# On a Cyclic Inequality Related to Chebyshev Polynomials 

Mohammad Javaheri<br>mjavaheri@siena.edu<br>Harry Shen<br>hx21shen@siena.edu<br>515 Loudon Road<br>Siena College, School of Science<br>Loudonville, NY 12211


#### Abstract

We show that any weighted geometric mean of Chebyshev polynomials is bounded from above by another Chebyshev polynomial. We also study a related homogeneous cyclic inequality $$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{(a+b+1) / 2}\right)^{2} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{a} x_{i+1}^{b},
$$ where $a, b, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ (with $x_{n+1}=x_{1}$ ) are nonnegative. In particular, we prove that the inequality holds when $a=b=1$ and $n \leq 8$ for all nonnegative numbers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. 1


## 1 Introduction

Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind are defined by the recurrence relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n+1}(x)=2 x T_{n}(x)-T_{n-1}(x), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{0}(x)=1$ and $T_{1}(x)=x$. The most well-known property of Chebyshev polynomials is that they express $\cos (n \theta)$ in terms of $\cos (\theta)$ via the equation $\cos (n \theta)=T_{n}(\cos \theta)$. Chebyshev polynomials are a special kind of Jacobi polynomials (also known as hypergeometric polynomials), a class of classical orthogonal polynomials. Chebyshev was the first mathematician to have

[^0]noticed them in 1854, but their importance was not noticed until Hans Hahn rediscovered them and named them after Chebyshev. The polynomials $T_{n}(x)$ are orthogonal with respect to the inner product
$$
\langle f, g\rangle=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} f(x) g(x) \frac{d x}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}
$$

In other words, $\left\langle T_{m}, T_{n}\right\rangle=0$ for all positive integers $m \neq n$, and $\left\langle T_{n}, T_{n}\right\rangle=1$ for all integers $n \geq 0$.

Chebyshev polynomials have the explicit expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left(x-\sqrt{x^{2}-1}\right)^{n}+\frac{1}{2}\left(x+\sqrt{x^{2}-1}\right)^{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x \geq 1$. By replacing $n$ with $\alpha$ in Equation (2), one can generalize Chebyshev polynomials to functions $T_{\alpha}:[1, \infty) \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ for all values of $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Equivalently, $T_{\alpha}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\alpha}\left(\frac{x+x^{-1}}{2}\right)=\frac{x^{\alpha}+x^{-\alpha}}{2}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x>0$. From Equation (11), we can see by induction that $T_{n+1}(x) \geq$ $T_{n}(x)$ for all $x \geq 1$. One sees that if $|\alpha| \geq|\beta|$, then $T_{\alpha}(x)>T_{\beta}(x)$ for all $x>1$ (see Lemma (3). More generally, any weighted geometric mean of functions of the form $T_{\alpha}$ is bounded by another function of the same form:

Theorem 1. Let $t_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, be nonnegative real numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}=1$. If $\alpha^{2} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} \alpha_{i}^{2}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(T_{\alpha_{i}}(x)\right)^{t_{i}} \leq T_{\alpha}(x) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \geq 1$, where the equality occurs if and only if $x=1$ or $|\alpha|=\left|\alpha_{i}\right|$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ with $t_{i} \neq 0$. Conversely, if the inequality (4) holds for all $x \geq 1$, then $\alpha^{2} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} \alpha_{i}^{2}$.

When $n=2$, Theorem 1 can be used to show that if $(c-1)^{2} \leq 2 a b$, then

$$
\left(x^{c}+y^{c}\right)^{2} \geq(x+y)\left(x^{a} y^{b}+y^{a} x^{b}\right)
$$

for all $x, y \geq 0$, where $c=(a+b+1) / 2$. This inequality is a homogeneous cyclic inequality on two variables. We are interested in a general form of this inequality on $n$ arbitrary nonnegative variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{c}\right)^{2} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{a} x_{i+1}^{b} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It turns out that, given fixed values of $a, b \geq 0$, the validity of the cyclic homogeneous inequality (5) depends on $n$. One can make the following observations regarding the inequality (5):
i) If $a+b=1$, then the inequality holds for all $n \geq 1$ (by the Rearrangement inequality (3).
ii) Given $a, b \geq 0$ with $a+b \neq 1$, there exists an integer $N(a, b)$ such that the inequality (5) holds for all $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \geq 0$ if and only if $n \leq N(a, b)$; [4].
iii) Given a positive integer $n$, the set $\mathcal{O}_{n}$ of $(a, b) \in[0, \infty) \times[0, \infty)$ for which the inequality (5) holds for all $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \geq 0$ is a topologically closed and convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

Theorem 5shows that $\mathcal{O}_{2}=\left\{(a, b): a, b \geq 0\right.$ and $\left.(a+b-1)^{2} \leq 8 a b\right\}$. The problem of completely characterizing $\mathcal{O}_{n}$ for $n>2$ seems difficult, however, a necessary condition is that

$$
(a+b-1)^{2} \leq 8 a b \sin ^{2}(\pi / n)
$$

For $n=3$, in Theorem 6, we will show that

$$
\{(a, b): a, b \geq 0 \text { and } 2 a+1 \geq b \geq(a-1) / 2 \geq-b / 2\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{3} .
$$

As an example, in section 4. we will consider the case of $a=b=1$ and prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be nonnegative real numbers. If $n \leq 8$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{3}\right)^{2} \geq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} x_{i+1}^{2}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the equality occurs if and only if $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n}$. Moreover, the inequality (6) does not hold in general if $n>8$.

## 2 Inequalities on Chebyshev polynomials

In this section, we prove Theorem 1 which gives an upper bound for geometric means of Chebyshev polynomials. We first show that $T_{\alpha}(x)$ is an increasing function of $|\alpha|$ for a fixed $x>1$.

Lemma 3. If $|\alpha| \geq|\beta|$, then $T_{\alpha}(x) \geq T_{\beta}(x)$ for all $x \geq 1$. The equality occurs if and only if $x=1$ or $|\alpha|=|\beta|$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose that $\alpha \geq \beta>0$. By virtue of Equation 3, we need to show that the function $\alpha \mapsto x^{\alpha}+x^{-\alpha}$ is a strictly increasing function of $\alpha>0$ for a fixed positive $x \neq 1$. The claim then follows from

$$
\frac{d}{d \alpha}\left(x^{\alpha}+x^{-\alpha}\right)=\left(x^{\alpha}-x^{-\alpha}\right) \ln x>0
$$

which holds for all positive $x \neq 1$ and $\alpha>0$.
Next, we prove Theorem $\mathbb{1}$ in the case of $n=2$.
Lemma 4. If $2 \alpha^{2} \geq \beta^{2}+\gamma^{2}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{\alpha}(x)\right)^{2} \geq T_{\beta}(x) T_{\gamma}(x) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \geq 1$. The equality occurs if and only if $x=1$ or $|\alpha|=|\beta|=|\gamma|$. Conversely, if the inequality (77) holds for all $x \geq 1$, then $2 \alpha^{2} \geq \beta^{2}+\gamma^{2}$.

Proof. We let

$$
G(x)=\left(x^{\alpha}+x^{-\alpha}\right)^{2}-\left(x^{\beta}+x^{-\beta}\right)\left(x^{\gamma}+x^{-\gamma}\right) .
$$

To prove the inequality (7), it is sufficient to show that $G(x) \geq 0$ for all $x>0$. For $H(x)=x G^{\prime}(x)$, one has $H(1)=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
x H^{\prime}(x) & =4 \alpha^{2}\left(x^{2 \alpha}+x^{-2 \alpha}\right)-(\beta+\gamma)^{2}\left(x^{\beta+\gamma}+x^{-\beta-\gamma}\right)-(\beta-\gamma)^{2}\left(x^{\beta-\gamma}+x^{-\beta+\gamma}\right) \\
& \geq 4 \alpha^{2}\left(x^{2 \alpha}+x^{-2 \alpha}\right)-(\beta+\gamma)^{2}\left(x^{2 \alpha}+x^{-2 \alpha}\right)-(\beta-\gamma)^{2}\left(x^{2 \alpha}+x^{-2 \alpha}\right) \\
& \geq 2\left(2 \alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}-\gamma^{2}\right)\left(x^{2 \alpha}+x^{-2 \alpha}\right) \geq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

since $|2 \alpha| \geq|\beta+\gamma|$ and $|2 \alpha| \geq|\beta-\gamma|$. It follows that $H^{\prime}(x) \geq 0$ for all $x>0$. Since $H(1)=0$, we must have $H(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \geq 1$ and $H(x) \leq 0$ for all $0<x \leq 1$. Therefore, $G^{\prime}(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \geq 1$ and $G^{\prime}(x) \leq 0$ for all $0<x \leq 1$. Since $G(1)=0$, it follows that $G(x) \geq 0$ for all $x>0$. The equality occurs if and only if $x=1$ or $|2 \alpha|=|\beta+\gamma|$ or $|2 \alpha|=|\beta-\gamma|$. Therefore, the equality occurs if and only if $x=1$ or $|\alpha|=|\beta|=|\gamma|$.

For the converse, suppose that $G(x) \geq 0$ for all $x>0$. From the calculations above, we have $G^{\prime}(1)=0$ and $G^{\prime \prime}(1)=4\left(2 \alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}-\gamma^{2}\right)$. Since $G$ attains a minimum at $x=1$, we must have $G^{\prime \prime}(1) \geq 0$, which implies that $2 \alpha^{2} \geq \beta^{2}+\gamma^{2}$.

A function $f(x)$ is said to be concave on an interval $[a, b]$, if

$$
f\left(t x_{1}+(1-t) x_{2}\right) \geq t f\left(x_{1}\right)+(1-t) f\left(x_{2}\right)
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in[a, b]$. A function $f(x)$ is said to be midpoint-concave on an interval $[a, b]$, if

$$
f\left(\frac{x_{1}+x_{2}}{2}\right) \geq \frac{f\left(x_{1}\right)+f\left(x_{2}\right)}{2}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in[a, b]$. We are now ready to prove Theorem 1 .
Proof of Theorem 1. Let $f_{x}:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$
f_{x}(\alpha)=\ln T_{\sqrt{\alpha}}(x) .
$$

We show that $f_{x}$ is a midpoint-concave function of $\alpha>0$ for any fixed value of $x \geq 1$. It follows from Lemma 4 that

$$
f_{x}(\alpha)+f_{x}(\beta)=\ln T_{\sqrt{\alpha}}(x)+\ln T_{\sqrt{\beta}}(x)=\ln T_{\sqrt{\alpha}}(x) T_{\sqrt{\beta}}(x) \leq \ln T_{\sqrt{\gamma}}(x)^{2},
$$

where $\gamma=(\alpha+\beta) / 2$. Therefore, $f_{x}(\alpha)+f_{x}(\beta) \leq 2 f_{x}((\alpha+\beta) / 2)$, which means that $f_{x}$ is a midpoint-concave function on $(0, \infty)$. A theorem of Jensen states that if a function is continuous and midpoint-concave, then it is concave [5, 6]. It follows that $f_{x}$ is concave. By Jensen's inequality [3], we conclude that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} f_{x}\left(\alpha_{i}^{2}\right) \leq f_{x}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} \alpha_{i}^{2}\right)
$$

which implies the inequality (4).
For the converse, by replacing $x$ with $\left(x+x^{-1}\right) / 2$ in (4) and taking the natural logarithm of both sides, suppose that

$$
G(x)=\ln \left(x^{\alpha}+x^{-\alpha}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} \ln \left(x^{\alpha_{i}}+x^{-\alpha_{i}}\right) \geq 0
$$

for all $x>0$. It is straightforward to show that $G(1)=G^{\prime}(1)=0$ and

$$
G^{\prime \prime}(1)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha^{2}-\sum_{i=1} t_{i} \alpha_{i}^{2}\right) .
$$

Since $G$ attains a minimum at $x=1$, we conclude that $G^{\prime \prime}(1) \geq 0$, and the claim follows.

## 3 A related cyclic homogeneous inequality

In this section, we study the cyclic homogeneous inequality (5). We first consider the case of $n=2$.

Theorem 5. Let $a, b \geq 0$ and $c=(a+b+1) / 2$. If $(c-1)^{2} \leq 2 a b$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x^{c}+y^{c}\right)^{2} \geq(x+y)\left(x^{a} y^{b}+y^{a} x^{b}\right), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \geq 0$, and the equality occurs if and only if $x=y$ or $\{a, b\}=\{0,1\}$. Proof. With $\alpha=c / 2, \beta=1 / 2$, and $\gamma=(a-b) / 2$, Lemma 4 implies that $\left((x / y)^{c / 2}+(x / y)^{-c / 2}\right)^{2} \geq\left((x / y)^{1 / 2}+(x / y)^{-1 / 2}\right)\left((x / y)^{(a-b) / 2}+(x / y)^{(b-a) / 2}\right)$, for all $x \geq 1$, if $2 \alpha^{2} \geq \beta^{2}+\gamma^{2}$ or equivalently $(c-1)^{2} \leq 2 a b$. The equality occurs if and only if $x / y=1$ or $|\alpha|=|\beta|=|\gamma|$, or equivalently, if and only if $x=y$ or $\{a, b\}=\{0,1\}$.

Next, we consider the following general homogeneous cyclic inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{c}\right)^{2} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{a} x_{i+1}^{b}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=(a+b+1) / 2$ and $a, b, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \geq 0$. One asks that under what conditions on $a, b, c$, the inequality (9) holds for all $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \geq 0$. It is straightforward to see that if $a+b=1$, then the inequality (9) for all $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \geq 0$, follows from the Rearrangement inequality [2, Ch. 6]. However, if $a+b \neq 1$, then the inequality (9) fails to hold if $n$ is large enough [4]. In other words, the validity of the inequality (9) for all $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \geq 0$ for fixed values of $a, b \geq 0$ with $a+b \neq 1$ depends on $n$. Similarly, given a fixed value of $n$, the inequality (91) holds for a specific subset $\mathcal{O}_{n}$ of values $(a, b) \in[0, \infty) \times[0, \infty)$. Theorem 5 describes $\mathcal{O}_{2}$ completely. For $n>2$, such a complete description seems to be difficult to find. However, in the following theorem, we derive a sufficient condition for the inequality (9) in the case of $n=3$.

Theorem 6. If $2 a+1 \geq b \geq(a-1) / 2 \geq-b / 2$, then

$$
\left(x^{c}+y^{c}+z^{c}\right)^{2} \geq(x+y+z)\left(x^{a} y^{b}+y^{a} z^{b}+z^{a} x^{b}\right)
$$

for all $x, y, z \geq 0$. The equality occurs if and only if $x=y=z$.
Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $a \geq b$. If $b \geq a-1$, then the claim follows from [4, Prop. 2.1]. Thus, suppose that $a-b-1 \geq 0$. Let $x, y, z \geq 0$. By Jensen's inequality [2, Ch. 7]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{a+1-b}{2 c} x^{2 c}+\frac{b}{c} x^{c} y^{c} \geq x^{a+1} y^{b}, \\
& \frac{b}{2 c} x^{2 c}+\frac{2 b-a+1}{2 c} y^{2 c}+\frac{a-b}{c} x^{c} y^{c} \geq x^{a} y^{b+1}, \\
& \frac{a-b-1}{2 c} x^{2 c}+\frac{1}{c} x^{c} z^{c}+\frac{b}{c} x^{c} y^{c} \geq x^{a} y^{b} z
\end{aligned}
$$

Adding these inequalities yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 a-b}{2 c} x^{2 c}+\frac{2 b-a+1}{2 c} y^{2 c}+\frac{a+b}{c} x^{c} y^{c}+\frac{1}{c} x^{c} z^{c} \geq(x+y+z) x^{a} y^{b} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{2 a-b}{2 c} y^{2 c}+\frac{2 b-a+1}{2 c} z^{2 c}+\frac{a+b}{c} y^{c} z^{c}+\frac{1}{c} x^{c} y^{c} \geq(x+y+z) y^{a} z^{b},  \tag{11}\\
& \frac{2 a-b}{2 c} z^{2 c}+\frac{2 b-a+1}{2 c} x^{2 c}+\frac{a+b}{c} x^{c} z^{c}+\frac{1}{c} y^{c} z^{c} \geq(x+y+z) z^{a} x^{b} . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

The claim follows from adding inequalities (10)-(12).

## 4 The case of $a=b=1$

In this section, we prove Theorem 2 which states that the inequality (5) holds in the case of $a=b=1$ if and only if $n \leq 8$. To show that the inequality (5) does not hold for $n \geq 9$, it is sufficient to find a counterexample for the inequality with 9 variables, since if the inequality (5) holds for $n$ variables, then it holds for $n-1$ variables. Here is one such counterexample [4]:

$$
x_{1}=x_{9}=8.5, x_{2}=x_{8}=9, x_{3}=x_{7}=10, x_{4}=x_{6}=11.5, x_{5}=12 .
$$

It is then left to prove that the inequality (5) holds with $a=b=1$ for all $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8} \geq 0$. We first need a lemma.

Lemma 7. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8}$ be nonnegative real numbers. Then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{8} x_{i}^{3} \geq \frac{1}{8}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{8} x_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{8} x_{i}^{2}\right)
$$

Proof. By the Power Mean Inequality [1, Ch. III], one has

$$
\left(\frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{8} x_{i}^{3}\right)^{1 / 3} \geq \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{8} x_{i} \text { and }\left(\frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{8} x_{i}^{3}\right)^{1 / 3} \geq\left(\frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{8} x_{i}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

The claim follows from these inequalities.
Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 圆. Equivalently, we show that the maximum value of the function $f: \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8}\right)=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{8} x_{i}^{4} x_{i+1}^{4}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{8} x_{i}^{6}\right)^{2}}
$$

is 1 , where

$$
\mathbb{U}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8}\right): \sum_{i=1}^{8} x_{i}^{4}=1\right\} .
$$

one has $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{8} x_{i}^{6} / 8\right)^{1 / 6} \geq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{8} x_{i}^{4} / 8\right)^{1 / 4}$ by the Power Mean Inequality [1, Ch. III]. Therefore, $\sum_{i=1}^{8} x_{i}^{6} \geq \sqrt{1 / 8}$ and so the function $f$ is bounded from above on $\mathbb{U}$, hence it attains a positive absolute maximum on the compact set $\mathbb{U}$, say at $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8}\right)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8} \geq$ 0 . By the method of Lagrange multipliers, there exists a real number $\lambda$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{A^{4}}\left(4 x_{i}^{3}\left(x_{i-1}^{4}+x_{i+1}^{4}\right) A^{2}-2 A B\left(6 x_{i}^{5}\right)\right)=\lambda\left(4 x_{i}^{3}\right), \forall i=1, \ldots, 8, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A=x_{1}^{6}+\cdots+x_{8}^{6}$ and $B=x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{4}+\cdots+x_{8}^{4} x_{1}^{4}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}^{4}\left(x_{i-1}^{4}+x_{i+1}^{4}\right) A-3 B x_{i}^{6}=\lambda A^{3} x_{i}^{4}, \forall 1 \leq i \leq 8 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By summing the equations (14), we have $\lambda=-B / A^{2}$. We need to show that $A^{2} \geq B$. On the contrary, suppose $B>A^{2}$, and we will derive a contradiction.

Equations (13) imply that, if $x_{i} \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 B x_{i}^{2}=A\left(x_{i-1}^{4}+x_{i+1}^{4}\right)+A B . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, we show that $x_{i} \neq 0$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, 8\}$. On the contrary, and without loss of generality, suppose $x_{8}=0$ and $x_{7}>0$. Given $\epsilon \in\left(0, x_{7}\right)$, let

$$
\delta=\delta(\epsilon)=\left(x_{7}^{4}-\left(x_{7}-\epsilon\right)^{4}\right)^{1 / 4},
$$

such that $\left(x_{7}-\epsilon\right)^{4}+\delta^{4}=x_{7}^{4}$, and so $\delta^{3} \delta^{\prime}=\left(x_{7}-\epsilon\right)^{3}$. We define

$$
F(\epsilon)=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}, x_{7}-\epsilon, \delta\right)=\frac{B_{\epsilon}}{A_{\epsilon}^{2}}
$$

and compute
$F^{\prime}(\epsilon)=\frac{4\left(x_{7}-\epsilon\right)^{3}}{A_{\epsilon}^{4}}\left(A_{\epsilon}^{2}\left(-x_{6}^{4}-\delta^{4}+\left(x_{7}-\epsilon\right)^{4}+x_{1}^{4}\right)+3 A_{\epsilon} B_{\epsilon}\left(\left(x_{7}-\epsilon\right)^{2}-\delta^{2}\right)\right)$.

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} F^{\prime}(\epsilon) & =\frac{x_{7}^{3}}{A^{4}}\left(A^{2}\left(+x_{7}^{4}+x_{1}^{4}\right)-A^{2} x_{6}^{2}+3 A B x_{7}^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{x_{7}^{3}}{A^{4}}\left(A^{2}\left(x_{1}^{4}+x_{7}^{4}\right)+A^{2} B\right)>0, \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used equation (15) with $i=7$ to obtain $-A^{2} x_{6}^{2}+3 A B x_{7}^{2}=$ $A^{2} B$. The inequality (16) is a contradiction with the assumption that $F(\epsilon)$ attains a maximum as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$. We conclude that $x_{i}>0$ for all $i=1, \ldots, 8$. In particular, equations (15) hold for all $i=1, \ldots, 8$. In the rest of the proof, we let $y_{i}=x_{i}^{2}$. Hence, with $C=B / A$, the equations (15) turn into

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 y_{i} C=y_{i-1}^{2}+y_{i+1}^{2}+B, \forall 1 \leq i \leq 8 . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
3\left(y_{i}+y_{i+4}\right) C & =2 B+y_{i-1}^{2}+y_{i+1}^{2}+y_{i+3}^{2}+y_{i+5}^{2}, \\
3\left(y_{i+2}+y_{i+6}\right) C & =2 B+y_{i+1}^{2}+y_{i+3}^{2}+y_{i+5}^{2}+y_{i+7}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which imply that $y_{j}+y_{j+4}=y_{j+2}+y_{j+6}$ for all $j$, since $y_{i-1}=y_{i+7}$ as the indices are computed modulo 8 . Therefore, there exist nonnegative real numbers $r, s$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{1}+y_{5}=y_{3}+y_{7}=r,  \tag{18}\\
& y_{2}+y_{6}=y_{4}+y_{8}=s . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (17) imply that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3\left(y_{1}-y_{3}\right) C=y_{8}^{2}-y_{4}^{2} \\
& 3\left(y_{2}-y_{4}\right) C=y_{1}^{2}-y_{5}^{2} \\
& 3\left(y_{3}-y_{5}\right) C=y_{2}^{2}-y_{6}^{2} \\
& 3\left(y_{4}-y_{6}\right) C=y_{3}^{2}-y_{7}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\bar{y}_{i}=y_{i}-r / 2$ if $i$ is odd, and $\bar{y}_{i}=y_{i}-s / 2$ if $i$ is even. It follows that $\bar{y}_{i}+\bar{y}_{i+4}=0$ for all $i$. Moreover, for $i$ odd, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 C\left(\bar{y}_{i}-\bar{y}_{i+4}\right) & =3 C\left(y_{i}-y_{i+4}\right)=y_{i-1}^{2}-y_{i+1}^{2}+y_{i+3}^{2}-y_{i+5}^{2} \\
& =\left(y_{i-1}-y_{i+3}\right)\left(y_{i-1}+y_{i+3}\right)+\left(y_{i+1}-y_{i+5}\right)\left(y_{i+1}+y_{i+5}\right) \\
& =2 \bar{y}_{i-1} s+2 \bar{y}_{i+1} s,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $\bar{y}_{i}=\left(\bar{y}_{i-1}+\bar{y}_{i+1}\right) s /(3 C)$ for odd $i$. Similarly, $\bar{y}_{i}=\left(\bar{y}_{i-1}+\right.$ $\left.\bar{y}_{i+1}\right) r /(3 C)$ for even $i$. It then follows that

$$
\bar{y}_{i}=\frac{s}{3 C}\left(\bar{y}_{i-1}+\bar{y}_{i+1}\right)=\frac{r s}{9 C^{2}}\left(\bar{y}_{i-2}+\bar{y}_{i}+\bar{y}_{i}+\bar{y}_{i+2}\right)=\frac{2 r s}{9 C^{2}} \bar{y}_{i},
$$

for odd $i$, and similarly for even $i$. We claim that $9 C^{2} \neq 2 r$. On the contrary, suppose $9 C^{2}=2 r s$, and so, since $C=B / A>A$, we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
6 \sqrt{2} A<6 \sqrt{2} C \leq 4 \sqrt{r s} \leq 2(r+s) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{8} y_{i} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, by Lemma 7, we have $8 A \geq \sum_{i=1}^{8} y_{i}$ which contradicts (20), since $6 \sqrt{2}>8$. Thus $9 C^{2} \neq 2 r s$, and so $\bar{y}_{i}=0$ for all $i$. Therefore, $y_{1}=y_{3}=$ $y_{5}=y_{7}=r / 2$, and $y_{2}=y_{4}=y_{6}=y_{8}=s / 2$. So we have $r^{2}+s^{2}=$ $4\left((r / 2)^{2}+(s / 2)^{2}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{8} y_{i}^{2}=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8}\right)=\frac{8(r / 2)^{2}(s / 2)^{2}}{\left(4(r / 2)^{3}+4(s / 2)^{3}\right)^{2}}=\frac{2 r^{2} s^{2}}{\left(r^{3}+s^{3}\right)^{2}} \leq 1 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

since it follows from $r^{2}+s^{2}=1$ that

$$
r^{3}+s^{3} \geq 2\left(\frac{r^{2}+s^{2}}{2}\right)^{3 / 2} \geq 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{3 / 2} \geq \frac{r^{2}+s^{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \geq \sqrt{2} r s
$$

The equality occurs in (21) if and only if $r=s$ (and so $y_{1}=y_{2}=\cdots=y_{8}$ ); hence, the equality in (6) occurs if and only if $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{8}$.
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