)^{1/3} \ge \left(\frac{1}{8}\sum_{i=1}^{8}x_{i}^{2}\right)^{1/2}$$

The claim follows from these inequalities.

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 2.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Equivalently, we show that the maximum value of the function  $f : \mathbb{U} \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_8) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^8 x_i^4 x_{i+1}^4}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^8 x_i^6\right)^2}$$

is 1, where

$$\mathbb{U} = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_8) : \sum_{i=1}^8 x_i^4 = 1 \right\}.$$

one has  $(\sum_{i=1}^{8} x_i^6/8)^{1/6} \ge (\sum_{i=1}^{8} x_i^4/8)^{1/4}$  by the Power Mean Inequality [1, Ch. III]. Therefore,  $\sum_{i=1}^{8} x_i^6 \ge \sqrt{1/8}$  and so the function f is bounded from above on  $\mathbb{U}$ , hence it attains a positive absolute maximum on the compact set  $\mathbb{U}$ , say at  $(x_1, \ldots, x_8)$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume  $x_1, \ldots, x_8 \ge 0$ . By the method of Lagrange multipliers, there exists a real number  $\lambda$  such that

$$\frac{1}{A^4} \left( 4x_i^3 (x_{i-1}^4 + x_{i+1}^4) A^2 - 2AB(6x_i^5) \right) = \lambda(4x_i^3), \ \forall i = 1, \dots, 8,$$
(13)

where  $A = x_1^6 + \dots + x_8^6$  and  $B = x_1^4 x_2^4 + \dots + x_8^4 x_1^4$ . Therefore,

$$x_i^4(x_{i-1}^4 + x_{i+1}^4)A - 3Bx_i^6 = \lambda A^3 x_i^4, \ \forall 1 \le i \le 8.$$
(14)

By summing the equations (14), we have  $\lambda = -B/A^2$ . We need to show that  $A^2 \geq B$ . On the contrary, suppose  $B > A^2$ , and we will derive a contradiction.

Equations (13) imply that, if  $x_i \neq 0$ , then

$$3Bx_i^2 = A(x_{i-1}^4 + x_{i+1}^4) + AB.$$
(15)

First, we show that  $x_i \neq 0$  for all  $i \in \{1, \ldots, 8\}$ . On the contrary, and without loss of generality, suppose  $x_8 = 0$  and  $x_7 > 0$ . Given  $\epsilon \in (0, x_7)$ , let

$$\delta = \delta(\epsilon) = (x_7^4 - (x_7 - \epsilon)^4)^{1/4},$$

such that  $(x_7 - \epsilon)^4 + \delta^4 = x_7^4$ , and so  $\delta^3 \delta' = (x_7 - \epsilon)^3$ . We define

$$F(\epsilon) = f(x_1, \dots, x_6, x_7 - \epsilon, \delta) = \frac{B_{\epsilon}}{A_{\epsilon}^2},$$

and compute

$$F'(\epsilon) = \frac{4(x_7 - \epsilon)^3}{A_{\epsilon}^4} \left( A_{\epsilon}^2 (-x_6^4 - \delta^4 + (x_7 - \epsilon)^4 + x_1^4) + 3A_{\epsilon}B_{\epsilon}((x_7 - \epsilon)^2 - \delta^2) \right).$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} F'(\epsilon) = \frac{x_7^3}{A^4} (A^2(+x_7^4 + x_1^4) - A^2 x_6^2 + 3ABx_7^2)$$
$$= \frac{x_7^3}{A^4} (A^2(x_1^4 + x_7^4) + A^2B) > 0, \tag{16}$$

where we have used equation (15) with i = 7 to obtain  $-A^2 x_6^2 + 3ABx_7^2 = A^2 B$ . The inequality (16) is a contradiction with the assumption that  $F(\epsilon)$  attains a maximum as  $\epsilon \to 0^+$ . We conclude that  $x_i > 0$  for all  $i = 1, \ldots, 8$ . In particular, equations (15) hold for all  $i = 1, \ldots, 8$ . In the rest of the proof, we let  $y_i = x_i^2$ . Hence, with C = B/A, the equations (15) turn into

$$3y_i C = y_{i-1}^2 + y_{i+1}^2 + B, \ \forall 1 \le i \le 8.$$
(17)

It follows that

$$3(y_i + y_{i+4})C = 2B + y_{i-1}^2 + y_{i+1}^2 + y_{i+3}^2 + y_{i+5}^2,$$
  
$$3(y_{i+2} + y_{i+6})C = 2B + y_{i+1}^2 + y_{i+3}^2 + y_{i+5}^2 + y_{i+7}^2,$$

which imply that  $y_j + y_{j+4} = y_{j+2} + y_{j+6}$  for all j, since  $y_{i-1} = y_{i+7}$  as the indices are computed modulo 8. Therefore, there exist nonnegative real numbers r, s such that

$$y_1 + y_5 = y_3 + y_7 = r, (18)$$

$$y_2 + y_6 = y_4 + y_8 = s. (19)$$

Equations (17) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} &3(y_1 - y_3)C = y_8^2 - y_4^2 \\ &3(y_2 - y_4)C = y_1^2 - y_5^2 \\ &3(y_3 - y_5)C = y_2^2 - y_6^2 \\ &3(y_4 - y_6)C = y_3^2 - y_7^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\bar{y}_i = y_i - r/2$  if *i* is odd, and  $\bar{y}_i = y_i - s/2$  if *i* is even. It follows that  $\bar{y}_i + \bar{y}_{i+4} = 0$  for all *i*. Moreover, for *i* odd, we have

$$3C(\bar{y}_i - \bar{y}_{i+4}) = 3C(y_i - y_{i+4}) = y_{i-1}^2 - y_{i+1}^2 + y_{i+3}^2 - y_{i+5}^2$$
  
=  $(y_{i-1} - y_{i+3})(y_{i-1} + y_{i+3}) + (y_{i+1} - y_{i+5})(y_{i+1} + y_{i+5})$   
=  $2\bar{y}_{i-1}s + 2\bar{y}_{i+1}s$ ,

which implies that  $\bar{y}_i = (\bar{y}_{i-1} + \bar{y}_{i+1})s/(3C)$  for odd *i*. Similarly,  $\bar{y}_i = (\bar{y}_{i-1} + \bar{y}_{i+1})r/(3C)$  for even *i*. It then follows that

$$\bar{y}_i = \frac{s}{3C}(\bar{y}_{i-1} + \bar{y}_{i+1}) = \frac{rs}{9C^2}(\bar{y}_{i-2} + \bar{y}_i + \bar{y}_i + \bar{y}_{i+2}) = \frac{2rs}{9C^2}\bar{y}_i,$$

for odd *i*, and similarly for even *i*. We claim that  $9C^2 \neq 2rs$ . On the contrary, suppose  $9C^2 = 2rs$ , and so, since C = B/A > A, we must have

$$6\sqrt{2}A < 6\sqrt{2}C \le 4\sqrt{rs} \le 2(r+s) \le \sum_{i=1}^{8} y_i.$$
 (20)

However, by Lemma 7, we have  $8A \ge \sum_{i=1}^{8} y_i$  which contradicts (20), since  $6\sqrt{2} > 8$ . Thus  $9C^2 \ne 2rs$ , and so  $\bar{y}_i = 0$  for all *i*. Therefore,  $y_1 = y_3 = y_5 = y_7 = r/2$ , and  $y_2 = y_4 = y_6 = y_8 = s/2$ . So we have  $r^2 + s^2 = 4((r/2)^2 + (s/2)^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{8} y_i^2 = 1$  and

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_8) = \frac{8(r/2)^2 (s/2)^2}{(4(r/2)^3 + 4(s/2)^3)^2} = \frac{2r^2 s^2}{(r^3 + s^3)^2} \le 1,$$
 (21)

since it follows from  $r^2 + s^2 = 1$  that

$$r^{3} + s^{3} \ge 2\left(\frac{r^{2} + s^{2}}{2}\right)^{3/2} \ge 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{3/2} \ge \frac{r^{2} + s^{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \ge \sqrt{2}rs.$$

The equality occurs in (21) if and only if r = s (and so  $y_1 = y_2 = \cdots = y_8$ ); hence, the equality in (6) occurs if and only if  $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_8$ .

#### References

- [1] P. S. Bullen, Handbook of Means and Their Inequalities, Springer (2003).
- [2] Z. Cvetkovski, Inequalities, Theorems, Techniques, and Selected Problems, Springer, Berlin (2012).
- [3] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, G. Pólya, Inequalities, Cambridge Univ. Press (1934).
- [4] M. Javaheri, A new arrangement inequality, J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math. 7(5) (2006), Article 162.
- [5] J. L. W. V. Jensen, Sur les fonctions convexes et les inégalités entre les valeurs moyennes, Acta Mathematica (Institut Mittag-Leffler) 1906, Vol. 30, No. 1, pp 175–193.
- [6] C.P. Niculescu and L-E. Persson, Convex Functions and Their Applications, Springer International Publishing, (2018).